MFP ITEM 1
November 24, 2009
Worksession 2

MEMORANDUM

TO: Management and Fiscal Policy Committee, meeting as the Audit Committee

FROM: Robert H. Drummer, Senior Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT: **Worksession 2**: Expedited Bill 40-09, Personnel – Audits – Trust Funds

Expedited Bill 40-09, Personnel – Audits – Trust Funds, sponsored by Council President Andrews was introduced on November 10. The Management and Fiscal Policy Committee, meeting as the Audit Committee, reviewed this Bill on November 10. A public hearing was held on November 17.

Background

Section 315 of the Charter requires that:

> The Council shall contract with, or otherwise employ, a certified public accountant to make annually an independent post audit of all financial records and actions of the County, its officials and employees. The complete report of the audit shall be presented to the Council and copies of it shall be made available to the public.

County Code §33-51 requires the Council to retain a firm of certified public accountants to conduct an annual independent audit of the Employee's Retirement System (ERS). Code §33-122(g) similarly requires an annual independent audit of the Retirement Savings Plan (RSP). However, there is no equivalent provision requiring annual independent audits of the County deferred compensation plan, the collectively bargained deferred compensation plan,[1] or the Retiree Health Benefits Trust Fund.

Expedited Bill 40-09 would, pursuant to Charter §315, require an annual independent audit of each deferred compensation plan and the Retiree Health Benefits Trust Fund. The Council would hire the audit firm and the audit report would be filed with the Council and made available for public inspection.

[1] IRS rules require that the collectively bargained plan be "established and maintained by the County" in order to be considered a government sponsored plan.

November 10 Worksession

The Committee reviewed the Bill and decided to send a formal letter to Walt Bader seeking the position of the unions that administer the collectively bargained deferred compensation plan. A copy of the letter from Chair Trachtenberg to Walt Bader sent later that day is at ©9. Mr. Bader's November 16 response is at ©10-11. The Committee deferred action on the Bill until after the November 17 public hearing.

Public Hearing

Both speakers at the November 17 public hearing, Walt Bader and Gino Renne, represented the Montgomery County Union Employees Deferred Compensation Plan. (Union Plan) Mr. Bader testified that the Union Plan does not oppose the Council hiring a firm to audit the Plan "provided that the audit of the MCUEDCP will be performed in the same manner that other county accounts and plans are audited and reported..." See ©12. Mr. Renne supported Mr. Bader's statements.

Issues

1. What independent audits of County trust funds are currently being done?

The Council's Management and Fiscal Policy Committee (MFP) issued a Request for Proposals in 2007 to retain a firm of certified public accountants to conduct independent audits of the basic financial statements of the County government, the ERS, and the RSP. The MFP Committee evaluated the proposals and recommended the award to Clifton Gunderson LLP (Clifton). The Council approved the contract with Clifton in Resolution 16-501 on March 8, 2008. The contract is currently managed by the Office of Legislative Oversight.

Clifton is currently working on the independent audit of the County government financial statements for FY09 and an audit of the County's ERS[2] and RSP. Although the legislation creating the Retiree Health Benefits Trust in 2008 does not include a required independent audit, Clifton is reviewing the FY09 financial statement for this Trust Fund as part of the County government audit. Clifton is also auditing the FY09 financial statements for the County's deferred compensation plan as part of the audit of the County's retirement systems. The current scope of services for Clifton does not include an independent audit of the collectively bargained deferred compensation plan. The Bill would require the Council to hire a firm to conduct an independent audit of the collectively bargained plan and require the audits of the County deferred compensation plan and the Retiree Health Benefits Trust Fund that are already being done by Clifton.

2. Does the County audit the financial records of the Revenue Authority, the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC), the Montgomery County Board of Education (BOE), or Montgomery College?

[2] The Guaranteed Retirement Income Plan did not begin until FY10, but it is part of the ERS.

The Montgomery County Revenue Authority is an instrumentality of the County and a public corporation. County Code §42-24(b) requires the Authority to hire a certified public accountant to annually audit its financial statements and provide a copy to the Executive. If the Authority fails to have the audit done, the Executive is authorized to do it. The M-NCPPC, WSSC, BOE, and Montgomery College are all agencies of the State of Maryland created by State law. The State laws creating each of these agencies requires them to hire a firm to annually conduct an independent audit of their financial records and provide a copy to the County. See, Md. Code, Art. 28, §2-113 (M-NCPPC), Md. Code, Art. 29 §1-106 (WSSC), Md. Code, Education Art. §16-315 (Montgomery College), Md. Code, Education Art. §5-109 (BOE).

3. Does Charter §315 require the Council to hire a firm to audit the collectively bargained deferred compensation plan?

The collectively bargained deferred compensation plan (Union Plan) was authorized by Bill 35-04, now codified as Code §33-146B. The plan is established as a government sponsored deferred compensation plan pursuant to §457(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. IRS Rev. Rul. 2004-57 first authorized a union to offer a government sponsored deferred compensation plan to its members who are government employees under §457(b) if the government employer has agreed to "establish and maintain" the plan.

The County retained outside counsel, the Groom Law Group, for legal advise on how to comply with these IRS rules in establishing the Union Plan during the consideration of Bill 35-04. The Groom Law Group advised that the County retains ultimate fiduciary responsibility for the plan even after delegating fiduciary and administrative responsibility to union officials. Bill 35-04 required the union to obtain fiduciary liability insurance protecting itself and the County and indemnify the County from liability. See §33-146B(c). Although these provisions may protect the County from financial loss, they do not eliminate the fiduciary responsibility.

The County's fiduciary responsibility to its employees participating in the Union Plan requires it to oversee the actions of its delegated union fiduciaries. The Charter §315 audit requirement is consistent with this oversight fiduciary responsibility. However, the operative language of Charter §315 requires the Council to "contract with, or otherwise employ" a firm of certified public accountants. The Council can satisfy this requirement by hiring the auditor itself or by ensuring that the union hires an independent auditor and provides a timely copy to the Council.[3]

The Committee, at the November 10 worksession, requested Council staff to prepare an amendment that would require the Union Plan to hire a firm to perform an annual audit and provide a copy of the report and the internal control letters to the Council. Amendment No. 1 at ©13-14 would accomplish this.

For all these reasons, it is the opinion of Council staff that Charter §315 requires the Council to ensure that an independent audit of the Union Plan is conducted annually and a copy of the report is provided to the Council and made available for public inspection.

---

[3] The union has not routinely provided copies of annual audit reports to the Council since the Union Plan was established in 2005. Council staff requested and recently received a copy of the Union Plan's independent audit report for calendar year 2007, dated October 13, 2009. Council staff was informed that the auditor was close to completing the audit for calendar year 2008.

4. Must the County include the Union Plan's financial statements as part of its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) if it hires a firm to audit the Union Plan?

The hiring of a firm of certified public accountants to audit the financial statements of the Union Plan would not change the County's fiduciary responsibility to its employees who participate. The union would retain fiduciary and administrative responsibility for the Union Plan. Council staff reviewed this issue with the Office of Legislative Oversight, the County Office of Finance, and the County's current auditor, Clifton. Council staff received an informal opinion from Clifton that Bill 40-09 would not require the County to include the financial statements of the Union Plan in its CAFR under the relevant Governmental Accounting Standards Board Regulations.

5. What is the cost to hire a firm to audit the Union Plan?

The Council could hire a firm by either expanding the scope of services in Clifton's contract or hire a firm under a new contract solicitation. A rough estimate for adding this work to the Clifton contract is $20,000 to $25,000. The actual cost would depend upon negotiations with Clifton and the scope of the audit requested. The cost to hire a new firm should be similar, but the actual cost would depend upon the scope of work and the competition for the work. See the Fiscal Impact Statement at ©8.

Council staff recommendation: enact the Bill as introduced. Council staff agrees with Mr. Bader's request that the audit of the Union Plan should be done in the same manner as the other County audits. The Council can best ensure that the independent audit of the Union Plan is consistent with the audits of other trust funds by hiring the auditor. The Office of Legislative Oversight would manage the contract for the audit of the Union Plan as it does for the other County audits.

This packet contains:	Circle #
Council President Memorandum	1
Expedited Bill 40-09	2
October 20 Baltimore Sun article	4
Legislative Request Report	7
Fiscal Impact Statement	8
Chair Trachtenberg letter to Walt Bader	9
Walt Bader's response to Chair Trachtenberg	10
Testimony of Walt Bader	12
Amendment No. 1	13

F:\LAW\BILLS\0940 Personnel-Audits - Trust Funds\MFP 2 Memo 11-24-09.Doc

MEMORANDUM

November 5, 2009

TO: Councilmembers

FROM: Phil Andrews, Council President

SUBJECT: Annual Independent Audit of the Retiree Health Benefits Trust and Deferred Compensation Plans

Charter §315 requires the Council to retain "a certified public accountant to make annually an independent post audit of all financial records and actions of the County, its officials and employees. The complete report of the audit shall be presented to the Council and copies of it shall be made available to the public."

To comply with Charter §315, County Code §33-51 and §33-122(g) require the Council to retain a firm of certified public accountants to conduct an annual independent audit of the County's retirement plans. The audit report must be made available for public inspection.

There is no equivalent provision requiring an annual independent audit of the Retiree Health Benefits Trust, the County deferred compensation plan, or the collectively bargained deferred compensation plan. IRS rules require that the collectively bargained plan be "established and maintained by the County" in order to be considered a government sponsored plan.

To comply with Charter §315, the attached bill, which is scheduled for introduction on November 10, would add a new requirement for an annual independent audit, conducted by a firm of certified public accountants retained by the Council, of the Retiree Health Benefits Trust and each of the County's deferred compensation plans. The importance of this requirement, from the standpoint of good government and sound financial management, is clear from the attached October 20 *Baltimore Sun* account of the results of an audit of one of the State's deferred compensation plans.

I welcome your co-sponsorship of this bill.

Attachment

Expedited Bill No. 40-09
Concerning: Personnel – Audits – Trust Funds
Revised: November 4, 2009 Draft No. 11
Introduced: November 10, 2009
Expires: May 10, 2011
Enacted: _____
Executive: _____
Effective: _____
Sunset Date: None
Ch. _____, Laws of Mont. Co. _____

COUNTY COUNCIL
FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President Andrews

AN EXPEDITED ACT to:

(1) require an annual independent audit of each deferred compensation plan;
(2) require an annual independent audit of the Retiree Health Benefits Trust; and
(2) generally amend the law concerning audits of County trust funds.

By adding
Montgomery County Code
Chapter 33, Personnel and Human Resources
Article IX. Deferred Compensation Plan.
Section 33-146C

By amending
Montgomery County Code
Chapter 33, Personnel and Human Resources
Article XI. Other Post Employment Benefits Trust
Section 33-162

Boldface	*Heading or defined term.*
Underlining	*Added to existing law by original bill.*
[Single boldface brackets]	*Deleted from existing law by original bill.*
Double underlining	*Added by amendment.*
[[Double boldface brackets]]	*Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.*
* * *	*Existing law unaffected by bill.*

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

Sec. 1. Section 33-146C is added and Section 33-162 is amended as follows:

33-146C. *Independent audit.*

To comply with Section 315 of the County Charter, a firm of certified public accountants, under contract with the Council, must complete an annual independent audit of the County Plan and each Collectively Bargained Plan established and maintained by the County. The complete audit must be filed with the Council, and copies made available for public inspection.

33-162. Trust Fund management.

(a) General. The Board has the exclusive authority to manage the Trust Fund's assets. All powers and duties required to manage the Trust Fund are vested in the Board by this Article.

* * *

(j) To comply with Section 315 of the County Charter, a firm of certified public accountants, under contract with the Council, must complete an annual independent audit of the Trust Fund. The complete audit must be filed with the Council, and copies made available for public inspection.

Sec. 2. Expedited Effective Date.

The Council declares that this legislation is necessary for the immediate protection of the public interest. This Act takes effect on the date it becomes law.

Approved:

Philip M. Andrews, President, County Council Date

3

baltimoresun.com

Pension agency failed to disclose loss, auditors say

Report criticizes state staff, board's oversight

By Michael Dresser | michael.dresser@baltsun.com

October 20, 2009

The agency that runs a voluntary retirement plan for state employees failed to adequately disclose a $48 million loss in the market value of a conservative investment pool, according to an audit released Monday.

A toughly worded report suggests that the staff and board of the Maryland Teachers and State Employees Supplemental Retirement Plans had been lax in their oversight of private firms that manage many of the plan's investments. The auditors also said plan managers were unable to answer many of their questions or provide relevant documents.

The issues raised by legislative auditors echo many of the concerns surrounding the much larger Maryland state retirement fund several years ago, when the state pension board and staff failed for many months to detect an investment fraud that cost the system millions of dollars. Those problems led to the conviction in federal court of money manager Nathan A. Chapman Jr.

In the case of the supplemental system, the auditors did not suggest criminal activity and made no referral to law enforcement agencies. But the tone of their criticism raised hackles among board members.

"The language, candidly, in the analysis was somewhat inflammatory," said state Treasurer Nancy K. Kopp, a board member.

The supplemental system, which closed the year with $1.9 billion in assets but which has grown to $2.2 billion, is a deferred-compensation program in which state employees and public school teachers can voluntarily invest part of their income in a tax-sheltered plan.

Michael T. Halpin, executive director of the supplemental plan, said none of the 27,163 investors in the fund in question lost money as a result of the matters raised by the auditors. He said his agency has made many changes in response to the report's recommendations.

"This is a really important issue and it's really important that people not be scared away from something that is working well," Halpin said.

The plan is overseen by a nine-member board appointed by the governor and chaired by

Secretary of Budget and Management T. Eloise Foster.

Foster, who served on the larger pension board when the Chapman scandal was unfolding, said she sees no parallels between that system then and the supplemental system now.

How audit began

The special audit, prompted by an allegation made through a "fraud, waste and abuse" hot line, focused on a period last year when many retirement plans were slipping amid the mortgage meltdown, credit crunch and banking collapse.

The auditors focused on one fund within the supplemental system's group of plans: the Investment Contract Pool. The plan was intended to be the most conservative option, similar to a money market fund within a 401(k) plan. The fund is intended to guard the safety of the investor's money and is "perceived by participants as the investment equivalent of a retirement 'security blanket,' " the auditors said.

Nevertheless, at the end of last year, the fund's unrealized losses had opened up a $48 million gap between the $729 million book value of its investments and its $681 million market value.

According to the auditors, the supplemental retirement plan did not disclose market losses to its participants until September 2008. As a result, they said, "the ability of existing and prospective plan participants to make informed investment decisions using basic and critical financial information was significantly impaired."

Halpin said that before last fall's changes, the plan had reported the book value of participants' assets. He said that for the first 27 years after the plans were created in 1985, there was little difference between market and book value to report.

Auditors vs. board

The auditors said that after the investigation was launched, the agency decided to disclose the percentage difference between book value and market value. However, they contend the plan should also disclose the dollar amount of that difference - a stance with which the board disagrees.

Halpin said that as of the end of last month, the conservative fund had recovered sufficiently to post a market value of $734.2 million, or 98.4 percent of its book value of $745.8 million. At its worst last October, the market value stood at only 92 percent of book value.

Auditors said they questioned how the supplemental plan could pay fund participants a relatively generous 4.4 percent interest rate during the last quarter of 2008 despite its market losses. They said the board has since reset the payout to 3.5 percent and begun to recalculate it monthly.

Hiring questions

The report also criticized the plan for its oversight of sub-managers hired by its investment manager, Deutsche Bank AG. That issue also arose in the state's earlier pension scandal, when the state pension board delegated to Chapman the oversight of a sub-manager who subsequently defrauded the fund and invested money in a company controlled by Chapman - resulting in a loss to the state when that company tanked.

In the case of the supplemental fund, the auditors said the plan's managers could not provide substantive documentation to show that it had taken steps to address the performance of two lagging sub-managers. The auditors suggested the board allowed sub-managers to stray too far from the benchmarks for their industry sector - giving the example of one that was heavily invested in mortgage-backed securities and light on low-risk government bonds at a time when many mortgages were being exposed as "toxic assets."

In a vigorous 16-page response, the supplemental plan defended its oversight of its investment managers and called the auditors' criticism of their returns as "speculative with the benefit of hindsight."

But Kopp, while defending the board's oversight of its money managers, admitted the staff's documentation of such efforts needs to improve.

"In retrospect, we wish the minutes had been more detailed," she said.

Foster said the board's response to the auditors was "very thorough."

Shaun Adamec, a spokesman for Gov. Martin O'Malley, said Foster would make sure that any problems are corrected.

"The governor certainly has confidence in her abilities and her ability to recognize the issues raised in the report and to respond to them," Adamec said.

Copyright © 2009, The Baltimore Sun

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Expedited Bill 40-09
Personnel – Audits – Trust Funds

DESCRIPTION:	To require an annual independent audit of each deferred compensation plan and the Retiree Health Benefits Trust Fund.
PROBLEM:	Charter §315 requires the Council to hire or otherwise employ a firm of certified public accountants to conduct an annual independent audit of all County financial records and actions. Current law requires this audit for the retirement plans, but does not require a similar audit of the deferred compensation plans and the Retiree Health Benefits Trust Fund.
GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:	To amend the law to comply with Charter §315.
COORDINATION:	Office of Human Resources, Board of Investment Trustees, Office of Legislative Oversight, Department of Finance
FISCAL IMPACT:	To be requested.
ECONOMIC IMPACT:	To be requested.
EVALUATION:	To be requested.
EXPERIENCE ELSEWHERE:	To be researched.
SOURCE OF INFORMATION:	Robert H. Drummer, Legislative Attorney, 240-777-7895
APPLICATION WITHIN MUNICIPALITIES:	Not applicable.
PENALTIES:	None

BILL 40-09

BD
CC
SGF
LL

052633

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Isiah Leggett
County Executive

Joseph F. Beach
Director

RECEIVED
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
COUNCIL
2009 NOV 17 PM 4:50

MEMORANDUM

November 13, 2009

TO: Phil Andrews, President, County Council

FROM: Joseph F. Beach, Director, Office of Management and Budget

SUBJECT: Expedited Bill 40-09, Personnel – Audits – Trust Funds

The purpose of this memorandum is to transmit a fiscal and economic impact statement to the Council on the subject legislation.

LEGISLATION SUMMARY

This bill requires an annual independent audit of the County's deferred compensation plan and the Retiree Health Benefit Trust (RHBT), as required by the County Charter. The deferred compensation plan audit is currently being conducted as part of the County's Employee Retiree System and Retirement Savings plan audit; the RHBT is currently being audited as part of the County government audit. This bill would also require that an audit of the collectively bargained or union deferred compensation plan be conducted by the County as part of its fiduciary responsibility for the plan.

FISCAL SUMMARY

As the audits of the County deferred compensation plan and the RHBT are already being conducted, there will be no fiscal impact from requiring in the County Code that they be done. The Office of Legislative Oversight estimates that the cost of conducting the audit of the collectively bargained or union plan to be $20,000 to $25,000 annually.

The following contributed to and concurred with the fiscal portion of this analysis: Linda Herman, Board of Investment Trustees, and Karen Orlansky, Office of Legislative Oversight.

ECONOMIC SUMMARY

There will be no economic impact on the County as a result of this legislation.

The following contributed to and concurred with the economic portion of this analysis: Michael Coveyou, Department of Finance.

(8)

MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

DUCHY TRACHTENBERG
COUNCILMEMBER
AT-LARGE

November 10, 2009

Walt Bader
Immediate Past President
Fraternal Order of Police
18512 Office Park Drive
Montgomery Village, Maryland 20886

Dear Mr. Bader:

Expedited Bill 40-09, Personnel – Audits – Trust Funds, was introduced and reviewed by the Management and Fiscal Policy Committee, sitting as the Audit Committee, on November 10. The Committee decided not to act on this Bill until after the public hearing scheduled for November 17. It is my understanding that you have been corresponding with our Legislative Attorney, Bob Drummer, about this Bill.

As you know, the Bill would, pursuant to Charter §315, require the Council to retain a firm of certified public accountants to conduct an annual independent audit of the financial records of the collectively bargained deferred compensation plan. The Committee would like to hear the position on this Bill of the employee representatives responsible for the administration of the plan prior to or at the public hearing. The Committee plans to schedule a second worksession on this Bill soon after the public hearing.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Duchy Trachtenberg, Chair
Management and Fiscal Policy Committee

C: Council President Andrews
Council Vice President Berliner
Councilmember Ervin
Councilmember Navarro
John Sparks
Gino Renne
Bob Drummer

100 MARYLAND AVENUE, ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850 • 240/777-7964, TTY 240/777-7914, FAX 240/777-7989
COUNCILMEMBER.TRACHTENBERG@MONTGOMERYCOUNTYMD.GOV
WWW.MONTGOMERYCOUNTYMD.GOV/COUNCIL

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Lodge 35
Walter E. Bader, Chairman

Gino Renne, Vice Chairman

Local 1664
John J. Sparks, Secretary/Treasurer

Montgomery County Union Employees Deferred Compensation Plan

November 16, 2009

Duchy Trachtenberg
Councilmember
Montgomery County Council
100 Maryland Avenue
Rockville, MD 20850

RE: Expedited Bill No. 40-09

Dear Councilmember Trachtenberg:

This is in response to your letter of November 10, wherein you request that we state our position on the Expedited Bill 40-09, prior to November 17.

The Montgomery County Union Employees Deferred Compensation Plan is governed by a six member Board of Trustees. Two trustees are appointed by each of the three unions representing County employees – IAFF Local 1664, MCGEO, UFCW Local 1991, and FOP Lodge 35.

The Board requires an independent audit of our Plan for each fiscal (calendar) year. Our Financial Reports have been provided to Council staff for all years except 2008. We have also provided to Council staff confidential letters on financial controls. The latest report for 2008 will be provided when it is completed.

Our position on the bill is that we have no opposition to the County Council hiring a firm to audit the MCUEDCP provided that the audit of the MCUEDCP will be performed in the same manner that other county accounts and plans are audited and reported, according to the same processes and standards, and with the same confidentiality and sensitivity as afforded the county plans, trustees, officials, and employees.

If the law cannot guarantee consistent and equal treatment, then we prefer to continue to retain our own accounting firm to conduct an independent audit according to accepted auditing standards and to continue to provide copies to the County Council. We further ask that the County reimburse the Plan for the audit in an amount not to exceed the cost the County would incur for conducting that audit.

I hope this is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

Walter E. Bader

Walter E. Bader
Chair

18512 Office Park Drive, Montgomery Village, Maryland 20886, Phone: 301-948-4286; Fax: 301-590-0317; email: mail@union457.com

cc: Gino Renne, Vice-Chair
John Sparks, Secretary-Treasurer
Council President Andrews
Council Vice President Berliner
Councilmember Ervin
Councilmember Navarro
Bob Drummer, Esq., Council Senior Legislative Attorney

Lodge 35
Walter E. Bader, Chairman

Gino Renne, Vice Chairman

Local 1664
John J. Sparks, Secretary/Treasurer

Montgomery County Union Employees Deferred Compensation Plan

STATEMENT OF THE MONTTGOMERY COUNTY UNION EMPLOYEES DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN CONCERNING EXPEDITED BILL 40-09 – AUDITS.

November 16, 2009

Good afternoon, I am Walter E. Bader, Chair, Montgomery County Union Employees Deferred Compensation Plan and with me is Gino Renne, Vice Chair.

The Montgomery County Union Employees Deferred Compensation Plan assumed responsibility for certain bargaining unit member deferred compensation funds in March 2005. The Plan is governed by a six member Board of Trustees. Each member union, IAFF Local 1664, MCGEO, UFCW Local 1991, and FOP Lodge 35, appoints two members to the Board.

The Plan is funded solely through participant contributions and participants are fully vested in their accounts. Currently, participation is voluntary.

All participant contributions are deducted by Montgomery County payroll and held in trust for participants. The Hartford, serves as our record keeper. All participant contributions are invested as directed by the participant. No investment funds pass through the Board of Trustees.

Board operating funds for plan-qualified expenses are provided through a plan expense reimbursement arrangement with The Hartford and revenue sharing with Fidelity Investments. These are the only monies the Board actually handles. (Plan-qualified expenses include legal, accounting, investment advisor, insurance, and due diligence training.) This account has very limited activity and is subject to both internal controls and an annual audit.

The Board requires an independent audit of our Plan for each fiscal (calendar) year. Our Financial Reports have been provided to Council staff for all years except 2008. We have also provided to Council staff confidential letters on financial controls. The latest report for 2008 will be provided when it is completed.

Our position on the bill is that we have no opposition to the County Council hiring a firm to audit the MCUEDCP provided that the audit of the MCUEDCP will be performed in the same manner that other county accounts and plans are audited and reported, according to the same processes and standards, and with the same confidentiality and sensitivity as is afforded the county plans, trustees, officials, and employees.

We note, for your consideration, that our plan's fiscal year is the calendar year. Currently, we are in the fourth quarter of FY 2009 while the County is midway through its second quarter of FY 2010.

If the law cannot guarantee consistent and equal treatment, then we prefer to continue to retain our own accounting firm to conduct an independent audit according to accepted auditing standards and to continue to provide copies to the County Council. We further ask that the County reimburse the Plan for the audit in an amount not to exceed the cost the County would incur for conducting that audit.

Thank you.

18512 Office Park Drive, Montgomery Village, Maryland 20886, Phone: 301-948-4286; Fax: 301-590-0317; email: mail@union457.com

AMENDMENT NO. 1

Amend lines 3-8 as follows:

[[**33-146C.** *Independent audit.*

To comply with Section 315 of the County Charter, a firm of certified public accountants, under contract with the Council, must complete an annual independent audit of the County Plan and each Collectively Bargained Plan established and maintained by the County. The complete audit must be filed with the Council, and copies made available for public inspection.]]

Add the following after line 18:

29A-5. Office of legislative oversight-Responsibilities, powers and duties.

* * *

(b) Powers and duties. In addition to any other power, duty, or responsibility provided in this Chapter, the Office has the following powers and duties:

* * *

(12) Review all post audit reports by certified public accountants hired by other public [[county]] County and bi-county agencies, or pursuant to County law, and request comments from agency directors or other responsible officials on the certified public accountant's findings.

* * *

33-142. Establishment; participation, audits.

(a) *Establishment.* The Deferred Compensation Plan is established under Section 457(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

* * *

(e) *Audits.* To comply with Section 315 of the County Charter, a firm of certified public accountants, under contract with the Council, must complete an annual independent audit of the Plan. The complete audit must be filed with the Council, and copies made available for public inspection.

33-146B. Collectively Bargained Plans.

The County may establish and maintain one or more additional deferred compensation plans for employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement. In the case of any collectively bargained plan:

(a) The certified representative must assume the duties and responsibilities of the Board, except for the requirements of Section 33-61(a), and the certified representative must assume the duties and responsibilities of the Chief Administrative Officer and the County under this Article.

* * *

(g) To comply with Section 315 of the County Charter, a firm of certified public accountants, under contract with the certified representative, must complete an annual independent audit of a Collectively Bargained Plan established and maintained by the County. The complete audit must be filed with the Council within 6 months after the end of the Plan year, and copies made available for public inspection. The audit report submitted to the Council must include all letters on internal control describing:

(1) significant deficiencies;

(2) material weaknesses; and

(3) other matters described in the Statement of Audit Standards (SAS #112), as amended by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants or a successor accounting professional oversight body.