

CategoryM-NCPPCDate Last Modified05/18/23SubCategoryDevelopmentAdministering AgencyM-NCPPCPlanning AreaCountywideStatusOngoing

#### **EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)**

Cost Elements	Total	Thru FY22	Rem FY22	Total 6 Years	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design and Supervision	12,635	629	2,474	9,532	2,443	1,476	1,318	1,476	1,439	1,380	-
Site Improvements and Utilities	34,648	2,518	9,884	22,246	5,700	3,445	3,077	3,444	3,360	3,220	-
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	47,283	3,147	12,358	31,778	8,143	4,921	4,395	4,920	4,799	4,600	-

#### FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

Funding Source	Total	Thru FY22	Rem FY22	Total 6 Years	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28	Beyond 6 Years
M-NCPPC Bonds	12,737	1,203	3,573	7,961	1,500	1,500	1,425	1,137	1,299	1,100	-
Program Open Space	31,146	1,944	8,785	20,417	3,893	2,771	2,970	3,783	3,500	3,500	-
State Aid	3,400	-	-	3,400	2,750	650	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES	47,283	3,147	12,358	31,778	8,143	4,921	4,395	4,920	4,799	4,600	-

#### APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

Appropriation FY 24 Request	4,921	Year First Appropriation	FY19
Cumulative Appropriation	23,648	Last FY's Cost Estimate	46,633
Expenditure / Encumbrances	4,085		
Unencumbered Balance	19,563		

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project funds design and construction of renovations, modifications, and modernizations of local parks, with projects generally between \$1 to \$3.5 M. These renovation projects are typically more complex and/or extensive than Level-of-Effort PDFs will support, and may require planning, public outreach, and Planning Board approval where POS funds are used. Improvements may include, but are not limited to, renovating and/or converting existing amenities, adding new park elements and features, modernizing facilities, improving infrastructure, etc.

## COST CHANGE

FY24 increase is related to State Aid award.

### PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Park Refreshers 30-1

This project responds to the challenge of maintaining an aging park system while meeting increasing demands from a growing population, escalating costs, and tightening fiscal conditions. The traditional method of large-scale renovations utilizing facility planning and stand-alone CIP projects is not a one-size-fits-all approach to delivering a modern park system at a reasonable cost. This provides the agency an additional tool that streamlines the park development process with smaller scale projects, allowing the agency to be more responsive to life-cycles of infrastructure and meeting goals and objectives of the PROS 2017 plan and individual master plans.

### **OTHER**

The goal of this project is to fund 1-2 renovation projects each year during all years of the CIP.

#### FISCAL NOTE

A total of \$650k of FY24 State Aid was provided for the following: Dalewood Playground (\$250k), Stonehedge Local Park (\$150k); and Long Branch Parks Initiative (\$250k).

#### **DISCLOSURES**

Expenditures will continue indefinitely. M-NCPPC asserts that this project conforms to the requirement of relevant local plans, as required by the Maryland Economic Growth, Resource Protection and Planning Act.

# COORDINATION

M-NCPPC Planning Department; Montgomery County Departments of Transportation, Permitting Services, Environmental Protection; Regional Services Centers and Urban Districts.

Park Refreshers 30-2