## M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation

(P871747)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Category | M-NCPPC | Date Last Modified | $01 / 10 / 24$ |
| SubCategory | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC |
| Planning Area | Countywide | Status | Ongoing |

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements | Total | Thru FY23 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Est } \\ \text { FY24 } \end{array}$ | Total <br> 6 Years | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | FY 29 | FY 30 | Beyond 6 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | $(24,195)$ | - | - | $(24,195)$ | $(4,659)$ | $(4,343)$ | $(3,806)$ | $(3,787)$ | $(3,800)$ | $(3,800)$ |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | $(24,195)$ | - | - | $(24,195)$ | $(4,659)$ | $(4,343)$ | $(3,806)$ | $(3,787)$ | $(3,800)$ | $(3,800)$ |  |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source | Total | Thru <br> FY23 | Est <br> FY24 | Total <br> $\mathbf{6 Y e a r s}$ | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | FY 29 | FY 30 | Beyond <br> $\mathbf{6 ~ Y e a r s ~}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Current Revenue: General | $(10,967)$ | - | - | $(10,967)$ | $(1,965)$ | $(1,809)$ | $(1,806)$ | $(1,787)$ | $(1,800)$ | $(1,800)$ | - |
| G.O. Bonds | $(13,228)$ | - | - | $(13,228)$ | $(2,694)$ | $(2,534)$ | $(2,000)$ | $(2,000)$ | $(2,000)$ | $(2,000)$ | - |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | $(24,195)$ | - | $-(24,195)$ | $(4,659)$ | $(4,343)$ | $(3,806)$ | $(3,787)$ | $(3,800)$ | $(3,800)$ | - |  |

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

| Appropriation FY 25 Request | $(4,659)$ | Year First Appropriation | FY16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Appropriation FY 26 Request | $(4,343)$ | Last FY's Cost Estimate | - |
| Cumulative Appropriation | - |  |  |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances | - |  |  |
| Unencumbered Balance | - |  |  |

## PROJ ECT DESCRIPTION

Adjustments shown here are necessary due to challenging conditions such as significant shortfalls in key funding sources, flat levels of G.O. bonds, and substantial cost increases across all departments and agencies. Current Revenue cost increases will be considered in the operating budget context.

## COST CHANGE

While the increases MNCPPC requested were not affordable, the recommended CIP results in a $\$ 6.8$ million, $2.3 \%$ increase in six - year MNCPPC funding.

