OFFICE OF ZONING AND ADMINI	ISTRATIVE HEARINGS
FOR MONTGOMERY	COUNTY
	- 7
	:
PETITION OF COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION	: Case No. S-2863 : OZAH No. 13-12 :
	-x

A hearing in the above-entitled matter was held on October 17, 2013, commencing at 9:42 a.m., at the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings, 100 Maryland Avenue, Rita Davidson Memorial Hearing Room, Rockville, Maryland 20850 before:

> Martin L. Grossman Hearing Examiner

	Page 2		Pa	age 4
A P P E A R A N C E S			EXHIBITS	
		Exhibit	No. Marked/Rece	lved
Patricia Harris, Esq.				
Michael Goecke, Esq.		328(a)	8/2013 Vehicle Miles Driven Projection by	45
Lerch Early & Brewer			Dough Short	
3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 460			-	
Bethesda, Maryland 20814		328(b)	8/2013 Gasoline Volume Sales Projection by	45
Wishels Descrifeld Des			Doug Short	
Michele Rosenfeld, Esq. The Law Office of Michele Rosenfeld, LLC		329	NPR Article	52
11913 Ambleside Drive				
Potomac, Maryland 20854		330	Research and Innovation Technology	53
301-201-0913, (f) 301-990-0924			Administration Report	
rosenfeldlaw@verizon.net		331	Motorization Study	54
Larry Silverman, Esq.		332(a)	PIRG Report Dealing with Transportation	55
		332(b)	PIRG Report - New Direction	55
Abigail Adelman		332(c)	PIRG Report - Decline in Driving	57
Mark Adelman		333	9/2013 EPA Press Release	58
		334	TCRP Report	61
Also Present:		335	Excerpts From Maryland Draft Transportation	n 62
			Plan for 2035	
Eric Brann, Costco Representative		336	Excerpts From Maryland Greenhouse Emissions	= 64
Donna Savage		550		5 0 1
bolinia bavage			Reduction Act Plan	
Virginia Sheard		337	8/2013 Baltimore Sun Article	67
Eleanor Duckett		338	Comparative Gas Station Statistics	68
Eleanor Duckett		339	2010 U.S. Census	71

	Page	Page 5
	CONTENTS	1 PROCEEDINGS
Witnesses:	Direct Cross Redirect Recros	MR. GROSSMAN: This is the 16th day of public
Karen Cordry By Mr. Goecke: By Ms. Rosenfeld: Margaret Alpert By Ms. Harris: By Ms. Rosenfeld: Patricia Mulready Larry Silverman Exhibit No. 323 Letter 324 12/13/05 Co 325 Excerpts fr	15 149 197 113 127 136 221 251 E X H I B I T S Marked/Receive urt of Special Appeals Decision 1 om Annual Energy Outlook 2013 1	<ul> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: This is the 16th day of public</li> <li>hearing in the matter of Costco Wholesale Corporation, Board</li> <li>of Appeals No. S-2863, OZAH No. 13-12, petition for special</li> <li>exception pursuant to Zoning Ordinance Section 59-G-2.06 to</li> <li>allow petitioner to construct and operate an automobile</li> <li>filling station which would include 16 pumps. The subject</li> <li>site is located at 11160 Veirs Mill Road, Silver Spring,</li> <li>Maryland, Lot N-631, Wheaton Plaza, Parcel 10, also known as</li> <li>Westfield Wheaton Mall, and is zoned C-2. That's general</li> <li>commercial.</li> <li>The hearing was begun on April 26th, 2013 and I'm</li> <li>getting tired of listing all the dates so I'll skip that</li> <li>this time. It was noticed to resume again today. The next</li> <li>session has been noticed to Monday, October 21, 2013 here in</li> <li>the second floor hearing room in this building, COB, at 9:30</li> <li>a.m. This hearing is conducted on behalf of the Board of</li> <li>Appeals. My name is Martin Grossman, I'm the Hearing</li> </ul>
Michigan 1	ransportation Research Institute	<ul> <li>Examiner which means I will take evidence here and write a</li> <li>report and recommendation to the Board of Appeals which will</li> </ul>
326(b) 4/2013 Repo	rt - Environmental News Service 3	ZI make the decision in the case. Will the parties identity
326(c) 9/2013 NBC	News Report 3	22 themselves, please?
327 Federal Hig	hway Administration Policy Info 4	<ul> <li>43 MR. BRANN: Eric Brann for Costco.</li> <li>24 MS. HARRIS: Good morning. Pat Harris with Lerch</li> <li>25 Early &amp; Brewer.</li> </ul>

	Page 6	Page 8
1 2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Harris. MR. GOECKE: Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld for Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld.	<ol> <li>The effective date of that was September 20, 2013. Exhibit</li> <li>312, my October 4, 2013 order denying the motions of</li> <li>Kensington Heights Civic Association and Dr. Mark Adelman</li> <li>for summary disposition.</li> <li>Exhibit 314, an e-mail from Ms. Rosenfeld on</li> </ol>
6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ADELMAN: Abigail Adelman for the coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MR. ADELMAN: Dr. Adelman. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman. MR. ADELMAN: Dr. Mark Adelman for the coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	<ul> <li>6 October 4, 2013 submitting additional documents for Ms.</li> <li>7 Cordry. Exhibit 316, e-mail from Ms. Rosenfeld on October</li> <li>8 11, 2013 submitting additional documents that may be used</li> <li>9 for upcoming testimony. Exhibit 318, a notice went out on</li> <li>10 October 4, 2013 cancelling the October 28, 2013 hearing date</li> <li>11 at the request of the petitioner and adding Costco hearing</li> </ul>
12 13 14 15 16	MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MS. SHEARD: Virginia Sheard, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Anybody else who wishes to identify themselves here today? MS. ALPERT: Okay. Margaret Alpert, Kensington	<ul> <li>12 dates of Thursday, November 14, Tuesday, November 19,</li> <li>13 Thursday, November 21, Thursday, December 5 and Friday,</li> <li>14 December 6, all in 2013. Exhibit 321 is Dr. Adelman's Power</li> <li>15 Point presentation, part 1.</li> <li>16 Okay. I understand the witnesses scheduled today</li> </ul>
17 18 19	Heights. MS. SAVAGE: Sure. I'll say hello. Good morning. I've been absent for a while. Donna Savage, Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Savage. Ms. Alpert, did you	<ol> <li>are to finish up with Ms. Cordry's testimony regarding need.</li> <li>Mr. Sheveiko, as I understand, has a back problem and will</li> <li>not be here today. Mr. Mulready will testify.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: It's miss.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, Ms. Mulready. Okay.</li> </ol>
	wish to be heard today, did you wish to testify today? MS. ALPERT: Yes. If possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. As an individual? MS. ALPERT: Yes. Well, as representing	<ul> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Patricia.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I see Pat so I okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Saturday Night Live. Yes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't say that. Is Ms. Mulready</li> </ul>
	Page 7	Pogo 0
		Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Kensington, as an individual living in Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And how do you spell your last name? MS. ALPERT: A-L-P-E-R-T. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And I'll get your address when you come up. What's your schedule today, ma'am, because we try to accommodate citizens who come in. We will go the entire day today. What's your MS. ALPERT: I have to leave here by four. MR. GROSSMAN: By four. Okay. All right. So we'll MS. ALPERT: I can come back on Monday. MR. GROSSMAN: No. We won't make you come back. We'll take you after we finish with Ms. Cordry. Is that, does that make sense? MS. HARRIS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. If I forget, just run up and hit me on the head. MS. ALPERT: Oh, gee. All right. I'll try. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Okay. Let's discuss a couple of preliminary matters. Since the last session, there were the following significant filings and e-mail exchanges. Exhibit 306, Board of Appeals resolution to refer the motions for summary disposition to the Hearing	<ul> <li>I here?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Not yet.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And Mr. Silverman as needed.</li> <li>We also understand that Eleana Sheveiko was also proposed as</li> <li>a backup and Ms. Altman, who is here.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Alpert, I'm sorry. Ms. Alpert.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Alpert?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think Michele spelled her name</li> <li>wrong when she sent it over.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's Alpert.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I see that.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: As in Herb.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Okay. Any other</li> <li>preliminary or procedural matters?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. I see also on the</li> <li>table here was a letter from Ms. Rosenfeld on behalf of</li> <li>Kensington Heights Civic Association objecting to my order</li> <li>denying the motions for summary disposition, and we'll make</li> <li>that into, I'll get the exhibit list here, Exhibit 323.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 323 was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> </ul>

	Page 10		Page 12
1	MR. ADELMAN: Mr. Grossman?	1	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see how it,
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman?		how it proceeds. I presume it will be okay since we have
3	MR. ADELMAN: I simply can't find the exhibits on		those listed, December 5 and 6, as hearing dates, so let's
	the exhibit list. I believe there were filings from the		see how that goes.
	first responders with respect to APFO evaluation. Do you	5	
	happen to know or can you point me to what exhibit numbers		dates, we are working to coordinate witnesses along the new
	those are?		dates
8	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what you're talking	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
	about.	9	
10	MR. ADELMAN: From police and fire.	10	off, so we will be sending an updated list of witnesses.
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon?	11	
12	MR. ADELMAN: From police and fire as to the	12	faster than it has previously and so I was hoping that we
13	application meeting their requirement with respect to		wouldn't need all of those hearing dates but let's see how
	adequate public facilities.		this goes, how it goes and we'll adjust accordingly. All
15	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. I mean, there are		right? Anything else? Any other preliminary matters?
16	over 300 exhibits in here. Do you know when these were	16	
	filed? Dr. Adelman, do you know when these were filed?		testimony, Ms. Cordry?
18	MR. ADELMAN: I believe they were filed originally	18	
19	with planning staff. I'm not positive.	19	where we were just starting to get into the projections of
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Are they attachments to the, to the		demand going forward.
21	technical staff report? Are they attachments to the	21	
	technical staff report?	22	are still under oath.
23	MR. ADELMAN: I don't know.	23	MS. CORDRY: Thank you. Thank you.
24	MR. GROSSMAN: The technical staff report and its	24	
25	attachments are part of the record so if they are, if they	25	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed.
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Page 11 were filed with planning staff, that's where they may	1	
			-
	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may	2	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like
2	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.	2 3	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last
2 3	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.	2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the
2 3 4	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?	2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which
2 3 4 5	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And
2 3 4 5 6 7	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: But I know that Mr. Sullivan is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court. The decision eventually was made based on the lack
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: But I know that Mr. Sullivan is available on the 5th and 6th.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court. The decision eventually was made based on the lack of compliance with the master plan and at the end, the Court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: But I know that Mr. Sullivan is available on the 5th and 6th.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And the dates you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court. The decision eventually was made based on the lack of compliance with the master plan and at the end, the Court of Special Appeals held that because we hold that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: But I know that Mr. Sullivan is available on the 5th and 6th.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And the dates you referred to, the 14th, 19th and 21, although you didn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court. The decision eventually was made based on the lack of compliance with the master plan and at the end, the Court of Special Appeals held that because we and consistent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report. MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters? MR. ROSENFELD: Um MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact. MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5? MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. HARRIS: But I know that Mr. Sullivan is available on the 5th and 6th. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And the dates you referred to, the 14th, 19th and 21, although you didn't identify, are in November.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court. The decision eventually was made based on the lack of compliance with the master plan and at the end, the Court of Special Appeals held that because we hold that the Board's finding that the proposed use was not consistent with the master plan was fairly debatable and supported by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>were filed with planning staff, that's where they may reside, in the attachments to the technical staff report.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: Oh, thank you.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Any other preliminary matters?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Um</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld?</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Mr. Grossman, I've spoken</li> <li>with Dr. Cole and he has depositions scheduled and a hearing in another matter out of state and is unavailable to testify on the 14th or the 19th or the 21st. He's going to be elsewhere. He is available to testify on December 5th and 6th, so I just wanted to make you and Costco aware of that fact.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Is that December 5?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That's acceptable but all that means, obviously, is petitioner's rebuttal case won't occur on the 5th then and who knows about the 6th. That was our last day of hearing scheduled.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: But I know that Mr. Sullivan is available on the 5th and 6th.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And the dates you referred to, the 14th, 19th and 21, although you didn't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: Before I start though, I would like to point out that there was a brief discussion at the last hearing about the result of a Court of Appeals of one of the decision that I had put in which had been Exhibit 299 which was S-2476, the Cloverly, proposed station at Cloverly. And I had stated the decision affirmed the Board of Appeals' position. Ms. Harris stated that it could have been reversed on need. I did get the Court of Special Appeals decision. I think what would be most accurate, and we will go ahead and put the decision in the record, is to say that the Circuit Court reversed on the need. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, it states that Safeway did not focus on the reasons on which the Circuit Court agreed with it. The Court of Appeals said Safeway was unjustified in disregarding those two additional grounds relied upon by the Board because we are required to conduct our own review of the Board's decision independent of any decision made by the Circuit Court. The decision eventually was made based on the lack of compliance with the master plan and at the end, the Court of Special Appeals held that because we and consistent

	Page 14	Page	e 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	other reasons the Board gave for denial of the special appeal, special exception were valid. So I think the accurate description is that those, there was no ruling by the court one way or the other on, on the need issue so. MR. GROSSMAN: They punted. MS. CORDRY: They punted, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's the citation to that case? MS. CORDRY: It is an unreported decision and I will give it to you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: The number is 250375 but in any case, I will give it to you so you have the decision in the record. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, it's unreported by the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland. It's Case No. 1693, September term 2004, Safeway, Inc. v. Montgomery County, Maryland, filed December 13th, 2005. I do have an extra. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll make it an exhibit. Usually, unreported decisions are usually not considered to be precedential. I don't know whether or not, what the effect of that would be for this particular decision given that it's, it is just affirming a Board of	<ul> <li>MS. CORDRY: So to resume where I was in</li> <li>testimony, I was at the point where I was noting that jus</li> <li>about every need report that I've seen in Mr. Flynn's rep</li> <li>in this case with no exception had included some discussi</li> <li>about future job growth, population growth, the suggest</li> <li>that therefore, there will be continued additional need for</li> <li>gasoline which will create additional demand for a static</li> <li>to be able to fill.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And what I'm going to discuss is t</li> <li>fact that we are kind of at a really dramatic inflection</li> <li>point, that that no longer appears to be the case and that</li> <li>the demand is going in a different direction, and I have and the looked at, I'm sorry, Exhibit 305 wh</li> <li>was the energy outlook for 2012, at that point, it looked</li> <li>have somewhat of a decline out for about a dozen years</li> <li>more and then a small increase out to 2035, so a very,</li> <li>very minimal change over the next 23 years.</li> <li>But even that number has changed again becaus</li> <li>that was done at a point where the new, as I started to</li> <li>mention, the CAFE standards, which are the Corporate Aver</li> </ul>	t ont ion or on he at a ich to or a e age
	Appeals ruling so.	<ul><li>24 Fuel Economy standards, had been proposed but had not</li><li>25 come into effect. And they did become effective in the f</li></ul>	
	Page 15	Page	17
			11
1			
2	MS. CORDRY: I'm not sure it's precedential one way or the other.	<ol> <li>of 2012 and have included a fairly dramatic rise in what</li> <li>required of car mileage, gasoline usage over time. And</li> </ol>	is
	MS. CORDRY: I'm not sure it's precedential one	1 of 2012 and have included a fairly dramatic rise in what	is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: I'm not sure it's precedential one way or the other. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	<ol> <li>of 2012 and have included a fairly dramatic rise in what</li> <li>required of car mileage, gasoline usage over time. And</li> <li>the</li> </ol>	is also wn, AFE ne e . I ing ate

	Page 18		Page 20
	at that point because these reports don't include in their		standards for LDVs, which is light duty vehicles which
	actual, what they call the reference case, the baseline		includes cars and light trucks, pick-up trucks and so forth,
	case, standards, changes, regulations, statutes that have		which increases the projected fuel economy of new LDVs to
4	not yet gone into effect. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	4	47.3 miles per gallon in 2025. The, if you turn to page 4-, 4 and 5, starting at
6	MS. CORDRY: They may discuss them but they're not	-	the right-hand corner of 4, it starts with more efficient
	in the referenced case.		light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines
8	MR. GROSSMAN: I think we also, just for the		while diesel fuel use grows, so on and so forth, but the
9	record, ought to say what the acronym CAFE stands for.	9	
10	MS. CORDRY: That's Corporate Average Fuel Economy	10	puts it in terms of quadrillion BTUs declining and it shows
11	standards. So the corporate fleet. The average economy	11	that it continues to decline through 2036 and then levels
12	standards for cars and light trucks as a whole.	12	off until 2039 as growth and population. Vehicle's miles
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		traveled finally begins to offset the lesser increases in
14	MS. CORDRY: Okay. So the pages I have put in		fuel efficiency at that point, but it does not increase. It
	there from the 2012 report are the ones that discuss the		simply levels off at that point at a lower level than what
16	proposed, the energy impacts of proposed standards and what		it was, well below where it has been in current years.
	those might accomplish so there's a few pages there that discuss that and they, it shows on page 30 a fairly	17 18	
19	significant difference between, a chart with this figure 24		at the same thing you are, you're talking about the dashed
	between the economy with or without the CAFE standards.		line on the first chart on page 4?
21	MR. GROSSMAN: This is page of which exhibit are	21	
22	you looking at?	22	charts are there. It's the language, in this particular
23	MS. CORDRY: 305.	23	case, the language in the bottom right-hand corner. It says
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	24	with more efficient light duty vehicle use, motor gasoline
25	MS. CORDRY: Okay. So then the next exhibit that	25	consumption declines.
	Page 10		Page 21
	Page 19		Page 21
	we would be looking at would be the following year's report	1	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty
2	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would	2	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel
2 3	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded
2 3	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It
2 3 4	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325?	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for
2 3 4 5 6	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It
2 3 4 5 6	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle
2 3 4 5 6 7	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this one came out, for instance, April 2013 so it's been about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks, SUVs, that kind of thing. It's as opposed to tractor trailers and heavy duty vehicles of that nature.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this one came out, for instance, April 2013 so it's been about six months ago at this point. So if we start on page ii and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks, SUVs, that kind of thing. It's as opposed to tractor trailers and heavy duty vehicles of that nature. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this one came out, for instance, April 2013 so it's been about six months ago at this point. So if we start on page ii and iii, the summary there refers to these CAFE standards which were proposed in the fall of October 2012 and have now, had gone into effect by this point and references those as now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks, SUVs, that kind of thing. It's as opposed to tractor trailers and heavy duty vehicles of that nature. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So figure 6 shows a decline in gasoline usage there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this one came out, for instance, April 2013 so it's been about six months ago at this point. So if we start on page ii and iii, the summary there refers to these CAFE standards which were proposed in the fall of October 2012 and have now, had gone into effect by this point and references those as now going into its reference case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks, SUVs, that kind of thing. It's as opposed to tractor trailers and heavy duty vehicles of that nature. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So figure 6 shows a decline in gasoline usage there. MR. GROSSMAN: Figure 6. Okay. Hold on a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this one came out, for instance, April 2013 so it's been about six months ago at this point. So if we start on page ii and iii, the summary there refers to these CAFE standards which were proposed in the fall of October 2012 and have now, had gone into effect by this point and references those as now going into its reference case. There is, it's about two-thirds a way down on iii	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks, SUVs, that kind of thing. It's as opposed to tractor trailers and heavy duty vehicles of that nature. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So figure 6 shows a decline in gasoline usage there. MR. GROSSMAN: Figure 6. Okay. Hold on a second. MS. CORDRY: Which is on page 5 there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we would be looking at would be the following year's report now that these standards have come into effect. This would be one that's marked annual, labeled Annual Energy Outlook 2013 which I guess the next exhibit number would be 325? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Ms. Adelman is going to continue to assist me with passing out the exhibits. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This will be Annual Energy Outlook 2013, will be Exhibit 325. (Exhibit No. 325 was marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this is the same kind of document that Mr. Flynn had discussed the earlier version of in his report, and his report has not updated for any of these later reports after the 2012 report. Right. These of course did come out in 2013 but of course, that was this one came out, for instance, April 2013 so it's been about six months ago at this point. So if we start on page ii and iii, the summary there refers to these CAFE standards which were proposed in the fall of October 2012 and have now, had gone into effect by this point and references those as now going into its reference case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: With more efficient light duty vehicles, motor gasoline consumption declines while diesel fuel grows. Is that, the bolded MS. CORDRY: Right. We'll start with that. It says the reference case incorporates these standards for LDVs through the 2025 years. The increase in vehicle efficiency reduces LDVs from 16.1 quadrillion BTU in 2011 to 14 quadrillion BTU in 2025. LDV use continues to decline through 2036, then levels off until 2039, and that's actually shown on the following page in figure 6 it refers to showing that MR. GROSSMAN: But is light duty vehicles, does that include all of the cars that are expected at the, at the gas station or is this, is this MS. CORDRY: That includes cars and the light duty vehicles are cars and like I said, pick-up trucks, SUVs, that kind of thing. It's as opposed to tractor trailers and heavy duty vehicles of that nature. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So figure 6 shows a decline in gasoline usage there. MR. GROSSMAN: Figure 6. Okay. Hold on a second. MS. CORDRY: Which is on page 5 there. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.

	Page 22		Page 24
1	the, that chart there that shows motor gasoline.	1	what I said was the definition of Exhibit 325 and just say
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So your essential point here		it's excerpts from the Annual Energy Outlook 2013 by the
3	is that this undermines Mr. Flynn's projection that there		U.S. Energy Information Administration.
4	will be an increase in gasoline consumption over the future	4	MS. CORDRY: That's correct. That's correct.
5	years.	5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
6	MS. CORDRY: What Mr. Flynn referred to, the 2012	6	MS. CORDRY: I trust no one really wants to know
7	report, which showed that very small increase starting in	7	the great details of
	2025 going out through 2035, the updated projection now	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it was thick enough I thought
9	shows with the CAFE standards in effect that that projection		it was the whole thing.
	is no longer valid.	10	MS. CORDRY: No, no, no. Every document in this
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		case seems to be several hundred pages long. So we have
12	MS. CORDRY: So pages 8 to 10 discuss the CAFE		tried to pull out the relevant pages
	standards in substantially more detail, but they require	13	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.
	the, the gasoline mileage to continue to rise each year. It	14	
	talks about passenger cars and light duty trucks at the		through those. Page 35 begins to have discussion about vehicle miles traveled, noting that of course projected fuel
	bottom of page 8. The first phase includes standards, estimates will result in a fleet-wide average of 40.3 miles		use is directly proportional to the vehicle miles traveled,
	per gallon in year 2021. And then the second phase covering		can be influenced by policy attempts to reduce miles, it can
	years 2022 through 2025 requires additional improvements		be affected by market factors, demography, consumer
	leading to a fleet-wide average of 48.7 miles per gallon for		preferences. Talks about it may grow when the driving age
	light duty vehicles in model year 2025.		population is growing, if economic activity is robust, if
22	MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry, Ms. Cordry. Which page		fuel prices are moderate and so forth. So those are a
23	are you on?		variety of considerations they take into effect when they're
24	MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 8 here.		making these, these projections.
25	MR. ROSENFELD: Thank you.	25	The next paragraph down talks about alternative
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Page 23 MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last	1	Page 25 models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the
	-	2	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for
2 3	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The	2 3	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard
2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for	2 3 4	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move
2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these	2 3 4 5	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars.
2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for	2 3 4 5 6	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to	2 3 4 5 6 7	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35. MS. CORDRY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline projection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: So the exhibit is actually excerpts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline projection. Page 70 under, figure 73, it talks about light
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: So the exhibit is actually excerpts from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline projection. Page 70 under, figure 73, it talks about light duty vehicles that use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: So the exhibit is actually excerpts from the MS. CORDRY: Yes. This is probably a several	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline projection. Page 70 under, figure 73, it talks about light duty vehicles that use MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to take these two things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: So the exhibit is actually excerpts from the MS. CORDRY: Yes. This is probably a several hundred page document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline projection. Page 70 under, figure 73, it talks about light duty vehicles that use MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to take these two things together. The idea is that the demand for personal vehicles
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: At the bottom there. The last paragraph on that page. And it discusses over fairly good detail exactly how those standards work and so forth. The main point being here simply that this is the basis for their changes in the referenced projections because these standards will continue to rise corporate requirements for cars each year and of course, that will continue to propagate out as cars, older cars are retired and newer cars continue to be bought after the 2025 year. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Page 35, it then begins to discuss vehicle miles traveled. And this becomes a very important piece because it's not there's two components going on here. One is for every mile traveled, what's the gasoline mileage, the miles per gallon. But then also, if you're reducing miles themselves, then that multiplies the effect of the gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I see we jumped from page 10 to 35. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: So the exhibit is actually excerpts from the MS. CORDRY: Yes. This is probably a several	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	models of travel could affect vehicle miles, travel to the degree that they substitute other travel services for personal light duty vehicles. Just the sort of standard discussion that we use throughout here about trying to move people to transit and away from cars. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: I'm not quite done with that one yet. Page 69 again shows the effects of these average fuel mile economy changes, figure 71 there. And then figure 72 shows the projection that vehicle miles will continue to grow but more slowly than in the past. As they noted, from 1970 to 2007, this would be under, it's on page 69 under figure 72, it talks about vehicle miles traveled grew at a rate of about 1 percent per year during that period but it then declined because among other things, increasing fuel prices in the economic recession, so but they have now moderated that to show projected growth of only .3 percent per year remaining below the 2007 level until 2029 and then growing slowly back out to 2040. That's their current baseline projection. Page 70 under, figure 73, it talks about light duty vehicles that use MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to take these two things

	Page 26		Page 28
1	personal vehicles will decline slightly as	1	that time.
2	MS. CORDRY: Well, as you will see, it declines	2	Table B-2 gives you a couple of alternative
3	significantly. We have 16 it suggests that even with all	3	projections. It shows the reference case for the years
	of the, if you go back to page 4, that even with all the		2020, 2030 and 2040.
5	growth of population over the period from 2010 through 2040,	5	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. Where do you see8?
	there's still a projected drop from 16 to 14 quadrillion	6	
7	BTUs so about, let's see, one-eighth, about a 12-and-a-half	7	by mode. There's a third on page 136.
8	percent drop overall even with the growing capacity of, the	8	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm looking at 136.
9	growing population there.	9	-
10	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	10	
11	MS. CORDRY: And that is to say is a reference	11	MS. CORDRY: Light duty vehicles. If you go
12	case at this point. Again, the point being that vehicle	12	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see0.8. I see.
13	miles traveled is growing much more slowly than it had in	13	MS. CORDRY: Right.
14	the past while the fuel economy is growing much faster than	14	MR. GROSSMAN: The first line.
15	in the past so	15	MS. CORDRY: Right. Exactly. For the sum total
16	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.	16	of the going up and coming down, it's a -0.8 percent
17	MS. CORDRY: So we are getting ahead of the curve		projection.
18	thankfully.	18	
19	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	19	
20	MS. CORDRY: And page 80 changed it from	20	but it does a couple of very, it does a set of variations in
21	quadrillion BTU, which is a little unwieldy number to think	21	that one is with no economic growth, high economic growth
22	about, it changed it into barrels per day on page 80. The	22	and the reference case in the middle there. The line we're
23	paragraph below figure 93, the middle paragraph there says	23	using here, the meaningful line there, it's on page 164
24	motor gasoline consumption drops by approximately 1.6	24	under transportation at the top of page 164.
25	million barrels per day from 2011 to 2040 in the referenced	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
	Page 27		Page 29
1	Page 27 case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but	1	
	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here.		-
2 3	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the,		MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
2 3 4	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will	2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This
2 3 4 5	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49	2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number.
2 3 4 5 6	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could,	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 325. This shows their very summary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that. MS. CORDRY: Okay. And then table C-2 is the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 325. This shows their very summary baseline case. It shows key indicators showing vehicle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that. MS. CORDRY: Okay. And then table C-2 is the same kind of a relative change numbers only this time it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 325. This shows their very summary baseline case. It shows key indicators showing vehicle miles traveled, showing, under energy efficiency	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that. MS. CORDRY: Okay. And then table C-2 is the same kind of a relative change numbers only this time it's looking at oil prices, variations in oil price where again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 325. This shows their very summary baseline case. It shows key indicators showing vehicle miles traveled, showing, under energy efficiency indications, growth in the CAFE standard and then energy use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that. MS. CORDRY: Okay. And then table C-2 is the same kind of a relative change numbers only this time it's looking at oil prices, variations in oil price where again, low oil prices should drive up consumption and high oil
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 325. This shows their very summary baseline case. It shows key indicators showing vehicle miles traveled, showing, under energy efficiency indications, growth in the CAFE standard and then energy use by mode under light duty vehicles, sort of the bottom line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that. MS. CORDRY: Okay. And then table C-2 is the same kind of a relative change numbers only this time it's looking at oil prices, variations in oil price where again, low oil prices should drive up consumption and high oil prices, as we all know, drives consumption down, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	case. Diesel is increasing, natural gas is increasing but neither of those have anything to do with the station here. Page 84, under figure 102, discusses that the, again, that the more stringent efficiency standards will require them to average, cars to average approximately 49 miles per gallon and increased use of ethanol, this could, this combination contributes to decline in consumption of motor gasoline and that motor gasoline consumption falls despite an increase in vehicle miles traveled over the projection period. And the last pages here are some charts that they have done showing the, two, the I guess it's three charts all together here. Yeah. Three charts. One shows their basic reference case. This is on page 136. MR. GROSSMAN: Page 136 of? MS. CORDRY: Of the same, still the same exhibit here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 325. This shows their very summary baseline case. It shows key indicators showing vehicle miles traveled, showing, under energy efficiency indications, growth in the CAFE standard and then energy use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: And you have motor gasoline usage there. It's about the third line down. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. CORDRY: It starts with 16.31. Yeah. This quadrillion BTU again number. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: And what it shows, the middle number is the reference case under each year. So 14.88 in 2020, 12.01 in, I'm sorry, 13.06 in 2030 and 12.64 in 2040. But the numbers at either side say if you have low economic growth, as you would expect, the number goes down more. If you have high economic growth, its assumption is that there will be more usage of cars and gasoline. But if you go out to 2040, regardless of the case, even with high economic growth, it's still showing a drop of 16.31 to 13.61, the farthest line over there on that, the farthest column over there. MR. GROSSMAN: I see that. MS. CORDRY: Okay. And then table C-2 is the same kind of a relative change numbers only this time it's looking at oil prices, variations in oil price where again, low oil prices should drive up consumption and high oil prices, as we all know, drives consumption down, not surprisingly. And again

	Page 30		Page 32
1	prices if I have direct figures on gasoline consumption,	1	all-time high.
	isn't that true?	2	
3	MS. CORDRY: Well, what I'm saying is this it's	3	
_	using it saying okay, if oil prices are higher or lower,	-	the University of Michigan Transportation Research
	what would the effect be on our reference case. So just as		Institute.
	high economic growth and low economic growth. And again,	6	MS. ADELMAN: So the next one is Environmental
7	the assumption is that again, it's the third line under	7	News Service, is that right?
8	transportation, 16.31. Again, the reference case would be	8	MS. CORDRY: Yes. And the third one is the NBC
9	12.64 but with low oil prices, even with low oil prices,	9	News report, the environmental news service
10	it's assuming in 2040 that it would still be down to 13.85	10	MR. GROSSMAN: You gave me two copies of this last
11	so	11	one.
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	12	
13	MS. CORDRY: Under every variation of this, they	13	5
	assume there can be a substantial drop in gasoline		February of 2013, the second one dates April of 2013, and
	consumption.		the third one is September of 2013.
16	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I understand. Well-	16	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So these are
	made.		essentially press releases?
18	MS. CORDRY: Okay. So done with that exhibit. So	18	MS. CORDRY: Press releases or one, the first one
	the question becomes okay, these are projections. Mr. Flynn, immediately after saying these were the gold		is a press release, the second one is a news report, the third one is, again, an NBC News article. Each one
	standards, then when he was asked to look at the fact the	20	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So three
	projections were going down, said I disagree with them	22	-
	because they're just projections. Okay. But they are made	23	
	by the people who have the most effective way of knowing	24	
	what they're doing with this presumably which is this is		in about the fuel economy.
			·
	Page 31		Page 33
1		1	
	Page 31 their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.	1	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c).
	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on	2	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c).
2	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new
2 3 4	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope.	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in
2 3 4 5 6	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four
2 3 4 5 6	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay.</li> <li>The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay.</li> <li>The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay.</li> <li>The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each as three separate exhibits or we could label them as one.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from. MR. GROSSMAN: Fuel economy at high.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay.</li> <li>The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each as three separate exhibits or we could label them as one. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's do it as one, different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from. MR. GROSSMAN: Fuel economy at high. (Exhibit No. 326(a) was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each as three separate exhibits or we could label them as one. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's do it as one, different parts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from. MR. GROSSMAN: Fuel economy at high. (Exhibit No. 326(a) was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each as three separate exhibits or we could label them as one. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's do it as one, different parts. MS. ADELMAN: What are they called?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from. MR. GROSSMAN: Fuel economy at high. (Exhibit No. 326(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And then the next one is 326(b).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each as three separate exhibits or we could label them as one. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's do it as one, different parts. MS. ADELMAN: What are they called? MS. CORDRY: It's the one that says fuel economy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from. MR. GROSSMAN: Fuel economy at high. (Exhibit No. 326(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And then the next one is 326(b). MS. CORDRY: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their job, this is all they do and now that they're back on the payroll, hopefully, they'll go back to doing it again. MR. GROSSMAN: One would hope. MS. CORDRY: One would hope. Okay. So the question is are these new standards actually working, are people buying new cars, is the ratio going up. And I actually have, I probably won't put it, I have a chart that's year by year by year, the same kind of numbers there but I don't know that we need those. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think we need that, right. MS. CORDRY: Okay. We will let that go. Okay. The question is are we in fact having an effect there, and the bad news is we just had a recession. The good news is that we have a lot, that created a lot of pent up demand for new cars just at the point where the gas mileage standards were going up. So I have exhibits here that talk about current changes in gas mileage, and we can label these each as three separate exhibits or we could label them as one. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's do it as one, different parts. MS. ADELMAN: What are they called? MS. CORDRY: It's the one that says fuel economy now at all-time high. It's pretty short.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: 326(a), (b) and (c). MS. CORDRY: Right. These are talking about new vehicle purchases that the first one, for instance, in February 2013, says that there's been an increase of four miles per gallon from just five years ago. MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I just have to list these MS. CORDRY: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: what they are. So the first one is a February 2013, you said that's a press release? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Press release of what was the name of the organization? MS. CORDRY: It's University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. There are some web links at the bottom there for where this is coming from. MR. GROSSMAN: Fuel economy at high. (Exhibit No. 326(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And then the next one is 326(b). MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And that is also a press release

	Page 34		Page 36
1	Thy are part of the press as opposed to a press release to	1	there well, actually, the point is these are certain
2	them.		cases. What I can show you is that, what I was going to
3	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. This is dated 4/30/13.	3	also show you is that the, this is probably the most
4	MS. CORDRY: Correct.	4	pessimistic version here is this degree of decrease in gas
5	MR. GROSSMAN: 4/30/13 release of Environmental	5	mileage. There is in fact considerable evidence that it
6	News Service, car buyers embrace new fuel standards.	6	will go down even more than that which is what the rest of
7	(Exhibit No. 326(b) was marked	7	these are.
8	for identification.)	8	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. What about that, Ms.
9	MR. GROSSMAN: And 326(c) is a September 10, 2013		Harris? Do you challenge these estimates that fuel
	NBC News statement that oil fuel efficiency hits record		consumption for gasoline is going to decline at the rates
	high.		indicated in the federal energy projection in Exhibit 325?
12	(Exhibit No. 326(c) was marked	12	MR. GOECKE: Right. I think that, Mr. Grossman,
13	for identification.)		we've seen some documentation that would suggest otherwise.
14	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		Mr. Flynn certainly testified about this. Obviously, there
15	MS. CORDRY: Okay. And so the second one		are documents that project that that is what's going to
	indicates, it's a discussion of fact that in fact, there is a great deal of consumer demand for these. The people are		
	very satisfied with the new standards, that they support	17 18	We don't know what's going to happen but that MR. GROSSMAN: No. Obviously, nobody can be
	them, that they are looking to get more fuel efficient cars.		credited with always accurately foretelling the future.
	They're very happy with that.	20	MR. GOECKE: Right.
21	And the third one updates the increase in the fuel	21	MR. GROSSMAN: You have contrary evidence as to
	economy through, from October 2010 through 20, through		the future of gasoline fuel demand?
	August of 2013. At that point, that was 24.7 miles per	23	MS. HARRIS: Some of the materials that haven't
	gallon so there's another couple of tenths of a mile per		been submitted into the record but that Ms. Cordry provided
	gallon		suggest somewhat the speculative nature of the projections
	Page 35		Page 37
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	1	because it is dependent on so many different factors
2	MS. CORDRY: up in just a few months.	2	including the economy, including the fact that the aging
3	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. But is there anything	3	demographic, that while baby boomers are aging out of the
4	else on this point about fuel economy and fuel consumption	4	top
	in the future because I think that you've made this point	5	5
	sufficiently.	6	MS. HARRIS: percentage of buying gas, you have
7	MS. CORDRY: Well, actually		a whole group of millennials that are coming into that group
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.		and their behavior, while it may be doing, have a certain
9	MS. CORDRY: Yes. I have quite a bit more that I		way of behavior, behaving in their 20s and 30s, that could
	want to put in because I think this is really a critical		dramatically change in their 40s or 50s when gas consumption
	point in our testimony, that it's not just that it's going		is typically the highest for those, for that demographic,
	down but that as it goes down, it indicates, again, as we've		so I'm uncomfortable stipulating to it.
	made the point, this is not, there's not a growth area. This is a point where every bit of growth is going to be	13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So I'd be happy to put this in there
	taking away from other existing stations so you're pulling		and if they actually, if they want to make arguments from
	away from a diffuse model where any impacts and pollution		this, I think even those papers suggest that there's no
	and so forth are spread around and you're pulling existing		reason to believe that the numbers are
	and decreasing gas consumption into a single area that is	18	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to let you proceed.
	being	19	MS. CORDRY: All right.
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, but I mean, haven't you,	20	MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't want us to waste a lot of
	haven't you established, unless I see anything to the		time on a point that wasn't actually controverted, that is
	contrary from the other side, haven't you established that		that there is this projection. I'm not sure ultimately how
23	point to a fare-thee-well?		it all, you know, how much it determines the determination
24	MS. CORDRY: Well, I don't know. If they're going	24	of need but it clearly is a factor so.
25	to stipulate to that. I think you were also asking was	25	MS. CORDRY: Right. Well, again

	Page 38		Page 40
1	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	1	MR. GOECKE: She actually did.
2	MS. CORDRY: if other need analyses rely on the	2	MS. CORDRY: I said did they want to stipulate to
3	fact that demand, that the demand for gasoline, the need for	3	that as being a point but what I was going to say, that is
	the purchasing is going up as a factor to showing need, I		the most pessimistic view of where things they were
	think it's critically important for us to be able to show		projecting, for instance, a .7 percent growth rate. It's
	that the need for gasoline is going down and that there is		already dropped below that. You know, I can try to go
	not a need for it.	7	through these very quickly but to suggest that that's the
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand your point,	8	maximum decline that we would be talking about understates
9	and I didn't want to cut you off prematurely. I just didn't	9	the evidence and understates what we would be prepared to
10	want to have us gild the lily too much when we, if it's a	10	put in.
11	point that wasn't really contested.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I mean
12	MS. CORDRY: Right.	12	MS. CORDRY: I will try to
13	MR. GROSSMAN: But go ahead with your other	13	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to let you put it in
14	MS. CORDRY: I will move through the rest of these	14	because I don't want to limit.
15	fairly quickly, as fast as I can. The next one, 327, is	15	MS. CORDRY: Right.
	again current volume trends. It's a three-page document.	16	MR. GROSSMAN: I think it's fair and relevant but
17	MS. ADELMAN: Travel monitoring?	17	
18	MS. CORDRY: Yes.		that's not going to make a difference because I have to tell
19	MS. HARRIS: Excuse me, Mr. Grossman. Actually,		you that, that it seems to me that the most reliable
20	can we spend five minutes to discuss whether we would be		standards that I can apply here are not going to be the more
21			extreme projections of some people but rather, the Annual
22	handle it.		Energy Outlook from 2013.
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. You mean you want a break?	23	MS. CORDRY: I understand but
24	MS. HARRIS: Yes.	24	MR. GROSSMAN: So I think
25	MR. GOECKE: Please.	25	MS. CORDRY: I understand.
	Page 39		Page 41
1	Page 39 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	1	Page 41 MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it
1 2			
	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay.	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those.
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25.	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you.	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Exhibit 325.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from the federal government.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Exhibit 325. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from the federal government. MS. CORDRY: Well, okay. I think you can also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Exhibit 325. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I think that eliminates the need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from the federal government. MS. CORDRY: Well, okay. I think you can also rely on state projections. I think you can also rely on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Exhibit 325. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I think that eliminates the need to MS. CORDRY: Actually, it really doesn't, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from the federal government. MS. CORDRY: Well, okay. I think you can also rely on state projections. I think you can also rely on evidence that indicates that it's already dropping below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Exhibit 325. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I think that eliminates the need to MS. CORDRY: Actually, it really doesn't, Your Honor, because I did not say, I did not say I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from the federal government. MS. CORDRY: Well, okay. I think you can also rely on state projections. I think you can also rely on evidence that indicates that it's already dropping below those numbers and so forth so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll come back at 25. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We'll break for five minutes. (Whereupon, at 10:29 a.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: So have, has the petitioner decided as to whether or not it wishes to stipulate that there will be a decrease in demand for gasoline, or let's put it this way, stipulate that the projections in the federal Annual Energy Outlook of 2013, as reflected in Exhibit 325, are a reasonable projection of the future? MS. HARRIS: Yes. In the spirit of efficiency and given the fact actually that Costco itself relies on those CAFE standards and evaluates them in their market analysis, we'd stipulate to the projections set forth in MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 325? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Exhibit 325. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I think that eliminates the need to MS. CORDRY: Actually, it really doesn't, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: you can assess whether or not it makes sense to take a lot of time going through those. MS. CORDRY: But if but I will, I will show you that those, again, are the most cautious projections, that other people who are not just people MR. GROSSMAN: I know but that's what I'm MS. CORDRY: The state, for instance is making projections and so forth and those go into its analysis and so forth so I would, I would just let me go through this as quickly as possible. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. CORDRY: And I will deal with this. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. I know you put in a lot of time with this. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And I understand that and I can, I can appreciate that but we don't want to waste a lot of time on a point that is not going to affect what my analysis is because I'm going to rely on the Annual Energy Outlook from the federal government. MS. CORDRY: Well, okay. I think you can also rely on state projections. I think you can also rely on evidence that indicates that it's already dropping below

	Page 42		Page 44
1	MS. CORDRY: Since Mr. Flynn wouldn't rely on it,	1	about these issues. He's an advisor of perspectives like,
	I, you know, anyway. Let me just try to go through this as		I'll try to give you some more background on him at some
	quickly as possible.		point if need be but
4	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	4	
5	MS. CORDRY: Because I think all of this is really	5	Doug Short.
6	important for our case. The next one would be from, this is	6	MS. CORDRY: But I'm mostly putting it in for his
7	the Federal Highway Administration. It's a three-page	7	charts which show the drop off in per capita, changes over
8	document that shows that year-to-date, from June 2013	8	time and
9	compared to June 2012, mileage in the South Atlantic area	9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Exhibit 328 is Doug
10	which includes Maryland dropped by .5 percent. It didn't go	10	Short's
11	up.	11	MS. CORDRY: And he is deriving these from things
12	MS. ADELMAN: Is this vehicle miles?	12	like the Energy Information Administration and so forth.
13	MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's this one. No, no. It's	13	MR. GROSSMAN: Doug Short's 8/23/13 projection
14	this one.	14	of
15	MS. ADELMAN: And what's that exhibit number?	15	MS. CORDRY: And he indicates the
16	MR. GROSSMAN: We haven't given it an exhibit	16	MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on.
17	number.	17	MS. CORDRY: official publications he's drawing
18	MS. CORDRY: 327.	18	the numbers from.
19	MR. GROSSMAN: 327.	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Projection of vehicle miles driven.
20	MS. CORDRY: It's labeled travel monitoring and	20	MS. CORDRY: There's actually two articles so it
21	travel volumes.	21	
22	MR. GROSSMAN: This is what state is this?	22	
23	MS. CORDRY: This is, this is a national	23	MR. GROSSMAN: This is all part of 328?
	prediction. I am trying to also draw down from national	24	
25	to	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Let's see, 328. I see. All right.
	Doro 42		
	Page 43		Page 45
1	-	1	-
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office		So that's (a).
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info.	1 2 3	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked
	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked	2	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.)
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.)	2 3	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked	2 3 4	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this	2 3 4 5	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show?	2 3 4 5 6	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is two articles discussing, again, the vehicle miles driven and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was accompanied by a significant drop in sales volume. With the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is two articles discussing, again, the vehicle miles driven and gasoline volume sales, discussing the changes, the per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was accompanied by a significant drop in sales volume. With the official end of the recession in June 2009, sales reverse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is two articles discussing, again, the vehicle miles driven and gasoline volume sales, discussing the changes, the per capita changes, the likelihood that these are simply due to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was accompanied by a significant drop in sales volume. With the official end of the recession in June 2009, sales reverse direction, dot, dot, dot, slightly, hit an interim high in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is two articles discussing, again, the vehicle miles driven and gasoline volume sales, discussing the changes, the per capita changes, the likelihood that these are simply due to the recession and suggesting that they aren't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was accompanied by a significant drop in sales volume. With the official end of the recession in June 2009, sales reverse direction, dot, dot, slightly, hit an interim high in November 2010 and then resumed contraction. The moving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is two articles discussing, again, the vehicle miles driven and gasoline volume sales, discussing the changes, the per capita changes, the likelihood that these are simply due to the recession and suggesting that they aren't. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is Doug Short?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was accompanied by a significant drop in sales volume. With the official end of the recession in June 2009, sales reverse direction, dot, dot, dot, slightly, hit an interim high in November 2010 and then resumed contraction. The moving average for the latest month is about 7.7 percent below the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. This is the Federal Office of Highway policy info. (Exhibit No. 327 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what does this show? MS. CORDRY: This shows a year-to-date, over June 2013 to June 2012, that vehicle mileage declined in the regional area. Declined overall nationally but particularly in the region, the South Atlantic regional area, it declined by .5 percent so it's not a small increase, it's a decrease. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The second page shows the 12 month average on, on driving and again shows this dramatic drop and the, the stagnation over the last several years rather than any kind of growth. And the third page just shows actually, actual mileage year-to-date. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Year over year. The next on, 328, is two articles discussing, again, the vehicle miles driven and gasoline volume sales, discussing the changes, the per capita changes, the likelihood that these are simply due to the recession and suggesting that they aren't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So that's (a). (Exhibit No. 328(a) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: 328(b) is Doug Short's 8/21/13 projection of gas, gasoline volume sales. (Exhibit No. 328(b) was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And let's see what Mr. Short says. What do you interpret the meaning of his charts on the second MS. CORDRY: Well, those are showing okay. The second page. Those are MR. GROSSMAN: It says gas, per capita gasoline sales versus price per gallon. MS. CORDRY: Right. So he's showing, in that particular one, that is not, it's not just correlated to the rising price or drop-off of gasoline sales. For instance, below there he says the rapid rise in gasoline prices, this would be on page 2 of the second report there, was accompanied by a significant drop in sales volume. With the official end of the recession in June 2009, sales reverse direction, dot, dot, dot, slightly, hit an interim high in November 2010 and then resumed contraction. The moving

	Page 46		Page 48
1	interim high. The latest data point is a level achieved 15	1	those go into the lack of need, the declining use and the
	years ago in June of 1998.		fact that any gasoline usage in this station area is going
3	MR. GROSSMAN: What exactly are these squiggly	3	to be drawn from existing stations rather than being drawn
4	lines showing me on the next to the last page in Exhibit	4	from any kind of growth, that it will exacerbate the
5	328(b)? It's hard for me to I can't read the key very	5	tendency to put other stations out of business that
6	well. The key doesn't, doesn't show me. I see the dots are	6	currently provide benefits to the proportion of the
7	sales. Then the, it looks like it may be an unbroken line,	7	population that cannot benefit from the Costco station.
8	and I can't tell which is the unbroken line on the chart, is	8	MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, in fairness, you want me to
	the 12 month of sales and then the real price per gallon is		engage in an analysis that goes three steps back from what
	another one. Which is the real price per gallon on this	10	the cause is of an apparent decline in the future of
11	chart?		gasoline sales when it's not necessary or even advisable for
12	MS. CORDRY: Okay. The real price per gallon is		me to engage in that kind of analysis. The only impact in
	the, I think the number there that shows the huge variation		terms of need would be on the projection of whether or not
	there, the		there's going to be a decline in gasoline sales. I don't
15	MR. GROSSMAN: The really big valleys and peaks?		really, I don't care in terms of my analysis why that might
16	MS. CORDRY: Right. Right.		happen.
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's the real price per	17	MS. CORDRY: But if it's, if it's happening because, for instance, and this is one of the things that a
18	gallon is going up in terms of a projection into the future whereas the	18 19	lot of these articles are discussing, has the recent peaking
20	MS. CORDRY: Well, it went up and then it's kind	20	and dropping off of gasoline sales is just simply a fact of
	of been stabilizing around 350 for a while, and it shows		the fact that we went through a recession and as soon as we
	some recessions or		come out of the recession, it will all be find and we'll go
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Actually, it's a, it's not a		back to having lots of cars and need will go up. If that's
-	projection. It's showing what's		the answer, then this is simply a temporary thing and
25	MS. CORDRY: That is		there's no point in worrying about it and we'll all go back
	Page 47		Page 49
1	Page 47 MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past.	1	Page 49 to driving miles and miles and miles.
1	C C	1 2	
	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the	2	to driving miles and miles and miles.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the	2	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is	2 3	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that	2 3 4 5 6	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having	2 3 4 5 6 7	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not going to really help me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So MS. CORDRY: I understand that point very well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not going to really help me. How am I going to use that to make any, any, reach any conclusion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So MS. CORDRY: I understand that point very well, Your Honor, and I, my only point is it's not just, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not going to really help me. How am I going to use that to make any, any, reach any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So MS. CORDRY: I understand that point very well, Your Honor, and I, my only point is it's not just, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not going to really help me. How am I going to use that to make any, any, reach any conclusion? MS. CORDRY: Well, what I'm going to show you is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So MS. CORDRY: I understand that point very well, Your Honor, and I, my only point is it's not just, you know, if somebody says it's going to go down 10 percent and I say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not going to really help me. How am I going to use that to make any, any, reach any conclusion? MS. CORDRY: Well, what I'm going to show you is that there's a strong consensus emerging that there are many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So MS. CORDRY: I understand that point very well, Your Honor, and I, my only point is it's not just, you know, if somebody says it's going to go down 10 percent and I say there's strong reason to believe it's going to go down 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: what's happened in the past. MS. CORDRY: Right. And showing that the correlation is MR. GROSSMAN: And the same for the next one. They're not really projections. MS. CORDRY: No, no. But these are showing that we have, over the last several years, we have stopped having a strong correlation between gasoline prices and gasoline sales, that although it certainly affects some but the gasoline sales continue to go down even as the price stabilizes for instance. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: And the very last page, it talks about in addition to improvements to fuel efficiency, the decline in gasoline consumption is attributed in large part to some powerful secular changes in U.S. demographics and culture in general. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not going to really help me. How am I going to use that to make any, any, reach any conclusion? MS. CORDRY: Well, what I'm going to show you is that there's a strong consensus emerging that there are many factors coming together to bring down gasoline consumption,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to driving miles and miles and miles. MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the federal projections say and MS. CORDRY: I understand that. MR. GROSSMAN: that's what the applicant has conceded so. MS. CORDRY: But what I'm saying is that the federal standard, at this point, only goes to a certain level. The information that's here suggests that that is conservative and that the numbers in fact are already dropping below that projection and that come the next year and the next year and the next year after that, as they adjust their standards, the number will become even less. MR. GROSSMAN: There's a lesson they should teach in law school but they don't is that when you win a point, you probably should stop. MS. CORDRY: I MR. GROSSMAN: So MS. CORDRY: I understand that point very well, Your Honor, and I, my only point is it's not just, you know, if somebody says it's going to go down 10 percent and I say there's strong reason to believe it's going to go down 30 percent, is having a concession on 10 percent really winning

	Page 50		Page 52
1	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	1	and put it in the record subject to later objection by
2	MS. CORDRY: Because I do want to be able to refer	2	anybody as to whether or not this kind of article would be
3	to them.	3	admitted as opposed to, you know, some of the other
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	4	scientific studies which have been allowed as well as
5	MS. CORDRY: And I won't spend a lot of time on	5	government statistics which, you know, we've certainly
6	them but each one, I'll just describe them and we'll go	6	allowed. But I'm marking it. I haven't heard an objection.
7	through. 329 then would be, again, a discussion about why	7	I'm allowing it to be marked for the record.
	car driving is going less and why, again, this is, you know,	8	(Exhibit No. 329 was marked
9	likely to continue into the future. It's from, it's a	9	for identification.)
10	report on the NPR. It's an Associated Press discussion.	10	,
11	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to I mean, I don't		Exhibit 13, 330, is a report from scientists from the
	even see a basis for taking Associated Press speculations		Research and Innovation Transportation Administration, the
13	into consideration.		Volpe National Transportation Systems Center on their study.
14	MS. CORDRY: Well, it's not that. They are		And it's a, the first two pages is a press release on it and
	reporting on various researchers and what the, the		the balance of it is the, is a Power Point discussion
	conclusions that various researchers are coming up with and		showing, again, their evidence about the declining in
	what the discussions are.		groups, that it's not just declining among those who are not
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you've already put in the,		employed but it's also declining among even those who are
	essentially the researcher's conclusions. Why would I want		employed.
	to look at their, at somebody's	20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So this is from the
21	MS. CORDRY: That was one report about one		Research and Innovative Technology Administration.
	research there's a lot of these reports.	22	3
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.		on analysis of historical and potential future growth in
24	MS. CORDRY: I mean, one of the points I'm making		motor vehicle use conducted by the Volpe Center for the
25	is that this is an overwhelming trend and I would like to	25	support of the Federal Highway Administration's Office of
	Page 51		Page 53
1	put it in there to indicate that this is coming from a	1	Highway Policy and Information.
2	number of resources, a number of sources, that they're all	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Discussion of whether growth of
3	converging on the same point.	3	auto use has ended.
4	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't consider Associated Press		
E	ININ. GROGOWAN. I UUITI CUIISIUEI ASSOCIALEU FIESS	4	(Exhibit No. 330 was marked
5	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about	4 5	
6	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable		for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
6 7	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from	5	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the
6 7 8	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an	5 6	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute.
6 7 8 9	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of	5 6 7 8 9	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013.
6 7 8 9 10	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me.	5 6 7 8 9 10	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one
6 7 8 9 10 11	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. ROSENFELD: You've made your views clear on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per registered vehicles all reached their maximum 2004, four
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. ROSENFELD: You've made your views clear on how much weight you plan to give to this evidence. We would	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per registered vehicles all reached their maximum 2004, four years prior to the beginning of the current economic
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. ROSENFELD: You've made your views clear on how much weight you plan to give to this evidence. We would like to have it in the record.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per registered vehicles all reached their maximum 2004, four years prior to the beginning of the current economic downturn and all have decreased by five to nine percent
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. ROSENFELD: You've made your views clear on how much weight you plan to give to this evidence. We would like to have it in the record. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per registered vehicles all reached their maximum 2004, four years prior to the beginning of the current economic downturn and all have decreased by five to nine percent through 2011. These reductions likely reflect non-economic
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. ROSENFELD: You've made your views clear on how much weight you plan to give to this evidence. We would like to have it in the record. MR. ROSENFELD: So if we could just go through and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per registered vehicles all reached their maximum 2004, four years prior to the beginning of the current economic downturn and all have decreased by five to nine percent through 2011. These reductions likely reflect non-economic changes in society that influence the need for vehicles.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	discussions of, which they, in which they conclude about Americans' driving habits to be a significant reliable source of information. So I haven't heard an objection from the other side but I have to tell you I'm going to put an exhibit number on it but it's not going to be a source of any evaluation for me. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, it's not their speculation. They're reporting on, for instance, the first page talks about a study by Department of Transportation economists, Pickrell and Pace, which I will then put the study in which talks about mileage dropping per month by 9 percent from 2004 to the present. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, if I could suggest. MR. ROSENFELD: You've made your views clear on how much weight you plan to give to this evidence. We would like to have it in the record. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: 331 would be a report from the University of Michigan Transportation Research Institute. The title, Has Motorization in U.S. Peaked, from July 2013. MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. I thought the last one was 331. MR. GROSSMAN: No. That was 330. MR. GOECKE: And the NPR article was 329 then? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Uh-huh. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. CORDRY: And the abstract, just to reference the relevance of this, the abstract notes that the distance driven per person per licensed driver per household and per registered vehicles all reached their maximum 2004, four years prior to the beginning of the current economic downturn and all have decreased by five to nine percent through 2011. These reductions likely reflect non-economic

	Page 54	Page 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reasonable chance of being long-term peaks as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman, you actually gave me two copies of this one. MS. ADELMAN: Oh, did I? Sorry, Mr. Grossman. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So 331 is study of motorization. Has motorization in the U.S. peaked, reference to light duty vehicles. (Exhibit No. 331 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: The next three are a series of studies from the Public Interest Research Group dealing primarily with often, a great deal, this is dealing with the millennials and whether or not their behavior is going to, how that has been influencing the, the changes. The first one, which I'll call I guess 332, is transportation new generation. And what I'm doing with these is I'm simply putting in the executive summary in most cases or the introduction. I have the entire documents if anybody wants them but I'm putting in them to show the conclusions. The first one, for instance, it notes on page 1 that while the average American is driving six fewer miles in 2004 compared to 2011, uses a slightly different time period, but from 2001 to 2009, it says the average number of	<ul> <li>1 that there's already drops in driving usage compared to</li> <li>2 projections that were made within only the last couple of</li> <li>3 years by these official kinds of projections and so forth so</li> <li>4 that there's, there is room to suggest that even the Energy</li> <li>5 Information Agency is still being on the conservative side</li> <li>6 of this point.</li> <li>7 332(c) is called moving off the road, a state by</li> <li>8 state analysis of the national decline in driving. And</li> <li>9 again, this one attempts to determine whether the reduction</li> <li>10 is simply related to current economic conditions or whether</li> <li>11 it's likely to be a long-term trend. And its basic</li> <li>12 conclusions are that it is not strongly related to the</li> <li>13 economics. Obviously, that has an effect but that is going</li> <li>14 down in virtually every state regardless of whether or not</li> <li>15 they're doing well, doing poorly. They're all continuing to</li> <li>16 decline in gasoline usage.</li> <li>17 Page 22 shows that for Maryland, the peak miles</li> <li>18 per capita was in 2007, and there's been a 4.1 percent</li> <li>19 decline since then, since that peak year here.</li> <li>20 MR. ROSENFELD: Ms. Cordry, I don't see page 22 in</li> <li>21 my copy.</li> <li>22 MS. ADELMAN: Which one are you on, (a), (b) or</li> <li>23 (c)?</li> <li>24 MS. CORDRY: (C).</li> </ul>
23	in 2004 compared to 2011, uses a slightly different time	23 (c)?
	vehicle miles traveled by young people from 16 to 34	25 MR. ROSENFELD: Mine has, mine goes 1, 2, 3, 4. I
	Page 55	Page 57
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 55 decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b)	Page 57 1 don't have a 22. 2 MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole 3 thing in but anyway. 4 MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too. 5 MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers 6 on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine. 7 (Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked 8 for identification.) 9 MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from 10 the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.)	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.)	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up through the early 2000s, the dotted line shows a high rate	<ul> <li>1 don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>3 thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>5 MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>6 on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>7 (Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>8 for identification.)</li> <li>9 MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>10 the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>11 describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>12 by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>13 research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>14 substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>15 tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>16 describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>17 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> <li>18 MS. CORDRY: authorized.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up	<ol> <li>don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>(Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>for identification.)</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up through the early 2000s, the dotted line shows a high rate of growth and then shows a number of changes based on the	<ul> <li>1 don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>3 thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>5 MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>6 on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>7 (Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>8 for identification.)</li> <li>9 MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>10 the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>11 describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>12 by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>13 research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>14 substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>15 tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>16 describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>17 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> <li>18 MS. CORDRY: authorized.</li> <li>19 MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 333 is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up through the early 2000s, the dotted line shows a high rate of growth and then shows a number of changes based on the fact that over those several years, in fact, the growth rate declined and the number of vehicle miles remained stagnant and shows three possibilities, if it begins to grow again,	<ul> <li>1 don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>3 thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>5 MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>6 on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>7 (Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>8 for identification.)</li> <li>9 MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>10 the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>11 describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>12 by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>13 research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>14 substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>15 tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>16 describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>17 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> <li>18 MS. CORDRY: authorized.</li> <li>19 MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 333 is</li> <li>20 MS. CORDRY: It actually has three pieces in it as</li> <li>21 well. The first is the press release</li> <li>22 MR. GROSSMAN: This is by the Environmental</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up through the early 2000s, the dotted line shows a high rate of growth and then shows a number of changes based on the fact that over those several years, in fact, the growth rate declined and the number of vehicle miles remained stagnant and shows three possibilities, if it begins to grow again, if it continues to be at a low level or if it continues to	<ul> <li>1 don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>3 thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>5 MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>6 on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>7 (Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>8 for identification.)</li> <li>9 MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>10 the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>11 describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>12 by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>13 research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>14 substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>15 tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>16 describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>17 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> <li>18 MS. CORDRY: authorized.</li> <li>19 MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 333 is</li> <li>20 MS. CORDRY: It actually has three pieces in it as</li> <li>21 well. The first is the press release</li> <li>22 MR. GROSSMAN: This is by the Environmental</li> <li>23 Protection Agency.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	decreased by 23 percent. And what this, this particular report discusses is that young people tend to be leading the way in terms of the reduction in vehicle miles. MR. GROSSMAN: These are, all three of these are from PIRG? MS. CORDRY: PIRG, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we'll get 332(a) will be the first one. The second one will be 332(b), a new direction. (Exhibit Nos. 332(a) and (b) were marked for identification.) MS. CORDRY: And this one basically summarizes that the driving boom is over is the sort of take-away line from this report. But page 3, it discusses a number of trends that might come and it shows that if you've gone back to the existing levels of increases that we've had up through the early 2000s, the dotted line shows a high rate of growth and then shows a number of changes based on the fact that over those several years, in fact, the growth rate declined and the number of vehicle miles remained stagnant and shows three possibilities, if it begins to grow again,	<ul> <li>1 don't have a 22.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I may not have put the whole</li> <li>3 thing in but anyway.</li> <li>MS. ADELMAN: Mine does too. Mine does too.</li> <li>5 MS. CORDRY: Okay. So I have some other numbers</li> <li>6 on Maryland on other exhibits so that's fine.</li> <li>7 (Exhibit No. 332(c) was marked</li> <li>8 for identification.)</li> <li>9 MS. CORDRY: Exhibit 333 would be a report from</li> <li>10 the EPA. It's actually, well, it's a press release</li> <li>11 describing research they've been doing about trip generation</li> <li>12 by mixed-use development and indicating that in fact, new</li> <li>13 research shows that the current methods of projecting trips</li> <li>14 substantially overstates how many tend to be generated and</li> <li>15 tend to understate the benefits of mixed-use development and</li> <li>16 describes a new spreadsheet model that they have</li> <li>17 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So exhibit</li> <li>18 MS. CORDRY: authorized.</li> <li>19 MR. GROSSMAN: Exhibit 333 is</li> <li>20 MS. CORDRY: It actually has three pieces in it as</li> <li>21 well. The first is the press release</li> <li>22 MR. GROSSMAN: This is by the Environmental</li> </ul>

	Page 58		Page 60
1	article or what would you say this is?	1	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
2	MS. CORDRY: I think this is a press release I	2	
	would call this.	3	
4	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. EPA release of 9/15/13,	4	
5	trip generation tool for mixed-use developments.	5	discussion of the, there's the reference on page 2 to what
6	(Exhibit No. 333 was marked		this tool was, in the middle of page 2, this mixed-use
7	for identification.)		development tool. There's a reference in here, the fourth
8	MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm sorry. What did you say is		page of this whole exhibit, from the group that generated
9	the essential point from Exhibit 333?		that tool. And then there is a, an article, excerpts from
10	MS. CORDRY: Okay. That mixed-use developments	10	an article that again describes the effectiveness tool and
11	are actually generating, they are doing what they're		the effect of what it finds in terms of the benefits of the
	supposed to do. They are reducing trips substantially, that	12	mixed-use development.
	they are substantially lower than what the standardized	13	334 is a report from the Transit Cooperative
14	Institute of Transportation Engineers trip generation	14	Research Program, and this is sponsored by the Federal
15	analysis would predict for particular developments in the	15	Transit Administration.
16	area and that they have generated some new tools to show	16	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.
17	this and that these do in fact show that there may be as	17	MS. CORDRY: Which again just looks at the effects
18	much as a 35 to 37 percent overstatement of how much trips	18	of transit-oriented development on housing, parking and
19	will be used in mixed-use areas compared to	19	travel and again, it's a very long report. I put it in
20	MR. GROSSMAN: So you're saying that Mr. Guckert	20	there in part because it references the fact that
21	over-estimated the trip generation?	21	Metropolitan Washington has been very effective in terms of
22	MS. CORDRY: If he is simply using the old model	22	using some of these methods to try to mitigate traffic and
23	of ITE, that there may be issues there. Now, he may have	23	reduce trips and encourage traffic-oriented development.
24	updated some of his. I don't know exactly what all he used	24	MR. GROSSMAN: Let's identify this. So this is
25	but the old models, you know, have, can be adjusted because	25	a what is the TCRP? Transit Cooperative Research
	Doro 50		
	Page 59		Page 61
1		1	
	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented	1	Program?
2			Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes.
2 3	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't	2 3	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes.
2 3	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't	2 3	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit
2 3 4 5	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits.	2 3 4 5	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration.
2 3 4 5 6	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the	2 3 4 5	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the
2 3 4 5 6 7	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that	2 3 4 5 6	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you.
2 3 6 7 8 9	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify
2 3 6 7 8 9	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future development and trip use, that we are interfering with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future development and trip use, that we are interfering with this process of reducing trips, gasoline usage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And I take it that the effects of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future development and trip use, that we are interfering with this process of reducing trips, gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: But Ms. Guckert does take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And I take it that the effects of TOD would be reducing parking and travel. Is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future development and trip use, that we are interfering with this process of reducing trips, gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: But Ms. Guckert does take measurements but then he makes projections which in part, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And I take it that the effects of TOD would be reducing parking and travel. Is that MS. CORDRY: Yes. That's the effect and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future development and trip use, that we are interfering with this process of reducing trips, gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: But Ms. Guckert does take measurements but then he makes projections which in part, I presume, are based on ITE.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And I take it that the effects of TOD would be reducing parking and travel. Is that MS. CORDRY: Yes. That's the effect and that's what we're attempting to encourage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in fact, the standard smart growth transit-oriented development is in fact doing what we wanted and if we don't adjust the trip generation to account for that, it doesn't get specific, substantial enough recognition and benefits. MR. GROSSMAN: Doesn't that also cut against the argument of Kensington Heights Civic Association that, that there is too much traffic and that this, in the area, and that this potential use would exacerbate a problem? Maybe the traffic problem is overstated according to this. MS. CORDRY: Well, Mr. Guckert measured actual traffic so we're working with his numbers and we're also looking at our own personal eyeball assessment of what happens on them all so whether it's generated or not. But the point being, our point being what the county, the state and United States are trying to do is to encourage, this also goes to some of the land use piece, we're trying to encourage that and if a station, as the Planning Board suggested, was incompatible with that kind of future development and trip use, that we are interfering with this process of reducing trips, gasoline usage. MR. GROSSMAN: But Ms. Guckert does take measurements but then he makes projections which in part, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Program? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Sponsored by the Federal Transit Administration. MS. CORDRY: At the bottom, it says the Transportation Research Board of the National Academy. MR. GROSSMAN: National Academy of Science or MS. CORDRY: I'm not positive. I will look that up for you. MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to be able to identify this for the record. That's all. And what's the date on this? MS. CORDRY: I believe it came out this year but I'll have to get the date for you on that. MR. GROSSMAN: So this is Transit Cooperative Research Program report, 1/28 excerpts, effects of TOD on housing, parking and travel. (Exhibit No. 334 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: And I take it that the effects of TOD would be reducing parking and travel. Is that MS. CORDRY: Yes. That's the effect and that's

	Page 62		Page 64
1	MS. CORDRY: The next one would be from the	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So this is Exhibit 336.
2	Maryland Draft, 2035 Draft Transportation Plan.	2	-
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.	3	
4	MS. CORDRY: Excerpts from that. These again, all	4	
5	of these are very long documents so I've	5	this plan?
6	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So this is Exhibit 335,	6	MS. CORDRY: This plan is actually this was a
7	and that is excerpts from 9/2013 Draft 2035 Maryland	7	draft version and this is what I had looked up and sent
8	Transportation Plan.	8	around to everyone. It has actually now come out in a much
9	(Exhibit No. 335 was marked	9	nicer, glossier version here which I believe is actually
10	for identification.)	10	final and in place which came out in October 2013. There's
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And what does that purport	11	no difference in the effects of this so rather than try to
12	to show?	12	start a whole new exhibit with everybody, I only found this
13	MS. CORDRY: Okay. If you look at page 6 to 7,	13	one yesterday.
14	they talk at the bottom there that, about vehicle miles	14	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So this is Maryland
	traveled in the state, that they had typically out-paced	15	Greenhouse Emissions Reduction Act Plan.
	population growth but since 2005, however, they've held	16	MS. CORDRY: Right. And this talks about
	steady at between 55 to 56 billion miles per year. And the		again, this is a very long report. I pulled out some pages
	demographic and economic trend data suggests that a return		here that talk about the effects of VMT. In particular, at
19	to strong annual VMT growth is unlikely in per capita growth		the bottom of page 20, I'm sorry, bottom of page 72, and
	is actually decreasing after decades of growth. And the		this again is consistent with the point about that the
	chart on the next page shows that leveling out and		projections are already overstated, noted that they had
	stagnation of total miles traveled despite the growth and		estimates from Maryland Department of Transportation in 2010
	population.		that had projected a 4 point, I'm sorry, 1.4 percent annual
24	MR. GROSSMAN: The chart on page 12 you mean?		VMT growth from 2006 to 2020 but that in fact, it has been
25	MS. CORDRY: No.	25	stagnant since 2008 and in 2011, total VMT was 56.1 billion,
	Page 63		Page 65
1		1	-
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather?		which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is
1 2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes.	2	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from	2 3	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out.	2 3 4	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population	2 3 4 5	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out.	2 3 4 5	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway	2 3 4 5 6 7	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur. MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur. MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT. MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011. At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur. MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT. MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT. MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled. MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered their greenhouse gases by 2.78. I'm not quite sure what</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That was 335, is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered their greenhouse gases by 2.78. I'm not quite sure what this, this figure is here but they needed to do a total</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That was 335, is that right? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered their greenhouse gases by 2.78. I'm not quite sure what this, this figure is here but they needed to do a total reduction of 55.26 and a reduction in vehicle miles traveled</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That was 335, is that right? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Okay. 335, 336 is again, some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered their greenhouse gases by 2.78. I'm not quite sure what this, this figure is here but they needed to do a total reduction of 55.26 and a reduction in vehicle miles traveled gave them 2.78 of those units. I think that's probably</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That was 335, is that right? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Okay. 335, 336 is again, some excerpts from that actual Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act Plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered their greenhouse gases by 2.78. I'm not quite sure what this, this figure is here but they needed to do a total reduction of 55.26 and a reduction in vehicle miles traveled gave them 2.78 of those units. I think that's probably something like perhaps million metric tons of CO2 emissions</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, it's page 7 rather? MS. CORDRY: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: And that shows an increase from 1980 to about 2005 and then a leveling out. MS. CORDRY: Yes. Even though the population obviously has continued to grow over that time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And that's Maryland highway miles. This is Exhibit 335, leveling of highway miles. Okay. MS. CORDRY: And page 21 refers, of that exhibit, I'm sorry, refers to the greenhouse gas Emissions Reduction Act of 2009 in Maryland which mandates that the state propose a plan to achieve a 25 percent statewide reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2020 and that, it notes that among other things, it will attempt to use technologies to help reduce VMT, vehicle miles traveled, and promote increased transit ridership as part of that, that plan to reduce the greenhouse gases. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That was 335, is that right? MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. MS. CORDRY: Okay. 335, 336 is again, some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>which is a .9 percent reduction from 2006, and 2012 VMT is anticipated to remain the same as 2011.</li> <li>At the top of the next page, to go back to the projections they had, you would have had to have a substantial increase in VMT growth and that's not anticipated to occur.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Just so you say VMT. It's VMT, not BMT.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: VMT. No, VMT.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Vehicle miles traveled.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Vehicle miles traveled, correct.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I just want to make sure that the record is clear.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: So that they were able to reassess the VMT growth rate associated with implementation of their current plans and programs through 2020, this is the top of page 73, that result in a reduction of 5.53 billion VMT in 2020 compared to the original plan, and that also lowered their greenhouse gases by 2.78. I'm not quite sure what this, this figure is here but they needed to do a total reduction of 55.26 and a reduction in vehicle miles traveled gave them 2.78 of those units. I think that's probably</li> </ul>

	Page 66		Page 68
1	MR. GROSSMAN: This is the MMTCO2E.	1	MS. CORDRY: This is one that I, that I compiled.
2	MS. CORDRY: Right.		It was based on looking at some things that were done in
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		previous reports and also looking at what the Lucky Stores
4	MS. CORDRY: I couldn't find where they actually		case had suggested that one might want to do which was to
	defined that, that figure but whatever it is, of the 55.78		look at the ratios in a particular area versus the county.
	that is shown on figure 6.3, they're getting 2.78 out of it		Was the area underserved, over-served, that, that kind of
	just from this vehicle miles traveled reduction.		thing. In some previous ones, I had seen that they had used
8	MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Okay.		statistics like this to indicate that a particular area had
9	MS. CORDRY: And page 135 talks about Maryland		less stations per person or per household or per car.
	currently has some other options they can do to continue to	10	MR. GROSSMAN: These are gas stations, right?
	increase these, these reductions. One is to enforce the	11	
	anti-idling law. They say the law could be made more	12	
	stringent and enforced more consistently. Enforcement of		statistics.
	this law is essential to achieving greenhouse gas benefits.	14	
15	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	15	
16	MS. CORDRY: Let's see whether I'm going to put	16	Υ.
	this one in or not. I think the last one that I would do on	17	MS. CORDRY: So what I put these together for was
	these is then Exhibit 337 which, the Baltimore Sun article.		for this area versus the county as a whole. The third page
19	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.		in this document is a print-out from the U.S. Census Bureau
20	MS. CORDRY: And this begins with a discussion		that shows the total number of gas stations in Montgomery
21	again of the PIRG reports but then also talks about a number	21	
	of the things that the state is planning on doing and	22	find, but this appears to be an accurate authoritative
	dealing with the effects of what that PIRG report was		number, so 183 of which 100 had convenience stores and 83
	discussing.	24	did not. So going back to
25	MR. GROSSMAN: August 29, '13 Baltimore Sun	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Where do you see the convenience
	Page 67		
	Tage 07		Page 69
1	article on end of driving boom.	1	Page 69 store?
1 2		1 2	store?
	article on end of driving boom.		store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this?
2	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right.	2 3	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this?
2 3	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked	2 3	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this
2 3 4	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document.
2 3 4 5 6	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183.
2 3 4 5 6 7	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of	2 3 4 5 6 7	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops	2 3 4 5 6 7	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail? MS. ADELMAN: Show me again, Karen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers both ways so you don't need to resolve what the correct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail? MS. ADELMAN: Show me again, Karen. MS. CORDRY: The comparative station statistics.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers both ways so you don't need to resolve what the correct issue is but within the study area as we call.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail? MS. ADELMAN: Show me again, Karen. MS. CORDRY: The comparative station statistics. Should be probably at the bottom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers both ways so you don't need to resolve what the correct issue is but within the study area as we call. MR. GROSSMAN: I'd actually like to, I mean, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail? MS. ADELMAN: Show me again, Karen. MS. CORDRY: The comparative station statistics. Should be probably at the bottom. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you, ma'am. All right. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers both ways so you don't need to resolve what the correct issue is but within the study area as we call. MR. GROSSMAN: I'd actually like to, I mean, I think this might be a useful little add-on here, to give it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail? MS. ADELMAN: Show me again, Karen. MS. CORDRY: The comparative station statistics. Should be probably at the bottom. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you, ma'am. All right. So this is Exhibit 338.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers both ways so you don't need to resolve what the correct issue is but within the study area as we call. MR. GROSSMAN: I'd actually like to, I mean, I think this might be a useful little add-on here, to give it its own exhibit number, 338 (a). I mean, is it referenced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	article on end of driving boom. MS. CORDRY: Right. (Exhibit No. 337 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: All right. So net result of all of this is I think there's a strong reason to believe that even the numbers given by the Energy Administration are likely to be too pessimistic, that we will be seeing additional drops below those numbers and that this is a very significant factor in terms of determining whether there is any need for a station, especially one that will result in taking, can only really take gasoline sales by taking them away from other existing stations. It cannot rely on growth to satisfy the volume that it's going to use. There is just before I get to Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, the one other piece that I wanted to put in here was do you have this one Abigail? MS. ADELMAN: Show me again, Karen. MS. CORDRY: The comparative station statistics. Should be probably at the bottom. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you, ma'am. All right. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	store? MR. ROSENFELD: Where is this? MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. The third page in this document. MR. GROSSMAN: I see the 183. MS. CORDRY: Yes. And then you go down a little bit further. Of the 183, there is gas stations with convenience stores is 100. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, I see. MS. CORDRY: And then below that 83 for the gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. I see. MS. CORDRY: Other gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: I see. Thank you. MS. CORDRY: So as far as what I called the study area, which is the seven minute driving radius that has been used by Mr. Flynn in his reports, at the time in 2010, there was either 27 stations or 30, and I've just done the numbers both ways so you don't need to resolve what the correct issue is but within the study area as we call. MR. GROSSMAN: I'd actually like to, I mean, I think this might be a useful little add-on here, to give it

	Page 70		Page 72
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	1	for identification.)
2	MS. CORDRY: I don't know.	2	
3	MR. GROSSMAN: If it's not referenced, I would	3	
_	just detach it and give it its own number.	-	figure out. One moment. Actually, I'm sorry. We can
5	MS. CORDRY: Well, okay. I, what I have done		actually use the 2010 snapshot report because I forgot. It
-	there is at the top there where you see the bracket right	6	
	under no. 1, that's the		because it didn't have the most up-to-date figures. The
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Total stations.		2012 snapshot actually does discuss 2010 figures so
9	MS. CORDRY: Well, yeah. But right over there	9	
	where the bracket is, that's where I got the census report	10	
	from but it's fine to give it a separate report, number,	11	
	exhibit number if you want to.		2012 snapshot?
13	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. It's as of 2010. But,	13	
	I mean, I think that's a so let's break it out.	14	
	MS. CORDRY: Do you want to do 338 (a) and (b)?	15	-
15	MR. GROSSMAN: I'll just make it 339.		Okay.
16		17	-
17	MS. CORDRY: Okay.		
18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: And then give it its own. MS. CORDRY: Okay.	18	not going to have Exhibit 340? MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think we can, I don't think
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Because I think it has some	_	we actually need that one in. Okay.
	independent statistical value for us.	20 21	
21	-	21	-
	MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: In terms of, I mean, it's of 2010		reason I did this, shows at the top in April 2010,
23	so it's a little bit older but it gives us something I		
		24 25	Montgomery County had a total population of 971,777 persons. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
25	guess.	25	MR. GROSSMAN. Right.
	Page 71		Page 73
1	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	Ĵ
1	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that	1	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it
2	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so.	2	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite
2 3	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S.	2 3	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't
2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations.	2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but
2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked	2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway
2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: This is the 2010 snapshot so it has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864. The households I note with a footnote there was a couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: This is the 2010 snapshot so it has the 2010 figures.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864. The households I note with a footnote there was a couple different figures that you could come up with. I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: This is the 2010 snapshot so it has the 2010 figures. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864. The households I note with a footnote there was a couple different figures that you could come up with. I just averaged the two of them. Somewhere between 35,449 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: This is the 2010 snapshot so it has the 2010 figures. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Which I guess would be Exhibit 340.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864. The households I note with a footnote there was a couple different figures that you could come up with. I just averaged the two of them. Somewhere between 35,449 to 35,847.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: This is the 2010 snapshot so it has the 2010 figures. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Which I guess would be Exhibit 340. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. July 2010 Montgomery	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864. The households I note with a footnote there was a couple different figures that you could come up with. I just averaged the two of them. Somewhere between 35,449 to 35,847. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: That's the most recent census that was taken so. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. So Exhibit 339 is U.S. Census, year of 2010, loco gas stations. (Exhibit No. 339 was marked for identification.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: All right. And then for the study area of course again, using Mr. Flynn's 2010 need report, there's either 27 or 30 stations, depending on what we do with those three stations in the Four Corners area, so I calculated the ratios both ways. In terms of the county, I then went to the Montgomery County snapshot for July 20, the 2010 snapshot. Go ahead and put this in. I had put the 2012 in but this MR. GROSSMAN: The snapshot is in there already. MS. CORDRY: No. That was 2012. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: This is the 2010 snapshot so it has the 2010 figures. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Which I guess would be Exhibit 340.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: And on page 23, for some reason, it says the household population is 962,877. I'm not quite sure who doesn't live in a household. Maybe it's, I don't know if that's prisons or nursing homes or whatever but anyway MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: the numbers are very, very little different. And let's see. The household number then okay. So on page 23 then it says, shows household number 357,086 which is the number I use on the chart. And on page 22 again, the persons per household is the 2.70 which again I list here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the upshot of all of this? MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'll just walk you through. So cars let me go through the other chart there. On the other side under the study area, the population 2010 I took from Exhibit 7 which is his 2010 need report, the 97,864. The households I note with a footnote there was a couple different figures that you could come up with. I just averaged the two of them. Somewhere between 35,449 to 35,847. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.

	Page 74		Page 76
1	used the average there. Again, from that same exhibit, the	1	MR. GROSSMAN: The larger
	persons per household was 2.73 so very similar to the county	2	
	as a whole. In terms of cars, he had a chart on there that	3	
	showed so many households have zero, so many households have	4	
	one car, so many households have two. I simply multiplied	5	
	all that up and counted it or you can multiply his average	6	
	number of cars per household, 1.69 times the 35,648, and you	-	label is just, it should say county divided by study area,
	come up with a figure of just under 60,000 cars in the study		not study county divided by area. The study needs to be
	area.		over with area, so this is just showing the ratio between
10	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		the county versus the study area. So again, if you look at
11	MS. CORDRY: 59,611. I don't have a comparable		persons, the county has about one-and-a-half times more
	figure for the county but what he said was in his report, he		persons per station than the study area.
	said that he thought that there was, there's a note,	13	
	footnote here that he thought his area had more cars than	14	-
	the average household so I reduced it from 1.69 to 1.55. He		cars, roughly the same number. So then using all of these,
	had used figures for the five and the ten minute drive areas		it's about a one-and-a-half times more persons, cars,
	as being 1.66 and 1.6 respectively so I reduced it even		households in the county as a whole than here. Put another
	below that just to make sure I wasn't overstating for the		way, we have a substantially smaller number of cars,
	county. You multiply the 1.55 times the 357,000 households,		households and persons per station.
	you get 553,000 cars in the county. So those are my, those	20	
	are my base numbers.	21	
22	So the ratios there, you simply divide it out.		stations for all the people. Turn that off.
	Persons per gas station in the county as a whole. If you	23	
	take the, the total population and you divided it by the		our cell phones off.
	number of households, 357, I'm sorry, by the number of gas	25	
2.5	number of nouseholds, sor, rm sorry, by the number of gas	2.5	
	Page 75		Page 77
1		1	-
	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and		Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios
2	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310.	2	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of
2 3	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2?	2 3	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in
2 3 4	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the	2 3 4	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off
2 3 4 5	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point.	2 3 4 5	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area
2 3 4 5 6	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a
2 3 4 5 6 7	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the	2 3 4 5 6 7	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per	2 3 6 7 8 9	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county. MS. CORDRY: In the study area, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood that MR. GROSSMAN: The defined, the general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county. MS. CORDRY: In the study area, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county. MS. CORDRY: In the study area, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Yes. So in other words, we have more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood that MR. GROSSMAN: The defined, the general neighborhood as defined by the technical staff. MS. CORDRY: In terms of looking at the direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county. MS. CORDRY: In the study area, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Yes. So in other words, we have more stations per person here than in the county.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood thatMR. GROSSMAN: The defined, the general neighborhood as defined by the technical staff. MS. CORDRY: In terms of looking at the direct impacts of the station on homes in the area and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county. MS. CORDRY: In the study area, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Yes. So in other words, we have more stations per person here than in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: And the study area you're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood thatMR. GROSSMAN: The defined, the general neighborhood as defined by the technical staff. MS. CORDRY: In terms of looking at the direct impacts of the station on homes in the area and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stations, the 183, so you take that 971,000 figure and divide by the 183, you get 5310. MR. ROSENFELD: Are you on page 2? MS. CORDRY: I'm on page 2, yes. I'm on the ratios at this point. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: If you do the same thing within the study area of the 97,864, you get either 3,624 persons per station or 3,362, depending on whether you use the 27 stations versus the 30. If you do the households per station, same kind of a calculation, you get 1951 in the county as a whole but only 1320 in the study area and using 1188 if you consider 30 stations. Same thing if you do with cars. 3,000 per station in the county as a whole, 2200 in the study area or 1987 if you use the 30 station ratio. MR. GROSSMAN: So your point is that there is a lower number of cars and people in the station area than there is on average in the county. MS. CORDRY: In the study area, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Yes. So in other words, we have more stations per person here than in the county.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Okay. So I also put in there some of the capture ratios that you get from the, these things. You see same kind of retail capture ratios that we did. I think I put them in 2010 so the 2012 report, I'll just leave this 2010 piece off but you'll be able to see in the 2012 report that this area tends to capture more gasoline sales than the county as a whole. So in terms, again, of the question of is this area underserved compared to the county or over-served, the answer is we're over-served compared to the county as a whole. So the last piece here is just a walk-through his supplemental report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think this was done, as he indicates, to respond to your request to see what the need analysis would be if you looked at an area more closely corresponding to what we might call the area of direct impact of the station, the more limited neighborhood thatMR. GROSSMAN: The defined, the general neighborhood as defined by the technical staff. MS. CORDRY: In terms of looking at the direct impacts of the station on homes in the area and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	MR. GROSSMAN: That's correct. It traditionally	1	the drive time area and then that market, kind of market
	has been the broader drive time		analysis came to be applied. There may have been an earlier
3	MS. CORDRY: Right.		case in which it was discussed as well. I'm not sure. But
4	MR. GROSSMAN: definition. However, a number		it may have originated there and then every applicant
	of people, and maybe it wasn't KHCA.		thereafter felt an obligation to do what the Court in Lucky
6	MS. CORDRY: No. It was not.		Stores said was missing from the applicant there. I don't
7	MR. GROSSMAN: It might have been Kensington View		know if it was that way.
8	Civic Association, raised the issue of the definition or the	8	MS. CORDRY: I think Lucky Stores was not a new
	requirement in the statute as calling for a need in the	9	analysis. I think it was within the line and so forth. In
	general neighborhood, and it didn't distinguish between the		any case, we'll come back to this.
	way general neighborhood is used and has been used in cases	11	•
	regarding land use and general need in terms of, general	12	
	neighborhood in terms of need definition. So it's certainly	13	We were prepared to make our analysis within the traditional
	arguable that that's been misapplied over the years and that		40 or 50 of them that we have here so.
	the general neighborhood should be that as defined	15	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. You indicated that
	traditionally which I think reasonably could be called the	16	before.
	area that technical staff defined as general neighborhood	17	MS. CORDRY: Okay. So although it purports to
	here which is the mall and the residential areas to the	18	show a limited neighborhood in the need analysis, I think as
19	south and west.		we will show below, it's really just the same regional or
20	MS. CORDRY: Okay. And I you're correct.	20	county need analysis wrapped up in a different packaging.
21	Kensington Heights did not argue that. We've been prepared	21	We also think the report confuses the data that it takes
22	to work within the standard method of analysis and I think	22	from Mr. Guckert's, from the other sources from which it
23	it's always been used that way because realistically, the	23	makes its analysis and has at least one clearly incorrect
24	area that has a direct impact from the station in terms of	24	calculation again in this report so we don't think it begins
25	light or noise and so forth is obviously far too small to	25	to, again, show a need under its aspects for a station of
	Page 79		Page 81
1	Page 79 ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts	1	Page 81 this size in this location.
		1	this size in this location.
2	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts	2	this size in this location.
2 3	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet,	2 3	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section
2 3 4	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that	2 3 4	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate
2 3 4 5	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>this size in this location.</li> <li>So starting with the report, let's see, in section</li> <li>2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate</li> <li>the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone,	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>this size in this location.</li> <li>So starting with the report, let's see, in section</li> <li>2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean,	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office buildings and so forth but in any case, we'll come back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated average, and that would produce one million purchases a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office buildings and so forth but in any case, we'll come back to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated average, and that would produce one million purchases a year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office buildings and so forth but in any case, we'll come back to that MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the broader definition may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated average, and that would produce one million purchases a year. MR. GROSSMAN: One million gallons, one million
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office buildings and so forth but in any case, we'll come back to that MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the broader definition may have started out with Lucky Stores, I'm not sure, but Lucky	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated average, and that would produce one million purchases a year. MR. GROSSMAN: One million gallons, one million dollars, one million
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office buildings and so forth but in any case, we'll come back to that MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the broader definition may have started out with Lucky Stores, I'm not sure, but Lucky Stores case discusses how the applicant did not introduce	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated average, and that would produce one million purchases a year. MR. GROSSMAN: One million gallons, one million dollars, one million MS. CORDRY: One million purchases. In other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ever support any gas station because those kind of impacts typically are no more than, you know, a few hundred feet, 1,000 feet and so forth so that the number of people that you would actually have within that very limited area around the gas station would be, I can't really imagine anyone, where a gas station would actually be supported by such a small area. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is an unusual situation in which there's a mall so you get other users other than the ones from the residences immediately in the area but it's, I agree it's a debatable point but I thought it ought to be covered in terms of the testimony since I think it is a legitimate arguable point, and I haven't really decided how I'm going to go in terms of my analysis of it. MS. CORDRY: I mean, certainly, and I'm prepared to discuss this. I would say that although I don't really think it's particularly unusual to have a mall. I mean, most gas stations are going to be located in commercial areas where you have either shopping areas or office buildings and so forth but in any case, we'll come back to that MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the broader definition may have started out with Lucky Stores, I'm not sure, but Lucky	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this size in this location. So starting with the report, let's see, in section 2 on page 2, he again states that he's trying to evaluate the specific components of need such as pass-by traffic, employees, shoppers and the residents to the immediately neighborhood rather than the Claritas report for the seven- minute area which he said was the correct way to look at the whole area, that you could get it from the Claritas report but for this narrow area, he wanted to look at specific components. All right. So in section 3, which is listed as sources of demand, he states that the, his Exhibit 1 is going to allocate the demand that the traffic study presents. So he's basically getting his data from the traffic study based on the projected sale of 12 million gallons per year and an average sale of 12 gallons per purchase. I would note that there's nowhere in the traffic report where it actually says 12 gallons is an average per purchase but for purposes of this, that's fine. We can use that as a guesstimated average, and that would produce one million purchases a year. MR. GROSSMAN: One million gallons, one million dollars, one million

	Page 82		Page 84
1	gives you one million purchases.	1	MS. CORDRY: Okay. I'm not really
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2	
3	MS. CORDRY: Okay. One million shoppers, one	3	MS. CORDRY: No. I'm not really trying to say
	million customers.	_	that at all. I'm simply saying that the numbers in the
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		traffic report, there are not numbers in the traffic report
6	MS. CORDRY: Okay. Now, the traffic report itself		that correspond to what he's using them for here and that
	does not attempt, there's nowhere in the traffic report		he's, as we'll see, that he's sort of
	where it attempts to generate actual demand figures and none	8	MR. GROSSMAN: No, but didn't you say that the
	of the data they've got from the other stations gave you a		correspondence, the problem with the correspondence that
	basis to empirically calculate the total volume the station		he's drawn is that he's given, he's using numbers that are
	would generate or where geographically that station, that		too high because the traffic estimates are conservative in
	volume would come from. At most, it had three or four days,		the sense that they're high.
	or two days in a given year and you could perhaps multiply	13	MS. CORDRY: No.
	those by, you know, a day by 365 and maybe come up with a	14	
	value.	15	MS. CORDRY: I'm not trying to say that at all.
16	But it was not attempting to determine what the	16	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh. All right.
	total volume was by based on the traffic study analysis. It	17	MS. CORDRY: All I'm
	was looking at taking what appeared to be a typical or a	18	MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what you said.
	relatively high day and trying to come up with some numbers	19	MS. CORDRY: All I'm trying to say is that the
	for that for a peak hour that would add to peak traffic in	20	numbers, what mister okay. The traffic report was doing
21	the area and come up with questions about whether or not the	21	a certain calculation which was pulling a high number and
22	traffic volumes would exceed the critical lane volumes. So	22	using that to try to generate a high sense of whether or not
23	it was not being used for this kind of purpose here that Mr.	23	the traffic, at a maximum, would exceed things.
24	Flynn is now trying to use it for.	24	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
25	MR. GROSSMAN: In other words, you're saying that	25	MS. CORDRY: But it was not in any way from that
	D		
			Page 85
	Page 83		Page 85
	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative.		particular report trying to generate a total number for the
2	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not	2	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the
2 3	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the	2 3	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an
2 3 4	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic	2 3 4	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number
2 3 4 5	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for	2 3 4 5	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report.
2 3 4 5 6	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high?	2 3 4 5 6	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really	2 3 4 5 6 7	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating	2 3 6 7 8 9	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to actually generate from whatever its traffic was back to a, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the three categories, pass-by trips, new trips coming to the gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to actually generate from whatever its traffic was back to a, a demand number. They're simply done for different purposes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the three categories, pass-by trips, new trips coming to the gas station alone and trips they call internal capture which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to actually generate from whatever its traffic was back to a, a demand number. They're simply done for different purposes. MR. GROSSMAN: No, but I take it from what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the three categories, pass-by trips, new trips coming to the gas station alone and trips they call internal capture which goes to what is going to the warehouse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to actually generate from whatever its traffic was back to a, a demand number. They're simply done for different purposes. MR. GROSSMAN: No, but I take it from what you just said though that your, the implication of what you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the three categories, pass-by trips, new trips coming to the gas station alone and trips they call internal capture which goes to what is going to the warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Cordry, you're not waiting for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to actually generate from whatever its traffic was back to a, a demand number. They're simply done for different purposes. MR. GROSSMAN: No, but I take it from what you just said though that your, the implication of what you say is that the estimate of gas demand is too high because the traffic estimate is too high. MS. CORDRY: No. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the three categories, pass-by trips, new trips coming to the gas station alone and trips they call internal capture which goes to what is going to the warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Cordry, you're not waiting for me. MS. CORDRY: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought did you have it? I'm sorry. I didn't realize you okay, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Guckert's traffic estimates were conservative. MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: In the sense that they were, at the very least, overstating or stating a maximum of traffic impact whereas you shouldn't use those figures for estimating gasoline consumption because they would be high? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not, I'm not really MR. GROSSMAN: Is that what you're saying? MS. CORDRY: It might be high, it might be low. The point is it simply was not looking, it wasn't generating those kind of numbers. It wasn't looking over the course of an entire year as to what was sold or not sold or how you would use that to determine the demand. It had a figure at, they do, have given us a figure at Sterling. They have said we are taking a worst case projection for Wheaton which we think is 12 million gallons and they've done some things but there's no way, there's nothing in the report that tried to actually generate from whatever its traffic was back to a, a demand number. They're simply done for different purposes. MR. GROSSMAN: No, but I take it from what you just said though that your, the implication of what you say is that the estimate of gas demand is too high because the traffic estimate is too high.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	particular report trying to generate a total number for the year one way or the other and that Mr. Guckert, that the number that's used here for the 12 million is simply an assumption so, and it's not an empirically generated number from the traffic report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: That's all I'm trying to say. Okay. So and because it's simply an assumed number, if you start with that number, you're assuming what you need to prove. That's, that's my point, that the traffic report does not itself generate this 12 million gallon number. Okay. Now, when they got the data from Elkridge and various other Costco stations, and this is, if we go back to Exhibit 292, this was some of the excerpts I pulled at that point from, from the traffic report. Page 20 of that, in that exhibit there, 292, the page 20 shows a discussion about pass-by trips. In the first place, it discusses the three categories, pass-by trips, new trips coming to the gas station alone and trips they call internal capture which goes to what is going to the warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Cordry, you're not waiting for me. MS. CORDRY: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought did you

	Page 86		Page 88
1	are pass-by trips, ones going by the station area that come	1	anywhere in the traffic report for any other station.
	in, use the station and go on.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	3	MS. CORDRY: And then he says at the end the needs
4	MS. CORDRY: Internal capture which it defines on	4	analysis in agreement that traffic will account in demand
5	page 20 is, are based on total of only 30 percent internal		for 4.4 million gallons, 4.44 million gallons. I mean, he
	capture generating exclusively from Costco, Costco		may agree with it but I don't, I don't know what that
	customers' cars using the Costco warehouse. And the pass-by		statement means because his own need analysis did not come
	trips at 37 percent, 30 percent was the internal capture and		up with anything. This doesn't generate anything. This
	then so the remaining 33 percent were new trips just for the		just simply says if I assume 12 million gallons and I assume
	gasoline station. And that is shown on Exhibit 8 which is	10	37 percent of it, I come up with 4.4 million. Well, okay.
11	about the fourth page I think in that exhibit. Again, the		Those are not, nice assumptions but they don't, it doesn't
	notes at the bottom say 37 percent for the pass-by traffic,		really prove anything so I don't see that that really gets
	30 percent for the, being captured by the store and the		us any further than what we had when we started.
14	remaining balance, new trips that showed 138 and that,	14	Then we get to the problem area. The second
15	divided by the 420 total trips, is the 33 percent it used	15	source of demand called internal on-site shoppers is 30
	for new trips. Now, in his report, Mr. Flynn uses that same	16	percent which he says he thinks that's likely to be low.
	breakdown, 37, 30 and 33.		The problem is what he is doing here is he is saying
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.		everybody who is coming to the, who is working on the mall
19	MS. CORDRY: But he doesn't, he doesn't break, he	19	or shopping on the mall he's putting into that 30 percent
20	doesn't use, he doesn't break them out in the same way. He	20	category but if we recall, according to the traffic report,
21	labels the first one as pass-by traffic, 37. He labels the	21	the 30 percent, I'll quote you again, is generated
22	second internal on-site worker/shopper and he labels the	22	exclusively from Costco customers' cars using the Costco
23	third as other, and here's the problem. The first problem.	23	warehouse. That 30 percent does not include people going
24	I'll get to that in a minute but he, his pass-by traffic and	24	elsewhere in the mall, it does not include office workers,
25	his breakdown between pass-by traffic and internal is not	25	it does not include any of that. So all of that other
	Page 87		Page 89
1			
-	the breakdown that is being used in the traffic report, but	1	traffic is over in the pass-by traffic area. It has to be.
	the breakdown that is being used in the traffic report, but I'll come back to that in a moment.		traffic is over in the pass-by traffic area. It has to be. It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a
	-	2	
2 3	I'll come back to that in a moment.	2 3	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a
2 3 4	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37	2 3	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco
2 3 4 5	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around	2 3 4 5	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse.
2 3 4 5 6	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed	2 3 4 5	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and	2 3 4 5 6	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he	2 3 4 5 6 7	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives from anything. It simply is assuming what you are trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand, the proper figure would be for the demand for the Costco gas station?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand, the proper figure would be for the demand for the Costco gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives from anything. It simply is assuming what you are trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand, the proper figure would be for the demand for the Costco gas station? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: You're disputing his figures.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives from anything. It simply is assuming what you are trying to prove, which is how much demand you're going to get, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand, the proper figure would be for the demand for the Costco gas station? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives from anything. It simply is assuming what you are trying to prove, which is how much demand you're going to get, and then just taking that assumption and just dividing it by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand, the proper figure would be for the demand for the Costco gas station? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: You're disputing his figures. MS. CORDRY: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: His bottom line which what was his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'll come back to that in a moment. The first part, his pass-by traffic, he says 37 percent and then he allocates it to the three roads around there. Let me go back. First, he simply takes the assumed 12 million gallons and he multiplies 37 percent of that and comes up with a figure of 4.4 million gallons. And then he just allocates that out to the traffic on each one of the three roads around there which again, so he, this is just something, he's assuming 4.4 million gallons and then he just allocates it to traffic around there and says okay, so we'll get so many vehicles per day on each one of these and calculates that of the total number of vehicles on the road, if you assume that you're going to sell 12 million gallons at 12 gallons per purchase coming up with 370,000 sales, if you divide that out, you come up with a figure of 1.18 percent of all the pass-by traffic. I mean, that's true. That's the number you get but it's not a number that derives from anything. It simply is assuming what you are trying to prove, which is how much demand you're going to get, and then just taking that assumption and just dividing it by the number of roads. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's not a new trip just to the gas station. It's not a trip that's captured by the people going to Costco warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what is the impact of putting it one in one box versus the other? MS. CORDRY: Because he's double counting it then. MR. GROSSMAN: You're saying it's double counted. MS. CORDRY: Right. Because if he's, if he's counting in his report all of this, all of these generated sales and he's looking at Exhibit 3 for people going, people working at the mall, working in the offices and then shoppers at the mall and non-mall retail, all of those aspects are already in the 4.4 million gallons. MR. GROSSMAN: So what's your bottom line as to first take his, the limited neighborhood that we're talking about now, what's your bottom line as to what the demand, the proper figure would be for the demand for the Costco gas station? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: You're disputing his figures. MS. CORDRY: Okay.

	Page 90		Page 92
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	1	I mean, in one respect, maybe his number here that
2	MS. CORDRY: As we'll see, we're not going to get		he uses at page 5, the other sources where he's talking
	anywhere near that number there.		about the households that reside within, just within the
4	MR. GROSSMAN: And what would your bottom line be?		general neighborhood. I mean, he came up with a figure of
	I'm jumping ahead. You can go back and explain it further.		29,000 gallons and that's, I'll get to in just a moment, is
6	MS. CORDRY: I'm not sure I have a bottom line		undoubtedly far too high. So if we're talking about just
7	since I, it's not really a neighborhood that I think we		the households in the neighborhood, that's obviously not a
	would, we would look at but if we're trying, and again, it's		figure that needs anything. There's all kinds of ways you
	a question of, and I made this point about, when we talked		can, you can generate a number here but
	last time that, you know, does the Costco, does people	10	· =
11	bring, coming into the Costco warehouse count as part of the	11	broader neighborhood which you did study in
12	general neighborhood since it's a self-created, it's a	12	MS. CORDRY: Right.
13	regional operation bringing people there and then saying now	13	MR. GROSSMAN: in more detail, did you have a
14	look, I've created a neighborhood need.	14	figure there?
15	So if we look at, you know, a lot of people do a	15	MS. CORDRY: Well, what I said there was using the
16	lot of these studies and, and they oftentimes may look at	16	Claritas report
17	some of these things, what's generated here, what's	17	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
	generated there but his report never did that and this	18	5 5
	report is not doing it properly. I'm not sure I could tell	19	without convenience stores
	you because I don't have the figures to try to, to deal with	20	6
	all of those things but I can tell you that this report is	21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	wrong.		that you would, you should capture 100 percent of that
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what's your best estimate?		difference that they come up with which, again, as we
24	MS. CORDRY: In terms of is there any need for the		pointed out, no one, including Mr. Flynn, ever suggested you
25	station? Zero. We have 25, 27 gas stations in this area	25	capture 100 percent of that but even 100 percent of that
	Page 91		Page 93
-		1	Ű
	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we		would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you
2	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No.	2	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll
2 3	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made	2 3	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between
2 3 4	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even	2 3 4	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact
2 3 4 5	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas	2 3 4 5	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is
2 3 4 5	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output	2 3 4 5 6	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would
2 3 4 5 6	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can.	2 3 4 5 6 7	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is
2 3 4 5 6 7	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question is of, and this is what I pointed out before in this report,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be? MS. CORDRY: Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question is of, and this is what I pointed out before in this report, of that total 12 million gallons, which they apparently are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be? MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: Taking into the account the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question is of, and this is what I pointed out before in this report, of that total 12 million gallons, which they apparently are saying people may come as far as Boyds or may come down to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be? MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: Taking into the account the appropriate capture rate and so on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question is of, and this is what I pointed out before in this report, of that total 12 million gallons, which they apparently are saying people may come as far as Boyds or may come down to the District of Columbia and all over this place, how much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be? MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: Taking into the account the appropriate capture rate and so on. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question is of, and this is what I pointed out before in this report, of that total 12 million gallons, which they apparently are saying people may come as far as Boyds or may come down to the District of Columbia and all over this place, how much of that entire universe that they're drawing to our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be? MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: Taking into the account the appropriate capture rate and so on. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, I think MR. GROSSMAN: If it's under two million, what do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	all of which are not selling anywhere near capacity. Do we need another gas station to satisfy the need? No. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I know the assumption is made that you could always, you could, and I think he even answered the question as to whether or not these gas stations could increase their output MS. CORDRY: Clearly, they can. MR. GROSSMAN: to cover it so it's not, that's not the question I guess. MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean, the question simply is, I mean, it's two different questions. The answer is simply of the people that Costco draws from all of the county MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: to come to its warehouse, what portion of those people will also buy some gasoline. And I don't actually have that figure. What I can tell you is the figure of people who go to the gasoline station and how many may go to the store but, you know, again, and the question is of, and this is what I pointed out before in this report, of that total 12 million gallons, which they apparently are saying people may come as far as Boyds or may come down to the District of Columbia and all over this place, how much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	would be less than two million gallons. If you take, if you take the kind of figures that they had which is what I'll call the slippage, I've called the slippage, that between what 100 percent of those purchases would be versus the fact that you normally don't capture 100 percent, that there is some slippage there, that amount of slippage would undoubtedly far swallow up that two million gallons. That two million gallons is really no more than a reflection of the fact that everybody, such as myself when I go to the Eastern Shore, I obviously don't buy all my gasoline here because oftentimes I'll be out of gas on the Eastern Shore. So again, the maximum the Claritas report would show for the entire study area would be two million gallons. The reality is undoubtedly substantially less which is, comes back to the point that MR. GROSSMAN: And how much in your, having studied it as thoroughly as you have, what is your estimate of what it would be? MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: Taking into the account the appropriate capture rate and so on. MS. CORDRY: Well, again, I think MR. GROSSMAN: If it's under two million, what do you think it is?

	Page 94		Page 96
1	two million to zero.	1	from which and can gother this based on the fact that the
2	MR. GROSSMAN: You don't have a figure.		from which one can gather this, based on the fact that the applicant has the burden of putting evidence in the record
3	MS. CORDRY: Well, I don't because there was no		to show those kind of, that kind of information, not the
	attempt made in his report to specify what is the existing		opponent to show. What I have shown you is that the
	total usage in the area versus how much is actually		evidence does not exist. I have shown you that based on
	pumped I did give you a couple numbers there. I said		what they have put in, that the maximum they could possibly
	you might estimate that the, that the total usage in this	7	
	area is around, I'd have to go back and pull up the original		station, that the number is undoubtedly likely far lower and
	figures, you know, 35 million I think it was I said and		that their number bases to say it's zero. I could pick a
	they're pumping about that so that there's really not much.		number in between those two
	It depends on if you add other numbers in for traffic, for	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, no. 1'm not asking you
	other people coming in the area besides just the residents.	12	MS. CORDRY: but that's the best I can do.
	If you took the 65 percent, 65 to 70 percent of that higher	13	MR. GROSSMAN: to speculate but if you, if you
	number, you'd still come out to about what is being sold		wish to. I'm trying to give you the opportunity to give a
	here.		figure if you wish to.
16	I mean, I think on almost any kind of measure you	16	MS. CORDRY: Well
17	would do, if you do it the standard way, which was not done	17	MR. GROSSMAN: If you do not wish to, then you
	by Mr. Flynn and he hasn't given us the numbers and I'm not		certainly don't have to.
	really in a position to do those, but if I, if I try to come	19	MS. CORDRY: Okay. I think I have given the
20	up with estimates, again, the number is anywhere from	20	figure several times. My best judgment is that the figure
	probably zero to that maximum of two million gallons. I		is zero.
22	think the Claritas report again, as I would say, pretty much	22	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
23	inherently states an absolute maximum of what the number	23	MS. CORDRY: That under any numbers of bases
24	could be because again, that's assuming that it would	24	starting with the fact that we are considerably over-served
25	somehow be realistic to capture 100 percent of what people	25	considering the number of stations there are here,
	Page 95		Bogo 07
	r age 55		Page 97
1	buy in terms of gasoline.	1	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small
1 2		1 2	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small
2	buy in terms of gasoline.	2	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small
2	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're	2	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any
2 3 4	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure.	2 3	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor
2 3 4	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that
2 3 4 5 6	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean, I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying.	2 3 4 5 6	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people
2 3 4 5 6	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean, I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I	2 3 4 5 6	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean, I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean, I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what you're doing but you've asked her three times and three times she's said zero to two million and she</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what you're doing but you've asked her three times and three times she's said zero to two million and she MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what you're doing but you've asked her three times and three times she's said zero to two million and she MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> <li>accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> <li>accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am</li> <li>giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what you're doing but you've asked her three times and three times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I am not the expert here.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what you're doing but you've asked her three times and three times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I am not the expert here.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Unwilling was the wrong</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any station, if it sells at a lower price, generate people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what you're doing but you've asked her three times and three times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I am not the expert here.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Unwilling was the wrong word.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any station, if it sells at a lower price, generate people coming to the area and buying gasoline there, yes. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> <li>accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am</li> <li>giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I</li> <li>am not the expert here.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Unwilling was the wrong</li> <li>word.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And remember</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any station, if it sells at a lower price, generate people coming to the area and buying gasoline there, yes. I'm not going to dispute that they are going to be able to sell a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> <li>accept whatever the final MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am</li> <li>giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I am not the expert here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Unwilling was the wrong</li> <li>word. MS. CORDRY: And remember MR. GROSSMAN: You're not unwilling or unable or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any station, if it sells at a lower price, generate people coming to the area and buying gasoline there, yes. I'm not going to dispute that they are going to be able to sell a lot of gasoline, but that's a different question from need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Okay. I</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> <li>accept whatever the final</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am</li> <li>giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I</li> <li>am not the expert here.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Unwilling was the wrong</li> <li>word.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And remember</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: You're not unwilling or unable or</li> <li>just choose not to.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any station, if it sells at a lower price, generate people coming to the area and buying gasoline there, yes. I'm not going to dispute that they are going to be able to sell a lot of gasoline, but that's a different question of benefit,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>buy in terms of gasoline. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And if you so you're</li> <li>not willing to give me a figure. MS. CORDRY: Well, 1.13 million gallons. I mean,</li> <li>I've given you a range. I don't MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm just</li> <li>saying. MS. CORDRY: Okay. I MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not forcing you to do it. I'm</li> <li>just saying, I'm trying to MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I understand what</li> <li>you're doing but you've asked her three times and three</li> <li>times she's said zero to two million and she MR. GROSSMAN: I know. And I'm asking her if</li> <li>she's willing to give me a figure but if she's not, I'll</li> <li>accept whatever the final MS. CORDRY: It's not that I'm unwilling. I am</li> <li>giving you the best evidence I can based on the fact that I am not the expert here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Unwilling was the wrong</li> <li>word. MS. CORDRY: And remember MR. GROSSMAN: You're not unwilling or unable or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	considering the volume at which they pump, the very small percentage of which they pump, there is no need in any reliable sense. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And what if you factor in the suggestion in the case law of convenience to people and price considerations or whatever that they would, that people would in fact likely want to buy gasoline at a Costco station? MS. CORDRY: Well, if I figure in according to the case law, which Lucky Stores say the value to a membership store wanting to serve its own membership is not need in a neighborhood, I would again come up with zero. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think they said that exactly. That's not MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: But in any event, it was a Memco store. MS. CORDRY: Yeah. I think, I think that is exactly what they did say. But in any case, yes. Can any station, if it sells at a lower price, generate people coming to the area and buying gasoline there, yes. I'm not going to dispute that they are going to be able to sell a lot of gasoline, but that's a different question from need

	Page 98		Page 100
1	overall neighborhood, not just the small percentage of the	1	mall and to non-mall retail, those go in as well. That's
	people who are members of Costco, and as we will see in a		about a million and a quarter gallons that you would reduce
	moment, which I will get to, the small percentage of the		from this 6.44 number that he has there. But the biggest
	small percentage of Costco shoppers who buy at the gas		change is the fact that he's using a 30 percent capture rate
5	station, not do they benefit from a few cents a gallon		of shoppers at the Costco warehouse. He's assuming that for
6	reduction in gasoline but does the neighborhood as a whole	6	every person who shops at the Costco warehouse, for every 10
7	benefit from it.	7	persons
8	And that's really the question, the neighborhood	8	MR. GROSSMAN: One-third. One-third of them.
9	as a whole and not just that tiny minority. And will all of	9	MS. CORDRY: Yeah. Three will go and buy at the
10	the neighborhood, including the Costco shoppers, suffer if	10	gas station. He just divides that out to get 1,244. He
	stations go out of business which is one of the reasons why	11	multiplies that times 12 gallons, times 365 days a year
12	we have so strongly emphasized the fact that demand is going	12	
	down and will continue to go down. Stations are going to be	13	•
	in a real squeeze over the next 10, 15, 20, 30 years. It's	14	gallon figure.
	already, there's already a trend of stations going out of	15	-
	business. The kind of and that's with growth, you know,	16	-
	that was even though there was growing usage of gasoline		used in the traffic report does not say that 30 percent of
	over that time. You still had consolidation and other uses		shoppers buy gas. It says that the 30 percent of people
	for gas stations like in Bethesda and so forth. But now		buying gas go to the store. Those are two very different
	with, I've had somebody tell me and I'm sure he may come		numbers.
	back and tell you himself, something like MR. GROSSMAN: Don't tell me.	21	
22	MS. CORDRY: Just the point being, you know, it's	22	MS. CORDRY: And as I worked through in that supplemental report I filed, up until there's some value
23	obvious to see that if gas, if the total market goes down,		to getting Mr. Sullivan's August 26th report. Up until
	that you're going to be cannibalizing from other stores,		then, we didn't have any numbered from which I could have
	Page 99		Page 101
1	Page 99 from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure	1	Page 101 derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
2	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure	2	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for
2 3	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and	2 3	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his
2 3 4 5	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services	2 3	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time	2 3 4 5 6	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018
2 3 4 5 6 7	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a	2 3 4 5 6 7	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to	2 3 6 7 8 9	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that 740
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that 740 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What do you get?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that 740 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What do you get?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Just to, so just to finish off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that 740 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What do you get? MS. CORDRY: Okay. About 3.5 million gallons, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Just to, so just to finish off quickly on this analysis here, as I say, so all of the sales	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that 740 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What do you get? MS. CORDRY: Okay. About 3.5 million gallons, not 5.44 million gallons. So you take another 2 million gallons off of that, that figure that he was coming up with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	from other stations. That cannot help but put more pressure on them to, that will likely drive more out of business and that will create lack of benefits for everyone including the Costco shoppers. As we pointed out, Costco operates a very minimal operation. It doesn't provide a lot of the services that gas stations do and it's not open a lot of the time that people need gas stations. So even the person who is a Costco member who would buy at Costco from time to time, if they come back after 7:00 p.m. I think it is on Saturday and the car's, the light's blinking on empty and they want to get gas, they're still going to have to go someplace else to get it. So if that station is out of business now because Costco isn't there anymore, I mean because MR. GROSSMAN: Costco MS. CORDRY: because Costco put them in because Costco is there, that person is not going to be benefited by it. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: So MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. CORDRY: Just to, so just to finish off quickly on this analysis here, as I say, so all of the sales that are being represented in Exhibit 3, generally all of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	derived this but in his report, he gave you total sales for the month of June at Sterling. They were on page 19 of his report. If you add all those up over the course of the month, you get 90,569 sales for that month. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Divide that by 30, you get 3,018 sales per day. Thirty percent of that is 905 transactions a day by shoppers at Sterling who had also used the warehouse. If you take the fact that Sterling, that Wheaton is expected to have 87 percent of the sales at its gas station as Sterling, which is the number that they've used, you know, in many aspects of their report, then you would take 87 percent of that 905 figure which would reduce you to 7, you would expect to have 744 sales per day from gasoline station shoppers who would also be shopping at the warehouse, not 1244, 744. So you do that same calculation, 12 gallons per times 365 days, and you get about 3.5 million gallons, not 5.5 million gallons. And just as an example of that, that 740 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What do you get? MS. CORDRY: Okay. About 3.5 million gallons, not 5.44 million gallons. So you take another 2 million gallons off of that, that figure that he was coming up with. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.

	Page 102		Page 104
1	about 18 percent of all the shoppers, not 30 percent. So	1	MR. GROSSMAN: And what did he say would generate
	again, as we pointed out, we're not talking, the	2	in terms of gas sales?
3	neighborhood the Costco membership in terms of households	3	MS. CORDRY: He said 5.5 million gallons.
4	and so forth is 23 percent, perhaps as much as 27 percent	4	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's either 3.5 or 5.5
	they expect it to go to over time of the entire	5	million depending on who's correct about this interpretation
6	neighborhood.	6	
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	7	MS. CORDRY: Yes. Right.
8	MS. CORDRY: Of that 20	8	
9	MS. HARRIS: Excuse me. I wanted, and I should	9	
	have done it a couple minutes ago, I believe Ms. Cordry is		Guckert or Mr. Flynn on the stand so I think I'd stick with
	misinterpreting the traffic report in terms of the 30		the and again, page 21, based on a conservative approach,
	percent issue.		we are estimating 30 percent of the customers to the
13	MR. GROSSMAN: You think that it is saying what		gasoline station will also visit the Costco warehouse.
	Mr. Flynn said? MS. HARRIS: Yes. That all the number of people	14 15	5
15	going to, that are shopping at the store, at least 30		thing, you know, it's either 30 percent of the customers are
	percent of those shoppers are also buying gas. And then to		going to use the gas station or 30 percent of the gas
	be conservative, what Mr. Guckert did was say okay, I'm not	18	
	going to add anything else onto that 30 percent in terms of	19	
	a person that went to Macy's that's also shopping. So he	20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	just used a total of 30 percent but in fact, 30, it is 30	21	
	percent that are shopping at the Costco warehouse, if there		states that it's 30 percent of the gas station customers are
	is a gas station, while they're in the same trip, buy gas.		going to use the warehouse, not the other way around, so I
24	MS. CORDRY: Well, that is not		think that's very clearly another miscalculation there. So
25	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what's the experience at		again, you take that off. If you take that, you know, his
	Page 103		Page 105
			Tage 103
1	Sterling in that, in that	1	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now
2	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and	2	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2
2 3	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one	2	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said.
2 3 4	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number	2 3 4	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going
2 3 4 5	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco	2 3 4 5	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is
2 3 4 5 6	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses.	2 3 4 5 6	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his
2 3 4 5 6 7	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling.	2 3 4 5 6 7	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons from Costco shoppers or what was the figure Mr. Flynn used?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local neighborhood does not include the mall. I mean, doesn't it include the mall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons from Costco shoppers or what was the figure Mr. Flynn used? MS. CORDRY: Well, what he used was the other way. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And the other way. What was his total?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local neighborhood does not include the mall. I mean, doesn't it include the mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, but it, I think what this comes to is the question about are we not just simply smuggling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons from Costco shoppers or what was the figure Mr. Flynn used? MS. CORDRY: Well, what he used was the other way. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And the other way. What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local neighborhood does not include the mall. I mean, doesn't it include the mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, but it, I think what this comes to is the question about are we not just simply smuggling the regional analysis back into the neighborhood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons from Costco shoppers or what was the figure Mr. Flynn used? MS. CORDRY: Well, what he used was the other way. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And the other way. What was his total? MS. CORDRY: He said 30 percent of the shoppers are going to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local neighborhood does not include the mall. I mean, doesn't it include the mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, but it, I think what this comes to is the question about are we not just simply smuggling the regional analysis back into the neighborhood determination. If you build a regional store, you draw from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons from Costco shoppers or what was the figure Mr. Flynn used? MS. CORDRY: Well, what he used was the other way. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And the other way. What was his total? MS. CORDRY: He said 30 percent of the shoppers are going to go MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local neighborhood does not include the mall. I mean, doesn't it include the mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, but it, I think what this comes to is the question about are we not just simply smuggling the regional analysis back into the neighborhood determination. If you build a regional store, you draw from a huge area, bring them all here and then say ah, now I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sterling in that, in that MS. HARRIS: And in fact, the traffic report, and unfortunately, I thought I had it with me, that's the one thing we didn't bring, noted that it was based on a number of other empirical data from a number of other Costco warehouses. MR. GROSSMAN: Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: I mean, it may be MS. HARRIS: Yes. Not only Sterling. MS. CORDRY: higher, it may be not but I am saying that from the traffic report, from the numbers they used, from the numbers that they have asked you to work with here, page 20 says 30 percent of Costco customers' cars using the warehouse. On page 20 anyway, yeah. That's the page there. So, you know, they're saying that MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on whose interpretation is right, it's either 3.5 million gallons from Costco shoppers or what was the figure Mr. Flynn used? MS. CORDRY: Well, what he used was the other way. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And the other way. What was his total? MS. CORDRY: He said 30 percent of the shoppers are going to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	figure would say, you know, by the time you reduced it, now you're down to like 8 million gallons as opposed to 11.2 which, or 11.1 which is what he said. So at least a third of, if you assume you're going to have 12 million gallons, at least a third of that is going to have to come, even if you're using all of his figures, a third of that is going to have to come from outside this local neighborhood even if you're counting sales to the Costco members as all somehow being local neighborhood sales. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, maybe they only sell 8 million. I mean MS. CORDRY: Well, but the point is you're talking about, again, making a station that's far bigger than what the local neighborhood could use, and the real point is it simply MR. GROSSMAN: That's if you assume the local neighborhood does not include the mall. I mean, doesn't it include the mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, but it, I think what this comes to is the question about are we not just simply smuggling the regional analysis back into the neighborhood determination. If you build a regional store, you draw from

	Page 106		Page 108
1	you said last time, it's a self-fulfilling prophecy. You've	1	together. I'm not going to do a consistent analysis, I'm
	created a need and, you know, by bringing everybody here and		not going to clarify things. I'm going to kind of pick and
	then you say ah, now I have to satisfy it.		choose different pieces and I'm going to say well, you
4	I mean, they don't need to satisfy it here. They		know
	can satisfy it anywhere between their home and the Costco	5	
	warehouse. There is no need that need has to be satisfied	-	I'm asking you something about the whole thing. I mean,
	in this area other than the fact that the membership, a		don't I have to do, don't I have to look at the whole thing?
8	small portion of the membership, 18 percent of the 23	8	MS. CORDRY: If you
9	percent of people who are Costco members want to buy gas at	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Whether the whole thing is the
10	the Costco station. I'm sure they view it as benefitting	10	general neighborhood including the mall and the immediate
11	them but in terms of the overall neighborhood here, whether	11	environs, as technical staff defined it for general
12	it's the small neighborhood or the seven minute	12	neighborhood, or the broader, drive time neighborhood, don't
13	neighborhood, that neighborhood is not benefitting from that	13	I have to look at the whole thing?
14	store. So if you look at	14	MS. CORDRY: Well, if you look at the whole thing,
15	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand your point. I'm not	15	then you look at
	sure you're right or not. I do have to consider the mall as	16	5
	it exists and it includes a Costco warehouse now as part of		generated by the mall.
	what would generate need. It is part of the neighborhood by	18	MS. CORDRY: Then you go back to the Claritas
	any definition, whether it's the limited neighborhood, which		report, and that does look at the whole area there. I mean,
	we used to call the general neighborhood, or the big,		I don't think you
	broader market area neighborhood, it is part of the	21	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the Claritas report looks at
	neighborhood.		the more, the broader area but I'm saying even if I look at,
23	MS. CORDRY: Well		even if I look at the smaller general neighborhood, don't I have to take into account the mall? It's not like I'm,
24	MR. GROSSMAN: I do have to consider it. It's not like it doesn't exist now and they're going to create a mall		they're asking to build a mall to generate gas demand.
25	like it doesn't exist now and they re going to create a main	25	they le asking to build a mail to generate gas demand.
	Page 107		Page 109
1		1	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	Page 107 and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not?		MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall
	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the	2	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
2 3	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not?	2	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that.
2 3 4	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self-	2 3	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course.
2 3 4 5	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a,	2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the Claritas kind of determination there. You have this whole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of MS. CORDRY: Right. And that's fine. You could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the Claritas kind of determination there. You have this whole area, you come up with these calculations, you come up with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of MS. CORDRY: Right. And that's fine. You could consider it. And all I'm saying is when you consider it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the Claritas kind of determination there. You have this whole area, you come up with these calculations, you come up with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of MS. CORDRY: Right. And that's fine. You could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the Claritas kind of determination there. You have this whole area, you come up with these calculations, you come up with what the need is and you don't have that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of MS. CORDRY: Right. And that's fine. You could consider it. And all I'm saying is when you consider it, you have to consider the environs and you have to consider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the Claritas kind of determination there. You have this whole area, you come up with these calculations, you come up with what the need is and you don't have that. I mean, the real problem here is we have, we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of MS. CORDRY: Right. And that's fine. You could consider it. And all I'm saying is when you consider it, you have to consider the environs and you have to consider what is available to serve that and if you look at it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and now do our gas. I mean, it's there and it's part of the neighborhood, is it not? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, as I say, it's a self- fulfilling prophecy in the same sense that if you built a, you know, to take a swimming pool analogy, if you build a huge waterpark, said I'm going to build a huge waterpark, I'm going to draw people from the entire county to my waterpark and then I'm going to say ah, there's a community need for my MR. GROSSMAN: No, but it's built. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: The Costco warehouse exists. I have nothing to do with that. It exists and so does the mall an whatever customers are there. Do I not have to consider that as part of whatever generates need? MS. CORDRY: If you did not already have that need satisfied in the area. I mean, there again, the point is doing, do you need that. There again, that goes back to the Claritas kind of determination there. You have this whole area, you come up with these calculations, you come up with what the need is and you don't have that. I mean, the real problem here is we have, we're sort of picking like, it's sort of a Chinese menu. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: But if you take into account the mall in the general area, you have to also take into account what is there to serve that. MR. GROSSMAN: Of course. MS. CORDRY: And that's really the question there. You have an existing infrastructure that serves the mall, all of its customers, vastly over-serves them as we've indicated. So, you know, I don't think you can just pull out and I think it's really artificially this was one point, and I think Mr. Gang's point, he was saying well, you know, in the land use report, we might need it because there are no gas stations on the mall property itself. Well, okay, but that's not really realistic. People you don't say that the mall is MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to get into Mr. Gang's report or whether it's realistic or not but the point is I'm trying to look at these two definitions and I do have to consider the existing mall as part of MS. CORDRY: Right. And that's fine. You could consider it. And all I'm saying is when you consider it, you have to consider the environs and you have to consider what is available to serve that and if you look at it on that basis, there's clearly adequate and more than adequate

	Page 110		Page 112
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	1	broke, don't fix it analogy here.
2	MS. CORDRY: And if you look at overall benefit, I	2	
3	think, as we've very clearly pointed out, there, this is not	3	problems. Again, we'll talk certainly much more about
	the simple situation before that you had. This is someone		idling at a different stage but the issue of idling,
	where there's it's really, again, unique because we don't		greenhouse gases and so forth, wholly apart from the health
6	have other membership	6	issues is a matter that's one point of the Greenhouse Gas
7	MR. GROSSMAN: I never seem to get a simple		Plan here. The state has a current stated policy of
8	situation, Ms. Cordry.	8	reducing greenhouse gases and reducing idling, reducing
9	MS. CORDRY: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.	9	vehicles miles traveled, and what we were doing is
10	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know why. All right.	10	deliberately, if we bring this here, is creating an idling
11	MS. CORDRY: All right. So I think in terms of	11	source and greenhouse gas source that doesn't now exist and
12	that, that's pretty much what I had to say with his report.	12	will never exist in the county because all of these vehicles
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	13	are spread out. They're not creating idling. When you
14	MS. CORDRY: And so I really just in sum, you	14	concentrate them here without enough pumps to handle them,
15	know, you've heard this, our basic situation is what we have	15	you create a problem that doesn't currently exist. So I
16	here is a situation where the county as a whole, and	16	think that is something that would be broke and we don't
17	certainly this neighborhood, is well-served with gasoline	17	need to fix it.
18	stations, a variety. If we needed anything, it might be,	18	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Cross-examination?
19	you know, a nice Wawa that you could go into and get your	19	MS. HARRIS: May we take a five minute break or a
20	chicken or something like that but that's not what we,	20	two minute break?
21	that's not what's being proposed here. What we do have is a	21	MR. SILVERMAN: How about a lunch break?
22	situation in which gasoline usage that is spread out across	22	MR. GROSSMAN: You're not hungry yet, Mr.
	the county and which does not create problems because of its	-	Silverman.
	diffusion is being concentrated into a single area. It	24	5.
25	creates a whole slew of problems that do not now exist and	25	helpful.
	Page 111		Page 113
-	, and the second s	-	Ĵ
	that do not need to exist because the area is already being	1	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides
2	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was
2 3	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your
2 3 4	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic.	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert?
2 3 4 5	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour,
2 3 4 5 6	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn.
2 3 4 5 6 7	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating
2 3 6 7 8 9	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and address, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: That's MS. CORDRY: but improve it for who?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and address, please? MS. ALPERT: Margaret Alpert, 3003 Plyers Mill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: That's MS. CORDRY: but improve it for who? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. I mean MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm just saying I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and address, please? MS. ALPERT: Margaret Alpert, 3003 Plyers Mill Road, Kensington, Maryland.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: That's MS. CORDRY: but improve it for who? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. I mean MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm just saying I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and address, please? MS. ALPERT: Margaret Alpert, 3003 Plyers Mill Road, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: That's MS. CORDRY: but improve it for who? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. I mean MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm just saying I don't know if MS. CORDRY: Right. But improve it for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and address, please? MS. ALPERT: Margaret Alpert, 3003 Plyers Mill Road, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that do not need to exist because the area is already being well-served. And these are all these issues you're going to hear the rest of our discussion. About the traffic. Whatever new traffic is generated, it doesn't, even if it's only a portion that comes as new traffic, it doesn't need to come here. The health issues, the idling issues. All of these sort of matters don't need to exist because all of this need is already being served. I guess perhaps the bottom line is if it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't need the station here under any of the analyses and when you bring it here, you create a net negative effect on the overall neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: The opposite statement of if it ain't broke, don't fix it is if it's not perfect, improve it. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: That's MS. CORDRY: but improve it for who? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. I mean MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm just saying I don't know if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, if both sides want a lunch break, then we can take a lunch break. I was thinking I wanted to give Ms. Alpert how long do your testimony, would your testimony take, Ms. Alpert? MS. ALPERT: Not, maybe, no more than a half hour, maybe less. It depends how fast I talk. I'm from Brooklyn. I can go pretty fast. MR. GOECKE: Have her testify now? MR. GROSSMAN: That's what I was contemplating rather than, and before cross-examination. Do you want to do that? Does that make sense? MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GOECKE: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So Ms. Cordry, let's give you a break and Ms. Alpert, come to the stand. All right. Have a seat, ma'am. MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you state your full name and address, please? MS. ALPERT: Margaret Alpert, 3003 Plyers Mill Road, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed.

	Page 114		Page 116
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Cordry had made will be touched upon in this. I am not an environmentalist, I'm not a traffic controller, I'm not a marketing person. I'm a child development specialist, I'm a mother and a grandmother. And I lived in Kensington within a mile of the plaza, and we used to call it Wheaton Plaza, for 41 years. I raised two children here and I'm now helping with my grandchildren. Two of them are on the Kenmont Swim Team, one of whom has asthma. Between 1975 and '85, my children and I would walk to the Kenmont Swim Club and then walk to the plaza from the swimming pool area for lunch or shopping or whatever we needed. It was a relaxing way to spend the day. I would also walk there to shop from my home. Needless to say that the traffic from '75 to '85 was not impressive, was not an issue. Most drivers, once they entered the plaza, would park and walk around within the mall. Once they entered the mall, there was little reason to get back in the car to go anywhere else. There was one gas station where the Wendy's is now and you could access it actually driving out of the mall or from Veirs Mill Road. There was no need to go through a maze and cross-cut within the mall area. Even now, the attempt to walk is a very risky business. There is much more traffic during business hours which of course is the time when teenagers frequent the area, and we just heard a traffic report from a traffic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is difficult and congested for drivers and frightful for pedestrians. When one walks up the swim club hill to the road, the attempt to cross presents a safety hazard because drivers do not look for walkers. The closest intersection with a crosswalk is at the Ring Road and Valley View entrance from University Boulevard, and that's where the crosswalk is. I have tried to cross there. There is not much opportunity to negotiate crossing with cars turning from stop signs. I'm aware that there is a plan to build an elevated sidewalk around the mall, I believe it's the west and south side, and I think this is great. I believe that such a path is necessary given the high level of traffic
	Page 115		Page 117
1	person in Montgomery County whose name escapes me who stated		
2		2	The next crosswalk, if you're going to the right around MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly
3	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction
3 4	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing,	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic.
3 4 5 6	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in
3 4 5 6 7	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right.
3 4 5 6 7	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. SILVERMAN: There are published reports. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently MS. ADELMAN: If it helps	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other words, you'd go all the way from the entrance at Valley View
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently MR. GROSSMAN: If it helps MR. GROSSMAN: but the hearsay portion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other words, you'd go all the way from the entrance at Valley View all the way around the southern Ring Road past the Costco
3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. SILVERMAN: There are published reports. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently MR. GROSSMAN: I think hearsay portion of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other words, you'd go all the way from the entrance at Valley View all the way around the southern Ring Road past the Costco warehouse before you'd get to another crosswalk
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently MR. GROSSMAN: If it helps MR. GROSSMAN: but the hearsay portion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other words, you'd go all the way from the entrance at Valley View all the way around the southern Ring Road past the Costco warehouse before you'd get to another crosswalk MS. ALPERT: Correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently MS. ADELMAN: If it helps MR. GROSSMAN: but the hearsay portion of this MS. ALPERT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other words, you'd go all the way from the entrance at Valley View all the way around the southern Ring Road past the Costco warehouse before you'd get to another crosswalk MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: across the Ring Road.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that the accidents occurring in parking lots are increasing, and I guess the drivers back up out and people get hit and we have our young people who love to go to malls as we know, many of them don't drive and they're walking around these parking lots. The Ring Road is difficult MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to the hearsay portion of this testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean the portion in which she said that some traffic expert said that parking MR. GOECKE: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's, that's fair since we don't know who said what. MS. ALPERT: That's okay. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I sustain that. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, then if there are, then they can come in independently MS. ADELMAN: If it helps MR. GROSSMAN: but the hearsay portion of this MS. ADELMAN: If it helps, Mr. Grossman, his name	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Along the southern, moving easterly along the southern Ring Road? Which direction MS. ALPERT: I'm dyslexic. MR. GROSSMAN: Which direction are you going? MS. ALPERT: I don't know. You're entering in Valley View and you're turning right. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Okay. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: So that would be southerly and then easterly along southern Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Okay. The next crosswalk does not occur until you've come all the way around to the Sears warehouse. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And then there are three which are from steps that are accessing from the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So that's past in other words, you'd go all the way from the entrance at Valley View all the way around the southern Ring Road past the Costco warehouse before you'd get to another crosswalk MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: across the Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Correct.

	Page 118		Page 120
1	MS. ALPERT: Now, if I wanted to cross at Valley	1	stations?
	View, I could but as I said before, it's, it's almost	2	
3	impossible to get an opportunity to use the crosswalk.	3	
4	MR. GROSSMAN: So this problem would be helped by	4	
	adding a crosswalk along the Ring Road somewhere in between		I'll tell you. Before Costco opened here, I received a
	there.		membership gift to Costco so I started going to Beltsville.
7	MS. ALPERT: Well, the only see, that's all		The first time I went there, I saw the sign, you know, the
8	Costco parking lot there so you would be having a cross road		gas was so cheap, oh, my goodness. So before I shopped,
	into a parking lot, the difficulties of which I will address		because in case I had refrigerated stuff, I went to the gas
	shortly, with all the traffic in there is deadly because I		station, and I just turned around and left. The smell. The
	have parked there and had to negotiate the parking lot to		air was so dense my chest began to get tight, my nose was
	get to and from my car to get to the mall, and I did this		burning and here were these lines of cars idling, waiting in
	all in preparation for this. It's deadly. Truly deadly.		line to get this cheap gas. Well, I thought the money I
14	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		saved on the gas would be expended sitting there waiting
15	MS. ALPERT: Almost. I'm still alive so it's not		plus the additional pollution, so I never went back. I
	totally deadly. It's mostly deadly. Okay. So from my		never got gas there.
	observations of the gas station location plan, this would	17	
	exacerbate the difficulty and danger and health issues. It		members who are the only ones allowed to use the Costco gas
	would bring more cars into the mall that would otherwise be		station, they have opportunities to I'm sure the only
	entering which was substantiated. We will get some more		driving they do is not to Costco so the percentage of those
	Costco members coming in that were not shopping there. This		that will actually be using gas because they're looking at
	would include not only trips coming solely for gas but also		their meter and they're low, how much is that? You drive
	those who are already in the mall area to shop who will be		around your neighborhood and oh, gee, I'm low. You could be
	leaving their parking place to drive again to a gas station.		on Rockville Pike, you could be on Veirs Mill Road, you
	Many of these cars will be using that area of Ring Road		could be anywhere. Gee, I need gas. So you're not going to
10		23	
	Page 119		Page 121
1	Page 119 where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very	1	Page 121 wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said
			-
	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very	2	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said
2 3	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use.	2 3	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are
2 3 4	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe	2 3 4	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there.
2 3 4 5	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're	2 3 4	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds.
2 3 4 5 6	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the	2 3 4 5 6	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds.
2 3 4 5 6 7	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out	2 3 4 5 6 7	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were just going out, it wouldn't be so bad but they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were just going out, it wouldn't be so bad but they're crisscrossing to get in position to get into a gas line.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were just going out, it wouldn't be so bad but they're crisscrossing to get in position to get into a gas line. And I have watched at other gas stations where there were at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were just going out, it wouldn't be so bad but they're crisscrossing to get in position to get into a gas line. And I have watched at other gas stations where there were at least a minimum of three cars at each pump so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood. So here are these cars that are already in the mall area to shop leaving their parking places, driving again, getting involved in this crisscross maze in the parking lot. This is in addition to the cars waiting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were just going out, it wouldn't be so bad but they're crisscrossing to get in position to get into a gas line. And I have watched at other gas stations where there were at least a minimum of three cars at each pump so MR. GROSSMAN: You mean other Costco stations,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	where the Kenmont Swim Club is located. It is very difficult for drivers to use. Since you're already parked, you're going maybe not even to Costco, you're a member but wherever you're going, you've parked at the mall. In order to access the gas station, you have to get out of your parking lot, out of, you know, get back in your car, drive again and go to the gas station which is difficult. It will be backed up. The Ring Road will be backed up because as I understand due to this pedestrian walkway, the Ring Road will be reduced by one lane. That's, that was the am I wrong? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're wrong. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for the clarification. But even if it's not reduced by one lane, the congestion in that concentrated area of cars coming in, trying to get out and then of those cars negotiating in that crisscross fashion to get to the gas station. If they were just going out, it wouldn't be so bad but they're crisscrossing to get in position to get into a gas line. And I have watched at other gas stations where there were at least a minimum of three cars at each pump so MR. GROSSMAN: You mean other Costco stations, ma'am?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	wait until you get to Costco and get it. And someone said well, people, it's a larger community because people are going to come from Boyds and from here and from there. Well, there are closer gas stations and closer Costcos than, than Boyds. And the fact is that in Gaithersburg, there is no gas station because it's a residential community. Pentagon City has no gas station. It's a residential community. So for someone to use Costco coming from Boyds, I'm sure there are I don't know how many gas stations between their home and Wheaton where they can get gas if they need it and maybe, you know, what's the percentage of needing it on route? My percentage is very high needing gas on route. So I don't have a particular station I patronize. It depends where I am and, you know, I don't know. Just go around the neighborhood. I've been there for 41 years. I know my neighborhood.

1 idling is going on right there.1MS. ALPERT: The analysis report that2These emissions will be increased for those2Mr. Sullivan, that he keeps changing it. So3 breathing deeply in the swim club engaging in swimming or3 how, how valid it is and at what point, you k4 tennis or running around as preschoolers tend to do. If you4 use it to see if it's, if it's okay, I mean, if the	I'm not sure
3 breathing deeply in the swim club engaging in swimming or 3 how, how valid it is and at what point, you k	
4 tennis or running around as preschoolers tend to do. If you 4 use it to see if it's, if it's okay, I mean, if the	now, we could
	gas
5 ever saw a three or four-year-old, they're, you know, they 5 stations are okay. It is my understanding the	
6 don't stop and do you want these children to inhale these 6 revised its standards many times to make the	
<ul><li>7 emissions, the air from these emissions.</li><li>7 restrictive and that we are continually learning</li></ul>	•
8 Okay. See the paper. Hold on. The other thing 8 the health effects of various pollutants and t	
9 is if the gas station is built, that will take up parking 9 interactions. I don't want my grandchildren	-
10 space. It will also take up space that delivery trucks need 10 else's to be the guinea pigs for what we will	
11 to negotiate getting in and out of their delivery station. 12 And here are some	-
12 And here are cars 12 Costco gas concentrating fuel delivery in a v 13 MP_CROSSMAN: Meaning the Castee werehouse?	
<ul> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Meaning the Costco warehouse?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: In the Costco gas, the Costco parking</li> <li>effects of its existence.</li> </ul>	inegative
15 It has already been established that v	vehicle
16 MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about delivery 16 emissions are health hazard and create other	
17 trucks to the Costco warehouse? 17 problems. Why else would they forbid idling	
18       MS. ALPERT: Yes.         18       MS. ALPERT: Yes.	•
19 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. There has been, you haven't 19 other large areas where there are designate	-
20 been here but there has been an engineer who said that there 20 up/drop-off areas? There it says no idling.	
21 is adequate turning radius and in fact, it will not be any 21 trying to reduce greenhouse gases, why we	
22 smaller turning radius for those trucks to enter the 22 create a new source of idling in our neighbor	
23 delivery docks than 23 creates such gases?	
24     MS. ALPERT: Okay.     24     All of these concerns are underscore.	d by the fact
25 MR. GROSSMAN: is currently existing there. 25 that we have no need for additional gas star	tion in the
Dury 400	David 405
Page 123	Page 125
1 MS. ALPERT: Okay. So that will not change, but 2 there will be traffic going in and out that will impede the	
<ul> <li>2 there will be traffic going in and out that will impede the</li> <li>3 progress of these trucks, or impede the progress of the cars</li> <li>3 brand. Why do we need another station with</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3 progress of these trucks, or impede the progress of the cars</li> <li>4 so they're going to sit there and idle waiting for a truck</li> <li>3 brand. Why do we need another station with</li> <li>4 and services? At Costco gas, can I buy a b</li> </ul>	
5 to get in and out. Again, increase idling. And if you're 5 loaf of bread, an ice cream sandwich or a b	
<ul> <li>6 walking, if you're parking your car somewhere and the only</li> <li>6 The answer is no, especially at 10:00 a.m., a</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 spot you can find is somewhere in that vicinity, how are you</li> <li>7 8:00 a.m. So why would we need another g</li> </ul>	
8 going to walk across that area to get into the mall, to 8 such limited services, particularly one that n	
9 Costco or anywhere else in the mall? Okay. 9 some of those that I patronize for these addi	
10 So all right. And then you got baby carriages and 10 out of business?	
11 bike, I don't see anyone bike there anymore. I used to bike 11 One final concern I have. Costco has	s proposed
12 there. I used to walk there. I don't do either one of 12 greenery barriers, some kind of a	
13 those anymore. My children used to walk there. I wouldn't 13 MR. GROSSMAN: A green wall I gue	
14Itet them walk there now. Okay.Itet them walk there now. Okay.14MS. ALPERT: A green okay. Than	
15         So I talked about the fact that I'm a member and I         15 wall around some of the areas of Ring Road	
16 went to Beltsville, and I do shop at the store but I only go	-
17 there like once every two months because there are only two 19 of up left in the bounded land up less limit because there are only two	
<b>18</b> of us left in the household now. And unless I'm having a <b>18</b> planned contract in place for the maintenan <b>19</b> party or peed to do a pathock. I really don't frequent the	
<ol> <li>party or need to do a potluck, I really don't frequent the</li> <li>store that well. I go to Giant or, you know, supermarket</li> <li>simply maintain themselves without any help.</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>20 store that well. I go to Giant or, you know, supermarket</li> <li>21 where I can have the quantities I need.</li> <li>20 simply maintain themselves without any help.</li> <li>21 is the green, green wall that was mandated and the green.</li> </ul>	
21       where i can have the quantities meed.       21       is the green, green wan that was mandated in the green was mandated in	
23 received. It seems to be inconsistent.23 is now mostly dead.	alleviate vour
23 received. It seems to be inconsistent.   23 is now mostly dead.	-

	Page 126		Page 128
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsible for maintenance of the green wall and it's indicated that it would not oppose a condition and a special exception if the Board of Appeals were to grant one which would require them to maintain them. Is that correct, Mr. Brann? MR. BRANN: That's correct. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Thank you. I'm MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Anyway, the bottom line for me is that I live in the area. I know that population cannot be controlled and Wheaton Plaza, as it used to be, is no longer what it used to be, a nice, quiet little place, and I can accept that. I can accept the additional traffic. Why, with the things going through in the county for greenhouse control and making the county green, why add this when we really don't need it? There are too many gas stations around anyway. To use the Costco gas station becomes really a pain, a royal pain having to negotiate that area within the parking lot. You're getting in your car anyway. You know, you could just leave and get gas on the way home. So that's it, and I thank you all very much for your attention. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome. Hold on one	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ALPERT: But it is so congested there that with cars turning, the opportunity to actually cross, cars are not used to seeing pedestrians so, and I'm not a very big person so it's difficult and the next crosswalk is all the way down by the Sears warehouse. And then the next one is up at the corner where the L.A. Fitness is and then there's not another one, I'm trying to remember, there's not another one until, L.A. Fitness, until you get to the Penney's area which is also a very bad intersection because you have cars entering and exiting from Veirs Mill Road. Even though there are crosswalks, again, you run into the same problem as you do up at Valley View. MS. HARRIS: And at Valley View and the Ring Road, there's a paved path leading to intersect with the Ring Road which then you cross the Ring Road, correct? MS. ALPERT: There's no paved path now. MS. HARRIS: It's just a path MS. ALPERT: There's no path. MS. HARRIS: on the Ring Road. MS. ALPERT: Wait. MS. HARRIS: The connection from, there's a crosswalk going across the Ring Road at Valley View Drive,
	second. Any cross-examination?		right?
24 25	MS. HARRIS: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION	24 25	
1	MS. HARRIS: It's Ms. Alpert, right? MS. ALPERT: Herb, yeah.	1	
5	MS. ALPERT: Herb, yean. MS. HARRIS: Right. It was B in the e-mail so I had some confusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Spell your last name because there was some confusion.	3 4	then you're into the residential community. MS. ALPERT: No. No. If I'm coming up, if I'm coming up University, this is Giant.
7 8 9 10	MS. ALPERT: Okay. A-L-P-E-R-T. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. You testified that you had concerns regarding the level of congestion on the Ring Road, is that correct?	7 8 9 10	MS. HARRIS: Yes.
11 12	MS. ALPERT: Well, no. Not well, congestion on the Ring Road, yes, but my main concern about the Ring Road		here.
14 15	was using it as a pedestrian crossway and the congestion for that, you know, concerned me. And also, the congestion of cars coming in and out, you know, with an added gas station.	15	MS. ALPERT: I'm walking on the grass. I come up here. There are intersections here. There is no sidewalk here, there is no sidewalk here and there's no sidewalk here.
16	There would, it was testified that there would be more, more		
17 18	traffic anyway. MS. HARRIS: But in regard to the pedestrians, is your concern triggered by the fact that there are cars, too many cars along the Ring Road?	17 18 19 20	path that's being proposed would commence at that juncture. MS. ALPERT: Okay, yeah.

	Page 130		Page 132
1	crosswalk here.	1	MS. ALPERT: So if the gas station isn't approved,
2	MS. ALPERT: There are three.	1	the traffic is still there and I still have no sidewalk,
3	MS. HARRIS: Right. But between those two points,		right?
	are there any other means of ingress into the neighborhood?	4	
5	MS. ALPERT: No.		answer
6	MS. HARRIS: So why would you need a crosswalk	6	MS. ALPERT: And a lot of the reason for the fact
-	anywhere else on the Ring Road?	_	that I'm having this difficulty is because Costco is there.
8	MS. ALPERT: Why would I need a crosswalk?	8	MS. HARRIS: Okay.
-	Because this is difficult to negotiate. It's almost	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Alpert, the sidewalk really is
	impossible to cross here. So it would be nice to have one		not on the special exception site so the, the, neither the
	over here.		Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals has control over
12	MR. GROSSMAN: I can't see where you're pointing		what happens off of the site without the agreement of a non-
	to, ma'am.		applicant here, the owner of Westfield.
14	MS. ALPERT: Oh. It would be nice to have one	14	MS. ALPERT: I understand.
	further down.	15	MR. GROSSMAN: Westfield has agreed if the special
16	MS. HARRIS: So you're suggesting if you commenced	-	exception is granted, they have agreed to having that
	your journey here and you're walking south		sidewalk constructed on their property.
18	MS. ALPERT: Right.	18	MS. ALPERT: Okay. I'll
19	MS. HARRIS: and you don't want to come all the	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Whether or not they do it
	way here.		otherwise
21	MS. ALPERT: Right.	21	MS. ALPERT: I'll compromise.
22	MS. HARRIS: Okay. So your point is that if we	22	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, let me finish.
	were to, if an additional crosswalk were to be added	23	MS. ALPERT: Yeah. Okay.
24	MS. ALPERT: Or if	24	MR. GROSSMAN: Whether or not they do it otherwise
25	MS. HARRIS: somewhere along there.	25	because of other agreements they have or other obligations
	u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u u		<i>. . . .</i>
	Page 131		Page 133
1	Page 131 MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont.	1	
1			Page 133 they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.
2	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont.		they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.
2 3	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record,	2	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.
2 3 4	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern	2 3 4	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure.
2 3 4	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing	2 3 4	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it
2 3 4 5	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot.	2 3 4 5 6	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's
2 3 4 5 6	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross- examination questions? MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you
2 3 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross- examination questions?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross- examination questions? MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross- examination questions? MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross- examination questions? MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross- examination questions? MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time? MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none. MS. HARRIS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: You're walking?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals. MS. ALPERT: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions? MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time? MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none. MS. HARRIS: Okay. MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like MS. HARRIS: You're walking? MS. ALPERT: you're walking?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a condition of approval, has agreed to install a sidewalk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: you're walking?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: How bizarre.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a condition of approval, has agreed to install a sidewalk. MS. ALPERT: And this has nothing to do with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: you're walking?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: How bizarre.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a condition of approval, has agreed to install a sidewalk. MS. ALPERT: And this has nothing to do with the gas station.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: - you're walking?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But that</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That was fine. I was just curious</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a condition of approval, has agreed to install a sidewalk. MS. ALPERT: And this has nothing to do with the gas station. MS. HARRIS: No. It's a condition of approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: you're walking?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But that</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That was fine. I was just curious about that experience.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a condition of approval, has agreed to install a sidewalk. MS. ALPERT: And this has nothing to do with the gas station. MS. HARRIS: No. It's a condition of approval. If the gas station is approved, then the sidewalk will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it</li> <li>may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making</li> <li>this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks</li> <li>not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-</li> <li>examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you</li> <li>walked the other day you noted, approximately how many</li> <li>pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that</li> <li>point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: you're walking?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But that</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But that</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That was fine. I was just curious</li> <li>about that experience.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: No. There were, there were none but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. ALPERT: If I'm a Kenmont. MR. GROSSMAN: But somewhere, just for the record, somewhere along here is along the western side, southwestern side of the Ring Road somewhere adjacent to the existing parking lot. MS. ALPERT: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: And if I were to come in from the neighborhood, which I do by the way, if I'm walking, I come in through the steps over here. MS. HARRIS: Where there is a crosswalk. MS. ALPERT: And there are crosswalks here, yes. MS. HARRIS: Okay. So the point being that adding another crosswalk would in fact alleviate that problem. MS. ALPERT: For some. MS. HARRIS: Yes. MS. ALPERT: And a sidewalk. There are no sidewalks there right now. MS. HARRIS: No but again, the applicant, as a condition of approval, has agreed to install a sidewalk. MS. ALPERT: And this has nothing to do with the gas station. MS. HARRIS: No. It's a condition of approval.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>they have is something that I have no jurisdiction over, nor does the Board of Appeals.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Sure.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: That's the point. It may be, it may be constructed anyway. I have no idea. But that's</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I will make a compromise. I'm making this in the name of health, I will give up my sidewalks not to have the gas station.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Any further cross-examination questions?</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: When you were out there, when you walked the other day you noted, approximately how many pedestrians did you see walking along the Ring Road at that point in time?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Well, actually, walking it, none.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: People were looking at me like</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: you're walking?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But that</li> <li>MS. HARRIS: That was fine. I was just curious about that experience.</li> </ul>

	Page 134		Page 136
1	know, it was, it was very congested. And when I went there	1	questions?
	yesterday, it was 6:00 which not many people go to the mall	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	at 6:00, they're coming and going from work but it was	3	-
	still I mean, that's not a research thing. It's just off	-	at the loading docks during the day?
	the top of my head. I don't have anything substantiating	5	MS. ALPERT: I don't go over there.
	that, but it was pretty crowded. And I know even when I go	6	MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. When you were talking about
	there now, it's difficult to find parking and get in and out	7	safe crossing from along the southern side of the Ring Road
	and just, you know, it is not the relaxed place it used to		into the mall property, for example, were you talking about
	be.		people who might be trying to access the mall parcel from
10	MS. HARRIS: Were you aware that Mr. Guckert had		the pool?
11	done a post-Costco warehouse, once the warehouse had opened,	11	MS. ALPERT: Yes.
	he had evaluated the traffic levels within the parking lot	12	MR. ROSENFELD: And I know that
	along the Ring Road and determined that there were what's	13	
	called a level of service A at the various points along the	14	-
	Ring Road?	15	That and
16	MS. ALPERT: What does level of service A mean?	16	MS. ALPERT: From, from the pool and at any other,
17	MS. HARRIS: It means an acceptable level of	17	you know, any other convenient point. In other words, if I
18	traffic.		were walking, I wouldn't want to go into Costco because
19	MR. ROSENFELD: Objection. My first question	19	Costco is not the store you would go to to just purchase a
20	would be has she seen Mr. Guckert's report	20	small item. You're out of, you know, a dozen eggs or
21	MR. GROSSMAN: I think	21	whatever. So you need a car to shop at Costco. You
22	MR. ROSENFELD: and does she have any basis	22	wouldn't use public transportation either. So I wouldn't
23	upon which to answer that question.	23	want to go to Costco but I may want to go to the movies and
24	MR. GROSSMAN: But I think	24	if I go to the movies, there is a crosswalk can I?
25	MS. HARRIS: I asked if she was surprised.	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Absolutely.
	Page 135		Page 137
1	MR. GROSSMAN: I think she's not aware of it.	1	
2	MS. HARRIS: Okay.		but I think the movies, yeah, okay, the movies are over
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Were you aware of any such study?	3	here.
4	MS. ALPERT: No.	4	MR. GROSSMAN: But I can't see where you're
5	MR. GROSSMAN: No. Okay.	5	
6	MS. HARRIS: Okay. You noted that you're	6	MS. ALPERT: Okay. I'm sorry. The movie
	concerned about the loading, the potential conflict between	7	MR. GROSSMAN: So the movies, the movies
	vehicles and the loading.	8	MS. ALPERT: theater is
9	MS. ALPERT: I don't have a conflict, just a back-	9	MR. GROSSMAN: are in the southeastern corner
	up because cars will be sitting there waiting for a truck to		of the mall.
	finish negotiating their, their maneuvers.	11	5
12	MS. HARRIS: Do you, are you aware of when the		there's a FedEx I believe which I frequent and I would, I do walk there. So if I come through the peighborhood and go up
	vast majority of the loading for the Costco warehouse occurs in terms of the hours?		walk there. So if I come through the neighborhood and go up
14 15	MS. ALPERT: Well, my understanding is they can	14	the steps and manage to get over here MR. GROSSMAN: Entering on the southeastern
	come and go any time during the day.		portion of the mall.
10	MS. HARRIS: Would you be surprised to learn that	10	MS. ALPERT: Yeah. And then, you know, try to
	the vast majority occur before 4:00 in the morning and 8:00		make it around here, I could do that. If I go to the movies
	in the morning?		and then afterwards, I want to have something to eat, get
20	MS. ALPERT: No. That's pretty typical for		some ice cream, the ability to get from here
	delivery but if they do come during the day, there will be	21	MR. GROSSMAN: From?
	that, that conflict and causing more emissions, more idling,	22	
	more standing, more waiting.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: From the movie theater.
24	MS. HARRIS: No more questions.	24	
25	MR. GROSSMAN: Any other cross-examination		anywhere in the mall
	-	1	

	Page 138		Page 140
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	1	MS. ALPERT: I appreciate that.
2	MS. ALPERT: is, I come around here and I cross	2	
3	over, I'm still not in the mall here. I have to go through	3	
	the garage. This is all parking lot.	4	
5	MR. GROSSMAN: This being eastern parking lot.	5	
6	That's far outside, I mean, the only thing that we can	6	
	address here is the Costco gas station application which is	7	
	far away from that area.	8	MR. ROSENFELD: And so, and in your experience, do
9	MS. ALPERT: I guess my point is that the traffic	9	you see people from the pool walk over to the mall in the
10	will get worse.		summertime? You said you were
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	11	MS. ALPERT: Yes.
12	MS. ALPERT: Not much worse but it's going to be	12	MR. ROSENFELD: there yesterday. Teenagers,
13	30 percent but people, if they're parked here to shop here	13	parents?
	and they	14	
15	MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the eastern parking lot?	15	MS. ROB: Who
16	MS. ALPERT: Yeah. And if they're not at Costco	16	MS. ALPERT: Yes.
17	but they really need gas, they would still have to go around	17	MR. ROSENFELD: Who
	or around, and that would again make it difficult to cross.	18	MS. ALPERT: During the summer, parents with young
19	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.	19	children, teenagers that do not get back into a car to drive
20	MR. ROSENFELD: While you're still at the map, on	20	around and access by vehicles. There's a hill here that's
21	the side of the mall where the pool is located, where is the	21	very worn because
22	closest entrance to the mall facility itself?	22	MR. GROSSMAN: Here being, where are you pointing
23	MS. ALPERT: Valley	23	to there?
24	MR. ROSENFELD: Into the mall structure.	24	MS. ALPERT: East?. Am I east?
25	MS. ALPERT: Into	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Just east of the pool, just west of
	Page 139		Page 141
1	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into	1	the mall.
1 2	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure?	2	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So
	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping.	2 3	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran
2 3 4	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an	2 3 4	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up
2 3 4	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here.	2 3 4	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side.	2 3 4 5 6	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley
2 3 4 5	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View.	2 3 4 5 6 7	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking. MS. ALPERT: I understand but I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers of any age. They may quickly look and then run across.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking. MS. ALPERT: I understand but I don't MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm just trying to add on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers of any age. They may quickly look and then run across. They do not observe crosswalks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking. MS. ALPERT: I understand but I don't MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm just trying to add on the locations.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers of any age. They may quickly look and then run across. They do not observe crosswalks. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm really not going to reach any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking. MS. ALPERT: I understand but I don't MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm just trying to add on the locations. MS. ALPERT: I get lost a lot. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers of any age. They may quickly look and then run across. They do not observe crosswalks. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm really not going to reach any conclusions about teenage behavior in my report so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking. MS. ALPERT: I understand but I don't MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm just trying to add on the locations. MS. ALPERT: I get lost a lot. I don't know. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I just want the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers of any age. They may quickly look and then run across. They do not observe crosswalks. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm really not going to reach any conclusions about teenage behavior in my report so MR. ROSENFELD: You had mentioned earlier that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ROSENFELD: If you're going to go into MS. ALPERT: the mall structure? MR. ROSENFELD: the mall and go shopping. MS. ALPERT: Well, if you, if you crossed at an intersection, it would be here. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being the due western side. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View. MR. GROSSMAN: Valley View. MS. ALPERT: The Valley View entrance where there is a crosswalk and then you would, you have two choices. I would go this way because there is a sidewalk. MR. GROSSMAN: This way being north. MS. ALPERT: And I would enter the Macy's/Target area here, and that would be the way I could enter the mall. MR. GROSSMAN: Here being on the western side of the mall. I'm just trying to the record doesn't, when you say here, the record doesn't reflect where you're talking. MS. ALPERT: I understand but I don't MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm just trying to add on the locations. MS. ALPERT: I get lost a lot. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the mall. MS. ALPERT: Okay. Just east of the pool. So they would run up the hill and in the past, we just ran across. You can't do that now. So now they have to walk up here and access this way. MR. GROSSMAN: Up here being up to the Valley View. MS. ALPERT: Up to the Valley View crosswalks, yeah. And they don't do it anymore. MR. ROSENFELD: And in your experience, as teenagers as responsible about crossing in crosswalks MS. ALPERT: No. MR. ROSENFELD: as you might be? MS. ALPERT: That, that again is hearsay, you're going to tell me it's hearsay. MR. ROSENFELD: No. Have you observed that? Have you observed that? MS. ALPERT: I have observed children, teenagers of any age. They may quickly look and then run across. They do not observe crosswalks. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm really not going to reach any conclusions about teenage behavior in my report so MR. ROSENFELD: You had mentioned earlier that you had gone to the Beltsville gas station.

	Page 142		Page 144
1	MR. ROSENFELD: The Costco gas station in	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Western parking lot.
	Beltsville. And I think you observed that there were a	2	
	number of cars in line.	_	and if I have to cross a lane, you know, to progress toward
4	MS. ALPERT: Yes.		the entrance, cars are coming from both directions, cars are
5	MR. ROSENFELD: Is it your experience when you go		backing out, they're pulling in and you're standing there.
	to other gas stations that there are similar queued lines?		It's like the cartoons. What was it, roadrunner where he's
7	MS. ALPERT: There's only one		standing there and everything's going fast and you can't get
8	MR. GROSSMAN: By other gas stations, you mean		across. You know, that's what I felt like. I mean, I
	non-Costco?		really felt immobilized.
10	MR. ROSENFELD: Non-Costco.	10	-
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Non-Costco.	11	
12	MR. ROSENFELD: Non-Costco gas stations.		incapacitated person so if you project this to someone who
13	MS. ALPERT: I have honestly, no, but there,		has some physical disability or they don't walk very well or
	there is one gas station on Connecticut Avenue that is \$3.25		fast, it just exacerbates that. I'm sorry.
	a gallon. They do not accept credit cards. It's cash only.	15	
	There are cars queued there.		particular location different from when you go to other
17	MR. ROSENFELD: Okay.		malls?
18	MS. ALPERT: They are queued there but I don't go	18	
	there because I won't sit in a queue.	-	location, are you talking about particular
20	MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. But as a general principal,	20	
	it's not your experience that gas stations routinely have		observation that there is more traffic and more
	lines?		congestion
23	MS. ALPERT: No. I've never, I've never had to	23	-
_	wait for a pump to be available. And most gas stations I	24	
	think have, most of the gas stations I go to, they have two	25	
2.5		2.5	
	Page 143		Page 145
			Ŭ
1	lines of three each so there are like six, six pumps. One	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. Hold on one
	lines of three each so there are like six, six pumps. One is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever.		MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. Hold on one second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you
2		2	
2 3	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever.	2	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall?
2 3	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been	2 3	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls.
2 3 4 5	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue.	2 3 4	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified	2 3 4 5 6	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used	2 3 4 5 6 7	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one
2 3 4 5 6 7	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that .	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that .	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: I'm MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction? MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever.</li> <li>Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: She survived.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that .</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into</li> <li>Costco for instance or even Giant, any large parking lot</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot MR. GROSSMAN: The parking lot on the east?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction? MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into Costco for instance or even Giant, any large parking lot like this, if I'm parked over here, I have to cross over	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot MR. GROSSMAN: The parking lot on the east? MS. ALPERT: Yeah. Which is in back of the movie
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever.</li> <li>Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: She survived.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that .</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction?</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into</li> <li>Costco for instance or even Giant, any large parking lot</li> <li>like this, if I'm parked over here, I have to cross over</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Parked over here is in the parking</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot MR. GROSSMAN: The parking lot on the east? MS. ALPERT: Yeah. Which is in back of the movie theater, and I think this is Penney's, am I correct? Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction? MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into Costco for instance or even Giant, any large parking lot like this, if I'm parked over here, I have to cross over MR. GROSSMAN: Parked over here is in the parking lot that is west	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot MR. GROSSMAN: The parking lot on the east? MS. ALPERT: Yeah. Which is in back of the movie theater, and I think this is Penney's, am I correct? Is that Penney's?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction? MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into Costco for instance or even Giant, any large parking lot like this, if I'm parked over here, I have to cross over MR. GROSSMAN: Parked over here is in the parking lot that is west MS. ALPERT: At a distance from the entrance to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot MR. GROSSMAN: The parking lot on the east? MS. ALPERT: Yeah. Which is in back of the movie theater, and I think this is Penney's, am I correct? Is that Penney's? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is diesel on both sides so one is yeah. So whatever. Yeah. But I've never, I've never queued. I've never been in a queue. MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. And when you testified earlier, you described the parking lot conditions. You used the word deadly. It's deadly to walk in. MS. ALPERT: Mostly deadly I said. MR. GROSSMAN: She survived. MR. ROSENFELD: But you survived, and we're MR. GROSSMAN: Not entirely. MR. ROSENFELD: grateful for that . MS. ALPERT: So it's only mostly deadly. MR. ROSENFELD: Could you explain what you mean by that phrase? MS. ALPERT: Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: What specifically happens that causes you to have that reaction? MS. ALPERT: Okay. If I come out of or into Costco for instance or even Giant, any large parking lot like this, if I'm parked over here, I have to cross over MR. GROSSMAN: Parked over here is in the parking lot that is west	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	second, Ms. Alpert. When you say other mall locations, you mean other malls or other locations in this mall? MR. ROSENFELD: Other malls. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. ALPERT: I can tell you right here. The one to this one is small, three parking, major parking areas. And even if you look at, this is much tighter. There's much less room to walk and it's because it's so large, you have to walk further so, and there are no medians or lanes to Montgomery Mall has medians separating so you can walk on a median. There's nothing here. This is not that big. Nor is this one. MR. ROSENFELD: You're talking about the parking lot next to the Giant MS. ALPERT: I'm MR. ROSENFELD: is more open? MS. ALPERT: The parking lot next to the Giant, this parking lot MR. GROSSMAN: The parking lot on the east? MS. ALPERT: Yeah. Which is in back of the movie theater, and I think this is Penney's, am I correct? Is that Penney's? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes.

	Page 146		Page 148
1	takes so long to get to where you want to go that it's	1	MR. GROSSMAN: and share your views with us.
	scary.	2	-
3	MR. ROSENFELD: And by this, you're talking about	3	
	the area where the special exception would be located?	4	5
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, she referred to the whole	_	that you answered this question directly but I take it that
6	MR. ROSENFELD: To the gas station.	6	
7	MR. GROSSMAN: She referred to the whole mall.	7	
8	She didn't just	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
9	MS. ALPERT: No. I was saying	9	(Discussion off the record.)
10	MR. ROSENFELD: I think she's differentiating.	10	MR. GROSSMAN: All right then. So it's now 1:00.
11	MS. ALPERT: this particular parking area,		Shall we come back, shall we break for lunch until 1:45?
	because it's so large and you have to traverse it for a	12	MR. SILVERMAN: Do you think I'll be on today?
	longer time, spend a longer time walking across it than you	13	
	would either at the Giant or at the Penney's parking lots.	14	
15	MR. ROSENFELD: So if I understand you correctly,	15	
	you're saying that even within this mall parcel, the parking		Cordry's cross-examination?
	lot on the southwest is already more congested than the	17	MR. GOECKE: About an hour.
	other remaining parking lots?	18	MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would anticipate. And
19	MS. ALPERT: Yes. yes.		mister, I'm sorry. Ms. Mulready.
20	MR. ROSENFELD: And then my question had been, is	20	MS. MULREADY: Mulready.
	do you experience more congestion here or more concerns	21	-
	about walking through this sparking area than you do at	22	
	other malls. For example, Westfield Montgomery.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: How long would you estimate your
24	MR. GROSSMAN: She already said. She answered		testimony would take, Ms. Mulready?
	that question. She said yes, she does, that Montgomery Mall	25	MS. MULREADY: I'm not sure. Half an hour, 45
	Page 147		Page 149
1		1	Page 149 minutes.
	Page 147 was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.	1	minutes.
	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that	2	minutes.
2 3	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.	2 3	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you
2 3	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one	2 3	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.
2 3 4 5	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she	2 3 4 5	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at
2 3 4 5 6	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I	2 3 4 5	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've	2 3 4 5 6 7	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.	2 3 4 5 6 7	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break. I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already. MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break. I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is? MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is? MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action. MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is? MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action. MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is? MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action. MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is? MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action. MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	minutes. MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45. (Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch. Do you know where she is? MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action. MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross- examination of Ms. Cordry:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very crowded and difficult.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very crowded and difficult.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Your wife is missing in action.</li> <li>MR. ADELMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very crowded and difficult.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Thank you. I have no further</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very crowded and difficult.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Thank you. I have no further questions.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very crowded and difficult.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Thank you. I have no further questions.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? All right. Thank</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was safer because it had walking areas. She did answer that question already.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: She did with respect to that one mall. I just didn't know if she</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: I don't go to malls a lot so I, I</li> <li>don't know. I go here because it's my neighborhood. I've been going here for 41 years. Old habits are hard to break.</li> <li>I remember when it was an open mall. I mean, it wasn't even enclosed originally. So I, I don't go to many other places.</li> <li>I mean, I went to White Flint until it got destroyed but with the interior parking there, I didn't have any difficulty walking.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. ALPERT: But I find this incredibly difficult to negotiate and since Costco has opened and it's not just Costco I guess. There's a Dick's there now and I don't know what other stores are in here, but it's just very crowded and difficult.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Thank you. I have no further questions.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? All right. Thank you very much, Ms. Alpert. I appreciate your taking the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>minutes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes. I would assume that you would be on today, Mr. Silverman. Okay. See you back at 1:45.</li> <li>(Whereupon, at 1:02 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Ready to go back on the record. I think we're missing Ms. Adelman.</li> <li>UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I didn't see her at lunch.</li> <li>Do you know where she is?</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: She had to take a break. She said she'll be back but she might be a bit late.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So I guess we'll proceed in any event. All right. Are you ready for cross-examination of Ms. Cordry:</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Don't be too cross now.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: All right.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Okay. Ms. Cordry, I'd like to start by asking you about what Costco needs to show in terms of</li> </ul>

neighborhood need for the filling station, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in your opinion, what is the		phrased generally in exactly that light but I think it's, it
MS. CORDRY: Yes.		
MR_GOECKE: And in your opinion what is the		appears to be the basis of when you say that you have to
		accept the inherent adverse effects and conversely, is there
applicable definition? I mean, what standard are you		a benefit that you get out of it. I think that's the, the
applying?		combination you draw from that.
MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm applying the standards that	6	MR. GOECKE: So you haven't seen any cases that
I see in the Lucky Stores case which I think looks to both a	7	use the words balancing a benefit versus burdens or
quality, quantitative as a kind of need in the sense of is	8	something along those lines.
there sufficient supply in the area, is the station over-	9	MS. CORDRY: I don't know if I've seen the phrase
served, the area over-served, underserved in their ability	10	in exactly that light, no.
to buy. And then it also talks about it's not an absolute	11	MR. GOECKE: And you agree that Lucky Stores is,
necessity in the sense which I read, as I think I said at	12	remains good law?
the beginning of my discussion, I read that as going to the	13	MR. ROSENFELD: Objection, Mr. Grossman.
question, it came up in the question of constitutionality	14	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I'll sustain that.
and whether or not this need requirement was being used as a	15	MR. ROSENFELD: He really thank you.
way of deterring competition in sort of an anti-trust	16	MR. GROSSMAN: She's not, she's
concern and they said, you know, no, you don't have to	17	MR. ROSENFELD: She's here as a fact witness.
absolutely show that there's no, that the people there	18	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Fact and opinion witness I
cannot possibly be displaced but that there has to be a, at	19	guess you'd say but
the very least, there has to be some kind of showing of	20	MR. GOECKE: I'm just trying to find her opinions.
-		I mean, she's already testified about a lot of these cases
· · · · ·	22	and what they mean already.
not an absolute necessity.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: It's true but I think that
-		what's the point in, in pinning down her sense of what legal
MR. GOECKE: And you agree.	25	precedent means? I'm not quite sure I understand how
Page 151		Page 153
-	-	
		that MR. GOECKE: Well
•		MR. GROSSMAN: where that gets us.
		MR. GOECKE: I think that we spent almost two
		days now talking about whether or not there's a need. I
-		think part of the argument and why it's taken so long is
		because she's using different definitions about what
•		constitutes need. She's cherry picking when she applies
		certain portions of cases and certain portions of other
		cases and I'm just trying to get a framework for what does
		she really think, what standards really apply, and maybe we
•		could get some agreement on what standards apply. And if we
-		can agree on what standards apply, then it might make the
but you said you also have to analyze the benefits versus		factual analysis that much easier.
the burden of the proposed special exception, is that	15	MS. ROB: I think Ms. Cordry's testified about the
correct?	16	Lucky Stores case and the analysis in that case and beyond
MS. CORDRY: I think when it talks about having a		that, I don't think she's testified that she's done broader
benefit there, the question of a benefit is it's a net		legal research on this issue.
issue. Do you get a benefit, do you get a burden. You're	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, whether she has done
burdened, then you're not benefitting and vice versa so.	20	research, broader legal research or not I don't really think
MR. GOECKE: Are there any cases that you reviewed	21	is the, is the question for us because the legal questions
that apply this benefit versus burden analysis?	22	will be a matter of legal argument, so I'm not sure where
MS. CORDRY: Well, I think in the sense of looking	23	this really gets us.
at even the Lucky Stores case which talked about who was	24	MR. GOECKE: Okay. I'll move along and then
benefitting, who was getting this and, I haven't seen it	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Thank you.
ticavcactib r _ bic styaiic bic bic ta	he beginning of my discussion, I read that as going to the question, it came up in the question of constitutionality and whether or not this need requirement was being used as a way of deterring competition in sort of an anti-trust concern and they said, you know, no, you don't have to absolutely show that there's no, that the people there cannot possibly be displaced but that there has to be a, at he very least, there has to be some kind of showing of benefit in addition to sort of these quantitative issues. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So you, you agree that it's not an absolute necessity. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think it, the case says that. MR. GOECKE: And you agree.	he beginning of my discussion, I read that as going to the question, it came up in the question of constitutionality and whether or not this need requirement was being used as a way of deterring competition in sort of an anti-trust concern and they said, you know, no, you don't have to absolutely show that there's no, that the people there annot possibly be displaced but that there has to be a, at he very least, there has to be some kind of showing of penefit in addition to sort of these quantitative issues. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So you, you agree that it's and an absolute necessity. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think it, the case says that. MR. GOECKE: And you agree. Page 151 MS. CORDRY: In my personal opinion, perhaps not put I go with what the case says. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So you acknowledge that that s the appropriate legal standard although you personally disagree with that standard. MS. CORDRY: Well, personally, I think what it says on need, I think you tend to do that but I understand that, the point is I understand the case is being that if you have people in the area and they could satisfy the need and they say that therefore, no one else could possibly come n and compete with us, that that has the anti-trust concerns that the court was concerned about in Lucky Stores. MR. GOECKE: And I think, correct me if I'm wrong, put you said you also have to analyze the benefits versus he burden of the proposed special exception, is that correct? MS. CORDRY: I think when it talks about having a mand compete with us, that that has the anti-trust correct? MS. CORDRY: I think when it talks about having a mand they say that therefore, no an else could possibly come n and compete with us, that that has the anti-trust concerns that the court was concerned about in Lucky Stores. MR. GOECKE: And I think, correct me if I'm wrong, put you said you also have to analyze the benefits versus the burden of the proposed special exception, is that correct? MS. CORDRY: I think when it talks about having a mat even the Lucky Stores case which t

	Page 154		Page 156
1	MR. GOECKE: if need be, I might come back to	1	MS. CORDRY: What I have read in the traffic
	it or try to. Okay. And so Costco must show that there is		report was that about 30 percent of the people going to the
	a neighborhood need, right?		gas station went to the warehouse. That's what I've read.
4	MS. CORDRY: Yes. That's what the statute says,	4	
	yes.	5	MS. CORDRY: Well, that's, that's what I read.
6	MR. GOECKE: And what is your definition of	-	I've read you the quotes out of the report.
7	neighborhood?	7	MR. GOECKE: Let's assume that 30 percent of folks
8	MS. CORDRY: Well, I think as I said here, we've	8	that shop at Costco buy their gas there. How much gas would
	been prepared to accept the sort of structure of		that be in a given year?
	neighborhood that has been used in the prior analyses. We	10	MS. CORDRY: I have no idea, sir. It's not my
	met with the Planning Board about three years ago and it was		business to know these things. I can, I the question is
	suggested then, and I don't recall whether they said that		do they buy it there every day? How much, how big are their
	they were suggesting that area or whether they were saying		cars? How often do they fill up? Do they buy it elsewhere?
	the applicant had suggested the area but the seven minute		I couldn't tell you from the simple fact that 30 percent of
15	drive range approximate was used. As we said, we looked at	15	the shoppers if I'm postulating a hypothetical which is
	that and it seemed like terms of sort of natural boundaries		not in the record at this point, 30 percent of the people
17	of the area. That was not an unreasonable area. I think I		buy their gas there, I couldn't tell you what that consists
	looked at the Lucky Stores case at that point and it talked		of.
	about a neighborhood as being sort of a flexible	19	MR. GOECKE: Okay. So we would calculate that by
20	determination and it tended to look at trade areas. So for	20	taking 4100 multiplied by .3 times about 12 gallons per
21	our purposes for here, we're prepared to look at that area	21	purchase, right?
22	generally.	22	MS. CORDRY: Well, again, that was a number that
23	MR. GOECKE: That	23	was made up. We have no empirical evidence for that but if
24	MS. CORDRY: Going out to Four Corners, going up	24	you want to take that. I mean
25	roughly to Glenmont, going over to Kensington and going down	25	MR. GOECKE: Well, the 12 gallons, you've accepted
	Page 155		Page 157
1	Page 155 roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to	1	Page 157 that number in some of your filings.
		1 2	that number in some of your filings.
	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to	2	that number in some of your filings.
2 3	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south.	2	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.
2 3 4	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall.	2 3 4	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.
2 3 4	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the	2 3 4 5 6	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your
2 3 4 5 6	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall.	2 3 4 5 6	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at	2 3 4 5 6	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to	2 3 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was never given to us before that point but, yes, that's, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that number in some of your filings. MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year. MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation? MR. GOECKE: I am. MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those down for the presumed difference in the size of the sale of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was never given to us before that point but, yes, that's, that's a number that's in there. He says he got it from Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that number in some of your filings.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I am.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those down for the presumed difference in the size of the sale of the stores, you would come up with a figure about 700,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was never given to us before that point but, yes, that's, that's a number that's in there. He says he got it from Mr. Agliata. I have no reason to doubt that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that number in some of your filings.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I am.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those down for the presumed difference in the size of the sale of the stores, you would come up with a figure about 700, whatever I said, 784 I think it was or 744 versus the 1244.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was never given to us before that point but, yes, that's, that's a number that's in there. He says he got it from Mr. Agliata. I have no reason to doubt that. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that number in some of your filings.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I am.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those down for the presumed difference in the size of the sale of the stores, you would come up with a figure about 700, whatever I said, 784 I think it was or 744 versus the 1244. Yeah, 744.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was never given to us before that point but, yes, that's, that's a number that's in there. He says he got it from Mr. Agliata. I have no reason to doubt that. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that about 30 percent of Costco members get their gas from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>that number in some of your filings.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I am.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those down for the presumed difference in the size of the sale of the stores, you would come up with a figure about 700, whatever I said, 784 I think it was or 744 versus the 1244. Yeah, 744.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: How many gallons of gasoline does</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	roughly to the Beltway and the Seminary Road area there to the south. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And by anyone's definition, as Mr. Grossman has pointed out, the neighborhood includes the mall. MS. CORDRY: Yes. It's obviously part of that area there. MR. GOECKE: And the mall includes the shoppers at the mall. MS. CORDRY: The mall includes people coming to shop there, yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so there's been submitted evidence before that around 4100 people a day are coming to the Costco warehouse. Do you recall that evidence? MS. CORDRY: I understand it was put into Mr. Flynn's supplemental report, yes. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute that number? MS. CORDRY: No. I'm just, that was it was never given to us before that point but, yes, that's, that's a number that's in there. He says he got it from Mr. Agliata. I have no reason to doubt that. MR. GOECKE: Do you have any reason to dispute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>that number in some of your filings.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: It's a number. You could use that number.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Okay. And then you would multiply that by 365 days a year.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Are you asking her to approve your method of calculation?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I am.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying, you know, I can look at his report, I can see how he got his 5.5 number. As I said, that's not the number that was in the traffic report. I don't know whether 12 gallons is or is not an appropriate figure but</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you testified earlier that you think the number is closer to 3.5 million instead of 5.5 million, is that right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I'm saying yes. That if you take 30 percent of the if you take the numbers from Sterling, which is where we got the sales per day, if you adjust those down for the presumed difference in the size of the sale of the stores, you would come up with a figure about 700, whatever I said, 784 I think it was or 744 versus the 1244. Yeah, 744.</li> </ul>

	Page 158		Page 160
1	establish need?	1	that that's there's a difference between showing that
2	MS. CORDRY: Well, the question is what do you		there is a lack of capacity versus showing absolute
3	mean by need? If you mean can Costco sell gasoline, I'm		necessity. I think those are two somewhat different
	sure it can sell gasoline. I don't know. It's even		qualities.
	possible it might sell more than 12 million gallons of	5	MR. GOECKE: So your point is that they have to
	gasoline depending on where it sets its price, which it has		show a lack of capacity.
	a great deal of flexibility to set because it's not subject	7	MS. CORDRY: I think that's at least one point of
	to the same kind of market force as the independent gasoline	8	it. I think it's also a question how big is the membership
	stations are set for, but does that establish a need in the		compared to the neighborhood as a whole. I think it's also
	neighborhood in the sense of either a quantitative need,		a question of how much burden is there put on the
	i.e., because the neighborhood unable to supply that, or		neighborhood by this, so does the neighborhood as a whole
	does it show any benefit to the neighborhood overall. You		benefit. If you had a station that operated in the same way
	know, I think those are different questions.		as other stations, if 50 to 75 percent of the neighborhood
14	MR. GOECKE: But you don't dispute that Costco		were members, there's any number of factors that you look
15	could sell 12 million gallons of gasoline per year.		at. I'm just looking at this particular station and its
16	MS. CORDRY: Depending on where it sets its price,		particular issues, and also looking at the current status of
17	it probably could, but I don't think it would sell it to		what is happening with gas sales and what is likely to
	people in this general neighborhood.		
19	MR. GOECKE: So if we apply the Lucky Stores	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Draw for a second on the added
20	standard, it has to be expedient, reasonably convenient and	20	burden issue. You mentioned earlier that question about
21	useful to the public. If people are buying 12 million	21	double counting, but if I consider the added burden aspect,
22	gallons of gas, wouldn't you conclude that it's convenient	22	and I presume the added burden you're talking about includes
23	for them to purchase their gas there?	23	potentially closed gas stations or compatibility issues and
24	MS. CORDRY: No. I would not say it's convenient	24	health issues and so on, is that, is that true? I think
25	to the public. I would say it was convenient to the very	25	that's
	Page 159		Page 161
1	-	-	
	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco	1	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle
2	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy	2	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas.
2 3	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores	2 3	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's	2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue
2 3 4 5	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the	2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under
2 3 4 5 6	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related
2 3 4 5 6 7	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show that there actually was some kind of need in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's any number of factors there that can overlap with each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show that there actually was some kind of need in the neighborhood for additional sales.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's any number of factors there that can overlap with each other. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's just a, it's a problem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show that there actually was some kind of need in the neighborhood for additional sales. MR. GOECKE: How would you do that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's any number of factors there that can overlap with each other. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's just a, it's a problem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show that there actually was some kind of need in the neighborhood for additional sales. MR. GOECKE: How would you do that? MS. CORDRY: By showing that there were not enough	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's any number of factors there that can overlap with each other. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's just a, it's a problem analytically it seems to me how to whether or not they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show that there actually was some kind of need in the neighborhood for additional sales. MR. GOECKE: How would you do that? MS. CORDRY: By showing that there were not enough stations to sell gas for instance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's any number of factors there that can overlap with each other. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's just a, it's a problem analytically it seems to me how to whether or not they should really be separated out that way is one thing but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	small portion of the public and the small, who are Costco members and the small portion of the Costco members who buy gas there. I would quote, to be exact from the Lucky Stores case, which says in short, the need is to serve Memco's selling policy. It does not establish a need by the population in the general neighborhood. So, no. I think the Lucky Stores case makes very different the fact of whether or not a store wants to sell solely to its membership versus whether the general neighborhood needs the, those sales. MR. GOECKE: So if a proposed special exception is a membership only enterprise, then it can never, can never establish need in your mind. MS. CORDRY: I'm not saying may never be able to establish need but I think it's a MR. GOECKE: How would they? MS. CORDRY: Well, for one thing, you could show that there actually was some kind of need in the neighborhood for additional sales. MR. GOECKE: How would you do that? MS. CORDRY: By showing that there were not enough stations to sell gas for instance. MR. GOECKE: In other words, showing absolute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: All of those plus generalized idle and gas. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MS. CORDRY: Am I not double counting that issue because don't I have to consider those things under compatibility and also under other concerns that are related to health? I mean, isn't need really a different question than those? Aren't those other kinds of burden questions? MS. CORDRY: Well, when they put need into the question of is there a benefit to the neighborhood, then I think, I think the special exception itself inherently creates that. It says you have to put up with certain inherent burdens but if there is a need in the sense of a benefit to the neighborhood, well, then okay, we're going to allow this. So I think the special exception itself, it may feature it in a number of places but we have nuisance at some place, we have specifics about light. I mean, there's any number of factors there that can overlap with each other. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's just a, it's a problem analytically it seems to me how to whether or not they should really be separated out that way is one thing but they are separated out that way in the code. I just wonder

	Page 162	Page 164
1	on the needs question in terms of, you know, the detriments	1 basis for denial but non-inherent adverse effects can be a
	versus need.	2 basis or they can be a basis alone or in conjunction with it
3	MS. CORDRY: Well	3 so there are the burdens you have to take.
4	MR. GROSSMAN: I, you know, I don't mean to	4 And if you look at need, which as I say, anybody
5	MS. CORDRY: it's all the reasons why.	5 not understanding the history of this would look at need and
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's	6 say do you actually have some supply issue in light of the
7	MS. CORDRY: It's difficult to take a standard	7 fact that you have available identical or similar uses in
8	like need, which anybody reading it would say do you need it	8 the neighborhood, that would seem to me to say you have to
	and then turn it around to say can you, is it simply a	9 take the benefits, you have to look at is there a need for
10	benefit to anybody and that	10 it, but that need has been expanded in the case law to also
11	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.	11 look at benefit. But, I mean, they're in two different
12	MS. CORDRY: takes it out of the quantitative	12 places, but you have benefits and you have burdens that you
-	sort of issue.	13 have to take into effect.
14	MR. GROSSMAN: It's a difficult standard to apply	14 MR. GOECKE: But those benefits and burdens, as
	but anyway	15 you just pointed out, it comes under the section that talks
16	MS. CORDRY: It is but I think it is one where the	16 about non-inherent adverse effects, not under the needs
	code does have overlapping standards and issues may fall in	17 section, right?
	a number of categories so I don't think that detracts from	18 MS. CORDRY: I think I've answered that question.
	the position.	19 MR. GROSSMAN: She has.
20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Goecke. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. But the code doesn't talk	20 MR. GOECKE: You accept that about 25 percent of 21 the neighborhood are Costco members?
21	-	-
	about balancing benefits and burdens, does it? MS. CORDRY: It doesn't say it in those words but	<ul><li>MS. CORDRY: I accept the fact that Costco has</li><li>said that, and I have no reason to know one way or the other</li></ul>
23	it says you have to accept certain inherent burdens, that	24 differently from that. Actually, I believe they said
	you can't use just inherent burdens sufficiently in and of	25 currently 23 percent and they think it might go as high as
	Dama 402	Dogo 165
	Page 163	Page 165
	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-	1 27 percent.
2	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly,	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>2 MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> </ol>
2 3	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>3 convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> </ol>
2 3 4	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>3 convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>4 proposed special exception location.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about.	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>3 convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>4 proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>6 today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>7 have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying?	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits.	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>3 convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>4 proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>6 today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>7 have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>8 inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>9 of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>10 why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>11 members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: What are you saying?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: What are you saying?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	itself to eliminate the station but you can show non- inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: What are you saying?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall standards for applying special exceptions provides that you</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: What are you saying?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall standards for applying special exceptions provides that you have to accept, in essence, I can't find exact words right</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> <li>are other ways that you can get the same price benefit but</li> <li>there are people that will sit there and do that. But there</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: What are you saying?</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall standards for applying special exceptions provides that you have to accept, in essence, I can't find exact words right here, but you have to accept the fact oh, here we go.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> <li>are other ways that you can get the same price benefit but</li> <li>there are people that will sit there and do that. But there</li> <li>are people who will go well out of their way to save a few</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall standards for applying special exceptions provides that you have to accept, in essence, I can't find exact words right here, but you have to accept the fact oh, here we go. 59-G-1.2.1, the standard for evaluation which governs everything for a special exception says that must consider both the inherent and non-inherent adverse effects on the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> <li>are other ways that you can get the same price benefit but</li> <li>there are people that will sit there and do that. But there</li> <li>cents on gas even if you show them that overall, they're not</li> <li>saving any money. People are not necessarily completely</li> <li>ration about how they buy their gasoline.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall standards for applying special exceptions provides that you have to accept, in essence, I can't find exact words right here, but you have to accept the fact oh, here we go. 59-G-1.2.1, the standard for evaluation which governs everything for a special exception says that must consider both the inherent and non-inherent adverse effects on the nearby properties in the general neighborhood. There are</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> <li>are other ways that you can get the same price benefit but</li> <li>there are people that will sit there and do that. But there</li> <li>are people who will go well out of their way to save a few</li> <li>cents on gas even if you show them that overall, they're not</li> <li>saving any money. People are not necessarily completely</li> <li>ration about how they buy their gasoline.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And I believe Ms. Alpert also</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>itself to eliminate the station but you can show non-inherent burdens as a way of doing it and then certainly, the Lucky Stores case says well, look at the benefits. So I, I put those two things together. It seems to me you have benefits and you have burdens. You have to look at MR. GOECKE: But that's not under the need requirement, what you just testified about. MS. CORDRY: What are you saying? MR. GOECKE: I'm saying the section I'm handing you, 59-G-1.24, show me where in that section it talks about burdens versus benefits. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think I've said now a number of times that the words don't appear in that version but what I'm saying MR. GOECKE: So it's not part of the code. MS. CORDRY: Well, of course it's part of the code. The part of the code that talks about the overall standards for applying special exceptions provides that you have to accept, in essence, I can't find exact words right here, but you have to accept the fact oh, here we go. 59-G-1.2.1, the standard for evaluation which governs everything for a special exception says that must consider both the inherent and non-inherent adverse effects on the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>27 percent.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And you agree that would be</li> <li>convenient for those members to purchase their gas at the</li> <li>proposed special exception location.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, as we've heard from Ms. Alpert</li> <li>today and as I've heard from numerous other people and as I</li> <li>have observed myself, I think it would generally be quite</li> <li>inconvenient most of the time to purchase it there because</li> <li>of the lines that are in place which I guess is probably</li> <li>why, you know, a lot of people, nowhere near all Costco</li> <li>members buy their gas at Costco but is it there at the same</li> <li>time that you go to the warehouse? Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: So it would be so inconvenient for</li> <li>folks that there would be long lines of people trying to buy</li> <li>gas.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: There are people who will buy gas</li> <li>there looking at the price and nothing else. I think there</li> <li>are other ways that you can get the same price benefit but</li> <li>there are people that will sit there and do that. But there</li> <li>cents on gas even if you show them that overall, they're not</li> <li>saving any money. People are not necessarily completely</li> <li>ration about how they buy their gasoline.</li> </ol>

	Page 166		Page 168
1	with one exception, she's not used to seeing lines at	1	neighborhood.
2	MS. CORDRY: I don't think that	2	MS. CORDRY: If you assume that having drawn
3	MR. GOECKE: gas stations.		somebody from all over the region to here makes them part of
4	MS. CORDRY: Well, wait a minute. You've got two		the neighborhood, then they are currently here.
	very different questions there. She said she's not used to	5	MR. GOECKE: The code doesn't limit the
	seeing lines at gas stations, yes. I don't think she said		neighborhood to the residential neighborhood, does it?
	in any way, shape or form that she didn't see lines at the	7	MS. CORDRY: No. But again, the question is if
	Costco gas stations.		you're going to distinguish something between a regional
9	MR. GOECKE: Right. That's correct.		need or a county need and a neighborhood need, at some
10	MS. CORDRY: I think she would expect to see lines	10	
	at Costco, yes.		is, where you're drawing people from. If the only
12	MR. GOECKE: Because people, a lot of people buy		suggestion is you build something draws from all over the
	their gas there.		county and then say okay, now that they're here, now they're
14	MS. CORDRY: We have stipulated that, or not		a neighborhood need, then I think you really go off the
	stipulated. Anyway, we certainly do not dispute that there		point of the distinction between those two kinds of need.
	are very long lines that get in the Costco station because	16	MR. GOECKE: And when you testified last time, you
	of the drawing people in from all over the region and	17	
	bringing them to this area and then having a number of pumps	18	
	that doesn't really satisfy the regional draw that you're	19	MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes.
	creating.	20	MR. GOECKE: And you were trying to show that
21	MR. GOECKE: So obviously, a lot of people want to		mister, or Mr. Cronyn, I'm sorry, in that case
	buy their gas there.	22	MS. CORDRY: Okay. Mr. Cronyn.
23	MS. CORDRY: People do want to buy gas at Costco.	23	MR. GOECKE: had done things differently than
24	MR. GOECKE: In fact, your point is that so many		Mr. Flynn had done in this case, right?
	people want to buy their gas there that they should have	25	MS. CORDRY: I'm not sure exactly where you're
	Page 167		Page 169
1	Page 167 more pumps.	1	Page 169 distinguishing there but
1		1	-
2	more pumps.	2	distinguishing there but
2 3	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you	2 3	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember
2 3	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to	2 3	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by
2 3 4 5	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion.	2 3 4 5	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area?
2 3 4 5 6	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the	2 3 4 5 6	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis
2 3 4 5 6 7	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in	2 3 4 5 6 7	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident
2 3 4 5 6 7	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are	2 3 4 5 6 7	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area here to the Costco store.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: It was excerpts of that case. And on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area here to the Costco store. MR. GOECKE: Right, but you didn't answer my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: It was excerpts of that case. And on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area here to the Costco store. MR. GOECKE: Right, but you didn't answer my question. Once folks are shopping at Costco, that's part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: It was excerpts of that case. And on page 30 of Exhibit 298, the first full paragraph reads the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area here to the Costco store. MR. GOECKE: Right, but you didn't answer my question. Once folks are shopping at Costco, that's part of the neighborhood irrespective of where they live.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: It was excerpts of that case. And on page 30 of Exhibit 298, the first full paragraph reads the next step in determining need is to look at residential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area here to the Costco store. MR. GOECKE: Right, but you didn't answer my question. Once folks are shopping at Costco, that's part of the neighborhood irrespective of where they live. MS. CORDRY: They have come to the Costco store.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: It was excerpts of that case. And on page 30 of Exhibit 298, the first full paragraph reads the next step in determining need is to look at residential population in the area and also commuter traffic through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	more pumps. MS. CORDRY: I think if you had more pumps, you would have less idling but you obviously don't want to design your stations in that fashion. MR. GOECKE: You keep making the point about the neighborhood versus the county or the regional need so in your mind, is there a distinction between folks who are shopping at Costco based on where they came from? MS. CORDRY: Well, again, if you were talking about something where you were drawing from far outside the neighborhood to come here and concentrate it here, it's one of the points I try to make earlier in my testimony about how extraordinarily small number of stores Costco has compared to many other operations so that, as I think some of your witnesses testified on some of the reports that they say that they draw from 15, 20 or more miles away so that, yes. I think you bring people from far outside this area here to the Costco store. MR. GOECKE: Right, but you didn't answer my question. Once folks are shopping at Costco, that's part of the neighborhood irrespective of where they live. MS. CORDRY: They have come to the Costco store. They've come past quite a few other gas stations on their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	distinguishing there but MR. GOECKE: Okay. In that case, do you remember that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the amount of purchasers by transient people in the area? MS. CORDRY: I believe he did a form of analysis where he attempted to look at certain kind of resident needs, certain kinds of transients. He used a number of different sources in terms of demand. MR. GOECKE: And was one of those sources transient people? MS. CORDRY: I expect so. Of course, he also looked at all the gas stations in the area to determine what supplies they were making, and he also looked at the Claritas report to come up with an overall amount of demand that he envisioned. MR. GOECKE: And I believe that case was, or the document used was entered as Exhibit 298. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: It was excerpts of that case. And on page 30 of Exhibit 298, the first full paragraph reads the next step in determining need is to look at residential population in the area and also commuter traffic through the area, including people who do not live in the market area

	Page 170		Page 172
1	your recollection that Mr. Cronyn analyzed the commuters and	1	market area, would qualify as a resident of the
	the transient folks and the shoppers in the area?		neighborhood?
3	MS. CORDRY: I think I already said that, yes.	3	
4	MR. GOECKE: Okay. And is that consistent with	4	MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry. Qualifies
5	other cases you have looked at where the people doing needs	5	MS. CORDRY: Seven minute.
	analysis had factored in purchaser, purchases form transient	6	MR. GOECKE: Qualifies as part of the neighborhood
	shoppers?	7	
8	MS. CORDRY: Yes. They look at those to some	8	MS. CORDRY: I think we looked at the seven
9	extent and then they also look at what is available in that	9	minute, seven minute, not seven mile driving area as being
10	area already to serve those people.		the sort of generalized local neighborhood that they looked
11	MR. GOECKE: Are you aware of any case that		at, yes.
12	supports your theory that shoppers at Costco should be	12	MR. GOECKE: Right.
	discounted if they come from a certain distance?	13	-
14	MS. CORDRY: I don't know if they specifically say	14	Mr. Cronyn's report, if you look at page 29
15	that but I think, you know, again, we're looking at this	15	MR. GOECKE: I think you answered my question.
16	question of where are they drawing from and so forth.	16	There's no question pending.
	Costco is a fairly unique operation here.	17	MS. CORDRY: All right.
18	MR. GOECKE: So you're not aware of any cases?	18	MR. ROSENFELD: She's allowed to finish.
19	MS. CORDRY: I	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I think let's wait if
20	MR. ROSENFELD: Are you asking about legal cases,	20	there's another question in fairness.
21	factual cases or what is your question?	21	MR. GOECKE: So if I understand you correctly, you
22	MR. GOECKE: Any cases. Legal, factual.	22	think that the Costco gas station would not be expedient,
23	MS. CORDRY: I think they certainly look at who is	23	reasonably convenient or useful to the folks in that seven
24	there. They also, but they also don't assume that anyone	24	minute driving area?
25	coming to that particular store is necessarily, or in that	25	MS. CORDRY: I think it is of no value to the
	Dogo 171		Dogo 172
	Page 171		Page 173
	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They		roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it
2	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming	2	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco
2 3	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the	2 3	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to
2 3 4	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to	2 3 4	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at
2 3 4 5	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands.	2 3 4 5	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that
2 3 4 5 6	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my	2 3 4 5 6	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this
2 3 4 5 6 7	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or	2 3 4 5 6 7	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think	2 3 6 7 8 9	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or beyond, going into the District and so forth, that there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or beyond, going into the District and so forth, that there is a differential kind of look at that in terms of whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: The Costco members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or beyond, going into the District and so forth, that there is a differential kind of look at that in terms of whether that's really satisfying a neighborhood need or whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: The Costco members MR. GOECKE: As you've defined it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or beyond, going into the District and so forth, that there is a differential kind of look at that in terms of whether that's really satisfying a neighborhood need or whether that's satisfying a county need. It's hard to figure what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: The Costco members MR. GOECKE: As you've defined it. MS. CORDRY: For the limited number of Costco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or beyond, going into the District and so forth, that there is a differential kind of look at that in terms of whether that's really satisfying a neighborhood need or whether that's satisfying a county need. It's hard to figure what you consider a county need if you don't look at how far away	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: The Costco members MR. GOECKE: As you've defined it. MS. CORDRY: For the limited number of Costco members within the neighborhood, they can certainly go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	area is necessarily going to buy all their gas there. They use different assumptions for what people would buy coming through the area and so forth. And again, they look at the supply in the area and whether that supply is sufficient to meet any of those kinds of demands. MR. GOECKE: So I don't think you answered my question. Can you recall any case, factual or legal or otherwise that supports your theory? MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm not sure that I understand the question really. I think MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let me break it down for you. You're arguing that folks that come from a certain distance away from Costco should not be included as part of the neighborhood need. You're saying that that's a county need, that's not a neighborhood need, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, I am saying that, yes, when you have something that you draw from the entire county or beyond, going into the District and so forth, that there is a differential kind of look at that in terms of whether that's really satisfying a neighborhood need or whether that's satisfying a county need. It's hard to figure what you consider a county need if you don't look at how far away people come and how far they're brought.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	roughly 75 percent that are not Costco members. I think it is only of partial use to even the ones that are Costco members because they need to depend on other stations to actually supply portions of their gasoline station needs at the times when Costco is not available or for services that Costco does not supply. I would look at, for instance, this report here in Mr. Cronyn's opinion that the station was MR. GOECKE: You're not answering my question. MS. CORDRY: I did. MR. GOECKE: No, you're not. Let's break it down. Expedient. Would it be expedient for Costco members who live within the seven minute driving area of the Costco station to buy their gas at the, at the gas station? MS. CORDRY: Okay. So at this point, you're not asking about the neighborhood. You're just asking about Costco members. MR. GOECKE: Costco members within the neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: The Costco members MR. GOECKE: As you've defined it. MS. CORDRY: For the limited number of Costco members within the neighborhood, they can certainly go to that station and buy it as they can go to any of the others

	Page 174		Page 176
1	expedient for them to purchase their gas there?	1	a very inconvenient, very congested area that will cause a
2	MS. CORDRY: I'm not really sure what expedient		lot of difficulty for people trying to use that.
3	means but is it can they go there and purchase it? Of	3	MR. GOECKE: Uh-huh. Isn't that a bit like the
4	course. Just like they can purchase at any of the other	4	Yogi Berra expression, that if it's too crowded, nobody goes
5	stations.	5	there anymore? I mean, if this many folks are buying their
6	MR. GOECKE: And it's more expedient for them to	6	gas at the gas station, isn't it because it's convenient for
7	buy it in Wheaton than it would be to purchase it in	7	them, they want to buy there?
8	Beltsville.	8	MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say
9	MS. CORDRY: Well, not necessarily, depending on	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Yogi Bear or Yogi Berra?
10	what part of the area they're in but certainly, Beltsville	10	MR. GOECKE: Yogi Berra.
11	is available to them in the sense that in the drawing area	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
12	that we're talking about, the 15 to 20 miles or more, then	12	MS. CORDRY: Yeah. Well, I would say
13	it's certainly within the range to go to Beltsville.	13	MR. GOECKE: If Yogi Bear said it then, I'd love
14	MR. GOECKE: What if they're already at the	14	to hear that.
	warehouse shopping? Where would be the most expedient place	15	MS. CORDRY: I don't, you know, I don't actually
16	for them to buy Costco gas?		know how many people will come here and I don't know whether
17	MS. CORDRY: Obviously the place that's there		this station will actually be so congested that it will
18	but		actually draw, drive even more people away than that don't
19	MR. GOECKE: And that would also be the place		go. I mean, as Ms. Alpert testified, you know, there are
20	that's the most reasonably convenient for them as well.		plenty of people who don't go, Costco shoppers who don't go
21	MS. CORDRY: If the only question is where is the		use the Costco gas because it is inconvenient. I'm not
	most convenient place for them to buy Costco gas, but that's		going to get into hearsay but, you know, that's the sort of
	not the question that I think the special exception asks you		thing.
	to answer. It's is it available similar, identical uses	24	
25	MR. GOECKE: I understand you have different ideas	25	this degree of congestion. The Sterling store, for
	Page 175		Page 177
-		-	
	about what the special exception is asking. I'm asking you where is the place that's most reasonably convenient for	Τ	instance, it's set off to the side. You come off on a
	where is the place that's most reasonably convenient for	2	soparate little readway there. The traffic going to to the
1 3	Costco shoppers to huy as when they're already shopping at		separate little roadway there. The traffic going to, to the main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side
	Costco shoppers to buy gas when they're already shopping at the Wheaton mall?	3	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side
4	the Wheaton mall?	3 4	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off
4 5	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going	3 4 5	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this
4 5 6	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special	3 4 5 6	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks.
4 5 6 7	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is	3 4 5 6 7	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds
4 5 6 7 8	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time	3 4 5 6 7	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station.
4 5 6 7 8 9	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds
4 5 7 8 9 10	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas. MS. CORDRY: Gas as a whole, no.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you know, fairly nightmarish. Whether that means people, you
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas. MS. CORDRY: Gas as a whole, no. MR. GOECKE: And you say that because it's not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you know, fairly nightmarish. Whether that means people, you know, either more people will not go, I can't say but it
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas. MS. CORDRY: Gas as a whole, no. MR. GOECKE: And you say that because it's not open all the time.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you know, fairly nightmarish. Whether that means people, you know, either more people will not go, I can't say but it would certainly convince me not to particularly go there.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas. MS. CORDRY: Gas as a whole, no. MR. GOECKE: And you say that because it's not open all the time. MS. CORDRY: Well, it's not open, it often has very long lines waiting there, you have to navigate around the mall. I think there is going to be substantial traffic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you know, fairly nightmarish. Whether that means people, you know, either more people will not go, I can't say but it would certainly convince me not to particularly go there. But again, the question you asked, is it the most convenient place to buy gas, and I think the answer is no. I think there would be places where it's much easier for people to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas. MS. CORDRY: Gas as a whole, no. MR. GOECKE: And you say that because it's not open all the time. MS. CORDRY: Well, it's not open, it often has very long lines waiting there, you have to navigate around the mall. I think there is going to be substantial traffic issues and backing up there. We'll get into much more of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you know, fairly nightmarish. Whether that means people, you know, either more people will not go, I can't say but it would certainly convince me not to particularly go there. But again, the question you asked, is it the most convenient place to buy gas, and I think the answer is no. I think
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Wheaton mall? MS. CORDRY: Well, if okay. If we're going back to gas again which is the question that the special exception asks, similar identical uses which is gas, it is quite likely not going to be there because during the time when the warehouse is open, they're probably going to have to go sit for 10 to 20 minutes in a line and I would find it, myself, much more convenient, expedient and useful to drive out of the mall and to go to one of the stations in the area that I will pass by on the way to my home. MR. GOECKE: Okay. So it's your contention that the gas station, if it were to be located there, would not be the most reasonably convenient place for Costco shoppers when purchasing gas. MS. CORDRY: Gas as a whole, no. MR. GOECKE: And you say that because it's not open all the time. MS. CORDRY: Well, it's not open, it often has very long lines waiting there, you have to navigate around the mall. I think there is going to be substantial traffic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	main part of the store goes on a road on the right-hand side of Sterling. The traffic going to the gas station goes off to the left and goes in there. You don't have this crisscross. It's certainly nowhere near the loading docks. The loading docks are on the back side of the store hundreds of feet away from the gas station. This particular locality, and again, we'll get into a lot more of this when we get into traffic and patterns and so forth, but this particular station here with the trucks, the loading trucks coming in anywhere from 4:00 to 10:00 in the morning and 7:00 to 10:00 at night, which I believe is actually the testimony as to when they can come, during periods when the gas station is going to be open, the traffic trying to go back and forth around the mall, trying to get in through that road, I think it's going to be, you know, fairly nightmarish. Whether that means people, you know, either more people will not go, I can't say but it would certainly convince me not to particularly go there. But again, the question you asked, is it the most convenient place to buy gas, and I think the answer is no. I think there would be places where it's much easier for people to

	Page 178		Page 180
1	MR. GOECKE: But you testified before that you	1	that most people won't use it, it's really a different
	don't, you don't have any reason to dispute that about 30		situation when you have a new station that comes in that
	percent of Costco members purchase their gas from Costco.		everybody uses that is available to everybody and that
4	MS. CORDRY: Of course I dispute that. I have no		everyone can benefit from. If it puts another station out
5	reason to believe that that's the correct number. The		of business that is reasonably similar to it, well, you
6	number I see in the traffic report says that about 30		know, that's part of the idea about the lack of absolute
	percent of gas shoppers go on over and shop at the		necessity and competition. But if you have a station that
8	warehouse.	8	most people can't use and it does not provide anything
9	MR. GOECKE: Uh-huh.	9	remotely like similar services, you're having a situation
10	MS. CORDRY: That's the only number I've seen.	10	where the neighborhood then is having a net loss of benefit
11	MR. GOECKE: And if we assume that the 30 percent	11	so I think that doesn't satisfy the need standard.
	number is accurate, then that would sort of support your	12	
	theory that 70 percent of Costco members, for whatever		not solely be used to eliminate competition but you believe
	reason, don't buy their gas there. Either they find it not		that may be one of the factors that's used in denying
	convenient or they run out of gas elsewhere, they don't plan		special exceptions.
	to purchase their gas there.	16	
17	MS. CORDRY: Okay. Well, again, if you want me to		lack of other competing stations causes a net loss of
	assume your figure which I see, have no evidence for, then, yeah, 70 percent would go elsewhere. If I take my number		benefits to the neighborhood as a whole, I think that is quite clearly a factor that is of concern in the special
	which says that about only 18 percent perhaps shop there		exception process.
	then it would be 82 percent but, yes. Most Costco shoppers,	21	
	it would appear, do not want to use this gas station either.		curtail competition unless you can show that it's going to
23	MR. GOECKE: Okay. And so if we assume the 30		somehow curtail benefits to the neighborhood.
24	percent, then that puts us at a projected total gasoline	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	sales of between three-and-a-half and five-and-a-half	25	the fact of driving another station out of business is not a
	Page 179		Page 181
1	million gallons a year, right?		solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the
2	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30	2	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly,
2 3	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of	2 3	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the
2 3 4	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of	2 3 4	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a
2 3 4 5	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse.	2 3 4 5	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and
2 3 4 5 6	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your	2 3 4 5 6	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and
2 3 4 5 6 7	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens.	2 3 4 5 6 7	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public. Certainly, the Lucky Stores case looks at the proliferation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991. MS. CORDRY: I think in trying to look through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public. Certainly, the Lucky Stores case looks at the proliferation of other gas stations and certainly, I think we've made very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991. MS. CORDRY: I think in trying to look through the special exceptions, that appeared to be what I could find.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public. Certainly, the Lucky Stores case looks at the proliferation of other gas stations and certainly, I think we've made very clear why the fact that stations going out of business can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991. MS. CORDRY: I think in trying to look through the special exceptions, that appeared to be what I could find. It did not, it looked like I think a Freestate in fact, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public. Certainly, the Lucky Stores case looks at the proliferation of other gas stations and certainly, I think we've made very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991. MS. CORDRY: I think in trying to look through the special exceptions, that appeared to be what I could find. It did not, it looked like I think a Freestate in fact, I think was the last one that was approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public. Certainly, the Lucky Stores case looks at the proliferation of other gas stations and certainly, I think we've made very clear why the fact that stations going out of business can create a net loss of benefits to the neighborhood area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991. MS. CORDRY: I think in trying to look through the special exceptions, that appeared to be what I could find. It did not, it looked like I think a Freestate in fact, I think was the last one that was approved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	million gallons a year, right? MS. CORDRY: Well, if you use 18 percent to 30 percent, then that would give you somewhere in that range of three-and-a-half to five-and-a-half million gallons, of people already coming to the warehouse. MR. GOECKE: When you were talking about your concerns, sort of the byproducts if the gas station opens. You talked about the threat on competition it might have but you agree that this section, the need section, is not used to curtail or eliminate new competition, don't you? MS. CORDRY: It cannot be used solely to eliminate competition but I think it's also a question again, looking at Mr. Cronyn's report for instance, he noted that, he found that there would be a benefit because there would not be, it could be met without closing of the other filling stations, be seriously compromised or go out of business, and that was part of his assumption that it would therefore be reasonably convenient and useful to the general public. Certainly, the Lucky Stores case looks at the proliferation of other gas stations and certainly, I think we've made very clear why the fact that stations going out of business can create a net loss of benefits to the neighborhood area there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	solo factor probably for denying a special exception but the fact that you do lose other stations, and that's certainly, most of the special exception reports I look at point to the fact that there is, theoretically, a lack of, there is a need in the neighborhood and they look at these figures and they say the pumping is this and the need is that and therefore, there's room to put another station in there because there's a gap between the need, the demand and the supply and we can put another station in without putting other stations out of business. That is typically the way they look at these things, the ones that I have read. So I would certainly say that it is, it is a factor that if you were putting stations out of business whose services are not being replaced for the public, that is a problem. MR. GOECKE: I'm trying to find exactly where you said it but in one of your filings, I think you wrote that there's been no new gas stations built in this neighborhood since 1991. MS. CORDRY: I think in trying to look through the special exceptions, that appeared to be what I could find. It did not, it looked like I think a Freestate in fact, I think was the last one that was approved. MR. GOECKE: Do you think there's been any changes in the construction of gas stations since 1991?

	Page 182		Page 184
1	MR. GOECKE: Do you think there's been any	1	MS. CORDRY: I can't say I've ever found buying
2	improvements to the way they design gas stations?	2	gas to be particularly enjoyable one way or the other but I
3	MS. CORDRY: I'm sure that some things like tanks		would certainly doubt that after you've sat in line for 10
4	and so forth have probably been improved.		or 20 minutes that you'd find it all that enjoyable.
5	MR. GOECKE: Do you agree that new business may be	5	MR. GOECKE: So that's not possible.
6	able to offer services in a more efficient or superior	6	MS. CORDRY: I have no ability to speculate on
7	manner than older businesses.	7	what somebody finds enjoyable about buying gas.
8	MS. CORDRY: Well, not as just a generalized fact.	8	MR. GOECKE: You heard the folks testify from the
9	I mean	9	community in favor of the gas station, did you not?
10	MR. GOECKE: No?	10	MS. CORDRY: I heard I think probably six or eight
11	MS. CORDRY: Some new business may, some may not.	11	people, maybe ten.
12	MR. GOECKE: So it is possible.	12	MR. GOECKE: But you were there that day when the
13	MS. CORDRY: Anything's possible.	13	people testified in favor of Costco irrespective of how many
14	MR. GOECKE: And new businesses may be able to	14	might have actually testified.
15	provide a cheaper product as well.	15	MS. CORDRY: I was there for part of it. I had to
16	MS. CORDRY: Some may, some may not. I can	16	come in late that day.
	certainly buy my gas generally cheaper than what I've gotten	17	MR. GOECKE: Assume that the Hearing Examiner
	at Costco by using the existing Giant stores discount		found that Costco had established there was a need for a gas
19	programs.	19	station, should the competition it poses to other gas
20	MR. GOECKE: But you agree that Costco sells gas		stations be a reason to deny the application?
	more cheaply than most gas stations in the neighborhood.	21	MS. CORDRY: Well, if he's going to find there's a
22	MS. CORDRY: It's, it's face price is generally		need apart from the fact of what the competition other
	cheaper. As I say, there are a number of discount programs		gas stations creates and the effect it may have on putting
	and this appears to be proliferating all over the place.		them out of business and causing loss of benefits to the
25	Besides Safeway has a program, K-Mart has a program,	25	neighborhood, I think those are kind of antithetical things.
	Page 183		Page 185
1	-	1	-
	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30,	1	I think you can't just isolate one without the other.
2	-	2	I think you can't just isolate one without the other.
2 3	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts	2 3	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time
2 3 4	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no,	2 3	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby
2 3 4	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite	2 3 4 5	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate?
2 3 4 5	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco.	2 3 4 5 6	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified
2 3 4 5 6 7	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often.	2 3 4 5 6 7	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business. MR. GOECKE: Did you investigate why those stores
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses available to the neighborhood to get cheap gas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business. MR. GOECKE: Did you investigate why those stores went out of business?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses available to the neighborhood to get cheap gas. MR. GOECKE: So the people purchasing gas from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business. MR. GOECKE: Did you investigate why those stores went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I have not spoken to anybody yet who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses available to the neighborhood to get cheap gas. MR. GOECKE: So the people purchasing gas from Costco may not do it merely because it's the cheapest gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business? MR. GOECKE: Did you investigate why those stores went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I have not spoken to anybody yet who could tell me why those stores went out of business.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses available to the neighborhood to get cheap gas. MR. GOECKE: So the people purchasing gas from Costco may not do it merely because it's the cheapest gas available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business? MS. CORDRY: I have not spoken to anybody yet who could tell me why those stores went out of business. MR. GOECKE: You didn't talk to the owners or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses available to the neighborhood to get cheap gas. MR. GOECKE: So the people purchasing gas from Costco may not do it merely because it's the cheapest gas available. MS. CORDRY: I don't know what their reasons are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business? MR. GOECKE: Did you investigate why those stores went out of business. MR. GOECKE: Did you investigate why those stores went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I have not spoken to anybody yet who could tell me why those stores went out of business. MR. GOECKE: You didn't talk to the owners or anyone associated with those companies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Giant has a program. Most of them allow me to get 20, 30, 40 cents a gallon off in gas with relatively minor amounts of purchases so is the price posted on the sign less, no, but is the price I actually pay at the pump less, quite often substantially less than what I would get at Costco. MR. GOECKE: Quite often. MS. CORDRY: Um, yeah. I mean, I don't think I've ever seen Costco sell for \$1.10 less than the neighboring areas which is an amount that I've gotten off with my Giant discount. MR. GOECKE: So if the only thing motivating people to purchase gas was the lowest price, you're saying there are other options for them out there. MS. CORDRY: I think if the question is are there if Costco's main benefit is the cheap gas which it provides, which seems to be the basis of Mr. Flynn's analysis of benefit, his primary analysis of benefit, then, yes, there are other places, other similar or identical uses available to the neighborhood to get cheap gas. MR. GOECKE: So the people purchasing gas from Costco may not do it merely because it's the cheapest gas available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think you can't just isolate one without the other. MR. GOECKE: I believe you testified last time that when a Wawa opened in Beltsville, it caused two nearby stations to go out of business. Is that accurate? MS. CORDRY: I'm testifying what I testified was that Mr. Flynn's report indicated that there were a certain number of stations, I think it was nine, before the Wawa opened and that after it opened, that there were seven apart from the Wawa so that at some point in time coincident with that, two stations went out of business. MR. GOECKE: But is it your belief or is it your testimony that it was because of the Wawa that those two stations went out of business? MS. CORDRY: I can't tell you for sure because I haven't talked to those people. It's certainly coincident time. It's certainly possible that it had an effect on them going out of business? MS. CORDRY: I have not spoken to anybody yet who could tell me why those stores went out of business. MR. GOECKE: You didn't talk to the owners or anyone associated with those companies. MS. CORDRY: Not yet, no.

	Page 186		Page 188
1	they went out of business.	1	is drawn away from the gas station area, it puts much more
2	MS. CORDRY: No. As I said, I have not talked,		pressure on those stations and I'll leave it to them. I'll
	spoken to anybody who could tell me precisely why they went		expect some will be wanting to come in at some point before
	out of business.		the end of this hearing to testify about their conditions,
5	MR. GOECKE: And it's possible that those gas		but I think the witness who testified that same day, Mr.
6	stations may have went out of business even if the Wawa had		Youssafieh I think his name was, was making the point that
7	not opened.	7	the stations are really on the edge these days.
8	MS. CORDRY: It's possible, but they had been	8	MR. GOECKE: But if I understand you correctly,
9	there before and they closed during that time period so it's	9	you're concerned about the loss of amenities to the
10	at least a possibility. But again, the Wawa is open to	10	neighborhood, isn't that right?
11	everyone. It does provide other services. It does provide	11	MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm concerned about the loss of
12	a major convenience store there so.	12	amenities. I'm concerned about
13	MR. GOECKE: Did the two gas stations that closed	13	
	provide any amenities to the neighborhood that were not		focus on that for the moment. I know you're concerned about
	available at other gas stations?		a lot of things but I just want to focus on one thing at a
16	MS. CORDRY: I don't know specifically the		time.
17		17	,
	provide a convenience store, for instance, of the size and variety that the Wawa has. I've been over in that general	18	Yes. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And my point is that isn't it
	area. I can't tell you what those stores are but I'm		more likely that gas stations that offer these amenities
	reasonably sure there was not one similar to the Wawa in		that you value and presumably, other people value, are less
	that area before.		likely to go out of business for that very reason?
23	MR. GOECKE: But if I understand you correctly,	23	
24	your concern that if Costco opens, it may cause other gas	24	That provides some reason for people to go there but, you
25	stations to go out of business that offer certain amenities	25	know, I don't use a service bay every day. I use gasoline
	Page 187		Page 189
1	Page 187 to the neighborhood, is that right?	1	Page 189 much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and
1			
2 3	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the	2 3	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the
2 3 4	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in	2 3 4	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the
2 3 4 5	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with	2 3 4 5	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing
2 3 4 5 6	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no	2 3 4 5 6	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline.
2 3 4 5 6 7	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them? MS. CORDRY: Well, that's probably a reason that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood who might otherwise use it might go to the mall more. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them? MS. CORDRY: Well, that's probably a reason that not all stations are immediately going to close when Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood who might otherwise use it might go to the mall more. I can't tell you which ones have the highest or lowest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them? MS. CORDRY: Well, that's probably a reason that not all stations are immediately going to close when Costco gets there that's true, but providing me with a windshield	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood who might otherwise use it might go to the mall more. I can't tell you which ones have the highest or lowest profitability. I, it's not my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them? MS. CORDRY: Well, that's probably a reason that not all stations are immediately going to close when Costco gets there that's true, but providing me with a windshield wiping solution doesn't really, it's not a profit source for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood who might otherwise use it might go to the mall more. I can't tell you which ones have the highest or lowest profitability. I, it's not my MR. GOECKE: So you can't tell me or it's the ones
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them? MS. CORDRY: Well, that's probably a reason that not all stations are immediately going to close when Costco gets there that's true, but providing me with a windshield wiping solution doesn't really, it's not a profit source for those, those companies, or the air for my tires and so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood who might otherwise use it might go to the mall more. I can't tell you which ones have the highest or lowest profitability. I, it's not my MR. GOECKE: So you can't tell me or it's the ones that are closest to the Costco gas station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to the neighborhood, is that right? MS. CORDRY: I'm certainly concerned that as the gas volume drops and continues to drop from now out to the next 25 years or so, that the diminishing volume will in fact accelerate an ongoing trend and it will leave us with this station that only sells gasoline and provides no amenities and doesn't do anything for most of the neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: And by amenities, you mean what? That it MS. CORDRY: Well, I mean a windshield washing solution, air, service bays, place to buy a cup of coffee, place to use the restroom. Any number of amenities such as that. MR. GOECKE: But isn't it possible that gas stations that offer those types of amenities are less likely to close as a result of competition from Costco because people go there for the very reasons that you value them? MS. CORDRY: Well, that's probably a reason that not all stations are immediately going to close when Costco gets there that's true, but providing me with a windshield wiping solution doesn't really, it's not a profit source for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	much more than I will use the service bays and so forth, and I think that's probably true of most people. So those stations, on a day in and day out basis, also need the gasoline sales to be able to carry the store, and that's the other part of what I'm concerned about is loss of competing of places that I can buy gasoline. MR. GOECKE: And you testified that you didn't investigate why those two stations near the Wawa closed. I'm assuming you haven't done any viability analysis of the other gas stations in this neighborhood. MS. CORDRY: Do you mean have I tried to be, do an economic analysis of them or, you know, I mean MR. GOECKE: Okay. Let's do it this way. You're concerned that some of them might close. Which ones do you think are most likely to close? MS. CORDRY: Well, I think some of the ones in the most near in vicinity have probably the most likelihood as people come out of the mall, or people in this neighborhood who might otherwise use it might go to the mall more. I can't tell you which ones have the highest or lowest profitability. I, it's not my MR. GOECKE: So you can't tell me or it's the ones that are closest to the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: I think those have

	Page 190		Page 192
-	this is		Claritan report would accor to suggest a you know if there
2	this is MR. GROSSMAN: I sustain the objection.		Claritas report would seem to suggest a, you know, if there is any gap, that's where the gap is.
3		3	
4		-	quarters of fuel customers at gas stations with convenience
	leading us, Mr. Goecke. Nobody can predict with accuracy as	5	
	to whether or not these stations, other stations are going	6	
	to close, are going to be, have more of a burden or how much		I'm not sure I would agree with that but it might be the
	additional burden would be of the competition, and this	8	
	witness can't so I don't know where this is leading us.	9	
10	MR. GOECKE: I agree with that. My understanding	10	
11	is that she's arguing that some of these stations that offer	11	This is not marked as an exhibit. I'm not submitting it as
	amenities are going to go out of business.		an exhibit, Mr. Grossman. I just wanted to show it to the
13	MR. GROSSMAN: She's saying that it's a risk. I		witness to see if she recognizes this document.
14	mean, I think that if there's competition, there's always a	14	MS. CORDRY: I think so, yes.
15	risk. I don't know that I can nobody has put a, you	15	MR. GOECKE: And this is one that you provided,
16	know, an actual number on it in a way that I can factor it	16	that you identified as one that you might rely on in your
17	indirectly in an analysis. I'd have to consider it a risk.	17	testimony.
18	MR. GOECKE: Okay. I'll move along. Thank you.	18	MS. CORDRY: Okay. Some of that may have actually
19	You testified at the last hearing that the neighborhood is	19	been put in but in any case.
20	actually underserved with gas stations that have a C store	20	MR. GOECKE: I'm sorry?
21	attached to them, is that right?	21	MS. CORDRY: I think that was, that actually was,
22	MS. CORDRY: Well, I think what I testified was	22	I believe, put in, that document.
	that the Claritas report says that there is a small retail	23	MR. GOECKE: Oh, this is an exhibit you think?
	gap, I'm sorry, that there was a retail gap for gas stations	24	
25	without convenience stores. Again, I think I also testified	25	MR. GROSSMAN: What's the exhibit if you want
	Page 191		Page 193
	Page 191		Page 193
	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the	1	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe.
2	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would	2	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23
2 3	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a	2 3	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re:
2 3 4	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true	2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats.
2 3 4 5	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the	2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that?
2 3 4 5 6	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My
2 3 4 5 6 7	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no?	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: To the extent that there is few, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: To the extent that there is few, if any, true convenience stores and gas stations in this area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that? MS. CORDRY: No. I think that's an incomplete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: To the extent that there is few, if any, true convenience stores and gas stations in this area and if people want a need for those, that's, that's where if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that? MS. CORDRY: No. I think that's an incomplete point if the question is do you make use of the convenience
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: To the extent that there is few, if any, true convenience stores and gas stations in this area and if people want a need for those, that's, that's where if there's any need, it might be that. Again, whether this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that? MS. CORDRY: No. I think that's an incomplete point if the question is do you make use of the convenience store amenities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: To the extent that there is few, if any, true convenience stores and gas stations in this area and if people want a need for those, that's, that's where if there's any need, it might be that. Again, whether this is the sort of area where people want to use those as opposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GROSSMAN: as to amount of people who use MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that? MS. CORDRY: No. I think that's an incomplete point if the question is do you make use of the convenience store amenities. MR. GROSSMAN: The restroom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that I think that that's overstated in the sense that the assumption, that proceeds on the assumption that you would buy 100 percent of your needs for any particular item in a given area. That said, there aren't very many true convenience stores, at least as I say in the Wawa or the Sheetz or the Royal Farms kind of model in this, this general neighborhood. MR. GOECKE: So is it your contention that if there's going to be a new gas station in the neighborhood, it should be a gas station with a C store or no? MS. CORDRY: Well, I would say that such a station would probably be more likely to be able to demonstrate that there was some lack of that kind of a station in the area here. It's debatable still whether you need it but of the two kinds of stores, that's the one that there is probably less well-served with. Let's put it that way. MR. GOECKE: So in your opinion, there is more likely to be a need for a gas station with a C store than the Costco gas station. MS. CORDRY: To the extent that there is few, if any, true convenience stores and gas stations in this area and if people want a need for those, that's, that's where if there's any need, it might be that. Again, whether this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: It's 293 I believe. MR. GROSSMAN: 293 is a received September 23 excerpt from National Association of Convenience Stores, re: petroleum industry stats and station retailer stats. Anyway, what's your point about that? MR. GOECKE: My MR. GROSSMAN: Does it have some statistic that MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: It does. It does. MR. GOECKE: Yes. So this report. I'll read it right here, it's the highlighted section. It's on page, the document is not numbered but it's on the one, two, three, fourth page that's been provided to us and it says about three-fourths of the way down, nearly three-quarters, 73 percent of all fuel customers at convenience stores do not purchase an in-store item. Did I read that correctly? MS. CORDRY: That's what the sentence is. MR. GOECKE: Okay. And do you have any reason to dispute that? MS. CORDRY: No. I think that's an incomplete point if the question is do you make use of the convenience store amenities. MR. GROSSMAN: The restroom?

	Page 194		Page 196
1	restrooms in the convenience stores a lot more often than I	1	gasoline over the next 30 years, that doesn't mean that all
	necessarily purchase anything in the convenience stores.		gas stations will sell 12.5 less gas than they're doing
3	MR. GROSSMAN: I actually had the same though		today.
	occur to me while you were reading that. I wondered if they	4	
	were including people who went in for other reasons. To		more than that because that includes the fact that you have
	make a deposit rather than to purchase.		a per capita growth in population and people will be in
7	MS. CORDRY: I will say I often, though, do feel a		different areas and we probably will still not manage to
	little guilty and I do try to buy a pack of crackers or		convince everybody to move back into the center city. You
	something like that to make up for using their facilities		quite possibly will have other areas of the country settled,
	but I don't always.		you have other gas stations being put other places so that
11	MR. GOECKE: So if only 27 percent of the people		21.5 percent reduction in volume may be spread out over even
	are benefitting or using the benefits of the amenities, how		more gas stations, so an existing gas station could well
	does that help show a stronger need?		lose more than 12.5 to 17 percent.
14	MS. CORDRY: Well, I think in the first place, we	14	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I mean, what am I going to
	have just made the point that it's not necessarily only 27		reason from this? I mean, I think you're asking me, as I
	percent use the other amenities, they may use many other		suggested to Ms. Cordry she was asking me to do, a level of
	items, but it's also a question on any given point. Today,		analysis beyond that which was advisable or going to be
	I may not need to purchase something there. Tomorrow when I		helpful to me where you're asking me to slice the salami too
	go in, I may very well want to purchase something so it's		fine also. I mean, I don't think I'm going to analyze of
	not necessarily that you, on every occasion, use every	20	
	amenity. I don't use the service bay at a station every	-	stations may or may not be subjected to it.
	time I go in. I don't use the air, thank God I don't have	22	MR. GOECKE: Okay.
	to use the air every time I go in but I definitely want to	23	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have that kind of evidence
	have it there. I think I mentioned on my first testimony I		and this hearing is not addressed to that kind of evidence.
	still miss the Shell that was at the corner where the	25	MR. GOECKE: Bear with me one moment.
	Page 195		Page 197
1	Page 195 Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use	1	
	-	1 2	
	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use	2	(Discussion off the record.)
2 3	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.	2 3	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry,
2 3	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.	2 3 4	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's
2 3 4 5	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's	2 3 4 5	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're
2 3 4 5	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the	2 3 4 5	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.	2 3 4 5 6	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that	2 3 4 5 6 7	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040. MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040. MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040. MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons to about seven-and-a-half to seven million gallons,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040. MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons to about seven-and-a-half to seven million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.</li> <li>All right. So what's</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time. MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too. All right. So what's MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station. MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right? MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040. MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons to about seven-and-a-half to seven million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers. MR. GOECKE: And do you agree that the reduction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness? MS. ADELMAN: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.</li> <li>All right. So what's</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons to about seven-and-a-half to seven million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And do you agree that the reduction in consumption is not likely to be felt equally by all gas</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness? MS. ADELMAN: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Does Kensington View,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.</li> <li>All right. So what's</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons to about seven-and-a-half to seven million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And do you agree that the reduction in consumption is not likely to be felt equally by all gas stations?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness? MS. ADELMAN: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Does Kensington View, no, Ms. Duckett? Any redirect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.</li> <li>All right. So what's</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And do you agree that the reduction in consumption is not likely to be felt equally by all gas stations?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness? MS. ADELMAN: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Does Kensington View, no, Ms. Duckett? Any redirect? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.</li> <li>All right. So what's</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And do you agree that the reduction in consumption is not likely to be felt equally by all gas stations?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I don't know.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: Don't you think that some gas</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness? MS. ADELMAN: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Does Kensington View, no, Ms. Duckett? Any redirect? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Wendy's is now because it had a free air pump and I did use that from time to time.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I miss those free air pumps too.</li> <li>All right. So what's</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: And even if I have to pay for the air, I appreciate having the air at a station.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: You testified earlier today that there are many different organizations and agencies predicting or forecasting a reduction in the consumption of gasoline, right?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And in one of your reports I think it was a 12.5 percent reduction by 2040.</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: I think one of the reports suggested it was going to go from about eight-and-a-half million gallons, so somewhere between 12 to 17 percent. Different prediction, different numbers.</li> <li>MR. GOECKE: And do you agree that the reduction in consumption is not likely to be felt equally by all gas stations?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Discussion off the record.) MR. GOECKE: And you would also agree, Ms. Cordry, that if there is a reduction in gas and, as Mr. Grossman's pointed out, Costco sells less gas than they're anticipating, that would mean shorter lines, less traffic, fewer emissions. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I asked that question. I didn't point it out. I asked it. MR. GOECKE: I like it though. MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if Costco sells less gas, there will be less lines. Well, there may or may not. It just sort of depends whether what time of the day the less gas is sold at but in general, you'd probably have smaller lines, yes. MR. GOECKE: I have no further questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Ms. Adelman, does the coalition wish to cross-examine this witness? MS. ADELMAN: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Does Kensington View, no, Ms. Duckett? Any redirect? MR. ROSENFELD: Yes. Thank you.

	Page 198		Page 200
1	million gallons of gasoline a year even if overall gasoline	1	that.
	consumption decreases?	2	MR. ROSENFELD: And I believe that as attachments
3	MS. CORDRY: I haven't seen anything where they	3	to that memo, there were both the iterations of the drafting
	made those kind of analyses, no.		of that language as well as relevant excerpts from staff
5	MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'm going to bring to you,		reports to the extent that legislative history
	this is 59-G-1.24, neighborhood need. Would you just read	6	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't recall that.
	the full text of that clause, of that provision please?	7	MR. ROSENFELD: exists.
8	MS. CORDRY: Okay.	8	MR. GROSSMAN: It's possible. I mean, it's been a
9	MR. GROSSMAN: I've become frighteningly familiar	9	while. What's the exhibit number again?
10	with it already you know.	10	MR. ROSENFELD: 88(s).
11	MR. ROSENFELD: I know you have. This is for	11	MR. GROSSMAN: 88(s). All right. Let's see if we
12		12	have that. It might be in a different box. Yes. I think
13	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.		I'll have to hit the boxes. 88. 88(s). Amazing. All
14	MS. CORDRY: In addition to the findings and		right. Let's see. You have ZTA 10-01 as submitted by the
15	requirements of Article 59-G, the following special		Planning Board and 10-01 as adopted by the County Council.
	exceptions may only be granted when the Board, the Hearing	16	MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And as you go
	Examiner or the District Council, as the case may be, finds		back through there, I think you'll find a staff report from
	from a preponderance of the evidence of record that a need		Michael Ma at the time at Park and Planning to Ralph Wilson
	exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the		at the time at the County Council.
	general neighborhood considering the present availability of	20	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I see that.
	identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, and number	21	MS. ROSENFELD: And there is a recommendation that
22	one being an automobile filling station.	22	the text including public convenience and service be
23	MR. ROSENFELD: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Cordry, in	23	removed. And the subsequent attachment, Exhibit 6, shows
24	that, in that paragraph, is the standard expedient listed		the text amendment as it was finally approved deleting the
25	anywhere in that statutory provision?		terms for the public convenience and service, and a need
	Page 100		Page 201
	Page 199		Page 201
1	MS. CORDRY: No.		exists for proposed use for service to serve the population
2	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient	2	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood.
2 3	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision?	2 3	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It
2 3 4	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No.	2 3 4	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it,
2 3 4 5	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful	2 3 4 5	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language
2 3 4 5 6	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No.	2 3 4 5 6	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that	2 3 4 5 6 7	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council. MR. ROSENFELD: That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of such uses in that neighborhood, but all of that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council. MR. ROSENFELD: That is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And some of that verbiage had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of such uses in that neighborhood, but all of that is bracketed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council. MR. ROSENFELD: That is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And some of that verbiage had been removed, and then I think I had asked the parties if there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of such uses in that neighborhood, but all of that is bracketed. MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And if you go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council. MR. ROSENFELD: That is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And some of that verbiage had been removed, and then I think I had asked the parties if there was legislative history that would indicate why in fact the,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of such uses in that neighborhood, but all of that is bracketed. MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And if you go to the next exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council. MR. ROSENFELD: That is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And some of that verbiage had been removed, and then I think I had asked the parties if there was legislative history that would indicate why in fact the, those words had been removed. I don't think I have received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of such uses in that neighborhood, but all of that is bracketed. MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And if you go to the next exhibit MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The phrase reasonably convenient listed anywhere in that provision? MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: The term useful MS. CORDRY: No. MR. ROSENFELD: contained anywhere in that provision? Do you know whether at the time the Lucky Stores case was decided those phrases were included in the Montgomery County statute? MS. CORDRY: I don't believe those specific phrases were, no. MR. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, do you have a copy of Exhibit 88(s) in your files? MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sure they're in here somewhere. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure they are. MR. GROSSMAN: But I know that you filed and part of, one of the, one of the papers that you filed pointed out that the statute had been changed by the Council. MR. ROSENFELD: That is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And some of that verbiage had been removed, and then I think I had asked the parties if there was legislative history that would indicate why in fact the,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	exists for proposed use for service to serve the population in the general neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's see. It appears that what Mr. Ma's memo, page 23, you included it, would have 59-G-1.24 state, he eliminates a lot of language but he would have it state in addition to the findings and requirements of Article 59-G, the following special exception, special exceptions may only be granted as provided in, for in Section 59-G-1.1 where the following additional findings are made. And then he's, he doesn't, it doesn't indicate any additional findings according to what you have here. The bracketed, the bracketed portion eliminates the following the language, when the Board, the Hearing Examiner or District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that for the public convenience and service, a need exists for the proposed use for the service of the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of such uses in that neighborhood, but all of that is bracketed. MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And if you go to the next exhibit

	Page 202		Page 204
1	MR. GROSSMAN: So he would have, he if I	1	that is regardless of what the Council's intention may have
2	understand correctly, that recommendation is a		been, the language speaks for itself and clearly, they
	recommendation to eliminate all of the need language.		reviewed and considered this issue and deleted the words for
4	MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And then	4	the public convenience and service from, from the finding of
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Have no need, no need	5	need.
6	MR. ROSENFELD: No need requirement at all.	6	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Mr. Goecke, do you have a
7	MR. GROSSMAN: requirement at all. Okay.	7	response to that? Yes.
8	MR. ROSENFELD: And then I think that you'll find	8	MS. HARRIS: Well, two things. One is you had
9	that the Council reinstated	9	noted that, this is one of the questions that you had sort
10	MR. GROSSMAN: And the Council didn't remove all	10	of posed I believe
11	the language. It just took out	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
12	MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. It didn't remove it	12	MS. HARRIS: in your 20 questions.
13	all.	13	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
14	MR. GROSSMAN: It just took out the words for the	14	MS. HARRIS: And then if I understood you
15	public convenience and service and it took out proposed use	15	correctly, did you just say that no one responded to that?
16	and it took out for service and then substituted to serve.	16	MR. GROSSMAN: No. I didn't see any, any
17	MR. ROSENFELD: That's correct.	17	information from the legislative history that explained the
18	MR. GROSSMAN: So I guess that the operative words	18	removal of those words from either side as I recall.
19	that it took out were for the public convenience and	19	MS. HARRIS: Right. I agree with that. We did
20	service.	20	MR. GROSSMAN: I think you all filed something.
21	MS. ROSENFELD: That's correct. And then	21	MS. HARRIS: brief it. Right.
22	further	22	5
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Do we know why?	23	-
24	MR. ROSENFELD: No. I could not find any evidence	24	
25	in the legislative history to support why that was done but	25	MR. ROSENFELD: No.
	<b>D D D</b>		
	Page 203		Page 205
1		1	-
	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate		
2			MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there
2 3	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they	2	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note
2 3 4	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note
2 3 4	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds.
2 3 4 5	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the
2 3 4 5 6	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases
2 3 4 5 6 7	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. ROSENFELD: Not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. GROSSMAN: So it wasn't entirely clear what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very legitimate, arguable issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. ROSENFELD: Not MR. GROSSMAN: So it wasn't entirely clear what they were doing. And then the Council eliminates some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very legitimate, arguable issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And just briefly to add onto that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. ROSENFELD: Not MR. GROSSMAN: So it wasn't entirely clear what they were doing. And then the Council eliminates some words. There is nothing in committee reports or committee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very legitimate, arguable issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And just briefly to add onto that, there was, and this is in the same filing of course, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. GROSSMAN: So it wasn't entirely clear what they were doing. And then the Council eliminates some words. There is nothing in committee reports or committee recommendations or evidence in front of them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very legitimate, arguable issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And just briefly to add onto that, there was, and this is in the same filing of course, the Brandywine case that evaluated the issue of need and said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. GROSSMAN: So it wasn't entirely clear what they were doing. And then the Council eliminates some words. There is nothing in committee reports or committee recommendations or evidence in front of them? MR. ROSENFELD: I assure you I scoured the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very legitimate, arguable issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And just briefly to add onto that, there was, and this is in the same filing of course, the Brandywine case that evaluated the issue of need and said that in the absence of the words convenience, public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clearly, they looked at this language and made a deliberate decision to make this change. And further, you'll see they say to serve the population of the general neighborhood considering the present availability of, and they added identical similar uses to that neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Instead of such uses, it says identical or similar uses were substituted. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Yes, but I, I just wondered whether there was any, anything that told us why they did that. Why they jumped MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sure you MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, the technical staff recommends elimination of the whole requirement although not clearly because it says, it left out consider this and then it doesn't tell you what you're supposed to consider according to that bracketed portion that it remove. MR. GROSSMAN: So it wasn't entirely clear what they were doing. And then the Council eliminates some words. There is nothing in committee reports or committee recommendations or evidence in front of them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: any answer to my question and maybe there's no answer. It's out there MR. ROSENFELD: But I would note MR. GROSSMAN: in the clouds. MR. ROSENFELD: that subsequently, after the change, there have been subsequent special exception cases and in fact, the, Chair Carrier at the time who was the Zoning Hearing Examiner, in her view, she noted that she thought it even strengthened, it had sort of reaffirmed the Lucky Stores' interpretation, it didn't weaken it, and that that has been applied subsequently in at least two other special exception cases. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. I'm not sure whether Ms. Carrier was correct or the Board of Appeals was correct in the way they treated that language or that change in language. I just, I haven't, you know, stopped to figure it all yet in advance. When the record is completed, I will obviously, but it's certainly an issue. I think it's a very legitimate, arguable issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And just briefly to add onto that, there was, and this is in the same filing of course, the Brandywine case that evaluated the issue of need and said that in the absence of the words convenience, public convenience and

	Page 206		Page 208
1	different statute in a different county where they talked	1	MR. ROSENFELD: Would you consider another Costco
	about necessity as I recall in Brandywine.		
3	MR. ROSENFELD: They were looking at necessity but	3	MS. CORDRY: Obviously, that would be an identical
4	they looked to the Montgomery County statute in its former	4	use.
5	iteration and said in that case, public convenience and	5	MR. ROSENFELD: And did the need analysis have
6	service was clearly a factor because it was identified in	6	any, any projections as to how much, how many, I'm sorry,
7	the statute. Here, those are not identified in the statute	7	how much volume of gasoline purchases would shift from the
8	and so we look at an issue of pure necessity.	8	Beltsville warehouse to the Wheaton warehouse, gas station?
9	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand your point but they	9	MS. CORDRY: I believe there was a suggestion that
	contrasted the PG County I think it was or Baltimore, I		they thought that 35 to 40 percent of the sales might shift
	forget which one		based on Mr. Flynn's rather, I think he got that by taking
12	MR. ROSENFELD: Prince George's County.		his limited survey of 2440 people and calculating how many
13	MR. GROSSMAN: with the, with Montgomery County		had zip codes closer to Wheaton than to Beltsville and from
	in saying that, you know, one said necessity, the other had		that, he calculated 35 to 40 percent and it was a bracketed
	other waffle language. I don't know that vitiates the		4.2 to 4.8 million gallons.
	analysis in, in Lucky Stores or the application of the	16	MR. ROSENFELD: So at least the evidence proffered
	convenience kind of standard that's grown up. I think it's		by the applicant would suggest that there's a substantial percentage of Costco, perspective Costco gasoline shoppers
	a legitimate point, Ms. Rosenfeld. I just don't know, I'm not		in Wheaton who currently do have that service, identical
20	MR. ROSENFELD: Sure.		service available to them. Is that, would
20	MR. GROSSMAN: reaching any conclusion now.	20	MS. CORDRY: Right.
22	MR. ROSENFELD: I understand. My last point on	22	MR. ROSENFELD: Would you agree?
	that would be that at the time that the County Council made	23	MS. CORDRY: I would certainly suggest that anyone
	this legislative change, I believe in 2002, the Brandywine		in Wheaton has that service available to them in the sense
	case would have been out there and the legislature of course	25	that it's within the kind of driving range that they say
	Page 207		Page 209
1	Page 207 is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it	1	Page 209 people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's
			-
	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything.	2 3	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the
2 3 4	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption	2 3 4	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor
2 3 4 5	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay.	2 3 4 5	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco
2 3 4 5 6	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case	2 3 4 5 6	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them.
2 3 4 5 6 7	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised.	2 3 4 5 6 7	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking about selling gas, there's 24 to 27 gasoline stations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes. MR. ROSENFELD: And so would you consider that to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking about selling gas, there's 24 to 27 gasoline stations selling identical products to this neighborhood, and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes. MR. ROSENFELD: And so would you consider that to be firsthand testimony that this identical use is available
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking about selling gas, there's 24 to 27 gasoline stations selling identical products to this neighborhood. If you consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes. MR. ROSENFELD: And so would you consider that to be firsthand testimony that this identical use is available to people who would potentially shop here but they do have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking about selling gas, there's 24 to 27 gasoline stations selling identical products to this neighborhood. If you consider to to be a broader category that in is within the seven	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes. MR. ROSENFELD: And so would you consider that to be firsthand testimony that this identical use is available to people who would potentially shop here but they do have that use available to them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking about selling gas, there's 24 to 27 gasoline stations selling identical products to this neighborhood. If you consider to to be a broader category that in is within the seven minute area and perhaps to the neighborhood might be outside	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes. MR. ROSENFELD: And so would you consider that to be firsthand testimony that this identical use is available to people who would potentially shop here but they do have that use available to them? MS. CORDRY: Yes. I mean, I certainly heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is presumed to know what the common law is at the time it amends statutes so. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going to presume anything. MR. ROSENFELD: I think that's a legal presumption that the courts make. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's clearly an issue in the case and it's been well-raised. MR. ROSENFELD: And so, Ms. Cordry, you've been asked extensively about the issue of expediently, reasonably convenient and useful, and I think you've clarified your position on that. With respect to the second portion of this statute which says that the need exists for the proposed use to serve the population in the general neighborhood considering the present availability of identical or similar uses to that neighborhood, are you aware of identical or similar uses that are available to this neighborhood? MS. CORDRY: Well, obviously, if you're talking about selling gas, there's 24 to 27 gasoline stations selling identical products to this neighborhood. If you consider to to be a broader category that in is within the seven	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	people will come to for a Costco store because I think it's about 10 miles or less over to Beltsville. MR. ROSENFELD: So to the extent that the neighborhood includes anybody who lives in or is a visitor of or works at the Wheaton mall site, the Beltsville Costco would be available to them. MS. CORDRY: That would appear to be my understanding of it. MR. ROSENFELD: And were you able to hear the testimony of everybody who spoke on the day the community representatives came in to testify? MS. CORDRY: I got there late that day so I didn't hear everybody. I think I may have read their testimony but I don't think I heard all of it. MR. ROSENFELD: But did you hear people who testified, individuals who testified that they currently shop in Beltsville but would shop here if it were available? MS. CORDRY: I believe so, yes. MR. ROSENFELD: And so would you consider that to be firsthand testimony that this identical use is available to people who would potentially shop here but they do have that use available to them?

	Page 210	Page 212
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>would shop, would buy the Costco gas there and that that was something they could continue to do if they felt that need.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: And Mr. Goecke asked you if the people who were at the mall parcel using, shopping at the mall or potentially shopping at the gas station, if they were within the neighborhood for purposes of the need. Do you recall that question?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Yes.</li> <li>MR. ROSENFELD: Would you consider those people to be within the neighborhood for any other of the special exception criteria, health, safety, welfare, compatibility, nuisance, those kinds of things?</li> <li>MS. CORDRY: Well, certainly, they would be there in terms of, I suppose, health issues, traffic issues and so forth if they would drive around the mall or waiting in line or shopping within the, the store where the air was coming</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Lucky Stores case, the District Court decision or Circuit</li> <li>Court decision that was being upheld talked about the fact</li> <li>that whenever the Board acts to deny the construction of a</li> <li>commercial establishment because no need, therefore, has</li> <li>been shown, such action must of necessity result to some</li> <li>degree in the prevention of competition, but it's not the</li> <li>question as to whether it's incidentally preventing</li> <li>competition but whether that was solely the desire to</li> <li>prevent competition, and I think there are reasons that you</li> <li>would look at competition besides solely to do that. And I</li> <li>would note of course that one of the concerns in Lucky was</li> <li>the only people coming in and opposing that store were</li> <li>competitors so that was certainly something that was really</li> <li>in their head.</li> <li>The other point is we have a number of regulatory</li> <li>kinds of provisions in the law that do in fact have</li> <li>certificate of need. Hospital beds, numbers of taxicabs,</li> <li>things like that where there is a regulatory reason to have</li> <li>a concern about destructive competition, that you can only</li> <li>perhaps support X number of taxicabs or if you have too many</li> <li>hospital beds, you will just fill them up and spend more</li> <li>money because they want to do them.</li> <li>So I don't think that there is an absolute</li> <li>suggestion that you can never have a real suggestion just</li> </ol>
25		
	Page 211	Page 213
	necessity, which I think you're in effect suggesting, do you run afoul of the concern raised in the Lucky Stores case	<ol> <li>inappropriate. I think that there is a looking at whether</li> <li>it is simply and strictly to prevent competition but even</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12		

	Page 214		Page 216
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wonder whether we don't potentially put ourselves back in the pot there. MS. CORDRY: Right. Again, I think it's really a question of is it solely to prevent competition or are there other reasons. And again, just going back and quoting from that District Court case, if the fact that you protect a competitor somehow automatically disqualifies, if a contrary conclusion were to be reached, the practical effect would be that the Board could not effectuate the purpose of the Zoning Ordinance which is designed to prevent the unreasonable and unnecessary accumulation of the same type of commercial establishment in the same general area. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I just, somewhere along this continuum of how, of interpretation of the word need. I have to factor that issue in. Okay. MR. ROSENFELD: And certainly, I think that that's tempered by the change in language that the Council made when they said that there's not an identical or similar use available to the neighborhood. They're not doing it on an absolute need basis. You need to factor in whether or not this is available elsewhere.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROSENFELD: Not within the defined market area, but it's available to the neighborhood because MS. CORDRY: Within the way that Costco defines its market area. MR. ROSENFELD: that's the way Costco already indicated that 30, 40 percent of the gas sales from Beltsville will transfer to Wheaton. So you're not, you're not pitting one retailer against another one. We're talking about the same corporate entity that's looking to open a second store but clearly, you already have that facility in Beltsville servicing a significant number of people in the Wheaton/Kensington area. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Did you have any further questions? MR. ROSENFELD: Just one last topic. Ms. Cordry, have you had a chance to take a look at the comparative gasoline prices between Costco and other retailers in the Wheaton, in the Beltsville area? MS. CORDRY: I actually testified about that at great length on the previous day. MR. GOECKE: And I'm sorry. Mr. Grossman, could I
22	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Of course then we get into		just make one comment on this
	the question of whether this proposed station is identical or similar to other gas stations in the neighborhood so I'm	23 24	
	not sure that's going to, that's going to, I mean, that's a		issue. I mean, my understanding is that the opposition is
	Page 215		Page 217
	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr.		arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing
2	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman?	2	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going
2 3	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive	2 3	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable
2 3 4	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this	2 3 4	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that
2 3 4 5	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive	2 3 4	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive
2 3 4 5 6	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going	2 3 4 5 6	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive
2 3 4 5 6	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there is a, there is a Costco gas station within the market area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there is a, there is a Costco gas station within the market area of this particular site so you're hardly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not MR. GOECKE: And not only that, we don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there is a, there is a Costco gas station within the market area of this particular site so you're hardly MR. GROSSMAN: Within the market area?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not MR. GOECKE: And not only that, we don't know which ones are going to close. And they might be the ones
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there is a, there is a Costco gas station within the market area of this particular site so you're hardly MR. GROSSMAN: Within the market area? MR. ROSENFELD: Within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not MR. GOECKE: And not only that, we don't know which ones are going to close. And they might be the ones that offer no additional amenities, that aren't open 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there is a, there is a Costco gas station within the market area of this particular site so you're hardly MR. GROSSMAN: It depends on how you define a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not MR. GOECKE: And not only that, we don't know which ones are going to close. And they might be the ones that offer no additional amenities, that aren't open 24 hours. It's so speculative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	factual kind of issue but that would be an issue also. Mr. Silverman? MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. I think an anti-competitive approach would be the same, well, we don't want this regional facility anywhere in the county because it's going to compete with our people, that would be anti-competitive. But we're MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that certainly would. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm not saying that MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: As a continuum along the way, and I agree that government does provide limits on access to services, such as taxicabs and whatever, which one could argue is anti-competitive too but has been upheld. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: So it's an issue. MR. ROSENFELD: the evidence shows that there is a, there is a Costco gas station within the market area of this particular site so you're hardly MR. GROSSMAN: Within the market area? MR. ROSENFELD: Within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	arguing that we have to do this benefit and burden balancing test and that the risk of opening Costco is that we're going to lose other service stations that provide valuable amenities to, to the neighborhood but yet, they can see that some of those amenities are not of value. They don't drive profits although they could draw customers into the store. But if people don't want to go there, if those stations are closing anyway whether or not Costco is already there, then it seems like it truly is an anti-competitive decision to deny Costco from entering into this market area merely to protect them. If people don't want to go there, if people aren't shopping there, if they don't, if they would prefer to go to Costco, then why do you have to protect them? What are you protecting them from? MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure there's any evidence in the record that there's a foregone conclusion that any gas stations are going to close. MR. GOECKE: Exactly. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm not MR. GOECKE: And not only that, we don't know which ones are going to close. And they might be the ones that offer no additional amenities, that aren't open 24 hours. It's so speculative. MR. GROSSMAN: It's a double-edged sword

	Page 218		Page 220
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: essentially is what he's saying. MS. CORDRY: I think I would say that there is no station in the area that does not offer something beyond what Costco does, either hours or additional facilities or so forth. In terms of what might close, again, you have the fact that this demand is going to come from all over, a much broader area but that the, you know, the concentrated effect might be felt here because this may be the place where as you go by or as you're coming out of a store, you might be the closest people to the Costco might be the ones most likely to buy at the Costco so, you know, it's hard. I'm not trying to say that we can exactly defend this and it's certainly not the only lack of benefits from the store is the competition area but it's something where we here in this neighborhood are the ones who, you know, be the most affected by these, these issues. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. MR. ROSENFELD: And MR. GROSSMAN: Have we said enough on this, on this point right now? MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I just want you to note yes. Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Coing back to the issue about the	2   3 4 5 6 F 7 r 8 i 10 r 11 s 12 t 13 t 14 i 15 a 16 17 ) 18 19 20 ( 21 i 19 22 / 23 24	general neighborhood but I'm not going beyond that so tet's MS. CORDRY: I think MR. GROSSMAN: Let's MS. CORDRY: Okay. Just if I might clarify. Our boint is that when you say something is available to the neighborhood as distinguished from in the neighborhood, then t can clearly be talking about something that is beyond the boundaries of the neighborhood, and the question is what is reasonably available. If you go talk about a Costco gas station as being a unique feature and the question is is that station available to the neighborhood, one of the things we have to look at is what Costco itself defines as ts market area. I don't think you can have it both ways and ask to MR. GROSSMAN: No, no. I think you're, I think you're asking to have it both ways here. MS. CORDRY: Well MR. GROSSMAN: But all I can say is, ladies and gentlemen, if my head explodes when I'm writing this report, t's going to be the fault of every one of you. All right. Any other questions on redirect? MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross?
25	market, and they said that the Beltsville Costco is	25	MR. GOECKE: No.
	Page 219		Page 221
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	available too. At what point do you, if there's a Costco in New Carrollton, would that be available too? If it ended up being in Bowie, would that be available too? So I, we certainly don't accept the fact although there are customers from Wheaton that drive to Beltsville, we would, we certainly don't agree with the MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, I'm going to consider the, what has been accepted by Kensington Heights Civic Association as a reasonable market area, as to market area. I'm not saying that that's the general neighborhood because I think there are two competing definitions for that but I'm not going beyond that in terms of what the market area is. MS. CORDRY: Well, I think MR. GROSSMAN: That's MR. ROSENFELD: I MS. CORDRY: I think our point was that the Costco has stated repeatedly in its documentation, including Mr. Flynn's report, that their market area, what they sell to is far beyond the seven minute drive range. MR. GROSSMAN: But let's face it, folks. I mean, I have to have some, something more definitional than that. I have to have a neighborhood that I'm considering as the neighborhood. And it may be the market area in terms of what you've accepted, in terms of the drive time that's been talked about or it may be the immediate, the technical staff	3 \ 4 L 5 6 t 7 8 c 9 h 10 11 a 12 13 14 5 15 16 r 17 18 19 20 6 21 f 22 s 23 f 24 )	MR. GROSSMAN: I thank you very much, Ms. Cordry, for your extensive detailed testimony. All right. I guess we should take a brief break and then call Ms. Mulready. Let's come back in five minutes, 25 after 3:00. (Whereupon, at 3:19 p.m., a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. We're ready to go back on the record. Ms. Mulready, would you kindly join us up here? Would you state your full name and address, please? MS. MULREADY: Yes. My name is Patricia Mulready, and what else? MR. GROSSMAN: And your address. MS. MULREADY: 10223 Capital View Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. MS. MULREADY: I'm here today to talk mostly about emergency preparedness and disaster preparedness and, but first I'd like to mention that I have a head injury that sometimes causes me to forget nouns and names so if I'm flustered, feel free to yell out what I'm trying to tell you. Otherwise, I will go around in circles for 10 minutes trying to come up with the right word.

	Page 222		Page 224
	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't think they could yell that out under our rules of procedure, but go ahead. We'll try to help out as we go.	2	that but I did, I did want to mention that you can't testify as an expert here without going through certain procedural requirements.
4	MS. MULREADY: Hopefully, I won't have to because	4	
5	I did write most of it down.	5	MR. GROSSMAN: Which includes what's called a voir
6	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	6	dire examination of your credentials and so on, and it has
7	MS. MULREADY: I have some expertise in community		to be somebody who's been identified well in advance of the
	development.		hearing so that the other side would have an opportunity to
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you testifying, purporting to		prepare.
	testify as an expert or	10	MS. MULREADY: Okay.
11	MS. MULREADY: A semi-expert.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: So when you used the term semi-
12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: A semi-expert. MS. MULREADY: Yes. As a matter of fact, if you	12	expert, that's what perked up my ears. MS. MULREADY: Okay.
	want a solution to the neighborhood definition, I can get	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	you that.	15	MS. ADELMAN: She's saying she has an extensive
16	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, hold on one second.		background.
17	MS. MULREADY: Um	17	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am.	18	MS. MULREADY: The reason I know about
19	MS. MULREADY: Okay.	19	neighborhoods, since I was the Secretary of the UNNGO
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld, you're calling Ms.	20	Committee on Shelter and Community and they actually have a
21	Mulready as a witness?	21	definition of what is a neighborhood, and it's not Costco's
22	MS. ADELMAN: The coalition.	22	definition and it is closer to the Kensington Heights one
23	MR. GROSSMAN: The coalition is calling Ms.	23	but that could be, if somebody wants that information, I can
24	Mulready as a witness?	24	find it. I also, in my capacity as a production coordinator
25	MS. ADELMAN: Yes.	25	at NUS Corporation many, many years ago, helped prepare a
	Page 223		Page 225
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert		safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness.	2	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a	2 3	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed?	2 3 4	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some	2 3 4 5	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials.	2 3 4 5 6	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified.	2 3 4 5 6 7	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster preparedness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping for warehouse stores and provide ways or different ways of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster preparedness. MR. ROSENFELD: No. I don't think she's being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping for warehouse stores and provide ways or different ways of helping people without cars get their warehouse stuff home.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster preparedness. MR. ROSENFELD: No. I don't think she's being offered as an expert. I do think that she plans to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping for warehouse stores and provide ways or different ways of helping people without cars get their warehouse stuff home. Even living across the street from the supermarket, six bags
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster preparedness. MR. ROSENFELD: No. I don't think she's being offered as an expert. I do think that she plans to give some of her background to help you assess the weight of her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping for warehouse stores and provide ways or different ways of helping people without cars get their warehouse stuff home. Even living across the street from the supermarket, six bags is all I can carry home in my late 20s, early 30s and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster preparedness. MR. ROSENFELD: No. I don't think she's being offered as an expert. I do think that she plans to give some of her background to help you assess the weight of her testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping for warehouse stores and provide ways or different ways of helping people without cars get their warehouse stuff home. Even living across the street from the supermarket, six bags is all I can carry home in my late 20s, early 30s and I wouldn't even try six anymore. You have a wonderful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Are you offering her as an expert witness or as a lay witness. MS. ADELMAN: I thought we were offering you as a lay witness, Pat. Am I misinformed? MS. MULREADY: Right, but I do have some credentials. MR. SILVERMAN: She's well-qualified. MS. ADELMAN: She has MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the problem is she hasn't been MS. ADELMAN: extensive background. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think she's been announced as an expert witness. MS. ADELMAN: That's correct. MR. GROSSMAN: And an organization that plans to submit an expert has to identify that fact. MS. ADELMAN: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: In advance. MR. MULREADY: I'm not an expert in disaster preparedness. MR. ROSENFELD: No. I don't think she's being offered as an expert. I do think that she plans to give some of her background to help you assess the weight of her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	safety analysis report so I am pretty good at power plants and classified facilities so I do have some knowledge about emergencies and disasters and what could happen but I'm not an expert. What I am an expert on is retail and marketing and consumer behavior but nobody wants me to talk about that so I won't. And just to add some humor because it's late and I know you're all falling asleep, my significant other is a longstanding member of the National Fire Protection Association for more than 20 years. He's an internationally renown fire protection engineer for nuclear power plants and so I do know a little bit about fire protection, mostly through osmosis and helping him proofread his stuff. He's the expert. I tried to get him to come. He couldn't. I note from my personal experience, living at, in NYU's faculty housing in New York City, that my I had recommended to Costco back in the Council hearings that they, instead of building a gas station, that they make this store model of, a new model for transit-oriented shopping for warehouse stores and provide ways or different ways of helping people without cars get their warehouse stuff home. Even living across the street from the supermarket, six bags is all I can carry home in my late 20s, early 30s and I

	Page 226		Page 228
	but that's I really am mystified.	1	accidents. It was just too many cars. Then we have the
	2 Anyway, the Wheaton/Kensington area is very		other extreme, what we call Snowmageddon. That's where a
	different from most Costco gas station locations. It's		lightning bolt hit a power substation on Metropolitan Avenue
	densely populated with infill which is supposed to increase,		right near my house and knocked the entire power system grid
	and infill is the county and developer's way of packing		off for most of Kensington and it was out for over a week.
	every square inch of empty land with a building until this		We got about seven inches of snow, which is no big deal if
	area looks like Manhattan or Brooklyn or some other place.		you live in Buffalo
1	8 Neighborhoods throughout the in-county, such as Kensington	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Excuse me, Ms. Mulready. Can I ask
	Heights and Capital View Park and the various Kensington	9	you to tie this in to the special exception? I don't
1	communities have been fighting this infill and whether we'll	10	MS. MULREADY: Yeah. I will.
1	win over time, who knows.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Can you get to that?
1	But right now, we have a dense area and it is	12	MS. MULREADY: Quickly? The point I'm trying to
1	projected to get ever denser with people, buildings and so	13	make is there's a presumption, and because the fire and
1.	l on so what you see now is only going to be more people, more	14	police chiefs said in letters that they would have no
1	5 buildings so whatever you affect today in terms of	15	problems handling emergencies, I'm giving you an example
	6 emergencies and disasters and so on, it will only affect	16	with Snowmageddon where they absolutely could not handle an
	more people and be more difficult in the future. How long		emergency and that in the D.C, in the Montgomery County
18	in the future? Well, I've heard stories about 20, 30 years		area, at least this part of the county, when one thing goes
	ago downtown Bethesda looked like downtown Kensington does		wrong, everything goes wrong.
	o now, downtown Kensington, and Bethesda is like all high-	20	So Snowmageddon is like the worst case example
	rises, so that's how quickly it can, can happen.		because you lose the power, you have people leaving their
2:			cars all over the place and the fire trucks are behind me
	B us who have driven during rush hour are well familiar with		down on Knowles and Beach Drive and even though they were
	getting stuck in traffic for no apparent reason. Background		picking cars up and moving them out of the road, took them
2	5 research included for the Kensington Sector Plan, which was	25	20 minutes to be able to get to the fire house. Now,
	Page 227		Page 229
	completed last year, show that two of the east-west arteries	1	luckily, that particular night, there was no fire at that
	2 which are those that Costco assumes people will be coming to		particular time but if there had been, there would have been
	their store on that intersect with Connecticut right below		no emergency response. And I'm using that example because I
	where Connecticut intersects with University, are already		saw the fire trucks. I was able to move my car because I
	above capacity and are scheduled to not be fixed. They will	5	have four wheel drive but all the people around me couldn't.
	5 just be forever more over capacity. Right now, I think it	6	And so under normal circumstances, yes, the fire
	was like 1.2 for the Knowles and Connecticut exit, I mean	7	and police can respond in time to an ordinary fire at a gas
1	intersection, if I remember correctly. That's less than a	8	station but what if there's even more problematic
	mile from Costco.		situations. We have in this area bigger earthquakes than
1			we've ever had before, nothing's built for them. We have
	guidelines and put in like a half mile's worth of traffic		tornadoes that we never used to get. We've had at least
	2 data but in an area like this where you have to come through		three or four come through the Kensington area in the 20
	those two roads that I'm most familiar with because that's		years I've lived in this area, several very close to the
	where Capital View Park intersects also, there are no other		mall. We have maybe not gang warfare but we have shootings
	5 ways really to get to Costco from the south, or the east and		at the mall. We are if there was a gas station, I was a
	5 west unless you're coming over those roads and then up		young kid with a gun, I'd head for the gas pumps. What cop
	7 University. So I'm going to use those as the examples I 8 have for what happens with gridlock around here, but the		is going to fire at a gas pump because the cop would know that he could hurt other, he or she could hurt other people.
	same thing happens on Georgia and the other side of		So there's all these really exceptional things that we can
	) University.		imagine could happen and then there's the unimaginable.
2		21	I brought up my work for NUS Corporation doing
	2 traffic jam. It doesn't even really have to be that out of		final safety analysis reports for nuclear power plants not
	the ordinary. I've spent 90 minutes in one place on a road,		because a gas station is a nuclear power plant. I know it
	on Wisconsin Avenue actually, because it was the Wednesday		is not but back then in the '70s when the rules for Maryland
	before Thanksgiving. It was bright and sunny, there were no		Law for gas stations were written, they said there are no
2	before manksgiving. It was bright and summy, there were no		

	Page 230		Page 232
1	earthquakes on the East Coast or in the center of the	1	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So risk of fire is an
	country, airplanes above a DC-9 will never be built, there	2	inherent risk as of gas stations.
	will never be a flood on these various rivers that have now	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	all flooded their banks, et cetera, et cetera, and every one	4	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't disagree with that so but -
5	of these things they said couldn't happen has happened. So	5	MS. MULREADY: So I got that part right?
6	when you're building any kind of dangerous facility, you	6	MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that it's right or wrong.
7	have to take extra care in order to protect the citizens,	7	It's that part of my job is to see that the evidence that
8	especially when you're in a dense residential area and the	8	comes in is relevant and addresses the situation, and so if
9	closest house is about 125 or 150 feet from the gas station	9	you have evidence that you want to offer that relates to I
10	that's being proposed.	10	think you listed as far as emergency planning or something
11	Now, excuse me for just a sec. It's my	11	like that
12	understanding that Section 59-G-1.21.1, that there's	12	MS. MULREADY: Right. I'm getting to the whole
13	differences for inherent and non-inherent adverse effects.	13	MR. GROSSMAN: Rather than getting into whether
14	I think most of these are non-inherent affects. They do not	14	or
	necessarily come with a gas station. A tornado doesn't come	15	
16	with a gas station. Maybe mobile homes but not gas stations	16	
17	so		it is that, you know, your concerns are related to this
18	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that you, I don't		particular gas station and what you recommend or say that
	think that's what that's getting at. The question is		should be done that's not being done.
	whether or not the conditions on the site or the special	20	<b>3</b> <i>i</i>
	exception itself has inherent or non-inherent adverse		be built. And there has been no
	effects, not whether or not there can be some unusual	22	5 5 5
	circumstance such as a tornado or an earthquake so		
24	MS. MULREADY: Well, you're the expert but I think	24	
25	it's still bad weather is to me a non-inherent affect	25	emergency management plan has been filed that I can find,
	Page 231		Page 233
1	Page 231 that is impacting	1	Page 233 and I've asked several other people who have been more
1			, and the second s
2	that is impacting	2	and I've asked several other people who have been more
2	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is	2	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do.
2 3 4	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at.	2 3 4	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do.
2 3 4	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual	2 3 4 5	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but
2 3 4 5 6	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so	2 3 4 5 6	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about	2 3 6 7 8 9	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher, but some are major and can cause and have caused explosions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that is allowed under the Zoning Ordinance under specified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher, but some are major and can cause and have caused explosions. There were several here in Maryland that had gas leaks that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that is allowed under the Zoning Ordinance under specified conditions. That's what this proceeding is about. And they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher, but some are major and can cause and have caused explosions. There were several here in Maryland that had gas leaks that contaminate water tables related with them. There were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that is allowed under the Zoning Ordinance under specified conditions. That's what this proceeding is about. And they have had evidence here regarding their emergency procedures.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher, but some are major and can cause and have caused explosions. There were several here in Maryland that had gas leaks that contaminate water tables related with them. There were some, at least one in Virginia that was a Costco gas station	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that is allowed under the Zoning Ordinance under specified conditions. That's what this proceeding is about. And they have had evidence here regarding their emergency procedures. MS. MULREADY: Right. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher, but some are major and can cause and have caused explosions. There were several here in Maryland that had gas leaks that contaminate water tables related with them. There were some, at least one in Virginia that was a Costco gas station that I have a picture of, you know, the whole car is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that is allowed under the Zoning Ordinance under specified conditions. That's what this proceeding is about. And they have had evidence here regarding their emergency procedures. MS. MULREADY: Right. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that is impacting MR. GROSSMAN: That's not what the section is getting at. MS. MULREADY: It says creates unusual characteristics of the site so MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And that's not, that's not the special exception that's creating a tornado or, and nor are there conditions of the site that you specify that are non, that create non-inherent circumstances. I'm perfectly willing to listen to what you have to say about MS. MULREADY: Okay. Because to me it says MR. GROSSMAN: non-inherent circumstances MS. MULREADY: inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with a particular use which would mean like fire is an inherent problem with a gas station because there's 5,000 fires per year, according to the National Fire Protection Association, at gas stations. Some of them are minor, can be put out with a, you know, a fire extinguisher, but some are major and can cause and have caused explosions. There were several here in Maryland that had gas leaks that contaminate water tables related with them. There were some, at least one in Virginia that was a Costco gas station	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and I've asked several other people who have been more involved in this than me and they haven't found it either, so I think that's part of what they're required to do. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be at some point but not necessarily in advance of a special exception. They would be required to follow every, one of the conditions that's always imposed in any special exception in this jurisdiction is that all local, state and federal regulations are followed. And so to the extent that they are required to file such a plan, they would have to file it to be compliant with the applicable regulation. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But why not before the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they might. The might. I'm not saying they can't but they don't, they don't have to if it's not required at this point. They'll have to go through other permitting processes. This is to determine from a land use perspective whether or not what's called a conditional use should be approved, a use that's been, that is allowed under the Zoning Ordinance under specified conditions. That's what this proceeding is about. And they have had evidence here regarding their emergency procedures. MS. MULREADY: Right. And I MR. GROSSMAN: This has been a multi-day hearing

	Page 234		Page 236
1	MS. MULREADY: Yes. I saw at the open house what	1	and the problem is if you can't remember who told you and
	they were presenting. I don't know if it's stuff that was		exactly what they told you
	presented here. And for instance, the two hour battery is	3	
	totally unacceptable because as I mentioned, with the	-	specifically I'm sorry. I don't remember your name
	gridlock we have here, if the power goes out, two hours will		because I don't remember names.
	not be enough time to get a generator going under, you know,	6	MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Brann.
	like normal blackout situations. The two hour battery is	7	
	the thing that's relied upon to monitor the underground	8	MS. MULREADY: Okay. I asked him specifically
	wells is my understanding. And according to Costco's own	-	have you driven in this area in bad weather and he replied,
	work with the storm water management, and this may have		my recall, recollection is he replied, no, I haven't.
	changed because I have missed, I haven't been here for most	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. But that's not whether Mr.
	of the testimony, but they couldn't do bar holes or some		Brann has driven in this area in bad weather is not
	kind of evaluation holes into the substratum for the storm		significant.
	water management because it's so loosely packed that that	14	
	could cause more damage to the various streams that		preparedness and how the fire trucks wouldn't be able to get
	originate near Wheaton mall for instance.		through the traffic. Anyway, it's my opinion that because
17	And so there could be a two hour period of time or		of these inherent problems here in this area, it is too
	more when there would be no idea whether or not gasoline was		dense, it's impossible to evaluate a disaster management
	leaking into the water table so first of all, how do you		plan when there is none for a hearing. And so I'm coming up
	mitigate that, which should be in the disaster emergency		with what I perceive as unacceptable risks.
	plan and part of the storm water plan and perhaps the forest	21	And my brothers, unlike most people in this room,
	plan which I know is a stretch, but it is not you know,		not all of you but a lot of you, my brothers worked at gas
	when I brought this up at the open houses, I was kind of		stations. My brothers drive or have driven tanker trucks
	like told well, two hours is fine. So then I said to the		for a living. One of my brothers is a master driver and
	various people, some of whom are here, have you ever driven		trains other drivers, and you cannot rely on the drives to
	······································		
	Page 235		Page 237
1		1	-
	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and		Page 237 all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right
		2	all be as good as some of them are because they're not.
2 3	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had.	2 3	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right
2 3 4	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was	2 3 4	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being
2 3 4	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in	2 3 4 5	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection
2 3 4 5	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony.	2 3 4 5 6	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because
2 3 4 5 6	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well	2 3 4 5 6	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are
2 3 4 5 6 7	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I can't remember if Pat did. Probably not. She's too smart.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick Wheaton, Maryland to put a bomb in a gas station. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I can't remember if Pat did. Probably not. She's too smart. Two staffers who were running the emergency reel at the open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick Wheaton, Maryland to put a bomb in a gas station. And I know that sounds like a ridiculous scenario for a movie or something but who would think that a guy from Shelton,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I can't remember if Pat did. Probably not. She's too smart. Two staffers who were running the emergency reel at the open house. So those are four people I talked to, and I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick Wheaton, Maryland to put a bomb in a gas station. And I know that sounds like a ridiculous scenario for a movie or something but who would think that a guy from Shelton,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I can't remember if Pat did. Probably not. She's too smart. Two staffers who were running the emergency reel at the open house. So those are four people I talked to, and I think there were two others who I won't be able to remember so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick Wheaton, Maryland to put a bomb in a gas station. And I know that sounds like a ridiculous scenario for a movie or something but who would think that a guy from Shelton, Connecticut, my hometown, would pack his car, put it in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I can't remember if Pat did. Probably not. She's too smart. Two staffers who were running the emergency reel at the open house. So those are four people I talked to, and I think there were two others who I won't be able to remember so MR. GROSSMAN: It's potluck. There's an exception	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick Wheaton, Maryland to put a bomb in a gas station. And I know that sounds like a ridiculous scenario for a movie or something but who would think that a guy from Shelton, Connecticut, my hometown, would pack his car, put it in the middle of Times Square and walk away with a whole bunch of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	around here in bad weather, do you know what it's like, and every single one of them said, no, I never had. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm not going by anything that was said at an open houses. I'm going by what has been said in testimony. MS. MULREADY: Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: By various witnesses. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what was said at open houses. That's not before me. MS. MULREADY: It's hard to then say to somebody that, I mean, how am I supposed to present that then because it's not hearsay. They directly told me, no, we haven't, we don't think it's necessary. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, depending on who it is that said that, it may be an admission. I'm not MS. MULREADY: Mr. Sullivan was another one. I can't remember if Pat did. Probably not. She's too smart. Two staffers who were running the emergency reel at the open house. So those are four people I talked to, and I think there were two others who I won't be able to remember so MR. GROSSMAN: It's potluck. There's an exception to the hearsay rule. First of all, hearsay does not, is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	all be as good as some of them are because they're not. There's just an assumption that things are going to go right and I have learned over the years, and perhaps it's being married to the safety, you know, the safety fire protection engineer guy who says everything has to be redundant because nothing ever goes right. I don't believe that things are going to go right. I think that if there's a fire, it could catch onto pieces of fabric easily. The wind could blow it to the neighborhood or to the woods or to the store. If it's during a fire, I mean during a thunderstorm and the power's out and the roads are blocked, you will not be able to get a fire truck to that neighborhood. Now, how many houses will burn down? Maybe none because it's, after all, raining, but maybe a whole neighborhood could go up before there could be any reasonable and guaranteed solutions to come in. It's highly unlikely that a terrorist would pick Wheaton, Maryland to put a bomb in a gas station. And I know that sounds like a ridiculous scenario for a movie or something but who would think that a guy from Shelton, Connecticut, my hometown, would pack his car, put it in the middle of Times Square and walk away with a whole bunch of bombs in it that didn't, luckily, go off. So if some crazy

	Page 238		Page 240
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Mulready, what are MS. MULREADY: could do that MR. GROSSMAN: What are you suggesting should be done that's MS. MULREADY: I'm suggesting that a gas station is if there was no gas station, fine. Maybe then. But there's 25 to 27 other viable gas stations in the area and that this one is going to cause traffic jams, we have video of, that Karen had, Attorney Cordry, ambulance or fire trucks not able to get in the mall because traffic is blocking it. That's a normal everyday road blockage. I have been there where I have taken, it's taken me four lights to get from University into the parking lot. I've also witnessed people trying to kill each other. I had one woman get out of her car and in her parking space and dare me to run her over. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's keep it to things that are MS. MULREADY: That's true. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't doubt it's true. I'm just saying MS. MULREADY: So somebody is going to get MR. GROSSMAN: let's keep it to things that are relevant here. MS. MULREADY: That's relevant if you're angry because in New York City, they will blow you away for taking	2 ge 3 pe 4 aft 5 6 is j 7 8 9 of 10 11 12 pre 13 14 Th 15 asl 16 dift 17 this 18 told 19 and 20 pe 21 ab 22 go 23 co 23 co	eater detail, that it blocks emergency vehicles from titing into the mall to help, and I'm giving you my rsonal observations of what has happened at Saturday ernoon at noon and 1:00. MR. SILVERMAN: Mr. Grossman, she is, I think she tust saying that this is a bad place to put a MS. MULREADY: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: gas station because of, because  MR. GROSSMAN: Well, don't, don't interpret it. MR. SILVERMAN: the difficulty of emergency eparedness. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman, don't interpret it. e problem I'm having is in fairness, the coalition has ked me if they can split up their testimony and have ferent people testify on different discreet points in s, on this matter and I said certainly. And so you've d me that one person is going to testify about traffic, other one about emergency issues, but you can't have every rson testifying about traffic and every person testifying out other things. In fairness, you have to, if you're ing to do it, let's not have a repetitive thing from the alition, okay, or from any other organizations that are esenting witnesses. MS. MULREADY: But see the that would be fair
	Page 239		Page 241
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	their parking space. MR. GROSSMAN: And that has nothing to do with the gas station. MS. MULREADY: Yes, it does because the traffic is going to be far worse. It is horrible there. I don't even go shopping when I used to go shopping. Five people here told me they don't go shopping when they used to go shopping. Another person said she never goes there anymore. And to somebody who teaches retail, that's a big deal because it means MR. GROSSMAN: I thought you were here to testify regarding emergency preparedness. MS. MULREADY: I am. The emergency is the traffic is blocking MR. GROSSMAN: I see. So this is the, this is MS. MULREADY: the emergency vehicles. MR. GROSSMAN: is the coalition's witness on traffic? MS. MULREADY: No. MS. ADELMAN: No. She's just MR. GROSSMAN: Well, how many witness MS. MULREADY: I'm presenting every disaster that could happen and that traffic, because of the traffic situation, which a real traffic expert will discuss in	2 jus 3 alle 4 of 5 wh 6 be 7 tra 8 9 for 10 me 11 pe 12 the 13 bu 14 you 15 Cos 16 de 17 you 18 the 19 ext 20 21 22 wa 23	he traffic wasn't one of the overriding issues. It's it like the pollution. The pollution bothers people's ergies but it also bothers home values and a whole bunch other things. So like with this issue back to fire, ich I think we'd agree that fire is an emergency and could a disaster and that if somebody can't respond because ffic's in the way, then that makes it a bigger emergency. And the materials that Costco has put on the web people to look at said that people, there is one staff ember who is also going to be there to help handicapped ople, will be able to take the fires and clean, and put em out with a fire extinguisher. Well, yeah, a small fire t if it's a big fire, that's not going to be enough. And a are counting on somebody who yes, gets a Union wage and stco should be commended for paying Union wages and I have fended you endlessly because I think that's important, but u can't expect somebody making \$17.00 an hour to risk eir lives if a fire is bigger than what a fire tinguisher could handle. MR. GROSSMAN: No. They'd be required MS. MULREADY: Most other guys would run the other ty. MR. GROSSMAN: They'd be required to hit the tton and call 911 like anybody else to deal with a fire. MS. MULREADY: That actually isn't in their, their

	Page 242		Page 244
1	video.	1	you're asking me. I can't I'm really sorry. I really
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it's part of their testimony.		can't do names.
3	MS. MULREADY: Okay. And then you're assuming the	3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Well, all I'm saying is that
4	fire trucks can get through because of the traffic. Okay.	4	if it's required by statute, it will have to be done. If
5	And again, a vehicle fully engulfed in flames is a, can	5	there, if there is a statutory requirement for an emergency
6	become an explosive hazard and can affect even things like	6	disaster plan, it will be a requirement of any special
7	the Costco warehouse, the tire part is 80 feet away. I	7	exception if the Board of Appeals elects to grant this.
8	mentioned power outages already. And they usually, this	8	MS. MULREADY: Okay. My understanding was it was
9	year hasn't been too bad but we usually have three to five	9	required for Park and Planning but perhaps I'm wrong about
	major power outages every year. By major power outages,	10	that, because we asked for it.
	we're talking area-wide and stores aren't open, nothing's	11	5,
	open. Nothing works for two or three days until they bring	12	
	in some generators. And, yes, I know Costco brought in		plan and they rejected Costco's application but on other
	generators during Hurricane Sally and that's to be commended		grounds.
	also but again	15	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. They didn't reject it on
16	MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, we have a limited		that. They rejected it on health concerns.
	amount of time for these hearings. I'm concerned that this	17	5
	is not relevant. It's not anything we haven't heard before	18	MR. GROSSMAN: That was their recommendation. And
	to a degree. I question whether		the Planning Board didn't reject it on emergency
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Is that an objection?		preparedness either. They recommended rejection
21	MR. GOECKE: This is an objection that this line	21	MS. MULREADY: Right, but they asked for the document.
22	of questioning, this testimony MS. MULREADY: Well	22	
24	MR. GOECKE: is going on for too long and it's		issues.
	not germane and it's wasting our time.	25	
	Page 243		Page 245
1	Page 243 MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about	1	Page 245 was missing.
2	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than	1	was missing.
2	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far.	2	was missing.
2 3 4	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone	2 3 4	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.
2 3 4 5	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway	2 3 4 5	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and
2 3 4 5 6	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here.	2 3 4 5 6	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to	2 3 6 7 8 9	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was missing. MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera. MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate. MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make. MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that we don't receive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I don't, I don't know.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make. MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that we don't receive evidence on safety, ma'am. I'm just saying that in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I don't, I don't know.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make. MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that we don't receive evidence on safety, ma'am. I'm just saying that in terms of what you've called an emergency disaster plan, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here. MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate. MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me? MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you? MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review? MS. MULREADY: I don't, I don't know. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: And I did, you know, they had told</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make. MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that we don't receive evidence on safety, ma'am. I'm just saying that in terms of what you've called an emergency disaster plan, I think that's the terminology	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I don't, I don't know.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: And I did, you know, they had told me go look at these videotapes on line which I did, and they</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make. MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that we don't receive evidence on safety, ma'am. I'm just saying that in terms of what you've called an emergency disaster plan, I think that's the terminology MS. MULREADY: That's what's in the statute.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I don't, I don't know.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: And I did, you know, they had told me go look at these videotapes on line which I did, and they specifically mentioned gas spills would be dealt with with material that would absorb any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ROSENFELD: Well, she is here to testify about disaster management and this is a different perspective than we've heard so far. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm trying to, I've tried to hone her testimony in. I have to have a little bit of leeway here. MS. MULREADY: I'm almost done with that matter. MR. GROSSMAN: I've honed her testimony in to this, to this area in which she's been offered so I'm going to overrule the objection and let Ms. Mulready testify as to her points about disaster management. MS. MULREADY: All right. That essentially, the end thing is there's lots of emergencies and disasters you can plan for and if it comes in a different stage, I will be back at that stage to also complain, and I really think it should be at this stage when the community is directly involved but that's not my choice to make. MR. GROSSMAN: It's not that we don't receive evidence on safety, ma'am. I'm just saying that in terms of what you've called an emergency disaster plan, I think that's the terminology MS. MULREADY: That's what's in the statute. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. When you say the statute,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>was missing.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: We've had extensive evidence here as to their response to various emergency spills, fires, et cetera.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Right, and</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: They testified at length here.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: Okay. But to me</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: I know that you weren't here for that but I'm</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: it's inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: What I saw and what I've read is inadequate.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Well, what you've seen and read, you didn't read the transcript of the testimony, did you?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I read part of it but obviously</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Whose testimony did you review?</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: I don't, I don't know.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.</li> <li>MS. MULREADY: And I did, you know, they had told me go look at these videotapes on line which I did, and they specifically mentioned gas spills would be dealt with with material that would absorb any</li> </ul>

	Page 246		Page 248
1	dealt with by fire extinguishers and bigger things they	1	one attendant that that attendant is not going to get sick
	would deal with by having, again, emergency people like the		or have a heart attack or, you know, kill over because they
	police and fire come in but again, then we're back to how do		got too high the night before or whatever. And what you
	they get there if it's a major emergency. And I have one or		would do about gang warfare, I don't know. You can laugh
	two more points.		but I, as I said, my brothers worked in these situations and
6	Oh, the other point is that we can plan for things	6	if you think 25-year-old young men are not getting blasted
7	like fire and maybe even earthquakes and such, even though	7	on Friday night, then I don't think you're living in the
8	we're not used to doing that in this area, but what	8	real world because every guy that worked at the places my
9	emergencies don't we know about that are going to come like	9	brothers worked at were drunk all weekend.
10	the, you know, like the bigger earthquake that ever	10	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
	expected, like planes that are twice the size as what they	11	MS. MULREADY: Drunk and high.
	used to be because this, this gas station will be there at	12	
	least 30 years probably, maybe longer if it's retrofitted,	13	MS. HARRIS: No thank you.
	and it may not always be owned by Costco. So even with	14	, , , , , , ,
	Costco's better than average retail reputation for		very much, Ms. Mulready, for coming down and sharing with
	integrity, there's no guarantee they'll be there in five		us.
	years.	17	MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman?
18	So I think all those things need to be taken into	18	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
	consideration before something is built where it is not	19	MS. HARRIS: Before we get to our next witness,
	needed in a densely populated area where it will cause		can I ask a question or follow up on something that you had
	increased hazard, response to, increase in inadequate, increased dangers and inadequate response to these dangers,		noted that when I look at the list of witnesses, I do see a number of witnesses testifying for the exact same thing and
	so I'm done.		is that, are we going to
23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Let me ask you a question. Is	23 24	
	there anything that you suggest that ought to be in this		here and each group is entitled to have somebody testify as
	Page 247		Page 249
1	emergency plan?	1	Ŭ
1	emergency plan? MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station		to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms.
2	emergency plan? MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour	2	Ŭ
2 3	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station	2 3	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco
2 3	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour	2 3 4	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was
2 3 4	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery.	2 3 4 5	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington
2 3 4 5	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic
2 3 4 5 6	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute.	2 3 4 5 6 7	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them adequately, but maybe there is and Diane would be the person	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may be some overlap but we are, we do not intend to produce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them adequately, but maybe there is and Diane would be the person to recommend such things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may be some overlap but we are, we do not intend to produce MR. GROSSMAN: Duplicative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them adequately, but maybe there is and Diane would be the person to recommend such things. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may be some overlap but we are, we do not intend to produce MR. GROSSMAN: Duplicative. MR. ROSENFELD: repetitive testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them adequately, but maybe there is and Diane would be the person to recommend such things. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean if MS. MULREADY: As for fire, bigger fire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may be some overlap but we are, we do not intend to produce MR. GROSSMAN: Duplicative. MR. ROSENFELD: repetitive testimony. MS. MULREADY: We also have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them adequately, but maybe there is and Diane would be the person to recommend such things. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean if MS. MULREADY: As for fire, bigger fire extinguishers. I think there should be at least two people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may be some overlap but we are, we do not intend to produce MR. GROSSMAN: Duplicative. MR. ROSENFELD: repetitive testimony. MS. MULREADY: We also have MR. GROSSMAN: All right. They can be different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. MULREADY: If it's built? If the gas station is built, they've got to have better than a two hour battery. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. MULREADY: That is absolute. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. MULREADY: They laughed at me when I mentioned that at the hearing but, I mean MR. GROSSMAN: Anything else? MS. MULREADY: There needs to be, and I'm not the I know Diane Cameron was here and Danila Sheveiko on forest and then groundwater. They would be the ones to ask about how to protect the groundwater sources. Although my understanding is there is no adequate way because there's so many time springs and tributaries that flow into the, like Spring Creek and Rock Creek and all these others, Silver Stream and such, that there's no way to protect them adequately, but maybe there is and Diane would be the person to recommend such things. MR. GROSSMAN: You mean if MS. MULREADY: As for fire, bigger fire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to those issues. So my concern was that I raised with Ms. Adelman was, you know, was there another Costco, Stop Costco Coalition person testifying on traffic if this witness was going to testify on traffic but I think that Kensington Heights is entitled to have somebody testify about traffic and so is the coalition and indeed, so is Kensington View. So I understand that may lead to a certain amount of duplication and we'll try to avoid unduly repetitive, as the, as the Montgomery County APA specifies, avoid unduly repetitive testimony. MS. HARRIS: And we MR. GROSSMAN: So I trust the parties will try to do that. MR. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, we have tried to coordinate so they're approaching them MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: They have different perspectives and they will be covering different components. There may be some overlap but we are, we do not intend to produce MR. GROSSMAN: Duplicative. MR. ROSENFELD: repetitive testimony. MS. MULREADY: We also have

1	Page 250		Page 252
1	neighborhood and whether or not it should, the definition of	1	policy. We've had a lot of testimony dealing with
	general neighborhood should be for, even for the need		environmental policy and I think that some corrections are
	analysis, should be the same as technical staff's whereas		in order. I am just talking as a lay witness. I'll try not
	Kensington Heights Civic Association has a view of the		to start an answer or with the words in my professional
	broader drive time definition. So there can different views		opinion but I will give you such insights as my experience
	about this sort of thing.		might
7	MS. MULREADY: We have video we were going to show	7	MR. GROSSMAN: And what is your experience? Why
	of the emergency vehicles trying to get into the mall. Do		don't you state that for the record.
	you want that now or another time.	9	MR. SILVERMAN: Thank you. Yes. I, I for the
10	MR. GROSSMAN: A video.	_	last 20 years or so, I've been teaching at the Johns Hopkins
11	MS. CORDRY: I think that's probably something I		University Graduate School as an adjunct, and one of the
	might do.		courses I teach is natural resources law and policy. I also
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		teach courses in public lands and some courses in
14	MS. CORDRY: Just to be clear on one point though,		environmental law for developing countries. Beyond that and
	some of these things like traffic, because, because we're		really, more important than that, I have been practicing
	not a traffic engineer who can sit there with cameras all		environmental law and policy for about 40 years and I think
17	day long, some of these things in terms of what people		a lot of my experience is relevant to some of the, the
	observe, more than one person may observe an issue and some		issues that come up here.
	of these things	19	When I started out, my first job in Washington,
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.		D.C. was dealing with the Clean Air Act except there was no
21	MS. CORDRY: you may, it's not that we're going		Clean Air Act. I used to get very annoyed at older, mostly
	to have ten people testify about I watched the same traffic		gentlemen, who said I've been in the environmental business
	but it may be		before the word environment was invented but now I like to
24	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. And I've been		say I've been dealing with clean air and water before the
	very		Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act. And my first
2.5	voly	25	
	Page 251		Page 253
1	MS. CORDRY: jut some people have some		
	MS. CORDRT Jul some people have some	1	experience with, particularly with the Clean Air Act, was
2	different observations.		experience with, particularly with the Clean Air Act, was focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those
2 3		2	
	different observations.	2 3	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those
3	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here.	2 3 4	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were,
3 4 5	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right.	2 3 4 5	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors,
3 4 5	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that	2 3 4 5 6	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a
3 4 5 6 7	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard.	2 3 4 5 6 7	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion.
3 4 5 6 7 8	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the	2 3 4 5 6 7	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess for the record, you should state your name and address. MR. SILVERMAN: My name is Larry Silverman. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I have my students work on and write about and provide
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess for the record, you should state your name and address. MR. SILVERMAN: My name is Larry Silverman. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I have my students work on and write about and provide examples of, so this has been a great hearing because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess for the record, you should state your name and address. MR. SILVERMAN: My name is Larry Silverman. I live at 7308 Birch Avenue in Takoma Park, Maryland.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I have my students work on and write about and provide examples of, so this has been a great hearing because there's been so much science and it makes it quite
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess for the record, you should state your name and address. MR. SILVERMAN: My name is Larry Silverman. I live at 7308 Birch Avenue in Takoma Park, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I have my students work on and write about and provide examples of, so this has been a great hearing because there's been so much science and it makes it quite interested but sometimes you get a little bit confused with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess for the record, you should state your name and address. MR. SILVERMAN: My name is Larry Silverman. I live at 7308 Birch Avenue in Takoma Park, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I have my students work on and write about and provide examples of, so this has been a great hearing because there's been so much science and it makes it quite interested but sometimes you get a little bit confused with how it relates to the law and how science and law relate to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	different observations. MR. GROSSMAN: tolerant about testimony here. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: And I've tried to make sure that the citizens' views are heard. MR. ROSENFELD: And in light of the fact that the 28th is off and we have new dates, we're working to try and come up with an updated list of witnesses so that you know where we're going from here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. A modus vivendi. All right. Thank you. Are we ready for our next witness? Thank you, Ms. Mulready. Thank you for taking the time to share your views with us. All right. That's Mr. Silverman I take it. (Discussion off the record.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. First of all, I guess for the record, you should state your name and address. MR. SILVERMAN: My name is Larry Silverman. I live at 7308 Birch Avenue in Takoma Park, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	focused very much on monitoring and modeling so in those days, the coal companies and the electric companies were, had very able modelers testifying that, and doctors, testifying that one part per million of sulfur dioxide was a safe standard. The standard today is 75 parts per billion. So I have witnessed a lot of changes and I had a little bit to do with some of them. I was the first, the first enforcement action of EPA that EPA ever brought was something I was very much involved with in West Virginia in the Kanawha Valley which Mr. Sullivan knows very well against Union Carbide. I testified many times, usually as an advocate, but before legislative bodies. I have, am very focused on and have been throughout my career on the connection between law and science. It's complicated and it's one of the subjects I have my students work on and write about and provide examples of, so this has been a great hearing because there's been so much science and it makes it quite interested but sometimes you get a little bit confused with how it relates to the law and how science and law relate to each other, and I'll talk about that in a moment.

	Page 254	Page 2	256
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. Particularly in? MR. SILVERMAN: Innovative technology, trying to bring new technology into the environmental field which is a very difficult thing to do because it is so government- centric. It's hard for entrepreneurs to, to navigate the shoals there. I'm very interested in this ARID permeator. MR. GROSSMAN: I was going to say. At least somebody invented the ARID permeator. MR. SILVERMAN: Somebody invented the ARID permeator but I'll talk about that in a little bit because I think that I'm generally positive about it but I think there's some questions which have not been answered. MR. GROSSMAN: You think some of those smaller molecules of, larger molecules of volatile organic compounds can sneak through? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I, you know, it's a new technology. It concerned me a great deal that Costco does not use it in Sterling. I don't know why. I think the focus of the technology, I think what makes them a real private sector entrepreneur is they're saying to gas stations it will pay for itself because we'll save so much money, but my concern with it is it's almost too separate from government. Right now, government, let's just say in New Jersey and Maryland, are both taking steps with regard to, I think I, stage 2, phase 2 recovery which has to do	<ol> <li>private sector but my advice to them is you need to get</li> <li>square with the government. If I was representing them,</li> <li>that's what I would tell them and we would start lobbying</li> <li>the Congress and the agencies so that government actions of</li> <li>not interfere with what they're trying to do which I think</li> <li>is happening. Also, you know, you have to wonder, it's a</li> <li>new technology and we're talking about facilities that will</li> <li>last 20 or 30 years so it remains to be seen. I hope it, I</li> <li>hope it works. I, again, I'm very prone to support</li> <li>innovative technologies.</li> <li>I've done a lot of work in municipal</li> <li>cities is just really hard. They're always afraid they're</li> <li>going to make a mistake and it's going to have political</li> <li>consequences. It's very, very difficult to get new</li> <li>technology and even really good technology in the municipal</li> <li>sector. I worked with a lot of people on that.</li> <li>I've had some experience with gas stations.</li> <li>Mostly gas stations that have closed down. I represent a</li> <li>lot, not a lot but maybe four, five, six cities which tend</li> <li>to be sort of gritty cities, you know, Rust Belt kind of</li> <li>places. A lot of poverty, a lot of troubles in West</li> <li>Virginia, New Bedford, Massachusetts for many years and a</li> <li>working with the council, what is it, the Mayors</li> </ol>	, I do a I Pal a so
25			
	Page 255	Page 2	257
	with the fuel pump itself.	1 Association, U.S. Conference of Mayors. I was trying to ge	
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.	
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not	<ol> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> </ol>	jet
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.	jet
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other	<ol> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> </ol>	jet
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> </ul>	nty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> </ul>	jet nty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those,	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> </ul>	jet nty
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> </ul>	jet hty f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> </ul>	jet hty f
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> </ul>	jet hty f
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> </ul>	jet hty f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> </ul>	et hty f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> </ul>	et hty f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> </ul>	et hty f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry permeator but it's just the name of the company.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> <li>18 government, actually spending a lot of time on this case</li> </ul>	et hty f I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry permeator but it's just the name of the company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, right.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> <li>18 government, actually spending a lot of time on this case</li> <li>19 with state and federal government, not always profitably but</li> </ul>	et hty f I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry permeator but it's just the name of the company.	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> <li>18 government, actually spending a lot of time on this case</li> </ul>	let hty f re, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry permeator but it's just the name of the company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, right. MR. SILVERMAN: And I see	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> <li>18 government, actually spending a lot of time on this case</li> <li>19 with state and federal government, not always profitably bu</li> <li>20 I'm trying, and I have a sense of how things are divided.</li> <li>21 And I, I just say in the old days, the EPA used to be in tw</li> <li>22 towers. One was the east tower, one was the west tower</li> </ul>	let f /e, l uut vo er.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry permeator but it's just the name of the company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, right. MR. SILVERMAN: And I see MR. GROSSMAN: Does anybody else make a permeator or only ARID? MR. SILVERMAN: As far as I know, it's their	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> <li>18 government, actually spending a lot of time on this case</li> <li>19 with state and federal government, not always profitably but</li> <li>20 I'm trying, and I have a sense of how things are divided.</li> <li>21 And I, I just say in the old days, the EPA used to be in tw</li> <li>22 towers. One was the east tower, one was the west towe</li> <li>23 And I was going to visit someone in the east tower and I</li> </ul>	let f l uut vo er.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they're saying that's not necessary, and I noticed that the chief executive and other senior people in ARID have been writing papers and submitting petitions saying don't do that so I, I MR. GROSSMAN: I thought there was some testimony. I understood there was somewhat of a conflict between the internal charcoal filters on the intake valves and those, and those stage 2 recovery. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's, that's why they're getting rid of the stage 2 recovery, because of that, and because they think it's unnecessary but evidently, there's some, there's some detriment to the ARID technology. ARID is a company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: At first I thought it was a dry permeator but it's just the name of the company. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, right. MR. SILVERMAN: And I see MR. GROSSMAN: Does anybody else make a permeator or only ARID?	<ul> <li>2 federal funds to deal with abandoned gas stations.</li> <li>3 So when I hear about the possibility that gas</li> <li>4 stations that are not doing well right now in Montgomery</li> <li>5 County closing, I get a little alarmed and, you know, I</li> <li>6 think one of the witnesses said oh, well, Montgomery Coun</li> <li>7 is very progressive, they'll take care of it. Well, who's</li> <li>8 paying for that. You know. I just, you know, it's good to</li> <li>9 see change and it's good to see progress. I have a lot of</li> <li>10 respect for Costco and their gas stations but, you know,</li> <li>11 sometimes it can happen in a way that, that's so disruptive</li> <li>12 it causes other things you can't anticipate.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think I distracted you</li> <li>14 from your planned presentation so I'll let you interpret</li> <li>15 that.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay, good. So let me also,</li> <li>17 have, I've spent a lot of time with, with state and federal</li> <li>18 government, actually spending a lot of time on this case</li> <li>19 with state and federal government, not always profitably bu</li> <li>20 I'm trying, and I have a sense of how things are divided.</li> <li>21 And I, I just say in the old days, the EPA used to be in tw</li> <li>22 towers. One was the east tower, one was the west tower</li> </ul>	let f l uut vo er.

	5	1 490 200
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. But let's go back to MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. Well, I just want to, I just want to say I looked at the bulletin board. I knew every person on the bulletin board, so I've worked a lot with EPA. That's all I want to say. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. MR. SILVERMAN: That's all. I won't tell too many anecdotes here. Okay. First of all, let me talk about science and policy. We've had some, a lot of testimony actually about CASAC, the Clean Air Science Advisory Committee. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And I think Dr. Chase in particular talked about it but I think he was a little bit confused as to how it is, and I have, I actually have found a one-page description of how national ambient air quality standards are set in the role of CASAC. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I mean, he testified that CASAC recommends a number of scientists and so on, and CASAC recommended regulations and that the, it's the EPA that ultimately adopts or doesn't adopt and then there can be a lot of disputatious interaction but ultimately, that's MR. SILVERMAN: I think, I think he testified, he did say that. He said other things as well. He suggested they regulate which they don't really do but I	<ol> <li>move quickly to the extent they can and still be thorough.</li> <li>So it often happens that, that in the course of considering</li> <li>a new standard, that new, new information, new studies in</li> <li>investigations will come forward and typically, the EPA does</li> <li>not, in CASAC, they don't go and say what's the latest.</li> <li>They stop at a certain point.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: How does that bear on this case?</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Well, it bears on this, it bears</li> <li>on this case because, I mean, with regard to health effects,</li> <li>we basically have two arguments. One is you don't, it's</li> <li>not, you have not shown that you meet existing standards.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: That's our, that's our strongest</li> <li>case. Our second case is existing standards are not</li> <li>necessarily protective of health. There's some information</li> <li>that comes, it's always coming, it's coming constantly,</li> <li>changing things where a health expert, which we'll have,</li> <li>could say, you know, well, it's true, you're within the</li> <li>standard but the standard has been changing, has recently</li> <li>changed and is likely to change again although one can't</li> <li>predict exactly how so. And happily, I don't think the</li> <li>Hearing Examiner or the Board are asked to, to come up with</li> <li>an EPA conclusion. They're asked to say whether it's</li> <li>healthy or not healthy. And of course, EPA has a lot, a lot</li> <li>of insight</li> </ol>
	Page 259	Page 261
1	think the key thing is typically, EPA will ask them, EPA	1 MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
<u> </u>		
	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing	2 MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't
3		2 MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't
3 4 5	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately, do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC. CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive. Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2 standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> <li>said its potential cost to the society of \$350 million.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> <li>things don't change.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> <li>said its potential cost to the society of \$350 million.</li> <li>They said there's a savings in terms of averted health costs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> <li>things don't change.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: You mean by the applicant?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> <li>said its potential cost to the society of \$350 million.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> <li>things don't change.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: You mean by the applicant?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> <li>said its potential cost to the society of \$350 million.</li> <li>They said there's a savings in terms of averted health costs</li> <li>of I think \$6 billion but still, \$350 million is not a small</li> <li>thing and you don't, you don't make these changes lightly.</li> <li>And a five year, and a five year review schedule</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> <li>things don't change.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. The applicant, yes. By the</li> <li>applicant. I'm sorry. Yeah. So I do, I do think it's</li> <li>the other thing too is, is that when, when a standard</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> <li>said its potential cost to the society of \$350 million.</li> <li>They said there's a savings in terms of averted health costs</li> <li>of I think \$6 billion but still, \$350 million is not a small</li> <li>thing and you don't, you don't make these changes lightly.</li> <li>And a five year, and a five year review schedule</li> <li>is actually extremely tight and quite often, the agencies,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> <li>things don't change.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: You mean by the applicant?</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. The applicant, yes. By the</li> <li>applicant. I'm sorry. Yeah. So I do, I do think it's</li> <li>the other thing too is, is that when, when a standard</li> <li>changes, you know, it's not just one number. It's not as if</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>will set up a plan for, on a particular pollutant dealing</li> <li>with national ambient air quality standards. And they'll</li> <li>develop a plan and then they'll do a science review to see</li> <li>what the state of the science is. At that point, they go to</li> <li>CASAC and they ask them very specific questions, what do you</li> <li>think of this and so forth. Then they do, again separately,</li> <li>do an impact review of, you know, who it's affecting and how</li> <li>is it being affected, and then it will go back to CASAC.</li> <li>CASAC makes recommendations quite, this is</li> <li>Under the law, the Clean Air Act, the standards</li> <li>have to be reviewed every five years and, you know, I've</li> <li>lobbied the clean air a lot and there's, always people say</li> <li>it's, five years not long enough, it's too disruptive.</li> <li>Well, it is very disruptive to change an air quality</li> <li>standard. The recent change, for example, in, in the NO2</li> <li>standard which was a year, two years ago, I don't quite</li> <li>remember, but they, in their economic impact review, they</li> <li>said its potential cost to the society of \$350 million.</li> <li>They said there's a savings in terms of averted health costs</li> <li>of I think \$6 billion but still, \$350 million is not a small</li> <li>thing and you don't, you don't make these changes lightly.</li> <li>And a five year, and a five year review schedule</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: into that question but I don't</li> <li>think it's, the fact that it may, it may, if there's an</li> <li>argument that may meet the standard, it's necessary</li> <li>conclusive for you because that's just the nature of the</li> <li>beast. I don't want to make this point too strong because</li> <li>we'll be categorized as saying that oh, we can't, we can't</li> <li>say this is a bad project because it violates existing</li> <li>standards. Well, we are going to say that. We are saying</li> <li>that Dr. Cole will say that, Dr. Breysse will say it too.</li> <li>But in terms of existing standards, keep in mind</li> <li>existing standards have changed. They change so quickly.</li> <li>Mr. Sullivan wasn't aware of them. There's a lot of, a lot</li> <li>of materials that have come in this case have come in in the</li> <li>last few months. Through 2013, 2012, 2011. This is a</li> <li>rapidly changing field and it would have been helpful if the</li> <li>expert present, the experts presented by the opposition were</li> <li>keeping up with it. Not every field changes. A lot of</li> <li>things don't change.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. The applicant, yes. By the</li> <li>applicant. I'm sorry. Yeah. So I do, I do think it's</li> <li>the other thing too is, is that when, when a standard</li> </ul>

Page 258

Page 260

	Page 262	Page 264
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 262 is changing from 15, (indiscernible) if that's what it is, to 12. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And they write a book. It's a long book and maybe, maybe Ms. Cordry can hand or hold up one of those or Ms. Adelman. I won't bring it in. I won't put it in evidence but that's MR. GROSSMAN: You're holding up the Federal Register. MR. SILVERMAN: The Federal Register. How many pages is that? MR. GROSSMAN: Is that part MS. ADELMAN: This one is just on particulates. MR. SILVERMAN: On particulates, yeah. Particulates 2.5. Not all particulates. MR. GROSSMAN: And for the record, it's an inch thick. MR. SILVERMAN: An inch thick. Good enough. MS. ADELMAN: 3,231 pages. Oh, no. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's the page number. MS. ADELMAN: It starts. MR. SILVERMAN: An inch thick. MS. ADELMAN: It starts. MR. SILVERMAN: An inch thick. MS. ADELMAN: It starts. MR. SILVERMAN: Oh, it's a little over 200 pages.	Page 264 1 So and on the other hand, if, if a region fails to 2 comply with an air quality standard, there are very severe 3 consequences. They could lose highway money. They, if they 4 don't come up with a plan for abating that problem, the 5 federal government can come up with a plan for them and 6 there's a huge amount of federal interference and nobody 7 wants that. 8 MR. GROSSMAN: Right. 9 MR. SILVERMAN: So the state of Maryland and the 10 council of governments which runs our region here in the 11 D.C. area, they're not really eager. I'm not saying they're 12 dishonest or anything but they're not eager to go to the 13 worst places. 14 MR. GROSSMAN: Right. But let's tie this into the 15 gas station. 16 MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. The gas station. Well, one 17 of the, one of the major issues with regard to the gas 18 station is there's two issues. How much will it add. 19 That's one issue. And then the other issue, which is what 20 this really goes to, is what is it adding it to. What is 21 the background levels. And I think the, what the background 22 levels are in fact is really not clear because the 23 monitoring stations which according to the latest advice
23 24	MR. SILVER: Yeah.	24 from EPA, the system for monitoring needs to be altered in a
25	MR. GROSSMAN: It's long enough.	25 rather dramatic way.
	Page 263	Page 265
3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SILVERMAN: Long enough. There's a lot of information there because when they change a standard like that, they just don't change the standard. They say well, how do we measure this. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: Because, you know, in the last year, both for NO2 and for PM2.5, fine particulate matter, there has been the CASAC and EPA agreed in their regulations	Just a simple example. Mr. Sullivan I think said well, you don't, you don't measure NOx, NO2 in the middle of the road. Well, you know what, that's the way it's always been. It's not, it's not necessarily that way anymore. And even if you're not in the middle of the road, you set your standard for the side of the road, keeping in mind that what's in the middle of the road is much higher. So this is changing. This is a changing, moving target and the, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	information there because when they change a standard like that, they just don't change the standard. They say well, how do we measure this. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: Because, you know, in the last year, both for NO2 and for PM2.5, fine particulate matter, there has been the CASAC and EPA agreed in their regulations to say well, the way we measure things should be changed. Let me say something about the way, why monitors are where they are. Mr. Sullivan referred to monitors in Arlington and Beltsville and Rockville, there's one in D.C., I don't	<ul> <li>2 well, you don't, you don't measure NOx, NO2 in the middle of</li> <li>3 the road. Well, you know what, that's the way it's always</li> <li>4 been. It's not, it's not necessarily that way anymore. And</li> <li>5 even if you're not in the middle of the road, you set your</li> <li>6 standard for the side of the road, keeping in mind that</li> <li>7 what's in the middle of the road is much higher. So this is</li> <li>8 changing. This is a changing, moving target and the, the</li> <li>9 D.C. area hasn't, is not clear about this yet, has not, has</li> <li>10 not implemented this. We're beginning to. State of</li> <li>11 Maryland has got some new monitors on I-95 that they never</li> <li>12 had before in the middle of traffic near road monitors but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	information there because when they change a standard like that, they just don't change the standard. They say well, how do we measure this. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: Because, you know, in the last year, both for NO2 and for PM2.5, fine particulate matter, there has been the CASAC and EPA agreed in their regulations to say well, the way we measure things should be changed. Let me say something about the way, why monitors are where they are. Mr. Sullivan referred to monitors in Arlington and Beltsville and Rockville, there's one in D.C., I don't know if he referred to that or not, that tends to run high, and so forth. There's a number of monitors. Well, well, the decision as to whether a region is in, in compliance, in attainment with an air quality standard is a major decision.	<ul> <li>2 well, you don't, you don't measure NOx, NO2 in the middle of</li> <li>3 the road. Well, you know what, that's the way it's always</li> <li>4 been. It's not, it's not necessarily that way anymore. And</li> <li>5 even if you're not in the middle of the road, you set your</li> <li>6 standard for the side of the road, keeping in mind that</li> <li>7 what's in the middle of the road is much higher. So this is</li> <li>8 changing. This is a changing, moving target and the, the</li> <li>9 D.C. area hasn't, is not clear about this yet, has not, has</li> <li>10 not implemented this. We're beginning to. State of</li> <li>11 Maryland has got some new monitors on I-95 that they never</li> </ul>

	Page 266	Page 268
1	violations are in the mall itself and as he said, the mall	1 nonattainment, and it's a broad, general thing. And then it
	is part of the neighborhood and it's true, and the people	2 asks the locals, the state and local governments, to come up
	who frequent it are part of the neighborhood. That's	3 with a plan.
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	4 So in this case, we have an initial, I won't I
5	MR. SILVERMAN: So but, so what do you do when,	5 use the word screening although Dr. Cole tells me that's not
6	when a let me start over. What EPA says you should do in	6 quite the right word in this case but I see it as that. The
7	cases of factories and other things of that sort is to say,	7 initial conservative analysis suggests that there's a
8	do a very conservative analysis. If you find nothing and	8 problem, and we could argue as to how conservative that
9	you did it in the right way, move on. If you do a	9 analysis was and we will but when there's a problem, what do
10	conservative analysis and you find something, then you have	10 you do. Well, first thing you do is you go to the approving
11	to do a lot of things. You know, how do, how do you get	11 authority. This is really critical and typically in these
12	around this.	12 cases, there is an, there is an agency, the state or the
13	MR. GROSSMAN: This is EPA's regulations regarding	13 federal government and their experts. They know a lot about
14	large sources of pollution.	14 this. They deal with it all the time. They know a lot
15	MR. SILVERMAN: Well	15 about modeling.
16	MR. GROSSMAN: You said factories in there but	16 MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
17	that's there, the case that you	17 MR. SILVERMAN: And you see if you can, if you can
18	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes.	<b>18</b> come up with a plan or a protocol in conjunction with the
19	MR. GROSSMAN: you once referenced	<b>19</b> approving authority that lets you get around this, this
20	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, yes.	20 restriction and get your permit because if you don't have
21	MR. GROSSMAN: were specific set of regulations	21 your permit, you can't build. So in this case, as Mr.
22	about large producers of pollution.	22 Sullivan said, you, sir, are the approving authority.
23	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. But it's also, it also	23 MR. GROSSMAN: Well, not really.
	relates to air quality standards which is all of us. Yeah.	24 MR. SILVERMAN: Well
25	That's another let me make just a side point which I	25 MR. GROSSMAN: I'm a cog along the way but the
	Page 267	Dogo 260
	Fage 207	Page 269
1	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it	1 Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
2	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it	1 Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special
2 3	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> </ol>
2 3 4	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake.	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations.	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes.	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>I can't impose my own standards.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>I can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably.	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but,	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>I can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit.	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>I can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>how that analyzes out.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>19 the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing from too but you don't do that with, with gas stations and	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>19 the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> <li>20 very high level and complex questions of modeling and air</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing from too but you don't do that with, with gas stations and many other sources. You don't, you don't really do it with	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>19 the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> <li>20 very high level and complex questions of modeling and air</li> <li>21 quality, and there's no really qualified, no offense meant,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing from too but you don't do that with, with gas stations and many other sources. You don't, you don't really do it with highways except in certain special circumstances. What you	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>19 the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> <li>20 very high level and complex questions of modeling and air</li> <li>21 quality, and there's no really qualified, no offense meant,</li> <li>22 no really qualified</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing from too but you don't do that with, with gas stations and many other sources. You don't, you don't really do it with highways except in certain special circumstances. What you say to the local government is well, you're building gas	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>19 the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> <li>20 very high level and complex questions of modeling and air</li> <li>21 quality, and there's no really qualified, no offense meant,</li> <li>22 MR. GROSSMAN: None taken.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. They regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing from too but you don't do that with, with gas stations and many other sources. You don't, you don't really do it with highways except in certain special circumstances. What you say to the local government is well, you're building gas stations and highways in such a way that you're going to	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>I can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>how that analyzes out.</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> <li>very high level and complex questions of modeling and air</li> <li>quality, and there's no really qualified, no offense meant,</li> <li>no really qualified</li> <li>MR. SILVERMAN: government agency to sort of</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	think is obvious at this point but I just want to get it really clear. The fact that EPA does not regulate something does not mean it's safe. Every regulation of EPA was fought over and struggled over with a huge interest at stake. There are many things they don't regulate. They regulate gas stations in a way but not, they don't regulate the air quality impact of gas stations. They regulate the technology of gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they regulate, the regulate the air quality in general and that MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: those standards would apply to gas stations presumably. MR. SILVERMAN: They would apply to all of us but, but typically with larger facilities, with factories and so on, you need to get a permit. MR. SILVERMAN: And the, and that permit process is what Mr. Sullivan borrows from and I've been borrowing from too but you don't do that with, with gas stations and many other sources. You don't, you don't really do it with highways except in certain special circumstances. What you say to the local government is well, you're building gas	<ol> <li>Board of Appeals will make the decision on the special</li> <li>exception. But the actual, the authorities that set the</li> <li>standards or at least look at these issues more directly,</li> <li>the ones who have the expertise, I don't want to say they</li> <li>punted but I read their submissions to, when the, when the</li> <li>zoning text amendment was</li> <li>7 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>8 MR. GROSSMAN: was being considered. And so</li> <li>9 they, they haven't contributed very much to the analysis so</li> <li>10 I'm left, I'm left with standards that the EPA says because</li> <li>11 can't impose my own standards.</li> <li>12 MR. SILVERMAN: Right.</li> <li>13 MR. GROSSMAN: So I'm left with the air quality</li> <li>14 standards by the EPA and maybe OSHA, depending on how that,</li> <li>15 how that analyzes out.</li> <li>16 MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's right. But how, if</li> <li>17 you were, if to take the analogy of the approving</li> <li>18 authority here, and I agree with you. I mean, to me, one of</li> <li>19 the most disturbing aspects of this case is that it raises</li> <li>20 very high level and complex questions of modeling and air</li> <li>21 quality, and there's no really qualified, no offense meant,</li> <li>22 MR. GROSSMAN: None taken.</li> </ol>

	Page 270		Page 272
2 3 4 5	government agency do when there's an initial screen that suggests there's a problem and there are other initial screens that suggest there's a problem. Well, one of the things they do is they say all right, come up with another model or modifications to model. And so, you know, Mr.	2 3 4 5	concerned, everybody that testified here is a person of integrity. I'm not, I'm not questioning that. But on the other hand, I'm not naive either and I'm sure you're not either. You know, people have their points of view and their interests. I'd like so another I said this, Mr.
	Sullivan or somebody would say well, you know, we used the urban, we should have used the rural. Well, he doesn't have		Sullivan's own studies, when he didn't know it, his mistake, he found violations. That, again, that triggers this whole
	free reign to do that. He can say it but one of the things that the approving authority does is to say is this	8 9	analysis and I don't think you know, the other thing MR. GROSSMAN: Well, he contends that he didn't
	appropriate in this case. And you know what, it's not an easy question and in this case, the numbers may be great	10 11	find violations. That's his, his contention. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I hope you decide the case
12	enough that it doesn't matter so much but it may matter. So		on that basis because it would be real simple. I think when
13 14	that's one of the things to do. Another thing they might do is typically what they		you hear Dr. Cole, you'll make your own determination. I mean
	say is they'll build a taller stack. Build a taller smokestack. Well, that doesn't, that's not applicable here.	15	MR. GROSSMAN: He says that properly applying, even no his original assumptions, properly applying EPA
	Or put in some other controls and so they got their ARID		guidelines on this on how to do it, you would not use the
	permeator. That's about it. Another thing they could do is to say well, you know, close, close down some other		rural dispersion techniques for the immediate area of the, of the mall, and that for, for example, right around where
20	(indiscernible). And again, they're looking at the region.	20	the loading docks are, you would have to do even some more
21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: They're looking at the region.		specific things regarding NO2 given the direct immediate area there.
	And then another thing they	23	So his, his contention is that if you actually
24 25	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, isn't one of the things that they could do to limit quantities of potential pollution		follow the EPA procedures in a specific case that even under his original assumptions he wasn't doing, they don't lead
	Page 271		Page 273
	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the		over the top. And then of course he adds on that those
2 3	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring,	2 3	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well
2 3	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor	2 3 4	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is
2 3 4 5	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring,	2 3 4 5	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid certainty about it. It could take years, but you probably get some good information with just some monitoring over a period of time and, you know, you have to know one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA guidelines saying that specific cases should be adjusted specifically or something to that effect. MS. CORDRY: Well, that's what I mean. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid certainty about it. It could take years, but you probably get some good information with just some monitoring over a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA guidelines saying that specific cases should be adjusted specifically or something to that effect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid certainty about it. It could take years, but you probably get some good information with just some monitoring over a period of time and, you know, you have to know one of the problems is where you place the monitor. We talk about near road and far off road, and that has been since I've been in this business, which is a long time, it's been the big	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA guidelines saying that specific cases should be adjusted specifically or something to that effect. MS. CORDRY: Well, that's what I mean. The standard EPA guideline says to use the rural. He said his, that they say well, you can apply some judgments. Using an expert judgment, I'm not going to follow that particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid certainty about it. It could take years, but you probably get some good information with just some monitoring over a period of time and, you know, you have to know one of the problems is where you place the monitor. We talk about near road and far off road, and that has been since I've been in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA guidelines saying that specific cases should be adjusted specifically or something to that effect. MS. CORDRY: Well, that's what I mean. The standard EPA guideline says to use the rural. He said his, that they say well, you can apply some judgments. Using an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid certainty about it. It could take years, but you probably get some good information with just some monitoring over a period of time and, you know, you have to know one of the problems is where you place the monitor. We talk about near road and far off road, and that has been since I've been in this business, which is a long time, it's been the big problem, where do you put your monitors, and it's really easy to game the system and clever people do that a lot and they've always done it and will always do it and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA guidelines saying that specific cases should be adjusted specifically or something to that effect. MS. CORDRY: Well, that's what I mean. The standard EPA guideline says to use the rural. He said his, that they say well, you can apply some judgments. Using an expert judgment, I'm not going to follow that particular judgment. I think and using his original assumptions, I mean, I think you're kind of lumping some things together. Even under his original assumptions, under either guideline,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	until there's some monitoring of the actual situation on the ground for some period of time? MR. SILVERMAN: Well, they can but the monitoring, you know, there's the monitor MR. GROSSMAN: At least for those, for those chemicals that were considered at least borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well MR. GROSSMAN: Which is maybe NO2 one could argue in this case. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. I think to me, I'm quite surprised and a little disappointed that there's not actually been monitoring of what the actual backgrounds are. Now, monitoring is not easy and Mr. Sullivan says it takes, sometimes could take years. He had to get real solid certainty about it. It could take years, but you probably get some good information with just some monitoring over a period of time and, you know, you have to know one of the problems is where you place the monitor. We talk about near road and far off road, and that has been since I've been in this business, which is a long time, it's been the big problem, where do you put your monitors, and it's really easy to game the system and clever people do that a lot and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	over the top. And then of course he adds on that those assumptions were too conservative anyway. MR. SILVERMAN: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to, all I'm saying is I don't want to assume that there's a violation based on testimony. It may or may not be true but that's what he MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I MR. GROSSMAN: If I understood correctly. MS. CORDRY: If we might suggest. I think he said that the EPA guidance says to use the rural but in his expert judgment, he was allowed to take a different position on that. MR. GROSSMAN: No. He, he, in his, when he returned to the stand, he said that, he read from the EPA guidelines saying that specific cases should be adjusted specifically or something to that effect. MS. CORDRY: Well, that's what I mean. The standard EPA guideline says to use the rural. He said his, that they say well, you can apply some judgments. Using an expert judgment, I'm not going to follow that particular judgment. I think and using his original assumptions, I mean, I think you're kind of lumping some things together.

	Page 274		Page 276
1	above 190.	1	particularly, school boards, and say here's stuff to watch
2	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think I'm lumping anything		out for.
	together. I think I'm basing, I'm saying this is what, what	3	
	Mr. Sullivan was saying when he came back to testify in his		for are pretty serious things obviously, you know.
	latest return. I think it was September 20. I'm not, I'm		Decommissioned weapons facility or an old solid waste
	not saying he's right or wrong or that I accept it or don't		facility. There's a group of things, and one of the things
	accept it. I'm just saying I believe that we shouldn't have		in that group of sort of undesirable land use is what they
	a premise that that is contested without mentioning that		say schools should stay away from are large gas stations
	it's a contested premise. That's all I'm saying.		which they define as 3.6 million gallons per year which is
10	MR. ROSENFELD: And there is the original and then		much smaller than what we're talking about here, whatever that number is. So that's another kind of a screen that
	there were the modified, there was the modified report.		
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.		should ring a bell and says all right. Now, you've got to
13	MR. ROSENFELD: And consistently, I think the		really put to your prove. And again, in a typical
	applicant is asked to testify as to both scenarios and so I		situation, you have a regulatory agency helping you to, you
	think Mr. Silverman is appropriate to talk about the		sit down with the agency before you reach your conclusions
	original		and you develop a protocol.
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Sure he is. I'm not saying he's	17	6
	inappropriate.	18	,
19	MR. ROSENFELD: Okay.		tried to do that a little bit with Dr. Cole and we, we met
20	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm just saying that there was a,	20	
	there's a contested		protocol, we assume a certain level of traffic, we have
22	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. And -		these other assumptions. Now those assumptions have changed
23	MR. GROSSMAN: premise here and I just wanted		and if you're, if you're, if you were the regulator in
	to make sure that the record is clear that		charge, you would take some exception to that and you'd say
25	MR. SILVERMAN: And I	25	well, hold on, let's stop and go through these protocols in
	Page 275		Page 277
1			
1	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested.		great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not
2	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that	2	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing.
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example,	2 3	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C.	2 3 4	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a	2 3 4 5	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't	2 3 4 5 6	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you	2 3 4 5 6 7	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment.	2 3 6 7 8 9	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: And what school siting now, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in MR. SILVERMAN: In evidence, right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: And what school siting now, the school siting guidelines, unlike the Federal Register and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in MR. SILVERMAN: In evidence, right. MR. GROSSMAN: Are in that record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: And what school siting now, the school siting guidelines, unlike the Federal Register and some of the documents of the case and so on, they're not,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in MR. SILVERMAN: In evidence, right. MR. GROSSMAN: Are in that record. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. And I'm glad, I'm glad it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: And what school siting now, the school siting guidelines, unlike the Federal Register and some of the documents of the case and so on, they're not, they're not really regulatory, they're not really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in MR. SILVERMAN: In evidence, right. MR. GROSSMAN: Are in that record. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. And I'm glad, I'm glad it is and I think you'll, I hope you'll consider them. I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: And what school siting now, the school siting guidelines, unlike the Federal Register and some of the documents of the case and so on, they're not, they're not really regulatory, they're not really scientific, they're common sense and they're directed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in MR. SILVERMAN: In evidence, right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. And I'm glad, I'm glad it is and I think you'll, I hope you'll consider them. I would prefer to have the MDE here frankly and we're thinking,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: it was contested. MR. SILVERMAN: And I didn't mean to suggest that rural and urban were the only example. I mean, for example, for background, he uses Arlington for NO2. Had he used D.C. station, he would have had a different result. Now, he's a professional, he makes his professional judgment. I don't disrespect that but in an actual case where people are, you know, trying to protect health and there are professional people involved, they would question that judgment. They'd look to see that judgment. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And you're going to presumably, your side will have witnesses that will MR. SILVERMAN: We will. MR. GROSSMAN: testify about that MR. SILVERMAN: We will indeed. We will indeed. And there's another sort of trigger that causes, should cause a deeper analysis which are the school siting guidelines which I think we put in the testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. SILVERMAN: And what school siting now, the school siting guidelines, unlike the Federal Register and some of the documents of the case and so on, they're not, they're not really regulatory, they're not really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	great detail to see what we're changing and what we're not changing. And they're not here, the agencies who should be here in my estimation. I can't tell you how hard we worked to get them here. We do have some letters from the state which are supportive of our position and I expect we'll have more. MR. GROSSMAN: When you say letters from the state that are supportive, are you talking about ones that were submitted in conjunction with the, with the zoning text amendment or are you talking about some additional letters? MR. SILVERMAN: No. Those two. Two. One with the zoning text amendment which was referenced in the, in the legislative history MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. SILVERMAN: of the zoning text amendment. And then a subsequent one which was addressed to the state legislature because we tried to get MR. GROSSMAN: Both of those are in MR. SILVERMAN: In evidence, right. MR. GROSSMAN: Are in that record. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. And I'm glad, I'm glad it is and I think you'll, I hope you'll consider them. I would

Page 278		Page 280
somebody, someone generally, you want to get that level	1	So, I mean, I think, I think they, the Council, my
of involvement because as I said, the issues are complex and	2	interpretation of what the Council is doing is I think they
I think it's, it's not a, the in my experience, there	3	were looking out for their property, namely the schools that
have always been experts, people who are decent people who	4	they own and run, and try to give them a level of
take one side or another, and it's typically, my experience	5	protection. And if you listen to the debate during the
is typical that that companies with a lot of resources can	6	discussion, people were noting big gas stations, not as big
always find some of those experts.		as this, big gas stations located across the street from
		schools and they had some alarm. So they did what they
		could do quickly and effectively and I think they, I hope
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		Then the other thing I think they were very
		concerned about, they didn't really want to interfere with
		your jurisdiction. I think they have, you may not believe
		this, I think they have some respect for the lines.
		MR. GOECKE: Objection. Hearsay. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I don't think it's hearsay.
		It's a matter of public record. And I, I am an expert in, I
		won't say I'm an expert, I am very, I have a lot of
-		experience with legislative debates and so on. I've been to
		many, many, many and I think I've just giving you my
did the so what do I conclude from that in terms of		judgment of what, what they were saying, and we can produce
Council's intent?		the record. I mean, if we, I mean, I could produce the
MR. SILVERMAN: I'll answer. I have an answer for		record.
that. I think, I think there's one interpretation is,	25	MR. GROSSMAN: I'll overrule the objection
Page 279		Page 281
you know, they were standing there with Costco holding the	1	MR. SILVERMAN: Thank you.
	2	MR. GROSSMAN: in the context of this but
that's what happened. I think, I think they said to	3	MR. GOECKE: Just for the record, Mr. Grossman, he
themselves, you know, if we put a 1,000 foot limit, what	4	is testifying about what the Council thinks and what
will this mean for the county and I don't think they had the	5	motivated them to make decisions and
answer to that question. I later heard representatives of	6	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.
the county executive say that, you know, for example, 1,000	7	MR. GOECKE: he has no foundation for that.
foot buffer would, even if just against schools, would make	8	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm considering that. I did ask
	9	him the question so it's a what I asked him about is a, I
	10	
		factual question here that I pose to him because to me, I am
-		wrestling with the question of how I carry out the Council's
		intent in this ZTA**. That's one of the things I have to, I
		have to wrestle with and, in making recommendations and conclusions in this case and they chose to include some
		things and not other things, and that's, the same way I have
		to consider that fact in terms of the need, the modification
-		of the need statute, I have to consider that in connection
	19	
but, you know, the, the Planning Commission, we went to the	20	
Planning Commission. We said well, you should do a study of	21	MR. SILVERMAN: Well, I think I, I expect that the
gas stations in this area because I think you're screwing it		decision of the Board of Appeals will be, will be looked at
	1	
up. In fact, we've heard testimony from the applicant that	23	very carefully by the legislative bodies, both in the county
up. In fact, we've heard testimony from the applicant that some of the gas stations which this body has approved are		very carefully by the legislative bodies, both in the county and the state, to see, you know, you're, I mean, your I
	somebody, someone generally, you want to get that level of involvement because as I said, the issues are complex and I think it's, it's not a, the in my experience, there have always been experts, people who are decent people who take one side or another, and it's typically, my experience is typical that that companies with a lot of resources can always find some of those experts. So the question is how do you, when you have been, you've gotten two flags, one from the expert himself, inadvertently, and one from the school siting guidelines, and I'll say a third one, the County Council has also expressed concern about having children too close to big gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. But that's another one of those double-edged swords. They, they looked at the letters from MDE and so on and then they chose to impose a 300 foot rather than 1,000 foot setback which was in the original proposed ETA so what do I, what do I conclude from that? They also chose not to include residences in that setback when they had that opportunity even though the, they chose to include residences in terms of light pollution when they did the - so what do I conclude from that in terms of Council's intent? MR. SILVERMAN: I'll answer. I have an answer for that. I think, I think there's one interpretation is, Page 279 you know, they were standing there with Costco holding the other end of the tape measure to 300 feet. I don't think that's what happened. I think, I think they said to themselves, you know, if we put a 1,000 foot limit, what will this mean for the county and I don't think they had the answer to that question. I later heard representatives of the county executive say that, you know, for example, 1,000 foot buffer would, even if just against schools, would make it impossible to expand gas stations on Georgia Avenue. That may be a good thing but they, you know, in other words, they did't have the consequences. What they had was a direct instruction from the EPA school siting guidelines that says keep your schools awa	somebody, someone generally, you want to get that level of involvement because as I said, the issues are complex and I think it's, it's not a, the in my experience, there have always been experts, people who are decent people who take one side or another, and it's typically, my experience is typical that that companies with a lot of resources can always find some of those experts. So the question is how do you, when you have been, you've gotten two flags, one from the expert himself, inadvertently, and one from the school siting guidelines, and I'l say a third one, the County Council has also expressed concern about having children too close to big gas stations. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. But that's another one of those double-edged swords. They, they looked at the letters from MDE and so on and then they chose to impose a 300 foot rather than 1,000 foot setback which was in the original proposed ETA so what do I, what do I conclude from that? They also chose not to include residences in that setback when they had that opportunity even though the, they chose to include residences in terms of light pollution when they did the so what do I conclude from that in terms of Council's intent? MR. SILVERMAN: I'll answer. I have an answer for that. I think, I think there's one interpretation is, Page 279 you know, they were standing there with Costco holding the other end of the tape measure to 300 feet. I don't think that's what happened. I think, I think they said to themselves, you know, if we put a 1,000 foot limit, what will this mean for the county and I don't think they had the answer to that question. I later heard representatives of the county executive say that, you know, in other words, they din't have the consequences. What they had was a direct instruction from the EPA school siting guidelines that says keep your schools away from gas stations. And why didn't they do residences? I think again, I don't think they really knew. There was no investigation, there was no study. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it certain

	Page 282		Page 284
			-
	said you're the first person to listen to this. That's not		think I'd want it done. I think I'd want the county
	quite true. Other people, you corrected me, other people		government, the state government to help me develop a scheme, a plan for monitoring that would give us a little
	have been listening hard but not to the thoroughness that		bit of the before and after.
	you put in here and I think your decision and the decision		
	of, your findings and the decision of the Board will be very	5	MR. GROSSMAN: The only way that can be done in
	instrumental in the future development of fuel industry in		the context of what I do is to recommend granting the
	Montgomery County and elsewhere. I think it will have a big	8	special exception subject to conditions. MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that would be a condition
9	impact. I mean there is clearly, Costco has testified a		that would take some time to fulfill before
	lot. You know they want to be in the, in the cities, in the	10	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. But I mean
	congested areas and they want to bring their gas stations	11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	with them. They've had, there's not a lot of gas stations,	12	-
	even in the Costco system, this big that are so close.		gas station built and operational too so.
	There are a few but as far as I can tell, there have been no	14	-
	studies or investigations, no health investigations, no real		don't know. I forgot what their testimony is. I expect
	monitoring investigations, no one's looking at the		that though, if they get this, they'll move fast because
	consequences. That's another thing that's a little		we're doing what we can to stop them in every way. But I, I
18	disturbing about this, this situation is, you know, if you		mean, really.
19	permit the, if you permit the gas station and it causes	19	MR. GROSSMAN: It's been my experience that
20	injury to people, you won't know. And if you know, there		nothing about this case moves fast.
	used to be an old saying before people started suing doctors	21	MR. SILVERMAN: Well, that's probably a good thing
	that doctors bury their mistakes. Well		in this case. The let me just oh, yeah. Another
23	MR. GROSSMAN: I remember that saying.		thing I wanted to touch on was this business of small
24	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes. This is, this is going to be		increments. Again, this goes to the provision of the Clean
	very hard and Dr. Chase said oh, you could never tell. I		Air Act which was not always there. It came I think in
	· , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 283		Page 285
1		1	, and the second s
	Page 283 don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know.		Page 285 1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention
	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell.		1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention
2	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know.	2 3	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention
2 3	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with
2 3 4	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah.	2 3 4 5	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air
2 3 4 5 6	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the	2 3 4 5 6	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were
2 3 4 5 6	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a	2 3 4 5 6	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were	2 3 4 5 6 7	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that MR. GROSSMAN: They're legitimate concerns but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant. Now, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know if he meant this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that MR. GROSSMAN: They're legitimate concerns but whether they turn out to be factual.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant. Now, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know if he meant this but he sort of suggested, as I heard him say, well, if, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that MR. GROSSMAN: They're legitimate concerns but whether they turn out to be factual. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well, I think that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant. Now, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know if he meant this but he sort of suggested, as I heard him say, well, if, if under the PSD, prevention of significant deterioration, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that MR. GROSSMAN: They're legitimate concerns but whether they turn out to be factual. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well, I think that's a very good idea, particularly the before part but as Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant. Now, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know if he meant this but he sort of suggested, as I heard him say, well, if, if under the PSD, prevention of significant deterioration, it's not a significant impact level, SIL, we love to swing that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that MR. GROSSMAN: They're legitimate concerns but whether they turn out to be factual. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well, I think that's a very good idea, particularly the before part but as Mr. Sullivan will tell you, it's not so easy to do. It can be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant. Now, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know if he meant this but he sort of suggested, as I heard him say, well, if, if under the PSD, prevention of significant deterioration, it's not a significant impact level, SIL, we love to swing that, then it's not, it's not significant, it's not important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't believe that but, but there's, it's not easy to tell. How would you know. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MR. SILVERMAN: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: So you can monitor levels of the pollutants and determine whether there has been a significant increase I presume, at least ones that were borderline. MR. SILVERMAN: And then who do you, who do you blame it on? I mean, this is not the only thing happening in the county. MR. GROSSMAN: No. I don't Well, I mean presumably in this kind of case, you would set up monitors at the property line, you know, of the nearby residences for example and maybe at the school but, and you then have some measurements both before the gas station was operational and afterwards and see if the concerns that have been enunciated by the community here are actually legitimate concerns. MR. SILVERMAN: I think that MR. GROSSMAN: They're legitimate concerns but whether they turn out to be factual. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. Well, I think that's a very good idea, particularly the before part but as Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1990. George Bush, Senior was president. He was a good man when it came to air pollution. Anyway, the, is prevention of significant deterioration and this was, this dealt with situations where there were areas that had pretty clean air but, particularly national parks, but where they were getting clouded. And, you know, the issue was, you know, was the Clean Air Act a license to pollute up to the MR. GROSSMAN: UP to the level. MR. SILVERMAN: up to the level, and the Congress said, no, you can't do it so they on the other hand, they also said well, we have to look at, we want to prevent significant deterioration but we want to be respectful of the economic development of the area and do both those things at the same time. Well, of course when you use, in a statute, when you use the word prevention of significant deterioration, you have to define significant and a large part of what EPA has done and what the Sierra Club case was about was how they defined the word significant. Now, Mr. Sullivan, I don't know if he meant this but he sort of suggested, as I heard him say, well, if, if under the PSD, prevention of significant deterioration, it's not a significant impact level, SIL, we love to swing that,

	Page 286		Page 288
1	this is all about.	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I'm not cutting you off.
2	It's not to say that small increments are never	2	
	important. It's in the context of should you let a power	3	
	plant locate in a pristine area and how much should you let	4	do you think you have.
	it pollute that area. I mean, that is a policy economic	5	MR. SILVERMAN: I think I might, might need an
6	judgment. A lot of things going into that judgment but that	6	hour.
7	has nothing to do with whether a certain amount is	7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So
8	significant. I mean, you know, the PSD rules talk about 40	8	MR. SILVERMAN: I'd love to stop now. I think
9	tons but, you know, if we put a tailpipe in here with carbon	9	we've come to a good point.
10	monoxide, in 30 minutes, we'd all be dead and it wouldn't	10	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Why don't we do that then.
	be, it would be less than an ounce. I mean, it wouldn't be		That means that on, let's see, our next session is October
	anything. It just depends on context. And when you look at		21st.
	some of these numbers, you have to say well, what's the	13	5
	context that that number being used in and if you look at	14	,
	the, at Dr	15	<b>,</b>
16	MR. GROSSMAN: Chase?		this room, and we'll start out with you, Mr. Silverman, as
17	MR. SILVERMAN: Chase, talked to, said well,		the primary victim. And then who else do we have planned
	I'd have to go through all the minutes of the case I need		for Monday?
	but we have been going through them. Ms. Cordry is	19	
	particularly adept at that. And, you know, there's a lot, there's a lot of caveats and, and things go together. It's		sp.) to talk about traffic and pedestrian issues and queuing, queuing and pedestrian, and Eleana Sheveiko.
	not a simple, oh, this is the number, that's the only number	21	
	we have. Again, where our monitors, should we monitor two		be, Eleana.
	things together, should we do it apart. There are a lot of	23 24	
	issues that come up.		well.
	D 007		
	Page 287		Page 289
1	Page 287 But I must say I've done a lot of research on	1	
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1 2	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on?
2	But I must say I've done a lot of research on	2	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on?
2 3 4	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no	2 3 4	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and
2 3 4 5	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not	2 3 4	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and
2 3 4 5 6	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because	2 3 4 5 6	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some
2 3 4 5 6 7	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how, that's how basic the point is, uncontestable that point is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know, it's not just scientists and bureaucrats. They have to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how, that's how basic the point is, uncontestable that point is. You cannot, you know, if you violate a health standard, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know, it's not just scientists and bureaucrats. They have to have public hearings. And essentially, the agencies have got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how, that's how basic the point is, uncontestable that point is. You cannot, you know, if you violate a health standard, you violate the health standard. You better do something to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know, it's not just scientists and bureaucrats. They have to have public hearings. And essentially, the agencies have got to justify their ways to at least inform the public, knowledge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how, that's how basic the point is, uncontestable that point is. You cannot, you know, if you violate a health standard, you violate the health standard. You better do something to get you out of violation. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know, it's not just scientists and bureaucrats. They have to have public hearings. And essentially, the agencies have got to justify their ways to at least inform the public, knowledge to the public. I think your, I think, I hope, I think you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how, that's how basic the point is, uncontestable that point is. You cannot, you know, if you violate a health standard, you violate the health standard. You better do something to get you out of violation. The MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it's two minutes to 5:00 so let's, how much longer do you think your testimony MR. SILVERMAN: I think, I would like to say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know, it's not just scientists and bureaucrats. They have to have public hearings. And essentially, the agencies have got to justify their ways to at least inform the public, knowledge to the public. I think your, I think, I hope, I think you feel that you also, and the Board, are somewhat accountable to the public MR. GROSSMAN: Of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	But I must say I've done a lot of research on this. I have never found, and the applicant has not shown, any case or instance where there has been a violation of primary air quality standards as to health standards, no matter how small, that was permitted. It just has not happened, it does not happen. It does not happen because it's one thing to prevent significant deterioration but when they're talking about attainment of air quality, primary air quality standards, health standards, there's no significant in there, there's no economic analysis. In fact, the big case in this area is something called American Trucking Association v. Whitman which I actually wrote, is 531 U.S. 457, 2001, which says that in setting the primary air quality standards, EPA can only consider health. They cannot consider anything else. No economics. And, you know, that opinion was written by Justice Scalia which shocked me but, I mean, that's how, that's how basic the point is, uncontestable that point is. You cannot, you know, if you violate a health standard, you violate the health standard. You better do something to get you out of violation. The MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it's two minutes to 5:00 so let's, how much longer do you think your testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HARRIS: And what is she testifying on? MR. ROSENFELD: As a neighborhood resident on nuisance and MR. GROSSMAN: Now, she already, she appeared and questioned. I can't recall if she actually testified at that time. I know she made some MR. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: asked some pointed questions about, as I recall. MR. ROSENFELD: I think she was basically challenging the accuracy of one of the exhibits but it was not, it was not brought to us. MR. SILVERMAN: I'd really like to quit because I'm getting tired, but I just want to make one point. MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, sir. Sure. MR. SILVERMAN: Another thing about the science and policy part, there's a big public involvement, you know, it's not just scientists and bureaucrats. They have to have public hearings. And essentially, the agencies have got to justify their ways to at least inform the public, knowledge to the public. I think your, I think, I hope, I think you feel that you also, and the Board, are somewhat accountable to the public MR. GROSSMAN: Of course.

	Page 290		Page 292
1	have a very difficult road ahead. We heard testimony from	1	MS. HARRIS: I mean, unfortunately I think a
	Ms. Alpert who said while there were big mistakes in the	2	little bit this filing things at the very last minute, you
	original report. So how do you, how do you explain away		know, we need to respect the 10-day rule to the extent
	those mistakes? I mean, Mr. Sullivan did a masterful job		possible looking at these future dates as well.
	trying to recover but how do you, how does a municipal	5	MR. ADELMAN: I filed it as fast as I could and if
	government, a local government zoning official explain that	6	applicant needs the 10 days, then I'll testify later.
7	to the public who knows that a major error was committed	7	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that's not exactly the way it
8	and, and how do you say well, despite that, we're still	8	works.
9	good. I think that's, I don't know the answer to that	9	MR. ADELMAN: Fine.
10	question but I think you face a very great challenge and I	10	MR. GROSSMAN: It works that you have to have, we
11	wanted to bring it to your attention.	11	have to have continuous witnesses in the case and so
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I face a great challenge.	12	everybody, if the opposition wants to have, put on
13	All right. Ms. Harris?	13	witnesses, they have to be available when we have the
14	MS. HARRIS: Yes. There were three, in addition	14	hearing so, and those have to have a fair exchange of the
15	to Mr. Silverman, there are only two other witnesses	15	paperwork. I mean, paperwork exchange is not part of the
16	identified for Monday and then Thursday is right after that	16	actual rules and regulations of these land use cases
17	so if we could get out	17	technically. I've imposed it in this case because I think
18	MR. GROSSMAN: That's a good point.	18	in fairness, in a case that's as sophisticated as this one
19	MS. HARRIS: further lineup, that would be	19	is, it's most helpful to the fact finders to be able to have
20	helpful.		
21	MR. ROSENFELD: And I we're trying to see if we	21	can intelligently cross-examine. I think that's worked out
22	can have other people available on the 21st. So far I've	22	well thus far and I plan to continue doing it in fairness to
23	not been able to do that but we are trying to avoid short	23	both sides, but I do want to make sure that we have people
24	days and will let you know as soon as I confirm another		lined up who can, who can testify during these, during these
25	witness.	25	hearings.
	Page 291		Page 293
1	Page 291 MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday.	1	
1			-
2	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday.	2	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was
2 3	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your,	2	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone
2 3	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to	2 3 4	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone
2 3 4 5	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right?	2 3 4 5	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not
2 3 4 5	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and	2 3 4 5	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible). MS. HARRIS: I haven't read it yet but I have it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then you had suggested that you might not be prepared for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible). MS. HARRIS: I haven't read it yet but I have it with me to read this evening. I think what I'd like to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then you had suggested that you might not be prepared for that cross-examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible). MS. HARRIS: I haven't read it yet but I have it with me to read this evening. I think what I'd like to do is take a look at what was submitted and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then you had suggested that you might not be prepared for that cross-examination. MS. HARRIS: Well, that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible). MS. HARRIS: I haven't read it yet but I have it with me to read this evening. I think what I'd like to do is take a look at what was submitted and then MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then you had suggested that you might not be prepared for that cross-examination. MS. HARRIS: Well, that was MS. CORDRY: Yes. I need to do the queuing piece.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible). MS. HARRIS: I haven't read it yet but I have it with me to read this evening. I think what I'd like to do is take a look at what was submitted and then MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. HARRIS: I'll weigh in on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then you had suggested that you might not be prepared for that cross-examination. MS. HARRIS: Well, that was MS. CORDRY: Yes. I need to do the queuing piece. I'm not going to do anything more than what I had previously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HARRIS: Well, it is Thursday. MR. GROSSMAN: You have a lot of people on your, on the long list that you presented. We should be able to shake somebody up and put them in there, right? MR. ROSENFELD: Well, I've been on the phone and sent e-mails and as soon as I MR. GROSSMAN: Let me see. All right. Well, we also have Dr. Adelman. He's always raring and ready to go. MR. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry? What was MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman is always MS. ADELMAN: He's scheduled for the 24th. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. ROSENFELD: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but I mean, we could take him earlier if need be, right? MR. ROSENFELD: I think the concern in part is that it's information was submitted on the 24th. MR. GROSSMAN: I know but if Ms. Harris doesn't mind, I read it, even the quotes by (indiscernible). MS. HARRIS: I haven't read it yet but I have it with me to read this evening. I think what I'd like to do is take a look at what was submitted and then MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. ADELMAN: To clarify, Mr. Grossman, I was simply, I was asked when I'd testify earlier and I said yes but I didn't file my stuff until someone MR. GROSSMAN: Right. I understand. No. I'm not faulting you. I'm just saying. So, Ms. Harris, why don't you take a look at what was filed by MS. HARRIS: Okay. I mean, I would note MR. GROSSMAN: And I don't think it's going to be a problem. MS. HARRIS: even if he were going to testify on the 24th, that wasn't 10 days. I mean, I want to move this along so if I look, if we look at it and realize that he can go on the 21st, believe me, we'll be the first ones to say, yes, let's go forward with it. But again, it wouldn't have been 10 days even if he were going to testify on the 24th so I don't want to keep having this discussion every time we get ready to prepare for a witness. MR. GROSSMAN: And also, you, it was suggested that Ms. Cordry could put on more of her testimony. Then you had suggested that you might not be prepared for that cross-examination. MS. HARRIS: Well, that was MS. CORDRY: Yes. I need to do the queuing piece.

	Page 204	Page 206
	Page 294	Page 296
1	MR. ROSENFELD: And mister	CERTIFICATE
2	MR. GROSSMAN: So that's another thing we can, we	DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that
3	can always	the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the
4	MR. ROSENFELD: And Mr. Core will also be talking	Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery
5	about queuing so those two would	County in the matter of:
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. They can queue up together.	county in the matter of.
	Maybe we can do that. Does that sound like a reasonable	Petition of Dr. Costco Wholesale Corporation
	-	Special Exception No. S-2863
	thing? MS. HARRIS: Yes.	OZAH No. 13-12
9		
10	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	Ву:
11	MS. HARRIS: I mean, let me go back and look and	
	see what Mr. Adelman filed and we may say he can go forward	
-	and we can sort of stick to that order.	
14	MR. GROSSMAN: I would, I think it would make	Josephine Hayes, Transcriber
	sense to have Ms. Cordry on queuing at the same time as Mr.	
16	Core	
17	MS. HARRIS: Okay.	
18	MR. GROSSMAN: on queuing so we, we complete	
19	that. Okay? Does that work out for everybody.	
20	MS. HARRIS: So just to be clear, Mr. Silverman,	
21	Mr. Core, Ms. Sheveiko and Core then Karen, Ms. Cordry, and	
22	then, then what?	
23	MR. ROSENFELD: And actually, if Ms. Sheveiko is	
24	available, perhaps she would go after Mr. Silverman so that	
	she doesn't have to stick around for as much time.	
	Page 295	
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Sure.	
2	MR. ROSENFELD: And then Mr. Core and Ms. Cordry.	
3	MR. GROSSMAN: And if you say, if you give the go-	
_		
	ahead, Dr. Adelman. And you might, he could testify and	
	then you could reserve your cross-examination until the	
	following.	
7	MS. HARRIS: Okay. That's a way to do it too.	
8	That works.	
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So then you could be lined	
	up and ready to go as well. Okay. It's a plan. All right.	
	Anything else? Then we are adjourned until Monday. Same	
	room, same time.	
13	(Whereupon, at 5:08 p.m., the hearing was	
	concluded.)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	11:15;134:17	24:21	adds (1)	advisable (2)
\$	Accepted (5)	acts (1)	273:1	48:11;196:17
<u>_</u>	116:4,4;156:25;	212:3	Adelman (61)	advisor (1)
<b>\$1.10</b> (1)	219:8,24	actual (11)	6:6,6,7,8,8,9,10,10;	44:1
183:8	access (7)	18:2;43:17;59:10;	8:3;10:1,2,3,10,12,17,	Advisory (1)
\$17.00 (1)	114:19;116:18;	63:23;82:8;190:16;	18,23;11:3;19:6;31:21;	258:10
241:17	119:5;136:9;140:20;	269:2;271:1,12;275:7;	32:6;38:17;42:12,15;	advocate (1)
\$3.25 (1)	141:5;215:13	292:16	54:2,4;56:22;57:4;	253:13
142:14	accessing (1)	actually (77)	63:25;67:19;115:19,	affect (6)
\$350 (2)	117:17	12:11;20:21;21:10;	23;149:8,12;197:17,	25:1;41:18;226:15,
259:19,21	accidents (2)	23:21;31:5,7;35:7;	19;222:22,25;223:3,8,	16;230:25;242:6
<b>\$6</b> (1)	115:2;228:1 accommodate (1)	36:1;37:15,21;38:19;	11,14,17;224:15; 239:21;249:2;262:6,	<b>affected (3)</b> 24:19;218:16;259:9
259:21	7:7	39:16,23;40:1;43:17; 44:20,21;46:23;54:2;	13,19,21,23;288:14;	affecting (1)
	accompanied (1)	57:10,20;58:11;59:25;	291:8,10,11,12;292:5,	259:8
Α	45:20	60:4;62:20;64:6,8,9;	9;293:1;294:12;295:4	affects (2)
	accomplish (1)	66:4;69:21;72:4,5,6,8,	Adelman's (1)	47:9;230:14
abandoned (2)	18:17	20;79:4,6;81:17;83:18;	8:14	affirmed (1)
256:24;257:2	according (8)	91:16;94:5;112:24;	adept (1)	13:6
abating (1) $264.4$	59:9;88:20;97:9;	114:19;120:21;128:2;	286:20	affirming (2)
264:4 Abigail (2)	201:11;203:17;231:17;	133:15;140:5;159:18;	adequate (5)	14:24;15:18
Abigail (2)	234:9;264:23	164:6,24;172:13;	10:14;109:23,23;	afoul (2)
6:6;67:18 <b>ability (4</b> )	accordingly (1)	173:4;176:15,17,18;	122:21;247:15	211:2,9
137:20;150:10;	12:14	177:14;183:4;184:14;	adequately (1)	afraid (1)
184:6;187:24	account (6)	190:20;192:18,21;	247:19	256:13
able (25)	59:3;88:4;93:20;	194:3;196:4;216:19;	adjacent (2)	afternoon (1)
16:8;38:5;50:2;	108:24;109:1,2	224:20;227:24;241:25;	121:23;131:4	240:4
61:10;65:14,25;77:5;	accountable (1)	257:18;258:10,15;	adjourned (1)	afterwards (2)
97:22;159:14;182:6,	289:22	259:24;271:12;272:23;	295:11	137:19;283:17
14;189:4;191:12;	accumulation (1)	283:18;287:13;289:5;	adjunct (1)	again (110)
209:9;228:25;229:4;	214:11	294:23	252:11	5:14;16:21;17:19,20,
235:21;236:15;237:12;	accuracy (2)	add (11)	adjust (4)	24,25;25:8;26:12;27:4;
238:10;241:11;253:4;	190:5;289:11	82:20;94:11;101:3;	12:14;49:13;59:3;	29:5,21,24;30:6,7,8;
290:23;291:3;292:19	accurate (5)	102:19;126:15;139:20;	157:19	31:2;32:20;35:12;
above (3)	13:10;14:3;68:22;	140:2;144:11;205:20;	adjusted (2)	37:25;38:16;41:4;
227:5;230:2;274:1	178:12;185:4	225:7;264:18	58:25;273:15	43:14,20;50:7,8;51:11;
absence (1)	accurately (1)	added (6)	Administration (8)	52:16;55:22;56:9;
205:23	36:19	127:15;130:23;	24:3;42:7;44:12;	60:10,17,19;62:4;
absent (1)	achieve (1)	160:19,21,22;203:4	52:12,21;60:15;61:4;	63:22;64:17,20;66:21;
6:19	63:13 achieved (1)	<b>adding (4)</b> 8:11;118:5;131:13;	67:8 Administration's (1)	67:19;71:9;73:11,11, 24;74:1;76:10;77:7;
absolute (10)	46:1	264:20	52:25	80:24,25;81:3;86:11;
94:23;150:11,23;	achieving (1)	addition (7)	admission (1)	87:9;88:21;90:8;91:18;
159:23;160:2;180:6;	66:14	47:14;116:2;121:21;	235:16	92:23;93:12,22;94:20,
188:23;212:23;214:20;	acknowledge (1)	150:21;198:14;201:6;	admitted (1)	22,24;97:12;102:2;
247:6	151:3	290:14	52:3	104:11,25;105:14;
Absolutely (4) 136:25;150:18;	acronym (1)	additional (22)	adopt (2)	107:3,17,18;110:5;
213:10;228:16	18:9	8:6,8;12:5;13:16;	210:24;258:21	112:3;118:24;119:7;
absorb (1)	across (11)	16:6,7;22:19;67:9;	adopted (1)	121:20;123:5;128:11;
245:23	110:22;117:23;	120:15;124:25;125:9;	200:15	131:19;132:4;138:18;
abstract (2)	123:8;125:22;128:22;	126:13;130:23;159:19;	adoption (1)	141:14;156:22;167:9;
53:16,17	141:4,19;144:8;	190:8;201:10,11;	19:24	168:7;170:15;171:3;
Academy (2)	146:13;225:22;280:7	210:24;213:23;217:22;	adopts (1)	175:6;177:9,21;
61:6,7	Act (12)	218:4;277:11	258:21	178:17;179:12,24;
accelerate (1)	63:12,23;64:15;	add-on (1)	advance (4)	186:10;190:25;191:23;
187:5	252:20,21,25,25;253:1;	69:22	205:17;223:18;	200:9;214:3,5;218:5;
accept (14)	259:11;263:19;284:25;	address (7)	224:7;233:5	242:5,15;246:2,3;
95:16;126:13,13;	285:7	7:6;113:18;118:9;	adverse (8)	256:9;259:7;260:20;
142:15;152:3;154:9;	action (3)	138:7;221:9,12;251:17	152:3;163:23,25;	270:20;272:7;276:13;
162:24;163:19,20;	149:11;212:5;253:9	addressed (2)	164:1,16;230:13,21;	279:15;284:24;286:23;
164:20,22;219:4;	actions (1)	196:24;277:17	231:13	293:14
274:6,7	256:4	addresses (1)	advice (2)	against (5)
acceptable (2)	activity (1)	232:8	256:1;264:23	15:5;59:5;216:8;
	I	I	I	l

253:12:279:8 age (2)24:20;141:19 agencies (5) 195:8;256:4;259:24; 277:3;289:19 Agency (7) 56:5;57:23;268:12; 269:24;270:1;276:14, 15 aging (2) 37:2,3 Agliata (1) 155:22 ago (8) 19:18;33:5;46:2; 102:10;154:11;224:25; 226:19;259:17 agree (21) 79:11;88:6;150:22, 25;152:11;153:13; 165:2;179:9;182:5,20; 190:10;192:3,7; 195:19;197:2;204:19; 208:22;215:13;219:6; 241:5;269:18 agreed (5) 13:14;131:20; 132:15,16;263:8 agreement (3) 88:4;132:12;153:12 agreements (1) 132:25 ah (3) 105:24:106:3:107:8 ahead (15)13:11;26:17;38:13; 41:11,13;49:24;71:14; 90:5;136:14;162:20; 218:23;222:2;224:14; 290:1;295:4 aimed (1) 17:10 ain't (3) 111:10,15,25 Air (40) 63:25;120:11;122:7; 187:12,23;194:22,23; 195:1,3,6,6;210:16; 252:20,21,24,25;253:1; 258:10,16:259:3,11,13, 15:263:16.19.23: 264:2;266:24;267:6, 10;269:13,20;284:25; 285:2,4,7;287:4,8,8,14 airplanes (1) 230:2 alarm (1) 280:8 alarmed (1) 257:5 alive (1)

allergies (1) 241:3 alleviate (2) 125:24:131:14 allocate (1) 81:13 allocates (3) 87:4,8,11 allow (3) 5:6;161:15;183:1 allowed (6) 52:4,6;120:18; 172:18;233:20;273:11 allowing (1) 52:7 all-time (2) 31:23;32:1 almost (7) 94:16;118:2,15; 130:9;153:4;243:7; 254:22 alone (3) 85:19;163:25;164:2 along (20) 12:6;117:2,3,11; 118:5;127:20;130:25; 131:3,3;133:13; 134:13,14;136:7; 152:8;153:24;190:18; 214:14;215:12;268:25; 293:12 ALPERT (167) 6:16,16,21,23,25;7:4, 9,12,19;9:6,6,7,11; 113:3,4,5,14,16,19,19, 25;115:14,22;116:4; 117:4,6,9,12,16,22,24; 118:1,7,15;119:13,24; 120:2,4;122:14,18,24; 123:1;124:1;125:14; 126:7,9;127:1,2,7,11, 21;128:1,16,18,20,24; 129:1,4,7,9,13,19,21, 24;130:2,5,8,14,18,21, 24;131:1,6,8,12,15,17, 21;132:1,6,9,14,18,21, 23;133:3,6,15,17,19, 21,24;134:16;135:4,9, 15,20;136:5,11,13,16; 137:1,6,8,11,17,22,24; 138:2,9,12,16,23,25; 139:2,4,7,9,13,19,22; 140:1,3,11,14,16,18, 24;141:2,8,12,14,18, 25;142:4,7,13,18,23; 143:8,13,16,19,24; 144:2,11,23,25;145:2, 6,16,18,21,25;146:9, 11,19;147:5,14,23,25; 148:2,7;165:5,24; 176:19;290:2 A-L-P-E-R-T(2)7:4:127:7

altered (1) 264:24 alternative (2) 24:25:28:2 although (11) 11:23;47:9;79:16; 80:17;151:4;203:14; 217:6;219:4;247:14; 260:20;268:5 Altman (1) 9:5 always (21) 36:19:78:23:91:4: 190:14:194:10:233:7; 235:24;246:14;256:13; 257:19;259:13;260:16; 265:3;271:23,23; 278:4,7;284:25;291:8, 11:294:3 Amazing (1) 200:13 ambient (2) 258:16;259:3 ambulance (1) 238:9 amendment (5) 200:24;269:6; 277:11,13,16 amends (1) 207:2 amenities (17) 186:14.25:187:7.9. 13,16;188:9,12,17,20; 190:12:193:23:194:12. 16:217:4,5,22 amenity (1) 194:21 American (2) 54:22;287:12 Americans' (1) 51:6 among (4) 25:15;52:17,18; 63:15 amount (15) 17:5,10,11,15;25:25; 93:6;169:3,14;183:9; 193:9;242:17;249:7; 263:24;264:6;286:7 amounts (1) 183:2 analogy (3) 107:5;112:1;269:17 analyses (4) 38:2;111:11;154:10; 198:4 analysis (51) 39:17;41:8,18;48:9, 12,15;52:23;56:8; 58:15;77:16,25;78:22; 79:14;80:2,9,13,18,20, 23;82:17;88:4,7;99:22; 105:22;108:1;124:1;

151:22;153:14,16; 169:5:170:6:183:17. 17:189:9.12:190:17: 196:17;206:16;208:5; 211:17;225:1;229:22; 250:3;266:8,10;268:7, 9;269:9;272:8;275:17; 287:10 analytically (1) 161:21 analyze (2) 151:14:196:19 analyzed (2) 169:3;170:1 analyzes (1) 269:15 anecdotes (1) 258:8 angry (1) 238:24 announced (1) 223:12 annoyances (1) 177:25 annoyed (1) 252:21 Annual (10) 19:3,3,8;24:2;27:24; 39:12;40:21;41:19; 62:19:64:23 answered (7) 91:5:146:24:148:5: 164:18;171:6;172:15; 254:12 anticipate (3) 148:15,18;257:12 anticipated (2) 65:2.6 anticipating (1) 197:5 anti-competitive (5) 215:3,6,15;216:24; 217:9 anti-idling (1) 66:12 antithetical (1) 184:25 anti-trust (2) 150:16;151:11 anymore (8) 99:13:123:11,13; 141:9;176:5;225:24; 239:8:265:4 Anything's (1) 182:13 **APA** (1) 249:9 apart (4) 112:5;184:22;185:9; 286:24 APFO (1) 10:5 apologize (1)

9:17 apparent (2) 48:10:226:24 apparently (1) 91:20 appeal (3) 14:2;15:12,14 Appeals (22) 5:4,18,20;7:23;13:3, 8,13,15,22;14:16,25; 15:6,6,17,18;126:3; 132:11:133:2:205:14: 244:7;269:1;281:22 Appeals' (1) 13:6 appear (3) 163:13;178:22;209:7 appeared (3) 82:18;181:20;289:4 appears (5) 16:12;68:22;152:2; 182:24:201:4 applicable (4) 47:25;150:4;233:11; 270:16 applicant (16) 49:5;79:24;80:4,6; 96:2;131:19;132:13; 154:14;208:17;261:20, 21,22;274:14;279:23; 287:2;292:6 applicants (1) 265:21 application (6) 10:13;138:7;184:20; 206:16;211:6;244:13 applied (3) 80:2;205:11;211:4 applies (1) 153:8 apply (12) 40:20;111:25; 151:22;153:11,12,13; 158:19;162:14;196:20; 267:12,14;273:19 applying (5) 150:5,6;163:18; 272:15,16 appreciate (5) 41:17;140:1,3; 147:23:195:6 approach (4) 104:11;161:24; 215:4;265:23 approaching (1) 249:15 appropriate (7) 7:25;93:21;151:4; 157:12;161:24;270:10; 274:15 approval (2) 131:20,23 approve (1)

118:15

157:6 approved (6) 131:24:132:1; 181:22:200:24:233:19: 279:24 approving (5) 268:10,19,22; 269:17;270:9 approximate (1) 154:15 approximately (3) 26:24;27:5;133:12 April (4) 5:12;19:17;32:14; 72:23 area (176) 17:21;35:13,18;42:9; 43:9,10;48:2;58:16; 59:7;68:5,6,8,18;69:16, 20;71:9,11;73:17;74:9, 14;75:8,12,15,17,19, 23;76:2,4,7,8,9,10,12; 77:5,7,16,17,22;78:17, 24;79:4,7,10;80:1; 81:7,8,9;82:21;86:1; 88:14;89:1;90:25; 93:13;94:5,8,12;97:21; 105:24:106:7.21; 107:17,20;108:19,22; 109:2;110:24;111:1; 114:11,21,25;118:23, 25:119:15:121:19.25: 123:8;124:12;125:1; 126:10.18:128:9: 138:8:139:14:140:6: 146:4,11,22;150:9,10; 151:9:154:13.14.17.17. 21;155:1,7;166:18; 167:17;169:4,12,22,23, 23;170:2,10;171:1,3,4, 25:172:1.9.24:173:12. 24;174:10,11;175:13; 176:1;179:22;186:20, 22;188:1;191:4,13,21, 24;207:23,24;209:25; 214:12;215:19,21,24, 24;216:2,4,12,18; 217:10;218:3,7,14; 219:9,9,12,18,23; 220:14;226:2,7,12,22; 227:12;228:18;229:9, 12.13:230:8:236:9.12. 17:238:7:243:9:246:8, 20;264:11;265:9; 272:18,22;279:22; 280:10;285:13;286:4, 5;287:11 areas (17) 58:19;59:25;74:16; 78:18:79:19,19; 124:19,20;125:15; 145:7;147:1;154:20; 183:9;196:7,9;282:11;

285:4 area-wide (1) 242:11 arguable (3) 78:14:79:13:205:19 argue (4) 78:21;215:15;268:8; 271:8 arguing (3) 171:12;190:11;217:1 argument (5) 59:6;80:12;153:6,22; 261:4 arguments (2) 37:15;260:10 **ARID** (8) 254:6,8,9;255:5,14, 14,22;270:17 Arlington (2) 263:11;275:4 around (42) 35:17;46:21;64:8; 79:4;87:4,9,11;94:8; 104:23:114:16:115:5: 116:15,18,19;117:1,13, 20;120:10,23;121:15; 122:4;125:15;126:17; 137:18;138:2,17,18; 140:20;155:13;162:9; 175:22;177:16;210:15; 213:5;221:24;227:18; 229:5:235:1:266:12: 268:19:272:19:294:25 arteries (1) 227:1 article (10) 32:20;52:2;53:13; 58:1;60:9,10;66:18; 67:1;198:15;201:7 articles (3) 43:20:44:20:48:19 artificially (1) 109:9 asleep (1) 225:8 aspect (2) 160:21;211:5 aspects (5) 80:25;89:14;101:12; 161:25;269:19 assess (2) 41:1:223:23 assessment (1) 59:12 assist (1) 19:7 Associated (6) 50:10,12;51:4;65:15; 185:23;231:15 Association (11) 8:3;9:20;59:6;78:8; 193:3;219:9;225:10; 231:18;250:4;257:1;

287:12 assume (16) 30:14:87:14:88:9.9: 105:4,17;149:2;156:7; 168:2;170:24;178:11, 18,23;184:17;273:5; 276:21 assumed (2) 85:8;87:5 assumes (1) 227:2 assuming (11) 30:10;85:9;87:10,19; 92:21;94:24;100:5; 189:9;195:24;242:3; 247:25 assumption (9) 29:12;30:7;85:4; 87:21;91:3;179:17; 191:2,2;237:2 assumptions (10) 88:11;171:2;272:16, 25;273:2,21,23,25; 276:22.22 assure (1) 203:23 asthma (1) 114:8 Atlantic (2) 42:9;43:10 attached (1) 190:21 attachment (1) 200:23 attachments (5) 10:20,21,25;11:2; 200:2 attack (1) 248:2 attainment (2) 263:16;287:8 attempt (5) 63:15;82:7;94:4; 114:22;116:7 attempted (1) 169:6 attempting (2) 61:23;82:16 attempts (3) 24:18;56:9;82:8 attendant (2) 248:1.1 attention (2) 126:21;290:11 Attorney (2) 238:9;281:10 attributed (1) 47:15 August (3) 34:23;66:25;100:24 authoritative (1) 68:22 authorities (1)

269:2 authority (5) 268:11,19,22; 269:18:270:9 authorized (1) 57:18 auto (1) 53:3 automatically (1) 214:7 automobile (2) 5:6:198:22 availability (4) 198:20;201:19; 203:4;207:14 available (32) 11:11,21;109:22; 142:24;164:7;170:9; 173:5;174:11,24; 180:3;183:19,22; 186:15;207:16;208:20, 24;209:6,17,20,22; 214:19,21;216:2; 219:1,2,3;220:6,10,12; 290:22;292:13;294:24 Avenue (6) 142:14;221:13; 227:24;228:3;251:19; 279:9 Average (20) 16:23:18:10.11; 22:17.20:25:8:27:5.5: 43:14;45:24;54:22,24; 74:1.6.15:75:18:81:16. 18,20;246:15 averaged (1) 73:21 averted (1) 259:20 avoid (3) 249:8,9;290:23 aware (11) 11:12;116:14; 129:17;134:10;135:1, 3,12;170:11,18; 207:16;261:13 away (17) 25:5;35:15,16;67:13; 138:8;167:16;171:13, 22;176:18;177:8; 188:1;237:22;238:25; 242:7;276:8;279:14; 290:3 B **B-2**(2) 28:2,19 baby (2) 37:3;123:10 back (66) 7:12,13;8:18;15:10; 25:19;26:4;31:1,2;

39:3:48:9.23.25:55:16; 65:3:68:24:72:6:79:20: 80:10:83:18:85:13; 87:2,5;90:5;92:10; 93:15;94:8;97:24; 98:21;99:9;105:22; 107:18;108:18;114:17; 115:3;119:7;120:15; 127:23;140:19;145:21; 148:11:149:3.7.13; 154:1;175:6;177:7,16; 195:24:196:8:200:17: 211:8;214:1,5;218:24; 221:4,7;225:17; 229:24;241:4;243:15; 246:3;258:1;259:9; 263:22;274:4;294:11 back- (1) 135:9 backed (2) 119:8,9 background (8) 44:2;223:11,23; 224:16;226:24;264:21, 21:275:4 backgrounds (1) 271:12 backing (2) 144:5;175:24 backup (2) 9:5:116:2 backwards (1) 100:16 bad (10) 31:13;119:18;128:9; 230:25;235:1;236:9, 12;240:6;242:9;261:8 bag (1) 125:4 bags (1) 225:22 balance (2) 52:15;86:14 balancing (3) 152:7;162:22;217:1 **Baltimore** (3) 66:18,25;206:10 banks (1) 230:4 bar (1) 234:12 barrels (2) 26:22,25 barriers (1) 125:12 base (2) 74:21;125:22 **based** (21) 13:20;52:22;55:19; 59:23:65:25:68:2: 81:14;82:17;86:5; 95:18:96:1.5:103:4: 104:11;167:8;208:11;

211:8;212:25;213:16; bell ( 244:23:273:5 baseline (3) 18:2;25:19;27:20 below bases (2) 96:9,23 basic (4) 27:14:56:11:110:15; 287:18 Belt ( basically (4) 55:13;81:14;260:10; Belts 289:10 basing (1) 274:3 basis (13) 23:4;50:12;82:10; 109:23;134:22;152:2; **Beltw** 164:1,2,2;183:16; 189:3;214:20;272:12 benef battery (3) 234:3,7;247:4 bay (2) 188:25;194:21 **bays** (2) 187:12;189:1 Beach (1) 228:23 Bear (4) benef 176:9,13;196:25; 260:7benef bears (2) 260:8.8 beast (1) 261:6 become (5) 16:25;49:13;167:25; 198:9:242:6 benef becomes (3) 23:12;30:19;126:17 Bedford (1) Berra 256:23 beds (2) besid 212:17,21 began (1) best ( 120:11 beginning (4) Bethe 53:20;150:13; 263:22;265:10 begins (6) better 20:13;23:11;24:15; 55:22;66:20;80:24 beyor begun (1) 5:12 behalf (3) 5:17;6:2;9:19 big (2 behaving (1) 37:9 behavior (5) 37:8,9;54:14;141:22; 225:5 behind (2) 228:22;259:25 belief (1) bigge

HOLESITEE COM OF	
185:11	105:14;229
ell (1)	18;246:1,1
276:12	biggest (1)
elow (13)	100:3
20:16;25:18;26:23;	bike (3)
40:6;41:23;45:18,24;	123:11,11,
49:11;67:10;69:10;	billion (5)
74:18;80:19;227:3	62:17;64:2
elt (1)	253:6;259:
256:21	Birch (1)
eltsville (22)	251:19
119:24;120:2,4,6;	bit (15)
123:16;141:24;142:2;	35:9,14;69
174:8,10,13;185:3;	149:13;176
208:8,13;209:2,5,17;	243:5;253: 254:10;258
216:7,11,18;218:25; 219:5;263:12	234.10,238
eltway (1)	bizarre (1)
155:1	133:20
enefit (26)	blackout (1)
48:7;97:24;98:5,7;	234:7
110:2;150:21;151:18,	blah (3)
18,19,22;152:4,7;	213:25,25,
158:12;160:12;161:10,	blame (1)
14;162:10;164:11;	283:10
165:18;179:14;180:4,	blasted (1)
10;183:15,17,17;217:1	248:6
enefited (1)	blinking (1)
99:16	99:10
enefits (20)	blockage (1)
48:6;57:15;59:4;	238:11
60:11;66:14;99:3; 151:14;162:22;163:3,	<b>blocked (1)</b> 237:12
5,11;164:9,12,14;	blocking (2)
179:22;180:18,23;	238:11;239
184:24;194:12;218:13	blocks (1)
enefitting (5)	240:1
106:10,13;151:20,	blow (2)
25;194:12	237:9;238:
erra (3)	BMT (1)
176:4,9,10	65:8
esides (3)	Board (31)
94:12;182:25;212:10	5:3,17,20;7
est (4)	17;14:1,24
90:23;95:18;96:12, 20	59:17;61:6 132:11;133
ethesda (3)	198:16;200
98:19;226:19,20	205:14;212
etter (3)	244:7,19;2
246:15;247:3;287:20	260:22;269
eyond (9)	282:5;289:
153:16;171:18;	boards (1)
196:17;218:3;219:12,	276:1
19;220:1,8;252:14	Board's (2)
ig (23)	13:18,23
46:15;73:24;106:20;	bodies (2)
128:4;145:12;156:12;	253:14;281
160:8;169:25;228:6;	<b>body (1)</b> 279:24
239:9;241:13;256:24; 263:17;271:20;278:12;	bolded (1)
280:6,6,7;282:7,13;	21:3
287:11;289:17;290:2	bolt (1)
igger (7)	228:3
00 \'/	

9:9:241:7. bomb (1) 0:247:22 .11 25:65:17; :21 0:7:70:24: 6:3;225:12; :7,20; 8:14;276:19; :2 .25 9:14 :25 7:23:13:6. ;15:5,18; 5;126:3; 3:2;154:11; 0:15;201:14;2:3;214:9; 258:3,4; 9:1;281:22; :22 1:23

237:18 bombs (1) 237:23 book (2) 262:4,5 **boom** (2) 55:14:67:1 boomers (1) 37:3 borderline (2) 271:6:283:8 borrowing (1) 267:19 borrows (1) 267:19 both (18) 69:19;71:12;113:1; 143:2;144:4;150:7; 163:23;200:3;220:14, 17:254:24:263:7: 274:14;277:19;281:23; 283:16;285:14;292:23 bothers (2) 241:2,3 bottle (1) 125:5 bottom (20) 20:23;21:25;22:16; 23:1:27:23:33:17:61:5: 62:14:64:19.19:67:21; 86:12:89:15.17.23.24: 90:4,6;111:10;126:9 bought (1) 23:9 **Boulevard** (2) 116:10,23 boundaries (2) 154:16;220:9 Bowie (1) 219:3 box (2) 89:6;200:12 boxes (1) 200:13 Boyds (5) 91:21;121:3,5,9; 237:25 bracket (2) 70:6,10 bracketed (5) 201:13.13.21: 203:17;208:14 brand (1) 125:3 **Brandywine** (3) 205:22;206:2,24 Brann (8) 5:23,23;126:5,6; 236:6,7,7,12 bread (1) 125:5 break (18)

38:23:39:5:70:14: 86:19.20:112:19.20.21. 24;113:2,2,14;147:7; 148:11;149:12;171:11; 173:10:221:3 breakdown (3) 86:17,25;87:1 Breaking (1) 92:18 breathing (1) 122:3 Brewer (1) 5:25 Breysse (1) 261:10 brief (5) 13:2;39:7;204:21; 221:3,5 briefly (1) 205:20 bright (1) 227:25 bring (16) 17:7;47:23,24;90:11; 103:4;105:24;111:12; 112:10;118:19;167:17; 198:5;242:12;254:3; 262:6;282:11;290:11 bringing (3) 90:13:106:2:166:18 broad (1) 268:1 broader (11) 78:2;79:22;92:11; 106:21;108:12,22; 153:17,20;207:22; 218:7:250:5 broke (4) 111:10,15;112:1,16 **Brooklyn** (2) 113:6;226:7 brothers (6) 236:21,22,23,24; 248:5,9 brought (7) 105:25;171:23; 229:21;234:23;242:13; 253:10;289:12 **BTU (4)** 21:7,8;26:21;29:5 BTUs (2) 20:10:26:7 Buffalo (1) 228:7 buffer (1) 279:8 build (9) 105:23;107:5,6; 108:25;116:14;168:12; 268:21:270:15.15 building (6) 5:16;124:18;225:18; 226:6;230:6;267:23

buildings (3)	buyers (1)	58:25;66:10;67:12;	286:9	270:10,11;271:9;
79:20;226:13,15	34:6	72:4,19;74:6;81:19;	cards (1)	272:11,24;275:7,22;
built (13)	buying (9)	90:5,21;91:7,16;92:9,	142:15	281:15;283:13;284:20,
107:4,10;122:9;	31:6;37:6;97:21;	9;95:18;96:1,12;97:19;	care (3)	22;285:18;286:18;
125:21;181:17;229:10;	100:19;102:17;158:21;	106:5;109:8;113:2,7;	48:15;230:7;257:7	287:3,11;292:11,17,18
230:2;232:21,23;	176:5;184:1,7	115:18;116:22;121:11;	career (1)	cases (21)
246:19;247:2,3;284:13	byproducts (1)	123:7,21;125:4,24;	253:15	36:2;54:18;78:11;
bulletin (2)	179:7	126:13,13;135:15;	carefully (1)	151:21;152:6,21;
258:3,4	173.7	136:24;138:6;145:6,	281:23	153:9,10;170:5,18,20,
bunch (2)	С	11;153:13;156:11;	carriages (1)	21,22;205:6,12;211:5;
237:22;241:3	C	157:9,10;158:3,4;	123:10	21,22,205.0,12,211.5, 213:15;266:7;268:12;
burden (12)	C-2 (2)	159:12,12;161:18;	Carrier (2)	273:15;292:16
96:2;151:15,19,22;	5:10;29:19	162:9;163:1;164:1,2;	205:7,14	$\cosh(1)$
160:10,20,21,22;161:8;	cab (1)	165:18;171:7;173:22,	Carrollton (1) 219:2	142:15 catch (1)
190:7,8;217:1	213:8	23;174:3,4;177:14;		
burdened (1)	cabs (1)	179:21;180:4,22;	carry (3)	237:8
151:20	213:5	181:9;182:16;189:6;	189:4;225:23;281:12	categories (3)
burdens (11)	CAFE (11)	190:5,15,16;212:19,24;	cars (61)	85:18,25;162:18
152:7;161:13;	16:23;17:9,15;18:9,	213:5,7;217:4;218:12;	18:12;20:2;21:13,15,	categorized (1)
162:22,24,25;163:2,5,	20;19:19,25;22:9,12;	220:8,14,19;222:14;	16;22:15;23:7,8,8,8;	261:7
11;164:3,12,14	27:22;39:17	224:23;225:23;226:21,	25:5;27:5;29:13;31:6,	category (2)
Bureau (1)	calculate (2)	21;227:21;228:8,11;	15;34:19;48:23;73:16;	88:20;207:22
68:19	82:10;156:19	229:7,19;230:22;	74:3,7,8,14,20;75:14,	cause (13)
bureaucrats (1)	calculated (2)	231:19,20;232:25;	17;76:15,16,18;86:7;	48:10;176:1;186:24;
289:18	71:12;208:14	240:15;242:4,5,6;	88:22;103:13;116:12,	227:21;231:20;234:15;
burn (1)	calculates (1)	243:14;246:6;248:4,	24;118:19,25;119:15,	238:8;246:20;265:18,
237:14	87:13	20;249:23;250:5,16;	16,21;120:12;121:18,	20;267:25;275:17;
burning (1)	calculating (1)	254:15;257:11;258:21;	21;122:12;123:3;	287:25
120:12	208:12	260:1;262:5;264:5;	127:15,19,20;128:2,2,	caused (2)
bury (1)	calculation (5)	268:17,17;270:8;	10;133:25;135:10;	185:3;231:20
282:22	75:11;80:24;84:21;	271:3;273:19;278:6;	142:3,16;144:2,4,4;	causes (6)
bus (1)	101:16;157:7	280:22;282:14;283:5,	156:13;225:21;228:1,	143:18;180:17;
125:22	calculations (1)	24;284:5,17;287:14;	22,24	221:22;257:12;275:16;
Bush (1)	107:20	290:22;292:21,24,24;	car's (1)	282:19
285:1	call (12)	293:13;294:2,3,6,7,12,	99:10	causing (2)
business (37)	18:2;54:16;58:3;	13	cartoons (1)	135:22;184:24
48:5;98:11,16;99:2,	69:20;77:17;85:19;	cancelling (1)	144:6	cautious (1)
12;114:22,23;124:17;	93:3;106:20;114:5;	8:10	CASAC (9)	41:4
125:10;156:11;179:16,	221:3;228:2;241:24	cannibalizing (1)	258:10,17,19,19;	caveats (1)
21;180:5,25;181:10,	called (13)	98:25	259:6,9,10;260:5;	286:21
13;182:5,11;184:24;	31:21;56:7;69:15;	capacity (7)	263:8	cell (1)
185:4,10,13,17,19,21;	75:24;78:16;88:15;	26:8;91:1;160:2,6;	case (101)	76:24
186:1,4,6,25;188:22;	93:3;125:16;134:14;	224:24;227:5,6	5:21;11:16;14:8,12,	Census (5)
190:12;211:13,16;	224:5;233:18;243:20;	capita (6)	16;16:4,12;18:2,3,7;	68:19;69:25;70:10;
213:7;252:22;271:20;	287:12	43:22;44:7;45:13;	19:22;20:23;21:5;	71:1,4
284:23	calling (3)	56:18;62:19;196:6	24:11;26:12;27:1,14,	Center (4)
businesses (3)	78:9;222:20,23	Capital (3)	20;28:3,22;29:8,14;	52:13,24;196:8;
182:7,14;253:24	calls (1)	221:13;226:9;227:14	30:5,8;42:6;59:25;	230:1
button (1)	76:25	capture (13)	68:4;79:20,24;80:3,10;	centers (1)
241:24	came (12)	77:1,3,6;85:19;86:4,	83:15;97:5,10,19;	169:25
buy (44)	19:17;61:13;63:24;	6,8;92:22,25;93:5,21;	120:9;150:7,24;151:2,	centric (1)
91:15;93:10;95:1;	64:10;80:2;92:4;	94:25;100:4	8,24;153:16,16;	254:5
97:7;98:4;99:8;100:9,	150:14;167:8;209:11;	captured (2)	154:18;159:4,7;163:3;	cents (3)
18;102:23;106:9;		86:13;89:3	164:10;168:18,21,24;	98:5;165:21;183:2
	274:4;284:25;285:2	2		
125:4;150:11;156:8,	cameras (2)	car (16)	169:2,16,19;170:11;	certain (20)
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11,	<b>cameras (2)</b> 116:2;250:16	<b>car (16)</b> 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9;	171:7;179:19;192:8,	36:1;37:8;49:8;
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23,	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1)	<b>car (16)</b> 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12;	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9;	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12;
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23, 25;171:1,2;173:13,23,	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1) 247:12	<b>car (16)</b> 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12; 119:7;123:6;126:19;	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9; 201:15;205:22;206:5,	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12; 162:24;169:6,7;
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23, 25;171:1,2;173:13,23, 24;174:7,16,22;175:3;	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1) 247:12 can (146)	<b>car (16)</b> 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12; 119:7;123:6;126:19; 136:21;140:19;229:4;	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9; 201:15;205:22;206:5, 25;207:6;211:2,12;	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12; 162:24;169:6,7; 170:13;171:12;185:7;
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23, 25;171:1,2;173:13,23, 24;174:7,16,22;175:3; 176:7;177:22;178:14;	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1) 247:12 can (146) 7:12;10:6;15:21;	<b>car (16)</b> 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12; 119:7;123:6;126:19; 136:21;140:19;229:4; 231:24;237:21;238:15	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9; 201:15;205:22;206:5, 25;207:6;211:2,12; 212:1;214:6;228:20;	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12; 162:24;169:6,7; 170:13;171:12;185:7; 186:25;224:2;249:7;
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23, 25;171:1,2;173:13,23, 24;174:7,16,22;175:3; 176:7;177:22;178:14; 182:17;187:12;189:6;	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1) 247:12 can (146) 7:12;10:6;15:21; 24:18,18;30:14;31:17;	car (16) 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12; 119:7;123:6;126:19; 136:21;140:19;229:4; 231:24;237:21;238:15 Carbide (1)	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9; 201:15;205:22;206:5, 25;207:6;211:2,12; 212:1;214:6;228:20; 257:18;260:7,9,14,14;	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12; 162:24;169:6,7; 170:13;171:12;185:7; 186:25;224:2;249:7; 260:6;267:22;276:21;
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23, 25;171:1,2;173:13,23, 24;174:7,16,22;175:3; 176:7;177:22;178:14; 182:17;187:12;189:6; 191:3;194:8;210:1;	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1) 247:12 can (146) 7:12;10:6;15:21; 24:18,18;30:14;31:17; 36:2,18;38:15,20;40:6,	car (16) 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12; 119:7;123:6;126:19; 136:21;140:19;229:4; 231:24;237:21;238:15 Carbide (1) 253:12	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9; 201:15;205:22;206:5, 25;207:6;211:2,12; 212:1;214:6;228:20; 257:18;260:7,9,14,14; 261:14;265:21;266:17;	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12; 162:24;169:6,7; 170:13;171:12;185:7; 186:25;224:2;249:7; 260:6;267:22;276:21; 286:7
125:4;150:11;156:8, 12,13,17;159:2;165:11, 14,16,23;166:12,22,23, 25;171:1,2;173:13,23, 24;174:7,16,22;175:3; 176:7;177:22;178:14; 182:17;187:12;189:6;	cameras (2) 116:2;250:16 Cameron (1) 247:12 can (146) 7:12;10:6;15:21; 24:18,18;30:14;31:17;	car (16) 17:2;34:6;50:8;68:9; 74:5;114:17;118:12; 119:7;123:6;126:19; 136:21;140:19;229:4; 231:24;237:21;238:15 Carbide (1)	171:7;179:19;192:8, 19;198:17;199:9; 201:15;205:22;206:5, 25;207:6;211:2,12; 212:1;214:6;228:20; 257:18;260:7,9,14,14;	36:1;37:8;49:8; 84:21;153:9,9;161:12; 162:24;169:6,7; 170:13;171:12;185:7; 186:25;224:2;249:7; 260:6;267:22;276:21;

FEITION OF COSICO	WHOLESALE
15:5;36:14;47:9;	Chase (4)
52:5;78:13;79:15;	258:13;282:2:
	,
96:18;110:17;112:3;	286:16,17
163:2;166:15;170:23;	cheap (4)
173:22;174:10,13;	120:8,13;183:
177:6,20;179:19,20;	cheaper (3)
181:2,12;182:17;	182:15,17,23
184:3;185:15,16;	cheapest (1)
186:17;187:2,25;	183:21
205:18;208:23;209:23;	cheaply (1)
210:13,20;212:13;	182:21
214:16;215:8;218:13;	chemicals (1)
219:4,6;240:17;279:19	271:6
certainty (1)	cherry (1)
271:15	153:8
certificate (1)	chest (1)
212:17	120:11
cetera (3)	chicken (1)
230:4,4;245:4	110:20
Chair (1)	chief (1)
205:7	255:4
challenge (3)	chiefs (1)
36:9;290:10,12	228:14
challenging (1)	child (1)
289:11	114:3
chance (2)	children (7)
54:1;216:16	114:6,9;122:6
change (21)	123:13;140:19
16:20;23:25;29:20;	278:12
37:10;100:4;116:2;	Chinese (1)
123:1;203:2;205:6,15;	107:23
206:24;211:8;214:17;	choice (1)
257:9;259:15,16;	243:17
260:20;261:12,19;	choices (1)
263:2,3	139:10
changed (10)	choose (2)
16:21;26:20,22;	95:24;108:3
199:19;234:11;260:20;	chose (4)
261:12;263:9;276:22;	278:16,19,20;
281:19	chronological (
changes (17)	87:23,24
18:3;23:5;25:9;	circled (1)
31:17;43:21,22;44:7;	116:20
47:16;53:23,25;54:15;	circles (1)
55:19;181:23;253:7;	221:24
259:22;261:18,24	Circuit (4)
changing (10)	13:12,14,19;2
44:22;124:2;260:17,	circumstance (1
19;261:16;262:1;	230:23
265:8,8;277:1,2	circumstances (
characteristics (2)	229:6;231:9,1
231:5,14	267:22
charcoal (1)	citation $(1)$
255:9	14:7
charge (1)	cities (4)
276:24	256:13,20,21;
chart (11)	citizens (2)
18:19;20:20;22:1;	7:7;230:7
31:7;46:8,11;62:21,24;	citizens' (1)
73:10,16;74:3	251:6
charts (6)	City (4)
20:22;27:11,12,13;	121:8;196:8;2
44:7;45:9	238:25
	1

Civic (6) 282:25: 8:3;9:20;59:6;78:8; 219:8:250:4 clarification (1) 3:183:15.19 119:14 clarified (1) 207:10 clarify (3) 108:2;220:5;293:1 Claritas (11) 81:6,8;92:16;93:12; 94:22;107:19;108:18, 21;169:14;190:23; 192:1 classified (1) 225:2 clause (1) 198:7 clean (17) 213:8;241:11; 252:20,21,24,25,25; 253:1;258:10;259:11, 13;263:19,20,23; 284:24;285:4,7 clear (11) 51:19;65:13;179:21; ;122:6; 203:19;250:14;263:23; 140:19;141:18; 264:22;265:9;267:2; 274:24;294:20 clearly (17) 37:24:80:23:91:7; 92:21:104:21.24: 109:23;110:3;180:19; 203:1,15;204:2;206:6; 207:6;216:10;220:8; 282:9 clever (1) 271:22 19.20:281:15 close (13) zical (2) 187:17,20;189:14, 15;190:7;217:17,21; 218:5;229:13;270:19, 19;278:12;282:13 closed (5) 160:23;186:9,13; 189:8;256:19 4,19;212:1 closely (1) nce (1) 77:16 closer (5) ances (4) 121:4,4;157:15; 31:9,12; 208:13:224:22 closest (5) 116:8;138:22; 189:23;218:10;230:9 closing (3) 20,21;282:10 179:15;217:8;257:5 clouded (1) 285:6 clouds (1) 205:4 Cloverly (2) 96:8:225:16; 13:5.5 **Club (8)** 

114:10;116:6;119:1; 121:23,25;122:3; 129:7;285:18 CO<sub>2</sub>(1) 65:23 coal (1) 253:3 coalition (9) 6:6,10;197:18; 222:22,23;240:14,23; 249:3,6 coalition's (1) 239:18 Coast (1) 230:1 **COB** (1) 5:16 code (7) 161:23;162:17,21; 163:15,17,17;168:5 codes (1) 208:13 coffee (1) 187:12 cog (1) 268:25 cogitating (1) 210:23 coincident (2) 185:9.15 Cole (5) 11:8:261:10:268:5: 272:13:276:19 Columbia (2) 91:22;237:24 column (3) 29:16;107:24,25 combination (3) 27:7;152:5;281:10 coming (39) 28:16;32:24;33:17; 37:7:47:23:50:16:51:1; 85:18;87:15;88:18; 90:11;94:12;97:21; 101:23;118:21,22; 119:15;121:9;127:15; 129:4,5;134:3;144:4; 155:10,13;169:24; 170:25;171:2;177:12; 179:5;210:16;212:12; 218:9:227:2,16; 236:19;248:15;260:16, 16 commence (1) 129:18 commenced (1) 130:16 commended (2) 241:15;242:14 comment (1) 216:22 comments (1) 113:25

commercial (4) 5:11;79:18;212:4; 214:12 **Commission** (2) 279:20,21 committed (1) 290:7 committee (4) 203:21,21;224:20; 258:11 common (2) 207:1:275:24 communities (1) 226:10 community (11) 107:8;121:2,7,8; 129:3;184:9;209:10; 222:7;224:20;243:16; 283:18 commuter (1) 169:22 commuters (1) 170:1 companies (5) 185:23;187:23; 253:3,3;278:6 company (2) 255:15,18 comparable (1) 74:11 comparative (3) 67:20:68:12:216:16 compared (9) 42:9;54:23;56:1; 58:19;65:18;77:8,9; 160:9;167:14 compatibility (3) 160:23;161:6;210:11 compete (3) 151:11;187:25;215:6 competing (3) 180:17;189:5;219:11 competition (24) 150:16;179:8,10,12; 180:7,13,22;184:19,22; 187:17;190:8,14; 211:3,7;212:6,8,9,10, 19;213:2,4,11;214:4; 218:14 competitor (1) 214:7 competitors (1) 212:13 compiled (1) 68:1 complain (1) 243:15 complete (1) 294:18 completed (2) 205:17;227:1 completely (1) 165:22

complex (2) 269:20:278:2 compliance (3) 13:21;244:23;263:15 compliant (1) 233:11 complicated (1) 253:16 complied (1) 227:10 comply (1) 264:2 components (4) 23:13;81:4,10; 249:18 compounds (1) 254:14 compromise (2) 132:21;133:6 compromised (1) 179:16 conceded (1) 49:6 concentrate (2) 112:14;167:11 concentrated (3) 110:24;119:15;218:7 concentrating (2) 17:21;124:12 concern (14) 125:11:127:12,19, 21:150:17:180:19: 186:24:211:2,9; 212:19:249:1:254:22: 278:12:291:16 concerned (15) 123:22;127:14; 135:7:151:12:187:2: 188:9,11,12,14;189:5, 14:242:17:254:17; 272:1;280:13 concerns (16) 124:24;125:25; 127:9;146:21;151:12; 161:6;179:7;211:20, 21;212:11;232:17; 244:16;265:20;283:17, 18,20 concession (1) 49:23 conclude (4) 51:5;158:22;278:18, 22 concluded (1) 295:14 conclusion (5) 47:20;206:21;214:8; 217:16;260:23 conclusions (7) 50:16,19:54:20; 56:12:141:22:276:15: 281:15 conclusive (1)

261:5 condition (4) 126:2;131:20,23; 284:8conditional (1) 233:19 conditions (8) 56:10:143:6:188:4; 230:20;231:8;233:6, 21:284:7 conduct (1) 13:17 conducted (2) 5:17;52:24 **Conference** (1) 257:1 confirm (1) 290:24 conflict (4) 135:7,9,22;255:8 conformity (1) 63:25 confused (2) 253:20;258:15 confuses (1) 80:21 confusion (2) 127:4,6 congested (7) 116:5;128:1;134:1; 146:17:176:1.17; 282:11 congestion (9) 119:15:127:9.11.13. 14;144:22;146:21; 176:25;177:24 Congress (2) 256:4:285:10 conjunction (3) 164:2:268:18:277:10 **Connecticut (6)** 142:14:227:3,4,7; 237:21,24 connection (3) 128:21;253:15; 281:18 consensus (1) 47:22 consequences (4) 256:15;264:3; 279:11:282:17 conservative (13) 49:10:56:5;83:1; 84:11;102:18;104:11; 265:23,23;266:8,10; 268:7,8;273:2 consider (27) 51:4:75:13:106:16, 24;107:15;109:18,20, 20,21,21;160:21; 161:5;163:22;171:22; 190:17;203:15,16; 207:21;208:1;209:19;

210:9;219:7;277:23; 281:17.18:287:15.15 considerable (1) 36:5 considerably (1) 96:24 consideration (2) 50:13:246:19 considerations (2) 24:23:97:6 considered (5) 14:22;204:3;210:19; 269:8;271:6 considering (9) 96:25;97:1;198:20; 201:19;203:4;207:14; 219:22;260:2;281:8 consistent (4) 13:23;64:20;108:1; 170:4 consistently (2) 66:13;274:13 consists (1) 156:17 consolidation (1) 98:18 constantly (1) 260:16 constitutes (1) 153:8 constitutionality (1) 150:14 constitutionally (1) 263:22 construct (1) 5:6 constructed (3) 131:25;132:17;133:5 construction (2) 181:24;212:3 consumer (3) 24:19:34:17:225:5 consumption (21) 20:7,25;21:2;22:4; 26:24;27:7,8;29:22,23; 30:1,15;35:4,18;36:10; 37:10;47:15,23;83:6; 195:9,20;198:2 contained (2) 199:7;213:17 contaminate (1) 231:22 contemplating (1) 113:9 contends (1) 272:9 contention (4) 175:14:191:8; 272:10,23 contested (5) 38:11;274:8,9,21; 275:1 context (5)

281:2;284:6;286:3. 12.14 continually (1) 124:7 continue (13) 19:6;22:14;23:6,7,9; 25:10;47:10,24;50:9; 66:10;98:13;210:2; 292:22 continued (2) 16:6;63:6 continues (5) 20:11;21:8;55:23,23; 187:3 continuing (2) 20:9;56:15 continuous (1) 292:11 continuum (2) 214:14;215:12 contract (2) 125:17.18 contraction (1) 45:23 contrary (3) 35:22;36:21;214:7 contrasted (1) 206:10 contributed (1) 269:9 contributes (1) 27:7contribution (1) 124:13control (2) 126:15;132:11 controlled (1) 126:11 controller (1) 114:2 controls (1) 270:17 controverted (1) 37:21 convenience (29) 68:23,25;69:8;92:19; 97:5;186:12,18; 190:25;191:5,21; 192:4,5;193:3,16,22; 194:1,2;200:22,25; 201:17;202:15,19; 204:4;205:23,24; 206:5,17;211:5;213:23 convenient (18) 136:17;158:20,22, 24,25;165:3;172:23; 174:20,22;175:2,11,16; 176:6;177:21;178:15; 179:18;199:2;207:10 converging (1) 51:3 conversely (1) 152:3

convince (2) 177:20:196:8 **Cooperative (3)** 60:13.25:61:15 coordinate (2) 12:6:249:15 coordinator (1) 224:24 cop(2)229:16,17 copies (2) 32:10:54:3 copy (2) 56:21:199:13 Cordry (560) 7:14;8:7;9:6,8,11,13; 12:17,18,23;13:1;14:6, 9,12;15:1,4,9,14,20; 16:1,10;17:6,13;18:6, 10,14,23,25;19:6,12; 20:21:21:4,15,20,23, 25;22:6,12,22,24;23:1, 11,20,23;24:4,6,10,14; 25:7:26:2.11.17.20: 27:16,19;28:6,9,11,13, 15,19;29:1,4,7,19;30:3, 13,18;31:4,11,22,25; 32:3,8,13,18,22,24; 33:2,7,9,12,15,22,25; 34:4,15:35:2,7,9,24: 36:24:37:14,19,25; 38:2.12.14.18:39:2.23: 40:2,12,15,23,25;41:3, 7,12,15,21;42:1,5,13, 18,20,23;43:7,13,19, 25;44:6,11,15,17,20, 24;45:11,15;46:12,16, 20,25;47:2,6,13,21; 48:17;49:4,7,17,19; 50:2,5,14,21,24;51:11; 52:10,22;53:7,14,16; 54:11:55:6,13:56:20, 24;57:2,5,9,18,20,24; 58:2,10,22;59:10,24; 60:2,4,17;61:2,5,8,13, 22;62:1,4,13,25;63:2,5, 10,20,22;64:6,16;65:9, 11,14;66:2,4,9,16,20; 67:2,6,20,24;68:1,11, 14,17;69:3,6,10,13,15, 25;70:2,5,9,15,17,19, 22;71:1,8,17,19,22; 72:3,10,13,15,19,22; 73:1,7,15,24;74:11; 75:4,7,19,21,25;76:2,4, 6,14,21,25;77:14,21, 24;78:3,6,20;79:15; 80:8,12,17;81:24;82:3, 6;83:2,7,9,24;84:1,3, 13,15,17,19,25;85:7, 21,23;86:4,19;87:24; 88:3:89:7.9.20.22.25; 90:2,6,24;91:7,10,14;

TETHON OF COSICO	WHOLESALE CORI O	KAHON		
	1			
92:12,15,18,21;93:19,	168:18;194:25	208:1,18,18;209:1,5;	71:9;83:11;101:3;	172:14;173:7;179:13
22,25;94:3;95:4,8,17,	Corners (2)	210:1,19;215:19;	109:4;114:24;163:16;	cross (15)
22,25;96:12,16,19,23;	71:11;154:24	216:3,5,17;217:2,8,10,	169:11;174:4;178:4;	116:7,11,25;118:1,8;
97:9,15,18;98:23;	Corporate (5)	13;218:4,10,11,25;	205:21;206:25;212:11;	127:24;128:2,15;
99:15,19,21;100:9,13,	16:23;18:10,11;23:6;	219:1,16;220:10,13;	214:22;247:24;260:2,	129:2;130:10;138:2,
16,22;101:6,21,25;	216:9	225:17;226:3;227:2,9,	24;273:1;285:14;	18;143:21;144:3;
102:8,10,24;103:8,10,	<b>Corporation (3)</b>	10,15;231:23;241:8,	289:24	149:18
19,22,25;104:3,7,9,15,	5:3;224:25;229:21	15;242:7,13;246:14;	courses (3)	cross- (2)
19,21;105:13,20;	corrected (1)	249:2,2;254:17;	252:12,13,13	133:9;149:15
106:23;107:3,11,16;	282:2	257:10;279:1;282:9,13	Court (17)	cross-cut (1)
108:8,14,18;109:1,5,	corrections (1)	Costcos (1)	13:3,8,12,13,14,15,	114:21
19;110:2,8,9,11,14;	252:2	121:4	19,21;14:4,16;15:6,16;	crossed (1)
111:17,19,21,24;112:2;	correctly (9)	Costco's (5)	80:5;151:12;212:1,2;	139:4
113:13;114:1;140:5;	146:15;172:21;	183:15;224:21;	214:6	Cross-examination (10)
149:16,22;150:2,6,24;	186:23;188:8;193:17;	234:9;244:13;246:15	courts (2)	112:18;113:10;
	202:2;204:15;227:8;	costs (1)	207:5;213:21	126:23,25;135:25;
151:1,6,17,23;152:9;				
154:4,8,24;155:6,10,	273:8	259:20	cover (1)	148:16;149:21;248:12;
15,19;156:1,5,10,22;	correlated (1)	Council (19)	91:8	293:21;295:5
157:2,9,17;158:2,16,	45:16	198:17;199:19,25;	covered (1)	cross-examine (2)
24;159:14,17,21,25;	correlation (2)	200:15,19;201:15;	79:12	197:18;292:21
160:7;161:1,4,9;162:3,	47:3,8	202:9,10;203:20;	covering (2)	crossing (4)
5,7,12,16,23;163:8,12,	correspond (1)	206:23;211:8;214:17;	22:18;249:18	116:12;127:22;
16;164:18,22;165:5,	84:6	225:17;256:25;264:10;	crackers (1)	136:7;141:11
16;166:2,4,10,14,23;	correspondence (2)	278:11;280:1,2;281:4	194:8	crosswalk (18)
167:2,9,22;168:2,7,19,	84:9,9	Council's (3)	crazy (1)	116:9,11,20;117:1,
22,25;169:5,11,18;	corresponding (1)	204:1;278:23;281:12	237:23	12,21;118:3,5;128:4,
170:3,8,14,19,23;	77:17	count (2)	cream (2)	22;130:1,6,8,23;
171:9,16;172:3,5,8,13,	cost (1)	90:11;161:25	125:5;137:20	131:11,14;136:24;
	259:19		·	139:10
17,25;173:9,14,19,21;		counted (2)	create (11)	
174:2,9,17,21;175:5,	Costco (188)	74:6;89:8	16:7;99:3;106:25;	crosswalks (8)
18,21;176:8,12,15;	5:3,23;6:2;8:11;	counting (6)	110:23;111:12;112:2,	116:22,24;127:24;
178:4,10,17;179:2,11;	11:12;39:16;48:7;	89:7,10;105:8;	15;124:16,22;179:22;	128:11;131:12;141:8,
180:16,24;181:19,25;	85:13;86:6,6,7;88:22,	160:21;161:4;241:14	231:9	11,20
182:3,8,11,13,16,22;	22;89:3,18;90:10,11;	countries (1)	created (3)	crossway (1)
183:7,14,23;184:1,6,	91:12;97:7;98:2,4,10;	252:14	31:14;90:14;106:2	127:13
10,15,21;185:5,14,20,	99:4,4,8,8,13,14,15,16;	country (2)	creates (5)	crowded (3)
24;186:2,8,16;187:2,	100:5,6;102:3,22;	196:9;230:2	110:25;124:23;	134:6;147:18;176:4
11,19;188:11,17,23;	103:5,13,18;104:13;	County (68)	161:12;184:23;231:4	crux (1)
189:11,16,24;190:22;	105:9;106:5,9,10,17;	14:18;59:14;68:5,18,	creating (7)	97:25
191:11,20;192:6,14,18,	107:12;109:24;117:20;	21;71:12,13,24;72:24;	112:10,13;166:20;	culture (2)
21,24;193:1,18,21,25;	118:8,21;119:4,22,25;	74:2,12,19,20,23;	211:13,14,18;231:7	44:22;47:17
194:7,14;195:5,11,14,	120:5,6,17,18,20;	75:12,14,18,22;76:7,8,	credentials (2)	<b>cup</b> (1)
22;196:4,16;197:2,10,	121:1,9;122:13,14,14,	10,11,17;77:6,8,9;	223:6;224:6	187:12
24;198:3,8,14,23;	17;123:9;124:12;	80:20;91:12;107:7;	credit (1)	curious (1)
			142:15	133:22
199:1,4,6,11;207:8,18;	125:4,11,25;126:17;	110:16,23;112:12;		
208:3,9,21,23;209:7,	132:7;135:13;136:18,	115:1,24;126:14,15;	credited (1)	current (10)
12,18,23;210:8,13,22;	19,21,23;138:7,16;	167:6;168:9,13;	36:19	20:16;25:19;31:17;
211:23,25;213:19;	142:1;143:20;147:15,	171:14,17,21,22;	Creek (2)	38:16;53:20;56:10;
214:3;215:25;216:3,	16;149:23,25;154:2;	199:10;200:15,19;	247:17,17	57:13;65:16;112:7;
15,19;217:25;218:2;	155:14,24,25;156:8;	206:1,4,10,12,13,23;	crisscross (3)	160:16
219:13,16;220:3,5,18;	157:25;158:3,14;	213:18;215:5;226:5;	119:17;121:20;177:6	currently (8)
221:1;238:9;250:11,	159:1,2;164:21,22;	227:10;228:17,18;	crisscrossing (1)	48:6;66:10;112:15;
14,21;251:1,4;262:5;	165:10,11,25;166:8,11,	249:9;257:5,6;278:11;	119:19	122:25;164:25;168:4;
273:9,17;286:19;	16,23;167:8,13,18,20,	279:5,7;281:23;282:7;	criteria (1)	208:19;209:16
293:19,23;294:15,21;	22;170:12,17;171:13;	283:11;284:1	210:11	curtail (3)
295:2	172:22;173:1,2,5,6,11,	County's (1)	critical (3)	179:10;180:22,23
Cordry's (3)	12,16,17,19,21;174:16,	211:4	35:10;82:22;268:11	curve (2)
8:17;148:16;153:15	22;175:3,16;176:20,21,	couple (8)	critically (1)	26:17;247:25
Core (6)	24;178:3,3,13,21;	7:21;28:2,20;34:24;	38:5	customers (11)
288:19;294:4,16,21,	182:18,20;183:5,8,21;	56:2;73:19;94:6;	Cronyn (4)	82:4;104:12,16,22;
21;295:2	184:13,18;186:24;	102:10	168:21,22;169:3;	107:14;109:7,25;
corner (6)				
20:6,23;128:6;137:9;	187:17,20;189:23; 191:19;197:4,10,25;	<b>course (23)</b> 19:16,16;23:7;24:16;	170:1 Cronyn's (3)	192:4;193:16;217:6; 219:4

customers' (3)	118:10,13,13,16,16;
86:7;88:22;103:13	143:7,7,8,13
cut (2)	deal (13)
38:9;59:5	17:17;34:17;41:12;
cutting (1)	54:13;90:20;158:7;
288:1	228:6;239:9;241:24;
	246:2;254:17;257:2;
D	268:14
<i>D</i>	dealing (8)
1	
damage (1)	54:12,13;66:23;
234:15	120:17;252:1,20,24;
danger (1)	259:2
118:18	dealt (3)
dangerous (3)	245:22;246:1;285:3
116:25;230:6;279:25	debatable (3)
dangers (2)	13:24;79:11;191:14
246:22,22	debate (1)
Danila (1)	280:5
247:12	debates (1)
dare (1)	280:20
238:15	decades (1)
darkest (1)	62:20 D
21:25	December (6)
dashed (1)	8:13,14;11:11,14;
20:19	12:3;14:18
data (10)	decent (1)
46:1;62:18;80:21;	278:4
81:14;82:9;85:12;	decide (2)
103:5;123:22,24;	13:25;272:11
227:12	decided (3)
date (4)	39:9;79:13;199:9
8:1,10;61:11,14	decision (24)
dated (2)	5:21;13:4,6,9,11,18,
15:17;34:3	18,20;14:9,13,24;
	15:17;203:2;212:1,2;
dates (10)	
5:13;8:12;11:22;	217:9;263:15,16,17;
12:3,6,7,13;32:14;	269:1;281:22;282:4,4
251:8;292:4	5
day (30)	decisions (3)
5:2;7:8;11:17;26:22,	14:21;213:21;281:5
25;82:14,19;87:12;	decline (16)
101:7,8,14;114:12;	16:18;20:9,11;21:8,
133:12;135:16,21;	20;26:1;27:7;36:10;
136:4;155:13;156:12;	40:8;47:15;48:10,14;
157:19;184:12,16;	55:24;56:8,16,19
188:5,25;189:3,3;	declined (5)
197:12;209:10,12;	25:15;43:8,9,10;
216:20;250:17	55:21
days (14)	declines (4)
82:12,13;100:11;	20:7,25;21:2;26:2
101:17;153:5;157:5;	declining (6)
	20:10;48:1;52:16,17,
188:7;242:12;253:3;	
257:21;290:24;292:6;	18;55:24
293:11,15	Decommissioned (1)
DC (6)	276:5
228:17;252:20;	decrease (3)
263:12;264:11;265:9;	36:4;39:11;43:11
275:4	decreased (2)
DC-9 (1)	53:21;55:1
230:2	decreases (1)
dead (2)	198:2
dead (2)	198:2

deemed (1) 7:25 deeper (1) 275:17 deeply (1) 122:3 defend (1) 218:12 defended (1) 241:16 define (3) 215:23;276:9;285:16 defined (10) 66:5;77:19,20;78:15, 17;108:11;173:20; 215:24;216:1;285:18 defines (3) 86:4;216:3;220:13 defining (1) 77:25 definitely (1) 194:23 definition (14) 24:1;78:4,8,13; 79:22;106:19;150:4; 154:6;155:3;222:14; 224:21,22;250:1,5 definitional (1) 219:21 definitions (4) 109:17:153:7; 192:10:219:11 degree (5) 25:2;36:4;176:25; 212:6;242:19 deleted (1) 2:4,4, 204:3 deleting (1) 200:24 deliberate (1) 203:1 deliberately (1) 112:10 delivery (6) 122:10,11,16,23; 124:12;135:21 demand (28) 12:20;16:7,13;25:24; 31:14;34:17;36:22; 38:3,3;39:11;81:12,13; 82:8;83:13,19,22; 87:20;88:4,15;89:17, 18;98:12;108:16,25; 169:8,14;181:8;218:6 demands (1) 171:5 demographic (3) 37:3,11;62:18 demographics (2) 44:22:47:16 demography (1) 24:19 demonstrate (2)

149:25;191:12 denial (2) 14:1:164:1 dense (4) 120:11;226:12; 230:8;236:18 densely (2) 226:4;246:20 denser (1) 226:13 deny (3) 184:20;212:3;217:10 denying (4) 8:2;9:21;180:14; 181:1 **Department** (2) 51:13;64:22 depend (1) 173:3 dependent (1) 37:1 depending (9) 71:10;75:9;103:16; 104:5;158:6,16;174:9; 235:15;269:14 depends (7) 94:11;113:6;121:14; 197:12;215:23;245:24; 286:12 deposit (1) 194:6 depositions (1) 11:8 derived (1) 101:1 derives (1) 87:18 deriving (1) 44:11 describe (2) 15:19;50:6 described (1) 143:6 describes (2) 57:16;60:10 describing (1) 57:11 description (2) 14:3;258:16 design (2) 167:4;182:2 designated (1) 124:19 designed (1) 214:10 desire (1) 212:8 despite (3) 27:9;62:22;290:8 destroyed (1) 147:10 destructive (1) 212:19

### Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

detach (1) 70:4 detail (5) 22:13;23:3;92:13; 240:1:277:1 detailed (1) 221:2 details (2) 24:7;186:17 deterioration (5) 285:3,12,16,22; 287:7 determination (5) 37:23;105:23; 107:19;154:20;272:13 determine (6) 56:9;82:16;83:13; 169:12;233:17;283:6 determined (1) 134:13 determines (1) 37:23 determining (3) 67:11;169:21;172:7 deterring (1) 150:16 detracts (1) 162:18 detriment (1) 255:14 detriments (1) 162:1 develop (3) 259:4;276:16;284:2 developer's (1) 226:5 developing (1) 252:14 development (12) 57:12,15:59:2,19; 60:7,12,18,23;114:3; 222:8;282:6;285:13 developments (3) 58:5,10,15 Diane (2) 247:12,19 **Dick's** (1) 147:16 diesel (4) 20:8;21:2;27:1; 143:2 difference (8) 18:19;40:18;64:11; 73:25;92:23;157:20; 160:1;168:10 differences (1) 230:13 different (50) 16:13;17:14,14,16; 31:19:37:1:54:23:73:8, 20;80:20;83:19;91:11; 97:23:100:19:108:3: 112:4;144:16;153:7;

158:13:159:7:160:3; 161:7:164:11:166:5: 169:8;171:2;174:25; 180:1;195:8,17,18; 196:7;200:12;206:1,1; 225:20;226:3;240:16, 16;243:2,14;248:24; 249:17,18,23;250:5; 251:2;263:20;273:11; 275:5 differential (1) 171:19 differentiating (1) 146:10 differently (2) 164:24;168:23 difficult (16) 115:6;116:5;119:2,8; 128:4;130:9;134:7; 138:18;147:14,18; 162:7,14;226:17; 254:4:256:15:290:1 difficulties (1) 118:9 difficulty (5) 118:18;132:7; 147:12;176:2;240:11 diffuse (1) 35:16 diffusion (1) 110:24 diminishing (1) 187:4 dioxide (1) 253:5 dire (1) 224:6 DIRECT (7) 15:25;30:1;77:17,21; 78:24;272:21;279:12 directed (1) 275:24 direction (5) 16:13;45:22;55:9; 117:3,5 directions (1) 144:4 directly (5) 24:17;148:5;235:13; 243:16;269:3 disability (1) 144:13 disagree (4) 30:22;151:5;213:13; 232:4 disappointed (1) 271:11 disaster (11) 221:20;223:19; 234:20;236:18;239:23; 241:6;243:2,11,20; 244:6.12 disasters (3)

225:3;226:16;243:13 discount (3) 182:18,23;183:10 discounted (1) 170:13 discreet (1) 240:16 discuss (12) 7:20;16:10;17:24; 18:6,15,18:22:12; 23:11;38:20;72:8; 79:16:239:25 discussed (2) 19:13;80:3 discusses (6) 23:2;27:3;55:2,15; 79:24;85:17 discussing (6) 17:21;43:20,21; 48:19;66:24;236:14 discussion (23) 13:2;16:4;24:15; 25:4;31:24;32:12; 34:16;50:7,10;52:15; 53:2;60:5;61:25;66:20; 85:16;111:4;148:9; 150:13;197:1;251:15; 263:24;280:6;293:16 discussions (2) 50:17:51:5 dishonest (1) 264:12 dispersion (1) 272:18 displaced (1) 150:19 disposition (4) 7:24,25;8:4;9:21 disputatious (1) 258:22 dispute (8) 97:22:155:17,23; 158:14;166:15;178:2, 4:193:20 disputing (1) 89:21 disqualifies (1) 214:7 disregarding (1) 13:16 disrespect (1) 275:7 disruptive (3) 257:11;259:14,15 distance (4) 53:17;143:24; 170:13;171:12 distinction (2) 167:7;168:15 distinctly (1) 47:25 distinguish (4) 78:10;168:8;211:5;

213:15 distinguished (1) 220:7 distinguishing (1) 169:1 distracted (1) 257:13 distributed (1) 25:25 District (6) 91:22;171:18; 198:17:201:15:212:1; 214:6 disturbing (2) 269:19;282:18 divide (4) 74:22;75:2;87:16; 101:6 divided (6) 74:24;76:7,8;81:25; 86:15:257:20 divides (1) 100:10 dividing (1) 87:21 docks (5) 122:23;136:4;177:6, 7:272:20 doctors (3) 253:4;282:21,22 document (13) 19:12:23:24:24:10: 38:16:42:8:68:19:69:4: 169:17:192:9.13.22: 193:13:244:22 documentation (2) 36:13:219:17 documents (6) 8:6,8;36:15;54:19; 62:5:275:22 dollars (1) 81:23 done (33) 16:22;25:7;27:12; 30:18;68:2;69:18;70:5; 77:14;83:16,19;94:17; 102:10;134:11;153:17, 19;168:23,24;189:9; 202:25;211:20;232:19, 19;238:4;243:7;244:4; 246:23;256:11;271:23; 283:25;284:1,5; 285:17;287:1 Donna (1) 6:19 dot (3) 45:22,22,22 dots (1) 46:6 dotted (1) 55:18 double (4) 89:7,8;160:21;161:4

double-edged (2) 217:24:278:15 doubt (3) 155:22;184:3;238:19 Doug (6) 43:24,25;44:5,9,13; 45:4 down (44) 17:6;19:23;24:25; 28:6,16:29:2,11,23; 30:10,22;35:12,12; 36:6:38:6:42:24:47:10, 23,24;49:21,22;56:14; 69:6;91:21;92:18; 98:13,13,24;105:2; 128:5;130:15;147:24; 152:24;154:25;157:20; 171:11;173:10;193:15; 222:5;228:23;237:14; 248:15;256:19;270:19; 276:15 downtown (3) 226:19,19,20 downturn (1) 53:21 dozen (3) 16:18;125:1;136:20 Dr (19) 6:8,9,10;8:3,14;10:2, 17:11:8:258:13; 261:10,10;268:5; 272:13:276:19:282:25: 286:15;291:8,11;295:4 Draft (4) 62:2,2,7;64:7 drafting (1) 200:3 dramatic (4) 16:11;17:1;43:14; 264:25 dramatically (1) 37:10 draw (10) 42:24;105:23;107:7; 152:5;160:19;166:19; 167:16;171:17;176:18; 217:6 drawing (7) 44:17;91:23;166:17; 167:10;168:11;170:16; 174:11 drawn (5) 48:3,3;84:10;168:2; 188:1 draws (2) 91:12;168:12 drive (26) 29:22;74:16;76:2; 78:2;79:25;80:1;99:2; 108:12;115:5;118:24; 119:7;120:22;128:22; 140:19;154:15;175:12; 176:18;210:15;217:5;

219:5,19,24;228:23; 229:5:236:23:250:5 driven (8) 43:20;44:19;53:18; 226:23;234:25;236:9, 12.23 driver (2) 53:18:236:24 drivers (6) 114:15:115:3:116:5. 8;119:2;236:25 drives (2) 29:23;236:25 driving (21) 24:20;43:14;49:1; 50:8;51:6;54:22;55:14; 56:1,8;67:1;69:16; 114:19;120:20;121:19; 133:25;171:25;172:9, 24;173:12;180:25; 208:25 drop (8) 26:6,8;29:15;30:14; 43:14;44:7;45:20; 187:3 drop-off (1) 45:17 drop-off/pick-up (1) 124:18 dropped (2) 40:6;42:10 dropping (4) 41:23;48:20;49:11; 51:15 drops (4) 26:24;56:1;67:9; 187:3 drunk (2) 248:9.11 dry (1) 255:17 **Duckett** (4) 6:12,12;197:21; 249:24 due (3) 43:22;119:9;139:6 Dunckel (2) 115:24,25 duplication (1) 249:8 **Duplicative** (1) 249:20 during (16) 25:14;114:23; 135:16,21;136:4; 140:18;175:8;177:15; 186:9;226:23;237:11, 11;242:14;280:5; 292:24,24 duty (15) 20:1,7,24;21:1,12,16, 18;22:15,21;25:3,22; 27:23,25;28:11;54:7

	1	1	
dyslexic (1)	184:23;185:16;211:1,	147:22;151:10;165:17;	16:17;18:16;19:3,9;
117:4	14;213:12;214:8;	210:21;221:11;241:24;	24:2,3;27:21,22,25;
	218:7;273:16	247:10;255:21;257:25;	28:6,9,10;36:11;39:12
Ε	effective (4)	287:15;288:17;295:11	40:22;41:19;44:12;
	8:1;16:25;30:24;	else's (1)	56:4;67:8
eager (2)	60:21	124:10	enforce (1)
264:11,12	effectively (1)	elsewhere (7)	66:11
earlier (10)	280:9	11:11;88:24;156:13;	enforced (1)
19:13;80:2;141:23;	effectiveness (1)	178:15,19;214:21;	66:13
143:6;157:14;160:20;	60:10	282:7	Enforcement (2)
167:12;195:7;291:15;	effects (18)	e-mail (4)	66:13;253:9
293:2	25:8;60:17;61:16,20;	7:22;8:5,7;127:3	engage (2)
Early (3)	64:11,18;66:23;124:8,	e-mails (1)	48:9,12
5:25;55:18;225:23	14;152:3;163:23,25;	291:6	engaging (1)
ears (1)	164:1,16;230:13,22;	embrace (1)	122:3
224:12	231:13;260:9	34:6	engineer (4)
earthquake (2)	effectuate (1)	emergencies (5)	122:20;225:11;
230:23;246:10	214:9	225:3;226:16;	237:5;250:16
earthquakes (3)	efficiency (7)	228:15;243:13;246:9	Engineers (1)
229:9;230:1;246:7	20:14;21:7;27:4,21;	emergency (25)	58:14
easier (2)	34:10;39:15;47:14	221:20;228:17;	engines (1)
153:14;177:23	efficient (6)	229:3;232:10,25;	17:5
easily (1) 237:9	20:6,24;21:1;34:19;	233:22;234:20;235:19; 236:14;239:12,13,17;	<b>engulfed (2)</b> 231:25;242:5
east (10)	38:21;182:6 eggs (1)	240:1,11,19;241:5,7;	enjoyable (4)
129:23;140:24,24,	136:20	243:20;244:5,19;	183:25;184:2,4,7
25;141:2;145:20;	eight (1)	245:3;246:2,4;247:1;	enough (15)
227:15;230:1;257:22,	184:10	250:8	24:8;59:4;73:25;
23	eight-and-a-half (1)	emerging (1)	112:14;159:21;213:6
easterly (2)	195:15	47:22	7;218:19;234:6;
117:2,11	either (24)	emissions (12)	241:13;259:14;262:13
Eastern (4)	29:10;69:18;71:10;	17:18;19:25;63:11,	25;263:1;270:12
93:10,11;138:5,15	75:8;79:19;103:17;	14;64:15;65:23;122:2,	enter (5)
east-west (1)	104:4,16,20;123:12;	7,7;124:16;135:22;	116:23;121:22;
227:1	129:10;136:22;146:14;	197:6	122:22;139:13,14
easy (5)	158:10;177:19;178:14,	emphasis (1)	entered (3)
270:11;271:13,22;	22;204:18;218:4;	161:25	114:15,16;169:17
283:1,24	233:2;244:20;272:3,4;	emphasized (1)	entering (5)
eat (1)	273:23	98:12	117:6;118:20;
137:19	Eleana (3)	empirical (2)	128:10;137:15;217:1
economic (18)	9:4;288:21,23	103:5;156:23	enterprise (1)
24:21;25:16;28:21,	Eleanor (1)	empirically (2)	159:12
21;29:10,12,14;30:6,6; 53:20,25;56:10;62:18;	6:12	82:10;85:4 employed (2)	entire (9) 7:8;54:19;83:12;
189:12;259:18;285:13;	electric (1) 253:3	52:18,19	91:23;93:13;102:5;
286:5;287:10	elects (1)	employees (1)	107:7;171:17;228:4
economics (2)	244:7	81:5	entirely (2)
56:13;287:16	elevated (1)	empty (2)	143:11;203:19
economists (1)	116:15	99:10;226:6	entitled (2)
51:14	eliminate (5)	enclosed (1)	248:25;249:5
Economy (14)	163:1;179:10,11;	147:9	entity (1)
16:24;18:10,11,20;	180:13;202:3	encourage (4)	216:9
20:3;25:9;26:14;31:22,	eliminates (4)	59:15,17;60:23;	entrance (6)
25;32:25;33:18;34:22;	39:22;201:5,13;	61:23	116:10;117:19;
35:4;37:2	203:20	end (7)	138:22;139:9;143:24
edge (1)	elimination (1)	13:21;45:21;67:1;	144:4
188:7	203:14	88:3;188:4;243:13;	entrepreneur (1)
effect (24)	Elkridge (1)	279:2	254:20
14:23;16:25;18:4;	85:12	ended (2)	entrepreneurial (1)
19:2,21;22:9;23:16;	else (21)	53:3;219:2	255:25
24:23;30:5;31:12;	6:14;12:15;35:4;	endlessly (1)	entrepreneurs (1)
56:13;60:11;61:22;	99:11;102:19;114:18;	241:16	254:5
87:23;111:12;164:13;	123:9;124:17;130:7;	energy (19)	enunciated (1)

283:17 environment (1) 252:23 10:36:11:39:13; **Environmental (13)** 32:6,9;33:24;34:5; 57:22;124:16;251:25; 252:2,14,16,22;253:23; 254:3 environmentalist (1) 114:2 environmentally (1) 211:14 environs (2) 108:11;109:21 envisioned (1) 169:15 EPA (31) 57:10,25;58:4;124:5, 11;253:10,10;257:21; 258:5,20;259:1,1,25; 260:4,23,24;263:8; 264:24;266:6;267:2,3; 269:10,14;272:16,24; 273:10,14,18;279:13; 285:17;287:14 **EPA's** (1) 266:13 equally (1) ;159:21;213:6, 195:20 Eric (2) ;259:14;262:18, 5:23:236:7 error (1) 290:7 escapes (1) 115:1 especially (3) 67:12;125:6;230:8 essence (1) 163:19 );137:15;217:10 essential (3) 22:2:58:9:66:14 essentially (5) 32:17;50:19;218:1; 243:12;289:19 establish (5) 158:1,9;159:5,13,15 established (4) 35:21,22;124:15; 184:18 establishment (2) 212:4;214:12 estimate (6) 83:22,23;90:23; 93:17;94:7;148:23 2;139:9;143:24; estimates (6) 22:17;36:9;64:22; 83:1;84:11;94:20 estimating (2) 83:6;104:12 estimation (1) 277:4 et (3) 230:4,4;245:3

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHOLESALE CORPO	RATION		
			270.0	221 10 241 12 10
ETA (1)	232:7,9;233:22;	exchange (2)	279:9	231:19;241:12,19
278:18	235:25;243:19;245:2;	292:14,15	expanded (1)	extinguishers (2)
ethanol (1)	262:7;277:20;292:20;	exchanges (1)	164:10	246:1;247:23
27:6	293:25	7:23	expect (13)	extra (2)
evaluate (2)	evidently (1)	excluded (1)	29:11;101:14;102:5;	14:19;230:7
81:3;236:18	255:13	235:24	166:10;169:11;186:17;	extraordinarily (1)
evaluated (2)	exacerbate (3)	exclusively (2)	188:3;197:25;241:17;	167:13
134:12;205:22	48:4;59:8;118:18	86:6;88:22	277:6;281:21;284:15;	extreme (2)
evaluates (1)	exacerbates (1)	Excuse (4)	288:19	40:21;228:2
39:17	144:14	38:19;102:9;228:8;	expected (3)	extremely (1)
evaluation (4)	exact (3)	230:11	21:13;101:9;246:11	259:24
10:5;51:10;163:21;	159:3;163:19;248:22	executive (3)	expedient (10)	eyeball (1)
234:13	exactly (16)	54:18;255:4;279:7	158:20;172:22;	59:12
even (75)	23:3;28:15;46:3;	exercise (1)	173:11,11;174:1,2,6,	
16:21;26:3,4,8;	58:24;97:14,19;152:1,	213:25	15;175:11;198:24	F
29:14;30:9;36:6;37:16;	10;168:25;181:15;	Exhibit (98)	expediently (1)	
47:10;48:11;49:13;	204:23;217:18;218:12;	7:23;8:1,5,7,9,14;	207:9	fabric (1)
50:12;52:18;56:4;63:5;	236:2;260:21;292:7	9:22,22,23;10:4,6;	expended (1)	237:9
67:7;74:17;91:4;92:25;	EXAMINATION (5)	12:18;13:4;14:21;	120:14	face (4)
98:17;99:7;105:6,8;	15:25;133:10;	15:11,14,16,23;16:15,	experience (20)	182:22;219:20;
108:22,23;111:5;	149:16;197:23;224:6	16;17:23;18:21,25;	102:25;133:23;	290:10,12
114:21;119:4,14;	Examiner (8)	19:4,9,10;23:21;24:1;	140:8;141:10;142:5,	facilities (7)
128:11;134:6;143:20;	5:19;7:25;132:11;	27:16;30:18;33:19;	21;144:10,15;146:21;	10:14;109:24;194:9;
145:8;146:16;147:8;	184:17;198:17;201:15;	34:7,12;36:11;39:13,	183:25;225:15;252:5,	218:4;225:2;256:7;
151:24;158:4;165:21;	205:8;260:22	19,20;42:15,16;43:3;	7,17;253:1;256:18;	267:15
173:2;176:18;186:6;	example (15)	44:9;45:2,6;46:4;51:9,	278:3,5;280:20;284:19	facility (7)
195:5;196:4,11,20;	101:18;125:20;	25;52:8,11;53:4;54:8;	expert (22)	138:22;143:25;
198:1;205:9;207:24;	136:8;146:23;211:12;	55:10;57:7,9,17,19;	95:19;115:10;	215:5;216:10;230:6;
213:2,3;225:22,24;	228:15,20;229:3;	58:6,9;60:8;61:18;	222:10;223:1,13,16,19,	276:5,6
227:22;228:23;229:8;	259:16;265:1;272:19;	62:6,9;63:8,10;64:1,2,	22;224:2,12;225:4,4,	fact (81)
237:25;239:5;242:6;	275:3,3;279:7;283:15	12;66:18;67:3,23;	14;230:24;239:25;	11:13;16:11;30:21;
246:7,7,14;250:2;	examples (2)	68:15;69:23;70:12;	260:17;261:17;273:11,	31:12;34:16,16;36:5;
256:16;265:5;272:16,	227:17;253:18	71:3,5,22,25;72:11,18;	20;278:9;280:18,19	
20,24;273:23,25;				37:2;38:3;39:16;48:2,
20,24,275:25,25, 278:20;279:8;282:13;	exceed (2) 82:22;84:23	73:18;74:1;81:12;	<b>expertise (2)</b> 222:7;269:4	20,21;49:10;55:20,20;
· · · · ·		85:14,16;86:10,11;		57:12;58:17;59:1,2;
291:19;293:10,15	except (3)	89:11;99:23,25;	experts (4)	60:20;64:24;93:4,9;
evening (1)	165:25;252:20; 267:22	169:17,20;192:11,12,	261:17;268:13;	95:18;96:1,24;97:7;
291:21		23,25;199:14;200:9,	278:4,7	98:12;100:4;101:9;
event (3)	exception (38)	23;201:23	explain (5)	102:21;103:2;106:7;
97:16;149:15;235:24	5:5;14:2;15:10;16:4;	exhibits (9)	90:5;143:14;211:23;	107:24,24;121:6;
eventually (1)	126:3;132:10,16;	10:3,16;16:14;19:7;	290:3,6	122:21;123:15;124:24;
13:20	146:4;148:6;149:24;	31:16,18;49:24;57:6;	explained (1)	127:19;131:14;132:6;
everybody (12)	151:15;159:11;161:11,	289:11	204:17	152:17,18;156:14;
64:12;88:18;93:9;	15;163:22;165:4;	exist (8)	explodes (1)	159:7;163:20;164:7,
106:2;180:3,3;196:8;	166:1;174:23;175:1,7;	96:5;106:25;110:25;	220:20	22;166:24;179:21,25;
209:10,13;272:1;	180:20;181:1,3;201:8;	111:1,8;112:11,12,15	explosions (1)	180:16,25;181:2,4,21;
292:12;294:19	205:6,12;210:11;	existence (1)	231:20	182:8;184:22;187:5,
everyday (2)	228:9;230:21;231:7;	124:14	explosive (1)	25;196:5;199:23;
226:22;238:11	233:5,7,13;235:22;	existing (17)	242:6	205:7;211:18;212:2,
everyone (6)	244:7;269:2;276:24;	35:15,17;48:3;55:17;	expressed (1)	16;214:6;218:6;219:4;
64:8;99:3;109:24;	284:7	67:14;94:4;109:6,18;	278:12	222:13;223:16;251:7;
171:25;180:4;186:11	exceptional (1)	122:25;131:4;182:18;	expression (1)	261:3;264:22;267:2;
everything's (1)	229:19	196:12;260:11,14;	176:4	279:23;281:17;287:11;
144:7	exceptions (5)	261:8,11,12	extensive (4)	292:19
evidence (37)	163:18;180:15;	exists (9)	221:2;223:11;	factor (11)
5:19;13:25;36:5,21;	181:20;198:16;201:8	106:17;107:12,13;	224:15;245:2	37:24;38:4;67:11;
40:9;41:23;51:20;	excerpt (1)	198:19;200:7;201:1,	extensively (1)	97:4;180:19;181:1,12;
52:16;79:25;95:18,25;	193:3	17;207:12;211:17	207:9	190:16;206:6;214:15,
96:2,5;155:13,14;	excerpts (11)	exit (1)	extent (8)	20
156:23;178:18;196:23,	23:21;24:2;60:9;	227:7	170:9;191:20;200:5;	factored (2)
24;197:25;198:18;	61:16;62:4,7;63:23;	exiting (1)	209:3;210:18;233:9;	170:6;172:7
201:16;202:24;203:22;	72:2;85:14;169:19;	128:10	260:1;292:3	factories (3)
208:16;215:18;217:15;	200:4	expand (1)	extinguisher (3)	266:7,16;267:15

### Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

137:12

181:16

factors (6) 24:19;37:1;47:23; 160:14:161:18:180:14 factual (7) 153:14;170:21,22; 171:7;215:1;281:11; 283:21 268:13;275:21 faculty (1) FedEx (1) 225:16 fails (1) feel (3) 264:1 fair (4) feet (6) 40:16;115:12; 240:25;292:14 242:7;279:2 fairly (8) felt (6) 13:24;15:19;17:1; 18:18;23:2;38:15; 210:2;218:8 170:17;177:18 few (10) fairness (6) 48:8;172:20;240:14, 21;292:18,22 fall (4) 282:14 16:25;19:20;162:17; fewer (2) 259:25 54:22;197:6 field (3) falling (1) 225:8 fighting (1) falls (1) 27:8 226:10 familiar (3) figure (46) 198:9;226:23;227:13 far (23) 69:15;78:25;91:21; 92:6;93:7;96:8;105:14; 138:6,8;167:10,17; 171:22,23;219:19; 232:10;239:5;243:3; 255:23;271:19,25; 282:14;290:22;292:22 fare-thee-well (1) 35:23 Farms (1) 205:16 191:6 figures (14) farthest (2) 29:16,16 fashion (2) 119:17;167:4 105:7;181:5 **fast** (8) file (3) 38:15;113:6,7;144:7, 14;284:16,20;292:5 filed (13) faster (2) 12:12:26:14 fault (1) 220:21 faulting (1) files (1) 199:14 293:5 filing (2) favor (2) 205:21;292:2 184:9,13 feature (3) filings (4) 161:16;220:11; 256:24 February (3) fill (3) 32:14;33:4,11 federal (22) filling (5)

36:11:39:12:41:20; 5:7:150:1:179:15; 42:7:43:1:49:2.8: 198:22:213:23 52:25:60:14:61:3: filters (1) 233:8;257:2,17,19; 255:9 261:25;262:8,10; final (5) 263:21;264:5,6; 19:24;64:10;95:16; 125:11;229:22 finally (2) 20:13;200:24 find (29) 194:7;221:23;289:22 10:3;48:22;66:4; 68:22;116:19;123:7; 79:2,3;177:8;230:9; 134:7;144:23,25; 147:14;152:20;163:19; 175:10;178:14;181:15, 80:5;144:8,9;195:20; 20;184:4,21;200:17; 202:8,24;204:24; 224:24;232:25;265:24; 18:17;35:2;59:25; 266:8,10;272:10;278:7 79:2;98:5;165:20; finders (1) 292:19 167:23;191:20;261:15; finding (2) 13:23;204:4 findings (5) 198:14;201:6,10,11; 254:3;261:16,18 282:5 finds (4) 60:11;184:7;198:17; 201:15 fine (13) 18:19:21:10.20.22; 25:9,9,12,21:26:23; 57:6:70:11:81:19; 27:3:65:20:66:5.6: 109:19:113:12:129:21: 72:4;74:8,12;75:1; 133:22;148:22;196:19; 83:13,14;87:7,16; 234:24;238:6;263:7; 292:9 89:18.25:91:16.17; 92:4,8,14;94:2;95:3, finish (8) 15:96:15,20,20:97:9; 7:14;8:17;17:23; 100:14.16:101:13.23: 99:21;132:22;135:11; 103:18;105:1;157:13, 136:14:172:18 21;171:21;178:18; finished (1) 280:10 fire (37) 30:1;71:20;72:7,8; 10:10,12;225:9,11, 12;228:13,22,25;229:1, 73:20;74:16;82:8;83:5; 89:21;90:20;93:2;94:9; 4,6,7,17;231:15,17,19; 232:1;236:15;237:4,8, 11,13;238:9;241:4,5, 233:10,10;293:3 12,12,13,18,18,24; 242:4;246:1,3,7; 10:17,17,18;11:1; 247:22,22 14:18:100:23:199:17, fires (4) 18:204:20:232:25: 231:17;241:11; 292:5;293:6;294:12 245:3,25 first (42) 10:5;20:20;22:16; 28:14;32:13,18;33:3, 10;51:12;52:14;54:15, 21;55:8;57:21;69:24; 7:22;10:4;157:1; 85:17;86:21,23;87:3,5; 89:16;120:7;134:19; 169:20;194:14,24; 16:8;156:13;212:21 221:21:232:20,24; 234:19;235:23;251:16;

252:19,25;253:9,9; 255:17;258:8;263:19; 268:10;282:1;293:13 firsthand (1) 209:20 Fitness (2) 128:6.8 five (15) 33:5;38:20;39:5; 53:21:74:16:112:19: 221:4;239:6;242:9; 246:16;256:20;259:12, 14,23,23 five-and-a-half (2) 178:25;179:4 fix (4) 111:10,15;112:1,17 fixed (1) 227:5 flags (1) 278:9 flames (2) 231:25;242:5 fleet (1) 18:11 fleet-wide (2) 22:17,20 flexibility (1) 158:7 flexible (1) 154:19 Flint (1) 147:10 flood (1) 230:3 flooded (1) 230:4 floor (1) 5:16 flow (1) 247:16 flustered (1) 221:23 Flynn (16) 19:13;22:6;30:20; 36:14,16;42:1;69:17; 82:24;86:16;92:24; 94:18;102:14;103:18; 104:10;168:17,24 Flynn's (10) 16:3,15:22:3:67:16; 71:9:155:16:183:16: 185:6;208:11;219:18 focus (4) 13:13;188:14,15; 254:19 focused (2) 253:2,14 folks (10) 156:7;165:14;167:7, 20;170:2;171:12; 172:23;176:5;184:8; 219:20

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

follow (4) 233:6:248:20: 272:24;273:20 followed (1) 233:9 following (8) 7:22;19:1;21:10; 198:15;201:7,9,14; 295:6 foot (4) 278:16,17;279:4,8 footnote (2) 73:19:74:14 forbid (1) 124:17 force (1) 158:8 forcing (1) 95:9 forecasting (1) 195:9 foregone (1) 217:16 forest (2) 234:21;247:13 foretelling (1) 36:19 forever (1) 227:6 forget (4) 7:17;206:11;221:22; 279:25 forgot (2) 72:5:284:15 form (3) 166:7;169:5;170:6 former (1) 206:4 forth (32) 20:2,8;23:3;24:22; 35:17:39:18:41:8.9.24: 44:12;56:3;77:22; 78:25;79:3,20;80:9; 98:19;102:4;112:5; 170:16;171:3,18; 177:11,16;182:4; 187:24;189:1;210:15; 218:5;259:7;263:14,25 forward (4) 12:20;260:4;293:14; 294:12 fought (1) 267:3 found (8) 64:12;179:14;184:1, 18;233:2;258:15; 272:7;287:2 foundation (1) 281:7 four (12) 7:9,10;33:4;53:19; 71:11;82:12;154:24; 229:5,12;235:20;

228,12,256,20	45:14;46:9,10,12,18;	170.7 20.181.17 24.	100.2.142.20.159.19.	42.16.67.9.92.12.
238:12;256:20		179:7,20;181:17,24;	109:2;142:20;158:18;	42:16;67:8;82:13;
fourth (3)	85:11;96:7;98:5;	182:2,17,20,21;183:2,	159:6,9;163:24;	83:14;84:10;94:18;
60:7;86:11;193:14	100:14;142:15;183:2	12,15,19,20,21;184:2,	179:18;186:19;191:7;	95:5;96:19;116:17;
four-year-old (1)	gallons (52)	7,9,18,19,23;186:5,13,	197:13;198:20;201:2,	155:20;156:9;191:4;
122:5	81:15,16,18,22,25,	15,24;187:3,15;188:1,	19;203:3;207:13;	194:17;272:21
framework (1)	25;83:16;87:6,7,10,14,	20;189:10,23;190:20,	214:12;219:10;220:1;	gives (3)
153:10	15;88:5,5,9;89:14;	24;191:9,10,18,19,21;	249:25;250:2;267:10;	28:2;70:24;82:1
frankly (1)	91:20;92:5;93:1,7,8,	192:4;195:20,23;	268:1	giving (5)
277:24	13;94:21;95:4;96:7;	196:2,2,10,12,12,20;	generalized (3)	95:18;161:25;
free (4)	99:25:100:2.11;	197:3,4,11,13;207:19;	161:1;172:10;182:8	228:15;240:2;280:21
195:1,3;221:23;	101:16,17,18,21,22,22;	208:2,8;210:1,5;	generally (8)	glad (2)
270:8				277:22,22
	103:17;104:3;105:2,5;	211:12;214:24;215:19;	99:23;152:1;154:22;	
Freestate (1)	156:20,25;157:12,24;	216:6;217:17;220:10;	165:7;182:17,22;	Glenmont (1)
181:21	158:5,15,22;179:1,4;	225:18;226:3;229:7,	254:11;278:1	154:25
frequent (4)	195:16,16;198:1;	15,16,17,23,25;230:9,	generate (12)	gloss (1)
114:24;123:19;	208:15;276:9	15,16,16;231:16,18,21,	82:8,11;83:18;84:22;	213:17
137:12;266:3	game (1)	23;232:2,18;236:22;	85:1,11;88:8;92:9;	glossier (1)
Friday (2)	271:22	237:18;238:5,6,7;	97:20;104:1;106:18;	64:9
8:13;248:7	gang (2)	239:3;240:8;245:22;	108:25	go- (1)
frighteningly (1)	229:14;248:4	246:12;247:2;254:20;	generated (12)	295:3
198:9	Gang's (2)	256:18,19,24;257:2,3,	57:14;58:16;59:13;	God (1)
frightful (1)	109:10,15	10;264:15,16,17;	60:8;85:4;87:25;88:21;	194:22
116:5	gap (5)	265:15,16,18,19;267:6,	89:10;90:17,18;	Goecke (181)
front (1)	181:8;190:24,24;	7,8,13,20,23;276:8;	108:17;111:5	6:2,2;36:12,20;
203:22	192:2,2	278:12;279:9,14,22,24;	generates (1)	38:25;40:1;53:10,13,
Fuel (29)	garage (1)	280:6,7;282:11,12,19;	107:15	15;113:8,12;115:7,11;
16:24;18:10;20:3,8,	138:4	283:16;284:13	generating (3)	148:17;149:17,19,22;
14;21:3;24:16,22;25:8,	gas (288)	gases (8)	58:11;83:10;86:6	150:3,22,25;151:3,13,
15;26:14;31:22,25;	17:5;19:25;21:14;	17:18,20;63:18;	generation (6)	21;152:6,11,20;153:2,
32:25;33:18;34:6,10,	27:1;31:15,17;35:18;	65:19;112:5,8;124:21,	54:17;57:11;58:5,14,	4,24;154:1,6,23;155:3,
19,21;35:4,4;36:9,22;	36:4;37:6,10;45:5,13;	23	21;59:3	8,12,17,23;156:4,7,19,
47:14;124:12;192:4;	63:11,14,23;66:14;	gasoline (85)	generator (1)	25;157:4,8,14,24;
193:16;255:1;282:6	68:10,11,12,20;69:7,	16:7;17:2,6,11,11,	234:6	158:14,19;159:11,16,
fulfill (1)				
<b>fulfill (1)</b> 284:0	10,13;71:4;74:23,25;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21;	generators (2)	20,23;160:5;162:20,
284:9	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17;	generators (2) 242:13,14	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,
284:9 fulfilling (1)	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2)	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10,	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4)	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1)	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23;
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20;	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11; 100:10,18,19;101:10;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4)	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1)	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23;
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20;	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11; 100:10,18,19;101:10;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11; 100:10,18,19;101:10; 102:17,23,23;104:2,17,	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2,	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1)	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 <b>fully (1)</b>	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11; 100:10,18,19;101:10; 102:17,23,23;104:2,17, 17,22;106:9;107:1;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24; 172:4,6,12,15,21;
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 <b>fully (1)</b> 242:5 <b>funds (1)</b>	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11; 100:10,18,19;101:10; 102:17,23,23;104:2,17, 17,22;106:9;107:1; 108:25;109:12;112:6, 11;114:18;118:17,22,	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12	20,23;160.5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24; 172:4,6,12,15,21; 173:8,10,17,20,25; 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 <b>fully (1)</b> 242:5 <b>funds (1)</b> 257:2	10,13;71:4;74:23,25; 79:1,5,6,18;83:22; 85:18;89:2,18;90:25; 91:2,5;92:18;93:11; 98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11; 100:10,18,19;101:10; 102:17,23,23;104:2,17, 17,22;106:9;107:1; 108:25;109:12;112:6, 11;114:18;118:17,22, 24;119:6,8,17,19,20;	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2)	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24; 172:4,6,12,15,21; 173:8,10,17,20,25; 174:6,14,19,25;175:14, 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 <b>fully (1)</b> 242:5 <b>funds (1)</b> 257:2 <b>further (12)</b>	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\ \end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17,	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24; 172:4,6,12,15,21; 173:8,10,17,20,25; 174:6,14,19,25;175:14, 19;176:3,10,13;178:1, 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 <b>fully (1)</b> 242:5 <b>funds (1)</b> 257:2 <b>further (12)</b> 69:7;88:13;90:5;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\ \end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8,	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1)	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24; 172:4,6,12,15,21; 173:8,10,17,20,25; 174:6,14,19,25;175:14, 19;176:3,10,13;178:1, 9,11,23;179:6;180:12, 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,
284:9 <b>fulfilling (1)</b> 107:4 <b>full (4)</b> 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 <b>fully (1)</b> 242:5 <b>funds (1)</b> 257:2 <b>further (12)</b> 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15;20;7,9,24;21;2,21;\\22;1,4,14;23;14,17;\\25;25;26;24;27;8,8;\\29;1,13;30;1,14;36;10,\\22;38;3,6;39;11;43;21;\\44;21;45;5,13,17,18;\\47;8,8,10,15,23;48;2,\\11,14,20;56;16;59;20;\\67;13;77;6;83;6;86;10;\\91;15,17;93;10;95;1;\\97;7,21,23;98;6,17;\\101;14;104;13;110;17,\\22;157;24;158;3,4,6,8,\\15;165;23;173;4;\\178;24;187;6;188;25;\\\end{array}$	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15;20;7,9,24;21;2,21;\\22;1,4,14;23;14,17;\\25;25;26;24;27;8,8;\\29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10,\\22;38:3,6;39:11;43;21;\\44;21;45:5,13,17,18;\\47;8,8,10,15,23;48:2,\\11,14,20;56:16;59:20;\\67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10;\\91:15,17;93:10;95:1;\\97:7,21,23;98:6,17;\\101:14;104:13;110:17,\\22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8,\\15;165:23;173:4;\\178:24;187:6;188:25;\\189:4,6;195:10;196:1;\end{array}$	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23;	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17)	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15;20;7,9,24;21;2,21;\\22;1,4,14;23;14,17;\\25;25;26;24;27;8,8;\\29;1,13;30;1,14;36;10,\\22;38;3,6;39;11;43;21;\\44;21;45;5,13,17,18;\\47;8,8,10,15,23;48;2,\\11,14,20;56:16;59;20;\\67;13;77;6;83;6;86;10;\\91;15,17;93;10;95;1;\\97;7,21,23;98;6,17;\\101;14;104;13;110;17,\\22;157;24;158;3,4,6,8,\\15;165;23;173;4;\\178;24;187;6;188;25;\\189;4,6;195;10;196;1;\\198;1,1;207;19;208;7,\\\end{array}$	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15;20;7,9,24;21;2,21;\\22;1,4,14;23;14,17;\\25;25;26;24;27;8,8;\\29;1,13;30;1,14;36;10,\\22;38;3,6;39;11;43;21;\\44;21;45;5,13,17,18;\\47;8,8,10,15,23;48;2,\\11,14,20;56:16;59;20;\\67;13;77;6;83;6;86;10;\\91;15,17;93;10;95;1;\\97;7,21,23;98;6,17;\\101;14;104;13;110;17,\\22;157;24;158;3,4,6,8,\\15;165;23;173;4;\\178;24;187;6;188;25;\\189;4,6;195;10;196;1;\\198;1,1;207;19;208;7,\\18;216;17;234;18\end{array}$	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1)	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160:5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6)	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23;	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160:5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6)	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23;	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18; 226:17,18;282:6;292:4	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18;	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ 195:7,12,19,23;196:22,\\ 25;197:2,9,15;204:6;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18;	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\166:3,6,8,13,22,23,25;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1 gee (3)	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18; 183:1,9	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ 195:7,12,19,23;196:22,\\ 25;197:2,9,15;204:6;\\ 210:3;216:21,24;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18; 226:17,18;282:6;292:4 G	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\166:3,6,8,13,22,23,25;\\167:23;169:12;171:1;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1 gee (3) 7:19;120:23,25	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18; 183:1,9 gift (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ 195:7,12,19,23;196:22,\\ 25;197:2,9,15;204:6;\\ 210:3;216:21,24;\\ 217:18,20;220:25;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18; 226:17,18;282:6;292:4 G Gaithersburg (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\166:3,6,8,13,22,23,25;\\167:23;169:12;171:1;\\172:22;173:13,13;\\\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1 gee (3) 7:19;120:23,25 general (38)	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18; 183:1,9 gift (1) 120:6	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ 195:7,12,19,23;196:22,\\ 25;197:2,9,15;204:6;\\ 210:3;216:21,24;\\ 217:18,20;220:25;\\ 242:16,21,24;265:25;\\ \end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18; 226:17,18;282:6;292:4 G Gaithersburg (1) 121:6	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\166:3,6,8,13,22,23,25;\\167:23;169:12;171:1;\\172:22;173:13,13;\\174:1,16,22;175:3,6,7,\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1 gee (3) 7:19;120:23,25 general (38) 5:10;47:17;75:24;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18; 183:1,9 gift (1) 120:6 gild (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ 195:7,12,19,23;196:22,\\ 25;197:2,9,15;204:6;\\ 210:3;216:21,24;\\ 217:18,20;220:25;\\ 242:16,21,24;265:25;\\ 280:16;281:3,7\end{array}$
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18; 226:17,18;282:6;292:4 G Gaithersburg (1) 121:6 gallon (19)	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\166:3,6,8,13,22,23,25;\\167:23;169:12;171:1;\\172:22;173:13,13;\\174:1,16,22;175:3,6,7,\\15,17,18;176:6,6,21;\end{array}$	15;20;7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1 gee (3) 7:19;120:23,25 general (38) 5:10;47:17;75:24; 77:19;78:10,11,12,12,	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18; 183:1,9 gift (1) 120:6 gild (1) 38:10	20,23;160:5;162:20, 21;163:6,9,15;164:14, 20;165:2,13,24;166:3, 9,12,21,24;167:5,19, 25;168:5,16,20,23; 169:2,9,16,19;170:4, 11,18,22;171:6,11,24; 172:4,6,12,15,21; 173:8,10,17,20,25; 174:6,14,19,25;175:14, 19;176:3,10,13;178:1, 9,11,23;179:6;180:12, 21;181:15,23;182:1,5, 10,12,14,20;183:6,11, 20,24;184:5,8,12,17; 185:2,11,18,22,25; 186:5,13,23;187:9,15; 188:8,13,19;189:7,13, 22;190:5,10,18;191:8, 17;192:3,9,15,20,23; 193:6,8,11,19;194:11; 195:7,12,19,23;196:22, 25;197:2,9,15;204:6; 210:3;216:21,24; 217:18,20;220:25; 242:16,21,24;265:25; 280:16;281:3,7 goes (25)
284:9 fulfilling (1) 107:4 full (4) 113:17;169:20; 198:7;221:9 fully (1) 242:5 funds (1) 257:2 further (12) 69:7;88:13;90:5; 130:15;133:9;145:10; 147:20;197:15;202:22; 203:2;216:13;290:19 future (17) 16:5;22:4;35:5; 36:19,22;39:14;46:18; 47:24;48:10;50:9; 52:23;59:18;160:18; 226:17,18;282:6;292:4 G Gaithersburg (1) 121:6	$\begin{array}{c} 10,13;71:4;74:23,25;\\79:1,5,6,18;83:22;\\85:18;89:2,18;90:25;\\91:2,5;92:18;93:11;\\98:4,19,24;99:6,7,11;\\100:10,18,19;101:10;\\102:17,23,23;104:2,17,\\17,22;106:9;107:1;\\108:25;109:12;112:6,\\11;114:18;118:17,22,\\24;119:6,8,17,19,20;\\120:8,9,13,14,16,17,18,\\21,25;121:4,7,8,10,11,\\13;122:9,14;124:4,12,\\25;125:4,7;126:16,17,\\20;127:15;131:22,24;\\132:1;133:8;138:7,17;\\141:24;142:1,6,8,12,\\14,21,24,25;146:6;\\155:24;156:3,8,8,17;\\158:22,23;159:3,22;\\160:17,23;161:2;\\165:3,11,15,16,21;\\166:3,6,8,13,22,23,25;\\167:23;169:12;171:1;\\172:22;173:13,13;\\174:1,16,22;175:3,6,7,\end{array}$	15;20:7,9,24;21:2,21; 22:1,4,14;23:14,17; 25:25;26:24;27:8,8; 29:1,13;30:1,14;36:10, 22;38:3,6;39:11;43:21; 44:21;45:5,13,17,18; 47:8,8,10,15,23;48:2, 11,14,20;56:16;59:20; 67:13;77:6;83:6;86:10; 91:15,17;93:10;95:1; 97:7,21,23;98:6,17; 101:14;104:13;110:17, 22;157:24;158:3,4,6,8, 15;165:23;173:4; 178:24;187:6;188:25; 189:4,6;195:10;196:1; 198:1,1;207:19;208:7, 18;216:17;234:18 gather (1) 96:1 gave (6) 14:1;32:10;54:2; 65:22;82:9;101:1 gee (3) 7:19;120:23,25 general (38) 5:10;47:17;75:24;	generators (2) 242:13,14 gentlemen (2) 220:20;252:22 geographically (1) 82:11 George (1) 285:1 George's (1) 206:12 Georgia (2) 227:19;279:9 germane (1) 242:25 gets (5) 88:12;153:3,23; 187:21;241:14 GHG (1) 19:25 Giant (10) 123:20;127:23; 129:5;143:20;145:15, 18;146:14;182:18; 183:1,9 gift (1) 120:6 gild (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 20,23;160.5;162:20,\\ 21;163:6,9,15;164:14,\\ 20;165:2,13,24;166:3,\\ 9,12,21,24;167:5,19,\\ 25;168:5,16,20,23;\\ 169:2,9,16,19;170:4,\\ 11,18,22;171:6,11,24;\\ 172:4,6,12,15,21;\\ 173:8,10,17,20,25;\\ 174:6,14,19,25;175:14,\\ 19;176:3,10,13;178:1,\\ 9,11,23;179:6;180:12,\\ 21;181:15,23;182:1,5,\\ 10,12,14,20;183:6,11,\\ 20,24;184:5,8,12,17;\\ 185:2,11,18,22,25;\\ 186:5,13,23;187:9,15;\\ 188:8,13,19;189:7,13,\\ 22;190:5,10,18;191:8,\\ 17;192:3,9,15,20,23;\\ 193:6,8,11,19;194:11;\\ 195:7,12,19,23;196:22,\\ 25;197:2,9,15;204:6;\\ 210:3;216:21,24;\\ 217:18,20;220:25;\\ 242:16,21,24;265:25;\\ 280:16;281:3,7\end{array}$

				1
56:25;59:16;85:20;	14,18,23;64:15;65:19;	87:23;88:2;89:5,8,15,	231:2,6,12;232:1,4,6,	26:5;27:22,25;28:21,
98:24;107:18;176:4;	66:14;112:5,6,8,11;	21,23;90:1,4,23;91:3,8,	13,16,22;233:4,14,24;	21;29:11,12,15;30:6,6;
177:3,4,5;228:18,19;	124:21;126:14	13;92:10,13,17,20;	235:3,7,9,15,22;236:6,	35:13,14;40:5;43:16;
234:5;237:6;239:8;	grew (1)	93:16,20,23;94:2;95:2,	11;238:1,3,17,19,22;	48:4;52:23;53:2;55:19,
263:22;264:20;284:24	25:13	6,9,11,14,20,23;96:11,	239:2,11,15,18,22;	20;59:1;62:16,19,19,
gold (1)	grid (1)	13,17,22;97:4,13,16;	240:5,10,13;241:20,23;	20,22;64:24;65:5,15;
30:20	228:4	98:22;99:14,18,20;	242:2,16,20;243:4,8,	67:14;98:16;196:6
Good (24)	gridlock (3)	100:8,12,15,21;101:5,	18,23;244:3,11,15,18,	guarantee (1)
5:24;6:18;9:16;	226:22;227:18;234:5	20,24;102:7,13,25;	23;245:2,6,8,11,14,17,	246:16
15:22;23:2;31:13;	gritty (1)	103:7,16,20,24;104:1,	19,24;246:24;247:5,7,	guaranteed (1)
125:20;137:1;152:12;	256:21	4,8,14,18,20;105:11,	10,21;248:10,12,14,17,	237:16
225:1;237:1;256:16;	GROSSMAN (841)	17;106:15,24;107:10,	18,24;249:12,14,16,20,	Guckert (8)
257:8,9,16;262:18;	5:2,18;6:1,5,7,9,11,	12;108:5,9,16,21;	23;250:10,13,20,24;	58:20;59:10,21;85:2;
271:16;279:10;283:23;	14,21,24;7:2,5,10,13,	109:4,15;110:1,7,10,	251:3,5,11,16,20,23;	102:18;104:6,10;
284:21;285:1;288:9;	17,20;8:21,23,25;9:3,7,	13;111:14,18,20,22,25;	252:7;254:1,7,13;	134:10
290:9,18	10,12,14,16,18,25;	112:18,22;113:1,9,13,	255:2,7,16,19,21;	Guckert's (3)
goodness (1)	10:1,2,8,11,15,20,24;	17,21,24;115:7,9,12,	257:13;258:1,6,12,18;	80:22;83:1;134:20
120:8	11:4,6,7,14,19,22;12:1,	15,17,20,23,25;117:2,	260:7,12;261:1,20;	guess (19)
government (19)	8,11,21,25;14:5,7,11,	5,8,10,15,18,23,25;	262:3,8,12,16,25;	19:4;27:12;33:25;
41:20;52:5;215:13;	15,20;15:3,8,13,16,21;	118:4,14;119:22,25;	263:5;264:8,14;	54:16;70:25;71:22;
254:23,23;256:2,4;	16:9;17:4,9;18:5,8,13,	120:3;122:13,16,19,25;	265:14;266:4,13,16,19,	91:9;111:9;115:3;
257:18,19;263:21;	21,24;19:5,8;20:18;	120.5,122.15,10,19,25, 123:24;125:13,24;	21;267:9,12,17;268:16,	125:13;138:9;147:16;
				149:14;152:19;165:9;
264:5;267:23;268:13;	21:1,12,19,22,24;22:2,	126:8,22;127:5;	23,25;269:8,13,23;	
269:24;270:1;284:2,2;	11;23:10,18,21,25;	130:12;131:2,7;132:4,	270:21,24;271:5,8;	202:18;221:2;251:16;
290:6,6	24:5,8,13;25:6,23;	9,15,19,22,24;133:4,9;	272:9,15;273:4,8,13;	269:25
government- (1)	26:10,16,19;27:15,18;	134:21,24;135:1,3,5,	274:2,12,17,20,23;	guesstimated (1)
254:4	28:5,8,10,12,14,18,25;	25;136:14,25;137:4,7,	275:1,11,14,19;276:17;	81:19
governments (2)	29:3,6,18,25;30:12,16;	9,15,21,23;138:1,5,11,	277:8,15,19,21;278:14;	guidance (1)
264:10;268:2	31:3,10,19;32:2,10,16,	15,19;139:6,8,12,15,	279:17;280:25;281:2,	273:10
governs (1)	21,23;33:1,6,8,10,13,	20,23;140:2,4,7,22,25;	3,6,8;282:23;283:3,5,	guideline (2)
163:21	18,21,23;34:3,5,9,14;	141:6,21;142:8,11;	12,20;284:5,10,12,19;	273:18,23
Graduate (1)	35:1,3,8,20;36:8,12,18,	143:9,11,22;144:1,18;	285:8;286:16;287:22;	guidelines (7)
252:11	21;37:5,13,18,20;38:1,	145:1,5,20;146:5,7,24;	288:1,3,7,10,15,22;	227:11;272:17;
grandchildren (2)	8,13,19,23;39:1,3,5,9,	147:13,19,22;148:1,4,	289:4,8,15,24;290:12,	273:15;275:18,21;
114:7;124:9			18;291:2,7,11,14,18,	278:10;279:13
	19,21;40:11,13,16,24;	8,10,13,15,18,21,23;		
grandmother (1)	41:1,6,11,13,16,25;	149:2,7,11,14,18,20;	23,25;292:7,10;293:1,	guilty (1)
114:4	42:4,16,19,22;43:1,5,	152:13,14,16,18,23;	4,8,18;294:2,6,10,14,	194:8
grant (2)	12,18,24;44:4,9,13,16,	153:3,19,25;155:4;	18;295:1,3,9	guinea (1)
126:3;244:7	19,23,25;45:4,8,13;	157:6;160:19;161:3,	Grossman's (1)	124:10
granted (3)	46:3,15,17,23;47:1,4,	20;162:4,6,11,14,20;	197:3	gun (1)
132:16;198:16;201:8	12,18;48:8;49:2,5,14,	164:19;172:19;176:9,	ground (1)	229:16
granting (1)	18;50:1,4,11,18,23;	11;189:25;190:2,4,13;	271:2	guy (4)
284:6	51:4,17,18,22,25;	192:12,25;193:2,7,9,	grounds (2)	177:24;237:5,20;
grass (2)	52:20;53:2,6,12;54:2,4,	24;194:3;195:3;	13:16;244:14	248:8
129:10,13	5,10;55:4,7;57:17,19,	196:14,23;197:7,17,20;	groundwater (2)	guys (1)
grassy (1)	22,25;58:4,8,20;59:5,	198:9,13;199:13,15,17,	247:13,14	241:21
121:25	21;60:1,3,16,24;61:3,7,	21;200:6,8,11,20;	group (7)	211.21
grateful (1)	10,15,20,24;62:3,6,11,	201:3,24;202:1,5,7,10,	37:7,7;54:12;60:8;	Н
143:12	24;63:1,3,7,19,21;64:1,	14,18,23;203:6,9,13,		
			248:25;276:6,7	habita (2)
great (12)	4,14;65:7,10,12;66:1,3,	19,24;204:6,11,13,16,	groups (3)	habits (2)
24:7;34:17;54:13;	8,15,19,25;67:5,22,25;	20,22,24;205:1,4,13,	52:17;248:24;253:24	51:6;147:7
116:16;158:7;216:20;	68:10,12,25;69:5,9,12,	25;206:9,13,21;207:3,	grow (5)	half (3)
253:18;254:17;270:11;	14,21;70:1,3,8,13,16,	6;210:23;211:22,24;	24:20;25:10,25;	113:5;148:25;227:11
277:1;290:10,12	18,20,23;71:3,7,16,18,	213:13,20;214:13,22;	55:22;63:6	hand (7)
greater (1)	21,23;72:2,9,11,14,17,	215:8,10,12,17,21,23;	growing (7)	113:22;221:16;
240:1	21,25;73:6,13,23;	216:13,21,23;217:24;	24:21;25:18;26:8,9,	251:21;262:5;264:1;
green (7)	74:10;75:6,16,20,23;	218:1,17,19,22;219:7,	13,14;98:17	272:3;285:11
125:13,14,14,21,21;	76:1,3,5,13,20,23;	14,20;220:4,16,19,24;	grown (1)	handed (1)
126:1,15	77:13,19,23;78:1,4,7;	221:1,7,12,15,18;	206:17	243:25
greenery (1)	79:8,22;80:11,15;	222:1,6,9,12,16,18,20,	grows (2)	handicapped (1)
125:12	81:22;82:2,5,25;83:3,8,	23;223:1,9,12,15,18,	20:8;21:3	241:10
greenhouse (15)	20,25;84:2,8,14,16,18,	25;224:5,11,14,17;	growth (35)	handing (1)
17:17;19:25;63:11,	24;85:6,21;86:3,18;	228:8,11;230:18;	16:5,5;20:12;25:17;	163:9

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHOLESALE CORPO	RATION	1	
handle (4)	160:24;161:7;210:11,	168:18	hone (1)	242:14
38:22;112:14;	14;244:16;259:20;	Herb (2)	243:4	hurt (2)
228:16;241:19	260:9,15,17;275:8;	9:13;127:2	honed (1)	229:18,18
handling (1)	282:15;287:4,9,15,19,	here's (3)	243:8	hypothetical (1)
228:15	20	86:23;276:1,20	honestly (1)	156:15
handwriting (2)	healthy (3)	high (30)	142:13	
15:9,21	213:8;260:24,24	28:21;29:12,14,22;	Honor (2)	I
happen (15)	hear (7)	30:6;31:23;32:1;33:18;	39:24;49:20	
10:6;36:16,17;48:16;	111:4;176:14;209:9,	34:11;45:22;46:1;	hope (8)	I-95 (1)
160:18;209:25;225:3;	13,15;257:3;272:13	55:18;82:19;83:6,9,22,	31:3,4;256:8,9;	265:11
226:21;229:20;230:5;	heard (21)	23;84:11,12,21,22;	272:11;277:23;280:9;	ice (3)
239:24;257:11;277:25;	6:22;51:7;52:6;	92:6;116:17;121:13;	289:21	125:4,5;137:20
287:6,6	110:15;114:25;124:1;	164:25;248:3,11;	hopefully (2)	idea (7)
happened (5)	125:16;165:5,6;184:8,	263:13;269:20;273:24	31:2;222:4	25:24;133:5;156:10;
47:1;230:5;240:3; 279:3;287:6	10;209:14,23;226:18; 242:18;243:3;251:6;	<b>high- (1)</b> 226:20	hoping (2) 12:11,12	180:6;213:4;234:18; 283:23
happening (4)	279:6,23;285:21;290:1	higher (4)	Hopkins (1)	ideas (2)
48:17;160:17;256:6;	hearing (29)	30:4;94:13;103:10;	252:10	174:25;256:12
283:10	5:3,12,16,17,18;	265:7	horrible (1)	identical (17)
happens (6)	7:24;8:10,11;11:8,18;	highest (2)	239:5	164:7;174:24;175:7;
59:13;132:12;	12:3,13;13:3;132:11;	37:11;189:20	Hospital (2)	183:18;198:21;203:5,
143:17;227:18,19;	184:17;188:4;190:19;	highlighted (1)	212:17,21	7;207:15,16,20,25;
260:2	196:24;198:16;201:14;	193:12	hospitals (1)	208:2,3,19;209:20;
happily (1)	205:8;224:8;233:24;	highly (1)	124:18	214:18,23
260:21	236:19;247:9;253:18;	237:17	hotels (1)	identification (22)
happy (2)	260:22;292:14;295:13	Highway (8)	124:18	9:24;15:24;19:11;
34:20;37:14	hearings (4)	42:7;43:2;52:25;	hour (11)	33:20;34:8,13;43:4;
hard (13)	225:17;242:17;	53:1;63:7,8;191:25;	82:20;113:5;148:17,	45:3,7;52:9;53:5;54:9;
46:5;68:21;147:7;	289:19;292:25	264:3	25;226:23;234:3,7,17;	55:12;57:8;58:7;61:19;
171:21;191:25;218:11;	hearsay (11)	highways (2)	241:17;247:3;288:6	62:10;64:3;67:4;68:16;
235:8,11;254:5;	115:8,20;141:14,15;	267:22,24	hours (7)	71:6;72:1
256:13;277:4;282:3,25	176:22;235:13,23,23,	hill (3)	114:23;125:3;	identified (5)
hardly (1)	25;280:16,17	116:6;140:20;141:3	135:14;217:23;218:4;	192:16;206:6,7;
215:20 HARRIS (94)	heart (1) 248:2	himself (2) 98:21;278:9	234:5,24 house (5)	224:7;290:16 identify (6)
5:24,24;6:1;7:16;	heavy (1)	historical (1)	228:4,25;230:9;	5:21;6:14;11:24;
11:15,20;13:7;36:9,23;	21:18	52:23	234:1;235:20	60:24;61:10;223:16
37:6;38:19,24;39:4,15,	Heights (13)	history (7)	household (11)	idle (2)
20;102:9,15;103:2,9;	6:4,17,20;7:1;8:3;	164:5;199:23;200:5;	53:18;68:9;73:2,3,8,	123:4;161:1
112:19,24;126:24;	9:20;59:6;78:21;219:8;	202:25;204:17;277:14;	9,11;74:2,7,15;123:18	idling (14)
127:1,3,8,18,25;	224:22;226:9;249:5;	279:18	households (13)	111:7;112:4,4,8,10,
128:13,17,19,21,25;	250:4	hit (6)	73:19;74:4,4,5,19,	13;120:12;122:1;
129:2,6,8,12,17,20,22,	held (4)	7:18;45:22;115:3;	25;75:10;76:14,17,19;	123:5;124:17,20,22;
25;130:3,6,16,19,22,	13:22;62:16;211:6;	200:13;228:3;241:23	92:3,7;102:3	135:22;167:3
25;131:11,13,16,19,23;	213:15	hits (1)	houses (4)	ie (1)
132:8;133:11,16,18,20,	hello (1)	34:10	234:23;235:4,10;	158:11
22;134:10,17,25;135:2,	6:18	hold (12)	237:13	ii (1)
6,12,17,24;204:8,12,	help (10) 47:18;63:16;99:1;	13:22;21:22;33:6; 44:16;122:8;126:22;	housing (3) 60:18;61:17;225:16	19:18
14,19,21;218:21,24; 248:13,17,19;249:11;	125:20;194:13;222:3;	145:1,1;222:16,18;	How's (1)	<b>iii</b> (2) 19:19,23
289:1;290:13,14,19;	223:23;240:2;241:10;	262:5;276:25	288:22	imagine (2)
291:1,18,20,24;292:1;	284:2	holding (2)	huge (7)	79:5;229:20
293:5,7,10,22;294:9,	helped (2)	262:8;279:1	46:13;105:24;107:6,	immediate (4)
11,17,20;295:7	118:4;224:25	holes (2)	6;263:23;264:6;267:4	108:10;219:25;
hazard (4)	helpful (5)	234:12,13	humor (1)	272:18,21
116:7;124:16;242:6;	112:25;196:18;	home (8)	225:7	immediately (4)
246:21	261:16;290:20;292:19	106:5;114:13;	hundred (3)	30:20;79:10;81:5;
head (6)	helping (4)	121:10;126:20;175:13;	23:24;24:11;79:2	187:20
7:18;134:5;212:14;	114:7;225:13,21;	225:21,23;241:3	hundreds (1)	immobilized (1)
220:20;221:21;229:16	276:14	homes (3)	177:7	144:9
health (22)	helps (2)	73:4;77:22;230:16	hungry (1)	impact (11)
111:7;112:5;118:18;	115:19,23	hometown (1)	112:22	17:25;48:12;77:18;
124:8,16;133:7;	Henderson (1)	237:21	Hurricane (1)	78:24;83:5;89:5;259:8,
	1	1	1	l

18:267:7:282:8:285:23 impacting (1) 231:1 impacts (4) 18:16;35:16;77:22; 79:1 impede (2) 123:2,3 implementation (1) 65:15 implemented (1) 265:10 implication (1) 83:21 important (7) 23:12;38:5;42:6; 241:16;252:15;285:24; 286:3 impose (2) 269:11;278:16 imposed (2) 233:7;292:17 impossible (4) 118:3:130:10: 236:18;279:9 impressive (1) 114:14 improper (3) 211:6,7;213:16 improve (4) 111:15,19,24;112:2 improved (1) 182:4 improvements (3) 22:19;47:14;182:2 inadequate (4) 245:10,13;246:21,22 inadvertently (1) 278:10 inappropriate (2) 213:1;274:18 Inc (1) 14:17 incapacitated (1) 144:12 inch (4) 226:6;262:16,18,22 inches (1) 228:6 incidentally (1) 212:7 include (12) 5:7;18:1;21:13; 88:23,24,25;105:18,19; 118:22;278:19,21; 281:15 included (7) 16:4;17:1,25;171:13; 199:9;201:4;226:25 includes (12) 20:2;21:15;22:16; 42:10:106:17:155:4.8. 10;160:22;196:5;

209:4:224:5 including (12) 37:2,2;92:24;98:10; 99:3:108:10.16: 109:24;169:23;194:5; 200:22;219:17 incompatible (1) 59:18 incomplete (1) 193:21 inconsistent (1) 123:23 inconvenient (4) 165:8,13;176:1,21 incorporates (1) 21:5 incorrect (1) 80:23 in-county (1) 226:8 increase (17) 16:19;20:14;21:6; 22:4,7;27:9;33:4; 34:21;43:11;63:3;65:5; 66:11;91:6;123:5; 226:4;246:21;283:7 increased (5) 27:6:63:17:122:2: 246:21.22 increases (3) 20:3,13:55:17 increasing (4) 25:15:27:1,1:115:2 incredibly (1) 147:14 increments (2) 284:24;286:2 indeed (3) 249:6;275:15,15 independent (3) 13:18;70:21;158:8 independently (1) 115:18 indicate (4) 51:1;68:8;199:23; 201:11 indicated (6) 36:11;80:15;109:8; 126:2;185:6;216:6 indicates (5) 34:16:35:12:41:23; 44:15:77:15 indicating (2) 57:12;199:25 indications (1) 27:22 indicators (1) 27:20 indirectly (1) 190:17 indiscernible (3) 262:1;270:20;291:19 individual (2)

6:24:7:1 individuals (1) 209:16 Industry (3) 192:10;193:4;282:6 infill (3) 226:4,5,10 inflection (1) 16:11 influence (1) 53:23 influenced (1) 24:18 influencing (1) 54:15 info (1) 43:2 inform (1) 289:20 Information (14) 24:3:44:12:49:9: 51:7:53:1:56:5:96:3: 204:17;224:23;260:3, 15;263:2;271:16; 291:17 infrastructure (2) 109:6;256:12 ingress (1) 130:4 inhale (1) 122:6 inherent (16) 152:3:161:13: 162:24.25:163:2.23.25: 213:10;230:13,21; 231:13,16;232:2,15,15; 236:17 inherently (3) 94:23:161:11:212:25 initial (4) 268:4,7;270:1,2 injury (2) 221:21;282:20 **Innovation** (1) 52:12 **Innovative** (4) 52:21;253:25;254:2; 256:10 insight (1) 260:25 insights (1) 252:5 install (1) 131:20 installation (1) 125:19 installing (1) 125:17 instance (18) 19:17;33:3;40:5; 41:7:45:17:47:11: 48:18;51:12;54:21; 143:20;159:22;173:6;

129:14 177:1;179:13;186:18; 234:3.16:287:3 instead (3) 157:15:203:6:225:18 into (66) Institute (4) 32:5;33:16;53:8; 58:14 in-store (1) 193:17 instruction (1) 279:12 instrumental (1) 282:6 intake (1) 255:9 integrity (2) 246:16;272:2 intelligently (1) 292:21 intend (1) 249:19 intent (2) 278:23;281:13 intention (1) 204:1 interaction (1) 258:22 interactions (1) 54:19 124:9 Interest (3) 54:12;263:21;267:4 interested (2) 253:20:254:6 interests (1) 279:16 272:5 interfere (2) 256:5;280:13 interference (1) 264:6 interfering (2) 59:19;211:3 interim (2) 45:22;46:1 interior (1) isolate (1) 147:11 185:1 internal (8) issue (32) 85:19;86:4,5,8,22, 25;88:15;255:9 internationally (1) 225:10 interpret (4) 45:9:240:10.13: 257:14 interpretation (8) 103:17;104:5;156:4; 205:10;210:25;214:14; 278:25;280:2 issues (27) intersect (2) 128:14;227:3 intersection (6) 116:8:121:22.23: 128:9;139:5;227:8 intersections (1)

intersects (2) 227:4.14 9:22;12:19;16:25; 18:4;19:2,21,22;24:23; 26:22;35:18;36:24; 37:7:41:8:46:18:48:1; 50:9,13;51:24;88:19; 90:11:93:20:105:22; 108:24;109:1,2,15; 110:19,24;118:9,19; 119:19;123:8;128:11; 129:3;130:4;136:8,18; 138:24,25;139:1; 140:19;143:19;155:15; 161:9;164:13;171:18; 175:24;176:22;177:10, 10;196:8;214:22; 217:6,10;232:13; 234:13,19:238:13: 240:2:246:18:247:16: 250:8;254:3;261:2; 264:14;286:6 introduce (2) 51:24;79:24 introduction (1) invented (3) 252:23:254:8,9 investigate (2) 185:18:189:8 investigation (1) investigations (4) 260:4;282:15,15,16 involved (5) 121:20:233:2: 243:17;253:11;275:9 involvement (2) 278:2;289:17 irrespective (2) 167:21;184:13 14:4;69:20;78:8; 97:25;102:12;112:4; 114:15;151:19;153:18; 160:20;161:4;162:13; 164:6;204:3;205:18, 19.22;206:8;207:6,9; 214:15;215:1,1,17; 216:25;218:24;241:4; 250:18;264:19,19; 281:20;285:6 44:1;58:23;111:3,7, 7;112:6;118:18; 150:21;160:16,23,24; 162:17;175:24;210:14, 14;218:16;240:19; 241:1;244:24;249:1;

PETITION OF COSICO	WHOLESALE C
252:18;264:17,18;	Kanawha (1)
269:3;278:2;286:25;	253:11
288:20	Karen (3)
ITE (2)	67:19;238:9;294
58:23;59:23	keep (7)
item (3)	76:23;167:5;238
136:20;191:3;193:17	22;261:11;279:1
items (2)	293:16
192:5;194:17	keeping (2)
iteration (1)	261:18;265:6
206:5	keeps (1)
iterations (1)	124:2
200:3	Kenmont (5)
200.3	114:8,10;119:1;
J	121:23;131:1
J	Kensington (30)
iom (1)	
jam (1)	6:3,12,13,16,19;
227:22	1;8:3;9:20;59:6; 21;113:20;114:4
jams (1) 238:8	
	125:1;154:25;19
Jeffrey (1)	219:8;224:22;22
115:24 Langer (1)	19,20,25;228:5;
Jersey (1)	229:12;249:4,6,2
254:24	250:4
Jim (1)	key (4)
288:19	27:20;46:5,6;259
job (5)	KHCA (1)
16:5;31:1;232:7;	78:5
252:19;290:4	kid (1)
Johns (1)	229:16
252:10	<b>kill (2)</b> 238:14;248:2
<b>join (1)</b> 221:8	kind (54)
	16:11;19:12;21:
<b>journey (1)</b> 130:17	28:19;29:20;31:
judgment (10)	43:16;46:20;48:4
96:20;273:11,20,21;	52:2;59:18;68:6;
275:6,9,10;280:22;	77:2;79:1;80:1;8
286:6,6	83:11;93:2;94:10
judgments (1)	3;98:16;107:19;
273:19	125:12;150:8,20
July (4)	158:8;159:18;16
53:9;63:24;71:13,23	171:19;177:24;1
jumped (2)	191:6,13;196:23
23:18;203:11	198:4;206:17;20
jumping (1)	210:25;215:1;23
90:5	234:13,23;235:2
juncture (1)	256:21;269:25;2
129:18	276:11;280:10;2
June (7)	kindly (1)
42:8,9;43:7,8;45:21;	221:8
46:2;101:2	kinds (10)
jurisdiction (3)	17:18;56:3;92:8
133:1;233:8;280:14	161:8;168:15;16
Justice (1)	171:5;191:15;21
287:17	212:16
justify (1)	K-Mart (1)
289:20	182:25
jut (1)	knew (4)
251:1	72:22;116:21;25
	279:15
Κ	knocked (1)
	228·4

LEGALE COM O	
vha (1)	knowing (2)
11	30:24;265:22
(3)	knowledge (2)
9;238:9;294:21	225:2;289:20
<b>7</b> ) 3;167:5;238:17,	Knowles (2) 227:7;228:23
61:11;279:13;	known (1)
16	5:9
ng (2)	knows (4)
18;265:6	11:17;226:11;
(1)	253:12;290:7
2 ont (5)	L
8,10;119:1;	-
23;131:1	LA (2)
ngton (30)	128:6,8
12,13,16,19;7:1,	label (3)
3;9:20;59:6;78:7, 13:20;114:4;	31:17,18;76:7
1;154:25;197:20;	labeled (2) 19:3;42:20
8;224:22;226:8,9,	labels (3)
0,25;228:5;	86:21,21,22
12;249:4,6,24;	lack (10)
4	13:20;48:1;99:3;
)	160:2,6;180:6,17;
(1) (0;46:5,6;259:1 (1)	181:4;191:13;218:13 ladies (1)
	220:19
	land (9)
16	59:16;78:12;109:11;
)	211:18,20;226:6;
14;248:2	233:18;276:7;292:16
<b>54</b> ) 1;19:12;21:17;	lands (1) 252:13
9;29:20;31:8;	lane (4)
6;46:20;48:4,12;	82:22;119:11,14;
;59:18;68:6;75:11;	144:3
;79:1;80:1;82:23;	lanes (1)
1;93:2;94:16;96:3,	145:10
:16;107:19;108:2;	language (16)
12;150:8,20; 8;159:18;169:6;	20:22,23;200:4; 201:5,14;202:3,11;
19;177:24;184:25;	203:1;204:2;205:15,
6,13;196:23,24;	16;206:15;213:15,16,
4;206:17;208:25;	17;214:17
25;215:1;230:6;	large (10)
13,23;235:24; 21;269:25;273:22;	47:15;124:19; 143:20;145:9,25;
11;280:10;283:13	145:20;145:9,25; 146:12;266:14,22;
(1)	276:8;285:17
8	larger (4)
(10)	76:1;121:2;254:14;
8;56:3;92:8;	267:15
8;168:15;169:7; 5;191:15;210:12;	Larry (1)
16;191:15;210:12;	251:18 last ( <b>30</b> )
rt (1)	7:3,21;11:17;13:2;
25	23:1;27:11;32:10;
(4)	43:15;46:4;47:7,13;
2;116:21;258:3;	53:10;56:2;66:17;
15 od (1)	77:11;90:10;106:1;
ed (1) 4	127:5;168:16;181:22; 185:2;190:19;206:22;
•	105.2,170.17,200.22,

292:2

late (6)

later (5)

latest (5)

laugh (1)

248:4

247:8

law (20)

lay (3)

LDV (1)

21:8

LDVs (4)

lead (2)

190:5.9

234:19

231:21

leaks (1)

learn (2)

237:3

124:7

289:20

228:21

243:5

left (9)

leave (6)

least (23)

216:15;227:1;252:10; 123:18:177:5:203:15; 256:8:261:15:263:6: 269:10.10.13:280:11 legal (12) 151:4;152:24; 9:17;149:13;184:16; 153:18,20,21,22; 170:20,22;171:7; 209:12;225:7,23 207:4;213:9;281:10 19:15;52:1;124:10; legislative (10) 279:6;292:6 199:23;200:5; 202:25;204:17;206:24; 45:24;46:1;260:5; 253:14;277:14;279:18; 264:23;274:5 280:20:281:23 legislature (2) 206:25;277:18 laughed (1) legitimate (5) 79:13;205:19; 206:18;283:18,20 49:15;66:12,12,14; length (2) 97:5,10;152:12; 216:20;245:6 164:10;207:1;211:4; Lerch (1) 212:16:213:16:229:25: 5:24 252:12,14,16;253:15, less (28) 21,21;259:11 49:13;50:8;68:9; 93:1,14;113:6;145:9; 223:2,4;252:3 167:3;183:3,4,5,8; 187:16;188:21;191:16; 196:2;197:4,5,10,11, 13,25;209:2;227:8; 20:1,3;21:6,7 255:25;263:23;265:20; 286:11 249:7;272:25 lesser (1) leading (5) 20:13 22:20;55:2;128:14; lesson (1) 49:14 leaking (1) lets (1) 268:19 letter (1) 9:19 letters (5) 124:10:135:17 228:14:277:5.8.11; learned (1) 278:15 level (19) learning (1) 20:15;25:18;45:25; 46:1;49:9;55:23; 116:17;127:9;134:14, 80:23;83:4;102:16; 16,17;196:16;269:20; 105:4,5;119:21; 276:21;278:1;280:4; 150:20;160:7;186:10; 285:8,9,23 191:5;205:11;208:16; leveling (3) 228:18;229:11;231:23; 62:21;63:4,8 246:13:247:23:254:7; levels (8) 269:3;271:5,6;283:7; 20:11,15;21:9;55:17; 134:12;264:21,22; 283:5 license (1) 7:9;12:16;77:4; 126:20;187:5;188:2 285:7 leaving (3) licensed (1) 118:24;121:19; 53:18 light (24) leeway (1) 18:12;20:1,2,7,24; 21:1,12,15;22:15,21; 25:3,21,25;27:23,25; 12:18;120:10; 28:11;54:7;78:25;

228:4

152:1.10:161:17; listening (1 164:6:251:7:278:21 282:3 lightly (1) listing (1) 259:22 5:13 lightning (1) little (24) 26:21:69 228:3 lights (1) 73:7:114 238:13 177:2:19 light's (1) 243:5;25 99:10 254:10:2: 262:23;2 likelihood (2) 43:22;189:17 271:11;2' likely (19) 284:3;292 50:9;53:22;56:11; Live (8) 67:8;88:16;96:8;97:7; 8:24:73:3 99:2;160:17;175:8; 167:21;1 228:7;25 187:16;188:20,22; 189:15;191:12,18; lived (2) 195:20;218:11;260:20 114:4;22 lives (2) lily (1) 38:10 209:4:24 limit (4) living (7) 40:14;168:5;270:25; 7:1;125: 279:4 225:15,22 limitation (1) 248:7 213:11 LLC (1) limited (10) 168:18 77:18;79:4;80:18; loading (8) 89:16;106:19;125:3,8; 135:7,8,1 173:21;208:12;242:16 177:6,7,1 limits (1) loaf(1)215:13 125:5 line (30) lobbied (1) 20:20;27:23;28:14, 259:13 22,23;29:2,16;30:7; lobbying (1 46:7,8;55:14,18;80:9; 256:3 local (9) 89:15,17,23,24;90:4,6; 111:10;119:19;120:13; 105:8,9,1 126:9;142:3;175:10; 172:10:2 184:3;210:15;242:21; 268:2;29 245:21:283:14 locality (1) lined (2) 177:9 292:24;295:9 localized (1 lines (19) 91:25 locals (1) 46:4;120:12;142:6, 268:2 22;143:1;152:8;165:9, 14,25;166:1,6,7,10,16; locate (1) 175:22;197:5,11,14; 286:4 280:15 located (7) lineup (1) 5:8;79:18 290:19 138:21:1 links (1) 280:7 33:16 location (5 81:1;118 list (8) 9:22;10:4;12:10; 19;165:4 33:8;73:12;248:21; locations (6 251:9;291:3 124:18;1 listed (5) 144:24:1 12:3;81:11;198:24; loco(1)199:3:232:10 71:4 listen (4) long(21)223:25;231:10; 24:11:60 280:5;282:1 64:17:11

ALE CORFOI	NATION
• `	
1)	148:15,23;153:6;
	165:14;166:16;175:22;
	226:17;242:24;250:17;
	259:14;262:5,25;
	263:1;271:20;291:3
9:6,22;70:24;	longer (7)
1:17;126:12;	16:12;22:10;126:12;
94:8;225:12;	146:13,13;246:13;
53:7,20;	287:23
257:5;258:14;	longstanding (1)
263:20,23;	225:9
276:19;282:17;	long-term (2)
02:2	54:1;56:11
	look (70)
3;126:10;	20:17;29:25;30:21;
69:23;173:12;	50:20;61:8;62:13;68:5;
51:19	76:10;81:7,9;90:8,14,
	15,16;106:14;108:7,13,
29:13	14,15,19,22,23;109:17,
	22;110:2;116:8;
1.10	
1:18	141:19;145:8;154:20,
	21;157:9;160:14;
15,19;	163:3,5;164:4,5,9,11;
2;236:24;	168:10;169:6,21;
	170:8,9,23;171:3,19,
	22;172:14;173:6;
	181:3,5,11,19;206:8;
)	212:10;216:16;220:13;
13;136:4;	241:9;245:21;248:21;
12;272:20	269:3;275:10;285:11;
,_/0	286:12,14;291:22;
	293:6,12,12;294:11
``	
)	looked (18)
	16:16,17;64:7;77:16;
1)	154:15,18;169:12,13;
	170:5;172:8,10;
	181:21;203:1;206:4;
15,17;	226:19;258:3;278:15;
233:8;267:23;	281:22
90:6	looking (31)
	18:22;19:1;20:18;
	28:8;29:21;34:19;
1)	59:12;68:2,3;77:21;
-,	82:18;83:10,11;89:11;
	120:21;133:17;151:23;
	160:15,16;165:17;
	170:15;172:13;179:13;
	206:3;213:1;216:9;
	270:20,22;280:3;
8;119:1;	282:16;292:4
46:4;175:15;	looks (6)
	46:7;60:17;108:21;
5)	150:7;179:19;226:7
3:17;144:16,	loosely (1)
Ļ	234:14
6)	lose (6)
39:21;	181:2;195:24;
45:2,3;226:3	196:13;217:3;228:21;
	264:3
	loss (7)
	179:22;180:10,17;
):19;62:5;	184:24;188:9,11;189:5
3:3;146:1;	lost (2)
5.5,140.1,	1031 (2)

139:22;287:25 Lot (87) 5:9:31:14,14:37:20; 41:2,13,17;48:19;50:5, 22;90:15,16;97:23; 99:5,6;118:8,9,11; 119:6;121:21;122:15; 126:19:131:5:132:6: 134:12;138:4,5,15; 139:22:143:6.20.23; 144:1;145:15,18,19,20; 146:17;147:5;152:21; 165:10;166:12,21; 176:2;177:10;188:15; 194:1;201:5;236:22; 238:13;252:1,17; 253:7,23;256:11,17,20, 20,22,22;257:9,17,18; 258:4,9,22;259:13; 260:24,24;261:13,13, 18:263:1:266:11; 268:13,14;271:22; 278:6;280:19;282:10, 12;286:6,20,21,24; 287:1;291:2 lots (6) 48:23;115:2,6; 146:14,18;243:13 love (4) 115:4;176:13; 285:23;288:8 low (10) 29:10,22;30:6,9,9; 55:23;83:9;88:16; 120:22,23 lower (6) 20:15;30:4;58:13; 75:17;96:8;97:20 lowered (1) 65:18 lowest (2) 183:12:189:20 luckily (2) 229:1;237:23 Lucky (24) 68:3;79:23,23;80:5, 8;97:10;150:7;151:12, 24;152:11;153:16; 154:18;158:19;159:3, 7;163:3;179:19;199:8; 205:10;206:16;211:2; 212:1,11;213:14 lumping (2) 273:22;274:2 lunch (7) 112:21,24;113:2,2; 114:11;148:11;149:9 luncheon (1) 149:5 Μ

200:18 ma'am (9) 7:7;67:22;113:15; 119:23;123:25;130:13; 137:5;222:18;243:19 Macy's (1) 102:20 Macy's/Target (1) 139:13 main (4)23:4;127:12;177:3; 183:15 maintain (2) 125:20;126:4 maintenance (2) 125:18;126:1 major (9) 145:7;186:12; 231:20;242:10,10; 246:4;263:16;264:17; 290:7 majority (2) 135:13,18 makes (10) 41:2;59:22;80:23; 159:7;168:3;241:7; 253:19;254:19;259:10; 275:6 making (11) 24:24;41:7;50:24; 105:14:126:15:133:6; 167:5:169:13:188:6: 241:17:281:14 Mall (90) 5:10;78:18;79:9,17; 88:18,19,24;89:12,13; 100:1;105:18,19; 106:16,25;107:14; 108:10,16,17,24,25; 109:1,6,12,14,18,25; 114:16.17.20.21: 116:15,18:118:12,19, 23;119:5;121:19,22; 123:8,9;134:2;136:3,8, 9;137:10,16,25;138:3, 21,22,24;139:2,3,14, 16;140:9;141:1; 144:20,24;145:2,3,11; 146:7,16,25;147:4,8; 155:5,8,9,10;175:4,12, 23;177:16;189:18,19; 209:5;210:4,5,15; 229:14,15;234:16; 238:10;240:2;250:8; 266:1,1;272:19 malls (6) 115:4;144:17;145:3, 4;146:23;147:5 man(1) 285:1 manage (2)

Ma (1)

137:14;196:7

management (6)

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHULESALE CORPO	KATION		
232:25;234:10,14;	113:20;221:14;229:24;	Mayors (2)	145:10,11	12
		256:25;257:1	meet (3)	might (51)
236:18;243:2,11	231:21;237:18;251:19;	,	171:5;260:11;261:4	
mandated (1)	254:24;264:9;265:11 <b>Ma's (1)</b>	<b>maze (2)</b> 114:21;121:20		18:17;48:15;55:16;
125:21	201:4	MDE (2)	<b>meeting (1)</b> 10:13	68:4;69:22;77:17;78:7;
mandates (1)				83:9,9;94:7;109:11;
63:12	Massachusetts (1)	277:24;278:16	member (5)	110:18;125:8;136:9;
maneuvers (1)	256:23	mean (126)	99:8;119:4;123:15;	141:13;149:13;153:13;
135:11	master (4)	10:15;35:20;38:23;	225:9;241:10	154:1;158:5;164:25;
Manhattan (1)	13:21,24;236:24;	40:11;48:8;50:11,24;	members (21)	169:24;179:8;183:24;
226:7	244:23	62:24;69:21,23;70:14,	98:2;105:9;106:9;	184:14;189:14,19,19;
manner (1)	masterful (1)	23;79:15,17,22;87:17;	118:21;120:18;155:24;	191:23;192:7,16;
182:7	290:4	88:5;91:10,11;92:1,4;	159:2,2;160:14;	196:4;200:12;207:23;
many (48)	material (1)	94:16;95:4;99:13;	164:21;165:3,11;	208:10;213:3;217:21;
37:1;47:22;57:14;	245:23	103:8;105:12,18;	173:1,3,11,16,17,19,	218:5,8,9,10;220:5;
74:4,4,5;87:12;91:17;	materials (3)	106:4;107:1,17,22;	22;178:3,13	233:14,14;250:12;
101:12;113:25;115:5;	36:23;241:8;261:14	108:6,19;111:20;	membership (11)	252:6;270:14;273:9;
118:25;121:10;124:6;	matter (13)	115:9;119:22;121:23;	97:10,11;102:3;	288:5,5;293:20;295:4
126:16;127:20;133:12,	5:3;11:9;112:6;	124:4;134:4,16;138:6;	106:7,8;110:6;120:6;	Mike (1)
25;134:2;147:9;	153:22;213:9;222:13;	142:8;143:14;144:8;	159:9,12;160:8;179:25	6:2
157:24;166:24;167:14;	240:17;243:7;263:7;	145:3;147:8,10;150:4;	Memco (1)	mile (7)
176:5,16;184:13;	270:12,12;280:18;	152:21,22;156:24;	97:16	23:14;25:8;34:24;
191:4;194:16;195:8;	287:5	158:3,3;161:7,17;	Memco's (1)	114:5;171:25;172:9;
208:6,12;212:20;	matters (5)	162:4;164:11;176:5,	159:4	227:9
213:5;224:25,25;	7:21;9:15;11:4;	19;181:25;182:9;	memo (2)	mileage (11)
228:1;237:13;239:22;	12:15;111:8	183:7;187:9,11;	200:3;201:4	17:2,6;22:14;23:15;
247:16;253:13;256:23;	maximum (9)	189:11,12,25;190:4,14;	men (1)	31:15,17;36:5;42:9;
258:7;262:10;267:5,	40:8;53:19,25;83:4;	196:1,4,14,15,19;	248:6	43:8,17;51:15
21;280:21,21,21	84:23;93:12;94:21,23;	197:5;200:8;203:13;	mention (3)	miles (46)
map (1)	96:6	209:23;211:3,25;	16:23;221:21;224:1	20:4,12;22:17,20;
138:20	may (84)	214:25;216:25;219:7,	mentioned (7)	23:12,15,16;24:16,17,
maps (1)	8:8;11:1;12:25;18:6;	20;227:7;231:15;	141:23;160:20;	18;25:1,10,13;26:13;
137:1	24:20;37:8;38:21;46:7;	235:12;237:11;247:9,	194:24;234:4;242:8;	27:6,9,21;33:5;34:23;
Margaret (2)	57:2;58:17,23,23;	21,25;249:24;258:18;	245:22;247:8	42:12;43:20;44:19;
6:16;113:19	79:22;80:2,4;88:6;	260:9;261:20;267:3;	mentioning (1)	49:1,1,1;54:22,25;55:3,
Mark (3)	90:16;91:18,21,21;	269:18;271:25;272:14;	274:8	21;56:17;62:14,17,22;
6:10;8:3;51:25	98:20;103:8,10;	273:17,22;275:2,3;	menu (1)	63:8,8,16;65:10,11,21,
marked (25)	112:19;113:24;133:4,	277:25;279:5;280:1,	107:23	25;66:7;112:9;125:2;
9:23;15:23;19:3,10;	5;136:23;141:19;	23,23;281:24;282:9;	merely (2)	167:16;174:12;209:2
33:19;34:7,12;43:3;	159:14;161:15;162:17;	283:10,12;284:10,14,	183:21;217:10	mile's (1)
45:2,6;52:7,8;53:4;	180:12,12,14;182:5,11,	18;286:5,8,11;287:17;	met (3)	227:11
54:8;55:11;57:7;58:6;	11,14,16,16;183:21;	290:4;291:14;292:1,	154:11;179:15;	Mill (5)
61:18;62:9;64:2;67:3;	184:23;186:6,24;	15;293:7,11;294:11	276:19	5:8;113:19;114:20;
68:15;71:5,25;192:11	192:18;194:16,18,19;	meaning (2)	meter (1)	120:24;128:10
market (24)	196:11,21,21;197:11,	45:9;122:13	120:22	millennials (2)
24:19;39:17;80:1,1;	11;198:16,17;201:8,	meaningful (1)	method (2)	37:7;54:14
98:24;106:21;158:8;	15;204:1;209:13;	28:23	78:22;157:7	million (66)
169:23;172:1;211:21;	218:8;219:23,25;	means (10)	methods (2)	26:25;65:23;81:15,
215:19,21,24,24;216:1,	221:18;233:4;234:10;	5:19;11:15;88:7;	57:13;60:22	20,22,22,23,24,25;
4;217:10;218:25;	235:16;246:14;249:7,	130:4;134:17;152:25;	metric (1)	82:1,3,4;83:16;85:3,
219:9,9,12,18,23;	18;250:18,21,23;	174:3;177:18;239:10;	65:23	11;87:6,7,10,14;88:5,5,
220:14	251:23;261:3,3,4;	288:11	Metro (1)	9,10;89:14;91:20;93:1,
marketing (2)	270:11,12;273:6,6;	meant (2)	125:22	7,8,13,23;94:1,9,21;
114:3;225:4	279:10;280:14;294:12	269:21;285:20	Metropolitan (2)	95:4,13;96:7,7;99:25;
marking (1)	Maybe (28)	measure (6)	60:21;228:3	100:2,13;101:17,18,21,
52:6	59:8;73:3;78:5;	94:16;211:17;263:4,	Michael (1)	22,22;103:17;104:3,5;
married (1)	82:14;92:1;105:11;	9;265:2;279:2	200:18	105:2,5,12;157:15,16;
237:4	113:5,6;119:3;121:12;	measured (1)	Michele (2)	158:5,15,21;179:1,4;
Martin (1)	153:11;184:11;205:2;	59:10	6:3;9:8	195:15,16;198:1;
5:18	229:14;230:16;237:14,	measurements (2)	<b>Michigan (3)</b>	208:15;253:5;259:19,
Maryland (22)	15;238:6;246:7,13;	59:22;283:16	32:4;33:15;53:8	208.15,255.5,259.19, 21;276:9
5:9;14:16,18;42:10;	247:19;256:20;262:5,	<b>median (1)</b>	middle (9)	mind (5)
56:17;57:6;62:2,7;	5;269:14;271:8;	145:12	26:23;28:22;29:7;	159:13;167:7;
63:7,12;64:14,22;66:9;	283:15;294:7		60:6;237:22;265:2,5,7,	261:11;265:6;291:19
03.7,12,04.14,22,00:9;	203.13,294.1	medians (2)	00.0,237.22,203:2,3,7,	201.11,203.0,291.19

PETITION OF COSICO	WHOLESALE CORPO	RATION		
Mine (4)	modeling (3)	118:19,20;124:6,7;	movies (8)	226:1
56:25,25;57:4,4	253:2;268:15;269:20	127:16,16;135:22,22,	136:23,24;137:1,2,2,	
minimal (2)	models (2)	23,23,24;144:21,21;	7,7,18	Ν
16:20;99:5	25:1;58:25	145:17;146:17,21,21;	moving (5)	11
minimum (1)	moderate (1)	158:5;167:1,2,16;	45:23;56:7;117:2;	N-631 (1)
				5:9
119:21	24:22	174:6,12;175:11,24;	228:24;265:8	
minor (2)	moderated (1)	176:18;177:10,19;	much (57)	naive (1)
183:2;231:19	25:16	182:6,21;183:24;	26:13,14;37:23;	272:3
minority (1)	modification (1)	188:1,20;189:1,19;	38:10;51:20;58:18,18;	name (16)
98:9	281:17	190:7;191:12,17,25;	59:7;64:8;87:20;91:22,	5:18;7:3;9:8;33:13;
minute (19)	modifications (1)	194:1;196:5,12,13;	24;93:16;94:5,10,22;	113:17;115:1,23;
69:16;74:16;75:25;	270:5	207:24;210:24;212:21;	102:4;110:12;112:3;	127:5;133:7;188:6;
81:7;86:24;106:12;	modified (2)	219:21;225:10;226:14,	114:23;116:12;120:22;	221:9,10;236:4;
112:19,20;154:14;	274:11,11	14,17,17;227:6;229:8;	126:21;138:12;145:8,	251:17,18;255:18
166:4;172:5,9,9,24;	modus (1)	233:1;234:15,18;	8;147:23;153:14;	namely (1)
173:12;207:21,23;	251:11	246:5;250:18;252:15;	156:8,12;160:10;	280:3
219:19;292:2	molecules (2)	255:25;269:3;272:20;	161:25;175:11,24;	names (3)
minutes (13)	254:14,14	277:7;288:3;293:19,24	177:23;188:1;189:1;	221:22;236:5;244:2
38:20;39:6;102:10;	moment (7)	morning (6)	190:7;208:6,7;212:25;	narrow (1)
149:1;175:10;184:4;	72:4;87:2;92:5;98:3;	5:24;6:18;9:16;	218:6;221:1;248:15;	81:9
221:4,24;227:23;	188:14;196:25;253:22	135:18,19;177:13	253:2,10,19;254:21;	national (12)
228:25;286:10,18;	Monday (7)	most (46)	264:18;265:7;269:9;	42:23,24;52:13;56:8;
287:22	5:15;7:12;288:13,14,	13:10;30:24;36:3;	270:12;276:10;286:4;	
				61:6,7;193:3;225:9;
misapplied (1)	18;290:16;295:11	38:21;39:25;40:4,19;	287:23;288:3;294:25	231:17;258:16;259:3;
78:14	money (5)	41:4;54:18;71:1;72:7;	Mulready (99)	285:5
miscalculation (1)	120:13;165:22;	79:18;82:12;114:15;	8:19,21,25;148:19,	nationally (1)
104:24	212:22;254:22;264:3	142:24,25;165:8;	20,20,21,22,24,25;	43:9
misinformed (1)	monitor (5)	174:15,20,22;175:2,16;	221:3,8,10,10,13,19;	natural (3)
223:4	234:8;271:4,18;	177:21;178:21;180:1,	222:4,7,11,13,17,19,21,	27:1;154:16;252:12
misinterpreting (1)	283:5;286:23	8;181:3;182:21;183:1;	24;223:5,19;224:4,10,	nature (6)
102:11	monitoring (12)	187:7;189:2,15,17,17;	13,18;228:8,10,12;	21:18;36:25;124:11;
miss (3)	38:17;42:20;253:2;	218:11,15;222:5;	230:24;231:4,11,13;	125:2;179:25;261:5
8:20;194:25;195:3	264:23,24;271:1,3,12,	226:3;227:13;228:5;	232:3,5,12,15,20,24;	navigate (2)
missed (1)	13,16;282:16;284:3	230:14;234:11;236:21;	233:12,23;234:1;	175:22;254:5
234:11	monitors (8)	241:21;269:19;292:19	235:6,8,11,17;236:3,8,	NBC (3)
missing (4)	263:10,11,14;	mostly (9)	14;238:1,2,5,18,21,24;	32:8,20;34:10
80:6;149:8,11;245:1	265:11,12;271:21;	44:6;118:16;125:23;	239:4,13,16,17,20,23;	near (10)
misstatement (1)	283:13;286:23	143:8,13;221:19;	240:7,25;241:21,25;	90:3;91:1;165:10;
285:25	monoxide (1)	225:12;252:21;256:19	242:3,23;243:7,10,12,	177:6;189:8,17;228:4;
mistake (2)	286:10	mother (2)	22,25;244:8,12,17,21,	234:16;265:12;271:18
256:14;272:6	Montgomery (19)	114:4;288:24	25;245:5,7,10,12,16,	nearby (4)
mistakes (3)	14:17;68:20;71:13,	motions (3)	18,20,25;247:2,6,8,11,	163:24;169:25;
282:22;290:2,4	23;72:24;115:1;	7:24;8:2;9:21	22;248:11,15;249:22;	185:3;283:14
mister (4)	145:11;146:23,25;	motivated (1)	250:7;251:13	nearly (2)
84:20;148:19;	199:10;206:4,13;	281:5	multi-day (1)	192:3;193:15
168:21;294:1	211:4;213:17;228:17;	motivating (1)	233:24	necessarily (13)
mitigate (2)	249:9;257:4,6;282:7	183:11	multiplied (2)	165:22;170:25;
60:22;234:20	month (7)	motor (9)	74:5;156:20	171:1;174:9;194:2,15,
mixed-use (7)	43:13;45:24;46:9;	20:7,24;21:2;22:1;	multiplies (3)	20;211:16;230:15;
57:12,15;58:5,10,19;	51:15;101:2,4,4	26:24;27:8,8;29:1;	23:16;87:6;100:11	231:14;233:5;260:15;
60:6,12	months (4)	52:24	multiply (4)	265:4
MMTCO2E (1)	19:18;35:2;123:17;	Motorization (3)	74:6,19;82:13;157:4	necessary (5)
66:1	261:15	53:9;54:6,6	municipal (4)	48:11;116:17;
mobile (1)	more (102)	move (10)	256:11,16;275:25;	235:14;255:4;261:4
230:16	16:19;20:6,24;21:1;	25:4;38:14;153:24;	290:5	necessity (12)
mode (3)	22:13;25:11;26:13;	190:18;196:8;229:4;	mush (1)	150:12,23;159:24;
27:23;28:7,10	27:4;29:11,13;34:19;	260:1;266:9;284:16;	107:25	160:3;180:7;206:2,3,8,
model (10)	35:9;36:6;40:20;44:2;	293:11	must (4)	14;211:1;212:5;213:24
19:24;22:21;35:16;	66:12,13;74:14;75:21;	moves (2)	154:2;163:22;212:5;	need (177)
57:16;58:22;191:6;	76:11,16,21;77:6,16,	12:11;284:20	287:1	8:17;12:13;13:8,12,
225:19,19;270:5,5	18;79:2;92:13;93:8;	movie (5)	myself (3)	25;14:4;16:3,6;29:25;
modelers (1)	99:1,2;108:22;109:23;	137:6,22,23;145:21;	93:9;165:7;175:11	31:9,10;37:24;38:2,3,4,
253:4	112:3;113:5;114:23;	237:19	mystified (1)	6,7;39:22;44:3;48:1,
	1	1	1	1

			1	L
13,23;53:23;67:11;	11;97:12;98:1,6,8,10;	19:4;24:25;32:6;33:21;	Nos (1)	178:5,6,10,12,19;
69:19;71:9;72:20;	102:3,6;105:8,10,15,	38:15;42:6;43:19;46:4;	55:10	182:23;185:7;187:13;
73:18;77:15,25;78:9,	18,22,25;106:11,12,13,	47:4;49:11,12,12;	nose (1)	190:16;198:21;200:9;
12,13;80:18,20,25;	13,18,19,20,21,22;	52:10;54:11;62:1,21;	120:11	212:15,20;213:15;
81:4;85:9;88:7;90:14,	107:2;108:10,12,12,23;	65:3;98:14;117:1,12;	note (9)	212.13,20,213.13, 216:11;248:22;258:19;
	110:17;111:13;117:17;			261:24;262:20;263:14;
24;91:2,2;97:2,11,23;		128:4,5;145:15,18;	73:19;74:13;81:16;	
99:7;105:25;106:2,4,6,	120:23;121:16,17;	169:21;187:4;196:1;	205:3;212:11;218:22;	276:11;286:14,22,22
6,18;107:9,15,16,18,	124:22;130:4;131:9;	201:23;248:19;251:12;	225:15;244:25;293:7	numbered (2)
21;109:11;111:1,6,8,9,	137:13;147:6;150:1;	288:11	noted (9)	100:25;193:13
11;112:17;114:20;	154:3,7,10,19;155:4;	nice (5)	25:11;64:21;103:4;	numbers (36)
116:19;120:25;121:11;	158:10,11,12,18;159:6,	88:11;110:19;	133:12;135:6;179:13;	10:6;27:24;29:10,20;
122:10;123:19,21;	9,19;160:9,11,11,13;	126:12;130:10,14	204:9;205:8;248:21	31:8;37:17;41:24;
124:25;125:3,7;	161:10,14;163:24;	nicer (1)	notes (4)	44:18;49:10;57:5;
126:16;130:6,8;	164:8,21;167:6,11,21,	64:9	53:17;54:21;63:14;	59:11;67:8,10;69:18;
136:21;138:17;150:1,	24;168:1,4,6,6,9,14;	Night (5)	86:12	73:7,24;74:21;82:19;
8,15;151:7,9;153:5,8;	171:14,15,20;172:2,6,	8:24;177:13;229:1;	nothing's (2)	83:11;84:4,5,10,20;
154:1,3;157:25;158:1,	10;173:15,18,22;	248:3,7	229:10;242:11	94:6,11,18;96:23;
3,9,10;159:4,5,13,15,	179:22;180:10,18,23;	nightmarish (1)	notice (1)	100:20;103:11,12;
18;161:7,9,13;162:2,8,	181:5,17;182:21;	177:18	8:9	104:15;157:18;195:18;
8;163:6;164:4,5,9,10;	183:19;184:25;186:14;	nine (2)	noticed (3)	212:17;270:11;286:13
167:6;168:9,9,9,14,15;	187:1,8;188:10;	53:21;185:7	5:14,15;255:4	numerous (1)
169:21;171:14,14,15,	189:10,18;190:19;	NO2 (6)	noting (3)	165:6
20,21,22;172:7;173:3;	191:7,9;198:6,20,21;	259:16;263:7;265:2;	16:2;24:16;280:6	nursing (1)
179:9;180:11;181:5,6,	201:2,19,20;203:3,5;	271:8;272:21;275:4	notion (1)	73:4
8;184:18,22;189:3;	207:14,15,17,20,21,23,	nobody (9)	213:10	NUS (2)
191:14,18,22,23;	25;209:4;210:6,10,20,	36:18;76:25;176:4;	nouns (1)	224:25;229:21
194:13,18;198:6,18;	21;211:19;214:19,24;	190:5,15;213:5,6;	221:22	NYU's (1)
200:25;201:17;202:3,	216:2;217:4;218:15;	225:5;264:6	November (7)	225:16
5,5,6;204:5;205:22;	219:10,22,23;220:1,7,	noise (1)	8:12,12,13;11:24,25;	
207:12;208:5;210:2,6,	7,9,12;222:14;224:21;	78:25	45:23,25	0
20,25;211:6,11,15;	237:10,13,15;250:1,2;	non (1)	nowhere (5)	
(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(				
212:4,17,25;213:15,22;	266:2,3;289:2	231:9	81:17;82:7;87:24;	oath (1)
214:15,20,20;246:18;	neighborhoods (2)	non- (2)	165:10;177:6	12:22
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16;	<b>neighborhoods (2)</b> 224:19;226:8	<b>non- (2)</b> 132:12;163:1	165:10;177:6 NOx (1)	12:22 object (1)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18;	<b>neighborhoods (2)</b> 224:19;226:8 <b>neighboring (1)</b>	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1)	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8	<b>non- (2)</b> 132:12;163:1 <b>nonattainment (1)</b> 268:1	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2)	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4)	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3)	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b>
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 <b>needed (5)</b> 9:3;65:20;110:18;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8)	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 <b>needed (5)</b> 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8;	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4)	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 <b>needed (5)</b> 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 <b>needing (2)</b>	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19;	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12;	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 <b>needed (5)</b> 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 <b>needing (2)</b> 121:12,13	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1)	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 number (113)	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 <b>obligation (1)</b>
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 number (113) 14:12;15:11;16:14,	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 <b>obligation (1)</b> 80:5
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10)	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 number (113) 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,	12:22 <b>object (1)</b> 115:7 <b>objecting (1)</b> 9:20 <b>objection (12)</b> 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 <b>obligation (1)</b> 80:5 <b>obligations (1)</b>
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6,	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16;	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12,	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9,	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2)	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 <b>needed (5)</b> 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 <b>needing (2)</b> 121:12,13 <b>Needless (1)</b> 114:13 <b>needs (14)</b> 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 number (113) 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1)	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 number (113) 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4	165:10;177:6 NOx (1) 265:2 NPR (2) 50:10;53:13 nuclear (3) 225:11;229:22,23 nuisance (4) 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 number (113) 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,29;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17; 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5)	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17; 76:14,15,18,25;78:4; 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3,	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11;	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17; 76:14,15,18,25;78:4; 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1, 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16,24;256:7,12,15,23;	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,29;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17; 76:14,15,18,25;78:4; 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1, 3,48,9,11;87:13,18,18, 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16,24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3;265:11	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3)	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17; 76:14,15,18,25;78:4; 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1, 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18, 22,25;90:3;92:1,9; 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16,24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11	$\begin{array}{c} 165:10;177:6\\ \textbf{NOx (1)}\\ 265:2\\ \textbf{NPR (2)}\\ 50:10;53:13\\ \textbf{nuclear (3)}\\ 225:11;229:22,23\\ \textbf{nuisance (4)}\\ 161:16;210:12;\\ 211:15;289:3\\ \textbf{number (113)}\\ 14:12;15:11;16:14,\\ 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,\\ 11;42:15,17;46:13;\\ 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;\\ 55:15,19,21;66:21;\\ 68:20,21,23;69:23;\\ 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,\\ 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;\\ 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;\\ 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,\\ 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,\\ 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;\\ 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,\\ 25;100:3;101:11;\\ \end{array}$	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2) 119:16;135:11	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16,24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1) 23:8	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11 normally (1)	165:10;177:6 <b>NOx (1)</b> 265:2 <b>NPR (2)</b> 50:10;53:13 <b>nuclear (3)</b> 225:11;229:22,23 <b>nuisance (4)</b> 161:16;210:12; 211:15;289:3 <b>number (113)</b> 14:12;15:11;16:14, 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7, 11;42:15,17;46:13; 49:13;51:2,29;54:24; 55:15,19,21;66:21; 68:20,21,23;69:23; 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8, 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17; 76:14,15,18,25;78:4; 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1, 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18, 22,25;90:3;92:1,9; 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10, 25;100:3;101:11; 102:15;103:4,5;142:3;	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1 obviously (19)
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2) 119:16;135:11 neighborhood (160)	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16,24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1) 23:8 news (10)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11 normally (1) 93:5	$\begin{array}{c} 165:10;177:6\\ \textbf{NOx (1)}\\ 265:2\\ \textbf{NPR (2)}\\ 50:10;53:13\\ \textbf{nuclear (3)}\\ 225:11;229:22,23\\ \textbf{nuisance (4)}\\ 161:16;210:12;\\ 211:15;289:3\\ \textbf{number (113)}\\ 14:12;15:11;16:14,\\ 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,\\ 11;42:15,17;46:13;\\ 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;\\ 55:15,19,21;66:21;\\ 68:20,21,23;69:23;\\ 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,\\ 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;\\ 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;\\ 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,\\ 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,\\ 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;\\ 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,\\ 25;100:3;101:11;\\ 102:15;103:4,5;142:3;\\ 155:18,21;156:22;\\ \end{array}$	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observation (1) 144:21 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1 obviously (19) 11:16;36:14,18;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2) 119:16;135:11 neighborhood (160) 75:24;77:18,20;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16,24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1) 23:8 news (10) 31:13,13;32:7,9,9,19,	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11 normally (1) 93:5 north (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 165:10;177:6\\ \textbf{NOx (1)}\\ 265:2\\ \textbf{NPR (2)}\\ 50:10;53:13\\ \textbf{nuclear (3)}\\ 225:11;229:22,23\\ \textbf{nuisance (4)}\\ 161:16;210:12;\\ 211:15;289:3\\ \textbf{number (113)}\\ 14:12;15:11;16:14,\\ 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,\\ 11;42:15,17;46:13;\\ 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;\\ 55:15,19,21;66:21;\\ 68:20,21,23;69:23;\\ 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,\\ 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;\\ 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;\\ 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,\\ 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,\\ 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;\\ 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,\\ 25;100:3;101:11;\\ 102:15;103:4,5;142:3;\\ 155:18,21;156:22;\\ 157:1,2,3,10,11,15;\\ \end{array}$	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1 obviously (19) 11:16;36:14,18; 56:13;63:6;78:25;92:7;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2) 119:16;135:11 neighborhood (160) 75:24;77:18,20; 78:10,11,13,15,17;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5;11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16;24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1) 23:8 news (10) 31:13,13;32:7,9,9,19, 20;33:24;34:6,10	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11 normally (1) 93:5 north (1) 139:12	$\begin{array}{c} 165:10;177:6\\ \textbf{NOx (1)}\\ 265:2\\ \textbf{NPR (2)}\\ 50:10;53:13\\ \textbf{nuclear (3)}\\ 225:11;229:22,23\\ \textbf{nuisance (4)}\\ 161:16;210:12;\\ 211:15;289:3\\ \textbf{number (113)}\\ 14:12;15:11;16:14,\\ 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,\\ 11;42:15,17;46:13;\\ 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;\\ 55:15,19,21;66:21;\\ 68:20,21,23;69:23;\\ 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,\\ 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;\\ 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;\\ 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,\\ 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,\\ 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;\\ 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,\\ 25;100:3;101:11;\\ 102:15;103:4,5;142:3;\\ 155:18,21;156:22;\\ 157:1,2,3,10,11,15;\\ 160:14;161:16,18;\\ \end{array}$	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1 obviously (19) 11:16;36:14,18; 56:13;63:6;78:25;92:7; 93:10;155:6;166:21;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2) 119:16;135:11 neighborhood (160) 75:24;77:18,20; 78:10,11,13,15,17; 80:18;81:6;89:16;90:7,	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5,11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16;24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1) 23:8 news (10) 31:13,13;32:7,9,9,19, 20;33:24;34:6,10 next (34)	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11 normally (1) 93:5 north (1) 139:12 northern (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 165:10;177:6\\ \textbf{NOx (1)}\\ 265:2\\ \textbf{NPR (2)}\\ 50:10;53:13\\ \textbf{nuclear (3)}\\ 225:11;229:22,23\\ \textbf{nuisance (4)}\\ 161:16;210:12;\\ 211:15;289:3\\ \textbf{number (113)}\\ 14:12;15:11;16:14,\\ 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,\\ 11;42:15,17;46:13;\\ 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;\\ 55:15,19,21;66:21;\\ 68:20,21,23;69:23;\\ 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,\\ 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;\\ 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;\\ 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,\\ 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,\\ 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;\\ 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,\\ 25;100:3;101:11;\\ 102:15;103:4,5;142:3;\\ 155:18,21;156:22;\\ 157:1,2,3,10,11,15;\\ 160:14;161:16,18;\\ 162:18;163:12;166:18;\\ \end{array}$	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observed (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1 obviously (19) 11:16;36:14,18; 56:13;63:6;78:25;92:7; 93:10;155:6;166:21; 167:3;174:17;197:10;
214:15,20,20;246:18; 250:2;256:1;267:16; 281:17,18;286:18; 288:5;291:15;292:3; 293:23 needed (5) 9:3;65:20;110:18; 114:12;246:20 needing (2) 121:12,13 Needless (1) 114:13 needs (14) 76:8;88:3;92:8; 149:23;159:9;162:1; 164:16;169:7;170:5; 173:4;191:3;247:11; 264:24;292:6 negative (2) 111:12;124:13 negotiate (6) 116:12;118:11; 122:11;126:18;130:9; 147:15 negotiating (2) 119:16;135:11 neighborhood (160) 75:24;77:18,20; 78:10,11,13,15,17;	neighborhoods (2) 224:19;226:8 neighboring (1) 183:8 neither (3) 27:2;125:17;132:10 net (6) 67:6;111:12;151:18; 179:22;180:10,17 neutral (1) 15:7 new (50) 12:6;16:22;20:3; 31:5,6,15;33:2;34:6, 18;54:16;55:8;57:12, 16;58:16;64:12;80:8; 85:18;86:9,14,16;89:2; 111:5,6;124:11,11,22; 179:10;180:2;181:17; 182:5;11,14;191:9; 219:2;225:16,19; 238:25;251:8;254:3, 16;24;256:7,12,15,23; 260:3,3,3,3;265:11 newer (1) 23:8 news (10) 31:13,13;32:7,9,9,19, 20;33:24;34:6,10	non- (2) 132:12;163:1 nonattainment (1) 268:1 non-Costco (4) 142:9,10,11,12 none (8) 20:21,21;82:8; 133:15,24;236:19; 237:14;269:23 non-economic (1) 53:22 non-inherent (10) 163:23;164:1,16; 230:13,14,21,25;231:9, 12;232:16 non-mall (2) 89:13;100:1 noon (1) 240:4 nor (5) 125:17;132:11; 133:1;145:12;231:7 normal (3) 229:6;234:7;238:11 normally (1) 93:5 north (1) 139:12	$\begin{array}{c} 165:10;177:6\\ \textbf{NOx (1)}\\ 265:2\\ \textbf{NPR (2)}\\ 50:10;53:13\\ \textbf{nuclear (3)}\\ 225:11;229:22,23\\ \textbf{nuisance (4)}\\ 161:16;210:12;\\ 211:15;289:3\\ \textbf{number (113)}\\ 14:12;15:11;16:14,\\ 21;19:4;26:21;29:5,7,\\ 11;42:15,17;46:13;\\ 49:13;51:2,2,9;54:24;\\ 55:15,19,21;66:21;\\ 68:20,21,23;69:23;\\ 70:4,11,12;72:11;73:8,\\ 9,10;74:7,25,25;75:17;\\ 76:14,15,18,25;78:4;\\ 79:3;83:19;84:21;85:1,\\ 3,4,8,9,11;87:13,18,18,\\ 22,25;90:3;92:1,9;\\ 94:14,20,23;96:8,9,10,\\ 25;100:3;101:11;\\ 102:15;103:4,5;142:3;\\ 155:18,21;156:22;\\ 157:1,2,3,10,11,15;\\ 160:14;161:16,18;\\ \end{array}$	12:22 object (1) 115:7 objecting (1) 9:20 objection (12) 51:7;52:1,6;134:19; 152:13;189:25;190:2; 242:20,21;243:10; 280:16,25 obligation (1) 80:5 obligations (1) 132:25 observations (3) 118:17;240:3;251:2 observe (3) 141:20;250:18,18 observed (6) 136:3;141:16,17,18; 142:2;165:7 obvious (2) 98:24;267:1 obviously (19) 11:16;36:14,18; 56:13;63:6;78:25;92:7; 93:10;155:6;166:21;

208:3;245:16;276:4	114:15,16;123:17;	one-page (1)	operational (3)	225:13
occasion (2)	132:4;134:11;167:20;	258:16	231:14;283:16;	others (3)
194:20;213:4	266:19	ones (21)	284:13	173:23;235:21;
occur (5)	one (225)	18:15;68:7;79:10;	operations (1)	247:17
11:16;65:6;117:13;	13:3;14:4;15:1;19:3,	86:1;99:24;120:18;	167:14	otherwise (7)
135:18;194:4	17;23:14;24:6;25:7;	173:2;181:11;189:14,	operative (1)	36:13;118:19;
occurring (1)	27:13;28:21;31:3,4,18,	16,20,22;217:21,21;	202:18	132:20,24;171:8;
115:2	19,22;32:6,8,11,13,14,	218:10,15;247:13;	opinion (8)	189:19;221:24
occurs (1)	15,18,18,19,20,20,22;	269:4;277:9;283:7;	150:3;151:1;152:18;	ought (4)
135:13	33:3,6,10,21;34:15,21;	293:13	173:7;191:17;236:16;	18:9;79:11;196:20;
October (11)	38:15;42:6,13,14;	one's (1)	252:5;287:16	246:25
5:15;8:2,6,7,10,10;	44:21;45:16;46:10;	282:16	opinions (1)	ounce (1)
12:9;19:20;34:22;	47:4;48:18;50:6,21,21,	One-third (2)	152:20	286:11
64:10;288:11	24;52:10;53:10;54:3,	100:8,8	opponent (1)	ourselves (1)
off (37)	16,21;55:8,8,13,25;	ongoing (1)	96:4	214:1
12:10,16,18;20:12,	56:9,22;62:1;64:13;	187:5	opportunities (1)	out (139)
15;21:9;31:24;32:12;	66:11,17,17;67:12,17,	only (53)	120:19	8:9;11:9;13:2;16:18,
38:9;44:7;45:25;48:20;	18;68:1,4;72:4,20;	25:17;29:20;48:12; 49:8,20;56:2;59:25;	<b>opportunity (7)</b>	19;19:7,16,17;22:8;
56:7;61:25;76:22,24; 77:4;99:21;101:23;	74:5;80:23;81:20,22, 22,23,24;82:1,3,3;85:2;	49:8,20;56:2;59:25; 64:12;67:13;75:12;	96:14;116:12;118:3; 128:2;224:8;225:25;	23:8;24:12;25:19; 29:13;32:3;37:3;48:5,
104:25;132:12;134:4;	86:21;87:8,12;89:6,6;	86:5;103:7,9;105:11;	278:20	22;61:13;62:21;63:4,
148:9;168:14;177:1,1,	92:1,24;96:1;98:11;	111:6;118:7,22;	oppose (2)	24;64:8,10,17;65:24,
4;183:2,9;197:1;211:8;	103:3;104:20;107:24,	120:18,19;123:6,16,17;	126:2;148:6	24;66:6;70:14;72:4,6;
228:5;237:23;251:8,	24;109:9;112:6;114:8,	138:6;142:7,15;	opposed (5)	74:22;79:23;86:20;
15;271:19;288:1	18;116:6;119:11,14;	143:13;159:12;168:11;	21:17;34:1;52:3;	87:8,16;91:19;92:24;
offense (1)	123:12;125:8,11;	173:2;174:21;178:10,	105:2;191:24	93:11;94:14;98:11,15;
269:21	126:3,22;128:5,7,8;	20;183:11;187:6;	opposing (1)	99:2,4,12;100:10;
offer (8)	130:10,14;142:7,14;	194:11,15;198:16;	212:12	102:2;109:9;110:3,22;
182:6;186:25;	143:1,2;145:1,1,6,7,13;	201:8;203:25;207:21;	opposite (1)	112:13;114:19;115:3;
187:16;188:20;190:11;	147:3;151:10;159:17;	212:12,19;217:20;	111:14	119:6,6,16,18;122:11;
217:22;218:3;232:9	160:7;161:22;162:16;	218:13;226:14,16;	opposition (4)	123:2,5;125:10;
offered (2)	164:23;166:1;167:11;	255:22;275:3;283:10;	79:25;216:25;	127:15;133:11;134:7;
223:22;243:9	169:9;175:12;179:24;	284:5;286:22;287:14;	261:17;292:12	136:20;143:19;144:5;
offering (2)	180:14;181:16,22;	290:15	options (2)	152:4;154:24;155:4;
223:1,3	184:2;185:1;186:21;	onset (1)	66:10;183:13	156:6;161:22,23;
Office (4)	188:15;191:15;192:15, 16;193:13;195:12,14;	53:24	order (9) 8:2;9:20;116:18;	162:12;164:15;165:20; 175:12;178:15;179:16,
43:1;52:25;79:19; 88:24	196:25;198:22;199:18,	<b>on-site (2)</b> 86:22;88:15	119:5;157:25;211:20;	21;180:4,25;181:10,
offices (1)	18;204:8,9,15;206:11,	onto (3)	230:7;252:3;294:13	13;183:13;184:24;
89:12	14;210:24;211:10,15,	102:19;205:20;237:9	Ordinance (3)	185:4,10,13,17,19,21;
official (4)	25;212:11;215:14;	open (17)	5:5;214:10;233:20	186:1,4,6,25;187:3;
44:17;45:21;56:3;	216:8,8,15,22;220:12,	99:6;145:17;147:8;	ordinary (3)	188:22;189:3,18;
290:6	21;222:16,18;224:22;	175:9,20,21;177:15;	227:21,23;229:7	190:12;191:25;196:11;
officials (1)	227:23;228:18;230:4;	186:10;216:9;217:22;	organic (1)	197:4,8;199:18;
275:25	231:23;233:6;235:2,	234:1,23;235:4,9,19;	254:14	202:11,14,15,16,19;
offset (1)	17;236:24;238:8,14;	242:11,12	organization (2)	203:15;205:2;206:25;
20:13	240:18,19;241:1,9;	opened (7)	33:14;223:15	211:13;218:9;221:23;
often (9)	246:4;248:1;249:25;	120:5;134:11;	organizations (2)	222:2,3;227:21,22;
54:13;156:13;	250:14,18;252:11;	147:15;185:3,8,8;	195:8;240:23	228:5,24;231:19;
175:21;183:5,6;194:1,	253:5,16;257:6,22,22;	186:7	original (11)	234:5;237:12;238:15;
7;259:24;260:2	260:10,20;261:24;	opening (1)	65:18;94:8;272:16,	241:12;252:19;269:15;
oftentimes (2) 90:16;93:11	262:6,13;263:12; 264:16,17,19;269:18;	217:2	25;273:21,23,24;	276:2,3;280:3;281:12;
oil (9)	270:3,8,13,24;271:8,	<b>opens (2)</b> 179:7;186:24	274:10,16;278:17; 290:3	283:21;287:21;288:16; 290:17;292:21;294:19
29:21,21,22,22,25;	17;276:6;277:12,17;	operate (1)	originally (2)	outages (3)
30:4,9,9;34:10	278:5,9,10,11,14,25;	5:6	10:18;147:9	242:8,10,10
old (6)	279:17;281:13,25;	operated (1)	originate (1)	outlook (7)
58:22,25;147:7;	287:7;289:11,14;	160:12	234:16	16:17;19:3,9;24:2;
257:21;276:5;282:21	292:18	operates (1)	originated (1)	39:13;40:22;41:19
older (4)	one-and-a-half (2)	99:4	80:4	out-paced (1)
23:8;70:24;182:7;	76:11,16	operation (4)	OSHA (1)	62:15
252:21	one-eighth (1)	90:13;99:5;170:17;	269:14	output (1)
once (7)	26:7	213:7	osmosis (1)	91:6
				l

outside (5)	246:14	114:16;200:18;	party (1)	76:22;78:5;79:3;88:23;
105:8;138:6;167:10,	owner (1)	226:9;227:14;244:9,	123:19	89:3,11,11;90:10,13,
17;207:23	132:13	11;251:19	pass (1)	15;91:12,15,17,21,24;
over (69)	owners (1)	parked (6)	175:13	94:12,25;97:5,7,20;
9:9;10:16;16:20;	185:22	118:11;119:3,5;	pass-by (12)	98:2;99:7;100:18;
17:2;22:4;23:2;26:5;	OZAH (1)	138:13;143:21,22	81:4;85:17,18;86:1,	102:15;106:9;107:7;
27:9,25;29:16,16;43:7,	5:4	parking (41)	7,12,21,24,25;87:3,17;	109:13;115:3,4;121:2,
15,19;44:7;47:7;55:14,		60:18;61:17,21;	89:1	2;133:17,25;134:2;
20;63:6;70:9;76:9;	Р	115:2,6,10;118:8,9,11,	passenger (1)	136:9;138:13;140:9;
78:14;83:11;89:1;	*	24;119:6;121:19,21;	22:15	150:18;151:9;155:10,
91:22;98:14,18;101:3;	Pace (1)	122:9,14;123:6;	passing (1)	13;156:2,16;158:18,
102:5;107:25;130:11;	51:14	126:19;131:5;134:7,	19:7	21;165:6,10,14,16,19,
131:10;132:11;133:1;	pack (2)	12;138:4,5,15;143:6,	past (8)	20,22;166:12,12,17,21,
136:5;137:2,14,24;	194:8;237:21	20,22;144:1;145:7,7,	25:11;26:14,15;47:1;	23,25;167:17;168:11;
138:3;140:9;143:21,	packaging (1)	14,18,19,20;146:11,14,	117:18,20;141:3;	169:4,10,23;170:5,10;
21,22;154:25;166:17;	80:20	16,18;147:11;238:13,	167:23	171:2,23;176:2,16,18,
168:3,12;178:7;	packed (1)	15;239:1	Pat (4)	20;177:18,19,23;
182:24;186:19;196:1,	234:14	parks (1)	5:24;8:23;223:4;	179:5;180:1,8;183:12,
11;209:2,24;218:6;	packing (1)	285:5	235:18	20;184:11,13;185:15;
226:11;227:6,16;	226:5	part (51)	path (6)	187:18;188:21,24;
228:5,22;237:3;	page (80)	8:15;10:25;34:1;	116:17;128:14,16,	189:2,18,18;191:22,24;
238:16;248:2;262:23;	18:18,21;19:18;20:5,	44:23;47:15;59:22;	17,18;129:18	193:9;194:5,11;196:6;
266:6;267:4,4;271:16;	20;21:10,23;22:16,22,	60:20;63:17;87:3;	Patricia (2)	208:12;209:1,15,21,24;
273:1	24;23:2,11,19,24;	90:11;106:17,18,21;	8:22;221:10	210:4,9;212:12;215:6;
over- (1)	24:15;25:8,12,21;26:4,	107:1,15;109:18;	patronize (2)	216:11;217:7,11,11;
150:9	20,22;27:3,14,15;28:7,	153:6;155:6;163:15,	121:14;125:9	218:10;225:21;226:13,
overall (11)	23,24;43:13,16;45:12,	16,17;167:20,25;	patterns (1)	14,17;227:2;228:21;
26:8;43:9;98:1;	19;46:4;47:13;51:13;	168:3;171:13;172:6;	177:11	229:5,18;233:1;
106:11;110:2;111:13;	52:22;54:21;55:15,25;	174:10;177:3;179:17;	paved (2)	234:25;235:20;236:21;
158:12;163:17;165:21;	56:17,20;60:4,5,6,8;	180:6;184:15;189:5;	128:14,16	238:14;239:6;240:16;
169:14;198:1		199:17;211:16;228:18;		241:9,9,11;246:2;
	62:13,21,24;63:1,10;		pay (3)	
over-estimated (1)	64:19,19;65:3,17;66:9;	232:5,7;233:3;234:21;	183:4;195:5;254:21	247:23;250:17,22;
58:21	68:18;69:3;72:3,22;	242:2,7;245:16;253:5;	paying (2)	251:1;253:25;255:5;
overlap (2)	73:1,9,10;75:3,4;81:3;	262:12;266:2,3;	241:15;257:8	256:17;259:13;266:2;
161:18;249:19	85:15,16;86:5,11;92:2;	283:23;285:17;289:17;	payroll (1)	271:22;272:4;275:7,9,
overlapping (1)	101:2;103:13,14,15;	291:16;292:15	31:2	25;278:4,4;280:6;
162:17	104:11;169:20;172:14;	partial (1)	peak (4)	282:2,2,20,21;290:22;
overriding (1)	193:12,14;201:4;	173:2	56:17,19;82:20,20	291:2;292:20,23
241:1	262:20	particular (31)	Peaked (2)	people's (1)
overrule (2)	pages (13)	14:23;17:7;20:22;	53:9;54:6	241:2
243:10;280:25	18:14,17;22:12;	45:16;55:1;58:15;	peaking (1)	per (60)
over-served (5)	24:11,12;27:11;52:14;	64:18;68:5,8;85:1;	48:19	20:4;22:18,20;23:15;
68:6;77:8,9;96:24;	64:17;69:24;262:11,	121:14;144:16,18,19;	peaks (2)	25:14,17;26:22,25;
150:10	19,23;263:19	146:11;160:15,16;	46:15;54:1	27:6;33:5;34:23,24;
over-serves (1)	pain (2)	170:25;177:9,11;	pedestrian (5)	43:21;44:7;45:13,14;
109:7	126:18,18	191:3;211:12;215:20;	119:10;127:13;	46:9,10,12,17;51:15;
overstated (4)	paper (1)	229:1,2;231:15;	129:17;288:20,21	53:18,18,18,18,56:18;
59:9;64:21;191:1;	122:8	232:18;258:14;259:2,	pedestrians (4)	62:17,19;68:9,9,9;
281:25	papers (3)	25;273:20	116:6;127:18;128:3;	73:11;74:2,7,23;75:8,
overstatement (1)	37:16;199:18;255:5	particularly (12)	133:13	10,14,22;76:12,19;
58:18	paperwork (2)	43:9;79:17;125:8;	pending (1)	81:15,16,18,25;87:12,
	292:15,15	177:20;184:2;253:1,	172:16	15;101:7,14,16;
overstates (1) 57:14	,		Penney's (4)	
	paragraph (6)	25;254:1;276:1;		149:24;156:20;157:19;
overstating (2)	23:2;24:25;26:23,23;	283:23;285:5;286:20	128:9;145:22,23;	158:15;196:6;211:21;
74:18;83:4	169:20;198:24	particulate (1)	146:14	231:17;253:5,6;276:9
overwhelming (1)	Parcel (5)	263:7	pent (1)	perceive (1)
50:25	5:9;136:9;144:20;	particulates (4)	31:14	236:20
own (13)	146:16;210:4	262:13,14,15,15	Pentagon (1)	percent (101)
13:17;59:12;69:23;	Pardon (3)	parties (4)	121:7	25:14,17;26:8;28:16;
70:4,18;88:7;97:11;	10:11;148:13;245:11	5:21;199:22,25;	people (163)	40:5;42:10;43:11;
187:24;234:9;269:11;	parents (2)	249:12	24:14;25:5;30:24;	45:24,25;49:21,23,23;
272:6,13;280:4	140:13,18	parts (2)	31:6;34:17;40:21;41:5,	51:16;53:21;55:1;
owned (1)	park (7)	31:20;253:6	5;54:25;55:2;75:17;	56:18;58:18;63:13;
			· · · ·	1

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHOLESALE CORPO	RATION		
64:23;65:1;86:5,8,8,9,	75:8;76:11,12,16,19;	pitting (1)	PM2.5 (1)	pollutants (2)
12,13,15;87:4,6,17,25;	100:7	216:8	263:7	124:8;283:6
88:10,16,19,21,23;	perspective (3)	place (27)	poignant (1)	pollute (2)
92:22,25,25;93:4,5;	208:18;233:18;243:2	17:8;64:10;85:17;	265:21	285:7:286:5
	perspectives (2)		Point (130)	,
94:13,13,25;100:4,17,	<b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>	91:22;118:24;125:18;		pollution (13)
18;101:7,10,13;102:1,	44:1;249:17	126:12;134:8;161:17;	8:15;10:6;13:2;15:4,	17:5,7,11;35:16;
1,4,4,12,17,19,21,22;	pessimistic (3)	165:9;174:15,17,19,22;	4,7;16:2,12,14,14,15,	120:15;124:13;241:2,
103:13,22;104:12,16,	36:4;40:4;67:9	175:2,16;177:22;	17,22;17:8;18:1;19:18,	2;266:14,22;270:25;
17,22;106:8,9;138:13;	petition (1)	182:24;187:12,13;	21;20:14,15;22:2;23:4;	278:21;285:2
155:24;156:2,7,14,16;	5:4	194:14;218:8;226:7;	26:12,12;31:15;34:23;	pollutions (1)
157:18;160:13;164:20,	petitioner (3)	227:23;228:22;240:6;	35:4,5,11,13,14,23;	17:20
25;165:1;173:1;178:3,	5:6;8:11;39:9	271:18	36:1;37:21;38:8,11;	pool (8)
7,11,13,19,20,21,24;	petitioner's (1)	places (11)	40:3,17;41:18;44:3;	107:5;114:11;
179:2,3;191:3;193:16;	11:16	121:19;147:9;	46:1;48:25;49:8,15,19,	136:10,16;138:21;
194:11,16;195:13,17,	petitions (1)	161:16;164:12;177:23;	20,24;51:3;52:15,22;	140:9,25;141:2
25;196:11,13;208:10,	255:6	183:18;189:6;196:10;	56:6;58:9;59:14,14;	poorly (1)
14;216:6	Petroleum (2)	248:8;256:22;264:13	64:20,23;75:5,16;	56:15
percentage (9)	192:10:193:4	plan (39)	79:11,13;83:10;85:10,	populated (2)
37:6;97:2;98:1,3,4;	PG (1)	13:21,24;51:20;62:2,	15;90:9;93:15;98:23;	226:4;246:20
120:20;121:12,13;	206:10	8;63:13,17,23;64:5,6,	104:9;105:13,15;	population (24)
208:18	phase (3)	15;65:18;112:7;	106:15;107:17;109:10,	16:5;20:12;24:21;
perfect (1)	22:16,18;254:25	116:14;118:17;178:15;	10,16;112:6;124:3;	26:5,9;48:7;62:16,23;
111:15	phone (1)	226:25;232:25;233:10;	127:22;130:22;131:13;	63:5;72:24;73:2,17;
perfectly (1)	291:5	234:21,21,22;236:19;	133:4,14;136:17;	74:24;126:10;159:6;
231:9	phones (1)	243:14,20;244:6,13,23;	138:9;140:6;151:8;	169:22;172:7;196:6;
perhaps (12)	76:24	246:6;247:1;259:2,4;	152:24;154:18;155:20;	198:19;201:1,18;
65:23;82:13;102:4;	phonetic (1)	264:4,5;268:3,18;	156:16;160:5,7;	203:3;207:13;213:9
111:9;151:1;178:20;	288:19	284:3;292:22;295:10	166:24;167:5;168:10,	portion (13)
207:23;212:20;234:21;	phrase (3)	planes (1)	15;173:14;181:3;	21:25;91:15;106:8;
237:3;244:9;294:24	143:15;152:9;199:2	246:11	185:9;188:3,6,19;	111:6;115:8,9,20;
period (8)	phrased (1)	planned (3)	193:5,22;194:15,17;	137:16;159:1,2;
25:14;26:5;27:10;	152:1	125:18;257:14;	195.5,22,194.15,17, 197:8;206:9,18,22;	201:13;203:17;207:11
		288:17		
54:24;186:9;234:17;	<b>phrases (2)</b> 199:9,12	planning (13)	212:15;218:20;219:1,	portions (3)
271:2,17 periods (1)	physical (2)	10:19;11:1;59:17;	16;220:6;228:12; 233:4,16;246:6;	153:9,9;173:4 pose (1)
177:15	144:13;231:14	66:22;154:11;200:15,	250:14;259:5;260:6;	281:11
				posed (1)
perked (1)	pick (3)	18;232:10;244:9,11,	261:6;266:25;267:1;	
224:12	96:9;108:2;237:17	19;279:20,21	273:24;281:25;287:18,	204:10
permeator (6)	pick- (1)	plans (4)	18;288:9;289:14;	poses (1)
254:6,8,10;255:18,	124:19	65:16;125:17;	290:18	184:19
21;270:18	picking (3)	223:15,22	pointed (10)	position (10)
permit (6)	107:23;153:8;228:24	plant (2)	91:19;92:24;99:4;	13:7;15:6,7;94:19;
267:16,18;268:20,	pick-off (1)	229:23;286:4	102:2;110:3;155:4;	119:19;162:19;207:11;
21;282:19,19	124:19 <b>Dialogal (1)</b>	plants (3)	164:15;197:4;199:18;	213:22;273:11;277:6
permitted (1)	Pickrell (1)	225:1,11;229:22	289:8	positive (3)
287:5	51:14	Plaza (6)	pointing (3)	10:19;61:8;254:11
permitting (1)	pick-up (2)	5:9;114:5,5,10,15;	130:12;137:5;140:22	possibilities (1)
233:17	20:2;21:16	126:11	points (9)	55:22
person (22)	picture (1)	please (8)	50:24;55:25;130:3;	possibility (4)
43:25;53:18;68:9;	231:24	5:22;38:25;113:18,	134:14;167:12;240:16;	186:10;257:3;
75:22;99:7,16;100:6;	piece (6)	22;198:7;221:9,16;	243:11;246:5;272:4	265:18,19
102:20;114:3;115:1;	23:13;59:16;67:17;	251:21	police (6)	possible (13)
128:4;144:12;239:8;	77:4,11;293:23	pleasure (1)	10:10,12;213:25;	6:23;41:10;42:3;
240:18,20,20;247:19;	pieces (3)	148:2	228:14;229:7;246:3	158:5;182:12,13;
249:3;250:18;258:4;	57:20;108:3;237:9	plenty (1)	policy (12)	184:5;185:16;186:5,8;
272:1;282:1	pigs (1)	176:20	24:18;43:2;53:1;	187:15;200:8;292:4
personal (7)	124:10	plus (2)	112:7;159:5;252:1,2,	possibly (4)
25:3,24;26:1;59:12;	<b>Pike</b> (1)	120:15;161:1	12,16;258:9;286:5;	96:6;150:19;151:10;
151:1;225:15;240:3	120:24	Plyers (1)	289:17	196:9
personally (2)	pinning (1)	113:19	political (1)	post-Costco (1)
151:4,6	152:24	pm (5)	256:14	134:11
persons (10)	<b>PIRG</b> (4)	99:9;125:6;149:5;	pollutant (1)	posted (1)
72:24;73:11;74:2,23;	55:5,6;66:21,23	221:5;295:13	259:2	183:3
			L	i

<b>postulating (1)</b> 156:15	<b>preponderance (2)</b> 198:18;201:16	<b>primary (5)</b> 183:17;287:4,8,14;
pot (1)	pre-recession (1)	288:17
214:2	45:25	Prince (1)
		206:12
potential (6)	preschoolers (1)	
17:24;52:23;59:8;	122:4	principal (1)
135:7;259:19;270:25	present (7)	142:20
potentially (5)	51:16;198:20;	print-out (1)
160:23;209:21;	201:19;203:4;207:14;	68:19
210:5;211:9;214:1	235:12;261:17	prior (2)
potluck (2)	presentation (2)	53:20;154:10
123:19;235:22	8:15;257:14	prisons (1)
poverty (1)	presented (3)	73:4
256:22	234:3;261:17;291:3	pristine (1)
Power (16)	presenting (3)	286:4
8:14;52:15,22;	234:2;239:23;240:24	privacy (1)
213:25;225:1,11;	presents (2)	263:24
228:3,4,21;229:22,23;	81:13;116:7	private (2)
234:5;242:8,10,10;		254:20;256:1
	president (1)	
286:3	285:1	probably (28)
powerful (1)	press (16)	23:23;31:7;36:3;
47:16	32:3,17,18,19;33:11,	49:16;65:22;67:21;
power's (1)	13,23;34:1,1;50:10,12;	94:21;158:17;165:9;
237:11	51:4;52:14;57:10,21;	175:9;181:1;182:4;
practical (1)	58:2	184:10;186:17;187:19;
214:8	pressure (2)	189:2,17;191:12,15;
practicing (1)	99:1;188:2	196:7;197:13;235:18;
252:15	presumably (5)	246:13;247:24;250:11;
precedent (1)	30:25;188:21;	271:15;284:21;287:25
152:25	267:13;275:12;283:13	probative (1)
precedential (2)	presume (6)	235:25
14:22;15:1	12:2;17:4;59:23;	problem (26)
precisely (1)	160:22;207:3;283:7	8:18;59:8,9;84:9;
186:3	presumed (2)	86:23,23;88:14,17;
predict (3)	157:20;207:1	107:22;112:15;118:4;
58:15;190:5;260:21	presumption (2)	128:12;131:14;161:20;
predicting (1)	207:4;228:13	181:14;223:9;231:16;
195:9	pretty (9)	236:1;240:14;264:4;
prediction (2)	31:23;94:22;110:12;	268:8,9;270:2,3;
42:24;195:18	113:7;134:6;135:20;	271:21;293:9
prefer (2)	225:1;276:4;285:4	problematic (1)
217:12;277:24	prevent (7)	229:8
preferences (1)	212:9;213:2,4;214:4,	problems (7)
24:20	10;285:12;287:7	110:23,25;112:3;
preliminary (4)	preventing (1)	124:17;228:15;236:17;
7:21;9:15;11:4;	212:7	271:18
12:15	prevention (4)	procedural (2)
prematurely (1)	212:6;285:2,15,22	9:15;224:2
38:9	previous (3)	procedure (1)
premise (3)	68:3,7;216:20	222:2
274:8,9,23	previously (3)	procedures (2)
preparation (1)	12:12,24;293:24	233:22;272:24
118:13	price (18)	proceed (7)
prepare (3)	29:21;45:14,17;46:9,	12:25;37:18;113:24;
224:9,25;293:17	10,12,17;47:10;97:6,	129:22;149:15;221:18;
prepared (10)	20;158:6,16;165:17,	251:23
39:25;40:9;78:21;	18;182:22;183:3,4,12	proceeding (3)
79:15;80:13;154:9,21;	prices (12)	15:11;233:21;235:24
168:17;292:20;293:20	24:22;25:15;29:21,	proceedings (1)
preparedness (8)	22,23;30:1,4,9,9;45:18;	281:25
221:20,20;223:20;	47:8;216:17	proceeds (2)
236:15;239:12;240:12;	primarily (2)	12:2;191:2
244:12,20	17:15;54:13	process (3)

59:20;180:20;267:18 processes (1) 233:17 produce (4) 81:20;249:19; 280:22,23 producers (1) 266:22 product (2) 182:15:255:24 production (1) 224:24 products (1) 207:20 professional (4) 252:4;275:6,6,8 proffered (1) 208:16 profit (2) 187:22;247:25 profitability (1) 189:21 profitably (1) 257:19 profits (1) 217:6 Program (6) 60:14;61:1,16; 182:25,25;183:1 programs (3) 65:16:182:19.23 progress (4) 123:3,3;144:3;257:9 progressive (1) 257:7 project (3) 36:15;144:12;261:8 projected (8) 20:3;24:16;25:17; 26:6;64:23;81:15; 178:24:226:13 projecting (2) 40:5;57:13 projection (18) 22:3,8,9;25:10,20; 27:10;28:17;36:11; 37:22;39:14;44:13,19; 45:5;46:18,24;48:13; 49:11;83:15 projections (23) 12:19:23:5:24:24; 28:3;30:19,22,23; 36:16,25;39:12,18; 40:21;41:4,8,22;47:5; 49:3;56:2,3;59:22; 64:21;65:4;208:6 proliferating (1) 182:24 proliferation (1) 179:19 promote (1) 63:16 prone (1)

256:9 proofread (1) 225:13 propagate (1) 23:8 proper (1) 89:18 properly (3) 90:19;272:15,16 properties (1) 163:24 property (5) 109:12;132:17; 136:8;280:3;283:14 prophecy (2) 106:1;107:4 proportion (1) 48:6 proportional (1) 24:17 propose (1) 63:13 proposed (22) 9:4;13:5,23;16:24; 17:17;18:16,16;19:20; 110:21;125:11;129:18; 151:15;159:11;165:4; 198:19;201:1,18; 202:15;207:13;214:23; 230:10:278:18 protect (9) 211:16.20:214:6: 217:11.13:230:7; 247:14,18;275:8 protecting (1) 217:14 Protection (7) 57:23;225:9,11,12; 231:18;237:4;280:5 protective (1) 260:15 protocol (3) 268:18;276:16,21 protocols (1) 276:25 prove (4) 85:9;87:20;88:12; 276:13 provide (12) 48:6;99:5;180:8; 182:15:186:11,11,14, 18;215:13;217:3; 225:20:253:17 provided (5) 36:24;192:9,15; 193:14;201:9 provides (4) 163:18;183:16; 187:6;188:24 providing (1) 187:21 provision (7) 198:7,25;199:3,8;

213:22;281:19;284:24	80:17	123:21;270:25	rate (8)	38:11;39:23;42:5;
		,		
provisions (1)	purpose (2)	quarter (1)	25:13;27:25;40:5;	46:15;47:5,18;48:15;
212:16	82:23;214:9	100:2	55:18,20;65:15;93:21;	49:23;67:13;79:5,13,
<b>PSD</b> (2)	purposes (7)	quarters (1)	100:4	16;80:19;83:7;84:1,3;
285:22;286:8	81:18;83:19;154:21;	192:4	rates (1)	88:12,12;90:7;93:8;
public (26)	198:12;210:6,20,21	queue (3)	36:10	94:10,19;97:25;98:8;
5:2;10:14;54:12;	pursuant (1)	142:19;143:4;294:6	rather (12)	109:5,9,13;110:5,14;
136:22;158:21,25;	5:5	queued (4)	40:21;43:15;48:3;	123:19;126:16,17;
159:1;179:18;181:14;	put (68)	142:6,16,18;143:3	63:1;64:11;81:6;	132:9;138:17;141:21;
200:22,25;201:17;	13:4,11;15:10;16:14;	queuing (6)	113:10;194:6;208:11;	144:9;152:15;153:11,
202:15,19;204:4;	18:14;31:7;32:3;35:10;	288:21,21;293:23;	232:13;264:25;278:17	11,20,23;161:7,22;
205:23;206:5;213:23;	37:14;39:11;40:10,13;	294:5,15,18	ratio (3)	166:19;168:14;171:10,
252:13;280:18;289:17,	41:13;48:5;49:24;	quickly (11)	31:6;75:15;76:9	20;174:2;180:1;
	50:18;51:1,8,14;52:1;	38:15;40:7;41:10;		
19,20,21,23;290:7			ration (1)	187:22;188:7;211:20;
publications (1)	57:2;60:19;66:16;	42:3;99:22;141:19;	165:23	212:13;214:3;226:1;
44:17	67:18;68:17;71:14,14;	226:21;228:12;260:1;	rationale (1)	227:15,22;229:19;
published (1)	72:6;76:17;77:1,3;	261:12;280:9	211:11	243:15;244:1,1;
115:16	96:6;97:25;99:1,15;	quiet (1)	ratios (6)	252:15;256:13,16;
pull (3)	125:8;155:15;160:10;	126:12	68:5;71:12;74:22;	258:25;264:11,20,22;
24:12;94:8;109:8	161:9,12;163:4;181:7,	quit (1)	75:5;77:1,3	267:2,21;268:11,23;
pulled (2)	9;190:15;191:16;	289:13	re (1)	269:21,22;271:21;
64:17;85:14	192:19,22;196:10;	quite (19)	193:3	275:23,23;276:13;
pulling (4)	211:12;214:1;227:11;	25:7;35:9;65:19;	reach (3)	279:15;280:13;284:18;
35:15,17;84:21;	231:19;237:18,21;	73:2;152:25;165:7;	47:19;141:21;276:15	285:25,25;289:13
144:5	240:6;241:8,11;262:7;	167:23;175:8;180:19;	reached (2)	rear (1)
pump (8)	270:17;271:21;275:18;	183:4,6;196:9;253:19;	53:19;214:8	116:2
97:1,2;119:21;	276:13;279:4;282:4;	259:10,17,24;268:6;	reaching (1)	reason (24)
142:24;183:4;195:1;	286:9;291:4;292:12;	271:10;282:2	206:21	37:17;49:22;67:7;
229:17;255:1	293:19	quote (2)	reaction (1)	72:23;73:1;114:17;
pumped (1)	puts (4)	88:21;159:3	143:18	132:6;155:17,22,23;
94:6	20:10;178:24;180:4;	quotes (2)	read (24)	164:23;178:2,5,14;
numning (7)				
pumping (2)	188:1	156:6;291:19	15:21;46:5;150:12,	184:20;185:25;187:19;
94:10;181:6	putting (11)	quoting (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11;	188:22,24;193:19;
94:10;181:6 pumps (8)	<b>putting (11)</b> 27:24;44:6;54:18,20;	quoting (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6;	188:22,24;193:19;
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1;	<b>putting (11)</b> 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2;	<b>quoting</b> (1) 214:5	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15,	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3;	<b>putting (11)</b> 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13;	quoting (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5)
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16	<b>putting (11)</b> 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2;	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b>	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9;
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b>	<b>putting (11)</b> 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23	quoting (1) 214:5 R radius (4)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5	<b>putting (11)</b> 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13;	<b>quoting (1)</b> 214:5 <b>R</b> <b>radius (4)</b> 69:16;122:21,22;	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 <b>reading (2)</b> 162:8;194:4	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12)
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b>	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 <b>reasonably (12)</b> 78:16;158:20;
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 <b>reasonably (12)</b> 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2,
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 <b>reasonably (12)</b> 78:16;158:20;
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 <b>reasonably (12)</b> 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2,
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9;
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15;	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6,	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9)
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11;
94:10;181:6 <b>pumps (8)</b> 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 <b>punted (3)</b> 14:5,6;269:5 <b>purchase (22)</b> 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 <b>purchaser (1)</b>	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2;	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 <b>reasonably (12)</b> 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 <b>reasons (9)</b> 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18;
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 <b>reasonable (5)</b> 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 <b>reasonably (12)</b> 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 <b>reasons (9)</b> 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1)
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1)
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14;	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3;	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 <b>Ready (7)</b> 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12)
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12;
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16;	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 <b>Ready (7)</b> 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6;
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16;	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13;	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 <b>Ready (7)</b> 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7;
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualites (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7,	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1) 206:8	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualites (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7, 10;269:13,21;287:4,8,	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19 rapid (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23 reality (1)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9 receive (1)
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7, 10;269:13,21;287:4,8, 9,14	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9 receive (1) 243:18
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1) 206:8 purport (1) 62:11	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualites (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7, 10;269:13,21;287:4,8,	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19 rapid (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23 reality (1)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9 receive (1)
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1) 206:8 purport (1)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7, 10;269:13,21;287:4,8, 9,14	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19 rapid (1) 45:18	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23 reality (1) 93:14	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9 receive (1) 243:18
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1) 206:8 purport (1) 62:11 purporting (1)	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7, 10;269:13,21;287:4,8, 9,14 quantitative (4) 150:8,21;158:10;	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 <b>Ralph (1)</b> 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19 rapid (1) 45:18 rapidly (1) 261:16	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23 reality (1) 93:14 realize (3) 85:24;281:10;293:12	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9 receive (1) 243:18 received (4) 120:5;123:23;193:2;
94:10;181:6 pumps (8) 5:7;112:14;143:1; 166:18;167:1,2;195:3; 229:16 punted (3) 14:5,6;269:5 purchase (22) 81:16,18,25;87:15; 136:19;156:21;158:23; 165:3,8;174:1,3,4,7; 178:3,16;183:12; 192:5;193:17;194:2,6, 18,19 purchaser (1) 170:6 purchasers (1) 169:3 purchases (8) 33:3;81:20,24;82:1; 93:4;170:6;183:3; 208:7 purchasing (3) 38:4;175:17;183:20 pure (1) 206:8 purport (1) 62:11	putting (11) 27:24;44:6;54:18,20; 88:19;89:5;96:2; 177:24;181:9,13; 184:23 Q quadrillion (6) 20:10;21:7,8;26:6, 21;29:5 qualified (2) 269:21,22 Qualifies (2) 172:4,6 qualify (1) 172:1 qualifying (1) 149:24 qualities (1) 160:4 quality (16) 63:25;150:8;258:16; 259:3,15;263:16; 264:2;266:24;267:7, 10;269:13,21;287:4,8, 9,14 quantitative (4)	quoting (1) 214:5 <b>R</b> radius (4) 69:16;122:21,22; 125:2 raining (1) 237:14 raise (3) 113:21;221:15; 251:20 raised (5) 78:8;114:6;211:2; 249:1,25 raises (1) 269:19 Ralph (1) 200:18 ran (1) 141:3 range (6) 95:5;154:15;174:13; 179:3;208:25;219:19 rapid (1) 45:18 rapidly (1)	13;156:1,3,5,6;181:11; 193:11,17;198:6; 209:13;245:12,14,15, 16;263:18,18;269:5; 273:14;291:19,20,21 reading (2) 162:8;194:4 reads (1) 169:20 Ready (7) 149:7,15;221:7; 251:12;291:8;293:17; 295:10 reaffirmed (1) 205:9 real (16) 46:9,10,12,17;73:25; 98:14;105:15;107:22; 185:25;212:24;239:25; 248:8;254:19;271:14; 272:12;282:15 realistic (3) 94:25;109:13,16 realistically (1) 78:23 reality (1) 93:14 realize (3)	188:22,24;193:19; 196:15;212:18;224:18; 226:24 reasonable (5) 39:14;54:1;219:9; 237:16;294:7 reasonably (12) 78:16;158:20; 172:23;174:20;175:2, 16;179:18;180:5; 186:21;199:2;207:9; 220:10 reasons (9) 13:14;14:1;98:11; 162:5;183:23;187:18; 194:5;212:9;214:5 reassess (1) 65:14 rebuttal (1) 11:16 recall (12) 88:20;92:10;154:12; 155:14;171:7;200:6; 204:18;206:2;210:7; 236:10;289:5,9 receive (1) 243:18 received (4)

48:19:71:1:259:16 recently (1) 260:19 recess (3) 39:7;149:5;221:5 recession (6) 25:16;31:13;43:23; 45:21;48:21,22 recessions (1) 46:22 recognition (2) 59:4;211:11 recognizes (1) 192:13 recollection (2) 170:1;236:10 recommend (3) 232:18;247:20;284:6 recommendation (5) 5:20;200:21;202:2,3; 244:18 recommendations (3) 203:22;259:10; 281:14 recommended (3) 225:17;244:20; 258:20 recommends (2) 203:14;258:19 record (43) 10:25:13:11:14:14; 18:9:31:24:32:12: 34:10:36:24:51:21.24; 52:1.7:61:11.25:65:13: 95:25;96:2;131:2; 139:16,17,24,25;148:9; 149:7;156:16;197:1; 198:12.18:201:16: 203:23;205:17;217:16; 221:8:251:15,17; 252:8:262:16:274:24: 277:21;280:18,23,24; 281:3 recover (1) 290:5 recovery (3) 254:25;255:10,12 recross (1) 220:24 redirect (4) 197:21,23;210:24; 220:22 reduce (10) 17:5,17;24:18;60:23; 63:16,18;65:25;100:2; 101:13;124:21 reduced (5) 74:15,17;105:1; 119:10,14 reduces (1) 21:7 reducing (8)

59:20:61:21:112:8.8.8 reduction (18) 55:3:56:9:63:11.13, 23;64:15;65:1,17,21, 21;66:7;98:6;195:9,13, 19,25;196:11;197:3 reductions (3) 53:22,24;66:11 redundant (1) 237:5 reel (1) 235:19 refer (2) 7:24:50:2 reference (14) 18:2;19:22;21:5; 26:11;27:14;28:3,22; 29:8;30:5,8;53:16; 54:7;60:5,7 referenced (7) 18:7:23:5:26:25: 69:23;70:3;266:19; 277:13 references (2) 19:21:60:20 referred (6) 11:23;22:6;146:5,7; 263:11,13 referring (1) 123:24 refers (5) 19:19.24:21:10: 63:10.11 reflect (3) 53:22;139:17,24 reflected (1) 39:13 reflection (1) 93:8 refresh (1) 169:25 refrigerated (1) 120:9 regard (5) 127:18;213:22; 254:24;260:9;264:17 regarding (7) 8:17;78:12;127:9; 233:22;239:12;266:13; 272:21 regardless (3) 29:14:56:14:204:1 region (8) 43:10;166:17;168:3; 263:15;264:1,10; 270:20,22 regional (10) 43:9,10;80:19;90:13; 105:22,23;166:19; 167:6:168:8:215:5 **Register** (4) 261:25;262:9,10; 275:21

registered (1) 53:19 regulate (8) 258:25;267:2,5,5,6,7, 9.9 regulation (2) 233:11:267:3 regulations (7) 18:3;233:9;258:20; 263:8;266:13,21; 292:16 regulator (1) 276:23 regulatory (5) 212:15,18;213:12; 275:23;276:14 reign (1) 270:8 reinstated (1) 202:9 reject (2) 244:15.19 rejected (2) 244:13.16 rejection (1) 244:20 relate (1) 253:21 related (5) 56:10,12;161:6; 231:22:232:17 relates (3) 232:9:253:21:266:24 relative (1) 29:20 relatively (2) 82:19:183:2 relaxed (1) 134:8 relaxing (1) 114:12 release (12) 32:3,19;33:11,13,23; 34:1,5;52:14;57:10,21; 58:2,4 releases (2) 32:17,18 relevance (1) 53:17 relevant (8) 24:12;40:16;200:4; 232:8:238:23.24: 242:18:252:17 reliable (4) 40:19;51:6;97:3; 235:25 relied (2) 13:16:234:8 relies (1) 39:16 relv (8) 38:2:41:19,22,22; 42:1;67:14;192:16;

236:25 remain (1) 65:2 remained (1) 55:21 remaining (4) 25:18;86:9,14; 146:18 remains (2) 152:12:256:8 remember (15) 95:22;128:7;147:8; 168:18;169:2;227:8; 235:18,21;236:1,3,4,5; 259:18;273:24;282:23 remind (1) 12:21 remotely (1) 180:9 removal (1) 204:18 remove (3) 202:10,12;203:17 removed (3) 199:22,24;200:23 renown (1) 225:11 repeatedly (1) 219:17 repetitious (1) 40:17 repetitive (4) 240:22;249:8,10,21 replaced (1) 181:14 replied (3) 83:25;236:9,10 report (111) 5:20;10:21,22,24; 11:2;16:3,3,16;18:15; 19:1,14,14,15;22:7; 32:9,19;33:25;45:19; 50:10,21;52:11;53:7; 55:2,15;57:9;60:13,19; 61:16;64:17;66:23; 67:17;69:25;70:10,11; 71:9;72:5;73:18;74:12; 77:4,5,12;80:21,24; 81:2,6,8,17;82:6,7; 83:17;84:5,5,20;85:1,5, 10,15,25;86:16;87:1; 88:1.20:89:10:90:18. 19,21;91:19;92:16; 93:12;94:4,22;100:17, 23,24;101:1,3,12; 102:11;103:2,11; 104:21;108:19,21; 109:11,16;110:12; 114:25;124:1;134:20; 141:22;155:16;156:2, 6:157:10,11;168:17; 169:14:172:14:173:7; 178:6;179:13;185:6;

190:23;192:1;193:11; 200:17:219:18:220:20: 225:1;274:11;290:3 reporting (3) 32:22;50:15;51:12 reports (15) 18:1;19:15;32:24; 50:22:66:21:68:3: 69:17;115:16;167:15; 181:3;195:12,14; 200:5;203:21;229:22 represent (2) 253:23:256:19 representatives (2) 209:11;279:6 represented (2) 99:23;253:24 representing (2) 6:25;256:2 reputation (1) 246:15 request (2) 8:11;77:15 require (4) 22:13;27:5;125:19; 126:4 required (10) 13:17;17:2;233:3,6, 10,16;241:20,23;244:4, 9 requirement (9) 10:13:78:9:150:15: 163:7:202:6,7:203:14; 244:5.6 requirements (4) 23:6;198:15;201:7; 224:3 requires (2) 22:19:149:25 Research (19) 32:4:33:16:50:22: 52:12,21;53:8;54:12; 57:11,13;60:14,25; 61:6,16;134:4;153:18, 20,20;226:25;287:1 researchers (2) 50:15,16 researcher's (1) 50:19 reserve (1) 295:5 reside (2) 11:2:92:3 residences (5) 79:10;278:19,21; 279:14;283:14 resident (3) 169:6;172:1;289:2 residential (7) 78:18;121:7,8;129:3; 168:6;169:21;230:8 residents (2) 81:5;94:12

17:10;23:16;58:12;

				1
resolution (1)	reverse (1)	197:17,20;198:13;	117:3,11,20,23;118:5,	19;288:19;289:2,7,10;
7:23	45:21	200:11,14;201:3;	8,25;119:9,10;120:24;	290:21;291:5,9,13,16;
resolve (1)	reversed (2)	203:8,9;204:11,19,21,	125:15;127:10,12,12,	294:1,4,23;295:2
69:19	13:8,12	22;205:13;208:21;	20;128:10,13,14,15,19,	roughly (5)
resources (3)	review (6)	211:22;213:13,19;	22;130:7;131:4;	76:14,15;154:25;
51:2;252:12;278:6	13:17;245:17;259:4,	214:3,22;215:11;	133:13;134:13,15;	155:1;173:1
respect (9)	8,18,23	218:17,20;220:21;	136:7;155:1;177:3,17;	route (2)
10:5,13;92:1;147:3;	reviewed (3)	221:2,7,15,16,18,25;	227:23;228:24;238:11;	121:13,13
207:11;216:24;257:10;	151:21;204:3;259:12	222:6;223:5,17;	265:3,5,6,7,12;271:19,	routinely (1)
280:15;292:3	revised (1)	224:14;226:12;227:3,	19;290:1	142:21
respectful (1)	124:6	6;228:4;231:6;232:1,5,	roadrunner (1)	royal (2)
285:13	rid (1)	6,12;233:23;237:2,6,7;	144:6	126:18;191:6
respectively (1)	255:12	240:7;243:12,23;	roads (6)	ruin (1)
74:17	ridership (1)	244:17,21,25;245:5,25;	87:4,9,22;227:13,16;	247:25
respects (1)	63:17	247:7;248:14;249:16,	237:12	rule (2)
213:6	ridiculous (1)	23;250:20;251:4,11,14,	roadway (1)	235:23;292:3
respond (3)	237:19	16,20,21,23;254:23;	177:2	rules (4)
77:15:229:7:241:6	right (301)	255:2,16,19,19;257:4;	<b>ROB</b> (2)	222:2;229:24;286:8;
responded (1)	6:11;7:5,10,17,19,	258:1,6,12;260:12;	140:15;153:15	292:16
204:15	20;9:14;11:19,22;12:1,	261:1;262:3;263:5;	robust (1)	ruling (4)
responders (1)	8,15,25;14:11,20;15:3,	264:8,14;266:4,9;	24:21	14:3,25;15:5,18
10:5	22;16:9;18:5;19:8,15;	267:17;268:6,16;	Rock (1)	run (12)
response (6)	21:4,24;23:18,25;28:9,	269:7,12,16;270:4,21;	247:17	7:18;128:11;141:3,
203:25;204:7;229:3;	13,15;29:6;30:12;	271:7,24;272:19;	Rockville (2)	19;178:15;211:2,9;
245:3;246:21,22	31:10;32:7,13,16,21;	274:6,12;275:11;	120:24;263:12	213:7;238:16;241:21;
responsible (2)	33:2,22;34:3;35:3;	276:12,17;277:15,20;	role (1)	263:13;280:4
126:1;141:11	36:8,12,20;37:5,19,25;	283:3,22;284:10;	258:17	running (3)
rest (3)	38:1,8,12;39:3,5,21;	288:2;290:13,16;	room (7)	122:4;213:5;235:19
36:6;38:14;111:4	40:15;41:25;42:4;43:5,	291:4,7,13,14,15,23,	5:16;56:4;145:9;	runs (1)
restriction (2)	18;44:9,25;45:15;	25;293:4;295:10	181:7;236:21;288:16;	264:10
211:7;268:20	46:16,16;47:2,12;50:1;	right-hand (3)	295:12	rural (5)
restrictive (2)	51:22;52:20;54:5;55:7;	20:6,23;177:3	ROSENFELD (166)	270:7;272:18;
124:7;210:25	57:17,24;58:4;59:24;	rights (2)	6:3,3,5;8:5,7,20,22,	273:10,18;275:3
restroom (2)	60:1;62:6;63:20;64:14,	263:20,24	24;9:2,19;11:5,6,7,25;	rush (1)
187:13;193:24	16;66:2,15;67:2,6,22;	Ring (31)	12:5,9;14:15;22:22,25;	226:23
restrooms (1)	68:10;70:6,9,13;71:3,7,	115:6;116:4,9,18,19,	51:17,19,23;56:20,25;	Rust (1)
194:1	8,21;72:25;73:6;76:3,	20;117:3,11,20,23;	69:2;75:3;95:11;	256:21
result (9)	5,20,23;77:23;78:3;	118:5,25;119:9,10;	134:19,22;136:2,6,12;	230.21
13:3;22:17;53:24;	80:11;81:10;84:16,24;	125:15;127:9,12,12,20;	134:19,22,130:2,0,12, 138:20,24;139:1,3;	S
65:17;67:6,12;187:17;	85:25;86:3,18;89:9;	128:13,14,15,19,22;	140:8,12,17;141:10,13,	
212:5;275:5	90:1;91:13;92:12,17,	130:7;131:4;133:13;	16,23;142:1,5,10,12,	S-2476 (2)
results (1)	20;95:2,6,20;97:4;	134:13,15;136:7;	17,20;143:5,10,12,14,	13:5;15:18
124:11	99:20;100:12,15;	276:12	17;144:10,15,20,24;	S-2863 (1)
resume (2)	101:5;102:7;103:17,	rise (4)	145:4,14,17,24;146:3,	5:4
5:14;16:1	20;104:7,14;106:16;	17:1;22:14;23:6;	6,10,15,20;147:3,20;	safe (4)
resumed (1)	109:19;110:10,11;	45:18	148:3;152:13,15,17;	136:7;213:8;253:6;
45:23	111:21,24;112:18;	rises (1)	170:20;172:18;189:25;	267:3
retail (9)	113:1,13,15,21,22,24;	226:21	190:3;197:22,24;	safer (1)
77:3;89:13;100:1;	115:15;117:1,7;	rising (1)	198:5,11,23;199:2,5,7,	147:1
169:24;190:23,24;	121:24;122:1;123:10;	45:17	13,16,20;200:2,7,10,	safety (7)
225:4;239:9;246:15	126:8;127:1,3,25;	risk (7)	16,21;201:22,25;202:4,	116:7;210:11;225:1;
retailer (2)	128:23;130:3,18,21;	190:13,15,17;217:2;	6,8,12,17,21,24;203:8,	229:22;237:4,4;243:19
193:4;216:8	131:18;132:3;133:9;	232:1,2;241:17	12,18,23,25;204:23,25;	Safeway (4)
retailers (1)	137:11;140:7;145:6;	risks (1)	205:3,5,20;206:3,12,	13:13,15;14:17;
216:17	147:22;148:10;149:14,	236:20	18,20,22;207:4,8;	182:25
retired (1)	15,18,20;150:1;	risky (1)	208:1,5,16,22;209:3,9,	salami (1)
23:8	152:18;154:3;156:21;	114:22	15,19;210:3,9,18;	196:18
retrofitted (1)	157:16;161:3;162:20;	rivers (1)	211:10;214:16;215:16,	sale (3)
246:13	163:19;164:17;166:9;	230:3	18,22;216:1,5,15;	81:15,16;157:20
return (2)	167:19;168:24;171:15;	Road (54)	217:15,19;218:18;	sales (37)
62:18;274:5	172:12,17;179:1;	5:8;56:7;87:13;	219:15;220:23;222:20;	43:21;44:22;45:5,14,
returned (1)	187:1;188:10;190:21;	113:20;114:20;115:6;	223:21;243:1;249:14,	17,20,21;46:7,9;47:9,
273:14	193:12;195:4,10;	116:4,7,9,18,19,19,20;	17,21;251:7;274:10,13,	10;48:11,14,20;67:13;

77:6:87:15:89:11: 244:3:254:20:255:3.6: 99:22.24.25:101:1.4.7. 261:7.9:264:11:273:4. 10,14;104:2;105:9,10; 15:274:3,4,6,7,9,17,20; 157:19;159:10,19; 280:22:282:21.23: 293:5 160:17;178:25;189:4; 208:10;216:6 scale (1) Sally (1) 188:23 242:14 Scalia (1) same (45) 287:17 19:12:20:19:27:16, scary (1) 16;28:19;29:19;31:8; 146:2 47:4;51:3;65:2;74:1; scenario (1) 75:7,11,13;76:14,15; 237:19 77:2:80:19:86:16.20; scenarios (1) 101:16;102:23;104:15; 274:14 107:4;128:12;158:8; schedule (2) 7:6;259:23 160:12;165:11,18; 188:5;194:3;205:21; scheduled (6) 214:11,12;215:4; 8:16;11:8,18;227:5; 216:9;227:19;248:22; 291:10,12 250:3,22:281:16; scheme (1) 285:14;294:15;295:11, 284:3 12 school (9) sandwich (1) 49:15;252:11; 125:5 275:17,20,21;276:1; 278:10;279:13;283:15 sat (1) 184:3 schools (5) satisfied (3) 276:8;279:8,13; 34:18;106:6;107:17 280:3,8 satisfy (8) Science (9) 67:15:91:2:106:3.4. 61:7:253:16.19.21; 5:151:9:166:19:180:11 258:9,10;259:4,5; satisfying (2) 289:16 scientific (2) 171:20.21 52:4;275:24 Saturday (3) 8:24;99:9;240:3 scientists (3) 52:11:258:19:289:18 SAVAGE (3) scoured (1) 6:18,19,21 save (2) 203:23 165:20:254:21 screen (2) saved (1) 270:1;276:11 120:14 screening (1) saving (1) 268:5 screens (1) 165:22 270:3 savings (1) 259:20 screwing (1) 279:22 saw (5) 120:7;122:5;229:4; se (1) 234:1;245:12 211:21 saying (66) Sears (2) 30:3,4,20;49:7; 117:13;128:5 58:20:82:25:83:8:84:2. seat (1) 4;88:17;89:8;90:13; 113:15 91:21;95:7,10;102:13; sec (1) 230:11 103:11,15;108:22; second (25) 109:10,20;111:22; 5:16;21:22;22:18; 146:9,16;154:13; 157:9,17;159:14,25; 32:14,19;33:6;34:15; 163:8,9,14;171:14,16; 43:13;45:10,12,19; 183:12;190:13;206:14; 55:8:60:4:86:22:88:14; 213:14:215:10:218:1: 126:23:145:1.2: 219:10:224:15:233:15; 160:19;207:11;216:10; 238:20;240:6;243:19; 222:16,18;260:14;

269:25 Secretary (1) 224:19 Section (14) 5:5;81:2,11;149:25; 163:9,10;164:15,17; 179:9,9;193:12;201:9; 230:12:231:2 Sector (4) 226:25;254:20; 256:1,17 secular (1) 47:16 seeing (4) 67:9;128:3;166:1,6 seem (4) 92:10;110:7;164:8; 192:1 seemed (1) 154:16 seems (7) 24:11;40:19;123:23; 161:21;163:4;183:16; 217:9 self- (1) 107:3 self-created (1) 90:12 self-fulfilling (1) 106:1 Selfishly (1) 148:2 sell (16) 87:14:97:22:105:11: 157:25:158:3.4.5.15, 17;159:8,22;183:8; 196:2;197:25;219:18; 255:24 selling (5) 91:1;159:5;207:19, 20;256:12 sells (5) 97:20;182:20;187:6; 197:4,10 semi- (1) 224:11 semi-expert (2) 222:11,12 Seminary (1) 155:1 sending (1) 12:10 senior (2) 255:5;285:1 sense (20) 7:15;41:2;83:3; 84:12,22;97:3;107:4; 113:11;150:8,12; 151:23;152:24;158:10; 161:13:174:11:191:1; 208:24;257:20;275:24; 294:15 sent (3)

9:9:64:7:291:6 sentence (1) 193:18 separate (5) 17:18;31:18;70:11; 177:2;254:22 separated (2) 161:22,23 separately (1) 259:7 separating (1) 145:11 September (6) 8:1;14:17;32:15; 34:9;193:2;274:5 series (1) 54:11 serious (1) 276:4 seriously (1) 179:16 serve (11) 97:11;109:3,22,24; 159:4;170:10;198:19; 201:1;202:16;203:3; 207:13 served (2) 111:9;150:10 serves (1) 109:6 Service (24) 32:7.9:33:24:34:6: 134:14,16;187:12; 188:25:189:1:194:21: 200:22,25;201:1,17,18; 202:15,16,20;204:4; 206:6;208:19,20,24; 217:3 services (11) 25:2;99:5;125:4,8,9; 173:5:180:9:181:13: 182:6;186:11;215:14 servicing (1) 216:11 session (3) 5:15;7:21;288:11 set (11) 28:20;39:18;158:7,9; 177:1;258:17;259:2; 265:5;266:21;269:2; 283:13 setback (2) 278:17,19 sets (2) 158:6,16 setting (1) 287:14 settled (1) 196:9 seven (18) 69:16;75:25;106:12; 154:14;171:25;172:3, 5,8,9,9,23;173:12;

185:8;195:16;207:21, 22:219:19:228:6 seven- (1) 81:6 seven-and-a-half (1) 195:16 several (9) 23:23:24:11:43:15; 47:7;55:20;96:20; 229:13:231:21:233:1 severe (1) 264:2 shake (1) 291:4 Shall (2) 148:11,11 shape (1) 166:7 share (2) 148:1;251:13 sharing (1) 248:15 SHEARD (2) 6:13.13 Sheetz (1) 191:6 Shell (1) 194:25 Shelter (1) 224:20 Shelton (2) 237:20.24 Sheveiko (6) 8:18:9:4:247:12: 288:21;294:21,23 shift (2) 208:7,10 shoals (1) 254:6 shocked (1) 287:17 shootings (1) 229:14 shop (14) 114:13;118:23; 121:19;123:16;136:21; 138:13;155:11;156:8; 178:7,20;209:17,17,21; 210:1 shopped (1) 120:8 shoppers (26) 81:5;82:3;88:15; 89:13;98:4,10;99:4; 100:5,18;101:8,15; 102:1,17;103:18,22; 155:8;156:15;170:2,7, 12;175:3,16;176:20; 178:7,21;208:18 shopping (25) 79:19;88:19;101:15; 102:16,20,22;114:11; 118:21;139:3;167:8,

278:5 20:169:25:174:15; 175:3;183:25;209:25; sides (3) 210:4,5,16;217:12; 113:1;143:2;292:23 225:19;239:6,6,7,8 sidewalk (13) 116:15;129:9,10,14, shops (1) 100:6 15,15;131:17,20,24; Shore (2) 132:2,9,17;139:11 93:10.11 sidewalks (2) short (8) 131:18;133:7 31:23;43:24,25;44:5; Sierra (1) 45:8;133:25;159:4; 285:17 290:23 sign(2)shorter (1) 120:7;183:3 197:5 significant (20) shortly (1) 7:22;18:19;45:20; 118:10 51:6;67:10;216:11; 225:8;236:13;283:7; Short's (3) 285:3,12,16,16,19,22, 44:10,13;45:4 23,24;286:8;287:7,9 short-term (1) 53:25 significantly (1) show (38) 26:3 25:17;36:2,3;38:5; signs (1) 41:3;43:6;44:7;46:6; 116:13 47:21;54:20;58:16,17; **SIL** (1) 62:12;67:19;80:18,19, 285:23 Silver (4) 25;93:13;96:3,4,7; 116:22;149:23;150:18; 5:8;221:13;247:17; 154:2;157:25;158:12; 262:24 159:17;160:6;163:1, Silverman (113) 10;165:21;168:20; 9:3,16,18;112:21,23; 180:22;192:12;194:13; 115:16;148:12,14; 227:1:250:7 149:3:215:2.3.9.11: showed (3) 223:7;240:5,8,11,13; 22:7;74:4;86:14 showing (20) 254:2,9,16;255:3,11, 21:11;27:12,20,21, 24;29:15;38:4;45:11, 7,13,23;260:8,13; 261:2,21;262:4,10,14, 15;46:4,24;47:2,6; 18,20,22;263:1,6; 52:16;76:9;150:20; 159:21,23;160:1,2 264:9,16:265:16; shown (8) 266:5,15,18,20,23; 21:10:66:6:86:10; 267:11,14,18;268:17, 96:4,5;212:5;260:11; 24;269:7,12,16,24; 287:2 270:22;271:3,7,10; shows (33) 272:11;273:3,7; 18:18;20:10;21:20; 15,20;276:18;277:12, 22:1,9;25:8,9;27:13,19, 20;28:3;29:7;42:8; 16,20,22;278:24; 43:7,13,14,16;46:13, 279:19;280:17;281:1, 21;55:16,18,19,22; 21;282:24;283:4,9,19, 56:17:57:13:62:21; 22;284:8,11,14,21; 63:3:68:20:72:23:73:9: 285:9;286:17;287:24; 85:16;200:23;215:18 288:2,5,8,13,16,24; 289:13,16,25;290:15; sick (1) 248:1 294:20,24 similar (19) side (25) 29:10;35:22;51:8; 74:2;142:6;164:7; 56:5;73:17;116:16; 129:10;131:3,4;136:7; 137:11:138:21:139:6, 203:5,7;207:15,16,24; 15;140:6;177:1,3,7; 213:6,22;214:18,24 204:18;224:8;227:19; simple (6) 265:6;266:25;275:12; 110:4,7;156:14;

265:1;272:12;286:22 simply (30) 10:3:15:10:20:15; 23:4;43:22;48:20,24; 54:17:56:10:58:22; 74:5,22;83:10,19;84:4; 85:3,8;87:5,19;88:9; 91:10,11;105:16,21; 125:20;162:9;180:24; 213:2,4:293:2 single (3) 35:18;110:24;235:2 sit (6) 123:4;142:19; 165:19;175:10;250:16; 276:15 site (9) 5:8;132:10,12;209:5; 211:14;215:20;230:20; 231:5,8 siting (5) 275:17,20,21; 278:10;279:13 sitting (2) 120:14;135:10 situation (16) 79:8;110:4,8,15,16, 22;180:2,9;211:18; 213:3;232:8;239:25; 271:1;276:14;282:18; 283:25 situations (4) 229:9:234:7:248:5; 251:14,18,18,24;252:9; 285:4 six (8) 17,20,23;257:16;258:2, 19:18;54:22;143:1,1; 184:10;225:22,24; 256:20 size (5) 81:1:157:20:186:18; 245:24;246:11 skip (1) 5:13 slew (1) 110:25 274:15,22,25;275:2,13, slice (1) 196:18 slightly (3) 26:1;45:22;54:23 slippage (4) 93:3.3.6.6 slowly (4) 25:11,19;26:13; 144:2 small (26) 16:19;22:7;43:11; 59:25;78:25;79:7;97:1; 174:24;175:7;180:5,9; 98:1,3,4;106:8,12; 183:18;186:21;198:21; 121:24;124:12;136:20; 145:7:159:1.1.2; 167:13;190:23;241:12; 259:21;284:23;286:2; 287:5

smaller (6) 76:18:108:23: 122:22;197:14;254:13; 276:10 smart (2) 59:1;235:18 smell (1) 120:10 smokestack (1) 270:16 smuggling (1) 105:21 snapshot (8) 71:13,14,16,19,24; 72:5,8,12 sneak (1) 254:15 snow (1) 228:6 Snowmageddon (3) 228:2,16,20 society (2) 53:23;259:19 soda (1) 125:5 sold (4) 83:12,12;94:14; 197:13 solely (7) 118:22;159:8; 179:11:180:13:212:8, 10:214:4 solid (2) 271:14:276:5 solo (1) 181:1 solution (3) 187:12,22;222:14 solutions (1) 237:16 somebody (19) 49:21:98:20:168:3; 184:7;224:7,23; 235:11;238:21;239:9; 241:6,14,17;248:25; 249:5;254:8,9;270:6; 278:1;291:4 somebody's (1) 50:20 somehow (5) 94:25;105:9;180:23; 214:7:257:24 someone (7) 110:4;121:1,9; 144:12;257:23;278:1; 293:3 someplace (1) 99:11 Sometimes (5) 213:11;221:22; 253:20;257:11;271:14 somewhat (6) 16:18;36:25;125:25;

160:3:255:8:289:22 Somewhere (13) 73:21;118:5;123:6,7; 130:25;131:2,3,4; 137:24;179:3;195:17; 199:15;214:13 soon (3)48:21;290:24;291:6 sophisticated (1) 292:18 sorry (39) 9:6;16:16;22:22; 28:5;29:9;53:10;54:4; 58:8;63:11;64:19,23; 69:3;72:4,15;74:25; 76:6;85:23,24;89:20; 101:20;110:9,9;137:6; 144:14;148:7,19,21; 168:21;172:4;190:24; 192:20;202:12;208:6; 216:21;236:4;244:1; 254:1:261:22:291:9 sort (32) 24:14;25:3;27:23; 55:14;84:7;107:23,23; 111:8;150:16,21; 154:9,16,19;162:13; 171:25;172:10;176:22; 178:12;179:7;191:24; 197:12:204:9:205:9: 250:6:256:21:266:7: 269:24:275:16:276:7. 18:285:21:294:13 sound (1) 294:7 sounds (1) 237:19 source (7) 51:7,9;88:15;112:11, 11:124:22:187:22 sources (9) 51:2;80:22;81:11; 92:2;169:8,9;247:14; 266:14;267:21 South (8) 42:9;43:10;78:19; 116:16;129:22;130:17; 155:2;227:15 southeastern (2) 137:9,15 southerly (1) 117:10 southern (5) 117:2,3,11,20;136:7 southwest (1) 146:17 southwestern (1) 131:3 sp (1) 288:20 space (4) 122:10,10;238:15; 239:1

sparking (1)	39:15	273:18;287:19,20
146:22	split (1)	standardized (1)
<b>SPEAKER</b> (2)	240:15	58:13
119:12;149:9 speaks (1)	<b>spoke (1)</b> 209:10	<b>standards (58)</b> 16:23,24;17:4,10,14,
204:2	spoken (3)	15,16,25;18:3,11,12,
special (46)	11:7;185:20;186:3	16,20;19:2,19;20:1;
5:4;13:8,22;14:1,2,	sponsored (2)	21:5;22:9,13,16;23:3,
16;15:6,10,17;126:2;	60:14;61:3	6;27:4;30:21;31:5,15;
132:10,15;146:4;	spot (1)	34:6,18;39:17;40:20;
148:6;149:24;151:15;	123:7	49:13;124:6;150:6;
159:11;161:11,15;	spread (4)	153:11,12,13;162:17;
163:18,22;165:4;	35:17;110:22;	163:18;258:17;259:3,
174:23;175:1,6;	112:13;196:11	11;260:11,14;261:9,11, 12;266:24;267:12,25;
180:15,19;181:1,3,20; 198:15;201:7,8;205:6,	spreadsheet (1) 57:16	269:3,10,11,14;287:4,
12;210:10;228:9;	Spring (3)	4,9,9,14
230:20;231:7;233:5,7,	5:8;221:14;247:17	standing (4)
13;244:6;267:22;	springs (1)	135:23;144:5,7;
269:1;284:7	247:16	279:1
specialist (1)	square (3)	stands (1)
114:3	226:6;237:22;256:2	18:9
specific (9)	squeeze (1)	start (12)
59:4;81:4,9;199:11;	98:14	13:1;19:18;21:4; 64:12;85:8;149:22;
259:6;266:21;272:21, 24;273:15	<b>squiggly (1)</b> 46:3	188:17;252:4;256:3;
specifically (10)	stabilizes (1)	266:6;284:11;288:16
143:17;149:24;	47:11	started (6)
170:14;186:16;236:4,	stabilizing (1)	16:22;79:23;88:13;
8;245:22;265:15,16;	46:21	120:6;252:19;282:21
273:16	stack (1)	starting (5)
specifics (1)	270:15	12:19;20:5;22:7;
161:17	staff (15)	81:2;96:24
<b>specified (1)</b> 233:20	10:19,21,22,24;11:1, 2;77:20;78:17;108:11;	starts (3) 20:6;29:4;262:21
specifies (1)	200:4,17;203:13;	stat (2)
249:9	219:25;241:9;247:24	196:20,20
specify (2)	staffers (1)	state (31)
94:4;231:8	235:19	11:9;41:7,22;42:22;
speculate (2)	staff's (1)	56:7,8,14;59:14;62:15;
96:13;184:6	250:3	63:12;66:22;112:7;
speculation (1)	stage (7)	113:17;201:5,6;221:9;
51:12 speculations (1)	112:4;243:14,15,16; 254:25;255:10,12	233:8;251:17;252:8; 257:17,19;259:5;
50:12	stagnant (2)	264:9;265:10;268:2,
speculative (2)	55:21;64:25	12;277:5,8,17;281:24;
36:25;217:23	stagnation (2)	284:2
spell (2)	43:15;62:22	stated (5)
7:2;127:5	stake (1)	13:6,7;112:7;115:1;
spelled (1)	267:4	219:17
9:8	stand (3)	<b>statement (3)</b> 34:10;88:7;111:14
<b>spend (5)</b> 38:20;50:5;114:12;	104:10;113:14; 273:14	states (8)
146:13;212:21	standard (38)	13:13;59:15;81:3,12;
spending (1)	17:24;25:3;27:22;	94:23;104:22;213:21;
257:18	49:8;59:1;78:22;94:17;	263:24
spent (3)	150:4;151:4,5;158:20;	state's (2)
153:4;227:23;257:17	162:7,14;163:21;	263:20,24
spill (1)	180:11;198:24;206:17;	statewide (1)
245:24	211:4,11,15;253:6,6;	63:13
<b>spills (2)</b> 245:3,22	259:16,17;260:3,19,19; 261:4,23,25;263:2,3,	<b>stating (1)</b> 83:4
spirit (1)	16;264:2;265:6;	station (164)
spin (1)	10,207.2,203.0,	54401 (107)

5.7.12.5.16.7.21.14.	188.2 7 20.180.3 8
5:7;13:5;16:7;21:14;	188:2,7,20;189:3,8,
27:2;48:2,7;59:17;	190:6,6,11,20,24;
67:12,20;68:12;74:23;	191:21;192:4;195:2
75:9,11,14,15,17;	24;196:2,10,12,21;
76:12,19;77:18,22;	207:19;211:13;213
78:24;79:1,5,6;80:25;	214:24;217:3,7,17;
82:10,11;85:19;86:1,2,	229:25;230:16;231:
10;88:1;89:2,19;90:25;	232:2;236:23;238:7
91:2,17;96:8;97:8,20;	254:21;256:18,19,2
98:5;99:12;100:10;	257:2,4,10;264:23;
101:10,14;102:23;	267:6,7,8,13,20,24
104:13,17,22;105:14;	276:8;278:13;279:9
106:10;111:11;114:18;	14,22,24;280:6,7;
118:17,24;119:6,8,17;	282:11,12
120:10,19;121:7,8,14;	statistic (1)
122:9,11;124:25;	193:7
125:3,7,22;126:17;	statistical (1)
127:15;131:22,24;	70:21
132:1;133:8;138:7;	statistics (5)
141:24;142:1,14;	52:5;67:20;68:8,13
146:6;150:1,9;156:3;	192:10
160:12,15;163:1;	stats (2)
166:16;172:22;173:4,	193:4,4
7,13,13,23;175:15;	status (2)
176:6,17;177:4,8,11,	64:4;160:16
15;178:22;179:7,25;	statute (17)
180:2,4,7,25;181:7,9;	78:9;154:4;199:10,
184:9,19;187:6,24;	19;206:1,4,7,7;207:
188:1;189:23;191:9,	211:7;213:18;243:2
10,11,13,18,19;193:4;	23,24;244:4;281:18
194:21;195:6;196:12;	285:15
198:22;208:2,8;210:5;	statutes (2)
214:23;215:19;218:3;	18:3;207:2
220:11,12;225:18;	statutory (2)
226:3;229:8,15,23;	198:25;244:5
230:9,15,16;231:16,23;	stay (2)
232:18;237:18;238:5,	213:7;276:8
6;239:3;240:8;246:12;	steady (1)
247:2;264:15,16,18;	62:17
265:15,17,18,19;275:5;	step (1)
282:19;283:16;284:13	169:21
stations (145)	
	steps (5)
35:15;48:3,5;67:14;	<b>steps (5)</b> 48:9;117:17;131:10
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,	<b>steps (5)</b> 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,	<b>steps (5)</b> 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12)
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18;
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3)
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24)
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16;
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24)
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5;
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11;
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11;
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23; 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23; 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23; 166:3,6,8;167:4,23; 169:12;173:3,24;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23; 166:3,6,8;167:4,23; 169:12;173:3,24; 174:5;175:12;176:24;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23; 166:3,6,8;167:4,23; 169:12;173:3,24; 174:5;175:12;176:24;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260
$\begin{array}{c} 35:15;48:3,5;67:14;\\ 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,\\ 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;\\ 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;\\ 79:18;82:9;85:13;\\ 90:25;91:6;92:18;\\ 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;\\ 99:1,6,7;109:12;\\ 110:18;119:20,22;\\ 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;\\ 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,\\ 12,21,24,25;158:9;\\ 159:22;160:13,23;\\ 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;\\ 169:12;173:3,24;\\ 174:5;175:12;176:24;\\ 179:16,20,21;180:17;\\ \end{array}$	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8
$\begin{array}{c} 35:15;48:3,5;67:14;\\ 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,\\ 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;\\ 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;\\ 79:18;82:9;85:13;\\ 90:25;91:6;92:18;\\ 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;\\ 99:1,6,7;109:12;\\ 110:18;119:20,22;\\ 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;\\ 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,\\ 12,21,24,25;158:9;\\ 159:22;160:13,23;\\ 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;\\ 169:12;173:3,24;\\ 174:5;175:12;176:24;\\ 179:16,20,21;180:17;\\ 181:2,10,13,17,24;\\ \end{array}$	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8 stipulate (7)
$\begin{array}{c} 35:15;48:3,5;67:14;\\ 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,\\ 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;\\ 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;\\ 79:18;82:9;85:13;\\ 90:25;91:6;92:18;\\ 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;\\ 99:1,6,7;109:12;\\ 110:18;119:20,22;\\ 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;\\ 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,\\ 12,21,24,25;158:9;\\ 159:22;160:13,23;\\ 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;\\ 169:12;173:3,24;\\ 174:5;175:12;176:24;\\ 179:16,20,21;180:17;\\ 181:2,10,13,17,24;\\ 182:2,21;184:20,23;\\ \end{array}$	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8 stipulate (7)
$\begin{array}{c} 35:15;48:3,5;67:14;\\ 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,\\ 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;\\ 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;\\ 79:18;82:9;85:13;\\ 90:25;91:6;92:18;\\ 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;\\ 99:1,6,7;109:12;\\ 110:18;119:20,22;\\ 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;\\ 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,\\ 12,21,24,25;158:9;\\ 159:22;160:13,23;\\ 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;\\ 169:12;173:3,24;\\ 174:5;175:12;176:24;\\ 179:16,20,21;180:17;\\ 181:2,10,13,17,24;\\ 182:2,21;184:20,23;\\ \end{array}$	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8 stipulate (7) 35:25;38:21;39:10,
$\begin{array}{c} 35:15;48:3,5;67:14;\\ 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,\\ 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;\\ 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;\\ 79:18;82:9;85:13;\\ 90:25;91:6;92:18;\\ 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;\\ 99:1,6,7;109:12;\\ 110:18;119:20,22;\\ 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;\\ 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,\\ 12,21,24,25;158:9;\\ 159:22;160:13,23;\\ 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;\\ 169:12;173:3,24;\\ 174:5;175:12;176:24;\\ 179:16,20,21;180:17;\\ 181:2,10,13,17,24;\\ 182:2,21;184:20,23;\\ 185:4,7,10,13;186:6,\\ \end{array}$	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8 stipulate (7) 35:25;38:21;39:10, 12,18;40:2;171:24
$\begin{array}{c} 35:15;48:3,5;67:14;\\ 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11,\\ 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11;\\ 75:1,10,13,22;76:22;\\ 79:18;82:9;85:13;\\ 90:25;91:6;92:18;\\ 96:25;98:11,13,15,19;\\ 99:1,6,7;109:12;\\ 110:18;119:20,22;\\ 120:1;121:4,10;124:5;\\ 125:1;126:16;142:6,8,\\ 12,21,24,25;158:9;\\ 159:22;160:13,23;\\ 166:3,6,8;167:4,23;\\ 169:12;173:3,24;\\ 174:5;175:12;176:24;\\ 179:16,20,21;180:17;\\ 181:2,10,13,17,24;\\ 182:2,21;184:20,23;\\ 185:4,7,10,13;186:6,\\ \end{array}$	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8 stipulate (7) 35:25;38:21;39:10, 12,18;40:2;171:24
35:15;48:3,5;67:14; 68:9,10,11,20;69:7,11, 13,18;70:8;71:4,10,11; 75:1,10,13,22;76:22; 79:18;82:9;85:13; 90:25;91:6;92:18; 96:25;98:11,13,15,19; 99:1,6,7;109:12; 110:18;119:20,22; 120:1;121:4,10;124:5; 125:1;126:16;142:6,8, 12,21,24,25;158:9; 159:22;160:13,23; 166:3,6,8;167:4,23; 169:12;173:3,24; 174:5;175:12;176:24; 179:16,20,21;180:17; 181:2,10,13,17,24; 182:2,21;184:20,23;	steps (5) 48:9;117:17;131:10 137:14;254:24 Sterling (12) 83:14;101:2,8,9,11 103:1,7,9;157:18; 176:25;177:4;254:1 stick (3) 104:10;294:13,25 still (24) 12:22;26:6;27:16; 29:15;30:10;56:5; 94:14;98:18;99:11; 118:15;132:2,2;134 138:3,17,20;191:14 194:25;196:7;209:2 230:25;259:21;260 290:8 stipulate (7) 35:25;38:21;39:10,

7.20;189:3.8.10; 6,11,20,24; ;192:4;195:21, 5:2,10,12,21; ;211:13;213:23; ;217:3,7,17; ;230:16;231:18; 236:23;238:7; ;256:18,19,24; 4,10:264:23; 7,8,13,20,24; 278:13;279:9, 24;280:6,7; ,12 (1) al (1) (5) 7:20;68:8,13; 4 60:16 17) 54:4;199:10, 5:1,4,7,7;207:12; 213:18;243:22, 244:4;281:18; (2) 07:2 (2) 5;244:5 276:8 ) 17:17;131:10; ;254:24 (12) 101:2,8,9,11; 7,9;157:18; 5;177:4;254:18 );294:13,25 26:6;27:16; 30:10;56:5; 98:18;99:11; 5;132:2,2;134:4; 7,20;191:14; ;196:7;209:24; ;259:21;260:1; (7) 38:21;39:10,

**Min-U-Script**®

166:14,15 stipulating (1) 37:12 stipulation (1) 39:25 stop (8) 49:16;116:13;122:6; 249:2;260:6;276:25; 284:17;288:8 stopped (2) 47:7;205:16 stopping (1) 116:25 store (37) 69:1;86:13;91:18; 97:11,17;100:19; 102:16;105:23;106:14; 123:16,20;136:19; 159:8;167:18,22; 170:25;176:25;177:3, 7;186:12,18;189:4; 190:20;191:10,18; 192:5;193:23;209:1; 210:16;212:12;216:10; 217:6;218:9,13; 225:19;227:3;237:10 Stores (44) 68:3,23;69:8;79:23, 24;80:6,8;92:19;97:10; 98:25;147:17;150:7; 151:12,24;152:11; 153:16:154:18:157:21: 158:19:159:3,7:163:3; 167:13;179:19;182:18; 185:18,21;186:20; 190:25;191:5,15,21; 192:5;193:3,16;194:1, 2;199:8;206:16;211:2; 212:1;213:14;225:20; 242:11 Stores' (1) 205:10 stories (1) 226:18 storm (3) 234:10,13,21 Stream (1) 247:18 streams (1) 234:15 street (2) 225:22;280:7 strengthened (1) 205:9 stretch (1) 234:22 strictly (1) 213:2 stringent (2) 27:4:66:13 strong (6) 47:8,22;49:22;62:19;

stronger (1) 194:13 strongest (1) 260:13 strongly (2) 56:12:98:12 structure (3) 138:24:139:2:154:9 struggled (1) 267:4 stuck (1) 226:24 students (1) 253:17 studied (1) 93:17 studies (6) 52:4;54:12;90:16; 260:3;272:6;282:15 study (27) 51:13,15;52:13;54:5; 69:15,20;71:8;73:17; 74:8;75:8,12,15,19,23; 76:7.8,8,10,12;81:13, 14;82:17;92:11;93:13; 135:3;279:16,21 stuff (7) 120:9;225:13,21; 234:2;276:1,3;293:3 subject (4) 5:7:52:1:158:7; 284:7 subjected (1) 196:21 subjects (1) 253:16 submissions (1) 269:5 submit (1) 223:16 submitted (7) 36:24;155:12; 200:14;277:10;291:17, 22;293:25 submitting (4) 8:6,8;192:11;255:6 subsequent (3) 200:23;205:6;277:17 subsequently (2) 205:5,11 substantial (6) 13:25;30:14;59:4; 65:5;175:23;208:17 substantially (7) 22:13;57:14;58:12, 13;76:18;93:14;183:5 substantiated (1) 118:20 substantiating (1) 134:5 substation (1) 228:3 substitute (1)

25:2 substituted (2) 202:16:203:7 substratum (1) 234:13 suffer (1) 98:10 suffers (1) 213:9 sufficient (3) 150:9;163:25;171:4 sufficiently (2) 35:6;162:25 suggest (13) 36:13,25;37:16;40:7; 51:17;56:4;192:1; 208:17,23;246:25; 270:3;273:9;275:2 suggested (14) 59:18;68:4;92:24; 154:12,14;195:14; 196:16;258:25;265:22; 279:18,19;285:21; 293:18.20 suggesting (6) 43:23;130:16; 154:13;211:1;238:3,5 suggestion (6) 16:5;97:5;168:12; 208:9;212:24,24 suggests (5) 26:3:49:9:62:18: 268:7:270:2 suing (1) 282:21 sulfur (1) 253:5 Sullivan (15) 11:20;124:2;235:17; 253:12;261:13;263:11; 265:1;267:19;268:22; 270:6;271:13;274:4; 283:24;285:20;290:4 Sullivan's (2) 100:24;272:6 sum (2) 28:15;110:14 summarizes (1) 55:13 summary (6) 7:24;8:4;9:21;19:19; 27:19:54:18 summer (1) 140:18 summertime (1) 140:10 Sun (2) 66:18,25 sunny (1) 227:25 superior (1) 182:6 supermarket (2)

123:20;225:22 supplemental (4) 67:17:77:12:100:23; 155:16 supplies (1) 169:13 supply (8) 150:9;158:11;164:6; 171:4,4;173:4,6;181:9 support (7) 34:18;52:25;79:1; 178:12;202:25;212:20; 256:9 supported (2) 13:24;79:6 supportive (2) 277:6,9 supports (2) 170:12;171:8 suppose (1) 210:14 supposed (4) 58:12;203:16;226:4; 235:12 Sure (52) 6:18;7:16;15:1; 20:18;33:7,9;37:22; 65:12,19;73:3;74:18; 79:23;80:3;90:6,19; 98:20:106:10,16; 116:21;120:19;121:9; 124:2:133:3:140:4: 148:25;152:25;153:22; 158:4;168:25;171:9; 174:2;181:25;182:3; 185:14;186:21;192:7; 199:15,16:203:12; 205:13;206:20;211:17, 24;214:25;217:15; 251:5;272:3;274:17, 24;289:15;292:23; 295:1 surprised (3) 134:25;135:17; 271:11 surprisingly (1) 29:24 survey (1) 208:12 survived (2) 143:9,10 sustain (3) 115:15;152:14;190:2 **SUVs** (1) 21:17 swallow (1) 93:7 **Swim** (8) 114:8,10;116:6; 119:1;121:23,25; 122:3:129:7 swimming (3) 107:5;114:11;122:3

#### Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

swing (1) 285:23 sword (1) 217:24 swords (1) 278:15 sworn (4) 12:24;113:23; 221:17;251:22 system (4) 228:4;264:24; 271:22;282:13 Systems (1) 52:13 Т table (5) 9:19;28:2,19;29:19; 234:19 tables (1) 231:22 tailpipe (1) 286:9 take-away (1) 55:14 Takoma (1) 251:19 talk (18) 31:16:62:14:64:18; 112:3;113:6;162:21; 185:22;220:10;221:19; 225:5;251:25;253:22; 254:10;258:8;271:18; 274:15;286:8;288:20 talked (14) 90:9;123:15;151:24; 154:18;168:17;179:8; 185:15;186:2;206:1; 212:2:219:25:235:20: 258:14:286:17 talking (35) 10:8;20:19;33:2; 40:8;75:23;89:16;92:2, 6;102:2;105:13; 116:21;119:25;122:16; 136:6,8;139:18; 144:19;145:14;146:3; 153:5;160:22;167:9; 174:12;179:6;207:18; 216:8:220:8:242:11: 252:3;256:7;276:10; 277:9,11;287:8;294:4 talks (17) 20:9;22:15;24:20,25; 25:13,21;47:13;51:13, 15;64:16;66:9,21; 150:11;151:17;163:10, 17;164:15 taller (2) 270:15.15 tanker (1) 236:23

67:7;261:6

tanks (1) 182:3 tape (1)279:2 Target (2) 140:6;265:8 taxicab (1) 213:3 taxicabs (3) 212:17,20;215:14 TCRP(1) 60:25 teach (3) 49:14;252:12,13 teaches (1) 239:9 teaching (1) 252:10 Team (1) 114:8 technical (10) 10:21,22,24;11:2; 77:20;78:17;108:11; 203:13;219:25;250:3 technically (1) 292:17 techniques (1) 272:18 technologies (2) 63:15:256:10 Technology (11) 52:21:253:25:254:2. 3,17,19;255:14;256:7, 16.16:267:8 teenage (1) 141:22 teenagers (5) 114:24;140:12,19; 141:11.18 tells (1) 268:5 tempered (1) 214:17 temporary (1) 48:24 ten (3) 74:16;184:11;250:22 tend (6) 55:2;57:14,15;122:4; 151:7;256:20 tended (1) 154:20 tendency (1) 48:5 tends (2) 77:6;263:13 tennis (1) 122:4 tenths (1) 34:24 term (3) 14:17;199:5;224:11 terminology (1)

243:21 terms (49) 20:10:46:18:48:13, 15:55:3:60:11.21: 67:11;70:23;71:12; 73:25;74:3;77:7,21,24; 78:12,13,24;79:12,14, 25;90:24;95:1;102:3, 11,19;104:2;106:11; 110:11:135:14:149:23; 154:16;162:1;169:8; 171:19;200:25;210:14; 218:5;219:12,23,24; 226:15;243:19;250:17; 259:20;261:11;278:21, 22;281:17 terrifying (1) 279:25 terrorist (1) 237:17 test (1) 217:2 testified (35) 36:14,16;127:8,16; 143:5;152:21;153:15, 17;157:14;163:7; 165:25;167:15;168:16; 176:19;178:1;184:13, 14;185:2,5;188:5; 189:7;190:19,22,25; 195:7;209:16,16; 216:19:245:6:253:13: 258:18,23;272:1; 282:9;289:5 testify (29) 6:22;8:19;11:9,11; 113:8:148:14:184:8; 188:4;209:11;222:10; 224:1;239:11;240:16, 18;243:1,10;248:25; 249:4,5;250:22;274:4, 14;275:14;292:6,24; 293:2,10,15;295:4 testifying (11) 139:24;185:5;222:9; 240:20,20;248:22; 249:3;253:4,5;281:4; 289:1 testimony (42) 8:9,17;12:17;16:2; 35:11;79:12;113:4,4; 115:8:148:24:167:12: 177:14;185:12;192:17; 194:24;209:10,13,20; 221:2;223:24;234:12; 235:5;240:15;242:2, 22;243:5,8;245:15,17; 249:10,21;251:3; 252:1;255:7;258:9; 273:6:275:18:279:23; 284:15;287:23;290:1; 293:19 thankfully (1)

26:18 Thanksgiving (1) three-quarters (1) 227:25 theater (4) throughout (3) 137:8,22,23;145:22 thunderstorm (1) theoretically (1) 181:4 Thursday (5) theory (3) 170:12;171:8;178:13 thereafter (1) 80:5 thus (1) therefore (5) 16:6;151:10;179:17; Thy (1) 181:7;212:4 thick (4) tie (3) 24:8;262:17,18,22 thinking (4) tight (2) 113:3;257:24; 277:24,25 tighter (1) third (15) 28:7;29:2;30:7;32:8, times (17) 15,20;34:21;43:16; 68:18;69:3;86:23; 105:4,5,7;278:11 Thirty (1) 101:7 thorough (1) 260:1 tiny (1) thoroughly (1) 93:17 tire (1) thoroughness (1) 282:3 tired (2) though (13) 13:1;63:5;83:21; tires (1) 98:17;128:11;194:3,7; title (1) 197:9;228:23;246:7; 250:14:278:20:284:16 **TOD** (2) thought (15) 24:8;53:10;74:13,14; 79:11:84:18:85:23; today (18) 103:3;120:13;205:9; 208:10;223:3;239:11; 255:7,17 threat (1) 179:8 three (32) together (12) 13:25;27:12,13; 31:18;32:21;48:9; 54:11;55:4,22;57:20; 71:11;82:12;85:18,25; 87:4,9;95:12,12;100:9; told (8) 117:16;119:21;122:5; 130:2;143:1;145:7; 154:11;193:13;229:12; 242:9,12;247:24; tolerant (1) 290:14 Tomorrow (1) three-(1) 192:3 three-and-a-half (2) tons (2) 178:25;179:4 three-fourths (1) took (8) 193:15 three-page (2)

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

38:16:42:7

25:4;226:8;253:15

8:12,13,13;290:16;

228:9;264:14;265:14

120:11;259:24

74:7,19;76:11,16;

100:11,11;101:17;

124:6;156:20;163:13;

173:5;237:22;247:24;

95:12,13;96:20;

193:15

237:11

291:1

292:22

34:1

145:8

253:13

98:9

242:7

187:23

61:16.21

5:14;6:15,22,22;7:6,

8;8:16,19;148:12,14;

149:3;165:6;194:17;

195:7;196:3;221:19;

226:15;253:6

25:24;27:13,24;

286:21,24;294:6

203:10;234:24;

240:18;245:20

251:3

194:18

65:23:286:9

73:17;94:13;202:11,

14,15,16,19;228:24

47:23;68:17;108:1;

163:4;273:22;274:3;

53:9

5:13;289:14

tool (5) 58:5;60:6,7,9,10 tools (1) 58:16 top (8) 28:24;37:4;65:3,16; 70:6;72:23;134:5; 273:1 topic (1) 216:15 tornado (3) 230:15,23:231:7 tornadoes (1) 229:11 total (22) 28:15;62:22;64:25; 65:20;68:20;70:8; 72:24;74:24;82:10,17; 85:1;86:5,15;87:13; 91:20;94:5,7;98:24; 101:1;102:21;103:21; 178:24 totally (2) 118:16;234:4 touch (1) 284:23 touched (1) 114:1 toward (1) 144:3 tower (4) 257:22.22.23.24 towers (1) 257:22 tractor (1) 21:17 trade (1) 154:20 traditional (1) 80:13 traditionally (2) 78:1,16 traffic (101) 59:7,9,11;60:22; 81:4,13,14,17;82:6,7, 17,20,22;83:1,4,18,23; 84:5,5,11,20,23;85:5, 10,15,25;86:12,21,24, 25;87:1,3,8,11,17;88:1, 4,20;89:1,1;94:11; 100:17;102:11;103:2, 11;111:4,5,6;114:2,14, 235:13;236:1,2;239:7; 23,25,25;115:10; 116:17;118:10;123:2; 126:13;127:17;132:2; 134:12,18;138:9; 144:21;156:1;157:11; 169:22;175:23,25; 177:2,4,10,16;178:6; 197:5;210:14;226:24; 227:11,22;236:16; 238:8,10;239:4,13,19, 24,24,25;240:18,20;

241:1;242:4;249:3,4,5;	trip (9)	120:10	37:12	238:13;252:11
250:15,16,22;265:12;	57:11;58:5,14,21;	turning (6)	unconstitutional (2)	unjustified (1)
276:21:288:20	59:3,19;89:2,3;102:23	116:12,24;117:7;	213:16,24	13:15
traffic-oriented (1)	trips (16)	122:21,22;128:2	uncontestable (1)	unless (6)
60:23	57:13;58:12,18;	twice (1)	287:18	17:7;35:21;123:18;
traffic's (1)	59:20;60:23;85:17,18,	246:11	under (38)	139:25;180:22;227:16
241:7	18,19;86:1,8,9,14,15,	two (80)	12:22;25:12,12,21;	unlike (2)
trailers (1)	16;118:22	13:16;23:13;25:23;	27:3,21,23;28:6,9,24;	236:21;275:21
21:18	troubles (1)	27:12,24;32:10;43:20;	29:8;30:7,13;70:7;	unlikely (2)
	256:22	44:20;52:14;54:3;		62:19;237:17
trains (1)			73:17;74:8;80:25;	
236:25	truck (3)	69:24;73:21;74:5;	93:23;96:23;111:11;	unmindful (1)
transactions (1)	123:4;135:10;237:13	82:13;91:11;93:1,7,8,	161:5,6;163:6;164:15,	213:20
101:7	Trucking (1)	13,23;94:1,21;95:13;	16;205:25;222:2;	unnecessary (2)
transcript (1)	287:12	96:7,10;100:19;	229:6;233:20,20;	214:11;255:13
245:15	trucks (18)	104:15;107:25;109:17;	234:6;259:11;272:24;	UNNGO (1)
transfer (1)	18:12;20:2,2;21:16;	112:20;114:6,7;	273:23,23,24,25;	224:19
216:7	22:15;122:10,17,22;	123:17,17;125:1,2;	285:22	unpublished (1)
transient (4)	123:3;136:3;177:12,	130:3;139:10;142:25;	underground (1)	15:17
169:4,10;170:2,6	12;228:22;229:4;	153:4;160:3;163:4;	234:8	unreasonable (3)
transients (1)	236:15,23;238:10;	164:11;166:4;168:15;	undermines (1)	154:17;213:24;
169:7	242:4	185:3,10,12;186:13;	22:3	214:11
transit (7)	true (18)	189:8;191:15;193:13;	underscored (1)	unreported (3)
25:5;60:13,15,25;	30:2;87:17;116:24;	204:8;205:11;211:25;	124:24	14:9,15,21
61:3,15:63:17		219:11;227:1,13;	underserved (4)	unusual (4)
	152:23;160:24;187:21;			
transit-oriented (3)	189:2;191:4,21;192:6;	234:3,5,7,17,24;	68:6;77:8;150:10;	79:8,17;230:22;
59:1;60:18;225:19	210:22;213:11;238:18,	235:19,21;242:12;	190:20	231:4
transportation (15)	19;260:18;266:2;	246:5;247:3,23;	understate (1)	unwieldy (1)
28:24;30:8;32:4;	273:6;282:2	257:21;259:17;260:10;	57:15	26:21
33:16;51:13;52:12,13;	Truly (2)	264:18;277:12,12;	understates (2)	unwilling (3)
53:8;54:16;58:14;61:6;	118:13;217:9	278:9;286:23;287:22;	40:8,9	95:17,20,23
62:2,8;64:22;136:22	trust (2)	290:15;294:5	understood (3)	up (112)
travel (9)	24:6;249:12	two-thirds (1)	204:14;255:8;273:8	7:6,18;8:17;13:12;
25:1,1,2;38:17;	try (26)	19:23	undesirable (1)	17:23;28:16;29:22;
42:20,21;60:19;61:17,	7:7,19;17:17;40:6,	tying (1)	276:7	31:6,14,16;35:2;38:4;
21	12;42:2;44:2;60:22;	15:10	undoubtedly (4)	42:11;46:18,20;48:23;
traveled (19)	64:11;76:23;84:22;	type (2)	92:6;93:7,14;96:8	50:16;55:17;61:9;64:7;
20:13;23:12,14;	90:20;94:19;137:17;	213:22;214:11	unduly (2)	73:20;74:6,8;80:20;
24:16,17;25:13;26:13;	149:19;154:2;167:12;	types (1)	249:8,9	82:14,19,21;87:7,15,
27:9,21;54:25;62:15,	187:24;194:8;222:3;	187:16	unfortunately (2)	16;88:8,10;89:25;92:4,
22;63:16;65:10,11,21,				
	225:24;249:8,12;	typical (4)	103:3;292:1	23;93:7;94:8,20;97:12;
25;66:7;112:9	251:8;252:3;280:4	82:18;135:20;	unhealthy (1)	100:13,23,24;101:3,23;
traverse (1)	trying (51)	276:13;278:6	211:14	107:20,20;115:3;
146:12	25:4,23;42:24;59:15,	typically (10)	<b>UNIDENTIFIED (2)</b>	116:6;119:8,9;122:9,
treated (1)	16;81:3;82:19,24;84:3,	37:11;62:15;79:2;	119:12;149:9	10;128:6,12;129:4,5,
205:15	15,19;85:1,7;87:19;	181:10;259:1;260:4;	unimaginable (1)	13;133:7;135:10;
trend (5)	90:8;95:10;96:14;	267:15;268:11;270:14;	229:20	137:13;141:3,4,6,6,8;
50:25;56:11;62:18;	109:17;119:16;124:21;	278:5	Union (3)	150:14;154:24;156:13,
98:15;187:5	128:7;136:9;139:16,		241:14,15;253:12	23;157:21;161:12;
trends (2)	20;152:20;153:10;	U	unique (4)	169:14;175:24;177:24;
38:16;55:16	165:14;168:20;176:2;		110:5;124:11;	194:9;206:17;212:21;
tributaries (1)	177:16,16;181:15,19;	ultimately (3)	170:17;220:11	219:2;221:8,25;
247:16	218:12;221:23,25;	37:22;258:21,22	unit (1)	224:12;227:16;228:24;
tried (11)	228:12;238:14;243:4;	Um (3)	65:24	229:21;234:23;236:19;
24:12;83:17;116:11,	250:8;253:25;254:2;	11:5;183:7;222:17	United (1)	237:15;240:15;248:20;
25;189:11;225:14;	255:24,25;256:5;	unable (2)	59:15	251:9;252:18;257:24;
243:4;249:14;251:5;	257:1,20;275:8;290:5,	95:23;158:11	units (1)	259:2;260:22;261:18;
276:19;277:18	21,23	unacceptable (3)	65:22	262:5,8;264:4,5;268:2,
trigger (1)	Tuesday (1)	211:19;234:4;236:20	universe (1)	18;270:4;279:23;
275:16	8:12	unavailable (1)	91:23	283:13;285:7,8,9;
triggered (1)	turn (4)	11:9	University (12)	286:25;291:4;292:24;
127:19	20:5;76:22;162:9;	unbroken (2)	32:4;33:15;53:8;	294:6;295:10
	20.3,70.22,102.9,		52.7,55.15,55.0,	
triggers (1)	283:21	46:7,8	116:10,23;127:22;	up/drop-off (1)
triggers (1) 272:7				

EIIION OF COSICO	WHOLESP
upcoming (1)	128:3;134
8:9	150:15;15
updated (5)	166:1,5;1
12:10;19:14;22:8;	179:9,11;
58:24;251:9	224:11;22
updates (1)	7;246:8,1
34:21	257:21;27
upheld (2)	282:21;28
212:2;215:15	useful (7)
uphold (1)	69:22;158
211:3	172:23;17
upon (4)	199:5;207
13:16;114:1;134:23;	users (1)
234:8	79:9
upshot (1)	uses (19)
73:13	54:23;86:
up-to-date (1)	98:18;164
72:7	174:24;17
urban (3)	183:18;19
270:7;273:25;275:3	203:5,6,7
usage (16)	25;275:4
17:2,15;20:9;21:21;	using (28)
23:17;27:25;29:1,13;	17:12;28:
48:2;56:1,16;59:20;	58:22;60:
94:5,7;98:17;110:22	75:12;76:
use (98)	22;86:7;8
13:23;17:11;20:8,24;	100:4;103
21:8;24:17;25:4,22;	118:25;12
27:6,22;28:6,10;47:19;	153:7;182
48:1;52:24;53:3;59:8,	12;210:4;
16,19;63:15;67:15;	273:19,21
72:5;73:10;75:9,15;	Usually (5)
77:24;78:12;81:19;	14:21,21;
82:24;83:5,13;86:2,20;	253:13
104:17,23;105:15;	233.13
109:11;118:3;119:2;	
120:18;121:9;124:4;	
126:17;136:22;152:7;	valid (3)
157:2;162:25;171:2;	14:2;22:1
173:2;176:2,21;	Valley (17)
178:22;179:2;180:1,8;	
	116:9,23;
187:13;188:25,25;	118:1;121 128:12,13
189:1,19;191:24; 193:10,22,25;194:16,	
16,20,21,22,23,194.10,	139:7,8,9
	253:11
198:19;201:1,18; 202:15;207:13;208:2,	valleys (1)
	46:15
4;209:20,22;211:18, 20;214:18;227:17;	valuable (1)
231:15;233:18,19,19;	217:3
	value (9)
254:18;265:22;268:5;	70:21;82:
272:17;273:10,18;	100:23;17
276:7;285:15,15;	188:21,21
292:16	values (1)
used (57)	241:3
8:8;58:19,24;68:7;	valves (1)
69:17;74:1,16;78:11,	255:9
11,23;82:23;85:3;	variation (2
86:15;87:1;91:24;	30:13;46:
100:17;101:8,11;	variations (
102:21;103:12,18,19;	28:20;29:
106:20;114:5;123:11,	variety (3)
12 13.126.11 12.	$24 \cdot 23 \cdot 110$

120.2.124.0.142.6.	various (12)	
128:3;134:8;143:6;		
150:15;154:10,15;	32:24;50:15,16;	
166:1,5;169:7,17;	85:13;124:8;134:14;	
179:9,11;180:13,14,21;	226:9;230:3;234:15,	
224:11;229:11;239:6,	25;235:7;245:3	v
7;246:8,12;252:21;	vast (2)	
257:21;270:6,7;275:4;	135:13,18	
282:21;286:14	vastly (1)	v
seful (7)	109:7	
69:22;158:21;	vehicle (28)	v
172:23;175:11;179:18;	20:24;21:6;23:12;	
199:5;207:10	24:16,17;25:1,10,13;	v
sers (1)	26:12;27:9,20;33:3;	ľ
79:9	42:12;43:8,20;44:19;	
ses (19)	52:24;54:25;55:3,21;	v
54:23;86:16;92:2;	62:14;63:16;65:10,11,	
98:18;164:7;169:24;	21;66:7;124:15;242:5	
174:24;175:7;180:3;	vehicles (28)	V
183:18;198:21;201:20;	17:12;20:1,7;21:2,	
203:5,6,7;207:15,16,	12,16,18;22:21;25:3,	
25;275:4	22,24;26:1;27:23,25;	v
		v
sing (28)	28:11;53:19,23;54:7;	
17:12;28:23;30:4;	65:25;87:12,13;112:9,	v
58:22;60:22;71:9;	12;135:8;140:20;	
75:12;76:15;84:6,10,	239:17;240:1;250:8	v
22;86:7;88:22;92:15;	Vehicle's (1)	
100:4;103:14;105:6;	20:12	v
118:25;120:21;127:13;	Veirs (4)	•
153:7;182:18;194:9,	5:8;114:20;120:24;	v
12;210:4;229:3;	128:10	
273:19,21	verbiage (1)	V
sually (5)	199:21	
	199.21	
		V
14:21,21;242:8,9;	versa (1)	V
	<b>versa (1)</b> 151:20	V
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13	versa (1) 151:20 version (5)	V
14:21,21;242:8,9;	<b>versa (1)</b> 151:20 <b>version (5)</b> 19:13;36:4;64:7,9;	
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b>	<b>versa (1)</b> 151:20 <b>version (5)</b> 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13	V v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18)	v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b> alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10;	
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b> alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5;	v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b> alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10;	v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b> alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19;	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5;	v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b> alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22;	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2;	v v V
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 <b>V</b> alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23;	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6	v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8;	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1)	v v V
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9	v v V
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2)	v v V
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7	v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1)	v v V
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7	v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1)	v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2)	v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9;         253:13         V         alid (3)         14:2;22:10;124:3         alley (17)         116:9,23;117:7,19;         118:1;121:22;127:22;         128:12,13,22;138:23;         139:7,8,9;141:6,8;         253:11         alleys (1)         46:15         aluable (1)         217:3         alue (9)         70:21;82:15;97:10;	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17	v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18;	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1)	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17	v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4)	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7,	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7,	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9 ariation (2)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10 videotapes (1) 245:21	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9 ariation (2) 30:13;46:13	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10 videotapes (1) 245:21 View (29)	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9 ariation (2) 30:13;46:13 ariations (2)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10 videotapes (1) 245:21 View (29) 6:12,13;40:4;78:7;	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9 ariation (2) 30:13;46:13 ariations (2) 28:20;29:21	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10 videotapes (1) 245:21 View (29) 6:12,13;40:4;78:7; 106:10;116:9,23;	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9 ariation (2) 30:13;46:13 ariations (2) 28:20;29:21 ariety (3)	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10 videotapes (1) 245:21 View (29) 6:12,13;40:4;78:7; 106:10;116:9,23; 117:7,19;118:2;	v v v v
14:21,21;242:8,9; 253:13 V alid (3) 14:2;22:10;124:3 alley (17) 116:9,23;117:7,19; 118:1;121:22;127:22; 128:12,13,22;138:23; 139:7,8,9;141:6,8; 253:11 alleys (1) 46:15 aluable (1) 217:3 alue (9) 70:21;82:15;97:10; 100:23;172:25;187:18; 188:21,21;217:5 alues (1) 241:3 alves (1) 255:9 ariation (2) 30:13;46:13 ariations (2) 28:20;29:21	versa (1) 151:20 version (5) 19:13;36:4;64:7,9; 163:13 versus (18) 45:14;68:5,18;75:10; 76:10;89:6;93:4;94:5; 96:7;151:14,22;152:7; 157:22;159:9;160:2; 162:2;163:11;167:6 viability (1) 189:9 viable (2) 127:21;238:7 vice (1) 151:20 vicinity (2) 123:7;189:17 victim (1) 288:17 video (4) 238:8;242:1;250:7, 10 videotapes (1) 245:21 View (29) 6:12,13;40:4;78:7; 106:10;116:9,23;	v v v v

13,22;139:7,8,9;141:7,	1
8;197:20;205:8;	
221:13;226:9;227:14;	wa
249:6,24;250:4;272:4	7
views (6)	1
51:19;148:1;249:24;	]
250:5;251:6,14	]
violate (2)	1
287:19,20	]
violates (1)	wa
261:8	]
violation (6)	wa
265:18,20;267:25;	
273:5;287:3,21	wa
violations (5) 265:24,24;266:1;	1
203.24,24,200.1, 272:7,10	1
Virginia (4)	1
6:13;231:23;253:11;	1
256:23	wa
virtually (1)	wa
56:14	wa
visit (2)	
104:13;257:23	wa
visiting (1)	
280:10	wa
visitor (1)	1
209:4	1
vitiates (1)	wa
206:15	]
vivendi (1)	wa
251:11	2
VMT (13)	2
62:19;63:16;64:18,	4
24,25;65:1,5,7,7,9,9,15,	wa
17	8
voir (1)	8
224:5 volatile (1)	1
254:14	
Volpe (2)	1
52:13,24	1
volume (15)	1
38:16;43:21;44:22;	1
45:5,20;67:15;82:10,	1
12,17;97:1;187:3,4,25;	2
196:11;208:7	wa
volumes (3)	]
42:21;82:22,22	wa
	2
W	wa
waffle (1)	Wa
206:15	vv a
wage (1)	wa
241:14	
wages (1)	wa
241:15	2
wait (5)	wa
121:1;128:20;	2
142:24;166:4;172:19	wa
waiting (9)	
	3370
85:21;120:12,14;	wa

121:21:123:4:135:10, 23;175:22;210:15 lk (22) 73:15;114:9,10,13, 16,22;116:19;123:8,12, 13,14;127:23;129:2; 137:13;140:9;141:4; 143:7;144:13;145:9, 10,11;237:22 alked (1) 133:12 lkers (1) 116:8 lking (15) 115:5;123:6;129:10, 13;130:17;131:9; 133:13,15,18,19; 136:18;146:13,22; 147:1,12 alks (1) 116:6 lk-through (1) 77:11 alkway (1) 119:10 all (5) 125:13,15,15,21; 126:1 alls (1) 125:19 nts (7) 24:6;54:19;159:8; 224:23;225:5;264:7; 292:12 rehouse (37) 85:20;86:7;88:23; 89:4;90:11;91:14; 100:5,6;101:8,15; 102:22;103:14;104:13, 23;106:6,17;107:12; 117:14,21;122:13,17; 128:5;134:11,11; 135:13;155:14;156:3; 165:12;174:15;175:9; 178:8;179:5;208:8,8; 225:20,21;242:7 rehouses (1) 103:6 rfare (2) 229:14;248:4 shing (1) 187:11 ashington (2) 60:21;252:19 ste (3) 37:20;41:17;276:5 sting (1) 242:25 tch (2) 276:1,3 tched (2) 119:20;250:22 ter (10)

12,13;126:11,12;

TEIIIION OF COSICO	WHOLESALE COKI O	RATION	1	
001 00 004 10 14	20.16	<b>54 0 00 55 10 14</b>		00.15.000.00.001.10
231:22;234:10,14,	30:16	74:3,23;75:12,14;	223:2,2,4,13;239:18,	83:15;228:20;264:13
19,21;252:24,25;	well-maintained (1)	76:17;77:7,10;81:8;	22;248:19;249:3;	worth (1)
263:20,22;287:25	213:8	98:6,9;107:19;108:6,7,	251:12,22;252:3;	227:11
waterpark (3)	well-qualified (1)	9,13,14,19;110:16,25;	290:25;293:17	wound (1)
107:6,6,8	223:7	146:5,7;160:9,11;	witnessed (2)	257:24
Wawa (11)	well-raised (1)	175:18;180:18;203:14;	238:14;253:7	wrapped (1)
110:19;185:3,8,9,12;	207:7	231:24;232:12;237:15,	witnesses (14)	80:20
186:6,10,19,21;189:8;	wells (1)	22;241:3;272:7	8:16;12:6,10;167:15;	wrestle (1)
191:5	234:9	Wholesale (1)	235:7;240:24;248:21,	281:14
way (79)	well-served (3)	5:3	22;251:9;257:6;	wrestling (1)
14:4;15:2;19:23;	110:17;111:2;191:16	wholly (1)	275:12;290:15;292:11,	281:12
30:24;37:9;38:21;	Wendy's (3)	112:5	13	write (4)
39:12;55:3,24;76:18;	114:18;125:22;195:1	who's (3)	woman (1)	5:19;222:5;253:17;
78:11,23;80:7;81:7;	weren't (1)	104:5;224:7;257:7	238:15	262:4
83:17,25;84:25;85:2;	245:8	whose (4)	wonder (3)	writes (1)
86:20;94:17;101:25;	west (9)	103:16;115:1;	161:23;214:1;256:6	43:25
103:19,20;104:23;	78:19;116:15;	181:13;245:17	wondered (2)	writing (2)
114:12;117:13,19,20;	140:25;143:23;227:16;	wife (1)	194:4;203:9	220:20;255:5
126:20;127:24;128:5;	253:11;256:22;257:22,	149:11	wonderful (1)	written (2)
130:20;131:9;139:11,	24	willing (4)	225:24	229:25;287:16
12,14;141:5;148:4;	western (4)	38:21:95:3,15;	woods (1)	wrong (11)
			237:10	
150:16;160:12;161:22,	131:3;139:6,15;	231:10		9:9;90:22;95:20;
23;163:2;164:23;	144:1	Wilson (1)	word (10)	119:11,12;151:13;
165:20;166:7;167:24;	Westfield (4)	200:18	95:21;143:7;214:14;	228:19,19;232:6;
175:13;181:10;182:2;	5:10;132:13,15;	win (2)	221:25;252:23;268:5,	244:9;274:6
184:2;189:13;190:16;	146:23	49:15;226:11	6;279:25;285:15,18	wrote (2)
191:16;193:15;205:15;	What's (32)	wind (1)	words (20)	181:16;287:13
215:12;216:3,5;226:5;	7:6,8;14:7;23:14;	237:9	75:21;76:21;81:25;	
241:7,22;247:15,18;	36:15,17;42:15;46:24;	windshield (2)	82:25;117:19;136:17;	Y
257:11;263:9,10;	47:1;61:11;64:4;73:13;	187:11,21	152:7;159:23;162:23;	
264:25;265:3,4;266:9;	89:15,17;90:17,17,23;	winning (1)	163:13,19;199:24;	year (41)
267:6,24;268:25;	102:25;110:21;121:12;	49:23	202:14,18;203:21;	19:24;22:14,18,21;
281:16;284:5,17;	134:13;152:24;192:25;	wiping (1)	204:3,18;205:23;	23:7,9;25:14,17;29:8;
292:7;295:7	193:5;195:4;200:9;	187:22	252:4;279:10	31:8,8,8;43:19,19;
ways (10)	224:5;233:18;243:22;	Wisconsin (1)	work (10)	49:11,12,12;56:19;
69:19;71:12;92:8;	260:5;265:7;286:13	227:24	23:3;78:22;103:12;	61:13;62:17;71:4;
165:18;220:14,17;	Wheaton (21)	wish (6)	125:19;134:3;229:21;	81:15,21;82:13;83:12;
225:20,20;227:15;	5:9,10;47:25;83:15;	6:22,22;96:14,15,17;	234:10;253:17;256:11;	85:2;100:11;156:9;
289:20	101:9;114:5;121:11;	197:18	294:19	157:5;158:15;179:1;
weaken (1)	125:1;126:11;174:7;	wishes (2)	worked (9)	198:1;227:1;231:17;
205:10	175:4;208:8,13,19,24;	6:14;39:10	100:22;236:22;	242:9,10;259:17,23,23;
weapons (1)	209:5;216:7,18;219:5;	within (36)	248:5,8,9;256:17;	263:7;276:9
276:5	234:16;237:18	56:2;69:20;75:7;	258:4;277:4;292:21	years (38)
weather (4)	Wheaton/Kensington (2)	78:22;79:4;80:9,13;	worker/shopper (1)	16:18,20;20:16;21:6;
230:25;235:1;236:9,	216:12;226:2	92:3,3;114:4,16,21;	86:22	22:5,19;28:3;33:5;
12	wheel (1)	125:2;126:18;134:12;	workers (1)	43:15;46:2;47:7;53:20;
web (2)	229:5	144:20;146:16;171:25;	88:24	55:20;56:3;78:14;
33:16;241:8	whenever (1)	173:12,17,22;174:13;	working (9)	98:14;114:6;121:16;
	212:3			
Wednesday (1)		207:21,22;208:25;	12:6;31:5;59:11;	147:7;154:11;187:4;
227:24	whereas (3)	210:6,10,16,19;215:19,	88:18;89:12,12;	196:1;224:25;225:10;
week (1)	46:19;83:5;250:3	21,22,24;216:1,3;	205:25;251:8;256:25	226:18;229:13;237:3;
228:5	Whereupon (4)	260:18	works (6)	246:13,17;252:10,16;
				256:8,23;259:12,14,17;
weekend (1)	39.7.149.5.221.5.	without (14)		
weekend $(1)$	39:7;149:5;221:5; 205:13	without (14)	209:5;242:12;256:9;	
248:9	295:13	18:20;92:19;112:14;	292:8,10;295:8	271:14,15
248:9 weigh (1)	295:13 wherever (1)	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1)	271:14,15 <b>year's (1)</b>
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1;	292:8,10;295:8	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24	295:13 wherever (1)	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1)	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2)	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1)	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1)	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3)
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2) 51:20;223:23	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1) 147:10	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21; 265:22;274:8	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1) 140:21	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3) 42:8;43:7,17
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2) 51:20;223:23 welcome (1)	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1) 147:10 Whitman (1)	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21; 265:22;274:8 Witness (24)	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1) 140:21 worrying (1)	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3) 42:8;43:7,17 yell (2)
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2) 51:20;223:23 welcome (1) 126:22	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1) 147:10 Whitman (1) 287:12	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21; 265:22;274:8 <b>Witness (24)</b> 12:24;113:23;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1) 140:21 worrying (1) 48:25	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3) 42:8;43:7,17 yell (2) 221:23;222:1
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2) 51:20;223:23 welcome (1) 126:22 welfare (1)	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1) 147:10 Whitman (1) 287:12 whole (39)	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21; 265:22;274:8 <b>Witness (24)</b> 12:24;113:23; 152:17,18;188:5;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1) 140:21 worrying (1) 48:25 worse (3)	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3) 42:8;43:7,17 yell (2) 221:23;222:1 yesterday (4)
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2) 51:20;223:23 welcome (1) 126:22 welfare (1) 210:11	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1) 147:10 Whitman (1) 287:12 whole (39) 18:12;24:9;37:7;	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21; 265:22;274:8 <b>Witness (24)</b> 12:24;113:23; 152:17,18;188:5; 190:9;192:13;197:18;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1) 140:21 worrying (1) 48:25 worse (3) 138:10,12;239:5	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3) 42:8;43:7,17 yell (2) 221:23;222:1 yesterday (4) 64:13;116:20;134:2;
248:9 weigh (1) 291:24 weight (2) 51:20;223:23 welcome (1) 126:22 welfare (1)	295:13 wherever (1) 119:4 White (1) 147:10 Whitman (1) 287:12 whole (39)	18:20;92:19;112:14; 125:20;132:12;177:24; 179:15;181:9;185:1; 190:25;224:2;225:21; 265:22;274:8 <b>Witness (24)</b> 12:24;113:23; 152:17,18;188:5;	292:8,10;295:8 world (1) 248:8 worn (1) 140:21 worrying (1) 48:25 worse (3)	271:14,15 year's (1) 19:1 year-to-date (3) 42:8;43:7,17 yell (2) 221:23;222:1 yesterday (4)

Yogi (5)	149:5	13.85 (1)	46:2	42:9;43:8;65:1;71:15,
176:4,9,9,10,13	1:45 (2)	30:10	19th (2)	17;72:8,12;77:4,5;
York (2)	148:11;149:4	13-12 (1)	11:10,23	261:15
225:16;238:25	10 (15)	5:4		2013 (28)
young (6)	5:9;22:12;23:19;	1320 (1)	2	5:12,15;8:1,2,6,8,10,
54:25;55:2;115:4;	34:9;49:21,23;98:14;	75:12		10,14;19:4,9,16,17;
140:18;229:16;248:6	100:6;175:10;184:3;	135 (1)	2 (14)	24:2;32:14,14,15;33:4,
Youssafieh (1)	209:2;221:24;292:6;	66:9	45:19;52:22;56:25;	11;34:9,23;39:13;
188:6	293:11,15	136 (4)	60:5,6;75:3,4;81:3,3;	40:22;42:8;43:8;53:9;
100.0	10:00 (4)	27:14,15;28:7,8	101:22;254:25,25;	64:10;261:15
Z	125:6,6;177:13,13	138 (1)	255:10,12	2017 (1)
	<b>10:29</b> (1)	86:14	<b>2.5</b> (1)	19:24
zero (8)	39:7	13th (1)	2.3 (1) 262:15	2020 (6)
74:4;90:25;94:1,21;	100 (9)	14:18	<b>2.70 (1)</b>	28:4;29:8;63:14;
95:13;96:9,21;97:12	68:23;69:8;92:22,25,	14.10	73:11	64:24;65:16,18
	25;93:4,5;94:25;191:3	8:12;21:8;26:6	2.73 (1)	2021 (1)
<b>zip (1)</b> 208:13	<b>10-01 (2)</b>	14.88 (1)	74:2	2021(1) 22:18
	200:14,15	29:8	2.78 (4)	<b>2022 (1)</b>
<b>zoned (1)</b> 5:10	<b>102 (1)</b>	29.8 14th (2)	65:19,22,24;66:6	2022(1)
<b>Zoning (9</b> )	27:3	11:10.23		<b>2025 (8)</b>
			20 (24)	
5:5;205:8;214:10;	<b>10223 (1)</b> 221:13	15 (5)	8:1;34:22;64:19;	19:25;20:4;21:6,8;
233:20;269:6;277:10,		46:1;98:14;167:16;	71:13;85:15,16;86:5;	22:8,19,21;23:9
13,16;290:6	<b>10-day</b> (1)	174:12;262:1	98:14;102:8;103:13,	2029 (1)
ZTA (2)	292:3	150 (1)	14;167:16;174:12;	25:18
200:14;281:19	11 (1)	230:9	175:10;183:1;184:4;	2030 (2)
ZTA** (1)	8:8	16 (4)	204:12;225:10;226:18;	28:4;29:9
281:13	11.1 (2)	5:7;26:3,6;54:25	228:25;229:12;252:10;	2035 (4)
0	89:25;105:3	16.1 (1)	256:8;274:5	16:19;22:8;62:2,7
0	11.2 (1)	21:7	200 (1)	2036 (2)
	105:2	16.31 (3)	262:23	20:11;21:9
0.8 (2)	11160 (1)	29:4,15;30:8	2000s (1)	2039 (2)
28:12,16	5:8	164 (2)	55:18	20:12;21:9
1	1188 (1)	28:23,24	2001 (2)	2040 (8)
1	75:13	1693 (2)	54:24;287:13	25:19;26:5,25;28:4;
	12 (29)	14:17;15:17	2002 (1)	29:9,14;30:10;195:13
1 (6)	43:13;46:9;62:24;	16th (1)	206:24	20s (2)
8:15;25:14;54:21;	81:15,16,18,25,25;	5:2	2004 (5)	37:9;225:23
56:25;70:7;81:12	83:16;85:3,11;87:6,14,	17 (2)	14:17;51:16;53:19,	21 (5)
1,000 (4)	15;88:9;91:20;96:7;	195:17;196:13	25;54:23	5:15;8:13;11:23;
79:3;278:17;279:4,7	100:11;101:16;105:5;	18 (4)	2005 (3)	63:10;104:11
1,244 (1)	156:20,25;157:12;	102:1;106:8;178:20;	14:18;62:16;63:4	21.5 (1)
100:10	158:5,15,21;195:17;	179:2	2006 (2)	196:11
1.13 (1)	197:25;262:2	183 (5)	64:24;65:1	21st (4)
95:4	12.01 (1)	68:23;69:5,7;75:1,2	2007 (3)	11:10;288:12;
1.18 (2)	29:9	19 (2)	25:12,18;56:18	290:22;293:13
87:16,25	12.5 (4)	8:12;101:2	2008 (1)	22 (6)
1.2 (1)	195:13,25;196:2,13	190 (1)	64:25	56:17,20;57:1;72:3,
227:7	12.64 (2)	274:1	2009 (4)	22;73:11
1.4 (1)	29:9;30:9	1951 (1)	45:21;54:24;63:12;	2200 (1)
64:23	12/13/05 (1)	75:11	72:6	75:14
1.55 (2)	15:18	1970 (1)	2010 (23)	23 (9)
74:15,19	1244 (2)	25:11	26:5;34:22;45:23,25;	16:20;55:1;73:1,9;
1.6 (2)	101:16;157:22	1975 (1)	64:22;68:21;69:17;	102:4;106:8;164:25;
26:24;74:17	125 (1)	114:9	70:13,23;71:4,9,14,19,	193:2;201:4
1.66 (1)	230:9	1980 (1)	20,23;72:5,6,8,23;	24 (3)
74:17	12-and-a-half (1)	63:4	73:17,18;77:4,4	18:19;207:19;217:22
1.69 (2)	26:7	1987 (1)	2011 (7)	24.7 (1)
74:7,15	13 (2)	75:15	21:7;26:25;53:22;	34:23
1/28 (1)	52:11;66:25	1990 (1)	54:23;64:25;65:2;	2440 (1)
61:16	13.06 (1)	285:1	261:15	208:12
1:00 (2)	29:9	1991 (2)	2012 (18)	24th (4)
148:10;240:4	13.61 (1)	181:18,24	16:15,17;17:1,24;	291:12,17;293:11,16
1:02 (1)	29:15	1998 (1)	18:15;19:15,20;22:6;	25 (7)
	1		1	1

	WHOLESALE CORPO	KATION		
20.2.62.12.00.25.	19.101.6.102.1 11 16	54.16	56.19	50~ (1)
39:3;63:13;90:25;	18;101:6;102:1,11,16,	54:16	56:18	50s (1)
164:20;187:4;221:4;	19,21,21,21;103:13,22;	<b>332a</b> (2)	4.2 (1)	37:10
238:7	104:12,16,17,22;	55:7,10	208:15	531 (1)
250375 (1)	138:13;155:24;156:2,	332b (1)	4.4 (6)	287:13
14:12	7,14,16;157:17;	55:8	87:7,10;88:5,10;	5310 (1)
25-year-old (1)	169:20;178:2,6,11,23;	332c (2)	89:14;99:25	75:2
248:6	179:2;183:1;196:1;	56:7;57:7	4.44 (1)	55 (1)
26th (2)	216:6;226:18;246:13;	333 (4)	88:5	62:17
5:12;100:24	256:8;286:10	57:9,19;58:6,9	4.6 (1)	55.26 (1)
27 (10)	300 (3)	334 (2)	45:25	65:21
69:18;71:10;75:9;	10:16;278:16;279:2	60:13;61:18	4.8 (1)	55.78 (1)
90:25;102:4;165:1;	3003 (1)	335 (5)	208:15	66:5
194:11,15;207:19;	113:19	62:6,9;63:8,20,22	4/30/13 (2)	553,000 (1)
238:7	305 (4)	336 (3)	34:3,5	74:20
28 (1)	12:18;16:16;17:23;	63:22;64:1,2	4:00 (2)	56 (1)
8:10	18:23	337 (2)	135:18;177:12	62:17
28th (2)	306 (1)	66:18;67:3	40 (7)	56.1 (1)
12:9;251:8	7:23	338 (4)	80:14;183:2;208:10,	64:25
29 (2)	30s (2)	67:23;68:15;69:23;	14;216:6;252:16;286:8	59,611 (1)
66:25;172:14	37:9;225:23	70:15	40.3 (1)	74:11
<b>29,000</b> (1)	312 (1)	339 (3)	22:17	<b>59-G</b> (2)
92:5	8:2	70:16;71:3,5	40s (1)	198:15;201:7
292 (2)	<sup>6.2</sup> 314 (1)	<b>34</b> (1)	37:10	<b>59-G-1.1 (1)</b>
	8:5			
85:14,16		54:25	41 (3)	201:9
293 (2)	316 (1)	340 (3)	114:6;121:16;147:7	<b>59-G-1.2.1</b> (1)
193:1,2	8:7	71:22,25;72:18	4100 (2)	163:21
297 (2)	318 (1)	35 (7)	155:13;156:20	<b>59-G-1.21.1</b> (1)
72:13,22	8:9	23:11,19;24:15;	420 (1)	230:12
298 (2)	321 (1)	58:18;94:9;208:10,14	86:15	<b>59-G-1.24</b> (4)
169:17,20	8:14	35,449 (1)	444 (1)	149:25;163:10;
299 (2)	323 (2)	73:21	273:25	198:6;201:5
13:4;15:14	9:22,23	35,648 (1)	45 (1)	<b>59-G-2.06</b> (1)
·		<b>35,648</b> (1) 74:7		
<u>13:4;15:14</u> <b>3</b>	9:22,23		45 (1)	<b>59-G-2.06</b> (1)
·	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b>	74:7	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25	<b>59-G-2.06</b> (1) 5:5 <b>5th</b> (3)
3	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23	74:7 <b>35,847 (1</b> )	<b>45</b> (1) 148:25 <b>457</b> (1)	<b>59-G-2.06</b> (1) 5:5
3 (7)	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1;	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1)	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b>	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21
<b>3</b> <b>3 (7)</b> 25:17;55:15;56:25;	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19,	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4	<b>59-G-2.06</b> (1) 5:5 <b>5th</b> (3)
<b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23;	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1)	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b>	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b>
<b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b>	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b>	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1)	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20 <b>49 (1)</b>	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20,
<b>3</b> <b>3 (7)</b> 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23 <b>326a (3)</b>	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b>	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23 <b>326a (3)</b> 31:25;33:1,19	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1)	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20 <b>49 (1)</b> 27:5	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23 <b>326a (3)</b> 31:25;33:1,19 <b>326b (2)</b>	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20 <b>49 (1)</b>	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b>	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23 <b>326a (3)</b> 31:25;33:1,19 <b>326b (2)</b> 33:21;34:7	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b>	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20 <b>49 (1)</b> 27:5 <b>5</b>	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3 (7)</b> 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23 <b>326a (3)</b> 31:25;33:1,19 <b>326b (2)</b> 33:21;34:7 <b>326c (2)</b>	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10	<b>45 (1)</b> 148:25 <b>457 (1)</b> 287:13 <b>47.3 (1)</b> 20:4 <b>48.7 (1)</b> 22:20 <b>49 (1)</b> 27:5 <b>5</b> <b>5</b>	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b>	9:22,23 <b>324 (2)</b> 15:16,23 <b>325 (9)</b> 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 <b>326 (1)</b> 32:23 <b>326a (3)</b> 31:25;33:1,19 <b>326b (2)</b> 33:21;34:7 <b>326c (2)</b> 34:9,12	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b>	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3;	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3 (7)</b> 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4)	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11;	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10;	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b>	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4)	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b>	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1)	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b>	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21;	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17;	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1)	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2)	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 <b>370,000 (1)</b>	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b>	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3)	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5)	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 <b>370,000 (1)</b> 87:15	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4;	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b>	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3)	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 <b>370,000 (1)</b>	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 <b>370,000 (1)</b> 87:15	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4;	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b>	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3)	74:7 <b>35,847 (1)</b> 73:22 <b>350 (1)</b> 46:21 <b>355 (1)</b> 168:18 <b>357 (1)</b> 74:25 <b>357,000 (1)</b> 74:19 <b>357,086 (1)</b> 73:10 <b>365 (4)</b> 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 <b>37 (8)</b> 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 <b>370,000 (1)</b> 87:15	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b> 221:4	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3) 50:7;52:8;53:13	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1) 74:19 357,086 (1) 73:10 365 (4) 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 37 (8) 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 370,000 (1) 87:15 4 4 4 (12)	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15 5.53 (1) 65:17	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b> 221:4 <b>3:19 (1)</b> 221:5	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3) 50:7;52:8;53:13 33 (3) 86:9,15,17	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1) 74:19 357,086 (1) 73:10 365 (4) 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 37 (8) 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 370,000 (1) 87:15 4 4 4 (12) 8:2,6,10;20:5,6,20;	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15 5.53 (1) 65:17 5:00 (1)	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21 <b>7</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b> 221:4 <b>3:19 (1)</b> 221:5 <b>30 (53)</b>	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3) 50:7;52:8;53:13 33 (3) 86:9,15,17 330 (3)	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1) 74:19 357,086 (1) 73:10 365 (4) 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 37 (8) 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 370,000 (1) 87:15 4 4 4 (12) 8:2,6,10;20:5,6,20; 26:4;55:25;56:25;	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15 5.53 (1) 65:17 5:00 (1) 287:22	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21 <b>7</b> <b>7 (5)</b>
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b> 221:4 <b>3:19 (1)</b> 221:5 <b>30 (53)</b> 18:18;49:22;69:18;	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3) 50:7;52:8;53:13 33 (3) 86:9,15,17 330 (3) 52:11;53:4,12	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1) 74:19 357,086 (1) 73:10 365 (4) 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 37 (8) 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 370,000 (1) 87:15 4 4 4 (12) 8:2,6,10;20:5,6,20; 26:4;55:25;56:25; 64:23;76:6;99:25	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15 5.53 (1) 65:17 5:00 (1) 287:22 5:08 (1)	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21 <b>7</b> <b>7 (5)</b> 40:5;62:13;63:1;
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b> 221:4 <b>3:19 (1)</b> 221:5 <b>30 (53)</b> 18:18;49:22;69:18; 71:10;75:10,13,15;	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3) 50:7;52:8;53:13 33 (3) 86:9,15,17 330 (3) 52:11;53:4,12 331 (4)	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1) 74:19 357,086 (1) 73:10 365 (4) 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 37 (8) 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 370,000 (1) 87:15 4 4 4 (12) 8:2,6,10;20:5,6,20; 26:4;55:25;56:25; 64:23;76:6;99:25 4- (1)	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15 5.53 (1) 65:17 5:00 (1) 287:22 5:08 (1) 295:13	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21 <b>7</b> <b>7 (5)</b> 40:5;62:13;63:1; 73:18;101:13
<b>3</b> <b>3</b> (7) 25:17;55:15;56:25; 81:11;89:11;99:23; 156:20 <b>3,000 (1)</b> 75:14 <b>3,018 (1)</b> 101:6 <b>3,231 (1)</b> 262:19 <b>3,362 (1)</b> 75:9 <b>3,624 (1)</b> 75:8 <b>3.5 (5)</b> 101:17,21;103:17; 104:4;157:15 <b>3.6 (1)</b> 276:9 <b>3:00 (1)</b> 221:4 <b>3:19 (1)</b> 221:5 <b>30 (53)</b> 18:18;49:22;69:18;	9:22,23 324 (2) 15:16,23 325 (9) 19:4,9,10;24:1; 27:19;36:11;39:13,19, 20 326 (1) 32:23 326a (3) 31:25;33:1,19 326b (2) 33:21;34:7 326c (2) 34:9,12 327 (4) 38:15;42:18,19;43:3 328 (4) 43:19;44:9,23,25 328a (1) 45:2 328b (3) 45:4,6;46:5 329 (3) 50:7;52:8;53:13 33 (3) 86:9,15,17 330 (3) 52:11;53:4,12	74:7 35,847 (1) 73:22 350 (1) 46:21 355 (1) 168:18 357 (1) 74:25 357,000 (1) 74:19 357,086 (1) 73:10 365 (4) 82:14;100:11; 101:17;157:5 37 (8) 58:18;86:8,12,17,21; 87:3,6;88:10 370,000 (1) 87:15 4 4 4 (12) 8:2,6,10;20:5,6,20; 26:4;55:25;56:25; 64:23;76:6;99:25	45 (1) 148:25 457 (1) 287:13 47.3 (1) 20:4 48.7 (1) 22:20 49 (1) 27:5 5 5 (9) 8:13;11:14;12:3; 20:5;21:23;42:10; 43:11;76:6;92:2 5,000 (1) 231:17 5.44 (2) 100:13;101:22 5.5 (5) 101:18;104:3,4; 157:10,15 5.53 (1) 65:17 5:00 (1) 287:22 5:08 (1)	<b>59-G-2.06 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>5th (3)</b> 11:11,17,21 <b>6</b> <b>6 (8)</b> 8:14;12:3;21:10,20, 22;62:13;76:6;200:23 <b>6.3 (1)</b> 66:6 <b>6.44 (1)</b> 100:3 <b>6:00 (2)</b> 134:2,3 <b>60,000 (1)</b> 74:8 <b>65 (2)</b> 94:13,13 <b>69 (2)</b> 25:8,12 <b>6th (3)</b> 11:12,17,21 <b>7</b> <b>7 (5)</b> 40:5;62:13;63:1;

7:00 (2)	62:7	
99:9;177:13	9:30 (2)	
70 (4)	5:16;288:15	
25:21;94:13;178:13, 19	<b>90 (1)</b> 227:23	
700 (1)	90,569 (1)	
157:21	101:4	
70s (1)	905 (2)	
229:24 71 (1)	101:7,13 <b>911 (1)</b>	
25:9	241:24	
72 (3)	93 (1)	
25:9,12;64:19	26:23	
<b>73 (3)</b> 25:21;65:17;193:15	<b>962,877</b> (1) 73:2	
7308 (1)	97,864 (2)	
251:19	73:18;75:8	
740 (1)	971,000 (1)	
101:19 <b>744 (5</b> )	75:1 <b>971,777 (1</b> )	
101:14,16,25;	72:24	
157:22,23		
75 (4)		
114:14;160:13; 173:1;253:6		
784 (1)		
157:22		
8		
8 (8)		
22:12,16,24;27:24;		
28:5;86:10;105:2,11		
8/21/13 (1)		
45:4 / <b>23/13 (1</b> )		
44:13		
8:00 (2)		
125:7;135:18		
<b>80 (3)</b> 26:20,22;242:7		
82 (1)		
178:21		
83 (2)		
68:23;69:10 <b>84 (1)</b>		
27:3		
85 (2)		
114:9,14		
<b>87 (2)</b> 101:10,12		
<b>88 (1)</b>		
200:13		
88s (4)		
199:14;200:10,11,13	-	
9	-	
9 (2)		
51:15;65:1		
<b>9/15/13 (1)</b> 58:4		
9/2013 (1)		