OFFICE OF ZONING AND ADMIN	ISTRATIVE HEARINGS
FOR MONTGOMERY	COUNTY
PETITION OF COSTCO WHOLESALE	: : : Case No. S-2863
CORPORATION	: OZAH No. 13-12 : x

A hearing in the above-entitled matter was held on October 24, 2013, commencing at 9:39 a.m., at the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings, 100 Maryland Avenue, 2nd Floor Council Hearing Room, Rockville, Maryland 20850 before:

Martin L. Grossman

Hearing Examiner

F	Page 2			Page 4
APPEARANCES			EXHIBITS	
		Exhibit No.	Marked	/Received
For the Applicant: Patricia Harris, Esq.		352	August 2010 excerpt from NCEE, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research on the Impact of LUST Sites on Property Values: Focus Group Results	38
Mike Goecke, Esq. Lerch, Early & Brewer, Chartered		353	Document entitled Building Prosperous Places in Michigan: Understanding Placemaking Values, Perceptions, and Barriers	38
3 Bethesda Metro Center, Suite 460		354	April 14, 2009, article entitled Is It Safe to Live Near a Gas Station?	46
Bethesda, Maryland 20814		355	January 20, 2012, report entitled Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar	47
For Kensington Heights Civic Association:		355(a)	Excerpts from Exhibit 355	48
Michele Rosenfeld, Esq.		356(a)	Aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland	179
The Law Office of Michele Rosenfeld, LLC		356(b)	Aerial photo of Costco gas station at Glen Burnie, Maryland	179
11913 Ambleside Drive		356(c)	Aerial photo of Costco gas station at Brandywine, Maryland	179
Potomac, Maryland 20854		356(d)	Aerial photo of Costco gas station at Durham, North Carolina	179

			Page 3			Page 5
	сои	ſENTS		356(e)	Aerial photo of Costco gas	179
Witnesses:	Direct	Cross Redirect	Recross		station at Richmond, Virgina	
James Core						
By Ms. Rosenfeld	17					
By Mr. Adelman		76				
Ethan Goffman	79					
By Mr. Adelman		86				
By Ms. Harris		88				
Mary Ann Carter	114					
By Mr. Silverman		122				
By Ms. Rosenfeld		122				
By Mr. Goecke		129				
Karen Cordry	149					
By Ms. Harris		177				
By Ms. Rosenfeld			201			
Mark Adelman	206					
By Mr. Goecke		288				
			292			

	Page 6		Page 8
			MD CODE: And my name is tim Care. I'm a
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	,
2	MR. GROSSMAN: This is the 18th day of a public		resident of 8 Torrance Court.
	hearing in the matter of Costco Wholesale Corporation, Board	3	
	of Appeals No. S-2863, OZAH No. 13-12, petition for a		see what we have in the way of preliminary matters. I don't
	special exception pursuant to Zoning Ordinance Section		think there were any significant filings, blessedly, over
	59-G-2.06 to allow petitioner to construct and operate an		the last few days. The witnesses scheduled for today are
	automobile filling station which would include 16 pumps.		Mr. Silverman completing his regulatory compliance
	The subject site is located at 11160 Veirs Mill Road in		cross-examination regarding Exhibit 342, the CRC Report No.
	Silver Spring, Maryland. That's Lot N, 631 Wheaton Plaza,		A-79, Assessment of Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations, and
	Parcel 10, also known as Westfield Wheaton Mall, and is		Mr. Core regarding home values; Ms. Cordry on traffic,
	zoned C-2, general commercial.		pedestrians, and queuing; and then a Sierra Club
12	The hearing was begun on April 26th, 2013, and		representative, as I understand it, Mr. Goffman
	resumed frequently. It was noticed it was noticed to	13	
	resume again today. The next session has been noticed for	14	••
	Thursday, November 14, 2013, here in the second floor		Ms. Mary Ann Carter.
	hearing room in this building, the Council Office Building,	16	MS. CORDRY: Yes.
	at 9:30 a.m.	17	5
18	This hearing is conducted on behalf of the Board	18	5
	of Appeals. My name is Martin Grossman. I'm the Hearing	19	, , ,
	Examiner, which means I will take evidence and write a	20	
	report and recommendation to the Board of Appeals which will		other preliminary matters?
	make the decision in this case. Will the parties identify	22	
	themselves, please, for the record?	23	
24	MS. HARRIS: Good morning. Pat Harris on behalf	24	
25	of Costco, and I would note that unfortunately Mr. Brann is	25	the admission of the CRC Report A-79, Assessment of
	Page 7		Page 9
	Page 7		Page 9
	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in		Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded
	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning.	2	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is
	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit
2 3 4	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf	2 3 4	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we
2 3 4	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco.	2 3 4 5	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at
2 3 4 5 6	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke.	2 3 4 5	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington	2 3 4 5	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Welcome back.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Welcome back. MS. SHEARD: Virginia Sheard, Kensington View.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how parties want to handle closing statements, whether they just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Welcome back. MS. SHEARD: Virginia Sheard, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how parties want to handle closing statements, whether they just wish to submit closing statement documents as opposed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Welcome back. MS. SHEARD: Virginia Sheard, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheard. MR. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how parties want to handle closing statements, whether they just wish to submit closing statement documents as opposed to making oral arguments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Welcome back. MS. SHEARD: Virginia Sheard, Kensington View. MR. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Dr. Mark Adelman for the Coalition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how parties want to handle closing statements, whether they just wish to submit closing statement documents as opposed to making oral arguments MS. ADELMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheard. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheard. MR. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Dr. Mark Adelman for the Coalition. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how parties want to handle closing statements, whether they just wish to submit closing statement documents as opposed to making oral arguments MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	caught in traffic on the two accidents that occurred in Virginia this morning. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MR. GOECKE: Good morning. Mike Goecke on behalf of Costco. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke. MS. CORDRY: Karen Cordry on behalf of Kensington Heights. MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld on behalf of Kensington Heights. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Rosenfeld. MR. SILVERMAN: Good morning. Larry Silverman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Silverman. MS. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Abigail Adelman, Stop Costco Gas Coalition. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Adelman. MS. DUCKETT: Eleanor Duckett, Kensington View. MR. GROSSMAN: Welcome back. MS. SHEARD: Virginia Sheard, Kensington View. MR. ADELMAN: Good morning, Mr. Grossman. Dr. Mark Adelman for the Coalition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Near-Roadway NO2 Concentrations. The applicant concluded its case-in-chief on September 23rd, and therefore it is inappropriate for the applicant to introduce an exhibit while the opposition is presenting its case-in-chief, and we ask that the CRC Report A-79 be expunged from the record at this time. As I understand the process MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: there is a 10-day comment period, after the case concludes, for additional material to be filed. The applicant will have the opportunity, should they choose, to submit this document at that time. So, again MR. GROSSMAN: That's not correct. MS. ADELMAN: That isn't correct? MR. GROSSMAN: No. MS. ADELMAN: What a surprise. MR. GROSSMAN: The process is once the record, the record closes what'll happen in this case is after the testimony is completed, the parties, we'll discuss how parties want to handle closing statements, whether they just wish to submit closing statement documents as opposed to making oral arguments MS. ADELMAN: Yes.

	Page 10		Page 12
1	the record will remain open for, to receive that sort of	1	the objection from the applicant?
	documentation but that's not for additional evidence. Then	2	
	once the, once the report and recommendation is issued, all		an objection that this was disclosed untimely and so it's
	people who participated in the hearing will get notice that		therefore prejudicial to them. I thought this was a
	my report has been issued, and it'll be published on our		document that Mr. Silverman had relied on. That was my
	website pursuant to that's the code procedure. Then any		mistake. We had talked about this at the last hearing and
	party, within 10 days after the issuance of that report, not		thought that we, if we gave him until Thursday, he would
	its receipt, but the issuance of that report, any party may		have enough time. If he feels like he needs more time to
	request oral argument. That's not their submission of		review the document, I'm happy to cross-examine about, him
	additional evidence. That's oral argument before the Board		about this at another time.
	of Appeals, which has the option of granting or denying that	11	
	request.		testified to on direct examination, I agree that he's not a
13	The Board of Appeals handles the decision-making		scientist, and he testified to that; nor did he purport to
	process at a work session, which is not noticed, as I		be an expert in the areas he did talk about. However, he,
	understand it, in general. So you do have to check with the		as you pointed out, Mr. Grossman, he had a lot of argument
	Board of Appeals as to when their work session will be that		there, and a lot of his argument went very broadly into many
	will address this case, you know, once the, once the report		issues in this case that did attack Mr. Sullivan's findings.
	is issued, but there's no additional evidence that's		He said that there's basically two things that Sullivan did,
19	automatically allowed in.	19	that Sullivan did wrong, or two points that he was trying to
20	MS. ADELMAN: Okay. Well, I withdraw that	20	make in relation to Mr. Sullivan's reports, I guess I should
21	paragraph, but again, the Coalition does object to the	21	say. One is that based on Mr. Sullivan's reports, these
22	admission of this document and we ask that it be removed.	22	levels are unsafe for the community and, two, that even if
23	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	23	you're applying the EPA National Ambient Air Quality
24	MS. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, on behalf of	24	Standards, those standards in and of themselves are
25	Kensington Heights, just to join in with the Coalition,	25	insufficient to protect the public health. And then he did
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Mr. Silverman was, testified on regulatory standards and EPA	1	focus mostly on the second portion of that, but I don't
2	guidelines and EPA policy. This is clearly a scientific	2	think it was beyond the scope because he did raise that
3	document, and Mr. Silverman was not presented as a	3	first point. I can see that he deferred to Dr. Cole to sort
4	scientific expert nor did he testify on the science	4	of back him up on several of those points, but he did enter
5	associated with monitors. In fact, very clearly he said	5	into that arena, and I think these questions and this
6	that, while noting that there were different monitors and	6	document, therefore, is appropriate for cross-examination.
7	different monitoring status, the scientific information	7	MS. ROSENFELD: And I think what he said was under
8	related to those issues would be left to Dr. Cole. So,	8	these are the EPA guidelines, these are the EPA standards
		9	
		10	
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Well		effects of the impacts of what he chose to model or not
12	MS. ADELMAN: And could I just add that		model would be dealt with by Dr. Cole.
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, certainly, Ms. Adelman.	13	MR. GOECKE: One other point, he did specifically
14	MS. ADELMAN: in an e-mail of October 14th of		testify about roadside air modeling several times in his
	this year, Ms. Harris states that Costco objects to any		testimony and that's what this report addresses.
	witness testimony that relies on documents not provided at	16	MS. ROSENFELD: And we specifically tried to
	least 10 days before any hearing, so another reason why we		question him about the effects of the near-road monitors and
	would like this withdrawn.		that line of questioning was objected to and that objection
19	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. By the way, as to the		was sustained. So he never testified on that point
	portion of your objection, Ms. Rosenfeld, regarding the fact		specifically.
	that Mr. Silverman is not an expert in air modeling,	21	C
	whatever, then I take it that would not apply to cross-examination of Dr. Cole, is that correct?		question of the timeliness of the document being produced, it is true that in ordinary cases documents may be produced
23 24	MS. ROSENFELD: That would be correct.		for cross-examination purposes that haven't been exchanged
24 25	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Any response to		before. We've tried to follow, in order to ensure, as I
2.5	Mint encountries ondy. An right. Any response to	2.5	
		1	

	Page 14		Page 16
1	mentioned the last time, to ensure that there is an	1	(No audible response.)
	opportunity for intelligent reflection on any documents,	2	
	we've tried to make sure that the parties have exchanged	3	that before we have Mr. Core here, his return visit. Did
	these things in advance. So as to that aspect of it, I		you
5	certainly, if additional time is needed and this	5	MS. ROSENFELD: We can do this any time today
6	document, apparently in error, not having been produced	6	that's convenient
7	before, Mr. Goecke saying that he thought it had been	7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
8	produced even by the applicant, is that what you stated, I	8	MS. ROSENFELD: for the proceedings. We can
9	would certainly, as to that aspect of it, give Mr. Silverman	9	even do it at the end of the day if that's easier.
	more time to review it if the opposition wished it. I'm not	10	5 5 5
	inclined to preclude it per se, in terms of a		Mr. Core the opportunity to become the first witness
	cross-examination document and potentially as a rebuttal,	12	
	piece of rebuttal evidence, if the applicant chooses to use	13	
	it in that fashion.		delay him. You're certainly, Mr. Core, happy to have you
15	As to whether this witness is appropriate for it,		remain the whole day and watch the festivities, but I know
	I'd wait to see what the specific questions that are asked		that you may have other
	after Mr. Silverman has had an opportunity to review the	17	MR. CORE: I'm sure this is much more compelling than what's happening at my office, but sadly, I have to do
	document, to determine whether or not the questions are objectionable given the scope of his direct examination,	18	
	which did go beyond mere analysis of the formula for	20	
	evaluating regulatory process or conducting a regulatory		agreeable, we'll proceed now with Mr. Core?
	process. So since it did slosh over, I'm hesitant to limit	22	
	the cross-examination until I certainly hear the	23	_
	cross-examination questions and see if they are fair.		resume the stand, please?
	Certainly the witness can answer a question that goes beyond	25	-
	Page 15		Page 17
	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't	1	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir.
	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side.	1 2	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to
2 3	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the	2 3	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again.
2 3 4	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I
2 3 4 5	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland.
2 3 4 5 6	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still
2 3 4 5 6 7	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish toMR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish toMR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish toMR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their testimony. I think it will just facilitate their testimony 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said that you consider the mall a part of your neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their testimony. I think it will just facilitate their testimony if they can prepare, knowing what these exhibit numbers 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said that you consider the mall a part of your neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their testimony. I think it will just facilitate their testimony if they can prepare, knowing what these exhibit numbers are MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: if that's acceptable to you.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said that you consider the mall a part of your neighborhood. When you bought your house, were you aware of the ring road and the traffic associated with the ring road and the parking lots in the mall at that time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their testimony. I think it will just facilitate their testimony if they can prepare, knowing what these exhibit numbers are MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: if that's acceptable to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, let's, before we do that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said that you consider the mall a part of your neighborhood. When you bought your house, were you aware of the ring road and the traffic associated with the ring road and the parking lots in the mall at that time? A Certainly, yes, we were. When I moved into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their testimony. I think it will just facilitate their testimony if they can prepare, knowing what these exhibit numbers are MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: if that's acceptable to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, let's, before we do that that amounts to putting on evidence. So let's see if there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said that you consider the mall a part of your neighborhood. When you bought your house, were you aware of the ring road and the traffic associated with the ring road and the parking lots in the mall at that time? A Certainly, yes, we were. When I moved into the mall, you know, certainly aware of the ring road we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the scope of his knowledge and just say that. So I don't see where that is prejudicial to the other side. So my ruling is I'm going to overrule the objection but I'm going to allow more time, if that is desired by Mr. Silverman, to review the document, and we'll conduct that remaining cross-examination at a later time. What is the preference? Mr. Silverman, do you wish to MR. SILVERMAN: I'd like more time. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So we will do that. Okay. Any other preliminary matters? MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, yes. Michele Rosenfeld. There are a number of exhibits. I believe all of these actually have been provided in electronic or hard format to the other side, but I'd like to take a few minutes just to go ahead and get them marked now in anticipation of them being used by Dr. Cole and perhaps others in their testimony. I think it will just facilitate their testimony if they can prepare, knowing what these exhibit numbers are MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: if that's acceptable to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, let's, before we do that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Good morning. Be so kind as to state your full name and address for the record, again. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is James Core, and I live at 8 Torrance Court in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right, Mr. Core, you're still under oath, and did you have additional testimony to offer? THE WITNESS: I do. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. How did you wish to proceed here? MS. ROSENFELD: I will be questioning MR. GROSSMAN: All right. MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Core through his direct examination. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, when you testified on Tuesday, you said that you consider the mall a part of your neighborhood. When you bought your house, were you aware of the ring road and the traffic associated with the ring road and the parking lots in the mall at that time? A Certainly, yes, we were. When I moved into the mall, you know, certainly aware of the ring road we had walked the property, walked around the neighborhood very

	Page 18		Page 20
1	activity was occurring in the center of the parcel, they had	1	advisor and, you know, park the car; they bring it in, they
2	parking lots that were buffering, and I can say that,	2	do the work, but we don't have cars idling for 10, 15, 20
3	moreover, the mall operated at reasonably normal hours, you	3	minutes at a time. So it was a much less-intensive
4	know, normal trade hours, 10:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., and it	4	activity.
5	was very quiet in the morning. So it was, you know, just a	5	MR. GROSSMAN: You hail from the Boston area, by
6	typical mall, and yeah, we went in eyes wide open on the	6	the way?
7	transaction.	7	THE WITNESS: I do, sir.
8	Q And what is your understanding of what time the	8	MR. GROSSMAN: I thought I detected an accent. I
9	gas station will open in the morning?	9	thought you might be celebrating today.
10	A My understanding is the gas station's opening at	10	THE WITNESS: Well, you know, I wanted to make
11	like 6:00 or 7:00 in the morning	11	sure that I was making good use of the Hearing Examiner's
12	Q Okay. So	12	time; so I went to bed at a reasonable hour because I wanted
13	A forgive me for not knowing the exact time.	13	to be sharp this morning.
14	Q But your understanding is it's extending the hours	14	MR. GROSSMAN: Far enough ahead.
15	of the operation of the mall	15	THE WITNESS: So what were the results?
16	A Absolutely, yes.	16	MS. ADELMAN: They won. They won.
17	Q and when traffic would arrive? Okay. The	17	MR. GROSSMAN: You don't know the results?
18	report by the applicant's witness Mr. Cronyn had repeated	18	THE WITNESS: No.
19	references in it to a Montgomery Ward's auto service station	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, Boston won
20	center that at one time existed on the mall parcel, and he	20	THE WITNESS: Okay, awesome.
21	suggested in his report that the Costco gas station is a	21	MR. GROSSMAN: eight to one, I believe.
22	similar use. Are you familiar with the operation of the	22	THE WITNESS: Great.
23 24	Montgomery Ward's auto center when it existed? A Yes, I am.	23 24	MR. SILVERMAN: Nine to one. MS. ROSENFELD: Nine to one?
24 25	Q You have personal knowledge of that?	24 25	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
25		25	MR. OROOOMAN. All light.
	Page 19		Dage 21
	Fage 19		Page 21
1	-	1	
1	A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay.	1 2	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh
	A Yes, because I had a car serviced there		
2	A Yes, because I had a car serviced thereQ Okay.	2	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay.
2 3	A Yes, because I had a car serviced thereQ Okay.A you know, when I shopped at the mall.	2 3	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one.
2 3 4	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center 	2 3 4	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think.
2 3 4 5	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? 	2 3 4 5	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes.
2 3 4 5 6	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear 	2 3 4 5 6	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the Montgomery Ward, which is being used as a comparator, is a very different type, or was a very different type of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot. This is, you know that was much more like a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the Montgomery Ward, which is being used as a comparator, is a very different type, or was a very different type of operation. That was much more like, as I indicated, a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot. This is, you know that was much more like a Mr. Goodwrench, and what's being proposed here is materially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the Montgomery Ward, which is being used as a comparator, is a very different type, or was a very different type of operation. That was much more like, as I indicated, a Mr. Goodwrench or, you know, one of those tire service 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot. This is, you know that was much more like a Mr. Goodwrench, and what's being proposed here is materially different in terms of intensity and impact on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the Montgomery Ward, which is being used as a comparator, is a very different type, or was a very different type of operation. That was much more like, as I indicated, a Mr. Goodwrench or, you know, one of those tire service centers. You know, the work was done inside. There was no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot. This is, you know that was much more like a Mr. Goodwrench, and what's being proposed here is materially different in terms of intensity and impact on the neighborhood.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the Montgomery Ward, which is being used as a comparator, is a very different type, or was a very different type of operation. That was much more like, as I indicated, a Mr. Goodwrench or, you know, one of those tire service centers. You know, the work was done inside. There was no fueling taking place there. There were really no idling 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot. This is, you know that was much more like a Mr. Goodwrench, and what's being proposed here is materially different in terms of intensity and impact on the neighborhood. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes, because I had a car serviced there Q Okay. A you know, when I shopped at the mall. Q And could you describe the auto service center operations, please? A Sure. I think, first of all, we need to be clear about the location. It was not exactly where this proposed special exception is going to be, as is suggested by Mr. Cronyn. It was much, it was much more in the center of the parking lot, north of where this proposed activity is supposed to take place. Also, another key distinction, when we think about the operations, are that it did not include fueling. I mean, this is much more like a Mr. Goodwrench-type operation. There's one up MR. GROSSMAN: By this, you mean the Montgomery Ward? THE WITNESS: Yes. Forgive me, sir. Yeah, the Montgomery Ward, which is being used as a comparator, is a very different type, or was a very different type of operation. That was much more like, as I indicated, a Mr. Goodwrench or, you know, one of those tire service centers. You know, the work was done inside. There was no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ADELMAN: Nine to one. Oh MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, nine to one? Okay. MS. ADELMAN: I thought it was eight and one. MS. CORDRY: Eight to one, I think. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one, yes. MS. CARTER: Yes. MS. ADELMAN: Eight to one. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm sorry to interrupt the flow. THE WITNESS: No, no, no, that's great, no, just yeah, I'm from Brockton, Massachusetts, which is a city just south of Boston. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And are you from the area? THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I just want to end on the note that frankly it's not a good comparison, you know, Montgomery Ward to, you know, a regional fueling depot. This is, you know that was much more like a Mr. Goodwrench, and what's being proposed here is materially different in terms of intensity and impact on the neighborhood.

	D		David Of
	Page 22		Page 24
1	quoting, all current owners purchased their homes taking	1	to a 16-pump regional fueling depot. So that's just a big
2	into account the mall's proximity to their properties,	2	difference. That's going to be a magnet. It is going to
3	understanding that an auto service center in essentially the	3	it's going to be the largest in the county. It's going to
4	Costco filling station's location was in operation, end	4	attract traffic, it's going to attract business from miles
5	quote, and he noted that it was a full-service automobile	5	away, and literally concentrate a huge problem in the
6	repair center from 1960 to 2002. Do you agree with that	6	adjoining parcel, literally in my backyard, right adjacent
7	statement?	7	to my neighborhood.
8	A No, I don't, you know, for the reasons that I	8	There will inevitably, to get back to the
9	illuminated just a few moments ago: very different type of	9	question, be a negative impact on home values. I even think
	activity, different levels of intensity, different car, you	10	putting a regular gas station would have a negative impact
10			on a home value because it would have been located ex post
11	know, different queuing, different traffic. It's just a	11	-
12	completely different type of activity, and frankly, I think	12	facto, after the fact. This gas station, I believe, has,
13	it's just disingenuous to include that reference in terms of	13	you know, to use the language of the planners and, you know,
14	supporting the applicant's	14	folks that live in that world, will have a non-inherent
15	Q And, in fact, was that Montgomery Ward's auto	15	negative impact on and those are going to be queuing and
16	service center operational when you bought your house?	16	idling; it's going to be the presence of underground tanks.
17	A It was not.	17	It's just going to create the appearance of a problem. It's
18	Q So you weren't even aware of that type of use on	18	going to make the area relatively less friendly to
19	the mall parcel?	19	pedestrians and, frankly, as I testified on Monday, I
20	A Oh, no, I was because, as I had testified earlier,	20	believe, have a detrimental effect even on the narrowly
21	I used to shop at the mall, you know, I shopped at the mall	21	defined neighborhood that the applicant prefers of just the
22	before I bought my house and I got a car serviced there; so	22	mall so a whole host of problems for people that are in
23	but, you know, I'm aware of what was happening, and it	23	the neighborhood, both narrowly defined and broadly defined,
24	wasn't there when I bought my house.	24	and I believe that this is going to make my home harder to
25	Q Okay. Mr. Cronyn also said in his report that the	25	sell.
	Page 23		Page 25
1	-	1	
1	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling	1	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people
2	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement?	2	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people
2 3	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely		Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area
2 3 4	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no	2 3 4	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse
2 3 4 5	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what	2 3 4 5	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they
2 3 4 5 6	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional	2 3 4 5 6	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in
2 3 4 5 6 7	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million	2 3 4 5 6 7	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely. Q And why do you conclude that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his paper is really applicable to this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely. Q And why do you conclude that? A Sure. So you're right. We bought a house. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his paper is really applicable to this case. Q Are there any other factors in his report that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely. Q And why do you conclude that? A Sure. So you're right. We bought a house. We bought a townhouse right next to a regional mall no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his paper is really applicable to this case. Q Are there any other factors in his report that you think are not well founded?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely. Q And why do you conclude that? A Sure. So you're right. We bought a house. We bought a townhouse right next to a regional mall no problem, eyes wide open, knew exactly what was there. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his paper is really applicable to this case. Q Are there any other factors in his report that you think are not well founded? A Sure. I think there are a number of things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely. Q And why do you conclude that? A Sure. So you're right. We bought a house. We bought a townhouse right next to a regional mall no problem, eyes wide open, knew exactly what was there. We view the mall as an amenity. We did not buy next to a gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his paper is really applicable to this case. Q Are there any other factors in his report that you think are not well founded? A Sure. I think there are a number of things that need to be considered and that, at the end of the day, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Costco filling station is not a typical retail filling station. Do you agree with that statement? A So I am this is one area where we absolutely agree. This is, Costco is not, this is not a typical no disagreement there, no daylight, because this really, what is being proposed, is a mega gas station. It is a regional fueling depot. We're going to have 16 pumps, 12 million gallons per year. It's expected to pump eight times, eight times, sir, the regular volume of a normal gas station. It's three to four times what our County Council defined as a large gas station. This is, frankly, kind of more like putting a highway rest area fueling station right next to an existing neighborhood. That's a big deal. It's materially different, and I'm frankly a little afraid of it because of what it's going to do to my neighborhood, to my property value. It's just materially different. Q In your opinion, will the gas station have a negative economic impact on the value of your home? A Absolutely. Q And why do you conclude that? A Sure. So you're right. We bought a house. We bought a townhouse right next to a regional mall no problem, eyes wide open, knew exactly what was there. We view the mall as an amenity. We did not buy next to a gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Overshadowing all of this is the fact that people are going to be concerned potential home buyers, people who live in the area or are considering living in the area are going to be very concerned about potential adverse health effects, whether they are not whether or not they are scientifically proven, and frankly, that perception, in terms of my house, is going to have serious impacts. Q And that is not the result that Mr. Cronyn reached. How is it that you can assert that in your view there will be these kinds of adverse effects on property values? A Sure. So, you know, I read Mr. Cronyn's report, and frankly, I don't see where it really deals with this type of case. I don't see where he's actually analyzing what is happening here. It doesn't cite a single case like this. There's no analysis whatsoever of what the home values are going to be, the effect on an existing residential neighborhood by putting a mega gas station. It's just not there. It's I just don't find that his paper is really applicable to this case. Q Are there any other factors in his report that you think are not well founded? A Sure. I think there are a number of things that

	Page 26		Page 28
1	His report relies on Mr. Sullivan's conclusions with respect	1	accept that we can have an ever-changing analysis when a
2	to adverse physical impacts and Mr. Sullivan's conclusion	2	prospective home buyer is thinking about where they're going
3	that there can be no negative impact on home values, but	3	to live with their family and they're going to see this gas
4	he's wrong on a number of fronts.	4	station. And I can throw documents on the table all day
5	So if we look at page 7, you know, his assessment	5	long, though I disagree with them, that it's not a problem.
6	of factors affecting value, Mr. Cronyn's report, noise	6	We can put stacks and stacks of papers, but that I don't
7	despite assertions to the contrary, one does hear and, to a	7	think is going to affect home buyers.
8	certain degree, feel traffic on the ring road, large trucks	8	BY MS. ROSENFELD:
9	moving around from the adjacent properties. I know this	9	Q Those factors that you just talked about that you
10	because I live there, I sit on my deck; this is personal	10	dispute in Mr. Cronyn's report, how in your in your view,
11	observation. And I believe that adding a half-dozen fuel	11	what will the practical effect be with respect to home
12	tankers per day will exacerbate those impacts and will	12	values?
13	definitely be noticed.	13	A Sure. Clearly, it's going to drive down home
14	All of the traffic and idling from persons using	14	values. There's one thing that matters when people are
15	the station between the time it opens in the morning and	15	buying homes: location, location, location. And you add a
16	between, before the mall closes I'm sorry, between the time the station opens and before the mall opens will be new	16	regional fueling depot, largest in the county anyone
17 18	trips. So we're going to have more traffic unrelated to the	17 18	who's concerned about noise or pollution, they're going to simply walk away or they're not even going to consider the
19	mall and the Costco warehouse, and this will generate	19	property as a potential place to live with their family,
20	additional noise and externalities, you know, fundamentally	20	with their kids.
21	changing the experience there on the mall property, my	21	They're just, every prospective buyer who walks
22	neighbor's property.	22	away, who doesn't come every prospective buyer who walks
23	He also states that there'll be no sound wall past	23	away or who doesn't even enter the market is going to
24	the edge of the warehouse. This is not going to mitigate	24	negatively impair the competitiveness of the property and
25	noise on the ring road that's being generated by this	25	drive down the value of the home. And a regular gas station
	Dogo 27		D 00
	Page 27		Page 29
1	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's,	1	Page 29 will do that. You take something that's eight times the
1 2		1	
	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's,		will do that. You take something that's eight times the
2	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise	2	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a
2 3	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable	2 3	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be
2 3 4	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know	2 3 4 5 6	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code
2 3 4 5 6 7	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and	2 3 4 5 6 7	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is	2 3 6 7 8 9	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr MR. GROSSMAN: or are you talking about THE WITNESS: Yeah. Mr. Cronyn relies on Mr. Sullivan's report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home buyers, you know, because just the perception is out there that gas stations are not good neighbors, that are not healthy neighbors, that are pollution sources.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr MR. GROSSMAN: or are you talking about THE WITNESS: Yeah. Mr. Cronyn relies on Mr. Sullivan's report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home buyers, you know, because just the perception is out there that gas stations are not good neighbors, that are not healthy neighbors, that are pollution sources.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr MR. GROSSMAN: or are you talking about THE WITNESS: Yeah. Mr. Cronyn relies on Mr. Sullivan's report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: And there were shifting assumptions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home buyers, you know, because just the perception is out there that gas stations are not good neighbors, that are not healthy neighbors, that are pollution sources. MR. GROSSMAN: Let me interrupt for a second. The question that was asked is, would you buy a home next to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr MR. GROSSMAN: or are you talking about THE WITNESS: Yeah. Mr. Cronyn relies on Mr. Sullivan's report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: And there were shifting assumptions. We learned there were basic math assumptions. Frankly, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home buyers, you know, because just the perception is out there that gas stations are not good neighbors, that are not healthy neighbors, that are pollution sources. MR. GROSSMAN: Let me interrupt for a second. The question that was asked is, would you buy a home next to a gas station? So I just want to understand what you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr MR. GROSSMAN: or are you talking about THE WITNESS: Yeah. Mr. Cronyn relies on Mr. Sullivan's report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: And there were shifting assumptions. We learned there were basic math assumptions. Frankly, I don't think a prospective home buyer is going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home buyers, you know, because just the perception is out there that gas stations are not good neighbors, that are not healthy neighbors, that are pollution sources. MR. GROSSMAN: Let me interrupt for a second. The question that was asked is, would you buy a home next to a gas station? So I just want to understand what you're perceiving as next to in your mind, and to understand that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional traffic earlier in the morning. I think it's, frankly, false to assert that there will be no noise generated by the additional traffic. Cars make noise. Trucks make noise. Mr. Cronyn asserted that there will be no additional noise. I just find that to be unsustainable based on my experience of sitting in the mall. All we know is that right now I live in a quiet neighborhood and Mr. Sullivan's report, which is, Mr. Cronyn is relying on, can't comment on what the experience will be like in the neighborhood after if this proposed special exception is granted. And, lastly, Mr. Cronyn relies on an environmental report that has been submitted and resubmitted multiple times and that asserts there will be no health effects. MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about Sullivan's report THE WITNESS: Yeah, Mr MR. GROSSMAN: or are you talking about THE WITNESS: Yeah. Mr. Cronyn relies on Mr. Sullivan's report. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: And there were shifting assumptions. We learned there were basic math assumptions. Frankly, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will do that. You take something that's eight times the size of a regular gas station in throughput and you have a fairly large specter out there that we're not going to be able to work through, and by extension, we are going to be deprived of economic value. And I don't think this special exception application meets the standard in the zoning code that they can prove no economic harm or, you know, loss of economic value. Q Would you personally consider buying a home next to a gas station? A Absolutely not, no, and there are ample reasons to believe many buyers will be dissuaded from considering these homes as well. There are there's ample evidence to dispute Mr. Cronyn's statement about hazards: the presence of large underground tanks introducing hazardous chemicals into the environment, and furthermore, even if those emissions aren't occurring, it's going to affect home buyers, you know, because just the perception is out there that gas stations are not good neighbors, that are not healthy neighbors, that are pollution sources. MR. GROSSMAN: Let me interrupt for a second. The question that was asked is, would you buy a home next to a gas station? So I just want to understand what you're

	Page 30		Page 32
1	location of your home but how many feet is your home from	1	Exception Land Use Report: Analysis of purported
2	the proposed gas station site?	2	comparables is baseless, weak, and disingenuous?
3	THE WITNESS: I think I'm about 650.	3	A I did.
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Six hundred and 50 feet?	4	Q And is that
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, 700. Sorry, can I I want	5	MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, for your purposes,
6	to make sure that I answer your	6	this is listed as Exhibit No. 96(a) in your hearing
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Absolutely. You can check it if	7	exhibits.
8	you need.	8	BY MS. ROSENFELD:
9	THE WITNESS: See if I have a map.	9	Q I'd like to ask the question a slightly different
10	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	10	way. Based on did you do any research or study any
11	THE WITNESS: Is that, is that close enough?	11	surveys in, as you prepared Exhibit No. 96(a)?
12	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	12	A I did. I did some Google research and found some
13	Q I don't know.	13	literature on the, on the topic.
14	MS. CORDRY: Well, I think it's 850 feet to the	14	Q And based on your research, were there any studies
15	school line.	15	or analyses that quantified at what distance a gas station
16	THE WITNESS: Yeah, right.	16	would have a negative impact on home values?
17	MS. CORDRY: So he's several hundred feet, I	17	A Yes.
18	would	18	Q And can you explain to Mr. Grossman what you found
19	MS. ROSENFELD: To the west.	19	in your research?
20	MR. GROSSMAN: About	20	A Sure.
21	MS. CORDRY: 200 feet or more	21	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is going to get a little
22	MR. GROSSMAN: About 600 feet or so?	22	bit is that, is the research in evidence here?
23	MS. CORDRY: Six to 650, somewhere in that	23	MS. ROSENFELD: The documents that he relied on
24	range	24	are cited, and he certainly can speak to what he found in
25	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	25	his, in his analysis, and one of them is referenced, is
	Page 31		Page 33
1	MS. CORDRY: I would say, probably.	1	discussed in his report.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: So, all right.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: But, I mean, I still have a
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and I consider that like next	3	problem. So far we've heard, he's not claiming to be an
4	to my house. I mean, it's on the next parcel.	4	expert in home evaluation, and so I'm taking his lay
5	MR. GROSSMAN: So how far away in your mind	5	opinions as to impacts, as a homeowner. But if he's going
6	well, on the next parcel, mean that it's on the mall?	6	to rely on a report that's on the web, I do have a problem
7	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	7	with that because if it's not before me as a piece of
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And how far away in your	8	evidence, so, and it doesn't give the other side an
9	mind would a gas station have to be to not be next to your	9	opportunity to reply to it
10	home?	10	MS. ROSENFELD: Well.
11			
	THE WITNESS: I would have to say certainly not in	11	MR. GROSSMAN: to a piece of evidence that's
12	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential	12	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that,
12 13	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the	12 13	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object
12 13 14	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure,	12 13 14	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a
12 13 14 15	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how	12 13 14 15	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic.
12 13 14 15 16	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to	12 13 14 15 16	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD:
12 13 14 15 16 17	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're	12 13 14 15 16 17	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I consider, you know, my neighbor and I would not want to have	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon? A Certainly.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I consider, you know, my neighbor and I would not want to have a gas station there.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon? A Certainly. MR. GOECKE: So, Mr. Grossman, will we be provided
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I consider, you know, my neighbor and I would not want to have a gas station there. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon? A Certainly. MR. GOECKE: So, Mr. Grossman, will we be provided 10 days to review this?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I consider, you know, my neighbor and I would not want to have a gas station there. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon? A Certainly. MR. GOECKE: So, Mr. Grossman, will we be provided 10 days to review this? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. Let's see what we're
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I consider, you know, my neighbor and I would not want to have a gas station there. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And following up on that, Mr. Core, did you write	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon? A Certainly. MR. GOECKE: So, Mr. Grossman, will we be provided 10 days to review this? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. Let's see what we're talking about here first.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a place where I would feel the traffic or smell potential fumes or be in the path of the plume of, you know, wind, the way the prevailing wind goes. I don't have an exact figure, and I think, kind of like a lot of people, this is how people feel, you know, where something is in relation to where they're standing, where they're sitting, where they're living. So I don't have a mathematical number to give you, sir. I just know that that southern end of the mall I consider, you know, my neighbor and I would not want to have a gas station there. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually in. So if you have copies of those studies that, that you want to submit, the other side can look at, object if it wants to, you know, that's one thing; but just a website, to me, is problematic. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Okay. Mr. Core, do you have copies of A I do. Q the studies that you relied upon? A Certainly. MR. GOECKE: So, Mr. Grossman, will we be provided 10 days to review this? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know. Let's see what we're

	Page 34		Page 36
1	that they've had for months	1	Preliminary Stated-Preference
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Here you go, sir.	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
3	MS. ROSENFELD: And some of this information is	3	MR. GROSSMAN: Research on Impact of Leaking
4	actually contained in a quantified way in his report.	4	Underground Storage Tanks, L-U-S-T, Sites on Property
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	5	Values, page 34. So I'm not sure, I mean, the just
6	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	6	looking at the chart that you attached on page 34
7	Q Can you, just to make sure that I can provide the	7	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
8	other side with copies, what are what did you just hand	8	MR. GROSSMAN: if the study is of impact of
9	to the Hearing Examiner, please?	9	leaking underground storage tank sites, does this particular
10	A So	10	page deal with those situations or with other situations?
11	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, two things were	11	THE WITNESS: Sure. It deals with how homeowners
12	handed to me. One is entitled NCEE that is, National	12	so this was a survey group. This group this study was
13	Center for Environmental Economics and it says, Working	13	done for the EPA by this center that's affiliated with the
14	Paper Series, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research on the	14	University of Maryland. I found it when I was googling gas
15	Impact of LUST I take it that means, L-U-S-T is an	15	stations and home values of gas stations in neighborhoods.
16	acronym for something.	16	And what this page shows is, hey, they were trying to
17	MR. SILVERMAN: Leaking underground storage tank.	17	determine how particular factors, how particular types of
18	MR. GROSSMAN: You're not claiming to be an expert	18	places or characteristics affect how people feel about homes
19	on LUST, I take it?	19	and how it would influence their perception of value, what
20	THE WITNESS: Yes	20	they're willing to pay, how they think about it. And what
21	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Sites	21	this is showing is that 75 percent of respondents felt that
22	THE WITNESS: not going to comment. I'm too	22	a gas station would negatively affect home values, and they
23	respective of the Hearing Examiner.	23	they kind of thought that it was right around \$3,300 in
24	MR. GROSSMAN: on Property Values and Focus	24	terms of a negative effect on the home value.
25	Group Results	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Depending on distance,
	Page 35		Page 37
-			
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	1	because
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis	1 2	because THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis	2 3	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet.	2 3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah.	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks. MR. SILVERMAN: No, no, leaking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and this particular study was referenced with a website in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks. MR. SILVERMAN: No, no, leaking. MR. GOECKE: Leaking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and this particular study was referenced with a website in a filing that was made on April 9th, 2013. I believe it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks. MR. SILVERMAN: No, no, leaking. MR. GOECKE: Leaking. THE WITNESS: Oh, leaking underground storage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and this particular study was referenced with a website in a filing that was made on April 9th, 2013. I believe it was Exhibit 96 dash A. And I think this proves the point that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks. MR. GOECKE: Leaking. THE WITNESS: Oh, leaking underground storage tanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and this particular study was referenced with a website in a filing that was made on April 9th, 2013. I believe it was Exhibit 96 dash A. And I think this proves the point that there's a negative effect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks. MR. SILVERMAN: No, no, leaking. THE WITNESS: Oh, leaking underground storage tanks. MR. SILVERMAN: Leaking underground storage tanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and this particular study was referenced with a website in a filing that was made on April 9th, 2013. I believe it was Exhibit 96 dash A. And I think this proves the point that there's a negative effect. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, I'm not going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: by Anna Alberini and Dennis Guignet. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And there's one page provided and that's page 34 of the study in addition to the title page, and that's designated Interview Results. I'm going to mark these as exhibits so that we have an intelligent way of referencing them. That doesn't mean that they'll ultimately be admitted. The question of what's admitted and what's not is going to be reviewed at the end of the case, subject to anybody's objections as to particular items. So the item I just identified will be Exhibit 352. So this is an excerpt from NCEE, National Center for Environmental Economics, and let's see if there's a date on it here, August of 2010, and it's Working Paper No. 10-09, Preliminary Stated-Preference Research do we know what L-U-S-T stands for? THE WITNESS: Large underground storage tanks. MR. GOECKE: Leaking. THE WITNESS: Oh, leaking underground storage tanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: they have two, two figures for that THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: one actually adds value if it's two miles away THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: and according to this analysis, it subtracts value if it's a half a mile away. THE WITNESS: Yeah, if it's, if it's relatively close. So MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: what we're getting at is how do gas stations affect home values, and this was something, as a layman, I just Googled. I found this study. It was MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: done by an economic research institute affiliated with our flagship state university, and this particular study was referenced with a website in a filing that was made on April 9th, 2013. I believe it was Exhibit 96 dash A. And I think this proves the point that there's a negative effect.

1 identify the other exhibit, and then the applicant can1 and I simply was trying to establish the2 decide whether or not they wish to object to it. The other2 which he came up with his conclusion3 one is going to be Exhibit 353.3 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	Page 40
	ne foundation under
2 one is going to be Exhibit 353	ns.
4 (Exhibit Nos. 352 and 353 were 4 MS. HARRIS: Where in Mr. Co	ore's report is this
5 marked for identification.) 5 document cited?	
6 MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, what does that 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Exhibit No.	352 is under Footnote
7 MR. GROSSMAN: The other one is a multipage 7 3, and actually	
8 document entitled Building Prosperous Places in Michigan: 8 MS. HARRIS: No. I believe the	at
9 Understanding Placemaking Values, Perceptions, and Barriers, 9 THE WITNESS: I have to I b	elieve the Royal Oak
10 Real Estate Class, April 25, 2012, Michigan State University 10 was something that I found recently.	
11 Land Policy Institute. 11 BY MS. ROSENFELD:	
12 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 Q Recently? Okay.	
13MR. GROSSMAN: Do you know if this is since you13ASo I apologize.	
14have many pages here, is this the entire document or are14MR. GROSSMAN: The Royal	
15 they still excerpts? 15 MS. ROSENFELD: It was, it w	as only published in
16 THE WITNESS: It's one particular excerpt 16 April.	
17 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. 17 THE WITNESS: This is the Mid	chigan, the large
18 THE WITNESS: and there's one oh, it is 18 stack of documents. 18 Max bit is 18 stack of documents.	
19 tabbed for you, sir. I believe we 19 MS. ROSENFELD: The Michig	jan was published in
20 MR. GROSSMAN: No, I know, but I mean, you've 20 April.	a ana ia nat aitad in
21 handed me a large document. So is this, what you've handed21MR. GROSSMAN: So the large22 me, the entire document, or is this still an excerpt from22 your	
22 me, the entire document, or is this still an excerpt from22 your23 the entire document?23 THE WITNESS: Correct, and I	apologize for that
23THE WITNESS: Oh, forgive me, sir, for not23THE WITNESS: Conect, and 124THE WITNESS: Oh, forgive me, sir, for not24sir.	
25 answering the question clearly. That is the entire 25 MS. ROSENFELD: And, actua	llv. I believe it was
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Page 39	Page 41
1 document 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So h	now do you want to
2 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. 2 proceed?	
3 THE WITNESS: and we have tabbed the relevant 3 MR. GOECKE: We would obje	
4 page 4 Mr. Grossman. We have seen this docu	
5 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. 5 the untimeliness of its production but	
	de that they are
6 THE WITNESS: for you, sir. 6 just not relevant. I mean, the one pa	
7 MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that? 7 proffering to admit into evidence, page	e 34, the top line
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp	e 34, the top line condents were able to
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in	e 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative	e 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative in11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weight	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative in11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of	e 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have borld.
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative in11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weight13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have borld.
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real we13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have orld.
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative event11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weight13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have orld.
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative event11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weight13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,16concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed16underground storage tanks, which is	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have borld. b add that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative a11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weight13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,16concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed16underground storage tanks, which is17page. I'd want to see how it fits into the context of this17case.	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have borld. b add that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this
 MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that? MR. GOECKE: Not yet. MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on MS. HARRIS: And I would also Concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed page. I'd want to see how it fits into the context of this inch-thick-long document MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and therefore we may not be proffering to admit into evidence, page says: As in the past groups, most resp says: As in the past groups, most resp says: As in the past groups, most resp speculate whether specific changes in speculative document, a speculative document, a specula	ye 34, the top line condents were able to n housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have orld. o add that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this ot the question and I would note
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes i10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculate whether specific changes i11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual g12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weiling13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,16concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed16underground storage tanks, which is17page. I'd want to see how it fits into the context of this17case.18MS. CORDRY: But that was me19MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.19MR. GOECKE: The20MS. HARRIS: and therefore we may not be20MS. CORDRY: in his report,21prepared to cross-examine him on this, having not received21MR. GOECKE: If I may, if I ma	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have orld. o add that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this of the question and I would note y, yes, just the
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes i10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative i11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weiling13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,16concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed16underground storage tanks, which is17page. I'd want to see how it fits into the context of this17case.18inch-thick-long document18MS. CORDRY: But that was not19MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.19MR. GOECKE: The20MS. HARRIS: and therefore we may not be20MS. CORDRY: in his report,21prepared to cross-examine him on this, having not received21MR. GOECKE: If I may, if I may22it 10 days prior.22first page, the abstract says: The pure	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have borld. boadd that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this bot the question and I would note y, yes, just the pose of this research
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes in10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative in11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weight13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,16concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed16underground storage tanks, which is17page. I'd want to see how it fits into the context of this17case.18inch-thick-long document18MS. CORDRY: But that was not19MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.19MR. GOECKE: The20MS. HARRIS: and therefore we may not be20MS. CORDRY: in his report,21prepared to cross-examine him on this, having not received21MR. GOECKE: If I may, if I may23MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, I don't have any23effort is to examine the feasibility of comment of the set of	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have orld. b add that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this bt the question and I would note y, yes, just the pose of this research designing a
7MS. HARRIS: Do we have a copy of that?7proffering to admit into evidence, page8MR. GOECKE: Not yet.8says: As in the past groups, most resp9MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.9speculate whether specific changes i10MR. GOECKE: Thank you.10speculative document, a speculative i11MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman11data about actual homes near actual of12MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.12affected property prices in the real weiling13MS. HARRIS: on, I may comment on 352, but on13MS. CORDRY: Well14353, this is certainly the first time that we've ever seen14MS. HARRIS: And I would also15this, and while there's only one page tabbed, I'm a little15done in the context of a focus group,16concerned that I I don't want to rely on just one tabbed16underground storage tanks, which is17page. I'd want to see how it fits into the context of this17case.18inch-thick-long document18MS. CORDRY: But that was not19MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.19MR. GOECKE: The20MS. HARRIS: and therefore we may not be20MS. CORDRY: in his report,21prepared to cross-examine him on this, having not received21MR. GOECKE: If I may, if I may22it 10 days prior.22first page, the abstract says: The pure	ye 34, the top line bondents were able to in housing this is a survey. It's not actual gas stations that have orld. o add that it was also focusing on leaking not relevant in this of the question and I would note y, yes, just the pose of this research designing a the public's

	Page 42		Page 44
-	storage tenk sites. So the whole focus of this was how con	-	
1	storage tank sites. So the whole focus of this was how can	1	MR. GOECKE: One copy.
2	we generate money to pay for environmental contamination	2	MR. GROSSMAN: One copy. I think if
3	that already exists, and the speculative component of this	3	MS. ROSENFELD: And I'll
4	very thick document was, was not the focus of this document	4	MR. GROSSMAN: if we're going to cogitate this
5	and is not at all germane to whether the proposed gas	5	as a possibility, we ought to have the entire report. So
6	station here is likely to affect the real estate property	6	MS. ROSENFELD: And
7	values in this area.	7	MR. GROSSMAN: whatever, positive or negative,
8	MS. ROSENFELD: And, Mr. Grossman, on page 29 of	8	there isn't it. So
9	that same document, they say, in trying to assess people's	9	MS. ROSENFELD: If Mr. Core is likely to come back
10	perception of home values: It is important for us to	10	for further cross-examination, we'll certainly provide a
11	understand whether people are capable of assessing the	11	full copy of the report to you.
12	impact of various factors on home values. So we first ask	12	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, certainly
13	people to tell us if certain home renovations for	13	regarding Exhibit 353, I think that is what, if I understand
14	example, a kitchen upgrade, installing energy-efficient	14	you correctly, they haven't seen that, were not aware of
15	windows are likely to affect the value, and if so, by how	15	that. They were aware of this one. So I presume he will be
16	much. We then ask people to consider changes in the	16	back, and you can supply the full report here.
17	neighborhood, including a new school, a new gas station, and	17	I'm going to overrule the objection to having, at
18	a fast-food restaurant. Since earlier groups suggested that	18	least at this stage I agree that there are problems with
19	a gas station may be an amenity and a disamenity at the same	19	relying on it because of the nature of the report itself in
20	time, we asked the respondent to consider a gas station within one-half mile and within two miles of their home.	20	that it was overall intended, dealing with leaking underground storage tanks and there is this language about
21 22	Now, again, if they would like to have 10 days to	21	asking people to speculate on values. So it has somewhat
22	review the report, which they have, and Mr. Core can come	22 23	limited value, but I'm not going to say that it has no
23 24	back and be cross-examined, we're certainly happy to do	23 24	value, and given the opportunity for cross-examination on
24	that. But even though Mr. Core is a laywitness,	24	it, I think it's fair at this juncture to allow it, to
25	that. But even though with core is a laywithess,	25	
	Page 43		Page 45
-	-	1	-
1	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and	1	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after
2	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions	2	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right.
2 3	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case.	2 3	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't	2 3 4	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in
2 3 4 5	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right.	2 3 4 5	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut
2 3 4 5 6	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike	2 3 4 5 6	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
2 3 4 5 6 7	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's	2 3 4 5 6 7	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking	2 3 6 7 8 9	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire report. I do have several more pages. Maybe we can mark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'd like to ask more specifically, there's in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire report. I do have several more pages. Maybe we can mark them Exhibit 352(a) and (b) and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'd like to ask more specifically, there's in Footnote 1 of Exhibit 96(a), you referenced an article from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire report. I do have several more pages. Maybe we can mark them Exhibit 352(a) and (b) and MR. GROSSMAN: Do you, Mr. Goecke, do you have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'd like to ask more specifically, there's in Footnote 1 of Exhibit 96(a), you referenced an article from Scientific America, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire report. I do have several more pages. Maybe we can mark them Exhibit 352(a) and (b) and MR. GROSSMAN: Do you, Mr. Goecke, do you have the entire report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'd like to ask more specifically, there's in Footnote 1 of Exhibit 96(a), you referenced an article from Scientific America, is that correct? A Oh, forgive me, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire report. I do have several more pages. Maybe we can mark them Exhibit 352(a) and (b) and MR. GROSSMAN: Do you, Mr. Goecke, do you have the entire report? MS. HARRIS: We do, we have the entire copy of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'd like to ask more specifically, there's in Footnote 1 of Exhibit 96(a), you referenced an article from Scientific America, is that correct? A Oh, forgive me, yes. MS. ROSENFELD: And maybe, Mr. Grossman, why don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	laywitnesses are certainly capable of doing research and forming their own educated, although non-expert, opinions and that certainly is what Mr. Core has done in this case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I agree, and I haven't MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: nobody has asked to strike Mr. Core's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. MS. CORDRY: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: testimony. So we're not talking about that. We're talking about this report from the internet. By the way, he's only supplied me, so far Exhibit 352 is two pages, what I have MS. ROSENFELD: Let's give you MR. GROSSMAN: I haven't been supplied the entire report. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I don't have the entire report. I do have several more pages. Maybe we can mark them Exhibit 352(a) and (b) and MR. GROSSMAN: Do you, Mr. Goecke, do you have the entire report? MS. HARRIS: We do, we have the entire copy of it. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Well, let's do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 overrule the objection, subject to later consideration after cross-examination. All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And in MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. You didn't. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Mr. Core, were there other documents that you relied upon in formulating your opinion as to the impact of the gas station on home values? A Yeah. So I provided some documents already, and then there was some info on appraisals that I found online as well. MR. GROSSMAN: Was that in a report of some kind? BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'd like to ask more specifically, there's in Footnote 1 of Exhibit 96(a), you referenced an article from Scientific America, is that correct? A Oh, forgive me, yes. MS. ROSENFELD: And maybe, Mr. Grossman, why don't we go ahead and introduce that

	Page 46		Page 48
1	THE WITNESS: Here you go, sir.	1	MR. GROSSMAN: The very bottom of the second page,
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Is this the whole item	2	under Important Things to Know, is the second page
3	from Scientific American?	3	MS. HARRIS: No. Our second
4	THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.	4	MR. GROSSMAN: at the very bottom on the
5	MS. ROSENFELD: That should be the whole article.	5	left-hand side. Are you looking at the color version or
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So this is Exhibit 354,	6	the
7	Scientific American article dated April 14th, 2009, entitled	7	MS. HARRIS: Yes, the color version.
8	Is It Safe to Live Near a Gas Station, question mark? All	8	MR. GOECKE: Color version.
9	right.	9	MS. ROSENFELD: Oh.
10	(Exhibit No. 354 was marked	10	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh. Well, there's a longer
11	for identification.)	11	document. The entire document
12	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	12	MR. GOECKE: Oh, we don't have that.
13	Q And were there any other materials that you	13	MS. HARRIS: We don't have that one either.
14	reviewed?	14	MR. GROSSMAN: is in black and white.
15	A Yes. I looked at the Federal Housing	15	MS. ROSENFELD: Here's a complete copy.
16	Administration appraisal guidelines to see how they, you	16	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And then Exhibit 355(a) will
17	know, to see how appraisers are instructed to consider	17	be excerpts from Exhibit 355. Okay. And when did you
18	elements that are nearer to a home if they're, if they're	18	discover this document?
19	inspected.	19	(Exhibit No. 355(a) was marked
20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.	20	for identification.)
21	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	21	THE WITNESS: I came across this document last
22	Q And do you have a copy of those materials?	22	week.
23	A I do, yes.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So this is another thing
24	Q Okay. And if you could give those to	24	that hasn't been noticed to the applicant.
25	Mr. Grossman.	25	THE WITNESS: But I would think that it's
	Page 47		Page 49
1	A And it's the entire document with the relevant	1	something that the applicant's expert would have been aware
2	pages flagged.	2	of as part of his expertise and being part of a property
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	3	consulting and valuation company that does appraisal work.
4	MS. ROSENFELD: I do not have a copy of the entire	4	So I'm this is in the public domain. Certainly
5	document, but we will provide one to	5	MR. GROSSMAN: There's a gazillion things in the
6	MR. GROSSMAN: So I see the entire document is	6	public domain. So the question is whether or not the other
7	MS. ROSENFELD: Is quite long.	7	side has notice and time to
8	MR. GROSSMAN: in black and white, and then the	8	THE WITNESS: Sure.
9	pages on which you're relying are color pages; is that the	9	MR. GROSSMAN: cross-examine on it. So
10	idea?	10	THE WITNESS: All right.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. We have extracted them	11	MR. GROSSMAN: that's why that I take it
12	for your convenience.	12	that you would be available on our next session, which would
13	MD CDOCCMANK Okey, Co this will be well say	13	be in November, to come back for cross-examination purposes?
	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So this will be, we'll say		
14	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is	14	THE WITNESS: Certainly I can make arrangements.
		14 15	THE WITNESS: Certainly I can make arrangements. MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about
14	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this?		
14 15 16 17	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked	15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our
14 15 16 17 18	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.)	15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that?
14 15 16 17 18 19	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe it was January 20th.	15 16 17 18 19	 MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that? Q first date back is November 14th. I know
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe it was January 20th. It's on the second page.	15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that? Q first date back is November 14th. I know MR. GROSSMAN: November
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe it was January 20th. It's on the second page. MR. GROSSMAN: Is it? Okay.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that? Q first date back is November 14th. I know MR. GROSSMAN: November BY MS. ROSENFELD:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe it was January 20th. It's on the second page. MR. GROSSMAN: Is it? Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe it's on the second page.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that? Q first date back is November 14th. I know MR. GROSSMAN: November BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q you're traveling. I'm not sure when.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe it was January 20th. It's on the second page. MR. GROSSMAN: Is it? Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe it's on the second page. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, January 20, 2012.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that? Q first date back is November 14th. I know MR. GROSSMAN: November BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q you're traveling. I'm not sure when. A Yeah, that's tough. I was supposed to be so
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the main body of the report will be Exhibit 355, and that is a Welcome to the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar. Do we have a date on this? (Exhibit No. 355 was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I believe it was January 20th. It's on the second page. MR. GROSSMAN: Is it? Okay. THE WITNESS: I believe it's on the second page.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. GROSSMAN: So we're talking about BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I think our A What's that? Q first date back is November 14th. I know MR. GROSSMAN: November BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q you're traveling. I'm not sure when.

	Page 50		Page 52
1	the 15th So our payt day back is the 14th?	1	which are significant enough that they've been surveyed,
2	the 15th. So our next day back is the 14th? MS. CORDRY: Yes.	2	okay, how people feel about things, and more importantly,
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. And we have	3	how professionals in the industry are trained.
4	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	4	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think his report was silent
5	Q And when do you return?	5	on gas stations. He did have some comparison things, but
6	MR. GROSSMAN: What's our next date after the	6	I'm not faulting you for having a different impression of
7	MS. ROSENFELD: The 19th.	7	him, of his report, or of this general topic. All I'm
8	MS. ADELMAN: The 19th.	8	saying is part of my job is to ensure fairness
9	MR. GROSSMAN: The 19th?	9	THE WITNESS: Sure.
10	THE WITNESS: I won't be back until the 22nd.	10	MR. GROSSMAN: and to ensure fairness, I'm
11	MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. So the 14th, hopefully.	11	requiring that there not be surprise, and dropping reports
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.	12	on the other side on the day of your testimony amounts to
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Because, unless he's available for	13	surprise. And so that's why we're requiring that additional
14	cross-examination, I can't have him testify on this.	14	time be allotted for your cross-examination.
15	MS. ROSENFELD: No, no, I understand.	15	THE WITNESS: Sure.
16	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	16	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
17	MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. I'm just trying to	17	MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.
18	find a	18	MR. GROSSMAN: How do you want to proceed then?
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think we can make that	19	MS. ROSENFELD: We have, I guess, two options: I
20	happen.	20	can continue to take Mr. Core through his direct testimony
21	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	21	today and he can come back for cross, or we can give the
22	Q You're leaving tomorrow, right?	22	other side an opportunity to review the materials and bring
23	A Yeah well, no. Because of these proceedings,	23	him back and
24	being out of the office for a day and a half, I had to	24	MS. CORDRY: In terms of timing, wouldn't it make
25	cancel the trip to Johannesburg. We just weren't able to	25	more sense to finish the direct today, and if there's
	Page 51		Page 53
1		1	-
1	Page 51 pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in	1	anything else that comes up, then it would all be
	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in		-
2	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm	2	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's
2 3	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home	2 3	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have
2 3 4	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are	2 3 4	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination
2 3 4 5	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a	2 3 4 5	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure
2 3 4 5 6	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of	2 3 4 5 6	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your
2 3 4 5 6 7	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a	2 3 4 5 6 7	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly,	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive, what's unattractive. Okay. That's feeling, right? And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far? MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't count it up. We started
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive, what's unattractive. Okay. That's feeling, right? And then there's the terms of art, there's the prevailing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far? MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't count it up. We started at 9:35 or so and it's now 10:30. So it's about 45 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive, what's unattractive. Okay. That's feeling, right? And then there's the terms of art, there's the prevailing practices, and I would think that a fellow who purports to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far? MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't count it up. We started at 9:35 or so and it's now 10:30. So it's about 45 minutes. MS. ROSENFELD: I would suspect maybe a half an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive, what's unattractive. Okay. That's feeling, right? And then there's the terms of art, there's the prevailing practices, and I would think that a fellow who purports to be an expert in these matters would say, hey, you know, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far? MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't count it up. We started at 9:35 or so and it's now 10:30. So it's about 45 minutes. MS. ROSENFELD: I would suspect maybe a half an hour perhaps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive, what's unattractive. Okay. That's feeling, right? And then there's the terms of art, there's the prevailing practices, and I would think that a fellow who purports to be an expert in these matters would say, hey, you know, when we look at guidelines, when we look at appraisals or when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far? MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't count it up. We started at 9:35 or so and it's now 10:30. So it's about 45 minutes. MS. ROSENFELD: I would suspect maybe a half an hour perhaps. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pull things together. But notwithstanding, you know, I'm not trying to portray myself as being an expert in appraisals. I'm just trying anyone who's bought a home who's seen an appraisal report knows the factors that are considered, and I was trying to bring forward, you know, a little more information about what are the types of variables that, you know, people think about, you know, as a layperson buying a home; but, more importantly, when I think about Mr. Cronyn's analysis, where there are areas where it's insufficient. And a gentleman who works for a firm that does appraisals, that does real estate valuation, you know, a fellow who's got a lot of experience you know, I looked at his résumé; you know, he's been in the business for a long time I find it a little lacking, honestly, that they didn't even consider this. You know, the conclusion that there's no effect just doesn't really resonate with how people behave, how people perceive the value of things, what's attractive, what's unattractive. Okay. That's feeling, right? And then there's the terms of art, there's the prevailing practices, and I would think that a fellow who purports to be an expert in these matters would say, hey, you know, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anything else that comes up, then it would all be MR. GROSSMAN: I think it would also, but let's hear from the other side because we want to we have already lost the time for Mr. Sullivan's cross-examination as part of his testimony today. So we want to make sure that we are fully occupying our days here. What is your preference, Ms. Harris? MS. HARRIS: I think it's acceptable to complete the direct MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: and then we'll bring him back for cross because, certainly, given all of this material, we're not prepared to do that now. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right then. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: How much longer, by the way, do you anticipate Mr. Core's direct? MS. ROSENFELD: How long have we been so far? MR. GROSSMAN: I didn't count it up. We started at 9:35 or so and it's now 10:30. So it's about 45 minutes. MS. ROSENFELD: I would suspect maybe a half an hour perhaps.

	Page 54		Page 56
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	1	significant change, and secondly, I'm going to jump into why
2	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	2	I just find it curious that he chose to use an economic
3	Q Mr. Core, you've reviewed Mr. Cronyn's report	3	analysis model, you know, versus using appraisals. Okay.
4	A I have.	4	Notwithstanding the documents that have just been put into
5	Q I take it? And in his report he shows certain	5	evidence, but I would think that an appraisal model that is
6	trend lines	6	much more rigorous, that is much more regulated would have
7	A Yeah.	7	been a much more helpful and accurate way of gauging the
8	Q that say that homes next to gas stations will	8	impact on the community here.
9	appreciate. And do you have any views as to whether or not	9	So with an economic analysis model, you can define
10	his analysis is applicable to your situation in this case?	10	the assumptions that you want to look at, you can define the
11	A Yeah. I don't think they apply at all, honestly,	11	variables, both internal and exogenous, and in an appraisal,
12	because what he was talking about was looking at trend lines	12	it's a much more regulated activity. There are specific
13	appreciation for homes that are near gas stations, have been	13	things that appraisers are instructed to look at. There are
14	near gas stations, people bought the homes when they were	14	particular forms that needed to be complied with. They need
15	near gas stations. We're talking about something very	15	to comport with regulations and agree and, you know, meet
16	different, and that is, after the fact, putting a gas	16	the standards of Fannie Mae and HUD. They need to meet the
17	station next to a residential community but, more	17	internal standards of the folks that are, you know, writing
18	importantly, a significantly huge, I mean, a really large	18	the note on the mortgage.
19	gas station right next to existing communities. That's an	19	So this is appraisals are much more well
20	after-the-fact-type thing that's going to be a game changer.	20	defined and, I believe, a much more rigorous way of
21	It is not all things being equal, and I believe that that is	21	assessing the value of a home than looking at an economic
22	going to detract from the home value, my home value. So, again, to answer the question directly, I don't think	22 23	analysis, where you can define your own variables. And I'm frankly not surprised that Mr. Cronyn chose to use an
23 24	Mr. Cronyn's analysis is at all relevant to what we're	23 24	economic analysis model rather than an appraisal model
25	talking about here because it's very different situations.	25	because I think if you look at the appraisal model, it just
23		23	
	Page 55		Page 57
1		1	-
1	Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses	1	- -
			doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly
2	Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they	2	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't
2 3	Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that	2 3	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work?
2 3 4	Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about?	2 3 4	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize
2 3 4 5	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. 	2 3 4 5	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no
2 3 4 5 6	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar 	2 3 4 5 6	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. So when we look at trend lines, you can take two assets at different baselines and appreciate them at the same rate and you can see a line where they appreciate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government about improving appraisal standards, and there's a reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. So when we look at trend lines, you can take two assets at different baselines and appreciate them at the same rate and you can see a line where they appreciate which I believe was his fundamental conclusion at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government about improving appraisal standards, and there's a reason why appraisal standards have improved over the last couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. So when we look at trend lines, you can take two assets at different baselines and appreciate them at the same rate and you can see a line where they appreciatewhich I believe was his fundamental conclusion at the same rate, but we're talking about fundamental baseline. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government about improving appraisal standards, and there's a reason why appraisal standards have improved over the last couple of decades, because you can't get away with shortcuts and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. So when we look at trend lines, you can take two assets at different baselines and appreciate them at the same rate and you can see a line where they appreciate which I believe was his fundamental conclusion at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government about improving appraisal standards, and there's a reason why appraisal standards have improved over the last couple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. So when we look at trend lines, you can take two assets at different baselines and appreciate them at the same rate and you can see a line where they appreciatewhich I believe was his fundamental conclusion at the same rate, but we're talking about fundamental baseline. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government about improving appraisal standards, and there's a reason why appraisal standards have improved over the last couple of decades, because you can't get away with shortcuts and you need to actually determine what particular effects there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q In his report he makes a suggestion that houses next to gas stations appreciate over time and, as such, they don't lose value. Are you familiar with the analysis that I'm talking about? A Yeah. That was in, you know, Mr. Cronyn's report that was submitted. Q Oh, that's right. A Right. So I am familiar with that. I'm familiar with that chart, and I find it to be really curious. I mean, honestly, I don't find it to be particularly helpful in terms of making any decisions. It was an economic analysis, looked at appreciation over a period of time. It did not consider the impact of the baseline. So, you know, look at my house at a gas station, look at any house at a gas station that wasn't there before and, boom, that baseline is going to drop. So when we look at trend lines, you can take two assets at different baselines and appreciate them at the same rate and you can see a line where they appreciatewhich I believe was his fundamental conclusion at the same rate, but we're talking about fundamental baseline. We're talking about a material change to the value of my house by placing a gas station there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doesn't, it just doesn't work. And frankly MR. GROSSMAN: What do you mean it just doesn't work? THE WITNESS: I think he would have to recognize the external frankly, all right, just bottom-line it, no fancy words, I think with an appraisal model, we'll just, he'd have to acknowledge the house would be less valuable, the houses in the neighborhood would lose value, they would be relatively less attractive. There would be documented evidence that, hey, you got a gas station, you've got storage tanks that are relatively close. So there are a whole host of problems that, using the rigorous appraisal model, you need to follow, and frankly, he should know better. So he was, he was a veteran of, you know, home lending, you know. At a period of time as the thrift he was with was failing, there was a lot of pressure being brought onto the savings and loan industry by the federal government about improving appraisal standards, and there's a reason why appraisal standards have improved over the last couple of decades, because you can't get away with shortcuts and you need to actually determine what particular effects there are on home values.

	Page 58		Page 60
1	Q Mr. Core, I'd like to go back for a moment to the	1	THE WITNESS: No, no. I'm just going with a
2	baseline issue and the trends of properties appreciating.	2	hypothetical
3	MR. GROSSMAN: And before you do that, I'm going	3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
4	to break it and ask the applicant and you don't have to	4	THE WITNESS: to illustrate the baseline.
5	answer this question now, but I would like you to answer it	5	MS. ROSENFELD: This is just
6	at some point and that is, why is it that you chose to	6	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
7	introduce just an economic analysis as opposed to an	7	MS. ROSENFELD: to help illustrate a concept in
8	appraisal model in determining the potential impact of a gas	8	Mr. Cronyn's report.
9	station on the nearby homes?	9	BY MS. ROSENFELD:
10	MS. HARRIS: And what I would like to do I	10	Q And another home next to an existing gas station
11	mean, I believe I know the answer to that, but I want to	11	that's worth \$100,000
12	wait until we discuss it with Mr. Cronyn.	12	A Yeah.
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. Well, he did testify	13	Q but it was purchased after the gas station was built
14 15	that he's not a certified appraiser MS. HARRIS: Right, yes.	14 15	A Right.
16	MR. GROSSMAN: and so on, but I mean, it's your	16	Q so yours is now worth 75 and that one is worth
17	choice as to what evidence you present.	17	100, and Mr. Cronyn's report reflects that houses continue
18	MS. HARRIS: Yes.	18	to appreciate
19	MR. GROSSMAN: So I would, I mean, that's an issue	19	A At a
20	just raised by Mr. Core, but I think it's worthy of asking	20	Q even though they're next to a gas station.
21	you to give us an answer to it. All right. Go ahead.	21	Does that appreciation, in your opinion, if they're all
22	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	22	floating upwards at the same rate
23	Q Mr. Core, as I understand your testimony, let's	23	A Does that matter?
24	assume your townhome is worth \$100,000	24	Q ever recapture yes.
25	A Sure.	25	A Absolutely not, because let's say I'm taking a
	B -		
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Q and your	1	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than
2	Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not.	2	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So
2 3	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: 	2 3	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that
2 3 4	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not 	2 3 4	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000
2 3 4 5	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. 	2 3 4 5	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate
2 3 4 5 6	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers 	2 3 4 5 6	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your 	2 3 6 7 8 9	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. 	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 \$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's been introduced; there's that other survey, you know, that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So A it happens before shovels turn. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 \$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So A it happens before shovels turn. Q But for purposes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's been introduced; there's that other survey, you know, that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So A it happens before shovels turn. Q But for purposes MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you suggested a figure. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Is there a percentage that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 \$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's been introduced; there's that other survey, you know, that, this documentation that's been introduced; and then there's just common sense. People are concerned about emissions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So A it happens before shovels turn. Q But for purposes MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you suggested a figure. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Is there a percentage that you think it will drop? Is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 \$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's been introduced; there's that other survey, you know, that, this documentation that's been introduced; and then there's just common sense. People are concerned about emissions. People are concerned about, you know, being next to sources of pollution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So A it happens before shovels turn. Q But for purposes MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you suggested a figure. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Is there a percentage that you think it will drop? Is that THE WITNESS: Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	\$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's been introduced; there's that other survey, you know, that, this documentation that's been introduced; and then there's just common sense. People are concerned about emissions. People are concerned about, you know, being next to sources of pollution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q and your MS. CORDRY: Hopefully not. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q I'm not A It might be by the time we're done with all this. Q I was just making easy numbers A Okay. Q to make life easier for me. So let's say your house is worth \$100,000 and the gas station is built. The day after the gas station is built, in your opinion, your home value would drop, is that A Yeah. Q At, let's say, to \$75,000. A Yeah. I believe it drops the, if the unfortunate yeah, it would be unfortunate if it got approved. I think Q Okay. So A it happens before shovels turn. Q But for purposes MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you suggested a figure. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Is there a percentage that you think it will drop? Is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 \$25,000 hit and, frankly, I think it'll be larger than that on day one. Okay. Let's run with that. Okay. So I take a \$25,000 hit. I'm not going to recapture that relative to that other home; that, you know, both \$100,000 day one, day two mine is worth 75,000. Let's appreciate them \$10,000, same rate over at the same rate over five years. That other property is still worth more. I've taken a hit on the baseline that's just never going to be, never, ever going to be caught, never, ever regained. Q Thank you. In your review of the literature, what have you learned or what information have you reviewed that leads you to conclude that you would take a hit on your property values the day after the station is built? A Sure. The fact that there is even this type of conversation, you can Google, you know, you can, and talk to people, and you Google gas station, Google home values, Google, you know, gas station threats, you end up with problems. So there's the Scientific American article that's been introduced; there's that other survey, you know, that, this documentation that's been introduced; and then there's just common sense. People are concerned about emissions. People are concerned about, you know, being next to sources of pollution.

	Page 62		Page 64
1	article that was introduced, and then there are other things	1	analysis coming out of any of the filings here. But
2	that have been introduced, you know, when you read about	2	Michigan State University, as referenced earlier, indicated
3	these things. I don't know how far afield I can get because	3	that they would that based upon the characteristics of a
4	I want to reference some of the material that	4	home and neighborhoods changing, that the study found that
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can answer	5	opening an additional gas station within a quarter of a mile
6	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	6	would reduce a home price by \$6,052. Now, I don't know what
7	 Q Well, there, you can MR. GROSSMAN: any question that's posed until 	7	the baseline is on that but that's a fairly significant
8	there's an objection	8 9	figure. Q And do you know if that was a regular gas station,
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.	10	sort of a typical neighborhood gas station, or was it a mega
11	MR. GROSSMAN: to be ruled upon.	11	gas station?
12	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	12	A All of what I've read referenced typical gas
13	Q You can answer these questions. You'll be	13	stations, not the mega gas station that the special
14	A Okay, great, certainly. I didn't want to run too	14	exception would bring to my neighborhood, which is a mega,
15	afoul because	15	huge regional fuel depot, frankly more akin to what we
16	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	16	should see at a highway rest area.
17	THE WITNESS: apparently I've not done well	17	Q And you talked also about how home values can be
18	here so far in terms of	18	affected by perception and not necessarily by reality
19	MR. GROSSMAN: No, I didn't say you haven't done	19	A Correct.
20	well, and I certainly admire any citizen who does the kind	20	Q people have perceptions about gas stations and
21	of research that you've done. It's just a question, I want	21	some people
22	to avoid surprise to the other side so they have the	22	A Sure.
23	opportunity to cross-examine. THE WITNESS: Okay. That's fair, right.	23	Q might not choose to buy. Do you have any other evidence of how perceptions may or may not affect people's
24 25	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	24 25	decision to buy a particular house?
25	DT MO. NOOLINI EED.	25	
	Page 63		Page 65
1	Q Mr. Core, in Exhibit 96(a) you do have on page 2	1	A Yeah, absolutely, and I think anyone who has
2	of that a box	2	purchased a home or rented an apartment, made a selection
3	A Right.	3	about housing knows that there are a whole host of
4	Q box text where you reference a March 2010	4	preferences and personal factors that go into these types of
5	survey conducted for the EPA.	5	decisions. So let's bring it closer to home. So I've
6	A Yes.	6	talked about the academics and what researchers have found
7	Q And can you talk about that and the numerical	7	both in Michigan; we found what the University of Maryland
8	values that were	8	has found in relation to this other study. Well, let's
9	A Great. So	9	bring it closer to home to something that happened in Silver
10	Q determined in that study?	10	Spring just off of Georgia Avenue in a neighborhood where
11	A So this, as presented in Exhibit 96(a), I have a	11	there are some gas stations, and this is referenced here.
12	statement that in March 2010 a survey was conducted for the EPA by the National Center for Environmental Economics, and	12	And we have a situation where there's that home, that particularly unfortunate home on Columbia Boulevard where
13 14	Industrial Economics, based in College Park, that said that	13 14	there were three people murdered in that home recently,
15	75 percent of one group of respondents reported that a gas	15	within the last couple of years. It was that unfortunate
16	station does affect the home value with an average discount	16	incident where the school principal was murdered. Do you
17	of \$3,300 if a station is opened a half mile away.	17	know the case, what I'm referring to?
18	Q And you certainly are well within a half a mile of	18	MR. GROSSMAN: Not off the top of my head.
19	this gas station?	19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Anyhow, so what we have here
20	A Correct. We've testified probably about 650 feet.	20	is three people were murdered in two separate attacks. Now,
21	Q And did you find any other empirical evidence or	21	the County Council or the county permitting authorities
22	empirical research that would give you a quantitative value	22	allowed some action to take place and that was to renumber
23	as to how much the, your home might depreciate?	23	the home because it was frankly a stigmatized property. You
24	A That my home might depreciate? I don't have any	24	know, you Googled the address of this particular home, and
25	specifics on our home. Again, we haven't seen any appraisal	25	you came up with all these articles about these two murder

	Page 66		Page 68
1	two unfortunate events that led to the murders of three	1	make a recommendation. Forgive me, sir.
2	people.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. And findings of fact
3	This generated a lot of attention in the Gazette.	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and findings of fact.
4	There were Washington Post articles. You know, looking	4	MR. GROSSMAN: and conclusions of law, right.
5	online at the Gazette, there was local traffic, local	5	THE WITNESS: So that's why so I looked at the
6	commentary, and there were not a lot of posts, but this one	6	zoning, and certainly I'm not an expert in the zoning code,
7	really, really stuck out, and it said, quote, a dozen	7	but I did look at the criteria. And the criteria are that
8	different people point out that the valuation is negatively	8	the applicant, as I understand it, and correct me if I'm
9	affected by the gas station. Exactly no one says the	9	wrong, has to prove that there is no loss of economic value.
10	opposite.	10	Let me get the exact
11	So the significance of this is we have a	11	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm very familiar with the code,
12	hyperlocal situation just a few miles from where we live and	12	obviously, but that's, that's leavened by the question of
13	people are commenting that a gas station is a bigger factor	13	inherent and non-inherent
14	on the negative valuation of a home than the fact that three	14	THE WITNESS: Right.
15	people had been murdered in two separate attacks. I think	15	MR. GROSSMAN: characteristics. So it's not
16	that underscores how people feel about these things, and we	16	exactly that. I know that the it's unfortunate in the
17	can never take out how people feel about particular	17	code that they're separated in a way that doesn't bring
18	properties and how people feel about what has occurred and	18	those two concepts close enough together, but
19	what's in the neighborhood out of these types of	19	THE WITNESS: Great. So that's why, as I was
20	transactions. People it's somewhat emotional,	20	as I was looking into this, you know, as a layperson, trying
21	non-scientific activity.	21	to pull out, tease out information that I thought was
22	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	22	relevant, I looked for, okay, you can't make decisions based
23	Q Okay, thank you.	23	on fear, you can't always make decisions based upon
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I think the problem is it may	24	feelings, though I think a lot of it is, on home values, is
25	be an emotional, non-scientific activity, that is the	25	based upon how people feel about things. So that's why I
_			
	Page 67		Page 69
1	Page 67 perception of people, but what we would try to consider here	1	
1	-	1 2	
	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here		went to this survey data that
2	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely	2	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values.	2 3	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies,
2 3 4	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure.	2 3 4	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and
2 3 4 5	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to	2 3 4 5	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've
2 3 4 5 6	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any	2 3 4 5 6	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring
2 3 4 5 6 7	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So	2 3 4 5 6 7	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great. MR. GROSSMAN: and I agree, in this kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying. THE WITNESS: Yeah, which, you know, which is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great. MR. GROSSMAN: and I agree, in this kind of context, it's very difficult to make that separation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying. THE WITNESS: Yeah, which, you know, which is a really interesting point. You're right. It's not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great. MR. GROSSMAN: and I agree, in this kind of context, it's very difficult to make that separation, because perception of home value may be determination of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying. THE WITNESS: Yeah, which, you know, which is a really interesting point. You're right. It's not one-on-one analogy and that's why well, it's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great. MR. GROSSMAN: and I agree, in this kind of context, it's very difficult to make that separation, because perception of home value may be determination of home value, to some extent, and so it is difficult to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying. THE WITNESS: Yeah, which, you know, which is a really interesting point. You're right. It's not one-on-one analogy and that's why well, it's not a one-on-one analogy, and I kind of view this as kind of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great. MR. GROSSMAN: and I agree, in this kind of context, it's very difficult to make that separation, because perception of home value may be determination of home value, to some extent, and so it is difficult to make that distinction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying. THE WITNESS: Yeah, which, you know, which is a really interesting point. You're right. It's not one-on-one analogy and that's why well, it's not a one-on-one analogy, and I kind of view this as kind of a whole-systems approach, okay? So all of what I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	perception of people, but what we would try to consider here is a scientific analysis of what that perception is likely or not likely to yield in terms of home values. THE WITNESS: Sure. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't, it's very difficult to base a fact-finding on what might be a perception or any individual's perception as distinguished from some study of what, overall, the THE WITNESS: So MR. GROSSMAN: result of such perceptions might be. And there's also another factor here, and that is, we can't base decisions just on fears that, you know, may or may not be refuted by THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: expert opinion. So that's, I mean, there's case law on precisely that point. So we have to wrestle with those things THE WITNESS: Great. MR. GROSSMAN: and I agree, in this kind of context, it's very difficult to make that separation, because perception of home value may be determination of home value, to some extent, and so it is difficult to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	went to this survey data that MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: indicates that, and quantifies, based upon, you know, research by psychologists and economists, that there's a problem here by putting gas stations in next to homes and how people have been able to quantify that. And then I have this other info that I've solicited that's hyperlocal that I'm offering to help bring in some of these other social aspects of it. That's why I brought that in. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The difficulty is, of course, trying to apply general conclusions about next to and distance with a particular situation, such as this one, where the proposed gas station is to be in a commercial zone in a mall. So there are differences there, also, as to how this affects people's perceptions of what should be there. So it's not, it's not a one-on-one analogy, is all I'm saying. THE WITNESS: Yeah, which, you know, which is a really interesting point. You're right. It's not one-on-one analogy and that's why well, it's not a one-on-one analogy, and I kind of view this as kind of a

	Page 70		Page 72
1	negative economic value on adjoining property owners, and by	1	analysis. That's a specific requirement: appraisers must
2	the way, there are also really non-inherent risks associated	2	consider the presence of a gas station. It has to consider
3	with this one because everything that we've cited has just	3	other nuisances when assessing, if a property is going to be
4	talked about regular gas stations but this is, this is a	4	considered unacceptable. They also have to have photographs
5	mega gas station	5	of many of these external influences, positive or negative,
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	6	and I found this to be particularly interesting. When you
7	THE WITNESS: that's going to have significant	7	look at the list, sir, you know, in the list of influences
8	impact. So when we think about the zone, we think about the	8	that must be photographed, it specifically includes gas
9	impacts, I would hope that we wouldn't be so, so quick to	9	stations, along with other problem features such as
10	just define it as, okay, there's the property line and boom,	10	railroads and freeways and hazardous waste sites. So it
11	that's where the effects end; but, really, it does bleed	11	kind of lumps them in that type, in that category.
12	over into the adjacent property owners.	12	MR. GROSSMAN: And what if the gas station is not
13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. BY MS. ROSENFELD:	13 14	visible from the property in question? How do you photograph it?
15	Q And so, Mr. Core, to the extent that you've	15	THE WITNESS: Well
16	identified a number of non-inherent characteristics, in your	16	MR. GROSSMAN: And the testimony here is
17	view, would those exacerbate the negative effect on property	17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	values?	18	MR. GROSSMAN: is that it will not be visible.
19	A Yes. I think, okay, people aren't going to love a	19	THE WITNESS: That doesn't mean it's, folks are
20	gas station, okay, fine. I do acknowledge that there was a	20	not going to be able to accommodate for the presence of a
21	gas station on the mall before, but that was right on	21	gas station just over a wall. I don't
22	Georgia, I'm sorry, right on Veirs Mill Road. What we're	22	MR. GROSSMAN: No. I'm just saying that in terms
23	talking about here is taking a gas station eight times the	23	of applying
24	size of a regular gas station, it's going to have extensive	24	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
25	queuing, extensive idling that we don't see at other gas	25	MR. GROSSMAN: this analysis, the appraisal
	Page 71		Page 73
1	-	1	
1	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential	1	Page 73 requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a
	-		requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that
2	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I	2	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a
2 3	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors.	2 3	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against
2 3 4	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar?	2 3 4	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the
2 3 4 5	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah.	2 3 4 5	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there 	2 3 6 7 8 9	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your attention to one, one or two things. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations included among the factors that should be considered?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your attention to one, one or two things. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations included among the factors that should be considered? A Yes. When writing up an appraisal report, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your attention to one, one or two things. There are negative influences. Appraisers are required to look at any external obsolescence and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations included among the factors that should be considered? A Yes. When writing up an appraisal report, this instruction indicates that and, again, I'm not an expert; I'm just testifying as a layperson who's had a lot of properties appraised that exterior influences slash
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your attention to one, one or two things. There are negative influences. Appraisers are required to look at any external obsolescence and they define these, and they're listed there high traffic, commercial activity and it must be photographed and it must be included in the report. The training calls for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations included among the factors that should be considered? A Yes. When writing up an appraisal report, this instruction indicates that and, again, I'm not an expert; I'm just testifying as a layperson who's had a lot of properties appraised that exterior influences slash obsolescence includes gas stations and other wonderful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your attention to one, one or two things. There are negative influences. Appraisers are required to look at any external obsolescence and they define these, and they're listed there high traffic, commercial activity and it must be photographed and it must be included in the report. The training calls for appraisers to specifically comment on gas stations when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations included among the factors that should be considered? A Yes. When writing up an appraisal report, this instruction indicates that and, again, I'm not an expert; I'm just testifying as a layperson who's had a lot of properties appraised that exterior influences slash obsolescence includes gas stations and other wonderful things, such as dumps, landfills, and industrial/commercial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stations, and then plopping it right next to a residential neighborhood. I don't see where that's good for anybody. I certainly don't see where it's good for me or my neighbors. Q Mr. Core, can I draw your attention to what's been marked as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 355, the FHA Appraisal Inspection Requirements Webinar? A Yeah. Q Were there elements of this that you also used in developing your conclusions? A Yeah, because exactly. I can say that there were because, when I was thinking about the economic analysis, I thought, wow, this doesn't really seem to work, they seem to not be thinking about how appraisals handle the presence of gas stations. And we look at it, and there's very specific guidance here on these particular aspects that you know, HUD does a lot of work through the FHA with appraisers on these topics, and I'll just call your attention to one, one or two things. There are negative influences. Appraisers are required to look at any external obsolescence and they define these, and they're listed there high traffic, commercial activity and it must be photographed and it must be included in the report. The training calls for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requirements, FHA appraisal requirements, doesn't that factor in too? I mean, if their concern is visibility of a nearby gas station and it's not visible here, isn't that, doesn't that cut against what you're suggesting? THE WITNESS: No, I don't think it cuts against what I'm suggesting at all. I think the visibility and the photographic evidence is just one component but the presence of the gas station in proximity to the home is something that needs to be, needs to be assessed by the appraiser and how that affects MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: the value. So that's part of the analysis for the site analysis that I referenced earlier. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Looking, turning to Exhibit No. 355, turning to page 44, Site Analysis, what are are gas stations included among the factors that should be considered? A Yes. When writing up an appraisal report, this instruction indicates that and, again, I'm not an expert; I'm just testifying as a layperson who's had a lot of properties appraised that exterior influences slash obsolescence includes gas stations and other wonderful

	Page 74		Page 76
1	Q And there's no requirement under the analysis	1	14th?
2	component that these necessarily be photographed	2	THE WITNESS: I will make arrangements.
3	A Yeah.	3	MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.
4	Q is that correct?	4	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
5	A I'm just saying that's it's not under the	5	MR. ADELMAN: Mr. Grossman, may I have one
6	analysis when factoring in the valuation. I'm just saying	6	question?
7	if a gas station is visible, there's a specific requirement	7	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, sir.
8	that it needs to be photographed	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	Q And	9	BY MR. ADELMAN:
10	A but that, that doesn't mean it's not going to	10	Q Mr. Core, can you hear me?
11	be silent, okay? You can have a beautiful green wall and on	11	A Yes, sir.
12	the other side of it a gas station. That needs to be	12	Q Good. If I recall correctly, you spoke about the
13	considered.	13	possibility/probability that potential buyers will not be
14	Q And turning to page 59 again, are there factors,	14	interested in a house next to a gas station.
15	whether or not generated by a gas station or generated by	15	A Yeah, absolutely, yes.
16	any use, the appraiser needs to take into consideration?	16	Q What about people who might be interested and make
17	A Yeah. He needs to take into consideration noxious	17	an offer do you have an expectation about what their
18	odors, pollution, excessive noise, environmental	18	offer would be?
19	contaminants. Certainly, I would say, a gas station would	19	A Yeah. I absolutely think the offer would be less
20	be considered a nuisance	20	than a similar house not next to a gas station if, if it
21	Q And	21	were to sell, and I frankly, I think there's it just
22	A not something that I would find appealing.	22	causes a real problem, creates economic loss.
23	Q Okay. And page 60, this is the question of	23	Q Okay, thank you.
24	photographs. What	24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Once again,
25	A Yeah, I think we covered that.	25	thank you very much
	Page 75		Page 77
1	Q Okay. And it doesn't necessarily say that the gas	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
1 2	Q Okay. And it doesn't necessarily say that the gas station has to be within the viewshed	1 2	
	station has to be within the viewshed A Right.		THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to
2	station has to be within the viewshed	2	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and
2 3	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. 	2 3	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th.
2 3 4	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. 	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's 	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. GOFFMAN: Hi.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club MR. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club MR. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: but just to be clear, will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann? MS. CARTER: Or would we then take a lunch break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: but just to be clear, will Mr. Core be back on the 14th? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann? MS. CARTER: Or would we then take a lunch break and come back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: but just to be clear, will Mr. Core be back on the 14th? MS. ROSENFELD: You're leaving town on the 15th, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club MR. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann? MS. CARTER: Or would we then take a lunch break and come back MS. CORDRY: No. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: but just to be clear, will Mr. Core be back on the 14th? MS. ROSENFELD: You're leaving town on the 15th, you said? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club MR. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann? MS. CARTER: Or would we then take a lunch break and come back MS. CORDRY: No. No. MS. CARTER: or we would just keep going at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: but just to be clear, will Mr. Core be back on the 14th? MS. ROSENFELD: You're leaving town on the 15th, you said? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm going to have to see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to come down here and share your views, and have a great trip to Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, and we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we take a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness then? MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman this is Mr. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would need to be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann? MS. CORDRY: No. No. MS. CORDRY: No. No. MS. CARTER: or we would just keep going at noon?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 station has to be within the viewshed A Right. Q of the particular property, is that correct? A Correct. Q Okay. A I just think in plain language, I think there's enough evidence there that my home is likely to lose value Q Okay. A by putting this in after the fact. Q Thank you. MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Do you want to do any cross-examination now, or do you want to just postpone until MS. HARRIS: No. I think, given the amount of materials, I think it's better to postpone MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: but just to be clear, will Mr. Core be back on the 14th? MS. ROSENFELD: You're leaving town on the 15th, you said? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: for taking your time to cord down here and share your views, and have a great tri Johannesburg, if that's what you're planning on doing, we'll see you back here on November 14th. THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Okay. MS. HARRIS: Before our next witness, may we a three-minute break? MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Who is our next witness the MS. CORDRY: I just talked to Mr. Goffman th is Mr. Goffman from the Sierra Club MR. GOFFMAN: Hi. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. CORDRY: and he indicated he would ne be, leave by noon. So if you're all right with that Mary Ann? MS. CARTER: Or would we then take a lunch b and come back MS. CORDRY: No. No. MS. CARTER: or we would just keep going a

	Page 78		Page 80
1	MS. CARTER: Then I can wait until noon.	1	providing transportation alternatives to reduce vehicle
2	MS. CORDRY: Okay.	2	miles traveled.
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So then we'll have	3	Building an enormous gas station in downtown
4	Mr. Goffman come on next. We'll take a five-minute break	4	Wheaton is antithetical to all parts of this plan. The
5	until 11:15. Okay.	5	Montgomery County Sierra Club wishes to reiterate its
6	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)	6	previous objections filed in April and in Exhibit 94 to the
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Let's try to turn off all of the	7	construction of this station, which undermines local,
8	cell phones, please. So the next witness is from the Sierra	8	county, state, and national goals regarding smart growth and
9	Club?	9	the environment.
10	MS. CORDRY: Yes.	10	We do welcome the decision of Costco and Westfield
11	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, would you be so kind as to step into the hot seat?	11	to reinstate the pedestrian path largely in the form that it was presented to the Planning Board and staff when first
12 13	MR. GOFFMAN: Okay. Hello. How are you doing?	12 13	reviewed. The sector plan also speaks at page 53 about the
14	MR. GROSSMAN: Fine, thank you. How about	14	possibility of expanding the forest buffer and creating a
15	yourself?	15	green shared-use path adjacent to the existing area, and we
16	MR. GOFFMAN: Oh, I'm fine.	16	hope this will occur as soon as possible.
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Good. Have a seat, and will you	17	In any event, the proposed Costco gas station will
18	state your full name and address, please?	18	mean more cars and traffic in the mall and surrounding
19	MR. GOFFMAN: I'm Ethan Goffman, and it's 523	19	areas, making walking and biking more difficult. The
20	North Horners Lane in Rockville.	20	station will also compete with transit and undermine efforts
21	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And can you spell your last	21	to move Montgomery County to a new paradigm. With young
22	name?	22	people increasingly rejecting automobile use and a rising
23	MR. GOFFMAN: G-O-F, as in Frank, -F-M-A-N.	23	number of seniors, we need to create pleasant communities
24	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your	24	that encourage mobility without a car. We need buildings
25	right hand, please?	25	and infrastructure easily accessible by transit, with
	Page 79		Page 81
1	Page 79 (Witness sworn.)	1	Page 81 walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion.
1 2		1	
	(Witness sworn.)		walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion.
2	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club.	2	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind
2 3	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business	2 3	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the
2 3 4 5 6	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here?	2 3 4 5 6	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different	2 3 4 5 6 7	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club?	2 3 6 7 8 9	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club?	2 3 6 7 8 9	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented residential and office, and business and government	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle miles traveled, exactly the opposite of the county's goals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented residential and office, and business and government services. It proposes to capitalize on the role of Metro	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle miles traveled, exactly the opposite of the county's goals. We know that such car trips are not inevitable. Costco's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented residential and office, and business and government services. It proposes to capitalize on the role of Metro and Wheaton as a regional transit hub to promote	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle miles traveled, exactly the opposite of the county's goals. We know that such car trips are not inevitable. Costco's Pentagon City warehouse is similarly located near transit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented residential and office, and business and government services. It proposes to capitalize on the role of Metro and Wheaton as a regional transit hub to promote high-density growth and redevelopment in the business core.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle miles traveled, exactly the opposite of the county's goals. We know that such car trips are not inevitable. Costco's Pentagon City warehouse is similarly located near transit and without a gas station, and it is our understanding that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented residential and office, and business and government services. It proposes to capitalize on the role of Metro and Wheaton as a regional transit hub to promote high-density growth and redevelopment in the business core. Another prime redevelopment goal is to reduce energy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle miles traveled, exactly the opposite of the county's goals. We know that such car trips are not inevitable. Costco's Pentagon City warehouse is similarly located near transit and without a gas station, and it is our understanding that a meaningful number of patrons do use Metro. Certainly, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And are you here on behalf of an organization today? THE WITNESS: The Montgomery County Sierra Club. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And do they have a business address here? THE WITNESS: No. They operate out of different people's houses. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. And do you wish to testify today on behalf of the Sierra Club? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. DIRECT EXAMINATION THE WITNESS: All right. So the Wheaton Sector Plan envisions a walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented hub with plenty of residences and retail businesses. Page 9 of the plan describes Wheaton's future as being a major mixed-use center for Georgia Avenue and eastern Montgomery County, including regional shopping, transit-oriented residential and office, and business and government services. It proposes to capitalize on the role of Metro and Wheaton as a regional transit hub to promote high-density growth and redevelopment in the business core.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	walking and biking unhindered by automobile congestion. This will increase the economic vitality and quality of life in the county. It's particularly important to encourage this kind of growth near a Metro station on the eastern side of the Red Line in an area of the county that has been eclipsed by the development in Silver Spring, Bethesda, Rockville, and White Flint. Wheaton's location at the junction of a major Metrorail and bus station makes it especially important for transit-oriented development. Plans for a rapid bus transit network encompassing Georgia Avenue and Veirs Mill Road further enhance Wheaton's position as a major smart growth node that would only be undermined by adding an enormous gas station. Although we recognize that some customers of the station may already be driving to the area, a substantial portion are projected to be new gas-only trips. Indeed, this station will act as a magnet, pulling cars away from other gas stations near and far, and increasing vehicle miles traveled, exactly the opposite of the county's goals. We know that such car trips are not inevitable. Costco's Pentagon City warehouse is similarly located near transit and without a gas station, and it is our understanding that

	Page 82		Page 84
1	Costco to think creatively of how to encourage alternatives	1	greenhouse gases. Its Climate Protection Plan calls for
2	to driving. Furthermore, a look at the supporting postcards	2	reducing countywide greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent
3	Costco submitted indicates that a large portion of those	3	below the 2005 base year by 2010 and 10 percent every five
4	wanting this store live in far-flung neighborhoods and would	4	years through 2050. The report makes clear that the county
5	bypass dozens of stations to use the Costco station.	5	needs to be forward thinking in all sectors, from energy
6	The Sierra Club is also concerned because this	6	efficiency to renewables to transportation, to meet these
7	station, in particular, is designed to operate with large	7	ambitious goals. So two specific recommendations in the
8	numbers of idling vehicles for many hours a day, polluting	8	plan were T-9, develop comprehensive idling policies
9	the air, likely increasing asthma and other respiratory	9	supporting Maryland's vehicle anti-idling law with an
10	diseases, and perhaps even cancer, at the local level.	10	emphasis on both education/outreach and effective
11	Allergies are on the rise, due at least in part to	11	enforcement; T-11, create an effective transportation
12	environmental causes, including air quality. Asthma and	12	education and outreach campaign to modify resident and
13	allergies have become something of an epidemic among young	13	business transportation behavior to reduce GHG emissions.
14	people, severely restricting their quality of life. Taking	14	Currently, approving a station that creates an idling
15	asthma alone, in Montgomery County in 2009 12.4 percent of	15	problem fulfills neither of these recommendations.
16	adults have a history of asthma, according to the Department	16	The new Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
17	of Health and Mental Hygiene. Numbers for children are not	17	report the big international global document that
18	well documented, but the rate of emergency visits by 0- to	18	summarizes the work of thousands of scientists surveys
19	4-year-olds for asthma was five times that of adults in	19	the mounting danger from fossil fuel emissions and the utter
20	Montgomery County.	20	failure to respond in an adequate way. We're already seeing
21	Because Wheaton has a relatively large minority	21	droughts, floods, monster hurricanes, and other effects
22	population and a large low-income population, there's also	22	partly due to climate change, and it's all projected to get
23	an environmental justice issue. This is particularly	23	worse. Of course, any given project will have a small
24	disconcerting since, according to the report, the asthma	24	impact, but allowing additional greenhouse gases flies in
25	rate was approximately 5.3 times higher among black	25	the face of reduction efforts. So it's one more step in the
	Page 83		Page 85
	raye os		raye ob
-	-	1	
1	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One	1	wrong direction.
2	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to	2	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the
2 3	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part	2	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other
2 3 4	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county.	2 3 4	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board
2 3 4 5	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the	2 3 4 5	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart
2 3 4 5 6	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than	2 3 4 5 6	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly
2 3 4 5	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health	2 3 4 5	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be
2 3 4 5 6 7	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the	2 3 6 7 8 9	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area,	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20 percent reduction from 2006 levels by 2020 in the Greenhouse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness? MS. SHEARD: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20 percent reduction from 2006 levels by 2020 in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act of 2009. The situation is worsened	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we'll turn to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20 percent reduction from 2006 levels by 2020 in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act of 2009. The situation is worsened because no other station in the county operates with scores	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we'll turn to the Stop Costco Gas Coalition. Do you have questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20 percent reduction from 2006 levels by 2020 in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act of 2009. The situation is worsened because no other station in the county operates with scores of cars idling for hours daily.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we'll turn to the Stop Costco Gas Coalition. Do you have questions? MR. SILVERMAN: I think Dr. Adelman has a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20 percent reduction from 2006 levels by 2020 in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act of 2009. The situation is worsened because no other station in the county operates with scores of cars idling for hours daily.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we'll turn to the Stop Costco Gas Coalition. Do you have questions? MR. SILVERMAN: I think Dr. Adelman has a question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	residents and 4.8 times higher among other residents. One must ask whether such a project would have been brought to the more affluent and politically influential western part of the county. The Sierra Club recommends applying the precautionary principle of first do no harm, rather than subjecting the people of Wheaton to possible adverse health effects so drivers can save a few pennies per gallon. The station's location, near numerous residences as well as a special-needs school and swimming pool, underscores the impact. Where the local station is already well served with more than two dozen serving stations, or the local area, there is no reason to allow a megastation that would concentrate all of these sales just a few hundred feet away from such sensitive areas. Finally, even leaving aside disputes over the station's health effects, the added driving and idling created by this station would mean more greenhouse gas emissions, running counter to Maryland's stated goal of a 20 percent reduction from 2006 levels by 2020 in the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Act of 2009. The situation is worsened because no other station in the county operates with scores of cars idling for hours daily.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 wrong direction. The Planning Board staff recommends rejecting the Costco gas station due to local health concerns and other adverse effects, including the idling. The Planning Board voted to reject the station due to its undermining of smart growth goals and the new Wheaton Sector Plan. We strongly agree with both reasons and urge that this project be rejected. It's wholly out of scale and unnecessary to the needs of developing a Wheaton that will attract more young people, contribute to the growth and vitality of Montgomery County in a way that we all look forward to as the 21st century unfolds. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Mr. Goffman, is the Sierra Club testifying on behalf of one of the parties here or just as an independent witness? THE WITNESS: It's an independent witness. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Then in terms of cross-examination, I'll turn actually first to Kensington View. Do you have any questions of this witness? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we'll turn to the Stop Costco Gas Coalition. Do you have questions? MR. SILVERMAN: I think Dr. Adelman has a

	Page 86		Page 88
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	the Hearing Examiner would have any sway over, over
2	BY MR. ADELMAN:	2	Westfield, or any authority over Westfield, as such, outside
3	Q Just, I think, two brief questions. If I	3	of the special exception site. But they do have this is
4	understood, you are approving of Westfield and Costco's	4	not to say that, that they are prohibited in some way, if
5	decision to build the pedestrian path, is that correct?	5	the special exception were denied, from putting in a
6	A Yes.	6	pedestrian path.
7	Q Are you aware that building the pedestrian path is	7	MR. ADELMAN: Nor are they prohibited from putting
8	contingent on approval of this application?	8	in the pedestrian path today.
9	A No, but any pedestrian path added is obviously	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Correct.
10	supporting the walkability of the area, but	10	MR. ADELMAN: Thank you.
11	Q No. The question I'm asking, perhaps I wasn't	11	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
12	clear, I'm referencing an exhibit number, which I'm not	12	MR. GROSSMAN: Not by anything that's before me,
13	asking you if you know.	13	in any event.
14	A Right.	14	MR. ADELMAN: Understood.
15	Q It's 341.	15	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't pretend to know everything
16	A Uh-huh.	16	that happens in terms of Westfield's practice. All right.
17	Q That's a submission from Costco, saying in essence	17	Does Kensington Heights Civic Association have any questions
18	that Westfield has given approval to Costco to build	18	of this witness?
19	A Right.	19	MS. ROSENFELD: No. Thank you.
20	Q the pedestrian path if this A Yeah.	20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. The applicant? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you.
21 22	Q project is approved.	21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
22	A Right. Okay. So, yeah, obviously the path goes	22	BY MS. HARRIS:
24	along with the project. So you don't need that particular	24	Q Mr. Goffman, you, I believe you said it's
25	layout of the path if there's no gas station, but you do	25	important to encourage this type of development in this
	Page 87		Page 89
1	-	1	Page 89 A Uh-huh.
1 2		1 2	
	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve		A Uh-huh.
2	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that,	2	A Uh-huh.Q in this area of the county, is that correct?
2 3 4 5	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of	2 3	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area
2 3 4 5	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco	2 3 4	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah.
2 3 4 5	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by	2 3 4 5	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay. A they all support each other and they all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. The commitment in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. The commitment in that letter that you mentioned is linked to the Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay. A they all support each other and they all discourage vehicle miles traveled because you go down you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. The commitment in that letter that you mentioned is linked to the Costco special exception but that doesn't mean that they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay. A they all support each other and they all discourage vehicle miles traveled because you go down you don't drive off to some far destination for each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. The commitment in that letter that you mentioned is linked to the Costco special exception but that doesn't mean that they are prohibited from putting in the path if Westfield elects to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay. A they all support each other and they all discourage vehicle miles traveled because you go down you don't drive off to some far destination for each Q Right. Okay. Do you know what the site is zoned?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. The commitment in that letter that you mentioned is linked to the Costco special exception but that doesn't mean that they are prohibited from putting in the path if Westfield elects to do it, whether or not there is a special exception. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay. A they all support each other and they all discourage vehicle miles traveled because you go down you don't drive off to some far destination for each Q Right. Okay. Do you know what the site is zoned? A I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	always want to look at walkability, and anything to improve walkability is always a good thing, especially in this kind of mixed, mixed-use development. MR. GROSSMAN: I would say something about that, your question about contingent upon. It is true that one of the requirements that has been agreed to by the, by Costco as part of this application and has been agreed to by Westfield is, if the special exception were granted, they would put in the pedestrian path, and there is nothing that prohibits Westfield from putting in a pedestrian path if there is no special exception. So it's not so I just want to make sure that the contingency aspect of that is clear. It's only MR. ADELMAN: May I respond without testifying? My reading of the letter says explicitly that the building of the pedestrian path is contingent upon approval of the project. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. The commitment in that letter that you mentioned is linked to the Costco special exception but that doesn't mean that they are prohibited from putting in the path if Westfield elects to do it, whether or not there is a special exception. It's just that the special exception site does not include the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A Uh-huh. Q in this area of the county, is that correct? A Yeah, walkable, transit-oriented, mixed use, all kinds of businesses, people just easily getting from place to place on one trip, which is good for commerce in the area and makes local buying better, yeah. Q Yes. And when you say mixed use, what types of uses are you referring to? A I'm talking about residential, talking about shopping. In this case, probably those two uses would be the primary ones. So we're thinking about downtown Bethesda probably fewer office buildings and things like that, although, you know, we do want to bring more jobs to Wheaton also; so, you know, that would be part of the picture. Plus people would then go down to lunch and shop afterwards, et cetera, so actually all kinds of uses Q Okay. A they all support each other and they all discourage vehicle miles traveled because you go down you don't drive off to some far destination for each Q Right. Okay. Do you know what the site is zoned? A I do not. Q Do you know what that portion of the site is zoned

	Page 90		Page 92
1	kind of mixed use.	1	A Oh, yeah, but there are also lots of gasoline
2	Q Yes. Would you be surprised to learn that the	2	stations.
3	site, the portion of the site on which the special exception	3	Q But wouldn't it be more convenient for people that
4	is located is zoned C-2?	4	are on the mall site to actually frequent purchase their
5	A Yeah, I don't know about the zoning.	5	gas where they're, while they're at the mall site as opposed
6	Q So you don't know or you have no awareness that	6	to creating an unnecessary trip to get gas elsewhere?
7	the C-2 zone would not even permit residential on this	7	A Well, you don't need a huge gas station to do that
8	portion of this site?	8	because basically there's two answers. One is most
9	A Well, but that's right across from residential, so	9	people have gas stations near their houses or where they're
10	the residents, the local residents. The other point is, in	10	driving anyway, and this is just going to, because it's less
11	the long run, you can change the zoning.	11	expensive, people are going to be making extra trips. So,
12	Q But the zoning today would not permit the mixed	12	overall, they're going to be driving more to go to that gas
13	use that you were proposing on the majority of the mall	13	station. I mean, it would be, at times, somewhat more
14	site, correct?	14	convenient for a few people, but it would create a great
15	A Right.	15	inconvenience for people trying to walk around and would be
16	MR. GROSSMAN: Now, Mr. Goffman, I should have	16	bad for the commercial future, we think, of the area.
17	asked you, do you have a particular title in the Sierra Club?	17	But the second answer is the whole county is
18 19	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm the transit chair.	18	trying to become a lot more transit-oriented, including a
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So transit chair of the	19 20	new rapid transit system that would go on Georgia and Veirs Mill Road. It's by a major Metro center with lots of buses
20	Montgomery County Sierra Club, is that correct?	20	today. Therefore, you want to encourage people to take
22	THE WITNESS: Right. Technically it's Montgomery	22	those modes of transit when possible, and you're also going
23	County Sierra Club Group. So	23	to, you know, it's going to be very pleasant. People from
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I take it you're	24	nearby are going to want to walk or bike. Therefore,
25	authorized to speak on behalf of the Montgomery County	25	they're not going to be taking trips further away to do
	Page 91		Page 93
1	Page 91 Sierra Club Group today?	1	
1 2	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly.	1 2	
	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.		tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100
2	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS:	2	tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the
2 3	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you	2 3	tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco?
2 3 4 5 6	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6	tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective.Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco?A It's not very surprising numbers.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall or drive to the mall to get on the Metro 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued high car use.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall or drive to the mall to get on the Metro A Uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued high car use. Q So if you eliminated all of the gas stations along
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall or drive to the mall to get on the Metro A Uh-huh. Q they're coming by car, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued high car use. Q So if you eliminated all of the gas stations along Veirs Mill Road and Georgia Avenue, are you suggesting that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall or drive to the mall to get on the Metro A Uh-huh. Q they're coming by car, correct? A Right. Q And what do cars consume? A Gasoline. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued high car use. Q So if you eliminated all of the gas stations along Veirs Mill Road and Georgia Avenue, are you suggesting that the number of trips coming down Georgia Avenue would suddenly decrease because there's not the because there's not gas stations there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall or drive to the mall to get on the Metro A Uh-huh. Q they're coming by car, correct? A Right. Q And what do cars consume? A Gasoline. Q And so there's a need for gasoline, is that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued high car use. Q So if you eliminated all of the gas stations along Veirs Mill Road and Georgia Avenue, are you suggesting that the number of trips coming down Georgia Avenue would suddenly decrease because there's not the because there's not gas stations there? A No. I'm suggesting, in the long term, we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Sierra Club Group today? THE WITNESS: Exactly. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you MR. GROSSMAN: Go ahead. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recognize that there's, the mall includes a 6,000-space parking lot? Are you aware of that? A I do. Q And are you also aware that there's a 900-plus-space parking, Metro parking garage on the site as well? A Right, not the exact site, but yeah. I shop at the mall. I'm very familiar with it. Q Okay. And so those people that shop at the mall or drive to the mall to get on the Metro A Uh-huh. Q they're coming by car, correct? A Right. Q And what do cars consume? A Gasoline. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 tasks. They're going to be lulled out of their cars, which is the objective. Q Are you aware that there's approximately 4100 people that drive to the Costco every day to shop at the Costco? A It's not very surprising numbers. Q And would it not be more convenient for those individuals to be able to purchase gas, again, at this where they've already made a trip, as opposed to creating a new trip to obtain gasoline? A Well, a lot of them are going to be passing gas stations anyway, first of all. Second, again, we want to get as many taking trips via transit, even to the Costco, which I take Metro or, actually, I take the 48 bus to go to the Wheaton Mall. I don't even have a car, but you know, I'm going to go to the Costco and shop there. I'm not using a car. So you're assuming an indefinite future of continued high car use. Q So if you eliminated all of the gas stations along Veirs Mill Road and Georgia Avenue, are you suggesting that the number of trips coming down Georgia Avenue would suddenly decrease because there's not the because there's not gas stations there?

	Page 94		Page 96
1	gas station is going to tend to seduce people into traveling	1	conclude that the frontage along Veirs Mill was in fact
2	more and, also, longer trips to get the cheaper gas than	2	intended for mixed use?
3	Q In your view, simply providing a gas station makes	3	A Uh-huh.
4	people want to drive more?	4	Q And that the remainder of the 1.5 million
5	A Yeah, an enormous gas station with discount	5	square-foot mall was intended to remain a mall?
6	prices, yes, that would make people drive more.	6	A Well, it's says not currently zoned.
7	Q Did you follow the sector plan process?	7	Q Right. And this, you may want to and then
8	A No.	8	A Currently have no plans.
9	Q So you're not that, you're not familiar with the	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, do you want to finish the
10	sector plan document?	10	sentence that was
11	A Well, I've toured it. I went on a Coalition for	11	BY MS. HARRIS:
12	Smarter Growth tour. It's a you can create a really unique environment because there are all these nice little	12	Q The owners currently, can you read that whole
13 14	businesses, kind of a Hispanic flavor to the neighborhood,	13 14	thing, please? A Oh, the owners currently have no plans to develop
15	you know, having	15	the property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning
16	Q But if I understood you	16	is not conducive to mixed-use development.
17	A Uh-huh.	17	Q And then based on the owners' current plans
18	Q while you're not familiar with you didn't	18	A Uh-huh.
19	follow the sector plan process, you're not familiar with the	19	Q not to redevelop the mall, are you aware that
20	document, and yet did I misunderstand you when you said that	20	the Council determined to retain the C-2 zoning on the site?
21	the use would not be consistent with the sector plan?	21	A Okay. All right, but the point is, you want to
22	A Well, I read the document.	22	look at the larger area, not just I mean, you're, what
23	Q Okay. I want to show you language on page 53 of	23	you're doing is you're cutting out a small section and
24	the document, if I could.	24	saying that part is C-2, but you're not looking at a
25	MS. HARRIS: And this is already in the record,	25	somewhat larger area where you have residences. It says it
	Bogo 05		Bogo 07
	Page 95		Page 97
1	but I don't know where it is.		would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again,
2	but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a	2	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars,
2 3	but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times.	2 3	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the
2 3 4	but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area.
2 3 4 5	but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page	2 3 4 5	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know,
2 3 4 5 6	but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield	2 3 4 5 6	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at
2 3 4 5	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? 	2 3 4 5	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. Q Okay. And then I'll refer to the image on page 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could MS. ROSENFELD: Excuse me one second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. Q Okay. And then I'll refer to the image on page 52. Where you see the blue 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could MS. ROSENFELD: Excuse me one second. Mr. Grossman, may I have a copy of the sector plan from your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. Q Okay. And then I'll refer to the image on page 52. Where you see the blue A Uh-huh, yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could MS. ROSENFELD: Excuse me one second. Mr. Grossman, may I have a copy of the sector plan from your files, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. Q Okay. And then I'll refer to the image on page 52. Where you see the blue A Uh-huh, yeah. Q that's the reference to the CR zone versus the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could MS. ROSENFELD: Excuse me one second. Mr. Grossman, may I have a copy of the sector plan from your files, if MR. GROSSMAN: It would be in one of these boxes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. Q Okay. And then I'll refer to the image on page 52. Where you see the blue A Uh-huh, yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but there you've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could MS. ROSENFELD: Excuse me one second. Mr. Grossman, may I have a copy of the sector plan from your files, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 but I don't know where it is. MR. GROSSMAN: The sector plan is in there a couple of times. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Can you please read the second paragraph on page 53, which is pertaining specifically to the Westfield District? A This one that starts, The mall? Q Yes. A The mall is currently zoned C-2 and built to approximately .4 F-A-R, excluding the office buildings along Veirs Mill Road and University Boulevard West. The owners currently have no plans to develop this property for uses other than retail, and the C-2 zoning is not conducive to mixed-use development. However, the frontage along Veirs Mill Road, closest to the Metro station, is an appropriate location for high-density office, residential, hotel, and additional retail. Q Okay. And then I'll refer to the image on page 52. Where you see the blue A Uh-huh, yeah. Q that's the reference to the CR zone versus the remaining portion of the site, which is zoned C-2. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 would be excellent for office buildings, right? So, again, once you add a tremendous amount of traffic and idling cars, you're not going to, in the long run, be able to develop the same character to the area. If you look at like downtown Bethesda, you know, the whole area, people want to be there. If you look at downtown Rockville, where I live, they did a lot, right? They developed a beautiful new downtown. And, but thereyou've got large permanent structures, like the disposition of Metro relative to the downtown, that makes it less friendly in the long run. So if you have a huge gas station that's never going to be moved, that's generating lots of traffic, the whole area is not going to be able to be developed as organically in the long run as this beautiful functioning neighborhood like Bethesda, but it'll be more fragmented because of that. Q Now, if you could MS. ROSENFELD: Excuse me one second. Mr. Grossman, may I have a copy of the sector plan from your files, if MR. GROSSMAN: It would be in one of these boxes. MS. HARRIS: Okay.

	Page 98		Page 100
1	MS. ROSENFELD: You don't have an extra copy with	1	A I mean, the county wants to get people out of cars
2	you, do you?	2	and get a bigger percentage of people taking transit, and
3	MS. HARRIS: No, sorry.	3	you know, they want to build a rapid transit
4	MR. GOECKE: Do you know the exhibit number?	4	Q Yes.
5	MS. ROSENFELD: I don't.	5	A down two major lines. So, you know, again, you
6	MS. CORDRY: Well, you should have the exhibit	6	want to do things to encourage a higher share of transit and
7	number on there.	7	a lower share of driving.
8	MS. ROSENFELD: Do you have the exhibit number,	8	Q Right. And certainly, you'd agree that the
9	Pat?	9	county, in rezoning the frontage of the property CR for
10	MS. HARRIS: No, not on this. Oh, no, that's not	10	mixed use, was trying to very much achieve that?
11	it, sorry.	11	A Right.
12	MS. CORDRY: Looks like 150.	12	Q At the same time, retaining the mall site and its
13	MS. ROSENFELD: 150? 1-5-0, exhibit number.	13	6,000 parking spaces, obviously they viewed that separate
14	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It's separate, though.	14	and distinct from the areas that they did rezone CR,
15	MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, sorry.	15	correct?
16	MR. GOECKE: We have an extra copy.	16	A Well, for the time being, but again, looking down
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, you do?	17	the road, they're probably going to want to keep the mall,
18	MR. GOECKE: Yes.	18	but you know, the move is going to be away from having these
19	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you, Mr. Goecke.	19	large, flat, impervious parking lots in the, in the way that
20	BY MS. HARRIS:	20	they're designed now.
21	Q If I understood you just a moment ago, you had	21	Q Were you aware that the sector plan area was
22	said that you have a huge big gas station	22	divided into various districts?
23	A Yeah.	23	A No, I don't I mean, I'm the transit person, not
24	Q that's not going anywhere, I believe that's	24	the
25	what you said	25	Q Not the sector plan person, I'm sorry.
	Page 99		Page 101
1	A Right.	1	A our smart growth person has we don't really
2	Q if it gets built. Okay. Referring to Exhibit	2	have a smart growth person right now.
3	159, if you take the gas station out of the picture	3	Q Okay. In establishing those various districts
4	A Uh-huh.	4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q is it not correct that you have a huge big mall	5	Q they distinguished the CBD Metro core district
6	currently?	6	from other districts.
7	A Yeah, but	7	A Okay.
8	Q And are there any plans, as far as you're aware,	8	Q Wouldn't you agree with the statement that it's
9	for the mall to be anything but a mall for the foreseeable	9	certainly possible to achieve that TOD, those TOD objectives
10	future?	10	in certain areas within the Wheaton Sector Plan area?
11	A No, but Q Okay, thank you. And are you aware that the	11	A Yeah, you can get a smaller TOD, yeah. I'm just
12 13	Q Okay, thank you. And are you aware that the Wheaton Mall is considered a regional mall?	12 13	saying that Wheaton is, really has potential to be a smart growth. Look at the future of the county decades down the
		1.2	•
14	-	14	road and you're going to want a really dense walkable
14 15	A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall.	14 15	road, and you're going to want a really dense, walkable, beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think
15	A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall.Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws	15	beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think.
15 16	A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall.Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region?	15 16	beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts
15	A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall.Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it drawspeople from the region?A Right.	15 16 17	beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't
15 16 17	 A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall. Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region? A Right. Q And in order for people from the region to come to 	15 16 17 18	beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't that right?
15 16 17 18	A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall.Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it drawspeople from the region?A Right.	15 16 17	beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't
15 16 17 18 19	 A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall. Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region? A Right. Q And in order for people from the region to come to the mall and the Costco, you agree that people drive to the 	15 16 17 18 19	beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think.Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't that right?A Yeah, but you're still going to have fewer users
15 16 17 18 19 20	 A I've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall. Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region? A Right. Q And in order for people from the region to come to the mall and the Costco, you agree that people drive to the mall, correct? 	15 16 17 18 19 20	 beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't that right? A Yeah, but you're still going to have fewer users because, you know, it's going to be a bounded area. I mean,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A l've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall. Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region? A Right. Q And in order for people from the region to come to the mall and the Costco, you agree that people drive to the mall, correct? A Oh, yeah, they're going to drive, but we can get a 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't that right? A Yeah, but you're still going to have fewer users because, you know, it's going to be a bounded area. I mean, you can make a nice little area that's very attractive and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A l've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall. Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region? A Right. Q And in order for people from the region to come to the mall and the Costco, you agree that people drive to the mall, correct? A Oh, yeah, they're going to drive, but we can get a bigger number, especially since we're planning all these 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't that right? A Yeah, but you're still going to have fewer users because, you know, it's going to be a bounded area. I mean, you can make a nice little area that's very attractive and walkable, and then suddenly you leave the boundaries and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A l've heard that, yeah, it's a regional mall. Q And as a regional mall, you'd agree that it draws people from the region? A Right. Q And in order for people from the region to come to the mall and the Costco, you agree that people drive to the mall, correct? A Oh, yeah, they're going to drive, but we can get a bigger number, especially since we're planning all these transit networks, we can get a bigger number taking transit 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 beautiful, larger Wheaton, I think. Q Retaining the mall as a mall in no way detracts from the Metro core area becoming that, that TOD area, isn't that right? A Yeah, but you're still going to have fewer users because, you know, it's going to be a bounded area. I mean, you can make a nice little area that's very attractive and walkable, and then suddenly you leave the boundaries and it's a completely different character.

	Page 102		Page 104
1	A Well, it's not rezoned right now. I mean, they	1	direct.
2	can rezone it in 10 or 20 years.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: I think that's
3	Q That is true, but right now it's not, right?	3	MS. ROSENFELD: Objection.
4	A Uh-huh.	4	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I'm going to sustain
5	Q You noted that the pedestrian path would promote	5	that. You can rephrase that question, if you want, in some
6	walkability, is that correct?	6	way, but
7	A Right.	7	MS. HARRIS: Excuse me one second.
8	Q And it would assist in, to the extent people are	8	BY MS. HARRIS:
9	walking to the Metro station, it would promote the	9	Q Are you opposed to all gas stations or just, or
10	walkability to the Metro station?	10	at this location or just the proposed gas station at this
11	A My understanding is it's kind of behind.	11	location?
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon?	12	A Well, it's the size and the scale. I mean, if you
13	THE WITNESS: The Metro station is on the other	13	have a gas station that local people are going to use and
14	side of the mall, is it not?	14	it's more convenient for them, I wouldn't be opposed to
15	MS. ADELMAN: It's to the right of the map.	15	that, no.
16	THE WITNESS: So, yeah.	16	Q Are you opposed for it being convenient to the
17	BY MS. HARRIS:	17	people that come to the mall site, such as the people
18	Q Do you know I'm sorry. Let me, maybe we should	18	shopping at the Costco?
19	start with that. Are you aware of where the Metro station	19	A I believe that most people are going to have gas
20 21	is located A Yeah. The Metro station	20 21	stations near their house or along the route that are just as convenient to them.
22	Q on Exhibit 159?	22	Q So if I understand it, you're not objecting to a
22	A Yeah. The Metro station is on this side, right?	22	smaller station which would be convenient to the people in
	And	24	the neighborhood but, to the extent people become the
25	MS. ADELMAN: Yes.	25	neighborhood because they're at the mall site, you're not
23			
	Page 103		Page 105
1	Page 103 THE WITNESS: here's the thing.	1	Page 105 trying to promote the convenience for them?
1 2		1 2	
	THE WITNESS: here's the thing.		trying to promote the convenience for them?
2	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall.	2 3	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there
2 3	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct.	2 3	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5 6	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here?	2 3 4 5 6	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. 1 Q Would you agree with the statement that gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. I Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. 1 Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. 1 Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct. Q So, to the extent that this gas station, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas stations in a TOD area, because you want them to serve the local residents and obviously people drive. I would say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. 1 Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct. Q So, to the extent that this gas station, which is not within the CR zone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas stations in a TOD area, because you want them to serve the local residents and obviously people drive. I would say that putting a gas station does not promote TOD but a small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. I Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct. Q So, to the extent that this gas station, which is not within the CR zone A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas stations in a TOD area, because you want them to serve the local residents and obviously people drive. I would say that putting a gas station does not promote TOD but a small gas station does not necessarily harm TOD.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. I Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct. Q So, to the extent that this gas station, which is not within the CR zone A Uh-huh. Q it's been alleged by the opposition that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas stations in a TOD area, because you want them to serve the local residents and obviously people drive. I would say that putting a gas station does not promote TOD but a small gas station does not necessarily harm TOD. Q So if this proposed gas station had 12 pumps, TOD?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. I Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct. Q So, to the extent that this gas station, which is not within the CR zone A Uh-huh. Q it's been alleged by the opposition that this gas station will end up causing stations within the CR zone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas stations in a TOD area, because you want them to serve the local residents and obviously people drive. I would say that putting a gas station does not promote TOD but a small gas station does not necessarily harm TOD. Q So if this proposed gas station had 12 pumps, TOD? A I don't have a specific cutout point. I you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: here's the thing. MR. GROSSMAN: On the eastern side of the mall. THE WITNESS: Correct. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you familiar with where the pedestrian path is being proposed? A Isn't it be behind the mall here? MR. GROSSMAN: Behind, you mean the southern side of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q But within the mall property? A Right. So that would be good, once people come to the mall, for walking around the mall more fluidly. I Q Would you agree with the statement that gas stations are not TOD? A I would have to say that's probably correct. Q So, to the extent that this gas station, which is not within the CR zone A Uh-huh. Q it's been alleged by the opposition that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 trying to promote the convenience for them? A Right. I don't, I don't think I think there are going to be gas stations that are convenient for them anyway. I mean, it's really, the reason they're going to use this one is the lower cost. And I'll tell you, maybe this is going to bring a few it's probably going to bring some customers to Costco, but if you have really great transit-oriented businesses, you're going to end up bringing at least as many, probably more. I don't think it's a good business decision for Costco because they want the whole area to flourish and they want a lot of shoppers to come by and this is not going to this is going to be doing the opposite. Q Okay. You said earlier that you thought, in general, all gas station that gas stations are inconsistent with TOD, correct? A I would not say that you should not have gas stations in a TOD area, because you want them to serve the local residents and obviously people drive. I would say that putting a gas station does not promote TOD but a small gas station does not necessarily harm TOD. Q So if this proposed gas station had 12 pumps, TOD?

	Page 106		Page 108
1	Q How about if it had a C-store, a convenience store	1	obtained a parking waiver which would reduce the number of
2	associated with it?	2	parking spaces required?
3	A A convenience store.	3	A No, I'm not aware of that.
4	MS. ROSENFELD: Again, again, objection, well	4	MR. ADELMAN: Objection. I think that's a
5	beyond the scope of his testimony. He's	5	mischaracterization of what the opponents have stated.
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, no, I don't think that this	6	MR. GROSSMAN: The opponents, haven't the
7	is beyond the scope. I think that probing him as to what he considers to be transit-oriented development, when he's	7	opponents MR. ADELMAN: Not that
9	testified about it, is fair. So I'll overrule that	9	MR. GROSSMAN: complained about not enough
10	objection.	10	parking?
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, a convenience store, like a	11	MR. ADELMAN: I think that the opposition's
12	7-Eleven, is part of transit-oriented development.	12	position is that there's an inconsistency between bringing
13	BY MS. HARRIS:	13	more traffic to the mall while simultaneously reducing the
14	Q And if the gas station were a 24-hour gas station?	14	parking spaces available.
15	A That could be useful. If it's like a four-pump	15	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
16	station, you know, people who are in the area anyway are	16	MR. SILVERMAN: That's a fair statement. MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.
17 18	going to come use it. Q And if it had a car wash?	17 18	MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I'll take her question
19	A Well, a car wash, I'm not a big personal fan of	19	and his answer as encompassing that characterization.
20	car washes because there's one I take the pedestrian	20	BY MS. HARRIS:
21	pathway across the Metro tracks, and it goes right into a	21	Q Were you aware that the Costco station was being
22	car wash and it's really ugly and you're getting a smell.	22	proposed while the Council was considering the sector plan?
23	So that's not pedestrian-friendly.	23	A Yes. I don't think the Council was as forward
24	Q And how about a repair bay?	24	looking as early as they should have been on this issue.
25	A Repair bay, again, not really pedestrian-friendly,	25	Q And do you agree that the Council could have
	Page 107		Page 109
1	Page 107 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay.	1	Page 109 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting
1 2		1 2	included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site?
2 3	not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but	2 3	included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they
2 3 4	not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD.	2 3 4	included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale.
2 3 4 5	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just 	2 3 4 5	included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site?A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale.Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone
2 3 4 5 6	not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense?	2 3 4 5 6	included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have.
2 3 4 5 6	not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense?	2 3 4 5 6	included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, promoting parking? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text amendment that was recently adopted that provided a 300-foot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, promoting parking? A The Sierra Club Group did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text amendment that was recently adopted that provided a 300-foot setback for the gas station on the mall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, promoting parking? A The Sierra Club Group did. MR. GROSSMAN: Opposing. THE WITNESS: Opposing parking minimums. We'd like to shrink the amount of parking overall, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text amendment that was recently adopted that provided a 300-foot setback for the gas station on the mall? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, a 300-foot setback BY MS. HARRIS: Q A 300-foot setback from certain specified uses?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, promoting parking? A The Sierra Club Group did. MR. GROSSMAN: Opposing. THE WITNESS: Opposing parking minimums. We'd like to shrink the amount of parking overall, but BY MS. HARRIS: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text amendment that was recently adopted that provided a 300-foot setback for the gas station on the mall? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, a 300-foot setback BY MS. HARRIS: Q A 300-foot setback from certain specified uses? MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, promoting parking? A The Sierra Club Group did. MR. GROSSMAN: Opposing. THE WITNESS: Opposing parking minimums. We'd like to shrink the amount of parking overall, but BY MS. HARRIS: Q Are you aware that the opponents in this case are, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text amendment that was recently adopted that provided a 300-foot setback for the gas station on the mall? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, a 300-foot setback BY MS. HARRIS: Q A 300-foot setback from certain specified uses? MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: Not really. I mean, I no, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 not, not TOD-friendly, a repair bay. Q So some gas stations in your mind may be TOD but others aren't, but A Or at least not anti-TOD. Q And do you have any authority, or is this just your general sense? A It's my general sense. I mean, I yeah. You know, I've worked with the Coalition for Smarter Growth and Q Is a 6,000-space parking lot TOD? A If I were designing this from scratch, I would not design it the same way, and the idea, you want to shrink parking lots in the long run. I mean, we are, we put out a letter opposed to the parking minimums, right, because, you know, that's actually subsidizing automobile use and encouraging more car use. Q I'm sorry. You said you put out a letter, promoting parking? A The Sierra Club Group did. MR. GROSSMAN: Opposing. THE WITNESS: Opposing parking minimums. We'd like to shrink the amount of parking overall, but BY MS. HARRIS: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 included a recommendation in the sector plan, prohibiting gasoline stations on the mall site? A Yeah. It would have been a good thing if they had, I mean, of that size and scale. Q And the other thing they could have done is rezone the entire mall parcel CR, correct? A They could have. MR. ADELMAN: Objection, relevance. I mean, Council can do a million things, but MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think it's pretty tangential, but I think what she's asking is not what the Council can do, but she's asking is he aware of the Council's powers to do it. And I think that, in testing his knowledge, is a fair cross-examination question. So I'll overrule that objection. BY MS. HARRIS: Q And are you also aware of the zoning text amendment that was recently adopted that provided a 300-foot setback for the gas station on the mall? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, a 300-foot setback BY MS. HARRIS: Q A 300-foot setback from certain specified uses? MR. GROSSMAN: Right.

	Page 110		Page 112
1	BY MS. HARRIS:	1	A Right.
2	Q But you are aware, just based on this testimony	2	Q And so wouldn't you agree that if a Costco gas
3	A Uh-huh.	3	station were provided here, it would in fact reduce vehicle
4	Q or this line of questioning, that the C-2 zone	4	miles traveled for those customer those gas customers?
5	was retained at the mall site, correct?	5	A Look, for some specific customers, it would, but
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we've been over that.	6	the overall impact is more people are going to be driving
7	MS. HARRIS: Okay.	7	more, but yeah, there are specific instances where people
8	MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered.	8	will be driving further to the Prince George's.
9	BY MS. HARRIS:	9	Q And is that your opinion, or do you have a factual
10	Q And that the special exception is permitted	10	basis?
11	that the C-2 zone permits a gas station by special	11	A That's my opinion.
12	exception?	12	Q You haven't conducted any traffic studies or
13	A Right.	13	A No, I
14	MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, Mr. Grossman.	14	Q analysis of the customers' vehicle miles?
15	Mr. Goffman's testimony here isn't with respect to	15	A Right. It's my opinion.
16	conformance with the master plan, the recommendations and	16	Q Thank you.
17	guidelines of the master plan. He's not here as a zoning	17	MS. HARRIS: No more questions. Thank you.
18	expert. He's made it perfectly clear	18	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. I thank you very much,
19	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand, but she's entitled to	19	Mr. Goffman.
20	cross-examine on the extent of his knowledge because he's	20 21	THE WITNESS: All right, thank you. MS. CORDRY: Wait. Excuse me.
21	expressed an opinion of the Sierra Club, and so I'll	21	MS. CORDET: Walt. Excuse the. MS. ROSENFELD: Well, wait, wait, wait, redirect.
22 23	overrule that objection. MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Just one moment.	22	THE WITNESS: Oh, more.
23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Sure.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: There's no recross from a cross.
25	BY MS. HARRIS:	25	MR. ADELMAN: Never mind.
25		2.5	
	Page 111		Page 113
1	Page 111 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store,	1	Page 113 MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman.
1 2		1 2	
	Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store,		MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman.
2	Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct?A Right.Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need	2	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much.
2 3	Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct?A Right.Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco?	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.
2 3 4	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had 	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for theMR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. Q As amended, I pose the question. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member desires to buy gas at a Costco station 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue. MR. GROSSMAN: And that's in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member desires to buy gas at a Costco station A Uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue. MR. GROSSMAN: And that's in? MS. CARTER: Kensington.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member desires to buy gas at a Costco station A Uh-huh. Q because of either price, safety, or convenience 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue. MR. GROSSMAN: And that's in? MS. CARTER: Kensington. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Would you raise your right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member desires to buy gas at a Costco station A Uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue. MR. GROSSMAN: And that's in? MS. CARTER: Kensington. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Would you raise your right hand, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member desires to buy gas at a Costco station A Uh-huh. Q because of either price, safety, or convenience or a variety of other reasons 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue. MR. GROSSMAN: And that's in? MS. CARTER: Kensington. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Would you raise your right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q You're aware that Costco is a membership store, correct? A Right. Q And that in order to buy gas at Costco, you need to be a member of Costco? A Okay. I didn't know that. I mean, I knew it had some membership benefits. Q And that if a member currently wants to buy gas, they need to travel to the Beltsville Costco? MR. ADELMAN: Objection. That's not factually accurate. If a member wants to buy gas at a Costco station. MS. HARRIS: Thank you for the MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, as amended, as amended we'll allow it. BY MS. HARRIS: Q As amended, I pose the question. A Yeah, I do know about the Prince George's. Q Let me state it another way. If a Costco member desires to buy gas at a Costco station A Uh-huh. Q because of either price, safety, or convenience or a variety of other reasons A Yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Goffman. I appreciate you coming down here. I appreciate the Sierra Club sharing its views. THE WITNESS: All right. Thanks very much. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Right on time. THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we're ready for Ms. Carter. Okay. All right. Ms. Carter, will you state your full name, please, and address? MS. CARTER: Mary Ann Carter, 3201 Decatur Avenue, Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So it's Mary Ann Carter? MS. CARTER: Mary is the first name. Ann is officially my middle name, but I go by Mary Ann. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And I'm sorry. What was the address again? MS. CARTER: 3201 Decatur Avenue. MR. GROSSMAN: And that's in? MS. CARTER: Kensington. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.)

	Page 114		Page 116
1	testifying on your own?	1	We have about 100 children, and we have about 10
2	THE WITNESS: I'm testifying as an individual.	2	nurses present at a time. Our staff also includes
3	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed.	3	occupational therapists, physical therapists, speech
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION	4	therapists. We don't have a hospital sign over our doors,
5	THE WITNESS: All right, thank you. My name is	5	even though we do have an ambulette parked out front every
6	Mary Ann Carter, and I'm speaking here today to make sure	6	morning. We look like a typical school, but some days it
7	that everyone understands that we do have a particular	7	feels like I'm working in a nursing home.
8	population which will be adversely affected if Costco is	8	It is typical, not exaggeration, to say that in
9	granted a special exception to build the proposed gas	9	one 30-minute lesson with a class of students, I might have
10	station.	10	one student over here experiencing a seizure, with a nurse
11	I currently work at Stephen Knolls School. I am	11	monitoring, while I continue with the lesson, while another
12	the library media specialist, otherwise known as the school	12	student over here is being prepped by a nurse for a
13	librarian. I must state here that I am not speaking here,	13	tube-feeding while I continue with my lesson; another class
14	officially representing the school in any way. I am	14	that day I might have a child begin to have difficulty
15	speaking as an individual who is aware of our student	15	breathing because they're trying to cough up the phlegm or
16	population. I would just like to point out and remind	16	the drool and they can't get it out, so a staff person will
17	everyone where the school is located in relation to the proposed station. We are down here at this quadrant.	17	be helping that child readjust their position and wipe up
18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So you're at the	18 19	whatever comes out while I go on with my lesson, and another child's medical monitor starts to beep, beep, beep, and
20	southeastern corner, just outside of the mall proper?	20	somebody has to call in a nurse to come and see what's going
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	on and fix that problem while I go on with my lessons.
22	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	22	That's a typical day. And on a bad day 9-1-1 gets called,
23	THE WITNESS: And you can't see it on this here,	23	and on our worst days a child dies. And I don't want that
24	but I do just want to point out that the special swing set	24	to sound overly melodramatic, but this is our reality, this
25	that we have for our special students is outside, right here	25	is what we do right here. We care for, we educate, and we
	Page 115		Page 117
1	on this side of the property, right	1	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and
2	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to	2	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a
2 3	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering.	2 3	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer
2 3 4	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road?	2 3 4	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of
2 3 4 5	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go	2 3 4 5	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless
2 3 4 5 6	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear,	2 3 4 5 6	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll
2 3 4 5 6 7	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a	2 3 4 5 6 7	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old.
2 3 4 5 6 7	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early intervention program where we have preschool children who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to Bethesda and have their child be far away from this, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early intervention program where we have preschool children who are only 3 and 4 years old who have already been identified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to Bethesda and have their child be far away from this, because their child will get bussed right back to this location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early intervention program where we have preschool children who are only 3 and 4 years old who have already been identified as special-needs. Our other program is for school-age	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to Bethesda and have their child be far away from this, because their child will get bussed right back to this location. They can't move away. They don't have the choices that most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early intervention program where we have preschool children who are only 3 and 4 years old who have already been identified as special-needs. Our other program is for school-age students up to 21 years old who have multiple-severe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to Bethesda and have their child be far away from this, because their child will get bussed right back to this location. They can't move away. They don't have the choices that most of our county residents have. Residents can choose to go to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early intervention program where we have preschool children who are only 3 and 4 years old who have already been identified as special-needs. Our other program is for school-age students up to 21 years old who have multiple-severe disabilities, most of whom are also medically fragile, who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to Bethesda and have their child be far away from this, because their child will get bussed right back to this location. They can't move away. They don't have the choices that most of our county residents have. Residents can choose to go to a different gas station in their neighborhood, but Stephen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on this side of the property, right MR. GROSSMAN: Just to THE WITNESS: Just bordering. MR. GROSSMAN: the south of the ring road? THE WITNESS: Yes. That's where our kids go outside. We are not a typical school. Let's be clear, Costco is not asking for this gas station to be put in a standard neighborhood next to a typical school. When Costco started this process, they didn't know this. Back in 2010, when I spoke with Costco representatives after an introductory meeting to tell them about our children, the two gentlemen I spoke with were genuinely surprised and they told me they did not know that that population was at our school. I wonder if the sign above our school door said Care for Sick Children Here, that they would have even initiated this proposal. So I'm here today to teach you about the ways that our students will be impacted. First, let me tell you about our school. We are composed of two special programs. We have an early intervention program where we have preschool children who are only 3 and 4 years old who have already been identified as special-needs. Our other program is for school-age students up to 21 years old who have multiple-severe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	celebrate Montgomery County's most fragile citizens, and they attend here longer than most typical children are in a typical school. Our children are eligible for our summer program. So they're here even on the Code Red days of summer. And once they're enrolled in kindergarten, unless the family moves far away or the child dies, then they'll stay with us for the next 17 years until they age out at 21 years old. Every one of our students is sent here specifically because of their special needs. They are bussed from all over downcounty to this specific location because this is the place that Montgomery County has created to care for these most special-needs children. Their parents live in Takoma Park or Silver Spring, but the children are bussed here. And if this gas station gets built, these families do not have the freedom to decide that because their child might be more severely impacted because of this gas station than a typical child would, they can't decide that they'll just move to Rockville or move to Bethesda and have their child be far away from this, because their child will get bussed right back to this location. They can't move away. They don't have the choices that most of our county residents have. Residents can choose to go to

 school. So in what ways will our children be negatively impacted? First, as Im trying to keep up with this, I read in the transcript because I can't come every day, and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day, and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day, and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day, and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day, and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day. and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day. and I can't due to the transcript because I can't come every day. and I can't due the transcript because I can't come every day. and I can't due the transcript because I can't can't due to the treat of our classes who come on a pollution. That we are exposing to this additional population that we are exposing to this additional population that we are exposing to this particular population. No concern with the additional Cost to traffic. If 1 sears ddi yn the summer. No once can lime the day it do summer. No once can lime the day it do summer. No once can lime the day it do summer. No once can lime the day it do summer. No once can lime that duadard to the mall the students and 1 to the mall the students and 1 to the asstation will be composed: put the gas station will be composed: put the gas station will be composed tay in the summer. No end can lime traffic 3 polution hoepitalization rate to go up. See if it causes there will be traffic to the add the students and the staffic studies is that make it fight to so addressed that. February, I was staked, lant there already alot of the mall the traffic studies that considers that shows that a subtract to are students will be camposed usen that shows that a staffic studies is where the staffic studies in wheelchairs and the with traffic studies that considered this specific apolutaion, the straffic studies in wheelchairs in wheelchairs in		Page 118		Page 120
2 So in what ways will our children be negatively impacted? First, as I'm trying to keep up with this. I read is in the mail, you just don't know how difficul it already is the transcripts because I can't come every day, and I read is in an earlier transcript that more pollution is an inhernet adverse characteristic of a proposed gas station – so I pollution that we are exposing to this additional pollution. 2 group of teenagers up the ramp, across the ring road and is not the mail, you just don't know how difficul it already is . Yes, there is traffic on up of what we already have is too much and the teacher finally gives up making that tryin on Findely? Do you want to be responsible for taking away that one bright spoil ution to be exposed to some propulation. No is has defined the impact on this particular pollution, but one is possible to waik very far, so they only go to the 12 mail on the days when they can get a special school buts of is transport them? Some of those bus drivers fave already a drivers feal that it is unsafe to unload the 15 optient defined the impact on this particular pollution. 16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 17 long-ferm effect of the respiratory problems who will be coming here every day 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 12 appendiation make in togo up, see if it causes 25 our death rate to go up. 1	1	school.	1	school is so dedicated. If you have not tried to get this
 a impacted? First, as I'm trying to keep up with this, I read the transcript because I can't come every day, and I read in an earlier transcript that more pollution is an inherent adverse characteristic of a proposed gas station - so I assume there's no argument that there's going to be more pollution hat we are exposing to this additional pollution that weare exposing to this additional do or an oxygen tark, attending school here or a Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me that the ass station will bring to this particular population in that he post station way well bring to this particular population in that weare taready the pare of the additional taready the additional cost of the additional taready to the gas station will bring to this particular population in the additional cost of the additional taready taready addition the additional taready taready add				
 4 the transcripts because I can't come every day, and I read 5 in an earlier transcript that more pollution is an inherent adverse characteristic of a proposed gas station – sol 7 assume there's no argument that there's going to be more pollution. 9 pollution that we are exposing to this additional pollution. 10 pollution that we are exposing to this additional pollution. 11 Maybe the EPA says that it's fine for the general 12 population to be exposed to some more pollution, but no ce a has defined the impact on this particular pollution. 13 has defined the impact on this particular pollution. 14 one can tell me how this could affect that 3-year-old boy. 15 years oid, on an oxygent that, attending school here on at 16 code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 17 long-term effect of the respiratory problems - of a children 16 code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 17 long-term effect of the respiratory problems wollible coming here every day. 19 for the very population that cannot walk every far and 10 the used to be able to askivery far and 10 the used to be able to askivery far and 11 max mas exhed is not there as tag oup, see if it causes 11 when I spoke before the Planning Board last 12 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 13 oud see what happens to them, see if it causes 14 or the same and the assistion ont, who adverse that and the traffic studies share and to kay? 14 meass that are to go up. 15 add even more pollution form the gas station on the traffic will be able to access the mail stoles, children who avaidense that sould dawn happens to kay? 15 add even more pollution form the gas station on the day should be ureffic studies share and to kay? 16 more shart ma				
 s in a native transactivit that more pollution is an inherent is adverse characteristic of a proposed gas station – so I assume there's no argument that there's going to be more apollution that we are exposing to this additional population that we are exposing to this additional population that we are exposed to some more pollution, but no one as adverse that it's not feasible to wak very far, so they only go to the 12 mail on the days when they can get a special school bus to 13 transport them? Some of those bus drivers have already or core to the make the day in the summer. No one can tell me the 15 conder ded yoin the summer. No one can tell me the 16 conder ded yoin the summer. No one can tell me the 16 conder ded yoin the summer. No one can tell me the 17 long-term effect of the respiratory problems - of a children the additional Costco traffic. If and saw what happens to them, see if it causes our student in the adverse it would be unertical to adverse the adverse that it's not fease to unload the charles of the respiratory problems who will be coming here every day in the summer. No one can tell me the 13 comptem effect of the respiratory problems on the subtra day of a summary, the special exception has cannot wak very far and 10 the gas station will be coming here every day to the subtra day and save to solo adverse should at the tells. The traffic studies should atter the the subtra day and save to solo adverse should atter the the static studies should atter the the alt is subtra the to solo adverse the subtra day and save to solo adverse the subtra day the subtra day and save to solo adverse the subtra day and save adverse should atter there already adverse to save the subtra day adverse to save sub	4		4	
 e davkerse characteristic of a proposed gas station - so 1 assume there's no argument that there's going to be more a pollution here - but what is not inherent is the particular population that we are exposing to this additional population to be exposed to some more pollution, but one population to be exposed to some more pollution, but one the days when they can get a special exception but to the days when they can get a special exception and the port of special control of the respiratory problems - of a child with respiratory problems problems - of a child when ta bear estation will bring to this particular population that and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes when I spoke before the Planning Board last population here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately three sto dest that wate to coar? The cumulative effect may the subdens and addressed the: stopid case that make it might to the spiratory or there? The cumulative effect may the responsed meant has that bring to the spiratory of theres spication with the addites and there's and there's last bit downse that were's spication with and with cases that we's to a more? The cumulative effect may specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students will be there's and there's and there's studence denen the sit at the show has the sing thit specofic	5		5	
7 sasume there's ho argument that there's going to be more pollution. 7 you want to be responsible for taking away that one bright 8 population that we are exposing to this additional 9 And what about the rest of our classes who come 10 population that we are exposing to this additional 9 And what about the rest of our classes who come 11 Maybe the EPA says that it's line for the general 10 over to shop but whose teachers have already decided that 12 population to be exposed to some more pollution, but no one 11 it's not feasible to waik wery far, so they onload the 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 16 chidren near that quadrant of the mail, the students and the tabudents and the students and the tabudents and the rest of huarded pollution that 10 the days in the summer. No one can tell me the 16 chidren near that quadrant of the mail, the students and the tabudents and the students and the tabudents and the tabudents and the rest of number to more harders becauser if the gas station in 10 10 therefore bascially taking away access to that quadrant of the mail the students and the rest of number to more harder tabudents and the weight is students and the rest of number to make it raffic? Yes, unfortunately them 10 The way population that cannet here wheelchairs 21 when i spoke before the Planning Board last 5 Febru			6	
 g pollution here but what is not inherent is the particular g population that we are exposing to this additional g pollution. Maybe the EPA says that it's tine for the general g population to be exposed to some more pollution, but no as defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population. No g has defined the impact on this particular population that g has defined the impact problems of a child g hor the respiratory problems how Noll be compared population that g hor the service particular y population that g for the years. No, the effects of the added pollution that g hor the yeary population that cannot walk yery far and the wear happens to them, see if it causes our sufular g address pactifically how the traffic studies should g address that happens to them, see if it causes our sufular. g appulation here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there g hou the straw that breaks the compromised health g our children. The second impact to our students will be the g subjects and the addressed that g population hard and addressed that is assistance, what g except one bind student who can walk with assistance, what g except cass of our school-age children comes to<th>7</th><th></th><th>7</th><th></th>	7		7	
9 population that we are exposing to this additional 9 And what about the rest of our classes who come 10 population over to shop but whose teachers have already decided that 11 Maybe the EPA says that it's fine for the general over to shop but whose teachers have already decided that 11 The depulation to be exposed to some more pollution, but no one The depulation to be exposed to some more pollution, but no one 12 population to be exposed to some more pollution, but no one The depulation that soud affect that 3-year-old boy, 3 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on all contended day in the summer. No one can tell me the the additional Costoc traffic. If 16 Cock Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the to children near that quadrant of the mall, therefore necessitaling further walking 19 for th's years. No, the effects of the added pollution that the depoped off. Instead, farther away at other 12 been tested because it would be unerhical to do station teachers will be dropped off. Instead, statiff 10 population hospital/zation rate to go up. teachers specifically how the traffic will impact this staff 14 when I spoke before the Planning Board last fremers that the weak for community. 14 when	8		8	
10 pollution. 11 Maybe the EPA says that it's fine for the general 12 population to be exposed to some more pollution, but no one 13 has defined the impact on this particular population. No 14 it's not feasible to walk very far, so they only go to the 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 17 toeschers will be doroped off, instead, farther away at other 18 retrieved in the summer. No one can tell me the 19 torit is systastion will bring to this particular population 19 torit is systastion will bring to this particular population 10 the gas station will bring to this particular population 11 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student 12 population hospitalization rate to go up. 13 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student 14 population hoer form the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 15 betward the traffic or yes, unfortunately there 16 sub does that make it okay? Does the traffic studies student or who and there see sound 11 The second impact to our students will be the </th <th>9</th> <th></th> <th>9</th> <th>•</th>	9		9	•
11 Maybe the EPA says that it's fine for the general 11 it's not feasible to walk very far, so they only go to the 12 papulation to be exposed to some more pollution, but no one 11 it's not feasible to walk very far, so they only go to the 13 has defined the impact on this particular population. No 12 mall on the days when they can get a special school bus to 14 one can tell me how this could affect that 3-year-old boy, 3 14 expressed concern with the additional Costo traffic. If 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 14 is the bus drivers feel that it is unsafe to unload the 16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 16 children near that quadrant of the mail, the students and 17 tong-term effect of the respiratory problems on a form the added pollution that 16 children near that quadrant of the mail, the students and 18 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 10 therefore basically taking away access to that quadrant of the mail, the students and 19 for the year population hospitalization rate to go up. 17 teachers will be dropole off, index is should 29 year tat to go up. Page 119 10 the mail for those students. The weel hose that make in taffic years	10		10	over to shop but whose teachers have already decided that
12 population to be exposed to some more pollution. Non 13 has defined the impact on this particular population. Non 14 has defined the impact on this particular population. Non 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 17 ing-term effect of the respiratory problems who will be coming here every day 18 with respiratory problems who will be coming here every day 19 fort 51 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 11 have not been tested because it would be unethical to do so; 12 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station 12 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station 13 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student. 14 population hospitalization rate to go up. 15 February. I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 16 population here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 16 back furthere 17 the straw that breaks the compromised health of 18 prepoderance of evidence does not exist that shows that 14 The second impact to our students will be the 16	11	•	11	
13 has defined the impact on this particular population. No 13 transport them? Some of those bus drivers have already 14 one can tell me how this could affect that 3-year-old boy, 3 14 transport them? Some of those bus drivers have already 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 1 the bus drivers feel that it is unsafe to unload the 16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the indicent the additional Ocstoo traffic. If 17 tong-term effect of the respiratory problems who will be coming here every day the bus drivers feel that it is unsafe to unload the 19 tor 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that the gas station will bring to this particular population 20 therefore basically taking away access to that quadrant of 21 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student therefore basically taking away access to that quadrant of 21 When I spoke before the Planning Board last february, I was asked, isnt there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unortunately there is buic best hat make it dka? 3 but des that make it dka? Does that make it dka? 4 rever if it's ust a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more	12		12	
14 one can tell me how this could affect that 3-year-old boy, 3 14 expressed concern with the additional Costco traffic. If 15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 15 the bus drivers feel that it is unsafe to unolda the 16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 16 the day in the summer. No one can tell me the 16 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution 14 expressed concern with the additional Costco traffic. If 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution 14 expressed concern with the additional Costco traffic. If 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution 14 expressed concern with the additional Costco traffic. If 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution 14 expressed concern with the additional Costco traffic. If 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution 14 etachers will be dropped off, instead, farther away at other 21 parts of the mall, therefore basically taking away access to that quadrant of 12 22 yet that's wha's being proposed: put the gas station 24 form this school draw will be is staff 23 and see what happens to them, seg if it causes ou 32 from this su	13		13	
15 years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a 15 the double of the school of the	14		14	
16 Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the 17 Iong-term effect of the respiratory problems - of a child 18 with respiratory problems who will be coming here every day 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 20 the gas station will bring to this particular population 21 here been tested because it would be unethical to do so; 22 yept that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in 23 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student 24 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes 25 our death rate to go up. 26 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes 27 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the come? back or, more 18 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies have cont shown how we will 19 The second impact to our students will be t	15	years old, on an oxygen tank, attending school here on a	15	-
18 with respiratory problems who will be coming here every day 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 21 here ass station will brig to this particular population 22 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in 23 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student 24 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes 25 our death rate to go up. 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last 2 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it olxay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station ontop of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the import tow tot ga along in the community. Consider the	16	Code Red day in the summer. No one can tell me the	16	children near that quadrant of the mall, the students and
18 with respiratory problems who will be coming here every day 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 19 for 15 years. No, the effects of the added pollution that 21 here ass station will brig to this particular population 22 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in 23 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student 24 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes 25 our death rate to go up. 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last 2 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it olxay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station ontop of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the import tow tot ga along in the community. Consider the	17	-	17	•
20 the gas station will bring to this particular population 20 the refore basically taking away access to that quadrant of 21 have not been tested because it would be unethical to do so; 20 the mall for those students. The traffic studies should 22 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in 21 address specifically how the traffic studies should 23 and see what happens to them, see if it causes 25 our death rate to go up. 24 population hospitalization rate to go up. page 119 Page 121 Page 119 Page 121 Page 121 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last 2 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic: Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to address admeter the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the strain that breaks the compromised health of 9 population, more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 1 The second impact to our students will be the 1	18	with respiratory problems who will be coming here every day	18	parts of the mall, therefore necessitating further walking
20 the gas station will bring to this particular population 20 the refore basically taking away access to that quadrant of 21 have not been tested because it would be unethical to do so; 20 the mall for those students. The traffic studies should 22 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in 20 the mall for those students. The traffic studies should 23 and see what happens to them, see if it causes 20 the mall for those students. The traffic studies should 24 our death rate to go up. Page 119 Page 121 Page 119 Page 121 Page 121 Nummary, the special exception process requires Page 121 In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subde station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may tig the strain that breaks the compromised health of so ur death at adfressed that. So, please, l invite you, come visit us at Stephen 1 The second inpact to our students mile tora most vulinerable inform a cominy cols students are alr	19		19	
 22 yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in 23 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student 24 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes our student 24 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes 25 our death rate to go up. 24 and their vision problems to and from their community 25 learning area in the mall slowly and safely. 25 Parge 119 26 When I spoke before the Planning Board last 2 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 27 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed that. 28 Every class of our school-age children comes to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 25 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 81 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have al school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 29 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 21 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 20 They don't usually get to go to go to restaurants. 21 They don't usually get to go to go to restaurants. 22 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any 21 frieds. These kids have been denied so many of the simplication? 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simplication? 	20	the gas station will bring to this particular population	20	therefore basically taking away access to that quadrant of
 and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes and their vision problems to and from their community and their vision problems to and from their community learning area in the mall slowly and safely. Page 119 Page 121 Page 121 Page 121 Page 121 Page 121 In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspict site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a uspic site. So the standard by which Costco's application a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health thealth effects and the traffic studies hat considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health thealth effects and the traffic studies hat considered this specific population, a specifically investigated and addressed the imp	21	have not been tested because it would be unethical to do so;	21	the mall for those students. The traffic studies should
24 population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes 24 and their vision problems to and from their community 25 our death rate to go up, Page 119 Page 119 Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last 1 In summary, the special exception process requires 2 that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 9 just be the straw that breaks the compromised health of 9 10 The second impact to our students will be the 1 10 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 1 10 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 1 10 13 subdents in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 1 16 16 14 Every class of our school-age children comes to 1 16 <th>22</th> <th>yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in</th> <th>22</th> <th>address specifically how the traffic will impact this staff</th>	22	yet that's what's being proposed: put the gas station in	22	address specifically how the traffic will impact this staff
25 our death rate to go up. 25 learning area in the mall slowly and safely. 26 Page 119 Page 121 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last Page 119 Page 121 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of page 119 Page 121 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last In summary, the special exception process requires 2 that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the 3 subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application 4 insuts be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse 5 health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn h	23	and see what happens to them, see if it causes our student	23	from this school getting these kids with their wheelchairs
Page 119Page 1211When I spoke before the Planning Board lastIn summary, the special exception process requires2February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot ofJuittoin here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there4is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right toadd even more pollution from the gas station on top of that,6even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect maymust be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse7just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, morescientific studies and health issues, children who land in7the second impact to our students will be thereproderance of evidence does not exist that shows that10The second impact to our students will be therepropoderance of evidence does not exist that shows that11Every class of our school-age children comes toscientific studies that craffic studies have not shown how we will12So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen13students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no,14Knolls School, come see the great things that we're15Every class of our school-age children comes to16the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to17learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high18school class with all of their students in wheelchairs,19except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who20walk from our school over to the mall every Friday.21Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of	24	population hospitalization rate to go up, see if it causes	24	and their vision problems to and from their community
 1 When I spoke before the Planning Board last 2 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 literally, the strain that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	25	our death rate to go up.	25	learning area in the mall slowly and safely.
1When I spoke before the Planning Board last2February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of3pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there4is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to5add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that,6even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may7just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more8literally, the strain that breaks the camel's back or, more10The second impact to our students will be the11increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies12specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our13students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no,14Costco has not addressed that.15Every class of our school-age children comes to16the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to17learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high18school class with all of their students in wheelchairs,19except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who20walk from our school over to the mall every Friday.21Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of22these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their23They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their24friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple24MS. SHEARD: No.				
 2 February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the healt 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 				
 3 pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 				
 4 is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 4 must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse 4 must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse 4 must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse 4 must be judged is whether the tast Stephen Knolls student who and in 7 the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound 8 scientific studies have not shown how we will 12 continue to be able to access the mall safely. 13 Students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Knolls School, come see the great things that we're 15 accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come 16 understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed 17 gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerab	1	When I spoke before the Planning Board last	1	In summary, the special exception process requires
 5 add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, 6 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costoo has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	2	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of	2	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the
 even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Severy class of our school-age children comes to Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple Kindla School. School. School. School. School. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any MS. SHEARD: No. 	2	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there	2 3	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application
 7 just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more 8 literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 7 the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound 8 scientific studies that considered this specific population, 9 a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that 10 the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health 11 effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will 12 continue to be able to access the mall safely. 13 So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen 14 Knolls School, come see the great things that we're 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. 2	2 3 4	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to	2 3 4	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse
 8 literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 8 scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 8 scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 8 scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the mall with their 24 friends. 	2 3 4	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that,	2 3 4 5	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have
 9 our children. 10 The second impact to our students will be the 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	2 3 4 5 6	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may	2 3 4 5 6	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in
10The second impact to our students will be the11increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies12specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our13students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no,14Costco has not addressed that.15Every class of our school-age children comes to16the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to17learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high18school class with all of their students in wheelchairs,19except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who20walk from our school over to the mall every Friday.21Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of22these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their24friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple	2 3 4 5 6 7	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more	2 3 4 5 6 7	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound
 11 increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population,
 12 specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 12 continue to be able to access the mall safely. 13 So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen 14 Knolls School, come see the great things that we're 15 accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come 16 understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed 17 gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that
 13 students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 13 So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen 14 Knolls School, come see the great things that we're 15 accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come 16 understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed 17 gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any 23 cross-examination? 24 MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health
 14 Costco has not addressed that. 15 Every class of our school-age children comes to 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 14 Knolls School, come see the great things that we're 15 accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come 16 understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed 17 gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any 23 cross-examination? 24 MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will
 Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely.
 16 the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 16 understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed 16 understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed 17 gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any 23 Cross-examination? 24 MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen
 17 learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're
 18 school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, 19 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who 20 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. 21 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of 22 these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 18 exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a 19 choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our 20 responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. 21 Thank you. 22 MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any 23 cross-examination? 24 MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come
 except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed
 walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any cross-examination? MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special
 Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any cross-examination? MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a
22these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants.22MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any23They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their23cross-examination?24friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple24MS. SHEARD: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our
 23 They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their 24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 24 MS. SHEARD: No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens.
24 friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple 24 MS. SHEARD: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. Thank you.
	2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any
	22 33 44 55 66 77 88 99 100 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200 211 222 233	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. They don't usually get to go to restaurants.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any cross-examination?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	When I spoke before the Planning Board last February, I was asked, isn't there already a lot of pollution here from the traffic? Yes, unfortunately there is, but does that make it okay? Does that make it right to add even more pollution from the gas station on top of that, even if it's just a little more? The cumulative effect may just be the straw that breaks the camel's back or, more literally, the strain that breaks the compromised health of our children. The second impact to our students will be the increased traffic. Have any of the traffic studies specifically investigated and addressed the impact to our students in getting to the mall? To my knowledge, no, Costco has not addressed that. Every class of our school-age children comes to the mall regularly. It is an important way for them to learn how to get along in the community. Consider the high school class with all of their students in wheelchairs, except one blind student who can walk with assistance, who walk from our school over to the mall every Friday. Fast-Food Fridays is the highlight of the week for some of these kids. They don't usually get to go to restaurants. They don't usually get to go hang out at the mall with their friends. These kids have been denied so many of the simple	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In summary, the special exception process requires that the proposed use not affect the health of those at the subject site. So the standard by which Costco's application must be judged is whether the gas station could have adverse health effects on children at Stephen Knolls School who have serious disabilities and health issues, children who land in the hospital from a common cold. Until there are sound scientific studies that considered this specific population, a preponderance of evidence does not exist that shows that the proposed mega gas station will not have adverse health effects and the traffic studies have not shown how we will continue to be able to access the mall safely. So, please, I invite you, come visit us at Stephen Knolls School, come see the great things that we're accomplishing, see our joys and our struggles, come understand who you will impact if you approve this proposed gas station. Steven Knolls students are already special exceptions and they should take priority. They don't have a choice, but today we do have a choice, and it is our responsibility to protect our most vulnerable citizens. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you. Kensington View, any cross-examination? MS. SHEARD: No.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SILVERMAN: Q First of all, thank you for what you do. Are you miliar with the EPA school-siting guidelines? A I am not. Q Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: You're not required to have a estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: And then go over to the food court. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That particular group does for their Fast-Food Fridays. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the Target entrance on the western
 Q First of all, thank you for what you do. Are you niliar with the EPA school-siting guidelines? A I am not. Q Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: You're not required to have a estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: And then go over to the food court. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That particular group does for their Fast-Food Fridays. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 miliar with the EPA school-siting guidelines? A I am not. Q Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: You're not required to have a estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That particular group does for their Fast-Food Fridays. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 miliar with the EPA school-siting guidelines? A I am not. Q Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: You're not required to have a estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Fast-Food Fridays. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 Q Okay. Well MR. GROSSMAN: You're not required to have a estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Fast-Food Fridays. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 MR. GROSSMAN: You're not required to have a estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 estion, Mr. Silverman. MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And approximately how long does it take from the time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 MR. SILVERMAN: Right. I know. I know. Well, a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time you leave the school until you actually enter the mall? A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 a little too complicated; so I won't. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school udents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I haven't timed that. I would estimate about a half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Kensington Heights, do u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school idents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	half an hour. Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 u have any cross-examination questions? MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school idents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. And the students who take the bus when you, when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, I do. Thank you. BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school idents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when they go on field trips to the mall, where does the bus drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q You described the students, was it the high school idents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	drop the students off? A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 Q You described the students, was it the high school idents who walk A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Different the bus drivers are officially supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	supposed to drop off the children wherever the teacher requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 A Yes. Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	requests that they drop off the children. Unofficially, without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
 Q to the mall? And can you go to Exhibit No. 159 d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record, 	18 19 20 21 22 23	without naming any names, because I don't want to get any bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
d show me where, what path you take when you walk to the all? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	19 20 21 22 23	bus drivers in trouble, sometimes the teachers have requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
All? A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	20 21 22 23	requested that they get dropped off here at this entrance to Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
A Yes. Behind the school here there is a ramp that mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	21 22 23	Target or here at this MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
mes up and then there's a crosswalk that crosses over out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that here being the
out here. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,	23	
MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So just for the record,		Target entrance on the western
	24	
	27	THE WITNESS: South.
en you say behind the school, you're saying to the	25	MR. GROSSMAN: central area, south of the
Page 123		Page 125
rthwest corner of the school.	1	Target.
THE WITNESS: Right. From the northwest corner of	2	THE WITNESS: Southwestern, to the south, the
e school, there is a ramp that comes up to the property	3	south entrance.
MR. GROSSMAN: Up to the ring road.	4	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Up to the ring road, and then right	5	THE WITNESS: Or the entrance to Costco, because
out here, I can't tell on here, but right about, I don't	6	our kids like
ow how to describe that, but there's a crosswalk.	7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
MR. GROSSMAN: All right. It's a crosswalk that	8	THE WITNESS: Costco too. They have nice wide
es right across to the	9	aisles for the wheelchairs; it's great.
THE WITNESS: They cross there across the ring	10	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. That's the, that's the
ad.	11	western entrance to the mall.
MR. GROSSMAN: to the southeast corner of the	12	THE WITNESS: The western entrance, right. And
all	13	some school bus drivers have said I don't really want to,
THE WITNESS: Correct.	14	it's dangerous, there's all this traffic, dah, dah, dah, but
MR. GROSSMAN: of the mall buildings, okay.	15	okay; and sometimes one bus driver has said, no, I won't
THE WITNESS: Right.	16	do that anymore, I will only drop you off over here on the
MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	17	Penney's side because there isn't so much traffic over
THE WITNESS: They would walk up to the current	18	where is the Penney's side? They'll drop them off at the
rking garage, and I believe they usually walk through the	19	Penney's entrance. That's all the way over here, isn't it?
rking garage, because then they're out of the sun and the	20	MR. GROSSMAN: To the north.
tdoors sorts of elements, to walk over the parking garage	21	MS. CORDRY: No. No.
	22	MS. ADELMAN: No
enter	23	THE WITNESS: No.
enter MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So they walk in a	24	MS ADELMANIE VOLUMARA RIGHT
enter MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So they walk in a esterly direction in the parking garage to the mall?	25	MS. ADELMAN: you were right. MS. CORDRY: Yes, right there.
	THE WITNESS: Up to the ring road, and then right out here, I can't tell on here, but right about, I don't ow how to describe that, but there's a crosswalk. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. It's a crosswalk that es right across to the THE WITNESS: They cross there across the ring ad. MR. GROSSMAN: to the southeast corner of the all THE WITNESS: Correct. MR. GROSSMAN: of the mall buildings, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: They would walk up to the current rking garage, and I believe they usually walk through the rking garage, because then they're out of the sun and the tdoors sorts of elements, to walk over the parking garage enter MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So they walk in a	THE WITNESS: Up to the ring road, and then right5out here, I can't tell on here, but right about, I don't6ow how to describe that, but there's a crosswalk.7MR. GROSSMAN: All right. It's a crosswalk that8es right across to the9THE WITNESS: They cross there across the ring10ad.11MR. GROSSMAN: to the southeast corner of the12all13THE WITNESS: Correct.14MR. GROSSMAN: of the mall buildings, okay.15THE WITNESS: Right.16MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.17THE WITNESS: They would walk up to the current18rking garage, and I believe they usually walk through the19rking garage, because then they're out of the sun and the20tdoors sorts of elements, to walk over the parking garage21enter22MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So they walk in a23

	Page 126		Page 128
1	MR. GROSSMAN: East, okay.	1	February
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. They come over to this side	2	Q Okay.
3	because there isn't so much traffic, because loading and	3	A on the day of the well, the memorial service
4	unloading the buses, when you're trying to get a wheelchair		was on the day of the Planning Board hearing.
5	on and off and then the next wheelchair on and off and	5	Q Okay. And you
6	you've got five wheelchairs to get on and off, it takes a	6	MS. CORDRY: So that, I'm sorry, that was February
7	long time to load and unload the children. So they want to	7	this year?
8	stop someplace where there isn't a lot of traffic and people	8	THE WITNESS: That was this year, yes.
9	can get around them easily.	9	MS. CORDRY: Okay.
10	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	10	BY MS. ROSENFELD:
11	Q And approximately how long does it take, once the	11	Q You also described somewhat about the types of
12	bus arrives, how long does it take for the students to	12	physical conditions that your students have. Could you be
13	actually all get off of the bus?	13	more specific about, in particular, any respiratory or
14	A Again, I haven't timed it. I would say, if I had	14	cardiac conditions that they may have?
15	to estimate, about 15 minutes.	15	A I am not privileged to read their official health
16	Q Okay. And do the students wait there next to the	16	records.
17	bus until	17	Q But you did say you have students who are on
18	A They try to, not	18	oxygen
19	Q everybody gets off?	19	A Yes.
20	A Right, not like right in the, like, the street	20	Q or at least one? Okay. But do you know if
20	right there, but if there's, like, a little sidewalk area,	20	any
22	they would, like, wait on the sidewalk area.	22	A We have multiple students on oxygen.
23	Q Okay. And that would be the case when they're	23	Q do you know if any of your students suffer from
24	getting off the bus in front of Penney's?	24	asthma?
25	A Uh-huh.	25	A I don't legally officially know. Personally, I
25		25	A ruon riegally officially know. Tersonally, r
	Page 127		Page 129
1	Q And how about when they're getting off the bus in	1	think that they do from what I've observed, but I am not
2	front of Target or the Costco	2	officially privy to their medical records.
3	A There's	3	Q Okay.
4	Q entrance?	4	MS. ROSENFELD: I have no further questions.
5	A There's a little entrance area there, and they	5	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Applicant,
6	just kind of get off at the little entrance area there.	6	cross-examination?
7	Q And so they wait outside until everybody's off the	7	MS. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you.
8	bus and then	8	MR. GOECKE: Thank you.
9	A Uh-huh, and then	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Goecke.
10	Q and then go in?	10	BY MR. GOECKE:
11	A they can all go in as a group.	11	Q So, Ms. Carter, if you're not privy to the medical
12	Q And how long approximately does it take for the	12	records, you don't know what, what they can be exposed to
13	students to get back onto the bus?	13	and what they can't be exposed to, is that fair?
14	A About the same time as it takes to get off, about	14	MR. GROSSMAN: When you say can be, you mean what
15	15 minutes.	15	their health condition permits them to be exposed to?
16	Q About 15? And does the bus driver typically wait	16	MR. GOECKE: Yes.
17	at the mall parcel until the student until the field trip	17	MR. GROSSMAN: I see.
18	is over?	18	THE WITNESS: My point is that no one knows what
19	A I don't know.	19	they're what, what the acceptable levels of pollution
20	Q Okay.	20	would be for them to be exposed to and that that has not
21	A I honestly don't know how that part works.	21	been addressed. So, no, I don't know. I don't think you do
	Q Okay. And you mentioned that some of your	22	either.
22	General and you monitoriou that bonno of your	l I	
		23	BY MR. GOECKE:
22	students in fact do die from, during have you had any recent deaths at this school?	23 24	BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. So, for example, when you take the children
22 23	students in fact do die from, during have you had any		

	Page 130		Page 132
1	A Uh-huh.	1	Q Okay. But sitting here today, you don't have any
2	Q is there any concern about exposure to	2	understanding of the difference of the pollution from, let's
3	particulate matter that's generated at fast-food	3	call, generically, a smaller gas station as opposed to
4	restaurants?	4	MR. ADELMAN: Objection. The question was
5	A The inside the mall I assume that there's	5	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that a mega gas
6	air-conditioning running. They go to the food court.	6	station
7	Q They only eat at fast-food restaurants inside?	7	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, hold on one second.
			THE WITNESS: would have more pollution.
8	A They only go to the food court in the mall.Q Okay. And when they travel on the buses, is it	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on, Hold on, Hold on,
	diesel buses?	_	
10 11	A I have no idea	10 11	There's an objection made. So you have to wait until THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	Q Okay.	12	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry?
13	A but again, I would assume there's	13	MR. ADELMAN: The question has been asked and
	air-conditioning running in the bus that they're inside.	14	answered. The witness
14 15	Q Okay. So it's your testimony that they're not	15	MR. GROSSMAN: No, I don't think so. I'll
16	exposed to any exhaust fume from the buses?	16	overrule that objection.
	A I have I cannot testify to that.	17	MR. ADELMAN: the witness is not testifying as
17 18	Q You testified that the, these children with the	18	an expert. She's answered the question.
19	special needs are a, I believe you I'm trying to find my	19	MR. GROSSMAN: No. He's entitled to ask the
20	notes here that they are a special exception in and of	20	question. I'll overrule the objection. Go ahead, ma'am.
20	themselves. Is that the way you put it?	20	THE WITNESS: What's the question again?
22	A That's the way I put it, yes.	22	BY MR. GOECKE:
23	Q And because of that, you think that any gas	23	Q Sure. The question is, you're not aware, sitting
24	station is inappropriate at this location?	24	here today, of the difference in emissions from a smaller
25	A I did not say that.	25	gas station, as you put it, with what's being proposed as
	Page 131		Page 133
1		1	
1	Q Okay.	1	Page 133 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is
	Q Okay.A I'm opposed to what's being proposed.		the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is
2	Q Okay.A I'm opposed to what's being proposed.	2	the Costco gas station?
2 3	Q Okay.A I'm opposed to what's being proposed.Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station?	2 3	the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and
2 3 4	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's 	2 3 4	the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you
2 3 4 5	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and 	2 3 4 5	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. 	2 3 4 5 6	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, and Q Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Yes. Yes, but you don't have a sense 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it Q Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Yes. Yes, but you don't have a sense A So and if the traffic study was done, showing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it Q Okay. A but my statement is that the, whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Yes. Yes, but you don't have a sense A So and if the traffic study was done, showing how the traffic would be done. So, theoretically, I would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it Q Okay. A but my statement is that the, whatever guidelines that are being followed for the general EPA and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Yes. Yes, but you don't have a sense A So and if the traffic study was done, showing how the traffic would be done. So, theoretically, I would have the same questions as I have now of, are you meeting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it Q Okay. A but my statement is that the, whatever guidelines that are being followed for the general EPA and the air filters and whatever have not addressed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Yes. Yes, but you don't have a sense A So and if the traffic study was done, showing how the traffic would be done. So, theoretically, I would have the same questions as I have now of, are you meeting the burden of proof for a special exception for any size of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it Q Okay. A but my statement is that the, whatever guidelines that are being followed for the general EPA and the air filters and whatever have not addressed the population that's being affected.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Okay. A I'm opposed to what's being proposed. Q Okay. So you're not opposed to any gas station? A I'm not for or against any gas station. That's not what's on the table. What's on the table is this, and I'm against it. Q Okay. But I'm trying to understand. If a smaller one were proposed, would you be opposed to a smaller gas station? A That's not what's being proposed. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, but answer his question if you can. If a smaller gas station were being proposed, would you be opposed to that? THE WITNESS: Well, I would think that they would still need to meet the requirements of it would need to meet a special exception. So it would need to be able to show to me how the smaller gas station pollution wouldn't be affecting them either. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Yes. Yes, but you don't have a sense A So and if the traffic study was done, showing how the traffic would be done. So, theoretically, I would have the same questions as I have now of, are you meeting 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the Costco gas station? A I would assume that the Costco gas station is larger and therefore it would have more emissions, andQ Yes. Are you A Go ahead. Q No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off. A I was going to say, I was, I was told that there are pollutions inherent in a gas station Q Yes. A the assumption would be more pollution more gas pumps, more cars, more pollution. Q And you said you've been reading the transcripts for this case? A Some of them, not all of them. I've tried to skim them. Q In the transcripts that you've read, have you read about the Arid Permeator that Costco is planning to use? A I read about it. I don't pretend to fully understand it Q Okay. A but my statement is that the, whatever guidelines that are being followed for the general EPA and the air filters and whatever have not addressed the

	Page 134		Page 136
1	that the Arid Permeator is designed to reduce the VOC	1	into account sensitive populations, I think that question is
2	emissions from a gas station?	2	appropriate. Would you agree, Mr. Silverman?
3	MR. ADELMAN: Objection, misleading statement.	3	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, I would.
4	THE WITNESS: I've read that.	4	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So answer that
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second. Hold on.	5	question.
6	THE WITNESS: I have read that.	6	THE WITNESS: So can you restate it now?
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Carter, hold on. There's an	7	BY MR. GOECKE:
8	objection raised. So we have to rule on that.	8	Q Sure, sure, sure. So you're not aware then that
9	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	9	the, that the EPA guidelines require National Ambient Air
10	MR. ADELMAN: Objection. The Arid Permeator has	10	Quality Standards to be set at a level that takes into
11	to do with the pumping of the pumps	11	account sensitive populations?
12	MR. GROSSMAN: No.	12	A I am aware that that is a piece of what the EPA
13	MR. ADELMAN: Ms. Carter has testified about	13	does generically, but I do not believe that that
14	the vehicle emissions.	14	specifically addresses this situation.
15	MR. GROSSMAN: No. Well, first of all, the Arid	15	Q Why not?
16	Permeator doesn't have to do with the pumping of the pumps;	16	A Because this is a concentrated set of children
17	it has to do with the emissions from the underground tank.	17	that are bussed here from all over the county to this
18	So, but in any event, the question your objection is	18	specific location, not just there are some general
19	overruled.	19	special-ed kids out in the general population. Does the
20	THE WITNESS: I have read about it. I do not fully understand it.	20	EPA, can you tell me, does the EPA regulate based on, like, what it needs to be at a hospital and a nursing home and
21 22	BY MR. GOECKE:	21 22	things like that? Do they specify out that kind of stuff,
22	Q That's fair. And is it, if I understand you	22	or do they just say in the general population, which
24	correctly, it's your testimony that the EPA National Ambient	24	includes people of every age and health issue?
25	Air Quality Standards do not adequately protect the children	25	Q Well, would you agree that the children at Stephen
		1	
	Page 135		Page 137
1	Page 135 at Stephen Knolls School?	1	Page 137 Knolls School are a sensitive population?
1 2		1 2	-
	at Stephen Knolls School?		Knolls School are a sensitive population?
2	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is	2	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population.
2 3	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of	2 3	Knolls School are a sensitive population?A They are more than just a sensitive population.They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses.
2 3 4	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this	2 3 4	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs.
2 3 4 5	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population.	2 3 4 5	 Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says take account of various handicap, but it's quite another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations. She asked you a question back, which you are the questioner,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says take account of various handicap, but it's quite another thing to say that it's, that these to talk about a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations. She asked you a question back, which you are the questioner, not the, not the answer man, but about what do the EPA
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says take account of various handicap, but it's quite another thing to say that it's, that these to talk about a facility or institution such as this. It's really, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations. She asked you a question back, which you are the questioner, not the, not the answer man, but about what do the EPA regulations take into account. So I think the sense of her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says take account of various handicap, but it's quite another thing to say that it's, that these to talk about a facility or institution such as this. It's really, they have really produced nothing along that line.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations. She asked you a question back, which you are the questioner, not the, not the answer man, but about what do the EPA regulations take into account. So I think the sense of her answer is she's not sure that the, that they have ever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says take account of various handicap, but it's quite another thing to say that it's, that these to talk about a facility or institution such as this. It's really, they have really produced nothing along that line. MR. GROSSMAN: A portion of that objection, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations. She asked you a question back, which you are the questioner, not the, not the answer man, but about what do the EPA regulations take into account. So I think the sense of her answer is she's not sure that the, that they have ever addressed this particular type of population specifically.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at Stephen Knolls School? A That's not exactly what I said. What I said is and I will have to go back and find exactly what I said what I said literally was maybe the EPA says it's fine for the general population to be exposed to a certain amount of pollution but that no one has defined the impact on this particular population. Q Okay. So you're not aware then that the EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards are federally required to take into account sensitive populations, such as the children at Stephen Knolls School? MR. SILVERMAN: Objection. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and what's your objection? MR. SILVERMAN: They have produced no, no documents, no evidence, no letters from the CASAC or anything else dealing with institutions like this and children like this. It's one thing to say that the EPA says take account of various handicap, but it's quite another thing to say that it's, that these to talk about a facility or institution such as this. It's really, they have really produced nothing along that line. MR. GROSSMAN: A portion of that objection, I think, is appropriate, that is, the such-as-this ending of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Knolls School are a sensitive population? A They are more than just a sensitive population. They are very specific, bussed here. We've got the nurses. We've got the therapists. We've got the things to take care of them here. They are bussed here to be cared for because of their special needs. Q And I'm just trying to be clear on this. And so it's your understanding that the EPA guidelines don't take into account populations such as Stephen Knolls School? A No, I'm not saying that. I'm saying that they take MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think that, I think that MS. ROSENFELD: Asked and answered. MR. GROSSMAN: Her answer, I think, sufficiently addresses that question already. She's not I don't think she claims to be familiar, per se, with the EPA regulations. She asked you a question back, which you are the questioner, not the, not the answer man, but about what do the EPA regulations take into account. So I think the sense of her answer is she's not sure that the, that they have ever addressed this particular type of population specifically. MR. GOECKE: Yes.

	Page 138		Page 140
1	THE WITNESS: Yes.	1	Q If we can assume that
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2	A And is the peak hour during school hours?
3	MS. CORDRY: I think it's fair to say she's saying	3	MS. HARRIS: It's after school hours, actually.
4	this is not just a sensitive population. This is	4	MR. GOECKE: Yes.
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we'll let her words speak for	5	BY MR. GOECKE:
6	themselves	6	Q Actually, it's after school hours, but let's just,
7	MS. CORDRY: Sure.	7	let's just assume that it was during
8	MR. GROSSMAN: rather than yours.	8	A Uh-huh.
9	BY MR. GOECKE:	9	Q during school hours. Would an additional
10	Q When you reviewed the transcripts, did you review	10	car
11	Mr. Sullivan's testimony?	11	MR. ADELMAN: Objection.
12	A No, I did not.	12	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, the peak, p.m. peak hour is
13	Q Have you reviewed Mr. Sullivan's reports at all?	13	after school hours. The peak a.m
14	A No, not at I may have heard about them back at	14	MS. CORDRY: I think I'd object on another basis,
15	the Planning Board hearing, but I, I don't remember.	15	which is that that is new traffic coming into the mall but
16	MS. ROSENFELD: You wouldn't recognize	16	that is not, that does not take into account the fact that
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	17	people are going to have to leave wherever they were parked
18	BY MR. GOECKE:	18	and make another trip to come back to the gas station. So
19	Q Did you review the testimony from Costco's traffic	19	the
20	expert, Mr. Guckert?	20	MS. HARRIS: Excuse me.
21	A Again, not this time. I was more familiar with it	21	MS. CORDRY: so I think the question misstates
22	back in, last February, but I haven't been able to really	22	what the effects are going to be in terms of traffic at
23	keep up nearly as much as I would like to. Unfortunately,	23	MR. GOECKE: Well, it's a hypothetical.
24	this isn't my focus. This, you know, this is	24	MS. CORDRY: Well
25	MR. GROSSMAN: As long as he's asking, how about	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, hold on one second. Yes,
20		23	
	Dama 400		
	Page 139		Page 141
1		1	_
1	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that?	1	Ms. Harris.
	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of	_	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I
2	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on	2	Ms. Harris.
2	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of	2 3	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry.
2 3 4	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time.	2 3 4	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's
2 3 4 5	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on
2 3 4 5 6 7	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection.
2 3 4 5 6	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific	2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my math that that means a car, an additional car will travel on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact base even there. He's contending that there is a factual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact base even there. He's contending that there is a factual basis for his hypothetical question here based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my math that that means a car, an additional car will travel on the ring road towards the gas station about every 51 seconds, if we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact base even there. He's contending that there is a factual basis for his hypothetical question here based on Mr. Guckert's testimony, and I think he's correct in saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my math that that means a car, an additional car will travel on the ring road towards the gas station about every 51 seconds, if we MR. GROSSMAN: During the peak hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact base even there. He's contending that there is a factual basis for his hypothetical question here based on Mr. Guckert's testimony, and I think he's correct in saying that there's a factual basis for his statement as to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my math that that means a car, an additional car will travel on the ring road towards the gas station about every 51 seconds, if we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact base even there. He's contending that there is a factual basis for his hypothetical question here based on Mr. Guckert's testimony, and I think he's correct in saying that there's a factual basis for his statement as to the peak-hour traffic based on Guckert's testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dr. Chase's testimony did you review that? THE WITNESS: No. I, you know, I've just kind of skimmed some of the testimonies to kind of just keep up on what's going on, but I haven't really read the specifics of them this time. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I probably wouldn't be able to answer any specific questions on anyone else's specific testimony. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And that would apply also to Mr. Guckert's report? A Correct. Q Okay. If I were to tell you to assume that the anticipated peak-hour additional traffic to the Costco gas station would be 138 trips and if we also were to assume that the cars entered the mall property from two different locations, so about half of them come from the east and half of them come from the west, and then if you'd just accept my math that that means a car, an additional car will travel on the ring road towards the gas station about every 51 seconds, if we MR. GROSSMAN: During the peak hour. MR. GOECKE: During the peak hour, right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Ms. Harris. MS. HARRIS: I didn't hear a question there. I heard more of a testimonial from Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Well MS. ROSENFELD: No. I think she's MR. GROSSMAN: She's expressing her opinion on the, on an objection. MS. ROSENFELD: I think she's objecting because on the grounds that it's a factually inaccurate statement. MR. GROSSMAN: Dr. Adelman, do you have something to add? MR. ADELMAN: Yes. I object to a hypothetical when, in fact, there's fact. The peak hours are defined MR. GROSSMAN: Right. MR. ADELMAN: it's not hypothetical. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The hypothetical should be based on, unlike an expert when you are examining an expert, you can pose hypotheticals. They should have a fact base even there. He's contending that there is a factual basis for his hypothetical question here based on Mr. Guckert's testimony, and I think he's correct in saying that there's a factual basis for his statement as to the

	Page 142		Page 144
1	road, because those people are going to come into the mall	1	A So, yes, I believe it would impact them.
2	but they're going to have to make another trip within the	2	Q Okay. But you're saying that those cars stop and
3	mall to get back to the gas station. In other words, I	3	allow the students to pass?
4	MR. GROSSMAN: So you're suggesting there would be	4	A They, they did the day that I was there, yes.
5	less traffic?	5	Q Have you ever been there on a day when those cars
6	MS. CORDRY: No, no, no, no. I'm saying people	6	did not let students pass?
7	will come into the mall, stop and shop at the warehouse	7	A I think the teachers kind of wait until it's
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	8	basically clear and then they go and then some cars show up,
9	MS. ROSENFELD: Park.	9	and of course, when kids are in the middle, hopefully the
10	MS. CORDRY: then, park, then they have to get	10	cars stop.
11	back in their car, come back out on the ring road, make	11	Q And there are designated crosswalks for those
12	another trip around to come to the gas station. So the	12	students to cross over as well, right?
13	number of trips on that back part of the ring road dealing	13	A There is a white-painted crosswalk.
14	with coming to the gas station is not the same as the number	14	Q Right. And you've made it clear that you're here
15	of people coming into the mall just to go to the gas	15	testifying today on your, in your individual capacity and
16	station. Those are two different questions.	16	not on behalf of the school. Have you
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they may be. I think he's	17	A Correct.
18 19	entitled to ask this question, and we'll take into account the vagaries and possibilities of additional traffic given	18 19	Q asked the school to get involved in this matter?
20	that. But I think, based on Mr. Guckert's report and	20	MS. CORDRY: Oh, Lord.
20 21	testimony, he's entitled to ask about peak-hour traffic in	20 21	THE WITNESS: That is not my place.
22	the way that he did. So I'm going to overrule the	22	MS. CORDRY: Objection. I think that's hearsay.
23	objection.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: No, whether she asked the school is
24	MR. GOECKE: Thank you.	24	not hearsay.
25	BY MR. GOECKE:	25	MS. CORDRY: Well, I'm sorry. That's correct,
	Daga 142		
	Page 143		Page 145
1	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can	1	but
1 2	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road	2	but MR. GROSSMAN: So
2 3	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51	2 3	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place
2 3 4	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional	2 3 4	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved.
2 3 4 5	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen	2 3 4 5	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE:
2 3 4 5 6	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls?	2 3 4	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because	2 3 4 5 6 7	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to cross during their crossing time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that, what will that yield us in terms of information if she says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to cross during their crossing time. Q I'm saying there would be an additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that, what will that yield us in terms of information if she says either way?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to cross during their crossing time. Q I'm saying there would be an additional A A car every minute 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that, what will that yield us in terms of information if she says either way? MR. GOECKE: Well, I'm trying to find out why the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to cross during their crossing time. Q I'm saying there would be an additional A car every minute Q Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that, what will that yield us in terms of information if she says either way? MR. GOECKE: Well, I'm trying to find out why the school isn't here testifying the way she's testifying.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to cross during their crossing time. Q I'm saying there would be an additional A A car every minute Q Yes. A takes them four minutes to cross. Four extra cars, there was already four cars, so you'd be doubling the amount of traffic that they would have to get across. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that, what will that yield us in terms of information if she says either way? MR. GOECKE: Well, I'm trying to find out why the school isn't here testifying the way she's testifying. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know that I can reason that from any answer that she gives. THE WITNESS: I can give my personal opinion, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q So what I'm getting at, Ms. Carter, is, if we can assume that a car travels from each side of the ring road towards the gas station, an additional car, but every 51 seconds per peak hour, do you think that that additional traffic would be an imposition on the children of Stephen Knolls? A Well, let's look at it mathematically, because that's what you were saying. If it takes the children I, just once, I went out and timed it, how long it took them to cross the road. They were right there at one side, they were walking walking and pushing and whatever across the road to the other side, and it took them between four and five minutes, and already, the day that I watched them, there was a few cars that came through that had to stop for them. I didn't count exactly, but there was a few. So say there was four. So you're effectively saying that that would double the amount of traffic that they would have to cross during their crossing time. Q I'm saying there would be an additional A car every minute Q Yes. A takes them four minutes to cross. Four extra cars, there was already four cars, so you'd be doubling the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but MR. GROSSMAN: So THE WITNESS: I don't believe that it's my place to ask the school to get involved. BY MR. GOECKE: Q Okay. To your knowledge, has anyone asked the school to get involved in this? MS. ROSENFELD: Beyond the scope of her direct. THE WITNESS: I believe that both sides have asked MR. GROSSMAN: Hold on one second, ma'am. I think there's an MS. ROSENFELD: Objection, really beyond the scope of her direct. She said she's here as an individual. She's testifying as an individual. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. I think it's, it's a questionable question. What's the point? What will that, what will that yield us in terms of information if she says either way? MR. GOECKE: Well, I'm trying to find out why the school isn't here testifying the way she's testifying. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know that I can reason that from any answer that she gives.

	Page 146		Page 148
1	MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, but	1	appreciate the fact you've taken your time to do that.
2	THE WITNESS: cannot speak for Montgomery	2	Thank you.
3	County Public Schools.	3	THE WITNESS: All right, thank you. And I do
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, hold on one second. There's	4	honestly say, if anybody wants to come and visit the school,
5	no question posed. There's an objection to the question	5	we would be happy to host anyone who wants to come see the
6	that was posed. I'm going to sustain it because I really	6	kids who are, who are here and who will be affected.
7	just don't think that it's it is beyond the scope of the	7	MR. GROSSMAN: For a lot of reasons, I don't think
8	direct, but one could argue that it's somehow tangential,	8	we can do that as part of this process.
9	close enough, but I just don't see it yielding any useful	9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	information here. So I'll sustain the objection.	10	MR. GROSSMAN: But anybody else can do it on their
11	MR. GOECKE: Thank you. I have no further	11	own. Thank you.
12	questions.	12	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	13	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Who does that leave us
14	THE WITNESS: Can, I don't know, can I speak?	14	
15	MR. GROSSMAN: There's nothing pending, but I'm	15	MS. CORDRY: I think I'd be up next.
16 17	going to let you say whatever you want to say. THE WITNESS: Okay. I would like	16	MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Cordry. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Cordry.
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Within reason.	17 18	MS. CORDRY: Does it make sense to do the lunch
19	THE WITNESS: I would like to address the	19	break before we start?
20	perception that because people aren't here speaking today,	20	MR. GROSSMAN: What's the pleasure of the crowd
21	other than me, that other people aren't, aren't concerned.	21	here in terms of lunch breaks?
22	MR. GOECKE: I didn't say no one's	22	MS. ROSENFELD: Ravenous.
23	MR. GROSSMAN: No, I think that's, that's beyond	23	MR. SILVERMAN: Lunch. Lunch. Lunch.
24	what I'll let you get into	24	MR. GOECKE: Lunch sounds good.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay.	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Ravenous, all right. Okay. Well,
	Page 147		Page 149
1	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that	1	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion	2	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking	2 3	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you?
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial	2 3 4	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration	2 3 4 5	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of	2 3 4 5 6 7	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted here, but it has to be reliable and probative, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So where did we leave off,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted here, but it has to be reliable and probative, and THE WITNESS: Okay. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So where did we leave off, Ms. Cordry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted here, but it has to be reliable and probative, and THE WITNESS: Okay. Right. MR. GROSSMAN: it's a problem to have repeated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So where did we leave off, Ms. Cordry? THE WITNESS: We had left off that I had just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted here, but it has to be reliable and probative, and THE WITNESS: Okay. Right. MR. GROSSMAN: it's a problem to have repeated conversations of that kind come in. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So where did we leave off, Ms. Cordry? THE WITNESS: We had left off that I had just identified Exhibits 350 and 351
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted here, but it has to be reliable and probative, and THE WITNESS: Okay. Right. MR. GROSSMAN: it's a problem to have repeated conversations of that kind come in. So THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So where did we leave off, Ms. Cordry? THE WITNESS: We had left off that I had just identified Exhibits 350 and 351 MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: because I don't think that that does involve a hearsay issue; that is, the suggestion that you may state what you think other people are thinking tends to be information outside of an extrajudicial declaration THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: offered to prove the truth of what's asserted therein. So that's problematic and I won't let you testify to that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, okay, not addressing the school system's side of it, from the parents' side of it, from what parents have told me about what MR. GROSSMAN: No. That's also THE WITNESS: No? Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: problematic. THE WITNESS: All right. MR. GROSSMAN: As to what people tell you is problematic. I mean, hearsay, to some extent, is permitted here, but it has to be reliable and probative, and THE WITNESS: Okay. Right. MR. GROSSMAN: it's a problem to have repeated conversations of that kind come in. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it seems to be the pleasure of the crowd is to go to lunch. So MS. HARRIS: Did we just overrule you? MR. GROSSMAN: so, yes, we'll come back then at 1:30. We'll break for lunch now. MR. GOECKE: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 12:41 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: We're back on the record and a return to Ms. Cordry. MS. CORDRY: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to get these exhibits in the exhibits pile because, if you get your piles mixed up here MS. CORDRY: It's all over with. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, no telling what'll happen. (Witness previously sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So where did we leave off, Ms. Cordry? THE WITNESS: We had left off that I had just identified Exhibits 350 and 351

	Page 150		Page 152
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	1	recall testimony regarding Elkridge as to the number that
2	THE WITNESS: And I was just going to comment on	2	would be, that was assumed to have been allowed in the
3	them briefly, a little bit.	3	queue
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	4	THE WITNESS: I know there were queuing reports he
5	THE WITNESS: I was also going to say, I took the	5	had done at one time. I'll double-check whether it has come
6	occasion, while I was off the record, to count up the number	6	into this record. If not, there's reports that he has made
7	of cars actually queuing at the Elkridge store.	7	at prior times that he had come, with Elkridge, and I can, I
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. What was that exhibit number	8	can supply those afterwards. And I'm, I'll proffer for
9	again, the Elkridge one? THE WITNESS: It was 345(a).	9	you
10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, I'll assume that THE WITNESS: I'll proffer to you for the
12	THE WITNESS: It's a little blurry, but I think I,	12	moment that 34 is the number that he has put out in
13	I think I have the count right, and it's 27 cars there and	13	documents, that Mr. Guckert has put into reports.
14	that has pretty much filled up the queuing space completely.	14	MR. GROSSMAN: For Elkridge?
15	And I believe Mr. Guckert's traffic analysis was based on an	15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	assumption that 34 was the point at which you would start to	16	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And what about the one
17	spill over and out of the queue area, and if you look at	17	you're talking about now is?
18	this, you'll see that 27, the next car that comes, is going	18	THE WITNESS: Yeah. This one happens to be
19	to be outside the queuing area. So it just illustrates the	19	Frederick.
20	point that I saw with my own observations that, generally,	20	MR. GROSSMAN: Frederick. And was there
21	the queue area fills up far short of the theoretical maximum	21	THE WITNESS: No. These two, Frederick and
22	that was being used.	22	Leesburg, happen to be one. I'm just looking at some other
23	And 350 and 351 are just two more where you can	23	ones, but
24	sort of see very much the same sort of thing operating. At	24	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the count really
25	the Frederick store do you have those handy?	25	doesn't matter. I mean, the comparison that's of any
	Page 151		Page 153
1	Page 151 MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front	1	Page 153 significance is between what is assumed to have been the
1	Ŭ	1	
	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front		significance is between what is assumed to have been the
2	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though.	2	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines,	2 3	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs?
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of	2 3 4	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other	2 3 4 5 6 7	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in there. They're, in fact, all the way back out on a main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking. Now, at Frederick you have a completely separate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in there. They're, in fact, all the way back out on a main road. Perhaps the cops will come along at some point and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking. Now, at Frederick you have a completely separate set of entrances, two actual main entrances, it looks like,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in there. They're, in fact, all the way back out on a main road. Perhaps the cops will come along at some point and stop that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking. Now, at Frederick you have a completely separate set of entrances, two actual main entrances, it looks like, that comes at different places off the main road into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in there. They're, in fact, all the way back out on a main road. Perhaps the cops will come along at some point and stop that. MR. GROSSMAN: Did you have a count on those? I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking. Now, at Frederick you have a completely separate set of entrances, two actual main entrances, it looks like, that comes at different places off the main road into the store area. So those are cars can go completely away
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in there. They're, in fact, all the way back out on a main road. Perhaps the cops will come along at some point and stop that. MR. GROSSMAN: Did you have a count on those? I know you were comparing the other one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking. Now, at Frederick you have a completely separate set of entrances, two actual main entrances, it looks like, that comes at different places off the main road into the store area. So those are cars can go completely away from the gas station and get to and from the store without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: I do. I don't have them in front of me, though. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It's okay. THE WITNESS: The Frederick store, it's six lines, and as they come in, you actually have, again, this sort of small bottleneck and then they have to go past each other and make, you know, turn in to get into their lines, and clearly, cars are interfering with the ability of the close-in cars are interfering with the ability to go over to the far lines; they're not all taking up all the space. I don't actually know precisely what he considers the maximum capacity of that station. I don't think he's analyzed that, but whatever it is I think I can guarantee that if you look at this, it's nowhere near the maximum. Cars are spilling back out already. There clearly is additional space in there, but it's not being able to be utilized effectively. The cars are spilling out into the drive aisle coming in there. They're, in fact, all the way back out on a main road. Perhaps the cops will come along at some point and stop that. MR. GROSSMAN: Did you have a count on those? I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	significance is between what is assumed to have been the number of cars that would queue THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: before they'd flow out, and the number in actual practice that that occurs? THE WITNESS: Right. And, but I think you can look at both again, if I, if it's not an exhibit here, I'll find the exhibit and we can introduce it subsequently. In both this one at Elkridge and at Wheaton, and actually both of the ones at Wheaton, they show very nice neat little cars, all nicely lined up, each one taking only 20 feet, and so forth, and they're showing what's the maximum you can put in there, and it clearly is a much less orderly sort of process. And, again, I'm sure if you did the same kind of a, lining up each of the cars very nicely and neatly there, in each one of these you would see substantially more cars that have managed to actually get their way into here without having traffic backed up and blocking. Now, at Frederick you have a completely separate set of entrances, two actual main entrances, it looks like, that comes at different places off the main road into the store area. So those are cars can go completely away

	Page 154		Page 156
1	being blocked up with what looks to be far less than the	1	MR. GROSSMAN: to the
2	maximum that you can put in there.	2	THE WITNESS: the entrance, you have the
3	The same thing at Leesburg. This one has actually	3	narrowed area and then you have to try
4	a very small number of cars, relatively speaking, probably	4	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
5	not more than about 10 or 15 lined up in an area that's	5	THE WITNESS: unlike what we saw at Sterling
6	about the same size as these other ones, but the cars coming	6	currently, where it has now been removed, any of this kind
7	in have blocked the entranceway. And, again, all of those	7	of barriers around here, and they're simply allowed to all
8	queue lines behind where the car is coming in have blocked	8	come in and flow freely through.
9	up the space, and again, it's going back out onto the main	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. The islands, you're talking
10	road. And, again, because this particular design has other	10	about the barriers around here
11	entrances for the cars coming to the store well away from	11	THE WITNESS: Right.
12	the gas station or, put another way, the gas station is well	12	MR. GROSSMAN: you're talking about the islands
13	away from the store, you do manage to have that kind of	13	that were removed from the traffic area?
14	traffic obviously can come and go without being completely	14	THE WITNESS: Right. These two islands, I guess
15	impinged upon by the gas station.	15	they're going to be the bioretention areas
16	Again, I'd point out, with both of these you have	16	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
17	very large parking lots between the gas station and the	17	THE WITNESS: create this single entrance here
18	store. There's no sign of a loading dock, which I assume in	18	which, I believe Mr. Guckert testified, was going to allow
19	both cases means that the loading dock is at the far end of	19	one car in at a time.
20	the store, outside of where these particular pictures were	20	So if you have a situation like you saw in the
21	taken. I can always go back and get bigger Google Earth	21	other ones where cars may come in, if they back up here in
22 23	shots, but the point being, you definitely don't have the gas station right next to the loading dock, right next to a	22 23	the center area to the point where a car obstructs this entrance aisle and cannot get past that until some other
23 24	main drive aisle coming into the store parking area there.	24	cars move out of the way, that will immediately then start
25	So to somewhat tie that back then to come to this,	25	traffic having to wait back here to get in.
23			
	Page 155		Page 157
1	Page 155 this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the	1	Page 157 Now, some of what is significant here is that this
1 2		1 2	-
	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the		Now, some of what is significant here is that this
2	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here	2	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station.
2 3	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure.	2 3	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I
2 3 4 5	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current	2 3 4	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is
2 3 4 5	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that	2 3 4 5	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add the gasoline station on top of it, it becomes even more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: about the more global area of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add the gasoline station on top of it, it becomes even more critical. But it does then mean, to some extent, you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: about the more global area of the mall, but I'm just going to talk about the queuing and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add the gasoline station on top of it, it becomes even more critical. But it does then mean, to some extent, you have conflicting needs that I don't think can be accommodated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: about the more global area of the mall, but I'm just going to talk about the queuing and coming out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add the gasoline station on top of it, it becomes even more critical. But it does then mean, to some extent, you have conflicting needs that I don't think can be accommodated with this station, which is going to go to our more general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: about the more global area of the mall, but I'm just going to talk about the queuing and coming out MR. GROSSMAN: Just so the record is clear as to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add the gasoline station on top of it, it becomes even more critical. But it does then mean, to some extent, you have conflicting needs that I don't think can be accommodated with this station, which is going to go to our more general gas station traffic discussions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this station, I'll try to talk just a little bit about the special exception area here MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. THE WITNESS: and I'm looking, at this point, at Exhibit, what number, 231, looks like it, which is the current MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. I think we identified that the last time you were up. THE WITNESS: Right. Right. So with this one, it's not quite as badly designed as the other two, which had you coming in and then making a turn. This one is straight in, but you do still have the same kind of a bottleneck here. You still have the problem that cars have to be able to try to get to all of these different lanes. And, again, I'm going to kind of just confine myself right to this sort of, dimensions right around here. There will be other traffic discussions MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: about the more global area of the mall, but I'm just going to talk about the queuing and coming out MR. GROSSMAN: Just so the record is clear as to what you're saying, you said a bottleneck here; you mean a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, some of what is significant here is that this is a very narrow section of the ring road. When we did all those various sections, it's wider when I say here, I mean directly across from the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The area on the west side here is considerably wider; the lanes there's more room to put the lanes in, to adjust them and so forth, but this is, this here, from the gas station over past the warehouse, is the narrowest section of the ring road and that's at least in part because the warehouse was built out as an immovable object, all the way right out to where the ring road is now, which gives you very little leeway to be able to move it. It was built to fairly narrow dimensions here. Obviously, we do believe that a pedestrian path is necessary as critical. It's necessary even with the warehouse here. It really needs to be built, but if you add the gasoline station on top of it, it becomes even more critical. But it does then mean, to some extent, you have conflicting needs that I don't think can be accommodated with this station, which is going to go to our more general gas station traffic discussions. MR. GROSSMAN: So you want them to remove the

	Page 158		Page 160
1	I want them to decide. You can't	1	lane here, parking, and the ring road. So I think there was
2	MR. GROSSMAN: I know. I know. I'm just, just	2	a suggestion that there's two lanes. Clearly, a
3	teasing you, just teasing you.	3	seven-foot-wide parking space is clearly not wide enough. I
4	THE WITNESS: I know that. I know that. Just, I	4	looked at, on the Federal Highway Administration. They said
5	wanted to give you the rise	5	nine feet is narrowest for a driveway. So you're only
6	MS. HARRIS: You rose to the bait.	6	really going to have one lane here, two lanes here. These
7	THE WITNESS: I did.	7	people are going to be trying to turn left into the station.
8	MS. HARRIS: You rose to the bait.	8	MR. GROSSMAN: These people are the people heading
9	THE WITNESS: I wanted to give	9	eastbound
10	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't want to take a chance of	10	THE WITNESS: That's exactly.
11	being strangled in the middle of a hearing.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: are going to have to make a
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I wanted to give you exactly	12	left.
13	the reaction you asked for. So, no, I mean, clearly, our	13	THE WITNESS: People coming east on the one
14	view is that sometimes and I think we said this earlier	14	lane
15	on sometimes you can't condition your way into a workable	15	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
16	situation, and I think this is a station that we're going to	16	THE WITNESS: are going to have to try to turn
17	continue to demonstrate is one of those situations.	17	left
18	What you have here at this point is two lanes	18	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
19	going this way	19	THE WITNESS: turning across the two lanes
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Going westbound.	20	going here, from the, from the east going west.
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, going west, one lane	21	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
22	coming east. I think there was a suggestion there was two	22	THE WITNESS: It's going to be difficult enough if
23	lanes, but it's my understanding that parking is shown all	23	traffic is free flowing. If you have traffic backing out of
24	the way around here and that there is no separate second	24	here at any point
25	lane. This is an eight-foot parking width here	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
	Page 159		Page 161
1	-	1	ů
1	Page 159 MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're	1	Page 161 THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one,
	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring		THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way,
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're	2	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one,
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the	2 3	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth.	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an eight-foot parking space, I believe, and I think he said it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an eight-foot parking space, I believe, and I think he said it was going to be seven feet, and it's supposed to be, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: So any traffic trying to go past the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an eight-foot parking space, I believe, and I think he said it was going to be seven feet, and it's supposed to be, I think he said it has to be a minimum of six, if I recall his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: So any traffic trying to go past the station is all going to have to be condensed down into one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an eight-foot parking space, I believe, and I think he said it was going to be seven feet, and it's supposed to be, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: So any traffic trying to go past the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an eight-foot parking space, I believe, and I think he said it was going to be seven feet, and it's supposed to be, I think he said it has to be a minimum of six, if I recall his testimony, but it's going to be seven feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: So any traffic trying to go past the station is all going to have to be condensed down into one westbound lane, which is going to make it even more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Parking around the southern ring road is what you're THE WITNESS: Yeah, southern ring road, the outermost part of the southern ring road MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: shows parking all the way, from the west side all the way past the station and so forth. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, but they're going to narrow the parking area, they testified THE WITNESS: Well, it's MR. GROSSMAN: to, in part they were also going to narrow the lanes but they were going to narrow the parking area to accommodate the five-foot-wide pedestrian path. THE WITNESS: Right. So you have the five-foot-wide here and an eight-foot-half parking space, and then you have a lane. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's not going to be an eight-foot parking space, I believe, and I think he said it was going to be seven feet, and it's supposed to be, I think he said it has to be a minimum of six, if I recall his testimony, but it's going to be seven feet. THE WITNESS: All right. Well, then even more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: then coming, coming out this way, to the east, there's really only space there for one, possibly two cars, but really, if that's 24 feet wide, if you take that the lane is 24 feet wide, if you look at the same dimensions here, there really is not going to be room for more than one car sitting there. MR. GROSSMAN: Same dimensions here being? THE WITNESS: Being across the base area of this bioretention island, the right-hand bioretention island here. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I mean, it's certainly more than 24 feet, but it's certainly not long enough to put two cars in. So you're really only going to have room for one car to back up there before it starts backing into the drive aisle, backing across the drive aisle, backing around to the drive aisle in any case, certainly obstructing this northernmost lane of traffic coming through. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: So any traffic trying to go past the station is all going to have to be condensed down into one westbound lane, which is going to make it even more difficult for someone coming east to try to make that left

	Page 162		Page 164
1	in. And, again, as I said, Mr. Guckert said this was only	1	walk through the station and go around it and have this kind
2	going to happen a small portion of the time and that	2	of traffic interfering with very limited space to overflow.
3	wouldn't be any problem and that we really aren't going to	3	It wanted to put it over in the corner, out of the way, and
4	have this happen very much. But when he did his	4	I believe it was Mr. Brann testified if not him, perhaps
5	observations, he also testified that what they did was they	5	Mr. Hurlocker that their goal in putting these stations
6	put up a camera they didn't have people standing there,	6	is normally to put them as far away as possible from the
7	watching; they had a camera and then they had computers	7	other, from the entrance to the store and the parking so
8	count the film.	8	it's just unobtrusive as possible for their customers. Now,
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	9	they couldn't do that because of the special because of
10	THE WITNESS: And as I say, his numbers, when he	10	the zoning text amendment. So they've had to do something
11	did his numbers for either Sterling or here, it was based on	11	that is considerably, at a minimum, is suboptimal.
12	the theoretical maximum of how many times he went over that	12	Our view is it's not appropriate at all, and
13	theoretical maximum. But there was no one who testified as	13	again, if you just look at their other kinds of stations and
14	a person that they stood there and watched and saw that if	14	what we've been sort of pointing out here, the way they
15	said 33 cars, that those 33 cars, for instance, at Elkridge,	15	design their stations and the way they lay out their stores,
16	were actually all within the queuing area. We asked to have	16	this is not what is a workable, reasonable solution and
17	that, copies of that film, if you recall, early on in the	17	would not have been done except for the fact that they are
18	hearing, about the first day or two, and	18	trying to find that tiny little window between that 300-foot
19	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't recall that.	19	circle that you see there that shows 300 feet from the pool
20 21	THE WITNESS: If you look back, we did ask if we could see a copy. In any case, we can certainly give you	20 21	and the edge of the store. The fact that you can get it in there does not mean that it's a reasonable thing to do, to
22	the page and cite on that, but we did ask to see it. You	22	actually try to wedge it into there.
23	know, it was never provided, because we thought, great,	23	Now, I think there's been some suggestion that,
24	that's actually great, because it would, we think, would	24	well, maybe the attendants will solve all the problem, that
25	verify exactly what we're saying as to where the cars fill	25	if you throw enough bodies at
	Page 163		
	Fage 103		Page 165
1	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between	1	Page 165 MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not
1 2		1 2	
	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a		MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S.
2	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes.
2 3	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco
2 3 4 5 6	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it and will cause a nuisance and traffic problems. I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not sure who's really going to be able to police keeping attendants out there all the time. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you know, cost-effectiveness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it and will cause a nuisance and traffic problems. I mean, we're not the only ones who thought that. I mean, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not sure who's really going to be able to police keeping attendants out there all the time. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you know, cost-effectiveness and all of that is not really a consideration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it and will cause a nuisance and traffic problems. I mean, we're not the only ones who thought that. I mean, I think you can start with the fact that Costco did not propose to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not sure who's really going to be able to police keeping attendants out there all the time. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you know, cost-effectiveness and all of that is not really a consideration THE WITNESS: Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it and will cause a nuisance and traffic problems. I mean, we're not the only ones who thought that. I mean, I think you can start with the fact that Costco did not propose to build this station here. Costco, when it was given a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not sure who's really going to be able to police keeping attendants out there all the time. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you know, cost-effectiveness and all of that is not really a consideration THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for me. My only consideration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it and will cause a nuisance and traffic problems. I mean, we're not the only ones who thought that. I mean, I think you can start with the fact that Costco did not propose to build this station here. Costco, when it was given a choice, it thought it didn't want to put it right here,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not sure who's really going to be able to police keeping attendants out there all the time. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you know, cost-effectiveness and all of that is not really a consideration THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for me. My only consideration regarding what you have been testifying is to the extent to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	up, but it was never provided. Our point being, as between theoretically how many cars do you put in a queuing area and personal observation of how many cars actually are in a queuing area, the observed facts trump theory every time. And we have observations that say, including satellite photos, that say you don't get the maximum cars in a queuing area all the time. So, you know, can I guarantee how often people are going to be spilling out there? I can't give you a minute-by-minute number, but on a busy Saturday, much less the holiday season, it's going to be far more than the numbers that were in Mr. Guckert's report based on every bit of evidence and observations we've seen to this date. Once it spills out, then it's going to cause a great deal of traffic issues on the mall, on the mall road, the ring road, going around there. Now, our suggestion is this is a bad place to build this station on top of all of the other issues about not building it at all, but this is a bad place to build it and will cause a nuisance and traffic problems. I mean, we're not the only ones who thought that. I mean, I think you can start with the fact that Costco did not propose to build this station here. Costco, when it was given a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Attendants, not attendance, not attendance with c-e, but at attendants THE WITNESS: S. MR. GROSSMAN: t-s, yes. THE WITNESS: Persons working for Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Right. THE WITNESS: attending the store, that perhaps if you just throw enough bodies at this parking area, that you can maybe manage to get everybody, I think Mr. Guckert used the term, you can just get them to scooch up everybody so they'd all fit within the queuing area and have as many as they thought could get in there and then they won't spill over as often. First off, of course, I think trying to have two or probably three attendants you may very well have to have, to try to keep that level of cohesion really undercuts the whole efficiency and cost-effectiveness approach that these kinds of stations are based on. I'm not sure who's really going to be able to police keeping attendants out there all the time. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you know, cost-effectiveness and all of that is not really a consideration THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for me. My only consideration

	Page 166		Page 168
1	THE WITNESS: Right, I understand.	1	THE WITNESS: moving on to the question as to
2	MR. GROSSMAN: not Costco business decision	2	the blocking of traffic on the road and whether the
3	type of problems.	3	attendants are going to be able to deal with that, again, I
4	THE WITNESS: But what I'm saying is, it's not	4	think that and I think Mr. Core made some of the same
5	something they're going to, you know, be happy to do. It's	5	point these are employees and they have a nice vest on
6	something that they will try to you know, anyone who's	6	and they're going to tell people, but whether people
7	trying to run the station at a cost-effective basis is going	7	actually are going to obey that is a different question. If
8	to try to do it as less, as little as they can, and somebody	8	you've been sitting there, you watched that whole long line
9	is going to have to be out there policing it, saying, okay,	9	of traffic, you see there are people coming up behind you,
10	now you need the attendant because they're not staying	10	you've been waiting already to get in there and somebody
11	within the queuing area, and who's the question of, who's	11	tells you to move away, go drive away and make a big circle
12	going to do that, who is really going to be responsible for	12	around the parking lot and come back, are you going to do
13	that? Are we going to have the Planning Board police	13	that? Maybe, maybe not, I think quite possibly not.
14	sitting out there, watching you?	14	I sat there at a meeting of the Wheaton
15	MR. GROSSMAN: No. DPS, Department of Permitting	15	Redevelopment Advisory Committee when Mr. Brann and
16	Services, is the enforcing agency for special exceptions,	16	Ms. Harris were there, and I recall Mr. Brann talking about
17	and if there's a complaint, then he will send out	17	the fact that one of their attendants almost had somebody
18	investigators.	18	get in a fistfight with them because they tried to just get
19	THE WITNESS: All right. And when he gets there,	19	them to turn off the car at the pump. Now, if people aren't
20 21	hours later, days later, and there's nobody backed up at that particular moment, you know, it's I'm just saying,	20	necessarily going to obey those kind of rules, are they can we really be assured that if somebody says go, get out
22	as a practical matter, you know, whether or not you can	21 22	of line, waste 10 minutes more and go around the parking lot
22	actually be sure that you have the attendants there when	22	and come back, are they going to do that? Maybe. I think
24	they need to be there, it's not really a very practical way	24	the answer is probably not. I think it's going to have
25	of saying that, well, we'll call somebody else in to	25	limited ability to really control the kind of blocking
	Page 167		Page 169
1	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think	1	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they
1 2	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make	1 2	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well,
2 3	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always	2 3	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added
2 3 4	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either.	2 3 4	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more
2 3 4 5	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for	2 3 4 5	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into
2 3 4 5 6	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if	2 3 4 5 6	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but
2 3 4 5 6 7	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for	2 3 4 5 6 7	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be the reality that we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real questions is, is an inherent, sort of normal kind of part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there. MR. GROSSMAN: If somebody is not complying and doesn't take steps to comply, it can certainly be shut down. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real questions is, is an inherent, sort of normal kind of part of the operation of a station to say it can only operate if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there. MR. GROSSMAN: If somebody is not complying and doesn't take steps to comply, it can certainly be shut down. THE WITNESS: Okay. It could be, I suppose, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real questions is, is an inherent, sort of normal kind of part of the operation of a station to say it can only operate if you have extra people standing there, constantly directing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there. MR. GROSSMAN: If somebody is not complying and doesn't take steps to comply, it can certainly be shut down. THE WITNESS: Okay. It could be, I suppose, in theory. In reality, I think that's not real likely. In any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real questions is, is an inherent, sort of normal kind of part of the operation of a station to say it can only operate if you have extra people standing there, constantly directing traffic throughout most of its existence? I don't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there. MR. GROSSMAN: If somebody is not complying and doesn't take steps to comply, it can certainly be shut down. THE WITNESS: Okay. It could be, I suppose, in theory. In reality, I think that's not real likely. In any case 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real questions is, is an inherent, sort of normal kind of part of the operation of a station to say it can only operate if you have extra people standing there, constantly directing traffic throughout most of its existence? I don't think that's a very normal situation for gas stations. Certainly,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	investigate any more than trying to get, as I think Mr. Baker made clear, trying to get somebody out to make sure the trucks never idle on the ring road doesn't always work too well either. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, there's a big incentive for them to comply because their special exception is at risk if they don't comply with conditions that are set up for THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: for the special exception if it's granted. So there is a big incentive THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: to comply when you've made a THE WITNESS: once you've already built the special exception MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and it's there, I mean, nobody's really going to make them shut the station down, I don't think, on the basis of whether or not somebody calls and says you didn't have an attendant out there. MR. GROSSMAN: If somebody is not complying and doesn't take steps to comply, it can certainly be shut down. THE WITNESS: Okay. It could be, I suppose, in theory. In reality, I think that's not real likely. In any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's going to go on there. And, okay, let's assume they do, they go out and they start circling endlessly. Well, that's not real good either. That means we've now added more driving around, more traffic, more slow speeds, more idling, more emissions, more of all the things that go into these calculations, not only as to the traffic effects but as to the kind of calculation Mr. Sullivan is going to do. His calculations assumed, again, a fairly idealized world, where people were all going to come in here, the vast majority of the time they were just going to park in the station, they weren't going to be idling on the ring road, they weren't going to be circling and circling, and I think that is not going to be the reality that we're going to see here, certainly not, I think, over the next couple of months. If this station was operational now, as the traffic multiplies itself for the holiday season, I think you're going to see a great deal more blocking and so forth. And certainly, you know, I think one of the real questions is, is an inherent, sort of normal kind of part of the operation of a station to say it can only operate if you have extra people standing there, constantly directing traffic throughout most of its existence? I don't think

	Page 170		Page 172
1	road, but there they have police telling you to do and	1	are not going to be
2	you've got lots of traffic sitting there behind you, blowing	2	MR. GROSSMAN: So you're talking about the
3	their horns and everything else. So I think it's really a	3	revised, the August 16th, 2013, assumptions regarding
4	non-inherent sort of operating system in which you say this	4	queuing?
5	station will only work if it has extra people there,	5	THE WITNESS: Well, both, both, both the
6	standing there, constantly directing traffic to try to keep	6	assumptions, both, both sets of them. Even with his
7	the area working.	7	original assumptions, we were going to suggest that his
8	So one of our major components for our concerns	8	numbers I mean, he's already stated in his testimony a
9	here obviously are the likely impacts on the traffic in the	9	number of times that the assumptions that he has put in
10	traditional sense that we look at in special exceptions: Is	10	about queuing for, I believe it was the eight-hour and the
11	it going to affect those trying to maneuver around the	11	24-hour figures, both of those are understated. If you
12	special exception area? Is there going to be backups, going	12	recall, he did that whole calculation with I'm assuming 20
13	to be delay? Is it going to create a general nuisance for	13	cars and the actual data from Sterling says I should have
14	those trying to use the mall parcel, all the other people	14	used 32 cars, so I'm going to scale up the queuing parts of
15	that aren't coming to the gas station and aren't going to	15	my numbers by so much. He's discussed that, but he has
16	the Costco? Again, also, will it create more cars idling	16	never gone back and actually put that into his calculations
17	closer to homes, creating more noise than is being assumed	17	and his assumptions. He's just said, well, it doesn't get
18	will happen? Will there be car horns blaring when somebody	18	me high enough to make a problem, so I'm just, I'm going to
19	gets mad about sitting in line too long, waiting, trying to	19	tell you about this but I'm never actually going to change
20	get past, and so forth? So all of that is the traditional	20	my data to show that. And he's never actually
21	sort of problems.	21	MR. GROSSMAN: If I recall, he said it was a small
22	The other major reason of concern for us, of course, is that, as I say, this queuing and idling we think	22	increment when you looked at it over the whole period of time of operation.
23 24	will play out in reality as being much more intensive than	23 24	THE WITNESS: I understand he's got his reasons
25	is being assumed at this point, is because of the impacts on	25	why he doesn't think it's important, but
23		23	
	Page 171		Page 173
1		1	
1	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as	1	Page 173 MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what
	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases		MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what
2	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection?
2 3	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well,
2 3 4	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he
2 3 4 5	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his
2 3 4 5 6	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may
2 3 4 5 6 7	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars idling here, I am assuming there will not be a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again THE WITNESS: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars idling here, I am assuming there will not be a lot of backup, and those are things that I think what we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I'm just saying that, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars idling here, I am assuming there will not be a lot of backup, and those are things that I think what we're trying to put into the record right now is to show that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I'm just saying that, that the issue of accuracy is important to the extent it makes a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars idling here, I am assuming there will not be a lot of backup, and those are things that I think what we're trying to put into the record right now is to show that those assumptions are not necessarily valid. Obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I'm just saying that, that the issue of accuracy is important to the extent it makes a difference in terms of the outcome.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars idling here, I am assuming there will not be a lot of backup, and those are things that I think what we're trying to put into the record right now is to show that those assumptions are not necessarily valid. Obviously Mr. Cole, Dr. Cole was going to do more with saying what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I'm just saying that, that the issue of accuracy is important to the extent it makes a difference in terms of the outcome. THE WITNESS: I understand, but until you put all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the emissions analysis. That analysis is only as good as the factual inputs that are being used and the factual bases for those inputs. When you had very conservative assumptions, you could perhaps set aside some of these concerns and say, as Mr. Sullivan did on July 30th, before he had his problem, that, well, okay, I can, I can double the traffic for holiday times or I can double it for the MOVES analysis, for the MOBILE6, I can do all these kinds of things that will raise the levels of emissions because I have so much leeway. Well, now we don't have that leeway anymore. Now it becomes really much more critical. The tighter you make your assumptions, the more accurate you refine your assumptions to be, the more critical you look at whether or not some of these assumptions really are going to be valid. And again, as we say, there's a lot of things some of his things you could make from actual numbers he could use, but a lot of these things he's assuming: I am assuming there will not be cars idling here, I am assuming there will not be a lot of backup, and those are things that I think what we're trying to put into the record right now is to show that those assumptions are not necessarily valid. Obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Do you agree with my recollection? Is that what THE WITNESS: I understand him to say that, well, it wasn't that big of a change, but the point is, is he doing accurately you know, he's accurately refining his reports all the time, but somehow his accuracy generally seems to go towards where it allows him to reduce his numbers. When the accuracy goes to increasing them, he may talk about it but he hasn't put those in there yet. So I think it is important to just be sure that all of his assumptions become as accurate as possible if that's the way he wants to go. MR. GROSSMAN: That's fair in its weight, but I mean, it's not, it's not significant if the difference is not significant, which is what I think he testified to. THE WITNESS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: So I don't know if it is or it isn't. I'd have to go back and look at the numbers again THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I'm just saying that, that the issue of accuracy is important to the extent it makes a difference in terms of the outcome.

	Page 174		Page 176
1	have only half of the corrections made because there may be	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Well, let's see where this
2	other changes that need to be made and they're not there.	2	is going. 1
3	So that's what we're getting at. We want to be sure that we	3	THE WITNESS: All I'm really going to say is that
4	have that the accuracy doesn't all go to reducing these.	4	our concern is that in the rule, when we weed it through and
5	And, as I say, when you were using very conservative	5	it gets discussed in detail, it actually discusses a whole
6	assumptions, theoretically, then it may have been less	6	continuum of effects well below the 190 as it relates to
7	important; but as the conservatism goes down and down, it	7	areas around
8	becomes more important that he puts all of the accurate	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I presume that Dr. Cole is
9	assumptions in there.	9	going to address that, right?
10	Let's see. And certainly that's going to become	10	THE WITNESS: Right, and I just
11	very important, I think Dr. Cole is going to talk about with	11	MR. GROSSMAN: So, actually, let's
12	respect to the NO2, in particular, because and, again,	12	THE WITNESS: Right. So
13	I'm not going to get into how it's measuring but I'm just	13	MR. GROSSMAN: why don't you stick to the
14	looking at the rule, and we've been looking at a number of	14	queuing, and then we'll
15	like 190, this 190	15	THE WITNESS: Okay. So I just
16	MR. GROSSMAN: You say you're looking at the rule.	16	MR. GROSSMAN: we'll let Dr. Cole do the
17	What	17	THE WITNESS: But that's the reason why we're
18	THE WITNESS: The rule, the EPA rule	18	looking at these issues, because the queuing relates to
19	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	19	these emissions and to the fact that there's a great deal of
20	THE WITNESS: that puts in the that sets	20	additional concern just beyond the 190, going out to the
21	that standard, that Federal Register rule that we've put in.	21	area around the queuing area, out to the homes and so forth.
22	And I guess we haven't actually put it all in yet, but we'll	22	MR. GROSSMAN: I see. The 190, I think, is the
23	have that in before the end of the day. And the numbers in	23	parts per billion, isn't it?
24	there, the 190, as you'll see, when it gets discussed	24	THE WITNESS: No, no. One hundred is parts per
25	further, it's not just that 190 is the only number out	25	billion.
	D 175		
	Page 175		Page 177
1	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's	1	Page 177 MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and
1 2		1 2	
	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's		MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and
2	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms
2 3	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per
2 3 4	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification,	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed.
2 3 4 5	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about.	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay.
2 3 4 5 6	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes
2 3 4 5 6 7	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard?	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman, excuse me, I was under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: Any cross-examination from the Coalition? MR. SILVERMAN: No, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman, excuse me, I was under the understanding that Ms. Cordry was going to be testifying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: Any cross-examination from the Coalition? MR. SILVERMAN: No, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Applicant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman, excuse me, I was under the understanding that Ms. Cordry was going to be testifying about queuing, and while I understand there's some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: Any cross-examination from the Coalition? MR. SILVERMAN: No, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Applicant? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman, excuse me, I was under the understanding that Ms. Cordry was going to be testifying about queuing, and while I understand there's some relationship, if we had thought she was getting into this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: Any cross-examination from the Coalition? MR. SILVERMAN: No, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Applicant? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman, excuse me, I was under the understanding that Ms. Cordry was going to be testifying about queuing, and while I understand there's some relationship, if we had thought she was getting into this level of detail, we would have certainly had Mr. Sullivan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: Any cross-examination from the Coalition? MR. SILVERMAN: No, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Applicant? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HARRIS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there. That's the maximum number anywhere that it's supposed to be. And what they do make clear in the rule and, again, obviously we'll discuss this further MS. ROSENFELD: Karen, just for clarification, identify what pollutants you're talking about. THE WITNESS: I'm talking about the NO2 pollutant. MS. ROSENFELD: And which standard? THE WITNESS: And the EPA standard that MR. GROSSMAN: The National Ambient Air Quality Standard. THE WITNESS: Air Quality Standard, right, the one that MR. GROSSMAN: The 190 pertains THE WITNESS: To the micrograms per meter cubed of the MR. GROSSMAN: Per cubic meter, but for which one of the time measures? THE WITNESS: For the one-hour measurement. MR. GROSSMAN: The one-hour measurements. MS. HARRIS: Mr. Grossman, excuse me, I was under the understanding that Ms. Cordry was going to be testifying about queuing, and while I understand there's some relationship, if we had thought she was getting into this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, 100 is parts per billion, and 190 is the micrograms THE WITNESS: One ninety is the micrograms per meter cubed. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, okay. THE WITNESS: Right. And that, that becomes important that as we look at the bigger picture and where the idling may take place, where it changes, all of that can be important there. Let me see. I think, I think that was all I had to say. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So that completes your testimony in this THE WITNESS: That completes my direct testimony on this, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Cross-examination from Kensington View? MS. SHEARD: No. MR. GROSSMAN: Any cross-examination from the Coalition? MR. SILVERMAN: No, sir. MR. GROSSMAN: Applicant? MS. HARRIS: Yes. Thank you. Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION

	Page 178		Page 180
1	Elkridge, Beltsville, Leesburg, and Frederick, is that	1	say, I'm looking at these for the first time, but in looking
2	correct?	2	through them, there do appear to be some minor differences
3	A Right, uh-huh.	3	between different ones. The Richmond one is probably, which
4	MS. HARRIS: And I'd note just that those are	4	is this three-block one/piece on the kind of right-hand
5	Exhibits 343, 345, 344, 350, and 351.	5	side, that appears to be the one that's most different from
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	6	the rest of them.
7	BY MS. HARRIS:	7	Q So some have open entrances; some have single
8	Q And what I want to do is show a few more	8	funneled entrances. Some have one entrance to the queue
9	additional sites, which are White Marsh, Glen Burnie,	9	area; others have two or more entrances. I mean, there's
10	Brandywine, Durham, North Carolina, and Richmond.	10	that type of variation, you agree?
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.	11	A I see variation in them, yes.
12	BY MS. HARRIS:	12	Q And in some instances, vehicles, after they're
13	Q I'll give you a moment to take a look at those.	13	done pumping, may in fact drive through a drive, the parking
14	MS. ROSENFELD: Do you have one more for	14	lot in terms, while they're exiting; that's another such
15	Ms. Adelman?	15	as Glen Burnie, White Marsh, Brandywine?
16	MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, you may have given me	16	A Well, Glen Burnie, it looks to me like they exit
17	you gave me two copies, I believe.	17	out and away. I mean, the parking lot looks to me to be on
18	MS. ADELMAN: Oh, can I have one back?	18	the back side of the station there. I mean, there's a very
19	MS. HARRIS: There we go.	19	small number of parking spaces, it looks like, on the side
20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So do you want these	20	of the station, but the main area, the parking lot looks
21	marked as a package or as individual if this is a	21	like it's behind and away from where you would exit the
22	package, we can do it as a	22	parking the gas station, if I'm, if I have the
23	MS. HARRIS: That's fine, a package is fine.	23	orientation on that correctly.
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So we're talking about	24	Q I'm sorry. In Glen Burnie, if you came out and
25	Exhibit 356.	25	then if you
	Page 170		Page 181
	Page 179		Page 181
1	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of	1	A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said
2	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or	2	A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh.
2 3	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3	A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You saidWhite Marsh.Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no.	2 3 4	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay.	2 3 4 5	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a)	2 3 4 5 6	A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh.Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct?A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. [Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for identification.) MS. HARRIS: Good. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one, it's located well away from the store and the main parking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for identification.) MS. HARRIS: Good. Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one, it's located well away from the store and the main parking is not something that people have to transit the gas station
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for identification.) MS. HARRIS: Good. Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Ms. Cordry, in taking a look at these and other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one, it's located well away from the store and the main parking is not something that people have to transit the gas station to park their cars.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for identification.) MS. HARRIS: Good. Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Ms. Cordry, in taking a look at these and other ones that are already in the record, you'd agree that none	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one, it's located well away from the store and the main parking is not something that people have to transit the gas station to park their cars. Q And if you look, observe some of the other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at The aready and 356(e) were marked for identification.) MS. HARRIS: Good. Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Ms. Cordry, in taking a look at these and other ones that are already in the record, you'd agree that none of these stations is, none of the stations are identical?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one, it's located well away from the store and the main parking is not something that people have to transit the gas station to park their cars. Q And if you look, observe some of the other pictures, you see different scenarios as well, but you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Just as a question, were any of these ever in the record before or BY MS. HARRIS: Q No. They were just no. A Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So 356(a) THE WITNESS: I have you gave me two sets as well. I will attempt to look at these, having never seen them before. MR. GROSSMAN: 356(a) is aerial photo of Costco gas station at White Marsh, Maryland, and 356(b) is the same but at Glen Burnie, Maryland, and 356(c) is the same but at Brandywine, Maryland, and 356(d), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Durham, North Carolina, and 356(e), the same but at Richmond, Virginia. Okay. (Exhibit Nos. 356(a) through 356(e) were marked for identification.) MS. HARRIS: Good. Thank you. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Ms. Cordry, in taking a look at these and other ones that are already in the record, you'd agree that none	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A Oh, I'm sorry. I'm saying White Marsh. You said White Marsh. Q At White Marsh, if you exited, whether you went left or right, you would nonetheless have to drive through a portion of the parking lot to exit, is that correct? A Well, it certainly looks to me like you exit at the very back of the parking lot. There is a small amount of, very small amount of parking behind there, but certainly, the major area of the parking is behind the station, and you would not be driving through that main portion of the Q But you're driving through a drive aisle, is that correct, a parking lot drive aisle? A At the back of the White Marsh? Yes. Q Either way, actually, yes. Okay. So A It's really Q wouldn't you agree excuse me? A But I would note that it is, again, with this one, it's located well away from the store and the main parking is not something that people have to transit the gas station to park their cars. Q And if you look, observe some of the other

	Page 182		Page 184
1	site? Do you agree with that?	1	problem and showing ones where there isn't a problem
2	A Well, I would certainly agree that he would	2	ultimately convinces me one way or the other. It's just a
3	probably design things differently on different sites.	3	fact of human nature, as
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it didn't exactly answer the	4	MS. HARRIS: True, but I think
5	question.	5	MR. GROSSMAN: Cordry says, that
6	THE WITNESS: Well	6	MS. HARRIS: to be shown a picture where there
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Her question was things would	7	are four queue lanes
8	happen differently because of variations in stations at	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
9	different sites. Is that that's the question.	9	MS. HARRIS: where here there is eight, that
10	THE WITNESS: I thought she said, I thought she	10	there is clearly going to be a difference in terms of the
11	was talking about the day he designed it	11	impact during the peak hour of the station.
12	BY MS. HARRIS:	12	MR. GROSSMAN: What I'm saying is I guess I buy
13	Q No. I'll repeat the question. Based on the	13	both your points. I buy Ms. Cordry's point that there are
14	varying site configuration, one could not necessarily	14	going to be variations from the perfectly lined up
15	conclude that what happens on one site will necessarily	15	MS. HARRIS: Yes.
16	happen on another site?	16	MR. GROSSMAN: vehicles that were, you know,
17	A Well, yes, because the sites are set up	17	shown in the plan, and I buy your point that they're going
18 19	differently, they will have different effects, yes. Q Okay. And that this could actually depend on a	18 19	to be different at different stations, they're different configurations.
20	number of things, including the surrounding drive aisles,	20	MS. HARRIS: Okay.
20	whether it's public or private roads, the parking lots,	20	MR. GROSSMAN: So I didn't want to waste a lot of
22	whatever. Those are all variations that could influence it,	22	time on that issue.
23	correct?	23	MS. HARRIS: Okay.
24	A Yes, and that's why I was talking very	24	BY MS. HARRIS:
25	specifically about this site	25	Q And if I could, going back to the attendant,
	Page 183		Page 185
1	Page 183 Q Right. Okay.	1	Page 185 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant
1 2	Q Right. Okay.A and the problems I saw here.		though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't
	Q Right. Okay.A and the problems I saw here.Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are		though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant
2	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different 	2 3	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest?
2 3 4 5	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. 	2 3 4 5	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different 	2 3 4 5 6	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up 	2 3 4 5 6 7	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair point, by the way, that human behavior being what it is, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of them, that that's part of their job.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair point, by the way, that human behavior being what it is, that there are going to be spaces, that it's not going to all exactly line up the way the plan shows? MS. HARRIS: I think that's probably true, which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of them, that that's part of their job. Q No. In fact, I believe that Mr. Brann testified or Mr. Hurlocker testified that that is not their job, that their job is to be taking care of the customer at the pump
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair point, by the way, that human behavior being what it is, that there are going to be spaces, that it's not going to all exactly line up the way the plan shows? MS. HARRIS: I think that's probably true, which is exactly why having an attendant out there who can do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of them, that that's part of their job. Q No. In fact, I believe that Mr. Brann testified or Mr. Hurlocker testified that that is not their job, that their job is to be taking care of the customer at the pump and monitoring, regulating that process and it is not queue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair point, by the way, that human behavior being what it is, that there are going to be spaces, that it's not going to all exactly line up the way the plan shows? MS. HARRIS: I think that's probably true, which is exactly why having an attendant out there who can do queue management would, would address the problem. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of them, that that's part of their job. Q No. In fact, I believe that Mr. Brann testified or Mr. Hurlocker testified that that is not their job, that their job is to be taking care of the customer at the pump and monitoring, regulating that process and it is not queue management, which is why Costco had specifically agreed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair point, by the way, that human behavior being what it is, that there are going to be spaces, that it's not going to all exactly line up the way the plan shows? MS. HARRIS: I think that's probably true, which is exactly why having an attendant out there who can do queue management would, would address the problem. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. All I'm saying is that I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of them, that that's part of their job. Q No. In fact, I believe that Mr. Brann testified or Mr. Hurlocker testified that that is not their job, that their job is to be taking care of the customer at the pump and monitoring, regulating that process and it is not queue management, which is why Costco had specifically agreed to an attendant during the peak hour to do just that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q Right. Okay. A and the problems I saw here. Q Okay. But the problems that you see here are based in part on observations you've made at very different stations. A Well, what I was suggesting at very different stations was the difficulty with getting people to line up and fill in spaces exactly in the way that a maximum space would be, and I don't think that has anything to do with drive aisles or anything else. I think that has to do with the fact of human behavior and the way that configurations are laid out and that's why I saw problems with the couple other ones that I was pointing out and some of the issues you could see there and some of the reasons I saw problems here. Q But the number MR. GROSSMAN: Don't you think that's a fair point, by the way, that human behavior being what it is, that there are going to be spaces, that it's not going to all exactly line up the way the plan shows? MS. HARRIS: I think that's probably true, which is exactly why having an attendant out there who can do queue management would, would address the problem. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 though, you seem to indicate that, well, that the attendant you seem to suggest that for some reason people wouldn't listen to the attendant. Is that what you were trying to suggest? A Well, I think people will listen to the attendant when it happens to coincide with their best interest and that if you can show them where there's a pump that isn't being filled, they may very well do that. I'll have to say, when I was at Elkridge, I didn't see a whole lot of him pointing people to a pump there, but but will they listen to him when he's telling them to get out of line and go drive away and come back and waste some more time here? I think that's less likely. Q But at Elkridge is there an attendant that is specifically assigned to queue management? A Well, there's an attendant who's at the station. I assume that that, from what I understand, that all of them, that that's part of their job. Q No. In fact, I believe that Mr. Brann testified or Mr. Hurlocker testified that that is not their job, that their job is to be taking care of the customer at the pump and monitoring, regulating that process and it is not queue management, which is why Costco had specifically agreed to

	Page 186		Page 188
1	what, I think I also remember him saying, if it ever backed	1	adjustments and a lot of changes and things like that and
2	up, then they would bring out additional attendants at any	2	coming up with these assumptions as to how many cars there
3	particular store. And certainly, I did see them back there	3	would be and therefore how many people that would be on it.
4	at the back at one point, but you know	4	I don't think the official queuing numbers are 49, in any
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Both of you are correct again.	5	case. I think, I think it would be extremely hard for us to
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you. I certainly didn't	6	get 49 cars on there as opposed to, say, 45. But in any
7	recall someone saying	7	case, what I'm saying is what we've been able to observe is
8	MR. GROSSMAN: It's good to be able to say that.	8	that it's not at all uncommon that you don't get if you
9	THE WITNESS: I certainly didn't recall any	9	think you can get 45 on there, that you actually end up
10	testimony that he was not	10	start spilling over far before 45.
11	MR. SILVERMAN: Both of you are wrong.	11	Q Okay. So assume for a moment that it's not a
12	THE WITNESS: supposed to try to manage the	12 13	perfect science and, in fact, you don't get the 49 within the queue area.
13 14	queue. BY MS. HARRIS:	14	A Or 45.
15	Q I mean, one of your scenarios was, if you had two	15	Q Or 45. There was testimony, nonetheless, that if
16	long lines of queues I'm looking at Exhibit 231 if the	16	there were to be queues outside the queue area, then what
17	two center line queues are very long, then it wouldn't allow	17	the queue attendant would do is let people know that they
18	for people the opportunity to come over and go to either the	18	could not queue on the ring road. Do you recall that?
19	queues further to the east or the west, but wouldn't it in	19	A And that's what I'm saying. That, that, I think,
20	fact be in someone's best interest to go over to one of	20	is the part where, if you suggest
21	those queues? And if in fact the attendant were telling	21	Q Okay.
22	them to go over there, why would they resist that?	22	A that people should go and drive away and come
23	A I'm not saying they would resist it. What I'm	23	back, that that's going to be very difficult for people to
24	saying is that just as with these other stations here, that	24	be willing to do.
25	the queues get there one gets there, one line gets	25	Q Right, because what you suggested is if you've
	Dogo 197		Dogo 490
	Page 187		Page 189
1	longer, and the person there has, for whatever reason, the		waited in line to get in there, you're not going to want to
2	car doesn't go out as fast and then another one comes and it	2	turn away. But if the first person queuing is told you
3	gets in a place and it backs up. People, they just get into place helter-skelter, not necessarily in these nice single	3	can't queue there, then no one else is in line, waiting to be queued, correct?
4	little lines, and then as the more helter-skelter you get,	5	A Well, again, that assumes, in the first place,
6	the easier it is to start backing up. People come in the	6	that the attendant is going to come out there in the middle
7	center; if they can't get over to the edges, it backs up.	7	of that ring road and be trying to tell those people,
8	That's all I'm saying. It's not that anybody's trying not	8	especially the ones coming from the left-hand side, is going
9	to go. I mean, I'm sure they don't have any great desire to	9	to try to walk out there and tell them don't sit here. I
10	spend time in line there, but he can't get them out of the	10	think that's going to be a little (a) difficult and (b) a
11	queue line any faster than the cars get through the pumps	11	little hazardous for him as well.
12	and leave.	12	Q How does this differ from private educational
13	Q And didn't wait. Wasn't there also testimony	13	institutions, which often have a condition that says during
14	that if in fact well, first of all, did, you'd recall	14	pickup and drop-off, you need someone out on the public
15	that Mr. Guckert testified that it would be, that there	15	road, managing queues?
16	would not be queues on the ring road if in fact the 49 cars	16	A Well, for one thing, those usually would (a) run
17		17	for perhaps a half or so in the morning and the afternoon as opposed to all day long, and also, as I understand, they
	could be accommodated on the site, correct?		opposed to all day jong and also as Lunderstand they
18	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that	18	
18 19	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the	19	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away
18 19 20	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the 49 cars were all that were queuing up, then yes, you	19 20	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away from that. I mean, I'm not saying that you would never have
18 19 20 21	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the 49 cars were all that were queuing up, then yes, you wouldn't have cars in the ring road. But I think, again, a	19 20 21	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away from that. I mean, I'm not saying that you would never have an attendant there, and I think, you know, sometimes you
18 19 20 21 22	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the 49 cars were all that were queuing up, then yes, you wouldn't have cars in the ring road. But I think, again, a lot of his testimony was based on his conception as to how	19 20 21 22	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away from that. I mean, I'm not saying that you would never have an attendant there, and I think, you know, sometimes you have to have at the peak holiday times, we have, you
18 19 20 21 22 23	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the 49 cars were all that were queuing up, then yes, you wouldn't have cars in the ring road. But I think, again, a lot of his testimony was based on his conception as to how many cars there would be based on, as I say, going back to	19 20 21	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away from that. I mean, I'm not saying that you would never have an attendant there, and I think, you know, sometimes you have to have at the peak holiday times, we have, you know, coming into the mall where they have, you know, people
18 19 20 21 22	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the 49 cars were all that were queuing up, then yes, you wouldn't have cars in the ring road. But I think, again, a lot of his testimony was based on his conception as to how many cars there would be based on, as I say, going back to Sterling and Elkridge and taking his idealized numbers and	19 20 21 22 23	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away from that. I mean, I'm not saying that you would never have an attendant there, and I think, you know, sometimes you have to have at the peak holiday times, we have, you know, coming into the mall where they have, you know, people to help do that, but I think it's really a different
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Well, I think what Mr. Guckert was saying was that based on well, if you could put 49 cars on a site and the 49 cars were all that were queuing up, then yes, you wouldn't have cars in the ring road. But I think, again, a lot of his testimony was based on his conception as to how many cars there would be based on, as I say, going back to	19 20 21 22 23 24	usually try to set things up so that they keep people away from that. I mean, I'm not saying that you would never have an attendant there, and I think, you know, sometimes you have to have at the peak holiday times, we have, you know, coming into the mall where they have, you know, people

	Page 190		Page 192
1	day one that essentially eight, nine, 10, 12 hours a day	1	Q Take a look at these and give me a sense of where
2	you're going to have this kind of problem and have somebody	2	at these stations is there a situation that's reflective of
3	there? I think that's a very different situation from	3	what you're imagining is going to happen most of the day at
4	something saying, for a very short period of time, at the	4	Wheaton.
5	drop-off and pickup time you're going to have, you know, an	5	A Well, since I don't know what time of day these
6	issue.	6	pictures were taken, I have no idea whether they're going to
7	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know	7	be consistent with that or not, whether these were taken
8	BY MS. HARRIS:	8	when the warehouse was open or not, for instance, what day
9	Q Let's go back to the photos that we	9	of the week these were taken. I can't find a
10	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm going to stop you for a second	10	Q Well, let's go to 356
11	on, you said eight, nine, 10 hours a day you would have this	11	MS. ROSENFELD: Objection. Mr. Grossman, I truly
12	problem. I mean, I don't think anybody is suggesting that	12	don't see the relevance in we have no idea what kind of
13	there's always going to be backups.	13	volume these stations do. We don't know what time of day
14	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that, I don't know	14	they were taken. There's no correlation has been
15	MR. GROSSMAN: So it's only a period. There are	15	established on cross-examination between the design of these
16	some peak times that	16	stations and the one that's before us. I just truly don't
17	THE WITNESS: Well, it will be intermittent times,	17	see the relevance of this line of questioning to what's
18	but I think if you looked at the chart that Mr. Sullivan put	18	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, as to the design, it's clear
19	in in his August report about the scaler, that he showed	19	that, that you've introduced a number of pictures of ones
20	that	20	that have different designs. So that's a
21	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	21	good-for-the-gander question there. If they're relevant in
22	THE WITNESS: I mean, it showed pretty high,	22	your evidence, they're relevant in hers. As to the time of
23	pretty consistent numbers for most of the time period from	23	day, that's a much different question because I see from
24	10:00 a.m. to, say, 7:00 p.m. It wasn't, you know, it	24	just looking at 356(a), I don't know if I see any cars at
25	didn't mean every minute during all that time, but it wasn't	25	the gas station. I'm not sure whether it was open
		-	
	Page 191		Page 193
1	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a	1	MS. HARRIS: But I would
1 2	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to	1 2	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think
	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level		MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that
2	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue	2	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any
2 3	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue.	2 3	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed
2 3 4	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well
2 3 4 5	that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he 	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MR. GROSSMAN: Not MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MR. GROSSMAN: Not MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 352. Take a look at these and tell me, count the cars 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 352. Take a look at these and tell me, count the cars MR. GROSSMAN: 352 or the ones you just marked 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: And then, second of all, if one looks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 352. Take a look at these and tell me, count the cars MR. GROSSMAN: 352 or the ones you just marked are 356. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: And then, second of all, if one looks carefully, there are there is in fact a car in the far
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 352. Take a look at these and tell me, count the cars MR. GROSSMAN: 352 or the ones you just marked are 356. MS. ROSENFELD: 352? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: And then, second of all, if one looks carefully, there are there is in fact a car in the far right lane on 356(a) and there's, there's another, there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 352. Take a look at these and tell me, count the cars MR. GROSSMAN: 352 or the ones you just marked are 356. MS. ROSENFELD: 352? MS. HARRIS: Yes oh, I'm sorry, 356. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: And then, second of all, if one looks carefully, there are there is in fact a car in the far right lane on 356(a) and there's, there's another, there's a couple of cars nosing out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that there was only a very small peak of time. There was a very high peak of time where they were operating at 90 to 100 percent of the maximum and that's the kind of level where it doesn't take very much for a free-flowing issue to all of a sudden become a backup issue. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Do you recall Mr. Guckert testifying that 50 percent of the time there would be no more than two cars in the queue? A I understand he said that. I also looked at the fact that I believe he was using a number of hours when the station was closed. At Sterling, for instance, I think he was including hours after 7 o'clock on a weekend night when the station wasn't open. So I think he had a lot of zeros in there that really weren't appropriately there. Q I want to go back to Exhibit 352, which was randomly selected, just the most recent pictures that are on Google Earth that we pulled, I'm sorry, the yes, it was 352. Take a look at these and tell me, count the cars MR. GROSSMAN: 352 or the ones you just marked are 356. MS. ROSENFELD: 352? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HARRIS: But I would MR. GROSSMAN: when it was taken. So I think that time of day would be a significant factor to that question because, if you're going to say are there any problems and the gas station is closed MS. HARRIS: Well MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that's fair. MS. ROSENFELD: And the day of the week and the volume that this particular gas station sells. MS. HARRIS: Well, actually, if you look at 356(a), I'd make two observations. One is, there are cars in the Costco parking lot, which suggests that the warehouse is open and MR. GROSSMAN: The warehouse, but as I understand it, the gas station MS. HARRIS: Is MR. GROSSMAN: Not MS. HARRIS: always open when the warehouse is open. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. MS. HARRIS: And then, second of all, if one looks carefully, there are there is in fact a car in the far right lane on 356(a) and there's, there's another, there's a

	Page 194		Page 196
1	THE WITNESS: I mean, I, I do not suggest, and I	1	THE WITNESS: It was either very late 2009 or very
2	think what my own observations were, that it's not that	2	early 2010. I'm thinking early January 2010. Someone, it
3	there is never a time when there is never cars lined up.	3	might have been Donna Savage, told me about that they heard
4	I'm just saying that the evidence that we have indicates	4	that there was this proposal to build a Costco warehouse and
5	that most many times a day, many days, for many hours	5	to have a gas station with it.
6	there will be large numbers of cars lined up and causing	6	BY MS. HARRIS:
7	problems.	7	Q And the aerials that you, that you distributed,
8	MR. GROSSMAN: But I'm going to have to respond to	8	Exhibits 345, 350, and 351, those are from Google Earth, is
9	the objection here. As to the time-of-day issues and so on,	9	that correct?
10	I would agree that, that the relevance of this becomes much	10	A Yes.
11	more questionable if I don't know what time of day it is and	11	Q And you didn't necessarily choose the first image
12	so on. So I'm not I'm going to overrule the objection to	12	that came up on Google Earth, is that correct?
13	the extent that the pictures show other stations, just like	13	A No. I was picking ones for a particular purpose,
14	the opposition's pictures did, but the amount of weight I	14	which was to show the question of whether or not queuing
15	can give it in terms of showing anything is very much	15	would necessarily always be nice and neat and take up the
16	reduced by the fact that I don't know when they were taken.	16	maximum area. So I was picking ones where I could show some
17	THE WITNESS: Right.	17	issues with respect to the way the queuing could operate.
18	MR. GROSSMAN: So	18	Q And so to get to those pictures, in fact, you
19	THE WITNESS: I mean, I look at the White Marsh	19	jumped over a number of pictures where they showed that
20	one, parking lot looks maybe half full, maybe less;	20	there was no queuing problem, is that correct?
21	considerably less full, very relatively small numbers on	21	A They were different times. Again, I was not
22	the Glen Burnie one, and I'd say, when I go up to Wheaton in	22	looking specifically for the issue of whether or not that I
23	the morning at 6:00 a.m. or 7:00 a.m., there's a number of	23	was going to demonstrate from Google Earth, for a picture
24	cars there which, generally, I believe, probably are the	24	taken once a year or less often, what the overall queuing
25	employee cars. So that, that number doesn't look that	25	was at a station. I was looking for some pictures to
	Page 195		Page 197
1			
	inconsistent with that kind of a possibility	1	illustrate a particular point I was trying to make
1	inconsistent with that kind of a possibility.	1	illustrate a particular point I was trying to make.
2	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the	2	Q And that point being the worst-case scenario
2 3	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one	2 3	Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible?
2 3 4	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially	2 3 4	Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible?A My point was what I've just said, which was to
2 3 4 5	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars	2 3 4 5	Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible?A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you
2 3 4 5 6	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all	2 3 4 5 6	Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible?A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take
2 3 4 5 6	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space.
2 3 4 5 6 7	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible?A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Okay. I want to move on to the photos that you submitted. When did you first become aware of the Costco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development? A I'm sorry. 345?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Okay. I want to move on to the photos that you submitted. When did you first become aware of the Costco gas station?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development? A I'm sorry. 345? Q 345, which is the Elkridge site. A Find that picture. Q So the area pretty much directly east, yes, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Okay. I want to move on to the photos that you submitted. When did you first become aware of the Costco gas station? MR. GROSSMAN: Of which Costco gas station?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development? A I'm sorry. 345? Q 345, which is the Elkridge site. A Find that picture. Q So the area pretty much directly east, yes, the top right corner of the entire graph's area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Okay. I want to move on to the photos that you submitted. When did you first become aware of the Costco gas station?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development? A I'm sorry. 345? Q 345, which is the Elkridge site. A Find that picture. Q So the area pretty much directly east, yes, the top right corner of the entire graph's area. A Find every other picture but that one. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Okay. I want to move on to the photos that you submitted. When did you first become aware of the Costco gas station? MR. GROSSMAN: Of which Costco gas station? MS. HARRIS: The proposed Wheaton Costco gas station.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development? A I'm sorry. 345? Q 345, which is the Elkridge site. A Find that picture. Q So the area pretty much directly east, yes, the top right corner of the entire graph's area. A Find every other picture but that one. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The Brandywine, it's a pretty small area of the parking lot that's shown there. Some of it is full, one section; some of it, not too full. It has substantially more cars than some of the other pictures that had less cars there. The Durham one looks like not very many cars at all again, could very well be before the store is open. On the Richmond, Virginia, one, pretty full cars, pretty full parking lot, looks like you have your tanker set up right in the middle of the station, which is, looks like an odd configuration for me for where you'd want to put your tanker truck filling up, but it clearly looks like it's blocking a lane or two of cars there being able to get in the station, and they, they are backing up there behind the, you know, from the, from the pumps. So I guess that's what I could say about those. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Okay. I want to move on to the photos that you submitted. When did you first become aware of the Costco gas station? MR. GROSSMAN: Of which Costco gas station? MS. HARRIS: The proposed Wheaton Costco gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q And that point being the worst-case scenario possible? A My point was what I've just said, which was to illustrate that when you line cars up at a station, you don't always get people to line up nice and neat, you'd take up the maximum or the minimum space. Q On Exhibit 345 well, I understand from your testimony that one of the reasons that you're concerned about the queuing is because of where the special exception area is in relation to the residential area south, is that correct? A To the south, to the west, the pool, all of those areas, yes. Q Okay. And then if we go to Exhibit 345, are you aware that in the northeast corner, that that is in fact currently under construction for residential development? A I'm sorry. 345? Q 345, which is the Elkridge site. A Find that picture. Q So the area pretty much directly east, yes, the top right corner of the entire graph's area. A Find every other picture but that one. I'm sorry.

	Page 198		Page 200
1	A And where are you speaking now?	1	wasn't going to get into all of my traffic but I would
2	Q The entire graph's swath?	2	say that, yes, since the Costco warehouse has been built, I
3	A Okay, the area that's several hundred feet away,	3	have seen quite a few times one line backing up, going out
4	looks like? Don't really have a scale on that map, but	4	from the infamous Intersection 16 going down to University
5	looks	5	Boulevard and out out into University Boulevard, but I
6	Q Well	6	will, I was going to save my details on that until my later
7	A certainly not 125 feet.	7	testimony, but I've seen that. Other than that, in other
8	Q Is it not directly across the street from a	8	malls, at other times, really lines backing up, I mean,
9	three-lane road from the special exception area or from the	9	other than waiting at a traffic light to go out, generally,
10	gas area?	10	no, I would, I would say, it would not be usual to see
11	MS. ROSENFELD: Objection. Objection.	11	queues in a
12	Mr. Grossman, we have no idea what the setbacks for these	12	Q You don't have a situation where, for instance,
13	residential homes might be. The closest house could be, you	13	take Westfield at Montgomery Mall where you're trying to
14	know, scaled on this an inch away or the closest houses	14	turn into a parking area. The cars turn there's two cars
15	could be six inches away. There's, there's no facts in	15	in front of you, trying to turn in; you're waiting for that
16	evidence here for her to testify about.	16	car to turn
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, she can say that	17	A Well
18	THE WITNESS: Well, yeah. I mean	18	Q that's never happened to you?
19	MR. GROSSMAN: I mean, I don't think it's a	19	A Well, if you that isn't really what I'd call a
20	basis for an objection, but	20	queue if you say is there never a time where someone is
21	THE WITNESS: I mean, the simple answer is	21	waiting to turn before you turn, no. I would say that, yes,
22	okay. I'm sorry.	22	that has sometimes happened. I would also say, well, yeah,
23	MR. GROSSMAN: but if she can't answer the	23	okay, if you have more than one car turning left.
24	question, she can say she can't answer the question. It's	24	Q And it's a reasonable expectation of someone
25	your exhibit. So	25	coming to a regional mall area that, in fact, there may be
	Dorro 100		Page 201
	Page 199		Fage 201
1		1	
1	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say		two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to
1 2 3	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about	1 2 3	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall
2	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we	2	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property?
2 3	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I	2 3	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we	2 3 4	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any	2 3 4 5	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable	2 3 4 5 6	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If	2 3 4 5 6 7	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road. Q And the posted speed limit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of the year and ordinary days of the week, you stated that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road. Q And the posted speed limit? A I believe the posted speed limit, I think is 15.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of the year and ordinary days of the week, you stated that it would be a limited, perhaps limited number of hours, but do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road. Q And the posted speed limit? A I believe the posted speed limit, I think is 15. Q And have you ever seen queues or backups on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of the year and ordinary days of the week, you stated that it would be a limited, perhaps limited number of hours, but do you have experience or personal observations of the traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road. Q And the posted speed limit? A I believe the posted speed limit, I think is 15. Q And have you ever seen queues or backups on parking lot access roads or roads internal to a regional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of the year and ordinary days of the week, you stated that it would be a limited, perhaps limited number of hours, but do you have experience or personal observations of the traffic volumes at the mall during the holiday season?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road. Q And the posted speed limit? A I believe the posted speed limit, I think is 15. Q And have you ever seen queues or backups on parking lot access roads or roads internal to a regional mall parking lot?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of the year and ordinary days of the week, you stated that it would be a limited, perhaps limited number of hours, but do you have experience or personal observations of the traffic volumes at the mall during the holiday season? MR. GROSSMAN: You're talking about at Wheaton
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Right, but our exhibit did not say about MS. ROSENFELD: Well, we THE WITNESS: future development, which I really have no idea whether there is or is not any MR. GROSSMAN: But that's a perfectly acceptable answer. If THE WITNESS: Right, and that's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: you don't know anything about it, then THE WITNESS: Right. MR. GROSSMAN: then you can say that. BY MS. HARRIS: Q Assume for a moment, and just assuming, that under your scenario the system breaks down and there ends up being a queue on the ring road. A Okay. Q Is the ring road a public road or private road? A It's a private road. Q And the posted speed limit? A I believe the posted speed limit, I think is 15. Q And have you ever seen queues or backups on parking lot access roads or roads internal to a regional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 two, three, four cars ahead of them before they get to whatever destination they're headed to on that mall property? A Well, I would say one car or so, possibly. I would say three, four, those kind of numbers, I would think that was actually pretty unusual. I think you know, you usually have two lanes going both directions in a ring road. Usually you don't have long numbers of people waiting to turn into one particular spot, got room to go around behind somebody, which we're not going to have now if we only have one lane going from west to east. So you're going to have much more likelihood of cars backing up along there. MS. HARRIS: I have no other questions. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Any redirect? REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSENFELD: Q Ms. Cordry, with respect to the time that the attendants would be required during normal/ordinary times of the year and ordinary days of the week, you stated that it would be a limited, perhaps limited number of hours, but do you have experience or personal observations of the traffic volumes at the mall during the holiday season?

	Page 202		Page 204
1	MS. ROSENFELD: At Wheaton Mall.	1	percentage of them members of the Costco gas station that
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, because I, I do live just	2	there would possibly be higher volume or higher usage of the
3	a block and a half away and I	3	gas station itself?
4	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	4	A I would certainly expect so, yes.
5	Q And what are your observations of the traffic	5	Q And so given that, would you expect that there
6	levels at that time?	6	would be a higher likelihood of, of queuing at that time of
7	A Okay. At the entrances coming in, the one I I	7	year?
8	typically use either one or two entrances, either the one	8	A Yeah. I think it's a given that, yeah, that the
9	at, off of Veirs Mill by the Wendy's, which is actually the	9	higher the volume is, that the more you're going to have the
10	closest one to my house, or the Valley View entrance. I	10	backups, the more you're going to have the overflow and the
11	actually oftentimes go into Valley View because there are	11	spillage.
12	three traffic lights between my house and coming into the	12	Q With a potential domino effect?
13	mall	13	A Yes.
14	BY MS. ROSENFELD:	14	Q Okay.
15	Q Actually, Ms. Cordry, I'd like you	15	MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross from the Coalition?
16	A Sure.	16	MS. ADELMAN: No, thank you.
17	Q I'm really focused more on the traffic volumes	17	MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross from the applicant?
18	within this parking area A Okay. Oh, within the parking	18 19	MS. HARRIS: Just one moment. No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Cordry,
19			appreciate it. All right. So now I guess we're down to the
20 21	 Q and in the vicinity of the special exception. A Okay. Well, certainly, I would say, if we're not 	20 21	inimitable Dr. Adelman.
22	talking about the entrances here and certainly at the	22	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, drum roll.
23	holiday season there are, they have, oftentimes have an	23	MR. ADELMAN: Can we take about three minutes
24	attendant at the entrance by the Wendy's simply because	24	while I connect the computer and all that?
25	there's such a short area there, that it backs up very	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Absolutely, even four minutes for
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 203		Page 205
1	Page 203 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't	1	Page 205 you, sir.
1 2		1	
	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't		you, sir.
2	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up	2	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great.
2 3	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very	2 3	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00,
2 3 4	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it	2 3 4	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up?
2 3 4 5	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go	2 3 4 5	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll.
2 3 4 5 6	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's	2 3 4 5 6	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you
2 3 4 5 6 7	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there.	2 3 6 7 8 9	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a Saturday, just a regular Saturday on the, on the off season, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. Well,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a Saturday, just a regular Saturday on the, on the off season, so to speak, it typically will be very full, sometimes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. Well, are you going to be questioned by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a Saturday, just a regular Saturday on the, on the off season, so to speak, it typically will be very full, sometimes overflowing onto the ring road, more or less spaces on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. Well, are you going to be questioned by THE WITNESS: By yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a Saturday, just a regular Saturday on the, on the off season, so to speak, it typically will be very full, sometimes overflowing onto the ring road, more or less spaces on there. So I would certainly expect that as soon as the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. Well, are you going to be questioned by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a Saturday, just a regular Saturday on the, on the off season, so to speak, it typically will be very full, sometimes overflowing onto the ring road, more or less spaces on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. Well, are you going to be questioned by THE WITNESS: By yes. MR. GROSSMAN: somebody, or are you going to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 easily at the holiday season. But other than that, I don't believe I possibly, maybe occasionally an attendant up here by the Penney's because, again, you have kind of a very strange configuration here where it has to go around and it can, and traffic is coming this way and traffic trying to go this way, but other than that, I don't believe I've ever seen attendants in the back portion of the mall, certainly not back here, certainly not when there was the Hecht's here, and we didn't have attendants trying to direct traffic back there. Q But in terms of the amount of usage of parking, of the parking, surface parking area where, in that quadrant of the mall where the special exception is to be located A Yeah, right. Q how would you characterize the amount of parking as compared with other times in the year? A Well, we haven't really gone through a holiday season with the Costco yet in there, but right now on a Saturday, just a regular Saturday on the, on the off season, so to speak, it typically will be very full, sometimes overflowing onto the ring road, more or less spaces on there. So I would certainly expect that as soon as the holidays come, it is going to be full all the time. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you, sir. MR. ADELMAN: Four minutes, okay, that's great. MR. GROSSMAN: Let's just break until 10 to 3:00, and then you'll be all set up? MR. ADELMAN: Even be ready to rock and roll. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Dr. Adelman, have you finished your testimony yet? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I have. Any questions? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you state your full name and address, please? MR. ADELMAN: Yes, I will. I'm Mark Adelman. I live at 3206 University Boulevard West in Kensington, Maryland. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Would you raise your right hand, please? (Witness sworn.) MR. GROSSMAN: All right. You may proceed. Well, are you going to be questioned by THE WITNESS: By yes. MR. GROSSMAN: somebody, or are you going to do a narrative form?

	Page 206		Page 208
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	1	THE WITNESS: I'm impressed. Okay. Now, of
2	THE WITNESS: I presume Applicant and KHCA and	2	course, any PowerPoint talk, the bullet points are sort of
3	KVCA and lawyers will question me.	3	the baseline for what one's going to say, and it won't
4	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I think	4	surprise you to know that I have, in addition to the 66
5	THE WITNESS: But I will not do	5	slides, 20-some or almost 30-some pages of brilliant
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Duckett has ducked out. So,	6	comments that I intend to make, and if past history is any
7	SO	7	lesson, I will forget every single one of them. So I
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. No one will lead me. There	8	actually have in my notes an admonition to stop after every
9	was some discussion of that, and I said that trying to lead	9	slide to make sure that you have time to read the next slide
10	an academician in testimony was a hopeless endeavor. I'll	10	and that I have time to try and figure out what wisdom I'm
11	lead myself. Let's see.	11	going to use.
12	MR. GROSSMAN: All right, sir. This, I guess	12	MR. GROSSMAN: But as an educator, you know that
13	I, although you've certainly participated in this whole	13	you don't have to read it all. You can just
14	proceeding, I don't think you've testified at all. Am I	14	THE WITNESS: I don't intend to read anything
15	right?	15	MR. GROSSMAN: Thank you.
16	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	16	THE WITNESS: no. As a matter of fact
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Well, I'm	17	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
18	anxiously awaiting.	18	THE WITNESS: that's my, that's my forte. I
19	THE WITNESS: Except for some times when I managed	19	learn it beforehand, and I give it the best shot I can.
20	to sneak in testimony on cross-examination.	20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right, excellent.
21	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that's fine. That was fine.	21	THE WITNESS: So, let's see. Okay. We have been
22	Okay.	22	at this a very long time, and in the process I've learned a
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION	23	number of things: number one, I will never be a lawyer;
24	THE WITNESS: So let me start with well, let me	24	number two, I'll never give expert testimony I have
25	start by thanking the applicant's team for educating me. I	25	expertise, but I'm not an expert number three, I am never
	Page 207		Page 209
	-		-
1	learned a lot during this process so far.	1	going to understand the logic or the language of legal
2	MR. GROSSMAN: We've all learned a lot during this	2	proceedings, no matter how much I try, so and the fourth
3	process.	3	thing I've learned is the title of this slide.
4	THE WITNESS: And let me thank you, sir, in	4	Now, I'm not this is Slide No. 1. I'm not
5	advance because I noticed you give people a lot of time	5	going to read most of these slides, but I do want to read
6	between thoughts.	6	into the record or the transcript the title of this: An
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Some people.	7	Analysis of Costco's Case-in-Chief with Special Emphasis on
8	THE WITNESS: Some people, yeah. So what I have	8	Its Land Use Report and Its Traffic Impact Analysis,
9	here is a rather unusual presentation, and I'm afraid a hook is going to descend from the coiling and pull me off the	9	Reaching the Conclusion That the Applicant Has Failed to Meet the Burden of Proof on Any of the Elements of the Code
10	is going to descend from the ceiling and pull me off the podium, but I'm an academician; so I tend to talk a lot and	10	Relevant to OZAH Case No. S-2863, and this is Part 1. This
11 12	get ahead of myself. I've racked, or excuse me, racked, I	11 12	slide is an example of an adage that people who present
13	have 66 slides and I've already done you a favor: I have	13	professionally as scientists or educators often give to
14	not introduced a single new filing and there's not a single	14	younger college, which is, tell them what you're going to
15	fact	15	tell them, then tell them, then tell them what you told
16	MR. GROSSMAN: And I appreciate that.	16	them. So that's what I'm going to tell you, sir
17	THE WITNESS: that we have introduced. They're	17	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
18	all in the files already.	18	THE WITNESS: or I hope. Oops. And as you
19	MR. GROSSMAN: And I actually went through your	19	know because of our e-mail exchanges, this is the first part
20	slide show. So I	20	of a two-part presentation. There are a couple of reasons
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	21	why it's in two parts. The first is that because I have a
22	MR. GROSSMAN: I saw your Gurtek (phonetic sp.)	22	paralyzed vocal cord, which explains my weak voice, I really
23	quote and	23	couldn't give both parts on the same day. It simply
24	THE WITNESS: And you still came to the hearing	24	wouldn't be possible. The second is that the two parts are
25	MR. GROSSMAN: And I still came.	25	really fundamentally different in content. And the second

	Page 210		Page 212
-		-	-
1	part, which will be, I guess, in November, 14th probably,	1	,
2	I'll be talking what I think is a fairly conventional kind		Now, for reference, the special exception site is
3	of presentation here, discussion here, which is, I'll be deep down in the weeds about traffic impact, lots of facts.	3	all the way up here. It's actually off the edge of the field of view to the upper right. And the reason I'm
4		4	
5	This first part is, it's meant to be in an	5	showing you this slide and the reason I'm using it now
6	appropriate format as a rebuttal to the land use report, which, as you know, is a sort of overarching statement; it's	6	and I will use it again in my traffic impact testimony is to indicate that we live a very long way from the special
7	a summary statement. I guess Ms. Harris would call it a	7	exception site. Most of the people who are appearing before
8			you in opposition, in fact, live a very long way from the
9	conclusionary statement, the land use report, and my rebuttal is pitched at that level.	9	special exception site. I'm in no way a resident of the
10 11	I want to characterize what I'm going to say	10 11	neighborhood as defined by planning staff, for example,
12	before I get to the outline. There are two ways to look at	12	although, of course, I do live in the neighborhood as
13	this. One is that this is an attempt of what I would call	13	defined for purposes of the needs analysis.
14	close reasoning, and I tend to retain phrases. It took me a	14	Why is that important? I'm here testifying
15	while to figure out where I found the term close reasoning,	15	because I think the special exception is not appropriate for
16	but I finally found the source, the definition, and if you	16	a number of reasons, which I'm going to talk about
17	care, I can give you the URL for the definition I'm going to	17	momentarily, and not because it has any immediate, obvious,
18	read. So close reasoning is, quote, necessary when the	18	crucial impact on me.
19	argument seeks to persuade the reader, or the listener,	19	MR. GROSSMAN: What distance are you, is your
20	rather than demonstrate that a particular conclusion is	20	residence from the site?
21	inevitable. And the same source continues that the reasons	20	THE WITNESS: I don't actually know. I would say
22	must show that the conclusion is the best that can be	22	at least a mile.
23	reached rather than some absolute.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
24	Now, in another sentence and it's actually much	24	THE WITNESS: A mile?
25	more applicable what I'm going to be doing today is what	25	MS. ADELMAN: A mile maybe, yeah.
	Page 211		Page 213
1	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1	-
1	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave	1	Page 213 THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard.
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way:
2	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the	2	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard.
2 3	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was	2 3	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because
2 3 4 5	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in
2 3 4 5	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an
2 3 4 5 6	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really
2 3 4 5 6 7	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist	2 3 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed. THE WITNESS: This obviously is a restatement of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a large part of what I'm going to be doing, I hope, in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed. THE WITNESS: This obviously is a restatement of my address, and below it is a picture, and this picture is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed. THE WITNESS: This obviously is a restatement of my address, and below it is a picture, and this picture is an example of killing two birds with one stone. It's dark,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a large part of what I'm going to be doing, I hope, in this presentation, this testimony is to attempt to convince you that there are different ways to see this issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed. THE WITNESS: This obviously is a restatement of my address, and below it is a picture, and this picture is an example of killing two birds with one stone. It's dark, I apologize. Let's see. Can I make this any brighter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a large part of what I'm going to be doing, I hope, in this presentation, this testimony is to attempt to convince you that there are different ways to see this issue. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed. THE WITNESS: This obviously is a restatement of my address, and below it is a picture, and this picture is an example of killing two birds with one stone. It's dark, I apologize. Let's see. Can I make this any brighter? Probably not. Where I've put the pointer, which is upper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a large part of what I'm going to be doing, I hope, in this presentation, this testimony is to attempt to convince you that there are different ways to see this issue. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. THE WITNESS: This is my résumé. I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I call an extended analogy, an analogy to the lecture I gave every year the first lecture I gave every year to the freshman in medical school when I was teaching. It was meant to frame the course and I want to frame this whole subject from my point of view. It's formulated in terms of teaching adult learners, and I'll come back to an explanation of what an adult learner is when I talk about my credentials. So this is an outline of the testimony, and as you know, what I'm trying to do always, because I'm a scientist and an educator, is to be as logical as I can, and we've already had a brief discussion about how difficult it is to be logical in something as intricately interwoven as this is, this subject is, but I intend to try to force some logic on this presentation. So this is Slide 3 now, and it's simply a statement of the elements of the testimony as I perceive it going forward, and if you don't have any questions about the outline, I can proceed. MR. GROSSMAN: You may proceed. THE WITNESS: This obviously is a restatement of my address, and below it is a picture, and this picture is an example of killing two birds with one stone. It's dark, I apologize. Let's see. Can I make this any brighter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: A mile. Let's put it this way: There is no way that you can say it's in our backyard. We're not there will be some small impact on us because any increment in traffic to the mall means an increment in traffic on University Boulevard and every increment is an annoyance, a nuisance. But I'm really here, I've really been participating in all this process for one simple reason well, actually two simple reasons. One is that I'm stupid and got sucked into it, and the second reason is that I made a promise to my neighbors and, if I make a promise to my neighbors, if I make a promise, period, I intend to keep the promise. The Slide No. 5 is a restatement of the oath, and I wanted to make a point that, obviously, as a scientist and an educator I always tell the truth. So I don't need to take the oath when I walk in here. It's part of what I do. But I also understand that it's sometimes very tricky to get at the, quote, truth, and there's a certain reality of the old saying that truth is in the eye of the beholder. So a large part of what I'm going to be doing, I hope, in this presentation, this testimony is to attempt to convince you that there are different ways to see this issue. MR. GROSSMAN: All right.

	Page 214		Page 216
1	detail because, while I'm not an expert in any sense that	1	October of last year. And what does a webmaster do? Well,
2	applies to these hearings, I have considerable expertise	2	in my case, in a very short time period, I had to read, to a
3	that I think is relevant. And this is not a question of	3	certain level of understanding, every single document that
4	tooting my own horn. This is a question of trying to make	4	Costco had then filed, the various information available as
5	the point that comments I'm making are based on the	5	to the law, the code, rules of procedure, so forth and so
6	extensive experience and, in some cases, formal training	6	on. I had to organize it in a fashion that made it
7	that I have. So I believe I don't know how you're going	7	accessible to people who had spent less time understanding
8	to define weight I believe they carry the weight that you	8	it, and I had to see it as a whole in order to make it a
9	should assign to considerable expertise, and I want to	9	website. It had to be done very quickly because we were
10	explain exactly what I mean. So I'm going to spend some	10	preparing to make a number of filings to planning staff
11	time on this slide, which is Slide No. 6.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: But let's you're giving me a lot
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you don't get the weight as	12	more background than I really need.
13	an expert without going through the qualification as an	13	THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. I'm a civic activist
14	expert.	14	for nearly 20 years and that means I've done a lot of
15	THE WITNESS: I understand, and I want to be	15	testimony for the Board of Education and the County Council.
16	very	16	I'm an academician. I won't go into my research because
17	MR. GROSSMAN: So	17	that's not crucial to this, but what it does mean is that I
18	THE WITNESS: precise, I am not appearing as an	18	have extensive experience doing critical analysis and
19	expert. I'm simply saying that, as you know, there are	19	deductive reasoning. And I use the scientific method, I use
20	levels of expertise	20	it all the time, and I think the scientific method is a
21	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	21	different way of testing a hypothesis than was used, for
22	THE WITNESS: and I want to make clear to you	22	example, by Mr. Cronyn and that's in court different, which
23	the level of expertise I have. I am not testifying as an	23	I'll come back to.
24	expert.	24	I'm an educator. I educated medical students for
25	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, in a sense, you're asking for	25	37 years or more, and I taught specifically adult learners,
	Page 215		Page 217
1	your cake and eating it too, because	1	and this is the point I wanted to make right now, and I hope
2	THE WITNESS: Precisely.	2	you'll let me clarify. An adult learner is not simply a
3	MR. GROSSMAN: But we don't let that happen, in	3	person who's old. An adult learner is and this is
4	fairness, because experts have to go through a qualification	4	education theory an adult learner is a person who has
5	phase, as you've seen with the other experts here. So, you	5	already extensive formal educational training and is seeking
6	know, you'd be entitled to the weight that the force of your	6	to learn about a specific topic or topics in order to solve
7	testimony warrants.	7	a specific problem. So my medical students were adult
8	THE WITNESS: That's fine. That's fine.	8	learners. I'm an adult learner. You're an adult learner.
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	9	You, I've observed you, want to learn what you need to know
10	THE WITNESS: And I'll repeat: I have, I have	10	to solve a problem.
11	relevant expertise, and	11	Adult learners have I have to phrase this
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Well	12	carefully certain attitudinal problems. When I say
13	THE WITNESS: I use language very precisely. I	13	attitudinal problems, I'm not talking about a bad attitude.
14	say what I mean and I mean what I say, and to me, expertise	14	Adult learners, for very good reason, know that they know a
15	is a gradation of levels of qualification, if you will.	15	lot and that they have done many things and what they have
16	MR. GROSSMAN: It is, but it has a particular	16	done they have done successfully. So there is a resistance
17	meaning in the law	17	it's inherent to being an adult learner to thinking
18	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	18	about a topic which appears to be the same as previous
19	MR. GROSSMAN: as which I have explained	19	topics in a slightly different way, not radically different,
20	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	20	slightly different.
		21	MR. GOECKE: Mr. Grossman, I would object to this
21	MR. GROSSMAN: on prior occasions. So that's	-	
22	the way I'm using it.	22	testimony as irrelevant. I'm not sure how this pertains to
22 23	the way I'm using it. THE WITNESS: I understand. So, first of all, I'm	23	the hearing.
22	the way I'm using it.		the hearing. MR. GROSSMAN: I agree with you that it's very

	Page 218		Page 220
1	through the whole process, and I'm going to give him some	1	would have you see them, but we don't have to prove
2	leeway in the way he wants to approach this. So	2	anything. Our job is to help you understand the factual
3	THE WITNESS: Right. Then	3	basis upon which you will make your decision.
4	MR. GROSSMAN: But we do want to try to hone in on	4	MR. GROSSMAN: You don't have the burden of
5	the	5	persuasion, the burden of proof, but I wouldn't necessarily
6	THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I'll	6	equate that with not having to prove anything.
7	MR. GROSSMAN: points that your trying to	7	THE WITNESS: Okay, convince, we do have to
8	make	8	convince you.
9	THE WITNESS: I'll move on.	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you may have to produce
10	MR. GROSSMAN: rather than the background	10	evidence that rebuts sufficiently what has been put on by
11	issues.	11	the other side. So I wouldn't say
12	THE WITNESS: Fine. I'll skip that one entirely,	12	THE WITNESS: Fine.
13	and I may come back to this slide, Slide No. 8, Why Testify?	13	MR. GROSSMAN: you don't have to prove
14	Now, Mr. Grossman, you've observed that you have a plethora	14	anything.
15	of factual information. I have not added more to your	15	THE WITNESS: Okay. And just a trivial point, I
16	burden, deliberately, because I think in many cases too many	16	frankly have thought about this a great deal, and I don't
17	facts get in the way of seeing the answer to the question.	17	envy you your job and I don't understand how you're going to
18	That's a premise that I use with my medical students all the	18	determine 51 percent, and you know where I'm going. That
19	time, and I know it works. Very often, simply stepping	19	is, to a scientist, determining 51 percent versus 50 percent
20	back, seeing the forest and the trees, in fact, is the	20	is not easily done. If I had a balance and I were told to
21	solution to the question.	21	weigh out 51 percent saturated solution of sodium chloride,
22	Two quotes here, or one quote and one statement.	22	I'd know exactly how to do that. And by the way, I'd point
23	The first bullet point is what Ms. Harris said in her	23	out, I think someone made a reference to a sports
24	opening statement. I'm not going to read it. You have it.	24	something about a tie or being equal. I think you said at
25	It's in the transcript. That's a direct quote.	25	one point earlier that you were going to give each side the
	Page 219		Page 221
	Page 219	-	Page 221
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	1	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is	2	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd	2 3	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to.	2 3 4	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay.
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so	2 3 4 5	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one	2 3 4 5 6	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's	2 3 4 5	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one	2 3 4 5 6 7	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for each relevant element of the zoning code, that the applicant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would expect nothing else. In the original filing by the way,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for each relevant element of the zoning code, that the applicant has, by a preponderance of the evidence, satisfied,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would expect nothing else. In the original filing by the way, I tried, whenever possible, to actually list the OZAH
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for each relevant element of the zoning code, that the applicant has, by a preponderance of the evidence, satisfied, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Preponderance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would expect nothing else. In the original filing by the way, I tried, whenever possible, to actually list the OZAH exhibit number so that people who wanted or cared to follow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for each relevant element of the zoning code, that the applicant has, by a preponderance of the evidence, satisfied, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Preponderance of the evidence is, as I understand it, 51 percent, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would expect nothing else. In the original filing by the way, I tried, whenever possible, to actually list the OZAH exhibit number so that people who wanted or cared to follow what I'm saying could look at the exhibit number but in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for each relevant element of the zoning code, that the applicant has, by a preponderance of the evidence, satisfied, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Preponderance of the evidence is, as I understand it, 51 percent, and the opposition doesn't have to prove anything. Our job is, as I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would expect nothing else. In the original filing by the way, I tried, whenever possible, to actually list the OZAH exhibit number so that people who wanted or cared to follow what I'm saying could look at the exhibit number but in our original filing, in rebuttal of this land use report, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: The second statement, I think, is more accurate. I'm not going to read it either, but I'd like you to. And now, this is a slide that, to me, is so central to my argument that I made a poster. It's my one display of that slide because I want you to see Gurtek's quote every time you look at the screen with my slides. It's a slide that I showed to my medical students every year, and most of them objected to it, didn't get it but eventually came to me and said I finally got it, I understand what you're saying. So bottom line, I want you to see things the way I see them. So now I'm going to go through a couple of points that have to do with elements of the process that you're following, which I well, you know them better than I do, but I'm seeing them in a different way. So, first of all, the burden of proof: the applicant has to show that for each relevant element of the zoning code, that the applicant has, by a preponderance of the evidence, satisfied, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. Preponderance of the evidence is, as I understand it, 51 percent, and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right to make their point so it was a tie, and I would point out that 50 percent, 50 percent, rather than 51 percent, is a tie and in many, many cases the tie goes to the home team and we're the home team. Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: I wouldn't get too caught up on the 51 percent versus 50-and-a-half percent or 52 percent. That's not really the point. The point is that the applicant has the burden of persuasion. So that's just the point. It's put in terms of 51 percent just to show that they must prove their case. That's the concept. THE WITNESS: Fine. My point is that MR. GROSSMAN: But all the evidence goes toward that. I mean, it's THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: conceivable that the opposition puts in evidence that I would feel weighs against them. It's just that all the evidence is what has to be balanced. THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Absolutely, I would expect nothing else. In the original filing by the way, I tried, whenever possible, to actually list the OZAH exhibit number so that people who wanted or cared to follow what I'm saying could look at the exhibit number but in

	Page 222		Page 224
1	meet the burden of proof, and my job now is to convince you	1	THE WITNESS: Is a statement that a fact presented
2	of that, getting into specifics.	2	by the applicant not a true fact, not a fact in itself?
3	What I found in reading the code was that, to me,	3	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, that could be. I mean, I
4	there were multiple elements of the code that were very,	4	think that, for example, when Mr. Silverman testified,
5	very vague, and I'm trying to say I don't understand how	5	that's also borderline in many respects, but he professed to
6	you're going to do what you have to do unless you can look	6	be saying to me what the process is for regulatory analysis,
7	at this a slightly different way. For example, you know,	7	an area that has, that is factual as well as having an
8	because you asked it as one of your 20 questions at the very	8	argumentative aspect to it. I'm not sure where you're
9	start of these hearings, that we're going to be arguing the	9	coming from in all of this, in that, in that sense, if
10	traffic impact issue on the basis of nuisance, not on	10	you're not giving me any facts. But I'm going to let you
11	whether or not the traffic impact analysis met the burden	11	proceed because
12	with respect to adequate public facilities. Well, I found	12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	nuisance a very vague term. So I went to a legal	13	MR. GROSSMAN: you know, I want to give you
14	dictionary, and I came up with the statement which is the	14	some leeway. I give citizens I don't view this as a, as
15	first bullet point on this Slide 14, and to me, that	15	a classic trial situation where all that comes in has to be
16	definition doesn't help at all. I don't see how you decide,	16	admissible evidence in the usual sense. This is also an
17	how you're going to weigh nuisance or inconvenience.	17	opportunity for citizens to express their concerns and so
18	So what I'm doing, essentially, is saying that	18	on, even though it's not classical evidence in a lot of
19 20	while I'm attempting to help you if I'm making my point, I'm basically saying to you this job is harder even than you	19 20	ways. So that's what I consider part of this that's
20	may think, and I know you know how hard it is. And if I can	20	the way I've always treated this process. So that's why I
22	make my point without annoying you, which I don't want to	22	give more leeway in this process than I would if I were
23	do, I want to convince you that if you can step away from	23	conducting a trial, where really the specific evidence is
24	all of the facts and note, I'm not bringing any more	24	what, on factual, as a factual matter, is what would
25	facts today; I'm going to use all of the applicant's facts	25	control.
	Page 223		Page 225
1	Page 223 to refute their argument if you can step away from all	1	Page 225 THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide
1 2		1 2	
	to refute their argument if you can step away from all		THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide
2	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony?	2	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but
2 3	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the	2 3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well
2 3 4 5 6	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you
2 3 4 5	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: In this case, I would certainly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: and testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: In this case, I would certainly discuss with the parties the option to file paperwork,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: and testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: So what let's get to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: In this case, I would certainly discuss with the parties the option to file paperwork, making those statements, because I think that's, in a case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: and testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: So what let's get to that. THE WITNESS: So let's go to that. Okay. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: In this case, I would certainly discuss with the parties the option to file paperwork, making those statements, because I think that's, in a case like this where there's so much evidence, that would probably make more sense, but that's the argument portion of the case. When you tell me that you're not going to give me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: and testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: So what let's get to that. THE WITNESS: So let's go to that. Okay. This is Slide No. 24, and now I get to the specific rebuttal of the applicant's land use report, which is OZAH 10. When we made our original filing, I said the report was poorly written,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: In this case, I would certainly discuss with the parties the option to file paperwork, making those statements, because I think that's, in a case like this where there's so much evidence, that would probably make more sense, but that's the argument portion of the case. When you tell me that you're not going to give me any facts, you're in effect telling me that you're not going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: and testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: So what let's get to that. THE WITNESS: So let's go to that. Okay. This is Slide No. 24, and now I get to the specific rebuttal of the applicant's land use report, which is OZAH 10. When we made our original filing, I said the report was poorly written, riddled with factual errors and misleading statements, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to refute their argument if you can step away from all the facts, things will be a little clearer. MR. GROSSMAN: So then why isn't your testimony, doesn't it properly fit into argument rather than testimony? THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't understand the question. MR. GROSSMAN: There is a time in which the parties get to argue their case, that is, to argue it in terms of looking at all the evidence and saying how it should be weighted and so on, and argue for their position. THE WITNESS: And when is that? MR. GROSSMAN: That's at the end of the case. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: And in most cases, it's made in a short statement or argument at the end, a closing argument, as you've heard about in trials. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: In this case, I would certainly discuss with the parties the option to file paperwork, making those statements, because I think that's, in a case like this where there's so much evidence, that would probably make more sense, but that's the argument portion of the case. When you tell me that you're not going to give me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Okay. Then I'm going to skip Slide 15. Then perhaps, since you're in the process of educating me, you could answer a couple of these questions. I don't know if it's valid to answer questions, but MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: you can tell me no. How do you weigh testimony? I'm serious. MR. GROSSMAN: I know you're serious, but I don't think this is a good time for me to go into my analytical process. This is a time for you to tell me what your concerns are and/or your, whatever added information you can supply that would bear on the issues of this case. That's what relevant evidence is, that which will bear on the issues of the case. THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I guess my concerns are about misleading statements in the various filings MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: and testimony. MR. GROSSMAN: So what let's get to that. THE WITNESS: So let's go to that. Okay. This is Slide No. 24, and now I get to the specific rebuttal of the applicant's land use report, which is OZAH 10. When we made our original filing, I said the report was poorly written,

	Page 226		Page 228
1	When I said that the filing was filled with	1	MR. GROSSMAN: I think you're overly concerned
2	misleading statements, I was not saying that Applicant was	2	with that type of language use. I agree with you, words
3	deliberately misleading. I'm saying that if you read the	3	matter, but in this case, they don't. In this case, the
4	filing at multiple points, the words you read and words	4	title Land Use Report as distinguished from Land Planners'
5	matter to me will, I believe, mislead you. I don't know	5	Report or Land Planning Report, is a distinction without a
6	if they'd mislead you, but I believe they'll mislead you.	6	difference.
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what specific points are	7	THE WITNESS: I disagree, sir. Someone
8	you talking about?	8	MR. GROSSMAN: Fair enough.
9	THE WITNESS: So the first point I want to make is	9	THE WITNESS: on your staff or you changed that
10	that this, this testimony is not introducing anything that	10	title. There was a reason for it.
11	was in the previous, or almost anything that was in,	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Someone on my staff probably.
12	originally in 87(b). These are new points. I stand by all	12	THE WITNESS: Okay. All right. The graphic,
13	of the previous points. In fact, I reread that document and	13	which was in OZAH 86, page 18, has a couple of circles.
14	I think it stands for itself. It made a number of, I	14	Those circles are labeled with the numbers 200 and 400. If
15	believe, valid points.	15	you look at that graphic, because those numbers are not
16	This is a statement of what I'm not covering	16	labeled, not defined as either radius or diameter, it's
17	today. I'm not going to use this as an opportunity to do a	17	misleading because the natural tendency is to look at that
18	detailed critique of every element of the land use document	18	figure and say the distance between, for example, the
19	because it contains references to other documents by the	19	special exception site and the nearest house, which is down
20	applicant which will be addressed in great detail by other,	20	here, excuse me, the lower center of the slide, is close to
21	and others in opposition. When I come back for Part 2, I'm	21	400 feet. In fact, because of the way this slide is, the
22	going to be specifically introducing reasons why the facts	22	figure is labeled, you are being misled. Unless, unless you
23	asserted in the traffic impact analysis should not be given	23	saw that and you understood that and you weighed it that
24	any weight. I'm making a couple of very brief comments	24	way
25	about a number of things.	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, my vague recollection is that
	Page 227		Page 229
1	The neighborhood needs analysis, Ms. Cordry has	1	he was questioned, Mr. Gang was questioned on the stand
2	covered this very much in detail. I just want to point out	2	about what the circles meant, as I recall
3	that regardless of whether you decide that there is or is	3	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
4	not a need for the proposed gas station, there is no way	4	MR. GROSSMAN: as to what those distances were.
5	that you can reject that that need is going to be satisfied	5	I don't recall
6	for the, for the bulk of the residents of the neighborhood.	6	THE WITNESS: My recollection
7	That need, if it exists, only will be satisfied for the	7	MR. GROSSMAN: the detail of that, but I'd have
8	members of Costco and that's a small percentage, 25 percent	8	to go back to the transcript to
9	of all the residents of the neighborhood.	9	THE WITNESS: My recollection is that the argument
10	Now, a couple of things. First of all and you	10	had to do with exactly how many feet. It was not, not the
11	may think this is cutting words too finely but your staff	11	more global question of whether it's radius or diameter. It
12	or you yourself recognized this difference, even though you	12	was an argument about five or 10 or 15 feet, and I'm talking
13	may not have realized it. This is not a land use report.	13	about a factor of two, which is a large amount.
14	This is a projection. This is a plan. Your staff called it	14	MR. GROSSMAN: But in what sense is that an issue
15	a land planning report and that's correct. And the reason	15	here? I understand the distance between the closest home
16	I'm raising that point is that if the applicant views this	16	and the site is a critical figure in the case, but I don't
17	as a land use report, which it does, it views it as, in	17	understand the point you're making.
18	essence, a done deal.	18	THE WITNESS: Okay. I am, I am trying to make the
19	MR. GROSSMAN: I think you're slicing the salami	19	point that there's a consistent thread of misleading
20	too fine here in your effort to closely define words. It's	20	statements and, in the aggregate, they mislead the reader or
21	just a term, once again, a term of art. Land use is an area	21	the listener, possibly, I do not know, but I want to
	of the law. And so when somebody files a land use report,	22	establish that there is a pattern of misleading statements.
22		23	MR. GROSSMAN: What is that particular
23	they're talking about a report that addresses that area of		-
23 24	the law.	24	THE WITNESS: The factual
23			-

	Page 230		Page 232
1	believe then? I don't quite understand your point.	1	
2	THE WITNESS: It leads you to think in terms of	2	THE WITNESS: And my point, and I'm trying to be
3	houses that are further from the special exception site than	3	very careful, is that let me back up. We all know that
4	they in fact are. It leads you to think in a general sense	4	this is not your typical gas station, a request for approval
5	that the problem is less than it is.	5	of siting a typical gas station. And the fact that we're in
6	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't, I truly don't follow that.	6	what is this? Hearing No. 18? The fact that we're doing
7	Was there I can't recall. On that particular figure, is	7	this process is an inherently, recognition that this is a
8	there something that says whether that's feet, yards,	8	very atypical, I don't want to say unique, but close to
9	whatever, meters? Is there something on there that	9	unique case; that the way in which any hearing examiner I
10	THE WITNESS: It says, it says 200 feet. That's	10	don't know how you think, I cannot know how you think any
11	it, or 400 feet.	11	hearing examiner who's used to, who routinely evaluates this
12	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't see where is it on	12	kind of application is going to have an inherent, I don't
13	there? I don't see it from here, from this distance.	13	want to say bias, an inherent way of seeing things, and
14	THE WITNESS: Excuse me. This is No. 27.	14	there is an expectation, which is at a level which I don't
15	MR. BRANN: It's very difficult to see,	15	think any human being can in fact recognize without having
16	Mr. Grossman, but there's 200 feet right here and then 400	16	it pointed out, that this is about a gas station and there's
17	feet	17	a series of numbers that have to be evaluated and there's a
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	18	cumulative effect of misleading statements, which I don't
19 20	MR. BRANN: right there. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. You're raising the point of	19 20	know and that's why I'm testifying I don't know if you have overridden that inherent predisposition.
20 21	whether or not the 200 feet and 400 feet distances are	20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So what's the next one after
22	radius or diameter; is that what you're saying?	22	this?
23	THE WITNESS: Right.	23	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. I guess that speaks for
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	24	itself, Slide 28. Fundamentally, it's not just a gas
25	THE WITNESS: Precisely, and what the impact is on	25	station.
	Page 231		Page 233
1	Page 231 your thinking of the difference.	1	Page 233 The motion for summary disposition that I filed
1		1 2	, and the second s
	your thinking of the difference.		The motion for summary disposition that I filed
2	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius?	2	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my
2 3	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure,
2 3 4 5 6	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2 3 4 5	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point,
2 3 4 5 6 7	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only	2 3 4 5	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. To me, this is it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. To me, this is it would have been a significant point if it weren't for the fact that we clearly established and I had witnesses testify as to what the precise distance was to the closest house.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact. I think a number of people have talked about Bullet Point 1 in Slide 30, and that is that the consistent reference to the Montgomery Ward business was, in my mind, misleading. It conveyed the notion that there was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. To me, this is it would have been a significant point if it weren't for the fact that we clearly established and I had witnesses testify as to what the precise distance was to the closest house. So I don't see this as a I see this as a labeling issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact. I think a number of people have talked about Bullet Point 1 in Slide 30, and that is that the consistent reference to the Montgomery Ward business was, in my mind, misleading. It conveyed the notion that there was previously an automotive service center, and for most people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. To me, this is it would have been a significant point if it weren't for the fact that we clearly established and I had witnesses testify as to what the precise distance was to the closest house. So I don't see this as a I see this as a labeling issue and, as you say, misleading but not intentionally. You're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact. I think a number of people have talked about Bullet Point 1 in Slide 30, and that is that the consistent reference to the Montgomery Ward business was, in my mind, misleading. It conveyed the notion that there was previously an automotive service center, and for most people an automotive service center is equatable with a gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. To me, this is it would have been a significant point if it weren't for the fact that we clearly established and I had witnesses testify as to what the precise distance was to the closest house. So I don't see this as a I see this as a labeling issue and, as you say, misleading but not intentionally. You're not claiming it was intentional, but you call it sloppy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact. I think a number of people have talked about Bullet Point 1 in Slide 30, and that is that the consistent reference to the Montgomery Ward business was, in my mind, misleading. It conveyed the notion that there was previously an automotive service center, and for most people an automotive service center is equatable with a gas station. There was none, never was, and even if there had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your thinking of the difference. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. So, and you're suggesting that the actual, the references here are to diameter rather than to radius? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: I'm saying that that is the only way MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that you can interpret those figures but they're not labeled that way and the natural tendency is to assume radius MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and therefore the natural tendency is to see this remember the Gurtek slide to see this as a statement that the closest house is close to 400 feet away when in fact it's much less than 200 feet. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. To me, this is it would have been a significant point if it weren't for the fact that we clearly established and I had witnesses testify as to what the precise distance was to the closest house. So I don't see this as a I see this as a labeling issue and, as you say, misleading but not intentionally. You're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The motion for summary disposition that I filed and by the way, I'll be re-filing it with the Board of Appeals was based on a fact which is now known to be changed and which I maintain and continue to maintain, by my reading of Section 10.2 of your rules of procedure, justifies the motion I made. On that third bullet point, the error in the statement originally that the number of entrances to the mall were three on University and two on Veirs Mill Road was a trivial error and it's been corrected, but the revised land use report still contains the statement that there are three entrances on Veirs Mill and two entrances on University Boulevard. Now, that's factually correct, but it leads to the impression of a level of degree of access which does not exist, because the three entrances on Veirs Mill all funnel to one bottlenecking point, and I'll spend more time on that when I talk about traffic impact. I think a number of people have talked about Bullet Point 1 in Slide 30, and that is that the consistent reference to the Montgomery Ward business was, in my mind, misleading. It conveyed the notion that there was previously an automotive service center, and for most people an automotive service center is equatable with a gas

	Page 234		Page 236
1	statement	1	MP. CPOSSMAN: You coid 4 146 is the patropage of
1	statement.	1	MR. GROSSMAN: You said 4,146 is the patronage of the Costco store.
2	The numbers of visitors have been revised upward,	2	
3	quite significantly. I don't have let's see. I should	3	THE WITNESS: Right.
4	have referenced excuse me while I find it. This is OZAH	4	MR. GROSSMAN: And what is misleading about that
5	198. It's the addendum or memorandum from Mr. Flynn, on the	5	number?
6	back of which, the last page, page 5, he quotes the current	6	THE WITNESS: Well, I'm asking you to apply some
7	estimate from, provided by Westfield, as to how many	7	simple logic. If the estimate that Westfield provided as to
8	visitors there are to the mall. The number has tripled	8	the total number of visitors to the mall was originally
9	since the original estimate.	9	13,000, it is now 44,000, that's a threefold increase.
10	If you look at those numbers, the number for the	10	MR. GROSSMAN: So you're saying 13,000 was the
11	Costco store is 4,146. The original number provided was in	11	number that Westfield originally
12	the range of 4,000. I am not asserting that anyone has	12	THE WITNESS: Precisely.
13	misrepresented the numbers, but it's very hard for me to	13	MR. GROSSMAN: said was the mall patronage?
14	believe that the tripling of the clientele, patronage to the	14	THE WITNESS: Correct.
15	mall, could not have in fact been primarily due to the	15	MR. GROSSMAN: In what period of time?
16	opening of the Costco store. And the original estimate for	16	THE WITNESS: That was, that was on their average
17	the number of patrons to the Costco store was much less.	17	weekday and that was what was in the original report, the
18	MR. GROSSMAN: And what was the original estimate?	18	original land use report.
19	THE WITNESS: I knew you'd ask that, but I can't	19	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And now it is what?
20	remember the number.	20	THE WITNESS: According to this filing, 44,202.
21	MS. CORDRY: Are you talking specifically for the	21	MR. GOECKE: Which filing, please?
22	Costco store? I don't think we had a breakout before that	22	THE WITNESS: This is Exhibit 198.
23	testimony.	23	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I think, you didn't I don't
24	THE WITNESS: I believe the original number was in	24	think you said these numbers before. So that's why
25	the range of 5,000, but I'm not sure because that was	25	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. I apologize. I
	Page 235		Page 237
	Page 235		Page 237
1	never	1	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by
2	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said	2	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has
2 3	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146	2 3	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were
2 3 4	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently.	2 3 4	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I
2 3 4 5	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave	2 3 4 5	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013.
2 3 4 5 6	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the	2 3 4 5 6	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since
2 3 4 5 6 7	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart	2 3 4 5 6 7	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me numbers, if you don't have numbers to back up what you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for Costco is accurate of 4,146
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me numbers, if you don't have numbers to back up what you're saying, I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for Costco is accurate of 4,146 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me numbers, if you don't have numbers to back up what you're saying, I can't THE WITNESS: There is no number. That's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for Costco is accurate of 4,146 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: what difference does it make to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me numbers, if you don't have numbers to back up what you're saying, I can't THE WITNESS: There is no number. That's my point. That's my precise point. There is no number for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for Costco is accurate of 4,146 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: what difference does it make to me if the, if there were some variance between the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me numbers, if you don't have numbers to back up what you're saying, I can't THE WITNESS: There is no number. That's my point. That's my precise point. There is no number for comparison. The only number we're provided is in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for Costco is accurate of 4,146 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: what difference does it make to me if the, if there were some variance between the original
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	never MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I don't understand. You said it's 4,146 THE WITNESS: Currently. MR. GROSSMAN: that's the number you just gave me. So why something in the THE WITNESS: Currently the number on this chart is 4,146. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: If the patronage of the mall has increased by a factor of three and the bulk of that increase or a very large percentage of that increase is due to the opening of a warehouse store which I believe it must be, because what else has changed substantially in that period of time? how can the number for the patronage of the store be only 4,000? How can it not have also increased by a factor of two or three? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't follow that logic. I don't understand what you're saying, and if you haven't gotten me numbers, if you don't have numbers to back up what you're saying, I can't THE WITNESS: There is no number. That's my point. That's my precise point. There is no number for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	am looking at OZAH Exhibit No. 198. That's the filing by Mr. Flynn. And on the back page, which is page 5, he has Exhibit 4, which, when we questioned him, he said were numbers provided to him by Mr. Agliata, and they were, I believe, given to him on May 1st of 2013. MR. GROSSMAN: And you're suggesting that since the original projection of average weekday mall population was 13,000 and now it's 44,000, that that increase should have been reflected in the Costco numbers? THE WITNESS: Precisely. MR. GROSSMAN: And what difference does it make to me if they are or they are not? THE WITNESS: The patronage of the Costco store gives, by a computation of roughly a factor of, roughly a division by three, the expected patronage of the gas station, because the number being used is approximately 30 percent of the patrons of the store who will patronize the gas station. MR. GROSSMAN: I know, but if the figure for Costco is accurate of 4,146 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: what difference does it make to me if the, if there were some variance between the

	Page 238		Page 240
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Wheaton Plaza estimate and the	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Or show me where the error is in
2	current Wheaton Plaza estimate? Aren't we just concerned	2	their count
3	with the, with the estimate for the Costco	3	THE WITNESS: Which
4	THE WITNESS: No. Neither number is an estimate.	4	MR. GROSSMAN: not by an assumption. If I had
5	The numbers that I've quoted to you are numbers that have	5	to guess, I would say that one of the mall estimates or what
6	been observed.	6	you're reporting to me of what was originally said as 13,000
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, observed numbers.	7	average weekday and now they're saying 44,000 average
8	THE WITNESS: Okay. The number that has been	8	weekday, one of those is not accurate, either because you're
9	reported as observed as of May is 4,000 for the store.	9	reporting it incorrectly or because they stated it
10	That's very, very close to the number that was provisionally	10	incorrectly. That's what I, if I had to make an assumption,
11	assumed to be the patronage of the store.	11	rather than the Costco count, just would be my guess, I
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	12	think, but I don't need to guess.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay? But the number of patrons to	13	THE WITNESS: Okay. I can assure you, I can
14	the mall has tripled.	14	assure you that I'm not reporting it incorrectly because the
15	MR. GROSSMAN: So what, is my question. I mean,	15	44,000 is here, it's in your, in your files, and the 13,000
16	why does that affect anything I have to evaluate? Why does	16	is also in your files because it's part of OZAH 10. So
17	the number of	17	there is no error in
18	THE WITNESS: It calls into question the accuracy	18	MR. GROSSMAN: OZAH 10 being the original land use
19	of the number for the Costco store. Where did it come from?	19	report?
20	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, if you said it's not based on	20	THE WITNESS: Yes, precisely.
21	an estimate but based on a count, that's where it came from,	21	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Well, that might have been a
22	according to the testimony.	22	mistake in the original land use report. I don't know. I
23	THE WITNESS: Have you been provided any	23	mean, the applicant can respond to that and straighten it
24	information other than a count, the number on a chart?	24	out if that's the case.
25	MR. GROSSMAN: I, to tell you the truth, I don't	25	THE WITNESS: Okay. You've read my entire filing,
	Page 239		Page 241
1		1	-
1	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other	1	is that correct?
	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where		-
2	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other	2	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show?
2 3	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me?	2 3	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show.
2 3 4	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the	2 3 4	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read
2 3 4 5	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number	2 3 4 5	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these
2 3 4 5 6	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that	2 3 4 5 6	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant,
2 3 4 5 6 7	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce,	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence that's presented to me and any cross-examination that, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I got it from your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I got it from your actually, I got it from your résumé.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence that's presented to me and any cross-examination that, that throws that into doubt or any other contrary evidence that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I got it from your actually, I got it from your résumé. THE WITNESS: Ah, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence that's presented to me and any cross-examination that, that throws that into doubt or any other contrary evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I got it from your actually, I got it from your résumé.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence that's presented to me and any cross-examination that, that throws that into doubt or any other contrary evidence that THE WITNESS: So if I understand and please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I got it from your actually, I got it from your résumé. THE WITNESS: Ah, okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Your résumé indicated, gave a name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recall if all the paperwork provides me with anything other than a count or, or testimony, but where does this, where does this get me? THE WITNESS: Then I'd ask you to entertain the possibility that there's an error in that number MR. GROSSMAN: Well THE WITNESS: and to consider requesting accurate numbers or proof that MR. GROSSMAN: You can THE WITNESS: the number is correct. MR. GROSSMAN: You're welcome to introduce any evidence that the, that the opposition wants to introduce, challenging a number of what's anticipated at the gas station, if that's your point ultimately, that it's 30 percent of the Costco store amount, but you want me to go through a calculation, estimating what it might have been if, you know, if there is some error in the numbers, and I don't think I should do that. I'll rely on the evidence that's presented to me and any cross-examination that, that throws that into doubt or any other contrary evidence that THE WITNESS: So if I understand and please correct me if I'm wrong on this if I understand, you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is that correct? MR. GROSSMAN: You mean your slide show? THE WITNESS: The slide show. MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, I read THE WITNESS: Fine. Then I won't belabor these points because I think you'll find them not relevant, although I may come back to them. MR. GROSSMAN: And I'm quite sure we entered it as an exhibit also. THE WITNESS: Yes, you did. It's Exhibit I have the number. It's 321(a). MR. GROSSMAN: Fine. Yes. THE WITNESS: And I know you read it because you questioned me about the Part 1 and Part 2. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: And you also are one of the few people who ever went to my website and, my own website, and questioned me about slime mold. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I got it from your actually, I got it from your résumé. THE WITNESS: Ah, okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Your résumé indicated, gave a name which I didn't recognize for which was repeated a number

	Page 242		Page 244
1	turned out to be slime mold. But I, I didn't know what it	1	go ahead.
2	many heads was the second part of it	2	THE WITNESS: If I drink soda, I'm pouring acid
3	THE WITNESS: Right.	3	into my stomach, and my stomach is already acid and there's
4	MR. GROSSMAN: a Latin word for	4	no problem. If I spill this soda, suppose I were not
5	THE WITNESS: Right.	5	sitting at a Formica table, on a very nice table
6	MR. GROSSMAN: multi-cabeza or whatever.	6	MR. GROSSMAN: But at a nuclear power plant.
7	THE WITNESS: Actually, the translation is	7	THE WITNESS: Right. Not on a nuclear power
8	terrible monster with many heads.	8	plant. If I spilled this soda on your favorite, very nice
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	9	table, with a nice wood veneer
10	MS. ROSENFELD: Sounds like this case.	10	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.
11	THE WITNESS: So	11	THE WITNESS: it causes serious damage. It
12	MR. SILVERMAN: Sounds like the case.	12	isn't the gas station per se that we're objecting to. It's
13	THE WITNESS: I want to put the pointer on, I	13	the precise siting.
14	think we're on Slide 32. I'm not going to go through this	14	MR. GROSSMAN: I understand.
15	immediate past history factual record because I think you	15	THE WITNESS: I'm skipping a number of slides
16	will not be pleased with some of the points I'm making. So	16	because I'm now on Slide 34. There's been a lot of
17	I'm going to go down to the very bottom.	17	discussion about possible conditions, and I know that
18	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it's not a question of	18	whether you recommend approval or denial, or I presume,
19	whether I'm pleased with any points you're making. I just	19	excuse me, I presume that whether you recommend approval or
20	want to get material before me that's relevant, that is,	20	denial you will recommend conditions.
21	that will bear on issues that I have to decide. It's	21	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes, and I actually, you're not
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	22	presuming that. I stated that because I actually liked the
23	MR. GROSSMAN: not a question of pleased or not	23	fact that the technical staff did that, and I think it's
24	pleased.	24	actually advisable in a case like this and I plan to follow
25	THE WITNESS: Thank you, and that was precisely	25	that same format, but there may be many other or different
	Page 243		Page 245
			-
1	what I was waiting for you to say. You have said numerous	1	conditions that the parties would come up with that, you
	what I was waiting for you to say. You have said numerous times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help	1 2	conditions that the parties would come up with that, you know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if
2 3	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help	2	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if
2 3	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must	2 3	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception.
2 3 4	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make.	2 3	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two
2 3 4 5 6	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition
2 3 4 5 6	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two	2 3 4 5 6	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition
2 3 4 5 6 7	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what	2 3 4 5 6 7	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting will produce all of the non-inherent adverse impacts. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be enforced. I questioned you about this way back when I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting will produce all of the non-inherent adverse impacts. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be enforced. I questioned you about this way back when I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting will produce all of the non-inherent adverse impacts. And if you'll permit me just a moment, I wanted to make the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be enforced. I questioned you about this way back when I was asking procedural questions. It was a concern of mine when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting will produce all of the non-inherent adverse impacts. And if you'll permit me just a moment, I wanted to make the point by analogy how important the specific siting is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be enforced. I questioned you about this way back when I was asking procedural questions. It was a concern of mine when I spoke to planning staff, with whom I had extensive discussions. It remains a concern of mine because of the following I'm saying something very trivial this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting will produce all of the non-inherent adverse impacts. And if you'll permit me just a moment, I wanted to make the point by analogy how important the specific siting is. That's a bottle of soda. I take a swig of soda repeatedly when I'm talking. As you know, soda is acidic. If I drink soda	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be enforced. I questioned you about this way back when I was asking procedural questions. It was a concern of mine when I spoke to planning staff, with whom I had extensive discussions. It remains a concern of mine because of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	times that you want facts that are relevant, that will help you reach the decision or the recommendation that you must make. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I believe in your mind the two things are linked, and I'm asserting that in many cases what you believe is an irrelevant fact is in fact one of the facts that'll be most helpful, and the converse, that many of the MR. GROSSMAN: I understand. I think we have a difference there in approach. I think that you're tending to point out things that I think are very peripheral to the real issues that, that are central to the way I see this case. That, that might be part of the problem. THE WITNESS: It may be. Okay. I want to be very clear, the opposition is specifically opposing the siting, the specific siting of the gas station because that siting will produce all of the non-inherent adverse impacts. And if you'll permit me just a moment, I wanted to make the point by analogy how important the specific siting is. That's a bottle of soda. I take a swig of soda repeatedly when I'm talking. As you know, soda is acidic. If I drink	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, are suggested for the Board of Appeals to consider if it were to determine to grant the special exception. THE WITNESS: Fine. And then I want to make two assertions. The first is that and I believe you've heard this same testimony from a number of other in opposition the way Applicant has constructed the siting of the gas station is such that whatever change is made to the siting introduces a problem, and many of the problems cannot be resolved by any condition. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Moreover, the point that has been made repeatedly is that there are so many conditions that it's almost impossible to give credence to the notion that they can be enforced. Now, I understand, I understand that from your point of view it is not within your authority, apparently, to consider the probability that such conditions can be enforced. I questioned you about this way back when I was asking procedural questions. It was a concern of mine when I spoke to planning staff, with whom I had extensive discussions. It remains a concern of mine because of the following I'm saying something very trivial this

	Page 246		Page 248
1	baseline data, for example, for the traffic in the road	1	THE WITNESS: Okay.
2	system, baseline being based on measurements made on one	2	MR. GROSSMAN: at any given period of time.
3	day. All the other numbers are projections. The queuing is	3	THE WITNESS: My point is that in all of the
4	projections. The volume of gasoline to be sold is a	4	projections that have been proffered, there is some inherent
5	projection	5	uncertainty which you accept
6	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	6	MR. GROSSMAN: Certainly.
7	THE WITNESS: and so forth and so on. So	7	THE WITNESS: as would anyone. The aggregate
8	you're fundamentally operating based on a set of facts which	8	uncertainty which, by the way, uncertainties multiply,
9	are not facts. They're projections. I understand that.	9	because the uncertainty of one goes to answer the next and
10	That's	10	so and so on and so on.
11	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Statistically speaking, yes, okay.
12	THE WITNESS: Obviously, how can you judge whether	12	THE WITNESS: Right, statistically well, that's
13	or not to allow something to be built without considering	13	reality. Similarly, the uncertainty as to whether or not
14	how it's going to function?	14	something can be enforced is an estimate. There are some
15	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	15	enforcements which we are certain of. If you, for example,
16	THE WITNESS: But from my point of view, and I	16	if you attempted to construct a nuclear reactor, I'm fairly
	think from a number of people in opposition, to say or to	17	certain that it would be enforced, but there are a whole
17 18	imply or to insist that you do not have the authority or do	18	bunch of other uncertainties. And someone's already spoken
19	not feel you have the authority to consider the	19	to the question of how can you be sure that, for example,
20	ramifications of numerous conditions which, in the	20	the condition that Costco will take action to make sure that
20	aggregate, probably cannot be enforced or arguably cannot be	20	backup-friendly queue into the road will be enforced? How
22	enforced, I don't understand	22	can you be certain or to what level of
22	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I think you may be	22	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I can't be certain, but there
23 24	overstating what I said. Sure, if a condition is not	23	are enforcement mechanisms, and people do call the
24 25	capable of being enforced, then it probably doesn't make	24	Department of Permitting Services and say there are people
2.5	capable of being enforced, then it probably doesn't make	2.5	Department of Fernitary Dervices and say there are people
	D 017		
	Page 247		Page 249
1	Page 247 sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're	1	
1 2	-	1 2	-
	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're		backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can
2	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing	2	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it
2 3	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement	2 3	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can
2 3 4	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take	2 3 4	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a
2 3 4 5	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario.	2 3 4 5	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step,
2 3 4 5 6	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements	2 3 4 5 6	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if
2 3 4 5 6 7	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something,	2 3 4 5 6 7	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million gallons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions. MR. GROSSMAN: But to what end is this argument
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million gallons MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions. MR. GROSSMAN: But to what end is this argument going? What is it specifically that you are suggesting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million gallons MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: you accept that projection as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions. MR. GROSSMAN: But to what end is this argument going? What is it specifically that you are suggesting to me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million gallons MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: you accept that projection as a reasonable estimate. You, I believe, assign some range; in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions. MR. GROSSMAN: But to what end is this argument going? What is it specifically that you are suggesting to me? THE WITNESS: I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million gallons MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: you accept that projection as a reasonable estimate. You, I believe, assign some range; in other words, one does not presume that they could be held to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions. MR. GROSSMAN: But to what end is this argument going? What is it specifically that you are suggesting to me? THE WITNESS: I am MR. GROSSMAN: Rather than telling me about, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sense as a condition. I don't know what specifically you're referring to. My point I think I was probably addressing was whether or not saying that this is not an enforcement proceeding, that is that's something that would take place in a later scenario. So this may have come up with some statements about what was happening in Costco's warehouse or something, and I think I may have made a comment about that, but that was not the warehouse itself was not before me, and besides, this is not an enforcement proceeding. This is to see if the special exception will be granted THE WITNESS: I understand. MR. GROSSMAN: but I think you may have projected more into what I said than what I actually said. THE WITNESS: How do I say this? Give me a moment. When the applicant tells you it projects a volume of sales of approximately 12 point whatever million gallons MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: you accept that projection as a reasonable estimate. You, I believe, assign some range; in other words, one does not presume that they could be held to 12.27 million, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	backing up on the roadway all the time or whatever, and then they send out an inspector, or whatever the problem is, it happens with some frequency, and then show-cause orders can be issued and are issued by DPS, saying, you know, or a notice of violation I guess would be the initial step, saying you need to correct this violation. And if corrections aren't made, there can be a show-cause order issued why some other action won't be taken. So yes, there is an enforcement mechanism. Can I guarantee you that it's going to work perfectly? No, of course not. THE WITNESS: No, of course not, and I'm not, I'm not suggesting that you should be able to any more than I'm suggesting that you should be able to say Costco's projected sales are accurate to five percent, 10 percent. What I'm saying is if you accept uncertainty in some of the projections, you must also, I believe, consider the uncertainty of the enforceability of the conditions. MR. GROSSMAN: But to what end is this argument going? What is it specifically that you are suggesting to me? THE WITNESS: I am MR. GROSSMAN: Rather than telling me about, you know, philosophy of how to analyze this, what are you

	Page 250		Page 252
-		-	-
1	but I'll leave that aside. I believe it's practicality.	1	5 1 5
2	For example and this may be because I cannot make my	2	THE WITNESS: It was my understanding that the
3	point as forcefully I'd like to it appeared to me, and	3	pedestrian path was part of the original application. Am I
4	perhaps I'm wrong, that Mr. Core made a very important point	4	incorrect?
5	when he spoke about human nature and the questionable nature	5	MR. GROSSMAN: It was, as I recall, it was not
6	of the assertion that the queuing diagram is accurate, because people don't in fact line up bumper to bumper	6	part of the application at the time that the hearing began on April 26, 2013. It may have been part of the original
7	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	7	application, but when the I believe it was amended prior
9	THE WITNESS: I think that's a valid point.	9	to the first hearing date and removed am I correct about
10	Well, if	10	that, Ms. Harris, that the, the application was amended
11	MR. GROSSMAN: I already said, when after	11	prior to the first hearing date and removed the proposed
12	Ms. Cordry testified, I said I accepted that as a valid	12	pedestrian path along the southern ring road?
13	proposition.	13	MS. HARRIS: That's correct.
14	THE WITNESS: Fine. Then let me point out no.	14	THE WITNESS: That is not my recollection. My
15	Let's see. The point about the agents running out, agents	15	recollection is the pedestrian path was removed after the
16	running out to enforce the queuing has already been made.	16	hearings had begun.
17	The reality, for example, of the enforcement of noise	17	MS. ROSENFELD: No, no. I believe it was removed
18	regulations, we can have 100 people come in here, I don't	18	after the Planning Board
19	know what purpose it would serve, to tell you what you	19	MS. ADELMAN: Right.
20	already know, which is that if there's noise in your	20	MS. ROSENFELD: concluded its hearing and
21	neighborhood and you call and complain, the chances that you	21	before the hearings
22	will get that noise corrected before it's done and gone are,	22	MS. ADELMAN: Right.
23	I can't say zero, but they're very small.	23	MS. ROSENFELD: before the Hearing Examiner
24	MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know that that's the case.	24	commenced.
25	THE WITNESS: Okay. I won't belabor the point	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Before April 26 or whenever.
	Page 251		Page 253
1	Page 251 anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion	1	Page 253 THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect.
1 2	-	1 2	-
	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number		THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document
2	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	2	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't
2 3 4 5	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence	2 3	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared.
2 3 4 5	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't
2 3 4 5	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find acceptable and technical staff found acceptable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's opposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find acceptable and technical staff found acceptable. Why I don't understand your questioning that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's opposition. So that's usually the way of course, this case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find acceptable and technical staff found acceptable. Why I don't understand your questioning that, why is that a condition of the special exception. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's opposition. So that's usually the way of course, this case is different in a lot of ways because there are many days of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find acceptable and technical staff found acceptable. Why I don't understand your questioning that, why is that a condition of the special exception. That would be something that would be, would apparently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's opposition. So that's usually the way of course, this case is different in a lot of ways because there are many days of hearings; so changes can be made and there's plenty of time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find acceptable and technical staff found acceptable. Why I don't understand your questioning that, why is that a condition of the special exception. That would be something that would be, would apparently ameliorate some of the potential problems that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's opposition. So that's usually the way of course, this case is different in a lot of ways because there are many days of hearings; so changes can be made and there's plenty of time for people to respond. But in any event, so I don't, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	anymore. Fine, I'm on Slide No. 36, and we had a discussion of this briefly in my cross-examination. You have in your files, I've forgotten the exhibit number MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: a letter which says, in essence I believe this is accurate that the building of the pedestrian path is a condition of approval of the special exception. And I ask you, in essence, why is it a condition of approval? It's a totally separate issue. MR. GROSSMAN: This is something that the community has wanted. So I urged the applicant to take a look at what came to me, when they first presented this case in April, was there was no pedestrian path along the southern ring road. I understood from the community that that's something they wanted. So I asked the applicant to look at that, to see if that was something that could be arranged. And after a number of iterations, they ultimately agreed to one that, I believe, that the community would find acceptable and technical staff found acceptable. Why I don't understand your questioning that, why is that a condition of the special exception. That would be something that would be, would apparently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I apologize. I'm incorrect. MS. CORDRY: And to be completely accurate, it was not an amended application. It was simply a new document file that didn't include the pedestrian path. So it wasn't like a formal amendment process. It was just, disappeared. MS. ADELMAN: It was Point E, if I remember correctly. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, we consider it as when they file new plans, they change a plan like that, that's considered an amendment to the application. MS. CORDRY: Well, I thought there usually, had to actually file a request to be allowed to amend and so forth. In any case, that's, that's the process, yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Usually what happens is people file, either they file a letter, requesting an amendment, or they file, amending, documents amending it, and we send out a notice saying that they have moved to amend. We treat it as a motion to amend, wait 10 days to see if there's opposition. So that's usually the way of course, this case is different in a lot of ways because there are many days of hearings; so changes can be made and there's plenty of time

	Page 254		Page 256
1	enough; so I will move on.	1	before them in this proceeding is because of the special
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I'm not telling you to move	2	exception. I really don't understand your confusion on that
3	on. I'm just telling you I don't understand what you're	3	point.
4	why do you ask whether, why is that a condition of the	4	THE WITNESS: Fine. Moving on to Slide 37, I just
5	special exception? It is a condition that was suggested as	5	want to focus for a moment on the first bullet point. I'm
6	something that would help the community if, in fact, the	6	not sure you'll consider this relevant, but let me try.
7	special exception were approved. I don't understand why you	7	Applicant has made a consistent argument that this gas
8	addressed that. Why does that become a point with you, sir?	8	station has special value because it has no other services
9	THE WITNESS: I am obviously not capable of	9	except to provide gas in a convenient, cost-effective,
10	explaining it to you. I can give it a try, but	10	et cetera, manner
11	MR. GROSSMAN: I can't believe that you're not	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
12	capable of explaining it. So tell me why it is an issue for	12	THE WITNESS: but recently Applicant has begun
13	you. Why do you this is the second time, I believe, that	13	to repeatedly make the assertion that the gas station has
14	you brought this up. Why is it that that's an issue for	14	eye washes and has testified, in essence, or questioned, in
15	you?	15	essence, that this is a valuable service, and I'm saying
16	MS. ROSENFELD: Mr. Grossman, in the interest of	16	this is inconsistent and misleading. The gas station is
17	time, maybe I can ask the question a different way. Is your	17	either not providing additional services because that's
18	concern or your issue that they are not, they've not	18	valuable or it's providing eye washes because that's
19	promised to provide the path, even if there is no special	19	valuable.
20	exception? Is that	20	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, this is another example,
21	THE WITNESS: Precisely.	21	Dr. Adelman, where I see this as a distinction without a
22	MS. ROSENFELD: your bone of contention?	22	difference. It has no impact, whatever, on any
23	MS. CORDRY: Yes.	23	recommendation I would make, and I can't imagine why you
24	THE WITNESS: Precisely.	24	would think it would, whether there's an eye wash or not at
25	MS. CORDRY: It's the opposite concern. Why	25	the station.
	Page 255		
	Fage 200		Page 257
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Well	1	
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Well	1 2	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why	2	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception?	2 3	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case.
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That would be unlawful. That would be an unlawful resolution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are minimal, because there's no vehicular or pedestrian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That would be unlawful. That would be an unlawful resolution because the issue is not before them except in the special exception.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are minimal, because there's no vehicular or pedestrian connection, but that's factually incorrect. MR. GROSSMAN: I think as far as the pedestrian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That would be unlawful. That would be an unlawful resolution because the issue is not before them except in the special	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are minimal, because there's no vehicular or pedestrian connection, but that's factually incorrect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception process if it does not grant the special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That would be unlawful. That would be an unlawful resolution because the issue is not before them except in the special exception. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It may be before some other part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are minimal, because there's no vehicular or pedestrian connection, but that's factually incorrect. MR. GROSSMAN: I think as far as the pedestrian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That would be unlawful. That would be an unlawful resolution because the issue is not before them except in the special exception. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It may be before some other part of the government, it may be before the Board of Appeals in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are minimal, because there's no vehicular or pedestrian connection, but that's factually incorrect. MR. GROSSMAN: I think as far as the pedestrian connection, it appears to be incorrect because there's been THE WITNESS: Certainly in terms of vehicular,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Well MS. CORDRY: should it be only if you why should it be contingent on the special exception? MR. GROSSMAN: I see, and as I think I explained to you before, the only reason I have any sway over the pedestrian path is because of the special exception. The pedestrian path is outside of the site of the special exception. If other than the relationship of Costco to Westfield, that's the only reason that that comes before me as part of the special exception, so I have any ability to, and the Board has any ability, to approve something with regard to the special exception. That's why it's before me it's before me in that way. It's not before me as just Westfield acting alone. So that's the only way. The Board neither the Hearing Examiner nor the Board of Appeals can require a pedestrian path as part of this special exception process if it does not grant the special exception. It cannot just issue a resolution. That would be unlawful. That would be an unlawful resolution because the issue is not before them except in the special exception. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: It may be before some other part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That's not, that isn't the point. It's not a question of whether there is or isn't an eye wash. It's the inconsistency in the applicant's presentation of its case. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, frankly, I haven't seen them make any big point about eye washes. I mean, I don't know what exactly you're looking at, but if they did, it just wasn't, have any significance to me, warranting any of my attention. THE WITNESS: Fine. I'm going to skip the second bullet point for now. This is Slide 38. We're past the halfway point, and I think it'll go faster now. Section 2 of the land report asserts there's no vehicular or pedestrian connections between the mall parcel and the adjacent neighborhood MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and that is advanced as an argument as to why the impacts on the neighborhood are minimal, because there's no vehicular or pedestrian connection, but that's factually incorrect. MR. GROSSMAN: I think as far as the pedestrian connection, it appears to be incorrect because there's been

	Page 258		Page 260
1	hired work crews to do work on the stormwater management	1	or the, Section 2 of Mr. Gang's original report where he's
2	pipes, and those vehicles went through the forest buffer	2	quoted by Dr. Adelman as saying it's 13,500, and are they
3	zone.	3	referring to the same
4	MR. GROSSMAN: That's not a vehicular connection,	4	MS. HARRIS: Well, in Mr. Gang's report, it noted
5	Dr. Adelman, seriously now.	5	an average of between 13 and I think it was 20 or so during
6	THE WITNESS: It is the same type of vehicular	6	the normal days and then a potential 40,000 during the
7	connection as to paths that pedestrians walk to go between	7	holidays. So I want to see what 198 says in the context of
8	the mall parcel and the neighborhood.	8	the 44,000.
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Once again	9	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.	10	MS. HARRIS: I'm just not sure until I look at
11	MR. GROSSMAN: I do not consider that to be a	11	both reports.
12	vehicular connection. The fact that some equipment may have	12	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
13	been used to fix something there, to me, does not make a	13	THE WITNESS: To be precise, I can in fact show
14	vehicular connection with the mall in the sense that we	14	you the specific numbers in Mr. Gang's original report.
15	would reasonably apply it to whether or not there is a	15	MR. GROSSMAN: I believe you. I'm not saying
16	problem with an interaction between traffic on the mall and	16	you're misquoting it. I'm just saying that I was just
17	people in the neighborhood. Yes, I just as far as the	17	asking Ms. Harris for an explanation in the difference in
18	pedestrian aspect of that, I agree, there's been testimony	18	the numbers relied on by Mr. Gang and Mr. Flynn. That's
19	that people do access the mall. So, there is pedestrian	19	all.
20	access. That's	20	THE WITNESS: Okay, fine.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	21	MS. HARRIS: But I would, to go to that point a
22	MR. GROSSMAN: that's a different story.	22	little further, I would note and you were correct,
23 24	THE WITNESS: This slide, Slide 39, makes the point that I made previously, I got myself out of sequence,	23 24	Mr. Grossman that the number that was quoted for Costco was an actual number that
24	about the mismatch between the numbers as to average daily	24	MR. GROSSMAN: Account
25	about the mismatch between the numbers as to average daily	25	
	Page 259		Page 261
1	-	1	
1	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue,	1	Page 261 MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or
	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that		MS. HARRIS: Account.
2	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue,	2	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or
2 3	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry.	2 3	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever.
2 3 4	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second.	2 3 4	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes.
2 3 4 5	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector
2 3 4 5 6	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the	2 3 4 5 6	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: If it's of any use to you, this is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: is a fact, no question. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: If it's of any use to you, this is 198. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: is a fact, no question. The statement that the sector plan is a reflection of the broad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: If it's of any use to you, this is 198. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's okay. It's okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: is a fact, no question. The statement that the sector plan is a reflection of the broad community's desire to move towards more transit-oriented
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: If it's of any use to you, this is 198. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's okay. It's okay. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. Okay. Go on? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: is a fact, no question. The statement that the sector plan is a reflection of the broad community's desire to move towards more transit-oriented development is also a fact.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: If it's of any use to you, this is 198. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's okay. It's okay. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. Okay. Go on? MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Yes. Let me see what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: is a fact, no question. The statement that the sector plan is a reflection of the broad community's desire to move towards more transit-oriented development is also a fact. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 visitors. So if you're at all interested in that issue, it's Slide 39, and I referenced both of the exhibits that are relevant. Whoops, sorry. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, take that back one second. THE WITNESS: Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. So the number, the 13,500 came from Mr. Gang's report, Section 2. Have we figured out that, the source? THE WITNESS: No, 13,500 came from the land use report. MR. GROSSMAN: Right, Mr. Gang's report. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 1 MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: wasn't listening. Thank you. MS. HARRIS: Now, unfortunately, for all the files that we have over there, 198 is not in there. So we need to check that and we'll get back to you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: If it's of any use to you, this is 198. MR. GROSSMAN: No, it's okay. It's okay. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. Okay. Go on? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. HARRIS: Account. MR. GROSSMAN: that Clicker account or whatever. MS. HARRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: I'm not going to go into the sector plan in any detail. Opposition has people who are going to testify on this matter in great detail. In fact, I'd say to you clearly that for each of the issues I'm raising, I'm raising one point, which I believe the people who are doing the main testimony, the heavy lifting, so to speak, will be covering in more detail. I just want to make the point that the issue of autocentricity of the mall versus the desire for transit-oriented development MR. GROSSMAN: I'm sorry. What was the word you used? The issue of what of the mall? THE WITNESS: The statement that the mall is autocentric MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: is a fact, no question. The statement that the sector plan is a reflection of the broad community's desire to move towards more transit-oriented development is also a fact.

	Page 262		Page 264
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Opine upon, right.	1	to
2	THE WITNESS: You will opine upon, is the question	2	MR. GROSSMAN: They don't give you chambers. I
3	of whether the proper balance has been, has or has not been	3	get an office.
4	struck if this	4	THE WITNESS: When you retire to your office and
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	5	you put on your smoking jacket and you open up the box of
6	THE WITNESS: is allowed to, if this is	6	what will be
7	approved or if you recommend approval.	7	MR. GROSSMAN: They don't let me smoke anymore
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	8	either.
9	THE WITNESS: But what is complicating, in my	9	THE WITNESS: Okay. They'll be 500 or more
10	mind, the issue is that at the same time that Applicant is	10	documents which you'll be reviewing and thinking about, and
11	asserting that this is an autocentric mall	11	I hope that you'll remember that point and one or two others
12	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	12	that I've made, because it is not my presumption that I'm
13	THE WITNESS: which is true, it is ignoring, I	13	going to convince you to reject our, excuse me, recommend
14	believe, perhaps it hasn't even thought about it, the fact	14	rejection. It's my goal, fundamentally, to ask you to look
15	that simultaneously with proposing something that has	15	at things from a different perspective when you do your
16	brought already more cars to the mall and with the special	16	evaluation. And if you will consider that, fine.
17	exception, if it's improved, approved, will bring still more	17	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
18	cars incrementally, but more cars to the mall,	18	THE WITNESS: Now, this is a point about
19	Applicant or Applicant's partner, I'm not sure what phrase	19	conformance with the sector plan that I want to spend just a
20	you would use, Westfield, has received permission to reduce	20	couple of minutes with because I think the issue has gotten
21	the number of parking spaces. When you bring more cars to a	21	confused. This has to do with, in my mind, the overlapping
22	mall and reduce the number of parking spaces, you're	22	issues of the forest buffer and landscaping. I want to be
23	creating, inherently, an additional burden on traffic.	23	very clear, this is not about whether or not the FCP, Forest
24	There's no way to escape that. Both, both documents are in	24	Conservation Plan exemption was or was not valid. We've
25	evidence in your files	25	gone over that. I'm not going there.
	Page 263		Page 265
	Page 263		Page 265
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	1	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the
2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to
2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector	2 3 4	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a
2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not	2 3 4 5	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate
2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan issues to debate. I don't think parking is so much a sector	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is going to be work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan issues to debate. I don't think parking is so much a sector plan issue. One could argue it. I'm not saying it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is going to be work done on the fence as it's being constructed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan issues to debate. I don't think parking is so much a sector plan issue. One could argue it. I'm not saying it's unrelated. Clearly, it's related because the question of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is going to be work done on the fence as it's being constructed. MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan issues to debate. I don't think parking is so much a sector plan issue. One could argue it. I'm not saying it's unrelated. Clearly, it's related because the question of autocentric and not is a sector plan issue. I just think, analytically, it makes sense to treat it in a different cubbyhole.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is going to be work done on the fence as it's being constructed. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I know something about sonotubes. The notion that you can dig the holes for sonotubes standing on only one side of the property line with no impact at all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan issues to debate. I don't think parking is so much a sector plan issue. One could argue it. I'm not saying it's unrelated. Clearly, it's related because the question of autocentric and not is a sector plan issue. I just think, analytically, it makes sense to treat it in a different cubbyhole. THE WITNESS: All right. Then all I ask, sir, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is going to be work done on the fence as it's being constructed. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I know something about sonotubes. The notion that you can dig the holes for sonotubes standing on only one side of the property line, which is the border
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. THE WITNESS: and that's an inherent conflict. It goes to the case of whether, the issue of whether or not this proposal is or is not in conformance with the sector plan, and I'm asserting that it is not. And I'm not introducing a new fact. I'm pointing out that one needs to consider those facts in that grouping. MR. GROSSMAN: See, I think it's an issue. Parking is an issue. Traffic is an issue. I don't view the parking issue as a, particularly as a sector plan issue. Analytically, I don't think that's the appropriate place for me to evaluate the parking problem. You see it in a different light. I understand that and I'm not saying it's not arguable there. I just think, analytically, it's more effective to analyze the parking situation under a different heading. There's already enough under the sector plan issues to debate. I don't think parking is so much a sector plan issue. One could argue it. I'm not saying it's unrelated. Clearly, it's related because the question of autocentric and not is a sector plan issue. I just think, analytically, it makes sense to treat it in a different cubbyhole.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: What I'm pointing out is that the sector plan manifestly impose an obligation on everyone to protect the forest buffer. Whether or not there's a required plan for how to protect it, that doesn't eliminate the need, the desire, the goal to protect the forest buffer. And I would say that absent evidence that the applicant's landscaping plan will not damage the forest buffer, that there's good reason to believe, in fact, it will damage the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that good reason? THE WITNESS: I'm about to show you. Thank you for MR. GROSSMAN: Okay, because they did present evidence that they won't damage the forest buffer. THE WITNESS: I know and I can test that evidence. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: Okay. So there is going to be work done on the fence as it's being constructed. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: I know something about sonotubes. The notion that you can dig the holes for sonotubes standing on only one side of the property line with no impact at all

	Page 266		Page 268
1	don't see how it can be done. People are going	1	MR. GROSSMAN: How does that affect the drilling
2	MR. GROSSMAN: And you have a, you have expertise	2	of the sonotubes
3	in landscaping and landscape architecture	3	THE WITNESS: Whatever work is I'm sorry.
4	THE WITNESS: I have sufficient layman's knowledge	4	MR. GROSSMAN: How does that affect the drilling
5	to know that if you're going to dig a hole for a sonotube to	5	of the sonotubes in terms of their effect on the forest
6	put in a support post, you can't stand on one side; someone	6	buffer?
7	has to stand on the other side of the line. That person	7	THE WITNESS: They both go to the same issue,
8	standing on the other side and, by the way, I think that	8	which is that any work done at the border of or within the
9	the argument has presented that it's a minimal impact that	9	forest buffer runs the risk of damaging the root systems of
10	won't be a problem. I think that understates the	10	the trees.
11	probability that it will in fact cause damage.	11	MR. GROSSMAN: But that was actually within the
12	MR. GROSSMAN: But your thinking that is not	12	forest buffer and doing a completely different type of thing
13	evidence, actually.	13	from what I understand the testimony was.
14	THE WITNESS: I understand that.	14	THE WITNESS: And I am not remember, this is a,
15	MR. GROSSMAN: So is there evidence that you will	15	this is an attempt at a bigger picture
16	be introducing that that will create a problem?	16	MR. GROSSMAN: I think this is a speculation based
17	THE WITNESS: Well, in a sense, the next line is	17	on a non-analogous situation, is what I see it as. I don't
18	evidence, not to the sonotube issue, but to the issue of	18	see that as evidence. I don't see the fact that when they
19	maintenance of the forest buffer. So	19	repaired some stormwater outlet in the middle of the forest
20	MR. GROSSMAN: What's the next line you're talking	20	buffer, that that may have, may or may not have had effect
21	about that's	21	on the tree roots, that that means that putting up the
22	THE WITNESS: Right here.	22	sonotubes for the wall at the very periphery of the buffer
23 24	MR. GROSSMAN: Pardon me? THE WITNESS: Right here. Excuse me. This slide.	23 24	is going to have a deleterious effect on the buffer in light of the testimony of an expert who said it will not.
24	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, the next slide, okay.	24	THE WITNESS: And this is a failure on my part to
25	MIX. ONOODMAN. OII, the hext slide, okay.	25	The write 00. And this is a failure of thy part to
	Page 267		Page 269
1		1	-
1	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're	1	
			make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that
2	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files.	2	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that
2 3	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh.	2 3	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that	2 3 4	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the	2 3 4 5	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a	2 3 4 5 6	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that exhibit, 87(j) that a small equipment vehicle was used in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they're going to be adding,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that exhibit, 87(j) that a small equipment vehicle was used in the forest buffer to do the repair of the stormwater, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they're going to be adding, as I understand, they're going to add some landscaping to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that exhibit, 87(j) that a small equipment vehicle was used in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they're going to be adding,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that exhibit, 87(j) that a small equipment vehicle was used in the forest buffer to do the repair of the stormwater, which it is my understanding I don't have a document, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they're going to be adding, as I understand, they're going to add some landscaping to the forest buffer at the request of that's in the, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that exhibit, 87(j) that a small equipment vehicle was used in the forest buffer to do the repair of the stormwater, which it is my understanding I don't have a document, but perhaps I could get it that planning staff specifically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they're going to be adding, as I understand, they're going to add some landscaping to the forest buffer at the request of that's in the, it's in the sector plan and I think, also, that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: These are two pictures. They're both taken from exhibits that are in your files. MR. GROSSMAN: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: The first one is an exhibit that Mr. Gang filed, a series of pictures he took. And this one, which I've labeled, is a picture he took of the, of a region in the forest buffer. On the right I'll explain the left-hand one in just a second on the right, this is a picture that Mr. Sheveiko took after the stormwater outfall pipe had been repaired and, in Mr. Sheveiko's observations, was failing. And the picture was one that we questioned Mr. Willard about, not the picture, but the issue MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: that that tree had root damage; its root system had been damaged. Now, Mr. Willard said that in his opinion the damage was probably done by pedestrians walking past. Mr. Sheveiko observed and photographed and it's in that exhibit, 87(j) that a small equipment vehicle was used in the forest buffer to do the repair of the stormwater, which it is my understanding I don't have a document, but perhaps I could get it that planning staff specifically requested that no vehicles be used while the stormwater	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make the point clear; so let me rephrase. I am saying that the observations of various citizens have demonstrated that work being done of the sort that will be done in or at the edge of the forest buffer will cause damage to the trees in the forest buffer. MR. GROSSMAN: And what evidence of the observations of the citizens indicate that the drilling of the sonotubes will damage the forest buffer? THE WITNESS: I have none on that. I'm pointing to the MR. GROSSMAN: That's the relevant issue THE WITNESS: Fine. MR. GROSSMAN: because they're not claiming that they're going to go into the buffer and do anything. They're doing something on the periphery of the buffer. THE WITNESS: But ah, this is a case of my not making it clear they're going to be doing landscaping in the forest buffer at the edge. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, they're going to be adding, as I understand, they're going to add some landscaping to the forest buffer at the request of that's in the, it's in the sector plan and I think, also, that the THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

	Page 270		Page 272
1	THE WITNESS: Absolutely, and that's admirable.	1	from negative impact of materials released from the gas
2	MR. GROSSMAN: that's a problem?	2	station that's the testimony and that involves two
3	THE WITNESS: No, no, not at all. It's	3	aspects: one, the underground elements of the stormwater
4	MR. GROSSMAN: I'm really	4	management system and, two, Costco's assertion that its
5	THE WITNESS: it's admirable, but it cannot be	5	attendants will mop up any spills that form on the surface
6 7	done without using equipment that in all probability will cause damage to the	6 7	rapidly. If it rains, which happens, no attendants are going to be standing out there in the rain, mopping up
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Wait a minute. So wait a	8	spills. Those spills will run off the surface into the
9	minute. You're saying that they should or should not add	9	stormwater management pipe, which goes to the forest buffer,
10	the additional landscaping, which you say is admirable?	10	the outfall pipe.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm saying they should add it in a	11	There's been no evidence introduced that that
12	way that is regulated by knowledgeable staff and	12	protective mechanism in fact works. In fact, there's been
13	MR. GROSSMAN: And who says it won't be?	13	no enforcement, and people have again, I don't have a
14	THE WITNESS: The stormwater, the stormwater	14	specific document people have reported, Mr. Sheveiko
15	outfall wasn't. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't, I don't see the	15 16	reported that stormwater outfall MR. GROSSMAN: Well, let's hear, we'll hear from
16 17	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. Thank you.	17	Mr. Sheveiko on whatever he said.
18	MR. GROSSMAN: the connection. I really, it's	18	THE WITNESS: Okay. Mr. Tucker's report which,
19	not that you're not making it's not you're not explaining	19	by the way, when it was corrected, was excellent and we
20	to me. I believe that there is not a point to make there	20	stipulated to that report that report, however, is a
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	21	projection. I want you to consider two aspects of that
22	MR. GROSSMAN: and, you know, it's another one	22	projection.
23	of those things that, that there just isn't evidence to	23	Mr. Tucker reported that he had done, I believe,
24	support the speculation that you're suggesting.	24	
25	THE WITNESS: Okay. By the way, does it seem	25	random or non-random sample of the underlying soil.
	Page 271		Page 273
1	relevant to you that the engineering report contain no	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,
2	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way	1 2	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves
2 3	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you?	2 3	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that
2 3 4	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means.	2 3 4	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate.
2 3 4 5	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report	2 3 4 5	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the
2 3 4 5 6	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they	2 3 4	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While
2 3 4 5	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report	2 3 4 5 6	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain	2 3 4 5 6 7	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it might be relevant if you showed me that it has some impact on the plans here. Is there a connection? Is there some impact you're telling me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and this is a form of that question. It is something that has, has occurred in the past but not in the context of a special exception in a rezoning, the question of where there's a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it might be relevant if you showed me that it has some impact on the plans here. Is there a connection? Is there some impact you're telling me as to what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and this is a form of that question. It is something that has, has occurred in the past but not in the context of a special exception in a rezoning, the question of where there's a lot of inconvenience to people. As I say, it's, it's somewhat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it might be relevant if you showed me that it has some impact on the plans here. Is there a connection? Is there some impact you're telling me as to what THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and this is a form of that question. It is something that has, has occurred in the past but not in the context of a special exception in a rezoning, the question of where there's a lot of inconvenience to people. As I say, it's, it's somewhat up in the air because there really isn't anything in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it might be relevant if you showed me that it has some impact on the plans here. Is there a connection? Is there some impact you're telling me as to what THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that impact?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and this is a form of that question. It is something that has, has occurred in the past but not in the context of a special exception in a rezoning, the question of where there's a lot of inconvenience to people. As I say, it's, it's somewhat up in the air because there really isn't anything in the code that says that the construction of a special exception
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it might be relevant if you showed me that it has some impact on the plans here. Is there a connection? Is there some impact you're telling me as to what THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that impact? THE WITNESS: And that is about the assertion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and this is a form of that question. It is something that has, has occurred in the past but not in the context of a special exception in a rezoning, the question of where there's a lot of inconvenience to people. As I say, it's, it's somewhat up in the air because there really isn't anything in the code that says that the construction of a special exception must not create a nuisance, that is, noise, et cetera.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	substantive engineering documents? Is that in any way relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: I don't know what that means. THE WITNESS: It means that the report MR. GROSSMAN: They had, they THE WITNESS: the report did not contain Mr. Tucker's technical report. It contained an incorrect report which was substantively corrected, but in the corrected version of the engineering report, no reference is made to Mr. Tucker's proper report. Similarly, the engineering report contains no reference of any sort to Mr. Golan's document or testimony, and Mr. Golan testified about an operations safety training manual, not in any way, shape, or form a technical document. Does that, does that seem at all relevant to you? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it might be relevant if you showed me that it has some impact on the plans here. Is there a connection? Is there some impact you're telling me as to what THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah. MR. GROSSMAN: And what is that impact?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	won't know what the underlying soil is like. That involves excavating the asphalt, and at that time, let's suppose that there's some probability that the soil will not be adequate. Well, of course, Costco will come in and remove all of the soil if necessary, tons of soil and replace it. While that work is going on, that's going to be a considerable nuisance, burden, whatever you want to call it, on the neighborhood and that probability is the kind of probability I'm asking you to consider. MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it is an interesting question as to whether or not the construction of something for a special exception assuming we had a special exception that would not cause any adverse effects but the construction of it would create noise or whatever, is that a problem? The code is actually silent on that issue, and this is a form of that question. It is something that has, has occurred in the past but not in the context of a special exception in a rezoning, the question of where there's a lot of inconvenience to people. As I say, it's, it's somewhat up in the air because there really isn't anything in the code that says that the construction of a special exception

	Page 274		Page 276
1	THE WITNESS: Fine.	1	THE WITNESS: The opposition well, I can't
2	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)	2	speak for KHCA. The Coalition is not interested in
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	3	proposing what conditions. It's our fundamental assertion
4	THE WITNESS: Two things, Mr. Grossman. Number	4	that no number of conditions can make this a proper siting
5	one, I appreciate your patience. I'm going to cut short by	5	for this gas station.
6	eliminating a couple of slides, but the point about what	6	MR. GROSSMAN: No, I understand that. I'm not, I
7	would happen during construction of the site or after the	7	don't think that proposing a condition undermines your clear
8	site	8	position that you're opposed to the gas station itself.
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	9	THE WITNESS: In this site.
10	THE WITNESS: I have a second a slide and that	10	MR. GROSSMAN: At this site, yes. I don't think
11	goes to the issue of, presuming the special exception is	11	that suggesting that if the, if the Board decides to approve
12	approved	12	a special exception, that there might be conditions that
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	13	would be, that would be beneficial to the neighborhood
14	THE WITNESS: and the gas station is built, it	14	undermines your position that you're opposed to the gas
15	is a fact that in this county there are numerous instances	15	station at this site.
16	of concrete cracking. I've been told and I will go to	16	THE WITNESS: Now, let's see. I'm going to skip,
17	the mall and document and submit it to you as a separate	17	I believe, several slides. I wanted to make a couple of
18	filing that there are already cracks at various places in	18	comments about Mr. Sullivan's report. I am not going to
19	and around the vicinity of the Costco warehouse store and	19	assert any expertise with respect to the substance of
20	the proposed SE site. But whether or not they currently	20	Mr. Sullivan's report. I want to give you a specific
21	exist, the reality is that concrete pouring in this county	21	example of the fact that Mr. Sullivan, because he is not a
22	has a rather unfortunate history.	22	scientist, has not plotted his data properly, and I want to
23	Now if, at some point, after the gas station is	23	give you a specific example of how that leads to an
24	constructed	24	erroneous conclusion.
25	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes.	25	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
	D 075		
	Page 275		Page 277
1		1	
1	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks	1	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide
2	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that	1 2 3	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I
	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is	2	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in
2 3	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them,	2 3	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number?
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it. That's my vague recollection. I'm not sure it's the case,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well. MS. ROSENFELD: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it. That's my vague recollection. I'm not sure it's the case, but I mean, if there are conditions in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well. MS. ROSENFELD: No. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it. That's my vague recollection. I'm not sure it's the case, but I mean, if there are conditions that the opposition	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well. MS. ROSENFELD: No. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. MR. GROSSMAN: What's your point with regard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it. That's my vague recollection. I'm not sure it's the case, but I mean, if there are conditions that the opposition wants to suggest, you know, I'd certainly consider it if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well. MS. ROSENFELD: No. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. MR. GROSSMAN: What's your point with regard THE WITNESS: Yeah, the plotted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it. That's my vague recollection. I'm not sure it's the case, but I mean, if there are conditions that the opposition wants to suggest, you know, I'd certainly consider it if that's, you know I don't know that that's particularly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well. MS. ROSENFELD: No. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. MR. GROSSMAN: What's your point with regard THE WITNESS: Yeah, the plotted MR. GROSSMAN: This is Sullivan Slide No was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: cracks appear, significant cracks in the concrete pad, this goes to the issue of how is that going to be fixed. Of course it will be fixed. Costco is not going to go out of business. It's going to fix them, but the fixing will require excavation in the middle of a parking lot that is heavily used, and that, again, will be another issue of nuisance, considerable nuisance. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't think that I can count that as nuisance. In other words, yes, it's possible that concrete can crack. I don't think I can count as nuisance a speculative crack in concrete for a station that hasn't yet been built and the necessary repairs to it. So I wouldn't count that as nuisance. And in terms of the construction, I have this recollection, actually, in a special exception case, that I did try to impose or recommended a condition concerning construction of, near an old-age home or something rare and that the Board rejected it in saying that the noise controls for the county and county law was sufficient to cover it. That's my vague recollection. I'm not sure it's the case, but I mean, if there are conditions that the opposition wants to suggest, you know, I'd certainly consider it if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is specifically Slide 29 in his PowerPoint testimony. His exhibit number I managed to lose. Does it matter? It's in the exhibit in which he gave you all the PowerPoint slides. Do you need to have that exhibit number? MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you can tell me what your point is, and then I'll see if I can THE WITNESS: Okay. My point is that MR. SILVERMAN: This is the THE WITNESS: this data is plotted incorrectly. MR. SILVERMAN: This is the same exhibit that Mr. Goecke handed to me, is that THE WITNESS: The data is plotted MR. SILVERMAN: about comparing different stations? MS. ROSENFELD: No. MR. SILVERMAN: No. Oh, I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm MR. SILVERMAN: I can't see it too well. MS. ROSENFELD: No. THE WITNESS: Okay, fine. MR. GROSSMAN: What's your point with regard THE WITNESS: Yeah, the plotted

	Page 278		Page 280
-	presentation or his original?	-	
1	presentation or his original?	1	THE WITNESS: Exactly.
2	THE WITNESS: It's the same slide in both.	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. It doesn't look like a zero,
3	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. And so it's	3	that's all, just
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. And	4	THE WITNESS: It's because I was attempting to
5	MR. GROSSMAN: Slide No. 29, Actual Trend in PM	5	USE
6	2.5	6	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
7	THE WITNESS: Right, and this is	7	THE WITNESS: a graphic clip from his
8	MR. GROSSMAN: Measured Concentration,	8	software
9	Background.	9	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
10	THE WITNESS: Fine. This is plotted so that the	10	THE WITNESS: wasn't exactly comparable.
11	low point on the y-axis is eight. The data should be	11	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. So your point is that the
12	plotted so the low point is zero, and this is not a trivial	12	curves look a lot flatter when you start from zero? Is that
13	point. Let me show you first the next slide which is simply	13	your point?
14	a comparison of what the data looks like if it's plotted as	14	THE WITNESS: Right, and not just look a lot
15	Mr. Sullivan did or if it's plotted as I as a scientist and	15	flatter, are a lot flatter. The data is in fact
16	any other scientists would plot it.	16	demonstrating that the trend line is not what Mr. Sullivan
17	This, in this case the axis goes to zero and	17	would have you believe, but I can make the point more
18	I'll show you this in more detail in a second and the	18	clearly if you'll let me show you the next two slides, which
19	conclusion that one reaches from this slide is that the	19	are almost the final slides.
20	levels of PM 2.5 are proceeding very steeply towards zero,	20	MR. GROSSMAN: All right.
21	but in fact, if you plot the data properly, you get a	21	THE WITNESS: So the next slide is a slide in
22	totally different impression which is that the trend line is	22	which I've put some X's on points about Mr. Sullivan's slide
23	quite shallow and is in no way, shape, or form likely to	23	to which I as a scientist would object. The most important
24	reach zero in the near future.	24	one is the large red X at the, the origin of Mr. Sullivan's
25	MR. GROSSMAN: I forget. Is this one of your, did	25	plot, which I have my pointer on now. I've explained why
	Page 279		Page 281
	Page 279		Page 281
1	you do a slide to show	1	that's inappropriately done.
1 2	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes.	1 2	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to
	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.		that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of
2	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55.	2	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites
2 3	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done.	2 3	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me	2 3 4	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're
2 3 4 5	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay.	2 3 4 5	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should
2 3 4 5 6	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of	2 3 4 5 6	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides.	2 3 4 5 6 7	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. MS. CORDRY: Well, yours is just showing from zero 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. MS. CORDRY: Well, yours is just showing from zero up to 15, is that correct, on your, on your, the right-hand 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what Ms. Sheveiko said. I'm, I'm actually not arguing about the specific data but, rather, the way it's been plotted, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. MS. CORDRY: Well, yours is just showing from zero up to 15, is that correct, on your, on your, the right-hand slide there? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what Ms. Sheveiko said. I'm, I'm actually not arguing about the specific data but, rather, the way it's been plotted, which is misleading, and the next slide shows you the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. MS. CORDRY: Well, yours is just showing from zero up to 15, is that correct, on your, on your, the right-hand slide there? THE WITNESS: Precisely. On the left-hand side, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what Ms. Sheveiko said. I'm, I'm actually not arguing about the specific data but, rather, the way it's been plotted, which is misleading, and the next slide shows you the data properly plotted. This is Slide No. 57, and here the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. MS. CORDRY: Well, yours is just showing from zero up to 15, is that correct, on your, on your, the right-hand slide there? THE WITNESS: Precisely. On the left-hand side, it goes from eight to 15. On 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what Ms. Sheveiko said. I'm, I'm actually not arguing about the specific data but, rather, the way it's been plotted, which is misleading, and the next slide shows you the data properly plotted. This is Slide No. 57, and here the data has been plotted, just the Rockville data. This is the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you do a slide to show THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: This is Slide, I'm now on Slide 55. I'm almost done. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. Hold on one second. Let me take a look at that. Okay. THE WITNESS: And I have a better demonstration of this in the following two slides. MR. GROSSMAN: Right. Hold on one second here. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. MR. GROSSMAN: I can't read the left-hand column on your page 55. THE WITNESS: Right. That's because, I apologize, but I didn't have a graphics program that would allow me to take his data that way; so I've re-plotted it. So, for the time being, just ignore that slide and we'll go on, because I'm going to show you the point more clearly, where you can read everything. MS. CORDRY: Well, yours is just showing from zero up to 15, is that correct, on your, on your, the right-hand slide there? THE WITNESS: Precisely. On the left-hand side, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's inappropriately done. The second point is that Mr. Sullivan chose to show you data from three different sites, clusters of monitors at three different sites MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: and I would argue that if you're interested in the proposed special exception, you should show the data only for Rockville because that's the closest site. Now, you can argue that point, but I want to, I want to make clear to you that the data, when plotted, as I will show you on the next slide, is much clearer and gives you a manifestly different conclusion. MR. GROSSMAN: Ms. Sheveiko seemed to disagree with you on that. She said why didn't he use Arlington. THE WITNESS: Well, I'm MR. GROSSMAN: I thought that's what she said THE WITNESS: I'm not MR. GROSSMAN: for the THE WITNESS: I can't testify about what Ms. Sheveiko said. I'm, I'm actually not arguing about the specific data but, rather, the way it's been plotted, which is misleading, and the next slide shows you the data properly plotted. This is Slide No. 57, and here the data

	Page 282		Page 284
1	data to us. I simply plotted it, plotted it with the proper	1	point I'm trying to make.
2	x-axis and as close as I could with my graphic package to	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.
3	the, excuse me, from the proper y-axis and as close as I	3	THE WITNESS: I'm going to skip these slides. I'm
4	could with my graphics package, I don't have his, with the	4	not going to belabor this point. I continue my assertion
5	same x-axis. And the point I'm making, if you look at the	5	that the way Dr. Chase's report was filed and the names
6	line, is that the trend not only is much more shallow but,	6	given to the documents are relevant; that, in fact I'll
7	in fact, if you look at the points, the near-term points for	7	be very forceful I believe Applicant believed with good
8	2010, '11, '12, in fact it's not clear that the trend is	8	reason that health impact was not a major issue, did not
9	down at all; in fact, one could argue that the trend is	9	make a, what I would call, serious effort to demonstrate the
10	going up slowly. I'm not saying that. I'm saying that in	10	absence of health impact and, because it didn't make a
11	fact the data don't support the assertion that there's a	11	serious effort, it didn't in fact demonstrate that point.
12	rapid decrease in PM 2.5 and that's because of	12	You know from my questioning of Dr. Chase, from my
13	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, it's interesting, however,	13	objections to his being admitted as an expert, and I'm now
14	that on Mr. Sullivan's slide for Rockville shows the	14	saying, again, Dr. Chase's report should be given, if not no
15	trend up	15	weight, almost no weight as to health impact. And the
16	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	16	reason I'm stressing that is that, as you know, for the bulk
17	MR. GROSSMAN: from 2010 to 2012	17	of the opposition, the health impact is the most important
18	THE WITNESS: Right.	18	issue, the most important issue and, on that most important
19	MR. GROSSMAN: whereas your slide shows much	19	issue, which the Council did weigh in on not as well as
20	less.	20	we'd like, but they're a political body; they have to make a
21	THE WITNESS: Because his slide distorts the	21	compromise on the most important issue, which the Council
22	steepness of the curve.	22	weighed in, Costco did not present a convincing report that
23	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay.	23	there was no health impact in any way, shape, or form.
24	THE WITNESS: The data, however, when plotted	24	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, I'll reserve any opinion on
25	properly and this is my primary assertion. I am not	25	that until I hear from all the health experts.
	Page 283		Page 285
1	attempting to testify as an expert. Dr. Cole is the expert	1	THE WITNESS: Fine I think ab I did want to
	attempting to testify as an expert. Dr. Cole is the expert. He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is	1	THE WITNESS: Fine. I think ah, I did want to make one last point. You had the date is wrong by the
2	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is	2	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the
2	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm	2 3	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual
2 3 4	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply	2 3 4	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified
2 3 4 5	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm	2 3 4 5	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco
2 3 4 5 6	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the	2 3 4	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend	2 3 4 5 6	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the	2 3 4 5 6 7	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at Arlington the PM 2.5 will approach zero in the next 20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: from your point of view. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at Arlington the PM 2.5 will approach zero in the next 20 years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: from your point of view. It was the same point. The wording was slightly different. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at Arlington the PM 2.5 will approach zero in the next 20 years. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand the point you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: from your point of view. It was the same point. The wording was slightly different. It was the same. In contrast, the three people, these three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at Arlington the PM 2.5 will approach zero in the next 20 years. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand the point you're making. I think the way something is displayed can change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: from your point of view. It was the same point. The wording was slightly different. It was the same. In contrast, the three people, these three individuals who spoke in opposition gave very detailed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at Arlington the PM 2.5 will approach zero in the next 20 years. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand the point you're making. I think the way something is displayed can change your apprehension of what it's showing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: from your point of view. It was the same point. The wording was slightly different. It was the same. In contrast, the three people, these three individuals who spoke in opposition gave very detailed testimony. One testified in considerable detail as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He's going to go over this in immense detail. My point is that because Mr. Sullivan is not a scientist I'm not, I'm not saying he's intentionally misleading you. I'm simply saying, in my opinion, he doesn't understand to plot the data and how to plot the data and, if you plot the data properly, the conclusion you draw is that the trend line is anything but steep and downward, approaching zero. It's very close to flat. And, in fact, if there were error bars, which there should be, it's entirely possible the line would be flat, I don't know. The data that's been proffered doesn't allow you to draw the conclusion that Mr. Sullivan would have you draw. MR. GROSSMAN: Would you disagree that the Arlington trend line is considerably down? THE WITNESS: Hang on a second. Arlington is green, and I would say it's considerably down, but in fact, I would look at the next slide, excuse me, and I would say it's considerably down but it's still unlikely that at Arlington the PM 2.5 will approach zero in the next 20 years. MR. GROSSMAN: I understand the point you're making. I think the way something is displayed can change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	make one last point. You had the date is wrong, by the way. On July 31st you heard testimony from 17 individual citizens, and I pointed out to you that 13 of them testified in support of Costco MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: three against, and one wasn't clear. If you reread the testimony MR. GROSSMAN: Mr. Scharman was clear. He doesn't take a position one way or the other. THE WITNESS: He doesn't take a position. I was trying to be MR. GROSSMAN: He's not unclear. THE WITNESS: trying to be thoughtful. Okay, fine. The 13 individuals who testified on behalf of Costco all fundamentally made the same point. They wanted a convenient, clean, quality gas station that selled gas inexpensively MR. GROSSMAN: Right. THE WITNESS: from your point of view. It was the same point. The wording was slightly different. It was the same. In contrast, the three people, these three individuals who spoke in opposition gave very detailed

	Page 286		Page 288
1	MR. GROSSMAN: Right.	1	MR. GOECKE: Not long, a few minutes.
2	THE WITNESS: one, a gas station owner,	2	MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, okay. Can you stay for a few
3	testified in considerable detail as to why he felt that the	3	minutes?
4	assertions that this was such a valuable gas station for the	4	THE REPORTER: Sure.
5	neighborhood was not in fact so valuable	5	MR. GROSSMAN: All right, thank you. All right.
6	MR. GROSSMAN: I recall all of that testimony.	6	Then go ahead, Mr. Goecke.
7	THE WITNESS: Right. And the third testimony	7	MR. GOECKE: Thank you.
8	by	8	CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	MR. GROSSMAN: Mrs. Sheard.	9	BY MR. GOECKE:
10	THE WITNESS: Ms. Sheard, who, by the way, from	10	Q Dr. Adelman, you take exception with the way
11	my point of view, knows more about land use issues than I	11	Mr. Sullivan presented information in his report, but you
12	will ever, ever, ever know	12	don't dispute the actual numbers that he provided, do you?
13	MR. GROSSMAN: And land planning issues.	13	A I can't dispute them. I have made no measurements
14	THE WITNESS: Okay, and land planning issues, went	14	of my own. So I can't address that. I wasn't questioning
15	to the issues that I've been attempting to develop, which is	15	the accuracy of the numbers. I have no information. I was
16	that I don't know the exact words she used, but the	16	questioning the conclusions drawn from the numbers. I'm not
17	fundamental point was, approving this proposal should not be	17	stating that the numbers are accurate or inaccurate.
18	done without giving very careful attention to the	18	Q And you also question his use of certain numbers
19	implications of the proposal into the future. I'm not sure	19	as well, don't you?
20	she spoke to the issue that I'm concerned about which is a	20	A I question
21	forcibility of control, of conditions, but she clearly spoke	21	Q And I can be more specific. You think that it's
22	to the notion that this whole exercise is about a special	22	appropriate only to use the PM 2.5 levels from Rockville as
23	exception proposal about which we in fact know very little	23	opposed to Beltsville and Arlington?
24	and that while in many situations projecting into the future	24	A I think they should be shown separately and
25	is a relatively straightforward exercise, this particular	25	explained separately. I chose to address the Rockville data
	Page 287		
	Fage 207		Page 289
1	- 	1	Page 289 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the
1 2	-	1 2	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data
	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action.		because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue.
2	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point.	2	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his
2 3	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions	2 3	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue.
2 3 4 5 6	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables,	2 3 4 5 6	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the
2 3 4 5 6 7	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Does Kensington	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the filing, the graph presented, when he displayed it, conveys a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Does Kensington Heights wish to brutalize this witness?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the filing, the graph presented, when he displayed it, conveys a misleading notion of what the trend is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Does Kensington Heights wish to brutalize this witness? MS. ROSENFELD: I have no cross-examination.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the filing, the graph presented, when he displayed it, conveys a misleading notion of what the trend is. Q So that's your interpretation, that it conveys a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Does Kensington Heights wish to brutalize this witness? MS. ROSENFELD: I have no cross-examination. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the filing, the graph presented, when he displayed it, conveys a misleading notion of what the trend is. Q So that's your interpretation, that it conveys a misleading conclusion, because you think it suggests that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Does Kensington Heights wish to brutalize this witness? MS. ROSENFELD: I have no cross-examination. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Let me ask: Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the filing, the graph presented, when he displayed it, conveys a misleading notion of what the trend is. Q So that's your interpretation, that it conveys a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	situation is in no way a straightforward exercise and one should be especially careful about thinking about the implications of taking this kind of action. MR. GROSSMAN: I happen to agree with that point. I think this is different than a lot of special exceptions because there are more, I don't want to say imponderables, but maybe that's ponderables, let's say that. THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, the last slide is the same as the first slide. I thank you for listening to me. I realize that in some cases I haven't convinced you. Perhaps in other cases I have, but I wanted you to consider in the aggregate what the implications of this filing are and the fact that all of these factors are interrelated. They, they cannot be considered in isolation. They have to be evaluated as a package. All of the small questions add up to one very large question, and I don't believe, I really firmly do not believe that Applicant has met the burden of proof. Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right. Does Kensington Heights wish to brutalize this witness? MS. ROSENFELD: I have no cross-examination. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 because I believe that it's the closest, but that's not the point. The point is that by putting all three sets of data on the same graph, you confuse the issue. MR. GROSSMAN: I don't have any problem with his putting three on the same graph. I have a little bit more concern about the, whether or not the display, showing the deeper curve, is suggestive of something that's deeper than warrants the display. But the fact that he put all three on the graph together, to me, is not worthy of criticism. Go ahead. BY MR. GOECKE: Q And is it your recollection that Mr. Sullivan testified the trend was going down towards zero? A I can't actually remember what he said. I believe that, I believe his filings were intended to convey the notion, or I can't say what he intended. I can only say that his filing is misleading. Whether he intended to mislead or not is not the question. I'm saying that the filing, the graph presented, when he displayed it, conveys a misleading notion of what the trend is. Q So that's your interpretation, that it conveys a misleading conclusion, because you think it suggests that the trend is going towards zero?

 Q You kept saying that he didn't plot the information properly. Is there only one way that you can lot this type of information? A I can't say there's only one way. When a cientist 	1 2 3	MR. GOECKE: Indulgence just one moment. MR. GROSSMAN: Sure. MR. GOECKE: No further questions.
formation properly. Is there only one way that you can lot this type of information? A I can't say there's only one way. When a	2 3	MR. GROSSMAN: Sure.
lot this type of information? A I can't say there's only one way. When a	3	
A I can't say there's only one way. When a		
	4	MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. All right.
	5	MR. SILVERMAN: Can I redirect? Thank you.
Q Thank you.	6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
A I'll finish. When a scientist plots data, he has	7	BY MR. SILVERMAN:
number of options, he or she has a number of options and	8	Q There's a discussion of scientists, Dr. Adelman.
e or she makes a good-faith effort to plot the data in a	。 9	You said that scientists, I think you said correct me if
	_	I'm wrong that scientists use error bars.
		Q Would you tell me what that means and how you
		think Mr. Sullivan or Dr. Chase, for that matter, should
		have used error bars?
		A Well, for example, in the, in that graph, in the
		data and this slide re-plotted his data I would have
· · ·		liked to have had error bars because, when you draw a line
		through data points, the slope of the line or the way you
		connect the dots is dependent upon error dependent on
-		error uncertainty. Scientists recognize uncertainty in all
		data. In some cases, the data is sufficiently reproducible,
		precise, free of error that the data point itself
-		encompasses the error bar, but it is more traditional to
		show a dot with a bar that extends vertically from the upper
m confused.	25	limit of certainty to the lower limit of certainty. And
Page 291		Page 293
A Well, no, if it depends, I guess, on your	1	depending on what the error bars are, in this particular
efinition of scientist. To me, a scientist is a person who	2	data set, for which I don't have error data, it's impossible
as training as a scientist, is recognized as a scientist.	3	to tell whether it's appropriate to connect the dots, as is
o my knowledge, Mr. Sullivan, didn't testify as an expert	4	done here, or to draw a horizontal line or a line that
s a scientist.	5	slopes downward or a line another way of plotting this
Q Is meteorology a science?	6	data, for example, would be to show error bars and have
A Yes.	7	three lines: one, the most probabilistic interpretation,
Q And so a meteorologist would be a scientist?	8	and two other lines that indicated how the data would be
A He has a degree in meteorology?	9	interpreted if the error was the minimum value and how the
Q He has been a meteorologist for 39 years.	10	data would be interpreted if it was the maximum value.
A I didn't ask you that's not my question. My	11	Q Is this sort of like storm-tracking of hurricanes
uestion is, does he have a degree in meteorology?	12	when they're far out?
Q So if he doesn't have a degree in meteorology,	13	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, you don't have to give
e's not a scientist?	14	analogies. I understand the point.
A Well, how do I put this? Is meteorologists	15	MR. SILVERMAN: Okay. All right. I'm just trying
ive weather reports, do they not?	16	to understand it.
Q I'm sorry?	17	BY MR. SILVERMAN:
A Meteorologists give weather reports, do they not?	18	Q And how would a scientist go about determining
Q Do meteorologists give weather reports? I think	19	what the error bar is for, let's say, PM 2.5?
ney do.	20	A In this case, if I understand, Mr. Sullivan got
A Okay. Are the meteorologists who give weather	21	all of his data from published studies. I don't believe he
eports scientists?	22	did these studies himself. So, he'd look at the data and he
Q I think they are.	23	would provide an average with a range of standard deviation
A Oh. Well, we have a very different assessment of	24	based on the data set that he had available, and he would
hat constitutes a scientist; so we disagree.	25	use that standard deviation to indicate an error bar.
	 A Well, no, if it depends, I guess, on your efinition of scientist. To me, a scientist is a person who as training as a scientist, is recognized as a scientist. o my knowledge, Mr. Sullivan, didn't testify as an expert is a scientist. Q Is meteorology a science? A Yes. Q And so a meteorologist would be a scientist? A He has a degree in meteorology? Q He has been a meteorologist for 39 years. A I didn't ask you that's not my question. My uestion is, does he have a degree in meteorology? Q So if he doesn't have a degree in meteorology, e's not a scientist? A Well, how do I put this? Is meteorologists ive weather reports, do they not? Q I'm sorry? A Meteorologists give weather reports, do they not? Q Do meteorologists give weather reports? I think ney do. A Okay. Are the meteorologists who give weather reports scientists? 	Paility or truth. I don't believe Mr. Sullivan chose the 11 est way, which is what I would call proper. 12 Q Okay. And did you testify that Mr. Sullivan is 13 ot a scientist? 14 A Well, let's put it this way: He has no degree in 15 bence and, based on my assessment of that graph and a few 16 thers I could've, I could've belabored the point, but 17 m not getting into Mr., to Dr. Cole's testimony based 18 n my assessment of a number of his graphs, he doesn't 21 andle data the way scientists with whom I'm familiar, which 20 a fair number, would handle the data. So he's not 21 inctioning from my point of view in the scientific mode. 22 Q So is it that you don't think he's a scientist. or 23 o you you think he's not acting scientifically here? 24 m confused. 25 Page 291 1 A Well, no, if it depends, I guess, on your 1 efinition of scientist. To me, a scientist is a person who 28 as training as a scientist, is recognized as a scientist. 30 o my knowledge, Mr. Sullivan, didn't testify as an expert 4 s a scientist. </th

	Page 294		Page 296
1	I have not moved on to the original data. I found	1	exhibitize them.
2	it hard enough to find the points that I plotted here in	2	MS. ROSENFELD: If we could get an exhibit list in
3	Mr. Sullivan's filing. So I didn't go to the original	3	advance of the hearing and not just the morning of, I think
4	source because I wasn't after the question of did he have	4	it would help us
5	accurate data. I simply assumed the data were accurate	5	MR. GROSSMAN: Well, yes. The problem is that we
6	because I had no basis for challenging the accuracy.	6	often have been getting exhibits very close to do that.
7	Q Well, when you're doing scientific investigations	7	MS. ROSENFELD: Too late to do that. I understand
8	or presenting papers or demonstrations, how, how prominent a	8	that.
9	place do you give the issue of error in your discussions, as	9	MR. GROSSMAN: So, yes, but certainly, we would
10	a scientist?	10	MS. ROSENFELD: But if I get these to you in
11	A It's, in most cases I can think of some cases	11	advance, rather than taking time tonight
12	where it's not particularly important but in most cases,	12	MR. GROSSMAN: We can give you the exhibit
13	it's crucial, especially if you're making a conclusion based	13	numbers, yes, sure.
14	on a data set and you want to convince the, your scientific	14	MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Is that acceptable to
15	peers that you've proven the point. Then you show them	15	MS. HARRIS: Are they new, just so I'm clear, are
16	that, number one, my measurements are reproducible; number	16	they new exhibits?
17	two, that my equipment has been calibrated, et cetera,	17	MS. ROSENFELD: Three of these are the Federal
18	et cetera, et cetera, and I know that any one data point has	18	Register documents that I gave you in hard copy and that you
19	a precision of, pick a number, of .1 percent, whatever,	19	have
20	because often in science you are presenting a paper and you	20	MS. HARRIS: Okay.
21	are in fact arguing with people. I mean, it's a play	21	MS. ROSENFELD: and I'm happy to give you
22	argument, but you're arguing with people because you're	22	copies of what I do have here. They're charts. Some of
23	intending to say the conclusions that so-and-so drew are	23	these you do not have, but I expect that they will be
24	wrong, mine are correct, the reason they're correct is	24	testified to later. I'm happy to go ahead
25	because my data is accurate and his is not or hers is not	25	MS. HARRIS: Well, for the things that we don't
	Baga 205		Bogo 207
	Page 295		Page 297
1	accurate. So error bars are essential.	1	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if
2	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are	2	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could.
2 3	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the	2 3	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you
2 3 4	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what	2 3 4	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can
2 3 4 5	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most	2 3 4 5	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine.
2 3 4 5 6	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential.	2 3 4 5 6	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you
2 3 4 5 6 7	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you?
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today?	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I MR. GROSSMAN: How come you didn't bring this up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay. MS. ADELMAN: You're not going to wait until
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I MR. GROSSMAN: How come you didn't bring this up earlier?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay. MS. ADELMAN: You're not going to wait until Halloween?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I MR. GROSSMAN: How come you didn't bring this up earlier? MS. ROSENFELD: And, actually, I have there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay. MS. ADELMAN: You're not going to wait until Halloween? MR. GROSSMAN: We're going to be close enough, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I MR. GROSSMAN: How come you didn't bring this up earlier? MS. ROSENFELD: And, actually, I have there's two ways we can do it. We can submit these now. I suspect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay. MS. ADELMAN: You're not going to wait until Halloween? MR. GROSSMAN: We're going to be close enough, as I understand it. That reminds me of a joke, but I'm going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I MR. GROSSMAN: How come you didn't bring this up earlier? MS. ROSENFELD: And, actually, I have there's two ways we can do it. We can submit these now. I suspect there are others we're going to be submitting in advance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay. MS. ADELMAN: You're not going to wait until Halloween? MR. GROSSMAN: We're going to be close enough, as I understand it. That reminds me of a joke, but I'm going to, I'm going to refrain from telling it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	accurate. So error bars are essential. Now, there are situations where error bars are simply irrelevant. I mean, if you're just describing the color of something, nobody's going to be interested in what the error is in the wavelength of light, but in most situations, the error bars are essential. Q Thank you. MR. GROSSMAN: Any recross? MR. GOECKE: No. MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Thank you, Dr. Adelman, appreciate your presentation. Okay. Is there anything else that we need to discuss prior to adjourning today? MS. ROSENFELD: I do have some exhibits I'd like to enter. MR. GROSSMAN: Oh, yes, the exhibits. The exhibits. MS. ROSENFELD: The exhibits, and I MR. GROSSMAN: How come you didn't bring this up earlier? MS. ROSENFELD: And, actually, I have there's two ways we can do it. We can submit these now. I suspect there are others we're going to be submitting in advance. If, if I send these into you most of these I've already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 have, yes, I think it would be helpful to have them now, if we could. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. I'll go ahead and give you your copies now, or we can MS. HARRIS: That's fine. MR. GROSSMAN: Okay. That's fine, and then you can file them MS. ROSENFELD: And then you can just correlate them to the exhibit numbers later. MR. GOECKE: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Does that work for you? MS. HARRIS: Yes, that's fine. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. MR. GROSSMAN: Because I don't plan to look at them tomorrow. I'm going, flying up to see my grandchildren this weekend. So that's MS. ROSENFELD: I understand. Okay. MS. ADELMAN: You're not going to wait until Halloween? MR. GROSSMAN: We're going to be close enough, as I understand it. That reminds me of a joke, but I'm going to, I'm going to refrain from telling it. MS. HARRIS: We could use some humor.

	Page 298
	Faye 230
1	(No audible response.)
2	MR. GROSSMAN: All right. Then we will reconvene
3	here on November 14. We'll, we know we at least will have
4	Mr. Silverman's continuing cross-examination, and we'll have
5	Mr. Core's continuing cross-examination, or
6	cross-examination, I should say, and I guess you'll supply
7	me with more specifics about who will be the other
8	witnesses
9	MS. ADELMAN: Yes.
10	MR. GROSSMAN: at that point. All right, then,
11	thank you. We are I'm sorry?
12	MS. ADELMAN: You do have my preliminary list,
13	though, don't you, Mr. Grossman?
14	MR. GROSSMAN: Yes. Yes. You handed that out
15	last time. All right. Anything further?
16	MR. GOECKE: No.
17	MR. GROSSMAN: Then, thank you, we are adjourned.
18	MR. SILVERMAN: Thank you, sir.
19	(Whereupon, at 5:18 p.m., the hearing was
20	adjourned.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 299
	Pade 299
	•
	CERTIFICATE
	•
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:
	C E R T I F I C A T E DEPOSITION SERVICES, INC., hereby certifies that the attached pages represent an accurate transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings before the Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings for Montgomery County in the matter of: Petition of Costco Wholesale Corporation Special Exception No. S-2863 OZAH No. 13-12 By:

	296:14
\$	accepted (1)
Ψ	250:12
\$10,000 (1)	access (6)
61:6	120:20;121:12;
\$100,000 (4)	199:23;233:14;258:1 20
58:24;59:9;60:11; 61:4	accessible (2)
\$25,000 (2)	80:25;216:7
61:1,3	accidents (1)
\$3,300 (2)	7:1
36:23;63:17	accommodate (2)
\$6,052 (1)	72:20;159:13 accommodated (3)
64:6 \$75,000 (1)	115:25;157:20;
59:13	187:17
57.15	accomplishing (1)
Α	121:15
	according (5)
A-79 (3)	37:9;82:16,24; 236:20;238:22
8:9,25;9:5	account (13)
Abigail (1) 7:15	22:2;55:25;135:10,
ability (5)	18;136:1,11;137:9,2
151:9,10;168:25;	140:16;142:18;260:2
255:10,11	261:1,2
able (23)	accuracy (7) 173:6,8,21;174:4;
29:4;41:8;50:25;	238:18;288:15;294:
69:6;72:20;75:25;93:8; 97:3,14;121:12;	accurate (19)
131:16;138:22;139:7;	56:7;111:11;171:13
151:17;155:13;157:13;	173:11,24;174:8;
165:18;168:3;186:8;	219:3;237:20;239:8
188:7;195:13;249:12,	240:8;249:14;250:6 251:6;253:2;288:17
13 above (1)	294:5,5,25;295:1
115:14	accurately (3)
absence (1)	173:5,5;290:10
284:10	achieve (2)
absent (1)	100:10;101:9 acid (2)
265:7	244:2,3
absolute (1) 210:23	acidic (1)
Absolutely (14)	243:23
18:16;23:3,19;29:11;	acknowledge (2)
30:7;60:25;65:1;67:24;	57:7;70:20
76:15,19;204:25;	acronym (1) 34:16
221:18,18;270:1 abstract (1)	across (15)
41:22	48:21;90:9;106:21;
academician (3)	120:2;123:9,10;
206:10;207:11;	143:11,24;157:4;
216:16	160:19;161:8,16,24; 163:25;198:8
academics (1)	act (2)
65:6	81:18;83:21
accent (1) 20:8	acting (2)
accept (5)	255:14;290:24
28:1;139:18;247:20;	action (4)
248:5;249:15	65:22;248:20;249:8
acceptable (7)	287:3 activist (1)
15:21;53:8;129:19; 199:6;251:19,19;	216:13
199.0,231.19,19,	

LESALE CORPO	RATION
:14	activity (9)
ted (1)	18:1;19:10;20:4;
:12	22:10,12;56:12;66:21,
(6)	25;71:22
:20;121:12;	actual (11)
:23;233:14;258:19,	41:10,11,11;153:5,
, , ,	20;171:17;172:13;
ible (2)	
ible (2)	231:3;260:24;278:5;
25;216:7	288:12
ents (1)	actually (67)
	15:13;25:14;33:12;
modate (2)	34:4;37:6;40:7,25;
20;159:13	57:22;85:18;89:16;
modated (3)	92:4;93:14;107:15;
:25;157:20;	124:9;126:13;140:3,6;
:17	150:7;151:6,12;153:9,
	17;154:3;162:16,24;
plishing (1)	
:15	163:3;164:22;166:23;
ling (5)	168:7;172:16,19,20;
9;82:16,24;	174:22;176:5,11;
:20;238:22	181:15;182:19;188:9;
nt (13)	193:10;201:6;202:9,
2;55:25;135:10,	11,15;207:19;208:8;
36:1,11;137:9,20;	210:24;212:3,21;
:16;142:18;260:25;	213:8;221:20;241:20;
:1,2	
	242:7;244:21,22,24;
acy (7)	245:25;247:14;253:12;
:6,8,21;174:4;	266:13;268:11;273:1,
:18;288:15;294:6	16;275:15;281:20,25;
ate (19)	289:14;295:20
7;111:11;171:13;	adage (1)
:11,24;174:8;	209:12
:3;237:20;239:8;	add (11)
:8;249:14;250:6;	11:12;28:15;41:14;
:6;253:2;288:17;	97:2;119:5;141:11;
:5,5,25;295:1	157:17;269:20;270:9,
ately (3)	11;287:15
:5,5;290:10	added (7)
ve (2)	83:17;86:9;118:19;
:10;101:9	120:4;169:3;218:15;
2)	225:11
:2,3	addendum (1)
(1)	234:5
:23	adding (4)
wledge (2)	26:11;81:13;269:19,
7;70:20	25
ym (1)	addition (3)
6	35:6;83:24;208:4
	additional (31)
(15)	
21;90:9;106:21;	9:10;10:2,10,18;
:2;123:9,10;	14:5;17:7;26:20;27:1,
:11,24;157:4;	3,5;52:13;64:5;84:24;
:19;161:8,16,24;	95:18;118:9;120:14;
:25;198:8	139:14,19;140:9;
.23,190.0	
	142:19;143:3,4,19;
18;83:21	151:16;176:20;178:9;
(2)	186:2;256:17;262:23;
:14;290:24	269:25;270:10
(4)	address (15)
22;248:20;249:8;	10:17;17:3;65:24;
:3	78:18;79:6;113:10,17;
st (1)	120:22;146:19;176:9;
:13	183:23;205:12;211:21;
	,=-,=-,=-,=-1,=1,=1,

288:14,25 addressed (7) 119:12,14:129:21; 133:23;137:22;226:20; 254:8addresses (4) 13:15;136:14; 137:16:227:23 addressing (2) 147:10:247:2 adds (1) 37:6 ADELMAN (76) 7:15,16,17,22,23; 8:17,22,23,24;9:9,14, 16,23;10:20;11:12,13, 14;20:16;21:1,3,5,7; 50:8;76:5,9;85:23,25; 86:2;87:14;88:7,10,14; 102:15,25;108:4,8,11; 109:8;111:10;112:25; 125:22,24;132:4,13,17; 134:3,10,13;140:11; 141:10,12,15;178:15, 18;204:16,21,23;205:2, 5,8,10,13,13;212:25; 252:19,22;253:6; 256:21;258:5;260:2; 288:10;292:8;295:10; 297:18:298:9,12 adequate (3) 84:20:222:12:273:4 adequately (1) 134:25 adjacent (5) 24:6;26:9;70:12; 80:15:257:15 adjoining (2) 24:6;70:1 adjourn (1) 297:25 adjourned (2) 298:17,20 adjourning (1) 295:12 adjust (1) 157:8 adjustments (2) 187:25;188:1 Administration (2) 46:16:160:4 admirable (3) 270:1,5,10 admire (1) 62:20 admissible (1) 224:16 admission (2) 8:25;10:22 admit (1) 41:7 admitted (3) 35:10,10;284:13

admonition (1) 208:8 adopted (1) 109:18 adult (12) 211:6,7;216:25; 217:2,3,4,7,8,8,11,14, 17 adults (2) 82:16,19 advance (5) 14:4;207:5;295:22; 296:3,11 advanced (1) 257:17 adverse (10) 25:4,10;26:2;83:7; 85:4;118:6;121:4,10; 243:19;273:14 adversely (1) 114:8 advisable (1) 244:24 advisor (1) 20:1 Advisory (1) 168:15 aerial (1) 179:10 aerials (2) 177:25;196:7 affect (15) 28:7:29:17:36:18.22; 37:15;42:6,15;63:16; 64:24;118:14;121:2; 170:11;238:16;268:1,4 affected (6) 41:12;64:18;66:9; 114:8;133:24;148:6 affecting (2) 26:6;131:18 affects (2) 69:16;73:10 affiliated (2) 36:13;37:19 affluent (1) 83:3 afield (1) 62:3 afoul (1) 62:15 afraid (2) 23:14;207:9 afternoon (1) 189:17 after-the-fact-type (1) 54:20 afterwards (2) 89:15;152:8 again (56) 6:14;9:12;10:21; 11:9;17:3;42:22;54:23; 63:25;73:20;74:14;

64:15

35:2

103:22

52:14

262:6

84:24

173:7

252:12

84:7

116:5

251:23

76:24;93:8,12;97:1; 100:5.16:106:4.4.25: 113:17:126:14:130:13; aisles (3) 132:21:138:21:150:9: 151:6;153:7,14;154:7, akin (1) 9,10,16;155:14;162:1; 164:13;168:3;169:8; 170:16:171:15:173:18; 174:12;175:3;179:25; 181:18;186:5;187:21; 189:5;195:7;196:21; 203:3;212:6;227:21; 258:9;272:13;275:6; 284:14 against (6) 73:4,5;131:4,6; 221:16;285:7 age (2)117:7;136:24 agency (1) 166:16 agents (2) 250:15,15 aggregate (4) 229:20;246:21; 248:7;287:12 allows (1) Agliata (1) 237:4 ago (2) 22:9;98:21 agree (31) 12:12:22:6:23:2.4: alone (2) 43:4:44:18:56:15: 67:19;85:7;99:15,19; 100:8;101:8;103:16; 108:25;112:2;136:2, 25;173:1;179:22,24; 180:10;181:17,24; 182:1,2;194:10; 217:24;228:2;258:18; 287:4 agreeable (2) 16:21,22 agreed (4) 87:6,7;185:23; 251:18 Ah (3) 241:21;269:16;285:1 ahead (15) 15:15;20:14;45:7,23; 58:21;91:6;132:20; 133:5;201:1;207:12; 244:1;288:6;289:10; 296:24;297:3 air (13) 11:21;12:23;13:10, 14;82:9,12;133:23; 134:25;135:9;136:9; 175:9,11;273:21 air-conditioning (2) 130:6.14 aisle (8) 151:18;154:24;

156:23;161:15,16,17; amending (2) 181:12.13 253:16.16 amendment (5) 125:9;182:20;183:10 109:18;164:10; 253:5.10.15 amenity (2) Alberini (1) 23:24;42:19 America (1) alleged (1) 45:20 American (4) Allergies (2) 46:3,7;61:18,25 82:11.13 among (4) allotted (1) 73:18;82:13,25;83:1 amount (13) allow (11) 75:17;97:2;107:22; 135:5;143:17,24; 6:6;15:4;44:25; 83:13;111:14;144:3; 181:7,8;194:14; 156:18;186:17;246:13; 203:11,15;229:13; 279:15;283:12 239:15 allowed (7) amounts (2) 10:19:65:22:152:2; 15:23;52:12 156:7;169:25;253:12; ample (2) 29:11,13 allowing (1) analogies (1) 293:14 analogy (6) 69:17,21,22;211:1,1; almost (7) 243:21 168:17;208:5; analyses (1) 226:11;245:14;279:5; 32:15 280:19:284:15 analysis (41) 14:20:25:16:28:1: 82:15;255:14 31:25:32:1,25:37:9; along (14) 51:9;54:10,24;55:3,12, 72:9;86:24;89:24; 24:56:3,9,22,24:58:7; 93:19;95:11,15;96:1; 64:1;67:2;71:12;72:1, 25;73:13,13,17;74:1,6; 104:20:119:17:135:21; 151:20;201:12;251:13; 112:14;150:15;171:1, 1,8;209:7,8;212:13; alternatives (2) 216:18;222:11;224:6; 80:1;82:1 226:23;227:1 although (6) analytical (1) 43:2;81:15;89:13; 225:9 206:13;212:12;241:7 Analytically (3) always (12) 263:11,14,22 68:23;87:1,2;154:21; analyze (2) 249:23;263:15 167:3;190:13;193:18; 196:15;197:6;211:10; analyzed (1) 213:15;224:21 151:13 Ambient (5) analyzing (1) 12:23;134:24;135:9; 25:14 136:9:175:9 and/or (1) ambitious (1) 225:11 Ann (7) ambulette (1) 8:15;77:19;113:11, 13,14,15;114:6 ameliorate (1) Anna (1) 35:2 amend (3) annoyance (1) 253:12,17,18 213:6 amended (6) annoying (1) 111:13,13,16;252:8, 222:22 10:253:3 answered (5)

110:8;132:14,18; 137:12.14 anticipate (2) 53:17;287:25 anticipated (2) 139:14;239:13 anticipation (1) 15:15 anti-idling (1) 84:9 antithetical (1) 80:4 anti-TOD (1) 107:4 anxiously (1) 206:18 anymore (4) 125:16;171:11; 251:1;264:7 apartment (1) 65:2 apologize (6) 40:13,23;211:23; 236:25;253:1;279:14 apparently (4) 14:6;62:17;245:17; 251:22 appealing (1) 74:22 Appeals (11) 6:4,19,21:10:11,13, 16:87:25:233:3:245:2: 255:16.24 appear (2)180:2:275:1 appearance (1) 24:17 appeared (1) 250:3 appearing (3) 205:25;212:8;214:18 appears (3) 180:5;217:18;257:22 applicable (3) 25:20;54:10;210:25 applicant (40) 9:1,3,11;12:1;14:8, 13;24:21;38:1;48:24; 58:4;68:8;87:24,25; 88:20;129:5;177:21; 204:17;206:2;209:9; 219:18,19,25;221:8,24; 224:2;226:2,20; 227:16;240:23;245:7; 247:16;251:11,15; 256:7,12;257:25; 262:10,19;284:7; 287:17 applicant's (9) 18:18;22:14;49:1; 206:25;222:25;225:22; 257:3;262:19;265:7 application (11)

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

29:6:86:8:87:7; 121:3:232:12:252:3.6. 8,10:253:3,10 applied (2) 13:9,10 applies (1) 214:2 apply (6) 11:22;54:11;69:12; 139:11:236:6:258:15 applying (3) 12:23;72:23;83:5 appraisal (18) 46:16;47:15;49:3; 51:4;56:5,11,24,25; 57:6,12,19,20;58:8; 63:25;71:5;72:25;73:1, 19 appraisals (7) 45:14;51:3,11,23; 56:3,19:71:13 appraised (1) 73:22 appraiser (3) 58:14;73:9;74:16 appraisers (6) 46:17;56:13;71:17, 19,24;72:1 appreciate (14) 54:9:55:2.18.19; 60:18;61:5;113:2,2; 147:24:148:1:204:20: 207:16;274:5;295:11 appreciating (1) 58:2 appreciation (3) 54:13:55:12:60:21 apprehension (1) 283:24 approach (5) 69:23;165:17;218:2; 243:12:283:20 approaching (1) 283:8 appropriate (11) 13:6;14:15;95:16; 135:23;136:2;164:12; 210:6;212:15;263:11; 288:22;293:3 appropriately (1) 191:16 approval (9) 86:8,18;87:16;232:4; 244:18,19;251:7,9; 262:7 approve (3) 121:16;255:11; 276:11 approved (7) 59:15;86:22;251:25; 254:7;262:7,17;274:12 approving (3) 84:14;86:4;286:17

approximately (8) 82:25:93:3:95:11: 124:8;126:11;127:12; 237:16:247:17 April (10) 6:12;37:21;38:10; 40:16,20;46:7;80:6; 251:13:252:7,25 architecture (1) 266:3 area (88) 20:5;21:13;23:3,12; 24:18;25:3,3;42:7; 64:16;80:15;81:6,16; 83:12;86:10;87:24; 89:2,5;92:16;96:22,25; 97:4,6,14;100:21; 101:10,17,17,20,21; 105:11,18;106:16; 120:25;124:25;126:21, 22;127:5,6;150:17,19, 21;153:22,24,25;154:5, 24;155:2,19;156:3,13, 22;157:6;159:9,13; 161:8;162:16;163:2,4, 7;165:8,11;166:11; 170:7,12;176:21,21; 180:9,20;181:9; 188:13,16;195:2; 196:16:197:11.11.21. 22;198:3,9,10;200:14, 25:202:18.25:203:12: 224:7;227:21,23 areas (9) 12:14;51:9;80:19; 83:15;100:14;101:10; 156:15:176:7:197:14 arena (1) 13:5 arguable (1) 263:14 arguably (1) 246:21 argue (8) 146:8;223:8,8,10; 263:19;281:6,9;282:9 arguing (4) 222:9;281:20; 294:21,22 argument (19) 10:9,10:12:15,16; 118:7;210:19;219:6; 223:1,4,15,15,22; 229:9,12;249:18; 256:7;257:18;266:9; 294:22 argumentative (1) 224:8 arguments (1) 9:22 Arid (5) 133:17,25;134:1,10, 15

Arlington (5) 281:14:283:15.16. 20:288:23 around (24) 17:24:26:9:36:23; 92:15;103:15;126:9; 142:12;155:16;156:7, 10:158:24:159:1; 161:16;163:16;164:1; 168:12,22;169:4; 170:11;176:7,21; 201:9;203:4;274:19 arranged (1) 251:17 arrangements (2) 49:14;76:2 arrive (1) 18:17 arrives (1) 126:12 art (2) 51:20:227:21 article (6) 45:19;46:5,7;61:18, 25:62:1 articles (2) 65:25;66:4 aside (3) 83:16;171:4;250:1 aspect (5) 14:4,9:87:12:224:8; 258:18 aspects (4) 69:9;71:15;272:3,21 asphalt (1) 273:3 assert (3) 25:9;27:2;276:19 asserted (4) 27:4;147:8;221:24; 226:23 asserting (4) 234:12;243:7; 262:11;263:5 assertion (8) 250:6;256:13; 271:23;272:4;276:3; 282:11,25;284:4 assertions (3) 26:7;245:5;286:4 asserts (2) 27:14:257:13 assess (1) 42:9 assessed (1) 73:9 assessing (4) 42:11;56:21;71:25; 72:3 Assessment (7) 8:9,25;26:5;289:25; 290:16,19;291:24 assets (1)

55:18 assign (2) 214:9:247:21 assigned (1) 185:15 assist (1) 102:8 assistance (1) 119:19 associated (4) 11:5;17:20;70:2; 106:2 Association (1) 88:17 assume (18) 58:24;118:7;130:5, 13;133:2;139:13,15; 140:1,7;143:2;152:10; 154:18;169:1;185:17; 188:11;189:25;199:14; 231:12 assumed (7) 152:2;153:1;169:8; 170:17,25;238:11; 294:5 assumes (1) 189:5 assuming (7) 93:17;171:18,18,19; 172:12;199:14;273:13 assumption (4) 133:10:150:16: 240:4.10 assumptions (18) 27:22,23;56:10; 171:4,12,13,15,22,24; 172:3,6,7,9,17;173:11; 174:6,9;188:2 assure (2) 240:13,14 assured (1) 168:21 asthma (7) 82:9,12,15,16,19,24; 128:24 attached (1) 36:6 attack (1) 12:17 attacks (2) 65:20;66:15 attempt (4) 179:8;210:13; 213:21;268:15 attempted (1) 248:16 attempting (4) 222:19;280:4;283:1; 286:15 attend (2) 117:2,25 attendance (2) 165:1.2

attendant (16) 166:10:167:19: 183:22;184:25;185:1, 3,5,14,16,24;186:21; 188:17:189:6.21; 202:24;203:2 attendants (14) 164:24;165:1,2,14, 19;166:23;168:3,17; 186:2;201:19;203:7,9; 272:5,6 attending (2) 118:15;165:7 attention (5) 66:3;71:4,18;257:9; 286:18 attitude (1) 217:13 attitudinal (2) 217:12,13 attract (3) 24:4.4:85:9 attractive (3) 51:18;57:9;101:21 atypical (1) 232:8 audible (2) 16:1;298:1 August (3) 35:16:172:3:190:19 authorities (1) 65:21 authority (5) 88:2;107:5;245:17; 246:18,19 authorized (1) 90:25 auto (5) 18:19,23;19:4;22:3, 15 autocentric (3) 261:17:262:11; 263:21 autocentricity (1) 261:12 automatically (1) 10:19 automobile (5) 6:7;22:5;80:22;81:1; 107:15 automotive (2) 233:22.23 available (6) 49:12;50:13;108:14; 175:25;216:4;293:24 Avenue (7) 65:10;79:18;81:11; 93:20,21;113:11,18 average (8) 63:16;236:16;237:7; 240:7,7;258:25;260:5; 293:23 avoid (1)

62:22

awaiting (1) 206:18 aware (31) 17:19,23,25;22:18, 23;44:14,15;49:1;86:7; 91:10,12;93:3;96:19; 99:8,12;100:21; 102:19;107:24;108:3, 21;109:12,17;110:2; 111:1;114:15;132:23; 135:8:136:8,12; 195:20;197:16 awareness (1) 90:6 away (41) 24:5;28:18,22,23; 31:5,8;37:7,10;57:21; 63:17;81:18;83:14; 92:25;100:18;117:6, 20,22;120:7,17,20; 127:25;153:22;154:11, 13;164:6;168:11,11; 180:17,21;181:19; 185:12;188:22;189:2, 19;198:3,14,15;202:3; 222:23;223:1;231:17 awesome (1) 20:20 axis (1) 278:17 B back (76) 7:19;13:4;24:8; 42:24;44:9,16;49:13, 19;50:1,10;52:21,23; 53:11:58:1:75:21:77:5. 21:115:9:117:21: 119:7:127:13:133:25; 135:3:137:18:138:14. 22;140:18;142:3,11,11, 13;149:4,9;151:16,19; 154:9,21,25;156:21,25; 161:14;162:20;168:12, 23;172:16;173:18; 178:18;180:18;181:7, 14;184:25;185:12; 186:3,4:187:23: 188:23:190:9:191:17: 203:7,8,10;211:6; 216:23;218:13,20; 226:21;229:8;232:3; 234:6;235:20;237:2; 241:7;245:19;259:4, 17;273:25 backed (3) 153:18;166:20;186:1 background (3) 216:12;218:10;278:9 backing (10) 160:23;161:15,16,

16:187:6:195:14; 200:3.8:201:12:249:1 backs (3) 187:3,7;202:25 backup (2) 171:20;191:5 backup-friendly (1) 248:21 backups (4) 170:12:190:13; 199:22;204:10 backyard (2) 24:6;213:2 bad (5) 92:16;116:22; 163:17,19;217:13 badly (1) 155:10 bait (2)158:6,8 Baker (1) 167:2 balance (3) 220:20;261:24;262:3 balanced (1) 221:17 bar (4) 292:23,24;293:19,25 **Barriers** (3) 38:9:156:7.10 bars (9) 283:10:292:10.14. 17;293:1,6;295:1,2,6 base (5) 67:6,12;84:3;141:19; 161:8 based (38) 12:21;27:6;32:10,14; 63:14;64:3;68:22,23, 25:69:4:95:25:96:17: 110:2:136:20:141:17. 20,23;142:20;150:15; 162:11;163:12;165:17; 182:13;183:4;187:19, 22,23;214:5;233:3; 238:20,21;246:2,8; 268:16;290:16,18; 293:24;294:13 baseless (1) 32:2 baseline (10) 55:13,16,21;58:2; 60:4;61:8;64:7;208:3; 246:1.2 baselines (1) 55:18 bases (2) 171:2,25 basic (1) 27:23 basically (5) 12:18;92:8;120:20;

basis (10) 112:10:140:14: 141:20,22;166:7; 167:18:198:20:220:3: 222:10:294:6 bay (3) 106:24,25;107:1 bear (3) 225:12,13;242:21 beautiful (4) 74:11;97:8,15; 101:15 become (9) 16:11:82:13:92:18; 104:24;173:11;174:10; 191:5;195:20;254:8 becomes (5) 157:18;171:11; 174:8;177:6;194:10 becoming (1) 101:17 bed (1) 20:12 beep (3) 116:19,19,19 beforehand (1) 208:19 began (3) 215:24,25;252:6 begin (1) 116:14 begun (3) 6:12:252:16:256:12 behalf (12) 6:18,24;7:4,7,9; 10:24;79:3,10;85:14; 90:25:144:16:285:15 behave (1) 51:17 behavior (3) 84:13:183:11.18 behind (13) 102:11;103:7,8; 122:21,25;154:8; 168:9;170:2;180:21; 181:8,9;195:14;201:9 beholder (1) 213:19 belabor (3) 241:5;250:25;284:4 belabored (1) 290:17 below (3) 84:3;176:6;211:21 Beltsville (3) 111:9;178:1;288:23 beneficial (1) 276:13 benefits (1) 111:7 besides (1) 247:10 best (5)

185:6;186:20; 208:19:210:22:290:12 Bethesda (5) 81:7;89:11;97:5,16: 117:20 better (6) 29:25;57:14;75:18; 89:6:219:16:279:8 beyond (13) 11:9;12:11:13:2; 14:20,25;103:25; 106:5,7:145:8,13; 146:7,23;176:20 bias (1) 232:13 big (12) 23:13;24:1;84:17; 98:22;99:5;106:19; 167:5,10;168:11; 173:4;232:1;257:6 bigger (7) 66:13;99:22,23; 100:2;154:21;177:7; 268:15 bike (1) 92:24 biking (2) 80:19:81:1 billion (3) 176:23,25;177:1 bioretention (3) 156:15:161:9.9 birds (1) 211:22 bit (6) 32:22;150:3;155:1; 163:12;213:25;289:5 black (3) 47:8;48:14;82:25 blaring (1) 170:18 bleed (1) 70:11 blessedly (1) 8:5 blind (1) 119:19 block (2) 169:25;202:3 blocked (4) 153:24;154:1,7,8 blocking (6) 153:18:161:25; 168:2,25;169:17; 195:13 blowing (1) 170:2 blue (1) 95:20 blurry (1) 150:12 **Board** (24) 6:3,18,21;10:10,13,

16:80:12:85:2,4:87:25: 119:1:128:4:138:15: 166:13;216:15;233:2; 245:2:252:18:255:11. 15,16,24;275:18; 276:11 bodies (2) 164:25:165:8 **body** (2) 47:14:284:20 bone (1) 254:22 **boom** (2) 55:15:70:10 border (2) 265:24;268:8 bordering (1) 115:3 borderline (1) 224:5 borings (1) 272:24 Boston (3) 20:5,19;21:12 both (30) 24:23;56:11;61:4; 65:7;84:10;85:7;145:9; 153:7,9,10;154:16,19; 172:5,5,5,6,6,11; 184:13:186:5.11; 201:7;209:23;259:2; 260:11:262:24.24: 267:2:268:7;278:2 bottle (1) 243:22 **bottleneck** (4) 151:7;155:12,23,24 bottlenecking (1) 233:15 bottom (5) 25:25:48:1.4:219:12: 242:17 bottom-line (1) 57:5 bought (8) 17:19;22:16,22,24; 23:21,22;51:3;54:14 **Boulevard** (9) 65:13;89:24;95:12; 200:5,5;205:14;212:1; 213:5;233:12 boundaries (1) 101:22 bounded (1) 101:20 box (3) 63:2,4;264:5 boxes (1) 97:22 boy (1) 118:14 Brandywine (4) 178:10:179:13:

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

180:15:195:2 Brann (7) 6:25;164:4;168:15, 16;185:19;230:15,19 break (8) 58:4;77:9,20;78:4; 148:19:149:5:205:3: 273:24 breakout (1) 234:22 breaks (4) 119:7.8:148:21; 199:15 breathing (1) 116:15 brief (6) 78:6;86:3;205:7; 211:12;226:24;274:2 briefly (2) 150:3;251:2 bright (1) 120:7 brighter (1) 211:23 brilliant (1) 208:5 bring (17) 20:1;51:5;52:22; 53:11;64:14;65:5,9; 68:17:69:8:89:13: 105:6.6;118:20;186:2; 262:17.21:295:18 bringing (3) 105:8:108:12:222:24 broad (1) 261:20 broadly (2) 12:16:24:23 Brockton (1) 21:11 brought (5) 57:17:69:10:83:2; 254:14;262:16 brutalize (1) 287:20 buffer (28) 80:14;258:2;264:22; 265:4,6,8,10,15,25; 266:19;267:7,20; 268:6,9,12,20,22,23; 269:4.5,8,14,15,18,21, 25;271:25;272:9 buffering (1) 18:2 build (8) 86:5,18;100:3;114:9; 163:18,19,23;196:4 building (8) 6:16,16;38:8;80:3; 86:7:87:15:163:19; 251:6 buildings (5) 80:24;89:12;95:11;

144:8;222:20

97:1;123:15		148:8,10;150:23;	carefully (2)	163:14,20;266:11;
built (16)	a	151:14;152:7,8;153:6,	193:22;217:12	269:4;270:7;273:14
	С			· · · · ·
59:9,10;60:14;61:13;		8,12,22;154:2,14,21;	Carolina (2)	causes (5)
81:25;95:10;99:2;	C-2 (11)	157:20;162:21;163:8,	178:10;179:14	76:22;82:12;118:23,
117:16;157:11,14,17;	6:11;90:4,7;95:10,	22;164:20;165:9,10;	carry (1)	24;244:11
167:13;200:2;246:13;		166:8,22;167:21;	214:8	causing (2)
	14,23;96:15,20,24;			103:23;194:6
274:14;275:12	110:4,11	168:21;169:21;171:6,	cars (81)	
bulk (3)	cake (1)	6,7,8;177:8;178:18,22;	19:24;20:2;27:3;	CBD (1)
227:6;235:11;284:16	215:1	183:22;185:7;188:9;	80:18;81:18;83:23;	101:5
bullet (7)	calculation (3)	194:15;198:17,24;	91:22;93:1;97:2;100:1;	c-e (1)
208:2;218:23;		199:12;203:5;204:23;	133:11;139:16;143:14,	165:2
222:15;233:6,19;	169:7;172:12;239:16	208:13,19;210:17,22;	23,23;144:2,5,8,10;	ceiling (1)
	calculations (3)			
256:5;257:11	169:6,8;172:16	211:11,18,23;213:2,25;	150:7,13;151:9,10,15,	207:10
bumper (2)	calibrated (1)	222:6,21,23;223:1;	18;153:2,11,15,16,22;	celebrate (1)
250:7,7	294:17	225:6,11;227:5;	154:4,6,11;155:13;	117:1
bunch (1)	call (15)	231:10;232:15;235:15,	156:21,24;161:3,13;	celebrating (1)
248:18		16;239:9;240:13,13,	162:15,15,25;163:2,3,	20:9
burden (13)	71:17;116:20;132:3;	23;245:15,18;246:12;	6;170:16;171:18;	cell (1)
	166:25;200:19;210:8,			
131:24;209:10;	13;211:1;231:24;	248:14,19,22;249:3,7,	172:13,14;181:21;	78:8
218:16;219:18;220:4,	248:24;250:21;273:8;	9;250:18;253:22;	187:11,16,19,20,21,23;	center (22)
5;221:8,24;222:1,11;	284:9;289:24;290:12	254:10,17;255:16;	188:2,6;191:9,20;	18:1,20,23;19:4,9,
262:23;273:8;287:17	called (3)	260:13;265:16,22;	192:24;193:11,24;	25;22:3,6,16;34:13;
Burnie (6)		266:1;275:8,10,10;	194:3,6,24,25;195:5,5,	35:14;36:13;63:13;
178:9;179:12;	113:25;116:22;	276:4;277:6,7;279:18;	6,8,13;197:5;200:14,	79:18;92:20;156:22;
	227:14			
180:15,16,24;194:22	calling (1)	280:17;281:9;283:23;	14;201:1,12;203:25;	186:17;187:7;211:25;
bus (21)	89:25	287:24;288:2,21;	262:16,18,18,21	228:20;233:22,23
81:9,10;93:14;	calls (4)	289:16;290:2;292:5;	Carter (19)	centers (1)
120:12,13,15;124:12,	71:23;84:1;167:18;	294:11;295:21,21,24;	8:15;21:6;77:20,23;	19:22
13,15,19;125:13,15;		296:12;297:4,7,8	78:1;113:9,9,11,11,13,	central (3)
126:12,13,17,24;127:1,	238:18	cancel (1)	14,18,20;114:6;	124:25;219:6;243:14
	came (14)			
8,13,16;130:14	40:2;48:21;65:25;	50:25	129:11;134:7,13;	century (1)
buses (5)	142.14.100.24.106.12	canceled (1)	13/07501/1301	85.17
	145:14;180:24;190:12;		137:25;143:1	85:12
92:20;126:4;130:9,	143:14;180:24;196:12; 207:24.25:219:11:	49:24	CASAC (1)	certain (14)
	207:24,25;219:11;	49:24		
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12;	49:24 cancer (1)	CASAC (1) 135:15	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1)	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1)	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2)	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64)
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1)	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190)	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10,	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19,	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19,	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19,	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11,	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15,	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6,
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6,
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11;	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2)
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21;	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8; 89:6	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12; 117:23;118:14,16;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21; 210:17	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12 category (1)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25 certified (1)
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8;	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12; 117:23;118:14,16; 119:19;120:12;122:18;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21; 210:17 cared (2)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case-in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8; 89:6	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12; 117:23;118:14,16; 119:19;120:12;122:18; 126:9;127:11;129:12,	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21; 210:17 cared (2)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case in-chief (3) 9:2,4;209:7 cases (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12 category (1) 72:11	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25 certified (1)
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8; 89:6 bypass (1)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12; 117:23;118:14,16; 119:19;120:12;122:18; 126:9;127:11;129:12, 14;131:12;136:6,20;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21; 210:17 cared (2) 137:5;221:21	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12 category (1) 72:11 caught (3)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25 certified (1) 58:14 cetera (9)
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8; 89:6 bypass (1)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12; 117:23;118:14,16; 119:19;120:12;122:18; 126:9;127:11;129:12, 14;131:12;136:6,20; 140:1;141:18;143:1;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21; 210:17 cared (2) 137:5;221:21 careful (3)	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12 category (1) 72:11 caught (3) 7:1;61:9;221:5	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25 certified (1) 58:14 cetera (9) 89:16;219:21,21,21;
92:20;126:4;130:9, 10,16 business (11) 16:19;24:4;51:13; 79:5,20,23;84:13; 105:10;166:2;233:20; 275:4 businesses (4) 79:16;89:4;94:14; 105:8 bussed (6) 117:11,15,21; 136:17;137:3,5 busy (1) 163:10 buy (12) 23:24,25;29:22; 64:23,25;111:4,8,11, 19;184:12,13,17 buyer (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22 buyers (5) 25:2;28:7;29:12,18; 76:13 buying (4) 28:15;29:9;51:8; 89:6 bypass (1)	207:24,25;219:11; 222:14;238:21;251:12; 259:7,9 camel's (1) 119:7 camera (2) 162:6,7 campaign (1) 84:12 can (190) 13:3;14:25;15:18; 16:5,8;18:2;25:9;26:3; 28:1,4,6;29:7;30:5,7; 32:18,24;33:13;34:7,7; 38:1;42:1,23;43:18; 44:16;49:14;50:19; 52:20,21,21;55:17,19; 56:9,10,22;61:15,15; 62:3,5,7,13;63:7; 64:17;66:17;71:4,10; 74:11;76:10;78:1,21; 83:8;90:11;94:12;95:5; 96:12;99:21,23,25; 101:11,21;102:2; 104:5;109:9,12; 117:23;118:14,16; 119:19;120:12;122:18; 126:9;127:11;129:12, 14;131:12;136:6,20;	49:24 cancer (1) 82:10 capable (5) 42:11;43:1;246:25; 254:9,12 capacity (2) 144:15;151:13 capitalize (1) 79:21 car (36) 19:1,24;20:1;22:10, 22;80:24;81:21;91:20; 93:15,17,18;106:18,19, 20,22;107:16;139:19, 19;140:10;142:11; 143:2,3,20;150:18; 154:8;156:19,22; 161:6,14;168:19; 170:18;187:2;193:22; 200:16,23;201:4 cardiac (1) 128:14 Care (6) 115:15;116:25; 117:13;137:4;185:21; 210:17 cared (2) 137:5;221:21	CASAC (1) 135:15 case (53) 6:22;9:10,18;10:17; 12:17;25:14,15,20; 35:11;41:17;43:3; 54:10;65:17;67:16; 89:10;107:24;126:23; 133:13;161:17;162:21; 167:24;188:5,7; 209:11;216:2;221:10; 223:8,12,18,20,23; 225:12,14;228:3,3; 229:16;232:9;240:24; 242:10,12;243:15; 244:24;250:24;251:13; 253:13,20;257:4; 263:3;269:16;275:15, 20;278:17;293:20 case (13) 13:23;154:19;214:6; 218:16;221:3;223:14; 243:7;287:10,11; 292:21;294:11,11,12 category (1) 72:11 caught (3)	certain (14) 26:8;42:13;54:5; 101:10;109:22;135:5; 213:18;216:3;217:12; 248:15,17,22,23; 288:18 certainly (64) 11:13;14:5,9,23,25; 16:14;17:22,23;23:25; 31:11;32:24;33:20; 39:14;42:24;43:1,3; 44:10,12;49:4,14; 53:12;58:13;62:14,20; 63:18;68:6;70:13;71:3; 74:19;77:10;81:24; 100:8;101:9;145:25; 161:12,13,17;162:21; 167:21;169:14,19,24; 174:10;175:24;181:6, 9;182:2;186:3,6,9; 197:25;198:7;202:21, 22;203:7,8,22;204:4; 206:13;223:18;248:6; 257:24;275:23;296:9 certainty (2) 292:25,25 certified (1) 58:14 cetera (9)

18,18 chair (2) 90:19.20 challenging (2) 239:13;294:6 chambers (2) 263:25;264:2 chance (1) 158:10 chances (1) 250:21 change (11) 55:22;56:1;84:16,22; 90:11;171:24;172:19; 173:4;245:8;253:9; 283:23 changed (3) 228:9;233:4;235:14 changer (1) 54:20 changes (6) 41:9;42:16;174:2; 177:8;188:1;253:22 changing (2) 26:21;64:4 character (2) 97:4;101:23 characteristic (1) 118:6 characteristics (4) 36:18:64:3:68:15: 70:16 characterization (1) 108:19 characterize (2) 203:15;210:11 chart (6) 36:6;55:9;190:18; 235:7,25;238:24 charts (1) 296:22 Chase (2) 284:12;292:13 Chase's (3) 139:1;284:5,14 cheaper (1) 94:2 check (4) 10:15;19:25;30:7; 259:17 chemicals (1) 29:15 child (9) 116:14,17,23;117:6, 17,18,20,21;118:17 children (27) 82:17;115:11,15,20; 116:1;117:2,3,13,15; 118:2;119:9,15; 120:16;121:5,6; 124:16.17:126:7: 129:24;130:18;134:25; 135:11,17;136:16,25;

143:5.8 child's (1) 116:19 chloride (1) 220:21 choice (4) 58:17;121:19,19; 163:24 choices (1) 117:22 choose (5) 9:12;64:23;117:23, 25:196:11 chooses (1) 14:13 chose (7) 13:11;56:2,23;58:6; 281:2;288:25;290:11 circle (2) 164:19;168:11 circles (3) 228:13.14:229:2 circling (3) 169:2,12,12 cite (2) 25:15;162:22 cited (6) 32:24;33:25;39:25; 40:5,21;70:3 citizen (1) 62:20 citizens (7) 117:1;121:20; 224:14,17;269:2,7; 285:4 city (2) 21:11:81:22 Civic (2) 88:17;216:13 claiming (4) 33:3;34:18;231:24; 269:13 claims (1) 137:17 clarification (1) 175:4 clarify (1) 217:2 Class (5) 38:10;116:9,13; 119:15,18 classes (1) 120:9 classic (1) 224:15 classical (1) 224:18 clean (1) 285:17 clear (25) 19:6:75:20:84:4: 86:12:87:13:110:18: 115:6;137:7;144:8,14;

155:22;167:2;175:2; 192:18:214:22:243:17: 264:23;269:1,17; 276:7:281:10:282:8: 285:8,9;296:15 clearer (2) 223:2;281:11 clearly (20) 11:2,5;28:13;38:25; 151:9,16:153:13; 158:13;159:24;160:2, 3:184:10:195:12: 231:20:253:25:261:8: 263:20;279:18;280:18; 286:21 Clicker (1) 261:2 clientele (1) 234:14 Climate (3) 84:1,16,22 clip (1) 280:7 close (19) 30:11;37:12;57:11; 68:18;103:24;146:9; 210:14,15,18;211:25; 228:20;231:16;232:8; 238:10;282:2,3;283:9; 296:6;297:20 closed (2) 191:13:193:5 close-in (1) 151:10 closely (1) 227:20 closer (3) 65:5,9;170:17 closes (2) 9:18:26:16 closest (9) 95:16:198:13,14; 202:10;229:15;231:16, 21;281:8;289:1 closing (3) 9:20,21;223:15 Club (16) 8:11;77:14;78:9; 79:4,10;80:5;82:6; 83:5;85:14;90:18,21, 23;91:1;107:19; 110:21:113:3 clusters (1) 281:3 Coalition (15) 7:13,16,23;8:24; 10:21,25;85:22;94:11; 107:8;121:25;177:19; 204:15;205:25;215:24; 276:2 code (15) 10:6;29:6;68:6,11, 17;117:4;118:16;

209:10:216:5:219:19; 221:25;222:3,4; 273:16,22 cogitate (1) 44:4 cohesion (1) 165:15 coincide (1) 185:6 cold (1) 121:7 Cole (11) 11:8,23;13:3,12; 15:16;171:23,23; 174:11;176:8,16;283:1 Cole's (1) 290:18 College (2) 63:14;209:14 color (5) 47:9;48:5,7,8;295:4 Columbia (1) 65:13 column (1) 279:12 comforted (1) 27:25 coming (35) 64:1;91:20;93:21; 113:2;118:18;140:15; 141:24;142:14,15; 147:25:151:18:154:6. 8,11,24;155:11,21,25; 158:22:160:13:161:1. 1,18,23,24;168:9; 170:15;188:2;189:8, 23;200:25;202:7,12; 203:5:224:9 commenced (1) 252:24 commences (1) 273:1 comment (7) 9:9;27:9;34:22; 39:13;71:24;150:2; 247:8 commentary (1) 66:6 commenting (1) 66:13 comments (4) 208:6;214:5;226:24; 276:18 commerce (1) 89:5 commercial (4) 6:11;69:14;71:22; 92:16 commitment (1) 87:18 committed (1) 83:25 **Committee** (1)

168:15 common (2) 61:21:121:7 communities (2) 54:19:80:23 community (10) 12:22;54:17;56:8; 119:17;120:24;251:11, 14,18,24;254:6 community's (1) 261:21 company (1) 49:3 comparable (1) 280:10 comparables (1) 32:2 comparator (1) 19:18 compared (1) 203:16 comparing (2) 151:23;277:14 comparison (5) 21:17;52:5;152:25; 235:24;278:14 compelling (1) 16:17 compete (1) 80:20 competitiveness (1) 28:24 complain (1) 250:21 complained (1) 108:9 complaint (1) 166:17 complete (2) 48:15:53:8 completed (1) 9:19 completely (8) 22:12;101:23; 150:14;153:19,22; 154:14;253:2;268:12 completes (2) 177:11,13 completing (1) 8:7 compliance (1) 8:7 complicated (1) 122:10 complicating (1) 262:9 complied (1) 56:14 comply (4) 167:6,7,12,21 complying (1) 167:20 component (3)

42:3;73:7;74:2 components (1) 170:8 comport (1) 56:15 composed (1) 115:19 comprehensive (1) 84:8 compromise (1) 284:21 compromised (1) 119:8 computation (1) 237:14 computer (1) 204:24 computers (1) 162:7 conceivable (1) 221:15 concentrate (2) 24:5;83:14 concentrated (1) 136:16 **Concentration** (1) 278:8 **Concentrations (2)** 8:9;9:1 concept (2) 60:7:221:10 conception (1) 187:22 concepts (1) 68:18 concern (12) 73:2;107:25;120:14; 130:2:170:22:176:4. 20;245:20,22;254:18, 25;289:6 concerned (12) 25:2,4:28:17:39:16; 61:21,22;82:6;146:21; 197:9;228:1;238:2; 286:20 concerning (1) 275:16 concerns (6) 85:3;170:8;171:5; 224:17;225:11,15 conclude (4) 23:20;61:12;96:1; 182:15 concluded (2) 9:1;252:20 concludes (1) 9:10 conclusion (13) 26:2;51:16;55:20; 209:9;210:20,22; 276:24:278:19:281:12: 283:7,12;289:22; 294:13

conclusionary (1) 210:9 conclusions (7) 26:1:40:2:68:4: 69:12;71:9;288:16; 294:23 concrete (5) 274:16,21;275:2,10, 11 condensed (1) 161:21 condition (16) 129:15;158:15; 189:13;245:10;246:24; 247:1;248:20;251:7,8, 21;252:1;253:24; 254:4,5;275:16;276:7 conditions (16) 128:12,14;167:7; 244:17,20;245:1,13,18; 246:20;249:17;275:21, 22:276:3,4,12;286:21 conducive (2) 95:14:96:16 conduct (1) 15:6 conducted (4) 6:18;63:5,12;112:12 conducting (2) 14:21:224:23 configuration (3) 182:14:195:11:203:4 configurations (3) 181:24:183:11: 184:19 confine (1) 155:15 conflict (1) 263:2 conflicting (1) 157:20 conformance (3) 110:16;263:4;264:19 confuse (1) 289:3 confused (2) 264:21;290:25 confusion (1) 256:2 congestion (1) 81:1 connect (3) 204:24;292:19;293:3 connection (8) 257:20,22;258:4,7, 12,14;270:18;271:19 connections (1) 257:14 **Conservation** (1) 264:24 conservatism (1) 174:7conservative (2)

171:3:174:5 consider (29) 17:18:28:18:29:9; 31:3.20:42:16.20: 46:17:51:15:55:13; 67:1;72:2,2;119:17; 224:20;239:7;245:2, 18:246:19:249:16; 253:8;256:6;258:11; 263:7;264:16;272:21; 273:10;275:23;287:11 considerable (6) 214:2,9;273:7;275:7; 285:24;286:3 considerably (6) 157:7;164:11; 194:21;283:15,17,19 consideration (5) 45:1;74:16,17; 165:21,23 considered (10) 25:24;51:5;72:4; 73:18;74:13,20;99:13; 121:8;253:10;287:14 considering (4) 25:3;29:12;108:22; 246:13 considers (2) 106:8;151:12 consistent (6) 94:21:190:23:192:7; 229:19:233:19:256:7 constantly (2) 169:22:170:6 constitutes (1) 291:25 construct (2) 6:6:248:16 constructed (4) 17:25;245:7;265:19; 274:24 construction (10) 80:7;197:17;273:1, 12,15,22;274:7;275:14, 17,22 consulting (1) 49:3 consume (1) 91:22 consumption (1) 79:25 contain (2) 271:1.7 contained (2) 34:4;271:8 contains (3) 226:19;233:10; 271:12 contaminants (1) 74:19 contamination (1) 42:2 contending (1)

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

141:19 content (1) 209:25 contention (1) 254:22 context (5) 39:17;41:15;67:20; 260:7:273:18 contingency (1) 87:12 contingent (4) 86:8;87:5,16:255:3 continue (8) 52:20;60:17;116:11, 13;121:12;158:17; 233:4;284:4 continued (1) 93:17 continues (1) 210:21 continuing (3) 161:24:298:4.5 continuum (1) 176:6 contrary (2) 26:7;239:20 contrast (1) 285:22 contribute (1) 85:10 control (3) 168:25:224:25: 286:21 controls (1) 275:18 convenience (6) 47:12:105:1:106:1.3. 11:111:21 convenient (11) 16:6;92:3,14;93:7; 104:14,16,21,23;105:3; 256:9:285:17 conventional (1) 210:2 conversation (1) 61:15 conversations (1) 147:22 converse (1) 243:9 convey (1) 289:15 conveyed (1) 233:21 conveys (2) 289:19,21 convince (8) 213:21;220:7,8; 222:1,23;239:24; 264:13:294:14 convinced (1) 287:10 convinces (1) correlate (1)

184:2 convincing (1) 284:22 copies (7) 33:12,17;34:8; 162:17;178:17;296:22; 297:4 cops(1)151:20 copy (16) 39:7;43:22,24;44:1, 2,11;46:22;47:4;48:15; 97:20;98:1,16;162:21; 197:24;295:24;296:18 cord (1) 209:22 CORDRY (70) 7:7,7;8:10,13,16; 21:4;30:14,17,21,23; 31:1;33:25;41:13,18, 20;43:5,9;50:2;52:24; 59:2;77:13,17,22,25; 78:2,10;98:6,12; 112:21;125:21,25; 128:6,9;138:3,7; 140:14,21,24;141:3,4, 24;142:6,10;144:20,22, 25;148:15,16,17,18; 149:10,11,15,20; 175:21;177:25;179:21; 184:5;201:18;202:15; 204:19:227:1:234:21: 250:12:253:2.11; 254:23,25;255:2; 279:20 Cordry's (2) 8:18:184:13 **CORE (37)** 8:1,1,3,10;16:3,11, 14,17,21,23;17:4,6,13, 17;31:24;33:17;39:25; 42:23,25;43:3;44:9; 45:10;52:20;54:3;58:1, 20,23;63:1;70:15;71:4; 75:21;76:10;79:23; 101:5,17;168:4;250:4 Core's (4) 40:4;43:7;53:17; 298:5 corner (7) 114:20;123:1,2,12; 164:3;197:16,22 **Corporation** (2) 6:3;107:25 corrected (5) 233:9;250:22;271:9, 10;272:19 corrections (2) 174:1;249:7 correctly (5) 44:14;76:12;134:24; 180:23;253:7

Deposition Services, Inc.

	WHOLESALE CORI O	RATION		
297:8	117:12,23;136:17;	157:16,19;171:11,	155:6;234:6;238:2	50:6;163:13;252:9,11;
correlation (1)	146:3;216:15;274:15,	14;216:18;229:16	Currently (15)	285:2
192:14	21;275:19,19	criticism (1)	84:14;95:10,13;96:6,	dated (1)
cost(1)	county's (2)	289:9	8,12,14;99:6;111:8;	46:7
105:5	81:20;117:1	critique (1)	114:11;156:6;197:17;	day (45)
Costco (92)	countywide (1)	226:18	235:4,7;274:20	6:2;16:9,15;25:24;
6:3,25;7:5,13,16;	84:2	Cronyn (11)	curve (2)	26:12;28:4;50:1,24;
11:15;18:21;22:4;23:1,	couple (15)	18:18;19:9;22:25;	282:22;289:7	52:12;59:10;61:2,5,5,
4;26:19;80:10,17;82:1,	57:20;65:15;95:3;	25:8;27:4,8,12,19;	curves (1)	13;82:8;93:4;116:14,
3,5;85:3,22;86:17,18;	169:15;183:12;193:24;	56:23;58:12;216:22	280:12	22,22;118:4,16,18;
87:6,19,25;93:4,5,13,	209:20;219:14;225:3;	Cronyn's (11)	customer (2)	128:3,4;143:13;144:4,
16;99:19;104:18;	226:24;227:10;228:13;	21:25;25:12;26:6;	112:4;185:21	5;162:18;174:23;
105:7,10;108:21;	264:20;274:6;276:17	28:10;29:14;51:9;54:3,	customers (5)	182:11;189:18;190:1,
111:1,4,5,9,11,18,19,	course (13)	24;55:5;60:8,17	81:15;105:7;112:4,5;	1,11;192:3,5,8,13,23;
24;112:2;114:8;115:7,	69:12;84:23;144:9;	cross (8)	164:8	193:3,8;194:5,11;
8,10;119:14;120:14;	165:13;170:23;208:2;	52:21;53:12;112:24;	customers' (1)	209:23;246:3
125:5,8;127:2;133:1,2,	211:4;212:12;249:10,	123:10;143:10,18,22;	112:14	daylight (1)
17;139:14;163:22,23;	11;253:20;273:5;275:3	144:12	cut (4)	23:5
165:5;166:2;170:16;	Court (7)	crosses (1)	45:5;73:4;133:6;	days (18)
179:10;185:23;193:12;	8:2;17:5;124:2;	122:22	274:5	8:6;10:7;11:17;
195:20,22,23;196:4;	130:6,8;216:22;287:23	cross-examination (37)	cutout (1)	33:22;39:22,24;42:22;
200:2;203:18;204:1;	cover (1)	8:8;11:23;13:6,24;	105:23	53:6;116:6,23;117:4;
216:4;227:8;234:11,	275:19	14:12,23,24;15:6;	cuts (1)	120:12;166:20;194:5;
16,17,22;236:2;237:9,	covered (2)	44:10,24;45:2;49:13;	73:5	201:20;253:18,21;
13,20;238:3,19;	74:25;227:2	50:14;52:14;53:4;	cutting (2)	260:6
239:15;240:11;248:20;	covering (2)	75:15;76:8;85:18;86:1;	96:23;227:11	deal (8)
255:8;260:23;273:5;	226:16;261:11	109:14;121:23,25;		23:13;36:10;163:14;
274:19;275:3;284:22;	CR (6)	122:1,12;129:6;	D	168:3;169:17;176:19;
285:5,15	95:22;100:9,14;	177:15,18,23;192:15;		220:16;227:18
Costco's (8)	103:20,23;109:6	206:20;239:19;251:2;	dah (3)	dealing (3)
81:21;86:4;121:3;	crack (2)	287:21;288:8;298:4,5,	125:14,14,14	44:20;135:16;142:13
138:19;209:7;247:7;	275:10,11	6	daily (2)	deals (2)
249:13;272:4	cracking (1)	cross-examine (5)	83:23;258:25	25:13;36:11
cost-effective (2)	274:16	12:9;39:21;49:9;	damage (10)	dealt (1)
166:7;256:9	cracks (3)	62:23;110:20	244:11;265:8,9,15;	13:12
cost-effectiveness (2)	274:18;275:1,1	cross-examined (2)	266:11;267:14,17;	death (1)
165:16,20	CRC (3)	11:10;42:24	269:4,8;270:7	118:25
cough (1)	8:8,25;9:5	crossing (1)	damaged (1)	deaths (1)
116:15 Compa ⁱ l (12)	create (12)	143:18	267:15	127:24
Council (12)	24:17;80:23;84:11;	crosswalk (4)	damaging (1)	debate (1)
6:16;23:10;65:21;	92:14;94:12;156:17;	122:22;123:7,8;	268:9	263:18
96:20;108:22,23,25;	165:25;170:13,16;	144:13	danger (1)	decades (2)
109:9,12;216:15;	266:16;273:15,23	crosswalks (1)	84:19 dangerous (1)	57:21;101:13 Decatur (2)
284:19,21 Council's (1)	created (2) 83:18;117:12	144:11 crowd (2)	125:14	113:11,18
109:13	creates (2)	148:20;149:1	dark (1)	decide (7)
count (17)	76:22;84:14	crucial (3)	211:22	38:2;117:16,19;
53:19;143:15;150:6,	creating (5)	212:18;216:17;	dash (1)	158:1;222:16;227:3;
13;151:22;152:24;	80:14;92:6;93:9;	294:13	37:22	242:21
162:8;191:20;238:21,	170:17;262:23	C-store (1)	data (55)	decided (1)
24;239:2,24;240:2,11;	creatively (1)	106:1	41:11;69:1;172:13,	120:10
275:8,10,13	82:1	cubbyhole (1)	20;246:1;276:22;	decides (1)
counter (1)	credence (1)	263:23	277:10,13;278:11,14,	276:11
83:19	245:14	cubed (2)	21;279:16;280:15;	decision (9)
counts (1)	credentials (1)	175:14;177:4	281:3,8,10,21,22,23,24,	6:22;64:25;67:25;
239:25	211:8	cubic (1)	24;282:1,11,24;283:6,	80:10;86:5;105:10;
County (36)	credible (1)	175:16	6,7,11;288:25;289:2,	166:2;220:3;243:3
23:10;24:3;28:16;	265:25	cumulative (2)	25;290:7,9,20,21;	decision-making (1)
65:21,21;79:4,19;80:5,	crews (1)	119:6;232:18	292:16,16,18,21,21,22;	10:13
8,21;81:3,6;82:15,20;		curious (2)	293:2,2,6,8,10,21,22,	decisions (5)
0.21.01.3.0.02.1.3.20	258:1	currous (2)		
	258:1 criteria (2)			
83:4,22,24;84:4;85:11; 89:2;90:21,23,25;	258:1 criteria (2) 68:7,7	55:9;56:2	24;294:1,5,5,14,18,25 date (8)	55:11;65:5;67:12; 68:22,23
83:4,22,24;84:4;85:11;	criteria (2)		24;294:1,5,5,14,18,25	55:11;65:5;67:12;

26:10declaration (1) 147:5 decrease (2) 93:22;282:12 decreasing (1) 93:25 dedicated (1) 120:1 deductive (1) 216:19 deep (1) 210:4 deeper (2) 289:7.7 deferred (1) 13:3 define (7) 56:9,10,22;70:10; 71:21;214:8;227:20 defined (11) 23:10:24:21.23.23: 56:20;118:13;135:6; 141:13;212:11,13; 228:16 definitely (2) 26:13;154:22 definition (4) 210:16,17;222:16; 291:2 degree (6) 26:8:233:13:290:15: 291:9,12,13 delay (2) 16:14;170:13 deleterious (1) 268:23 deliberately (2) 218:16;226:3 demonstrate (5) 158:17;196:23; 210:20:284:9,11 demonstrated (1) 269:2 demonstrating (1) 280:16 demonstration (1) 279:8 demonstrations (1) 294:8 denial (2) 244:18.20 denied (2) 88:5;119:24 Dennis (1) 35:2 dense (1) 101:14 denying (1) 10:11 **Department** (3) 82:16;166:15;248:25 depend (1)

182:19 dependent (2) 292:19.19 Depending (2) 36:25:293:1 depends (1) 291:1 depot (5) 21:18;23:7;24:1; 28:16:64:15 depreciate (2) 63:23,24 deprived (1) 29:5 descend (1) 207:10 describe (2) 19:4;123:7 described (2) 122:15;128:11 describes (1) 79:17 describing (1) 295:3 design (6) 107:12;154:10; 164:15;182:3;192:15, 18 designated (2) 35:7:144:11 designed (5) 82:7:100:20:134:1: 155:10:182:11 designing (2) 41:23:107:11 designs (1) 192:20 desire (4) 187:9;261:12,21; 265:6 desired (1) 15:5 desires (1) 111:19 despite (1) 26:7 destination (2) 89:20;201:2 detail (13) 175:24;176:5;214:1; 226:20;227:2;229:7; 261:6,7,11;278:18; 283:2;285:24;286:3 detailed (2) 226:18;285:23 details (1) 200:6 detected (1) 20:8determination (1) 67:21 determine (5) 14:18;36:17;57:22;

220:18:245:3 determined (2) 63:10:96:20 determining (3) 58:8;220:19;293:18 detract (1) 54:22 detracts (1) 101:16 detrimental (1) 24:20 develop (5) 84:8;95:13;96:14; 97:3:286:15 developed (2) 97:8,14 developing (2) 71:9;85:9 development (12) 81:7,10;87:3;88:25; 95:15;96:16;106:8,12; 197:17;199:4;261:13, 22 deviation (2) 293:23,25 diagram (1) 250:6 diameter (4) 228:16;229:11; 230:22;231:4 dictionary (1) 222:14 die (1) 127:23 dies (2) 116:23;117:6 diesel (1) 130:10 differ (1) 189:12 difference (14) 24:2;132:2,24; 173:14,22;184:10; 227:12;228:6;231:1; 237:11,22;243:12; 256:22;260:17 differences (2) 69:15;180:2 different (71) 11:6,7;19:19,19; 21:21:22:9,10,10,11, 11,12;23:14,16;32:9; 52:6;54:16,25;55:18; 66:8;79:7;101:23; 117:24;124:15;139:16; 142:16;153:21;155:14; 168:7;180:3,5;181:23; 182:3,9,18;183:4,6; 184:18,18,18;189:24; 190:3:192:20,23; 196:21;209:25;213:22; 216:21,22;217:19,19, 20;219:17,25;222:7;

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

244:25;253:21;254:17; 176:5 258:22:263:13.15.22: 264:15;268:12;277:14; 278:22;281:3,4,12; 292:8 285:21;287:5;291:24 differently (3) 182:3,8,18 difficult (11) 67:5,20,22;80:19; 120:3;160:22;161:23; 82:10 188:23;189:10;211:12; 230:15 difficulty (3) 69:11;116:14;183:7 dig (2) 265:22;266:5 dimensions (4) 155:16;157:14; 161:5,7 direct (20) 11:9;12:12;14:19; 13 17:13,15;52:20,25; 53:9,17;79:13;104:1; 83:16 114:4;145:8,14;146:8; 149:18;177:13;203:9; 29:12 206:23;218:25 directing (2) 169:22;170:6 direction (2) 85:1:123:24 directions (1) 201:7 directly (4) 54:23;157:4;197:21; 198:8 disabilities (2) 115:24;121:6 disagree (5) 28:5;228:7;281:13; 283:14;291:25 disagreement (1) 23:5 196:7 disamenity (1) 42:19 disappeared (1) 253:5 disclosed (1) 12:3 disconcerting (1) 82:24 97:24 discount (2) 63:16:94:5 discourage (1) dock (3) 89:19 discover (1) 48:18 discuss (5) 9:19;58:12;175:3; 223:19;295:12 discussed (4) 33:1;172:15;174:24; 176:5 discusses (1) 48:11,11,18,21;84:17;

discussion (7) 175:25;206:9;210:3; 211:12:244:17:251:1: discussions (4) 155:17;157:22; 245:22:294:9 diseases (1) disingenuous (2) 22:13:32:2 display (3) 219:7;289:6,8 displayed (2) 283:23;289:19 disposition (2) 97:9;233:1 dispute (4) 28:10;29:14;288:12, disputes (1) dissuaded (1) distance (8) 32:15;36:25;69:13; 212:19;228:18;229:15; 230:13:231:21 distances (2) 229:4;230:21 distinct (1) 100:14 distinction (4) 19:11:67:23:228:5; 256:21 distinguished (3) 67:7;101:5;228:4 distorts (1) 282:21 distributed (1) **District** (2) 95:7;101:5 districts (3) 100:22;101:3,6 divided (1) 100:22 diving (1) division (1) 237:15 154:18,19,23 docks (1) 163:25 document (45) 9:12;10:22;11:3; 12:5,9;13:6,22;14:6,12, 18:15:5:38:8,14,21,22, 23:39:1.18:40:5:41:4. 10:42:4,4,9:47:1,5,6:

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHOLESALE CORPO	RATION	
94:10,20,22,24;216:3;	80:3;89:11;97:5,7,8,	120:17;124:20	economic (16)
226:13,18;253:3;	10	dropping (1)	23:18;29:5,7,8;
267:21;271:13,15;	downward (2)	52:11	37:18;55:11;56:2,9,21,
272:14;274:17	283:8;293:5	drops (1)	24;58:7;68:9;70:1;
documentation (2)	dozen (2)	59:14	71:11;76:22;81:2
10:2;61:20	66:7;83:12	droughts (1)	Economics (4)
documented (2)	dozens (1)	84:21	34:13;35:15;63:13,
57:9;82:18	82:5	drum (1)	14
documents (19)	DPS (2)	204:22	economists (1)
9:21;11:16;13:23;	166:15;249:4	ducked (1)	69:5
14:2;28:4;32:23;40:18;	Dr (28)	206:6	edge (6)
45:10,13;56:4;135:15;	7:23;11:8,23;13:3,	DUCKETT (3)	26:24;164:20;212:3;
152:13;226:19;253:16;	12;15:16;85:23;139:1;	7:18,18;206:6	265:25;269:4,18
262:24;264:10;271:2;	141:10;171:23;174:11;	due (6)	edges (1)
284:6;296:18	176:8,16;204:21;	82:11;84:22;85:3,5;	187:7
domain (2)	205:8;217:25;256:21;	234:15;235:12	educate (1)
49:4,6 domino (1)	258:5;260:2;283:1;	dumps (1) 73:24	116:25
204:12	284:5,12,14;288:10; 290:18;292:8,13;	Durham (3)	educated (2) 43:2;216:24
done (39)	290.18,292.8,13, 295:10	178:10;179:13;195:6	educating (2)
19:22;36:13;37:18;	draw (6)	during (19)	206:25;225:2
41:15;43:3;59:5;62:17,	71:4;283:7,12,13;	127:23;139:22,23;	education (3)
19,21;101:24;109:5;	292:17;293:4	140:2,7,9;143:18;	84:12;216:15;217:4
131:21,22;152:5;	drawn (1)	184:11;185:24;189:13;	education/outreach (1)
164:17;180:13;207:13;	288:16	190:25;201:19,23;	84:10
216:9,14;217:15,16,16;	draws (1)	203:24;207:1,2;260:5,	educational (2)
220:20;227:18;241:25;	99:15	6;274:7	189:12;217:5
250:22;265:19;266:1;	drew (1)		educator (4)
267:17,25;268:8;	294:23	E	208:12;211:11;
269:3,3;270:6;272:23;	drilling (3)		213:15;216:24
279:5;281:1;286:18;	268:1,4;269:7	earlier (10)	educators (1)
293:4	drink (2)	22:20;27:1;42:18;	209:13
Donna (2)	243:23;244:2	64:2;73:13;105:14;	effect (15)
7:24;196:3	drive (26)	118:5;158:14;220:25;	24:20;25:17;28:11;
door (1) 115:14	19:25;28:13,25; 89:20;91:18;93:4;94:4,	295:19 early (5)	36:24;37:23;51:16; 70:17;118:17;119:6;
doors (1)	6;99:19,21;105:19;	108:24;115:19;	204:12;223:24;232:18;
116:4	151:18;154:24;161:15,	162:17;196:2,2	268:5,20,23
dot (1)	16,16;168:11;180:13,	Earth (5)	effective (3)
292:24	13;181:4,12,13;	154:21;191:19;	84:10,11;263:15
dots (2)	182:20;183:10;185:12;	196:8,12,23	effectively (2)
292:19;293:3	188:22	easier (3)	143:16;151:17
double (3)	driver (2)	16:9;59:8;187:6	effects (19)
143:17;171:6,7	125:15;127:16	easily (5)	13:11,17;25:5,10;
double-check (1)	drivers (6)	80:25;89:4;126:9;	27:14;57:22;70:11;
152:5	83:8;120:13,15;	203:1;220:20	83:8,17;84:21;85:4;
doubling (1)	124:15,19;125:13	East (10)	118:19;121:5,11;
143:23	driveway (2)	126:1;139:17;	140:22;169:6;176:6;
doubt (1)	159:25;160:5	158:22;160:13,20;	182:18;273:14
239:20 down (31)	driving (12) 81:16;82:2;83:17;	161:2,23;186:19; 197:21;201:11	efficiency (2) 84:6;165:16
down (31) 28:13,25;77:3;89:15,	92:10,12;99:24;100:7;	eastbound (1)	effort (5)
19;93:21;100:5,16;	112:6,8;169:4;181:10,	160:9	41:23;227:20;284:9,
101:13;103:24;113:2;	12	eastern (3)	11;290:9
114:18;147:25;161:21;	drool (1)	79:18;81:5;103:2	efforts (2)
167:17,21;174:7,7;	116:16	easy (1)	80:20;84:25
187:25;199:15;200:4;	drop (8)	59:6	eight (14)
204:20;210:4;213:25;	55:16;59:11,23;	eat (1)	20:21;21:3,4,5,7;
228:19;242:17;282:9;	124:14,16,17;125:16,	130:7	23:8,8;29:1;70:23;
283:15,17,19;289:13	18	eating (1)	184:9;190:1,11;
downcounty (1)	drop-off (2)	215:1	278:11;279:24
117:11	189:14;190:5	eclipsed (1)	eight-foot (2)
downtown (6)	dropped (2)	81:6	158:25;159:19

eight-foot-half (1) 159:16 eight-hour (1) 172:10 either (19) 48:13;111:21; 129:22;131:18;145:19; 162:11;167:4;169:3; 181:15;186:18;196:1; 202:8.8;219:3;228:16; 240:8;253:15;256:17; 264:8 Eleanor (1) 7:18 electronic (1) 15:13 elects (1) 87:21 element (2) 219:19;226:18 elements (9) 46:18;71:8;123:21; 209:10;211:16;219:15; 221:25;222:4;272:3 elicit (1) 41:24 eligible (1) 117:3 eliminate (2) 135:24:265:5 eliminated (1) 93:19 eliminating (1) 274:6 Elkridge (12) 150:7,9;152:1,7,14; 24;232:18; 153:9;162:15;178:1; 185:9,14;187:24; 197:19 else (11) 53:1;135:16;148:10; 166:25;170:3;183:10; 189:3;221:19;235:14; 295:11;297:24 else's (1) 139:8 elsewhere (1) 92:6 e-mail (2) 11:14;209:19 emergency (1) 82:18 emissions (15) 29:17;61:21;83:19; 84:2,13,19;132:24; 133:3;134:2,14,17; 169:5;171:1,9;176:19 emotional (2) 66:20,25 emphasis (2) 84:10;209:7 empirical (2) 63:21,22

Min-U-Script®

employee (1) en 194:25 employees (1) en 168:5 encompasses (1) 292:23 en encompassing (2) 81:11:108:19 en encourage (6) 80:24;81:4;82:1; en 88:25;92:21;100:6 encouraging (1) 107:16 end (16) 16:9;21:16;22:4; 25:24;31:19;35:11; en 61:17;70:11;103:23; 105:8;154:19;174:23; en 188:9;223:12,15; 249:18 endeavor (1) 206:10 en ending (1) 135:23 endlessly (1) 169:2 ends (1) 199:15 en energy (2) 79:24;84:5 energy-efficient (1) 42:14 en enforce (1) 250:16 en enforceability (1) 249:17 en enforced (8) 245:15,19;246:21, 22,25;248:14,17,21 enforcement (7) en 84:11;247:3,10; 248:24;249:9;250:17; en 272:13 enforcements (1) EI 248:15 enforcing (1) 166:16 engineering (4) 271:1,2,10,12 enhance (1) 81:12 ep enormous (3) 80:3;81:13;94:5 eq enough (20) 12:8;20:14;30:11; eq 52:1;68:18;75:8;108:9; 146:9;159:25;160:3, eq 22;161:13;164:25; 165:8;172:18;228:8; eq 254:1;263:17;294:2; 297:20 enrolled (1) er 117:5

nsure (4)	error
13:25;14:1;52:8,10	14:
nter (5)	23
13:4;28:23;123:22;	283
124:9;295:14	20,
ntered (2)	19,
139:16;241:8	6
1 tertain (1) 239:4	error
239.4 ntire (18)	escap
38:14,22,23,25;	26
43:16,17,21,22;44:5;	espec
47:1,4,6;48:11;109:6;	81:
197:22;198:2;240:25;	18
245:24	essen
ntirely (2)	86:
218:12;283:10	8;2
ntitled (8)	essen
34:12;38:8;46:7;	29:
110:19;132:19;142:18,	essen
21;215:6	22:
ntrance (19) 124:20,23;125:3,5,	estab 40:
11,12,19;127:4,5,6;	estab
153:25;155:24;156:2,	192
17,23;164:7;180:8;	estab
202:10,24	10
ntrances (13)	Estat
153:20,20;154:11;	38:
180:7,8,9;202:7,8,22;	estim
233:8,11,12,14	124
ntranceway (1)	234
154:7	238
vironment (3)	243
29:16;80:9;94:13	estim
vironmental (8) 27:12;34:13;35:15;	240 estim
42:2;63:13;74:18;	239
82:12,23	estim
visions (1)	59:
79:15	et (9)
nvy (1)	89
220:17	250
PA (25)	18,
11:1,2;12:23;13:8,8;	Etha
36:13;61:25;63:5,13;	78:
118:11;122:4;133:22;	evalu
134:24;135:4,8,17;	23
136:9,12,20,20;137:8, 17,19;174:18;175:8	evalu 232
bidemic (1)	evalu
82:13	232
(ual (2)	evalu
54:21;220:24	14:
uatable (1)	evalu
233:23	33:
uate (1)	even
220:6	12:
uipment (4)	22:
258:12;267:19;	23
270:6;294:17	60:
roneous (1)	83:
276:24	11:

r (28) :6:215:25:233:7.9: 9:5,17,24:240:1,17: 3:9:292:10.14.17.19. ,22,23;293:1,2,6,9, ,25;294:9;295:1,2,5, rs (1) 5:24 pe (1) 2:24 cially (6) :9;87:2;99:22; 9:8;287:2;294:13 1ce (6) 5:17;227:18;251:5, 256:14,15 ntial (2) 5:1,6 ntially (3) :3;190:1;222:18 blish (2) :1;229:22 blished (2) 2:15;231:20 blishing (1))1:3 te (3) 3:10;42:6;51:11 nate (14) 4:10:126:15; 4:7.9.16.18:236:7: 8:1,2,3,4,21;247:21; 8:14 nates (1) 0:5 nating (1) 9:16 nation (1) :25 :16;219:21,21,21; 6:10;273:23;294:17, ,18 ın (1) :19 uate (2) 8:16;263:12 uated (2) 2:17;287:15 uates (1) 2:11uating (1) :21 uation (2) :4;264:16 (37) :22;14:8;16:9; :18;24:9,20;28:18, ;29:16;42:25;51:15; :20;61:14;82:10; :16;90:7;93:13,15; 5:15;116:5;117:4;

119:5.6:141:19: 157:16.18:159:23: 161:22;172:6;204:25; 205:5:222:20:224:18: 227:12;233:24;254:19; 262:14 event (4) 80:17;88:13;134:18; 253:23 events (1) 66:1 eventually (1) 219:11 ever-changing (1) 28.1everybody (3) 126:19;165:9,10 everybody's (1) 127:7 everyone (3) 114:7,17;265:3 evidence (50) 6:20;10:2,10,18; 14:13;15:23;29:13; 32:22;33:8,11;41:7; 56:5;57:10;58:17; 63:21;64:24;73:7;75:8; 121:9;135:15;163:13; 192:22;194:4;198:16; 219:20,22;220:10; 221:12,16,17;223:9,21; 224:16.18.23:225:13: 239:12,18,20;262:25; 265:7,15,16;266:13,15, 18:268:18:269:6; 270:23;272:11 ex (1) 24:11exacerbate (2) 26:12:70:17 exact (5) 18:13:31:14:68:10; 91:15;286:16 exactly (25) 19:7;23:23;29:25; 66:9;68:16;71:10; 79:11;81:20;91:2; 135:2,3;143:15; 158:12;160:10;162:25; 182:4;183:8,20,22; 214:10;220:22;229:10; 257:7;280:1,10 exaggeration (1) 116:8 examination (10) 12:12;14:19;17:14, 15;79:13;114:4; 149:18;201:16;206:23; 292:6 examine (1) 41:23 Examiner (9) 6:20;34:9,23;71:5;

88:1:232:9,11:252:23; 255:15 Examiner's (1) 20:11examining (1) 141:17 example (19) 42:14;129:24; 209:12;211:22;212:11; 216:22;222:7;224:4; 228:18;246:1;248:15, 19:250:2,17:256:20; 276:21,23;292:15; 293:6 excavating (1) 273:3 excavation (1) 275:5 excellent (3) 97:1;208:20;272:19 except (5) 119:19;164:17; 206:19;255:20;256:9 exception (63) 6:5;19:8;27:10;29:6; 32:1;64:14;87:8,11,20, 22,23;88:3,5;90:3; 110:10,12;114:9; 121:1;130:20;131:16, 24;155:2;167:6,9,14; 170:12;197:10;198:9; 202:20:203:13:212:2. 8,10,15;228:19;230:3; 245:3:247:11:251:8. 21;254:5,7,20;255:3,6, 8,10,12,17,18,21; 256:2;262:17;273:13, 13,19,22;274:11; 275:15;276:12;281:7; 286:23:288:10 exceptions (4) 121:18:166:16; 170:10;287:5 excerpt (3) 35:14;38:16,22 excerpts (2) 38:15;48:17 excessive (1) 74:18 exchanged (2) 13:24;14:3 exchanges (1) 209:19 excluding (1) 95:11 Excuse (16) 97:19;104:7;112:21; 140:20;175:20;181:17; 207:12;228:20;230:14; 234:4;244:19;264:13;

283:18

exemption (1)

266:24;271:24;282:3;

264:24exercise (3) 286:22,25;287:1 exhaust (1) 130:16 Exhibit (65) 8:8;9:3;15:18;32:6, 11:35:13:37:22:38:1.3. 4;40:6;41:3;43:12,19; 44:13:45:19:46:6.10; 47:14,17;48:16,17,19; 63:1,11:71:5:73:16; 80:6;86:12;98:4,6,8, 13;99:2;102:22; 122:18;150:8;153:7,8; 155:5;178:25;179:16; 186:16;191:17;197:8, 15;198:25;199:1; 221:21,22;236:22; 237:1,3;241:9,10; 251:3:267:4,19:277:2, 3,5,11;296:2,12;297:9 exhibitize (1) 296:1 exhibits (16) 15:12;32:7;35:8; 149:12,13,22;178:5; 196:8;259:2;267:2; 295:13,15,16,17;296:6, 16 exist (3) 121:9:233:14:274:21 existed (2) 18:20.23 existence (1) 169:23 existing (5) 23:13;25:17;54:19; 60:10:80:15 exists (2) 42:3;227:7 exit (4) 180:16,21;181:5,6 exited (1) 181:3 exiting (1) 180:14 exogenous (1) 56:11 expanding (1) 80:14 expect (6) 203:22,24;204:4,5; 221:19;296:23 expectation (3) 76:17;200:24;232:14 expected (2) 23:8:237:15 expensive (1) 92:11 experience (7) 26:21;27:6,9;51:12; 201:22;214:6;216:18

experiencing (1) 116:10 expert (28) 11:4,21;12:14;33:4; 34:18;49:1;51:2,22; 67:15;68:6;73:20; 110:18;132:18;138:20; 141:17,18;208:24,25; 214:1,13,14,19,24; 268:24;283:1,1; 284:13;291:4 expertise (10) 49:2;208:25;214:2,9, 20,23;215:11,14; 266:2;276:19 experts (3) 215:4,5;284:25 explain (3) 32:18;214:10;267:7 explained (4) 215:19;255:4; 280:25:288:25 explaining (3) 254:10,12;270:19 explains (1) 209:22 explanation (2) 211:7;260:17 explicitly (1) 87:15 exposed (7) 118:12:129:12.13. 15,20;130:16;135:5 exposing (1) 118:9 exposure (1) 130:2 express (2) 37:25;224:17 expressed (3) 107:25;110:21; 120:14 expressing (1) 141:6 expunged (1) 9:5 extended (1) 211:1 extending (1) 18:14 extends (1) 292:24 extension (1) 29:4 extensive (6) 70:24,25;214:6; 216:18;217:5;245:21 extent (11) 67:22;70:15;102:8; 103:19;104:24;110:20; 147:18;157:19;165:24; 173:21:194:13 exterior (2)

71:25:73:22 external (3) 57:5;71:20;72:5 externalities (1) 26:20 extra (6) 92:11;98:1,16; 143:22;169:22;170:5 extracted (1) 47:11 extrajudicial (1) 147:4 extremely (1) 188:5 eye (6) 213:19;256:14,18, 24;257:2,6 eyes (2) 18:6;23:23 F face (1) 84:25 facilitate (1) 15:17 facilities (1) 222:12 facility (1) 135:20 fact (93) 11:5,20;22:15;24:12; 25:1;41:5;54:16;61:14; 66:14;68:2,3;75:11; 96:1;101:24;112:3; 127:23;140:16;141:13, 13,18;148:1;151:19; 163:22;164:17,20; 168:17:176:19:180:13; 183:11:184:3:185:19: 186:20,21;187:14,16; 188:12:191:12:193:22: 194:16;196:18;197:16; 200:25;207:15;208:16; 212:9;218:20;224:1,2, 2;226:13;228:21; 230:4;231:17,20; 232:5,6,15;233:3; 234:15;243:8,8; 244:23:250:7:254:6; 258:12:260:13:261:7, 19.22:262:14:263:6: 265:9;266:11;268:18; 272:12,12;274:15; 276:21;278:21;280:15; 282:7,8,9,11;283:9,17; 284:6,11;286:5,23; 287:13;289:8;294:21 fact-finding (1) 67:6 facto (1) 24:12 factor (8)

66:13:67:11:73:2; 193:3:229:13:235:11. 17:237:14 factoring (1) 74:6 factors (10) 25:21;26:6;28:9; 36:17:42:12:51:4:65:4; 73:18;74:14;287:13 facts (17) 163:4;198:15;210:4; 218:17;219:24;222:24, 25,25;223:2,24; 224:10;226:22;243:2, 9:246:8,9:263:7 factual (14) 112:9;141:19,22; 171:2,2,25;218:15; 220:2;224:7,24,24; 225:24;229:24;242:15 factually (4) 111:10:141:9: 233:12;257:20 Failed (1) 209:9 failing (2) 57:17;267:11 failure (2) 84:20;268:25 fair (14) 14:24;44:25;62:24; 106:9:108:16:109:14: 129:13:134:23:138:3; 173:13:183:17:193:7: 228:8;290:21 fairly (6) 29:3:64:7:157:14; 169:8:210:2:248:16 fairness (3) 52:8,10:215:4 false (1) 27:2 familiar (14) 18:22;55:3,8,8; 68:11;91:16;94:9,18, 19;103:5;122:4; 137:17;138:21;290:20 families (1) 117:16 family (3) 28:3,19:117:6 fan (1) 106:19 fancy (1) 57:6 Fannie (1) 56:16 Far (28) 20:14;29:25;31:5,8; 33:3:43:12:53:18:62:3. 18;81:19;89:20;99:8; 117:6,20:120:11,19: 150:21;151:11;154:1,

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

19:163:11:164:6; 188:10;193:22;207:1; 257:21;258:17;293:12 **F-A-R**(1) 95:11 far-flung (1) 82:4 farther (1) 120:17 fashion (2) 14:14;216:6 fast (1) 187:2 faster (2) 187:11:257:12 fast-food (7) 42:18;119:21;124:5; 129:25,25;130:3,7 faulting (1) 52:6 favor (1) 207:13 favorably (1) 69:25 favorite (1) 244:8 **FCP** (1) 264:23 fear (1) 68:23 fears (2) 67:12:251:24 feasibility (1) 41:23 feasible (1) 120:11 features (1) 72:9 February (4) 119:2;128:1.6; 138:22 Federal (5) 46:15;57:18;160:4; 174:21;296:17 federally (1) 135:9 feel (12) 26:8;31:12,16;36:18; 52:2;66:16,17,18; 68:25;120:15;221:16; 246:19 feeling (1) 51:19 feelings (1) 68:24 feels (2) 12:8;116:7 feet (31) 30:1,4,14,17,21,22; 63:20;83:14;153:11; 159:20,22,24;160:5; 161:3,4,13;164:19; 198:3,7;228:21;

	WHOLESALE COKI O			
229:10,12;230:8,10,11,	162:8,17	39:17	221:21;230:6;235:18;	108:23;211:17
			244:24	
16,17,21,21;231:17,17	filters (1)	five (8)		fossil (1)
fellow (2)	133:23	61:6;82:19;84:3;	followed (1)	84:19
51:12,21	final (1)	126:6;143:13;229:12;	133:22	found (18)
felt (2)	280:19	249:14;273:24	following (4)	32:12,18,24;36:14;
36:21;286:3	Finally (4)	five-foot-wide (2)	31:24;219:16;	37:16;40:10;45:14;
fence (1)	83:16;120:6;210:16;	159:13,16	245:23;279:9	64:4;65:6,7,8;72:6;
265:19	219:11	five-minute (1)	food (3)	210:15,16;222:3,12;
festivities (1)	find (22)	78:4	124:2;130:6,8	251:19;294:1
16:15	25:19;27:5;50:18;	fix (3)	Footnote (2)	foundation (1)
few (17)	51:14;55:9,10,24;56:2;	116:21;258:13;275:4	40:6;45:19	40:1
8:6;15:14;22:9;	63:21;74:22;130:19;	fixed (2)	force (2)	founded (1)
66:12;83:8,14;92:14;	135:3;145:20;153:8;	275:3,3	211:14;215:6	25:22
105:6;143:14,15;	164:18;192:9;197:20,	fixing (1)	forceful (1)	four (12)
178:8;200:3;241:16;	23;234:4;241:6;	275:5	284:7	23:10;105:24;
245:24;288:1,2;290:16	251:18;294:2	flagged (1)	forcefully (1)	143:12,16,22,22,23;
fewer (2)	findings (3)	47:2	250:3	184:7;201:1,5;204:25;
89:12;101:19	12:17;68:2,3	flagship (1)	forcibility (1)	205:2
FHA (4)	fine (41)	37:19	286:21	four-pump (1)
47:15;71:5,16;73:1	53:25;70:20;78:14,	flat (3)	foreseeable (1)	106:15
field (4)	16;118:11;135:4;	100:19;283:9,11	99:9	fourth (1)
124:13;127:17;	178:23,23;199:8;	flatter (3)	forest (25)	209:2
211:25;212:4	206:21,21;215:8,8;	280:12,15,15	80:14;218:20;258:2;	fragile (2)
figure (11)	216:13;218:6,12;	flavor (1)	264:22,23;265:4,6,8,	115:24;117:1
31:14;59:20;64:8;	220:12;221:11;225:15;	94:14	10,15,25;266:19;267:7,	fragmented (1)
208:10;210:15;228:18,	227:20;241:5,12;	flawed (1)	20;268:5,9,12,19;	97:16
22;229:16;230:7;	245:4;250:14;251:1;	55:24	269:4,5,8,18,21;	frame (2)
237:19;259:24	256:4;257:10;259:22;	flies (1)	271:25;272:9	211:4,4
figured (1)	260:20;264:16;269:12;	84:24	forget (2)	Frank (1)
259:8	274:1;277:21;278:10;		208:7;278:25	78:23
		Flint (1)		
figures (3)	283:25;285:1,15;	81:8	forgive (5)	frankly (20)
37:3;172:11;231:11	297:5,6,10,12	floating (1)	18:13;19:17;38:24;	21:17;22:12;23:11,
file (8)	finely (1)	60:22	45:21;68:1	14;24:19;25:6,13;27:2,
223:19;253:4,9,12,	227:11	floods (1)	forgotten (1)	23,25;56:23;57:1,5,13;
15,15,16;297:7	finish (3)	84:21	251:3	61:1;64:15;65:23;
filed (6)	52:25;96:9;290:7	floor (1)	form (7)	76:21;220:16;257:5
9:11;80:6;216:4;	finished (1)	6:15	80:11;205:23;	Frederick (8)
233:1;267:5;284:5	205:9	flourish (1)	271:15;272:5;273:17;	149:24;150:25;
files (9)	firm (1)	105:11	278:23;284:23	151:5;152:19,20,21;
97:21;207:18;	51:10			
		flow (3)	formal (3)	153:19;178:1
227:22;240:15,16;	firmly (1)	21:9;153:4;156:8	214:6;217:5;253:5	free (3)
251:3;259:15;262:25;	287:17	flowing (1)	format (3)	160:23;263:25;
267:2	first (44)	160:23	15:14;210:6;244:25	292:22
filing (17)	13:3,21;16:11;19:6;	fluidly (1)	Formica (1)	freedom (1)
37:21;207:14;	33:24;39:14;41:22;	103:15	244:5	117:16
221:19,23;225:23;	42:12;49:19;80:12;	flying (1)	forming (1)	free-flowing (1)
226:1,4;236:20,21;	83:6;85:18;93:12;	297:15	43:2	191:4
237:1;240:25;274:18;	113:14;115:18;118:3;	Flynn (3)	forms (1)	freely (1)
281:25;287:12;289:17,	122:3;134:15;162:18;	234:5;237:2;260:18	56:14	156:8
19;294:3	165:13;180:1;187:14;	F-M-A-N (1)	formula (1)	freeways (1)
filings (5)	189:2,5;195:20;	78:23	14:20	72:10
8:5;64:1;216:10;	196:11;209:19,21;	focus (7)	formulated (1)	frequency (1)
225:16;289:15	210:5;211:2;215:23;	13:1;34:24;41:15;	211:5	249:3
fill (2)	218:23;219:17;222:15;	42:1,4;138:24;256:5	formulating (1)	frequent (1)
162:25;183:8	226:9;227:10;245:5;	focused (1)	45:11	92:4
filled (3)	251:12;252:9,11;	202:17	forte (1)	frequently (1)
150:14;185:8;226:1	256:5;267:4;278:13;	focusing (1)	208:18	6:13
filling (5)	287:9	41:15	forth (9)	freshman (1)
6:7;22:4;23:1,1;	fistfight (1)	Folks (4)	153:12;157:8;159:7;	211:3
\mathbf{U}_{1} , \mathbf{U}_{2} , \mathbf{U}		19:24;24:14;56:17;	169:18;170:20;176:21;	Friday (1)
	120.10		1 - 109.18.170.70.170.170.71	F FIGAV (1)
195:12	168:18			
195:12 fills (1)	fit (2)	72:19	216:5;246:7;253:12	119:20
195:12 fills (1) 150:21	fit (2) 165:11;223:4	72:19 follow (8)	216:5;246:7;253:12 forward (5)	119:20 Fridays (4)
195:12 fills (1)	fit (2)	72:19	216:5;246:7;253:12	119:20

83:8

54:20

24

129:25 friendly (2) 24:18:97:11 friends (1) 119:24 front (6) 25:25;116:5;126:24; 127:2;151:1;200:15 frontage (3) 95:15:96:1:100:9 fronts (1) 26:4 fuel (3) 26:11;64:15;84:19 fueling (7) 19:13,23;21:18;23:7, 12;24:1;28:16 fulfills (1) 84:15 full (14) 17:3;44:11,16;78:18; 113:10;194:20,21; 195:3,4,8,9;203:20,23; 205:12 full-service (1) 22:5 fully (3) 53:6;133:18;134:21 fume (1) 130:16 fumes (1) 31:13 function (1) 246:14 functioning (2) 97:15;290:22 fundamental (4) 55:20,21;276:3; 286:17 fundamentally (6) 26:20;209:25; 232:24;246:8;264:14; 285:16 funnel (1) 233:15 funneled (1) 180:8 further (15) 44:10;75:13;81:12; 92:25;112:8;120:18; 129:4;146:11;174:25; 175:3:186:19:230:3: 260:22;292:3;298:15 furthermore (2) 29:16;82:2 future (9) 79:17;92:16;93:17; 99:10;101:13;199:4; 278:24;286:19,24 G

gases (2) gallons (2) 84:1.24 Gasoline (7) 23:8:247:18 91:23,24;92:1;93:10; game (1) 109:2;157:18;246:4 Gang (3) gas-only (1) 229:1;260:18;267:5 81:17 Gang's (5) gauging (1) 259:7,11:260:1,4,14 56:7 gave (10) garage (5) 91:13;123:19,20,21, 12:7;178:17;179:7; 211:1,2;235:5;241:22; Gas (237) 277:4;285:23;296:18 7:13,16;18:9,10,21; Gazette (2) 23:6,9,11,17,24;24:10, 66:3.5 12;25:18;28:3,25;29:2, gazillion (1) 10,19,23;30:2;31:9,21; 49:5 32:15;36:14,15,22; general (16) 37:15;41:11;42:5,17, 6:11;10:15;52:7; 19,20;45:12;46:8; 69:12;105:15;107:6,7; 51:25;52:5;54:8,13,14, 118:11;133:22;135:5; 136:18,19,23;157:21; 15,16,19;55:2,14,15, 23;57:10;58:8;59:9,10; 170:13;230:4 60:10,13,20;61:16,17; generally (4) 63:15,19;64:5,9,10,11, 150:20;173:6; 12,13,20;65:11;66:9, 194:24;200:9 13;69:5,14,24,25;70:4, generate (2) 5,20,21,23,24,25; 26:19:42:2 71:14,24;72:2,8,12,21; generated (6) 73:3,8,17,23:74:7,12, 26:25:27:3:66:3: 15,19;75:1;76:14,20; 74:15,15:130:3 80:3.17:81:13.19.23: generating (1) 83:18,21;84:2;85:3,22; 97:13 86:25;92:5,6,7,9,12; generically (2) 132:3;136:13 93:8,11,19,23;94:1,2,3, 5;97:12;98:22;99:3; gentleman (1) 103:16,19,23;104:9,10, 51:10 gentlemen (1) 13,19;105:3,15,15,17, 20,21,22,24;106:14,14; 115:12 107:2;109:19;110:11; genuinely (1) 111:4,8,11,19,25; 115:12 George's (2) 112:2,4;114:9;115:7; 117:15,18,24;118:6,20, 111:17;112:8 22;119:5;121:4,10,17; Georgia (7) 65:10;70:22;79:18; 130:23;131:3,4,8,12, 81:11;92:19;93:20,21 17,25;132:3,5,25; 133:1,2,8,11;134:2; germane (1) 42:5 139:14,20;140:18; 142:3,12,14,15;143:3; gets (11) 153:23;154:12,12,15, 99:2;116:22;117:15; 17.23;157:4,9,22; 126:19:166:19:170:19: 169:24.25:170:15: 174:24;176:5;186:25, 179:11;180:22;181:20; 25:187:3 **GHG** (1) 192:25;193:5,9,15; 195:21,22,23;196:5; 84:13 198:10;204:1,3;227:4; giant (1) 232:4,5,16,24;233:23; 93:25 237:15,18;239:13; given (17) 243:18;244:12;245:7; 14:19;44:24;53:12; 251:25;256:7,9,13,16; 75:17:84:23:86:18: 272:1:274:14.23: 142:19;163:23;178:16; 276:5,8,14;285:17,17; 204:5,8;226:23;237:5; 286:2,4

gives (5) 120:6:145:23: 157:13;237:14;281:11 giving (3) 216:11;224:10; 286:18 Glen (6) 178:9:179:12; 180:15,16,24;194:22 global (3) 84:17;155:19;229:11 goal (5) 79:24;83:19;164:5; 264:14;265:6 goals (4) 80:8;81:20;84:7; 85:6 Goecke (60) 7:4,4,6;12:2;13:13; 14:7;33:21;35:21;39:8, 10;41:3,19,21;43:20; 44:1;48:8,12;98:4,16, 18,19;129:8,9,10,16, 23;131:19;132:22; 134:22;136:7;137:23; 138:9,18;139:10,23,25; 140:4,5,23;142:24,25; 145:5,20;146:11,22; 148:24;149:6;217:21; 236:21;277:12;288:1, 6,7,9;289:11;292:1,3; 295:9;297:10;298:16 goes (17) 14:25;31:14;86:23; 106:21;123:9;173:8; 174:7;221:3,12;248:9; 263:3;272:9;274:11; 275:2;278:17;279:24, 25 G-O-F (1) 78:23 Goffman (16) 8:12;77:13,14,15; 78:4,11,13,16,19,19, 23;85:13;88:24;90:16; 112:19;113:1 Goffman's (1) 110:15 Golan (1) 271:13 Golan's (1) 271:13 Good (29) 6:24;7:4,12,15,22; 17:1,2;20:11;21:17; 29:19;71:2,3;76:12; 78:17;87:2;89:5; 103:14;105:9;109:3; 148:24;169:3;171:1; 179:19;186:8;217:14; 225:9;265:9,11;284:7 good-faith (1) 248:2;284:6,14;295:24 290:9

good-for-the-gander (1) 192:21 Goodwrench (2) 19:21:21:20 Goodwrench-type (1) 19:14 Google (10) 32:12;61:15,16,16, 17;154:21;191:19; 196:8,12,23 Googled (2) 37:16:65:24 googling (1) 36:14 government (3) 57:18;79:20;255:24 gradation (1) 215:15 grandchildren (1) 297:15 grant (2) 245:3;255:17 granted (6) 27:11;87:8;114:9; 119:25;167:10;247:11 granting (1) 10:11 graph (6) 289:3,5,9,19;290:16; 292:15 graphic (4) 228:12,15;280:7; 282:2 graphics (2) 279:15:282:4 graphs (1) 290:19 graph's (2) 197:22;198:2 **Great (22)** 20:22;21:10;62:14; 63:9:67:18:68:19:77:3. 6;92:14;105:7;121:14; 125:9;162:23,24; 163:14;169:17;176:19; 187:9;205:2;220:16; 226:20;261:7 greatly (1) 147:24 green (4) 74:11;79:25;80:15; 283:17 greenhouse (5) 83:18,20;84:1,2,24 **GROSSMAN (800)** 6:2,19;7:3,6,11,14, 15,17,19,21,22,25;8:3, 14,20,22,23;9:8,13,15, 17,24;10:23,24;11:11, 13,19,25;12:15;13:21; 15:9,11,20,22;16:2,7, 10,13,20,23;17:2,6,9, 12;19:15;20:5,8,14,17,

gallon (1)

19,21,25;21:2,8,13,15,	162:9,19;165:1,4,6,20,	25;279:3,6,10,12,25;	20:5	94:25;95:4;96:11;
23;27:15,18,21;29:21;	23;166:2,15;167:5,9,	280:2,6,9,11,20;281:5,	half (12)	97:23;98:3,10,20;
30:4,7,10,20,22,25;	12,15,20,25;172:2,21;	13,16,18;282:13,17,19,	37:10;50:24;53:21;	102:17;103:4,12;
31:2,5,8,22;32:5,18,21;	173:1,13,17,20;174:16,	23;283:14,22;284:2,	63:17,18;124:11;	104:7,8;106:13;
33:2,11,21,23;34:11,	19;175:9,13,16,19,20;	24;285:6,9,13,19;	139:17,17;174:1;	107:23;108:20;109:16,
18,21,24;35:2,5,25;	176:1,8,11,13,16,22;	286:1,6,9,13;287:4,19,	189:17;194:20;202:3	21;110:1,7,9,23,25;
		23;288:2,5;289:4;	half-dozen (1)	
36:3,8,25;37:3,6,9,13,	177:1,5,11,15,18,21;			111:12,15;112:17;
17,24;38:6,7,13,17,20;	178:6,11,16,20,24;	292:2,4;293:13;295:8,	26:11	113:6;129:7;140:3,20;
39:2,5,11,12,19,23;	179:6,10;182:4,7;	10,15,18,25;296:5,9,	halfway (1)	141:1,2;149:3;158:6,8;
40:3,14,21;41:1,4;	183:17,24;184:5,8,12,	12;297:6,14,20,24;	257:12	168:16;175:20;177:22,
42:8;43:4,6,10,15,20,	16,21;186:5,8;190:7,	298:2,10,13,14,17	Halloween (1)	24;178:4,7,12,19,23;
23;44:2,4,7,12;45:7,16,	10,15,21;191:6,21;	grounds (1)	297:19	179:3,19,20;182:12;
22,24;46:2,6,20,25;		141:9	hand (4)	183:21;184:4,6,9,15,
	192:11,18;193:2,7,14,			
47:3,6,8,13,21,23;48:1,	17,20,25;194:8,18;	groundwater (1)	34:8;78:25;113:22;	20,23,24;186:14;
4,10,14,16,23;49:5,9,	195:17,22,25;198:12,	271:24	205:17	190:8;191:7,24,25;
11,15,20;50:3,6,9,13,	17,19,23;199:6,9,12;	Group (11)	handed (5)	193:1,6,10,16,18,21;
16;52:4,10,16,18;53:2,	201:15,24;204:15,17,	34:25;36:12,12;	34:12;38:21,21;	195:18,23;196:6;
10,14,16,19,23,25;	19,25;205:3,6,8,11,16,	41:15;63:15;90:23;	277:12;298:14	199:13;201:13;204:18;
57:2,24;58:3,13,16,19;	19,22;206:1,4,6,12,17,	91:1;107:19;120:2;	handicap (1)	210:8;218:23;252:10,
59:20,22,25;60:3,6;	21;207:2,7,16,19,22,	124:4;127:11	135:18	13;259:15,25;260:4,10,
62:5,8,11,16,19;65:18;	25;208:12,15,17,20;	grouping (1)	handle (5)	17,21;261:1,4;296:15,
66:24;67:5,10,15,19;	209:17;211:19;212:19,	263:7	9:20;71:13;290:20,	20,25;297:5,12,23
68:2,4,11,15;69:2,11;	23;213:23;214:12,17,	groups (2)	21;297:25	hazardous (3)
70:6,13;72:12,16,18,	21,25;215:3,9,12,16,	41:8;42:18	handles (1)	29:15;72:10;189:11
22,25;73:11,14;75:14,	19,21;216:11;217:21,	growth (11)	10:13	hazards (1)
	24;218:4,7,10,14;			29:14
19;76:4,5,7,24;77:2,7,		79:23;80:8;81:5,12;	handy (1)	
10,12,16;78:3,7,11,14,	219:1;220:4,9,13;	85:6,10;94:12;101:1,2,	150:25	head (1)
17,21,24;79:2,5,9,12;	221:5,12,15;223:3,7,	13;107:8	hang (2)	65:18
85:13,17,21;87:4,18;	12,14,18;224:3,13;	guarantee (3)	119:23;283:16	headed (1)
88:9,12,15,20,22;	225:5,8,17,19;226:7;	151:14;163:8;249:9	happen (14)	201:2
90:16,20,24;91:3,6;	227:19;228:1,8,11,25;	Guatemala (1)	9:18;50:20;149:16;	heading (2)
95:2;96:9;97:20,22,24;	229:4,7,14,23,25;	49:25	152:22;162:2,4;	160:8;263:16
98:14,17,19;102:12;	230:6,12,16,18,20,24;	Guckert (8)	170:18;181:25;182:8,	heads (2)
103:2,8,11;104:2,4;	231:2,6,9,13,18;	138:20;152:13;	16;192:3;215:3;274:7;	242:2,8
106:6;107:20;108:6,9,	232:21;234:18;235:2,	156:18;162:1;165:9;	287:4	health (22)
15,18;109:10,20,23;	5,9,18;236:1,4,10,13,	187:15,18;191:8	happened (3)	12:25;25:5;27:14;
110:6,14,19,24;111:13;	15,19,23;237:6,11,19,	Guckert's (6)	65:9;200:18,22	82:17;83:7,17;85:3;
112:18,24;113:1,5,8,	22;238:1,7,12,15,20,	139:11;141:21,23;	happening (4)	
			nappunng (+)	
	25.220.6 0 11.240.1 4	142.20.150.15.162.12	16.18.22.22.25.15.	119:8;121:2,5,6,10;
13,16,19,21,24;114:3,	25;239:6,9,11;240:1,4,	142:20;150:15;163:12	16:18;22:23;25:15;	128:15;129:15;136:24;
19,22;115:2,4;121:22,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15,	guess (21)	247:7	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25;
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19;	247:7 happens (11)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1)
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19;	247:7 happens (11)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24;	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9)
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17; 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7;
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17; 131:11;132:7,9,12,15, 19;134:5,7,12,15;	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2;
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17; 131:11;132:7,9,12,15, 19;134:5,7,12,15; 135:13,22;136:4;	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14;	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17; 131:11;132:7,9,12,15, 19;134:5,7,12,15; 135:13,22;136:4; 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14; 254:2,11,16;255:1,4,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1)	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8)
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17; 131:11;132:7,9,12,15, 19;134:5,7,12,15; 135:13,22;136:4;	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14; 254:2,11,16;255:1,4, 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14;
19,22;115:2,4;121:22, 25;122:7,11,24;123:4, 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3, 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20; 126:1;129:5,9,14,17; 131:11;132:7,9,12,15, 19;134:5,7,12,15; 135:13,22;136:4; 137:13,15,24;138:2,5, 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14; 254:2,11,16;255:1,4, 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14;
$19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\19;134:5,7,12,15;\\135:13,22;136:4;\\137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\25;141:6,10,14,16;\\$	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14; 254:2,11,16;255:1,4, 23;256:11,20;257:5,16, 21;258:4,9,11,22;	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9)	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16;
$19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\19;134:5,7,12,15;\\135:13,22;136:4;\\137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\25;141:6,10,14,16;\\142:4,8,17;144:23;\\$	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14; 254:2,11,16;255:1,4, 23;256:11,20;257:5,16, 21;258:4,9,11,22; 259:4,6,11,13,18,21,	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3
$19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\19;134:5,7,12,15;\\135:13,22;136:4;\\137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\25;141:6,10,14,16;\\142:4,8,17;144:23;\\145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\$	18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15, 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23; 243:5,11,25;244:6,10, 14,21;245:11;246:6,11, 15,23;247:13,19,24; 248:2,6,11,23;249:18, 22;250:8,11,24;251:4, 10;252:5,25;253:8,14; 254:2,11,16;255:1,4, 23;256:11,20;257:5,16, 21;258:4,9,11,22; 259:4,6,11,13,18,21, 23;260:9,12,15,23,25;	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4;	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32)
$19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\19;134:5,7,12,15;\\135:13,22;136:4;\\137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\25;141:6,10,14,16;\\142:4,8,17;144:23;\\145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15,\\ 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;\\ 243:5,11,25;244:6,10,\\ 14,21;245:11;246:6,11,\\ 15,23;247:13,19,24;\\ 248:2,6,11,23;249:18,\\ 22;250:8,11,24;251:4,\\ 10;252:5,25;253:8,14;\\ 254:2,11,16;255:1,4,\\ 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,\\ 21;258:4,9,11,22;\\ 259:4,6,11,13,18,21,\\ 23;260:9,12,15,23,25;\\ 261:2,14,18,23;262:1,\\ \end{array}$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19;
$\begin{array}{c} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15,\\ 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;\\ 243:5,11,25;244:6,10,\\ 14,21;245:11;246:6,11,\\ 15,23;247:13,19,24;\\ 248:2,6,11,23;249:18,\\ 22;250:8,11,24;251:4,\\ 10;252:5,25;253:8,14;\\ 254:2,11,16;255:1,4,\\ 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,\\ 21;258:4,9,11,22;\\ 259:4,6,11,13,18,21,\\ 23;260:9,12,15,23,25;\\ 261:2,14,18,23;262:1,\\ 5,8,12;263:1,8;264:2,7,\\ \end{array}$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1)	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2;
$\begin{array}{c} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15,\\ 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;\\ 243:5,11,25;244:6,10,\\ 14,21;245:11;246:6,11,\\ 15,23;247:13,19,24;\\ 248:2,6,11,23;249:18,\\ 22;250:8,11,24;251:4,\\ 10;252:5,25;253:8,14;\\ 254:2,11,16;255:1,4,\\ 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,\\ 21;258:4,9,11,22;\\ 259:4,6,11,13,18,21,\\ 23;260:9,12,15,23,25;\\ 261:2,14,18,23;262:1,\\ 5,8,12;263:1,8;264:2,7,\\ 17;265:1,11,14,17,20;\\ \end{array}$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23;
$\begin{array}{c} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2)	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4;
$19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\19;134:5,7,12,15;\\135:13,22;136:4;\\137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\25;141:6,10,14,16;\\142:4,8,17;144:23;\\145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\$	$\begin{array}{l} 18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15,\\ 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;\\ 243:5,11,25;244:6,10,\\ 14,21;245:11;246:6,11,\\ 15,23;247:13,19,24;\\ 248:2,6,11,23;249:18,\\ 22;250:8,11,24;251:4,\\ 10;252:5,25;253:8,14;\\ 254:2,11,16;255:1,4,\\ 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,\\ 21;258:4,9,11,22;\\ 259:4,6,11,13,18,21,\\ 23;260:9,12,15,23,25;\\ 261:2,14,18,23;262:1,\\ 5,8,12;263:1,8;264:2,7,\\ 17;265:1,11,14,17,20;\\ \end{array}$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23;
$\begin{array}{c} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ 8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121)	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4; 138:15;158:11;162:18;
$19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\19;134:5,7,12,15;\\135:13,22;136:4;\\137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\25;141:6,10,14,16;\\142:4,8,17;144:23;\\145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\152:10,14,16,20,24;\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,21;241:2,4,8,12,15,\\ 19,22;242:4,6,9,18,23;\\ 243:5,11,25;244:6,10,\\ 14,21;245:11;246:6,11,\\ 15,23;247:13,19,24;\\ 248:2,6,11,23;249:18,\\ 22;250:8,11,24;251:4,\\ 10;252:5,25;253:8,14;\\ 254:2,11,16;255:1,4,\\ 23;256:11,20;257:5,16,\\ 21;258:4,9,11,22;\\ 259:4,6,11,13,18,21,\\ 23;260:9,12,15,23,25;\\ 261:2,14,18,23;262:1,\\ 5,8,12;263:1,8;264:2,7,\\ 17;265:1,11,14,17,20;\\ 266:2,12,15,20,23,25;\\ 267:3,13;268:1,4,11,\\ 16;269:6,11,13,19,24;\\ \end{array}$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15 Gurtek's (1)	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121) 6:24,24;11:15;39:7,	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4; 138:15;158:11;162:18; 207:24;217:23;232:6,
$\begin{array}{l} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ 8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\ 152:10,14,16,20,24;\\ 153:4;155:3,7,18,22;\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121) 6:24,24;11:15;39:7, 11,13,20;40:4,8;41:14;	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4; 138:15;158:11;162:18; 207:24;217:23;232:6, 9,11;252:6,9,11,20,23;
$\begin{array}{l} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ 8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\ 152:10,14,16,20,24;\\ 153:4;155:3,7,18,22;\\ 156:1,4,9,12,16;157:5,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15 Gurtek's (1) 219:7	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121) 6:24,24;11:15;39:7, 11,13,20;40:4,8;41:14; 43:22,25;47:25;48:3,7,	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4; 138:15;158:11;162:18; 207:24;217:23;232:6, 9,11;252:6,9,11,20,23; 255:15;296:3;298:19
$\begin{array}{l} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ 8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\ 152:10,14,16,20,24;\\ 153:4;155:3,7,18,22;\\ 156:1,4,9,12,16;157:5,\\ 23;158:2,10,20;159:1,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15 Gurtek's (1)	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121) 6:24,24;11:15;39:7, 11,13,20;40:4,8;41:14; 43:22,25;47:25;48:3,7, 13;53:7,8,11;58:10,15,	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4; 138:15;158:11;162:18; 207:24;217:23;232:6, 9,11;252:6,9,11,20,23; 255:15;296:3;298:19 hearings (5)
$\begin{array}{l} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ 8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\ 152:10,14,16,20,24;\\ 153:4;155:3,7,18,22;\\ 156:1,4,9,12,16;157:5,\\ 23;158:2,10,20;159:1,\\ 5,8,11,18;160:8,11,15,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15 Gurtek's (1) 219:7 H	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121) 6:24,24;11:15;39:7, 11,13,20;40:4,8;41:14; 43:22,25;47:25;48:3,7, 13;53:7,8,11;58:10,15, 18;75:17,20;77:8,11;	$\begin{array}{c} 128:15;129:15;136:24;\\ 284:8,10,15,17,23,25;\\ 285:25\\ \mbox{heat}(9)\\ 9:25;14:23;26:7;\\ 53:3;76:10;141:2;\\ 272:16,16;284:25\\ \mbox{hear}(8)\\ 33:3;99:14;138:14;\\ 141:3;196:3;223:16;\\ 245:5;285:3\\ \mbox{hearing}(32)\\ 6:3,12,16,18,19;\\ 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2;\\ 20:11;32:6;34:9;23;\\ 71:5;88:1;128:4;\\ 138:15;158:11;162:18;\\ 207:24;217:23;232:6,\\ 9,11;252:6,9,11,20,23;\\ 255:15;296:3;298:19\\ \mbox{hearing}(5)\\ 214:2;222:9;252:16,\\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} 19,22;115:2,4;121:22,\\ 25;122:7,11,24;123:4,\\ 8,12,15,17,23;124:1,3,\\ 6,22,25;125:4,7,10,20;\\ 126:1;129:5,9,14,17;\\ 131:11;132:7,9,12,15,\\ 19;134:5,7,12,15;\\ 135:13,22;136:4;\\ 137:13,15,24;138:2,5,\\ 8,25;139:6,22;140:12,\\ 25;141:6,10,14,16;\\ 142:4,8,17;144:23;\\ 145:2,11,16,22;146:4,\\ 13,15,18,23;147:1,7,\\ 13,15,17,21,24;148:7,\\ 10,13,17,20,25;149:4,\\ 9,12,16,19,23;150:1,4,\\ 8,11;151:1,4,22,25;\\ 152:10,14,16,20,24;\\ 153:4;155:3,7,18,22;\\ 156:1,4,9,12,16;157:5,\\ 23;158:2,10,20;159:1,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	guess (21) 12:2,20;52:19; 156:14;174:22;184:12; 195:15;204:20;206:12; 210:1,8;223:5;225:15; 232:23;240:5,11,12; 249:5;261:25;291:1; 298:6 guidance (1) 71:15 guidelines (9) 11:2;13:8;46:16; 51:23;110:17;122:4; 133:22;136:9;137:8 Guignet (1) 35:3 Gurtek (2) 207:22;231:15 Gurtek's (1) 219:7	247:7 happens (11) 59:18;88:16;118:23; 152:18;171:24;181:25; 182:15;185:6;249:3; 253:14;272:6 happy (7) 12:9;16:14;42:24; 148:5;166:5;296:21,24 hard (7) 15:13;188:5;222:21; 234:13;294:2;295:24; 296:18 harder (2) 24:24;222:20 harm (3) 29:7;83:6;105:21 HARRIS (121) 6:24,24;11:15;39:7, 11,13,20;40:4,8;41:14; 43:22,25;47:25;48:3,7, 13;53:7,8,11;58:10,15,	128:15;129:15;136:24; 284:8,10,15,17,23,25; 285:25 healthy (1) 29:20 hear (9) 9:25;14:23;26:7; 53:3;76:10;141:2; 272:16,16;284:25 heard (8) 33:3;99:14;138:14; 141:3;196:3;223:16; 245:5;285:3 hearing (32) 6:3,12,16,18,19; 10:4;11:17;12:6;16:2; 20:11;32:6;34:9,23; 71:5;88:1;128:4; 138:15;158:11;162:18; 207:24;217:23;232:6, 9,11;252:6,9,11,20,23; 255:15;296:3;298:19 hearings (5)

TEIIION OF COSICO	WHOLESALE
hearsay (4) 144:22,24;147:2,18	7;140:25;145: 146:4;259:24;2
heavily (1)	hole (1)
275:6 heavy (1)	266:5 holes (1)
261:10	265:22
Hecht's (1)	holiday (9)
203:8	163:11;169:16
Heights (7) 7:8,10,24;10:25;	171:7;189:22; 202:23;203:1,
88:17;122:11;287:20	holidays (2)
held (3)	203:23;260:7
247:22,24,25 Hello (1)	home (71) 8:10;23:18;24
78:13	24;25:2,16;26:
help (12)	28:2,7,11,13,2
60:7;69:8;173:25;	17,22;30:1,1;3
189:24;219:24,24; 220:2;222:16,19;	32:16;33:4;36 24;37:15;42:1
243:2;254:6;296:4	21;45:12;46:18
helpful (5)	54:22,22;56:2
55:10;56:7;147:25;	23;59:11;60:1
243:9;297:1	16;63:16,23,24
helping (1) 116:17	64:4,6,17;65:2 13,14,23,24;60
helter-skelter (2)	67:3,21,22;68:
187:4,5	75:8;116:7;13
Here's (2)	221:3,4;229:15
48:15;103:1 hesitant (1)	homeowner (1) 33:5
14:22	homeowners (1)
hey (3)	36:11
36:16;51:22;57:10 Hi (1)	homes (13) 22:1;28:15;29
77:15	36:18;41:11;54
high (7)	14;58:9;69:6;1
71:21;93:18;119:17;	176:21;198:13
122:15;172:18;190:22; 191:2	hone (1) 218:4
high-density (2)	honestly (5)
79:23;95:17	51:14;54:11;5
higher (7)	127:21;148:4
82:25;83:1;100:6; 204:2,2,6,9	hook (1) 207:9
highlight (1)	hope (6)
119:21	70:9;80:16;20
highway (3)	213:20;217:1;
23:12;64:16;160:4 himself (1)	hopefully (3) 50:11;59:2;14
293:22	hopeless (1)
hired (1)	206:10
258:1	horizontal (1)
Hispanic (1)	203.1
Hispanic (1) 94:14	293:4 horn (1)
94:14 history (4)	293:4 horn (1) 214:4
94:14 history (4) 82:16;208:6;242:15;	horn (1) 214:4 Horners (1)
94:14 history (4) 82:16;208:6;242:15; 274:22	horn (1) 214:4 Horners (1) 78:20
94:14 history (4) 82:16;208:6;242:15; 274:22 hit (4)	horn (1) 214:4 Horners (1) 78:20 horns (2)
94:14 history (4) 82:16;208:6;242:15; 274:22 hit (4) 61:1,3,8,12 Hobson (1)	horn (1) 214:4 Horners (1) 78:20 horns (2) 170:3,18 hospital (3)
94:14 history (4) 82:16;208:6;242:15; 274:22 hit (4) 61:1,3,8,12 Hobson (1) 212:1	horn (1) 214:4 Horners (1) 78:20 horns (2) 170:3,18 hospital (3) 116:4;121:7;12
94:14 history (4) 82:16;208:6;242:15; 274:22 hit (4) 61:1,3,8,12 Hobson (1)	horn (1) 214:4 Horners (1) 78:20 horns (2) 170:3,18 hospital (3)

140:25;145:11;	host (4)
6:4;259:24;279:6,10	24:22;57:12;65:3;
(1)	148:5
6:5	hot (1)
s (1)	78:12
5:22	hotel (1)
lay (9)	95:17
3:11;169:16;	hour (10)
1:7;189:22;201:23;	20:12;53:22;124:11;
2:23;203:1,17,24	139:22,23;140:2,12;
lays (2)	143:4;184:11;185:24
3:23;260:7	hours (18)
e (71)	18:3,4,14;82:8;
10;23:18;24:9,11,	83:23;140:2,3,6,9,13;
;25:2,16;26:3;27:24;	141:13;166:20;190:1,
:2,7,11,13,25;29:9,	11;191:12,14;194:5;
,22;30:1,1;31:10;	201:21
:16;33:4;36:15,22,	house (23)
;37:15;42:10,12,13,	17:19;22:16,22,24;
;45:12;46:18;51:3,8;	23:21;25:7;31:4;55:14,
:22,22;56:21;57:15,	14,23;57:7;59:9;64:25;
;59:11;60:10;61:4,	76:14,20;104:20;
;63:16,23,24,25;	198:13;202:10,12;
:4,6,17;65:2,5,9,12,	211:25;228:19;231:16,
,14,23,24;66:14;	21
:3,21,22;68:24;73:8;	houses (7)
:8;116:7;136:21;	55:1;57:8;60:17;
1:3,4;229:15;275:17	79:8;92:9;198:14;
eowner (1)	230:3
:5	housing (3)
eowners (1)	41:9;46:15;65:3
:11	hub (2)
es (13)	79:15,22
:1;28:15;29:13;	HUD (2)
:18;41:11;54:8,13,	56:16;71:16
;58:9;69:6;170:17;	huge (7)
6:21;198:13	24:5;54:18;64:15;
(1)	92:7;97:12;98:22;99:5
8:4	human (5)
stly (5)	183:11,18;184:3;
:14;54:11;55:10;	
	232:15;250:5
7:21;148:4	humor (1)
(1)	297:23
7:9	hundred (5)
e (6)	30:4,17;83:14;
:9;80:16;209:18;	176:24;198:3
3:20;217:1;264:11	Hurlocker (2)
fully (3)	164:5;185:20
:11;59:2;144:9	hurricanes (2)
less (1)	84:21;293:11
6:10	Hygiene (1)
zontal (1)	82:17
3:4	hyperlocal (2)
(1)	66:12;69:8
4:4	hypothesis (1)
ners (1)	216:21
:20	hypothetical (6)
s (2)	60:2;140:23;141:12,
0:3,18	15,16,20
ital (3)	hypotheticals (1)
6:4;121:7;136:21	141:18
italization (1)	171.10
8:24	
0.24	
	· 1

Ι idea (7) 47:10;107:12; 130:11;192:6,12; 198:12;199:5 idealized (2) 169:9;187:24 identical (1) 179:23 identification (5) 38:5;46:11;47:18; 48:20;179:18 identified (5) 35:13;70:16;115:21; 149:22:155:7 identify (3) 6:22:38:1:175:5 idle (1) 167:3 idling (18) 19:23;20:2;24:16; 26:14:70:25:82:8; 83:17,23;84:8,14;85:4; 97:2;169:5,11;170:16, 23:171:19:177:8 ignore (1) 279:17 ignoring (1) 262:13 illuminated (1) 22:9 illustrate (4) 60:4,7;197:1,5 illustrates (1) 150:19 image (2) 95:19;196:11 imagine (1) 256:23 imagining (1) 192:3 immediate (2) 212:17;242:15 immediately (1) 156:24 immense (1) 283:2 immovable (1) 157:11 impact (49) 21:21;23:18;24:9,10, 15;26:3;32:16;34:15; 36:3.8:42:12:45:11; 55:13:56:8:58:8:70:8; 83:11;84:24;112:6; 118:13:119:10,12; 120:22:121:16:135:6: 144:1;184:11;209:8; 210:4;212:6,18;213:3; 222:10,11;226:23; 230:25;233:17;256:22;

265:23;266:9;271:18, 19,22;272:1;284:8,10, 15,17,23 impacted (3) 115:17;117:17;118:3 impacts (10) 13:11;25:7;26:2,12; 33:5;70:9;170:9,25; 243:19;257:18 impair (1) 28:24 impervious (1) 100:19 impinged (1) 154:15 implications (3) 286:19;287:3,12 imply (1) 246:18 imponderables (1) 287:6 important (23) 42:10;48:2;81:4,9; 88:25;119:16;172:25; 173:10,21;174:7,8,11; 177:7,9;212:14; 243:21;250:4;280:23; 284:17,18,18,21; 294:12 importantly (3) 51:8:52:2:54:18 impose (2) 265:3:275:16 imposition (1) 143:5 impossible (2) 245:14;293:2 impressed (1) 208:1 impression (3) 52:6;233:13;278:22 improve (1) 87:1 improved (2) 57:20;262:17 improving (1) 57:19 inaccurate (2) 141:9;288:17 inappropriate (2) 9:3:130:24 inappropriately (1) 281:1 incentive (3) 81:25;167:5,10 inch (1) 198:14 inches (1) 198:15 inch-thick-long (1) 39:18 incident (1) 65:16

inclined (1) 14:11 include (5) 6:7;19:12;22:13; 87:23;253:4 included (3) 71:23;73:18;109:1 includes (5) 72:8;73:23;91:9; 116:2;136:24 including (8) 42:17;79:19;82:12; 85:4;92:18;163:5; 182:20:191:14 inconsistency (2) 108:12;257:3 inconsistent (3) 105:16;195:1;256:16 inconvenience (3) 92:15;222:17;273:20 incorrect (5) 252:4;253:1;257:20, 22:271:8 incorrectly (4) 240:9,10,14;277:10 increase (5) 81:2;235:11,12; 236:9:237:8 increased (3) 119:11:235:11.16 increasing (3) 81:19:82:9:173:8 increasingly (1) 80:22 increment (4) 172:22;213:4,4,5 incrementally (1) 262:18 Indeed (1) 81:17 indefinite (1) 93:17 independent (2) 85:15,16 indicate (5) 185:1;212:7;225:25; 269:7;293:25 indicated (5) 19:20;64:2;77:17; 241:22;293:8 indicates (4) 69:3;73:20;82:3; 194:4 individual (7) 114:2,15;144:15; 145:14,15;178:21; 285:3 individuals (3) 93:8;285:15,23 individual's (1) 67:7 Indulgence (1) 292:1

Industrial (1) 63:14 industrial/commercial (1) 73:24 industry (2) 52:3;57:18 inevitable (2) 81:21:210:21 inevitably (1) 24:8inexpensively (1) 285:18 infamous (1) 200:4 influence (2) 36:19;182:22 influences (4) 71:19;72:5,7;73:22 influential (1) 83:3 info (2) 45:14:69:7 information (19) 11:7,10;34:3;51:6; 61:11;68:21;95:25; 145:18;146:10;147:4; 216:4;218:15;225:11; 232:1;238:24;288:11, 15;290:2,3 infrastructure (1) 80:25 inherent (11) 68:13:118:5.8:133:8; 169:20:217:17:232:12. 13,20;248:4;263:2 inherently (2) 232:7;262:23 inimitable (1) 204:21 initial (1) 249:5 initiated (1) 115:16 inputs (2) 171:2,3 inside (5) 19:22;123:25;130:5, 7,14 insist (1) 246:18 inspected (1) 46:19 Inspection (2) 47:15;71:6 inspector (1) 249:2 installing (1) 42:14 instance (4) 162:15:191:13; 192:8;200:12 instances (3) 112:7;180:12;274:15

instead (1) 120:17 institute (2) 37:19:38:11 institution (1) 135:20 institutions (2) 135:16:189:13 instructed (2) 46:17:56:13 instruction (1) 73:20 instrument (1) 41:24 insufficient (2) 12:25;51:10 intelligent (2) 14:2;35:8 intend (4) 208:6,14;211:14; 213:11 intended (6) 44:20;96:2,5;289:15, 16.17 intending (1) 294:23 intensity (2) 21:21;22:10 intensive (1) 170:24 intentional (2) 231:24.25 intentionally (2) 231:23:283:4 interaction (1) 258:16 interest (3) 185:6:186:20:254:16 interested (6) 76:14,16:259:1: 276:2:281:7:295:4 interesting (4) 69:20;72:6;273:11; 282:13 interfering (3) 151:9,10;164:2 **Intergovernmental (1)** 84:16 intermittent (1) 190:17 internal (3) 56:11.17:199:23 international (1) 84:17 internet (1) 43:12 interpret (1) 231:10 interpretation (3) 289:21,24;293:7 interpreted (2) 293:9,10 interrelated (1)

287:13 interrupt (2) 21:9:29:21 Intersection (2) 200:4;212:1 intervention (1) 115:20 Interview (1) 35:7 interwoven (1) 211:13 into (77) 12:16;13:5;17:22; 22:2;29:16;39:17;41:7; 56:1,4;65:4;68:20; 70:12;74:16,17;78:12; 94:1;100:22;106:21; 120:3;135:10;136:1, 10;137:9,20;140:15, 16;141:24;142:1,7,15, 18;146:24;151:8,18; 152:6.13:153:17.21: 154:24;158:15;160:7; 161:15,21;164:22; 169:5;171:21,25; 172:16;174:13;175:23; 185:25;187:3;189:23; 200:1,5,14;201:9; 202:11,12;209:6; 213:9;216:16;222:2; 223:4;225:9;238:18; 239:20:244:3:247:14: 248:21;261:5;269:14; 272:8:286:19.24: 290:18:295:23 intricately (1) 211:13 introduce (6) 9:3;45:23;58:7; 153:8:239:11.12 introduced (8) 61:19,20;62:1,2; 192:19;207:14,17; 272:11 introduces (1) 245:9 introducing (5) 29:15;226:10,22; 263:6;266:16 introductory (1) 115:11 investigate (1) 167:1 investigated (1) 119:12 investigations (1) 294:7 investigators (1) 166:18 invite (1) 121:13 involve (1) 147:2

involved (3) 144:18:145:4.7 involves (2) 272:2:273:2 irrelevant (3) 217:22;243:8;295:3 island (2) 161:9,9 islands (3) 156:9,12,14 isolation (1) 287:14 issuance (2) 10:7,8 issue (53) 58:2,19;82:23; 108:24;136:24;147:2; 173:21;184:22;190:6; 191:4,5;196:22; 213:22;222:10;229:14; 231:22;232:1;251:9; 254:12,14,18;255:18, 20;259:1;261:12,15; 262:10;263:3,8,9,9,10, 10,19,21;264:20; 266:18,18;267:12; 268:7;269:11;273:16; 274:11;275:2,7;284:8, 18,18,19,21;286:20; 289:3:294:9 issued (6) 10:3.5.18:249:4.4.8 issues (22) 8:19;11:8;12:17; 121:6:163:15,18; 176:18;183:13;194:9; 196:17;218:11;225:12, 14;242:21;243:14; 261:8;263:18;264:22; 286:11.13,14,15 item (2) 35:13;46:2 items (1) 35:12 iterations (1) 251:17 J jacket (1) 264:5 James (1) 17:4

January (3)

222:1,20

Jim (1)

8:1

job (9)

jobs (1)

89:13

47:19,23;196:2

52:8;185:18,20,21;

219:23;220:2,17;

Johannesburg (3)
49:25;50:25;77:4
join (1)
10:25
joke (1)
297:21
joys (2)
119:25;121:15
judge (1)
246:12
judged (1)
121:4
July (2)
171:5;285:3
jump (1)
56:1
jumped (1)
196:19
junction (1)
81:8
juncture (1)
44:25
justice (1)
82:23
justifies (1)
233:6
K
IX
Karen (2)
7:7;175:4
keep (10)
77:23,25;100:17;
118:3;138:23;139:3;
165:15;170:6;189:19;
213:11
keeping (1)
165:18
Kensington (16) 7:7,10,18,20,24;
/:/,10,18,20,24;
10:25;17:5;85:18;
88:17;113:12,20;

205:14;287:19

kept (1)

key (1)

kids (9)

killing (1)

kind (44)

211:22

290:1

19:11

KHCA (2)

206:2;276:2

28:20;115:5;119:22,

24;120:23;125:6; 136:19;144:9;148:6

17:2;23:11;31:15;

36:23;45:16;62:20; 67:19;69:22,22;72:11;

78:12;81:4;87:2;90:1;

136:22;139:2,3;144:7;

94:14;102:11;127:6;

147:22;153:14;154:13; 155:12.15:156:6: 164:1;168:20,25; 169:7,20;180:4;190:2; 191:3;192:12;195:1; 201:5;203:3;210:2; 232:12;255:25;273:9; 287:3 kindergarten (1) 117:5 kinds (6) 25:10:89:4,16; 164:13;165:17;171:8 kitchen (1) 42:14 knew (3) 23:23;111:6;234:19 Knolls (10) 114:11;117:25; 121:5,14,17;135:1,11; 137:1,9:143:6 knowing (2) 15:18;18:13 knowledge (8) 15:1;18:25;109:14; 110:20;119:13;145:6; 266:4;291:4 knowledgeable (1) 270:12 known (3) 6:10:114:12:233:3 knows (4) 51:4:65:3:129:18: 286:11 KVCA (1) 206:3 L labeled (5) 228:14,16,22; 231:11:267:6 121:22;122:11;177:16; labeling (1) 231:22 lacking (2) 25:25;51:14 laid (1)183:12 Land (26) 32:1:38:11:121:6; 209:8:210:6.9:221:23: 225:22;226:18;227:13, 15,17,21,22;228:4,4,5; 233:10;236:18;240:18, 22;257:13;259:9; 286:11,13,14 landfills (1) 73:24 landscape (1) 266:3 landscaping (6) 264:22;265:8;266:3; 269:17,20;270:10

Lane (13) 78:20;158:21,25; 159:17;160:1.6,14; 161:4,18,22;193:23; 195:13:201:11 lanes (11) 155:14;157:7,8; 158:18,23;159:12; 160:2,6,19;184:7; 201:7 language (8) 24:13;44:21;75:7; 94:23;209:1;215:13; 225:25;228:2 large (22) 23:11;26:8;29:3,15; 35:19;38:21;40:17,21; 54:18;82:3,7,21,22; 97:9;100:19;154:17; 194:6;213:20;229:13; 235:12;280:24;287:16 largely (1) 80:11 larger (5) 61:1;96:22,25; 101:15;133:3 largest (2) 24:3;28:16 Larry (1) 7:12 last (17) 8:6:12:6:14:1:48:21: 57:20;65:15;78:21; 119:1;127:25,25; 138:22;155:8;216:1; 234:6;285:2;287:8; 298:15 lastly (1) 27:12 late (3) 196:1;287:24;296:7 later (8) 15:6;45:1;166:20,20; 200:6;247:5;296:24; 297:9 Latin (1) 242:4 law (8) 67:16;68:4;84:9; 215:17;216:5;227:22, 24;275:19 lawyer (1) 208:23 lawyers (1) 206:3 lay (2) 33:4;164:15 layman (1) 37:16 layman's (1) 266:4 layout (1) 86:25

layperson (3) 51:8:68:20:73:21 laywitness (1) 42:25 lavwitnesses (1) 43:1 lead (3) 206:8,9,11 leads (5) 61:12:230:2,4; 233:13;276:23 Leaking (10) 34:17;35:20,21,22, 24;36:3,9;41:15,25; 44:20 learn (5) 90:2;119:17;208:19; 217:6,9 learned (6) 27:23;61:11;207:1,2; 208:22;209:3 learner (7) 211:7;217:2,3,4,8,8, 17 learners (5) 211:6;216:25;217:8, 11,14 learning (1) 120:25 least (9) 11:17;44:18;82:11; 105:9:107:4:128:20: 157:10;212:22;298:3 leave (10) 37:25;77:18;101:22; 124:9;135:25;140:17; 148:13;149:19;187:12; 250:1 leavened (1) 68:12 leaving (4) 49:25;50:22;75:22; 83:16 lecture (2) 211:1,2 led (1) 66:1 Leesburg (4) 149:25;152:22; 154:3;178:1 leeway (6) 157:13;171:10,10; 218:2;224:14,22 left (8) 11:8;149:21;160:7, 12,17;161:23;181:4; 200:23 left-hand (5) 48:5;189:8;267:8; 279:12,23 legal (2) 209:1;222:13 legally (1)

128:25 lending (1) 57:16 less (23) 24:18;57:7,9;76:19; 92:10;97:10;142:5; 153:13;154:1;163:10; 166:8:174:6:185:13; 194:20,21;195:5; 196:24;203:21;216:7; 230:5;231:17;234:17; 282:20 less-intensive (1) 20:3 lesson (5) 116:9,11,13,18; 208:7 lessons (1) 116:21 letter (6) 87:15,19;107:14,17; 251:5;253:15 letters (1) 135:15 level (11) 82:10;136:10; 165:15;175:24;191:3; 210:10;214:23;216:3; 232:14;233:13;248:22 levels (10) 12:22:22:10:83:20; 129:19:171:9:202:6: 214:20;215:15;278:20; 288:22 librarian (1) 114:13 library (1) 114:12 life (3) 59:8;81:2;82:14 lifting (1) 261:10 light (4) 200:9;263:13; 268:23;295:5 lights (1) 202:12 liked (2) 244:22;292:17 likelihood (2) 201:12:204:6 likely (11) 42:6,15;44:9;67:2,3; 75:8;82:9;167:23; 170:9;185:13;278:23 limit (5) 14:22;199:20,21; 292:25,25 limited (6) 8:19:44:23:164:2; 168:25;201:21,21 line (43) 13:18;25:25;30:15;

	WHOLESALE CORI O	KATION	1	
41 7 55 10 70 10 01 6	66 5 5 90 7 90 10	54.10.50.01.00.4		12,125,11,127,17
41:7;55:19;70:10;81:6;	66:5,5;80:7;82:10;	54:12;56:21;66:4;	LUST (2)	13;125:11;127:17;
110:4;135:21;168:8,	83:11,12;85:3;89:6;	68:20;71:25;73:16;	34:15,19	130:5,8;139:16;
22;170:19;183:7,20;	90:10;104:13;105:19,	96:24;100:16;108:24;	L-U-S-T (3)	140:15;141:25;142:1,
185:11;186:17,25;	24;115:25	152:22;155:4;174:14,	34:15;35:18;36:4	3,7,15;155:20;163:15,
187:10,11;189:1,3;	located (8)	14,16;176:18;180:1,1;	51110,00110,0011	15;170:14;189:23;
			М	
192:17;197:5,6;200:3;	6:8;24:11;81:22;	186:16;192:24;196:22,	Μ	199:24,25;200:13,25;
219:12;250:7;265:23,	90:4;102:20;114:17;	25;223:9;237:1;257:7		201:2,23,25;202:1,13;
24;266:7,17,20;	181:19;203:13	Looks (18)	ma'am (2)	203:7,13,25;213:4;
278:22;280:16;282:6;	location (16)	98:12;153:20;154:1;	132:20;145:11	233:8;234:8,15;
283:8,10,15;292:17,18;	19:7;22:4;28:15,15,	155:5;180:16,17,19,20;		235:10;236:8,13;
			mad (1)	
293:4,4,5	15;30:1;81:8;83:9;	181:6;193:21;194:20;	170:19	237:7;238:14;240:5;
lined (5)	95:17;104:10,11;	195:6,9,10,12;198:4,5;	Mae (1)	257:14;258:8,14,16,19;
153:11;154:5;	117:11,21;130:24;	278:14	56:16	261:12,15,16;262:11,
184:14;194:3,6	136:18;233:25	Lord (1)	magically (1)	16,18,22;274:17
lines (13)	locations (1)	144:20	105:25	malls (1)
54:6,12;55:17;100:5;	139:17	lose (4)	magnet (2)	200:8
151:5,8,11;154:8;	logic (4)	55:3;57:8;75:8;	24:2;81:18	mall's (1)
186:16;187:5;200:8;	209:1;211:14;	277:3	main (11)	22:2
293:7,8	235:18;236:7	loss (3)	47:14;151:19;	man (1)
lining (1)	logical (2)	29:7;68:9;76:22	153:20,21;154:9,24;	137:19
153:15	211:11,13	lost (1)	169:25;180:20;181:10,	manage (3)
linked (2)	long (29)	53:4	19;261:10	154:13;165:9;186:12
87:19;243:7	28:5;47:7;51:14;	Lot (60)	maintain (2)	managed (3)
list (5)	53:18;90:11;93:24;	6:9;12:15,16;19:10;	233:4,4	153:17;206:19;277:3
72:7,7;221:20;296:2;	97:3,11,15;107:13;	31:15;51:12;57:17;	maintenance (1)	management (7)
298:12	124:8;126:7,11,12;	66:3,6;68:24;71:16;	266:19	183:23;185:15,23;
listed (2)	127:12;138:25;143:9;	73:21;91:10;92:18;	major (9)	258:1;271:25;272:4,9
32:6;71:21	161:13;168:8;170:19;	93:11;97:7;105:11;	79:17;81:8,12;92:20;	managing (1)
,				189:15
listen (3)	186:16,17;189:18;	107:10;119:2;126:8;	100:5;170:8,22;181:9;	
185:3,5,10	201:8;208:22;212:7,9;	148:7;168:12,22;	284:8	maneuver (1)
listener (2)	287:25;288:1	171:16,17,19;180:14,	majority (2)	170:11
210:19;229:21	longer (5)	17,20;181:5,7,13;	90:13;169:10	manifestly (2)
listening (2)	48:10;53:16;94:2;	184:21;185:9;187:22,	makes (10)	265:3;281:12
				-
259:14;287:9	117:2;187:1	25;188:1;191:15;	55:1;81:9;84:4;89:6;	manner (1)
literally (4)	long-term (1)	193:12;194:20;195:3,	94:3;97:10;173:21;	256:10
24:5,6;119:8;135:4	118:17	9;199:23,24;207:1,2,5,	258:23;263:22;290:9	manual (1)
literature (2)	look (66)	11;216:11,14;217:15;	making (21)	271:14
32:13;61:10	26:5;33:13;51:23,23,	224:18;244:16;253:21;	9:22;20:11;55:11;	many (37)
little (26)	24,24;55:14,14,17;	273:19;275:6;280:12,	59:6;80:19;83:25;	12:16;29:12;30:1;
23:14;32:21;39:15;	56:10,13,25;68:7;	14,15;287:5	92:11;120:6;155:11;	38:14;72:5;82:8;93:13;
51:6,14;94:13;101:21;	71:14,20;72:7;82:2;	lots (11)	214:5;222:19;223:20;	105:9;119:24;162:12;
119:6;122:10;126:21;	85:11;87:1;96:22;97:5,	17:21;18:2;92:1,20;	226:24;229:17;242:16,	163:2,3;165:11;
				187:23;188:2,3;194:5,
127:5,6;150:3,12;	6;101:13;112:5;116:6;	97:13;100:19;107:13;	19;269:17;270:19;	· · · · · ·
153:10;155:1;157:13;	143:7;150:17;151:14;	154:17;170:2;182:21;	282:5;283:23;294:13	5,5;195:6;217:15;
164:18;166:8;187:5;	153:7;161:4;162:20;	210:4	Mall (137)	218:16,16;221:3,3;
189:10,11;223:2;	164:13;170:10;171:14;	love (1)	6:10;17:18,21,23,25;	224:5;229:10;234:7;
260:22;286:23;289:5	173:18;177:7;178:13;	70:19	18:3,6,15,20;19:3;	242:2,8;243:7,9;
live (17)	179:8,21;181:22;	low (2)		244:25;245:9,13;
			22:19,21,21;23:22,24;	
17:5;24:14;25:3;	191:20;192:1;193:10;	278:11,12	24:22;26:16,17,19,21;	253:21;286:24
26:10;27:7;28:3,19;	194:19,25;197:24;	lower (4)	27:6;31:6,19;69:15;	map (3)
46:8;66:12;82:4;97:7;				
	210:12;219:8;221:22;	100:7;105:5;228:20;	70:21:80:18:90:13:	30:9;102:15;198:4
	210:12;219:8;221:22; 222:6:228:15 17:	100:7;105:5;228:20;	70:21;80:18;90:13; 91.9 16 17 18:92:4 5:	30:9;102:15;198:4 March (2)
117:14;202:2;205:14;	222:6;228:15,17;	292:25	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5;	March (2)
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16;	292:25 low-income (1)	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5,	March (2) 63:4,12
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2)	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7;	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14,	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5)
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16;	292:25 low-income (1)	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5,	March (2) 63:4,12
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7;	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18;
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1)	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11)	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1)	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9)
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5)	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12;	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10;
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5) 126:3;154:18,19,23;	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12; 61:24;68:5,22;160:4;	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11 lunch (10)	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13; 109:2,6,19;110:5;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10; 47:17;48:19;71:5;
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5)	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12;	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10;
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5) 126:3;154:18,19,23; 163:25	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12; 61:24;68:5,22;160:4;	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11 lunch (10) 77:20;89:15;148:18,	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13; 109:2,6,19;110:5; 114:20;119:13,16,20,	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10; 47:17;48:19;71:5; 178:21;179:17;191:21
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5) 126:3;154:18,19,23; 163:25 loan (1)	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12; 61:24;68:5,22;160:4; 172:22;190:18;191:11; 241:25	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11 lunch (10) 77:20;89:15;148:18, 21,23,23,23,24;149:1,5	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13; 109:2,6,19;110:5; 114:20;119:13,16,20, 23;120:3,12,16,18,21,	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10; 47:17;48:19;71:5; 178:21;179:17;191:21 market (1)
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5) 126:3;154:18,19,23; 163:25 loan (1) 57:18	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12; 61:24;68:5,22;160:4; 172:22;190:18;191:11; 241:25 looking (27)	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11 lunch (10) 77:20;89:15;148:18, 21,23,23,23,24;149:1,5 luncheon (1)	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13; 109:2,6,19;110:5; 114:20;119:13,16,20, 23;120:3,12,16,18,21, 25;121:12;122:18,20;	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10; 47:17;48:19;71:5; 178:21;179:17;191:21 market (1) 28:23
117:14;202:2;205:14; 212:7,9,12 living (2) 25:3;31:18 load (1) 126:7 loading (5) 126:3;154:18,19,23; 163:25 loan (1)	222:6;228:15,17; 234:10;251:12,16; 260:10;264:14;279:7; 280:2,12,14;282:5,7; 283:18;293:22;297:14 looked (11) 46:15;51:13;55:12; 61:24;68:5,22;160:4; 172:22;190:18;191:11; 241:25	292:25 low-income (1) 82:22 lulled (1) 93:1 lumps (1) 72:11 lunch (10) 77:20;89:15;148:18, 21,23,23,23,24;149:1,5	91:9,16,17,18;92:4,5; 93:15;95:8,10;96:5,5, 19;99:5,9,9,13,13,14, 15,19,20;100:12,17; 101:16,16,25;102:14; 103:2,7,13,15,15; 104:17,25;108:13; 109:2,6,19;110:5; 114:20;119:13,16,20, 23;120:3,12,16,18,21,	March (2) 63:4,12 Mark (5) 7:23;35:8;43:18; 46:8;205:13 marked (9) 15:15;38:5;46:10; 47:17;48:19;71:5; 178:21;179:17;191:21 market (1)

178:9:179:11; 180:15;181:1,2,3,14; 194:19 Martin (1) 6:19 Mary (7) 8:15;77:18;113:11, 13,14,15:114:6 Maryland (9) 6:9:17:5:36:14:65:7: 113:12;179:11,12,13; 205:15 Maryland's (2) 83:19;84:9 Massachusetts (1) 21:11 master (2) 110:16,17 material (5) 9:10;53:12;55:22; 62:4;242:20 materially (3) 21:20;23:13,16 materials (5) 46:13,22;52:22; 75:18;272:1 math(2)27:23;139:19 mathematical (1) 31:18 mathematically (1) 143:7 matter (14) 6:3:60:23:130:3: 144:19;152:25;166:22; 208:16;209:2;224:24; 226:5;228:3;261:7; 277:3:292:13 matters (6) 8:4,21:15:10,24; 28:14;51:22 maximum (15) 150:21;151:12,15; 153:12;154:2;162:12, 13;163:6;175:1;183:8; 191:3;196:16;197:7; 247:25;293:10 **may (66)** 10:8;13:23;16:16; 17:9;39:13,20;41:21, 21;42:19;53:25;64:24, 24;66:24;67:12,13,21; 76:5;77:8;79:12;81:16; 87:14;96:7;97:20; 107:2;113:24;114:3; 119:6;128:14;138:14; 142:17;147:3;156:21; 165:14;173:8;174:1,6; 177:8;178:16;180:13; 181:25;185:8;200:25; 205:19;211:19;218:13; 220:9;222:21;227:11, 13;237:5;238:9;241:7;

248:24 243:16;244:25;246:23; 247:6,8,13;250:2; media (1) 252:7;255:23,24; 114:12 258:12:268:20.20.20 medical (8) 116:19;129:2,11; **Maybe (18)** 43:18;45:22;53:21; 102:18;105:5;118:11; 218:18;219:9 135:4;164:24;165:9; medically (1) 168:13,13,23;194:20, 115:24 20:203:2:212:25; **meet** (7) 254:17;287:7 56:15,16;84:6; mean (84) 131:15,16;209:10; 19:13,15;31:4,6; 222:1 33:2;35:9;36:5;38:20; meeting (3) 41:6;45:5;54:18;55:10; 115:11;131:23; 168:14 57:2;58:11,16,19; 67:16;72:19;73:2; meets (1) 74:10;80:18;83:18; 29:6 87:20;92:13;96:22; mega (8) 100:1,23;101:20; 102:1;103:8;104:12; 105:4;107:7,13;109:4, megastation (1) 8,24;111:6;129:14; 83:13 133:6;147:18;152:10, melodramatic (1) 25;155:23;157:4,19; 116:24 158:13;161:12;163:20, member (4) 21;164:21;167:16; 111:5,8,11,18 172:8;173:14;178:16; members (2) 180:9,17,18;186:15; 204:1;227:8 187:9,25:189:20; membership (2) 190:12,22,25;194:1,19; 111:1.7 198:18.19.21:200:8: memorandum (1) 214:10:215:14,14; 234:5216:17:221:13:224:3: memorial (1) 238:15;240:23;241:2; 128:3 257:6;275:21;294:21; Mental (1) 295:3 82:17 mentioned (3) meaning (1) 14:1;87:19;127:22 215:17 meaningful (1) mere (1) 81:24 14:20 means (11) met (3) 6:20;34:15;139:19; 221:24;222:11; 287:17 154:19;169:3;213:4; meteorologist (2) 216:14;268:21;271:4, 5;292:12 291:8,10 meteorologists (4) meant (4) 210:5;211:4;229:2; 291:15,18,19,21 241:25 meteorology (4) measured (2) 291:6,9,12,13 245:25;278:8 meter (3) 175:14,16;177:4 measurement (1) meters (1) 175:18 230:9 measurements (4) 175:19;246:2; method (2) 288:13;294:16 216:19,20 methodology (1) measures (1) 175:17 25:25 measuring (1) Metro (18) 174:13 mechanism (2) 249:9;272:12 mechanisms (1)

Metrorail (1) 81:9 Michele (2) 7:9:15:11 Michigan (6) 211:3;216:24;217:7; 38:8,10;40:17,19; 64:2:65:7 micrograms (3) 175:14;177:2,3 middle (7) 113:15;144:9; 158:11;189:6;195:10; 268:19:275:5 might (18) 20:9;59:5;63:23,24; 64:23;67:6,10;76:16; 116:9,14;117:17; 196:3;198:13;239:16; 240:21;243:15;271:17; 276:12 23:6;25:18;64:10,13, 14;70:5;121:10;132:5 Mike (1) 7:4 mile (9) 37:10;42:21;63:17, 18;64:5;212:22,24,25; 213:1 miles (9) 24:4;37:7;42:21; 66:12;80:2;81:20; 89:19;112:4,14 Mill (13) 6:8:70:22:81:11: 89:24;92:20;93:20; 95:12,16;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 million (5) 23:7:96:4:109:9: 247:17.23 mind (10) 29:24;31:5,9;107:2; 112:25;233:20;243:6; 259:24;262:10;264:21 mine (4) 61:5;245:20,22; 294:24 minimal (2) 257:19;266:9 minimum (4) 159:21;164:11; 197:7;293:9 minimums (2) 107:14,21 minor (1) 180:2 minority (1) 82:21 minute (4) 143:20;190:25; 270:8,9 79:21;81:5,24;91:13, minute-by-minute (1) 18;92:20;93:14;95:16; 163:10 97:10:101:5,17:102:9. minutes (15) 10,13,19,21,23;106:21 15:14;20:3;53:20;

126:15;127:15;143:13, 22:168:22:204:23.25: 205:2;264:20;273:24; 288:1.3 mischaracterization (1) 108:5 mislead (5) 226:5,6,6;229:20; 289:18 misleading (20) 134:3;225:16,24; 226:2,3;228:17; 229:19,22,25;231:23; 232:18;233:21,25; 236:4;256:16;281:22; 283:4;289:17,20,22 misled (1) 228:22 mismatch (1) 258:25 misquoting (1) 260:16 misrepresented (1) 234:13 misstates (1) 140:21 mistake (2) 12:6:240:22 misunderstand (1) 94:20 mitigate (1) 26:24 mixed (8) 87:3;89:3,7;90:1,12; 96:2;100:10;149:13 mixed-use (5) 79:15,18;87:3;95:15; 96:16 MOBILE6 (1) 171:8 mobility (1) 80:24 mode (1) 290:22 model (11) 13:11,12;56:3,5,9,24, 24,25;57:6,13;58:8 modeling (3) 11:21;13:10,14 modes (1) 92:22 modify (1) 84:12 mold (2) 241:18;242:1 moment (14) 58:1;98:21;110:23; 152:12;166:21;178:13; 188:11;197:24;199:14; 204:18;243:20;247:16; 256:5:292:1 momentarily (1) 212:17

296:3

56:18

most (33)

13:1

84:19

294:1

moves (2)

Mrs (1)

286:9

242:6

38:7

moments (1) 22:9 Monday (1) 24:19 money (1) 42:2 monitor (1) 116:19 monitoring (3) 11:7;116:11;185:22 monitors (4) 11:5,6;13:17;281:4 monster (2) 84:21;242:8 Montgomery (22) 18:19,23;19:15,18; 21:18;22:15;79:4,18; 80:5,21;82:15,20; 83:24;85:10;90:21,22, 25;117:1,12;146:2; 200:13:233:20 months (2) 34:1;169:15 mop (1) 272:5 mopping (1) 272:7 more (147) 12:8;14:10;15:4,8; 16:17:19:9.13.20; 21:19:23:11:26:18; 30:21;43:18,24;45:18; 51:6,8;52:2,25;54:17; 56:6,6,7,12,19,20;61:7; 64:15;79:25;80:18,19; 83:3,12,18;84:25;85:9; 89:13;92:3,12,13,18; 93:7;94:2,4,6;97:16; 103:15;104:14;105:9; 107:16:108:13:112:6, 7.17.23:117:17:118:5. 7,12;119:5,6,7;128:13; 132:8;133:3,10,10,11, 11;137:2;138:21; 141:3;150:23;153:16; 154:5;155:19;157:7, 18,21;159:23;161:6,12, 22,25;163:11;167:1; 168:22;169:4,4,4,4,5,5, 17;170:16,17,24; 171:11,12,13,23;174:8; 178:8,14;180:9; 185:12;187:5;191:9; 194:11;195:5;200:23; 201:12;202:17;203:21, 25;204:9,10;210:25; 216:12,25;218:15; 219:3;222:24;223:22; 224:22;229:11;233:16; 247:14;249:12;261:11, 21;262:16,17,18,21; 263:14;264:9;278:18;

286:11:287:6:288:21; multiple (4) 289:5:292:23:298:7 27:13;128:22;222:4; moreover (2) 226:4 18:3:245:12 multiple-severe (1) morning (18) 115:23 6:24;7:2,4,12,15,22; multiplies (1) 17:1,2;18:5,9,11; 169:16 20:13;26:15;27:1; multiply (1) 116:6;189:17;194:23; 248:8 murder (1) 65:25 mortgage (1) murdered (4) 65:14,16,20;66:15 17:25;41:8;92:8; murders (1) 104:19;115:24;117:1, 66:1 2,13,22;121:20; must (14) 71:22,23;72:1,8; 169:23;180:5;190:23; 191:18;192:3;194:5; 83:2;114:13;121:4; 209:5;212:8;219:10; 210:22;221:10;235:13; 223:14;233:22;243:9; 239:25;243:3;249:16; 280:23;284:17,18,18, 273:23 21:290:10:293:7: myself (5) 51:2;155:15;206:11: 294:11,12;295:5,23 mostly (1) 207:12;258:24 motion (3) Ν 233:1,6;253:18 mounting (1) NAAQS (1) 135:25 move (13) name (12) 80:21:100:18; 6:19:8:1:17:3.4: 117:19.19.22:156:24: 78:18,22;113:10,14,15; 157:13:168:11:195:19; 114:5;205:12;241:22 218:9;254:1,2;261:21 names (2) moved (4) 124:18;284:5 17:22;97:13;253:17; naming (1) 124:18 narrative (2) 117:6;171:8 205:23,24 moving (3) narrow (5) 26:9;168:1;256:4 157:2,14:159:8,12, 12 narrowed (1) much (49) 156:3 16:17;19:9,9,13,20; narrowest (2) 20:3;21:19;42:16; 157:10;160:5 53:16;56:6,6,7,12,19. narrowly (2) 20;63:23;76:25; 24:20,23 100:10;112:18;113:4; National (9) 120:5;125:17;126:3; 12:23;34:12;35:14; 138:23;150:14,24; 63:13;80:8;134:24; 153:13;162:4;163:10; 135:9;136:9;175:9 170:24;171:10,11; natural (3) 228:17;231:11,14 172:15;191:4;192:23; 194:10,15;197:21; nature (4) 201:12;209:2;210:24; 44:19;184:3;250:5,5 223:21;227:2;231:17; **NCEE (2)** 234:17;263:18;281:11; 34:12;35:14 282:6.19 near (15) multi-cabeza (1) 41:11;46:8;54:13,14, 15;81:5,19,22;83:9; multipage (1) 92:9;104:20;120:16; 151:15;275:17;278:24

nearby (3) 58:9:73:3:92:24 nearer (1) 46:18 nearest (1) 228:19 nearly (2) 138:23:216:14 near-road (1) 13:17 Near-Roadway (2) 8:9:9:1 near-term (1) 282:7 neat (3) 153:10;196:15;197:6 neatly (1) 153:15 necessarily (13) 64:18;74:2;75:1; 105:21;168:20;171:22; 181:25;182:14,15; 187:4;196:11,15;220:5 necessary (5) 157:16,16;210:18; 273:6;275:12 necessitating (1) 120:18 need (38) 19:6:25:24:30:8; 56:14,16:57:13,22; 67:25.25:77:17:80:23. 24;86:24;91:24;92:7; 111:4,9,24;131:15,15, 16;166:10,24;174:2; 189:14;213:15;216:12; 217:9;227:4,5,7; 240:12;249:6;259:16; 265:6;277:4;295:12; 297:25 needed (2) 14:5:56:14 needs (18) 12:8;73:9,9;74:8,12, 16,17;84:5;85:9; 117:10;130:19;136:21; 137:6;157:17,20; 212:13;227:1;263:6 negative (16) 23:18;24:9,10,15; 26:3:32:16:36:24: 37:23;44:7;66:14; 69:24;70:1,17;71:19; 72:5;272:1 negatively (4) 28:24;36:22;66:8; 118:2 neighbor (1) 31:20 neighborhood (38) 17:18,24;21:22; 23:13,15;24:7,21,23; 25:18;27:7,10;42:17;

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

57:8:64:10,14:65:10; 66:19;71:2;94:14; 97:16:104:24,25; 115:8;117:24,25; 212:11,12;227:1,6,9; 250:21;257:15,18; 258:8,17;273:9; 276:13:286:5 neighborhoods (3) 36:15:64:4:82:4 neighbors (5) 29:19,20:71:3; 213:10,11 neighbor's (1) 26:22 neither (4) 84:15;87:25;238:4; 255:15 network (1) 81:11 networks (1) 99:23 new (18) 26:17;42:17,17; 80:21;81:17;84:16; 85:6;92:19;93:10;97:8; 140:15;207:14;226:12; 253:3,9;263:6;296:15, 16 next (53) 6:14:23:12.22.24.25; 29:9.22.24:31:3.4.6.9: 49:12:50:1,6:54:8,17, 19;55:2;60:10,20; 61:22;69:6,12;71:1; 76:14,20;77:8,12;78:4, 8;115:8;117:7;126:5, 16;148:15;150:18; 154:23,23;169:14; 208:9;232:21;248:9; 266:17,20,25;278:13; 280:18,21;281:11,22; 283:18,20 nice (11) 94:13;101:21;125:8; 153:10;168:5;187:4; 196:15;197:6;244:5,8, Q nicely (2) 153:11,15 night (1) 191:14 Nine (7) 20:23,24;21:1,2; 160:5;190:1,11 ninety (1) 177:3 NO2 (4) 8:9;9:1;174:12; 175:6 nobody (2) 43:6:166:20 nobody's (2)

279:18;280:17;282:6;

167:16:295:4 node (1) 81:13 noise (16) 26:6,20,25;27:2,3,4, 5;28:17;74:18;170:17: 250:17,20,22;273:15, 23:275:18 non-analogous (1) 268:17 none (5) 16:2:179:22.23; 233:24;269:9 nonetheless (2) 181:4;188:15 non-expert (1) 43:2 non-inherent (6) 24:14;68:13;70:2,16; 170:4;243:19 non-random (1) 272:25 non-scientific (2) 66:21,25 noon (3)77:18,24;78:1 nor (5) 11:4;12:13;87:25; 88:7;255:15 normal (6) 18:3,4:23:9:169:20, 24:260:6 normal/ordinary (1) 201:19 normally (1) 164:6 north (5) 19:10;78:20;125:20; 178:10:179:14 northeast (1) 197:16 northernmost (1) 161:18 northwest (2) 123:1,2 Nos (2) 38:4;179:16 nosing (1) 193:24 **note (8)** 6:25:21:17:41:20; 56:18;178:4;181:18; 222:24;260:22 noted (3) 22:5;102:5;260:4 notes (2) 130:20;208:8 notice (4) 10:4;49:7;249:5; 253:17 noticed (7) 6:13,13,14;10:14;

noting (1)	246:3;258:25;260:1
11:6	18;288:12,15,16,17,
notion (6)	296:13;297:9
233:21;245:14;	numerical (1)
265:22;286:22;289:16,	63:7
$\frac{20}{20}$	numerous (4)
notwithstanding (2)	83:9;243:1;246:20;
51:1;56:4	274:15
November (7)	nurse (3)
6:15;49:13,19,20;	116:10,12,20
77:5;210:1;298:3	nurses (2)
nowhere (1)	116:2;137:3
151:15	nursing (2)
noxious (1)	116:7;136:21
74:17	0
nuclear (3)	0
244:6,7;248:16	
nuisance (14)	Oak (2)
74:20;163:20;	40:9,14
170:13;213:6;222:10,	oath (3)
13,17;273:8,23;275:7,	17:7;213:13,16
7,9,10,13	obey (2)
nuisances (1)	168:7,20
72:3	object (10)
number (103)	8:24;10:21;33:13;
15:12;25:23;26:4;	38:2;41:3;140:14;
31:18;70:16;80:23;	141:12;157:12;217:
81:24;86:12;93:21;	280:23
98:4,7,8,13;99:22,23,	objected (2)
24;105:25;108:1;	13:18;219:10
142:13,14;150:6,8;	objecting (3)
152:1,12;153:2,5;	104:22;141:8;244:1
154:4;155:5;163:10;	objection (42)
172:9;174:14,25;	11:20;12:1,3;13:18;
175:1;180:19;182:20;	15:4;39:24;44:17;45
183:16;191:12;192:19;	62:9;104:3;106:4,10
194:23,25;196:19;	108:4;109:8,15;
201:21;208:23,23,24,	110:14,22;111:10;
25;212:16;216:10;	132:4,10,16,20;134
221:21,22;226:14,25;	8,10,18;135:12,13,2
233:7,18;234:8,10,11,	140:11;141:7;142:2
17,20,24;235:5,7,15,	144:22;145:13;146:
22,23,24;236:5,8,11;	10;192:11;194:9,12
237:16;238:4,8,10,13,	198:11,11,20
17,19,24;239:5,10,13;	objectionable (1)
241:11,23;244:15;	14:19
245:6;246:17;251:3,	objections (3)
17;259:6;260:23,24;	35:12;80:6;284:13
262:21,22;274:4;	objective (2)
276:4;277:2,5;290:8,8,	93:2;99:24
19,21;294:16,16,19	objectives (1)
numbers (50)	101:9
15:18;59:6;82:8,17;	objects (1)
93:6;162:10,11;	11:15
163:12;171:17;172:8,	obligation (1) 265.2
15;173:8,18,24;	265:3
174:23;187:24;188:4;	observation (2)
190:23;194:6,21;	26:11;163:3
201:5,8;228:14,15;	observations (12)
232:17;234:2,10,13;	150:20;162:5;163:5
235:20,20;236:24;	13;183:4;193:11;
237:4,9;238:5,5,7;	194:2;201:22;202:5
239:8,17;245:25;	267:10;269:2,7

25:260:14, observe (2) 5.16.17.18: 181:22:188:7 observed (10) 129:1:163:4:217:9: 218:14;238:6,7,9; 251:24;257:25;267:18 obsolescence (3) 71:20,25;73:23 obstructing (1) 161:17 obstructs (1) 156:22 obtain (1) 93:10 obtained (1) 108:1 obvious (1) 212:17 obviously (17) 68:12;86:9,23; 100:13:105:19.24; 154:14;157:15;170:9; 171:22;175:3;211:20; 213:14;246:12;253:25; 254:9;273:1 occasion (1) 12:217:21; 150:6 occasionally (1) 203:2 occasions (1) 215:21 :8:244:12 occupational (1) 116:3 .3:13:18: occupying (1) ;44:17;45:1; 53:6 ;106:4,10; occur (2) 80:16:251:24 occurred (3) 7:1;66:18;273:18 6,20:134:3, 5:12.13.22: occurring (2) 18:1;29:17 :7:142:23; 5:13:146:5. occurs (1) 194:9,12; 153:5 o'clock (1) 191:14 October (3) 11:14;215:24;216:1 odd (1) 195:11 odors (1) 74:18 off (34) 45:6;65:10,18;78:7; 89:20;120:17;124:14, 16,17,20;125:16,18; 126:5,5,6,13,19,24; 127:1,6,7,14;133:6; 149:19,21;150:6; 153:21;165:13;168:19; 2:5;163:5, 202:9;203:19;207:10; 212:3:272:8 22;202:5; offer (4) 17:7;76:17,18,19

offered (1) 147:7 offering (1) 69:8 Office (10) 6:16;16:18;50:24; 79:20;89:12;95:11,17; 97:1:264:3,4 official (2) 128:15;188:4 officially (5) 113:15:114:14; 124:15;128:25;129:2 often (8) 163:8;165:13; 189:13;196:24;209:13; 218:19;294:20;296:6 oftentimes (2) 202:11,23 old (6) 115:21,23;117:8; 118:15;213:19;217:3 old-age (1) 275:17 once (16) 9:17;10:3,3,17,17; 76:24;97:2;103:14; 117:5;126:11;143:9; 163:13;167:13;196:24; 227:21:258:9 one (212) 12:2.21:13:13:18:20: 19:14,21;20:21,23,24; 21:1,2,3,4,5,7;23:3; 26:7;28:14;32:25; 33:14;34:12;35:5;37:6; 38:3,7,16,18:39:15,16; 40:21;41:6;43:24,25; 44:1,2,15;47:5;48:13; 60:16;61:2,5;63:15; 66:6,9;69:13;70:3; 71:18,18;73:7;76:5; 83:1;84:25;85:14;87:5; 89:5;92:8;95:8;97:19, 22;104:7;105:5; 106:20;110:23;116:9, 10;117:9;118:12,14, 16;119:19;120:7; 125:15;128:20;129:18; 131:8;132:7;134:5; 135:6,17:140:25; 143:10;145:11;146:4, 8;150:9;151:23;152:5, 16,18,22;153:9,11,16; 154:3;155:9,11; 156:19;158:17,21; 159:25;160:6,13; 161:2,6,14,21;162:13; 168:17;169:19;170:8; 173:25,25;175:11,16; 176:24;177:3;178:14, 18;180:3,5,8;181:18, 25;182:14,15;184:2;

26:13;48:24;207:5

232:20

186:4,15,20,25,25; 187:2:189:3.16:190:1: 192:16:193:11.21; 194:20,22;195:3,6,8; 197:9,23;200:3,23; 201:4,9,11;202:7,8,8, 10;204:18;206:8; 208:7.23:210:13; 211:22;213:7,8; 218:12,22,22;219:6; 220:25;222:8;232:21; 233:15:240:5.8; 241:16;243:8;246:2; 247:22:248:9:251:18; 259:4,24;261:9;263:6, 19;264:11;265:23; 266:6;267:4,5,8,11; 270:22;272:3;274:5; 278:19,25;279:6,10; 280:24;282:9;285:2,7, 10,24;286:2;287:1,16; 290:2,4;292:1;293:7; 294:16,18 one/piece (1) 180:4 one-half (1) 42:21 one-hour (2) 175:18.19 one-on-one (3) 69:17,21,22 ones (15) 89:11:152:23; 153:10;154:6;156:21; 163:21;179:22;180:3; 183:13;184:1;189:8; 191:21;192:19;196:13, 16 one's (2) 146:22:208:3 online (2) 45:14:66:5 only (44) 39:15;40:15;43:12; 81:13;87:13;115:21; 120:11;125:16;130:7, 8;153:11;159:25; 160:5;161:2,14;162:1; 163:21;165:23;169:6, 21;170:5;171:1;174:1, 25:190:15:191:1; 201:10;227:7;231:7; 235:16,24;255:2,5,9, 14,25;265:23;281:8; 282:6;283:25;288:22; 289:16;290:2,4 onto (4) 57:18;127:13;154:9; 203:21 Oops (1) 209:18 open (13)

180:7:191:15:192:8. 25:193:13.18.19: 195:7:264:5 opened (1) 63:17 opening (5) 18:10;64:5;218:24; 234:16:235:13 opens (3) 26:15,17,17 operate (5) 6:6;79:7;82:7; 169:21;196:17 operated (1) 18:3 operates (1) 83:22 operating (4) 150:24;170:4;191:2; 246:8 operation (7) 18:15,22;19:14,20; 22:4;169:21;172:23 operational (2) 22:16;169:15 operations (3) 19:5,12;271:14 **Opine** (2) 262:1,2 opinion (15) 23:17:37:25:45:11; 59:10:60:21:67:15: 110:21;112:9,11,15; 141:6;145:24;267:16; 283:5;284:24 opinions (2) 33:5:43:2 opponents (4) 107:24;108:5,6,7 opportunity (11) 9:11;14:2,17;16:11; 33:9;44:24;52:22; 62:23;186:18;224:17; 226:17 opposed (18) 9:21;58:7;92:5;93:9; 104:9,14,16;107:14; 131:2,3,8,13;132:3; 188:6;189:18;276:8, 14;288:23 **Opposing** (3) 107:20,21;243:17 opposite (4) 66:10;81:20;105:13; 254:25 opposition (17) 9:4;14:10;103:22; 212:9;219:23;221:15; 226:21;239:12;243:17; 245:6;246:17;253:19; 261:6;275:22;276:1; 284:17;285:23 opposition's (2)

108:11:194:14 option (2) 10:11;223:19 options (3) 52:19;290:8,8 oral (3) 9:22;10:9,10 order (6) 13:25;99:18;111:4; 216:8;217:6;249:7 orderly (1) 153:13 orders (1) 249:3 Ordinance (1) 6:5 ordinary (2) 13:23;201:20 organically (1) 97:15 organization (1) 79:3 organize (1) 216:6 orientation (1) 180:23 origin (1) 280:24 original (22) 172:7;221:19,23; 225:23:234:9,11,16,18, 24:236:17.18:237:7. 24;240:18,22;252:3,7; 260:1,14;278:1;294:1, 3 originally (5) 226:12;233:7;236:8, 11:240:6 others (8) 15:16:107:3:180:9: 226:21:264:11:290:17: 295:22,24 otherwise (1) 114:12 ought (1) 44:5 out (106) 12:15;29:3,18,25; 50:24;64:1;66:7,8,17, 19;68:21,21;79:7;85:8; 93:1:96:23:99:3:100:1; 107:13,17;114:16,24; 116:5,16,18;117:7; 119:23;123:20;136:19, 22;142:11;143:9; 145:20;150:17;151:16, 18,19;152:12;153:4; 154:9,16;155:21; 156:24;157:11,12; 160:23;161:1;163:9, 14;164:3,14,15; 165:19:166:9.14.17; 167:2,19;168:21;

169:2;170:24;174:25; 176:20.21:180:17.24: 183:12,13,22;185:11; 186:2;187:2,10;189:6, 9,14;193:24;200:3,5,5, 9;206:6;208:10; 210:15;220:21,23; 221:2;227:2;232:16; 240:24;242:1;243:13; 249:2;250:14,15,16; 253:16;258:24;259:8; 263:6;265:2;272:7; 275:4;285:4;293:12; 298:14 outcome (1) 173:22 outdoors (1) 123:21 outermost (1) 159:4 outfall (5) 267:9,24;270:15; 272:10.15 outlet (1) 268:19 outline (3) 210:12;211:9,18 outreach (1) 84:12 outside (10) 88:2;114:20,25; 115:6:127:7:147:4: 150:19;154:20;188:16; 255:7 over (49) 8:5;14:22;55:2,12; 57:20:61:6.6:70:12; 72:21;83:16;88:1,1,2; 110:6;116:4,10,12; 117:11;119:20;120:10; 122:22;123:21;124:2; 125:16,17,19:126:2; 127:18;136:17;144:12; 149:15;150:17;151:10; 157:9;162:12;164:3; 165:13;169:14;172:22; 186:18,20,22;187:7; 188:10;196:19;255:5; 259:16;264:25;283:2 overall (6) 44:20;67:8;92:12; 107:22;112:6;196:24 overarching (1) 210:7 overflow (2) 164:2;204:10 overflowing (1) 203:21 overlapping (1) 264:21 overly (2) 116:24;228:1 overridden (1)

overrule (11) 15:3;44:17;45:1; 106:9;109:15;110:22; 132:16,20;142:22; 149:3;194:12 overruled (1) 134:19 **Overshadowing** (1) 25:1 overstating (1) 246:24 own (9) 43:2;56:22;114:1; 148:11;150:20;194:2; 214:4;241:17;288:14 owner (1) 286:2 owners (6) 22:1;70:1,12;95:12; 96:12,14 owners' (1) 96:17 oxygen (3) 118:15;128:18,22 **OZAH (9)** 6:4;209:11;221:20; 225:22;228:13;234:4; 237:1;240:16,18 Р package (6)

178:21,22,23;282:2, 4:287:15 pad (1) 275:2 page (37) 26:5:35:5.6.7:36:5.6. 10.16:39:4.15.17:41:6. 7,22;42:8;47:20,22,25; 48:1.2:63:1:73:17: 74:14,23;79:16;80:13; 94:23;95:5,19;162:22; 228:13;234:6,6; 235:25;237:2,2;279:13 pages (7) 38:14;43:13,18;47:2, 9,9;208:5 Panel (1) 84:16 paper (4) 25:20;34:14;35:16; 294:20 papers (2) 28:6;294:8 paperwork (2) 223:19;239:1 paradigm (1) 80:21 paragraph (2) 10:21:95:5 paralyzed (1)

10:1;18:6,9;23:23;

209:22 Parcel (12) 6:10;18:1,20;22:19; 24:6;31:4,6;109:6; 127:17;170:14;257:14; 258:8 Pardon (2) 102:12:266:23 parents (2) 117:14:147:12 parents' (1) 147:11 park (7) 20:1;63:14;117:14; 142:9,10;169:11; 181:21 parked (2) 116:5;140:17 parking (71) 17:21;18:2;19:10; 91:10,13,13;100:13,19; 107:10,13,14,18,21,22; 108:1,2,10,14;123:19, 20,21,24;154:17,24; 158:23,25;159:1,6,9, 13,16,19;160:1,3; 164:7;165:8;168:12, 22;180:13,17,19,20,22; 181:5,7,8,9,13,19; 182:21;193:12;194:20; 195:3.9:199:23.24; 200:14:202:18.19: 203:11,12,12,16; 262:21,22;263:9,10,12, 15,18;275:6 part (43) 17:18;49:2,2;52:8; 53:5;73:12;82:11;83:3; 87:7;89:14;96:24; 106:12;127:21;142:13; 148:8:157:11:159:4. 11:169:20:183:4; 185:18;188:20;209:11, 19;210:1,5;213:16,20; 224:20;226:21;240:16; 241:14,14;242:2; 243:15;252:3,6,7; 255:10,16,23;261:25; 268:25 participated (2) 10:4:206:13 participating (1) 213:7 particular (42) 35:12;36:9,17,17; 37:20;38:16;56:14; 57:22;64:25;65:24; 66:17;69:13;71:15; 75:4;82:7;86:24;90:17; 114:7:118:8,13,20; 124:4;128:13;135:7; 137:22;153:24;154:10,

186:3:193:9:196:13; 197:1;199:25;201:9; 210:20;215:16;229:23; 230:7;286:25;293:1 particularly (8) 55:10;65:13;72:6; 81:4;82:23;263:10; 275:24:294:12 particulate (1) 130:3 parties (11) 6:22;9:19,20,25; 14:3;15:25;85:14; 113:25;223:8,19;245:1 partly (1) 84:22 partner (1) 262:19 parts (9) 80:4;120:18;172:14; 176:23,24;177:1; 209:21,23,24 party (2) 10:7,8 pass (2) 144:3,6 passed (1) 127:25 passing (1) 93:11 past (13) 26:23;41:8;151:7; 156:23:157:9:159:7: 161:20;170:20;208:6; 242:15;257:11;267:17; 273:18 Pat (2) 6:24;98:9 path (32) 31:13:80:11,15:86:5. 7,9,20,23,25;87:9,10, 16,21,24;88:6,8;102:5; 103:6;122:19;157:15, 24;159:14;251:7,13; 252:3,12,15;253:4; 254:19;255:6,7,16 paths (1) 258:7 pathway (1) 106:21 patience (1) 274:5 patronage (8) 234:14;235:10,15; 236:1,13;237:13,15; 238:11 patronize (1) 237:17 patrons (4) 81:24;234:17; 237:17;238:13 pattern (1) 229:22

pay (3) 36:20:41:25:42:2 peak (14) 139:22,23;140:2,12, 12,13;141:13;143:4; 184:11;185:24;189:22; 190:16;191:1,2 peak-hour (3) 139:14;141:23; 142:21 pedestrian (30) 80:11:86:5,7,9,20; 87:9,10,16;88:6,8; 102:5;103:5;106:20; 157:15,24;159:14; 251:7,13;252:3,12,15; 253:4;255:6,7,16; 257:14,19,21;258:18, 19 pedestrian-friendly (2) 106:23,25 pedestrians (5) 8:11;24:19;251:25; 258:7;267:17 peers (1) 294:15 pending (1) 146:15 Penney's (5) 125:17,18,19; 126:24;203:3 pennies (1) 83:8 Pentagon (1) 81:22 people (144) 10:4;24:22;25:1,2; 28:14;31:15,16;36:18; 42:11,13,16;44:22; 51:7,17,18;52:2;54:14; 61:16,21,22;64:20,21; 65:14,20;66:2,8,13,15, 16,17,18,20;67:1; 68:25;69:6,25;70:19; 76:16;80:22;82:14; 83:7;85:10;89:4,15; 91:17;92:3,9,11,14,15, 21,23;93:4;94:1,4,6; 97:6;99:16,18,19; 100:1,2;102:8;103:14; 104:13,17,17,19,23,24; 105:19:106:16:112:6. 7;126:8;136:24; 140:17;142:1,6,15; 146:20,21;147:3,17; 160:7,8,8,13;162:6; 163:8,25;168:6,6,9,19; 169:9,22;170:5,14; 181:20;183:7;185:2,5, 10:186:18:187:3.6; 188:3,17,22,23;189:7, 19,23;197:6;201:8; 207:5,7,8;209:12;

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

212:8;216:7;221:21; permission (1) 233:18.22:241:17: 246:17;248:24,25; 250:7,18;253:14,23; 258:17,19;261:6,9; 266:1;272:13,14; 273:20;285:22;294:21, 22 people's (5) 16:19:42:9:64:24; 69:16;79:8 per (13) 14:11;23:8;26:12; 83:8;137:17;143:4; 175:14,16;176:23,24; 177:1,3;244:12 perceive (3) 51:18;69:25;211:17 perceiving (1) 29:24 percent (26) 36:21;63:15;82:15; 83:20;84:2,3;191:3,9; 219:22;220:18,19,19, 21;221:2,2,2,6,6,6,9; 227:8;237:17;239:15; 249:14,14;294:19 percentage (6) 59:22;93:25;100:2; 204:1;227:8;235:12 perception (11) 95:6 25:6;29:18;36:19; 42:10:64:18:67:1,2,6,7, 21:146:20 Perceptions (5) 6:438:9;64:20,24;67:10; 69:16 6:6 perfect (1) 188:12 perfectly (4) 110:18;184:14; 199:6:249:10 perhaps (16) 15:16;53:22;82:10; 86:11;151:20;164:4; 78:8 165:7;171:4;189:17; 201:21;219:25;225:2; 250:4;262:14;267:22; 287:11 period (12) 9:9:55:12:57:16; 172:22;190:4,15,23; 213:11;216:2;235:14; 236:15;248:2 peripheral (1) 243:13 73:7 periphery (2) 268:22:269:15 permanent (1) 97:9 Permeator (5) 133:17,25;134:1,10, 16

262:20 permit (3) 90:7,12;243:20 permits (2) 110:11:129:15 permitted (2) 110:10:147:18 permitting (3) 65:21;166:15;248:25 person (12) 100:23,25;101:1,2; 116:16;162:14;187:1; 189:2;217:3,4;266:7; 291:2 personal (7) 18:25;26:10;65:4; 106:19;145:24;163:3; 201:22 personally (2) 29:9:128:25 persons (2) 26:14;165:5 perspective (1) 264:15 persuade (1) 210:19 persuasion (2) 220:5;221:8 pertaining (1) pertains (2) 175:13:217:22 petition (1) petitioner (1) phase (1) 215:5 philosophy (2) 249:23.25 phlegm (1) 116:15 phones (1) phonetic (1) 207:22 photo (1) 179:10 photograph (1) 72:14 photographed (5) 71:22;72:8;74:2,8; 267:18 photographic (1) photographs (2) 72:4;74:24 photos (3) 163:6:190:9:195:19 phrase (2) 217:11:262:19 phrases (1)

20;166:21;174:12;

210:14	228:4	129:18;145:17;150:16,	pollutants (1)	postcards (1)
physical (3)	planning (19)	20;151:20;154:16,22;	175:5	82:2
26:2;116:3;128:12	77:4;80:12;85:2,4;			82:2 posted (2)
26:2;116:3;128:12 pick (1)	99:22;119:1;128:4;	155:4;156:22;158:18;	polluting (1) 82:8	posted (2) 199:20,21
ріск (1) 294:19	133:17;138:15;166:13;	160:24;163:1;168:5; 170:25;173:4;183:18;	pollution (18)	poster (1)
picking (2)	212:11;216:10;227:15;	184:13,17;186:4;	28:17;29:20;61:23;	219:6
196:13,16	228:5;245:21;252:18;	197:1,2,4;211:5;	74:18;118:5,8,10,12,	postpone (2)
pickup (2)	267:22;286:13,14	213:14;214:5;217:1;	19;119:3,5;129:19;	75:15,18
189:14;190:5	Plans (8)	218:23;220:15,22,25;	131:17;132:2,8;	posts (1)
picture (14)	81:10;95:13;96:8,14,	221:1,1,7,7,9,11;	133:10,11;135:6	66:6
89:14;99:3;177:7;	17;99:8;253:9;271:18	222:15,19,22;226:9;	pollutions (1)	potential (10)
184:6;196:23;197:20,	plant (2)	227:2,16;229:17,19;	133:8	25:2,4;28:19;31:12;
23;211:21,21;267:6,9,	244:6,8	230:1,20;231:19,25;	ponderables (1)	58:8;76:13;101:12;
11,12;268:15	play (2)	232:2;233:6,15,19;	287:7	204:12;251:23;260:6
pictures (13)	170:24;294:21	235:23,23;239:14;	pool (3)	potentially (2)
154:20;181:23;	Plaza (3)	243:13,21;245:12,17;	83:10;164:19;197:13	14:12;252:1
191:18;192:6,19;	6:9;238:1,2	246:16;247:2,17;	poorly (1)	pouring (2)
194:13,14;195:5;	pleasant (2)	248:3;250:3,4,9,14,15,	225:23	244:2;274:21
196:18,19,25;267:1,5	80:23;92:23	25;253:6,24;254:8;	population (22)	power (2)
piece (4)	please (17)	256:3,5;257:1,6,11,12;	82:22,22;114:8,16;	244:6,7
14:13;33:7,11;	6:23;16:24;19:5;	258:24;260:21;261:9,	115:13;118:9,12,13,20,	PowerPoint (3)
136:12	34:9;78:8,18,25;95:5;	11;264:11,18;269:1;	24;120:19;121:8;	208:2;277:2,4
pile (1)	96:13;99:25;113:10,	270:20;274:6,23;	133:24;135:5,7;	powers (1)
149:13	22;121:13;205:12,17;	277:7,8,22;278:11,12,	136:19,23;137:1,2,22;	109:13
piles (1)	236:21;239:22	13;279:18;280:11,13,	138:4;237:7	practical (3)
149:13	pleased (4)	17;281:2,9;282:5;	populations (4)	28:11;166:22,24
pipe (4)	242:16,19,23,24	283:2,22;284:1,4,11;	135:10;136:1,11;	practicality (1)
267:10,24;272:9,10	pleasure (2)	285:2,16,20,21;286:11,	137:9	250:1
pipes (1)	148:20;149:1	17;287:4;289:2,2;	portion (14)	practice (2)
258:2	plenty (2)	290:17,22;292:22;	11:20;13:1;81:17;	88:16;153:5
pitched (1)	79:16;253:22	293:14;294:15,18;	82:3;89:23;90:3,8;	practices (1)
210:10	plethora (1)	298:10	95:23;135:22;162:2;	51:21
place (19)	218:14	pointed (4)	181:5,11;203:7;223:22	precautionary (1)
10.11.02.00.10				
19:11,23;28:19;	plopping (1)	12:15;29:25;232:16;	portray (1)	83:6
31:12;65:22;89:4,5;	71:1	285:4	51:2	precise (6)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3;	71:1 plot (9)	285:4 pointer (3)	51:2 pose (2)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21;
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13;
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2,
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25;
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13,	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8,	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20;
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11	<pre>precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24;</pre>
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1,	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7;	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24; 253:9;261:6,20;263:5,	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1) 207:11	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11 political (1)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25; 283:10	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2) 12:4;15:2
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24; 253:9;261:6,20;263:5, 10,17,19,21;264:19,24; 265:3,5,8;269:22;	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1) 207:11 point (136)	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11 political (1) 284:20	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25; 283:10 possibly (6)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2) 12:4;15:2 preliminary (7)
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24; 253:9;261:6,20;263:5, 10,17,19,21;264:19,24; 265:3,5,8;269:22; 297:14	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1) 207:11 point (136) 9:25;13:3,13,19;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11 political (1) 284:20 politically (1)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25; 283:10 possibly (6) 161:3;168:13;201:4;	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2) 12:4;15:2 preliminary (7) 8:4,21;15:10;34:14;
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24; 253:9;261:6,20;263:5, 10,17,19,21;264:19,24; 265:3,5,8;269:22; 297:14 planners (1)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1) 207:11 point (136) 9:25;13:3,13,19; 37:22;58:6;66:8;67:16;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11 political (1) 284:20 politically (1) 83:3	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25; 283:10 possibly (6) 161:3;168:13;201:4; 203:2;204:2;229:21	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2) 12:4;15:2 preliminary (7) 8:4,21;15:10;34:14; 35:17;36:1;298:12
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24; 253:9;261:6,20;263:5, 10,17,19,21;264:19,24; 265:3,5,8;269:22; 297:14 planners (1) 24:13	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1) 207:11 point (136) 9:25;13:3,13,19; 37:22;58:6;66:8;67:16; 69:20;90:10;96:21;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11 political (1) 284:20 politically (1)	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25; 283:10 possibly (6) 161:3;168:13;201:4; 203:2;204:2;229:21 post (3)	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2) 12:4;15:2 preliminary (7) 8:4,21;15:10;34:14;
31:12;65:22;89:4,5; 117:12;144:21;145:3; 163:17,19;177:8; 187:3,4;189:5;247:5; 263:11;294:9 Placemaking (1) 38:9 places (4) 36:18;38:8;153:21; 274:18 placing (1) 55:23 plain (1) 75:7 Plan (40) 79:15,17;80:4,13; 84:1,8;85:6;89:25; 94:7,10,19,21;95:2; 97:20;100:21,25; 101:10;108:22;109:1; 110:16,17;183:20; 184:17;227:14;244:24; 253:9;261:6,20;263:5, 10,17,19,21;264:19,24; 265:3,5,8;269:22; 297:14 planners (1)	71:1 plot (9) 278:16,21;280:25; 283:5,6,6;290:1,3,9 plots (1) 290:7 plotted (17) 276:22;277:10,13, 23;278:10,12,14,15; 281:10,21,23,24;282:1, 1,24;289:25;294:2 plotting (1) 293:5 plume (1) 31:13 Plus (1) 89:14 pm (11) 18:4;140:12;149:7; 190:24;278:5,20; 282:12;283:20;288:22; 293:19;298:19 podium (1) 207:11 point (136) 9:25;13:3,13,19; 37:22;58:6;66:8;67:16;	285:4 pointer (3) 211:24;242:13; 280:25 pointing (6) 164:14;183:13; 185:10;263:6;265:2; 269:9 points (20) 12:2,19;13:4;184:13; 208:2;218:7;219:14; 226:4,7,12,13,15; 241:6;242:16,19; 280:22;282:7,7; 292:18;294:2 police (3) 165:18;166:13;170:1 policies (1) 84:8 policing (1) 166:9 policy (2) 11:2;38:11 political (1) 284:20 politically (1) 83:3	51:2 pose (2) 111:16;141:18 posed (3) 62:8;146:5,6 position (8) 81:12;108:12; 116:17;223:10;276:8, 14;285:10,11 positive (2) 44:7;72:5 possibilities (1) 142:19 possibility (4) 44:5;80:14;195:1; 239:5 possibility/probability (1) 76:13 possible (14) 80:16;83:7;92:22; 101:9;164:6,8;173:11; 197:3;209:24;221:20; 244:17;275:9,25; 283:10 possibly (6) 161:3;168:13;201:4; 203:2;204:2;229:21	precise (6) 214:18;231:21; 235:23;244:13;260:13; 292:22 precisely (13) 67:16;151:12;215:2, 13;225:25;230:25; 236:12;237:10;240:20; 242:25;254:21,24; 279:23 precision (1) 294:19 preclude (1) 14:11 predisposition (1) 232:20 preference (2) 15:7;53:7 preferences (1) 65:4 prefers (1) 24:21 prejudicial (2) 12:4;15:2 preliminary (7) 8:4,21;15:10;34:14; 35:17;36:1;298:12

prepare (1) 15:18 prepared (3) 32:11;39:21;53:13 preparing (1) 216:10 preponderance (3) 121:9;219:20,21 prepped (1) 116:12 preschool (1) 115:20 presence (7) 24:16;29:14;51:25; 71:14;72:2,20;73:7 present (5) 58:17;116:2;209:12; 265:14;284:22 presentation (8) 207:9;209:20;210:3; 211:15;213:21;257:4; 278:1;295:11 presented (9) 11:3;63:11;80:12; 224:1;239:19;251:12; 266:9;288:11;289:19 presenting (3) 9:4;294:8,20 pressure (1) 57:17 presumably (1) 203:25 presume (6) 44:15:176:8:206:2: 244:18,19:247:22 presuming (2) 244:22:274:11 presumption (1) 264:12 pretend (2) 88:15:133:18 pretty (9) 109:10;150:14; 190:22,23;195:2,8,9; 197:21;201:6 prevailing (2) 31:14;51:20 previous (4) 80:6;217:18;226:11, 13 previously (4) 16:25:149:17: 233:22;258:24 price (2) 64:6;111:21 prices (2) 41:12;94:6 primarily (1) 234:15 primary (2) 89:11;282:25 prime (1) 79:24

Prince (2) 111:17:112:8 principal (1) 65:16 principle (1) 83:6 prior (6) 39:22;152:7;215:21; 252:8,11;295:12 priority (1) 121:18 private (4) 182:21;189:12; 199:18,19 privileged (1) 128:15 privy (2) 129:2,11 probabilistic (1) 293:7 probability (6) 245:18;266:11; 270:6;273:4,9,9 probably (25) 31:1;63:20;89:10,12; 100:17;103:18;105:6, 9;139:7;154:4;165:14; 168:24;179:25;180:3; 182:3;183:21;194:24; 210:1;211:24;223:22; 228:11:246:21,25; 247:2:267:17 probative (1) 147:19 probing (1) 106:7 problem (40) 23:23,25;24:5,17; 28:5;33:3,6;66:24; 69:5;72:9;76:22;84:15; 116:21;147:21;155:13; 162:3;164:24;171:6; 172:18;183:23;184:1, 1;190:2,12;196:20; 217:7,10;230:5; 243:15;244:4;245:9; 249:2;258:16;263:12; 266:10,16;270:2; 273:16;289:4;296:5 problematic (4) 33:15:147:8,15,18 problems (21) 24:22;44:18;57:12; 61:18;118:17,18; 120:24;163:20;165:25; 166:3;170:21;183:2,3, 12,14;193:5;194:7; 217:12,13;245:9; 251:23 procedural (2) 15:24:245:20 procedure (3) 10:6;216:5;233:5

proceed (13) 9:24:16:21:17:9.10: 41:2:52:18:53:25; 79:12:114:3:205:19: 211:18,19;224:11 proceeding (6) 206:14;247:4,10; 255:25;256:1;278:20 proceedings (4) 16:8:49:24:50:23; 209:2 process (27) 9:7,17;10:14;14:21, 22;94:7,19;115:9; 121:1;148:8;153:14; 185:22;207:1,3; 208:22;213:7;218:1; 219:15;224:6,21,22; 225:2,10;232:7;253:5, 13;255:17 produce (2) 220:9;243:19 produced (6) 13:22,23;14:6,8; 135:14.21 production (1) 41:5 professed (1) 224:5 professionally (1) 209:13 professionals (1) 52:3 proffer (2) 152:8,11 proffered (2) 248:4:283:11 proffering (1) 41:7 program (4) 115:20.22:117:4: 279:15 programs (1) 115:19 prohibited (3) 87:21;88:4,7 prohibiting (1) 109:1 prohibits (1) 87:10 project (6) 83:2:84:23:85:7: 86:22,24;87:17 projected (4) 81:17;84:22;247:14; 249:13 projecting (1) 286:24 projection (6) 227:14;237:7;246:5; 247:20;272:21,22 projections (6) 245:24;246:3,4,9;

248:4;249:16 projects (1) 247:16 prominent (1) 294:8 promise (4) 213:10,10,11,12 promised (1) 254:19 promote (5) 79:22;102:5,9;105:1, 20promoting (1) 107:18 proof (8) 131:24;209:10; 219:18;220:5;221:24; 222:1;239:8;287:18 proper (7) 114:20;262:3; 271:11:276:4:282:1.3; 290:12 properly (8) 13:9;223:4;276:22; 278:21;281:23;282:25; 283:7;290:2 properties (5) 22:2;26:9;58:2; 66:18;73:22 property (32) 17:24:23:15:25:10; 26:21.22:28:19.24: 34:24:36:4:41:12:42:6: 49:2:61:7.13:65:23: 70:1,10,12,17;72:3,13; 75:4;95:13;96:15; 100:9;103:13;115:1; 123:3:139:16:201:3: 265:23.24 proposal (7) 115:16;196:4; 245:24;263:4;286:17, 19,23 propose (1) 163:22 proposed (30) 19:7,10;21:20;23:6; 27:10;30:2;42:5;69:14; 80:17;103:6;104:10; 105:22;108:22;114:9, 18:118:6,22:121:2,10, 16;131:2,8,10,12; 132:25:195:23:227:4: 252:11;274:20;281:7 proposes (1) 79:21 proposing (4) 90:13;262:15;276:3, 7 proposition (1) 250:13 prospective (4) 27:24;28:2,21,22

Prosperous (1) 38:8 protect (7) 12:25;121:20; 134:25;265:4,5,6; 271:25 Protection (1) 84:1 protective (1) 272:12 prove (8) 29:7:68:9:147:7: 219:23;220:1,6,13; 221:10 proven (2) 25:6;294:15 proves (1) 37:22 provide (7) 34:7;44:10;47:5; 239:25;254:19;256:9; 293:23 provided (17) 11:16;15:13;33:21; 35:5;45:13;109:18; 112:3;162:23;163:1; 234:7,11;235:24; 236:7;237:4;238:23; 281:25;288:12 provides (1) 239:1 providing (4) 80:1:94:3:256:17.18 provisionally (1) 238:10 proximity (2) 22:2:73:8 psychologists (1) 69:4 public (9) 6:2;12:25;49:4,6; 146:3;182:21;189:14; 199:18;222:12 public's (1) 41:24 published (4) 10:5;40:15,19; 293:21 pull (3) 51:1;68:21;207:10 pulled (1) 191:19 pulling (1) 81:18 pump (5) 23:8;168:19;185:7, 10.21 pumping (3) 134:11,16;180:13 pumps (9) 6:7;23:7;105:22,24; 133:11:134:11.16; 187:11:195:15

purchase (2) 92:4:93:8 purchased (3) 22:1;60:13;65:2 purport (1) 12:13 purported (1) 32:1 purports (1) 51:21 purpose (3) 41:22;196:13;250:19 purposes (5) 13:24;32:5;49:13; 59:19;212:13 pursuant (2) 6:5;10:6 pushing (1) 143:11 put (44) 28:6;56:4;87:9; 107:13,17;115:7; 118:22;130:21,22; 132:25;152:12,13; 153:12;154:2,12; 157:7;161:13;162:6; 163:2,24;164:3,6; 171:21,25;172:9,16; 173:9,23;174:21,22; 187:19;190:18;195:11; 211:24;213:1;220:10; 221:9:242:13:264:5: 266:6;280:22;289:8; 290:15;291:15 puts (3)174:8,20;221:16 putting (16) 15:23;23:12;24:10; 25:18;54:16;69:5; 75:11:87:10.21:88:5.7; 105:20;164:5;268:21; 289:2,5

Q

quadrant (4) 114:18;120:16,20; 203:12 qualification (3) 214:13;215:4,15 Quality (10) 12:23;81:2;82:12,14; 134:25;135:9;136:10; 175:9,11;285:17 quantified (2) 32:15;34:4 quantifies (1) 69:3 quantify (1) 69:7 quantitative (1) 63:22 quarter (1)

	252 5
64:5	272:7
questionable (3)	rains (1)
145:17;194:11;250:5	272:6
questioner (1)	raise (5)
137:18	13:2;78:24;113:21;
queue (21)	171:9;205:16
150:17,21;152:3;	raised (3)
	15:24;58:20;134:8
153:2;154:8;180:8;	
183:23;184:7;185:15,	raising (4)
22;186:13;187:11;	227:16;230:20;
188:13,16,17,18;189:3;	261:8,9
191:10;199:16;200:20;	ramifications (1)
248:21	246:20
queued (1)	ramp (3)
189:4	120:2;122:21;123:3
queues (10)	random (1)
186:16,17,19,21,25;	272:25
187:16;188:16;189:15;	randomly (1)
199:22;200:11	191:18
queuing (36)	range (5)
8:11,19;22:11;24:15;	30:24;234:12,25;
70:25;150:7,14,19;	247:21;293:23
152:4;155:20;162:16;	rapid (4)
163:2,4,6;165:11;	81:10;92:19;100:3;
166:11;170:23;172:4,	282:12
10,14;175:22;176:14,	rapidly (1)
18,21;187:20;188:4;	272:6
189:2;196:14,17,20,24;	rare (1)
197:10;204:6;246:3;	275:17
250:6,16	rate (9)
quick (1)	55:19,21;60:22;61:6,
70:9	6;82:18,25;118:24,25
quickly (1)	rather (15)
216:9	56:24;83:6;138:8;
quiet (2)	
	207:9;210:20,23;
18:5;27:7	218:10;221:2;223:4;
quite (9)	231:4;240:11;249:22;
47:7;135:18;155:10;	274:22;281:21;296:11
168:13;200:3;230:1;	Ravenous (2)
234:3;241:8;278:23	148:22,25
quote (9)	reach (2)
22:5;66:7;207:23;	243:3;278:24
210:18;213:18;218:22,	reached (2)
25;219:8;290:10	25:9;210:23
quoted (3)	reaches (1)
238:5;260:2,23	278:19
quotes (2)	Reaching (1)
218:22;234:6	209:9
quoting (1)	reaction (1)
22:1	158:13
	reactor (1)
R	248:16
N	
	read (33)
racked (2)	25:12;62:2;64:12;
207:12,12	94:22;95:5;96:12;
radically (1)	118:3,4;128:15;
217:19	133:16,16,18;134:4,6,
radius (5)	20;139:4;208:9,13,14;
228:16;229:11;	209:5,5;210:18;
230:22;231:4,12	
	213-25-216-2-218-24
	213:25;216:2;218:24;
railroads (1)	219:3;226:3,4;240:25;
railroads (1) 72:10	219:3;226:3,4;240:25; 241:4,13;279:12,19
railroads (1)	219:3;226:3,4;240:25;

210:19:229:20 reading (4) 87:15:133:12:222:3: 233:5 readjust (1) 116:17 ready (2) 113:8:205:5 **Real (9)** 38:10:41:12:42:6; 51:11;76:22;167:23; 169:3,19:243:14 reality (10) 64:18;116:24; 167:23;169:13;170:24; 213:18;248:13;250:17; 274:21;290:11 realize (1) 287:10 realized (1) 227:13 really (71) 19:23;23:5;25:13,20; 51:17;54:18;55:9,25; 66:7,7;69:20;70:2,11; 71:12;94:12;101:1,12, 14;105:4,7;106:22,25; 109:24;125:13;135:20, 21;138:22;139:4; 145:13;146:6;152:24; 157:17:160:6:161:2.3. 5.14:162:3:165:15.18. 21;166:12,24;167:17; 168:21,25;170:3; 171:11,15;176:3; 181:16;189:24;191:16; 198:4;199:5;200:8,19; 202:17;203:17;209:22, 25;213:6,6;216:12; 221:7;224:23;256:2; 270:4,18;273:21; 287:16 reason (25) 11:17;57:19;83:13; 105:4;145:22;146:18; 170:22;176:17;185:2; 187:1;212:4,5;213:7,9; 217:14;227:15;228:10; 255:5,9,25;265:9,11; 284:8,16;294:24 reasonable (6) 20:12:164:16.21: 200:24;247:21;275:22 reasonably (2) 18:3;258:15 reasoning (4) 210:14,15,18;216:19 reasons (13) 22:8;29:11;85:7; 111:22;148:7;172:24; 183:14:197:9:209:20: 210:21;212:16;213:8; 226:22

rebuts (1) 220:10 rebuttal (6) 14:12,13;210:6,10; 221:23:225:21 recall (19) 76:12;152:1;159:21; 162:17,19;168:16; 172:12,21;186:7,9; 187:14;188:18;191:8; 229:2,5;230:7;239:1; 252:5:286:6 recapture (2) 60:24;61:3 receipt (1) 10:8receive (1) 10:1received (2) 39:21;262:20 recent (2) 127:24;191:18 recently (5) 40:10,12;65:14; 109:18;256:12 recess (4) 78:6;149:7;205:7; 274:2 recognition (1) 232:7 recognize (7) 57:4:81:15:91:9: 138:16:232:15:241:23; 292:20 recognized (2) 227:12;291:3 recollection (10) 151:25;173:1; 228:25;229:6,9; 252:14,15;275:15,20; 289:12 recommend (5) 244:18,19,20;262:7; 264:13 recommendation (6) 6:21;10:3;68:1; 109:1;243:3;256:23 recommendations (3) 84:7,15;110:16 recommended (1) 275:16 recommends (2) 83:5;85:2 reconvene (1) 298:2 record (18) 6:23;9:5,17,18;10:1; 17:3;94:25;122:24; 149:9;150:6;152:6; 155:22;171:21,25; 179:2,22;209:6;242:15 records (3) 128:16;129:2,12

recross (4) 112:24;204:15,17; 295:8 **Red** (4) 81:6;117:4;118:16; 280:24 redevelop (1) 96:19 redevelopment (3) 79:23,24:168:15 redirect (5) 112:22:201:15,16; 292:5.6 reduce (10) 64:6;79:24;80:1; 84:13;108:1;112:3; 134:1;173:7;262:20,22 reduced (1) 194:16 reducing (3) 84:2;108:13;174:4 reduction (3) 83:20,21;84:25 reductions (1) 83:25 refer (1) 95:19 reference (9) 22:13;62:4;63:4; 95:22;212:2;220:23; 233:20;271:10,12 referenced (10) 32:25:37:20:45:19: 64:2.12:65:11:69:24: 73:13:234:4:259:2 references (3) 18:19:226:19:231:3 referencing (2) 35:9;86:12 referring (5) 65:17;89:8;99:2; 247:2;260:3 re-filing (1) 233:2 refine (1) 171:13 refining (1) 173:5 reflected (1) 237:9 reflection (2) 14:2:261:20 reflective (1) 192:2 reflects (1) 60:17 refrain (1) 297:22 refute (1) 223:1 refuted (1) 67:13 Refuting (1)

31:25 regained (1) 61:9 regard (2) 255:12:277:22 regarding (9) 8:8,10;11:20;44:13; 80:8;152:1;165:24; 172:3;177:25 regardless (1) 227:3 region (3) 99:16,18;267:6 regional (13) 21:18;23:6,22;24:1; 28:16;64:15;79:19,22; 99:13,14,15;199:23; 200:25 Register (2) 174:21;296:18 regular (8) 23:9:24:10:28:25: 29:2;64:9;70:4,24; 203:19 regularly (1) 119:16 regulate (1) 136:20 regulated (3) 56:6,12:270:12 regulating (1) 185:22 regulations (4) 56:15:137:17.20: 250:18 regulatory (5) 8:7;11:1;14:21,21; 224:6 reinstate (1) 80:11 reiterate (1) 80:5 reject (3) 85:5;227:5;264:13 rejected (2) 85:8;275:18 rejecting (2) 80:22;85:2 rejection (1) 264:14 related (2) 11:8:263:20 relates (2) 176:6,18 relation (5) 12:20;31:16;65:8; 114:17;197:11 relationship (2) 175:23;255:8 relative (2) 61:4:97:10 relatively (8) 24:18;37:11;57:9,11;

82:21;154:4;194:21; 286:25 released (1) 272:1 relevance (4) 109:8:192:12.17: 194:10 relevant (24) 39:3;41:6,16;47:1; 54:24:68:22:192:21, 22;209:11;214:3; 215:11;219:19;225:13; 241:6;242:20;243:2; 256:6;259:3;269:11; 271:1,3,16,17;284:6 reliable (1) 147:19 relied (5) 12:5;32:23;33:19; 45:11;260:18 relies (4) 11:16;26:1;27:12,19 rely (3) 33:6;39:16;239:18 relying (3) 27:8;44:19;47:9 remain (3) 10:1;16:15;96:5 remainder (1) 96:4 remaining (2) 15:6:95:23 remains (1) 245:22 remediation (1) 41:25 remember (8) 138:15:186:1: 231:15;234:20;253:6; 264:11:268:14:289:14 remembers (1) 185:25 remind (1) 114:16 reminds (1) 297:21 remove (3) 157:23,25;273:5 removed (7) 10:22;156:6,13; 252:9,11,15,17 renewables (1) 84:6 renovations (1) 42:13 rented (1) 65:2 renumber (1) 65:22 repair (7) 22:6:106:24.25: 107:1;267:20,24,24 repaired (2)

267:10:268:19 repairs (1) 275:12 repeat (3) 99:25;182:13;215:10 repeated (3) 18:18;147:21;241:23 repeatedly (3) 243:22;245:13; 256:13 rephrase (2) 104:5;269:1 replace (1) 273:6 re-plotted (2) 279:16;292:16 reply (1) 33:9 report (105) 6:21;8:8,25;9:5;10:3, 5,7,8,17;13:15;18:18, 21;21:25;22:25;25:12, 21;26:1,6;27:8,13,16, 20:28:10;31:25;32:1; 33:1,6,25;34:4;39:25; 40:4;41:20;42:23; 43:11,16,18,21;44:5, 11,16,19;45:16;47:14; 51:4,25;52:4,7;54:3,5; 55:1,5:60:8,17:71:23; 73:19;82:24;84:4,17; 139:11:142:20:163:12: 190:19:209:8:210:6.9; 221:23:225:22.23: 227:13,15,17,22,23; 228:4,5,5;233:10; 236:17,18;240:19,22; 257:13;259:7,10,11; 260:1,4,14;271:1,5,7,8, 9,10,11,12;272:18,20, 20;276:18,20;284:5,14, 22;288:11 reported (5) 63:15;238:9;272:14, 15,23 Reporter (2) 287:24;288:4 reporting (3) 240:6,9,14 reports (13) 12:20,21;52:11; 138:13;152:4,6,13; 173:6;260:11;291:16, 18.19.22 representative (2) 8:12;290:10 representatives (1) 115:10 representing (1) 114:14 reproducible (2) 292:21:294:16 request (5)

10:9,12:232:4; 253:12:269:21 requested (2) 124:20:267:23 requesting (2) 239:7;253:15 requests (1) 124:17 require (3) 136:9:255:16:275:5 required (8) 71:20;108:2;122:7; 135:10,25;201:19; 221:25;265:5 requirement (3) 72:1;74:1,7 **Requirements (6)** 47:15;71:6;73:1,1; 87:6:131:15 requires (1) 121:1 requiring (2) 52:11,13 reread (2) 226:13;285:8 research (15) 32:10,12,14,19,22; 34:14;35:17;36:3; 37:18;41:22;43:1; 62:21:63:22:69:4; 216:16 researchers (1) 65:6 reserve (1) 284:24 residence (1) 212:20 residences (3) 79:16;83:9;96:25 resident (3) 8:2;84:12;212:10 residential (11) 25:18;54:17;71:1; 79:20;89:9;90:7,9; 95:17;197:11,17; 198:13 residents (9) 83:1,1;90:10,10; 105:19;117:23,23; 227:6,9 resist (2) 186:22.23 resistance (1) 217:16 resolution (2) 255:18,19 resolved (1) 245:10 resonate (1) 51:17 respect (8) 26:1;28:11;110:15; 174:12;196:17;201:18;

222:12;276:19	33:22;42:23;52:22;	157:5,12;159:5,8,15,	115:4;120:2;123:4,5,	138:16;141:5,8;142:9;
respective (1)	61:10;138:10,19;139:1	23;160:15,21,25;	11;139:20;142:1,11,	145:8,13;146:1;
34:23	reviewed (7)	161:19;162:9;163:24;	13;143:2,10,12;	148:16,22;175:4,7;
respects (1)	35:11;46:14;54:3;	165:6,6;166:1,19;	151:20;153:21;154:10;	178:14;191:23;192:11;
224:5	61:11;80:13;138:10,13	167:15,25;171:21;	157:2,10,12;159:2,3,4;	193:8;198:11;199:3;
respiratory (4)	reviewing (1)	175:11;176:9,10,12;	160:1;163:15,15;	201:17;202:1,4,14;
82:9;118:17,18;	264:10	177:6,11;178:3,20;	167:3;168:2;169:12,	242:10;252:17,20,23;
128:13	revised (4)	181:4;183:1,24;	25;170:1;187:16,21;	254:16,22;277:16,20;
respond (5)	172:3;233:10;234:2;	188:25;190:21;193:23,	188:18;189:7,15;	287:21;295:13,17,20;
84:20;87:14;194:8;	277:25	25;194:17;195:10;	198:9;199:16,18,18,18,	296:2,7,10,14,17,21;
240:23;253:23	rezone (3)	197:22;199:1,8,11;	19;201:7;203:21;	297:3,8,11,13,17
respondent (1)	100:14;102:2;109:5	203:14,18;204:19,20;	233:9;246:1;248:21;	roughly (2)
42:20	rezoned (2)	205:8,11,16,17,19;	251:14;252:12	237:14,14
respondents (3)	101:25;102:1	206:12,15,17;208:20;	roads (3)	route (1)
36:21;41:8;63:15	rezoning (2)	209:17;212:4;213:23;	182:21;199:23,23	104:20
response (3)	100:9;273:19	217:1;218:3;219:1;	roadside (1)	routinely (1)
11:25;16:1;298:1	Richmond (4)	221:1;228:12;230:16,	13:14	232:11
responsibility (1)	178:10;179:14;	18,19,23;231:2,9,13;	roadway (1)	Royal (2)
121:20	180:3;195:8	235:9;236:3;241:15;	249:1	40:9,14
responsible (2)	riddled (1)	242:3,5,9;243:5;244:7;	rock (1)	rule (8)
120:7;166:12	225:24	246:6,11,15;247:19;	205:5	134:8;174:14,16,18,
rest (4)	right (315)	248:12;250:8;252:19,	Rockville (9)	18,21;175:2;176:4
23:12;64:16;120:9;	7:25;8:20;10:23;	22;256:11;257:16;	78:20;81:7;97:7;	ruled (1)
180:6	11:19,25;13:21;15:9,	259:6,11,23;261:23;	117:19;281:8,24;	62:11
restate (1)	20;16:10,20,23;17:6,9,			rules (3)
136:6		262:1,5,8;263:24;	282:14;288:22,25	
	12;20:25;21:8,15;	265:1,20;266:22,24;	role (1)	168:20;216:5;233:5
restatement (2)	23:12,21,22;24:6;27:7;	267:7,8,13;274:9;	79:21	ruling (1)
211:20;213:13	30:16;31:2,22;34:11,	278:7;279:10,14;	roll (2)	15:3
restaurant (1)	21;35:25,25;36:23;	280:9,14,20;281:5;	204:22;205:5	run (10)
42:18	37:5,13,17,24;38:17;	282:18;285:6,19;	room (5)	61:2;62:14;90:11;
restaurants (4)	43:5,9,23;44:12;45:2,	286:1,7;287:19,23;	6:16;157:7;161:5,14;	97:3,11,15;107:13;
110.22.120.25.	24.46.020.40.10.			
119:22;129:25;	24;46:9,20;49:10;	288:5,5;292:4;293:15;	201:9	166:7;189:16;272:8
130:4,7	50:16,22;51:19;52:16;	295:10;297:24;298:2,	root (3)	running (5)
130:4,7 restricting (1)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14;
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1)	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2)	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2)	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13;	<pre>root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12,</pre>	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24;	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18;	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44)
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21;
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 111:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4; 139:23;141:14,16;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4;
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16 retire (2)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 11:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4; 139:23;141:14,16; 142:8;143:10;144:12,	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1) 70:2	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3; 88:19;97:19;98:1,5,8,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4; 100:12;107:12;127:14;
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16 retire (2) 263:25;264:4	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1) 70:2 Road (62)	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3; 88:19;97:19;98:1,5,8, 13,15;103:25;104:3;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4; 100:12;107:12;127:14; 131:23;141:25;142:14;
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16 retire (2) 263:25;264:4 return (3)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 11:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 14:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4; 139:23;141:14,16; 142:8;143:10;144:12, 14;147:16,20;148:3,13, 25;150:1,13;152:24;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1) 70:2 Road (62) 6:8;17:19,20,23;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3; 88:19;97:19;98:1,5,8, 13,15;103:25;104:3; 106:4;108:17;110:8,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4; 100:12;107:12;127:14; 131:23;141:25;142:14; 150:24;153:14;154:3,
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16 retire (2) 263:25;264:4	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 11:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4; 139:23;141:14,16; 142:8;143:10;144:12, 14;147:16,20;148:3,13, 25;150:1,13;152:24; 153:3,6;154:23,23;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1) 70:2 Road (62) 6:8;17:19,20,23; 26:8,25;70:22;81:11;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3; 88:19;97:19;98:1,5,8, 13,15;103:25;104:3;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4; 100:12;107:12;127:14; 131:23;141:25;142:14; 150:24;153:14;154:3, 6;155:12;161:5,7;
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16 retire (2) 263:25;264:4 return (3) 16:3;50:5;149:10 review (11)	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 11:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 14:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4; 139:23;141:14,16; 142:8;143:10;144:12, 14;147:16,20;148:3,13, 25;150:1,13;152:24;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1) 70:2 Road (62) 6:8;17:19,20,23;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3; 88:19;97:19;98:1,5,8, 13,15;103:25;104:3; 106:4;108:17;110:8,	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4; 100:12;107:12;127:14; 131:23;141:25;142:14; 150:24;153:14;154:3,
130:4,7 restricting (1) 82:14 resubmitted (1) 27:13 result (2) 25:8;67:10 results (4) 20:15,17;34:25;35:7 resume (2) 6:14;16:24 résumé (5) 51:13;213:24; 241:20,22,24 resumed (2) 6:13;149:18 retail (5) 23:1;79:16;95:14,18; 96:15 retain (2) 96:20;210:14 retained (1) 110:5 retaining (2) 100:12;101:16 retire (2) 263:25;264:4 return (3) 16:3;50:5;149:10	50:16,22;51:19;52:16; 53:14,25;54:19;55:7,8; 57:5,24;58:15,21; 60:15;62:16,24;63:3; 68:2,4,14;69:2,11,20; 70:6,21,22;71:1;75:3; 76:24;77:18;78:11,24, 25;79:2,9,12,14;85:13, 21;86:14,19,23;88:16, 20,22;89:21;90:9,15, 22;91:3,15,21;96:7,21; 97:1,7;99:1,17;100:8, 11;101:2,18;102:1,3,3, 7,15,23;103:14;104:4; 105:2;106:21;107:14; 108:18;109:23;110:13; 11:3;112:1,15,18,20; 113:1,4,6,8,9,21,24; 114:3,5,19,25;115:1; 116:25;117:21;119:4; 122:9,11,24;123:2,5,6, 8,9,16,23;124:6,22; 125:10,12,24,25; 126:20,20,21;136:4; 139:23;141:14,16; 142:8;143:10;144:12, 14;147:16,20;148:3,13, 25;150:1,13;152:24; 153:3,6;154:23,23;	295:10;297:24;298:2, 10,15 right-hand (3) 161:9;180:4;279:21 rigorous (3) 56:6,20;57:12 ring (36) 17:19,20,23;26:8,25; 103:9;115:4;120:2; 123:4,5,10;139:20; 141:25;142:11,13; 143:2;157:2,10,12; 159:1,3,4;160:1; 163:15;167:3;169:12; 187:16,21;188:18; 189:7;199:16,18; 201:7;203:21;251:14; 252:12 rise (2) 82:11;158:5 rising (1) 80:22 risk (3) 167:6;268:9;285:25 risks (1) 70:2 Road (62) 6:8;17:19,20,23; 26:8,25;70:22;81:11;	root (3) 267:14,15;268:9 roots (1) 268:21 rose (2) 158:6,8 ROSENFELD (159) 7:9,9,11;8:18;10:24; 11:20,24;13:7,16; 15:11,12,21;16:5,8,12, 22;17:11,13,16;20:24; 21:24;28:8;30:12,19; 31:23;32:5,8,23;33:10, 16;34:3,6;38:6,12; 39:9,23;40:6,11,15,19, 25;42:8;43:8,14,17; 44:3,6,9;45:3,5,8,9,17, 22,25;46:5,12,21;47:4, 7;48:9,15;49:16,21; 50:4,7,11,15,17,21; 52:17,19;53:15,18,21, 24;54:2;57:25;58:22; 59:3;60:5,7,9;62:6,12, 25;66:22;70:14;73:15; 75:13,22,25;76:3; 88:19;97:19;98:1,5,8, 13,15;103:25;104:3; 106:4;108:17;110:8, 14;112:22;122:13,14;	running (5) 83:19;130:6,14; 250:15,16 runs (1) 268:9 S S-2863 (2) 6:4;209:11 sadly (1) 16:18 Safe (1) 46:8 safely (2) 120:25;121:12 safety (2) 111:21;271:14 salami (1) 227:19 sales (3) 83:14;247:17;249:14 same (44) 42:9,19;55:19,21; 60:22;61:6,6;97:4; 100:12;107:12;127:14; 131:23;141:25;142:14; 150:24;153:14;154:3, 6;155:12;161:5,7;

244:25;245:6;258:6;	Scharr
260:3;262:10;268:7;	285:
277:11;278:2;282:5;	schedu
285:16,21,22;287:9;	8:6
289:3,5 sample (1)	school 30:1
272:25	83:1
sat (2)	115:
168:14;217:25	116:
satellite (1)	119:
163:5	121::
satisfied (3)	123:
219:20;227:5,7	127:
saturated (1)	137:
220:21 Saturday (3)	144: 21;1-
163:10;203:19,19	school
SAVAGE (3)	115:
7:24,24;196:3	School
save (2)	146:
83:8;200:6	school
savings (1)	122:
57:18	science
saw (9) 150:20;156:5,20;	11:4 291:
162:14;183:2,12,14;	scienti
207:22;228:23	11:2
saying (82)	7;61
14:7;52:8;69:18;	216:
72:22;74:5,6;86:17;	290:
96:24;101:12;122:25; 137:10,10;138:3;	scienti 25:6
141:21;142:6;143:8,	scienti
16,19;144:2;155:23;	12:1
162:25;166:4,9,21,25;	213:
171:23;173:20;181:1;	278:
183:24;184:12;186:1,	290:
7,23,24;187:8,18;	3,3,5
188:7,19;189:20; 190:4;194:4;213:19;	294: scienti
214:19;219:12;221:22;	84:1
222:18,20;223:9;	278:
224:6;226:2,3;230:22;	292:
231:7;235:19,21;	scooch
236:10;237:25;239:24;	165:
240:7;245:23;247:3; 249:4,6,15;253:17;	scope (11:9
256:15;260:2,15,16;	14:1
263:13,19;269:1;	106:
270:9,11;275:18;	146:
282:10,10;283:4,5;	scores
284:14;289:18;290:1	83:2
scale (5)	scratch
85:8;104:12;109:4; 172:14;198:4	107: screen
scaled (1)	219:
198:14	se (4)
scaler (1)	14:1
190:19	244:
scenario (3)	season
197:2;199:15;247:5 scenarios (2)	163: 201:
181.23.186.15	18.1

Scharman (1) 285:9	seat (2) 78:12,17
scheduled (1)	second (40)
8:6	6:15;13:1;29:21;
school (51)	47:20,22,25;48:1,
30:15;42:17;65:16;	92:17;93:12;95:5
83:10;114:11,12,14,17;	97:19;104:7;119:
115:6,8,14,14,18,25;	132:7;134:5;140:
116:6;117:3;118:1,15;	145:11;146:4;158
119:18,20;120:1,12,23;	190:10;193:21;20
121:5,14;122:15,21,25;	25;213:9;219:2;2
	254:13;257:10;25
123:1,3;124:9;125:13;	
127:24;135:1,11;	24;267:8;274:10;
137:1,9;140:2,3,6,9,13;	277:25;278:18;27
144:16,18,23;145:4,7,	10;281:2;283:16
21;147:11;148:4;211:3	secondly (1)
school-age (2)	56:1
115:22;119:15	seconds (2)
Schools (1)	139:21;143:4
146:3	Section (9)
school-siting (1)	6:5;96:23;157:2,1
122:4	195:4;233:5;257:
science (5)	259:7;260:1
11:4;188:12;290:16;	sections (1)
291:6;294:20	157:3
scientific (16)	Sector (25)
11:2,4,7;45:20;46:3,	79:14;80:13;85:6
7;61:18,25;67:2;121:8;	89:25;94:7,10,19,
216:19,20;289:25;	95:2;97:20;100:2
290:22;294:7,14	101:10;108:22;10
scientifically (2)	261:5,20;263:4,10
25:6;290:24	18,21;264:19;265
scientist (22)	269:22
12:13;211:10;	sectors (1)
213:14;220:19;276:22;	84:5
278:15;280:23;283:3;	seduce (1)
290:5,7,14,23;291:2,2,	94:1
3,3,5,8,14,25;293:18;	seeing (5)
294:10	84:20;218:17,20;
scientists (9)	219:17;232:13
84:18;209:13;	seeking (1)
278:16;290:20;291:22;	217:5
292:8,9,10,20	seeks (1)
scooch (1)	210:19
165:10	seem (6)
scope (11)	71:12,13;185:1,2
11:9;12:11;13:2;	270:25;271:16
14:19;15:1;103:25;	seemed (1)
106:5,7;145:8,13;	281:13
146:7	seems (2)
scores (1)	149:1;173:7
83:22	seizure (1)
scratch (1)	116:10
107:11	selected (1)
screen (1)	191:18
219:8	selection (1)
se (4)	65:2
14:11;137:17;	sell (2)
244:12;274:20	24:25;76:21
season (8)	selled (1)
163:11;169:16;	285:17
201:23;202:23;203:1,	sells (1)
18,19,25	193:9

send (5) 166:17;249:2; 253:16:295:23.25 seniors (1) ,25;48:1,2,3; 80:23 :12;95:5; sense (23) 4:7;119:10; 52:25;55:25;61:21; 4:5;140:25; 107:6,7;131:20; 46:4;158:24; 137:20,24;148:18; 93:21:209:24, 170:10;192:1;214:1, ;219:2;242:2; 25;223:22;224:9,16; 57:10;259:4, 229:14:230:4:247:1: ;274:10; 258:14;263:22;266:17; 78:18;279:6, 272:24 sensitive (7) 83:15;135:10;136:1, 11;137:1,2;138:4 sent (1) 117:9 sentence (2) 3:157:2,10: 96:10;210:24 3:5;257:12; separate (8) 65:20;66:15;98:14; 100:13;153:19;158:24; 251:9;274:17 separated (1)):13;85:6; 68:17 :7,10,19,21; separately (2) 20;100:21,25; 288:24,25 08:22:109:1; separation (1) :263:4.10,17, 67:20 4:19:265:3: September (1) 9:2 sequence (1) 258:24 Series (3) 34:14;232:17;267:5 serious (7) 8:17.20: 25:7;121:6;225:7,8; 244:11:284:9,11 seriously (1) 258:5 serve (2) 105:18;250:19 served (1) ;185:1,2; 83:11 service (10) 18:19;19:4,21,25; 22:3,16;128:3;233:22, 23;256:15 serviced (3) 19:1.25:22:22 services (5) 79:21;166:16; 248:25;256:8,17 serving (1) 83:12 session (4) 6:14;10:14,16;49:12 set (15) 114:24;136:10,16; 153:20;167:7;171:4; 182:17;189:19,25;

195:9:205:4:246:8: 293:2,24;294:14 setback (3) 109:19,20,22 setbacks (1) 198:12 sets (4) 172:6;174:20;179:7; 289:2 seven (3) 159:20,22,24 seven-foot-wide (1) 160:3 several (6) 13:4,14;30:17;43:18; 198:3;276:17 severely (2) 82:14;117:17 shallow (2) 278:23;282:6 shape (3) 271:15;278:23; 284:23 share (3) 77:3;100:6,7 shared-use (1) 80:15 sharing (2) 113:3;147:25 sharp (1) 20:13 SHEARD (8) 7:20,20,21;85:20; 121:24;177:17;286:9, 10 Sheveiko (6) 267:9,18;272:14,17; 281:13,20 Sheveiko's (1) 267:10 shifting (1) 27:22 shop (8) 22:21;89:15;91:15, 17;93:4,16;120:10; 142:7 shopped (2) 19:3;22:21 shoppers (1) 105:11 shopping (3) 79:19;89:10;104:18 short (6) 150:21;190:4; 202:25;216:2;223:15; 274:5 shortcuts (1) 57:21 shot (1) 208:19 shots (1) 154:22 shovels (1)

181:23;186:15

273:16

117:14

298:18

298:4

295:3

59:18 show (33) 94:23;122:19; 131:17;144:8;153:10; 171:21,25;172:20; 178:8;185:7;194:13; 196:14,16;207:20; silent (4) 210:22;219:18;221:9; 240:1;241:2,3;260:13; 265:12:278:13,18; Silver (4) 279:1,18;280:18; 281:3,8,11:292:24; 293:6;294:15 show-cause (2) 249:3,7 showed (5) 190:19,22;196:19; 219:9;271:18 showing (11) 36:21;131:21; 153:12;159:25;183:25; 184:1:194:15:212:5: 279:20;283:24;289:6 shown (6) 121:11;158:23; 184:6,17;195:3;288:24 shows (9) similar (2) 36:16;54:5;121:9; 159:6;164:19;183:20; 281:22:282:14,19 shrink (2) simple (5) 107:12.22 shut (2) 167:17.21 Sick (1) 115:15 side (40) 15:2,14;33:8,13; 34:8;48:5;49:7;52:12, 22;53:3;62:22;74:12; 81:5;102:14,23;103:2, 8;115:1;125:17,18; 126:2;143:2,10,12; single (8) 147:11,11;157:6; 159:7;180:5,18,19; 189:8;220:11,25; 265:23,24;266:6,7,8; 279:23 sit (2) sides (1) 145:9 site (52) sidewalk (2) 126:21.22 Sierra (16) 8:11;77:14;78:8; 79:4,10;80:5;82:6; 83:5;85:14;90:17,21, 23;91:1;107:19; 110:21;113:2 sign (3) 115:14:116:4:154:18 significance (3) 66:11;153:1;257:8 significant (12)

8:5:52:1:56:1:64:7: **Sites** (11) 70:7:83:25:157:1: 34:21:36:4.9:42:1: 173:14,15;193:3; 72:10;178:9;182:3,9, 231:19:275:1 17:281:3.4 significantly (2) siting (9) 54:18:234:3 232:5;243:17,18,18, 21;244:13;245:7,8; 51:25:52:4:74:11; 276:4 sitting (10) 27:6;31:17;132:1,23; 6:9;65:9;81:7; 161:6;166:14;168:8; 170:2,19;244:5 SILVERMAN (42) situation (18) 7:12,12,14;8:7;11:1, 54:10;65:12;66:12; 3,21;12:5;14:9,17; 69:13;83:21;136:14; 15:5,7,8;20:23;34:17; 156:20;158:16;169:24; 189:25;190:3;192:2; 35:20,24;85:23; 108:16;122:2,8,9; 200:12;224:15;263:15; 268:17;275:25;287:1 135:12,14;136:2,3; 148:23;177:20;186:11; situations (7) 204:22;224:4;242:12; 36:10,10;54:25; 277:9.11.14.17.19: 158:17;286:24;295:2,6 292:5,7;293:15,17; Six (6) 30:4,23;151:5; Silverman's (1) 159:21;198:15;272:24 size (6) 29:2;70:24;104:12; 18:22;76:20 109:4;131:24;154:6 similarly (3) skim (1) 81:22:248:13:271:11 133:14 skimmed (1) 119:24:198:21: 139:3 213:7,8:236:7 skip (5) simply (19) 218:12:225:1: 257:10;276:16;284:3 11:10;27:25;28:18; 40:1;94:3;156:7; skipping (1) 244:15 202:24;209:23;211:16; 214:19;217:2;218:19; slash (1) 253:3;265:25;278:13; 73:22 282:1;283:4;294:5; slicing (1) 227:19 simultaneously (2) slide (63) 108:13;262:15 207:20;208:9,9; 209:3,4,12;211:15; 25:15;156:17;180:7; 212:5;213:13;214:11, 187:4;207:14,14; 11;218:13,13;219:5,7, 208:7;216:3 9;222:15;225:1,21; 228:20,21;231:15; 26:10;189:9 232:24;233:19;241:2, 3;242:14;244:16; 6:8;30:2;71:25; 251:1;256:4;257:11; 73:13,17;87:23;88:3; 258:23.23:259:2: 89:21,23;90:3,3,8,14; 266:24,25;274:10; 91:13,15;92:4,5;95:23; 277:1,24,25,25;278:2, 96:20;100:12;101:25; 5,13,19;279:1,4,4,17, 104:17,25;109:2; 22;280:21,21,22; 110:5;121:3;181:24. 281:11,22,23;282:14, 25;182:1,14,15,16,25; 19,21;283:18;287:8,9; 187:17,19;197:19; 292:16 203:25;212:2,8,10,20; slides (12) 228:19;229:16;230:3; 207:13;208:5;209:5; 255:7:274:7.8.20; 219:8;244:15;274:6; 276:9,10,15;281:9 276:17;277:4;279:9;

280:18,19;284:3 slightly (5) 32:9;217:19,20; 222:7:285:21 slime (2) 241:18;242:1 slope (1) 292:18 slopes (1) 293:5 sloppy (1) 231:24 slosh (1) 14:22 slow (1) 169:4 slowly (2) 120:25;282:10 small (18) 84:23;96:23;105:20; 151:7:154:4:162:2; 172:21:180:19:181:7. 8;191:1;194:21;195:2; 213:3;227:8;250:23; 267:19;287:15 smaller (9) 99:24;101:11; 104:23;131:7,8,12,17; 132:3.24 smart (6) 80:8;81:12;85:5; 101:1.2.12 Smarter (2) 94:12:107:8 smell (2) 31:12;106:22 smoke (1) 264:7smoking (1) 264:5 sneak (1) 206:20 so-and-so (1) 294:23 social (1) 69:9 soda (7) 243:22,22,23,24; 244:2,4,8 sodium (1) 220:21 software (1) 280:8 soil (5) 272:25;273:2,4,6,6 sold (1) 246:4 solicited (1) 69:8 solution (3) 164:16;218:21; 220:21 solve (3)

164:24;217:6,10 somebody (14) 116:20;166:8,25; 167:2,18,20;168:10,17, 21;170:18;190:2; 201:10;205:22;227:22 somehow (2) 146:8:173:6 someone (11) 161:23;186:7; 189:14;196:2;200:20, 24:220:23;228:7,11; 257:25;266:6 someone's (2) 186:20;248:18 someplace (1) 126:8 sometimes (8) 124:19;125:15; 158:14,15;189:21; 200:22;203:20;213:17 somewhat (7) 44:22;66:20;92:13; 96:25;128:11;154:25; 273:20 somewhere (1) 30:23 sonotube (2) 266:5.18 sonotubes (6) 265:21,22;268:2,5, 22:269:8 soon (2)80:16;203:22 sorry (38) 21:8;26:16;30:5; 43:25;45:5;67:25; 70:22;98:3,11,15; 99:25;100:25;102:18; 107:17;113:16;128:6; 132:12;133:6;134:9; 144:25;158:21;180:24; 181:1;191:19,24; 197:18,23,24;198:22; 236:25;259:3,12; 261:14;268:3;277:17, 18;291:17;298:11 sort (17) 10:1;13:3;64:10; 150:24,24;151:6; 153:13;155:15;164:14; 169:20;170:4,21; 208:2;210:7;269:3; 271:12;293:11 sorts (1) 123:21 sound (3) 26:23;116:24;121:7 sounds (3) 148:24;242:10,12 source (4) 210:16,21;259:8; 294:4

288:21

109:22

136:22

275:11

116:3

169:4

78:21

216:7

204:11

244:8

188:10

248:18

29:3

sources (3) 29:20:61:22.24 south (8) 21:12;115:4;124:24, 25;125:2,3;197:11,13 southeast (1) 123:12 southeastern (1) 114:20 southern (7) 31:19;103:8;159:1,3, 4:251:14:252:12 Southwestern (1) 125:2 **sp** (1) 207:22 **space** (11) 150:14;151:11,16; 154:9;159:16,19; 160:3;161:2;164:2; 183:8;197:7 spaces (9) 100:13;108:2,14; 180:19;183:8,19; 203:21;262:21,22 speak (8) 32:24;90:25;138:5; 146:2,14;203:20; 261:10;276:2 speaking (7) 114:6,13,15;146:20; 154:4:198:1:248:11 speaks (2) 80:13:232:23 speech (1) special (76) 6:5;19:8;27:10;29:5; speed (2) 31:25:64:13:87:8.11. 20,22,23;88:3,5;90:3; speeds (1) 110:10,11;114:9,24,25; 115:19;117:10;120:12; spell (1) 121:1,17;130:19,20; 131:16,24;137:6; spend (4) 155:2;164:9;166:16; 167:6,9,14;170:10,12; spent (1) 197:10;198:9;202:20; 203:13;209:7;212:2,7, 10,15;228:19;230:3; spill (3) 245:3;247:11;251:7, 21;254:5,7,19;255:3,6, 7,10,12,17,18,20; spilled (1) 256:1,8:262:16; 273:13,13,18,22; 274:11;275:15;276:12; 281:7;286:22;287:5 special-ed (1) spills (4) 136:19 specialist (1) 114:12 spoke (9) special-needs (3) 83:10;115:22;117:13 specific (30) 14:16;41:9;56:12; 71:15;72:1;74:7;84:7;

105:23:112:5.7; sports (1) 220:23 117:11:121:8:128:13: 136:18:137:3:139:8.8; spot (2) 217:6,7;224:23; 120:8;201:9 225:21;226:7;243:18, Spring (4) 21;260:14;272:14; 6:9;65:10;81:7; 276:20,23;281:21; 117:14 square-foot (1) specifically (25) 96:5 13:13,16,20:45:18; stack (1) 71:24;72:8;95:6; 40:18 117:10;119:12;120:22; stacks (2) 136:14;137:22;182:25; 28:6.6 185:15,23;196:22; staff (18) 216:25;226:22;234:21; 80:12;85:2;116:2,16; 243:17;247:1;249:19, 119:25;120:22;212:11; 24;267:22;277:1 216:10;227:11,14; specifics (5) 228:9,11;244:23; 13:10;63:25;139:4; 245:21;251:19;267:22; 222:2;298:7 269:24;270:12 specified (1) stage (1) 44:18 specify (1) stand (5) 16:24;226:12;229:1: specter (1) 266:6.7 standard (10) speculate (2) 29:6;115:8;121:3; 41:9;44:22 174:21;175:7,8,10,11; speculation (2) 293:23.25 268:16:270:24 standards (12) speculative (4) 11:1:12:24,24:13:8; 56:16.17:57:19.20: 41:10.10:42:3: 134:25:135:9.25; 136:10 standing (7) 31:17;162:6;169:22; 199:20,21 170:6;265:22;266:8; 272:7 stands (2) 35:18;226:14 start (12) 102:19:148:19; 187:10;214:10; 150:16;156:24;163:22; 233:16;264:19 169:2;187:6;188:10; 206:24,25;222:9; 280:12 started (2) 150:17;165:12;244:4 53:19;115:9 spillage (1) starts (3) 95:8;116:19;161:15 state (12) 17:3;37:19;38:10; spilling (4) 64:2;78:18;80:8;83:24; 151:15,18;163:9; 111:18;113:9;114:13; 147:3;205:11 stated (7) 163:14;272:5,8,8 14:8;83:19;108:5; 172:8;201:20;240:9; 76:12;115:10,12; 244:22 119:1;245:21;250:5; **Stated-Preference** (4) 285:23;286:20,21 34:14;35:17;36:1; spoken (1) 41:24 statement (29)

9:21:22:7:23:2; 193:5,9,15:195:10,14, 29:14:63:12:101:8: 21,22,24;196:5,25; 103:16:108:16:133:21; 197:5;204:1,3;227:4; 134:3;141:9,22;210:7, 232:4,5,16,25;233:24; 8,9;211:16;218:22,24; 237:16,18;239:14; 219:2;222:14;223:15; 243:18;244:12;245:8; 224:1;226:16;231:16; 251:25;256:8,13,16,25; 233:7,10:234:1; 272:2;274:14,23; 261:16,20 275:11;276:5,8,15; statements (9) 285:17:286:2,4 9:20;223:20;225:16, stations (60) 29:19:36:15.15; 24;226:2;229:20,22; 232:18;247:6 37:15;41:11;51:25; states (2) 52:5;54:8,13,14,15; 11:15;26:23 55:2;64:13,20;65:11; stating (1) 69:6,24,25;70:4;71:1, 288:17 14,24;72:9;73:17,23; station (245) 81:19;82:5;83:12;92:2, 6:7;18:9,19,21;23:1, 9;93:12,19,23;103:17, 2,6,9,11,12,17,25; 23;104:9,20;105:3,15, 24:10,12;25:18;26:15, 18;107:2;109:2;164:5, 17;28:4,25;29:2,10,23; 13,15;165:17;169:24; 30:2;31:9,21;32:15; 179:23,23;182:8; 36:22;42:6,17,19,20; 183:5,7,25;184:18; 45:12;46:8;54:17,19; 186:24;192:2,13,16; 55:14,15,23;57:10; 194:13;277:15 58:9;59:9,10;60:10,13, station's (4) 20;61:13,16,17;63:16, 18:10;22:4;83:9,17 17,19;64:5,9,10,11,13; Statistically (2) 66:9,13:69:14:70:5,20, 248:11,12 21,23,24;72:2,12,21; status (1) 73:3.8:74:7.12.15.19: 11:7 75:2:76:14,20:80:3,7, stay (3) 17,20;81:5,9,14,16,18, 117:7;287:24;288:2 23,25;82:5,7;83:11,18, staving (1) 22;84:14;85:3,5;86:25; 166:10 92:7.13:94:1.3.5: steep (1) 95:16;97:12;98:22; 283:8 99:3;102:9,10,13,19, steeply (1) 21.23;103:19.23; 278:20 104:10,13,23;105:15, steepness (1) 20,21,22,24;106:14,14, 282:22 16;108:21;109:19; step (5) 78:12;84:25;222:23; 110:11;111:11,19,25; 112:3;114:10,18; 223:1;249:5 Stephen (9) 115:7;117:15,18,24; 114:11;117:24; 118:6,20,22;119:5; 121:4,10,17;130:24; 121:5,13;135:1,11; 131:3,4,9,12,17,25; 136:25;137:9;143:5 132:3,6,25;133:1,2,8; stepping (1) 134:2;139:15,20; 218:19 140:18;142:3,12,14,16; steps (1) 143:3;151:13;153:23; 167:21 154:12,12,15,17,23; Sterling (6) 155:1;157:4,9,18,21, 156:5;162:11; 22;158:16;159:7; 172:13;177:25;187:24; 160:7;161:21;163:18, 191:13 23;164:1;166:7; Steven (1) 167:17;169:11,15,21, 121:17 25;170:5,15;179:11; stick (1) 180:18,20,22;181:10, 176:13 20;184:11;185:16; stigmatized (1) 191:13,15;192:25; 65:23

79:25

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHOLESAL
~49D (1.4)	
still (14)	strongly (1)
17:6;33:2;38:15,22;	85:6
61:7;101:19;131:15;	struck (1)
155:12,13;207:24,25;	262:4
233:10;262:17;283:19	structures (1)
stipulated (1)	97:9
272:20	struggles (1)
stomach (2)	121:15
244:3,3	stuck (1)
stone (1)	66:7
211:22	student (7)
stood (1)	114:15;116
162:14	118:23;119:
Stop (11)	25
7:13,16;85:22;126:8;	students (31)
142:7;143:14;144:2,	114:25;115:
10;151:21;190:10;	116:9;117:9
208:8	119:10,13,1
storage (10)	21;121:17;1
34:17;35:19,22,24;	124:12,14;1
36:4,9;41:16;42:1;	127:13,23;1
44:21;57:11	22,23;144:3
store (37)	216:24;217
82:4;106:1,3,11;	219:9
111:1;149:25,25;	studies (11)
150:7,25;151:5;	32:14;33:12
153:22,23;154:11,13,	112:12;119:
18,20,24;164:7,20;	121:8,11;24
165:7;181:19;186:3;	293:21,22
195:7;234:11,16,17,22;	study (11)
235:13,16;236:2;	32:10;35:6;
237:13,17;238:9,11,19;	37:16,20;63
239:15;274:19	65:8;67:7;1
stores (1)	stuff (1)
164:15	136:22
storm-tracking (1)	stupid (1)
293:11	213:9
stormwater (12)	subject (6)
258:1;267:9,20,23,	6:8;35:11;4
24;268:19;270:14,14;	121:3;211:5
271:25;272:3,9,15	subjecting (1)
story (1)	83:7
258:22	submission (2
straight (1)	10:9;86:17
155:11	submit (5)
straighten (1)	9:12,21;33:
240:23	274:17;295
straightforward (2)	submitted (4)
286:25;287:1	27:13;55:6;
strain (1)	195:20
119:8	submitting (1
strange (1)	295:22
203:4	suboptimal (1
strangled (1)	164:11
158:11	subsequently
straw (1)	153:8
119:7	subsidizing (1
street (2)	107:15
126:20;198:8	substance (1)
stressing (1)	276:19
284:16	substantial (1
strike (1)	81:16
43:6	substantially

	153:16;195:4;235:14 substantive (1)
)	271:2 substantively (1) 271:9
.)	subtracts (1) 37:10
	successfully (1)
	217:16 such-as-this (1)
5:10,12;	135:23 sucked (1)
):10,12,):19;127:17,	213:9
)	sudden (1) 191:5
5:17,23; 9,25;	suddenly (2) 93:22;101:22
18;120:16,	suffer (1)
122:15,16; 126:12,16;	128:23 sufficient (2)
128:12,17,	266:4;275:19
3,6,12; 7:7;218:18;	sufficiently (3) 137:15;220:10;
,_10110,	292:21
2,19;	suggest (6) 172:7;185:2,4;
):11;120:21;	188:20;194:1;275:23
41:24;	suggested (7) 18:21;19:8;42:18;
;36:8,12;	59:20;188:25;245:2; 254:5
3:10;64:4;	suggesting (15)
131:21	73:4,6;93:20,24; 142:4;183:6;190:12;
	231:3;237:6;249:12,
	13,19,24;270:24; 276:11
45:1;	suggestion (6) 55:1;147:2;158:22;
5,14	160:2;163:17;164:23
)	suggestive (1) 289:7
2)	suggests (2)
	193:12;289:22 Sullivan (20)
:13; 5:21	12:18,19;169:7; 171:5;175:24;190:18;
	276:21;277:24;278:15;
;82:3;	280:16;281:2;283:3, 12;288:11;289:12;
1)	290:11,13;291:4;
1)	292:13;293:20 Sullivan's (18)
y (1)	12:17,20,21;26:1,2; 27:8,15,20;53:4;
1)	138:11,13;276:18,20; 280:22,24;281:25;
	282:14;294:3
)	summarizes (1) 84:18
1)	summary (3) 121:1;210:8;233:1
v (3)	summer (3)

117:3,5;118:16
sun (1) 123:20
supplied (2)
43:12,15 supply (4)
44:16;152:8;225:12; 298:6
support (5)
89:18;266:6;270:24; 282:11;285:5
supporting (4) 22:14;82:2;84:9;
86:10
suppose (3)
167:22;244:4;273:3 supposed (8)
8:14;19:11;49:23,25;
124:16;159:20;175:2;
186:12 sure (61)
14:3;16:12,17,22;
19:6;20:11;23:21;
25:12,23;28:13;30:6; 32:20;34:7;36:5,11;
49:8,22;52:9,15;53:5;
58:25;61:14;64:22;
67:4;87:12;110:24; 114:6;132:23;136:8,8,
8;137:21;138:7;
153:14;155:3;165:18;
166:23;167:3;173:10; 174:3;183:25;187:9;
190:14;192:25;202:16;
208:9;217:22;224:8; 234:25;241:8;246:24;
248:19,20;256:6;
260:10;262:19;275:20;
286:19;288:4;292:2; 296:13
surface (3)
203:12;272:5,8
surprise (5) 9:16;52:11,13;62:22;
208:4
surprised (3) 56:23;90:2;115:12
surprising (1)
93:6
surrounding (2) 80:18;182:20
survey (6)
36:12;41:10;61:19; 63:5,12;69:1
surveyed (1) 52:1
surveys (2) 32:11;84:18
suspect (2)
53:21;295:21 sustain (3)
104:4;146:6,10
sustainable (1)

sustained (1) 13:19 swath (1) 198:2 sway (2) 88:1;255:5 swig (1) 243:22 swimming (1) 83:10 swing (1) 114:24 sworn (5) 16:25;79:1;113:23; 149:17;205:18 system (7) 92:19;170:4;199:15; 246:2;267:15;271:25; 272:4 systems (1) 268:9 system's (1) 147:11 Т T-11 (1) 84:11 T-9(1) 84:8 tabbed (4) 38:19;39:3,15,16 table (6) 28:4;131:5,5;244:5, 5.9 Takoma (1) 117:14 talk (13) 12:14;61:15;63:7; 135:19;155:1,20; 173:9;174:11;207:11; 208:2;211:7;212:16; 233:16 talked (7) 12:6;28:9;64:17; 65:6;70:4;77:13; 233:18 talking (35) 27:15,18;33:24; 43:10,11;49:15;54:12, 15,25;55:4,21,22; 70:23;89:9,9;152:17; 156:9,12;168:16; 172:2;175:5,6;178:24; 182:11,24;201:24; 202:22;210:2;217:13; 226:8;227:23;229:12; 234:21;243:23;266:20 tangential (3) 109:11;146:8;217:25 tank (5) 34:17;36:9;42:1;

	1			
118:15;134:17	145:18;148:21;173:22;	109:13;216:21	three-block (1)	210:25;222:25;226:17;
tanker (2)	140:14;184:10;194:15;	thanking (1)	180:4	295:12
195:9,12	203:11;211:5;221:9;	206:25	threefold (1)	
				TOD-friendly (1) 107:1
tankers (1)	223:9;230:2;251:24;	Thanks (1)	236:9	
26:12	257:24;268:5;275:14,	113:4	three-lane (1)	together (3)
tanks (9)	21	that'll (1)	198:9	51:1;68:18;289:9
24:16;29:15;35:19,	terrible (1)	243:9	three-minute (1)	told (8)
23,24;36:4;41:16;	242:8	theoretical (3)	77:9	115:13;133:7;
44:21;57:11	test (2)	150:21;162:12,13	thrift (1)	147:12;189:2;196:3;
Target (4)	265:16;272:24	theoretically (3)	57:16	209:15;220:20;274:16
124:21,23;125:1;	tested (1)	131:22;163:2;174:6	throughout (1)	tomorrow (2)
127:2	118:21	theory (3)	169:23	50:22;297:15
tasks (1)	testified (31)	163:4;167:23;217:4	throughput (1)	tonight (1)
93:1	11:1;12:12,13;13:19;	therapists (4)	29:2	296:11
taught (1)	17:17;22:20;24:19;	116:3,3,4;137:4	throw (3)	tons (1)
216:25	63:20;106:9;130:18;	therefore (11)	28:4;164:25;165:8	273:6
teach (1)	134:13;156:18;159:9;	9:2;12:4;13:6;39:20;	throws (1)	took (7)
115:16	162:5,13;164:4;	92:21,24;120:18,20;	239:20	143:9,12;150:5;
teacher (2)	173:15;185:19,20;	133:3;188:3;231:14	Thursday (2)	210:14;267:5,6,9
120:6;124:16	187:15;206:14;224:4;	therein (1)	6:15;12:7	tooting (1)
teachers (4)	250:12;256:14;271:13;	147:8	tie (5)	214:4
120:10,17;124:19;	285:4,15,24;286:3;	there'll (1)	154:25;220:24;	top (7)
144:7	289:13;296:24	26:23	221:1,3,3	41:7;65:18;119:5;
teaching (2)	testify (15)	thick (1)	tighter (1)	120:5;157:18;163:18;
211:3,6	11:4;13:14;50:14;	42:4	171:12	197:22
team (3)	58:13;79:10;130:17;	thinking (12)	timed (3)	topic (4)
206:25;221:3,4	147:9;198:16;218:13;	28:2;71:11,13;84:5;	124:10;126:14;143:9	32:13;52:7;217:6,18
tease (1)	231:20;261:7;281:19;	89:11;147:3;196:2;	timeliness (1)	topics (3)
68:21	283:1;290:13;291:4	217:17;231:1;264:10;	13:22	71:17;217:6,19
teasing (2)	testifying (18)	266:12;287:2	time-of-day (1)	Torrance (2)
158:3,3	73:21;85:14;87:14;	third (2)	194:9	8:2;17:5
technical (5)	114:1,2;132:17;	233:6;286:7	times (28)	total (1)
244:23;251:19;	144:15;145:15,21,21;	though (11)	13:14;23:8,9,10;	236:8
269:24;271:8,15	165:24;175:21;191:8;	28:5;42:25;60:20;	27:14;29:1;70:23;	totally (2)
Technically (1)	205:25;212:14;214:23;	68:24;98:14;116:5;	82:19,25;83:1;92:13;	251:9;278:22
90:22	203.23,212.14,214.23, 223:25;232:19			
		151:2;185:1;224:18;	95:3;152:7;162:12;	tough (1) 49:23
teenagers (1)	testimonial (1)	227:12;298:13	171:7;172:9;189:22;	
120:2	141:3	thought (21)	190:16,17;194:5;	tour (1)
telling (10)	testimonies (1)	12:4,7;14:7;20:8,9;	196:21;200:3,8;	94:12 toured (1)
149:16;170:1;	139:3	21:3;36:23;68:21;	201:19;203:16;206:19;	toured (1)
185:11;186:21;223:24;	testimony (70)	71:12;105:14;162:23;	0.4.1 0.4 0.42 0	. ,
249:22;254:2,3;	0 10 0 10 11 0 16		241:24;243:2	94:11
	8:18;9:19;11:9,16;	163:21,24;165:12;	timing (1)	94:11 toward (1)
271:19;297:22	13:15;15:17,17;17:7;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10;	timing (1) 52:24	94:11 toward (1) 221:12
271:19;297:22 tells (2)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14;	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7;
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13,
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10;	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20; 208:24;211:9,16; 212:6;213:21;215:7;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20; 208:24;211:9,16;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10;	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20; 208:24;211:9,16; 212:6;213:21;215:7;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20,	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10; 210:15;222:13;227:21,	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20; 208:24;211:9,16; 212:6;213:21;215:7; 216:15;217:22;223:3,	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17 three (27)	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20, 21,22,25;107:2,10	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21 trade (1)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10; 210:15;222:13;227:21, 21;241:25	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20; 208:24;211:9,16; 212:6;213:21;215:7; 216:15;217:22;223:3, 4;225:7,18;226:10;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17 three (27) 23:10;65:14,20;66:1,	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20, 21,22,25;107:2,10 today (25)	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21 trade (1) 18:4
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10; 210:15;222:13;227:21, 21;241:25 terms (31)	13:15;15:17,17;17:7; 43:10;52:12,20;53:5; 58:23;72:16;106:5; 110:2,15;130:15; 134:24;138:11,19; 139:1,9;141:21,23; 142:21;152:1;159:22; 172:8;177:12,13; 186:10;187:13,22; 188:15;197:9;200:7; 205:9;206:10,20; 208:24;211:9,16; 212:6;213:21;215:7; 216:15;217:22;223:3, 4;225:7,18;226:10; 234:23;238:22;239:2;	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17 three (27) 23:10;65:14,20;66:1, 14;165:14;201:1,5;	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20, 21,22,25;107:2,10 today (25) 6:14;8:6,19;16:5;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21 trade (1) 18:4 traditional (3)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10; 210:15;222:13;227:21, 21;241:25 terms (31) 12:11;14:11;21:21;	$\begin{array}{c} 13:15:17,17;17:7;\\ 43:10;52:12,20;53:5;\\ 58:23;72:16;106:5;\\ 110:2,15;130:15;\\ 134:24;138:11,19;\\ 139:1,9;141:21,23;\\ 142:21;152:1;159:22;\\ 172:8;177:12,13;\\ 186:10;187:13,22;\\ 188:15;197:9;200:7;\\ 205:9;206:10,20;\\ 208:24;211:9,16;\\ 212:6;213:21;215:7;\\ 216:15;217:22;223:3,\\ 4;225:7,18;226:10;\\ 234:23;238:22;239:2;\\ 245:6;258:18;261:10;\\ \end{array}$	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17 three (27) 23:10;65:14,20;66:1, 14;165:14;201:1,5; 202:12;204:23;208:25;	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20, 21,22,25;107:2,10 today (25) 6:14;8:6,19;16:5; 20:9;52:21,25;53:5;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21 trade (1) 18:4 traditional (3) 170:10,20;292:23 traffic (94)
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10; 210:15;222:13;227:21, 21;241:25 terms (31) 12:11;14:11;21:21; 22:13;25:7;36:24; 51:20;52:24;55:11;	$\begin{array}{c} 13:15:17,17;17:7;\\ 43:10;52:12,20;53:5;\\ 58:23;72:16;106:5;\\ 110:2,15;130:15;\\ 134:24;138:11,19;\\ 139:1,9;141:21,23;\\ 142:21;152:1;159:22;\\ 172:8;177:12,13;\\ 186:10;187:13,22;\\ 188:15;197:9;200:7;\\ 205:9;206:10,20;\\ 208:24;211:9,16;\\ 212:6;213:21;215:7;\\ 216:15;217:22;223:3,\\ 4;225:7,18;226:10;\\ 234:23;238:22;239:2;\\ 245:6;258:18;261:10;\\ 268:13,24;271:13;\\ 272:2;277:2;285:3,8,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 163:21,24;165:12;\\ 175:23;182:10,10;\\ 220:16;253:11;262:14;\\ 281:16\\ \textbf{thoughtful (1)}\\ 285:14\\ \textbf{thoughts (1)}\\ 207:6\\ \textbf{thousands (1)}\\ 84:18\\ \textbf{thread (1)}\\ 229:19\\ \textbf{threats (1)}\\ 61:17\\ \textbf{three (27)}\\ 23:10;65:14,20;66:1,\\ 14;165:14;201:1,5;\\ 202:12;204:23;208:25;\\ 233:8,11,14;235:11,17;\\ 237:15;281:3,4;285:7, \end{array}$	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20, 21,22,25;107:2,10 today (25) 6:14;8:6,19;16:5; 20:9;52:21,25;53:5; 79:3,10;88:8;90:12; 91:1;92:21;114:6;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21 trade (1) 18:4 traditional (3) 170:10,20;292:23 traffic (94) 7:1;8:10;17:20;
271:19;297:22 tells (2) 168:11;247:16 tend (3) 94:1;207:11;210:14 tendency (3) 228:17;231:12,15 tending (1) 243:12 tends (1) 147:4 term (7) 93:24;165:10; 210:15;222:13;227:21, 21;241:25 terms (31) 12:11;14:11;21:21; 22:13;25:7;36:24;	$\begin{array}{c} 13:15:17,17;17:7;\\ 43:10;52:12,20;53:5;\\ 58:23;72:16;106:5;\\ 110:2,15;130:15;\\ 134:24;138:11,19;\\ 139:1,9;141:21,23;\\ 142:21;152:1;159:22;\\ 172:8;177:12,13;\\ 186:10;187:13,22;\\ 188:15;197:9;200:7;\\ 205:9;206:10,20;\\ 208:24;211:9,16;\\ 212:6;213:21;215:7;\\ 216:15;217:22;223:3,\\ 4;225:7,18;226:10;\\ 234:23;238:22;239:2;\\ 245:6;258:18;261:10;\\ 268:13,24;271:13;\\ \end{array}$	163:21,24;165:12; 175:23;182:10,10; 220:16;253:11;262:14; 281:16 thoughtful (1) 285:14 thoughts (1) 207:6 thousands (1) 84:18 thread (1) 229:19 threats (1) 61:17 three (27) 23:10;65:14,20;66:1, 14;165:14;201:1,5; 202:12;204:23;208:25; 233:8,11,14;235:11,17;	timing (1) 52:24 tiny (1) 164:18 tire (1) 19:21 title (6) 35:6;90:17;209:3,6; 228:4,10 titled (1) 31:25 TOD (13) 101:9,9,11,17; 103:17;105:16,18,20, 21,22,25;107:2,10 today (25) 6:14;8:6,19;16:5; 20:9;52:21,25;53:5; 79:3,10;88:8;90:12;	94:11 toward (1) 221:12 towards (7) 139:20;143:3;173:7; 261:21;278:20;289:13, 23 town (1) 75:22 townhome (1) 58:24 townhouse (1) 23:22 tracks (1) 106:21 trade (1) 18:4 traditional (3) 170:10,20;292:23 traffic (94)

Case No. S-2863/OZAH No. 13-12

PETITION OF COSTCO	WHOLESALE CORPO	RATION	1	
((.5.71.01.00.10.07.0	4	15(.2.1(0.1(.1(1.22)	225.17.242.6.245.4.	do (1)
66:5;71:21;80:18;97:2,	treated (1)	156:3;160:16;161:23;	235:17;243:6;245:4;	undermining (1)
13;108:13;112:12;	224:21	164:22;165:15;166:6,	261:24;264:11;267:1;	85:5
119:3,11,11;120:4,5,	tree (2)	8;170:6;186:12;189:9,	272:2,4,21;274:4;	underscores (2)
14,21,22;121:11;	267:14;268:21	19;208:10;209:2;	279:9;280:18;293:8;	66:16;83:10
125:14,17;126:3,8;	trees (3)	211:14;218:4;254:10;	294:17;295:21	understands (1)
131:21,22;138:19;	218:20;268:10;269:4	256:6;275:16	two-part (1)	114:7
139:14;140:15,22;	tremendous (1)	trying (52)	209:20	understated (1)
141:23,25;142:5,19,21;	97:2	12:19;36:16;40:1;	type (17)	172:11
143:5,17,24;150:15;	trend (15)	42:9;50:17;51:2,3,5;	19:19,19;22:9,12,18;	understates (1)
153:18;154:14;155:17;	54:6,12;55:17;278:5,	68:20;69:12;92:15,18;	25:14;61:14;72:11;	266:10
156:13,25;157:22;	22;280:16;282:6,8,9,	100:10;105:1;116:15;	88:25;137:22;166:3;	understood (6)
160:23,23;161:18,20,	15;283:7,15;289:13,20,	118:3;126:4;130:19;	180:10;228:2;258:6;	86:4;88:14;94:16;
24,25;163:15,20;	23	131:7;137:7;145:20;	268:12;275:25;290:3	98:21;228:23;251:14
164:2;168:2,9;169:4,6,	trends (1)	160:7;161:20;164:18;	types (6)	unduly (1)
16,23;170:2,6,9;171:7;	58:2	165:13;166:7;167:1,2;	36:17;51:6;65:4;	16:13
200:1,9;201:22;202:5,	trial (2)	170:11,14,19;171:21,	66:19;89:7;128:11	unethical (1)
12,17;203:5,5,9;209:8;	224:15,23	24;185:3;187:8;189:7;	typical (15)	118:21
210:4;212:6;213:4,5;	trials (1)	197:1;200:13,15;	18:6;23:1,4;64:10,	unfolds (1)
222:10,11;226:23;	223:16	203:5,9;206:9;211:10;	12;115:6,8;116:6,8,22;	85:12
233:16;246:1;258:16;	tricky (1)	214:4;218:7;222:5;	117:2,3,18;232:4,5	unfortunate (7)
262:23;263:9	213:17	229:18;232:2;284:1;	typically (3)	59:14,15;65:13,15;
trained (1)	tried (7)	285:12,14;293:15	127:16;202:8;203:20	66:1;68:16;274:22
52:3	13:16,25;14:3;120:1;	t-s (1)	127.10,202.0,205.20	unfortunately (4)
training (5)		165:4	U	
	133:14;168:18;221:20		U	6:25;119:3;138:23;
71:23;214:6;217:5;	tries (1)	tube-feeding (1)		259:15
271:14;291:3	161:25	116:13	ugly (1)	unhindered (1)
transaction (1)	trip (12)	Tucker (1)	106:22	81:1
18:7	49:24;50:25;77:3;	272:23	ultimately (4)	unique (3)
transactions (1)	89:5;92:6;93:9,10;	Tucker's (3)	35:9;184:2;239:14;	94:13;232:8,9
66:20	120:6;127:17;140:18;	271:8,11;272:18	251:17	University (14)
transcript (4)	142:2,12	Tuesday (1)	unacceptable (1)	36:14;37:19;38:10;
118:5;209:6;218:25;	tripled (2)	17:17	72:4	64:2;65:7;89:24;95:12;
229:8	234:8;238:14	turn (18)	unattractive (1)	200:4,5;205:14;212:1;
transcripts (4)	tripling (1)	59:18;78:7;85:18,21;	51:19	213:5;233:8,12
118:4;133:12,16;	234:14	151:8;155:11;160:7,	uncertainties (2)	unlawful (2)
138:10	trips (12)	16;161:24;168:19;	248:8,18	255:19,19
transit (17)	26:18;81:17,21;	189:2;200:14,14,15,16,		unless (5)
			uncertainty (8)	
79:22;80:20,25;	92:11,25;93:13,21,25;	21,21;201:9	248:5,8,9,13;249:15,	50:13;117:5;222:6;
81:10,22;90:19,20;	94:2;124:13;139:15;	turned (1)	17;292:20,20	228:22,22
92:19,22;93:13;99:23,	142:13	242:1	unclear (1)	unlike (2)
23;100:2,3,6,23;181:20	trivial (4)	turning (5)	285:13	141:17;156:5
transit-oriented (10)	220:15;233:9;	73:16,16;74:14;	uncommon (1)	unlikely (1)
79:15,19;81:10;89:3;	245:23;278:12	160:19;200:23	188:8	283:19
92:18;105:8;106:8,12;	trouble (1)	two (81)	under (12)	unload (2)
261:13,21	124:19	7:1;12:2,18,19,22;	13:7;17:7;40:1,6;	120:15;126:7
translation (1)	truck (1)	34:11;37:3,3,7;42:21;	48:2;74:1,5;175:20;	unloading (1)
242:7	195:12	43:13;52:19;55:17;	197:17;199:14;263:15,	126:4
transport (1)	trucks (3)	61:5;65:20,25;66:1,15;	17	unnecessary (2)
120:13	26:8;27:4;167:3	68:18;71:18;83:12;	undercuts (1)	85:8;92:6
transportation (4)	true (8)	84:7;86:3;89:10;92:8;	165:16	unobtrusive (1)
80:1;84:6,11,13	13:23;87:5;102:3;	100:5;115:12,19;	underground (13)	164:8
travel (4)	179:25;183:21;184:4;	139:16;142:16;150:23;	24:16;29:15;34:17;	Unofficially (1)
111:9,24;130:9;	224:2;262:13	152:21;153:20;155:10;	35:19,22,24;36:4,9;	124:17
139:19	truly (3)	156:14;158:18,22;	41:16,25;44:21;	unrelated (2)
traveled (4)	192:11,16;230:6	160:2,6,19;161:3,13;	134:17;272:3	26:18;263:20
80:2;81:20;89:19;	trump (1)	162:18;165:14;178:17;	underlying (2)	unsafe (2)
112:4	163:4	179:7;180:9;186:15,	272:25;273:2	12:22;120:15
traveling (2)	truth (6)	17;191:9;193:11;	undermine (1)	unsustainable (1)
49:22;94:1	147:7;213:15,18,19;	195:13;200:14;201:1,	80:20	27:5
travels (1)	238:25;290:11	7;202:8;208:24;	undermined (1)	untimeliness (1)
143:2	try (24)	209:21,24;210:12;	81:13	41:5
treat (2)	67:1;78:7;126:18;	211:22;213:8;218:22;	undermines (3)	untimely (1)
253:17;263:22	153:25;155:1,14;	229:13;233:8,11;	80:7;276:7,14	12:3
233.17,203.22	133.23,133.1,14,	227.13,233.0,11,	00.7,270.7,14	12.3

	WHOLESALE CORI O			
unuqual (2)	200.8.210.6 0.212.6.	29.25.20.5 9.26.10 24.	ris(1)	waited (1)
unusual (2)	209:8;210:6,9;212:6;	28:25;29:5,8;36:19,24;	via (1)	
201:6;207:9	215:13;216:19,19;	37:6,10;42:15;44:23,	93:13	189:1
up (105)	218:18;221:23;222:25;	24;51:18;54:22,22;	vicinity (2)	waiting (8)
13:4;19:14,25;25:25;	225:22,25,25;226:17,	55:3,22;56:21;57:8;	202:20;274:19	168:10;170:19;
31:24;40:2;53:1,19;	18;227:13,17,21,22;	59:11;63:16,22;67:21,	View (24)	189:3;200:9,15,21;
61:17;65:25;73:19;	228:2,4;233:10;	22;68:9;70:1;73:12;	7:18,20;23:24;25:9;	201:8;243:1
103:23;105:8;115:23;	236:18;240:18,22;	75:9;256:8;293:9,10	28:10;69:22;70:17;	waiver (1)
		values (27)		108:1
116:15,17;118:3,24,25;	259:9,19;262:20;		85:19;94:3;121:22;	
120:2,6;122:22;123:3,	280:5;281:14;286:11;	8:10;24:9;25:11,17;	158:14;164:12;177:16;	walk (17)
4,5,18;138:23;139:3;	288:18,22;292:10;	26:3;28:12,14;32:16;	202:10,11;211:5;	28:18;92:15,24;
144:8;148:15;149:13;	293:25;297:23	34:24;36:5,15,22;	212:4;224:14;245:17;	119:19,20;120:11,19;
150:6,14,21;151:11;	used (18)	37:15;38:9;42:7,10,12;	246:16;263:9;285:20;	122:16,19;123:18,19,
153:11,15,18;154:1,5,	15:16;19:18;22:21;	44:22;45:12;57:23;	286:11;290:22	21,23;164:1;189:9;
9;155:8;156:21;	71:8;150:22;165:10;	61:13,16;63:8;64:17;	viewed (1)	213:16;258:7
161:15,25;162:6;	171:2;172:14;216:21;	67:3;68:24;70:18	100:13	walkability (5)
163:1;165:10;166:20;	232:11;237:16;258:13;	variables (3)	views (6)	86:10;87:1,2;102:6,
167:7;168:9;172:14;	261:15;267:19,23;	51:7;56:11,22	54:9;77:3;113:3;	10
182:17;183:7,20;	275:6;286:16;292:14	variance (1)	147:25;227:16,17	walkable (4)
184:14;186:2;187:3,6,	useful (2)	237:23	viewshed (1)	79:15;89:3;101:14,
7,20;188:2,9;189:19,	106:15;146:9	variation (2)	75:2	22
25;194:3,6,22;195:10,	users (1)	180:10,11	violation (2)	walked (2)
12,14;196:12,15;197:5,	101:19	variations (3)	249:5.6	17:24,24
6,7;199:15;200:3,8;	uses (7)	182:8,22;184:14	Virginia (4)	walking (8)
201:12;202:25;203:2;	73:25;89:8,10,16;		7:2,20;179:15;195:8	80:19;81:1;102:9;
		variety (1) 111:22		
205:4;212:3;221:5;	95:13;96:15;109:22	-	visibility (2)	103:15;120:18;143:11,
222:14;232:3;235:20;	using (9)	various (9)	73:2,6	11;267:17
241:25;245:1;247:6;	26:14;56:3;57:12;	42:12;100:22;101:3;	visible (4)	walks (2)
249:1;250:7;254:14;	93:16;174:5;191:12;	135:18;157:3;216:4;	72:13,18;73:3;74:7	28:21,22
264:5;268:21;272:5,7;	212:5;215:22;270:6	225:16;269:2;274:18	vision (1)	wall (4)
273:21;279:21;282:10,	usual (2)	varying (2)	120:24	26:23;72:21;74:11;
15;287:16;295:18;	200:10;224:16	181:24;182:14	visit (3)	268:22
297:15	usually (10)	vast (1)	16:3;121:13;148:4	wants (10)
upgrade (1)	119:22,23;123:19;	169:10	visitors (4)	33:14;100:1;111:8,
upgraue (1)		107.10		JJ.17,100.1,111.0,
12.14	180.16 10.201.7 8.	vohiele (8)	221.2 8.226.8.250.1	11.148.4 5.172.12.
42:14	189:16,19;201:7,8;	vehicle (8)	234:2,8;236:8;259:1	11;148:4,5;173:12;
upon (14)	253:11,14,20	80:1;81:19;84:9;	visits (1)	218:2;239:12;275:23
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14;	visits (1) 82:18	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4,	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9,
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14,
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11;	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20;	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9;	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9;	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67)	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2,	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19;	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5 valuation (5)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24; 82:5;89:3,7;90:1,13;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5 valuation (5) 49:3;51:11;66:8,14;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12 vertically (1)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19) 14:16;58:12;78:1;	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1) 66:4
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24; 82:5;89:3,7;90:1,13; 93:18;94:21;96:2;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5 valuation (5)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19)	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1)
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24; 82:5;89:3,7;90:1,13;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5 valuation (5) 49:3;51:11;66:8,14; 74:6	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12 vertically (1)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19) 14:16;58:12;78:1; 112:21,22,22,22;	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1) 66:4
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24; 82:5;89:3,7;90:1,13; 93:18;94:21;96:2; 100:10;104:13;105:5;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5 valuation (5) 49:3;51:11;66:8,14;	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12 vertically (1) 292:24 vest (1)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19) 14:16;58:12;78:1; 112:21,22,22,22; 126:16,22;127:7,16;	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1) 66:4 waste (4) 72:10;168:22;
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24; 82:5;89:3,7;90:1,13; 93:18;94:21;96:2; 100:10;104:13;105:5; 106:17;107:15,16;	$\begin{array}{c} 253:11,14,20\\ \textbf{utilized (1)}\\ 151:17\\ \textbf{utter (1)}\\ 84:19\\ \hline V\\ \hline vagaries (1)\\ 142:19\\ \hline vague (4)\\ 222:5,13;228:25;\\ 275:20\\ \hline valid (7)\\ 171:15,22;225:4;\\ 226:15;250:9,12;\\ 264:24\\ \hline Valley (2)\\ 202:10,11\\ \hline valuable (6)\\ 57:7;256:15,18,19;\\ 286:4,5\\ \hline valuation (5)\\ 49:3;51:11;66:8,14;\\ 74:6\\ \hline valuations (1)\\ 51:24\\ \end{array}$	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12 vertically (1) 292:24 vest (1) 168:5	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19) 14:16;58:12;78:1; 112:21,22,22,22; 126:16,22;127:7,16; 132:10;144:7;156:25;	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1) 66:4 waste (4) 72:10;168:22; 184:21;185:12
upon (14) 33:19;45:11;62:11; 64:3;68:23,25;69:4; 87:5,16;154:15;220:3; 262:1,2;292:19 upper (3) 211:24;212:4;292:24 upward (1) 234:2 upwards (1) 60:22 urge (1) 85:7 urged (1) 251:11 URL (1) 210:17 usage (2) 203:11;204:2 use (67) 14:13;18:22;20:11; 22:18;24:13;32:1;56:2, 23;74:16;80:22;81:24; 82:5;89:3,7;90:1,13; 93:18;94:21;96:2; 100:10;104:13;105:5;	253:11,14,20 utilized (1) 151:17 utter (1) 84:19 V vagaries (1) 142:19 vague (4) 222:5,13;228:25; 275:20 valid (7) 171:15,22;225:4; 226:15;250:9,12; 264:24 Valley (2) 202:10,11 valuable (6) 57:7;256:15,18,19; 286:4,5 valuation (5) 49:3;51:11;66:8,14; 74:6 valuations (1)	80:1;81:19;84:9; 89:19;112:3,14; 134:14;267:19 vehicles (5) 82:8;180:12;184:16; 258:2;267:23 vehicular (7) 257:13,19,24;258:4, 6,12,14 Veirs (13) 6:8;70:22;81:11; 89:24;92:19;93:20; 95:12,15;96:1;202:9; 233:9,11,15 veneer (1) 244:9 verify (1) 162:25 version (4) 48:5,7,8;271:10 versus (5) 56:3;95:22;220:19; 221:6;261:12 vertically (1) 292:24 vest (1)	visits (1) 82:18 vitality (2) 81:2;85:10 VOC (1) 134:1 vocal (1) 209:22 voice (1) 209:22 volume (7) 23:9;192:13;193:9; 204:2,9;246:4;247:16 volumes (2) 201:23;202:17 voted (1) 85:5 vulnerable (1) 121:20 W wait (19) 14:16;58:12;78:1; 112:21,22,22,22; 126:16,22;127:7,16;	218:2;239:12;275:23 Ward (4) 19:16,18;21:18; 233:20 Ward's (3) 18:19,23;22:15 warehouse (18) 26:19,24;81:22; 111:24;142:7;157:9, 11,17;192:8;193:12,14, 18;196:4;200:2; 235:13;247:7,9;274:19 warranting (1) 257:8 warrants (2) 215:7;289:8 wash (5) 106:18,19,22; 256:24;257:3 washes (4) 106:20;256:14,18; 257:6 Washington (1) 66:4 waste (4) 72:10;168:22;

watched (3)	week (6)	Wheaton (26)	willing (2)	91:2;102:13,16;103:1,
143:13;162:14;168:8	48:22;119:21;120:8;	6:9,10;79:14,22,25;	36:20;188:24	3,10;106:11;107:21;
watching (2)	192:9;193:8;201:20	80:4;82:21;83:7;85:6,	willingness (1)	109:24;112:20,23;
162:7;166:14			41:25	
	weekday (4)	9;89:13;93:15;99:13;		113:4,7,23;114:2,5,21,
wavelength (1)	236:17;237:7;240:7,	101:10,12,15;153:9,10;	wind (2)	23;115:3,5;123:2,5,10,
295:5	8	168:14;192:4;194:22;	31:13,14	14,16,18,25;124:2,4,
way (117)	weekend (2)	195:23;201:24;202:1;	window (1)	24;125:2,5,8,12,23;
8:4;11:19;17:25;	191:14;297:16	238:1,2	164:18	126:2;128:8;129:18;
20:6;31:14;32:10;34:4;	weigh (4)	Wheaton's (3)	windows (1)	131:14;132:5,8,11,14,
35:8;43:12;53:16;56:7,	220:21;222:17;	79:17;81:8,12	42:15	17,21;134:4,6,9,20;
20;68:17;70:2;84:20;	225:7;284:19	wheelchair (2)	wipe (1)	136:6;138:1,17;139:2,
85:11;88:4;100:19;	weighed (2)	126:4,5	116:17	7,24;144:21;145:3,9,
101:16;104:6;107:12;	228:23;284:22	wheelchairs (4)	wisdom (1)	24;146:2,14,17,19,25;
111:18;114:14;119:16;	weighs (1)	119:18;120:23;	208:10	147:6,10,14,16,20,23;
125:19;130:21,22;	221:16	125:9;126:6	wish (7)	148:3,9,12;149:17,21,
142:22;145:19,21;	weight (9)	whenever (2)	9:21;15:7;16:2;	24;150:2,5,10,12;
151:19;153:17;154:12;	173:13;194:14;	221:20;252:25	17:10;38:2;79:9;	151:3,5,24;152:4,11,
156:24;157:12;158:15,	214:8,8,12;215:6;	whereas (1)	287:20	15,18,21;153:3,6;
19,24;159:6,7;161:1;	226:24;284:15,15	282:19	wished (1)	155:4,9,19,25;156:2,5,
164:3,14,15;166:24;	weighted (1)	Whereupon (5)	14:10	11,14,17;157:6,25;
173:11;181:15;183:8,	223:10	78:6;149:7;205:7;	wishes (2)	158:4,7,9,12,21;159:3,
11,18,20;184:2;	Welcome (5)	274:2;298:19	8:24;80:5	6,10,15,23;160:10,13,
196:17;203:5,6;212:3,	7:19;47:15;80:10;	wherever (2)	withdraw (1)	16,19,22;161:1,8,12,
7,9,10;213:1,2;215:22;	88:11;239:11	124:16;140:17	10:20	20;162:10,20;165:3,5,
216:21;217:19;218:2,	Wendy's (2)	white (11)	withdrawn (1)	7,22;166:1,4,19;167:8,
17;219:13,17,25;	202:9,24	47:8;48:14;81:8;	11:18	11,13,16,22;168:1;
220:22;221:19;222:7;	weren't (6)	178:9;179:11;180:15;	within (22)	172:5,24;173:3,16,19,
224:21;227:4;228:21,	22:18;50:25;169:11,	181:1,2,3,14;194:19	10:7;42:21,21;63:18;	23;174:18,20;175:6,8,
24;231:8,11;232:9,13;	12;191:16;231:19	white-painted (1)	64:5;65:15;75:2;	11,14,18;176:3,10,12,
233:2;243:14,25;	west (11)	144:13	101:10;103:13,20,23;	15,17,24;177:3,6,13;
245:7,19;248:8;	30:19;95:12;139:18;	whole (24)	142:2;146:18;162:16;	179:1,7;182:6,10;
253:20;254:17;255:13,	157:6;158:21;159:7;	16:15;24:22;42:1;	165:11;166:11;188:12;	186:6,9,12;190:14,17,
14;262:24;266:8;	160:20;186:19;197:13;	46:2,5;57:12;65:3;	202:18,19;245:17;	22;194:1,17,19;196:1;
270:12,25;271:2,14;	201:11;205:14	92:17;96:12;97:6,14;	268:8,11	198:18,21;199:1,4,8,
272:19;278:23;279:16;	westbound (2)	105:10;165:16;168:8;	without (15)	11;202:2;205:18,21,
281:21;283:23;284:5,	158:20;161:22	172:12,22;176:5;	80:24;81:23;87:14;	24;206:2,5,8,16,19,24;
23;285:3,10;286:10;	westerly (1)	185:9;206:13;211:4;	124:18;153:18,23;	207:4,8,17,21,24;
287:1;288:10;289:25;	123:24	216:8;218:1;248:17;	154:14;214:13;222:22;	208:1,14,16,18,21;
290:2,4,10,12,15,20;	western (4)	286:22	228:5;232:15;246:13;	209:18;211:20;212:21,
292:18;293:5	83:3;124:23;125:11,	Wholesale (1)	256:21;270:6;286:18	24;213:1,24;214:15,18,
ways (7)	12	6:3	witness (571)	22;215:2,8,10,13,18,
115:17;118:2;	Westfield (19)	whole-systems (1)	11:16;14:15,25;	20,23;216:13;218:3,6,
210:12;213:22;224:19;	6:10;80:10;86:4,18;	69:23	16:11,25;17:1,4,8;	9,12;219:2;220:7,12,
253:21;295:21	87:8,10,21,24;88:2,2;	wholly (1)	18:18;19:17;20:7,10,	15;221:11,14,18;223:5,
weak (2)	95:6;107:25;200:13;	85:8	15,18,20,22;21:10,14,	11,13,17;224:1,12;
32:2;209:22	234:7;236:7,11;255:9,	Whoops (1)	16;27:17,19,22;30:3,5,	225:1,6,15,18,20;
weather (4)	14;262:20	259:3	9,11,16;31:3,7,11;34:2,	226:9;227:25;228:7,9,
291:16,18,19,21	Westfield's (1)	who's (12)	5,20,22;35:1,4,19,22;	12;229:3,6,9,18,24;
web (1)	88:16	28:17;51:3,4,12;	36:2,7,11;37:2,5,8,11,	230:2,10,14,23,25;
33:6	what'll (2)	73:21;165:18;166:6,	14,18;38:16,18,24;	231:5,7,10,14;232:2,
Webinar (2)	9:18;149:16	11,11;185:16;217:3;	39:3,6;40:9,17,23;46:1,	23;234:19,24;235:4,7,
47:16;71:6	what's (29)	232:11	4;47:11,19,22,24;	10,22;236:3,6,12,14,
webmaster (2)	16:18;21:20;35:10,	whose (1)	48:21,25;49:8,10,14;	16,20,22,25;237:10,13,
215:24;216:1	10;49:18;50:6;51:18,	120:10	50:10,12,19;52:9,15;	21,25;238:4,8,13,18,
website (6)	19;66:19;71:4;116:20;	wide (7)	54:1;57:4;59:21,24;	23;239:4,7,10,22;
10:6;33:15;37:20;	19,00.19,71.4,110.20, 118:22;131:2,5,5,10;	18:6;23:23;125:8;	60:1,4;62:10,17,24;	240:3,13,20,25;241:3,
216:9;241:17,17	132:21,25;135:13;	159:25;160:3;161:3,4	65:19;67:4,9,14,18,24;	5,10,13,16,21;242:3,5,
wedge (1)	132:21,25,155:15; 139:4;145:17;147:8;	wider (2)	68:3,5,14,19;69:3,19;	7,11,13,22,25;243:6,
164:22	148:20;153:12;192:17;	157:3,7	70:7;72:15,17,19,24;	16;244:2,7,11,15;
	232:21;239:13;266:20;		73:5,12;75:24;76:2;	245:4,12;246:7,12,16;
weed (1) 176:4	277:22	width (1) 158:25	75:5,12,75:24,76:2, 77:1,6,8,12,78:8,79:1,	247:12,15,20;248:1,3,
weeds (1)	whatsoever (1)	Willard (2)	4,7,11,14;85:15,16,16,	7,12;249:11,21,25;
210:4	25:16	267:12,16	19;88:11,18;90:19,22;	250:9,14,25;251:5;

252:2,14;253:1,25;	24:14;41:12;169:9		12 (5)	50:7,8,9
254:9,21,24;255:22;	worse (1)	7	23:7;105:22;190:1;	1st (1)
256:4,12;257:1,10,17,	84:23	Z	247:17;282:8	237:5
				237.3
24;258:6,10,21,23;	worsened (1)	zero (13)	12.27 (1)	2
259:5,9,12,14,19,22;	83:21	250:23;278:12,17,	247:23	<u> </u>
260:13,20;261:5,16,19,	worst (1)	20,24;279:20,25;280:2,	12.4 (1)	
24;262:2,6,9,13;263:2,	116:23	12;283:8,20;289:13,23	82:15	2 (6)
24;264:4,9,18;265:2,	worst-case (1)	zeros (1)	12:41 (1)	63:1;226:21;241:14;
12,16,18,21;266:4,14,	197:2	191:15	149:7	257:12;259:7;260:1
17,22,24;267:1,4,14;	worth (7)	zone (9)	125 (1)	2.5 (6)
268:3,7,14,25;269:9,	58:24;59:9;60:11,16,	69:14;70:8;90:7;	198:7	278:6,20;282:12;
12,16,23;270:1,3,5,11,	16;61:5,7	95:22;103:20,23;	13 (3)	283:20;288:22;293:19
14,17,21,25;271:5,7,	worthy (2)	110:4,11;258:3	260:5;285:4,15	20 (11)
21,23;272:18;274:1,4,	58:20;289:9	zoned (7)	13,000 (5)	20:2;47:23;83:19;
10,14;275:1;276:1,9,	wow (1)	6:11;89:21,23;90:4;	236:9,10;237:8;	102:2;153:11;172:12;
16;277:1,8,10,13,18,	71:12	95:10,23;96:6	240:6,15	216:14;222:8;260:5;
21,23;278:2,4,7,10;	wrestle (1)	Zoning (14)	13,500 (3)	273:25;283:20
279:2,4,8,11,14,23;	67:17	6:5;29:6;68:6,6;90:5,	259:7,9;260:2	200 (6)
280:1,4,7,10,14,21;	write (2)	11,12;95:14;96:15,20;	13-12 (1)	30:21;228:14;
281:6,15,17,19;282:16,	6:20;31:24	109:17;110:17;164:10;	6:4	230:10,16,21;231:17
18,21,24;283:16,25;	writing (2)	219:19	138 (1)	2002 (1)
284:3;285:1,7,11,14,	56:17;73:19		139:15	22:6
20;286:2,7,10,14;	written (1)	0	14 (3)	2005 (1)
287:8,20	225:23	Ŭ	6:15;222:15;298:3	84:3
witnesses (3)	wrong (10)	0- (1)	14th (9)	2006 (1)
8:6;231:20;298:8	12:19;26:4;68:9;	82:18	11:14;46:7;49:19;	83:20
won (3)	85:1;186:11;239:23;	02.10	50:1,11;75:21;76:1;	2009 (4)
20:16,16,19	250:4;285:2;292:10;	1	77:5;210:1	46:7;82:15;83:21;
wonder (1)	294:24		15 (11)	196:1
115:14		1 (6)	20:2;118:19;126:15;	2010 (9)
wonderful (1)	X	45:19;209:4,11;	127:15,16;154:5;	35:16;63:4,12;84:3;
73:23				
		-222.10.241.14.204.10	199:21:225:2:229:12:	11.5:9:190:2.2:282:8.
	x-axis (2)	233:19;241:14;294:19	199:21;225:2;229:12; 279:21,24	115:9;196:2,2;282:8, 17
wood (1)	x-axis (2) 282:2.5	1.5 (1)	279:21,24	17
wood (1) 244:9	282:2,5	1.5 (1) 96:4	279:21,24 150 (2)	17 2012 (4)
wood (1) 244:9 word (2)	282:2,5 X's (1)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25;
wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14	282:2,5	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17;	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3;
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24;	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2;	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22;	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3;	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16,	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1;	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21;	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25;	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22;	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1) 9:9	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6) 34:13;35:16;93:25;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1) 146:9	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1) 9:9 11 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2 1960 (1)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2 24 (4)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6) 34:13;35:16;93:25; 116:7;165:5;170:7</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1) 146:9 young (3)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1) 9:9 11 (1) 282:8	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2 1960 (1) 22:6	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2 24 (4) 161:3,4,12;225:21
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6) 34:13;35:16;93:25; 116:7;165:5;170:7 works (4)</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1) 146:9 young (3) 80:21;82:13;85:9	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1) 9:9 11 (1) 282:8 11:15 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2 1960 (1) 22:6 198 (7)	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2 24 (4) 161:3,4,12;225:21 24-hour (2)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6) 34:13;35:16;93:25; 116:7;165:5;170:7 works (4) 51:10;127:21;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1) 146:9 young (3) 80:21;82:13;85:9 younger (1)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1) 9:9 11 (1) 282:8 11:15 (1) 78:5	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2 1960 (1) 22:6 198 (7) 234:5;236:22;237:1;	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2 24 (4) 161:3,4,12;225:21 24-hour (2) 106:14;172:11
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6) 34:13;35:16;93:25; 116:7;165:5;170:7 works (4) 51:10;127:21; 218:19;272:12</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1) 146:9 young (3) 80:21;82:13;85:9	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-day (1) 9:9 11 (1) 282:8 11:15 (1) 78:5 11160 (1)	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2 1960 (1) 22:6 198 (7) 234:5;236:22;237:1; 259:16,20,25;260:7	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2 24 (4) 161:3,4,12;225:21 24-hour (2) 106:14;172:11 25 (2)
<pre>wood (1) 244:9 word (2) 242:4;261:14 wording (1) 285:21 words (11) 57:6;138:5;142:3; 226:4,4;227:11,20; 228:2;247:22;275:9; 286:16 work (25) 10:14,16;19:22;20:2; 29:4;49:3;57:1,3; 71:12,16;84:18; 114:11;167:4;170:5; 249:10;258:1,1; 265:18;267:24,24; 268:3,8;269:3;273:7; 297:11 workable (2) 158:15;164:16 worked (1) 107:8 Working (6) 34:13;35:16;93:25; 116:7;165:5;170:7 works (4) 51:10;127:21;</pre>	282:2,5 X's (1) 280:22 Y yards (1) 230:8 y-axis (2) 278:11;282:3 year (13) 11:15;23:8;84:3; 128:7,8;196:24; 201:20;203:16;204:7; 211:2,2;216:1;219:10 years (14) 61:7;65:15;84:4; 102:2;115:21,23; 117:7,8;118:15,19; 216:14,25;283:21; 291:10 yield (2) 67:3;145:18 yielding (1) 146:9 young (3) 80:21;82:13;85:9 younger (1)	1.5 (1) 96:4 1:30 (1) 149:5 10 (22) 6:10;10:7;11:17; 20:2;33:22;39:22,24; 42:22;84:3;102:2; 116:1;154:5;168:22; 190:1,11;205:3; 225:22;229:12;240:16, 18;249:14;253:18 10.2 (1) 233:5 10:00 (2) 18:4;190:24 10:30 (1) 53:20 100 (5) 60:17;116:1;177:1; 191:3;250:18 10-09 (1) 35:16 10-day (1) 9:9 11 (1) 282:8 11:15 (1) 78:5	279:21,24 150 (2) 98:12,13 1-5-0 (1) 98:13 159 (3) 99:3;102:22;122:18 15th (2) 50:1;75:22 16 (3) 6:7;23:7;200:4 16-pump (1) 24:1 16th (1) 172:3 17 (2) 117:7;285:3 18 (2) 228:13;232:6 18th (1) 6:2 190 (9) 174:15,15,24,25; 175:13;176:6,20,22; 177:2 1960 (1) 22:6 198 (7) 234:5;236:22;237:1;	17 2012 (4) 38:10;47:23;215:25; 282:17 2013 (7) 6:12,15;37:21;172:3; 215:25;237:5;252:7 2020 (1) 83:20 2050 (1) 84:4 20-some (1) 208:5 20th (1) 47:19 21 (2) 115:23;117:7 21st (1) 85:11 22nd (1) 50:10 231 (2) 155:5;186:16 23rd (1) 9:2 24 (4) 161:3,4,12;225:21 24-hour (2) 106:14;172:11

TETHION OF COSTCO	WHOLESALE COM O	KAHON		
26 (2)	149:22,25;150:23;	236:9;237:8;240:7,	74:23	9th (1)
252:7,25	178:5;196:8	15;260:8	600 (1)	37:21
26th (1)	352 (10)	44,202 (2)	30:22	
6:12	35:13;38:4;39:13;	236:20;259:25	631 (1)	
27 (3)	40:6;41:3;43:13;	45 (6)	6:9	
150:13,18;230:14	191:17,20,21,23	53:20;188:6,9,10,14,	650 (3)	
28 (1)	352a (1)	15	30:3,23;63:20	
232:24	43:19	48 (1)		
			66 (2)	
29 (3)	353 (4)	93:14	207:13;208:4	
42:8;277:2;278:5	38:3,4;39:14;44:13	49 (6)		
	354 (2)	187:16,19,20;188:4,	7	
3	46:6,10	6,12		_
	355 (5)	4-year-olds (1)	7 (2)	
3 (4)		82:19		
	47:14,17;48:17;71:5;	82:19	26:5;191:14	
40:7;115:21;118:14;	73:16	-	7:00 (3)	
211:15	355a (2)	5	18:11;190:24;194:23	
3:00 (1)	48:16,19		700 (1)	
205:3	356 (4)	5 (4)	30:5	
30 (3)	178:25;191:22,24;	213:13;234:6;	75 (3)	
233:19;237:16;	192:10		36:21;60:16;63:15	
		235:25;237:2		
239:14	356a (6)	5,000 (1)	75,000 (1)	
300 (1)	179:6,10,16;192:24;	234:25	61:5	
164:19	193:11,23	5.3 (1)	7-Eleven (1)	
300-foot (4)	356b (1)	82:25	106:12	
109:18,20,22;164:18	179:11	5:18 (1)	100112	_
30-minute (1)	356c (1)	298:19	8	
			o	
116:9	179:12	50 (5)		
30-some (1)	356d (1)	30:4;191:8;220:19;	8 (3)	
208:5	179:13	221:2,2	8:2;17:5;218:13	
30th (1)	356e (2)	500 (1)	80 (1)	
171:5	179:14,17	264:9	84:2	
31st (1)	36 (1)	50-and-a-half (1)	850 (1)	
285:3	251:1	221:6	30:14	
32 (2)	37 (2)	51 (9)	86 (1)	
172:14;242:14	216:25;256:4	139:20;143:3;	228:13	
3201 (2)	38 (1)	219:22;220:18,19,21;	87b (1)	
113:11,18	257:11	221:2,6,9	226:12	
3206 (1)	39 (3)	52 (2)	87j (1)	
205:14	258:23;259:2;291:10	95:20;221:6	267:19	
321a (1)	3-year-old (1)	523 (1)		
241:11	118:14	78:19	9	
33 (2)		53 (3)		_
162:15,15	4	80:13;94:23;95:6	9 (1)	
34 (7)	•	55 (2)	79:16	
	4 (2)			
35:6;36:5,6;41:7;	4 (3)	279:4,13	9:00 (1)	
150:16;152:12;244:16	95:11;115:21;237:3	57 (1)	18:4	
341 (1)	4,000 (3)	281:23	9:30 (1)	
86:15	234:12;235:16;238:9	59 (1)	6:17	
342 (1)	4,146 (5)	74:14	9:35 (1)	
8:8	234:11;235:3,8;	59-G-2.06 (1)	53:20	
343 (1)	236:1;237:20	6:6	90 (1)	
178:5	4.8 (1)		191:2	
344 (1)	83:1	6	900-plus-space (1)	
178:5	40,000 (1)	<u> </u>	91:13	
345 (6)	260:6	6 (1)	9-1-1 (1)	
178:5;196:8;197:8,	400 (6)	214:11	116:22	
15,18,19	228:14,21;230:11,	6,000 (1)	94 (1)	
345a (1)	16,21;231:17	100:13	80:6	
150:10	4100 (1)	6,000-space (2)	96 (1)	
350 (5)	93:3	91:10;107:10	37:22	
149:22,24;150:23;	44 (1)	6:00 (2)	96a (5)	
178:5;196:8	73:17	18:11;194:23	32:6,11;45:19;63:1,	
351 (5)	44,000 (5)			
551 (5)	,000 (S)	60 (1)	11	