BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings 100 Maryland Avenue, Room 200 Rockville, Maryland 20850

IN THE MATTER OF:)
WORLDSHINE HOMES, LLC)
Petitioner.)
Qili Li)
Kevin Huang)
Jennifer Xu)
Joshua Sloan	Conditional Use No. CU 23-11
Anne (Nancy) Randall)
For the Application.)))
Steven A. Robins, Esquire	,
Elizabeth C. Rogers, Esquire)
Attorneys for the Petitioner.)

PETITIONER'S PRE-HEARING STATEMENT

In accordance with the provisions of Rule 3.4 of the Rules of Procedure for Conditional Use Cases, Worldshine Homes, LLC (the "Petitioner") submits this Pre-Hearing Statement (the "Statement") in connection with its request for approval of a Residential Care Facility (Over 16 Persons) on the Property (defined below). The Petitioner incorporates by reference its Land Use Report, submitted in connection with Conditional Use Application No. CU 23-11 (the "Application"), which contains additional information in support of the application and justification for the request.

I. STATEMENT OF GROUNDS UPON WHICH THE CASE IS BASED AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THE CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION.

The Property consists of approximately 202,898 square feet (or 4.66 acres) of land located in the northwest quadrant of the intersection of West Old Baltimore Road and Ruby Drive in Boyds, Maryland. More specifically, the Property is an assemblage of four separate parcels more particularly known as Lot 3, Lot 2 and Lot 1 (currently comprised as two separate

parcels that collectively comprise Lot 1) in the "Neelsville" subdivision as recorded among the Land Records of Montgomery County, Maryland at Plat No. 7681. (Collectively, the "Property").

The Property is currently zoned Residential - 200 ("R-200") and is improved with two single-family homes and associated accessory structures. The Petitioner seeks to redevelop this currently underutilized property with a Residential Care Facility (over 16 persons) containing up to 120 beds (the "Project"). To the Petitioner's knowledge, there are currently no assisted living facilities provided in the Clarksburg/Boyd region of Montgomery County. As such, there are limited options in the area for those seniors who want to "age in their community" but need help with the activities of daily living. The Project will address this growing need by providing assisted living services to support the community.

The revised Conditional Use Plan reflects significant modifications made by the Petitioner to address comments received from the community, Park and Planning Staff, and various reviewing agencies. These design iterations are discussed in detail in the Land Use Report. Of significance, the Petitioner substantially reduced the footprint and mass of the cottages, so as to be comparable with the single-family homes in the adjacent residential community. And most recently, the Petitioner expanded the Conditional Use area, to include the parcel located directly along West Old Baltimore Road (the other "part of Lot 1"). With the acquisition of this additional parcel, the Petitioner is able to keep all vehicular activity for the Project on West Old Baltimore Road. The resulting Project layout also allows the Applicant to move the parking and trash enclosure to the rear of the Property, so as to be substantially screened from the surrounding residential homes, while maintain the existing character of West Old Baltimore Road and Ruby Drive.

As depicted on the Conditional Use Plan and discussed in detail in the Land Use Report, the Application satisfies the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and all necessary findings contained in Zoning Ordinance Section 7.3.1.E, for approval of a Conditional Use. Pursuant to Section 3.1.6 of the Zoning Ordinance, a Residential Care Facility (Over 16 Persons) is permitted as a Conditional Use in the R-200 Zone. Residential Care Facility is defined to include assisted living facilities, like the proposed Project. As outlined in the Petitioner's updated Land Use Report and demonstrated on the Conditional Use Plan, the Applicant satisfies the requirements for a Residential Care Facility (Over 16 Persons) contained in Section 3.3.2.E of the Zoning Ordinance and also satisfies all purposes and requirements of the R-200 Zone (Zoning Ordinance, Section 4.4.7.B).

The Application also is in substantial conformance with the *1994 Approved and Adopted Clarksburg Master Plan & Hyattstown Special Study Area* (the "Master Plan"). Importantly the Project provides desired senior housing in the Master Plan's "Brink Road Transition Area". The Master Plan contains no site-specific recommendations for the Property. However, the Master Plan recommends compatible, low-density residential uses in the Brink Road Transition Area.

(See Page 77). The Project, which is residential in use and character, and designed to mirror the appearance of single-family homes, with ample green area proposed, furthers this goal by providing compatible, low-density infill development that has little impact on the Surrounding Neighborhood. Furthermore, the "Brink Road Transition Area" recommends the continuation of the residential character of MD 355. (See Page 75). The exterior architecture of the cottages is designed to be residential in nature and evoke an inviting residential quality of "home". The cottages incorporate traditional residential architectural elements and details such as peaked roofs, covered porches, bay windows, and many other design features, to complement the residential character of the Surrounding Neighborhood. The exterior building materials feature a neutral color pallet, with horizontal siding and natural stone and wood accents. The overall effect is a residential community that complements the character of the Surrounding Neighborhood. As described in the Land Use Report, the footprint of the buildings were substantially reduced from what was originally proposed. The resulting cottage footprints are comparable to the footprints of the newly constructed residential homes to the west of the Property. As a result, the Project complements the surrounding residential neighborhoods and importantly, provides diverse housing opportunities to allow existing seniors in the Clarksburg/Boyds area to age in community.

Also, to further the Project's compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood, the Project will maintain and enhance the existing landscaping around the periphery of the site, to provide ample screening and buffering from the surrounding residential uses. As noted above, the revised Conditional Use Plan provides direct access off of West Old Baltimore Road.

A Traffic Statement was prepared by the Applicant's traffic consultant, Wells + Associates, and submitted with the Application, which concluded that the proposed development will not exceed the applicable LATR standards. The Traffic Statement demonstrates that the Project will generate 34 AM peak hour and 45 PM peak hour person trips. Endesco, the Project engineer, also prepared a site distance analysis in order to confirm that visibility to and from the site is adequate pursuant to the requirements issued by Montgomery County.

Adequate public facilities and services will be available to serve the Residential Care Facility. Notably, although the Project provides new residential density, the proposed senior living facility use will not generate any new students. Thus, the Project will have no impact on public school capacity. The roadway network surrounding the Property and the proposed vehicular and pedestrian circulation are safe, adequate and efficient. Although not required by LATR, Wells + Associates has prepared a supplemental analysis on queuing and crash history, which further demonstrates the adequacy of the surrounding roadway network. (*See* Attachment "A"). The Property will be served by existing water and sewer mains, which will be extended to the Property via an existing easement, to allow for a connection to existing infrastructure to the north. Electric, gas and telecommunications services are also available to serve the Property.

Other public facilities and services – including police stations, firehouses, and health care facilities – are currently available in the vicinity of the Project.

The evidence to be presented will demonstrate: (1) that the Conditional Use Application satisfies the development standards of the R-200 Zone; (2) that the Conditional Use Application satisfies the use standards contained in Section 59-3.3.2.E.2.c for a Residential Care Facility (Over 16 Persons) (3) that the available public facilities and services will be adequate to serve the proposed development; (4) that the Conditional Use substantially conforms with the recommendations of the Master Plan; and (5) that approval of the Conditional Use complies with the required general and specific findings contained in Zoning Ordinance Section 59-7.3.1.E.

II. REPORTS INTENDED TO BE INTRODUCED AT THE HEARING

- 1. Land Use Report;
- 2. Statement of Operations;
- 3. Traffic Statement prepared by Wells + Associates; and
- 4. Supplemental Analysis (regarding queues and crash history) prepared by Wells + Associates.

With the exception of the Supplemental Analysis prepared by Wells & Associates, submitted concurrently with this Pre-Hearing Statement, these reports already have been submitted into the record.

III. SUMMARY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY

At the present time, the Petitioner intends to call the following expert witnesses to testify in support of the Conditional Use application:

- 1. Mr. Kevin Huang with Endesco will testify as to among other things, the physical characteristics and natural environmental features of the Property (including testimony related to the approved Natural Resources Inventory/Forest Stand Delineation and the Preliminary Forest Conservation Plan), and the proposed Water Quality Plan for the redevelopment of the Property. Mr. Huang also will testify regarding the site distance analysis for the Project and other civil engineering matters.
- 2. Mr. Joshua Sloan, ASLA and AICP, Landscape Architect and Certified Land Planner, will testify as to the proposed development's compliance with the intent, applicable development standards, and all requirements of the Zoning Ordinance for Residential Care Facilities for more than 16 individuals (*e.g.* building placement, parking, green area, landscaping, screening etc.). He also will provide testimony regarding the proposed development's substantial conformance with the Master Plan and compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood.

- 3. Ms. Jennifer Xu, AIA, with Prime Planning International, will testify as to the architectural and design elements of the proposed senior living cottages and the Project's compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood.
- 4. Ms. Anne Nancy Randall, transportation planner with Wells + Associates, will testify as to traffic and transportation planning issues, in accordance with the Traffic Statement and Supplemental Analysis prepared for the Conditional Use Application.

The resumes of the above-identified expert witnesses are attached hereto. (*See* Attachment "B"). The Petitioner reserves the right to call additional expert witnesses if it deems necessary.

IV. OTHER WITNESSES WHO WILL TESTIFY

In addition to the above expert witnesses, the Petitioner may also have the following witness testify:

1. Mr. Qili Li or Ms. Becky Jia, on behalf of Worldshine Homes, will testify as to the proposed use and operations, as well as some planning and design aspects of the Project.

V. ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED FOR PRESENTATION

The Petitioner anticipates that, excluding questions, the presentation of its case-in-chief for the proposed Conditional Use will take approximately two to three hours.

This submission is intended to satisfy the requirement of the Rules of Procedure for Conditional Use Cases. If it is subsequently determined that new or supplemental information is necessary, the Petitioner will make a supplemental submission in a timely fashion.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Steven A. Robins

By: Vizabeth C. Rogen

Elizabeth C. Rogers

ATTACHMENT "A"

WELLS + ASSOCIATES



December 29, 2023

Ms. Kathleen Byrne, Esq. Hearing Examiner Montgomery County Office of Zoning and Administrative Hearings 100 Maryland Avenue, Room 200 Rockville, Maryland 20850

RE: Supplemental Analysis – Worldshine Ruby Senior Living – Conditional Use No. 23-11 West Old Baltimore Avenue, Montgomery County, Maryland

Dear Ms. Byrne:

In order to provide information typically requested by the Zoning Hearing Examiner in advance of the Conditional Use hearing, Wells + Associates conducted an access analysis for the Worldshine Assisted Living project for you and your staff's review. This updated analysis reflects the change in access and the increase in dwelling units as reflected in the update project design, which responds to comments received from Park and Planning Staff and other reviewing agencies. Our analysis includes a review of intersection queues, and crash data at the intersections of West Old Baltimore Road/Ruby Drive and MD 355/West Old Baltimore Road.

The subject site is located on the north side of West Old Baltimore Road, west side Ruby Drive and east of Ivy Leaf Drive in the Clarksburg Policy Area of Montgomery County, Maryland. The Applicant has revised the application to incorporate the additional parcel located in the northwest quadrant of West Old Baltimore Road and Ruby Drive. This additional land area allowed the Applicant to provide access to the project off of West Old Baltimore Road, and also resulted in an increase in beds from 90 beds to 120 beds.

The proposed development will generate less than 50 person trips and therefore an LATR study is not required. The attached Table 1 shows the trip generation anticipated for an assisted living facility with up to 120 beds. An exemption letter dated October 3, 2023, was submitted with the application package.

Queue Analysis

Although not required by LATR, counts were conducted at the Ruby Drive/W. Old Baltimore Road, and MD 355 (Frederick Road)/West Old Baltimore Road intersections on December 13, 2021, from 6:30 to 9:30 AM and 4:00 to 7:00 PM. The peak hours on Ruby Drive/W. Old Baltimore Road occurred from 7:30 to 8:30 AM and from 4:45 to 5:45 PM, and on MD 355

WELLS + ASSOCIATES

(Frederick Road)/W. Old Baltimore Road occurred from 7:15 to 8:15 AM and from 4:00 to 5:00 PM.

The site trip distribution assumed for this analysis is based on the MNCPPC trip distribution tables as follows: 100 percent of site vehicles headed to/from the east via Ruby Drive to W. Old Baltimore Road, with 10 percent of vehicle trips to the north on MD 355 and 90 percent south on MD 355.

HCM 2000 method in Synchro was used to calculate the queues. The Synchro analysis provides the calculation of queues as well as intersection capacity. Table 2 summarizes the results of the queue analysis. Three queuing scenarios were analyzed: 1) existing conditions, 2) background conditions, and 3) future conditions (with the proposed 120 bed assisted living development).

Under each of the study conditions, both study intersections are well within the MNCPPC capacity standards (51 seconds of delay or less) and no queue exceeds the available storage length. A summary of the intersection results is shown on Table 3.

Copies of the forecast worksheets, queue reports, and intersection capacity analysis for both intersections are attached to this letter in the Attachment A.

Crash Evaluation

The crash history at both study intersections and the roadway link between the intersections was updated to include accident data reported from January 1, 2019, through the mid-year 2023. There were no additional crashes reported for 2022 or 2023. All reported crashes occurred at or near MD 355 (Frederick Road)/West Old Baltimore Road intersection. A summary of the crashes is shown in Table 4 and the detailed crash report for each is provided in Attachment A.

In 2019, the intersection of W. Old Baltimore Road/MD 355 was under construction to provide turn lanes, channelization, and install a new signal. Most of the crashes (5 of the 7) occurred in 2019-2020 before or during the construction which was completed in 2020. Since the completion of the intersection improvements, the number of accidents has declined and no accidents were reported in 2022 or 2023. Based on the accident data, the intersection improvements have improved the safety at this intersection. No accidents were reported during the study period from 2019 through 2023 at the intersection of W. Old Baltimore Road and Ruby Drive or along W. Old Baltimore Road from the site access to MD 355.

Based on the analysis summarized in this letter and the attached documents, it is our professional opinion that the proposed development of Worldshine assisted living will not adversely impact the area road network.

WELLS + ASSOCIATES

If you have any questions regarding this analysis, please call me at (410) 353-7340 or email me at <u>amrandall@wellsandassociates.com</u>.

Sincerely,

Yaney Kawlell

Nancy Randall, AICP

Table 1 Worldshine Ruby Drive Site Trip Generation

Land Use	LU Code	Amount	Unit	AN	И Peak H	our	PN	VI Peak H	our		А	M Peak Ho	ur			Р	M Peak Ho	ur	
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Auto Driver (Vehicle Trips)	Auto Passenger	Transit Trips	Non- Motorized	Total Person Trips	Auto Driver (Vehicle Trips)	Auto Passenger	Transit Trips	Non- Motorized	Total Person Trips
Assisted Living	254	120	BEDS	13	9	22	11	18	29	22	9	1	1	34	29	12	1	3	45

Note: Trip Generation Rates based ITE 11th Generation, Mode Split is based on 2021 LATR Guidelines (Clarksburg Policy Area)



Table 2

Worldshine Ruby Drive

Intersection Queuing Summary (1)(2)

	Operating	Street	Approach/	Available	<u>Existing</u>	Condition	Backgrou	nd Future	<u>Total I</u>	uture
Intersection	Condition	Name	Movement	Storage (ft)	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ
1 Frederick Road (Route 355)/W. Old Baltimore Road	Signalized	W. Old Baltimore Road	EBL	209	103	76	107	80	107	81
		W. Old Baltimore Road	EBR	free right	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Frederick Road (Route 335)	NBL	650	92	61	147	80	179	88
		Frederick Road (Route 335)	SBR	415	8	9	9	14	10	14
2 W. Old Baltimore Road/Site Access	STOP	W. Old Baltimore Road	EBLT	765					0	0
		W. Old Baltimore Road	WBTR	440	Total Future C	only Intersection	Total Future Or	nly Intersection	0	0
		Site Access	SBLR	site interior					2	3

Notes : (1) Queue length in feet is based on the 95th percentile queue as reported by Synchro, Version 11.

(2) Roadway names in bold are considered north/south for purposes of this analysis.



Table 3 Worldshine Ruby Drive Intersection Delay Summary⁽¹⁾

Intersection	Operating Condition	<u>Existing Con</u> AM	<u>dition</u> PM	<u>Backgroui</u> AM	<u>nd Future</u> PM	<u>Total</u> AM	<u>Future</u> PM
1 Frederick Road (Route 355)/W. Old Baltimore Road	Signalized	17.2	8.1	20.2	9.5	22.5	9.8
2 W. Old Baltimore Road/Site Access	STOP	Total Future Only	Intersection	Total Future Or	ly Intersection	0.2	0.4

Notes : (1) Roadway names in bold are considered north/south for purposes of this analysis



Table 4Accident Data Summary

	Substagon	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
	Subcategory	OI CIASHES	OI CIASHES	OI CLASHES	OI CIASHES	OI CIASHES	
	X	2010	2020	2024	2022	2022	+1/2
Category	Year	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	years
	Daylight	2	1	1	0	0	4
Light Condition	Dark Lights On	2	1	0	0	0	3
	Dry	4	1	1	0	0	6
Surface Condition	Wet	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Property Damage	2	1	0	0	0	3
Severity	Injury Crash	2	1	1	0	0	4
	None Detected	3	2	1	0	0	6
	Alchohol Present	1	0	0	0	0	1
Driver Substance Abuse	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Single Vehicle	2	1	0	0	0	3
	Same Direction Rear End	2	1	0	0	0	3
Colision Type	Head on Left Turn	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Yes	2	2	1	0	0	5
Intersection Related	No	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Weekday	3	2	1	0	0	6
Day of Week	Weekend	1	0	0	0	0	1
	AM Peak (6:30-9:30 AM)	1	1	1	0	0	3
	PM Peak (4:00-7:00 PM)	1	0	0	0	0	1
Time of Day	Other	2	1	0	0	0	3
	NB	4	2	1	0	0	7
Direction	SB	0	0	0	0	0	0

Worldshine Ruby Drive Suplimental Analysis January 2022

ATTACHMENT A HCM REPORTS FORCASTS,COUNTS, ACCIDENT DETAILS



Queues 1: MD 355 & W Old Baltimore Rd/Driveway

	٦	\mathbf{F}	1	Ť	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	59	315	130	532	1239	34
v/c Ratio	0.46	0.20	0.56	0.33	0.88	0.03
Control Delay	75.4	0.3	21.3	3.3	25.4	1.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	75.4	0.3	21.3	3.3	25.4	1.3
Queue Length 50th (ft)	56	0	17	94	854	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	103	0	92	159	#1525	8
Internal Link Dist (ft)				511	894	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		155				415
Base Capacity (vph)	423	1583	253	1611	1406	1206
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.14	0.20	0.51	0.33	0.88	0.03
Intersection Summary						

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5		1		\$		5	f,			સુ	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	54	0	290	0	0	0	120	489	0	0	1140	31
Future Volume (vph)	54	0	290	0	0	0	120	489	0	0	1140	31
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		4.0				4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00		0.85				1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00				0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770		1583				1770	1863			1863	1583
Flt Permitted	0.76		1.00				0.07	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1410		1583				131	1863			1863	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	59	0	315	0	0	0	130	532	0	0	1239	34
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Lane Group Flow (vph)	59	0	315	0	0	0	130	532	0	0	1239	25
Turn Type	Perm		Free				pm+pt	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		Free	8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	11.9		150.0				127.6	127.6			112.2	112.2
Effective Green, g (s)	11.9		150.0				127.6	127.6			112.2	112.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08		1.00				0.85	0.85			0.75	0.75
Clearance Time (s)	5.0						4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0						3.0	0.2			0.2	0.2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	111		1583				230	1584			1393	1184
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.04	0.29			c0.67	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.04		0.20				0.44					0.02
v/c Ratio	0.53		0.20				0.57	0.34			0.89	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	66.4		0.0				33.8	2.3			14.2	4.8
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	8.7		0.3				3.2	0.6			8.8	0.0
Delay (s)	75.0		0.3				36.9	2.9			23.1	4.9
Level of Service	E		А				D	А			С	A
Approach Delay (s)		12.1			0.0			9.6			22.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			А			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.2	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.83									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		101.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service	9		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Queues 1: MD 355 & W Old Baltimore Rd/Driveway

	۶	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	1	Ť	ŧ	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	39	180	274	1141	837	37
v/c Ratio	0.36	0.11	0.53	0.70	0.58	0.03
Control Delay	74.1	0.1	5.6	7.0	11.0	1.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	74.1	0.1	5.6	7.0	11.0	1.4
Queue Length 50th (ft)	37	0	34	328	315	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	76	0	61	558	589	9
Internal Link Dist (ft)				519	899	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		155				415
Base Capacity (vph)	178	1583	647	1634	1434	1230
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.22	0.11	0.42	0.70	0.58	0.03
Intersection Summary						

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7		1		\$		۲.	4Î			र्भ	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	36	0	166	0	0	0	252	1050	0	0	770	34
Future Volume (vph)	36	0	166	0	0	0	252	1050	0	0	770	34
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		4.0				4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00		0.85				1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00				0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770		1583				1770	1863			1863	1583
Flt Permitted	0.76		1.00				0.26	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1410		1583				490	1863			1863	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	39	0	180	0	0	0	274	1141	0	0	837	37
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Lane Group Flow (vph)	39	0	180	0	0	0	274	1141	0	0	837	28
Turn Type	Perm		Free				pm+pt	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		Free	8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.0		150.0				129.5	129.5			114.5	114.5
Effective Green, g (s)	10.0		150.0				129.5	129.5			114.5	114.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07		1.00				0.86	0.86			0.76	0.76
Clearance Time (s)	5.0						4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0						3.0	0.2			0.2	0.2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	94		1583				512	1608			1422	1208
v/s Ratio Prot							0.04	c0.61			0.45	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.03		0.11				0.42					0.02
v/c Ratio	0.41		0.11				0.54	0.71			0.59	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	67.2		0.0				6.8	3.6			7.6	4.3
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	6.1		0.1				1.1	2.7			1.8	0.0
Delay (s)	73.3		0.1				7.9	6.3			9.4	4.3
Level of Service	Е		А				А	А			А	A
Approach Delay (s)		13.2			0.0			6.6			9.2	
Approach LOS		В			А			А			А	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			8.1	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacit	y ratio		0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		111.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service	;		Н			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Queues 1: MD 355 & W Old Baltimore Rd/Driveway

	٦	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	٩.	Ť	ţ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	377	154	532	1239	35
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.24	0.66	0.33	0.90	0.03
Control Delay	75.6	0.4	39.5	3.4	29.3	1.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	75.6	0.4	39.5	3.4	29.3	1.5
Queue Length 50th (ft)	59	0	68	95	943	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	107	0	147	163	#1564	9
Internal Link Dist (ft)				511	894	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		155				415
Base Capacity (vph)	423	1583	246	1607	1371	1177
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.15	0.24	0.63	0.33	0.90	0.03
Intersection Summary						

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	<u> </u>		1		\$		۲.	f,			સુ	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	57	0	347	0	0	0	142	489	0	0	1140	32
Future Volume (vph)	57	0	347	0	0	0	142	489	0	0	1140	32
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		4.0				4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00		0.85				1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00				0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770		1583				1770	1863			1863	1583
Flt Permitted	0.76		1.00				0.05	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1410		1583				99	1863			1863	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	62	0	377	0	0	0	154	532	0	0	1239	35
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Lane Group Flow (vph)	62	0	377	0	0	0	154	532	0	0	1239	26
	Perm		Free				pm+pt	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		Free	8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.2		150.0				127.3	127.3			109.4	109.4
Effective Green, g (s)	12.2		150.0				127.3	127.3			109.4	109.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08		1.00				0.85	0.85			0.73	0.73
Clearance Time (s)	5.0						4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0						3.0	0.2			0.2	0.2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	114		1583				233	1581			1358	1154
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.06	0.29			c0.67	-
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.04		0.24				0.50					0.02
v/c Ratio	0.54		0.24				0.66	0.34			0.91	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	66.2		0.0				46.2	2.4			16.4	5.6
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	9.0		0.4				6.9	0.6			10.8	0.0
Delay (s)	75.2		0.4				53.1	3.0			27.2	5.6
Level of Service	Е		А				D	А			С	A
Approach Delay (s)		10.9			0.0			14.2			26.7	
Approach LOS		В			А			В			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			20.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity	y ratio		0.85									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		101.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service	9		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Queues <u>1: MD 355 & W Old Baltimore Rd/Driveway</u>

	٦	\mathbf{i}	1	Ť	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	41	226	347	1141	837	41
v/c Ratio	0.38	0.14	0.65	0.70	0.61	0.03
Control Delay	74.3	0.2	8.1	7.1	14.6	2.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	74.3	0.2	8.1	7.1	14.6	2.3
Queue Length 50th (ft)	39	0	46	332	368	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	80	0	80	567	714	14
Internal Link Dist (ft)				519	899	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		155				415
Base Capacity (vph)	178	1583	627	1632	1376	1182
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.23	0.14	0.55	0.70	0.61	0.03
Intersection Summary						

	≯	→	\rightarrow	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦		1		\$		٦	ef 👘			र्भ	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	38	0	208	0	0	0	319	1050	0	0	770	38
Future Volume (vph)	38	0	208	0	0	0	319	1050	0	0	770	38
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		4.0				4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00		0.85				1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00				0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770		1583				1770	1863			1863	1583
Flt Permitted	0.76		1.00				0.25	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1410		1583				460	1863			1863	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	41	0	226	0	0	0	347	1141	0	0	837	41
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Lane Group Flow (vph)	41	0	226	0	0	0	347	1141	0	0	837	30
Turn Type	Perm		Free				pm+pt	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		Free	8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.2		150.0				129.3	129.3			109.8	109.8
Effective Green, g (s)	10.2		150.0				129.3	129.3			109.8	109.8
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07		1.00				0.86	0.86			0.73	0.73
Clearance Time (s)	5.0						4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0						3.0	0.2			0.2	0.2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	95		1583				527	1605			1363	1158
v/s Ratio Prot							0.07	c0.61			0.45	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.03		0.14				0.50					0.02
v/c Ratio	0.43		0.14				0.66	0.71			0.61	0.03
Uniform Delay, d1	67.1		0.0				10.0	3.7			9.8	5.5
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	6.5		0.2				3.0	2.7			2.1	0.0
Delay (s)	73.6		0.2				12.9	6.4			11.9	5.5
Level of Service	E		А				В	А			В	A
Approach Delay (s)		11.5			0.0			7.9			11.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		111.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service)		Н			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

Queues 1: MD 355 & W Old Baltimore Rd/Driveway

	٦	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	۸.	Ť	ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	63	386	167	532	1239	36
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.24	0.70	0.33	0.92	0.03
Control Delay	75.6	0.4	51.0	3.5	32.1	1.8
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	75.6	0.4	51.0	3.5	32.1	1.8
Queue Length 50th (ft)	60	0	98	96	998	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	107	0	179	164	#1584	10
Internal Link Dist (ft)				511	894	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		155				415
Base Capacity (vph)	423	1583	245	1606	1348	1159
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.15	0.24	0.68	0.33	0.92	0.03
Intersection Summary						

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	•	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5		1		4		5	î,			4	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	58	0	355	0	0	0	154	489	0	0	1140	33
Future Volume (vph)	58	0	355	0	0	0	154	489	0	0	1140	33
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		4.0				4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00		0.85				1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00				0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770		1583				1770	1863			1863	1583
Flt Permitted	0.76		1.00				0.04	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1410		1583				78	1863			1863	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	63	0	386	0	0	0	167	532	0	0	1239	36
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Lane Group Flow (vph)	63	0	386	0	0	0	167	532	0	0	1239	26
Turn Type	Perm		Free				pm+pt	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		Free	8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.3		150.0				127.2	127.2			107.6	107.6
Effective Green, g (s)	12.3		150.0				127.2	127.2			107.6	107.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.08		1.00				0.85	0.85			0.72	0.72
Clearance Time (s)	5.0						4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0						3.0	0.2			0.2	0.2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	115		1583				236	1579			1336	1135
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.07	0.29			c0.67	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.04		0.24				0.53					0.02
v/c Ratio	0.55		0.24				0.71	0.34			0.93	0.02
Uniform Delay, d1	66.2		0.0				51.7	2.4			17.9	6.1
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	9.1		0.4				9.3	0.6			12.5	0.0
Delay (s)	75.3		0.4				61.0	3.0			30.4	6.1
Level of Service	E		А				E	А			С	A
Approach Delay (s)		10.9			0.0			16.9			29.7	
Approach LOS		В			А			В			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			22.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.87									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		101.6%	IC	CU Level o	of Service)		G			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

	≯	-	+	•	1	1	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		र्स	ţ,		Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	411	162	13	9	0	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	411	162	13	9	0	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	447	176	14	10	0	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	190				630	183	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	190				630	183	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				98	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1384				446	859	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	447	190	10				
Volume Left	0	0	10				
Volume Right	0	14	0				
cSH	1384	1700	446				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.11	0.02				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	2				
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	13.3				
Lane LOS			В				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	13.3				
Approach LOS			В				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.2				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		31.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Queues <u>1: MD 355 & W Old Baltimore Rd/Driveway</u>

	۶	\mathbf{r}	1	Ť	Ŧ	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Group Flow (vph)	43	243	358	1141	837	42
v/c Ratio	0.39	0.15	0.67	0.70	0.61	0.04
Control Delay	74.5	0.2	9.1	7.3	15.3	2.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	74.5	0.2	9.1	7.3	15.3	2.6
Queue Length 50th (ft)	41	0	48	336	382	0
Queue Length 95th (ft)	81	0	88	575	736	14
Internal Link Dist (ft)				519	899	
Turn Bay Length (ft)		155				415
Base Capacity (vph)	178	1583	625	1630	1364	1171
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.24	0.15	0.57	0.70	0.61	0.04
Intersection Summary						

	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	•	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	5		1		4		5	ĥ			<u>ل</u> ه	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	40	0	224	0	0	0	329	1050	0	0	770	39
Future Volume (vph)	40	0	224	0	0	0	329	1050	0	0	770	39
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		4.0				4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Frt	1.00		0.85				1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85
Flt Protected	0.95		1.00				0.95	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770		1583				1770	1863			1863	1583
Flt Permitted	0.76		1.00				0.24	1.00			1.00	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1410		1583				454	1863			1863	1583
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	0	243	0	0	0	358	1141	0	0	837	42
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Lane Group Flow (vph)	43	0	243	0	0	0	358	1141	0	0	837	30
Turn Type	Perm		Free				pm+pt	NA			NA	Perm
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		Free	8			2			6		6
Actuated Green, G (s)	10.3		150.0				129.2	129.2			108.9	108.9
Effective Green, g (s)	10.3		150.0				129.2	129.2			108.9	108.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.07		1.00				0.86	0.86			0.73	0.73
Clearance Time (s)	5.0						4.5	5.5			5.5	5.5
Vehicle Extension (s)	5.0						3.0	0.2			0.2	0.2
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	96		1583				529	1604			1352	1149
v/s Ratio Prot							0.07	c0.61			0.45	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.03		0.15				0.51					0.02
v/c Ratio	0.45		0.15				0.68	0.71			0.62	0.03
Uniform Delay, d1	67.1		0.0				10.7	3.7			10.2	5.7
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00				1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	6.8		0.2				3.4	2.7			2.1	0.0
Delay (s)	73.9		0.2				14.1	6.4			12.4	5.8
Level of Service	E		A				В	A			В	A
Approach Delay (s)		11.3			0.0			8.3			12.1	
Approach LOS		В			A			A			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.8	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			150.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	tion		111.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service	9		H			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

c Critical Lane Group

	∕	→	-	•	-	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	ţ,		- ¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	208	344	11	18	0
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	208	344	11	18	0
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	226	374	12	20	0
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (ft)			1316			
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	386				606	380
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	386				606	380
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	100				96	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	1172				460	667
Direction Lane #	FR 1	W/R 1	SR 1			
Volume Total	226	386	20			
Volume Left	220	000	20			
Volume Pight	0	12	20			
	1170	1700	460			
Volume to Canacity	0.00	0.23	400			
Ouque Longth 05th (ft)	0.00	0.23	0.04			
Queue Lengin 95in (ii)	0.0	0	ა 12.0			
Control Delay (S)	0.0	0.0	13.Z			
Lane LUS Approach Doloy (a)	0.0	0.0	12.0			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.0	13.Z			
Approach LOS			D			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utili	zation		28.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

1: Frederick Rd (MD 355)/W. Old Baltimore Rd AM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			<u>Frede</u> Right	Southbound <u>rick Rd (MD</u> Through	<u>355)</u> Left	<u>O</u> Right	Westbound ff-Site Drivewa Through	<u>v</u> Left	<u>Frede</u> Right	Northbound erick Rd (MD Through	<u>355)</u> Left	<u>W. (</u> Right	Eastbound Did Baltimore Through	e <u>Rd</u> Left
Existing Volume Growth			31	1,140 -	-	-	-	-	-	489 -	120	290	-	54
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Tapestry by Miller & Smith	12	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cabin Branch Linthicum West	38	52 122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ten-Mile Creek	43 39	1122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Subtotal	132	327	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	57	-	3
Background			32	1,140	-	-	-	-	-	489	142	347	-	57
Site Trips	13	9	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	8	-	1
Total Future			33	1,140	-	-	-	-	-	489	154	355	-	58

1: Frederick Rd (MD 355)/W. Old Baltimore Rd PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			R	S <u>Frede</u> Right	Southbound <u>rick Rd (MD</u> Through	<u>355)</u> Left	<u>Of</u> Right	Westbound <u>f-Site Drivewa</u> Through	ay Left	<u>Frede</u> Right	Northbound <u>erick Rd (MD</u> Through	<u>355)</u> Left	<u>W. (</u> Right	Eastbound <u>)ld Baltimore</u> Through	<u>Rd</u> Left
Existing Volume Growth				34	770	-	-	-	-	-	1,050	252	166	-	36
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT													
Tapestry by Miller & Smith		9	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cabin Branch	bin Branch 89		1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Linthicum West	13	9	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ten-Mile Creek		9	<u>/</u>										-		
Subtotal	39	6 2	3	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	42	-	2
Background				38	770	-	-	-	-	-	1,050	319	208	-	38
Site Trips		1	8	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	16	-	2
Total Future				39	770	-	-	-	-	-	1,050	329	224	-	40

2: Site Entrance (Future)/W. Old Baltimore Rd AM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			<u>Site I</u> Right	Southbound <u>Entrance (Fu</u> Through	<u>ture)</u> Left	<u>W.</u> Right	Westbound <u>Old Baltimore</u> Through	<u>Rd</u> Left	Right	Northbound <u>N/A</u> Through	Left	<u>W.</u> Right	Eastbound <u>Old Baltimore</u> Through	<u>e Rd</u> Left
Existing Volume Growth			-	-	-	-	151	-	-	-	-	-	385	-
Pipeline Developments Tapestry by Miller & Smith Cabin Branch Linthicum West Ten-Mile Creek Subtotal	IN 12 38 43 39 132	OUT 34 52 122 119 327		- - -		- - - -	 					- - - -		
Background			-	-	-	-	162	-	-	-	-	-	411	-
Site Trips	13	9	-	-	9	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Future			-	-	9	13	162	-	-	-	-	-	411	-

2: Site Entrance (Future)/W. Old Baltimore Rd PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			<u>Site</u> Right	Southbound <u>Entrance (Fu</u> Through	<u>ture)</u> Left	<u>W.</u> Right	Westbound <u>Old Baltimore</u> Through	<u>Rd</u> Left	Right	Northbound <u>N/A</u> Through	Left	<u>W.</u> Right	Eastbound <u>Old Baltimore</u> Through	<u>Rd</u> Left
Existing Volume Growth			-	-	-	-	312	-	-	-	-	-	187	-
Pipeline Developments Tapestry by Miller & Smith Cabin Branch Linthicum West Ten-Mile Creek Subtotal	IN 3 8 13 12 39	OUT 9 23 9 71 9 82 9 77 6 253	- - - -	- - - -		- - - - -			-	- - -		- - - -	21	
Background			-	-	-	-	344	-	-	-	-	-	208	-
Site Trips	1	1 18	-	-	18	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Future			-	-	18	11	344	-	-	-	-	-	208	-

Wells & Associates,Inc

McLean, Virginia

٦

Existing Traffic Count

PROJECT W & A JOE INTERSEC	: B NO.: CTION: N [.]	Worldsh 8672 MD Rou Montao	nine Ruby Ite 355 &	y Drive West O	ld Baltim	iore Rd.		DATE: DAY: WEATH	HER:	12/13/2 Tuesda clear Agan	2021 ay		SOUTI NORTI WEST	HBOUN HBOUN BOUNI BOUND	ID ROA ND ROA D ROAD	D: D:):	Frederi Frederi 0 West 0	Frederick Road - 355 Frederick Road - 355 0 West Old Baltimore Road					
LOOATIO	v.	wongo	mery co.	,110				INPUT	ED BY:	agan			LAOIL		NOAD		westo	iu Dalui		•			
		South	bound			Moo	Turnin	g Mover	nents	North	hound		1	Foot	hound								
Time	Fre	ederick	Road -	355		wes	0		Fre	derick	Road - :	355	West		altimore	Road	North	East	Total	PHF	Time		
Period	1	2	3		4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12		&	&			Period		
	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	South	West					
AM																							
6:30-6:45	3	152	0	155	0	0	0	0	0	62	9	71	24	0	4	28	226	28	254		6:30-6:45		
6:45-7:00 7:00 7:15	6	199	0	205	0	0	0	0	0	89	20	109	27	0	3	30	314	30	344		6:45-7:00		
7:15-7:30	4	306	0	310	0	0	0	0	0	141	23	163	45	0	11	47 56	473	47 56	408 529		7:15-7:30		
7:30-7:45	9	282	0	291	0	0	0	0	0	142	25	167	71	0	17	88	458	88	546		7:30-7:45		
7:45-8:00	8	279	0	287	0	0	0	0	0	120	28	148	83	0	14	97	435	97	532		7:45-8:00		
8:00-8:15	10	2/3	0	283	0	0	0	0	0	86	45	131	91 64	0	12	103	414	103	517 510		8:00-8:15		
8:30-8:45	5	273	0	278	0	0	0	0	0	108	28	136	61	0	4	65	414	65	479		8:30-8:45		
8:45-9:00	9	283	0	292	0	0	0	0	0	104	46	150	52	0	10	62	442	62	504		8:45-9:00		
9:00-9:15	12	268	0	280	0	0	0	0	0	92	35	127	46	0	6	52	407	52	459		9:00-9:15		
9:10-9:30	•	174	0	160	0	0	0	0	0	110	20	130	00	0		60	310	60	3/5		9:15-9:50		
3 Hour																							
Totals	84	3,024	0	3,108	0	0	0	0	0	1,251	329	1,580	661	0	108	769	4,688	769	5,457				
Totals																							
6:30-7:30	16	880	0	896	0	0	0	0	0	404	74	478	135	0	26	161	1,374	161	1,535	0.73	6:30-7:30		
6:45-7:45	22	1,010	0	1,032	0	0	0	0	0	484	90	574	182	0	39	221	1,606	221	1,827	0.84	6:45-7:45		
7:15-8:15	24	1,090	0	1,114	0	0	0	0	0	489	90 120	609	230	0	50	200	1,727	200 344	2,015	0.92	7:00-8:00		
7:30-8:30	36	1,146	0	1,182	0	0	0	0	0	433	126	559	309	0	55	364	1,741	364	2,105	0.96	7:30-8:30		
7:45-8:45	32	1,137	0	1,169	0	0	0	0	0	399	129	528	299	0	42	341	1,697	341	2,038	0.96	7:45-8:45		
8:00-9:00	33	1,141	0	1,174	0	0	0	0	0	383	147	530	268	0	38	306	1,704	306	2,010	0.97	8:00-9:00		
8:30-9:30	32	998	0	1,030	0	0	0	0	0	414	129	520	223	0	27	255	1,573	255	1,952	0.90	8:30-9:30		
				-																			
AM Peak																					AM Peak		
7:15-8:15	31	1,140	0	1,171	0	0	0	0	0	489	120	609	290	0	54	344	1,780	344	2,124	0.97	7:15-8:15		
4:00-4:15	8	205	0	213	0	0	0	0	0	235	74	309	39	0	9	48	522	48	570		4:00-4:15		
4:15-4:30	4	198	0	202	0	0	0	0	0	279	52	331	45	0	12	57	533	57	590		4:15-4:30		
4:30-4:45	10	200	0	210	0	0	0	0	0	314	57	371	35	0	9	44	581	44	625		4:30-4:45		
4:45-5:00 5:00-5:15	7	188	0	1/9	0	0	0	0	0	222	75	291	38	0	11	53 49	470	53 49	523 535		4:45-5:00		
5:15-5:30	8	189	0	197	0	0	0	0	0	222	64	286	47	0	8	55	483	55	538		5:15-5:30		
5:30-5:45	11	143	0	154	0	0	0	0	0	226	75	301	45	0	9	54	455	54	509		5:30-5:45		
5:45-6:00	13	179	0	186	0	0	0	0	0	251	58 56	260	33	0	12	45 40	495	45 40	540 471		5:45-6:00 6:00-6:15		
6:15-6:30	10	164	0	174	0	0	0	0	0	185	54	239	33	0	9	42	413	40	455		6:15-6:30		
6:30-6:45	5	125	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	168	71	239	18	0	8	26	369	26	395		6:30-6:45		
6:45-7:00	5	116	0	121	0	0	0	0	0	170	52	222	15	0	8	23	343	23	366		6:45-7:00		
3 Hour																							
Totals	100	2,032	0	2,132	0	0	0	0	0	2,692	757	3,449	423	0	113	536	5,581	536	6,117				
1 Hour Totals																							
4:00-5:00	34	770	0	804	0	0	0	0	0	1,050	252	1,302	166	0	36	202	2,106	202	2,308	0.92	4:00-5:00		
4:15-5:15	33	753	0	786	0	0	0	0	0	1,031	253	1,284	165	0	38	203	2,070	203	2,273	0.91	4:15-5:15		
4:30-5:30	37	744	0	781	0	0	0	0	0	974	265	1,239	167	0	34	201	2,020	201	2,221	0.89	4:30-5:30		
4:45-5:45 5:00-6:00	38	687 699	0	725	0	0	0 0	0	0	886 915	283	1,169	1//	0	34 40	211	1,894	211 203	∠,105 2,122	0.98	4:45-5:45 5:00-6:00		
5:15-6:15	39	669	0	708	0	0	0	0	0	903	253	1,156	153	0	41	194	1,864	194	2,058	0.95	5:15-6:15		
5:30-6:30	41	644	0	685	0	0	0	0	0	866	243	1,109	139	0	42	181	1,794	181	1,975	0.91	5:30-6:30		
5:45-6:45 6:00-7:00	35	626 563	0	661 506	0	0	0	0	0	808 727	239	1,047 060	112 01	0	41	153	1,708	153	1,861	0.86 0 an	5:45-6:45 6:00-7:00		
0.00-7.00		503	0	390	0	0	0	0	0	121	200	300	54	0	57	131	1,000	131	1,007	0.90	5.00-7.00		
PM Peak 4:00-5:00	34	770	0	804	0	0	0	0	0	1,050	252	1,302	166	0	36	202	2,106	202	2,308	0.92	PM Peak 4:00-5:00		

Wells & Associates,Inc

McLean, Virginia

٦

Existing Traffic Count

PROJECT: Worldshine Ruby Drive W & A JOB NO.: 8672 INTERSECTION: West Old Baltimore Rd. & Ruby Dr.					DATE: DAY: WEATH	HER:	12/13/2 Tuesda clear	2021 ay		SOUTI NORTI WEST	HBOUN HBOUN BOUNI	ID ROA ID ROA D ROAE	D: D:):	Ruby D 0 West C	rive Id Baltin	nore Road	ł				
LOCATION	N:	Montgo	mery Co.	,MD					fed by Ed by:	Agan agan			EASTE	BOUND	ROAD		West O	ld Baltin	nore Road	t d	
							Turnin	g Mover	nents												
T :		South	bound		14/	West	tbound	Deed		North	bound		14/	East	bound	Deed	NI - utile	Frit	Tatal	DUE	T :
Period	1	Ruby	Drive		vves 4		aitimore 6	Road	7	8	9		10		12	Road	North &	East &	Iotai	PHF	Period
1 chou	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	South	West			1 chica
AM																					
6:30-6:45	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31	0	42	42		6:30-6:45
6:45-7:00	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	33	0	33	0	53	53		6:45-7:00
7:00-7:15	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49	0	70	70		7:00-7:15
7:15-7:30	0	0	2	2	0	18 30	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	40	2	04 118	00 119		7:15-7:30
7:45-8:00	0	0	1	1	0	36	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	89	0	89	1	125	126		7:45-8:00
8:00-8:15	0	0	1	1	0	49	0	49	0	0	0	0	0	106	0	106	1	155	156		8:00-8:15
8:15-8:30	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	75	0	75	0	111	111		8:15-8:30
8:30-8:45	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	61 72	0	61 72	0	92 125	92 125		8:30-8:45
9:00-9:15	1	0	1	2	0	50	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49	2	99	123		9:00-9:15
9:15-9:30	0	0	1	1	1	28	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	68	0	68	1	97	98		9:15-9:30
2 1 1 1 1 1 1																					
Totals	1	0	7	8	1	383	0	384	0	0	0	0	0	767	0	767	8	1,151	1,159		
1 Hour																		, í			
Totals						70		70						450		450			00.4		o oo - oo
6:30-7:30	0	0	2	2	0	70	0	70	0	0	0	0	0	159 216	0	159 216	2	229	231	0.83	6:30-7:30
7:00-8:00	0	0	4	4	0	105	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	272	0	272	4	303	381	0.05	7:00-8:00
7:15-8:15	0	0	5	5	0	133	0	133	0	0	0	0	0	329	0	329	5	462	467	0.75	7:15-8:15
7:30-8:30	0	0	3	3	0	151	0	151	0	0	0	0	0	358	0	358	3	509	512	0.82	7:30-8:30
7:45-8:45	0	0	2	2	0	152	0	152	0	0	0	0	0	331	0	331	2	483	485	0.78	7:45-8:45
8:15-9:15	1	0	1	2	0	170	0	170	0	0	0	0	0	257	0	257	2	403	404	0.78	8:15-9:15
8:30-9:30	1	0	2	3	1	162	0	163	0	0	0	0	0	250	0	250	3	413	416	0.83	8:30-9:30
AM Peak																					AM Peak
7:30-8:30 PM	0	0	3	3	0	151	0	151	0	0	0	0	0	358	0	358	3	509	512	0.82	7:30-8:30
4:00-4:15	0	0	0	0	1	78	0	79	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	44	0	123	123		4:00-4:15
4:15-4:30	0	0	1	1	1	47	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	52	0	52	1	100	101		4:15-4:30
4:30-4:45	0	0	0	0	1	67	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	43	0	111	111		4:30-4:45
4:45-5:00	0	0	0	0	0	74 81	0	/4 82	0	0	0	0	0	50	0	50 42	0	124	124 124		4:45-5:00
5:15-5:30	0	0	0	0	0	73	0	73	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49	0	124	124		5:15-5:30
5:30-5:45	0	0	2	2	2	84	0	86	0	0	0	0	0	46	0	46	2	132	134		5:30-5:45
5:45-6:00	0	0	0	0	2	62	0	64	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	38	0	102	102		5:45-6:00
6:00-6:15	0	0	1	1	2	66 50	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	36	1	104	105		6:00-6:15
6:30-6:45	0	0	0	0	0	78	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	22	0	100	101		6:30-6:45
6:45-7:00	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	24	0	81	81		6:45-7:00
2 1 1 1 1 1 1																					
Totals	0	0	6	6	11	826	0	837	0	0	0	0	0	485	0	485	6	1,322	1,328		
1 Hour																		, í			
Totals						000		000						400	0	100		450	450	0.00	1.00 5.00
4:00-5:00	0	0	1	1	3	266	0	269	0	0	0	0	0	189	0	189	1	458	459	0.93	4:00-5:00
4:30-5:30	0	0	0	0	2	203	0	297	0	0	0	0	0	184	0	184	0	481	481	0.97	4:30-5:30
4:45-5:45	0	0	2	2	3	312	0	315	0	0	0	0	0	187	0	187	2	502	504	0.94	4:45-5:45
5:00-6:00	0	0	2	2	5	300	0	305	0	0	0	0	0	175	0	175	2	480	482	0.90	5:00-6:00
5:15-6:15	0	0	3	3	6	285	0	291	0	0	0	0	0	169	0	169	3	460	463	0.86 0.82	5:15-6:15 5:30-6:30
5:45-6:45	0	0	3	3	5	265	0	270	0	0	0	0	0	135	0	135	3	405	442	0.97	5:45-6:45
6:00-7:00	0	0	3	3	3	260	0	263	0	0	0	0	0	121	0	121	3	384	387	0.92	6:00-7:00
PM Peak 4:45-5:45	0	0	2	2	3	312	0	315	0	0	0	0	0	187	0	187	2	502	504	0.94	PM Peak

Report Number	MCP2586004L	MCP11510097	MCP003600B0	MCP2898002Y	MCP3126001X	MCP102200F8	MCP263900D4
Local Case Number	190002579	190009279	190027056	190054218	200007413	200036564	210043056
Agency Name	Montgomery County Police	Montgomery County Police	Montgomery County Police	Montgomery County Police	Montgomery County Police	Montgomery County Police	Montgomery County Police
ACRS Report Type	Injury Crash	Property Damage Crash	Injury Crash	Property Damage Crash	Property Damage Crash	Injury Crash	Injury Crash
Crash Date/Time	1/16/2019 19:18	2/27/2019 8:00	6/6/2019 13:44	11/10/2019 17:30	2/13/2020 19:30	9/18/2020 7:16	10/26/2021 8:55
Hit/Run	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Route Type	Maryland (State)	Maryland (State)	Maryland (State)	Maryland (State)	Maryland (State)	Maryland (State)	Maryland (State)
Mile Point	20.57	20.57	20.57	20.57	20.57	20.57	20.57
Mile Point Direction	North	North	North	North	North	North	North
Lane Direction	North	South	South	South	North	North	North
Lane Number	1	0	0	1	1	1	0
Lane Type		SHOULDER AREA	OFF ROAD				LEFT TURN LANE
Number of Lanes	1	2	2	2	1	2	3
Direction	South	North	South	North	North	South	North
Distance	500	0	0	40	0	20	0
Distance Unit	FEET	FEET	FEET	FEET	FEET	FEET	FEET
Road Grade	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	LEVEL	HILL UPHILL	LEVEL
NonTraffic	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Road Name	FREDERICK RD	FREDERICK RD	FREDERICK RD	FREDERICK RD	FREDERICK RD	FREDERICK RD	FREDERICK RD
Cross-Street Name	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD	WEST OLD BALTIMORE RD
Off-Road Description							
	N1 / A			NI/A	NI/A	N/A	NI / A
Municipality	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	IN/A	IN/A	N/A
Municipality Related Non-Motorist	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault	N/A DRIVER	N/A DRIVER	N/A DRIVER	N/A DRIVER	DRIVER	DRIVER	DRIVER
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather	N/A DRIVER CLEAR	N/A DRIVER CLEAR	N/A DRIVER CLEAR	DRIVER CLEAR	DRIVER N/A	DRIVER CLEAR	DRIVER CLOUDY
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY	DRIVER N/A DRY	DRIVER CLEAR WET	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT	NYA DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON	DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED	NVA DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT	NYA DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A	NVA DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type Intersection Area	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A N/A	DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION N/A	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION N/A	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type Intersection Area Road Alignment	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event First Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type Intersection Area Road Alignment Road Condition	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS	NVA DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT HOLES RUTS ETC	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type Intersection Area Road Alignment Road Condition Road Division	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT HOLES RUTS ETC TWO-WAY, DIVIDED, UNPROTECTED	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type Intersection Area Road Alignment Road Condition Road Division Latitude	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21521603	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.215527	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.215515	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21524167	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT HOLES RUTS ETC TWO-WAY, DIVIDED, UNPROTECTED 39.21546364	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21555333	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A N/A INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21575833
Municipality Related Non-Motorist At Fault Weather Surface Condition Light Traffic Control Driver Substance Abuse Non-Motorist Substance Abuse First Harmful Event Second Harmful Event Fixed Oject Struck Junction Intersection Type Intersection Area Road Alignment Road Condition Road Division Latitude Longitude	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21521603 -77.25160234	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A DITCH INTERSECTION Y-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.215527 -77.25282233	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DAYLIGHT NO CONTROLS NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A CONSTRUCTION BARRIER INTERSECTION RELATED T-INTERSECTION BARRIER INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.215515 -77.25256667	N/A DRIVER CLEAR DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL ALCOHOL PRESENT, N/A OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A NON INTERSECTION N/A N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21524167 -77.25177167	N/A DRIVER N/A DRY DARK LIGHTS ON TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED FIXED OBJECT N/A GUARDRAIL OR BARRIER INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT HOLES RUTS ETC TWO-WAY, DIVIDED, UNPROTECTED 39.21546364 -77.25228169	N/A DRIVER CLEAR WET DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION RELATED Y-INTERSECTION N/A STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21555333 -77.25214333	DRIVER CLOUDY DRY DAYLIGHT TRAFFIC SIGNAL NONE DETECTED OTHER VEHICLE N/A N/A INTERSECTION T-INTERSECTION STRAIGHT NO DEFECTS TWO-WAY, NOT DIVIDED 39.21575833 -77.252615

ATTACHMENT "B"

		RESUN	ΛE				
12.	NAME	13. ROLE		14. YEARS EXPERIENCE			
Ke	vin Huang, PE	Senior Engi	ineer	a. TOTAL 30	b. WITH CURRENT FIRM		
15.	FIRM NAME AND LOCATION(City and State)						
EN	IDESCO, INC. (Rockville, Maryland)						
16. N E	EDUCATION(DEGREE AND SPECIALIZATION) /IS/1995/Highway Engineering /IS/1991/Water Resources Engineering		17. CURRENT PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATION(STATE AND DISCIPLINE)1998/ Maryland Registered # 230932010/ Virginia Registered # 0402 0473882010/ DC Registered # PE905896MD Erosion & Sediment Control Certification # 06-805MDE Green Card Certification # 34167MDE Certified Stormwater Management and Erosion and				
18.	OTHER PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS(Publications, Orga	nizations, Training, Av	vards, etc.)				
Mr His (Ea fac an Co	. Huang has 30 years of experience in water re s responsibilities include drainage design, storr &SC), highway hydraulics and culvert analysi ilities and erosion and sediment control practic d Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Reviewe ommonwealth of Virginia.	esources and tr mwater manag is, inspection a ces, roadway d er for MDE and	ransportation lement design and certifica esign, and I I a certified	n projects with expertise gn (SWM), erosion and ation of drainage and MOT. He is a Certified Stormwater Manageme	e in hydrolog sediment c stormwater Stormwater ent Plan Rev	gy/hydraulics. ontrol design management Management <i>v</i> iewer for the	
	-	19. RELEVANT	PROJECTS				
[(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) YEAR	COMPLETED		
	The Maryland-National Capital Purple Line Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, N	Project Maryland		PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 2016-2022	CONSTRUCT	ION (If applicable)	
a.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (<i>Brief scope, size, cost, etc.</i>) AND SPECIF Stormwater Management and Erosion and S project, including the requirements for open/ and site civil grading for this 16-mile light re Carrolton in Prince George's County. Work Private Partnership project during the desig segments and each segment has multiple p flows and drainage patterns are properly ma sequences. Attend field meetings with contra as needed when field condition changed. Prep (1) TITLE AND LOCATION (<i>City and State</i>)	FIC ROLE sediment Contr closed storm of ail line extens with different of gn phase and hases of cons anaged. Provid actors during th pare as-built pl	ol Lead, res drain design ion project design disci constructio truction. Wo e input for e constructi ans for all s	□⊡Check if project performe sponsible for all drainag , erosion and sedimen from Bethesda in Mor plines and contractors n phase. The project ork closely with MOT to the contactor on the co on as needed. Provide tormwater managemen (2) YEAR	d with current firr ge related a it control, SV itgomery Co for this cor is divided i eam to ensu onstruction s alternative t facilities.	n spects of the WM facilities, bunty to New nplex Public- into eight (8) ure the traffic schedule and design timely	
	InterCounty Connector Project, Contracts	"A" and "B"		PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCT	ION (If applicable)	
	Montgomery County, Maryland			2007-2010	200	18-2011 m	
b.	 Lead Drainage Engineer responsible for the drainage design, SWM and E&SC support, and supervising development of the watershed model using ArcView, ArcInfo and ArcGIS for the 16 miles of this major D/B project with five interchanges connecting I-270 to MD-29. Also performed As-Built plans and calculations for all SWM facilities for these two projects. In addition, performed two BMP retrofit designs and provided As-Built plans for Montgomery County under these contracts. 						
	(1) ITTLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	hango Pocor	estruction	(2) YEAR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES		ION (If applicable)	
	(Woodrow Wilson Bridge), Marvland	mange Recor	Istruction	1998-2006		2010	
c.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (<i>Brief scope, size, cost, etc.</i>) AND SPECIF Drainage Task Manager responsible for all open/closed storm drain design, erosion and and each of the four (4) construction contract drainage into four (4) separate construction co into multi-phases for construction.	CROLE drainage relat sediment cor ts in Maryland. ontracts, and c	ted aspects htrol, and S' . Coordinate letermined h	Check if project performed of the project, includ WM facilities for the in ed the design of the tot now the drainage patter	with current firm ing the requ terchange re al project to rns could be	uirements for econstruction separate the incorporated	
ļ	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)			(2) YEAR		ION (If applicable)	
	MDSHA, I-95/MD 24 Interchange Improvem	<mark>ents</mark> , Maryland	d	2004-2006		2010	
d.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (<i>Brief scope, size, cost, etc.</i>) AND SPECIF Drainage Task Manager responsible for all drain design, SWM and facility design, E& of mapping utilizing ArcInfo for spatial ana	FIC ROLE I drainage rela SC, flood pla alysis and the	ated aspect in study, ai creation of	□ Check if project performed s of the project, incluend nd culvert analysis. S presentation quality	with current firm ding open/c upervised c maps for th	closed storm development e report.	

	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	(2) YEAR C	OMPLETED					
	Frederick Road Bike Path (SP-72) Montgomery County DOT Montgomery County, Maryland	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 2011-2014	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
e.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE	Check if project performed	with current firm					
•	The project proposed a 2.5-mile long bike path along Frederick R	oad (MD-355) in the ci	ties of Clarksburg and					
	Germantown, MD Task Manager responsible for Hydrologic and Hy Management using MDE 2010 Environmental Site Design criteria.	draulics Engineering Se	rvices and Stormwater					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	(2) YEAR C	OMPLETED					
	SWM Facility Retrofit Projects, MC DEP	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
	Montgomery County, Maryland	2013-2014						
f.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE	□ I Check if project performed	with current firm					
	Task Manager responsible for performing site assessments, inspection	on of existing stormwate	r management facilities.					
	alternative studies drainage comps hydrologic and hydraulic analysis dam breach analysis plan specification and							
	estimates for seven BMP retrofit design for this Montgomery County cor	ntract.	,					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	(2) YEAR C	OMPLETED					
	Montgomery County Groon Street Design Broject	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
	Montgomery County Green Street Design Project	2015	() () () () () () () () () ()					
g.			with a sum and firms					
	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) And Specific Role		with current firm					
	ESD Excilition for this project		ded in the design for 58					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)							
	Montgomeny County DOT Engineering Services	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
	Montgomery County Do'r Engineening Services	2009-2012	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			with a summary firms					
	(3) DRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE		with current firm					
h.	Manager responsible for preparing Hydrologic & Hydraulic analysis and	Scour studies for the foll	owing projects.					
	• Dry Seneca Creek for the rehabilitation of culvert at Jerusalem	Road and Tributary to	Reddy Branch for the					
	rehabilitation of culvert at Brookeville Road, both in Montgomery Cou	unty, MD.						
	 The replacement of two bridges M-0187B and M-0189B along White 	s Ferry Road, Montgome	ry County, MD.					
	 The replacement of the existing private owned bridge over Broad Ru 	n Tributary at 20715 Whi	tes Ferry Road.					
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	(2) YEAR C	OMPLETED					
	Annapolis Road / Waterview Avenue Project	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
	City of Baltimore, Maryland	2008-2016						
i.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE	□ I Check if project performed	with current firm					
	Task manager responsible for drainage design, development of stormwater management facilities, phased erosion &							
	sediment control plans to match the phased construction program, and obtained the necessary approvals for this							
	project. Also responsible for the designed relocation of waterlines for the	e proiect.						
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	(2) YEAR C	OMPLETED					
	Deer Manor Subdivision on Riffle Ford Road	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
	Montgomery County, Maryland	2015-2019						
i.	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE	□☑ Check if project performed	with current firm					
J.	Project manager and lead designer for project plans including civil site demolition utility roadway grading/drainage							
	E&SC and SWM Also responsible for subdivision permitting applica-	tions (MNCPPC MCDPS	S MCDOT WSSC) and					
	post design construction services							
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)	(2) YEAR C	OMPLETED					
	Rockville Evangelical Mission (REM) Church	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If applicable)					
	Montgomery County, Maryland	2012-2016						
k	(3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE	□☑ Check if project performed	with current firm					
κ.	Project manager and lead designer for PS&F including sitectivil dem	olition grading/drainage	E&SC SWM parking					
	design Also responsible for permitting applications (MNCPPC, MCDPS	S MCDOT WSSC) and	post design construction					
	services							
	(1) TITLE AND LOCATION (City and State)							
	Bridge Scour Analysis and retrofit measures for CONSDAN	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	CONSTRUCTION (If annlicable)					
1	bridge occur Analysis and relight measures for CONSPAN bridges in MD and VA using HEC.18 HEC.23 MDSHA ARSCOULD							
. 	I MINANDO IN MID AND TA ASING TILO-TO, TILO-20, MIDONA ADOCOUR	2000 2012	1					
	programs and VDOT Drainage Manual	2009-2013						
	programs and VDOT Drainage Manual (3) BRIEF DESCRIPTION (Brief scope, size, cost, etc.) AND SPECIFIC ROLE	Check if project performed	with current firm					

Task Manager responsible for eight different tasks.

- Monocacy Boulevard Bridge over Carroll Creek, City of Frederick, MD.
- CONSPAN Bridge in Turf Valley II, Howard County, MD.
- Crossroads at Leesburg, Loudon County, VA
- Tuscarora High School (HS-5) BEAM/SPAN Bridge, Loudoun County, VA.
- Tuscarora High School (HS-5) CON/SPAN Bridge, Loudoun County, VA.
- Hi-Rock Ridge Road CON/SPAN Bridge Jamison Farm, Section 3, off Lee Highway (Route 29), Fauquier County, VA.
- Arcola I CON/SPAN Bridge Section 1B & 2- The Grange at Willowsford, Loudon County, VA.
- HA-2, Mine Road, BEBO Bridge Crossing Stafford, Prince William County, VA.



Joshua Sloan, RLA, ASLA, AICP

Vice President / Director of Planning and Landscape Architecture

Josh Sloan is a respected, seasoned professional with over 25 years of experience in project design and oversight. He began his career in the design/build arena of the private sector on landscape crews, as a designer, and business owner. This experience provided him with a strong background in the construction and permitting processes, project management, and business practices. He then spent seven years with the Montgomery Planning Department of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), rising to the level Planning Supervisor. During his tenure at the

Commission, he participated directly in development review, master planning, zoning, and community relations. Josh has presented at the USGBC Greenbuild Conference, the National APA Conference, and the ASLA Conference on Landscape Architecture, as well as serving on various committees for APA, ASLA, and local jurisdictions. He has been qualified as an expert witness in Planning and Landscape Architecture in Montgomery County, MD and provided expert testimony to the State of Maryland.

Areas of Professional Expertise:

- Master Planning
- Landscape Architecture
- Urban Design
- Entitlement Plans
- Zoning & Regulatory Review
- Land Use Planning
- Environmental Planning
- Concept & Feasibility Studies
- Construction Documents & Administration

Professional Experience:

- Tower Oaks, Rockville, MD
- Montgomery Row, Bethesda, MD
- Pike and Rose, North Bethesda, MD
- Park Potomac, Potomac, MD
- Brandywine Senior Living At Potomac, Potomac, MD
- The Neighborhoods of Crown, Gaithersburg, MD
- Greater Cheverly Sector Plan, Cheverly, MD
- 4910/4920 Strathmore Avenue, North Bethesda, MD
- Preston Place and Lake Apartments, Chevy Chase, MD
- Swann Road, Prince George's County, MD
- Fort-Washington Multifamily, Prince George's County, MD

Professional Degrees and Registrations:

- Master of Landscape Architecture, Graduate Studies in Philosophy, State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry & Syracuse University
- Bachelor of Arts in Biology, St. Mary's College of Maryland
- Maryland: Registered Landscape Architect, 2014
- Virginia: Registered Landscape Architect, 2000
- Co-Chair, Sustainable Design & Development PPN, ASLA, 2020-2022
- Treasurer, National Capital Area Chapter of the American Planning Association, 2015-2018
- American Institute of Certified Planners, 2015



esume

EDUCATION:

- Ph.D. in Environmental Design & Planning, 2003 Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA
- M. ARCH. , 1999 Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA
- B. S. in Architecture, 1990 Tongji University, Shanghai, China

CERTIFICATION:

- Registered architect in DC, MD, VA, and FL
- USGBC LEEP AP

EXPERIENCES:

Adjunct Professor, August 2021 - current

University of Maryland, College Park, MD

- 0 Teach two courses: Material and Methods; and Environmental Systems in Architecture
- Committee member for Learning Outcomes Assessment Review 0
- Committee member for UMD Honor College Scholarship 0

Principal/President, October 2015 - current

Prime Planning Intl. PLLC, McLean, VA

- Overseeing plan, design and construction residential and commercial projects, including residential development, multi-family condo, senior living community, and interior renovations for private universities.
 - NOVA Adult Daycare | Sterling, VA



Project Manager for a 7,800 sf interior renovation/conversion project. Facility includes one main multifunction room; 4 activity rooms, and other supporting spaces.



- Ruby Senior Homes | Boyds, MD Project Manager/architect for a new 120-unit assisted living community, including a formal dining room, salon, library, and wellness suite.
- \circ Other commercial projects in Maryland:
 - Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD Retail
 - Jefferson St, Rockville, MD Church/Temple
 - Hungerford Dr, Rockville, MD Fruit tea
 - Dulaney Valley Dr, Towson, MD Bubble tea
 - Annapolis Mall, Annapolis, MD Bubble tea
 - Democracy Blvd, Bethesda, MD Bubble tea

- Wisconsin Ave, Bethesda, MD Massage shop
- Deereco Rd, Timonium, MD Office
- Little Patuxent Pkwy, Columbia, MD Bubble tea
- New Hampshire Ave, Silver Spring, MD Daycare center
- PATASCO Ave, Baltimore, MD Retail
- 61st Ave, Fairmount Heights, MD Community Center

Project Manager, September 2011- July 2019

Arlington Public Schools, Arlington, VA

- Managing new construction project from \$15,000,000 to \$110,000,000.
- Oversee sustainability practices including two net-zero elementary schools. 0

Project Manager, February 2006 – August 2011

Perkins Eastman, Washington, DC



Clayton on the Park | Clayton, MO Project Architect/Manager for programming and repositioning the public

spaces for a 23-story rental independent living community from an extended stay hotel. The adaptation of the three lower floors includes a media center, formal dining venue, salon, library, and wellness suite.

Sunrise Sterling at Woodlands | Woodlands, TX Project Architect for a 10-story aging-in-place community including 133 resident units, dining and recreation facilities, health care component, and a parking garage. The project was published at AIA Design for Aging 2007.



- Hebrew Senior Life | Dedham, MA Project architect responsible for design of the assisted living facility within 162-acre inter-generational Hebrew Senior Life campus. The expansive 1,000,000 GSF complex provides a broad range of housing choices for senior citizens. The project included New England's largest closed-loop geothermal heating and cooling system. LEED® Certified equivalent.
- . Nations Academy | Bethesda, MD Project architect responsible for design of a \$87 million facility for this network of schools that will have more than 60 campuses in the world's leading cities. The 270,000 SF Bethesda building will include state-of-theart K-12 academic spaces, fully equipped athletic facilities, performing arts center, early childhood center, dormitory, and other support spaces.

Project Architect, August 2004 – February 2006 Einhorn Yaffee Prescott Architecture & Engineering P.C., Washington, DC

Intern Architect, October 2003 - August 2004 Kishimoto.Gordon.Dalaya PC, Rosslyn, VA

Student Team Leader, April 2001 – October 2002 Virginia Tech Solar Decathlon Team, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA

Intern Architect, July 1990- August 1997

The 9th Design & Research Institute, Shanghai, PRC

AWARDS:

- Project selected for publication: *Sunrise Sterling at Woodlands*, AIA Design for Aging 2007, Washington, DC 2007
- First Prize in the Presentation and Simulation Contest of the Solar Decathlon, the Department of Energy, Washington, DC 2002
- Travel Fund, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 2002
- Graduate Research Development Project Fund, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 2001
- First Prize in the virtual reality category of 16th Annual Research Symposium, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 2000

ACTIVITIES:

- Instructor for Halfmoon Education Inc, October 27. 2023: *Maryland Adoption of 2021 International Building Code*
- Judge for ABC Construction Awards (Virginia Chapter) 2010-2023
- Guest speaker at AIA Asian American Designers Union, AIA DC chapter, December 10, 2018
- CO-founder of Greater Washington Asian-American Architects and Engineers Association (GWAAEA)
 - Currently 100+ members.
 - Organize quarterly meetings for members since 2008.
- Promote building information management in design and construction.
 - Presented at Arch Exchange East, Richmond, VA, 2010: **Building Information** Management for Master Planning and Facility Management.
 - Presented at Project Management Symposium at University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 2010: **Building Information Management: a Tool towards Sustainability**
 - Presented at Virginia Community College System, Richmond, VA, 2009: *Building Information Management for Space Inventory and Utilization Study*

SUMMARY OF SKILLS:

- Oversee small to large scale construction projects from feasibility study, design, construction to post occupancy.
- Organize community meetings related to facility planning, design and construction.
- Supervise junior project manager and interns.
- Oversee RFP and interviews for design and construction services.
- Fluent in Autocad, Revit, Photoshop, MS Project, Powerpoint, Word, Excel.



ANNE (NANCY) M. RANDALL, AICP Consultant

- **PROFILE:** Ms. Randall has over 40 years of experience in the traffic and transportation planning fields for both private and public-sector clients. This experience includes conducting and overseeing the preparation of traffic impact studies, corridor studies, signal warrant analyses, Traffic Demand Management programs, site circulation reviews, parking policy and needs studies, and feasibility analyses.
- **EXPERIENCE:** Traffic Impact Studies. Conducted numerous traffic impact studies for residential, retail, commercial, industrial, institutional, and mixed-use properties in Maryland, Virginia, and Washington D.C. This includes analysis of data, preparation of reports, and expert testimony in support of rezoning, special exception/use permits, and site plan/subdivision plat approvals.

Large Scale Mixed-Use & Multi-Modal Developments.

Conducted multi-modal transportation studies for a number of large residential, office, and retail projects, including: North Bethesda Town Center (White Flint Metro Station), White Flint Mall, and Mid-Pike Plaza in White Flint, Maryland; Twinbrook Commons and Twinbrook Station at the Twinbrook Metro Station in Rockville, Maryland; Geico Headquarters in Friendship Heights, Maryland; Bethesda Theater in Bethesda, Maryland; Riverdale Park Station-Cafritz, Riverdale Park Maryland; West Hyattsville Metro Station, Largo Town Center at the Largo Metro Station, Town Center at Camp Springs at the Branch Avenue Metro Station, and Fairwood in Prince George's County, Maryland; and Russett Center, Parole Town Center and Anne Arundel Medical Center in Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

Transportation Master Plans and Corridor Studies.

Preparation of Transportation Master Plans for the City of Annapolis and Anne Arundel County Maryland. Preparation of the transportation elements for several Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment Plans for several sub-region zones within Prince George's County Maryland, including: Sub-Region I Route I Corridor Master Plan, Bladensburg Master Plan, Branch Avenue Master Plan and Port Towns Master Plan. Provided the analysis



and recommendations for the Transportation Master Plan for Fort Meade, Maryland. Conducted corridor studies in the city of Annapolis, Prince George's County, Historic District of the City of Fairfax, Virginia and MD Route 32/NSA for the MD State Highway Administration.

Feasibility Analysis. Prepared site assessments for projects in Montgomery, Anne Arundel, Prince George's, Howard, Charles, Calvert, Kent, St. Mary's, Allegany, Frederick, Carroll, Talbot and Baltimore Counties, Maryland and the City of Alexandria and Fairfax County, Virginia.

Parking Studies. Conducted parking policy, size requirements, needs, feasibility, and shared-use studies for private developers and for public agencies, including the City of Annapolis, Anne Arundel County, Anne Arundel Medical Center, Centex, CentreMark Properties, Lerner Enterprises, LCOR, Federal Realty Investment Trust and Archstone Smith.

Expert Witness Testimony. Qualified as an expert witness in Federal District Court, and Circuit Court in Anne Arundel County in Maryland; Qualified in Maryland before District Council, MNCPPC Planning Board and Zoning Hearing Officer in both Montgomery County and Prince Georges County; Planning Board and City Council in City of Rockville: Hearing Officer/Zoning Hearing Commissioner in Baltimore County; Zoning Hearing Officer and Board of Appeals in Anne Arundel County: Planning Board, Board of Appeals and City Council in City of Annapolis; Board of Appeals in Charles County; Anne Arundel County Board of Appeals, County Commissioner and Planning Commission in St. Mary's and Calvert Counties; City Council in the City of Greenbelt; Planning Board in the City of Laurel; Planning Advisory Board, and the City Council of Bowie; and the Planning Commission in Carroll County.

Special Event Transportation Planning. Designed and coordinated traffic operations for special events in the City of Annapolis, Maryland, including U.S. Boat Shows, 1984 Summer Olympic Trials and NATO conferences.

- **EDUCATION:** Bachelor of Arts, Behavioral and Social Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, 1975.
- AFFILIATIONS: Member of the Institute of Transportation Engineers American Planning Association Member of the American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP)



EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

1995 – Present	 Wells + Associates, Inc. McLean, Virginia Principal Branch Manager of Wells & Associates, Inc. Silver Spring Office, responsible for business development, management of professional, technical, and clerical staff, project management for transportation planning studies, including technical analysis, report preparation, public presentation, and expert testimony.
1989-1995	The Traffic Group, Inc. Towson, Maryland Senior Associate Responsible for the transportation planning studies, project management, technical analysis, management of technical staff, business development, documentation, and expert testimony.
1986-1989	Greenhorn & O'Mara, Inc. Greenbelt, Maryland Responsibilities included transportation planning studies, technical analysis, documentation, business development, administration, and management of technical staff, and expert testimony.
1981-1986	City of Annapolis Department of Public Works Annapolis, Maryland Engineering Analyst Provided transportation planning and traffic engineering services for the City of Annapolis, including; review of subdivision, zoning, and development plans for compliance with the City Code, review of traffic impact studies, special event planning, technical review of transportation plans, city wide traffic control design and implementation.
1979-1980	Development Facilitators, Inc. Severna Park, Maryland Engineering Analyst Responsible for business development, management of technical staff, technical analysis, and preparation of traffic engineering reports and plans.
1976-1979	Anne Arundel County Department of Public Works Traffic Engineering Division



Traffic Analyst

Responsible for review of subdivision zoning and development plans for compliance with County Code requirements, parking lot layout, street design and street lighting. Review of impact studies submitted for subdivision and rezoning applications. Prepared and drafted portions of the 1978 transportation text of the Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance for Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

