Dickerson Power Plant

Montgomery County, Maryland WSSI #MD2258.01

Waters of the U.S. (Including Wetlands) Delineation

September 15, 2023

Prepared for: Soltesz 2 Research Place, Suite 100 Rockville, Maryland 20850

Prepared by:

Studies and Solutions, Inc a **DAVEY** company

1131 Benfield Boulevard, Suite L Millersville, Maryland 21108 Tel: 410-672-5990 Email: <u>contactus@wetlands.com</u> www.wetlands.com

Exhibit 20(a) OZAH Case No: CU 24-13

Waters of the U.S. (Including Wetlands) Delineation

Dickerson Power Plant (±291.72 acres) WSSI #MD2258.01

Introduction

Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc. (WSSI) has determined the boundaries of potentially jurisdictional wetlands and other waters of the U.S. (i.e., streams and ponds) on the referenced site. These waters of the U.S. include palustrine open water (POW), palustrine forested (PFO), palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands, and perennial and intermittent stream channels associated with the Little Monocacy River and Potomac River. Our findings are depicted as a surveyed map on the Waters of the U.S. (Including Wetlands) Delineation Map (<u>Attachment I</u>) and are discussed briefly below.

Project Location

The site is located at 21200 Martinsburg Road in Dickerson, Montgomery County, Maryland. <u>Exhibit 1</u> is a vicinity map that depicts the approximate boundaries of the site and its general location.

Methodology

This wetland delineation was performed pursuant to the *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*, Technical Report Y-87-1 (1987 Manual) and subsequent guidance, and modified by the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region*, Version 2.0 dated April 2012. Field work was performed by Jennifer M. Favela, P.W.S.¹, Michael J. Klebasko, P.W.S.², Marius Flemmer, W.P.I.T.³, Dan Lekites, Rachel Shumway, and Tom Ballinger on August 23, 2023.

Prior to conducting field work, relevant background information was reviewed, including, the U.S. Department of Agriculture - Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey map for Montgomery County, Maryland (<u>Exhibits 2a, 2b</u>); the Digital National Wetlands Inventory maps (<u>Exhibit 3</u>, downloaded May 2023); the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) maps which include 20-foot topographic lines, forest, structures, and roads, as well as the locations of ponds, intermittent, and perennial streams (<u>Exhibit 4</u>); the 2020 Infrared Aerial Photograph (<u>Exhibit 5</u>); and DNR Wetlands and Wetlands of Special State Concern (<u>Exhibit 6</u>). The watershed classification was also reviewed and pursuant to the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 26.08.02.08, the site drains to the Little Monocacy River and the Potomac River, which are both classified as Use I-P Waterways. Pursuant to COMAR 26.08.02.04-1, the Little Monocacy River and the Potomac River are not located within a Tier II watershed.

Observations of vegetation, soils, and hydrology were recorded at representative locations in the wetlands and adjacent non-wetland areas to determine the wetland boundaries. Wetland Determination data forms describing representative plant communities, hydrology indicators, and soil characteristics are included as <u>Exhibit 7</u>. Photographs of the data point locations, representative wetland and non-wetland communities, and other existing site conditions are

Dickerson Power Plant - Waters of the U.S. Delineation

Wetland

idies and Solutions

¹ Professional Wetland Scientist #3033, Society of Wetlands Scientists Certification Program, Inc.

² Professional Wetland Scientist #777, Society of Wetlands Scientists Certification Program, Inc.

³ Wetland Professional In Training, Society of Wetlands Scientists Certification Program, Inc.

included in <u>Exhibit 8</u>. The surveyed locations of delineated wetlands, other waters of the U.S., data sites, and assessed stream reaches and the approximate locations of photographs are depicted on <u>Attachment I</u>.

Waters of the U.S. Delineation Findings

In WSSI's opinion, potentially jurisdictional wetlands and other waters of the U.S. (i.e., streams and ponds) are present on this site. These features include the Little Monocacy River (a USGS-mapped perennial stream), which enters and exits the site along the northern property line as well as two, small PEM wetlands (labeled as W-3 and W-4 on <u>Attachment I</u>) located along the northeastern property line.

WSSI also identified a potentially regulated wetland/stream system (labeled as S-3, S-4, W-11 and W-12) that originates in the southeastern corner of the site and drains in north-westerly direction to a culvert under an existing access road. It appears that the culvert connects flow from the wetland/stream system to the upper of three, man-made water storage ponds. Based on our review of historical environmental maps, it also appears that the three man-made ponds and adjacent PEM wetlands (labeled as W-5 through W-10) were originally constructed in-stream. As a result, these ponds and adjacent wetlands will likely be regulated features by both the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the Maryland Department of the environment (MDE).

Another potentially regulated feature characterized as a PFO wetland (labeled as W-13) exists in the extreme southwestern corner of the site adjacent to the C & O Canal. This is a depressional wetland that is described in greater detail on Wetland Determination Data Form 2 in Exhibit 7.

Numerous, man-made stormwater management ponds (labeled as "Ex. SWM" on <u>Attachment I</u>) and concrete-lined drainage ditches are also scattered throughout the site. These features appear to have been constructed in uplands and are typically not regulated by either the USACE and the MDE. Written confirmation regarding the jurisdictional nature of these man-made features are required from both regulatory agencies.

<u>Summary</u>

In WSSI's opinion, jurisdictional wetlands and other waters of the U.S are present within the study area, based on our site observations, as described above and depicted on <u>Attachment I</u>.

The waters of the U.S. on the site (i.e., the wetlands, streams, and jurisdictional pond) are regulated by Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act and by state wetlands laws and cannot be disturbed without the appropriate permits. Such permits may include permits from local agencies, as well as the USACE and the MDE, depending upon the extent and type of impacts.

<u>Limitations</u>

This study is based on examination of the vegetation, soils and hydrology and available reference documents. Field indicators can change with variations in hydrology and other factors. Therefore, our conclusions may vary significantly from future observation by others. This report assesses the potential for wetlands at the site at the time of our review and does not address conditions at a given time in the future.

Our review and report have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted guidelines for the conduct of a survey for potential wetlands. Conclusions presented herein are

Dickerson Power Plant – Waters of the U.S. Delineation

Wetland

based upon our review of available information, the results of our field studies, and/or professional judgement. We make no other warranties, either expressed or implied, and our report is not a recommendation to buy, sell or develop the property.

We offer no opinion and do not purport to opine on the possible application of various building codes, zoning ordinances, other land use or platting regulations, environmental or health laws and other similar statutes, laws, ordinances, code and regulations affecting the possible use and occupancy of the Property for the purpose for which it is being used, except as specifically provided above.

The foregoing opinions are based on applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations in effect as of the date hereof and should not be construed to be an opinion as to the matters set out herein should such laws, ordinances or regulations be modified, repealed or amended.

Any reuse or modification of any of this document (whether hard copies or electronic transmittals) prepared by WSSI without written verification or adaptation by WSSI will be at the sole risk of the individual or entity utilizing said document and such use is without the authorization of WSSI. WSSI shall have no legal liability resulting from any and all claims, damages, losses, and expenses, including attorney's fees arising out of the unauthorized reuse or modification of this document. Client shall indemnify WSSI from any claims arising out of unauthorized use or modification of the document whether hard copy or electronic.

This report does not constitute a jurisdictional determination of waters of the U.S. since such determinations must be verified by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers or the Maryland Department of the Environment (as applicable), and are subject to review by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

WETLAND STUDIES AND SOLUTIONS, INC.

Michael O. Kle

Michael J. Klebasko, PWS Maryland Environmental Science Manager

Marius Flemmer, WPIT Environmental Scientist

L:_Maryland\Projects\MD02000s\MD02200\MD2258.01\Admin\05-ENVR\Wet Del\Components\Working\Delin Rpt_Dickerson Power Plant_.docx

Dickerson Power Plant - Waters of the U.S. Delineation



ADC Map/Column/Row: 4925G7 Source: ADC 2008-2012

Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY 😤 company





Major Land Resource Area: Northern Piedmont, 148 Land Resource Region: Northern Atlantic Slope Diversified Farming Region, S Source: Montgomery County Digital Data, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2021

Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY 😤 company

Exhibit 2b: MAPPED SOIL TYPES

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Hydric Rating | Hydrologic Soil Group | K Factor (Whole Soil) |
|--------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 19B | Bucks silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 0 | В | 0.37 |
| 20A | Brentsville sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes | 0 | С | 0.28 |
| 20B | Brentsville sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 0 | С | 0.28 |
| 20C | Brentsville sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes | 0 | С | 0.28 |
| 21B | Penn silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 0 | В | 0.37 |
| 21C | Penn silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes | 0 | В | 0.37 |
| 21D | Penn silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes | 5 | В | 0.43 |
| 22B | Readington silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes | | С | 0.37 |
| 23A | Croton silt loam, occasionally ponded, 0 to 3 percent slopes | 85 | D | 0.43 |
| 47A | Lindside silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, occasionally flooded | 10 | С | 0.43 |
| 51A | Bowmansville-Melvin silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded | 100 | C/D | 0.43 |
| 109D | Hyattstown channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes, very rocky | 5 | D | 0.24 |
| 300 | Rock outcrop-Blocktown complex | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 400 | Urban land | 0 | D | N/A |
| GbB | Goresville and Bucks soils, 3 to 8 percent slopes | 0 | С | 0.28 |
| w | Census water | 0 | N/A | N/A |

Source: <u>http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov</u> (August 2023)





Source: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; May 2023



Site

USGS 7.5' Quadrangle Map Dickerson Power Plant WSSI #MD2258.01



Poolesville, MD VA 1997 Latitude: 39°12'36"N Longitude: 77°27'28"W Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 020700080402; 020700080403 HUC12 Name: Little Monocacy River; Limestone Branch-Potomac River COE Region: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont

Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY 😤 company

L:_Maryland\Projects\MD02000s\MD02200\MD2258.01\GIS\ENVR\Wetland_Delin\MD2258.01_05_2020_MD_iMapNCIR.mxd



Site

Spring 2020 Near Color Infrared Imagery Dickerson Power Plant WSSI #MD2258.01



Source: DoIT, MDP, MD iMAP

Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.





Source: MD Department of Natural Resources (DNR); September 2018

Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc. a DAVEY 😤 company

Palustrine Riverine

Wetlands of Special State Concern

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

| Project/Site: Dickerson Power Plant City/Count | v: Montgomery Sampling Date: 2023-08-23 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Soltesz | State: Maryland Sampling Point: DP1 | | | | |
| Investigator(s)·MF/TB Section T | ownship Range Dickerson | | | | |
| Landform (hillslope terrace etc.): Flat | oncave convex none): Concave Slope (%): 3 | | | | |
| Subragian (LBB or MLBA): \$ 148 | Long: -77 46010028 | | | | |
| orither the Armer 20C - Brentsville sandy loam | Eong Datum Datum | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes _ | No (If no, explain in Remarks.) | | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? | Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>V</u> No | | | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? | (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing samplin | ng point locations, transects, important features, etc. | | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No Is t Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ No ✓ wit Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No ✓ No ✓ wit | he Sampled Area hin a Wetland? Yes No | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | |
| Only two (i.e., hydrophytic vegetation and hydric soils) of the data point, which characterizes an herbaceous upland in the | ne three wetland parameters were satisfied at this e southeastern portion of the site. | | | | |
| HYDROLOGY | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) | | | | |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) | Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | | | | |
| Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14) | Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | | | |
| High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C | .1) Drainage Patterns (B10) | | | | |
| Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres or | Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16) | | | | |
| Water Marks (B1) Presence of Reduced Iror | Dry-Season Water Table (C2) | | | | |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron Reduction in This Muck Surface (C7) | Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8) | | | | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) Inin Muck Surface (C7) | Saturation visible on Aerial Imagery (Us) | | | | |
| Algar Mat Of Ofusi (D4) Other (Explain in Tremains | \mathcal{L} Geomorphic Position (D2) | | | | |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | Shallow Aquitard (D3) | | | | |
| Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | | | | |
| Aquatic Fauna (B13) | FAC-Neutral Test (D5) | | | | |
| Field Observations: | | | | | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes No Cepth (inches): | | | | | |
| Water Table Present? Yes No V Depth (inches): | - | | | | |
| Saturation Present? Yes No V Depth (inches): | Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No 🗸 | | | | |
| (includes capillary fringe) | | | | | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous | s inspections), if available: | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: DP1

| | Absoluto | - Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: |
|---|------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r | % Cover | Species? | Status | |
| 1 | | | | Number of Dominant Species |
| I | · | | | |
| 2 | | | | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3 | | | | Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Percent of Dominant Species |
| | | | · | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B) |
| 6 | · <u> </u> | | - <u> </u> | Provalance Index worksheet: |
| 7 | | | . <u> </u> | |
| | | = Total Cov | /er | I otal % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | : | OBL species $0 	 x 1 = 0$ |
| Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r | | | | FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 |
| | 3 | | EACU | EAC species 102 x 3 = 306 |
| | 5 | | FACO | $1 \text{ Ao species} \qquad \qquad $ |
| 2 | | | | FACU species 0 $x 4 = 24$ |
| 3. | | | | UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ |
| 4 | | | | Column Totals: 108 (A) 330 (B) |
| | | | - <u> </u> | |
| 5 | · | | | Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.06$ |
| 6 | · | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7 | | | | 1 Danid Test for Hydronbytic Vession |
| 8 | | | | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 9 | 00/ | | | 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ |
| | 3% | = Total Cov | /er | 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting |
| 50% of total cover: <u>1.5</u> | 20% of | total cover | <u>.</u> 0.6 | |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft r) | | | | data in Remarks or on a separate sneet) |
| 1 Panicum virgatum | 80 | ~ | FAC | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| Microstegium vimineum | 20 | | FAC | |
| | 20 | | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must |
| 3. Asciepias syriaca | 3 | | FACU | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| _{4.} Nyssa sylvatica | 2 | | FAC | Definitions of Four Vegetation Strate: |
| 5 | | | | Demittoris of Pour Vegetation Strata. |
| 0 | | | · | Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or |
| б. <u></u> | · | | | more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of |
| 7 | | | | height. |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less |
| 10 | | | · | m) tall |
| 10 | | | - <u> </u> | |
| 11 | | | <u> </u> | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless |
| | 105% | = Total Cov | /er | of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| 50% of total cover: <u>52.5</u> | 20% of | total cover | <u>: 21.0</u> | |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r) | | | | Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| , | | | | neight. |
| I | | | | |
| 2 | · | | · | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Hydrophytic |
| | | | | Present? Yes V No |
| | | = Iotal Cov | /er | |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | : | |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s | sheet.) | | | · |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

SOIL

Sampling Point: DP1

| Depth (inches) Matrix Redox Features 0 - 12 2.5Y 5/1 95 2.5Y 5/4 5 C M Silty Clay 12 - 17 2.5YR 5/1 85 10YR 5/4 15 C M Silty Clay |
|--|
| Color (moist) % Color (moist) % Type ¹ Loc ² Texture Remarks 0 - 12 2.5Y 5/1 95 2.5Y 5/4 5 C M Silty Clay 12 - 17 2.5YR 5/1 85 10YR 5/4 15 C M Silty Clay |
| 0 - 12 2.5Y 5/1 95 2.5Y 5/4 5 C M Silty Clay 12 - 17 2.5YR 5/1 85 10YR 5/4 15 C M Silty Clay |
| <u>12 - 17</u> 2.5YR 5/1 85 10YR 5/4 15 C M Silty Clay |
| |
| - |
| |
| · . . . |
| |
| · |
| · |
| |
| - |
| |
| ¹ Turney C=Concentration D=Depletion DM=Deduced Matrix MC=Mecked Cand Crains ² elections DI=Dere Lining M=Matrix |
| Hype: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. |
| Histopol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) 2 cm Muck (A10) (MI BA 147) |
| Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MI RA 147, 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) |
| Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147, 148) |
| Hvdrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleved Matrix (F2) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) |
| Stratified Lavers (A5) V Depleted Matrix (F3) (MLRA 136, 147) |
| 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Verv Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) |
| Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Other (Explain in Remarks) |
| Thick Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) |
| Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) (LRR N, Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, |
| MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136) |
| Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and |
| Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) wetland hydrology must be present, |
| Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) unless disturbed or problematic. |
| Restrictive Layer (if observed): |
| Туре: |
| Depth (inches): No |
| Remarks: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

| Project/Site: Dickerson Power Plant | City/County: Montgomery Sampling Date: 2023-08-23 |
|---|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Soltesz | State: Maryland Sampling Point: DP2 |
| Investigator(s):MK/DL | Section, Township, Range: |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat | ocal relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 1 |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): \$ 148 Lat: 39.206215 | 37 Long: -77.46817167 Datum: WGS 84 |
| Soil Map Unit Name: 47A - Lindside silt Ioam, 0 to 3 percent | slopes, occasionally flooded NWI classification: |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of y | /ear? Yes 🖌 No (If no, explain in Remarks.) |
| Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology significant | v disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes 🗸 No |
| Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology naturally p | roblematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showin | g sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. |
| | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? | Is the Sampled Area |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes V No | within a Wetland? Yes V NO |
| Remarks: | |
| All three wetland parameters (i.e., wetland hydrolo at this data point, which characterizes a palustrine | gy, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils) were satisfied forested wetland in the southwestern portion of the site. |
| HYDROLOGY | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply |) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) |
| Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic | Plants (B14) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) |
| High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sul | fide Odor (C1) Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhiz | cospheres on Living Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16) |
| Water Marks (B1) Presence of F | Reduced Iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) Recent Iron R | eduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8) |
| Drift Deposits (B3) Thin Muck Su | rface (C7) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | Stunted of Stressed Plants (D1) |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | Microtopographic Relief (D4) |
| Aquatic Fauna (B13) | FAC-Neutral Test (D5) |
| Field Observations: | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes No 🔽 Depth (inche | s): |
| Water Table Present? Yes No 🖌 Depth (inche | s): |
| Saturation Present? Yes No 🖌 Depth (inche | s): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>'</u> No |
| (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial pho | tos, previous inspections), if available: |
| | |
| Remarks: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: DP2

| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Abaaluta | - Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: |
|---|----------|---------------|------------|---|
| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r | % Cover | Species? | Status | Dominance rest worksneet. |
| (Acor saccharinum | 50 | | | Number of Dominant Species |
| | 50 | V | FACW | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) |
| 2. Acer negundo | 15 | ~ | FAC | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3. | | | | Species Across All Strata: 5 (B) |
| 1 | | | - <u> </u> | |
| 4 | | | · | Percent of Dominant Species |
| 5 | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B) |
| 6. | | | | |
| 7 | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| 1 | 65% | | · | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| | 05% | = Total Cov | /er | OPL approximation 30 $x = 30$ |
| 50% of total cover: <u>32.5</u> | 20% of | total cover | 13.0 | OBL species $\frac{30}{20}$ $x \uparrow = \frac{30}{100}$ |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r) | | | | FACW species 90 x 2 = 180 |
| 1 | | | | FAC species 45 $x_{3} = 135$ |
| | | | | EACH spacing 0 x 4 = 0 |
| 2 | | | | $1 \text{ Act o species } \underline{-} \text$ |
| 3 | | | | UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ |
| 1 | | | | Column Totals: 165 (A) 345 (B) |
| | | | - <u> </u> | 、 , 、 , |
| 5 | | | · | Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.09$ |
| 6 | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| 7. | | | | |
| | | | · | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| 8 | | | · | ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 9 | | | | \checkmark 3 - Prevalence Index is <3.0 ¹ |
| | | = Total Cov | /er | |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations' (Provide supporting |
| 50% of total cover. | 20 /0 01 | | | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 51(1)) | | | | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| 1. Lysimachia nummularia | 35 | ~ | FACW | |
| 2 Microstegium vimineum | 30 | ~ | FAC | |
| 2. Persicaria hydronineroides | 30 | ~ | OBL | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must |
| <u>Dechmenie evlindrice</u> | | | | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 4. Boenmeria cylindrica | 5 | | FACW | Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: |
| 5. | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 6 | | | | Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or |
| 0 | | | | more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of |
| 7 | | | | height. |
| 8 | | | | |
| a | | | | Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less |
| | | | · | than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 |
| 10 | | | | 11) tan. |
| 11 | | | <u> </u> | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless |
| | 100% | = Total Cov | /er | of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| 50% of total cover: 50.0 | 20% of | total cover | 20.0 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 30% of total cover. | 20 /0 01 | | | Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 TT) | | | | height. |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 2 | | | · | |
| 3 | | | · | |
| 4 | | | <u> </u> | Hydrophytic |
| 5. | | | | Vegetation |
| | | Tatal Car | | Present? Yes V No |
| | | | /er | |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | . <u> </u> | |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s | sheet.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Profile Desc | ription: (Describe t | o the dep | oth needed to docur | nent the | indicator | or confirm | n the absence o | of indicators | .) | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Depth | Matrix | | Redo | x Feature | s | 0 | | | | |
| (inches) | Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type' | Loc ² | Texture | | Remarks | |
| 0 - 8 | 5YR 4/2 | | 5YR 4/6 | 15 | <u>C</u> | M | Silt Loam | | | |
| 8 - 17 | 5YR 4/4 | | 5YR 3/1 | 10 | | | Silt Loam | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · | · | | | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | <u></u> | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | · | · | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | · | | · | · | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | . <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| ¹ Type: C=Co | oncentration, D=Deple | etion, RM | =Reduced Matrix, MS | S=Maske | d Sand Gr | ains. | ² Location: PL= | Pore Lining | , M=Matrix. | 2 |
| Hydric Soil | Indicators: | | | | | | Indicat | ors for Prob | elematic Hyd | Iric Soils': |
| Histosol | (A1) | | Dark Surface | e (S7) | | | 2 c | m Muck (A1 | D) (MLRA 14 | 7) |
| Histic Ep | bipedon (A2) | | Polyvalue Be | low Surfa | ace (S8) (I | /LRA 147 | , 148) Co | ast Prairie R | edox (A16) | |
| Black Hi | STIC (A3) | | | Inace (S9 |) (IVILRA ' (E2) | 147, 148) | (Dia | (WILRA 147, | 148) Inlain Saila (I | =10) |
| Hydroge Stratified | 1 Javers (A5) | | ✓ Depleted Ma | trix (F3) | (Г2) | | | (MI RA 136 | ipiain Solis (i 147) | -19) |
| 2 cm Mu | ick (A10) (LRR N) | | Redox Dark | Surface (I | F6) | | Ve | rv Shallow D | ark Surface | (TF12) |
| Depleted | Below Dark Surface | (A11) | Depleted Da | k Surface | e (F7) | | Oth | ner (Explain i | n Remarks) | `` |
| Thick Da | ark Surface (A12) | | Redox Depre | essions (F | 8) | | | | | |
| Sandy M | lucky Mineral (S1) (L | RR N, | Iron-Mangan | ese Mass | ses (F12) (| LRR N, | | | | |
| MLRA | A 147, 148) | | MLRA 13 | 6) | | | 2 | | | |
| Sandy G | Bleyed Matrix (S4) | | Umbric Surfa | ice (F13) | (MLRA 13 | 86, 122) | °Indic | ators of hydr | ophytic vege | tation and |
| Sandy R | edox (S5) | | Pleamont Fic | odplain S | 50IIS (F19) | (MLRA 1 | 48) wetla 7) wetla | and hydrolog | ly must be pi | resent, |
| Surpped | wallix (50) | | | naterial (r | -21) (IVILR | A 127, 14 | () unie | ss disturbed | or problema | uc. |
| Tunoi | Layer (il observeu). | | | | | | | | | |
| Type. | | | | | | | |) | | Na |
| Depth (ind | cnes): | | | | | | Hydric Soll P | resent? | res | NO |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

| Project/Site: Dickerson Power Plant | Montgomery Sampling Date: 202 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Soltesz | | | State: Maryland Sam | npling Point: DP3 | | |
| Investigator(s):MK/DL | Section, Towns! | hip, Range: | | | | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat | Local relief (concav | /e, convex, nor | _{ne):} Concave | Slope (%): 2 | | |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): \$ 148 | Lat: 39.20513109 | Lona: -77. | .46051223 | Datum: WGS 84 | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: 21C - Penn silt Ioam | | _ | NWI classification: | | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typic | al for this time of year? Yes | No (| (If no. explain in Remarks | .) | | |
| Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology | significantly disturbed? | Are "Normal | Circumstances" present? | Yes V No | | |
| Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology | orginitiating allocation | (If needed e | volain any answers in Re | emarks) | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site | naturally problemation | oint locatio | ons transacts imno | ortant features etc | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes | No Is the Sa | ampled Area | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Present? | within a | Wetland? | Yes 🖌 | No | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes | NO | | | | | |
| Remarks. | | | | | | |
| All three wetland parameters (i.e., we | tland hydrology, hydrophy | tic vegetat | tion, and hydric soil | ls) were satisfied | | |
| at this data point, which characterize | s a palustrine emergent we | etland in th | e southern portion | of the site. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | | Secondary Indicators (m | inimum of two required) | | |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required: c | heck all that apply) | | Surface Soil Cracks | (B6) | | |
| Surface Water (A1) | True Aquatic Plants (B14) | | | | | |
| High Water Table (A2) | Hvdrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | | | | | |
| Saturation (A3) | Oxidized Rhizospheres on Livin | | | | | |
| Water Marks (B1) | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | , | Dry-Season Water Table (C2) | | | |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled | Soils (C6) | Soils (C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8) | | | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) | Thin Muck Surface (C7) | | Saturation Visible or | n Aerial Imagery (C9) | | |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | Other (Explain in Remarks) | | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) | | | |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | | | Geomorphic Position | n (D2) | | |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | | Shallow Aquitard (D3) | | | | |
| Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | | | | |
| Aquatic Fauna (B13) | | | FAC-Neutral Test (D | 15) | | |
| Field Observations: | Depth (inches): | | | | | |
| Water Table Present? Yes No | Depth (inches): | | | | | |
| Saturation Present? Yes V No | Depth (inches): 14 | Watland Hydrology Present? Yes V No | | | | |
| (includes capillary fringe) | | | | | | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitori | ng well, aerial photos, previous insp | ections), if ava | ilable: | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: DP3

| , , | Absoluto | - Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: |
|---|----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r) | % Cover | Species? | Status | |
| 1 | | | | Number of Dominant Species |
| I | | | · | |
| 2 | | | <u></u> | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3 | | | | Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) |
| 4. | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Percent of Dominant Species |
| | | | - <u> </u> | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B) |
| 6 | | | <u> </u> | Provalance Index worksheet: |
| 7 | | | | |
| | 0% | = Total Cov | /er | I otal % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| 50% of total cover: 0 | 20% of | total cover | . 0 | OBL species 0 $x_1 = 0$ |
| Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Diat aiza: 15 ft r | | | · | FACW species 4 $x_2 = 8$ |
| | | | | EAC appoints 6 $x_2 = 18$ |
| 1 | | | <u> </u> | $1 \text{ AC species} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ AC species} = $ |
| 2 | | | <u> </u> | FACU species $0 	 x 4 = 0$ |
| 3 | | | | UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Column Totals: 10 (A) 26 (B) |
| 4 | | | - <u> </u> | |
| 5 | | | | Prevalence index = $B/A = 2.60$ |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7. | | | _ | Hydrophytic vegetation indicators: |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| 8 | | · | · | ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 9 | | | . <u> </u> | \checkmark 3 - Prevalence Index is <3 0 ¹ |
| | | = Total Cov | /er | |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting |
| Horb Stratum (Diot aize: 5 ft r | | | · | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| <u>Herb Stratum</u> (Flot size. <u>• • • • •</u>) | 2 | | EAC | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| 1. Microstegium vimineum | | | | |
| 2. Boehmeria cylindrica | 3 | ~ | FACW | 1 |
| 3 Acer rubrum | 2 | ~ | FAC | Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must |
| A Persicaria longiseta | 1 | | FAC | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| | | | | Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata: |
| 5. vius riparia | | | FACW | Tree Mandante contration (7.0 cm) en |
| 6 | | | <u> </u> | nee – woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) of |
| 7 | | | | height |
| | | | . <u> </u> | hoight |
| 0 | | | · | Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less |
| 9 | | | . <u> </u> | than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 |
| 10 | | | <u> </u> | m) tall. |
| 11 | | | | |
| | 10% | - Tatal Car | | of size, and woody plants loss than 3.28 ft tall |
| 500/ of total according 5 | | | . ว | |
| | 20% of | total cover | <u> </u> | Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r) | | | | height. |
| 1. | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 2 | | · | · | |
| 3 | | · <u> </u> | | |
| 4 | | · | | Hydrophytic |
| 5. | | | | Vegetation |
| | | = Total Cov | /er | Present? Yes <u>V</u> No |
| 50% of total cover | 20% of | total covor | | |
| 50 % 01 total cover. | 20 % 01 | | · | |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate | sheet.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Sampling Point: DP3

| Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Depth | Matrix | | Redo | x Feature | s | | | | |
| (inches) | Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type ¹ | Loc ² | Texture | Remarks | |
| 0 - 2 | 2.5Y 7/1 | . <u></u> | 10BG 8/ | 35 | | | Clay Loam | No redox, just multiple colors in matrix | |
| 2 - 8 | 5B 8/ | | 5YR 6/8 | 10 | С | Μ | Clay Loam | | |
| 8 - 12 | 5B 8/ | | 5YR 6/8 | 10 | С | Μ | Clay Loam | | |
| 12 - 16 | 5Y 6/1 | . <u> </u> | 7.5YR 6/6 | 10 | С | Μ | Clay Loam | | |
| 8 - 12 | 5Y 5/1 | 10 | | | | | Clay Loam | | |
| 2 - 8 | 2.5Y 6/1 | 10 | | | | | Clay Loam | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ¹ Type: C=Co | oncentration, D=Dep | letion, RM | =Reduced Matrix, MS | S=Masked | d Sand Gra | ains. | ² Location: P | L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. | |
| Hydric Soil I | ndicators: | | | | | | Indica | ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : | |
| Histosol | (A1) | | Dark Surface | (S7) | | | 2 | 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) | |
| Histic Ep | pipedon (A2) | | Polyvalue Be | low Surfa | ce (S8) (N | ILRA 147, | 148) | Coast Prairie Redox (A16) | |
| Black Hi | stic (A3) | | Thin Dark Su | rface (S9 |) (MLRA 1 | 47, 148) | | (MLRA 147, 148) | |
| Hydroge | n Sulfide (A4) | | Loamy Gleye | d Matrix (| (F2) | | F | Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) | |
| Stratified | Lavers (A5) | | Depleted Mat | rix (F3) | | | | (MLRA 136, 147) | |
| 2 cm Mu | ck (A10) (LRR N) | | Redox Dark S | Surface (F | -6) | | Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) | | |
| Depleted | Below Dark Surface | e (A11) | Depleted Dar | k Surface | e (F7) | | | Other (Explain in Remarks) | |
| Thick Da | ark Surface (A12) | • () | Redox Depre | ssions (F | 8) | | | | |
| Sandy M | luckv Mineral (S1) (L | .RR N. | Iron-Mangane | ese Mass | es (F12) (| LRR N. | | | |
| MLRA | 4 147, 148) | , | MLRA 13 | 6) | | , | | | |
| Sandy G | leyed Matrix (S4) | | Umbric Surfa | , ce (F13) | (MLRA 13 | 6, 122) | ³ Ind | licators of hydrophytic vegetation and | |
| Sandy R | edox (S5) | | Piedmont Flo | odplain S | ioils (F19) | (MLRA 14 | 18) we | etland hydrology must be present, | |
| Stripped | Matrix (S6) | | Red Parent M | laterial (F | 21) (MLR | A 127, 147 | 7) un | less disturbed or problematic. | |
| Restrictive L | _ayer (if observed): | | | | | | | | |
| Туре: | | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (inc | ches): | | | | | | Hydric Soil | Present? Yes <u>V</u> No | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

| Project/Site: Dickerson Power Plant | City/County | <u>/:</u> Montgomery | S | Campling Date: 2023-08-23 | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Soltesz | | | State: Maryland | Sampling Point: DP-1X | | |
| Investigator(s):JF, RS | Section, To | wnship, Range: | | | | |
| Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Swale | Local relief (cc | oncave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 1 | | | | |
| Subregion (LRR or MLRA): \$ 148 | Lat: 39.21166077 | Lona: -77. | 45064773 | Datum: NAD 83 | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name ² 21B - Penn silt Ioam | | | NWI classificati | ion: | | |
| Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typ | ical for this time of year? Yes | No (| If no, explain in Ren | narks) | | |
| Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology | significantly disturbed? | Are "Normal | Circumstances" pre | isent? Ves 🗸 No | | |
| Are Vegetation, Soll, or Hydrology | | Ale Nollia | | in Domerka) | | |
| | naturally problematic? | (if heeded, e | xpiain any answers | in Remarks.) | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach si | te map showing samplin | ig point locatio | ons, transects, i | mportant features, etc. | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes | <u>✓ No</u> ls th | o Sampled Area | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Present? Yes | ✓ No — with | nin a Wetland? | Yes 🖌 | No | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes | ✓ No | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | |
| All three wetland parameters (i.e., w | etland hydrology, hydro | phytic vegetat | ion, and hydric | soils) were satisfied | | |
| at this data point, which characteriz | es a palustrine emergen | t wetland in th | e northeastern | portion of the site | | |
| | es a palustille enlergen | | enormedstern | portion of the site. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| HYDROLOGY | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: | | | Secondary Indicato | rs (minimum of two required) | | |
| Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; | check all that apply) | | Surface Soil Cr | acks (B6) | | |
| Surface Water (A1) | True Aquatic Plants (B14) | | Sparsely Veget | tated Concave Surface (B8) | | |
| High Water Table (A2) | Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1 | I) Living Dooto (C2) | Drainage Patte | rns (B10) | | |
| Water Marks (P1) | Oxidized Rhizospheres on Presence of Poduced Iron | | | (D 0) | | |
| Sediment Denosits (B2) | Recent Iron Reduction in T | d Iron (C4) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) | | | | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) | Thin Muck Surface (C7) | Crayish Burrows (Co) Crayish Burrows (Co) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) | | | | |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | Other (Explain in Remarks |) | Stunted or Stre | essed Plants (D1) | | |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | | , | Geomorphic Po | osition (D2) | | |
| Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | | | Shallow Aquita | rd (D3) | | |
| Water-Stained Leaves (B9) | | | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | | | |
| Aquatic Fauna (B13) | | | FAC-Neutral Te | est (D5) | | |
| Field Observations: | | | | | | |
| Surface Water Present? Yes <u>No</u> | Depth (inches): | - | | | | |
| Water Table Present? Yes <u>No</u> | Depth (inches): | - | | | | |
| Saturation Present? Yes <u>No</u> No | Depth (inches): | _ Wetland H | lydrology Present? | Yes <u> </u> | | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito | ring well, aerial photos, previous | inspections), if ava | ilable: | | | |
| Domotion | | | | | | |
| Remarks. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: DP-1X

| | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet |
|---|----------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Tree Stratum (Plot size: 5 x 15 ft r) | <u>% Cover</u> | Species? | Status | Number of Deminent Species |
| 1 | | | | That Are OBL FACW or FAC ⁻¹ (A) |
| 2 | | | | |
| Z | · | | · | Total Number of Dominant |
| 3 | | | · | Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) |
| 4 | | | . <u> </u> | Dereast of Dominant Species |
| 5. | | | | That are OBL EACW or EAC \cdot 100 (A/B) |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | · | Prevalence Index worksheet: |
| 1 | · | | · | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: |
| | | = Total Cov | rer | $\frac{1}{1} OBL species 60 \qquad x = 60$ |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | <u> </u> | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft r) | | | | FACW species 5 $x^2 = 10$ |
| 1. | | | | FAC species 15 $x_3 = 45$ |
| 2 | | | | FACU species 0 $x = 0$ |
| 2 | · | | · | UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$ |
| 3 | · | | · | $\frac{115}{115}$ |
| 4 | | | | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Column rotals:} \underline{ \ \ } \\ (A) \underline{ \ \ } \\ (B) \end{array}$ |
| 5 | | | | Prevalence Index - P/A - 144 |
| 6. | | | | |
| 7 | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: |
| /· | · | | · | 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation |
| 8 | · | | . <u> </u> | ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% |
| 9 | | | . <u> </u> | \checkmark 3 - Prevalence Index is <3 0 ¹ |
| | | = Total Cov | rer | 4. Merchelegical Adaptations ¹ (Dravide supporting |
| 50% of total cover: | 20% of | total cover | | 4 - Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting |
| Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5 ft r | | | | data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) |
| A Persicaria hydropiper | 60 | ~ | OBI | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) |
| | 15 | | | |
| | 15 | . <u> </u> | | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must |
| 3. Persicaria pensylvanica | 5 | | FACW | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. |
| 4. | | | | Definitions of Four Vegetation Strates |
| 5 | | | | Demnitions of Four Vegetation Strata: |
| | · | | · | Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or |
| б | · | | · | more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of |
| 7 | | | · | height. |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less |
| 10 | | | · | m) tall |
| 10 | · | | · | |
| 11 | | | . <u> </u> | Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless |
| | 80% | = Total Cov | rer | of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. |
| 50% of total cover: <u>40.0</u> | 20% of | total cover | 16.0 | Weederstine All weederstines prester then 2.20 ft in |
| Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30 ft r) | | | | woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in |
| 1 | | | | noight. |
| ·· <u> </u> | | | · | |
| <u>∠</u> | | | · | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | . <u> </u> | Hydrophytic |
| 5. | | | | Vegetation |
| | | = Total Cov | or | Present? Yes <u>V</u> No |
| E0% of total cover: | 200/ of | | | |
| | 20% 01 | | · | |
| Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s | sheet.) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|--|
| Depth | Matrix | | Redo | x Feature | es | 2 | | | |
| (inches) | Color (moist) | % | Color (moist) | % | Type' | Loc ² | Texture Remarks | | |
| 0 - 12 | 7.5YR 4/2 | 78 | 7.5YR 4/4 | 2 | <u>C</u> | M | Clay Loam | | |
| 0 - 12 | | | 2.5YR 3/4 | 20 | С | М | | | |
| | | | | | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | · | · · · · · _ · _ · | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | · | · · · · · · · · · _ / | | |
| | | | | | | · | | | |
| | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · _ · | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ¹ Type: C=Ce | oncentration. D=Der | pletion. RM | =Reduced Matrix. M | S=Maske | d Sand Gr | ains. | ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. | | |
| Hydric Soil | Indicators: | | , , , | | | - | Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils | ³ : | |
| Histosol | (A1) | | Dark Surface | e (S7) | | | 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) | | |
| Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147 | | | | | | | , 148) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) | | |
| Black Hi | stic (A3) | | Thin Dark Su | urface (SS | 9) (MLRA ' | 147, 148) | (MLRA 147, 148) | | |
| Hydroge | en Sulfide (A4) | | Loamy Gleye | ed Matrix | (F2) | | Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) | | |
| Stratified | Stratified Layers (A5) ∠ Depleted Matrix (F3) | | | | | | (MLRA 136, 147) | | |
| 2 cm Mu | 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) | | | | | | Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) | | |
| Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) | | | | | | Other (Explain in Remarks) | | | |
| Thick Da | ark Surface (A12) | | Redox Depre | essions (H | -8) (F 40) (| | | | |
| | 1ucky Mineral (S1) (| LRR N, | Iron-Mangan | | ses (F12) (| LRR N, | | | |
| Sandy G | 147, 140) | | WILKA 13 | 00) 000 (E13) | (MI DA 13 | 6 122) | ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and | Ч | |
| Sandy Beday (S5) Sandy Beday (S5) Diedmont Floodalaia Sails (F10) (MI DA 1/ | | | | | | 48) wetland hydrology must be present | u | | |
| Stripped | Matrix (S6) | | Red Parent I | Material (I | F21) (MLR | A 127. 14 | 7) unless disturbed or problematic. | | |
| Restrictive | Layer (if observed) | : | | (| / (| , | | | |
| Type: Ro | ock | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (in | _{ches):} 12 | | | | | | Hydric Soil Present? Yes 🖌 No | | |
| Remarks: | -/ | | | | | | | | |
| . tornanto. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |