Case No. S-2820

PETITION OF FAIRLAND ANIMAL HOSPITAL, INC.

OPINION OF THE BOARD
(Opinion Adopted May 2, 2012)
(Effective Date of Opinion: May 7, 2012)

Case No. S-2820 is an application for a special exception under Section 59-G-2.32 of the Zoning Ordinance to allow construction and operation of a veterinary hospital. The Hearing Examiner for Montgomery County held a hearing on the application on December 16, 2011, closed the record in the case on January 13, 2012 and on January 31, 2012, issued a Report and Recommendation for approval of the special exception.

The Board of Appeals initially considered the Report and Recommendation at its Worksession on February 29, 2012. The Board also had before it a request for Oral Argument on the Report and Recommendation from Jody S. Kline, on behalf of the Petitioner. The Board granted Oral Argument and heard it on May 2, 2012.

The subject property is Parcel P918, located at 13425 Old Columbia Pike, Silver Spring, MD 20904 in the R-90 Zone.

Decision of the Board: Special Exception Granted Subject to The Conditions Enumerated Below.

The Board heard Oral Argument on two issues:

1) How a proposed outdoor exercise area in connection with the veterinary hospital special exception would be operated in a manner consistent with the intent and purpose of the 200-foot separation requirement specified in Section 59-G-2.32(b)(2) of the Zoning Ordinance; and

2) How a reasonable and logical interpretation of Section 59-G-2.32(b)(2), taking into account the unique circumstances under which this site is located, would allow for the proposed outdoor exercise area.
Section 59-G-2.32(b)(2) provides: “Exterior areas used to exercise, walk or keep animals must be set back from any property line 200 feet and screened from adjacent residential properties. All exterior areas and runs must be fenced for the safe confinement of animals.”

Mr. Kline noted that the property is adjoined to the north, south and east by Maryland State Highway right of way. The nearest residential property is more than 350 feet away, across Old Columbia Pike to the west. He further noted that the noise generated by the surrounding highways far exceeds any noise that might be generated by animals in the proposed outdoor exercise area.

Following the principle of parallel construction, Mr. Kline argued that the proper grammatical interpretation of Section 59-G-2.32(b)(2) is that the two requirements it contains, for setback and screening, both apply to residential properties.

The Board agrees with Mr. Kline’s reading of the statute and further finds that the application presents a unique situation in that the subject property is surrounded on three sides by state highways. Therefore, on a motion by David K. Perdue, Vice-Chair, seconded by Carolyn J. Shawaker, with Walter S. Booth and Catherine G. Titus, Chair, in agreement, and Stanley B. Boyd, necessarily absent, the Board adopts the Hearing Examiner’s Report and Recommendation, with slight revision to Condition No. 5, and grants the special exception subject to the following conditions:

1. The Petitioner shall be bound by all of its testimony and exhibits of record, and by the testimony of its witnesses and the representations of its counsel identified in the Hearing Examiner’s report and in this opinion.

2. The special exception is limited to a maximum of 10 employees, consisting of three veterinarians and seven support staff on-site at any one time.

3. Hours of operation are limited to Monday through Friday, 7:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m., and Saturday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

4. Per §59-G-2.32(b)(7) of the Zoning Ordinance, dogs must not be walked or exercised in outdoor areas that are off-site.

5. Per §59-G-2.32(b)(2), (10) and (14) of the Zoning Ordinance, no animals may be boarded (except for overnight medical purposes).

6. Client visits must be scheduled by appointment only, except in emergencies. Per § 59-G-2.32(b)(11) of the Zoning Ordinance, Petitioner must keep a written log of all appointments, drop-ins and emergency client activities, and make it available for inspection by the County.

7. Per §59-G-2.32(b)(12) of the Zoning Ordinance, accessory operations, such as the sale of pet food and supplies, must not exceed 20% of revenue.
8. Petitioner must maintain at least 21 parking spaces on site, unless the Board approves a change.

9. All litter and animal waste must be contained and controlled on the site.

10. Petitioner must comply with the requirements of the Amended Statement of Operations (Exhibit 18(a)).

11. A sign permit must be obtained for the proposed monument sign, and a copy of the permit for the approved sign must be submitted to the Board of Appeals before the sign is posted. If required by the Department of Permitting Services, Petitioner must obtain a sign variance for the proposed sign or amend the design of the proposed sign to have it conform with all applicable regulations. If the design is amended, a diagram showing the amended design must be filed with the Board.

12. Since the proposed use will require subdivision, in accordance with Zoning Ordinance §59-G-1.21(a)(9)(A), approval of this special exception is conditioned upon approval of a preliminary plan of subdivision by the Planning Board. If changes to the site plan or other plans filed in this case are required at subdivision, Petitioner must file a copy of the revised site and related plans with the Board of Appeals.

13. Petitioner must make a payment to the County to satisfy the requirements of Policy Area Mobility Review (PAMR), in an amount to be determined at subdivision, but it is currently calculated as $93,600, to mitigate eight (8) peak-hour trips. The timing of the payment will be determined at subdivision.

14. Petitioner must obtain and satisfy the requirements of all licenses and permits, including but not limited to building permits and use and occupancy permits, necessary to occupy the special exception premises and operate the special exception as granted herein. Petitioner shall at all times ensure that the special exception use and premises comply with all applicable codes (including but not limited to building, life safety and handicapped accessibility requirements), regulations, directives and other governmental requirements.

________________________________________
Catherine G. Titus
Chair, Montgomery County Board of Appeals
Entered in the Opinion Book of the Board of Appeals for Montgomery County, Maryland this 7th day of May, 2012.

___________________________
Katherine Freeman
Executive Director

NOTE:

See Section 59-A-4.53 of the Zoning Ordinance regarding the twenty-four months' period within which the special exception granted by the Board must be exercised.

Any request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed within fifteen (15) days after the date the Opinion is mailed and entered in the Opinion Book (See Section 59-A-4.63 of the County Code). Please see the Board's Rules of Procedure for specific instructions for requesting reconsideration.

Any decision by the County Board of Appeals may, within thirty (30) days after the decision is rendered, be appealed by any person aggrieved by the decision of the Board and a party to the proceeding before it, to the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, in accordance with the Maryland Rules of Procedure. It is each party’s responsibility to participate in the Circuit Court action to protect their respective interests. In short, as a party you have a right to protect your interests in this matter by participating in the Circuit Court proceedings, and this right is unaffected by any participation by the County.