PS ITEM 1 July 17, 2017

Worksession

MEMORANDUM

July 13, 2017

TO:

Public Safety Committee

FROM:

Amanda Mihill, Legislative Attorney Markil

SUBJECT:

Worksession: Bill 21-17, Animal Control – Municipal Dog Exercise Area

Bill 21-17, Animal Control – Municipal Dog Exercise Area, sponsored by Lead Sponsor Councilmember Katz and Co-Sponsors Council President Berliner and Councilmembers Rice and Elrich, was introduced on June 20, 2017. A public hearing was held on July 11 at which a representative of Chevy Chase village supported the bill. Council staff is unaware of any other correspondence on Bill 21-17

Bill 21-17 would allow a dog to be off leash if it is in an area that a municipality has identified as a dog exercise area and amend the definition of at-large animals. Without this change in County law, municipalities would continue to be prohibited from establishing dog exercise areas. Therefore, **Council staff recommends** enactment of Bill 21-17.

This packet contains:	<u> Circle #</u>
Bill 21-17	1
Legislative Request Report	3
Fiscal and Economic Impact statement	4

F:\LAW\BILLS\1721 Animal Control\PS Memo.Docx

Bill No	21-17			
Concerning:	Animal C	Control - M	unic	ipal
Dog Exe	ercise Area	1		
Revised: 6	5/14/2017	Draft N	lo	1_
Introduced:	June 2	0, 2017		
Expires:	Decem	ber 20, 2018	3	
Enacted:				
Executive: _				
Effective:				
Sunset Date	: None			
Ch, L	aws of Mo	ont. Co.		

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Councilmember Katz
Co-Sponsors: Council President Berliner and Councilmembers Rice and Elrich

AN ACT to:

- (1) allow a dog to be off leash if it is in an area that a municipality has identified as a dog exercise area;
- (2) amend the definition of at-large animals; and
- (3) generally amend the County's animal control law.

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 5, Animal Control Section 5-101

Boldface Heading or defined term.
Underlining Added to existing law by

<u>Underlining</u>
Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets]

Added to existing law by original bill.

Deleted from existing law by original bill.

Double underlining

Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

Sec. 1. Section 5-101 is amended as follows: 1 5-101. Definitions. 2 In this Chapter, the following words and phrases have the following meanings: 3 4 At large: A dog, except a service animal, is at large if it is outside the owner's 5 premises and not leashed, unless it is in a dog exercise area designated by either 6 the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, or a 7 municipality, or is participating in a qualified activity. Any other animal is at 8 large if it is outside the owner's premises and is not leashed or immediately 9 responsive to verbal or non-verbal direction. For purposes of this Chapter or 10 any other animal control law, the common area of a homeowners' association, 11 condominium, or cooperative is not the owner's premises. 12 13 Approved: 14 15 16 Roger Berliner, President, County Council 17 Date 18 Approved: 19 20 21 Isiah Leggett, County Executive Date 22 This is a correct copy of Council action. 23 24 25 Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council Date 26

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Bill 21-17 Animal Control – Municipal Dog Exercise Area

DESCRIPTION:

Bill 16-17 would allow a dog to be off leash if it is in an area that a

municipality has identified as a dog exercise area.

PROBLEM:

Under current law, a dog is considered "at large" if it is outside the owners premises and not leashed, unless it is in a dog exercise area designated by M-NCPPC. Municipalities may want to designate dog

exercise areas within their jurisdictions.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES: To allow a municipality to designate a dog exercise area.

COORDINATION:

Animal Control Division in the Department of Police.

FISCAL IMPACT:

To be requested.

ECONOMIC

To be requested.

IMPACT:

To be requested.

EXPERIENCE

EVALUATION:

To be researched.

Applies in municipalities

ELSEWHERE:

SOURCE OF

INFORMATION:

Amanda Mihill, Legislative Attorney, 240-777-7815

APPLICATION

WITHIN

MUNICIPALITIES:

PENALTIES:

Enforcement procedures are specified in Article III of Chapter 5.

F:\LAW\BILLS\1721 Animal Control\LRR.Docx



MEMORANDUM

July 10, 2017

TO:

Roger Berliner, President, County Council

FROM:

ennifer A. Hinghes, Director, Office of Management and Budget

For Alexandre A. Espinosa, Director, Department of Finance

SUBJECT:

FEIS for Bill 21-17, Animal Control - Municipal Dog Exercise Area

Please find attached the fiscal and economic impact statements for the above-referenced legislations.

JAH:fz

cc: Bonnie Kirkland, Assistant Chief Administrative Officer Lisa Austin, Offices of the County Executive Joy Nurmi, Special Assistant to the County Executive Patrick Lacefield, Director, Public Information Office David Platt, Department of Finance Dennis Hetman, Department of Finance Richard Harris, Office of Management and Budget Felicia Zhang, Office of Management and Budget

Fiscal Impact Statement Bill 21-17 - Animal Control - Municipal Dog Exercise Area

1. Legislative Summary

The proposed legislation would amend County law to allow a dog to be off-leash in a zone identified by a municipality as a dog exercise area. Current law allows only the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) to designate an area a dog exercise area.

An estimate of changes in County revenues and expenditures regardless of whether the
revenues or expenditures are assumed in the recommended or approved budget. Includes
source of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

Bill 21-17 is not estimated to change County revenues or expenditures.

The fine for an off-leash "at large" dog (off the owner's premises, not a service dog, and not in a M-NCPPC designated dog exercise area) is \$100 for the first violation and \$500 for each subsequent violation.

However, Police advise that this citation is rarely issued and that few if any fines were issued at the area expected to first be designated a dog exercise area in Chevy Chase. As a result, this bill is unlikely to have an impact on County revenues or expenditures.

3. Revenue and expenditure estimates covering at least the next 6 fiscal years.

The proposed bill does not have an impact on revenues or expenditures.

4. An actuarial analysis through the entire amortization period for each bill that would affect retiree pension or group insurance costs.

Not applicable. Bill 21-17 does not have an impact on pension or insurance costs.

5. An estimate of expenditures related to County's information technology (IT) systems, including Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems.

Not applicable.

6. Later actions that may affect future revenue and expenditures if the bill authorizes future spending.

Not applicable. Bill 21-17 does not authorize future spending.

7. An estimate of the staff time needed to implement the bill.

No staff time is needed to implement Bill 21-17.

An explanation of how the addition of new staff responsibilities would affect other duties.
 Not applicable.

9. An estimate of costs when an additional appropriation is needed.

No appropriation is needed to implement this legislation.

10. A description of any variable that could affect revenue and cost estimates.

Not applicable.

11. Ranges of revenue or expenditures that are uncertain or difficult to project.

Not applicable.

12. If a bill is likely to have no fiscal impact, why that is the case.

Bill 21-17 gives municipalities the authority to designate space as dog exercise areas, which does not have a fiscal impact on the County.

13. Other fiscal impacts or comments.

None.

14. The following contributed to and concurred with this analysis:

Karla Thomas, Montgomery County Police Department Richard Harris, Office of Management and Budget.

Jennifer A. Hughes, Director

Office of Management and Budget

7/10/17

Economic Impact Statement Bill 21-17, Animal Control – Municipal Dog Exercise Area

Background:

This legislation would allow a dog to be off leash if it is in an area that a municipality has identified as a dog exercise area. Bill 21-17 also amends the definition of an at-large animal. The proposed definition defines "a dog, except a service animal, is at large if it is outside the owner's premises and not leashed, unless it is in a dog exercise area designated by either the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, or a municipality, or is participating in a qualified activity."

1. The sources of information, assumptions, and methodologies used.

There are no sources of information, assumptions, or methodologies used in the preparation of the economic impact statement.

2. A description of any variable that could affect the economic impact estimates.

Not applicable.

3. The Bill's positive or negative effect, if any on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes, and property values in the County.

Bill 21-17 would have no economic impact on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes, and property values in the County.

4. If a Bill is likely to have no economic impact, why is that the case?

Bill 21-17 would have no economic impact because it does not have an impact on employment, spending, savings, investment, incomes property values.

5. The following contributed to or concurred with this analysis: David Platt, Dennis Hetman, and Mike Coveyou, Finance.

Alexandre A. Espinosa, Director

Department of Finance

6/30/2017 Date