

Dear Montgomery County Council,

I am a county resident and I STRONGLY oppose the first step to a vaccine passport.

First let's talk about vaccine effectiveness. Suppose the vaccine is 100% effective - then there is no need to implement any vaccine mandates/passport, right? Because anyone taking the vaccine will be protected from the unvaccinated, and there's no need to force anyone else to take the vaccine against their will, right? Suppose the vaccine is 0% effective - then there's no point forcing anyone to take a useless vaccine, either. The tricky part comes when the effectiveness comes in between 0-100% - in other words, the vaccine "sort of" works - then in order to further protect the already vaccinated from the viruses coming from the unvaccinated, we will have to force the unvaccinated to take the same vaccine that "sort of" works - or at least make their life inconvenient by passing these passport regulations, right? My question is - has there ever been a study on the "sweet spot" along the 0-100% effectiveness scale that justifies the vaccine mandates/passports?

Then let's talk about safety. All three "vaccines" are under FDA Emergency Use Authorization (the FDA approved Pfizer mRNA "vaccine" Comirnaty is not currently available in the US) and the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System database has registered more injuries and deaths from these Covid-19 vaccines than all other vaccines COMBINED.

Finally, we all know that these "vaccines" do not stop viral transmission - they only prevent serious cases. Therefore they are essentially treatments, rather than vaccines. To mandate a medical treatment in Montgomery County is unconstitutional, not to mention these treatments have not been proven safe. I strongly urge the Council members to vote NO on the vaccine passport, or expect a slew of lawsuits from vaccine injured residents down the road.