

From: Edna Miller <edna13miller@gmail.com>

Date: 7/6/2025, 11:19:34 AM

My name is Edna Miller living in Montgomery Village since 2003. I am a stakeholder in this matter and speak for myself.

I agree with Planning Board's recommendation "to Remove M-83 Route from MPOHT in the Technical Update," for the following reasons:

A. In 1992, that County Council unanimously voted against building M-83 Route, before approving Watkins Mill Road bridge construction; preventing any possible M-83 intersection with that bridge dedicated in 1997.

B. In 2016 Final M-83 Supplemental Report had hidden in Section 4.A.iv. Scenario 2 on page 4-2, a traffic flow requirement - If M-83 is BUILT:

"Two-way traffic must be maintained at all times to provide access to and from land-locked communities of Blunt Road, Grassy Knoll Terrace and Gatlin Road."

C. The County Council in 2017 passed this Resolution; after Planning Department Studied M-83 Corridor Alternatives for fifteen years, 15 yrs, 15 years; Their Resolution -

"prohibits use of the proposed M-83 in Land Use Plans, land development projects, and for addressing future roadway capacity needs and regional traffic movement."

D. in the Planning Board's Charter, it says:

"The Planning Board has a legal mandated duty to avoid interfering with the rights of landowners to the full use of their property, when they approve platted highways." Such as Mid-County Highway Extended (M-83 Route), or M-83 Route by any other name.

In Conclusion, all the reasons stated above further support the Planning Board's recommendation to you, the full County Council to VOTE to "Remove M-83 Route from MPOHT in the Technical Update."

Thank you for this opportunity to speak during this Hearing. Edna Miller