Bill No. <u>12-16</u>
Concerning: Human Rights and Civil
Liberties - County Minimum Wage -
Amount - Annual Adjustment
Revised: 01/17/2017 Draft No. 3
Introduced: April 12, 2016
Enacted: January 17, 2017
Executive: Vetoed: January 23, 2017
Effective:
Sunset Date: None
Ch. Laws of Mont. Co.

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Councilmember Elrich Co-Sponsors: Councilmembers Leventhal, Riemer, Navarro and Hucker

AN ACT to:

(1) increase the County minimum wage by a certain amount;

(2) require the Chief Administrative Officer to adjust the County minimum wage rate each year; and

(3) generally amend the laws governing the minimum wage

By amending

Montgomery County Code

Chapter 27, Human Rights and Civil Liberties

Article XI. County Minimum Wage

Section 27-68

By adding

Montgomery County Code

Chapter 27, Human Rights and Civil Liberties

Article XI. County Minimum Wage

Section 27-70A

Boldface Heading or defined term.

Underlining Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets] Deleted from existing law by original bill.

Double underlining Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

1	Sec 1	. Section 27-68 is amended and Section 27-70A is added as follows:
2	27-68.	Minimum Wage Required.
3	(a)	County minimum wage. Except as provided in Subsection (b), an
4		employer must pay wages to each employee for work performed in the
5		County at least the greater of:
6		(1) the minimum wage required for that employee under the Federal
7		Act;
8		(2) the minimum wage required for that employee under the State
9		Act; or
10		(3) [\$11.50] <u>\$15.00</u> per hour.
1.1	(b)	Annual adjustment. The Chief Administrative Officer must adjust the
12	•	minimum wage rate required under Subsection (a)(3), effective July 1,
13		[[2021]] 2023, and July 1 of each subsequent year, by the annual
14	·	average increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage
15	÷	Earners and Clerical Workers, CPI-W, or a successor index, for the
16		previous calendar year. The Chief Administrative Officer must
17		calculate the adjustment to the nearest multiple of five cents, and must
18		publish the amount of this adjustment not later than March 1 of each
19		year.
20	<u>(c)</u>	Exclusions. The County minimum wage does not apply to an employee
21		who:
22		(1) is exempt from the minimum wage requirements of the State or
23		Federal Act;
24		(2) is under the age of 19 years and is employed no more than 20
25		hours per week; or
26		(3) is subject to an opportunity wage under the State or Federal Act.
27	[(c)]	(d) Retaliation prohibited. A person must not:

28	(1)	retali	ate against any person for:
29		(A)	lawfully opposing any violation of this Article; or
30		(B)	filing a complaint, testifying, assisting, or participating in
31			any manner in an investigation, proceeding, or hearing
32			under this Article; or
33	(2)	obstr	ruct or prevent enforcement or compliance with this Article.
34			* * *
35	27-70A. Annua		
36	The Office	of Le	gislative Oversight must provide to the Council, by January
37	31 of each year,	an ana	alysis of impact of the County minimum wage on the local
38	economy.		
39	Sec. 2. Tr		
40			Section 27-68, as amended in Section 1, except when the
41			e temporarily suspended under subsection (d), the County
42.	minimum wage,	until J	July 1, [[2020]] 2022, must be the greater of the minimum
43	wage required ur	ider the	e Federal or State Act or:
44	(a) <u>for</u>	an emp	loyer who employs 26 or more employees:
45	<u>(1)</u>	effe	ctive July 1, 2017, \$11.50 per hour;
46	[[(t)]] <u>(2)</u>	effective July 1, 2018, \$12.50 per hour; [[and]]
47	[[(c	c)]] <u>(3)</u>	effective July 1, 2019, \$13.75 per hour[[.]]: and
48	<u>(4)</u>	<u>effe</u>	ctive July 1, 2020, \$15.00 per hour.
49	<u>(b)</u> <u>for</u>	an emp	ployer who employs 25 or fewer employees:
50	<u>(1)</u>	<u>effe</u>	ective July 1, 2017, \$11.50 per hour;
51	(2)	<u>effe</u>	ective July 1, 2018, \$12.00 per hour;
52	(3)	<u>effe</u>	ective July 1, 2019, \$12.75 per hour;
53	<u>(4)</u>	<u>effe</u>	ective July 1, 2020, \$13.50 per hour; and
54	(5)	<u>effe</u>	ective July 1, 2021, \$14.25 per hour.

(c)	For the purposes of subsections (a) and (b), an employer's number of
121	employees must be calculated based upon the employer's average
	number of employees per calendar week during the preceding
	calendar year for any and all weeks during which at least one
	employee worked for compensation. For employers that did not have
	any employees during the preceding calendar year, the employer's
	number of employees must be calculated based upon the average
	number of employees who worked for compensation per calendar
	week during the first 90 calendar days of the current year in which the
	employer engaged in business.

- (d) (1) On or before January 31 of each year beginning in 2018

 through 2022, to ensure that economic conditions can support a

 minimum wage increase, the Director of Finance must make a

 determination and certify to the Executive and Council whether
 each of the following conditions is met:
 - (A) total private employment for Montgomery County

 decreased by 1.5% over the period from April 1 to June

 30 of the previous year. The calculation must compare

 total private employment in June to total private

 employment in April, as reported by the Maryland State

 Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation's

 Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages data series;

 (R) total private employment for Montgomery County
 - (B) total private employment for Montgomery County

 decreased by 2.0% over the period from January 1 to

 June 30 of the previous year. The calculation must

 compare total private employment in June to total private

 employment in January, as reported by the Maryland

104	This	Act ta	kes ef	fect on [[October 1, 2016]] <u>July 1, 2017</u> .
103	Sec. 3.	Effe	ctive I	Date.
102			<u>time</u>	<u>es.</u>
101			mini	mum wage increases under this Section more than two
100		<u>(4)</u>	<u>The</u>	Executive must not temporarily suspend scheduled
99				n additional year.
98			and	(b) that follow the temporary suspension must be postponed
97				e increases for a year, all dates specified in subsections (a)
96		<u>(3)</u>	If th	e Executive temporarily suspends the scheduled minimum
95			unde	er subsections (a) and (b) for that year.
94			<u>temp</u>	porarily suspend the minimum wage increases scheduled
93			Exec	eutive may, on or before February 10 of that year,
92	•		<u>in su</u>	bparagraphs (A) through (D) of paragraph (1) is met, the
91		<u>(2)</u>	If, in	any year, the Director of Finance certifies that a condition
90				recession.
89				determined that the United States economy is in
88			<u>(D)</u>	the National Bureau of Economic Research has
87				quarters; and
86				experienced negative growth for the preceding two
85				published by the U.S. Department of Commerce, has
84			<u>(C)</u>	the Gross Domestic Product of the United States, as
83				Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages data series;
82				State Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation's

Approved:	//18/17
Roger Berliner, President, County Council	Date
Approved:	January 23, 2017 Message
Vetoed	OP 1/23/17
Isiah Leggett, County Executive	Date
This is a correct copy of Council action.	
Sinda Mr. Laur	1/24/17
Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council	Date

Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20850

Isiah Leggett
County Executive

MEMORANDUM

January 23, 2017

TO:

Roger Berliner, President

County Council

FROM:

Isiah Leggett, County Executive

SUBJECT:

Bill 12-16, Human Rights and Civil Liberties - County Minimum

Wage - Amount - Annual Adjustment

There continues to be a great deal of discussion since the passage of Bill 12-16, Human Rights and Civil Liberties – County Minimum Wage – Amount – Annual Adjustment, and my position regarding this bill. I understand that there is a strong interest by some councilmembers to even reconsider the bill. However this is no longer a possibility since this bill has been signed and transmitted to the executive branch. At present, I have only three options: sign the bill and it becomes law as is, not sign the bill and it will go into effect without my signature or veto the bill. The only way to express my position regarding this bill at this time is to reluctantly veto and return it to you with what I believe is a more reasonable path to moving the County to a \$15 wage.

That said, I wish to congratulate the County Council on the very full and thoughtful discussion it held on the bill. In particular, I want to commend Councilmember Elrich for his commitment to the issue. I respect his very principled position on a matter that is extremely important to our County and the nation as a whole.

As I have said on numerous occasions, I support the effort to move toward \$15 per hour over an appropriate timeframe and under certain conditions. And that sentiment was expressed by you and your Council colleagues who voted against the bill. Indeed, the Council unanimously passed Bill 27-13, the 2013 Minimum Wage bill, and we celebrated together with a public bill signing ceremony. But this year's effort became much more contentious and divided resulting in only a 5-4 majority. Many believed it went too far, too fast, and I am disappointed that we did not find a broader consensus.

It is my hope that a modified bill can be introduced in the near future and, similar to the 2013 bill, receive greater support. Such an effort would send a stronger and clearer message to our County and to the rest of the State.

Roger Berliner, President January 23, 2017 Page 2

While the Council adopted several amendments intended to address some of the issues I raised in my November 22, 2016 memorandum, I do not believe they went far enough to address the very serious issues that I am concerned about or to gain more widespread support. I wish to thank the Council for adopting my recommendation for the so-called "off ramp" to address concerns about increasing the minimum wage during economic downturns. Other issues, however, were not addressed satisfactorily.

As mentioned previously, Montgomery County has already put itself in the forefront on the minimum wage issue and several other initiatives to assist our more vulnerable residents. Montgomery County's minimum wage is, and will continue to be, higher than both the federal and State minimum wage, as well as that in all surrounding jurisdictions except the District of Columbia. I remain concerned, however, about the competitive disadvantage Bill 12-16 would put the County in compared to our neighboring jurisdictions. Additionally, we must realize that Bill 27-13 would put our County in a different position compared to the few jurisdictions that have already passed a \$15 minimum wage. Unlike Seattle or New York City, we are not a "destination city" that draws great numbers of business travelers or tourists that will be able to afford higher costs for short-term visits. Our residents will essentially shoulder the bulk of the cost. Also, in California the \$15 minimum wage does not go effect until 2022, and there is an additional one-year phase-in for small businesses with less than 26 employees. For this reason and others, we must act in a more measured way based on the best information available and the potential unintended negative impacts to Montgomery County.

While some experts may disagree, I believe in an expeditious and timely study of relevant issues on the fiscal and economic impact of an increase in the minimum wage on Montgomery County, I maintain that a study will better inform the Council on the direct and indirect impacts on private employers' bottom line as well as the impact on County government. As you know, there are very few jurisdictions that provide the array of health and human services to help vulnerable populations at the same level that Montgomery County does. For example, all things remaining equal, if the Council continues the policy of providing financial support to our non-profit partners for imposed legislated wage adjustments, when the minimum wage reaches \$15, there will be a significant financial impact to the County. The County's budget for Developmental Disability providers alone would be \$21.1 million over the current FY17 base of \$13.8 million. Based on our current budget projections, this would be a very difficult amount to absorb, and this does not address the challenge faced by all of our non-profit partners.

Another example of the financial impact of the increase in the minimum wage comes from our Medicaid providers. I will send to you separately a letter and data I received a few days ago. In sum, they estimate that the move to \$15/hour will result in an approximate 5.5% increase in the cost of operations each year. Unlike some businesses, Medicaid providers

Roger Berliner, President January 23, 2017 Page 3

cannot legally pass these increases on to the consumer and will be unable to absorb the significant increased costs.

It is my view that a study addressing these issues can be accomplished in a relatively short time. I propose that it be completed by no later than July of this year. This study would give the Council ample time to propose and enact a new bill long before the first new increment under Bill 12-16 would have taken effect.

In my November 22, 2016 memorandum, I urged the Council to consider a longer timeframe for raising the County minimum wage, noting that California would not reach \$15 until 2022. While the Council provided an extension to 2022 for small employers (25 or fewer employees), the bill retained 2020 as the date for the County minimum to reach \$15/hour for all other employers. I think that is too fast and believe we should not reach \$15/hour for all employees until 2022. In addition, small employers will be hit particularly hard, and thus should be exempted entirely from the increased minimum wage requirement.

In summary, for me to fully agree with a bill, it should:

- Be based on an expeditious study on the direct and indirect financial impacts on private employers, non-profits and County government;
- Include an exemption for small business, the definition of which can be informed by the study;
- Include an exemption for youth workers; and,
- Provide for reaching \$15/hour in 2022

It is clear that there is broad support in the community and on the Council for an increase in the minimum wage to \$15/hour. The real questions are how quickly we get there and what exemptions should be made. By adopting a revised bill that addresses these issues, I believe we can work toward a broader consensus and a better outcome for Montgomery County residents and businesses.