MEMORANDUM

November 23, 2016

TO:

County Council

FROM:

Josh Hamlin, Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT:

Public Hearing: Expedited Bill 46-16, Streets and Roads – Snow Removal –

Violations

Expedited Bill 46-16, Streets and Roads – Snow Removal - Violations, sponsored by Lead Sponsor Councilmember Riemer and Co-Sponsor Councilmember Berliner, was introduced on November 15, 2016. A Transportation, Infrastructure, Energy and Environment Committee worksession will be scheduled at a later date.

Expedited Bill 46-16 would provide for higher penalties for violations of the law requiring removal of snow and ice on commercial property. Section 49-17 of the County Code provides that "a person is responsible for removing snow and ice on any sidewalk, other walkway, shared use path, or parking area on or adjacent to property that the person owns, leases, or manages, including any walkway in the public right-of- way, to provide a pathway wide enough for safe pedestrian and wheelchair use." A violation of §49-17 is a class C violation, but is not subject to a citation unless the violation still exists 24 hours after a notice of violation.

Expedited Bill 46-16 would make a violation of §49-17 on or adjacent to commercial property a class A violation, while a violation on or adjacent to residential property would remain a class C violation. Under the Bill, "commercial property" would mean real property that either is not designed for or intended for human habitation, or contains a multi-family dwelling of four or more units. "Residential property" under the Bill would mean real property containing either a single-family dwelling or a multi-family dwelling of three or fewer units.

A memorandum from the Bill's sponsor is at ©6.

This packet contains:	Circle #
Expedited Bill 46-16	1
Legislative Request Report	5
Councilmember Riemer memo	6

Expedited Bill No. 46-16
Concerning: Streets and Roads - Snow
Removal - Violations
Revised: November 8, 2016 Draft No. 3
Introduced: November 15, 2016
Expires: May 15, 2018
Enacted:
Executive:
Effective:
Sunset Date: None
Ch. Laws of Mont. Co.

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Councilmember Riemer

AN EXPEDITED ACT to:

- (1) provide for penalties for violations of the law requiring removal of snow and ice on certain property; and
- (3) generally amend the law concerning the removal of snow and ice from sidewalks and pedestrian crossings in the County

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 49, Streets and Roads Article I, In General Section 49-17

Boldface Heading or defined term.

<u>Underlining</u>
Added to existing law by original bill.
[Single boldface brackets]
Deleted from existing law by original bill.

<u>Double underlining</u>

Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec. 1. Section 49-17 is amended as follows:				
2	49-17. Accumulation of snow and ice on property prohibited.				
3	(a)	(1)	In th	is Section:	
4			<u>(A)</u>	Commercial property means real property that either:	
5				(i) is not designed for or intended for human	
6		•		habitation; or	
7				(ii) contains a multi-family dwelling of four or more	
8				<u>units.</u>	
9			<u>(B)</u>	Residential property means real property containing	
10				either:	
11				(i) <u>a single family dwelling; or</u>	
12				(ii) <u>a multifamily dwelling of three or fewer units.</u>	
13		<u>(2)</u>	A pe	erson is responsible for removing snow and ice on any	
14	sidewalk, other walkway, shared use path, or parking area on or				
15			adjacent to property that the person owns, leases, or manages,		
16		including any walkway in the public right-of- way, to provide a			
17	pathway wide enough for safe pedestrian and wheelchair use.				
18	For purposes of this Section, commonly owned property between				
19	a single-family residential lot and a common walkway is				
20	considered part of the lot if the intervening common property				
21			inclu	des a walkway or driveway that serves only that lot.	
22		[(2)]	<u>(3)</u>	Except as provided in paragraph (4), each owner, tenant,	
23			or ma	anager is jointly and severally responsible for clearing snow	
24			and	ice from the property and complying with Section 31-	
25			26A(d).	
26		[(3)]	<u>(4)</u>	The requirements of this Section do not apply to:	
27			(A)	an unpaved walkway;	

28			(B)	a priv	vate walkway or parking area on the property of a
29				single	e-family residence;
30			(C)	a pub	lic walkway behind a single-family residence that is
31				not di	rectly accessible from the owner's property; or
32			(D)	a wal	kway that:
33				(i)	is at least 25 feet from vehicular traffic;
34				(ii)	serves only pedestrian destinations that are also
35					accessible by another walkway that this Section
36					requires to be cleared;
37				(iii)	was not routinely cleared of snow and ice after
38					August 1999; and
39				(iv)	is not the primary route for pedestrian access to a
40					winter recreational facility open to the public.
41		[(4)]	<u>(5)</u>	(A)	An individual who lives in a multi-family
42				[resid	ential property] dwelling is not responsible for
43				remov	ving snow and ice from a common walkway or
14				parkir	ng area.
1 5			(B)	A hor	meowners' association, as that term is used in State
16				law, i	s not responsible for removing snow and ice from a
17			*	walkv	vay adjacent to a single-family residential lot, if the
18				lot ow	oner is responsible under paragraph (1) for removing
19				snow	and ice from that walkway.
50					* * *
51	(g)	<u>Viola</u>	tions.		
52		<u>(1)</u>	A vic	lation	of this Section is:
53			<u>(A)</u>	on or	adjacent to residential property, a class C violation;
54				<u>and</u>	

	(B) on or adjacent to commercia	<u>al property, a class A violation.</u>
(2)	A person authorized to enforce	this Section must not issue a
	citation for a violation unless the	violation still exists 24 hours
•	after a notice of violation. An a	uthorized enforcement officer
	may issue the notice of violation to	o any person responsible under
	subsection (a) for clearing the sno	w or ice, or post the notice in a
	conspicuous place on the property	· •
<u>(3)</u>	Each day a violation continues to	
	except for a violation on or adjaces	-
	property.	,
	* * *	
Sec. 2. Exp	edited Effective Date: The Counci	l declares that this legislation is
^	mmediate protection of the public in	
the date on which	•	
Approved:		
11		
Nancy Floreen, Presid	lent, County Council	Date
Approved:		
Isiah Leggett, County		Date
This is a correct copy	of Council action.	
	•	
Linda M. Lauer, Clerk	of the Council	Date

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Expedited Bill 46-16
Streets and Roads – Snow Removal - Violations

DESCRIPTION:

Expedited Bill 46-16 would make a violation of the County's sidewalk snow removal law on or adjacent to commercial property a class A violation, while a violation on or adjacent to residential property would remain a class C violation.

PROBLEM:

The current fine structure has little deterrent effect on larger properties, for whom snow-clearing may be quite expensive.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:

Create an increased incentive for commercial property owners to comply with the County's sidewalk snow removal law by increasing fines for violations.

COORDINATION:

Department of Transportation

FISCAL IMPACT:

To be requested.

ECONOMIC IMPACT:

To be requested.

EVALUATION:

To be requested.

EXPERIENCE ELSEWHERE:

To be researched.

SOURCE OF

Josh Hamlin, Legislative Attorney

INFORMATION:

APPLICATION WITHIN

To be researched.

MUNICIPALITIES:

PENALTIES: Class C violation (residential) and class A violation (commercial)

F:\LAW\BILLS\1646 Streets And Roads -Snow Removal - Violations\LRR.Docx



MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND

HANS RIEMER COUNCILMEMBER AT-LARGE

To: Councilmembers From: Hans Riemer

Date: November 8, 2016

Re: Sidewalk Snow Fines: Residential and Commercial

Colleagues, on Tuesday, November 15 I will be introducing a bill that strengthens sidewalk snow removal legislation by establishing a higher compliance fine on commercial property, by making commercial property owners subject to a Class A violation (with a fine up to \$500) if they are found in violation of the sidewalk snow clearing law. Residential property owners would remain subject to a Class C violation (with a fine up to \$50).

While a \$50 fine seems adequate for residential properties, it has very little, if any, deterrent effect on commercial property owners. Clearing commercial property can be more expensive, but it is no less important. The fine needs to be larger to enable code enforcers to more effectively deal with the problem actors, which are few but have a large impact.

While I still believe our current "light touch" and flexible approach to enforcement is generally the right way to go, the larger fine will be an effective tool in code enforcement's toolbox. Restoring mobility for every mode—including motorists, transit, pedestrians, and bicyclists—should be the County's priority after snow events. This legislation helps us do just that.

I respectfully request your support of the bill.