MEMORANDUM

February 21, 2018

TO: Hans Riemer, President, County Council

FROM: Jennifer A. Hughes, Director

SUBJECT: County Executive and County Council Community Grants Mid-Year Reports

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 Operating Budget Resolution 18-823 requires that as a condition of spending funds, each non-competitive contract awarded as a County Executive or County Council Community Grant must require each recipient to submit a brief report by January 19, 2018 describing the result achieved with the funds awarded.

The resolution further requires the Office of Management and Budget to submit all the reports to the Council by February 22, 2018. In keeping with recent practice, the Office of Management and Budget has electronically transmitted the mid-year reports to the Council Grants Manager.

Attached to this memorandum is a list of all FY18 recipients of a County Executive or County Council Community Grant, the final award amount for each grant, and the submission status of the mid-year report. Of the 379 grants listed on the attachment, 370 mid-year reports have been received from the vendor and transmitted to Council.
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Attachment: FY18 County Executive and County Council Community Grants Mid-Year Reports Status

c: Marlene Michaelson, Council Administrator
    Carolyn Chen, Council Grants Manager
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Award</th>
<th>Report Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Wider Circle Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Wider Circle, Inc.</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Wider Circle, Inc.</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventist Community Services of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adventist Community Services of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Immigrant Foundation</td>
<td>$10,400</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Women Council Inc.</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Am Kolel, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Muslim Senior Society, Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbury Foundation, Inc</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian American LEAD</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indians for Community Service, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Indians for Community Service, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Pacific American Legal Resource Center</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Pacific American Legal Resource Center</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian-American Homeownership Counseling, Inc.</td>
<td>$57,018</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audubon Naturalist Society of the Central Atlantic States, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayuda</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby's Bounty MC, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bender JCC of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bender JCC of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bender JCC of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda Cares, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda Green</td>
<td>$29,798</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda Green</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda Help, Inc.</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda Help, Inc.</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethesda Metro Area Village</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big Brothers Big Sisters of the National Capital Area</td>
<td>$34,340</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys &amp; Girls Clubs of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys &amp; Girls Clubs of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$44,277</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley Hills Village, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;O Canal Trust, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Asset Building Corporation</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Food Bank</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Food Bank</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care for Your Health, Inc.</td>
<td>$41,000</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carribean Help Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$93,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,830</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASA de Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$54,679</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$125,170</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$11,408</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$45,496</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$52,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$48,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$39,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Adoption Support and Education, Inc.</td>
<td>$37,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CentroNia</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles E. Smith Jewish Day School of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles E. Smith Jewish Day School of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles E. Smith Jewish Day School of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Center and Adult Services</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Center and Adult Services</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Culture and Community Service Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Culture and Community Service Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Culture and Community Service Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$105,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle of Rights, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CollegeTracks, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CollegeTracks, Inc.</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CollegeTracks, Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collegiate Directions, Inc.</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort Cases Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Bridges Incorporated</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Bridges Incorporated</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Clinic Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health and Empowerment through Education and Research</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health and Empowerment through Education and Research</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health and Empowerment through Education and Research</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$63,785</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Ministries of Rockville</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services Foundation</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County</td>
<td>$16,800</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County</td>
<td>$6,700</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation Montgomery, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornerstone Montgomery, Inc.</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court Watch Montgomery Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossroads Community Food Network</td>
<td>$58,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural &amp; Diversity Enrichment Services-USA, Inc (CADES-USA)</td>
<td>$34,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Diaper Bank</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Diaper Bank</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devenio, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaspora Resources of Ethiopians in the American Metropolises, D.R.E.A.M.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVS Legal Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter Seals Serving DC/MD/VA</td>
<td>$30,226</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easter Seals SErving DC/MD/VA</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Montgomery Emergency Assistance Network, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Montgomery Emergency Assistance Network, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EduCare Support Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elite Soccer Youth Development Academy, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elite Soccer Youth Development Academy, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empowered Women International, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Connections for Children</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Connections for Children</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopian Community Center in Maryland</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EveryMind Inc.</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EveryMind Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Learning Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Learning Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$57,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenton Village, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First African Methodist Episcopal Church of Gaithersburg, Inc</td>
<td>$7,410</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence Crittenton Services of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florence Crittenton Services of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends House, Inc</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Link, Inc.</td>
<td>$27,300</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Link, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaithersburg HELP, Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gandhi Brigade Inc</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gandhi Brigade Inc</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GapBuster, Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Hope</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation Hope</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girls on the Run of Montgomery County, MD</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graceful Growing Together, Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graceful Growing Together, Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great and Small</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great and Small</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Stonegate Village</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growingSOUL Inc</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat for Humanity Metro Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat for Humanity Metro Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew Home of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage Tourism Alliance of Montgomery County Inc.</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Business Foundation of Maryland</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic Business Foundation of Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Care Partners Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Care Partners, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Connections for Cancer Support, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Restored, Inc</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope Restored, Inc</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizons Greater Washington Inc</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice Caring, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospice Caring, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Divine Guidance, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Ruth Maryland</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Opportunities Community Partners, Inc.</td>
<td>$37,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Unlimited Inc</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Unlimited Inc</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity Inc.</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity, Inc.</td>
<td>$49,510</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity, Inc.</td>
<td>$21,900</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity, Inc.</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagination Library Of Montgomery County, Maryland Inc</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imagination Library Of Montgomery County, Maryland Inc</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT Silver Spring</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT Silver Spring</td>
<td>$87,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT Silver Spring Inc</td>
<td>$222,640</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaith Works</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InterPLAY Orchestra</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inwood House</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>Complete (Late)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese Americans' Care Fund</td>
<td>$2,510</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc</td>
<td>$36,780</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Foundation for Group Homes</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Achievement of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KEEN Greater DC LLC</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids In Need Distributors, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids in Need of Defense (KIND)</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids in Need of Defense (KIND)</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean Community Service Center of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean Community Service Center of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean Community Service Center of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean Community Service Center Of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean Community Service Center Of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Youth Center</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin American Youth Center</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino Child Care Association of Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$6,489</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAD4LIFE, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Montgomery</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership Montgomery</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>League of Women Voters of Montgomery County, MD, Inc., Citizen Education Fund</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid Bureau, Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leveling the Playing Field, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leveling the Playing Field, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty's Promise Inc</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty's Promise Inc</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Asset, Inc.</td>
<td>$14,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Falls Village</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Vision Center, Inc. of Montgomery County, MD</td>
<td>$9,994</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran Social Services of the National Capital Area</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran Social Services of the National Capital Area</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison House Autism Foundation</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison House Autism Foundation</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manna Food Center</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manna Food Center</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manna Food Center</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manna Food Center</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manna Food Center</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manna Food Center</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland Senior Olympics Commission LTD</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland/Israel Development Center Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary's Center for Maternal Health and Childcare</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MdBio Foundation, Inc.</td>
<td>$6,020</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals on Wheels of Central Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Health Clinic</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercy Health Clinic</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid County United Ministries</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministries United Silver Spring Takoma Park, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministries United Silver Spring Takoma Park, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Medical Care, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,832</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Medical Care, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moco Kidsco, Inc.</td>
<td>$52,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moco Kidsco, Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Countryside Alliance</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Countryside Alliance</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless</td>
<td>$62,130</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless Inc.</td>
<td>$170,326</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless Inc.</td>
<td>$86,260</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Family Justice Center</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Food Council</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Food Council</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Food Council</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Maryland Bar Foundation, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Md Bar Foundation Inc. Pro Bono Program</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Muslim Foundation</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Muslim Foundation</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Muslim Foundation</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Muslim Foundation</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Partners for Animal Well-Being</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery County Sister Cities, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,400</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Hospice, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Hospice, Inc.</td>
<td>$39,953</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montgomery Housing Partnership</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoverMoms, Inc</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim Community Center Inc</td>
<td>$11,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim Community Center Inc</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim Community Center Inc</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim Community Center Inc.</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim Community Center Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim Community Center, Inc DBA MCC Medical Clinic</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMI Montgomery County</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMI Montgomery County</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMI Montgomery County</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Children and Families</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Children and Families</td>
<td>$275,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Children and Families</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nourish Now Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nourish Now Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nueva Vida, Inc.</td>
<td>$41,388</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olney Help, Inc.</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olney Help, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olney Home For Life Inc.</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our Voices Matter - Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$24,440</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent Encouragement Program, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passion for Learning, Inc.</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passion for Learning, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per Scholas</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poolesville Area Senior Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,800</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poolesville Green, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potomac Community Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potomac Community Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potomac Community Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride Youth Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pride Youth Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care Coalition of Montgomery County, Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$22,850</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proyecto Salud Clinic</td>
<td>$37,870</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proyecto Salud Clinic</td>
<td>$37,870</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow Community Development Center</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainbow Place Shelter for Homeless Women</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebuilding Together Montgomery County</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebuilding Together Montgomery County</td>
<td>$31,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Wiggler Foundation, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Wiggler Foundation, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald S. Lourie Center for Infants and Young Children</td>
<td>$64,431</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald S. Lourie Center for Infants and Young Children</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville Economic Development, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville Economic Development, Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville Help Incorporated</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville Science Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosaria Communities Foundation, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland Storm Community Development Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring Green for One Montgomery Green; the successor organization of the merger between GreenWheaton and Silver Spring Green</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring Town Center Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring Village, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish-Speaking Community of Maryland, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirit Club Foundation, Inc</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Plus Group, Inc.</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Ann's Center for Children, Youth and Families</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Camillus Catholic Church Food Pantry</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Camillus Catholic Church Food Pantry</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joseph's House</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stepping Stones Shelter</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower Bakery, Inc.</td>
<td>$23,400</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower Bakery, Inc.</td>
<td>$21,140</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Alliance for Workplace Excellence, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.</td>
<td>$7,400</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The CareerCatchers, Inc.</td>
<td>$19,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The CareerCatchers, Inc.</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The First Tee of Greater Washington, DC</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Friendship Heights Neighbors Network Corporation</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The George B. Thomas, Sr. Learning Academy</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Greater Washington Jewish Coalition Against Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Greater Washington Jewish Coalition Against Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Greater Washington Jewish Coalition Against Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Greater Washington Jewish Coalition Against Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Ivymount School, Inc.</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Jewish Federation of Greater Washington</td>
<td>$148,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The National Capital B'nai B'rith Assisted Housing Corporation (dba the Edwards Building)</td>
<td>$25,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Nonprofit Village Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Nonprofit Village Center, Inc.</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Reading Connection, Incorporated</td>
<td>$23,562</td>
<td>Incomplete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thor Teams Inc.</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity Christian Fellowship Incorporated</td>
<td>$27,220</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity Christian Fellowship Incorporated</td>
<td>$10,919</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity Christian Fellowship Incorporated</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upcounty Community Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upcounty Community Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upcounty Community Resources, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Montgomery Assistance Network</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Montgomery Assistance Network</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwardly Global</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese Americans Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese Americans Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese Americans Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese Americans Services, Inc.</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village of Takoma Park Inc.</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villages of Kensington, Inc.</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrior Canine Connection</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Regional Association Of Grantmakers - Nonprofit Montgomery</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Regional Association Of Grantmakers - Nonprofit Montgomery</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Regional Association Of Grantmakers - Nonprofit Montgomery</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Youth Foundation Inc.</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter Growth, Inc.</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Who Care Ministries</td>
<td>$145,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td>Report Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCA of Metropolitan Washington</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCA of Metropolitan Washington</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCA of Metropolitan Washington</td>
<td>$71,000</td>
<td>Complete (Late)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the services provided has not changed since the original application. AALEAD has added one additional high school due to shifting low-income populations for the 2017-2018 school year. The current middle schools served include: Julius West, Loiederman, Newport Mill, and Parkland. The current high schools served include: Einstein, Kennedy, Montgomery Blair, and Wheaton. AALEAD currently has 167 youth enrolled, 85% identify as APA, 78% are low-income, 25% were born outside of the US, and 4% are English Language Learners.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

AALEAD set 3 objectives to be measured at the end of the academic year. The first, is educational empowerment and provides youth with the skills and knowledge to make informed choices about their educational futures. All AALEAD youth participate in at least three workshops focused on career development and career exploration. All youth in transitioning grades (8th grade) receive 1:1 support from AALEAD staff to ensure that they have the support they need in order to matriculate into high school. AALEAD offers guest speaker panels featuring current and recent high school students and guidance counselors, tours of high schools throughout Montgomery County, and individualized support for application-based high school programs. A pre-survey was administered to all youth in November 2017. A target of 80% was reported and results of the post-survey assessments will be available after it is administered in May 2018 to determine the youths’ school engagement levels and their ability to identify school resources. The second is objective is identity development, youth are encouraged to celebrate their cultural identities share their background with others. They are also provided several opportunities to engage in lessons on cross-racial understanding. Youth learn about various cultures through history, art, and cooking workshops facilitated by AALEAD staff, guest speakers, or their peers. By the end of the school year, each AALEAD youth will participate in at least five workshops focused on Asian Pacific American culture and three workshops on cross-racial understanding and awareness. AALEAD staff also work to ensure that youth have access to resources and tools that promote positive mental health. With an increase in fear and anxiety around personal safety and family stability, AALEAD staff have been working to provide a safe space for all youth while providing increased support for Muslim, immigrant, and LGBTQIA youth. All AALEAD youth will participate in at least three preventative mental health workshops by the end of the school year. A target of 80% was reported and results of the post-survey assessments will be available after it is administered in May 2018 to determine any changes in youth self-confidence and cross-cultural understanding. The 3rd objective is leadership, youth are provided with several opportunities to strengthen their leadership skills through their participation in workshops focused on leadership, student service learning, and civic engagement. Youth learn about the qualities of effective leaders and practice public speaking and facilitation techniques. 24 middle school & high school youth were elected into leadership positions on the AALEAD MD Youth Council. The Youth Council provides an opportunity for youth to enhance their leadership skills and serve as representatives for their after school program. Youth Council members help with ideas for programming, involved in decision-making, and they provide youth voice and feedback in programming. Youth also completed several student service learning (SSL) projects to give back to their local community. A target of 80% was reported and results of the post-survey assessments will be available after it is administered in May 2018 to determine any changes in leadership development and community engagement.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name Adventist Community Services of Greater Washington, Inc.

Submission Title Adventist Community Services - FY18 (CC) - #1 - HGP Assistance

Requested FY18 Award 15000

Final FY18 Award 9000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No - Partial funding was offset by private donations which enabled ACSGW to achieve its goal of serving 1200 families.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
ACSGW provided November and December holiday meals to economically vulnerable community members as part of the ACSGW Thrive Initiative. The service provided was part of Montgomery County's effort to eliminate hunger through the Holiday Giving Program. ACSGW's program is an integral part of the Holiday Giving Project serving meals to families in the down county area. Holiday baskets were distributed to families within the 20910 and 20912 zip codes who were screened as low income families either through direct referrals to the Holiday Giving Project of Montgomery County or by ACSGW case managers. 1,200 families (4,200 county residents) who would not otherwise have been able to enjoy a holiday meal were served. Each family served received a basket that contained the following:

- 12-15 lb. turkey or large chicken
- Canned Green Beans
- Canned Corn
- Stuffing
- Potatoes –white or sweet
- Canned Fruit Juices
- Bread/rolls Butter
- Pasta Beans/Rice
- Soup
- Dessert

Issues related to glitches in Montgomery County's Holiday Giving database made achieving the goal very difficult. Mailing lists to enable notification of recipients were hard to extract and late being made available.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Response (up to 500 words):

The free, six-week- first-of-a-kind – program offered academic enrichment program, recreation classes, and swimming lessons, as life-skill to 150 campers, enrolled in rising second through sixth grades, and included free breakfast and lunches provided by MCPS. Campers also received nutritious take-home food, packed in bags, and called, “Smart Sacks” to address the problem of food insecurity.

The “Food, Fun, Fitness & Fundamentals” (FFF&F) Summer Camp Program was held from June 26 through August 11, 2017, for under-privileged and under-served elementary age children at Galway Elementary
School, 12612 Galway Road, in Silver Spring, Maryland. The camp operated from Monday through Friday, from 9:00 a.m. until 3:00 p.m. As noted 150 campers were registered to attend and there was a waiting list of 30 campers.

Program Partners
Below are the community partnerships involved in the second, consecutive FFF&F Summer Camp, in 2017:
• Adventist Community Services of Greater Washington, Inc. (ACSGW);
• Montgomery County Recreation Department (Rec. Dept.);
• Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS);
• Montgomery County Police Department (MCPD);
• Manna Food Center (Manna), and
• Strathmore.

Summer Camp Programs
Montgomery County Recreation Department: Campers attended fun-filled activities arts and crafts, cooking classes, interactive games, Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (STEM) classes, and Reading Circles, presented by the Recreation Department’s Youth Development Program.
Strathmore: A new addition offered a schedule of exciting activities that included twice-weekly STEP AFRIKA dance, storytelling, circus performing, theatrical singing and fitness classes.
ACSGW: The Making Tracks® Academic Enrichment Program presented instruction in the foundation subjects of language arts and mathematics. Three days a week, instructors shared strategies that focused on retention of previously learned skills, and building new skills for the coming school year. During the academic enrichment rotation, campers used the Computer Lab to practice skills in the same subjects. Certified teachers provided classroom instruction and facilitated Computer Lab practice sessions.

FFF&F Summer Camp Participants Exposed to Unique Opportunities
Breakfast and Lunches
Free breakfast and lunches were prepared by MCPS food services staff for the FFF&F campers and non-participating community youth, up to 18 years-old.
“Smart Sacks”
With the help from local church volunteers, the Manna Food Center donated bags of nutritious food, called “Smart Sacks,” for distribution at the summer camp. Over 150 Smart Sacks were handed out every Friday to campers and Teen Works staff, to address the problem of food insecurity in East Montgomery communities.
Below are the educational, economic, and social outcomes achieved during the 2017 FFF&F Summer Camp:
• Narrow the achievement gap by providing summer learning opportunities in math, language arts, and
computer science.

• Improve social equity in out-of-school time programming by ensuring high quality opportunities are accessible in East Montgomery County.

• Provide access to no-cost web-based academic tutorial during school year.

• Addressed food insecurity by providing healthy meals at no cost to families, and by sending home “Smart Sacks” to help families make food budgets go further.

• Provided meaningful employment opportunities for youth, while preparing young adults with skills to enter the workforce.

• The summer camp played the vital role of daycare for working parents.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
African Women Council office at the Nonprofit Village continues to serve the community well. The transportation options available for clients traveling from East County and Upcounty has been said to be convenient and easy to use. The nonprofit village resources have been helpful in assisting African Women Council strengthen efficacy of delivery of service, receiving referrals, and providing referrals to tenant organizations.

The Office saw over 60 individual visitors thus far, and continues to push to increase that engagement. Clients sought to learn more about the organization, and joined as members to help the mission progress while receiving services from AWC.

60% of clients seek information regarding immigration services as a top priority, housing and work placement as a top priority fall in the 50% range. Housing requests varied from emergency housing assistance/referrals to assistance in purchasing a home.

Review of programs held at the Nonprofit Village saw less than desired results in attendance and efficacy prompting the organization to provide individualized career counseling and referrals to other organizations. The success of individualized career conversations appears to be more productive, and will continue to be monitored through year end.

Additional partnerships with MCPS schools with large numbers of community are being sought in a more formalized manner. Additional partnerships for dissemination of information with Landlord Tenant Affairs, Housing Opportunities Commission, and Montgomery Works are in process.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Approval of $15,000 of the original request of $30,000 against the projected real cost of $45,000 affected the scope of the program and put a strain on resources. Some constraints were overcome with help from the Certified Trainers and volunteers. Our achievement in terms of the number of groups trained in the workshops exceeded our expectation based on the modified budget.

The program was toned down to conform to the modified budget. Goal of training 160 people was cut to 80 of which we have trained 40. Low cost items and minimum use of hourly paid volunteers were given priority.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

1. Output: Get 2 people certified by Stanford University Diabetes Self-Management Program
Outcome: 2 person successfully certified

2. Output: Get 2 people sponsored for CHW program
Outcome: This issue was affected by the reduction in the projected budget as well as over 5 months being taken for execution of the contract. There is hope that during the fiscal year at least one person will be sponsored.

3. Output: Revised budget permits formation of four groups of 20 people each for workshops
Outcome: Two groups already successfully completed (40 people). Surveys were done before the start and after completion of the six week workshop groups. An overwhelming feedback has been received from the participants some of which have been recorded. Participants have expressed the following: Improvement of quality of life, helped with awareness of importance of exercise and healthy diet, stress reduction, some have lost weight 4 to 7 lbs, better decision making ability, able to do action-planning to take care of their own health.

4. Outcome: Creation of Buddy-Groups: Very successfully done with both the groups that completed the workshops. Buddy systems were set up with initial monitoring by us. About 30 people are still participating in the Buddy system of calling or keeping in touch with each other and sharing each other's issues. AICS is monitoring once a month.

5. Outcome: The outcome of the recruitment drive is as follows: recruitment of participants for the workshops was done by reaching out to Faith Based Organizations, direct outreach by AICS to community members, referrals from past participants and from Physician's offices. From Faith based organizations produced 28 of the 40 participants recruited, direct outreach produced 2 people, referral from past participants produced 10, referrals from Physician's Offices were 0.

The reduction of the projected budget by 50% to $15,000 put the organization in a difficult position. All budget line items are not reducible by a flat 50%. Moreover contract execution took almost five months which kept us in suspense thus restricting short term planning and execution. We were blessed by the quality of our members and volunteers who stretched their resources and the small financial contributions from the AICS Board Members kept the program going though on a reduced level of activity. The bottom line is within the modified scope of this program we have met our targets and exceeded some.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/17/2018 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name      Asian Indians for Community Service, Inc.
Submission Title               AICS Health Care - FY18 CC-1
Requested FY18 Award           50000
Final FY18 Award               15000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.
In 100 words or less.
Approval of $15,000 of the original request of $50,000 against the projected real cost of $65,000 affected the scope of the program and put a strain on resources and planned activities. Part of it was overcome with help from volunteers. Our program focus remained the same though we have reprioritized activities which have been toned down under the modified budget and executed accordingly. Took 5 months to execute contract which put a suspense on our activities and affected more the senior's program.

The program program consists of two parts, the mental health related issues of Asian Adults and Senior's well-being.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
Good progress has been made in the program with a modified budget and priorities. Current achievements are:

1. Output: a) Training of Lead Volunteers/Members, b) Develop material/brochure and translate in four languages

   Outcome: Two training sessions were held, in July and September. 6 members were trained/refreshed on mental health and senior's needs issues. One multi-lingual motivational speaker was trained for grassroots outreach. A video clip was developed in partnership with our partner AAHI of Montgomery County to strengthen. Translation of selected material was done in four languages, Cambodian, Vietnamese, Nepali and Hindi.

2. Output: Outreach events in community and organizations.

   Outcome: 15 outreach events done in different places - 9 at community events including one organized by Human Rights Commission, by Office of Community Partnerships, at Health Fairs of Vietnamese, Chinese, Cambodian communities, GNFA, GGSF temples, United Methodist Church, Crossover Church. Educational and awareness material was distributed to around 2000 people with feed back from 20 people. Three referrals were forwarded to mental health resources in the county.

3. Output: Outreach event with partner AAHI on mental health using photo novels and video clips developed in partnership with them.

   Outcome: Message directed to about 300 people with 25 one-on-one education. To be followed up.

4. Output: 3 Outreach events with partner AAHI on senior's well being at Wheaton Seniors center.

   Outcome: Resources and education material for senior's safety and learning to read labels to aid nutrition planning. Total of 50 seniors participated in three sessions.

5. Output: Liaise with Assisted care Living/day care for Adults to develop ethnic friendly services for seniors.

   Outcome: Relationship established with two institutions of which one called Rainbow Seniors day Care discussions have advanced and soon will go deeper into plan of action and logistics, costs involved, etc.

6. Organize community Brain Storming Session on Mental Health for Asians and Senior's Well-Being.

   Outcome: A very successful and well attended (37 people) brainstorming session was done in two segments, one on mental health and the other on seniors. The rich feed back is recorded and is helping in formulating these programs better for the future.

7. Output: Meeting with partners once every quarter:

   Outcome: AICS as the lead partner have had 3 meetings with partners. Activity of the group is reviewed and constraints put due to drastic reduction of planned program budget lead to modification of some of
the activities for the rest of the year.

This plan is under a severe constraint due to budget cut from $50,000 to $15,000 and delay of contract execution (5 months). There is no way to maintain all the objectives as planned. However, alternative and sometimes innovative strategies have been planned for the remaining part. Under these circumstances we have exceeded our expectations by doing much more in community outreach, preparing outreach material, developing motivational speaker and getting collaboration from a senior's institution. Our innovative approach of photo novel, video clip in ethnic languages with motivational talk is revealed to be a strength or breakthrough in grassroots outreach approach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>African Immigrant Foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>AIRF - FY18 - CE - Youth Programs &amp; Social Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>50699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>10400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

Services have continued as usual per the grant. AIRF CUP program has acquired new students for its 2018 year and follows its curriculum supplemented by other outside resources. Due to limited funds AIRF has had to discontinue its ACE Academy which reached immigrant preschool students.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
AIRF continues to support its African immigrant students in Montgomery County public schools. The program provides both educational enrichment and acculturation that helps African students to process their transition to their new Homeland. Students are encouraged to share their culture with their peers and feel comfortable as they adjust to life in the United States. The program also allows students to assume a leadership role and actualize their ideas regarding culture, education, art, and learning. Students have been able to organize a dance troupe specific to the Catching Up Program as well as plan for other events in an interactive way.

The organization also hopes to promote the organization beyond the classroom to increase participation and funding to continue vital programs that reach African immigrant youth. African immigrant youth face specific issues that differ from other immigrants and are often unable to use many of the resources available to their immigrant peers. The organization continues to meet those needs and provide valuable opportunities for African immigrant youth to connect and find friendship and belonging.

The organization also continues its work in referring African immigrants and refugees in special need to specific opportunities and resources. The service allows immigrants to receive personalized resources tailored to their particular circumstance or situation in to help them sustain themselves economically, educationally, and socially within the American framework. This allows for greater progress for immigrants who might not otherwise have been able to support themselves or find the most important needs.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  The Alliance for Workplace Excellence, Inc.

Submission Title  Alliance for Workplace Excellence Inc. - FY18 CE - 1 - Annual Awards

Requested FY18 Award  35000

Final FY18 Award  25000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed, regardless of receipt of partial funding. The scope of services remains to educate employers on best practices in the workplace and to recognize employers who demonstrate excellence through their best practices in an effort to support economic development and vital living for all members of the community.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):
The Alliance for Workplace Excellence (AWE) launched its 2018 workplace excellence education & awards program in July 2017.

During the months of July - September, AWE worked extensively with local organizations, work groups, and business leaders to create a new workplace award for the 2018 program for "age-friendly" employers. The award is meant to specifically recognize employers for best practices in hiring, training, supporting, and retaining workers age 50+.

In late September, AWE launched its 2018 AWE awards application cycle for workplaces to apply for recognition of excellence. The award applications are hosted together in one application host site for ease of completion by applicants. The awards program was formally promoted to AWE's network of 1,550+ members via emails, social media postings (Facebook & Twitter), and local events. AWE has distributed 203 of its educational award applications directly to employers thus far. This number is expected to increase before the end of the FY, as the AWE awards program has not yet concluded. AWE is in line to not only meet the output goal of 205, as described in the FY18 application, but is expected to surpass the goal by the end of the program. The AWE award applications are an exceptional reference and learning tool for employers to use as means to build and sustain excellence in the workplace. The award applications outline programs, workplace initiatives, and benefits that are expected of an excellent employer.

AWE has also successfully recruited and trained its 2018 Independent Review Panel. The panel of business professionals and graduate students in fields most relative to the AWE award categories are volunteers who review all award applications. The deadline for all 2018 AWE award applications has been extended until the end of January to allow as many employers to apply as possible.

Lastly, planning has begun for the 2018 AWE Awards Celebration & Luncheon, which will be held on Friday, June 8th at the Gaithersburg Marriott Washingtonian Center. The event will feature two educational seminars in the morning followed by public recognition of all 2018 AWE award winning companies. The morning educational seminars will tentatively include a panel discussion on "age-friendly" best practices to coordinate the launch of AWE's newest award to recognize age-friendly employers.

The desired outcome of our FY18 application is directly associated with the number of awards granted and therefore is still to be determined.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided by AMSS has changed due to receipt of partial funding from $74,920 to $35,000. The biggest changes in scope of FY18 application, are: the removal of the research and evaluation components from the work plan; and the removal of the proposed AMSS Center of activities, along with full time staff support.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Due to delays in contract execution, AMSS commenced its project officially on December 15, 018. Thanks to preliminary work conducted by AMSS, we are able to effectively implement many of the contract mid-year requirements. We have succeeded in achieving the following outcome to the stated output:

1- Hired two part time staff: a- Program Development and Outreach Coordinator; b- an IT consultant. Their jobs descriptions have been forwarded to grant manager;
2. Identified two health and Wellness Subject experts to conduct the December training of 35 AMSS Health and Long Term Care Ambassadors. The trainers are members of AMSS' established Stakeholders Advisory Council, or SAC. The establishment of SAC is one of the outcome of the stated output;
3- With the establishment of MAC (one of the required outcome), AMSS recruited 35 Health and Long Term Care Ambassadors
4- Coordinated the December training with a host Faith Based Community Center.
6-Reserved the Civic Center in preparation of AMSS Countywide Summit scheduled for May 018;
7-Identified and confirmed the January Training with Two subject experts on "Elder Abuse".
8-Coordinated the January training with 35 Ambassadors, and their host Faith based Community Center;
9- Conducted throughout December a fundraising Drive to ensure the sustainability of AMSS. The amount of $10,000 was collected;
10- planning with Muslim community leaders the hosting of an interfaith roundtable on Health and Long term Care with representatives of neighboring churches and synagogues
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 12/28/2017 • Last updated: 01/17/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Asbury Foundation, Inc

Submission Title
Asbury Foundation-FY18-CE-1-GBCI

Requested FY18 Award
12000

Final FY18 Award
12000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Contract signed by County on Dec. 26, 2017 due to illness of Asbury Foundation agent. Fy18 program implemented as planned in late September after Asbury Foundation agent told us it was ok to spend money. He has been on a leave of absence since early October. We revised plans for final 6 months of fy-18: We will implement a pilot 6th grade program in collaboration with Gaithersburg Middle School; have 2 field trips instead of 4, offer nature photography classes for both 5th and 6th graders rather than 5th, and manual for Courageous Conversations video. Budget change request being prepared.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the fy 18 proposal we stated three outputs/outcomes:

1. Enriched Mentoring for 26 third, fourth and fifth graders from South Lake and Gaithersburg Elementary Schools with outcome that 50% of students will show improvement in self-esteem utilizing Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale at beginning and end of academic year. The number of students this year is 22 (3 just moved away, making the total 19 -- we have asked the schools to pick replacements.) The average attendance this fall has been 19. We moved up to a full-time Program Manager, Michele Bulatovic, on October 1, 2017 with funds and pledges from Asbury residents and outside sources. She arranged to engage a pro-bono consultant to assist in the development of meaningful output measurements -- the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale we were trying to use was intended for high school students and deemed too abstract for 3rd, 4th, and 5th graders. We administered an interim instrument derived from Positive Youth Development principles in mid-December showing these responses: 72% of students feel better about themselves, 71% feel better about their futures, 65% feel better about handling whatever comes their way, 94% feel more important to their community, and 77% report better attitudes and behaviors related to academic success. With the help of the pro-bono consultant and funding from the fy19 county proposal, we will develop an outcomes instrument that will work meaningfully in the context of our neighborhood which is predominately Latino.

2. Nature Photography for 15 fourth and fifth grade students from South Lake and Gaithersburg Elementary Schools with outcome that 50% will show improvement in self-esteem utilizing Rosenberg Self Esteem Scale at beginning and end of project. Because of the delayed contract signing, we will offer the Nature Photography project this spring. (We ran class for 8 fifth graders from South Lake Elementary during the fall before the contract was signed.) We are planning on running two Nature Photography classes this spring, one for 5th graders and a pilot for 6 graders in collaboration with Gaithersburg Middle School utilizing GM Strong! Program aimed at increasing connectedness and resiliency and tackling social and emotional issues. A survey at the conclusion of the fall session showed all of the students feeling safe while in the program, 7 out of 8 said they enjoyed the program, 6 out of 8 said they felt less stressed since participating in program.

2. Produce and use a video for Courageous Conversations which would be used 10 times with groups during the fy 18 year with outcome being that it would stimulate 50% of viewers to see their own struggles (being bullied, harassed, or fearing deportation) in a larger perspective which they can talk about. The video is still in production (in editing phase) -- when we realized the contract had not been signed, we pulled back from a fall finish date (we started it May 2017 with an approved budget change on fy 17 funds). It should be finished and ready to go in a few weeks.
# Council Mid-year Report

**Last updated: 01/09/2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Asian-American Homeownership Counseling, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Asian-American Homeownership Counseling, Inc. - FY18 CE-1 - Housing Counsel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>57018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>57018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

**NO**

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs: As of 12/31/17, Current Numbers vs. Goal

1. Homebuyer Education Classes & Workshops - 57 vs. 130
   We are expecting to meet and exceed the goal by May 2018 due to annual Spring Homebuyer Workshop in May; usual registrants of 70-100 households. First half year numbers are always low due to three big holidays and office is closed for one week in December for staff training.

2. Foreclosure prevention/Pre-Post Purchase Counseling - 58 vs. 50

3. Reverse Mortgage Counseling - 30 vs. 30

Outcomes: As of 12/31/17, Current numbers vs. Goal

1. Homebuyer Education Classes & Workshops - 20 vs. 50-80
   We are expecting to meet and exceed the goal by May 2018 due to annual Spring Homebuyer Workshop in May; usual attendees of 50-80 households. First half year numbers are always low due to three big holidays and office is closed for one week in December for staff training.

2. Foreclosure prevention/Pre-Post Purchase Counseling - 28 vs. 30

3. Reverse Mortgage Counseling - 15 vs. 21
### Council Mid-year Report

Created: 01/11/2018 • Last updated: 01/17/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Asian Pacific American Legal Resource Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Asian Pacific American Legal Resource Center - FY 18 CC - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>45000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application. However, the number of immigration legal matters in which the APALRC will provide legal services has decreased due to the receipt of partial funding. The total number to be served has decreased by approximately 9%.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The APALRC articulated 2 outputs for FY18: (1) provide immigration legal services to 100 Asian Pacific Islander immigrants, and (2) conduct 3 naturalization workshops to assist lawful permanent residents with the naturalization process. During the first half of FY18, the APALRC provided legal assistance in 123 immigration matters: 50 new immigration cases were opened in the first half of FY18 and 73 immigration matters were carried over from FY17. The majority of these immigration cases, approximately 54%, were naturalization cases. The remainder of the immigration matters for which legal assistance was sought were in the areas of: (1) U, T and VAWA petitions; (2) renewal/replacement of lawful permanent residency card; (3) family petitions; (4) TPS and DACA renewal applications; (5) asylum petitions; and (6) removal of conditions. Approximately 11% of individuals contacting the APALRC had other immigration related questions. The APALRC conducted 2 citizenship application (naturalization) workshops during the first half of FY18. The first workshop was at the White Oak Community Center on August 26, 2017 and the second workshop was on December 2, 2017 at the Rockville Memorial Library. A total of 36 individuals attended these workshops. The individuals who attended the citizenship application workshops were screened to ensure there were no barriers to the naturalization process. Those eligible to naturalize were assisted with the application process.

The APALRC also articulated 2 outcomes to be achieved in FY18: (1) provide legal services (legal advice, brief services, full representation and legal referral) in 100 immigration related matters; and (2) conduct 3 naturalization workshops to assist eligible lawful permanent residents with the naturalization application. During the first half of FY18, the APALRC concluded providing immigration legal assistance in 73 matters: 14 were provided with advice and counsel; 48 were closed after brief services; 8 were provided with extended representation; 2 were referred to other legal service providers after legal assessment; and 1 individual lost contact with the organization. The majority of cases closed as brief service, 36 of the 48, were ones in which the APALRC provided citizenship application assistance to the individual. As stated above, the APALRC conducted 2 citizenship application workshops during the first half of FY18; 15 individuals who attended these workshops were assisted with their application for U.S. citizenship.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application. However, the number of immigration legal matters in which the APALRC will provide legal services has decreased due to the receipt of partial funding. The total number to be served has decreased by approximately 9%.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The APALRC articulated 2 outputs for FY18: (1) provide immigration legal services to 100 Asian Pacific Islander immigrants, and (2) conduct 3 naturalization workshops to assist lawful permanent residents with the naturalization process. During the first half of FY18, the APALRC provided legal assistance in 123 immigration matters: 50 new immigration cases were opened in the first half of FY18 and 73 immigration matters were carried over from FY17. The majority of these immigration cases, approximately 54%, were naturalization cases. The remainder of the immigration matters for which legal assistance was sought were in the areas of: (1) U, T and VAWA petitions; (2) renewal/replacement of lawful permanent residency card; (3) family petitions; (4) TPS and DACA renewal applications; (5) asylum petitions; and (6) removal of conditions. Approximately 11% of individuals contacting the APALRC had other immigration related questions. The APALRC conducted 2 citizenship application (naturalization) workshops during the first half of FY18. The first workshop was at the White Oak Community Center on August 26, 2017 and the second workshop was on December 2, 2017 at the Rockville Memorial Library. A total of 36 individuals attended these workshops. The individuals who attended the citizenship application workshops were screened to ensure there were no barriers to the naturalization process. Those eligible to naturalize were assisted with the application process.

The APALRC also articulated 2 outcomes to be achieved in FY18: (1) provide legal services (legal advice, brief services, full representation and legal referral) in 100 immigration related matters; and (2) conduct 3 naturalization workshops to assist eligible lawful permanent residents with the naturalization application. During the first half of FY18, the APALRC concluded providing immigration legal assistance in 73 matters: 14 were provided with advice and counsel; 48 were closed after brief services; 8 were provided with extended representation; 2 were referred to other legal service providers after legal assessment; and 1 individual lost contact with the organization. The majority of cases closed as brief service, 36 of the 48, were ones in which the APALRC provided citizenship application assistance to the individual. As stated above, the APALRC conducted 2 citizenship application workshops during the first half of FY18; 15 individuals who attended these workshops were assisted with their application for U.S. citizenship.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 12/21/2017 • Last updated: 12/27/2017

Organization's Legal Name   Child Center and Adult Services

Submission Title   Aspire -FY18 CE 1 - HMHB

Requested FY18 Award   65,000

Final FY18 Award   20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The combined award received from Council and Executive Grants was the total amount requested.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
1. 188 women were assigned a HMHB therapist to deliver home-therapy sessions during this period. This greatly exceeds our annual goal of 110. In September Aspire was awarded additional funding from Giving Together Inc. which has enabled our therapists to see additional clients (Giving Together of $20,000).

2. 169 of the 188 women are uninsured. Our output for the year was 98. We are way above target.

Outcomes:
1. Our goal is '75% of patients who engage in behavioral health treatment report significant improvements in mental health as measured by the PHQ-9 Depression scale'.

Results show, PHQ-9 mental health scale data has been entered for 81 clients using CarePaths and Excel. Analysis shows 63 of the 81 clients demonstrated an improvement in depression as measured by the PHQ-9 scale -- which is 77% of cases to date. We are on, or just above, our target.

2. Goal #2 is '75% of patients who engage in behavioral health treatment report significant improvements in mental health as measured by GAD-7 Anxiety scale'.
To date, GAD-7 data has been entered for 81 clients in Excel and CarePaths. Analysis shows 47 of the 81 demonstrate an improvement in anxiety as measured by the GAD-7 scale -- which is 58% of cases to date. We are below target. Our therapists report that there has been increased anxiety in clients due to their immigration status, racial profiling and from the current political climate. Their *opinion is that this may be impacting Anxiety screenings.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We are providing Unplug & Play to three elementary schools instead of five, due to the funding level. These schools include: Summit Hall, Daly, and Rolling Terrace.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Objective 1: Students will gain science knowledge

During the last club meeting for the fall session, we distributed a nature journal to each child with questions about what they had learned in the club. For example, “List 3 characteristics of birds,” “Name two mammals that live in Maryland,” “What do you like about the outdoors?” and “Draw an amphibian.” Some of their answers include:

- **Bird characteristics:** “Beak, wings, clawed feet.” (with drawings of each bird part) “Fierce, protective, good singers.”
- **Outdoors:** “I really like when we play a lot of games outside.” “Exploring animals and plants.” “It is fun and adventurous.” “We can play sports and explore nature.” “It is so nice to go outside.” “I like finding animals and looking at leaves and plants!”
- **Mammals:** “People, deer, raccoon.”

Another way we help students accurately recall the key messages is by spending the first 5 to 10 minutes of each meeting reiterating what we learned the previous week. Beyond recalling scientific facts and being able to recognize local plants and animals, we have also observed the increase in confidence that the children gain in exploring the outdoors. We have documented this increase in confidence by asking the students at the first meeting of the club and the last day, “How comfortable do you feel in the outdoors?” The overwhelming majority felt more comfortable in the outdoors by the end of the club sessions.

Objective 2: Students will see themselves as able to effect environmental change.

This objective is achieved by teaching the students effective things they can do in their communities to be good environmental stewards. At the conclusion of snack time each week, we go over what remaining parts of our snack and its containers can be recycled and which can be composted. The students are then responsible for putting the corresponding items in the appropriate bins. We do a trash clean-up every spring with each club and talk about how trash harms wildlife. At each lesson, we focus on the positive ways people are making a difference in the environment, to provide encouragement that they can be a positive part of the movement as well.

There have been no extenuating circumstances and we are making good progress toward our goals as outlined in the grant application.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services provided through the Basic Needs Program has remained consistent - A Wider Circle continues to provide beds, furniture, and other basic needs items to those leaving homelessness, fleeing domestic abuse, recovering from disasters, or simply living without the essentials. We provide a vital service that is available nowhere else in the region, and we do so at no cost to Montgomery County social service agencies or to the individuals they refer. As demands for our services increase, we continue to work 7 days a week, 365 days a year to serve all who come to us.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

A Wider Circle identified the goals of furnishing the homes of 6,000 Montgomery County residents and collecting (through both pick-ups and drop-offs) 3,900,000 pounds of donated basic need items from Montgomery County families. During calendar year 2017, we exceeded our donation goal, receiving approximately 4,680,000 pounds of donated items from 18,677 county residents. Over the same period, A Wider Circle furnished the homes of 5,433 Montgomery County individuals, and we expect to exceed 6,000 county residents served within the first two months of calendar year 2018.

Nearly 100% of those with whom we speak during six-month follow up calls report that they are still using the furniture that they received, a metric that is indicative of successfully meeting our intended outcome of helping to create a foundation for stability in the homes of those in need.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services provided through A Wider Circle’s Workforce Development Program has remained consistent, providing Montgomery County residents with the vital skills and support they need to enter or re-enter the workforce and advance in their careers.

This comprehensive program offers holistic, intensive, and highly personalized job skills training, one-on-one coaching, and the provision of high-quality professional attire, all free of charge, to county job-seekers in need of assistance.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
A Wider Circle identified the goal of serving a total of 560 Montgomery County residents through job training Boot Camps and/or the provision of professional attire and accessories. During the 2017 calendar year, we served a total of 743 Montgomery County residents through this program.

For those who participate in our job training “Boot Camps,” our goal is 100% employment. Currently approximately 50% of those who complete a Boot Camp are employed within one year. While that metric is indicative of success and compares favorably to other programs across the country, we are committed to continuing to work alongside 100% of our program participants as they strive to achieve self-sufficiency. We know the journey to independence takes time, resources, and support.

In the coming year, we seek to increase the number of Montgomery County residents served through all aspects of our workforce development programming, working to ensure that all County residents have the tools and support they need for stability, independence, and economic advancement. As part of this effort, we are also excited to collaborate with other service providers working in this space.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

A Wider Circle continues to pursue the renovation of our facility in Silver, Spring MD, working to create a hub of community service that will ultimately bring together more than 100,000 individuals each year in the movement to end poverty. As previous tenants vacated the space, A Wider Circle identified a number of areas in need of attention prior to proceeding with planned renovations. Past County support allowed A Wider Circle to begin addressing safety and hygiene issues present in the spaces formerly held by tenants, and to cover necessary permit fees.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
A Wider Circle is undertaking our renovation efforts at a pace that allows our day-to-day services to continue to expand in meeting the rising needs in our community. Since first receiving funding from Montgomery County for this effort, the two tenants that previously occupied portions of our facility have vacated the space. Upon taking possession of the vacated spaces, a number of hygiene and safety issues were identified that needed to be resolved prior to proceeding with the renovations listed as goals in our previous funding request.

With previous County support, A Wider Circle has undertaken work to repair an improperly installed sewer line, renovate an entire loft area, and replace windows and doors. We have also partnered with an architectural firm to apply for necessary permits and are currently in the process of gaining approval, at which point we will progress to further renovation.

While we remain in the early stages of the renovation process, the work outlined above has made a larger area of the facility accessible while also ensuring safety. Upon permit approval, A Wider Circle will move onto the next phase of renovations, undertaking mold remediation and asbestos removal, and ultimately transforming our space and dramatically increasing our capacity to serve.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In our original FY18 application, we requested $25,000 to provide interpretation on 100 occasions for limited English proficient and Deaf/Hard of Hearing clients to communicate effectively with their pro bono, government, or public interest attorneys. We received full funding and will meet our goal. However, we expect to meet our goal and exhaust our funding after only 9 or 10 months. With more funding, we would be able to provide interpretation services for the full year and to also have documents translated for legal service providers. As such, we have requested $50,000 in our application for FY19.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our stated output was for limited English proficient (LEP) and Deaf/Hard of Hearing (Deaf) clients to receive the assistance of specially-trained and qualified interpreters on 100 occasions, allowing those clients to communicate effectively with their pro bono, government, or public interest attorneys. In just the first six months of the year, we have received 103 requests for interpretation and have been able to fill 81 of those requests (this includes 2 instances in which the interpreter arrived but the client did not show up). On 10 occasions, the legal service provider cancelled the assignment before it occurred, but after requesting an interpreter. As such, we were actually able to fill 83 of the 93 requests (89%) for which requesters planned to go forward with a meeting.

Nine different organizations used the service, with the top users being Catholic Charities and the Montgomery County Bar Pro Bono Program. The interpretation provided enabled access to legal services that assisted, directly or indirectly, 179 Montgomery County residents. Interpretation was provided in 7 different languages, including Amharic, American Sign Language, French, Hindi, Spanish, Thai and Tigrinya.

Fortunately, with funding from the DC Bar Foundation (DCBF), we were able to host a three-day interpreter training in September of 2017 that covered how to maintain attorney/client privilege, how to guard confidentiality, and how to avoid the unauthorized practice of law. We trained 26 professional interpreters on these important aspects of working with lawyers and their clients in out-of-court settings. Most of these interpreters have signed contracts to accept assignments from the Community Legal Interpreter Bank (serving DC residents through the DCBF funding and serving Montgomery County residents through the Montgomery County Executive funding). There are now 92 interpreters in the Community Legal Interpreter Bank, working in 29 languages. The September training is an example of how the County often benefits, without cost, from systemic improvements to the Bank undertaken independently with separate funding.

Our stated outcome was increased access to justice for LEP and Deaf residents of Montgomery County. Because we have no direct contact with LEP and Deaf clients, we cannot follow up with them. Thus, we measure outcome by the Bank’s impact on our direct clients (legal services organizations) with regard to changes in their practices that result in increased access for the actual beneficiaries (LEP and Deaf community members). We expected 6 organizations to report that the Bank has increased their ability to serve LEP and Deaf clients or to place LEP and Deaf clients with outside pro bono counsel. In December (2017) and January (2018), we surveyed the 17 organizations that have memoranda of understanding with the Bank, and 11 responded. There were 10 organizations which confirmed that the Bank has increased their ability to serve these populations and 1 organization which responded by saying that it has not used the Bank in a long while. We have achieved our desired outcome and are pleased with the systemic impact that the Bank is having on access to justice for Montgomery County residents.
Organization's Legal Name  Baby's Bounty MC, Inc.

Submission Title  Baby's Bounty MC - CC - 2 - ED

Requested FY18 Award  40000

Final FY18 Award  20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Because we didn't receive the full award, we were only able to pay for one part-time staffer, a co-executive director who runs our bundle referral program. This has been essential in keeping up with the dramatic increase in demand we've experienced this fiscal year - doubling over last fiscal year, which had already doubled over the year prior.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
In the first half of this fiscal year, we served 220 babies. Given that last fiscal year, for the entire year, we provided a total 261 bundles for 273 newborns in need (some of them were multiples - so just one bundle, but more than one baby). Our goal for the year is 480. So far this fiscal year, we are on track to more than double the bundles that were distributed in the year prior, which was already double the year before that. Given this dramatic growth, we are on track to meet our goal for the year, if not exceed it.

Being able to pay a part time staffer to focus on the referral program has allowed the co-executive director and board to focus on the larger operations.

We have also continued to grow and improve our internal operations for greatest efficiency and to best support the caseworkers we work with and the clients they serve. We have created a new website and an online referral form. We have streamlined our ordering and receiving operations. We have also continued to work to build our in-kind contributions.

Finally, we are always focused on continuing to grow our fundraising operation. We are heading into our second consecutive year with a Run Rockville 5K & Stroller Derby - allowing us dramatic fundraising opportunities through sponsorship and community support. We have established better use of our lists (mail and email) to cultivate and grow our donor base.

Thank you for all your support in helping these newborns in need!
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No changes based at the current scope of services but our initial application for FY18 requested 50K. Final FY18 award was $34340, number of matches were reduced and based on partial funding. Our Big Brothers Big Sisters goal is to provide mentoring and family support services to a minimum of 30 new at-risk youth served that includes the client, their parent and volunteer or 90 individuals in one-to-one mentoring relationships for FY’18; and, continue support to approximately 30 carry-over mentoring relationships or 90 individuals including children, volunteers, and parents resulting in 180 individuals children, their parents and volunteers served.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

OUTPUTS
During July to December 2017 - BBBS Montgomery county provided mentoring and family support services to 66 individuals that includes children/youth, their parent and the volunteer (22 new mentoring relationships)

BBBS provides an additional mentoring services to 90 Montgomery County individuals retained in (30 mentoring relationships). Currently over 20 children across Montgomery County are waiting to be matched

11 Volunteers are in various stages of the matching process for FY18. They potentially will be waiting to be matched in a minimum one year commitment to provide consistent one to one match activities weekly or by- weekly.

OUTCOMES

The impact is evidenced by the outcomes seen with our Program Outcome Data (POE) Survey, developed by Big Brothers Big Sisters in conjunction with the Search Institute. The following positive outcomes were seen in mentored youth who had previously reported challenges in these areas: 31 youth improved by 86% in their academic performance; 25 youth improved by 90% in attitude toward school; 28 youth improved by 88% in their classroom behavior; 6 youth improved by 89% in avoiding delinquency; with 30 youth showed a 90% improvement in self-confidence.

BBBS Montgomery County launched “Bigs In Blue” program last December 18th partnering with the Montgomery County Police Department and working with Capt. Marc Yamada and Sgt. Dan Helton to recruit volunteers to serve as mentors to Gaithersburg E.S 5th grade students. 10 MCPD officers and 12 mentees attended the first pilot program during lunch time recess. The pilot program event took place at the school cafeteria, and children were impressed with their mentors, addressing important questions about what is MCPD’s role in the community and playing board games for over 1 hour.

The MCPD has chosen Gaithersburg E.S (5th grade) as school-based model where mentors will meet with their mentees at the school site either weekly or bi-weekly; other events will include team building activities at their headquarters. The MCPD selected Gaithersburg E.S knowing that 87% of the families there are economically disadvantaged and facing challenges with local gangs and domestic violence issues.

With the collaboration of Ms. Cynthia Moya, Family Intervention Specialist from the Violence Prevention and Human trafficking Initiative unit of Mary’s Center, we are serving new referrals for Unaccompanied Alien Children (UAC); this vulnerable population is placed in custody with a legal guardian or family member living in USA.
Big Brothers Big Sisters had a successful Holiday party last Dec 2nd at Dave & Buster’s play games center. Over 200 BBBS matches from Montgomery County and across the National Capital Area were invited to attend this unforgettable party included surprise individual gifts for our mentees. Other remarkable scenarios were to serve many families in need during Thanksgiving Day and Christmas, courtesy of Capital One, I Heart Radio, Giant, Safeway and Whole Foods gift cards. Broadsoft Inc and Pariveda Solutions adopted many families in need for Christmas honoring wishes lists for our mentees and parents.
### Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Neither the funding nor the scope of the project has changed since the original application.

### Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

### Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
We have three specific outcomes for participants in our Selma Sweetbaum Satellite Senior Nutrition Program.

They are:

1) Better health by ensuring that participants get one-third of their daily nutrition requirements;
2) Increased socialization for seniors; and
3) Increased understanding of healthy habits. During the course of the fiscal year (July 2017-June 2018), we plan to serve over 300 unique participants, providing these at-risk seniors with nutrition, health and wellness services and socialization opportunities to maintain their mind, body and spirit.

Montgomery County provides surveys that we distribute to our participants mid-year. However, we do not receive results until spring. Each year, the survey provides us with excellent data that we can use to measure the effectiveness of this program. In addition to the survey data, use anecdotal information from participants, observations from staff, and attendance records to get information and feedback on an ongoing basis.

We have already served 279 unique participants to date, and anecdotally and through conversations with participants and observations from staff, we know that participants are benefiting from the lunch through better nutrition and enjoying overall better health and socialization. Participants often make strong connections with other participants and look forward to seeing them at the program.

Our target outcomes are that:

• At least 80% of survey respondents will indicate increased socialization as a result of program attendance
• At least 70% of survey respondents will indicate healthy habit adopted as result of program
• At least 80% of survey respondents will indicate they are eating better as a result of the program
• At least 80% of survey respondents will indicate that the program has improved the overall quality of their life.

We believe we can achieve these target goals, based on our experience and survey results from past years.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 12/19/2017 • Last updated: 01/18/2018

**Organization's Legal Name**  Bender JCC of Greater Washington

**Submission Title**  Bender JCC - FY18 CE - 2 - Camp Transportation

**Requested FY18 Award**  25000

**Final FY18 Award**  25000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Neither the funding nor the scope of the project has change since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

**Response (up to 500 words):**

Each summer, the Bender JCC of Greater Washington (Bender JCC) runs Camp JCC, which includes its nationally-recognized Camp JCC Inclusion Program for children and teens with disabilities. The grant from Montgomery County helped to cover the cost of lift-equipped buses to transport campers with disabilities to and from camp and on numerous field trips during our seven week summer camp. In summer of 2017 (FY18), 115 unduplicated campers with disabilities were served by this grant, many of whom participated in multiple camp sessions.

We had two stated outcomes for FY18:
1) All inclusion campers are able to participate in field trips outside the JCCGW because of lift-equipped buses, resulting in full inclusion in off-site camp activities:

We served 115 unique inclusion campers in FY18. Many of these 115 campers enrolled in multiple sessions during summer 2017 (with approximately 100 inclusion campers attending each of three camp sessions over the course of seven weeks). All 115 inclusion campers fully participated in field trips outside the JCC, and we achieved our target goal of 100% of campers with disabilities participating in all field trips outside of the JCC and at off-site activities alongside their typically-developing siblings and peers.

2) Campers and families of inclusion campers report feeling less isolated through camp participation:

In addition to surveying parents at the end of camp, inclusion counselors regularly interact with parents of inclusion campers in person and in writing daily during each camp session. Through these means, we know that we achieved the following outcomes during Summer 2017 -

• 99% of individuals with disabilities maintained or improved skills from some areas when compared to data from their Individual Education Plans (IEPs). Specific areas measured were: self-esteem, social, emotional, and language skills.
• 99% of inclusion campers experienced development of certain skills, due in part to positive peer influence.
• 100% of inclusion campers and typically developing campers interacted--observing individual differences, reconciling them with camp activities, and creating friendships.
• 99% of families of inclusion campers were included in the camp community and reported feeling less isolated and more involved. Parents and families of inclusion campers participated in all camp programs alongside parents and families of typically-developing children.
• 99% of working parents said they felt their children were included and having a good or great experience at Camp JCC. Without Camp JCC, many of these children with special needs would have been home with a nurse---isolated from their peers.
Organization's Legal Name  Bender JCC of Greater Washington

Submission Title  Bender JCC - FY18 - CE - 4 - Capital Request

Requested FY18 Award  50000

Final FY18 Award  25000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the project has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This project—to heighten security and efficiency in Bender JCC classrooms by replacing outdated and low-quality exterior windows with highly-secure, insulated windows—proceeded as planned and was completed on schedule as of January 8, 2018. We are in the process of finalizing invoices and final budget numbers for the project.

Per our output description, we replaced 119 (3,200 square feet) old, inefficient and unprotected windows with highly-secure, insulated Integrity Ultex windows with MADICO: Clear 8Mil Safety Shield & White DOW 995 Silicone Sealant.

We accomplished both of our Outputs:
1) That all 119 replacement windows meet safety standards used by State of Maryland for public schools; and
2) Increased energy efficiency for all 119 replacement windows meeting the US ENERGY STAR “Most Efficient” criteria.

The funding helped us replace outdated and low-quality exterior windows in our classrooms with highly-secure insulated windows with Clear 8Mil Safety Shield & White DOW 995 Silicone Sealant. These new windows will protect children and adults using the classrooms from shattering glass and from threats from outside of our building, such as a bomb blast or shots fired at our facility.

These changes will enhance our security program, reduce our vulnerability and significantly decrease the risk of injury should there be an attack on our facility. The new windows will also provide greater energy efficiency through better insulated and custom installed and fitted windows, preventing loss of heating and cooling and reducing both the Bender JCC’s carbon footprint and the cost to heat and cool the building.
Organization's Legal Name: Bethesda Cares, Inc.

Submission Title: Bethesda Cares - FY18 CC - 1 Homelessness Prevention

Requested FY18 Award: 30000

Final FY18 Award: 30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Please note that our fiscal year runs January 1-December 31, as opposed to the County's July 1 start.

First projected outcome: keep 240 households from eviction in a 12 month period. Because we issued, in some cases, marginally larger grants than previous years, we helped slightly fewer than half of our anticipated number of households. (112)

Second projected outcome: maintain functioning utilities for 272 households within a 12 month period. 65. The lower-than-anticipated number of utility shut-offs prevented is likely because we had an unusually high number of requests for assistance with first months' rent (9, compared to, ordinarily, 1).

The households we assisted are home to 240 adults and 234 children. In terms of geography, the largest numbers of clients were in Silver Spring (91), Germantown (26) and Gaithersburg (14). The main reasons for peoples' financial distress was overwhelmingly under- or unemployment (85) and insufficient income (85).
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application. There is a reallocation of funding from a Mentor Program Partner- Mentor Capital Network (MCN), to a full-time Incubator Manager. This improves our program long-term by recognizing and bringing knowledge in-house rather than outsourcing. Incidentally, two of seven Cohort 2018 incubator companies served by our mentor program are also being served by MCN and thus benefit from its global mentor network.

SBA funding did not concretize but Bethesda Green won a TEDCO Incubation Challenge which can expand our potential mentor network namely in food, agriculture and sustainability, within Maryland.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We are on track to meeting our outputs and outcomes identified in the FY18 application. Seven new companies joined our 2018 cohort and will each be assigned at least one mentor, starting the end of January or early February.

Our efforts to recruit top-notch mentors with industry and technical expertise has been enhanced and to date we have secured seven highly experienced mentors that are being matched with the specific needs of each mentee. Our volunteer Board has been particularly helpful in extending their network. We have created a marketing flyer explaining the program and have an online survey for mentors to fill-out. We also have added a mentor training session with Shahab Kaviani, a successful entrepreneur in the county, for early February.

The mentor program will help the seven new companies that joined our program in January 2018 grow revenue and raise capital. The $1.2M below is the outcome of our mentor program and other incubator services for other current members of the incubator.

Output Description: Incubator company entrepreneurs in mentor-mentee relationships
How will you measure?: Number of company entrepreneurs with mentor relations of 3-6 months (finished or ongoing)
Number to be served: 7
Prior Year Actual: 0
Progress to date: 0

Outcome Description: Aggregated Be Green Hub Incubator Companies' income
How will you measure?: Sum of: sales revenue, equity (angels/VC), government support (TEDCO, MIPS, SBIR), cash awards, and loan funds received
Number expected to achieve outcome: $3,500,000
Prior Year Actual: $2,900,000
Progress to Date: $1,244,552
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We received only $10K of the $15,029 in FY18. Given these limitations the staff did not attend the B Lab-led national conference on the Measure What Matters program which aimed at program, staff development and at national visibility. This also limits resources for the marketing campaign size of the program. Creatively, we also started to use more students than companies to provide assistance. This however does limit our ability to bring in experienced professionals.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

In FY18, our program achieved the following:
- hosted 3 workshops
- partnered with three local universities, UMD, George Washington University, American University through the Net Impact Chapters
- engaged 29 student apprentices
- increased the number of incubator companies applying B Corp Certification from 1 in 2017 to 4 in 2018
- assisted 15 companies to begin the Quick Impact Assessment
- registered 11 companies organically for our 2018 Winter Workshop Series

Overall, Be Impact significantly expanded the experiential learning between companies and student apprentices, resulting in significant interest from other universities. This expansion also provides resources for improvement for 7 companies so far in FY18. Three other area universities are in discussion to participate in our 2018 programming: Montgomery College, Georgetown University and George Mason University.

While our organic interest in the program is beginning through participant recommendations, the program in the first half of FY18 fell short of its overall engagement goal. In calendar 2018 we are increasing delivery capacity by offering three workshops series annually instead of two: winter, spring and fall. Also, this will serve our newest 2018 cohort incubator companies for whom the Be Impact is an integral, required part of their curriculum.

The participation levels for each workshop series is already increasing in 2018, 15 companies participating in the winter workshop: 7 incubator companies and 7 community companies. We trust we will maintain this level of engagement through the spring workshop series, keeping us on track to meet the FY18 participant’s output goal of 30-45 companies.

One of our outputs is to increase the number of companies achieving B Corp Certification. The program is a ‘gateway’ program by moving companies through the beginning certification process. In order to assist companies with getting certified, the program needs to incorporate a longer timeframe and additional technical assistance.

Outputs

1. Output Description: Number of businesses completing the Quick Impact Assessment with analysis in B Analytics (via cohort or directly on our customized landing page). B Analytics is a data platform that automatically aggregates and analyzes user B Impact Assessment data
How will your measure: B Analytics software data
Businesses to be served: 45
Mid-Year Actual: 14

2. Output Description: Business participants in workshops (in-person or alternate webinar)
How will you Measures: Committed participants and workshop attendance
Businesses to be served: 30
3. Output Description: Overall impact scores of businesses who have completed full impact assessment
How will you Measure: B Analytics software indicates median scores of businesses. With B Analytics, we track companies' performance across time, toward goals, and benchmarked against similar businesses.
Score desired: 120
Mid-Year Actual: 115

Outcomes
1. Outcome Description: Businesses pursuing B Corp Certification
Measurement: B Analytics indicates progress
Number expected to achieve outcome: 5
Mid-Year Actual: 1

2. Outcome Description: Number of businesses obtaining B Corp Certification or/and MoCo Green Business Certification
Measurement: B Analytics for progress of B Corp Certification and DEP certification results
Number expected to achieve outcome: 5
Prior Year Actual: 3
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/02/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Bethesda Help, Inc.

Submission Title
Bethesda Help - FY18 CC - 2 - Client Financial Assistance

Requested FY18 Award
6000

Final FY18 Award
6000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have three stated outputs and outcomes in our FY18 application:

1. Provide financial assistance to clients to prevent eviction from their residence, with the outcome being that clients were able to avoid eviction and remain in their homes. Our number to be served was 18 clients. In the six months ended December 31, 2017, we have already assisted 16 clients.

2. Provide financial assistance to clients to prevent cutoff of utilities, with the outcome being that clients were able to endure their emergency and continue to receive utility services. Our number to be served was 25 clients. In the six months ended December 31, 2017, we have already assisted 12 clients.

3. Provide financial assistance to clients so they may obtain necessary medications, with the outcome being that clients were able to obtain needed prescriptions. Our number to be served was 15 clients. In the six months ended December 31, 2017, we have assisted 3 clients.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

We have one single stated output and outcome in our FY18 application:

(Output) Food delivered to low income clients up to three times per month in sufficient quantities to provide for the number of people in the household will (Outcome) reduce hunger in Montgomery County

Our number of low income clients to be served was 2000. In the six months ended December 31, 2017, we have already assisted 1,021 clients.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed. Club attendance fluctuates from year to year, but we maintain about the same number of club members or higher on a day-to-day basis. The funding provided by the Council has allowed the Club members to participate in activities under all three Triple Play components with the proper equipment in a safe and productive manner.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output 1

Target: 100 youth ages 5-18 attend the Germantown Club three or more times per week and participate in Triple Play activities.

Progress: About 104 youth are participating in Triple Play three or more times per week. Throughout the week, participating members take part in all three components of Triple Play (Mind, Body, and Soul), as they rotate to the Gym, Games Room, and Health & Life Skills Room for Triple Play programming.

Outcome 1

Target: 80 (80%) participating students will increase the number of minutes spent in physical activity per week.

Progress: On track. Through member surveys, we find at the mid-year point, about 65% of participating members have increased their daily level of physical activity since joining participating in the Triple Play program. About 40% of participating members have increased their daily physical activity to 60+ minutes. We expect these numbers to continue to increase throughout the year and to reach our expected targets.

Outcome 2

Target: 80 (80%) participants will develop an understanding of how food, fitness, and lifestyle choices impacts personal health.

Progress: On track. We are using the Triple Play Healthy Habits - Health and Nutrition Survey provided in our program manual to test participating members in their understanding of health and nutrition concepts. To date, we are reaching our target. With this grant, we have increased staff resources available to spread the load of the Triple Play program, allowing participants ample opportunity to participate in all three components of Triple Play, which leads to open discussions on the importance of physical, mental, and emotional health.
Organization's Legal Name  
Boys & Girls Clubs of Greater Washington, Inc.

Submission Title  
BGCGW-FY18-CC-2-Power Hour Program

Requested FY18 Award  
44277

Final FY18 Award  
44277

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output 1

Target: 50 youth attend the Club at least four days per week and participate in Power Hour for one hour each day.

Progress: On track. We currently have an average daily attendance of 104 club members. As soon as members get off the bus from school, they immediately go into Power Hour and work on their homework. Attendance is taken during Power Hour and entered into COMET attendance and data
management system.

Outcome 1

Target: 38 program participants will demonstrate improved skills in math and reading, as evidenced by improved grades.

Progress: On track. Staff regularly check participants' homework folders of completed and graded assignments from the previous Power Hour sessions, with grades and comments from participants' schoolteachers. With the help of Power Hour, participants are receiving 80% or higher grades on their homework. Participants have only received their 1st quarter school report cards, so we do not yet have comparable report card date to determine the extent to which their math or reading skills have improved (in terms of report cards). We expect to have this data for the next reporting period.

Outcome 2

Target: 38 program participants will increase time spent studying.

Progress: On track. Dialogue between staff and parents is taking place daily or weekly to measure participants' time studying. Parents express joys and concerns with their child's studies/homework, generally expressing concern about in what area of focus their child needs improvement. For the most part, parents have reported their satisfaction with their child's participation in Power Hour and feel reassured that there is an adult that can help their child complete and understand their homework. At the end of the day, many parents do not have the energy to spend late evenings/nights helping their child with their homework. Staff also provide feedback to parents about the child's study habits during Power Hour and areas in which the child may be experiencing challenges.

Outcome 3

Target: 38 program participants will increase time spent reading books.

Progress: On track. One of the assignments in Power Hour that relates to reading is a book report/writing assignment. Members read a book and must review and answer certain questions. Staff read and review the member's book reports/writing. To date, staff have read well-written reports that demonstrate that participating members have comprehended the story/book.
### Council Mid-year Report

**Organization's Legal Name**  Bethesda Metro Area Village  

**Submission Title**  BMAVillage-FY18 CE- senior support  

**Requested FY18 Award**  25,000  

**Final FY18 Award**  15000  

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.  

In 100 words or less.

No. The scope of the services has remained the same since the original application.  

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.  

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have continued to grow and have met the goals in our FY18 county grant application:

- we had estimated the number of memberships in the village to be 80, and we have 86 (with hundreds more on an “interested-persons” list who receive our bi-monthly newsletter)
- we estimated the number enrolling to receive in-home services would be 20, and we have 28
- we estimated the total number of members participating in events to be 75, and had over 300 people attend over 70 events in 2017
- we estimated the number of volunteers would be 32, and we had over 96 community members volunteer with BMAV in various ways. We have 26 volunteers trained to provide in-home services, up from 20, and plan to further increase that. We are meeting the recommended ratio of 1:1 volunteers to village members requesting in-home services (currently 26:28). The average village ratio nationwide is 1:2.74.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 12/20/2017 • Last updated: 01/09/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Bradley Hills Village, Inc. (BHV)

Submission Title  Bradley Hills Village, Inc.-FY18-CE-1

Requested FY18 Award  19806

Final FY18 Award  10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

With nearly 50% cut in funds, BHV made the following adjustments in its budget, although virtually none in the scope of services, outputs or outcomes:

- Shifted from part-time employee to a contracted administrator;
- Reduced office supplies;
- Fewer mailings (may result in slower membership growth) and less printing;
- Assigned website addition of pilot program to part-time contractor;
- Received other funding to cover Medical Note-taking program costs;
- Will seek other funding to cover Accountant costs;
- Eliminated consultant payments;
- Reduced pilot program telephone costs;

BHV is exploring other funding sources to cover eliminated anticipated costs.
Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

BHV anticipates few or no changes in the core output and outcome measures, and continually seeks additional funding though membership recruitment and other sources. The 1st step was to hire a contractor, by November 2017.

Progress in stated outputs and outcomes:
As this is the first year BHV is funded for this program, no prior year actual data are available.

Outputs:
Home visits: By January 18, 2018, 5 test home visits have been completed. A total of 40 additional are anticipated with the remainder to take place during February-March;
Training on all aspects of providing home visits as described in application, to be held Jan. 25, 2018 for additional volunteers.

Baseline on File-of-Life:
Initial visits showed that 4 of 5 members had completed and display File-of-Life or alternative documentation in expected place. Those without a copy are provided one with offer to help fill it out.

Medical Note-taking Workshop:
BHV has purchased a curriculum and teaching materials;
BHV workshop format has been purchased and a location identified;
Planning includes identifying instructors, including from Suburban Hospital, to cover various topics.

BHV is offering this workshop with a neighboring MC Village (Wyngate);
The first workshop, planned for March 2018, is open to members, family members and BHV volunteers.

We will offer another workshop in May 2018 to any other MC Village for its volunteers;
Between these two workshops, we anticipate offering medical note-taking to the anticipated 35
Outcomes:
Increase member self-management skills:
BHV’s partnership with Suburban Hospital includes offers at the home visits, to attend self-management skills classes as well as sign-up for home safety reviews by MC FRS personnel. Both offers will also be publicized through Village channels as well as in the medical note-taking class. As the latter is to be held, no output data are available as yet. BHV administrator will monitor the number of completed FRS safety checks.

Reduce Social isolation:
The initial home visits uncovered the problem of social isolation, particularly members living alone or recently retired. Visits are exploring why members have been slow in requesting services from Village. Home visits will also explore the kinds of programs members want, social or educational, to maximize Village resources. BHV offers a number of programs with other Villages for that purpose.

Sharing Best practices:
By June 2018, BHV will offer a session for MC Villages to share what we learned in this pilot program. We anticipate having more than 10 Villages represented at this meeting which will be coordinated with MC Village administrator Pazit Aviv for maximum Village participation.

BHV is well on track for FY18 Grant goals.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Capital Area Asset Building Corporation (CAAB) received about 25% of the amount requested resulting in a scale back of expected deliverables. CAAB expects to serve 20 Spanish-speaking Montgomery County residents through direct services. Housing Opportunity Commission is a key partner referring clients for direct services (financial education and/or coaching). CAAB will also provide Spanish-language information about budgeting, credit, savings, and mainstream banking. CAAB expects to reach at least 5,000 Spanish-speaking residents through this information campaign.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

CAAB received partial funds, as described above, and had delays in contract execution. The organization received funds in November, 2018. As a result, the work under the contract got underway in November, December and January.

In terms of direct services, CAAB has provided direct services for two Montgomery County residents so far. However, neither of these residents were Latino. They were referred to the program through our partners and were served but didn’t meet the target demographics. We have provided feedback to partners about who the target audience is for this program to increase more appropriate referrals in the future.

CAAB has launched the ¡Ahorando y Prosperando en el Condado de Montgomery! (Saving and Prospering in Montgomery County!) Campaign. In addition to social media posts about ITIN renewal and the Earned Income Tax Credit, CAAB was interviewed on LEDC’s weekly “Consejos Financieros” (Financial Tips) show on Radio America WACA 1540 AM regarding the EITC and its positive impact on low- and moderate-income individuals and families in the region.
Organization's Legal Name: Cultural & Diversity Enrichment Services-USA, Inc (CADES-USA)

Submission Title: CADES-USA FY18 CE-1

Requested FY18 Award: 55000

Final FY18 Award: 34000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application. The anticipated Donations was insufficient for new expansions however, more lessons were delivered as narrated for FY18.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The progress of the goals set for FY18 are on the right direction. From the beginning of the academic year, we enrolled 33 students more than the 15 that we anticipated based upon our total Income. As the Principal of Francis Scott Key Middle School refused CADES continue use of their School facility, we have to relocate to Cresthaven Elementary School. As the result, parents of 15 Students who happened to be attending Francis Scott Key Middle School withdrew their Students since their concerns and petitions to the Principal of Francis Scott Key MS. were never responded. The Parents legitimate concerns were purely for the safety and protection of their students who closed school at 2:50 pm but had to wait unsupervised until 4:15 pm when CADES will has access to get into the classroom of Cresthaven Elementary School. We therefore, had no choice but to lose 15 Students because of this type of situation that we could not control. However, we worked with 18 Students out of which 15 had more than 95% attendance and acquired excellent level of 90% diversity relationships with each other. CADES happens to be the only Organization that puts diversity test into practical reality on daily bases. Presently, the following outcomes/results achieved are been realized thus: More than 80% of those who didn't like attending classes are now in School and daily doing homework assignments. However, 75% of Home-base Schools appreciate CADES program on behavior and academic attainment. More than 85% of the parents sent appreciative acknowledgement letters. Students are alert with CADES "ABC" of Attitude, Behavior & Character. Working Habits with diverse age groups, School and Ethnic Origin remain all time higher level of outcome achievements. Meanwhile, Entrepreneurship and leadership skills remain CADES higher career goals. Accordingly, home work participation and individual interest as well as interest in studies including school attendance level increased among all participants. It should be noted that CADES has kept to target despite all unnecessary interruption of program curriculum due to lack of appropriate School location to accommodate the program targeted age groups of 10-18 from various Middle and Higher Schools of each area. We hope that we would obtain enough requested funds to achieve what we have put forth for FY19.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name          C&O Canal Trust, Inc.

Submission Title                   CanalTrust-CE-2

Requested FY18 Award               44907

Final FY18 Award                   30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We received partial funding, but were able to find additional funding to support all outputs/outcomes.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Thus far in FY18, the following outputs have been achieved:

- 2 Partnerships established (100% of goal) with Community Bridges and Latin American Youth Center for diversity outreach events. Recreational and Volunteer Service program events were held with both groups.

- 800 hours (50% of goal) of volunteer service have been provided by our Quartermaster Corps. We anticipate surpassing our stated goal for this output.

- 0 historic buildings (0% of goal) have been restored and reopened to the public. National Park Service contracting delays have pushed back project timeline for the Swains Lockhouse. The contract has now been secured, and anticipated project completion date is mid-summer 2018.

Thus far in FY18, the following outcomes have been achieved:

- 45 new volunteers (90% of goal) from diverse backgrounds have participated in Canal Pride stewardship activities.

- 910 visitors (50% of goal) experienced Canal Quarters overnight interpretive program. We anticipate surpassing our stated goal for this output.

- 0 visitors (0% of goal) to the Swains Lockhouse in Potomac. National Park Service contracting delays have pushed back the rehabilitation project timeline for the Swains Lockhouse. A contract has now been secured, and anticipated project completion date is mid-summer 2018. Multiple public events will be held during the historic preservation process. We anticipate surpassing this goal prior to the close of the grant term.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our eight Family Market sites have been in full operation during this portion of the grant period. Sites include Burtonsville Elementary School, Galway ES, JoAnnLeleck ES, Kennedy High School, Key Middle School, Parkland Middle School, Southlake ES and Watkins Mills High School. Some sites, such as Kennedy High School serve more than one school in a cluster. That site alone serves 11,792 individuals to this point in our grant. In all sites, 39,692 clients have been served, each Market providing 35 to 40 pounds of fresh produce and nutritious shelf stable foods on a client choice basis.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We are realizing success in meeting our Output and Outcome measurements. Family Market sites held five distributions during the first half of our grant period, 4 regular monthly events and one Holiday distribution. Enrolled children and their families received 30 to 40 pounds of food, which they chose, 40% of which was in fresh produce and the rest in nutritious food chosen for low-salt-low-sugar content, whole grains, protein such as canned tuna, peanut butter, some fresh or frozen chicken and several kinds of beans, each selection accompanied with recipe cards in English and Spanish geared to the foods available during that Market event. Families are realizing between 3 and 14 days benefit from the foods chosen at Market. We conducted a parent survey during the run-up to winter (2017) in which we requested feedback from parents which gave us insight into client satisfaction and how the foods were benefiting clients in terms of dollar savings and how long the foods lasted, both as supplements to pantry staples already at hand and used in full meals. In our Outcome goals, for example, we said that "families will save between $21 and $61 a month on their groceries. 57.5% of 73 parent respondents said that they saved between $21 and $40, while 17.8% cited $41 to $60 in savings, because of the Market. 49.3% of 73 respondents said that the food was used as full meal ingredients and lasted five to seven days. 27.4% received 7 to 10 days benefit from the foods they chose at their Family Market. Carrots, potatoes, peppers and apples were some of the most preferred produce, while eggplant rated a gratifying 26% on the "most desired" scale. (Because we have recipe cards with eggplant preparation tips and full recipe suggestions, and because when we began Family Markets eggplant was not high on client preferences and in some cases was unknown as a vegetable option, we thought this was a small victory!) In terms of the enhancements of other nonprofit organizations present for referrals and counseling at Markets, 57.5% of 73 respondents said that they derived benefit and learned about new resources in their community from having these organizations present at the Market. They also said that they will use one or more of the resources they learned about during the Market. During Holiday Break, Galway Elementary School was thinking about cancelling the Market due to cold, but changed their minds and a great many families attended, happy for the event itself and some much-needed food at that point in their year. Family Markets is one of the most successful direct service programs administered by the food bank, but some challenges do occur. One this year has been the relative scarcity of donated fresh and frozen meat products, so we have filled in with some purchase product and recipes using beans and other plant-based protein. The support of the Montgomery County Community Grants has been pivotal in allowing to leverage this particular challenge through some protein purchases.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We received verbal confirmation on January 3rd, 2018 from the Maryland Department of Aging that USDA has granted their request for an additional 500 caseload slots to being operating the Commodity Supplemental Food Program in Montgomery County. While we wait the formal kick-off and press release from the Governor’s office concerning the expansion, the Capital Area Food Bank's Direct Distributions and Operations Teams are reviewing the project outline to ensure a smooth implementation.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Between February and March, Direct Distributions staff will work to identify no more than 10 potential distribution locations, train on-site volunteers to execute the program in compliance with USDA regulations and good customer service skills, and begin enrolling qualifying seniors to participate in the program. During this same time our Operations Team will establish consistent operating procedures for receiving, storing and packing the USDA commodities and also planning potential delivery days and times with distribution sites. The goal is to connect seniors with their first My Groceries to Go! boxes starting in April, and to be serving our full 500 caseload by the end of June.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In FY2018, we received partial funding for this grant from the County Council/ County Executive. We requested $60,000 and received $19,000 from the County Council and $30,000 from the County Executive, totaling $49,000. We will adjust outcomes down by 20% to reflect this funding reduction:
Output 1: total clients served are reduced from 135 to 108;
Output 2: workshop attendees reduced from 50 to 40 and from 8 to 6 workshops;
Output 3 from 15 to 12 clients receive scholarships and from $10,000 to $8,000 value of scholarships.
Outcome 1: number of clients served intensively reduced from 100 to 80.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

From July 1 through December 27, we have made the following progress toward achieving the stated outputs and outcomes for FY2018:

Output 1: GOAL total clients served is 108. Through 12/27 we had touch points with 115 clients as tracked in our CRM system. We have exceeded the goal of the full year contract at our mid-year point. We are at 106% of this stated output.

Output 2: Full year GOAL workshop attendees 40 over 6 workshops. During the first half of this grant period, we have conducted weekly workshops on soft skills and job search at our Job Club at our offices in Gaithersburg. Domestic Violence clients have attended 6 of these workshops. In addition, we conducted a workshop on Goal Setting at the Annual African American Empowerment Conference on October 21. The total number of clients served across these 7 workshops is 35. We are at 90% of this measured output goal at the mid-year point.

Output 3: Full year GOAL help 12 clients receive scholarships worth $8,000. During the first half of this grant period, we have obtained scholarships for 5 clients with a total value of $8,085.00. Clients obtained scholarships through Montgomery College: 1 for Medical Terminology; 2 for Physical Therapy Aide; 1 for Healthcare Access Professional; and 1 for Certified Nursing Assistant. We are at 100% of the output goal for scholarship dollars and at 40% of the goal for the number of clients served. The reason for this is that the value of each scholarship was close to $2,000. We plan to continue to help DV clients win these scholarships for the second half of this grant year.

Outcome 1: Full year GOAL number of clients served intensively is 80. During the first half of this grant period, we have seen 53 clients intensively during the first half of this grant year. We are at 66% of the goal for this outcome at mid-year. For this effort, 18 clients have started new jobs (included full time, part time, and second jobs) with an aggregated annual value of approximately $475,000. The types of jobs/careers which clients started include: Veterinary Assistant, Paralegal, Case Manager, Customer Service, Front Desk, Data Processor, Med Tech, Child Care Director, to name a few. One client started a volunteer activity to gain experience. Seven (7) clients increased their skills through paid skills training classes and an additional 40 clients were guided to various free on line training sites to increase their skills.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In FY2018, we received partial funding for this grant from the County Council/County Executive. We requested $60,000 and received $19,000 from the County Council and $30,000 from the County Executive, totaling $49,000. We will adjust outcomes down by 20% to reflect this funding reduction:

Output 1: total clients served are reduced from 135 to 108;
Output 2: workshop attendees reduced from 50 to 40 and from 8 to 6 workshops;
Output 3 from 15 to 12 clients receive scholarships and from $10,000 to $8,000 value of scholarships.
Outcome 1: number of clients served intensively reduced from 100 to 80.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

From July 1 through December 27, we have made the following progress toward achieving the stated outputs and outcomes for FY2018:

Output 1: GOAL total clients served is 108. Through 12/27 we had touch points with 115 clients as tracked in our CRM system. We have exceeded the goal of the full year contract at our mid-year point. We are at 106% of this stated output.

Output 2: Full year GOAL workshop attendees 40 over 6 workshops. During the first half of this grant period, we have conducted weekly workshops on soft skills and job search at our Job Club at our offices in Gaithersburg. Domestic Violence clients have attended 6 of these workshops. In addition, we conducted a workshop on Goal Setting at the Annual African American Empowerment Conference on October 21. The total number of clients served across these 7 workshops is 35. We are at 90% of this measured output goal at the mid-year point.

Output 3: Full year GOAL help 12 clients receive scholarships worth $8,000. During the first half of this grant period, we have obtained scholarships for 5 clients with a total value of $8,085.00. Clients obtained scholarships through Montgomery College: 1 for Medical Terminology; 2 for Physical Therapy Aide; 1 for Healthcare Access Professional; and 1 for Certified Nursing Assistant. We are at 100% of the output goal for scholarship dollars and at 40% of the goal for the number of clients served. The reason for this is that the value of each scholarship was close to $2,000. We plan to continue to help DV clients win these scholarships for the second half of this grant year.

Outcome 1: Full year GOAL number of clients served intensively is 80. During the first half of this grant period, we have seen 53 clients intensively during the first half of this grant year. We are at 66% of the goal for this outcome at mid-year. For this effort, 18 clients have started new jobs (included full time, part time, and second jobs) with an aggregated annual value of approximately $475,000. The types of jobs/careers which clients started include: Veterinary Assistant, Paralegal, Case Manager, Customer Service, Front Desk, Data Processor, Med Tech, Child Care Director, to name a few. One client started a volunteer activity to gain experience. Seven (7) clients increased their skills through paid skills training classes and an additional 40 clients were guided to various free on line training sites to increase their skills.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/01/2018

Organization's Legal Name                      Carribean Help Center, Inc.

Submission Title                               Caribbean Help Center - FY18 CE - 1 Referrals Program

Requested FY18 Award                           40000

Final FY18 Award                               20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

From September to December 2017 Caribbean Help Center assisted 115 clients in the program. CHC's staff interviewed clients during regular office hours and determined where to send them for assistance. Referrals were made to social services and social security offices, doctor's office and non-profit assistance program including food, clothing, education, and housing. Caribbean Help Center also provided transportation and translation assistance to clients on a needed basis.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
This contract includes the following activities/outputs and outcomes:

- Conduct “know your rights” to provide at least 750 residents with accurate and up-to-date information about immigration options.
- Conduct comprehensive immigration screenings for at least 400 residents to determine eligibility for various forms of immigration relief, including but not limited to Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (as long as this program is available), U Visa, Special Immigrant Juvenile Status, T Visa, Violence Against Women Act, and Family Petitions.
- Provide direct referrals to appropriate providers for 200 complex immigration cases.
- At least 80% of survey respondents report greater knowledge about their rights and how to protect their families.
- 80% of survey respondents report feeling that their rights are protected.

This contract began on September 12, 2017. During the first three and half months of the contract period, we achieved the following:

- Conducted 28 “know your rights“ workshops attended by 544 residents on topics such as constitutional protections for immigrants, family safety planning, immigration relief options, and workplace rights.
- Conducted comprehensive immigration screenings for 297 residents.
- Provided direct referrals for 72 residents.

Due to delays in contract execution and the urgency of these services in light of the October 5, 2017 cut-off date for DACA renewals, we focused significant attention and resources on completing DACA applications during the first month of the contract. We provided comprehensive legal screenings to each potential DACA renewal applicant and provided eligible individuals with full representation in the DACA renewal process, including entering a G-28 notice of appearance as an attorney or accredited representative with immigration. Any applicant who was potentially eligible for other relief was provided with a legal referral. Each applicant was also provided with “know your rights” information, either via a group session or individual consultation. Given that this was such a high-intensity period for DACA renewals, we achieved a significant portion of our total outputs for the contract period.

We will begin surveying to assess our anticipated outcomes in the next month.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name          CASA de Maryland, Inc.

Submission Title                   CASA - FY18 - CE - 1 - Rockville Citizenship

Requested FY18 Award               50000

Final FY18 Award                   30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
This contract includes the following activities/outputs and outcomes:

• 270 Montgomery County Legal Permanent Residents (LPRs) receive assistance in applying for citizenship.
• 90 Montgomery County LPRs are prepared for the citizenship exam and interview.
• 120 Montgomery County LPRs receive financial counseling and services to assist them in affording citizenship application fees. This includes assisting LPRs in creating household budgets/savings plans, and opening bank accounts.
• 40% of surveyed LPRs attain the full benefits and rights of citizenship, which include improved access to employment and civic engagement opportunities (surveying takes place at least six months after service to allow for processing by US Citizenship and Immigration Service).
• 70% of surveyed LPRs demonstrate knowledge gains and increased utilization of financial tools through pre- and post-testing.

During the first half of the contract year we achieved the following:

• 201 Montgomery County LPRs received assistance in applying for citizenship.
• 68 Montgomery County LPRs were prepared for the citizenship exam and interview.
• 46 Montgomery County LPRs received financial counseling and services. We do not anticipate difficulty in reaching our target of 120.
• 92% of surveyed LPRs demonstrated knowledge gains and utilization of financial tools through pre- and post-testing.

As noted above, surveying to assess citizenship attainment takes place at least six months following service, to allow for USCIS processing times. We will include this information in our final report.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This contract includes the following activities/outputs and outcomes:

• Conduct introduction to workplace safety and health trainings to educate 150 workers on how to protect themselves and their coworkers on the job.
• Provide industry-recognized vocational training for 80 workers in topics such as: Building Maintenance Engineer; electricity; solar paneling; child development, computer repair, computer literacy, heating, air conditioning, rebar, and home improvement licensure.
• 98% of vocational training graduates and workshop attendees demonstrate learning gains through pre- and post-testing.
• 60% of surveyed vocational training graduates reporting improvement in employment status or increase in income.

During the first half of the contact year, we achieved the following:

• Conducted workplace safety and health trainings to educate 60 workers on how to protect themselves and their coworkers on the job.
• Provided industry-recognized vocational training for 63 workers in topics such as: Building Maintenance Engineer; Electrical; Solar Panel; Child Development; Heating; Air Conditioning; and Health and Security in Childcare Centers.
• 100% of vocational training graduates and workshop attendees demonstrated learning gains through pre- and post-testing.
• 59% of surveyed vocational training graduates reported current employment and 31% reported an increase in income after completing the vocational training course.

We also provided work-readiness counseling to 12 workers on topics such as obtaining vocational licenses and preparing for job interviews.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This contract includes the following outputs and outcomes:
• 675 Montgomery County residents receive individualized case management assistance to assess their social service needs and create an intervention plan.
• 190 Montgomery County residents participate in Individual Tax Identification Number (ITIN) workshops and financial capability workshops.
• 300 Montgomery County residents receive non-health related referrals – to legal, housing, consumer, or employment service providers.
• 65% of clients achieve case resolution that facilitates greater access to available health, legal, housing, consumer, or employment services – thereby improving their health and well-being.
• 50% of surveyed workshop attendees demonstrate knowledge gains and increased utilization of financial tools – thereby enhancing their integration into the U.S. financial system.

During the first half of the contact year, we achieved the following:
• 783 Montgomery County residents received individualized case management assistance to assess their social service needs and create an intervention plan – surpassing our annual target in the first half of the contract period. The total number of cases for this reporting period is 980, which includes clients who received multiple services. Types of cases include: 91 – banking; 74 – Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA); 162 – financial education; 116 – ITIN; 130 – legal consultation and advice; and 407 – health and social services case management.
• 71 Montgomery County residents participated in ITIN workshops and financial capability workshops. With the increase in financial literacy and ITIN services that takes place during tax season, we do not anticipate difficulty in reaching our annual target.
• 333 Montgomery County residents received non-health related referrals to a variety of providers – surpassing our annual target in the first half of the contract period. The total number of non-health related referrals for this reporting period is 386, which includes clients who received multiple referrals.
• 65% of cases indicate progress towards case resolution that facilitates greater access to available health, legal, housing, consumer, or employment services.
• 78% of surveyed workshop attendees demonstrate knowledge gains and increased utilization of financial tools – thereby enhancing their integration into the U.S. financial system.

In addition, we began implementing contractually-compliant satisfaction survey for clients receiving services from CASA’s Social Services Coordinator, which was approved at the beginning of FY18 by County staff and is delivered in the client’s preferred language. Since surveying began in August, 100% of respondents have indicated satisfaction with the services received.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This contract includes the following outputs and outcomes:

- Conduct one-on-one outreach and follow-up to 120 established business owners, informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs to discuss their needs and concerns and to invite them to participate in workshops and meetings.
- Hold leadership development and capacity building training workshops with 60 established business owners, informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs.
- Provide counseling to 50 informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs to assist them with tasks such as incorporating and obtaining insurance.
- 90% of workshop attendees demonstrate knowledge gains through pre- and post-testing.
- 75% of informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs take steps towards formalizing their enterprises.

During the first half of the contact year, we achieved the following:

- Conducted one-on-one outreach/follow-up to 85 unduplicated established business owners, informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs to discuss their needs and concerns and to invite them to participate in workshops and meetings.
- Held a leadership development and capacity building training workshop with 16 established business owners, informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs. We have two leadership development and capacity building trainings planning during the second half of the contract year and do not anticipate difficulty in reaching our target of 60.
- Provided counseling/mentoring to 26 informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs to assist them with tasks such as incorporating and obtaining insurance.
- 58% of informal/start-up business owners and entrepreneurs took steps towards formalizing their enterprises.

We will implement pre- and post-testing for the workshops planned during the second half of the contract year and include this information in our final report.

Additional achievements include the following:

- Matched 11 new/start-up business owners with two (2) established business owners. The established business owners mentored the new business owners on accounting, financial, tax and insurance issues.
- Held a community meeting on November 19, 2017 for over 60 attendees to discuss local small business developments and how to start a family-owned small business.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract differs from the original application and includes the following activities/outputs:
- Hold 24 walk-in clinics to provide legal counseling on housing-related issues to 120 residents.
- Hold 4 legal rights trainings for 20 residents each, and publish and distribute 1,000 educational materials.
- Conduct door-to-door outreach to 80 households per month (960 total households). Hold 8 monthly tenant meetings for 20 attendees each (160 total attendees).
- Formalize tenant associations at four complexes/sites and tenant committees at four complexes/sites.
- Hold quarterly community meetings for 40 attendees each. Organize and implement a community fair for 100 residents.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This contract began on September 20, 2017. During the first three months of the contract period we achieved the following outputs:

• Held 3 walk-in clinics to provide legal counseling on housing-related issues to 26 residents. We are increasing the frequency of legal clinics in the next reporting period and do not anticipate difficulty in reaching our targets.
• Held 1 legal rights trainings for 42 residents, and published and distributed 250 educational materials.
• Conducted door-to-door outreach to 167 unduplicated households. Held 2 monthly tenant meetings for a total of 57 attendees.
• Formalized tenant committees at 2 complexes/sites, held 2 meetings of each tenant committee, and began organizing tenant committees at 2 additional sites. Formalization of tenant associations will take place in the next reporting period.
• Held 2 community meetings for a total of 84 attendees.

Anticipated outcomes for this contract include the following:

• A majority of tenants surveyed at all 4 sites with tenant committees report improved living conditions.
• 30% of surveyed tenants participating in tenant committees report increased self-efficacy in advocating for improved housing conditions.

In the first three months of the contract we achieved the following outcomes:

• Tenants at 1 site with an active tenant committee reported improved living conditions. Surveys will be implemented in the next reporting period.
• 66% of surveyed tenants at 1 site reported increased self-efficacy.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name  CASA de Maryland, Inc.

Submission Title  CASA - FY18 - CE - 6 - Immigration Legal Services

Requested FY18 Award  150000

Final FY18 Award  100000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This contract includes the following activities/outputs and outcomes:

• Conduct “know your rights” to provide at least 750 residents with accurate and up-to-date information about immigration options.
• Conduct comprehensive immigration screenings for at least 400 residents to determine eligibility for various forms of immigration relief, including but not limited to Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (as long as this program is available), U Visa, Special Immigrant Juvenile Status, T Visa, Violence Against Women Act, and Family Petitions.
• Provide direct referrals to appropriate providers for 200 complex immigration cases.
• At least 80% of survey respondents report greater knowledge about their rights and how to protect their families.
• 80% of survey respondents report feeling that their rights are protected.

This contract began on September 12, 2017. During the first three and half months of the contract period, we achieved the following:

• Conducted 28 “know your rights” workshops attended by 544 residents on topics such as constitutional protections for immigrants, family safety planning, immigration relief options, and workplace rights.
• Conducted comprehensive immigration screenings for 297 residents.
• Provided direct referrals for 72 residents.

Due to delays in contract execution and the urgency of these services in light of the October 5, 2017 cut-off date for DACA renewals, we focused significant attention and resources on completing DACA applications during the first month of the contract. We provided comprehensive legal screenings to each potential DACA renewal applicant and provided eligible individuals with full representation in the DACA renewal process, including entering a G-28 notice of appearance as an attorney or accredited representative with immigration. Any applicant who was potentially eligible for other relief was provided with a legal referral. Each applicant was also provided with “know your rights” information, either via a group session or individual consultation. Given that this was such a high-intensity period for DACA renewals, we achieved a significant portion of our total outputs for the contract period.

We will begin surveying to assess our anticipated outcomes in the next month.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for this contract has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This contract includes the following activities/outputs and outcomes:

- Provide wraparound afterschool program focused on academic support, music, art, and environmental education for a total of 58 Montgomery County students.
- Provide educational courses in computer literacy, Spanish literacy, and English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) for a total of 132 Montgomery County residents.
- Provide “Welcome to the U.S. Financial System” workshops to orient immigrants to the U.S. economy and financial system for a total of 90 Montgomery County residents.
- 50% of students who participate regularly in afterschool sessions demonstrate improved school attendance.
- 60% of students complete course and demonstrate the impact of newly-acquired skills on social and economic self-sufficiency, survey of a sample of students who complete courses.

During the first half of the contact year, we achieved the following:

- Provided afterschool program for a total of 23 Montgomery County students.
- Provided 3 computer literacy courses, a Spanish literacy course, and 4 ESOL courses for a total of 90 Montgomery County residents.
- Provided “Welcome to the U.S. Financial System” consultations and coaching sessions and workshops for a total of 41 Montgomery County residents.
- 62% of a sample of surveyed students who completed courses demonstrated the impact of newly-acquired skills on social and economic self-sufficiency.

We collected school attendance records from afterschool students for the first marking period and will use this information to assess improvement in third marking period school attendance records.

Additional achievements include the following:

- Provided information and referrals for 42 Montgomery County residents.
- Held a holiday celebration attended by 74 Montgomery County residents. The event included gifts and food, information about CASA’s programs, a presentation by afterschool program participants, and food baskets for families.
- Screened 86 Legal Permanent Residents (LPRs) for eligibility and assisted them in applying for citizenship, and as applicable, counseled them on strategies to afford the $725 application fee including applying for a fee waiver or low-interest loan.
- Provided health outreach and information services to 92 Montgomery County residents on topics such as health insurance, nutrition, HIV prevention, and breast health.
- Held a gardening class for 15 Montgomery County residents and a sewing class for 10 Montgomery County residents.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, the scope of services has changed since C.A.S.E. originally requested $97,132 and received $37,000. We had planned to provide mental health services to 15 foster youth (ages 17-21) transitioning to independence and offer two 8-week therapeutic groups focused on life skills. With the partial funding, we are targeting 6 youth and will only offer one 8-week therapeutic group.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
As part of our FY18 contract, C.A.S.E. is tracking one output and three outcomes:

Output 1: 6 youth (ages 17-21) transitioning from foster care receiving mental health services

Outcome 1: 80% of youth receiving mental health services demonstrate reduction in trauma symptoms

Outcome 2: 80% of youth receiving mental health services demonstrate reduction in mental health symptoms

Outcome 3: 80% of youth receiving mental health services demonstrate improved treatment and/or medication compliance

C.A.S.E. is providing therapy to 3 youth reaching 50% of our target of 6 clients in only 4 months. We are on target for meeting our goal before the end of the grant in August 2018 as we coordinate with Montgomery County DHHS for additional referrals. Once we have a complete cohort of 6 clients we will start the 8-week therapeutic group to complement their individual therapy. For each client, we do an in-depth intake assessment using several diagnostic tools to establish a baseline including: the Post-traumatic Diagnostic Scale (PDS), Child PTSD Symptom Scale (CPSS-V), Child and Adolescent Trauma Screen (CATS), and the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS)-Comprehensive. with the therapy treatment plan to track progress.

Our clinicians use these measures at intake and then re-administer the tools every 6 months while the client is still in treatment. Since we have only been offering therapy for 4 months (the grant began September 1), no specific outcomes related to these tools can be discussed for this report. All three clients, however, are consistently engaged in treatment, and are making progress toward established treatment goals per clinician report. Clinicians have noted a decrease in levels of depression and anxiety, increased capacity to engage in educational or vocational opportunities, and fewer missed or cancelled sessions.

Transportation has been a barrier for one client since she lives in Baltimore county. The other two clients have been taking advantage of the transportation subsidy offered by C.A.S.E. to make services accessible. For the third client, C.A.S.E. will continue to work with Child Welfare Services to help with transportation and will access the transportation subsidy if needed to help ensure consistent treatment.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/02/2018 • Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.
Submission Title  Catholic Charities-FY18-CC-3-Immigration Legal Services
Requested FY18 Award  69679
Final FY18 Award  54679

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the first half of Fiscal Year 2018 (July 2017 - December 2017), our Pro Bono Program has:

• Provided 47 legal consultations for domestic violence and crime victims at the Family Justice Center (FJC);
• Matched 24 Montgomery County residents that had a consult at the FJC (last grant year and this grant year) with pro bono attorneys;
• Placed an additional 25 Montgomery County residents with pro bono attorneys through referrals from our office locations; and
• Conducted 6 trainings, 3 mentoring office hours sessions, and trained 124 attorneys on the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) self-petitions, U visas, Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (SIJS), and asylum law.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Catholic Charities’ Single Adult Transitional Shelter Services (SATSS) program continues to provide shelter, food, comprehensive case management services, and community referrals to individuals experiencing homelessness, struggling with chronic mental illness, and working to overcome substance use disorders. Though we received partial funding, the use of funds remains the same as proposed in our original application, i.e., supporting a part-time Case Manager. To date, we have been unsuccessful in filling this position, but the SATSS team is working closely with Catholic Charities’ Human Resources Department to actively recruit for the part-time Case Manager position.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The SATSS program’s goal is to provide housing placement assistance to single adults experiencing homelessness due to economic, physical, mental health, and educational barriers. Though we have not yet filled the part-time Case Manager position, the program’s existing Case Management team continues to work extensively with clients to ensure that they receive all psychiatric, therapeutic, physical, and mental health services available to them. It is a meaningful and sustainable activity that undergirds ongoing self-sufficiency for all clients. To this end, each client is assessed during intake for needed support services both internally and externally through community partnerships.

Since July 1, 2017, SATSS has provided 12,029 bed nights and 1,848 hours of case management. Clients have received and/or participated in 605 services through referrals made by the case management team and 24 clients have moved into more permanent/stable housing. Additionally, staff has been successful in supporting clients in the following ways during this reporting period:
- 75 of 75 clients with substance use disorders were connected to rehabilitation services;
- 45 of 46 clients with mental illness(es) were connected to mental health services;
- 44 clients participated in an Intensive Outpatient Program or other day treatment program;
- 41 clients engaged in one or more vocational training;
- 47 clients obtained employment;
- 91 of 94 benefits-eligible clients obtained entitlements;
- 91 clients maintained a stable income; and
- 86 clients increased their income.

In addition to serving single adult men and women with severe mental illness, chronic substance abuse, and economic hardship who are experiencing homelessness, in recent months, the SATSS program has partnered with Montgomery County’s Department of Health and Human Services to temporarily house medically vulnerable individuals as well. Medically vulnerable individuals referred through Healthcare for the Homeless were given more private room to recuperate from acute medical procedures and ailments. Without a dignified, appropriate place to recuperate, these individuals would exacerbate their current medical conditions and/or be forced into unnecessary hospitalizations. SATSS provides a total of seven (7) medically vulnerable beds across all three shelters (SATSS compromises Dorothy Day Place for Men, Dorothy Day Place for Women, and Chase Partnership House for Men), making our program one of the largest site-based participating providers in the County. The program’s case management team aids clients in health stabilization, acquisition of needed entitlements, and referral to permanent housing solutions. We have successfully moved three (3) of 10 medically vulnerable clients into permanent housing situations with two (2) more quickly pending. We remain very grateful for the County’s support of our program and we look forward to continuing to work closely with the County to meet the most pressing needs of residents experiencing homelessness.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for Catholic Charities’ Community Companions program remains the same—by providing special education services during out-of-school time, Companions ensures that children with developmental/intellectual disabilities receive needed educational, behavioral, and social supports year-round. Participants enjoy structured activities, field trips, therapeutic services, and nutritious snacks in a safe and enriching environment, supported by credentialed staff. Though we received partial funding, the use of grant funds remains the same as proposed in our original application, i.e., providing needs-based scholarships for the children who participate in our summer and after-school programming.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We expected to serve 15 participants in FY18, but there are currently 12 children enrolled in the program. Seven (7) are regular participants of the after-school program and five (5) participate during school breaks (winter, spring, and summer). Since July 1, 2017, we have made the following progress in achieving our FY18 outputs:

- 12 children (100% of currently enrolled participants) receive needs-based scholarships;
- 11 children receive 60 minutes of physical exercise per week (one family did not give permission for their child to participate in the yoga classes); and
- 12 children receive life skill development training at least once per week.

By providing scholarships that allow children to enroll in the program and give them opportunities to participate in physical exercise and life skill development, Companions helps children and youth with disabilities develop healthy habits and gain social and emotional skills. Since July 1, 2017, we have made the following progress in achieving our FY18 outcomes:

- 11 children demonstrate improved stamina after participating in physical activities; and
- 12 children demonstrate increased independence in daily activities (i.e., tying shoes, zipping coats, etc.) after participating in life skill development training.

Through the needs-based scholarships, we provide high-quality special education services to children whose families would otherwise be unable to afford the same type of services or level of care as we offer at Companions. Many of the families in our program do not qualify for State-funded resources, or those resources do not cover the full cost of the services their child needs. Furthermore, most of our families are considered low- and middle-income, and many are single-parent households. Of the 12 students currently enrolled in after-school and summer programming, 10 qualify for and receive 100% tuition support, one receives 80% support, and one receives 70% support. The full cost of a tuition support package is unique to each participant, as based on his or her individual needs and required services. In the current cohort of participants, individual financial support packages range from $7,653 per child to $30,123 per child, with an average of $17,211 per child. Given the significant level of need, we remain extremely grateful for the County’s support in providing scholarships for Companions’ participants. Since 1989, Community Companions has supported children and youth with developmental/intellectual disabilities and we look forward to continuing our partnership with the County in serving these children and their families.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Catholic Charities’ McCarrick Family Center Bilingual Office Manager has been promoted to Bilingual Office Supervisor since the grant period began. The scope of services provided by the Office Supervisor has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
To date in FY18, the Bilingual Office Supervisor has provided 8,008 informational resources and/or referrals to clients, representing 46% of the 17,400 projected to be served during our current fiscal year (July 1, 2017 – June 30, 2018). Last year, the McCarrick Family Center served 793 unduplicated households with a direct service. In addition to these individuals served, the McCarrick Family Center provided more than 18,900 referrals to and information about additional community resources in Montgomery County.

In FY17, services provided by Catholic Charities’ McCarrick Family Center had the following impact on low-income Montgomery County residents:

1. 82 of 107 (77%) clients who received rental assistance avoided an eviction notice at least 90 days after receiving emergency assistance;
2. 37 of 51 (73%) clients who received utility assistance avoided a disconnect notice at least 90 days after receiving emergency assistance;
3. 124 of 206 (60%) participants who completed a financial literacy class increased their learning as demonstrated by an increase in score from pre- to post-test; and
4. 165 of 167 (99%) client surveys demonstrated satisfaction with services received at McCarrick Family Center.
**Organization's Legal Name**  
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.

**Submission Title**  
Catholic Charities-FY18-CE-3-Immigration Legal Services

**Requested FY18 Award**  
69679

**Final FY18 Award**  
15000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
In the first half of Fiscal Year 2018 (July 2017 - December 2017), our Pro Bono Program has:

- Provided 47 legal consultations for domestic violence and crime victims at the Family Justice Center (FJC);
- Matched 24 Montgomery County residents that had a consult at the FJC (last grant year and this grant year) with pro bono attorneys;
- Placed an additional 25 Montgomery County residents with pro bono attorneys through referrals from our office locations; and
- Conducted 6 trainings, 3 mentoring office hours sessions, and trained 124 attorneys on the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) self-petitions, U visas, Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (SIJS), and asylum law.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In Fiscal Year 2018, we have increased the frequency of recruitment events hosted at the Gaithersburg office, which has increased the amount of job opportunities for our clients. These recruitment events have proven beneficial for both employers and clients. Employers benefit from speaking directly with a group of potential employees, and have the option of conducting an initial interview on site. Our clients, as potential employees, benefit from networking with employers, asking questions in a group setting, and receiving assistance from Catholic Charities staff in filling out applications, providing help with resumes and cover letters, and interview advice.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

From July 2017 to December 2017, the Employment Referral Program in Gaithersburg served 102 clients on a one on one basis providing career counseling services, resume writing, mock interviews, and assistance with online applications. This number (102 clients) represents 49% of the projected outcome for FY18 (210 clients). We are on track for our target number in FY18.

Regarding employment attainment, 57 out of 102 clients (56%) served by mid-year were employed on a full time or part time basis. We had also estimated that 105 clients would be placed in jobs by the end of FY18. In the first six months of our performance period, we have reached 54% of our goal for FY18. Mid-year results are on target, or have slightly surpassed the target from our initial application.

We have served 223 clients (50% of our goal of 450 clients served in FY18) so far in Fiscal Year 2018, and we expect the numbers to increase in the Spring. We are confident we will meet or exceed our target number of clients for FY18.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 01/10/2018 • Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Catholic Charities of Washington, Inc.

Submission Title  Catholic Charities-FY18-CE-5-Integrated Care Program

Requested FY18 Award  39000

Final FY18 Award  39000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

During the grant period so far, Catholic Charities has introduced CPR and First-Aid classes as additional services provided through Montgomery County grant funds. In prior grant years, we were able to provide workshops on preventive health care, and the addition of CPR and First-Aid classes has enhanced these trainings.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
At the midpoint of Fiscal Year 2018, the Integrated Care Program has accomplished the following:

- 31 clients have been referred to our medical/dental clinics out of a targeted 100 clients (31%)
- The number of referrals is lower than expected, due to both medical and dental clinics being short staffed and not accepting new patients from the end of October until the beginning of December 2017. Both the medical and dental clinics are now fully staffed, and we anticipate a significant increase in the number of referrals for the remainder of the grant period.
- We have held 10 of the proposed 24 preventative health care workshops in FY18 (41%)
- The number of workshops was less than expected due to holiday programming. From the 10 health workshops, we had 64 attendees out of the 144 proposed (44%). With a renewed focus on conducting these workshops, we expect to meet the proposed target figures by the end of the grant period.

In addition, 93% of clients who attended our workshops increased their knowledge of preventative health care, which is slightly above the proposed 90%, and 100% of clients who took the CPR training were certified, which achieved our targeted outcome.
Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.

Catholic Charities-FY18-CE-6-SATSS Administrative Assistant

45496

45496

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Catholic Charities’ Single Adult Transitional Shelter Services (SATSS) program continues to provide shelter, food, comprehensive case management services, and community referrals to individuals experiencing homelessness, struggling with chronic mental illness, and working to overcome substance use disorders. The SATSS program established the Administrative Assistant position in FY16 through a grant from Montgomery County, and with continued funding for this position, the program has stabilized and developed its framework for managing ongoing volunteers while recruiting new community partners monthly. Volunteer coordination is critical to the program’s overall success because engaging community members, volunteers, and food services donors allows us to offer enhanced services (such as the two
meals clients receive each day) that the program would otherwise not have funding to provide. Thanks to the dedicated efforts of the Administrative Assistant, the program’s capacity to recruit and coordinate larger cohorts of volunteers has improved greatly—this past year, SATSS increased its volunteer network by 36%, from 475 volunteers in FY16 to 648 volunteers in FY17. The Administrative Assistant has also provided key support for the program by acting as the first point of contact for our County partners relating to building maintenance, meal donations, homeless events, financial resources for clients, new move-ins, and other enrichment activities for clients.

Since July 1, 2017, our progress toward our FY18 outputs is as follows:
• 12,029 bed nights provided;
• 24,684 meals provided;
• 110 people receiving case management services; and
• 110 people participating in laundry services.

Our progress toward our FY18 outcomes is as follows:
• 829 total participating volunteers/food donors;
• 191 new volunteers; and
• 1,369 volunteer hours contributed.

We are proud to share that in addition to serving single adult men and women with severe mental illness, chronic substance abuse, and economic hardship who are experiencing homelessness, in recent months, the SATSS program has partnered with Montgomery County’s Department of Health and Human Services to temporarily house medically vulnerable clients as well. Medically vulnerable individuals who were referred through Healthcare for the Homeless were given more private room to recuperate from acute medical procedures and ailments. Without a dignified, appropriate place to recuperate, these individuals would exacerbate their current medical conditions and/or be forced into unnecessary hospitalizations. SATSS provides a total of seven (7) medically vulnerable beds across all three shelters (SATSS compromises Dorothy Day Place for Men, Dorothy Day Place for Women, and Chase Partnership House for Men), which makes our program one of the largest single site-based participating providers in the County. The program’s case management team aids clients in health stabilization, acquisition of needed entitlements, and referral to permanent housing solutions. We have successfully moved three (3) of 10 medically vulnerable clients into permanent housing situations with two (2) more quickly pending. We remain very grateful for the County’s support of our program and we look forward to continuing to work closely with the County to meet the most pressing needs of residents experiencing homelessness.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for Catholic Charities’ Community Companions program remains the same—by providing special education services during out-of-school time, Companions ensures that children with developmental/intellectual disabilities receive needed educational, behavioral, and social supports year-round. Participants enjoy structured activities, field trips, therapeutic services, and nutritious snacks in a safe and enriching environment, supported by credentialed staff. Though we received partial funding, the use of grant funds remains the same as proposed in our original application, i.e., providing therapeutic services through community-integrated activities.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Community Companions established a therapeutic component for our program through a grant from Montgomery County in FY16, and the addition of these services has made a very positive impact on our participants over the last several years. Often, community-based activities cannot accommodate the needs and behaviors associated with our participants’ disabilities, such as self-injurious behavior and psychomotor agitation. Companions’ therapeutic program reduces or eliminates such barriers by incorporating developmental, corrective, and other supportive services into our own programming. We also partner with local companies and therapeutic specialists who offer adaptive programming designed to meet the needs of children with disabilities. Each week, Companions offers therapeutic activities as follows: Music Therapy (Mondays 3-5 pm), Art Therapy (Tuesdays 4-5 pm), Yoga (Wednesdays 4:15-5:15 pm), Speech Therapy (Thursdays 3-5 pm), and Movement Therapy (Fridays 4-5 pm). For Speech Therapy, the two-hour session includes group activities and 1:1 time with the Speech Pathologist. As part of the program, the Speech Pathologist provides each family with a certified speech assessment, a service parents would otherwise have to pay for out-of-pocket or through insurance, if covered.

We expected to serve 15 participants in FY18, but there are currently 12 children enrolled in the program. Seven (7) are regular participants of the after-school program and five (5) participate during school breaks (winter, spring, and summer). Since July 1, 2017, 12 children (100% of currently enrolled participants) participate in at least two (2) therapeutic community integration activities per week. By offering therapeutic services, Companions provides specialized activities that promote social, emotional, and physical growth. The activities also allow participants to engage with the wider community instead of only receiving services at the program site. As a result of participating in therapeutic services at least twice per week, 12 children (100% of currently enrolled participants) have demonstrated increased social skills, gained a better understanding of their community, and become active consumers in their community. We are also proud to share that program surveys have revealed increased parent satisfaction. We estimated receiving an average score of 3.4/4.0 on parent satisfaction surveys in FY18, but our most recent survey results were 4.0/4.0. We remain committed to providing the highest quality of services so that children with disabilities in Montgomery County receive meaningful learning and growth opportunities.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Although the scope of our services has not changed since our original application, Catholic Charities has observed that the needs of low-income individuals in Montgomery County have increased as the political climate and immigration policies change. Many individuals now find themselves unemployed, needing assistance with food, rent, and access to social services, resulting in an increase in crisis intervention clients. Even though we are focused on providing comprehensive case management services, we do not turn away clients who need crisis intervention assistance. Therefore, demand for our services may decrease the number of case management clients we are able to serve.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Up to this point in Fiscal Year 2018, Catholic Charities has provided one-on-one services to 27 clients. This number is 19% of our projected outcome of 140 clients and was impacted by the amount of additional time and effort that was required during the holiday season as we focused on providing donations and services to all of our clients. We expect to reach our projected outcome of serving 140 clients on a one-on-one basis over the next nine months. Furthermore, we see clients less frequently during the months of November and December, as clients tend to stay home with their children and families.

Catholic Charities continuously provides follow up with all of our one on one clients. Additionally, we have conducted only a few Housing Workshops due to the holiday giving programs which began in late August. We are still planning to meet our goal of offering workshops at least two or three times per month, depending on the number of clients who attend. To date in FY18, we have had 11 clients participate in our Housing Workshops, with five clients (45% of our projected outcome) answering four out of five questions correctly on their post-test.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Catholic Charities-FY18-CE-9-McCarrick Family Support Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>55000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>55000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application. However, the position title has changed from Family Support Specialist to Employment Case Manager.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
Response (up to 500 words):

The previous Family Support Specialist left Catholic Charities in June 2017. After an exhaustive search, Catholic Charities hired an Employment Case Manager to assume the responsibilities of this position in November 2017. Due to the fact that the position was vacant for this time period, we are able to report on the FY2017 figures rather than FY2018. In FY2017, we provided employment services to 73 low-income residents in Montgomery County, and 61 individuals obtained employment or enrolled in an educational program. Now that the new full-time Employment Case Manager is serving clients at the McCarrick Family Center, we anticipate reaching our target goal of providing employment services to 120 low-income Montgomery County residents and expect 72 clients will secure employment or participate in an educational program.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/12/2018 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Washington, Inc.

Submission Title  Catholic Charities-FY18-CE-10-Community Options Program

Requested FY18 Award  90100

Final FY18 Award  30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for Catholic Charities’ Community Options program remains the same—as a licensed therapeutic day program, Options serves adults with severe and profound cognitive and/or physical disabilities. We provide high-quality, person-centered interventions that meet each participant’s unique needs and interests, enabling their full integration in community social, recreational, job readiness, and daily living activities. Though we received partial funding, the use of grant funds remains the same as proposed, i.e., supporting one (1) part-time Registered Nurse, who provides medical care on-site at the program, and one (1) Community Support Specialist, who assists participants in community integration activities.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Community Options empowers adults with disabilities to achieve personal growth and actively engage in
the wider Montgomery County community. Options serves adults (as young as 21 and up to 70+) who have moderate-to-severe cognitive impairments and disabilities such as cerebral palsy, traumatic brain injury, Down syndrome, seizure disorders, rare genetic disorders, microcephaly, and quadriplegia. Some participants are so medically fragile that they must have 1:1 staffing support. Over the past two years, Montgomery County grants have supported key staff positions, i.e., a part-time Registered Nurse and a part-time Community Support Specialist. These staff members are critical to successful program operations because they ensure each Options participant receives individualized assistance based on his or her unique needs for daily living tasks, medical care, and community integration.

Increasing opportunities for Options participants to engage with the wider Montgomery County community continues to be a major priority for our program. The new Federal Final Rule for disability services requires that individuals with disabilities spend more time out in the community, interacting with typical populations through employment and other activities. The County’s support has augmented our efforts to innovate our programming in line with the Final Rule, and thereby has enhanced the person-centered services and opportunities we provide to each Options participant.

Community integration activities positively impact our participants by giving them opportunities to broaden their experiences. Each participant has an individual plan focused on such activities, e.g., shopping at the grocery store and mall, learning to use public transportation, attending music and art events, bowling, community fairs, and more. Activities are based on each participant’s expressed desires, wants, needs, preferences, and health. For example, one individual recently attended a community play. She typically is nonverbal, with a usual demeanor of keeping her head down; however, at the play she sat upright, smiled, and remained engaged. Support staff noted that these were unexpected but positive responses, and they now have better insight into the type of activities this participant would enjoy.

We are proud to share our progress since July 1, 2017 in achieving our FY18 outputs and outcomes:

- 16 individuals (goal of 30) have participated in community integration activities;
- 15 individuals (goal of 15) have demonstrated increased interest in physical activities;
- 15 individuals (goal of 15) have demonstrated increased emotional and social skills after participating in therapeutic activities with animals; and
- 7 individuals (goal of 15) have demonstrated increased socialization, interaction, and connection with others after participating in music activities. This outcome is lower so far due to our participants’ particular interests, as the current cohort has not engaged with music as much as previous groups have.

The Community Options program looks forward to continuing its long-standing partnership with Montgomery County in serving people with disabilities and including them as valued members of the community.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services provided has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

There was a delay in the Montgomery County Community Grant contract execution and the contract has been in effect for approximately two months. During this period of time, our outcome figures illustrate the initial impact on the current students enrolled in the program as we meet their literacy needs. As the grant period continues, we anticipate an increase in enrollment and reaching our target figure of 24 students. We are currently providing Spanish literacy instruction to 15 students so far in FY18, representing 60% of our target number of 24. Catholic Charities’ Spanish Catholic Center continues to provide 208 hours of instruction over a 12-month period (2 hours/day, 2 days/week, 26 weeks/year, 2 cycles/year). To date, nine participants have successfully completed all the requirements for the Spanish literacy course.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service has remained about the same, however, the number of out-put for service reduced due to significant reduce of approved funding. With funding support, we continue to provide individual psychotherapy sessions and psychiatric service to community members. We also provide workshops to community healthcare providers and general public.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We on target of our goals set in our application. In Calendar Year 2017, we were able to provide direct mental health 160 counseling sessions and 30 psychiatric service sessions to our patients.

In CY 2017, we continue to provide public education on mental health to raise community awareness. We provided three continuous talks on Alzheimer’s disease for caregiver and health care professional. We provided one workshop on teenage depression and suicidal prevention. We provided one workshop on advance healthcare planning. We provided 5 mental health workshops to 485 community participants. All participants reported increased knowledge about mental health conditions and treatments available.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/17/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Chinese Culture and Community Service, Inc.

Submission Title  CCACC - FY18 CE - 1 - STOP B Program

Requested FY18 Award  105000

Final FY18 Award  105000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We continue to provide no barriers services preventive service to Montgomery County residents. Services provided includes screening, vaccination, treatment and treatment referrals. The scope of the service remain the same as it was proposed on our application.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In Calendar Year 2017, the STOP B Project achieve following output:

* CY 2017, we screened 206 community members. The target for FY 2018 is 250.

* CY 2017, we provide vaccination to 91 at risk patients; the target for FY 2018 is 100.

* CY2017, 39 infected patients received treatment. FY 2018 target is 23.

We are on target of the goals set in our original application. The number is a little low since we received partial funds. With more funding for care management, we should be able to serve more people and provide more in-depth services.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service has remained about the same, however, the number of out-put for service reduced due to significant reduce of approved funding. With funding support, we continue to provide individual psychotherapy sessions and psychiatric service to community members. We also provide workshops to community healthcare providers and general public.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We on target of our goals set in our application. In Calendar Year 2017, we were able to provide direct mental health 160 counseling sessions and 30 psychiatric service sessions to our patients.

In CY 2017, we continue to provide public education on mental health to raise community awareness. We provided three continuous talks on Alzheimer's disease for caregiver and health care professional. We provided one workshop on teenage depression and suicidal prevention. We provided on workshop on advance healthcare planning. We provided 5 mental health workshops to 485 community participants. All participants reported increased knowledge about mental health conditions and treatments.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

With the reduction of the award under this new contract, the scope of work supported by Montgomery County Council funding has been adjusted as CentroNía is not able to support the same amount of children with less dollars. The number of 115 has been reduced to 60.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Between July, 2017 and December 31, 2017, the two supporting teachers funded by this award met the goal of serving 60 children in our Pre-K program.

Beginning in September, 2017, all families transitioning have received an individualized transition plan and received transition and orientation services information. The combination of stimulating early childhood environments, engaging teachers and strong parent involvement assures us that our children make successful transitions into Montgomery County Public Schools and into the Pre-k Program. Families transitioned from our Early Head Start - Home and Center based program and from CentroNía’s wait list from 2015. Family involvement is encouraged in a variety of ways. Parents take part in program orientations, workshops, training, and climate building activities. A special focus on understanding bilingual education and how to support children’s language development at home. 100% of parents participate in parent-teacher conferences.

Teachers used the research-based Creative Curriculum and Teaching Strategies GOLD data collection system to collect observations of children’s development, and monitor their progress in meeting developmental expectations. Observations were collected during the fall and part of the winter period of the school year. As of the end of fall assessment period, all of children were assessed in areas of the social emotional, physical, language, cognitive, literacy, and mathematics development. 63% of children met or exceeded widely held expectations of social emotional development, 61% in physical development, 61% in literacy development, 58% in mathematics development, 59% in language development, and 47% in cognitive development.

CentroNía continuously gathers information about the quality of the program by monitoring and collecting information from multiple sources including parent input at recruitment, during home visits, developmental and health screenings, classroom observations, children's portfolios, teacher anecdotal record keeping, parent-teacher conferences and parent surveys.
## Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/22/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Charles E. Smith Jewish Day School of Greater Washington, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>CESJDS - FY18 CE - 1 - Lower School Physical Security Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>16000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

---

**Response (up to 500 words):**

This project fulfilled both Output #1 and Outcome #1 from our FY18 application. The project is 100% complete. We were able to install bullet resistant glass in all classroom windows facing East Jefferson Street on our Lower School Campus with these County funds and our matching funds.
Organization's Legal Name   Charles E. Smith Jewish Day School of Greater Washington, Inc.

Submission Title               CESJDS - FY18 CE - 2 - Upper School Physical Security Program

Requested FY18 Award           24000

Final FY18 Award               24000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

This project consists of replacement of standard glass with bullet resistant glass at our Upper School campus, 11710 Hunters' Lane, Rockville. We have obtained quotes for completion of the work and anticipate no delays in achieving 100% completion by June 30, 2018. The $24,000 in County funds for this project cover a portion of the total project with the balance of $66,000 to be covered by matching funds from the National Security Grant Program (NSGP, $60,000) and CESJDS funds ($6,000). We are awaiting approval of our Environmental and Historic Preservation plan related to our NSGP funding. We must obtain this approval before commencing work on the project. We expect approval in coming weeks.
Council Mid-year Report

Organization's Legal Name
Charles E. Smith Jewish Day School of Greater Washington, Inc.

Submission Title
CESJDS - FY18 CE - 3 - Upper School Access Control Program

Requested FY18 Award
12000

Final FY18 Award
12000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

This project consists of access control installation at all of our Upper School exterior doors, 11710 Hunters' Lane, Rockville. In January 2018, we contracted for completion of this work and we have paid our 50% deposit. Our contractor is able to complete this work while school is in session, with no interruption of classes, so we anticipate 100% completion in February 2018.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The requested budget includes the rent and internet services for Care Fund's Maryland brunch---these needs were covered by Community Grant. The amount provided through the executive grant ($2510) was used to fund the annual senior luncheon in September.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output: To continue holding the annual senior luncheon (Keiai-no-Tsudoi) for Japanese seniors in Montgomery county and surrounding area

Outcome: To support the health and well being of Japanese seniors in Montgomery County and the surrounding Washington DC region, and to disseminate community information on support services and activities available to them

The 15th annual Keiai-no-Tsudoi event was held on Sunday, September 16th, 2017 at the Potomac Community Center. The number of participants totaled 76 this year, including 23 residing in Montgomery County. Over 30 volunteers served during this event which included college & high school students and Midshipmen from the Japan Club of U.S. Naval Academy (Annapolis, Maryland). Younger volunteers were actively recruited by the Care Fund to promote inter-generational interactions at this event. Participants enjoyed various entertainments, including a performance from the Japanese Choral Society, a magic show, a brain-exercising game, sing-along, and dancing. Participants socialized with other Japanese American seniors over Japanese-style lunch. A brochure on available support services (e.g. grief support group, hotline, classes, and Japanese library) at the Care Fund was provided to each participant at the end of the event.

One of the important objectives of this event was to identify the senior’s living status and their needs, so that the Care Fund could plan on developing programs accordingly. The survey from this event revealed that many are interested in attending an “Adult Day Health Program,” which would promote their social well-being by exercising, socializing, and engaging in art & craft classes. Based on the result of this survey, the Care Fund has developed their first Adult Day Health Program. The program was carried out at the Riderwood Retirement Community, Montgomery County, MD on 12/3/17 as one-day event. The participants provided positive feedback to this event, reporting that they have enjoyed and were looking forward to continuing this program on a regular basis. Even though various activities are offered at assisted livings, the language barrier and cultural differences make Japanese Americans hesitant to participate in main-stream activities. The Care Fund has agreed continue the Adult Day Health Program on a monthly basis at the Riderwood Retirement Community, and volunteers are planning to expand this program into other retirement communities in the future. As it's apparent in this example, Keiai-no-Tsudoi continues to be the most effective outreach event for Japanese Americans in this area.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

CHEER’s FY 2018 contract began on September 16, 2017 with partial funding of its $60,000 request. CHEER was awarded $25,000 from the County Executive and an additional $10,000 from the County Council. Consequently, CHEER was not able to employ as many community members to participate in health outreach as planned, and the contract reduced the expected number of contacts to 1,000 and the number of people referred to health and wellness resources to 500.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the first few months of the FY 2018 grant period CHEER adjusted its outreach strategy to engage more people more effectively, with fewer resources. CHEER did more outreach at food venues and less at places where fewer people congregated. The outreach team also has more tools to engage contacts. For example, the community outreach specialists take blood pressure measurements, and now have the healthy food access program as a resource, in addition to health insurance coverage through the Affordable Care Act and many other community resources. In this period CHEER community outreach specialists conducted health and wellness outreach to 953 people in the community at places such as the Crossroads Farmers Market, grocery stores, and the TESS Center. More than a third (355 people) were referred to a health or wellness resource.

In addition CHEER had 65 encounters with people through the morning coffee education program at Linkages to Learning sites (Rolling Terrace, Montgomery Knolls, and New Hampshire Estates Elementary Schools). Some of the sessions focused on teaching tolerance and respect as part of a leadership development and relationship building. These sessions also included presentations and discussions of health insurance coverage through the Affordable Care Act and reduced cost primary medical care to County residents who have no health insurance through Montgomery Cares and Care for Kids programs.

In June 2017 CHEER launched the Long Branch healthy food access program. This partnership with health care providers (Washington Adventist Hospital and Mobile Med) and food providers (Manna Food Center and Crossroads Community Food Network) serves people in the Silver Spring and Takoma Park area who have diabetes and do not have secure access to food. This program provides them with weekly distributions of fresh vegetables for 12 weeks, and offers cooking demonstrations, nutrition education, and fitness opportunities. In the first six months of FY 2018 CHEER enrolled 71 people in the program and provided 638 boxes of food. Although these programs are funded primarily by the Healthcare Initiative Foundation and Adventist Healthcare, County support for outreach has been essential. This program provides food security to all and improved health for the vast majority of the 71 participants. 79% of overweight and obese participants lost weight, and 78% improved their blood glucose control.

Another component of Healthy Long Branch is enrollment in health coverage through the Affordable Care Act. In the last six months of 2017, CHEER application counselors have enrolled 182 households in healthcare coverage through the Affordable Care Act providing coverage to 387 people. Many of these were referred by CHEER community outreach specialists.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Community Health and Empowerment through Education and Research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>CHEER - FY18 CE - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

CHEER’s FY 2018 contract began on September 16, 2017 with partial funding of its $60,000 request. CHEER was awarded $25,000 from the County Executive and an additional $10,000 from the County Council. Consequently, CHEER was not able to employ as many community members to participate in health outreach as planned, and the contract reduced the expected number of contacts to 1,000 and the number of people referred to health and wellness resources to 500.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the first few months of the FY 2018 grant period CHEER adjusted its outreach strategy to engage more people more effectively, with fewer resources. CHEER did more outreach at food venues and less at places where fewer people congregated. The outreach team also has more tools to engage contacts. For example, the community outreach specialists take blood pressure measurements, and now have the healthy food access program as a resource, in addition to health insurance coverage through the Affordable Care Act and many other community resources. In this period CHEER community outreach specialists conducted health and wellness outreach to 953 people in the community at places such as the Crossroads Farmers Market, grocery stores, and the TESS Center. More than a third (355 people) were referred to a health or wellness resource.

In addition CHEER had 65 encounters with people through the morning coffee education program at Linkages to Learning sites (Rolling Terrace, Montgomery Knolls, and New Hampshire Estates Elementary Schools). Some of the sessions focused on teaching tolerance and respect as part of a leadership development and relationship building. These sessions also included presentations and discussions of health insurance coverage through the Affordable Care Act and reduced cost primary medical care to County residents who have no health insurance through Montgomery Cares and Care for Kids programs.

In June 2017 CHEER launched the Long Branch healthy food access program. This partnership with health care providers (Washington Adventist Hospital and Mobile Med) and food providers (Manna Food Center and Crossroads Community Food Network) serves people in the Silver Spring and Takoma Park area who have diabetes and do not have secure access to food. This program provides them with weekly distributions of fresh vegetables for 12 weeks, and offers cooking demonstrations, nutrition education, and fitness opportunities. In the first six months of FY 2018 CHEER enrolled 71 people in the program and provided 638 boxes of food. Although these programs are funded primarily by the Healthcare Initiative Foundation and Adventist Healthcare, County support for outreach has been essential. This program provides food security to all and improved health for the vast majority of the 71 participants. 79% of overweight and obese participants lost weight, and 78% improved their blood glucose control.

Another component of Healthy Long Branch is enrollment in health coverage through the Affordable Care Act. In the first six months of FY 2018, CHEER application counselors have enrolled 182 households in healthcare coverage through the Affordable Care Act providing coverage to 387 people. Many of these were referred by CHEER community outreach specialists.
Organization's Legal Name | Community Health and Empowerment through Education and Research

Submission Title | CHEER - FY18 CE - 2

Requested FY18 Award | 45000

Final FY18 Award | 20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The County Executive Collaboration Grant award of $20,000 was less than half of the requested $45,000 requested. CHEER was able to raise additional funds from the community, but was still not able to serve as many children as planned, and far less than needed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

2017 Lunch and Learn summer youth program was held at Takoma Academy (located at 8120 Carroll Ave in Takoma Park, MD) from June 26, 2017 – August 2, 2017. The camp promoted learning through academic focus on skills appropriate reading and math, and provided a safe, educational, nurturing, and physically and academically stimulating summer environment for children of limited means in Takoma Park and surrounding areas. This 6-week long summer enrichment program provided daily bus
transportation to and from the facility, free breakfast and lunch to all campers through the MCPS Summer Food Service Program for the duration of the camp, tutoring in math and reading, and recreational swim time for the participants.

123 youth grades 1 - 6, and 58 Jr. leadership student volunteers grades 9 - 12 participated in the camp, for a total of 181 campers. The camp was staffed by 17 paid staff and 7 volunteers. The Jr. leadership student volunteers also earned a total of more than 9,000 Student Service Learning (SSL) hours as assistant camp counselors.

Swim lessons for participants were held at Piney Branch Elementary School Pool. They included 22 one-hour long swim sessions for youth grades 1 - 6, and 4 one-hour long swim sessions for teens. All participating youth improved their swim skills over the course of the 6-week program and advanced to the next level of swim instruction.

117 of the youth grades 1 - 6 took pre and post tests in math and reading. 97% maintained or improved their math scores from the beginning to the end of summer, and 93% maintained or improved their reading scores from the beginning to the end of summer. The campers used donated chrome books three days per week, and Takoma Academy computers daily. The teaching staff used the chrome books daily to access the various computerized websites for teaching math and reading classes such as learningodyssey.com, math blaster, math solving, math playground Learning A-Z for reading and math, etc.

10 campers formed a chess club called “The Knights” and began learning chess from a volunteer chess instructor who provided lessons twice per week. Campers were visited weekly by local guest speakers who shared their knowledge and expertise about issues such as voting, healthy eating, safety, etc. Campers celebrated the 5th year of Lunch and Learn with a cook-out, and had their first Lunch and Learn Basketball Game where they enjoyed popcorn, made signs and banners, and made up cheers to support their teams. The annual Parent’s Night event included special presentations prepared by campers, brief presentations by honored guests, poetry, music, awards, and refreshments.

Lunch and Learn received broad support from the community, including local government, local businesses, and friends and neighbors in the Takoma Park community. To prepare for Lunch and Learn in 2018 CHEER has assembled a 12 member advisory and planning board and is negotiating with potential partners to bring Lunch and Learn to two new sites in Silver Spring.
Council Mid-year Report

Organization's Legal Name  Child Center and Adult Services

Submission Title  child center & Adult Services/Aspire FY18 -CC-HMHB

Requested FY18 Award  65,000

Final FY18 Award  65000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The combined award received from Council and Executive Grants was the total amount requested.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
1. 188 women were assigned a HMHB therapist to deliver home-therapy sessions during this period. This greatly exceeds our annual goal of 110. In September Aspire was awarded additional funding from Giving Together Inc. which has enabled our therapists to see additional clients (Giving Together of $20,000).
2. 169 of the 188 women are uninsured. Our output for the year was 98. We are way above target.

Outcomes:
1. Our goal is' 75% of patients who engage in behavioral health treatment report significant improvements in mental health as measured by the PHQ-9 Depression scale.' Results show, PHQ-9 mental health scale data has been entered for 81 clients using CarePaths and Excel. Analysis shows 63 of the 81 clients demonstrated an improvement in depression as measured by the PHQ-9 scale -- which is 77% of cases to date. We are on, or just above, our target.
2. Goal #2 is '75% of patients who engage in behavioral health treatment report significant improvements in mental health as measured by GAD-7 Anxiety scale'. To date, GAD-7 data has been entered for 81 clients in Excel and CarePaths. Analysis shows 47 of the 81 demonstrate an improvement in anxiety as measured by the GAD-7 scale -- which is 58% of cases to date. We are below target. Our therapists report that there has been increased anxiety in clients due to their immigration status, racial profiling and from the current political climate. Their *opinion is that this may be impacting Anxiety screenings.
Organization's Legal Name          Circle of Rights, Inc.

Submission Title                   Circle of Rights - CE -1

Requested FY18 Award               32000

Final FY18 Award                   15000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Circle of Rights received half of the funding requested. Circle of Rights will still try to meet the goals stated, but meeting half of the goal is as expected.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

1) 200 distinct people receive at least one presentation. Thus far this year, 92 distinct people have received a presentation.

2) 100 residents will take their blood pressure during classes. Since they must attend more than one class, Circle of Rights has a total of 18 people who meet this requirement.

3) 50 residents will receive at least two presentations. Circle of Rights has 26 people who met this requirement during the first half of the year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Total amount requested from both County funding sources = $57,449.00 to serve clients with 1,400 hours of home care
Total amount awarded from both County funding sources = $47,000 to serve clients with 1,000 hours of home care

The Elderly Ministries Program Director projects 50 clients will be served with home care services during the 2018 Fiscal Year (July 1, 2017 - June 3, 2018). The program director will be decisive when bringing on new clients from the wait-list; we currently have 15 clients waiting for home care, versus increasing service hours to current clients whose needs are changing.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
The Elderly Ministries Program has a contract period of December 20, 2017 – December 19, 2018. The delay in contract execution, almost 6 months into the fiscal year, causes actual numbers served during the contract period to be off from the actual numbers served during the first 6 months of the fiscal year.

In the tables below I have provided the outputs and outcomes identified in the FY18 application with the projected numbers, the actual numbers served during the contract period from December 20, 2017 – December 31, 2017, as well as the actual number served during the first 6 months of FY18.

OUTPUTS:

1) Number of elderly clients who are provided with needed weekly home care services
Projected Number to be served in FY18: 60
Contract period- Number served: 30
Fiscal Year - Number served during first 6 months of FY18: 40

2) Number of hours of weekly home care services provided to Elderly Ministries clients.
Projected Number to be served in FY18: 4200
Contract period- Number served: 104.75
Fiscal Year - Number served during first 6 months of FY18: 1930

3) Number of clients who are provided with other needed supportive services; Home repair/Maintenance projects, life alert necklaces, manna food deliveries
Projected Number to be served in FY18: 100
Contract period- Number served: 33
Fiscal Year - Number served during first 6 months of FY18: 67

OUTCOMES:

1) Low-Income elderly clients’ quality of life improves due to Elderly Ministries’ services *
Projected Number to be surveyed in FY18: 95% (95 out of 100)
Contract period- Number surveyed: 0% (0 out of 0)
Fiscal Year - Number surveyed during first 6 months of FY18: 66% (2 clients out of 3)

2) Low-income elderly clients are able to age in place and remain in their homes due to Elderly Ministries’ services *.
Projected Number to be surveyed in FY18: 97% (97 out of 100)
Contract period- Number surveyed: 0% (0 out of 0)
Fiscal Year - Number surveyed during first 6 months of FY18: 66% (2 clients out of 3)

* Clients are surveyed once a year at the end of the fiscal year or at the time they are discharged from program.

** 3 clients have been discharged from the program in the first 6 months of the fiscal year. Each client or family member representative of the client completed a survey upon their exit from the program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Rockville Emergency Assistance Program requested $30,000 for direct client financial assistance and $24,960 for Program Director's crucial work in managing client needs and program services, and received $12,000 from the Council and $15,000 from the County Executive. Although REAP received partial funding, the scope of services provided by REAP remain the same. The difference is that we were not able to use as much of the award for direct client assistance.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Clients receive needed financial assistance, preventing eviction, utility termination, and helping with prescriptions costs or other needs and clients received social service referrals.

In the first six months of FY18 REAP has provided assistance to 241 clients facing financial crisis, disbursing $40,569.75 in funds.
Of those served:

1. 103 clients (43%) received financial assistance to prevent eviction.
2. 121 clients (50%) received financial assistance to prevent utility shutoffs.
3. 15 clients (6%) received financial assistance with prescription costs.
4. 2 clients (1%) received assistance with other needs at the discretion of CMR's Executive/Deputy Director and REAP 's Program Director.

In the first six months of FY18, REAP provided 143 social service referrals.
Of that total:

1. 67 clients (47%) received referrals for dental care.
2. 49 clients (34%) received referrals for vision care.
3. 27 clients (19%) received referral for food, clothing, refurbished computers.

These outputs and outcomes compare with targets as follows:
- the number of persons receiving financial assistance (241) is lower than anticipated for 6 months (predicted 650 for the year, so 325 for 6 months).
- the number of persons who remain in their homes (103) is HIGHER than predicted (70 for six months);
the number of persons who utilities remain on (121) is close to predicted (150 for six months);
the number of persons who can purchase prescriptions (15) is lower than predicted (30 for six months).
- the amount disbursed ($40,569.75) is lower than the predicted ($50,300 for six months).
- the number of social service referrals (143) is lower than predicted (250 for six months).
The differences are due partially to the partial amount of funding received, and partially due to the fact that one cannot completely predict the nature of the financial needs which will walk in the door (higher need for rent, lower for prescriptions).
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services did not change.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

OUTPUTS:
Number of bednights provided: We provided 1918 bednights, which is very close to the six month projection of 2,007. The difference is due to an empty bed when a resident "graduated" and moved to independent living. The process of enrolling a new residents takes a short time, which is the difference.

Number of residents served: 11 residents have been served. We projected 13 for the entire year.

Number of Case Management Hours provided: We have provided 600 hours of case management for our eleven residents thus far in the fiscal year, consisting of individual and house meetings. 156 hours were provided at Rockland House, and 195 at Jefferson House.

OUTCOMES:
House residents maintain residence in permanent supportive housing, and do not re-enter the homeless system: 100% of our clients remain in housing; none returned to the homeless system.
House residents who move to independent living: We are happy to report that one resident from our Rockland House has successfully graduated to independent living.
House residents comply with their Service Agreements: All eleven residents are in compliance with their Individual Service Agreements.
Organization's Legal Name  Community Ministries of Rockville

Submission Title  CMR - FY18CC - 4 - Language Outreach Program

Requested FY18 Award  44000

Final FY18 Award  5000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

LOP proposed to offer two Citizenship classes, Summer 2017 and Winter of 2018. Due to partial funding and the later date the contract was signed, September 13, 2017, LOP was unable to use Montgomery County Council funding for Summer class. County funding is being used only for the Winter 2018 class. We were able to pull together the resources to offer the Summer Citizenship class, but it is important to note that more funding would prevent us from having to take from other areas to offer this important service, and would enable us to serve our students more fully.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

OUTPUTS:
I. Target Number of unduplicated adult students enrolled in the naturalization program: 50 (25 per session, two anticipated sessions at writing of application).

How will you measure? Registration and attendance records are kept by LOP teachers and site coordinators, and reports are generated.

Actual for Mid-Year: We have not offered Citizenship class yet, per previous response. Winter class will start on January 15, 2018 and run to February 15, 2018, meeting on Mondays, Tuesdays and Thursdays from 6 to 9 p.m.

II & III. Unduplicated number of Children of Adult LOP students participating in LOP's children's program and receiving childcare, preschool enrichment, and tutoring/homework help that would not be available in a primarily non-English speaking at home.

Unduplicated number of Adult County residents enrolled in English Language classes.

How will you measure? Registration and attendance records are kept by LOP teachers and site coordinators, and reports are generated.

Actuals for Mid-Year: Fall semester 17, two hundred adults took English classes. LOP offered child care and child tutoring to the students of the English as a Second Language classes while the parents were taking the classes, 90 children were enrolled at the following sites:

a. Rockville United Church, Millian Memorial United Methodist Church and Twinbrook Baptist Church. Classes were successfully held on Tuesdays and Thursdays evenings from 7 to 9:00 p.m. LOP offered one Child care and one Child tutoring class at each site.

b. Meadow Hall Elementary School and Maryvale Elementary School. Classes were successfully held on Tuesdays and Thursdays from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. LOP offered one Child care and one Child tutoring class at each site.

OUTCOMES:

1. LOP Naturalization Program students pass the US Citizenship exam and become US Citizens

How will you measure?

LOP Program Director receives information from students and keeps records.

Target Number: 25

Actuals Mid-Year: Summer Citizenship classes: 21 students attended; 10 submitted applications thus far to the Department of Homeland Security and waiting to received the letter with their appointment for the citizenship test and interview. The Winter class began on Jan 15, with a full enrollment of 25 students, exhibiting the demand and need for this assistance.
2. % of Adult English Language students whose knowledge of English language improves over fall and spring semester.

How will you measure?

Measured through administration of pre- and post standardized tests at the beginning and end of each semester. LOP teachers, Site Coordinators, and Program Director keep student records and generate reports.

Of the 200 students registered in the Fall Semester, 70% or (141/200) showed improvements in their English language after completion of the semester.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Community Ministries of Rockville

Submission Title  CMR - FY18CC - 5 - Mansfield Kaseman Health Clinic: Patient Navigation

Requested FY18 Award  63745

Final FY18 Award  63785

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, it has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
This contract was executed in October 2017, therefore the progress made is from October 2017 to December 2017.

144 patients of the Kaseman Health Clinic were referred for specialty care during this period. The different specialties include Podiatry, Endocrinology, and other outside Specialty care patient needs.

30 patients were helped with navigation services for breast, cervical and colorectal cancer screenings as well as surgical procedures and referrals to other specialists.

60 patients received care coordination including referrals to case management, dental clinic referrals and vision clinic referrals.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service for this program was modified to reflect the cost of administering and managing the program’s offerings. Originally, we sought to offer 20 sessions for the youth in the program but since we did not receive the full asking amount, it was modified to 15. The same change happened to the number of parent sessions from 6 to 5.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

We have made great progress in establishing FESP as a high quality program for the youth and their families. As of today, we have conducted:

A needs assessment, this is been done with the youth and their parents.

One Informational night, the project outline was presented to the parents and the youth.

Four program sessions were facilitated with the youth.
Participation in these sessions has been consistent and well received by both youth and their parents.

We would have preferred to start the program earlier (at the beginning of the school year) to have the full benefits of meeting the young people, their families and understanding what would be most helpful. Since this is a new program, recruitment and outreach was also affected.

Outputs and Outcomes section.

1. Number of unduplicated students enrolled in the Family Education Success Program (20)

Total number of youth: 15

2. Number of after School sessions for the participant’s students held. (20)

Total number of after-school sessions to date: 4

2 Number of sessions held with students with their families (6)

The date for the youth/parent session is February 1, 2018 where they will be participating in a volunteering opportunity at the Manna Food Center in Gaithersburg.

9b. Describe up to three specific outcomes that will result from expenditure of these grant funds /

Outcome #1:
By the first academic year of participation, students will experience self- esteem gains and higher expectations for themselves.

How will you measure?
Number of students registering for school courses for the following year that will support college track. FESP Coordinator will work with school counselor to determine course registration.

Regular attendance and participation in FESP sessions and program activities.

Information collected from pre/post tests.

Self-reports and feedback from the youth in the program.
Number expected to achieve outcome (15)

Outcome #2
By end of the first year of participants students will set SMART goals related to their college and career aspirations.

How will you measure?
Number of students who have improved or maintained their academic standing in terms of supporting a higher education track, measured at the end of the first academic year after enrolling in FESP (i.e. positive changes in their report card / increases in their GPA and overall attendance)

Number of students who successfully complete the ACES program application and are admitted into the program.

Regular attendance and participation in FESP sessions and program activities.

Information collected from pre/post tests.

Self-reports and feedback from the youth in the program.

Number expected to achieve outcome (15)

Outcome #3
By the first academic year of participation, parents will report having great understanding and knowledge of the university/college application process.

How will you measure?
Number of parents attending weekend / informational sessions (5)

Number of parents attending join (parent/youth) activities (i.e. community service projects)

Information collected from surveys after every session.

Self-reports and feedback from the youth in the program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Total amount requested from both County funding sources = $57,449.00 to serve clients with 1,400 hours of home care
Total amount awarded from both County funding sources = $47,000 to serve clients with 1,000 hours of home care

The Elderly Ministries Program Director projects 50 clients will be served with home care services during the 2018 Fiscal Year (July 1, 2017 - June 3, 2018). The program director will be decisive when bringing on new clients from the wait-list; we currently have 15 clients waiting for home care, versus increasing service hours to current clients whose needs are changing.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
The Elderly Ministries Program has a contract period of December 20, 2017 – December 19, 2018. The delay in contract execution, almost 6 months into the fiscal year, causes actual numbers served during the contract period to be off from the actual numbers served during the first 6 months of the fiscal year.

In the tables below I have provided the outputs and outcomes identified in the FY18 application with the projected numbers, the actual numbers served during the contract period from December 20, 2017 – December 31, 2017, as well as the actual number served during the first 6 months of FY18.

OUTPUTS:

1) Number of elderly clients who are provided with needed weekly home care services
Projected Number to be served in FY18: 60
Contract period- Number served: 30
Fiscal Year - Number Served during first 6 months of FY18: 40

2) Number of hours of weekly home care services provided to Elderly Ministries clients.
Projected Number to be served in FY18: 4200
Contract period- Number served: 104.75
Fiscal Year - Number Served during first 6 months of FY18: 1930

3) Number of clients who are provided with other needed supportive services; Home repair/Maintenance projects, life alert necklaces, manna food deliveries
Projected Number to be served in FY18: 100
Contract period- Number served: 33
Fiscal Year - Number Served during first 6 months of FY18: 67

OUTCOMES:

1) Low-Income elderly clients’ quality of life improves due to Elderly Ministries’ services *
Projected Number to be surveyed in FY18: 95% (95 out of 100)
Contract period- Number surveyed: 0% (0 out of 0)
Fiscal Year - Number surveyed during first 6 months of FY18: 66% (2 clients out of 3)

2) Low-income elderly clients are able to age in place and remain in their homes due to Elderly Ministries’ services *.
Projected Number to be surveyed in FY18: 97% (97 out of 100)
Contract period- Number surveyed: 0% (0 out of 0)
Fiscal Year - Number surveyed during first 6 months of FY18: 66% (2 clients out of 3)
* Clients are surveyed once a year at the end of the fiscal year or at the time they are discharged from program.

** 3 clients have been discharged from the program in the first 6 months of the fiscal year. Each client or family member representative of the client completed a survey upon their exit from the program.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 01/17/2018 • Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Community Ministries of Rockville

Submission Title  CMR - FY18CE - 2 - Rockville Emergency Assistance Program

Requested FY18 Award  54960

Final FY18 Award  15000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Rockville Emergency Assistance Program requested $30,000 for direct client financial assistance and $24,960 for Program Director's crucial work in managing client needs and program services, and received $12,000 from the Council and $15,000 from the County Executive. Although REAP received partial funding, the scope of services provided by REAP remain the same. The difference is that we were not able to use as much of the award for direct client assistance.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Clients receive needed financial assistance, preventing eviction, utility termination, and helping with prescriptions costs or other needs and clients received social service referrals.

In the first six months of FY18 REAP has provided assistance to 241 clients facing financial crisis, disbursing $40,569.75 in funds.

Of those served:

1. 103 clients (43%) received financial assistance to prevent eviction.
2. 121 clients (50%) received financial assistance to prevent utility shutoffs.
3. 15 clients (6%) received financial assistance with prescription costs.
4. 2 clients (1%) received assistance with other needs at the discretion of CMR's Executive/Deputy Director and REAP's Program Director.

In the first six months of FY18, REAP provided 143 social service referrals.
Of that total:

1. 67 clients (47%) received referrals for dental care.
2. 49 clients (34%) received referrals for vision care.
3. 27 clients (19%) received referral for food, clothing, refurbished computers.

These outputs and outcomes compare with targets as follows:
- the number of persons receiving financial assistance (241) is lower than anticipated for 6 months (predicted 650 for the year, so 325 for 6 months).
- the number of persons who remain in their homes (103) is HIGHER than predicted (70 for six months); the number of persons who utilities remain on (121) is close to predicted (150 for six months); the number of persons who can purchase prescriptions (15) is lower than predicted (30 for six months).
- the amount disbursed ($40,569.75) is lower than the predicted ($50,300 for six months).
- the number of social service referrals (143) is lower than predicted (250 for six months).

The differences are due partially to the partial amount of funding received, and partially due to the fact that one cannot completely predict the nature of the financial needs which will walk in the door (higher need for rent, lower for prescriptions).
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

LOP proposed to offer two Citizenship classes, Summer 2017 and Winter of 2018. Due to partial funding and the later date the contract was signed, September 13, 2017, LOP was unable to use Montgomery County Council funding for Summer class. County funding is being used only for the Winter 2018 class. We were able to pull together the resources to offer the Summer Citizenship class, but it is important to note that more funding would prevent us from having to take from other areas to offer this important service, and would enable us to serve our students more fully.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

OUTPUTS:
I. Target Number of unduplicated adult students enrolled in the naturalization program: 50 (25 per session, two anticipated sessions at writing of application)
How will you measure? Registration and attendance records are kept by LOP teachers and site coordinators, and reports are generated.
Actual for Mid-Year: We have not offered Citizenship class yet, per previous response. Winter class will start on January 15, 2018 and run to February 15, 2018, meeting on Mondays, Tuesdays and Thursdays from 6 to 9 p.m.

II & III. Unduplicated number of Children of Adult LOP students participating in LOP's children's program and receiving childcare, preschool enrichment, and tutoring/homework help that would not be available in a primarily non-English speaking at home.
Unduplicated number of Adult County residents enrolled in English Language classes. Registration and attendance records are kept by LOP teachers and site coordinators, and reports are generated.
How will you measure? Registration and attendance records are kept by LOP teachers and site coordinators, and reports are generated.
Actuals for Mid-Year: Fall semester 17, two hundred adults took English classes. LOP offered child care and child tutoring to the students of the English as a Second Language classes while the parents were taking the classes, 90 children were enrolled at the following sites:

a. Rockville United Church, Millian Memorial United Methodist Church and Twinbrook Baptist Church. Classes were successfully held on Tuesdays and Thursdays evenings from 7 to 9:00 p.m. LOP offered one Child care and one Child tutoring class at each site.

b. Meadow Hall Elementary School and Maryvale Elementary School. Classes were successfully held on Tuesdays and Thursdays from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. LOP offered one Child care and one Child tutoring class at each site.

OUTCOMES:

1. LOP Naturalization Program students pass the US Citizenship exam and become US Citizens

How will you measure?
LOP Program Director receives information from students and keeps records.
Target Number: 25
Actuals Mid-Year: Summer Citizenship classes: 21 students attended; 10 submitted applications thus far to the Department of Homeland Security and waiting to received the letter with their appointment for the citizenship test and interview. The Winter class began on Jan 15, with a full enrollment of 25 students,
exhibiting the demand and need for this assistance.

2. % of Adult English Language students whose knowledge of English language improves over fall and spring semester.

How will you measure?
Measured through administration of pre- and post standardized tests at the beginning and end of each semester. LOP teachers, Site Coordinators, and Program Director keep student records and generate reports.

Of the 200 students registered in the Fall Semester, 70% or (141/200) showed improvements in their English language after completion of the semester.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

CollegeTracks College Success staff completed all the contract deliverables:

1. We served 439 college students vs. the minimum of 400.

2. Each student has a Degree Plan

3. College Success Coaches maintained individual contact through the summer prior to enrollment as needed based on individual students' requirements.

4. The program used Facebook and e-newsletters to remind students of critical deadlines and offer support. In addition, we invest in a texting program that texts students 5 days/week to remind them of deadlines, assess how they are feeling, and encourage critical college success skills.

5. 92% of our students received at least one check-in with their College Success coach, most on campus. 82% received two or more check-in's.

6. FAFSA and ongoing financial aid counseling has been offered as needed.
Organization's Legal Name: CollegeTracks, Inc.

Submission Title: CollegeTracks - FY18 CE - 1- Access Program

Requested FY18 Award: 200000

Final FY18 Award: 200000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The CollegeTracks Access Advising Program completed all the contract deliverables:

1. We are currently serving 770 high school students at Wheaton, Watkins Mill, and Bethesda-Chevy Chase High Schools vs. the target of 750.

2. All sites are conducting twice weekly workshops.

3. CollegeTracks staff have participated in more than the minimum of 3 evening workshops.

4. CollegeTracks staff are on target to help 85% of eligible students submit FAFSA applications – as of mid-January, 71% have submitted, with plenty of time to meet the target before the March 1 FAFSA deadline.

5. Application activities: 79% of CollegeTracks students have applied to at least one college and 65% have received at least one acceptance.
### Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/19/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>CollegeTracks, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>CollegeTracks - FY18 CE - 2 - Success Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

CollegeTracks College Success staff completed all the contract deliverables:
1. We served 439 college students vs. the minimum of 400.
2. Each student has a Degree Plan
3. College Success Coaches maintained individual contact through the summer prior to enrollment as needed based on individual students' requirements.
4. The program used Facebook and e-newsletters to remind students of critical deadlines and offer support. In addition, we invest in a texting program that texts students 5 days/week to remind them of deadlines, assess how they are feeling, and encourage critical college success skills.
5. 92% of our students received at least one check-in with their College Success coach, most on campus. 82% received two or more check-in's.
6. FAFSA and ongoing financial aid counseling has been offered as needed.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/08/2018 • Last updated: 01/25/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Collegiate Directions, Inc.

Submission Title
Collegiate Directions, Inc. - FY18- CC -1

Requested FY18 Award
75000

Final FY18 Award
55000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

CDI is currently working with 29 Scholars in our Class of 2018 and an additional 33 in our Class of 2019. To date, we provided our 29 2018 Scholars with weekly tutoring at our offices for at approximately fifty hours of SAT or ACT Prep. As a result of this consistent support, our 2018 Scholars raised their ACT and by an average of 5 points and saw an average cumulative score increase from approximately 20 to 25, with similar gains in the SAT of about 250 points. These gains (especially across this score range) increased our Scholars' odds of admission at many more selective colleges across the country, many of which heavily consider standardized tests in their decisions.

CDI is also providing in-depth advice and support for our Scholars to access the best financial aid possible. To do so, we have hosted individual, family, and group meetings to discuss financial aid and provide advisement on college choice. Specifically, CDI staff is currently helping our 29 Scholars from the Class of 2018 to fill out the FAFSA, CSS Profile, and any institutional financial aid applications. In the spring, our staff will work with Scholars to review any additional forms required by colleges and explain each Scholars' financial aid packages. We will also host individual and group meetings with Scholars' families to explain the financial aid. After financial aid awards are disbursed, CDI will provide any advocacy and support necessary Scholars to appeal inadequate financial aid. By the end of June 2018, we expect 100% of our 2018 Scholars will have received an average of at least $30,000 in renewable grants and scholarships to cover their costs to attend college.

CDI is currently supporting 177 Scholars on the path to and through college. We have maintained regular check-ins twice a month with our 2017 Scholars, currently freshmen in college, as well as monthly check-ins for our upper classmen. To support these efforts, CDI staff visited Scholars on select campuses and provided in-person support and ongoing guidance. Our frequent phone contact, coupled with targeted visits to college campuses, allowed our Scholars to build upon our strong relationships with Scholars and troubleshoot any issues that might otherwise cause our Scholars to fall off track of college graduation. We are pleased to report that CDI remains on track to maintain a 95% college graduation rate for our program as a whole and a 100% college admission rate for our 2018 Scholars.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind has not made any changes to the scope of services from the original application for the reporting grant period.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The Deaf-Blind program at Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind (CLB) is designed as a service program to provide deaf-blind individuals with a trained human guide - a Support Service Provider (SSP) - and comprehensive rehabilitation, vocational and assistive technology services to increase physical and communication access, as well as participation in civic, social and business activities within Montgomery County; thereby enhancing vital living for all deaf-blind county residents. Furthermore, through comprehensive service provision for a population with a unique set of needs, deaf-blind residents are able to thrive in a healthy and sustainable community.

Output Description: Deaf-blind residents will receive Support Service Providers (SSP). Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind utilizes a secure online database to track residents services which includes dates of services and hours. Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind served 30% (6/20) deaf-blind residents. CLB deaf-blind residents received interpreting services. CLB served 30% (6/20) deaf-blind residents with interpreting services. Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind utilizes the secure online database to track interpreting services for deaf-blind residents.

Outcome Description: Montgomery County deaf-blind residents will achieve highest personal level of independence. CLB conducted individual pre and post assessment as well as satisfaction surveys on the 30% (6/20) deaf-blind residents. The residents of Montgomery County is pleased with Support Service Providers provided by Columbia Lighthouse for the Blind.

Over the past six month, CLB Deaf-Blind Manager increased recruitment for residents and Support Service Providers. Deaf-Blind Manager recruited double the amount of SSP over the past six months. CLB is currently matching residents with new SSP. CLB also conduct outreach in the community to increase number of deaf-blind residents receiving services in the community. Deaf-blind Manager collaborate with three Montgomery County based deaf-blind organizations.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our scope of services has remained the same.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
1. Children entering foster care will receive a Comfort Case. Goal -300. Actual thus far - 304

2. Children in crisis situations will receive a CC/support. Goal - 500. Actual thus far - 15
   * We rely on MoCo agencies to contact us to distribute cases in emergency situations. We have been more successful than in the past but we still are not getting contacted in most situations. We did increase from prior years by establishing a relationship with the Rockville PD and are reaching out to other police departments.

3. Youth "aging out" of foster care will be given a CC. Goal - 300. Actual thus far - 0
   * Again, as we rely on agencies to identify the youth we serve, we are having a hard time getting the information we need to provide cases.

Outcomes:
1. Children in crisis will feel more secure during their time of crisis. Goal 825. Actual - 489
   * We have reached over half of our targeted number of youth. As we can not identify and follow the youth to receive feedback, we are using the number of youth served as the basis for our numbers. It is our belief that the receipt of a Comfort Case or other items reduces the amount of stress felt during a crisis.

2. Social Agencies will report positive feedback. Goal 600. Actual 0
   * We have not devised a questionnaire yet. We have a lot of changes internally happening at Comfort Case from Board Members leaving, to changes in volunteers and the addition of a couple paid staff. However, these changes have led to certain priorities falling lower on our to do list. We do receive positive verbal feedback from social workers/agencies.

3. Vulnerable youth will receive personal hygiene and comfort items in their times of need. Goal - 1000. Actual - 489
   * We have almost reached half of our goal and feel fairly confident we will reach close to our goal by the end of the grant period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Community Bridges (CB) is empowering girls in grades 4-12 from diverse backgrounds to become exceptional students, positive leaders and healthy young women through the CB programs; CB Girls Program, CB Mentoring Program, CB College and Career Planning Program and the CB Family Institute. To date we have exceeded our output goal of 250 girls and are currently serving 277 (110% of FY18 output goal) girls from 12 MCPS schools; JoAnn Leleck ES, Rolling Terrace ES, Sargent Shriver ES, Highland ES, Pincrest ES, White Oak MS, Silver Spring International MS, Eastern MS, Takoma Park MS, Montgomery Blair HS, James Hubert Blake HS and Springbrook HS. Through the CB College and Career Planning Program 65 (100% of FY18 output goal) CB Juniors and Seniors are being given the tools and processes for next steps after high school graduation through one-on-one meetings with the CB College and Career Program Manager, monthly career workshops held at the CB office, monthly college tours and weekly emails on scholarship opportunities. Through the CB Mentoring Program 32 CB high school girls are meeting weekly with 32 volunteer CB Mentors. This is 64% of our FY18 output goal of 50 Mentors. January is National Mentoring Month and we are in the process of recruiting, screening, interviewing and training new mentors with plans to surpass our output goal of 50 CB Mentors this year.

Community Bridges is on track to meet all of our FY18 outcomes. All 277 girls in the CB Girls Program have been given pre-assessments that have been entered into our data management system. In June the girls will complete the post assessment. The two assessments will be scored and compared to show the change in each girl in eight focus areas; grit, global self worth, physical appearance, social competence, job competence, cultural tolerance and civic responsibility. Currently 223 CB families are enrolled in the CB Family Institute. All families have been given a pre-assessment that has been entered into our data management system. In June the families will complete a post assessment. The two assessments will be scored and compared to measure the families change in knowledge and skills gained through the CB programs and workshops. All 65 girls enrolled in the CB College and Career Program have completed pre-assessments and all have completed one-on-one meetings with the CB College and Career Manager to assess where they are in the process of college research, college application and acceptance, FAFSA, scholarship applications and job applications. To date 100% of CB Seniors (32) have applied to a college and/or university and currently 3 have already been accepted to their college of choice. CB has 2 current CB seniors who applied to Ivy League institutions and current CB juniors will be attending a tour of Princeton University later this month. All 65 CB juniors and seniors have shown an increased knowledge in both job competence and scholastic competence and the exact change will be measured in June when they all complete their post assessments.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Due to having been awarded 50% of the funding requested, we were unable to hire a dedicated outreach person needed to achieve the outcomes proposed. Nonetheless, we remain committed to the diverse communities we serve, and continue to do our best to provide access to information and services as originally proposed. As it is to be expected our outcomes will be considerably less give the circumstances.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

From September 14th 2017 through January 12, 2018, we made strong strides towards our outreach goals as described below.

During this reporting period we participated in 22 community events, meetings, and workshops with 1,350 attendees.

With the help of our State Certified Navigator, we provided MHBE enrollment assistance to 207 households. A great majority of these were renewals (both Medicaid and Qualified Health Plans). Of the newly insured groups, we were able to schedule an inaugural appointment to 26 Medicaid new patients in the month of October.

After a pilot period, our interagency referrals through secure messaging from our WIC program to our primary care practices has launched onto a very promising start. during this reporting period we have completed 120 internal referrals.

Output:
- Attend 140 community events and meetings: 15 events / 1052 people served
- Conduct 20 education workshops: 7 workshops / 263 participants
- Health Screenings and follow up: 108 screenings
- Newly insured residents through MHBE: 207 households assisted in enrollment efforts
- 26 Medicaid PTs appointed
- Interagency referrals made: 120
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name          Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County

Submission Title                   Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County- FY18 CC- 1- Youth Programs

Requested FY18 Award               36800

Final FY18 Award                   16800

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.
no changes to scope of work

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
This fiscal year, we have already done 536 intakes from 247 cases, which is nearly double the number compared to last year. Eighty percent of our disciplinary referral cases have shown a reduction in behavioral issues. This high reduction is due to an increase in student-to-teacher mediations, which we piloted last year and have now expanded to all of our school programs. We have served over 735 youth thus far. We are exceeding our target numbers and we have received a 90% post-program satisfaction rate. This year, we expanded our Attendance Mediation Initiative. We have met 60% of our goal already this fiscal year, and 80% of those who took part in this initiative have shown improvement in attendance.

This year, we also held a community conference addressing years of aggression and fighting between ten young women at Richard Montgomery High School, provided a week-long workshop for 60 students at Montgomery Village Middle School, and we provided dialogue circles to help youth deal with feelings about bullying and self-image. We have also provided restorative justice training to the staff of 3 schools and one entire countywide school department.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County

Submission Title
Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County- FY18 CC- 4-Senior Program

Requested FY18 Award
16700

Final FY18 Award
6700

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No the scope of work has not changed

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have reached over 750 seniors though our aggressive outreach efforts. During this period we have made presentations at the Beacon Expo, weekly outreach and workshops are the Holiday Park Senior Center, Senior Resource Fair, Takoma Park Folk Life Festival, Friendship Picnic, Schweinhaut Senior Center, Rockville Senior Center, Chevy Chase at Home, White Oak Senior Center, and Damascus Senior Center, East County Seniors and Plum Gar Seniors.

We have had over 134 cases opened that have involved over 259 seniors. This has resulted in over 61 mediation sessions with 34 of the cases reaching a Full agreement. 70% of the cases that reached an agreement reported during the 3 month follow up that the agreement is working.

We have conducted over 63 workshops during the period for various community groups, senior centers, assisted living facilities, and churches. We have had a 90% satisfaction rate for our services and 80% would refer to others.

We have a weekly presence at the Holiday Park Senior where we have mediators on site to provide outreach and mediation services to anyone who requests. We also have appeared on Senior Today.

We are in the process of developing a partnership with the several villages to provide mediation as an option for their members who have issues with services provided.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County

Submission Title  Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County- FY18 CE- 1- Youth Programs

Requested FY18 Award  36800

Final FY18 Award  20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of work has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
This fiscal year, we have already done 536 intakes from 247 cases, which is nearly double the number compared to last year. Eighty percent of our disciplinary referral cases have shown a reduction in behavioral issues. This high reduction is due to an increase in student-to-teacher mediations, which we piloted last year and have now expanded to all of our school programs. We have served over 735 youth thus far. We are exceeding our target numbers and we have received a 90% post-program satisfaction rate. This year, we expanded our Attendance Mediation Initiative. We have met 60% of our goal already this fiscal year, and 80% of those who took part in this initiative have shown improvement in attendance. This year, we also held a community conference addressing years of aggression and fighting between ten young women at Richard Montgomery High School, provided a week-long workshop for 60 students at Montgomery Village Middle School, and we provided dialogue circles to help youth deal with feelings about bullying and self-image. We have also provided restorative justice training to the staff of 3 schools and one entire countywide school department.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County

Submission Title
Conflict Resolution Center of Montgomery County- FY18 CE- 4-Senior Program

Requested FY18 Award
16700

Final FY18 Award
10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.
In 100 words or less.
No changes to scope of work

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have reached over 750 seniors though our aggressive outreach efforts. During this period we have made presentations at the Beacon Expo, weekly outreach and workshops are the Holiday Park Senior Center, Senior Resource Fair, Takoma Park Folk Life Festival, Friendship Picnic, Schweinhaut Senior Center, Rockville Senior Center, Chevy Chase at Home, White Oak Senior Center, and Damascus Senior Center, East County Seniors and Plum Gar Seniors.

We have had over 134 cases opened that have involved over 259 seniors. This has resulted in over 61 mediation sessions with 34 of the cases reaching a Full agreement. 70% of the cases that reached an agreement reported during the 3 month follow up that the agreement is working.

We have conducted over 63 workshops during the period for various community groups, senior centers, assisted living facilities, and churches. We have had a 90% satisfaction rate for our services and 80% would refer to others.

We have a weekly presence at the Holiday Park Senior where we have mediators on site to provide outreach and mediation services to anyone who requests. We also have appeared on Senior Today.

We are in the process of developing a partnership with the several villages to provide mediation as an option for their members who have issues with services provided.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 12/23/2017

Organization's Legal Name
Conservation Montgomery, Inc.

Submission Title
Conservation Montgomery-FY18CE-Home Tree Care 101

Requested FY18 Award
10000

Final FY18 Award
10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change in the scope of services.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
We again made progress in presenting the Home Tree Care 101 classes. Based on responses to a survey we send out to class participants, we achieved a goal of a 95% satisfaction rate on the content and way the classes are presented around the county.

We would have liked to have held more classes over the time period but -- since we are an all-volunteer organization with no paid staff -- we fell short on time to market the classes and reach out to homeowners' associations.

Homeowner associations tend to be the best way we can reach out and get more people to sign up for classes. Word of mouth recommendations on the classes is working well. Ninety-five percent of class participants reported that they would be very likely to recommend a Home Tree Care 101 class to a friend or neighbor. One measure of success is that we have requests from communities to hold second and third HTC 101 classes in their neighborhoods. Each time there is a repeated class in one section of the county, participants say they have learned something new and different.

A newspaper ad we ran in the Washington Post this past spring was noted by a few people who saw it in the Local Living section of the paper but I do not think it really brought in new participants for Home Tree Care 101.

HTC 101 participants said they were satisfied with the handouts and packets delivered to them after the classes, rating the information a 3.3 on a scale of 1-5. In text responses in our survey instrument, they reported that these are the most important things they learned in HTC 101 classes:

- proper pruning techniques to care for their trees
- how to recognize that trees are stressed and need the care of an arborist to keep them going
- appreciation for diversity of trees in a community
- when and how to prune and how much mulch to use
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Cornerstone Montgomery's third "hub" at 2 Taft Court offers a women’s shelter managed by Interfaith Works. In addition, safety net primary care and dental services are located onsite provided by CCI Health & Wellness Services, as well as Cornerstone Montgomery's full array of behavioral health services. The project, called "Shortest Path to a Better Life", is a completely unique one of a kind model. It is unprecedented to have an emergency homeless shelter under the same roof as Behavioral and Primary Healthcare as well as Dental services.

The full implementation of the services at 2 Taft Court was delayed because of sewage issues as described in the next question. Also, CCI Health & Wellness Services was not able to offer the services in the time line anticipated. Outcome data was not collected because of these delays.

Presently, 9 women of the women’s shelter at 2 Taft Court, also use our services. It is our belief that referrals between the agencies at Taft Court will increase as all services are offered.

The following issues had a negative effects on client referrals and achieving targeted outcomes of improved mental health symptoms and well being:

CCI Health & Wellness Services hiring delays resulted in clients not having access to medical and dental treatment in the time frame described in original proposal. Limited medical services were available from June 1, 2017 onward. Dental services are not available yet. First day of full operation was November 20, 2017.

2 Taft Court, the new hub, experienced a serious sewage problem which resulted in major upheaval. Services needed to be relocated to different locations which resulted in losses and costs. June 6, 2017, sewer issues started to manifest themselves. The lining of the sewer pit cracked and the gravel between pit and liner destroyed the pumps. The women’s shelter had to be relocated. Staff and clinic were to relocate to our different locations. However, Taft clients were not seen at a sufficient rate at the 2 other outpatient locations. So on June 30, 2017, Taft outpatient clinic reopened for clients.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our revised budget reflects the partial funding of our application and significantly reduces the Executive Director and Data Analyst/Program Assistant hours funded by the contract. Printing and supplies expenditures have also been reduced.

As a result, Court Watch is unable to undertake our proposed project to increase appropriate domestic violence referrals by County hospital websites to medical and County services.

The contract continues to fund our core work to improve how courts handle domestic violence (DV) cases to better protect victims and their children.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
2 reports on findings/recommendations to improve how courts handle DV cases

All Court Watch reports and press releases are based on data collected by volunteers in courtrooms. In the first two quarters volunteers collected data on 275 protective order cases and 280 domestic violence criminal cases. We are on track to easily meet our contract goal of collecting data in 500 protective order and 500 criminal cases. Entering and analyzing this data takes time, and Court Watch usually publishes the 2 funded reports during the second half of the contract period. Two reports are currently in process.

2 press releases on judicial use of new county-funded safe visitation service

Collecting data on judicial use of the new Safe Passage Center has been delayed due to a two-month delay in the Center opening and an additional delay in the delivery of materials about the Center to key court and community audiences. Court Watch is now using a rapid response tracking system to assess how and when over 25 judges require families to use the Safe Passage Center. We should have sufficient data to report on judicial use in the 3rd and 4th quarter, and to highlight potentially dangerous cases where it appears the Center should have been required but was not.

Increase in number of educational materials, appropriate referrals on hospital websites impact

These outputs are no longer part of the contract, due to partial funding.

Outcomes

Strengthened court protections for victims of domestic violence & their children

If judges do not require abusers to use the new Safe Passage Center in final protective orders DV victims and their children will remain at great risk during child exchanges or unsupervised visits, which will take place without adequate safety precautions. During the 1st and 2nd quarter Court Watch worked hard to educate judges and clerks in District and Circuit Court about the Safe Passage Center, and disseminated materials in a variety of court settings. Our efforts helped set in motion the first slow trickle of court referrals to the Center. Court Watch also educated family law lawyers and victim advocates, who are most likely to ask judges to require use of the Safe Passage Center on behalf of their clients.

Court Watch also worked to educate the 50% of victims who do not have a lawyer or advocate with them when they seek a protective order, to empower them to ask the judge directly to use the Center to keep...
them and their children safe. We disseminated materials to high risk populations through the Office of Community Partnerships, Court Commissioners, Regional Service and Gilchrist Centers.

Court Watch also worked with judges to improve a draft laminated “bench card,” which will be placed on every judge’s podium to provide a checklist of important best practices that better protect DV victims and their children.

Improved pathways to protection through hospital websites

The work related to this outcome is no longer part of the contract due to partial funding.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/11/2018 • Last updated: 01/26/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Florence Crittenton Services of Greater Washington

Submission Title
Crittenton Services of Greater Washington - FY18 CC - 1 - SNEAKERS

Requested FY18 Award
55000

Final FY18 Award
55000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our contract requires delivery of SNEAKERS in Montgomery County to 80-120 teen girls for 45-60 minutes per week over the course of 26 sessions. As of September 2017, we had begun recruitment for a total of 9 SNEAKERS groups at Clarksburg High School, Gaithersburg High School and Kennedy High School. As of December 31st, 2017, we exceeded the target number of groups. We currently serve 129 girls, totaling in 10 SNEAKERS groups at Clarksburg, Gaithersburg, and Kennedy High Schools. We have delivered 11 sessions thus far, putting us on track to deliver 26 sessions total during the 2017-2018 school year.”

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Outcomes/results achieved through mid-year consisted of preparation and curriculum development beginning in July 2017 and recruitment in September followed by delivery of the 26 week SNEAKERS curriculum by program leaders. Shattuck and Associates, an independent evaluation firm with extensive experience with youth development programs in the Washington area, evaluates our SNEAKERS program during the 2017-2018 school year through a variety of methods, including participant pretest and posttest surveys to measure changes in knowledge, attitudes, and behavior; weekly implementation reports completed by program leaders; participant focus groups; and content review of qualitative data. Our 2016-2017 independent evaluation found statistically significant changes in knowledge, attitudes, and behavior. All 9th to 11th grade girls were promoted; and all seniors graduated. Nearly 65% of graduates planned to attend a two-year college, 29% planned to attend a four-year college, and about 6% were working. More than 90% of SNEAKERS participants held positive attitudes toward education; and 67% had set academic goals. Correct responses to questions on reproductive health increased from 56% to 80% and on healthy relationships from 58% to 98%.

At the end of the school year, more than 90% of participants reported that they had never received detention or gotten into a physical fight at school. At the end of the school year, Crittenton girls knew more about safer sex, were more confident in their ability to practice safer sex, were better at communicating their feelings appropriately, and held more positive attitudes about themselves and their abilities. Participants were also more committed to working hard in school, knew more about college and careers, and were more likely to set goals.

The complete results of our 2017-2018 school year SNEAKERS program will not be available until the summer of 2018. All program participants completed a confidential pre-program survey in October and will complete a post-program survey before the end of the school year. Our independent evaluators will use the answers to these surveys, program leaders’ implementation reports, and the results of knowledge quizzes to measure attainment of our core objectives by assessing changes in participants’ knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our contract requires delivery of Goal Setting Girls in Montgomery County to 45 6th grade girls for 2 hours per week over the course of the school year, supplemented by out-of-school activities. As of January 2018, 46 6th grade girls at three middle schools (Forest Oak Middle School, Argyle Middle School and E. Brooke Lee Middle School) are participating in Goal Setting Girls. Additionally, we have delivered 10 sessions, putting us on target for delivery of 26 sessions during the 2017-2018 school year.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Crittenton is currently delivering Goal Setting Girls for the first time. Consequently, we will not be able to report evaluation results until August 2018 after the independent evaluator analyzes data from pretests administered in October 2017 and posttests that will be delivered in June 2018.

We expect delivery of the curriculum with fidelity to strengthen social and emotional development. Connecting participants to needed supports will improve academic performance. Workshops for parents will enable them to become more involved in, and better advocates for, their daughters’ education. Enrichment activities will raise participants’ aspirations and knowledge regarding college and fulfilling careers.

As a result, we expect the evaluation to find that participants:
• Are more confident in their academic ability.
• Demonstrate increased aspirations to attain a postsecondary degree or professional training.
• Demonstrate increased ability to set academic goals, monitor progress toward goal attainment, work in teams, communicate ideas and feelings appropriately and effectively, and be resilient in the face of challenges and disappointments.
• Demonstrate continued improvements in areas of academic weakness.
• Attain college readiness milestones such as completion of Algebra 1 with a grade of C or better.
• Will be promoted to the next grade.
### Council Mid-year Report

**Created:** 01/02/2018  •  **Last updated:** 01/04/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Crossroads Community Food Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Crossroads Community Food Network - FY18 CC - 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>61,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>58000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application?**

Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No; scope of services remains the same.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application.** Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals.** The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

---

**Response (up to 500 words):**

Output 1: Number of weeks Fresh Checks Nutrition Incentive Program held at market to increase access to and availability of locally produced and value-added foods among low-income individuals, families, and seniors.

In 2017, we held a 31-week long market season, which is two weeks longer than our original goal. In the previous 10 years, the market was held for 25 weeks. Next year, we will further increase the season length to 33 weeks, from April 18-November 28, 2018.
Output 2: Number of sites receiving regular Healthy Eating Programming which aims to increase education about healthy foods and increase skills in cooking and preparing healthy foods.

In 2016, we provided regular programming to three sites: Piney Branch Elementary School, Rolling Terrace Elementary School, and the Crossroads Farmers Market. Our 2017 goal was to maintain those three sites, but we ultimately provided programming at four sites with the addition of the Young Women’s Cooking Club, held at our office for a group of teens that volunteer at market.

Outcome 1: Number of individuals/families that receive Fresh Checks, redeemable for fresh fruits and vegetables at the weekly Crossroads Farmers Market, matching the value of SNAP, WIC, and SFMNP spent at market.

In 2016, we served 1,912 individuals and families and we projected we would serve 1,950 in 2017. However, we only served 1,872 individuals/families in our Fresh Checks Program during the 2017 season. We believe the decrease was due to three main factors: 1) reduced numbers of community members--particularly immigrants--using federal nutrition benefits due to deportation fears, 2) the transition to e-WIC in Maryland which meant we could no longer accept WIC FVC vouchers (we expect this to change in 2018), and 3) Programmatic changes to our senior program at market with the end of our senior distribution of Fresh Checks that were not matching benefits spent. However, SNAP sales did increase at market despite the above factors, which we believe was due to increased outreach; we will continue to conduct significant outreach in 2018.

Outcome 2: Number of participants in the Healthy Eating Program, which aims to increase knowledge about healthy foods and increase skills in cooking and preparing healthy foods.

We expected to serve an estimated 1,000 participants and we have met this target with programming at a variety of sites including the market, two schools, our Young Women’s Cooking Club, and special events at other community sites.

We’re grateful for the support of the Montgomery County Council – thank you!
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 02/01/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Community Services Foundation

Submission Title  CSF-FY18 CC

Requested FY18 Award  43000

Final FY18 Award  20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services to be provided has not changed since the submission of our application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our programming included targeted reading and math intervention delivered by academic tutors who worked to ensure that the forty (40+) students enrolled in the program received instruction that was/is aligned with Montgomery County Public School curriculum. Reading and math instruction was provided twice a week at four (4) hours per week. Monthly reports were provided by the academic tutor which charted the activities in the program. The post assessment will demonstrate gains that were made by each youth participants. The computer instruction was provided for 2 hours per week and included. Computer skills taught included teaching everyday computer vocabulary (computer parts, toolbar, font, highlight, etc.) as well as activities created to help students practice skills such as font attributes (bold, italics), spacing, cut-and-paste, and tables and working within the Microsoft Office programs. The after school program also included health and wellness education to all participants which included recreational and nutrition modules that are key to developing a healthy lifestyle. Each of these components of the after school program will continue for through the remainder of the program period.

Parents and adults in the community were supported with our Adult Literacy Classes. Initially, our goal was to provide a beginners introduction ESOL class. We began the class by conducting a survey to determine the best days and time of the week to implement the class. Our class schedule was determined based on the responses from the surveys. Once participants began attending the class, we realized that we needed to add an advance conversation class. In total, 20 adults registered for the class. A pre-assessment was conducted by the ESOL instructor. The post assessment on the progress of participants will be provided at the end of the program. We are also pleased that we were able to extend program hours thanks, in part, to a small grant from MCAEL. By combining these two grants, we are able to further the program and better meet the needs of the community.

During the second part of the program, we will focus on helping adult learners increase participation in school-based parent involvement programs resulting in improved academic learning and family socioeconomic status improvement. Our goal is to help parents to read to and with their children in an effort to increase confidence, self-esteem, and reduce language barriers for parents especially when interacting with their children's school.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed. In October 2017, we rebranded to express the regional nature of our work and are now known as Greater DC Diaper Bank (GDCDB).

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

GDCDB is on track to achieve each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in our FY18 application:

Output 1: 500 babies will receive diapers and GDCDB products in Montgomery County
Actual: 1,000 babies received diapers and GDCDB products from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Outcome 1: 200 Montgomery County families reporting that since receiving GDCDB diapers and baby pantry products their babies: cry less, sleep better, have less diaper rash, are happier, are healthier
Actual: 318 Montgomery County families reporting their babies experience positive health outcomes since receiving GDCDB diapers and products from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Outcome 2: 200 Montgomery County families reporting that since receiving GDCDB diapers and other products their caregiver: feels less stressed, has been able to take child to daycare, go back to work/school/look for work, feel like a better parent, feel less frustrated, pay a bill, but other essentials.
Actual: 293 Montgomery County families reporting caregivers experienced positive emotional, financial, and health outcomes from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Outcome 3: 34 Community Partners reporting that they have engaged with new families/clients because of their partnership with GDCDB.
Actual: 23 Community Partners reporting that they have engaged with new families/clients because of their partnership with GDCDB from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Funding support is helping us serve more vulnerable families in Montgomery County. In 2017 we served, on average, 482 families and 594 babies each month through our 20 Montgomery County-serving community partners. We served families in 60% of the County's zip codes, with the highest concentrations living in the high-need eastern and upper parts of the County. The majority of our partners tell us that we help them engage with new families and clients and serve their clients more effectively. To measure our impact on families, in 2017 we conducted our first network-wide family survey. Results from families in Montgomery County show that our programs make a big difference in their lives, from making babies healthier to providing financial relief.
Organization's Legal Name  
Greater DC Diaper Bank

Submission Title  
DC Diaper Bank - FY18 CE - 1

Requested FY18 Award  
20000

Final FY18 Award  
15000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed. In October 2017, we rebranded to express the regional nature of our work and are now known as Greater DC Diaper Bank (GDCDB).

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
GDCDB is on track to achieve each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in our FY18 application:

Output 1: 500 babies will receive diapers and GDCDB products in Montgomery County
Actual: 1,000 babies received diapers and GDCDB products from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Outcome 1: 200 Montgomery County families reporting that since receiving GDCDB diapers and baby pantry products their babies: cry less, sleep better, have less diaper rash, are happier, are healthier
Actual: 318 Montgomery County families reporting their babies experience positive health outcomes since receiving GDCDB diapers and products from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Outcome 2: 200 Montgomery County families reporting that since receiving GDCDB diapers and other products their caregiver: feels less stressed, has been able to take child to daycare, go back to work/school/look for work, feel like a better parent, feel less frustrated, pay a bill, but other essentials.
Actual: 293 Montgomery County families reporting caregivers experienced positive emotional, financial, and health outcomes from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Outcome 3: 34 Community Partners reporting that they have engaged with new families/clients because of their partnership with GDCDB.
Actual: 23 Community Partners reporting that they have engaged with new families/clients because of their partnership with GDCDB from July 1, 2017 to November 2017.

Funding support is helping us serve more vulnerable families in Montgomery County. In 2017 we served, on average, 482 families and 594 babies each month through our 20 Montgomery County-serving community partners. We served families in 60% of the County’s zip codes, with the highest concentrations living in the high-need eastern and upper parts of the County. The majority of our partners tell us that we help them engage with new families and clients and serve their clients more effectively. To measure our impact on families, in 2017 we conducted our first network-wide family survey. Results from families in Montgomery County show that our programs make a big difference in their lives, from making babies healthier to providing financial relief.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No. The scope of services provided has not changed since the original application due to conservative planning and the flexibility and generosity of both members of the partnership.

We currently have 28/32 possible dancers in the program. Thursday filled quickly. Studio space was unavailable for a second weeknight class. We accepted a Sunday evening studio space for the second class (a challenging time for families we serve) and were able to attract 13 out of 16 possible dancers. We expect to fill those few open spots and prorate the cost for three more dancers for the rest of the year.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output 1: Provision of dance instructors including support and training to work with this special population. Measured by attendance of dancers.
While we have had consistent attendance of dancers (average of >81%) a better measure of this output would be the number of prepared instructors working and available to sub. We trained an additional 4 teachers this FY18 and retained 1 key instructor from pre-FY18. (The other instructor moved to NYC.) Four instructors maintain consistent teaching in two classes.

Output 2: Performances in the local community and beyond. Measured by number of prepared appearances: first year goal of 4 studio performances and 4 community performances. Both groups performed in two studio performances by December. Two more studio performances are scheduled for March and June. Three additional community (non-studio-related) performances are scheduled for January 21st, February 11th, and June 2nd. Two more invitations to perform are pending.

Output 3: Support of ongoing collaborative work through year-round classes and the building of a repertoire. Measured by number of completed dance pieces to be added to the repertoire. Currently there are two discrete pieces (one for each group) and one short performance piece that all dancers know. The instructors and program director have collaborated to connect the dancers’ prior pieces so they can continue to perform (separately and as whole group) while working on new pieces for the spring.

Outcome 1: Health: Participants will improve balance, muscle tone, coordination, proprioception, memory and other brain functions through dance and creative movement. Measured by Family/Caretaker Survey results.
84.6% of parents/caretakers reported health/fitness benefits as a rewarding part of Devenio in Motion.
100% of dancers reported health/fitness benefits are a rewarding part of Devenio in Motion.

Outcome 2: Self-advocacy: Performances—the opportunity for the public to view participants’ work and see their collaborative efforts—will inspire community members to view adults with special needs as able, and with fresh perspective. Measured by performance attendee survey.*
69.3% of parents/caretakers reported community awareness and acceptance of people with disabilities as a rewarding part of Devenio in Motion. Anecdotally, audiences at November and December performances were enthusiastic and supportive.
The respectful social interaction of stage crew and other dancers backstage during the performance point to this output as positive.

Outcome 3: Time spent in joyful, collaborative, creative work will inherently provide a social option for teens and adults with intellectual and developmental challenges, and lead to deeper relationships for participants. Measured by Family/Caretaker Survey results.
100% of parents/caretakers surveyed reported social benefits as a rewarding part of Devenio in Motion.
100% of dancers surveyed reported same.
Note: Regarding outcome measurements, we adjusted our strategy. We chose to make three distinct surveys: one for parents/caretakers, one for dancers, and one for instructors. These were distributed after the participants had roughly 4 months of experience to draw from.

*Audience surveys will be distributed at performances this spring.
Organization's Legal Name: DVS Legal Services, Inc.

Submission Title: DVS Legal Services - FY18 CE - 1 - Legal Services

Requested FY18 Award: 35000

Final FY18 Award: 20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of our services has changed due to a receipt of only partial funding. The original scope involved DVS providing low bono legal services for 10 divorce and custody cases and 20 protective order cases totaling $35,000. The final grant amount was for $20,000. Therefore, the total number of cases that will received low bono funding had to be reduced.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
While our outputs are less than expected at this point in the year, the outcomes are still on target. The outcome description was to decrease the number of domestic violence victims who had to represent themselves at protective order and divorce/custody cases. In addition to the protective order cases that used low bono funds, DVS also provided pro bono representation in over 35 protective order cases as well as helped advise and prep 3 additional clients when representation was not available. DVS also worked with the House of Ruth and JCADA to ensure the majority of victims of domestic violence had attorneys for their final protective order hearings. In addition, DVS connected over 10 clients to Pro Bono representation for divorce and custody cases. These numbers exceed the numbers included in our Outcomes portion of the grant proposal.

Nevertheless, under the Output portion of the grant application, DVS anticipated providing low bono legal services for 10 divorce/custody cases and 20 protective order cases. This number had to be decreased due to less funding under the grant. Up to this point, DVS has provided low bono legal services for 2 custody cases and 7 protective order cases. However, DVS is currently reviewing applications for additional custody and protective order cases and anticipates this number will increase significantly before the end of the grant year.

One reason for this decrease was the delay in funding for the grant. The contract was not signed until August 8, 2017 so there were cases that occurred before we were funded. An additional reason is due to the complexities of finding attorneys qualified to handle the intricacies of some domestic violence cases. There were a few additional cases that were originally placed, but not pursued due to certain particular circumstances of the clients. A third reason for the fewer number of cases is based on the long-term nature of these cases and the limited time allotted to spend the funds during the fiscal year. Divorce and custody cases can be long and drawn out, particularly where domestic violence is involved. There have been cases that we have not been able to fund because we would not have the grant funds remaining available to pay the attorney if the case continued past June 30, 2018.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Last year, Easter Seals received funding from Montgomery County to help cover the financial gap for 19 OHS grant recipients we enrolled into our Medical Adult Day Services program in Silver Spring after their adult day center in Kensington closed. Over the the year, 8 of the original 19 OHS participants left our program. Because enrollment in programs like ours fluctuates, we anticipated this reduction in participants. These individuals left for a variety of reasons, including death, deteriorating health conditions, moving, and death of caregivers. At present, 11 of these participants continue to attend our program.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Easter Seals estimated that at least 90% of these participants would meet or exceed 85% of the goals in their Individualized Care Plans, as measured by State-mandated Health Care Audit/Utilization Reviews we are required to complete every 6 months. We are pleased to report that we have met that goal. In fact, in the first 6 months of this grant, each (100%) of the 19 OHS participants met this goal.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Last year, Easter Seals received funding from Montgomery County to help cover the financial gap for 19 OHS grant recipients we enrolled into our Medical Adult Day Services program in Silver Spring after their adult day center in Kensington closed. Over the the year, 8 of the original 19 OHS participants left our program in Silver Spring. Because enrollment in programs like ours fluctuates, we anticipated this reduction in participants. These individuals left for a variety of reasons, including death, deteriorating health conditions, moving, and death of caregivers. At present, 11 of these participants continue to attend our program.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Easter Seals estimated that at least 90% of these participants would meet or exceed 85% of the goals in their Individualized Care Plans, as measured by State-mandated Health Care Audit/Utilization Reviews we are required to complete every 6 months. We are pleased to report that we have met that goal. In fact, in the first 6 months of this grant, each (100%) of the 19 OHS participants met this goal.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Due to partially funding, EduCare's Mobile Pantry Program provides food distribution to 150 head of households (seniors, persons with disabilities and low income families). Every distribution includes frozen meat, a valuable source of protein, fresh produce, bread, and nutritious non-perishable items. Each week, we provide referral to resources in Montgomery and assistance to a minimum of 10 residents of Montgomery County who are seeking emergency assistance.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

EduCare's Mobile Pantry program provides a dependable, efficient way to increase protein and other nutritious foods in the diets of low-income people needing food assistance.

There is a high demand for Our Mobile Pantry Program (Door-To-Door Services) in Montgomery County. Therefore, we will expand our capacity to distribute food by removing barriers that prevent access to seniors, persons with disabilities and low-income families in underserved areas, and allows for fast and flexible delivery of rescued food and grocery products including meat, produce and baked goods in Montgomery County.

Our Mobile Pantry Program directly serves clients in areas of high need in an effort to supplement other hunger-relief agencies in that area. Through our Mobile Pantry, a truckload of food is distributed to clients directly in their home in pre-packed boxes or through a farmers market-style distribution where clients choose to take what they need.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We have again successfully identified fifteen residents who have qualified for this service based on their income. The income information was provided to us by the Housing Opportunities Commission (HOC) in their rent calculations. These individuals are either at or below the poverty level. They qualify for the services of the Congregate Housing Service Program through the State of Maryland Department of Aging, but are in need of the medication administration program and the overnight support services. We continue to feel fortunate to provide services to those in need which helps to provide for their quality of life.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Fifteen residents were identified based on their income and financial need. They benefited from the medication administration program and the overnight support services through our Certified Nursing Assistant Medication Technician staff. Services includes oversight of their medication needs during the overnight hours as well as responding to any medical emergencies which may arise that requires sending them to the emergency room. This allowed for their autonomy and the assurance that their needs are provided for through this service.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/26/2018

**Organization's Legal Name**  
Elite Soccer Youth Development Academy, Inc.

**Submission Title**  
Elite Soccer-FY18 CC -2-soocer program

**Requested FY18 Award**  
88,160

**Final FY18 Award**  
20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

ESYDA has accomplished the following outputs and outcomes:

- Number of enrolled children and youth to play soccer - 50
- Number of individuals who participate in family engagement activities and workshops - 70
- Number of coaches and volunteers that participate in coaching and life skills opportunities for adults and young adults - 18
- Increase the number of children and youth who report a healthy weight loss due to an increase in physical activity - 24
- Increase the number of families/caregivers who report engaging in healthy behavior - 55
- Increase the percentage of adults and young adults who report gaining leadership and job skills - 18
Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
ESYDA requested $88,160 in order to enhance and expand its reach to the number of children and youth who engage in healthy behaviors through soccer. Although we were awarded only $12,000, ESYDA was able to elicit additional funds and volunteers to increase the number of participants by subsidizing enrollment cost for a select number of students. In addition, ESYDA was able to partner with other grantees to expand its reach. ESYDA looks forward to continue this work.
Organization's Legal Name  Eastern Montgomery Emergency Assistance Network, Inc.

Submission Title  EMEAN - FY18 CC Eviction/Utility Cut-off Prevention

Requested FY18 Award  30000

Final FY18 Award  12000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed in that we were able to provide emergency assistance to families in the form of eviction and utility disconnection prevention and prescription assistance. We received partial funding from the county. Other grants that were anticipated did not come to fruition therefore we were not able to serve as many families as expected.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the first six months of the year we were able to help 39 families with emergency rental assistance and 13 families prevent utility disconnection with funds from the two county grants received. That is 39% of our stated goal of assisting 100 families with eviction prevention and 14.4% of our goal of preventing 90 utility disconnection.

As previously mentioned, we received partial funding from the county and other grants that were anticipated did not come to fruition.

This does not include families we have assisted with funds from an EAC grant, private or congregational contributions.

We did have a change in staff with the prior Executive Director, Kristianne Taweel, moving on to the board and a new Executive Director, Kym Awkard, coming on in October, as well as three new volunteers have been added. This has slowed down our fundraising process as there has been a transitional period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed in that we were able to provide emergency assistance to families in the form of eviction and utility disconnection prevention and prescription assistance. We received partial funding from the county. Other grants that were anticipated did not come to fruition therefore we were not able to serve as many families as expected.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
During the first six months of the year, we were able to help 39 families with emergency rental assistance and 13 families prevent utility disconnection with funds from the two county grants received. That is 39% of our state goal of assisting 100 families with eviction prevention and 14.4% of our goal of preventing 90 utility disconnections.

As previously mentioned, we received partial funding from the county and other grants that were anticipated did not come to fruition.

This does not include families we have assisted with funds from an EAC grant, private or congregational contributions.

We did have a change in staff with the prior Executive Director, Kristianne Taweel, moving on to the board and a new Executive Director, Kym Awkard, coming on in October. Furthermore, we added three new volunteers. The aforementioned has slowed down our fundraising process as all were being brought up to speed during this transitional period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services that will be provided under the contract has not changed. However, due to delays in the contracting process, the contract is not yet finalized. Nonetheless, because of EWI's program calendar, we will still be able to supply all of the services required by the contract. As of this report, since July 1, 2017, one ETS session has been provided and ESS services are reported for two quarters.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During this fiscal year to-date, we have served 12 women through our Entrepreneur Training for Success course in our Rockville location, 8 of which were residents of Montgomery county. We have served 135 women through Entrepreneur Support Services, which includes coaching, workshops, and marketplace participation. Of these, 56 are residents of the County.

For Entrepreneur Training for Success, our first outcome goal is that 75% of graduates acquire improved financial management and literacy skills, which support stronger personal/family financial management, provide knowledge/tools necessary for business start-up and operation, and aid workforce participation in new jobs. This Fall, 92% of our graduates achieved this outcome in Montgomery County, as measured by those that completed all their financial education assignments, developed a business plan, financial plan, and a detailed business budget.

Our second outcome goal is that 70% of graduates will experience upward and increased economic mobility by taking steps to launch or by launching new micro-businesses, and/or increasing income. Due to the longer-term nature of this indicator, it is not unusual to see a low initial report, however in our annual impact surveys we typically see an improvement as the effects of the program continue. Immediately following the Fall ETS program, 50% ETS training students had reported positive growth. Within that number 25% currently own and operate a business; 75% showed increase in income; 25% of the clients who required a specific license got their licenses during the program.

Since July 1, a total of 135 clients have received Entrepreneur Support Services (ESS) in Montgomery County, including 56 that are residents of the County. We are currently measuring impact data only for our workshop attendees, and our goal is that they gain increased knowledge and understanding of the topic on which they are being advised. To date, 80% of the 26 workshop attendees reported to acquire increased knowledge and skills on topics related to business development and growth.

As of September 30, 2017, Empowered Women International officially merged with the Latino Economic Development Center. With complementary target populations, programs, and services, LEDC and EWI identified an opportunity to build upon respective successes by joining forces to serve more clients with a broader range of programs and support. Following the merger, EWI has become a program under the LEDC umbrella, maintaining its name and high caliber of client service. Thus far, the merger has allowed us to collaborate on Entrepreneur Support Services such as workshops and our annual Marketplace event, resulting in greater attendance. EWI’s team remains lean, however the merger has also allowed for a redistribution of responsibilities on the executive and administrative sides; though we are still in transition, this adjustment is expected to allow us to focus more on program delivery and enhancements in the future.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

ECFC is pleased to have moved out of our storage units and into a permanent industrial space in May 2017. The new facility has a handicap accessible restroom, a fitting area for families, and a small office. The larger space allows for more efficient equipment cleaning and repair, and will provide storage space for additional equipment to more fully meet the increased number of requests for adaptive equipment; providing more children with the life-changing adaptive equipment they need and deserve.

On Oct. 13, 2017, ECFC held an Open House in partnership with the Gaithersburg-Germantown Chamber of Commerce.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output: (Based on Q1 and Q2 through the end of December 2017.)

1. Requests received by ECFC for adaptive equipment for a child with disabilities / Goal 450
   Q1 = 138
   Q2 = 64
   Mid year total = 202 / 45% of year end goal

2. Donations of pieces of adaptive equipment to children with disabilities in need of the life-changing equipment / Goal 275
   Q1 = 74
   Q2 = 43
   Mid year total = 117 / 43% of year end goal

3. Families served by ECFC / Goal 200
   Q1 = 59
   Q2 = 30
   Mid year total = 89 / 45% of year end goal

Outcome

1. Quality of life of the child with disabilities improves with the provided adaptive equipment / Goal 180 (90%)
   Mid year total: Of the families responding to the survey 92% agree / 82 families

2. Family's quality of life improves / Goal 180 (90%)
   Mid year total: Of the families responding to the survey 92% agree / 82 families

Of the families served in Q1-Q2:

Montgomery County Residence
Q1 38% or 22 families / Q2 50% or 15 families
37 Families living in Montgomery County Served in Q1-Q2

Percentage of Families Qualify for Medicaide: Q1 45% / Q2 65%
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

ECFC is pleased to have moved out of our storage units and into a permanent industrial space in May 2017. The new facility has a handicap accessible restroom, a fitting area for families, and a small office. The larger space allows for more efficient equipment cleaning and repair, and will provide storage space for additional equipment to more fully meet the increased number of requests for adaptive equipment; providing more children with the life-changing adaptive equipment they need and deserve.

On Oct. 13, 2017, ECFC held an Open House in partnership with the Gaithersburg-Germantown Chamber of Commerce.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output: (Based on Q1 and Q2 through the end of December 2017.)

1. Requests received by ECFC for adaptive equipment for a child with disabilities / Goal 450
   Q1= 138
   Q2= 64
   Mid year total = 202 / 45% of year end goal

2. Donations of pieces of adaptive equipment to children with disabilities in need of the life-changing equipment / Goal 275
   Q1= 74
   Q2= 43
   Mid year total = 117 / 43% of year end goal

3. Families served by ECFC / Goal 200
   Q1= 59
   Q2= 30
   Mid year total = 89/ 45% of year end goal

Outcome

1. Quality of life of the child with disabilities improves with the provided adaptive equipment / Goal 180 (90%)
   Mid year total: Of the families responding to the survey 92% agree / 82 families

2. Family's quality of life improves / Goal 180 (90%)
   Mid year total: Of the families responding to the survey 92% agree / 82 families

Of the families served in Q1-Q2:

Montgomery County Residence
   Q1 38% or 22 families / Q2 50% or 15 families
   37 Families living in Montgomery County Served in Q1-Q2

Percentage of Families Qualify for Medicaid: Q1 45% / Q2 65%
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Since ECCM received only partial funding from County Executive, finding volunteer health professionals, free space, and medical equipment were a big challenge which affected the program in terms of addressing a wide range of the targeted community and scaling up screening and counseling program. Limited funds and resources also prevented ECCM from hiring additional staff to coordinate the program which had its own impact on the implementation of the program. Besides, ECCM has been recruiting and hiring a new project coordinator to fill the position; and therefore, the process has an impact on the successful execution of the program.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes inorganization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Although we encountered challenges in implementing the program at several levels such as limited grants award and resources, evaluation of outputs and outcomes indicated that a lot has been achieved in addressing the intended objectives as initially planned. To mention, community members were provided with health education and awareness on nutrition management, mental health, and substance abuse. We addressed the targeted community through our outreach events, flyers, brochures and media programs. At different times, we invited nutritionists to our support group meetings, to educate members especially members who are under treatment for cancer and cancer survivors. Over 90% of participants developed basic understanding and knowledge of nutrition management, mental health, and substance abuse. We also invite professional counselors who have been working with ECCM for free group discussions and mostly share valuable advice from their experiences. Referrals to doctors’ offices, counselors, county programs such as Montgomery care clinics, Montgomery county behavioral health and crisis services and to the senior nutrition programs as well as to other service giving organizations was always part of the program.

The program trained volunteers or community health agents to provide support to families as well as different community support groups. 80 percent of trained volunteers served as community health agents in providing health education and health-related information to members of the community who were the primary focus of this project. In addition, volunteers help with organizing events, helping referral system by guiding families to appropriate services, helping families with language barriers with translation, accompanying elders and disabled to their doctor’s office appointments and distributing educational flyers.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Overall, the scope of services remains the same as the original application. However, ServingTogether’s work with our provider network will dramatically shift by the end of FY18 because of an exciting new partnership. In November 2017, EveryMind officially began a partnership with Syracuse University’s Institute for Veterans and Military Families (IVMF) to bring their AmericaServes model to our region. Across the country, AmericaServes partners with organizations like EveryMind that have identified the need to enhance collaboration among their regions’ service providers, government officials and community members, and built systems to help veterans navigate and access the resources they need.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Thus far, ServingTogether has made the following progress on the outputs for FY18:
Active duty service members, veterans, and their families access resources and information via the ServingTogetherProject.org website.
Current year actual: 24,324

Nonprofits and other service providers offering services to the veteran community become more effective as they learn about military and veteran culture by attending Serving Together’s trainings and briefings.
Current year actual: 647 (182 in Montgomery County)

Active duty service members, veterans, and their families in Montgomery County receive resources and personal support through Serving Together’s Veteran Peer Navigator.
Current year actual: 82 (21 in Montgomery County)

Of the 82 peer navigation clients, 21 were in Montgomery County. The majority of peer navigation clients received short-term (less than 2 weeks) assistance; 14 clients received long-term assistance requiring more than 2 weeks of care coordination. Some clients may begin as information and referral cases and then transition to short-term care if the issue(s) requires additional assistance. The top areas of assistance requested by clients thus far in FY18 are: financial, housing/homelessness, veteran benefits and family stress/current events.

Furthermore, ServingTogether hosted 22 briefings/trainings for 647 participants. Of those, eight briefings/trainings were for 182 Montgomery County providers.

In January 2018 ServingTogether also began utilizing AmericaServes’ software platform, Unite US, a sophisticated case management technology that will enable ServingTogether’s Peer Navigators to instantly connect with all of the resource providers in our network. Currently we are working with our existing partners in Montgomery County to get them registered and trained in Unite US – so far we have approximately 20 partners registered and trained and have begun using the platform this month. This month ServingTogether also created a new website with AmericaServes to reflect our partnership with AmericaServes. The website will be more functional and will allow visitors to enter their information and needs to get assistance from our Peer Navigators. This functionality will allow us to enter the veterans directly into the new Unite US software which is now being used to connect veterans to services across the entire National Capital Region.

Outcomes for the program will be included in the final report – the measurement tool for the outcome “Nonprofits serving military and veterans will be connected to a more coordinated system of care” will now be through Unite US, not the PARTNER tool described in the original proposal.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services was slightly modified from the original application. Here is the revised scope:
1. 8 MHFA (8 hours per training) training sessions to a minimum of 200 individuals.
2. 1 MHFA (8 hours for 5 days) Train-the-Trainer session to a minimum of 10 individuals, including some EveryMind staff, to become certified instructors. All class materials will be provided by the Contractor.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
During the first seven months of FY18, EveryMind completed two MHFA trainings for 34 individuals through this grant funding – one Youth MHFA and one Adult MHFA. The Youth MHFA course is for adults working with youth ages 12 to 18. In addition, EveryMind hosted a 5-day MHFA instructor training from January 8 – 12, 2018, which included 10 new MHFA instructors for EveryMind – nine staff members and one volunteer, as well as eight individuals from other community organizations.
Training 1 – Youth MHFA (July 2017)
Attendees included 16 staff and volunteers from youth serving nonprofit organizations.

Training 2 – Adult MHFA (July 2017)
Attendees included 16 staff and volunteers from nonprofit organizations.

Instructor Training (Train-the-Trainer) – Adult MHFA (January 2018)
Training for 10 EveryMind staff and eight others from Kaiser Permanente, Cornerstone Montgomery and Mental Health Association of Greater Washington. These 10 new instructors will assist us with providing MHFA trainings schedules for FY18 and beyond.

The following trainings are planned and will be completed by June 30, 2018 through this funding:

Training 3 – Adult MHFA (January 2018)
Attendees will include 26 staff and volunteers from the Pro Bono program of the Montgomery County Bar Foundation.

Training 4 – Youth MHFA (February 2018)
Attendees will include 20 volunteers with Community Bridges, a partner nonprofit.

Training 5 – Adult MHFA (February 2018)
Attendees will include 30 Housing Opportunities (HOC) residential staff.

Training 6 – Adult MHFA (February 2018)
Attendees will include 30 Health Promoters that work with the Asian American Health Initiative.

Training 7 – Adult MHFA (March 2018)
Attendees will include 30 volunteers and staff of Victory Christian Church International in Gaithersburg, Maryland.

Training 8 – Adult MHFA (March 2018)
Attendees will include 30 Housing Opportunities (HOC) residential staff.

Training 9 – Youth MHFA (March 2018)
Attendees will include 30 staff and volunteers from Idara-e-Jaferia, a partner organization of the Asian American Health Initiative.
Outputs and Outcomes:
EveryMind trained 34 community members, including nonprofit staff and volunteers who interact daily with vulnerable populations. Through training evaluations, participants self-reported MHFA made them feel confident in recognizing suicidal thoughts and behavior, more confident in approaching a person to assist them in getting needed mental health support and more knowledgeable about how to access services when someone is in crisis. Highlights from the evaluations include the following:

- 90% of program participants self-reported MHFA increased their understanding of the signs, symptoms and risk factors of mental illness and addiction;
- 90% of program participants self-reported MHFA increased their confidence and likelihood to help an individual experiencing a mental health related crisis.
- 90% of participants reported MHFA instructors were engaging and knowledgeable in leading the course.

EveryMind also completed one instructor training for a total of 18 individuals. The training took place January 8-12, 2018. Evaluations for that training are in the process of being collected.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 12/30/2017 • Last updated: 01/23/2018

Organization's Legal Name: Family Learning Solutions, Inc.

Submission Title: Family Learning Solutions -FY18 CE - 1 - IACR

Requested FY18 Award: 94000

Final FY18 Award: 45000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

FLS has been providing the FY18 scope of services as proposed. The program has been and continues to provide peer-group mentoring, intensive mentoring, college-career readiness, community service and peer leadership to GHS male students. In spite of not receiving funding comparable to groups providing services to similar population, FLS continues to implement innovative, comprehensive and effective mentoring approach to affect the lives of the hardest-to-reach, underserved youth. FLS uniquely, does not have eligibility requirements, such as G.P.A. Thus, FLS, participants join IACR with a 0.0-up to-3.5 GPA. Students support each other to improve grades, behavior and peer leadership skills.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

If funding was delivered as requested, FLS would have been able to 1) pay experienced and competent staff for 40 hours per week of work; 2) pay 2 alumni to implement a succession plan; 3) take 25 students to in-state colleges for day college tours and 4) create college video to share with entire school community. Many more students want to attend college tour than we are able to take. The staff are getting older and this program is unique. We need to train via pay 2 alumni so that the program can be replicated and continue for hundreds if not thousands of Montgomery County youth that need it. For true gang reduction efforts, this program is a viable approach.

The objectives identified in the IACR grant request are in the process of being accomplished. Currently, we have 100 student members of IACR. In just the past month an additional 30 students joined. The FY18 objectives, serve 80 male students attending GHS was therefore met. Programming continues to serve all of our student members. Students have been and are receiving services via peer-group mentoring, 1:1 mentoring with intensive staff-student mentoring as needed. Services provided since July 1, 2017 include mentoring, case management with parent meetings, on-going parent communication, smaller intensive group mentoring, community service coordination, Alumni and Career Speakers programming. Students supported GHS administration with special requests for student volunteers. Students received support from mentoring staff with academic-related issues involving school teachers, administrators, parents and peers. Peer group mentoring took place during afterschool, weekend and school vacation; 1:1 during and after-school hours. Group tutoring took place during designated in-school hours. Community service activities, between 10-12 took place off-site and 5-8 at GHS. Community Service activities were led by staff and IACR students and involved the IACR group members. Community service activities supported the school and community groups in Montgomery County.

College/career readiness is delivered during group meetings. College tour out-of-state served 25 students last year and this year we expect a similar number. FLS is hoping to add day college visits to in-state colleges, with additional funding, if raised for FY19. ALUMNI has attended meetings, spoke as career speakers. Elected and community leaders have spoken to members regarding college/career readiness. Last year, every high school senior graduated on time and received acceptance letters, were enrolled by high school graduation into 2 and 4 year colleges or military. FLS expects the same result this year. Students have improved grades, attendance and behavior so far in 2017 school semesters.

Peer to peer support and leadership training took place during group activities as proposed. GHS principal, administrators have acknowledged that FLS programs are largest student groups in the school. They refer students struggling with behavior and utilize our members for community service. In general, students are referred by administrators, staff and peers. Students in need of more intensive mentoring support (case management) receive smaller group and 1:1 services and contact sustained during summer months. Student tardiness, behavioral incidents have decreased.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 12/30/2017 • Last updated: 01/23/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Family Learning Solutions, Inc.

Submission Title
Family Learning Solutions -FY18 County Council - 2 - SHOUT

Requested FY18 Award
50000

Final FY18 Award
7500

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

SHOUT, career readiness community service group mentoring program at GHS is being delivered as stated in the original scope of services. If FLS had been given additional funding, mentoring staff could be paid for 40 hours per week of work, snacks, evaluation and day trips to in-state colleges would be implemented. 100 students have joined as members since September 2017. FLS served 80 students during last school year 2016-17. FLS outgrew its space and had to move the program to a larger space within the GHS building. Co-ed students lead the group in community service and tutoring.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Students are attached to a positive student club. SHOUT was created because school administrators asked for a co-ed student club to strengthen student leadership skills, focus on community service, increase positive school climate and students attachment to the school. SHOUT has been the largest student club in the school. It had 80 members, with never any less than 75 attending every week. Currently, SHOUT has 100 student members. Some students perform community service, tutoring. Other students receive tutoring and additional mentoring from staff. Students also participate in parent meetings.

Additional funding would help us implement a highly desired and effective college readiness intervention; that is, day trips to in-state colleges. SHOUT members are first-generation future college students and benefit from as much exposure as possible prior to graduating from high school. It also inspires many students to improve grades, behavior and set a goal of attending college.

SHOUT members participated in 10-15 community service activities. Community Service took place within the school building and off-site at Toys for Tots, VISION WALK and Homeless activity. SHOUT is on track to fulfill objectives and outcomes. Students improved in attendance, behavior and grades as expected and detailed in scope of services.

SHOUT had many students from last year return to participate. 9th graders also joined this year. FLS outgrew conference room and now uses space designated in the school for larger groups. Funds are needed for additional activities, transportation, snacks and staff hours.

Students are referred by school administrators, peers, self and teachers. An example of an outstanding success story is one boy who arrived at GHS late last school year. He had a history of changing households at least 5 times, residing with different family members. He presented disorganized, did not go to class, or had chronic tardiness, was in the hallways etc. This year, this student is now presenting as a totally different student. He attends regularly, changed his appearance, does not attend class late, has not had suspensions. The other students like him have also improved greatly. They are attached to a positive peer group that has students who have demonstrated higher functioning - and thus are proud to be a part of this group. Thus, they do not see participation as a punishment. They attend voluntarily and are attached to positive peers and staff. The higher-functioning students - have also grown from past year - some of them were lower-functioning as well. The students understand this and see how a "growth mindset" can actually work. Its not just words but real life. The students have to see it. When they see students similar to themselves making these adjustments, they feel that its possible for them as well. I am thrilled with the results of this program so far. FLS has always applied a no-rigid-eligibility approach so that any student that is interested can participate. This way the students, greatest in need, but often underserved, get the services that can help them make self-improvements academically and socially - which are critical for career readiness.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes. In anticipation of construction of the Purple Line down Bonifant Street, FVI is foregoing the Best of Fenton Village and incorporating another event in conjunction with the TTWFV, "Discover Bonifant."

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Taste the World in Fenton Village does not occur until May 20, 2018, the following will not be delivered until then: Distribution an estimated 2,000 passports for the event, surveys and marketing of the event.

However, we have begun the restaurant sign up for the TTWFV and to date, have 30 restaurants committed to participating. We have also secured commitments from two art non-profits and the Fenton Market to participate. As noted above, the Bonifant businesses have voted to incorporate "Discover Bonifant" into the TTWFV event.

We have begun sponsorship outreach for TTWFV and expect to secure this money by early March.

Construction of the Purple Line on Bonifant St will begin in Spring 2018. It is anticipated to impact 22-24 businesses on Bonifant for at least 18 months.

We hired a Director of Development who is coordinating the sign up of businesses and restaurants for both events. This Director has also organized the businesses on Bonifant and assisted their coordination with County entities to minimize financial losses during construction.

FVI has invited the Business owner leading this coordination to join our Board and she has accepted. Final vote from the Board is expected in late January 2018.
Organization's Legal Name  The Friendship Heights Neighbors Network Corporation

Submission Title  FHNN-FY18CE-1-Start-Up

Requested FY18 Award  22940

Final FY18 Award  10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, funds are used only to partially offset costs of 10 hour per week Executive Director.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Since the launch of programming (social programs as of July 1, volunteer services as of October 1), FHNN has been successful in meeting our YTD goals. There have been 304 attendees at social events (projection in grant was 40). Increased access to volunteer-provided rides and in-home services has been provided with 3 of 20 persons estimated to be served served in Q1 (October-December 2017), each receiving multiple services.

As with several other Villages with whom we have talked, our initial membership has included more social than full service members--members who appreciate the ability to attend our social programs meet and make new friends, avoid social isolation, and learn new things. They see membership as an investment for something they may need in the future. Surprisingly, we have found that most of the full members who have joined also see membership as an investment, with most not needing immediate service. Those numbers are changing over time, however, and we do expect service requests to rise. We already have had one full service member develop cancer, another have a severe health decline with multiple hospitalizations, and already have had one death. We have also had to emphasize to full service members that it is "ok to ask," as some don't want to be a burden even though they have paid through their membership to receive services.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Originally, we wanted to serve an additional 4 families in Montgomery County, however, the partial funding allowed us to serve an additional 2 families, with the current total of 24 families now being served in Montgomery County, with at least 11 residing in the 20877 zip code.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output: Clients give back to the community.
Target: 100% of the families
Number to be served: 24 families
Number served per month: 24 families
Outcome: Families must volunteer at least 2 hours per month
Expected number of hours per month: 48 hours
Expected number of hours for 6 months: 288
Actual hours for 6 months: 465.25 or 162% of expected hours

Volunteers log in when service is provided at First AME Church, picking up, preparing or distributing groceries. In addition, clients who volunteer with other agencies, etc., provide forms signed by an agency representative. Where clients are disabled or ill, preventing family members from volunteering, First AME Church volunteers, who in most cases do not receive groceries, donate their hours to these clients.

There were increased volunteer hours during November and December when groceries were supplemented by additional groceries from the First AME Church Food Pantry to provide additional food, especially for families with children who were out of school.
**Organization's Legal Name**  
Friends House, Inc  

**Submission Title**  
Friends House Redev - FY18 - CE - 2  

**Requested FY18 Award**  
200000  

**Final FY18 Award**  
50000  

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application?**  
Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.  

In 100 words or less.  

No, the scope of services has remained the same.  

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**  

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**  

Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
Friends House received final approval for the project from Montgomery County in November 2017, and a Preliminary Certificate of Registration from the Maryland Department of Aging on January 11, 2018. This took longer than anticipated and pushed back our start date for construction by a few months. Following a meeting of the project team, including the builder, architects, engineers, and the project steering committee, we are applying for permits now and hope to have all of the permits by the end of February 2018. The new target date for beginning construction is June 2018, pushed back from April 2018.

Outcomes:
No resident has been displaced due to the impending construction. One wing of the building was emptied through natural attrition in 2017 and remains vacant. All residents are housed in the remaining 3 wings. As stated above, the start date for construction to the common areas has been moved back by two months to June 2018.
## Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/16/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Family Services, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>FSI - FY18 CC 2 - TG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>139770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>57000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Numbers of children likely to be served by the HUB in FY 18 is currently projected to be closer to 80 than 150. This is a result of delays in start-up and the need to reduce the number of care coordinators from five to four, due to an overall budget shortfall of 22% from original projections.

Restricting admissions to kindergarten and Pre-K families is a barrier to actual enrollment requests and has contributed to the lower numbers being served to-date. The decision has been made to offer enrollment to all Daly Elementary families during the second half of the year.

### Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

### Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

**Response (up to 500 words):**

While faced with some challenges (as mentioned above), various workarounds have allowed the Thriving Germantown Community HUB to successfully launch services and activities during the first half of the
The Program Director and four care coordinators have been hired and trained; the Pathways HUB Connect IT platform has been brought on line; of the 12 key community partners, Information Sharing Agreements are in place with eight (continuing discussions are in various stages with the other four organizations including MCPS); client consent procedures continue to evolve, but are in use; and, outcome measurement/support contracts and plans have been established.

Participant Outputs and Outcomes: 26 families (65 individuals - 36 children & 29 adults) have been enrolled. Each participant received a comprehensive assessment and was evaluated for risk areas requiring services (i.e., pathways). While the overall output numbers are currently lower than originally projected, steps are being taken such that the number of children may potentially double by year’s end.

Fifty-six of the 65 participants are far enough in the assessment process to determine if pathways are indicated. For these 56 participants, 25 participants have 56 “healthcare/wellness” pathways identified, of which 18 are completed (32%). Fifty-six participants had a total of 86 “household sustainability or social service needs” pathways identified of which 63 of them have been completed (73%). Eight individuals/families have been identified as being food insecure and seven have been successfully linked to resources (87%). On an aggregate outcome basis, pathway completions were projected at 67%.

We continue in discussions with MCPS regarding the ability and efficacy of considering Kindergarten Readiness Scores and student attendance data as part of the program’s outcome evaluation effort. Aggregate school data for attendance measures do not indicate so far a significant problem for students in these regards.

Community Collaboration Outputs: We have held two partner meetings to date, the latest being on 8/29/17. Representatives from the public schools, Aspire Counseling, EveryMind, Identity, PCC and Manna Food Center were in attendance. A combined community advisory and partner meeting is scheduled for 1/24/2018. The plan for the meeting is to work on developing a collaborative impact strategy for the HUB and its community service partners. A continuing feature of our plan is to have the community partner committee assume a governance role that can inform the HUB. This aspect of the plan, and the development of the community advisory committee, is scheduled for the second part of the first year. In-service education for Daly Elementary School (DES) faculty and other HUB partners is planned for the second half of the year. Consideration of materials being piloted by The National Center on Safe Supportive Learning Environments is ongoing with the school.

Challenges have included: Delays in establishing referral protocols with Daly Elementary which resulted from the need to develop consent procedures acceptable to MCPS administrative and legal offices; developing information sharing procedures with several partners have involved an extended effort; and, challenges in learning to efficiently manage the PHC technology platform have been experienced.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application. However, there was additional funding for FY 18 to support an increase in staff hours at East County Regional Service Center (ECRSC) to meet the area’s growing need for complex case management services. The staff was increased from .65 FTE to .9 FTE. This has enabled an increased outreach effort to the East County community.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Objective 1: Provide comprehensive critical case management services to those in need along with connecting clients to local community resources at the NON and ECRSC.

a. How you will measure?: Track the number of clients walking through the door at the NON that are in need of services. Number to be served: 350
In the first half of FY18, comprehensive critical case management services were provided to 135 persons in need by the FSI Client Service Coordinator (CSC) at the Gaithersburg NON. While, this is 77% of the number of clients expected to serve at mid-year (175), the number does not include the children or other members of the household served. The CSC provided 238 referrals for various support services to these clients as they had multiple and complex needs.

b. How will you measure?: Track the number of clients walking through the door at the ECRSC that are in need of services. Number to be served: 250

In the first half of FY18, comprehensive critical case management services were provided to 105 persons in need by the FSI Client Service Coordinator at the ECRSC. While this is 84% of the number of clients expected to serve at mid-year (125), the number does not include the children or other members of the household served. The CSC provided 317 referrals for various support services to these clients as they had multiple and complex needs.

Objective 2: Clients will receive prompt assistance for resolving emergent needs and appropriate referrals to community resources/providers.

How will you measure?: Obtain the number of persons receiving services whose emergent needs were resolved or referred within 60 days of receiving services divided by the total number of persons receiving services in a 60 day period through case note review. Number expected to achieve outcome: 500

FSI’s CSC resolved emergent needs, and/or made referrals to service providers for 240 clients who visited the NON and ECRC in the first half of FY18. All were completed within 60 days of the clients’ visit. This is reflects a 96% achievement in meeting our Mid-Year service target (250).

Objective 3: Clients will have increased access to housing services in the community.

How will you measure?: Client has a workable plan in place to address their housing crisis and prevent them from falling into the situation again. Number expected to achieve outcome: 100

In the first half of FY 18, FSI’s CSC was able to assist 75 clients develop a workable plan to address their housing crisis and prevent them from falling into the situation again. This exceeds the Mid-Year service target (50) by 150%.

In the first half of FY18, FSI was able to make progress on their goals to provide County residents with assistance in becoming self-sufficient by helping them access critical and complex basic need services and supports. It is expected that FSI will be able to continue to make progress and achieve the targets by end of year.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/18/2018 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name     Family Services, Inc.

Submission Title              FSI - FY18 CE 3 - Youth Mentoring

Requested FY18 Award          50000

Final FY18 Award              40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This Fiscal year 2018, fifty-six (56) at risk youth successfully enrolled in the Gaithersburg Youth Mentoring Program in. All enrolled students participated in the following programming at least three times per month:

Thirty-six (36) group meetings for youth were offered during and after school, in which the following services were provided: homework support, mentoring, leadership, life skills, anger management, and drug and alcohol awareness and resistance. In addition, the program offered three Saturday opportunities to engage youth in community service and five recreational/educational field trips.

Ninety-three percent (52/56) of enrolled youth demonstrated on grade-level performance by having a grade point average of 2.0 or better in the first quarter report cards. These students are on target to advance to the next academic year.

Eighty-six percent (48/56) of enrolled youth had satisfactory attendance. The Youth Development Specialist strategically targets students identified as having below a 2.0 grade point average and whose attendance is not satisfactory in order to identify the root causes and address the issues.

Ninety-six percent (54/56) of youth enrolled in the Gaithersburg Youth Mentoring Program have no reports of suspension or expulsion.

The Youth Development Specialist is available to offer peer mediation and conflict resolution upon administration request and during lunch time to all GMS student. Forty-two (42) conflict resolution interventions were offered for a total of 52 Gaithersburg Middle School students.

The Gaithersburg Youth Mentoring Program will continue to provide high quality services to help students achieve the best academic, social, and emotional outcomes possible.
Organization's Legal Name: Future Link, Inc.

Submission Title: Future Link-FY18CC-1-Authentic Internships

Requested FY18 Award: 37800

Final FY18 Award: 27300

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Future Link will fund less internships with County Funds as a result of the partial funding given (granted 72% of funding requested). The original request was to fund 15 intern stipends (10 fully funded and 5 cost-shared with a corporation.) Future Link will be able to fund 11 interns (8 fully funded and 3 cost-shared) with County Funding. We are leveraging this funding with companies and private funders to maximize the number of internships as this is a vital experience to our at-risk population.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Future Link is aggressively placing interns in local businesses and has spent 65% of the dollars to date. Between matching funds, business contributions, and County funds, we have been able to place 17 interns (at various stages of their work experience) and have achieved the following outputs and outcomes as of December 31 (6 month mark):

Outcomes:
17 interns have been placed in authentic work experiences (a variety of cost structures)
Goal with County Funding is 11
Exceeded expectations.

Outputs:
Of the 17 that have been placed, 9 have completed their authentic work experience and all 9 are in touch with their employer after making a professional connection.(100%) Students are encouraged to keep this connection long-term.

Goal is that two semesters following the start of the internship, students remain on-track for post-secondary degree, certificate or training program. So far, all 17 students (9 who completed internship and 8 still in the internship) are in-school and on-track towards their stated education and career goals. (100%)
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Given that the funding request was cut by $5,000, Future Link shifted salary dollars towards the project but the support, intent and scope for student support remains the same.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs: Seminar alumni will be contacted on a quarterly basis.
In July and October, the student outreach coordinator contacted 216 students (goal of 150).

Outcomes:
Seminar alumni who haven't previously done so will access educational and/or career supports from Future Link that will help them stay on track with their academic and career plans. So far in FY18, 45 students have accessed supports including mentor requests (14), scholarship requests (12), pathway guidance (5), resume assistance (4), tutoring (5), job assistance (3), emergency help (2). Goal for FY 18 (entire year) is 40.

Seminar alumni are more likely to stay on-track with their academic and career plans. % of students successfully contacted who take advantage of a Future Link educational or career support as a result of the outreach.
So far, roughly half of those contacted, have taken advantage of supports (one semester completed).
With the Spring semester about the start and final grades just released and outreach starting again, this number will significantly increase during the second half of the year (as it always does). (Goal for year is 60%).

Future Link's outreach program continues to make a transformative difference in the lives of those most at-risk in the County. Our assistance through this outreach program represents the difference of a student continuing in school and being derailed permanently.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/09/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Community Bridges Incorporated

Submission Title
FY18 CE - 1 - Community Bridges

Requested FY18 Award
80000

Final FY18 Award
45000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Community Bridges (CB) is empowering girls in grades 4-12 from diverse backgrounds to become exceptional students, positive leaders and healthy young women through the CB programs; CB Girls Program, CB Mentoring Program, CB College and Career Planning Program and the CB Family Institute. To date we have exceeded our output goal of 250 girls and are currently serving 277 (110% of FY18 output goal) girls from 12 MCPS schools; JoAnn Leleck ES, Rolling Terrace ES, Sargent Shriver ES, Highland ES, Pincrest ES, White Oak MS, Silver Spring International MS, Eastern MS, Takoma Park MS, Montgomery Blair HS, James Hubert Blake HS and Springbrook HS. Through the CB College and Career Planning Program 65 (100% of FY18 output goal) CB Juniors and Seniors are being given the tools and processes for next steps after high school graduation through one-on-one meetings with the CB College and Career Program Manager, monthly career workshops held at the CB office, monthly college tours and weekly emails on scholarship opportunities. Through the CB Mentoring Program 32 CB high school girls are meeting weekly with 32 volunteer CB Mentors. This is 64% of our FY18 output goal of 50 Mentors. January is National Mentoring Month and we are in the process of recruiting, screening, interviewing and training new mentors with plans to surpass our output goal of 50 CB Mentors this year.

Community Bridges is on track to meet all of our FY18 outcomes. All 277 girls in the CB Girls Program have been given pre-assessments that have been entered into our data management system. In June the girls will complete the post assessment. The two assessments will be scored and compared to show the change in each girl in eight focus areas; grit, global self worth, physical appearance, social competence, job competence, cultural tolerance and civic responsibility. Currently 223 CB families are enrolled in the CB Family Institute. All families have been given a pre-assessment that has been entered into our data management system. In June the families will complete a post assessment. The two assessments will be scored and compared to measure the families change in knowledge and skills gained through the CB programs and workshops. All 65 girls enrolled in the CB College and Career Program have completed pre-assessments and all have completed one-on-one meetings with the CB College and Career Manager to assess where they are in the process of college research, college application and acceptance, FAFSA, scholarship applications and job applications. To date 100% of CB Seniors (32) have applied to a college and/or university and currently 3 have already been accepted to their college of choice. CB has 2 current CB seniors who applied to Ivy League institutions and current CB juniors will be attending a tour of Princeton University later this month. All 65 CB juniors and seniors have shown an increased knowledge in both job competence and scholastic competence and the exact change will be measured in June when they all complete their post assessments.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/16/2018

Organization's Legal Name
The First Tee of Greater Washington, DC

Submission Title
FY18CE-1-The First Tee of Greater Washington DC Girls Golf Initiative

Requested FY18 Award
25000

Final FY18 Award
10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since our original application. We delivered the program at 3 sites in Montgomery County in the Spring, Summer, and Fall Seasons.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The one extenuating circumstance is there has been a delay in receiving the funds from Montgomery. This delay has to do with our organization's difficulty in completing our registration with the State of Maryland Department of Taxation. That process is now complete after numerous forms that needed to be filled out to be in compliance. That all said, we moved forward with the project ahead of funding as was our plan (given the fact the funding was not expected until the 3rd quarter off the year after the program started).

In 2017, our stated output goals was to serve at least 100 girls in the Girls Golf Initiative. We had 114 enrolled in the program. These girls received character education and The First Tee Life Skills Experience through the game of golf. 58 of these girls came directly from a partnership developed with The Girl Scouts. At the program's conclusion in the fall, the participants were surveyed to evaluate the growth and efficiency of the program. Over 90% of the girls surveyed would rate their experience as excellent. 84% said they planned to return next year and 90% said they would recommend the program to a friend.

We recruited and trained 15 new volunteers across all three program sites. Many of these volunteers were girls playing golf for their local high school. In addition, we increased the number of girls competing in The First Tee Metro Tour and other similar golf tournaments and competitions by 40% in 2017.

One Outcome we have seen is that our participants continue to grow and progress through our various certification levels. Our Progression rate grew among girls from 20% in 2016 to 24% in 2017.

Retention is another key outcome of this program and 90% of the girls surveyed said they would come back next year. 45% of their parents surveyed felt the life skills their daughters learned in the program transferred over to other parts of their daughters' lives.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs

1. The Foundation outreaches to businesses/organizations regarding the impact of domestic violence on the community and workplace, resources for victims of domestic violence, and the work of the Foundation. (goal of 8 new businesses that become supporters of the Foundation) We currently have 4 new businesses/organizations supporting the Foundation on our goal of 8.

2. Increase in commitment level of current supporters (goal of 20 donors who contribute to Foundation fundraisers more than once per year) We have surpassed that goal reaching 35 recurring donors to date this fiscal year.

3. Expect Respect Workshops in MCPS on healthy teen dating & how to get help if you are in an unhealthy relationship (goal of 60 workshops presented to students in Montgomery County Public Schools). 45 workshops have been presented in MCPS so far this fiscal year. We are on target to make our goal.

Outcomes

1. Increase the number of emergency Care Kits the Foundation provides to victims of domestic and their families while transitioning to independence from an abusive relationship (goal of raising funds and donations to provide 400 Emergency Care Kits to victims of domestic violence and their children.) The Foundation has provided 280 Emergency Care Kits to date this fiscal year.

2. Provide free child care in the summer for children exposed to domestic violence so that mothers can continue to work, take classes, etc. while children their children are in a safe environment with other children and trained adult supervision. (goal of 35 children who have been exposed to domestic violence attend free summer camp) To date, 21 children exposed to domestic violence have attended camp.

3. Increase prevention and raise awareness about how teens can get help if they are in an unhealthy relationship. (goal of 1500 Montgomery County Students participating in Expect Respect workshops) Approximately 1300 Montgomery County High School students have attended our Expect Respect workshops.
Organization's Legal Name: Olney Home For Life Inc.

Submission Title: FY18 OHFL CE-1 operations

Requested FY18 Award: 12500

Final FY18 Award: 12500

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Scope has not changed

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
For the first 6 months of FY18 we are pleased with our progress and have delivered the following:

Transportation: This is our primary service and the most important for seniors to age in their home and community. OHFL delivered 601 rides and we are on track to exceed the goal of 1150 rides.

Friendly Calls and Visits are newer services and so far are below expectations:
OHFL delivered 558 calls. If the present trend continues we will probably reach a little over 50% of our goal of 2100 calls in FY18.
OHFL delivered 41 visits. If present trends continue we will probably reach a little over 55% of our goal of 150 visits in FY18. There are a number of reasons for the lower activity; a reduction in existing clients availability for medical reasons, clients leaving the area and turnover/difficulties at our partner EveryMind. We and County HHS are working with EveryMind to resolve their issues which impact other villages as well. At this time we do not have an estimate on when this will be resolved. The OHFL Board is also investigating alternative approaches to using EveryMind. But this is not a preferred solution.

96% of our clients at the start of FY18 are still living in their home, exceeding the goal of 90% for FY18. Achieving this goal is dependent on more than OHFL services such as the client's health and availability of care givers.

A new service for FY18, that is in addition to the FY18 goals, is providing "Information Seniors Can Use" events. We conducted 2 events so far in the first 6 months of FY18; Senior Safety, and Fall Prevention. Over 35 seniors attended these events. A Wellness Seminar is planned in partnership with the Olney Library and Brooke Grove for January.We also plan to hold our second "55+ Resource Fair" in Q4 of FY18. We held the 1st Fair at the end of FY17. Over 100 seniors attended the event where over 25 non-profits in the county were present to discuss their services available to help seniors. With what OHFL learned conducting the 1st event, we expect the same and better results for the FY18 event.

OHFL is also sharing its experiences. We are assisting the start-up Neighbors Helping Neighbors village in Leisure World establish themselves, and have shared our village model with others at the National Village to Village Conference in Dec 2017.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In October 2017, we increased the number of visits a family could make to our pantry for food/infant needs from 12 per year to 18 per year. This decision was based on the number of families that were hitting the 12 visit limit and also due to increased income from individuals following our former Executive Director, Linda Hanson's, death and successful foundation grant work in 2017.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have made steady progress toward achieving our FY18 output goal of 3,400 families receiving a 3-5 day food package with the actual service numbers being 1,943 year-to-date; and our goal of 1,000 infants/children receiving diapers and/or formula with the actual service numbers being 604 year-to-date. Our numbers are always highest in the months of November and December and generally drop back a bit as spring arrives.

While we do our annual client survey is completed in May/June each year, we have confidence our outcome expectation of having 90 percent of clients confirming that they are not only more confident about feeding their families well and obtaining diapers/formula for their infants, but are also able to use money saved on food/diapers/formula to address other compelling budget needs, will be met. Our 2017 survey results revealed 98 percent meeting this outcome.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Our programs successfully started in September 2017. We are operating our program at the following locations: the Burtonsville/Praisner library, Rockville library, Kensington library, Long Branch library, Aspen Hill library and the Black Box Theater in Downtown Silver Spring. There were 75 students who participated in our program across these six sites this fall. We also had eight students participate in our summer documentary program.

Students produced 12 pieces of media during this time. These pieces include:

The Fabric of America: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pgwCVA65s2w](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pgwCVA65s2w)
Don't Text and Drive: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uRvGPzPlCn0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uRvGPzPlCn0)
Unmasked: From Charlottesville to Silver Spring: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9EglW8EVgjk](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9EglW8EVgjk)

More videos are on our youtube page: [www.youtube.com/gandhibrigade](http://www.youtube.com/gandhibrigade)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Gandhi Brigade Inc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Gandhi Brigade Youth Media - FY18 CE 1 - Promoters Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>79,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>45000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Our programs successfully started in September 2017. We are operating our program at the following locations: the Burtonsville/Praisner library, Rockville library, Kensington library, Long Branch library, Aspen Hill library and the Black Box Theater in Downtown Silver Spring. There were 75 students who participated in our program across these six sites this fall. We also had eight students participate in our summer documentary program.

Students produced 12 pieces of media during this time. These pieces include:

The Fabric of America: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pgwCVA65s2w
Don't Text and Drive: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uRvGPzPlCn0
Unmasked: From Charlottesville to Silver Spring: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9EgIW8EVgjk

More videos are on our youtube page: www.youtube.com/gandhibrigade
Council Mid-year Report

Organization's Legal Name: GapBuster, Inc.
Submission Title: GapBuster
Requested FY18 Award: 125000
Final FY18 Award: 100000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No the scope has not change. Programs offered continue to be the following: National Society of Black Engineer (NSBE), Jr chapter; Weekly study hall sessions; Leadership skills and training; SAT Preparation Classes for students who are taking Algebra I or above; Annual College tours; and Community Service projects where students can gain meaningful Student Service Learning hours.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Outcomes/Results Achieved
1. 80 percent of individuals participating in the tutoring program must improve their math, writing and reading comprehension, and test taking skills, evidenced by an improved mark on their letter grade as demonstrated on local school quarterly report cards; and previous year compared to current year progress.
1. For the first semester comparing quarter grades – 77% of students who started in September improved from the first quarter to the second quarter.
2. 80 percent of participants must report a more positive and confident attitude toward school in general, as evidenced by surveys taken at the beginning and end of the school year;
3. In progress – completed at end of year/program year in May.
4. 80 percent of participants must decrease by 25 percent.
5. 80 percent of participants must demonstrate heightened awareness of post-high school options and a desire to pursue post-high school education, as measured through pre-and post-surveys and documentation of students’ post-graduation plans and achievements.
6. Hosted one college tours in November (visited 6 colleges) - Post college awareness and college tour 100% youth indicate they plan to apply to a 4-year college, and 79% are more aware of their post-high school educational opportunities (the other 21% were aware of their educational opportunities).
7. 81% of students who attended the National Society of Black Engineers (NSBE) Regional Convention in Greensboro this year increased their knowledge of the STEM fields and increased college acceptance options.
8. Conducted one 8-sessions each SAT-Boot Camp – all students who previously took SAT scores improved at least by 60 points and one students scored improved 105 points.
9. 80 percent of program participants will demonstrate improved self-confidence, leadership skills and sense of the future, as evidenced by results of the Contractor’s pre-and post-surveys and information gathered from the community.
10. Students conducted cross-age STEM mentoring/leadership (Wheaton to Parklawn MS.)
11. 100% of mentees (Parklawn students) increase STEM knowledge and improve sense of future.
12. 80 percent of participants must demonstrate increased participation in school and community activities, as measured by information obtained from pre- and post-surveys, school records, and feedback from the community.
13. In progress – completed at end of year/program year in May but as of January 2016 - 85% of the participants increased in community service activities as well as increased awareness of community needs as it relates to homelessness and fundraising.
14. 80 percent of participants must demonstrate enhanced self-image and sense of personal accountability, as measured through pre- and post-surveys.
15. In progress – completed at end of year/program year in May
16. 90 percent of participants surveyed must express satisfaction with the Contractor’s services.
17. In progress – completed at end of year/program year in May
### Organization's Legal Name
Generation Hope

### Submission Title
Generation Hope - FY18 CC -1 Scholar Program

### Requested FY18 Award
25000

### Final FY18 Award
5000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In October 2017 the Montgomery County Council awarded Generation Hope a $20,000 grant to provide Montgomery County teen parents with tuition support, mentoring, tutoring, and case management to help them earn a college degree. This funding proved vital in our ability to carry out our mission. In July 2017, we recruited 29 new students to the Scholar Program, bringing the total number of teen parents whom we support in college to 101.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Some of the services and activities that we have provided to these students since the beginning of the grant period include:

Matching with caring individuals in the community to provide one-on-one mentoring

Tuition support (we have awarded $133,500 in college tuition support to teen parents this year)

Mentoring training for both new students and their mentors in July 2017

Case management by program staff for each Scholar (daily)

Free tutoring to help Scholars with coursework (ongoing and as needed)

Study Skills Boot Camp for freshmen and students who opted to participate in July and August 2017

In-service training for Scholars on test anxiety and financial literacy at Montgomery College in November 2017

Outcome: Increased number of Montgomery County teen parent college graduates
Indicator: 90% graduation rate for senior Scholars in May/December
Two Montgomery County teen parents graduated in December 2017 and two more are slated to graduate in May 2018 and one more in August 2018. They are all currently on track to graduation thanks in part to the tuition support, mentoring, and case management that we provide.

Outcome: Increased number of Montgomery County teen parents with high academic performance
Indicator: 65% of Scholars maintain a 2.5 GPA or higher each semester
58% of Montgomery County teen parents maintained a 2.5 GPA or higher last semester.

Outcome: Increased number of Montgomery County teen parents receiving healthy mentoring relationships while in college
Indicator: 85% of Scholars still matched with original Sponsor at the end of the year
We will be able to report on this outcome at the end of the academic year in May 2018.
In October 2017 the Montgomery County Council awarded Generation Hope a $20,000 grant to provide Montgomery County teen parents with tuition support, mentoring, tutoring, and case management to help them earn a college degree. This funding proved vital in our ability to carry out our mission. In July 2017, we recruited 29 new students to the Scholar Program, bringing the total number of teen parents whom we support in college to 101. Seventeen percent of our Scholars are Montgomery County residents.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Some of the services and activities that we have provided to these students since the beginning of the grant period include:

- Matching with caring individuals in the community to provide one-on-one mentoring
- Tuition support (we have awarded $133,500 in college tuition support to teen parents this year)
- Mentoring training for both new students and their mentors in July 2017
- Case management by program staff for each Scholar (daily)
- Free tutoring to help Scholars with coursework (ongoing and as needed)
- Study Skills Boot Camp for freshmen and students who opted to participate in July and August 2017
- In-service training for Scholars on test anxiety and financial literacy at Montgomery College in November 2017

Outcome: Increased number of Montgomery County teen parent college graduates
Indicator: 90% graduation rate for senior Scholars in May/December
Two Montgomery County teen parents graduated in December 2017 and two more are slated to graduate in May 2018 and one more in August 2018. They are all currently on track to graduation thanks in part to the tuition support, mentoring, and case management that we provide.

Outcome: Increased number of Montgomery County teen parents with high academic performance
Indicator: 65% of Scholars maintain a 2.5 GPA or higher each semester
58% of Montgomery County teen parents maintained a 2.5 GPA or higher last semester.

Outcome: Increased number of Montgomery County teen parents receiving healthy mentoring relationships while in college
Indicator: 85% of Scholars still matched with original Sponsor at the end of the year
We will be able to report on this outcome at the end of the academic year in May 2018.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Learning Academy requested $68,000 to open a Saturday School Center in Clarksburg and was awarded $25,000 – 37% of the cost to operate one center for one year. Despite this, the demand from the Clarksburg community justified moving forward with plans to open a Clarksburg Center. It was decided to close one of the 12 existing centers - the center with the lowest enrollment. Therefore, the Sherwood Center closed as a full-time site but remained open as a part-time STEM Academy. This allowed Saturday School to maintain a presence in the Sherwood community and open a full-time center in Clarksburg.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The outputs, outcomes, and the mid-year results for the Clarksburg Saturday School Center implementation follow:
Output 1
Description: Students enrolled at the Clarksburg Center
Number Served – Goal: 250
Number Actual Mid-Year: 168
Explanation: As of the end of 2017, 168 students are enrolled at the Clarksburg Saturday School Center. This is 67% of the goal of 250 students. Historically, we know that enrollment surges at the beginning of second semester. We anticipate this happening at the Clarksburg Center when Saturday School reopens on February 3, allowing us to meet the enrollment goal of 250 students by the end of the 2018 program year.

Output 2
Description: Number of parent training sessions and number of parents attending each training
Number Served – Goal: 2/25
Number Actual Mid-Year: 1/12
Explanation: Two parent training sessions are held each year. The first, “Navigating the MCPS System,” was held on October 28, 2017 and was attended by 12 parents at Clarksburg Center. Attendance was 50% of what was expected due to inadequate advertisement of the training session. Those who attended had very positive comments regarding the training. In order to increase attendance at the second training session, parents have been notified earlier and more frequently than they did for the first.

Output 3
Description: Number of financial literacy workshops held and number of parents attending the workshop
Number Served – Goal: 1/25
Number Actual Mid-Year: 0/0
Explanation: The Financial Literacy Workshop is scheduled for March 17, 2018.

Outcome 1
Description: Students enrolled will attend at least 70% of Saturday School sessions
Number Expected to Achieve Goal: 150 of goal enrollment of 250 students (60%)
Number Actual Mid-Year: 78 of 168 = 46%
Explanation: At mid-year, 78 students of the 168 enrolled have attended 70% or more of the Saturday School sessions.
Although we have not met the goal, incentive programs to encourage student attendance will hopefully improve this metric before the end of the year.

Outcome 2
Description: Student academic progress
Number Expected to Achieve Goal: 75% of students enrolled will show academic progress from the beginning to the end of the academic school year
Number Actual Mid-Year: N/A
Explanation: Results will not be available for this outcome until assessment data is received from MCPS for the 2017/18 school year (estimated Sept 2018).

Outcome 3
Description: Parent Satisfaction
Number Expected to Achieve Goal: 80% of parents will be “satisfied” or “very satisfied” based on satisfaction survey distributed at Saturday School Closing
Number Actual Mid-Year: N/A
Explanation: Data will not be available for this outcome until the end of the program year in May 2018.
Organization's Legal Name                  Graceful Growing Together, Inc.

Submission Title                            GGT - FY18 CE - 1 - capital

Requested FY18 Award                        300000

Final FY18 Award                            100000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

the community space in the new church and community center is smaller now.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

There was a zoning dispute with a neighbor that has since been resolved. That has held up the construction process.
Organization's Legal Name: Graceful Growing Together, Inc.

Submission Title: GGT - FY18 CE-1-operating

Requested FY18 Award: 50000

Final FY18 Award: 35000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, the community space in the new church and community center has been reduced.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

A lawsuit over zoning with the neighbor has delayed this project for years but that has since been resolved. GGT Board is now only three people, all of whom started their board tenure in 2017.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 12/21/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Girls on the Run of Montgomery County, MD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Girls on the Run-FY18 CE-1-financial aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs: Through the mid-year, Girls on the Run has served 2215 of the expected 2500 girls. Our spring season is always much larger than fall. Of the 2215 girls, 559 received financial aid, which is 25%. We are still on track to give 1400 girls financial aid if we reach our participation goals of 5,000 girls served in the spring 2018.

Outcomes: Through the mid-year, 391 girls have increased their physical activity and decreased screen time, which can lower incidences of obesity. 380 girls have increased confidence, which can help reduce risky behavior such as substance abuse, eating disorders, and sexual activity. 335 have increased their contribution to community by participating in community impact projects.

We are on track to meet our goals as our spring season will be much larger than the fall season.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Great and Small</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Great and Small - FY18CC - 1 - TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences.** This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application.** Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals.** The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
41 individuals served in therapeutic riding (target 45, 91% of target achieved to date)
468 hours of therapeutic riding service provided (target 800, 58% of target achieved to date)
We are on track to meet both output targets by the end of FY18.

Outcomes:
18 participants have improved by one point in a single session in one of six categories - communication, confidence, enjoyment, horsemanship, physical, relationships (target 35, 51% of target to date)
83% made progress, 28% made a full point jump in summer session
90% made progress, 23% made a full point jump in fall session
We are on track to meet our outcome target by the end of FY18.
### Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 12/20/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Great and Small</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Great and Small - FY18CE - 1 - TR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application?**
Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
41 individuals served in therapeutic riding (target 45)
468 hours of therapeutic riding service provided (target 800)
We are on track to meet our output targets by FY18 year-end.

Outcomes:
18 participants have improved by one point in a single session session in one of six categories - communication, confidence, enjoyment, horsemanship, physical, or relationships. (target 35)
83% of participants in summer session showed progress in one or more categories. 28% demonstrated a full-point improvement.
90% of participants in fall session showed progress in one or more categories. 23% demonstrated a full-point improvement.
We are on track to meet our outcome target by FY18 year-end.
Greater Stonegate Village (GSV) was awarded a County Executive Community Grant in the amount of $10,000.00 for startup funds. GSV started 2017 with the goal of opening for business by the end of the year. The funds were used to expand our infrastructure to fully address the support needs of our aging population. Grant funds were used for volunteers’ background checks, liability insurance, developing a website, printing, telephone service, rental space for meetings and nutritious snacks for events. And on November 1, 2017, GSV opened. The services we provide are transportation, wellness checks, vacation watch, handyman service and technology support.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs
The infrastructure was developed to support seniors in Greater Stonegate Village as they age in place.
We have purchased insurance, contracted Club Express to develop and host our website, established telephone service, paid for printing cost, and rental space for meeting. We negotiated an agreement with the Jewish Council for the Aging VillageRides Program and will used RideScheduler, a secure database to track volunteers and service delivery. We launched on November 1 and although we have not yet met our expected target number of 30 members who received services but have provided services to 3 members. A survey is being developed to evaluate GSV activities. Volunteer staff is ready to service. Thirty -two volunteers were trained and completed background checks. We have not yet met our expected target number of 30 members who received services but have provided services to 3 members.

Outcomes
Our goal is to try to meet the intellectual, emotional and social needs of Villages members by offering a variety of educational programs and social activities to reduce social isolation. Our outreach efforts resulted in seniors participating in events. We have had four Meet and Greets, an open house. Since launching, we have had two educational programs with speakers. The topic of the first was physical fitness and exercise for seniors, presented by a physical trainer specializing in geriatric health. The second program by Montgomery County Fire Department captain discussed staying safe in your home, after which many in attendance signed up for home safety visits. Evaluations forms filled out by attendees showed that were used evaluate the programs, results on a 1-5 scale, for session 1 was 5.0 and for session 2 results were 4.8. Number expected to achieve outcome was 50 members to attend events the actual total number to attendance was 150 seniors. We have a robust schedule of further educational and social programs as well as plans to form special interest groups.

Services are provided by neighborhood volunteers. We have trained 32 volunteers. Nine of have completed VillageRides driver training. We have had 29 background checks completed by Coeus Global. We have not yet met our expected target number of 30 members who received services but have provided services to 3 members.

Circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. We currently have 37 members, a combination single, family, and associate memberships. Although we have used several means to communicate our village to the community such as mailings, meetings, Stonegate Citizens Association, community list serve and emails. We believe there are many residents who are unaware of the existence of the Greater Stonegate Village and of the value of membership. Informing all Greater Stonegate Residents about the GSV is our top priority for 2018. We are planning to place a flyer on the mailboxes of all 1200 households in Greater Stonegate.

Our challenges this coming year are increasing membership attracting and training, volunteers, vetting volunteers, developing partnerships with local business, and creating a successful fundraising plan.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We only received partial funding so this year, our donations will be shared with other counties as well, including Prince George’s, Howard and Baltimore. Also our compost digester is being returned to the county so we are not including composting efforts from that machine.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
In our application, assuming full funding, we described outcomes of donating 500,000 pounds of food, 225,000 meal equivalents (1.2 pounds equals a meal equivalent) worth $425,000 (based on $1.67 per pound) as well as composting 70 tons of material. We added a program since our application to donate to farmers as well, so that we now have a full proper triage of recovered food now. So our numbers are lower for composting that usual, but higher overall if we consider the redistribution of all foods. Additionally, since we received only 1/6 of our requested funding, we continued in our work but began to look regionally for other funding sources to keep our doors open. We are well on our way to achieving our metrics for the region, but are now sharing more with other counties who support us.

Since July 1, we have achieved the following in Montgomery County:
105,489 pounds of food donated, 87,907 meal equivalents, worth $176,166.63. Additionally, we have donated 68,969 pounds to Montgomery County farmers for their animals and we have composted 20.55 tons of food scraps generated in Montgomery county.

Regionally, in 6 months we have achieved:
254,262 pounds of food donated, 211,885 meal equivalents, worth $424,618.35. We've donated 72,664 pounds to farmers and we've composted 51.5 tons of food scraps generated regionally.

All told, 41% of our donations of food for people, 95% of our food donations for animals, and 40% of our composting to enrich regional soils has gone to benefit Montgomery County directly.

If we continue at this current rate, we will have achieved all of our desired outcomes regionally, with Montgomery County being the county to gain the majority of benefit from our services.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope remains unchanged. We plan to complete 7 repairs for senior households in villages.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
As of January 2018, we have served one senior household with this funding. We served a woman living in the Silver Spring Senior Village who has mobility issues and needed updates to her home. We were able to add a ramp and additional accessibility measures as well as replace her roof and weatherize her home.

We have been working with Senior Villages across Montgomery County to advertise our new senior repair program and now have an additional 6 senior households in our pipeline. All homes have received a home visit and are ready to receive services from Habitat for Humanity. Additionally, we will pay for one year's membership for each senior to join their Senior Village. We are confident that we can complete all additional 6 projects by May of this year, utilizing all funding received.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Habitat for Humanity Metro Maryland, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Habitat for Humanity Metro Maryland, Inc. - FY18 CE - 1 - affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed. We plan to serve a minimum of 7 senior households through our senior repair program with this funding.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
So far we have served one senior household with this funding. We served a woman living in the Silver Spring Senior Village who has mobility issues and needed updates to her home. We were able to add a ramp and additional accessibility measures as well as replace her roof and weatherize her home.

We have been working with Senior Villages across Montgomery County to advertise our new senior repair program and now have an additional 6 senior households in our pipeline. All homes have received a home visit and are ready to receive services from Habitat for Humanity. Additionally, we will pay for one year's membership for each senior to join their Senior Village. We are confident that we can complete all additional 6 projects by May of this year, utilizing all funding received.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services has not changed because the Hispanic Business Foundation MD received funds from other source that compensated the amount of funding not approved by the County Executive.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
We are in the middle of school year, is early to anticipate how many of our participants are going to graduate this year. Beside this point, many participants of PYI program are juniors or sophomores students.

The students made a commitment with us to complete the internships, they came back to work after winter brake motivated to do it. They have improved their grades.

There are 9 students enrolled in the program so far. Their work performance is very good, they are learning new job skills. The supervisors/employers feedback is positive.

HBF is in the process of recruit more students to work during the spring.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services of the ElderSAFE™ Center at Charles E. Smith Life Communities (CESLC) has not changed. The ElderSAFE Center continues to provide temporary shelter, safe discharge, and referrals to victims of elder abuse and works to increase awareness about this issue with the general public and prospective referring sources.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output 1 - Number of shelter days provided: 600; Outcome: 600 shelter days provided.
To date the ElderSAFE Center has provided 590 days of shelter to six (6) victims of elder abuse (both temporary shelter and long-term care clients). ElderSAFE has implemented a trauma-informed therapy program that uses yoga, music, and art to provide holistic therapy to shelter clients who do not have the cognitive capacity to respond to conventional therapies.
The ElderSAFE director and program manager work closely with internal and external sources to ensure safe discharge from shelter. To date, five (5) clients, who are long-term care residents on our campus, are receiving supportive services and resources from ElderSAFE; one temporary shelter client was discharged to the community safely.

Victim readiness is a key factor in the number of people who accept shelter.

Output 2 - Number of calls for resources to helpline: 75; Outcome: 56 callers provided with resources. To date, we received two (2) referrals and nine (9) inquiries from Montgomery County. In 82 percent of these cases, resources were provided to sheltered and non-sheltered older adults to assist them with staying safe. ElderSAFE Center staff connected both shelter and non-shelter clients to family law attorneys and the Montgomery County Justice Center who assisted clients in successfully obtaining protective orders to keep them safe from their abusers. We have worked with the Jewish Coalition Against Domestic Abuse to provide trauma-informed therapy to sheltered clients and to clients discharged from shelter.

ElderSAFE Center staff worked with attorneys, local government, and community service agencies on legal referrals, housing options, and counseling services. With the help of one of our housing partners, we safely discharged an ElderSAFE client to independent living.

Output 3 - Number of employees and community members educated: 2,000; Outcome: 75 calls to helpline for resources. To date, we have educated 933 CESLC employees and 480 community members, 233 from Montgomery County, to recognize and respond to elder abuse.

We distributed program brochures, in seven languages, to culturally competent programs and at culturally focused senior expos.

ElderSAFE staff and the Montgomery County Elder and Vulnerable Adult Task Force are designing an elder abuse screening tool.

In January 2017, ElderSAFE launched the Faith Leaders Elder Abuse Pilot Project to build awareness in clergy and faith communities. The ElderSAFE team delivers trainings to staff and members of local faith communities. In October 2017, the ElderSAFE director led a national Department of Justice webinar about this project and the ElderSAFE model. In November 2017, ElderSAFE hosted a community-wide luncheon seminar for 60 faith leaders, allied professionals, and community members to build prevention and intervention efforts in faith communities.

In March 2017, ElderSAFE hosted a financial abuse seminar for allied professionals. Another financial abuse seminar is planned for March 7, 2018.

The ElderSAFE director is on the planning committee for the 2018 Montgomery County World Elder Abuse Awareness Day. On behalf of our community partners, ElderSAFE will purchase an advertisement in the Senior Beacon to promote the event.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 12/20/2017

Organization's Legal Name
Heritage Tourism Alliance of Montgomery County Inc.

Submission Title
Heritage Montgomery FY18 CE #1

Requested FY18 Award
100000

Final FY18 Award
100000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
We are making good progress on our stated outputs and outcomes for this year and are exceeding our goals in most areas.

This year, Heritage Montgomery awarded a record $30,000 in mini grant funds to 13 County organizations for a wide variety of products and programs which will serve the public.

Program development in HM’s African American and Quaker themed heritage cluster continues. The title has been changed to "Communities and Crossroads" with the intention of making the programs offered more inclusive of all visitors. An eastern and western tour route have been completed and we are working with partners to begin offering tours. There are 15 individual sites included in the tours. We are providing funding development and technical assistance to each partner site.

We continue working with the county's historic African American records. The Heritage cookbook was released this summer and has received a great deal of acclaim and interest, so much so that we are currently planning a second edition to meet the demand. Proceeds from the cookbook provide a revenue stream to the individual communities for preservation of their history and buildings. We have sponsored several well attended events highlighting the cookbook and the African American history of the county.
**Organization's Legal Name**  
Hispanic Business Foundation of Maryland, Inc.

**Submission Title**  
Hispanic Chamber Business Foundation - FY18 CE - 1 - BEST Center Rent

**Requested FY18 Award**  
27500

**Final FY18 Award**  
22000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, we have accomplished the scope of services.

We are operating a location in the Kensington Wheaton area to support local small businesses.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

We have a place open-for-business location in the Wheaton-Kensington area; over 30 small business owners had walk in access to a local office to receive services, referrals, advocacy support, instruction County services and programs for small businesses.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of this program has remained the same. We continue to serve clients primarily in 5 subsidized housing buildings with a weekly home care aide visit. The $75,000 requested includes amounts requested from CDBG and the County Executive; a total of $55,000 was awarded from County funding. The operating budget for the program was not revised other than to seek additional funding from foundations.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the first 6 months of the program, 44 clients were served. Of those, 41 (93%) continued to receive services in the 2d quarter. At this time, the program is open to accepting new clients and the resident counselors at all 5 facilities have been so informed.

The client satisfaction survey results for calendar year 2017 are still being compiled and analyzed. Results will be available by the next reporting period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the program remains unchanged from the original application. We continue to serve low-income seniors and adults with disabilities in 5 low-income housing facilities. The $75,000 requested included amounts also requested from CDBG and the County Council; a total of $55,000 was awarded from County funding. The operating budget was not revised, other than to continue to seek revenue from other foundations.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the first 6 months of the program, 44 clients were served. Of those, 41 (93%) continued to receive services in the 2d quarter. At this time, the program is open to accepting new clients and the resident counselors at all 5 facilities have been so informed.

The client satisfaction survey results for calendar year 2017 are still being compiled and analyzed. Results will be available by the next reporting period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The total cost for all support groups was estimated to be $90,000 in our proposal. We had originally asked for the county to defray $25,000 worth of these costs. The county agreed to defray $10,000 of these costs, but we were able to find significant additional support from other sources. However, the remaining funding deficit needs to be accounted for and we will be offering fewer groups for a total of $85,000 worth of support groups in calendar year 2018 (January - December 2018.)

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our FY18 application requested funding for our support groups for people with cancer. The output identified for this project was to have 950 people with cancer served by licensed clinical facilitators. At the five month mark we have served 447, which puts us on track for meeting or exceeding our goal. In calendar year 2017, we exceeded this goal, serving 958 people with cancer.

We identified two outcomes for our support groups for people with cancer. The first outcome was that 90% of people with cancer identify tools to improve their quality of life. Data from our annual survey shows that 90% of responses to this question indicated that participants had identified tools to improve their quality of life. Survey responses from previous years reveal that participants have identified tools to improve quality of life at consistently high levels.

The second outcome was that 90% of people with cancer indicate that their overall stress level has decreased since participating in our support groups. Again, our annual survey shows that 90% of the responses to this question indicated that participants' overall stress level had decreased. Studies have shown that 50% of people with cancer suffer from depression as a result of the stresses of having cancer. Surveys from previous years indicate that participants have experienced stress relief as a result of our support groups at consistently high levels each year.

We are happy to report high satisfaction with our support groups for people with cancer, and anticipate continued satisfaction in these and other programs for FY18.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We have continued to provide the services that we set out to deliver. The partial funding of the request has impacted the amount of follow up appointments that we have been able to make, but we are still working to fill the gaps in the community of individuals with past criminal involvement.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Hope Restored, Inc. continues to work hard for the clients we serve, even with the limited amount of resources that we received from the county.

We have received 74 new clients this year.

We have worked with 43 individuals for court advocacy.
We have helped 23 secure employment opportunities.
We are providing mentoring for 32 individuals.
## Council Mid-year Report

**Last updated: 01/19/2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Hope Restored, Inc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Hope Restored FY18 CE 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>48500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No changes

### Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

### Response (up to 500 words):

Hope Restored, Inc. continues to work hard for the clients we serve, even with the limited amount of resources that we received from the county.

We have received 74 new clients this year.

We have worked with 43 individuals for court advocacy.

We have helped 23 secure employment opportunities.

We are providing mentoring for 32 individuals.
Organization's Legal Name  Horizons Greater Washington Inc

Submission Title  Horizons Greater Washington - FY18 CC - 1 - youth program

Requested FY18 Award  20000

Final FY18 Award  10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our current enrollment at our Montgomery County program is 110 students and we are forecasting to be at 120 students for summer 2018. This lower than expected enrollment is due to some of our middle school students not returning to the program. We have made some programmatic changes for our 2017-2018 Saturday school year program and 2018 Summer Program to provide more student choice in specialty classes and more long-term projects. For example, currently at our Saturday Program, our middle school students are assembling and building a portable video game device called PiGRRL. This is a multi-stage project that the students will work on over the course of 5 months. This project will include assembling (including soldering) electronic components, 3D printing a device case, and programming and testing the software. This is one of the most ambitious projects we have done with the students, but they are incredibly excited to be building their own hand-held video game. In addition, during Summer 2018 the middle school students will now have a “free choice” class with potential options such as web design, writing, dance, and other courses developed together by students and teachers.

To raise students’ aspirations for life-long success we encouraged all students, in an age-appropriate manner, to focus on their education as a pathway to achieve their personal goals. With our middle school students, we focused on preparing them for high school; understanding the increased expectations, approaches and strategies for homework and projects, and the pathway to high school graduation and college. Teachers also had discussions with the students to understand their personal and academic areas of interests and how those interests and passions could translate into a career. Students also visited the Virginia Tech/Qualcomm Thinkabit Lab. The Thinkabit Lab “provides students with a unique, hands-on STEM experience and exposure to careers that they may not know exist”. Students had the opportunity to speak with college students and professionals about STEAM careers, from software and hardware engineering to government affairs, marketing, finance, and human resources.

During the Summer Program, we monitor the students’ academic progress using the STAR assessment, developed by Renaissance Learning, to measure pre- and post-session reading and math skills. Data collected shows that this past summer our students on average gained one to three months in reading, and from two to four months in math during those six weeks. We also assess our students’ progress in problem solving, collaboration, and critical thinking by evaluating their performance on a team project in coding, robotics or 3D printing.

Through speaking with our families and administrators at our public partner school, Rock Creek Forest ES, we note that our students are actively engaged and participating in their classroom activities and are enthusiastic to attend our Saturday Program and 2018 Summer Program. As noted above, we anticipate enrollment of 120 students as the Summer Program begins on June 25, 2018.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services remains the same. County funding helps match state funding for two volunteer AmeriCorps volunteers who will help HCI strengthen effective delivery of end-of-life and bereavement services to the County’s increasingly diverse population by removing culture and language barriers.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Progress is being made in fulfillment of the grant. No extenuating circumstances are preventing progress. Two volunteers have been employed and are actively working on reaching out to culturally diverse populations through community resource fairs and other avenues.

- **Output:** Volunteer Recruitment. 17 volunteers have been recruited with 50% who are culturally or linguistically diverse. 15 is the annual goal.
- **Output:** Culturally appropriate program materials. Program materials are in Spanish. Some programs are delivered by Spanish speaking volunteers. Parent tip sheets and videos are in multiple languages.
- **Output:** Increase number of culturally diverse service recipients. Total numbers served in Volunteer Helping Hands, Adult Bereavement and Children’s Bereavement services is 285, 48.7% of the number in the grant. Of those who reported race, 63.4% are culturally diverse.

- **Outcome:** Clients give positive responses that indicate HCI has delivered culturally and linguistically appropriate services. Data to date that over 90% of the participants agree or strongly agree to culturally/linguistically appropriate services.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services remains the same. County funding helps match state funding for two volunteer AmeriCorps volunteers who will help HCI strengthen effective delivery of end-of-life and bereavement services to the County’s increasingly diverse population by removing culture and language barriers.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Progress is being made in fulfillment of the grant. No extenuating circumstances are preventing progress. Two volunteers have been employed and are actively working on reaching out to culturally diverse populations through community resource fairs and other avenues.

- Output: Volunteer Recruitment. 17 volunteers have been recruited with 50% who are culturally or linguistically diverse. 15 is the annual goal.
- Output: Culturally appropriate program materials. Program materials are in Spanish. Some programs are delivered by Spanish speaking volunteers. Parent tip sheets and videos are in multiple languages.
- Output: Increase number of culturally diverse service recipients. Total numbers served in Volunteer Helping Hands, Adult Bereavement and Children’s Bereavement services is 285, 48.7% of the number in the grant. Of those who reported race, 63.4% are culturally diverse.

- Outcome: Clients give positive responses that indicate HCI has delivered culturally and linguistically appropriate services. Data to date that over 90% of the participants agree or strongly agree to culturally/linguistically appropriate services.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The reduced funding resulted in non-hiring of staff to run the day shelter for nonschool aged children. As a result, the outcome of children increasing pre-k skills is reduced from 10 to 0. Reduced funding also impacted the number of weeks the emergency shelter is in operation. The projected number of client we will serve is reduced from 110 to 95. The number discharged to other placement is reduced from 100 to 85.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs
1. Emergency shelter to 110 women and children: 26 served to date
2. Discharge 100 to other shelter programs or temporary/permanent housing: 3 to date
3. 10 children sheltered during the day: 5 to date

Outcomes
1. 45 residents with decreased stress through housing stability: 16 residents
2. 40 discharged and maintaining housing 30 days or more: 3 to date
3. 10 children with increased pre-k learning skills: 0 to date

Receipt of partial funding affected the hiring of staff to operate the day shelter and work with the children to develop pre-k skills. Also, partial funding affected the hiring of staff needed to operate the shelter for a longer period of time. The shelter operation period is less than planned during grant application.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed; HRM clinical services staff continue to provide safety planning, IPV education, and therapy to adult and child victims of intimate partner violence at the Montgomery County Family Justice Center.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outcome 1: Victims of IPV will increase their ability to be safe
Number expected to achieve outcome: 75; Mid Year Actual: 33

Safety planning is a primary focus of all HRM services. Safety planning helps clients develop plans and gather means to improve safety for themselves and their children. At this mid point in the grant term, HRM therapists located at the Montgomery County Family Justice Center have clinical services to 52 adults who have experienced intimate partner violence. Of the adults served, 33 have shown an increase in their ability to identify, use, and adapt safety planning measures. Age-appropriate safety planning was also discussed with all of the children over four years of age.

Outcome 2: Victims of IPV will increase their understanding of IPV dynamics and community resources for support, empowering them to make healthy choices and become self-advocates.
Number expected to achieve outcome: 75; Mid Year Actual: 52 100%

Helping adults and children who have experienced intimate partner violence understand the dynamics of intimate partner violence is a critical part of HRM clinical services. Significantly, victims of IPV often believe that they are somehow responsible for the abuse the experience, that their behavior - or just who they are - caused their partner to be abusive and harmful, that they are to blame, and that they could somehow make it stop. HRM Therapists work with their clients to help them understand that the abuse is not their fault, that abusive behavior is the abusive partner's choice, and that the client is not responsible or to blame. This understanding is vital to helping clients regain a sense of self-agency and power that can help them decrease the violence in their lives and increase their safety. Therapists also provide connection to resources individualized to a client's needs and location to help them live independently of their abuser, and no longer rely on them for basic needs.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

As we recruited teachers to conduct the activities and as we recruited participants, we noticed much greater interest for a two week camp. All of the recruited teachers were available only for two weeks. Also, most families would not commit to enroll if the camp were to run for three weeks.

This change was made to the amended budget and was incorporated in the executed contract.

Some camps ran concurrently, others ran consecutively. Unfortunately, the participants who completed the camps earlier in the summer did not return to the season ending competition. Consequently, the event was smaller than anticipated.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output Description (amended)
Provide four hours of STEM robotics activities x five days a week x two weeks
Plus, 4-hour concluding competition after all the camps completed their two weeks of activities.

As we recruited teachers to conduct the activities and as we recruited participants, we noticed much greater interest for a two week camp. All of the recruited teachers were available only for two weeks. Also, most families would not commit to enroll if the camp were to run for three weeks. This change was made to the amended budget and was incorporated in the executed contract.

Class attendance was kept each day. A 95% attendance rate was maintained throughout the camp season.

Our targeted enrollment was 100 participants (20 at each site). In total, 90 children attended the program. We delayed recruitment for the program pending grant award announcements. This subsequently affected the enrollment. Many families had already made other arrangements for their children.

1- Outcome Description
Participants will successfully build functional robots

All of the campers worked in pairs to design, build and program working robots. The teams of two worked with Lego Robotics kits according to their grade level. Our youngest participants in grades 1-3 worked with WeDo 2.0 kits to make functioning cars and animals. Older participants in grades 4-8 built robots using Lego’s Mindstorms EV3 kits to successfully execute the “Shark Tank” challenge from the FIRST robotics competition.

All of the participants assembled and programmed functioning robots. The robots were “tested” in friendly competition individually at each site at the end of the two-week session.

2- Outcome Description
Participants will report greater interest in STEM subjects in school

The participants reported in the post-survey the following results:
100% successfully built a functional robot (target: 100%)
83% reported an increased interest in science and math (target: 80%).
59% reported an intention to enroll in more STEM after school activities (target: 60%). Registrations in our after school programs confirm this.

3-Outcome Description
Participants will enroll in after school STEM programming activities during next school year

Several of the children from the summer camps have enrolled in after school STEM programming at their sites (The Willows and Towne Centre Place) and some students attended special events like the West Point Mobile STEM Workshop.

59% reported an intention to enroll in more STEM after school activities (target: 60%). Registrations in our after school programs confirm this.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/04/2018

Organization's Legal Name: Housing Unlimited Inc

Submission Title: Housing Unlimited- CC-FY18-1-housing for homeless

Requested FY18 Award: 100000

Final FY18 Award: 50000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

NA

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

OUTPUTS
Very Low Income Individuals with Psychiatric Disabilities Receiving Permanent, Affordable Housing
Tenant roster of Housing Unlimited tenants
Target: Increase Number of Individuals in Housing Unlimited Housing from 185 to 195
Actual: Housing Unlimited increased the numbers of individuals in Housing Unlimited housing from 185 to 197.

OUTCOMES
1. Development of New Housing Unlimited Homes
Outcome Description/Target:
In FY18, Housing Unlimited will open up an additional four to six homes that will serve ten to fifteen very low-income adults with psychiatric disabilities. The homes will be accessible to public transportation, shopping, employment, and supports.

Actual: In FY18, Housing Unlimited opened up an additional five homes that serve 12 very low-income adults with psychiatric disabilities.

2. Extra Measure Management of Housing Unlimited’s Current Homes (A)
Outcome Description/Target:
At least 80% of Housing Unlimited tenants will maintain their Housing for one year or more.

Actual: 87% of new Housing Unlimited tenants maintained their Housing for one year or more.

3. Extra Measure Management of Housing Unlimited’s Current Homes (B)
Outcome Description/Target:
At least 90% of Housing Unlimited tenants will pay their rent on time, maintain a clean home, and respect their housemates.

Actual:
95% of Housing Unlimited tenants paid their rent on time, 98% of tenants maintained a clean home, and 97% of tenants respected their housemates.
Organization's Legal Name  
Housing Unlimited Inc

Submission Title  
Housing Unlimited - FY18 CE - 1

Requested FY18 Award  
100000

Final FY18 Award  
50000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

NA

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

OUTPUTS
Very Low Income Individuals with Psychiatric Disabilities Receiving Permanent, Affordable Housing
Tenant roster of Housing Unlimited tenants
Target: Increase Number of Individuals in Housing Unlimited Housing from 185 to 195
Actual: Housing Unlimited increased the numbers of individuals in Housing Unlimited housing from 185 to 197.

OUTCOMES
1. Development of New Housing Unlimited Homes
Outcome Description/Target
In FY18, Housing Unlimited will open up an additional four to six homes that will serve ten to fifteen very low-income adults with psychiatric disabilities. The homes will be accessible to public transportation, shopping, employment, and supports.

Actual: In FY18, Housing Unlimited opened up an additional five homes that serve 12 very low-income adults with psychiatric disabilities.

2. Extra Measure Management of Housing Unlimited’s Current Homes (A)
Outcome Description/Target:
At least 80% of Housing Unlimited tenants will maintain their Housing for one year or more.

Actual: 87% of new Housing Unlimited tenants maintained their Housing for one year or more.

3. Extra Measure Management of Housing Unlimited’s Current Homes (B)
Outcome Description/Target:
At least 90% of Housing Unlimited tenants will pay their rent on time, maintain a clean home, and respect their housemates

Actual:
95% of Housing Unlimited tenants paid their rent on time, 98% of tenants maintained a clean home, and 97% of tenants respected their housemates
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/11/2018 • Last updated: 01/12/2018

Organization's Legal Name          Identity Inc.

Submission Title                   Identity - FY18 CC - 1 -Saving Lives Through Soccer

Requested FY18 Award               173,018

Final FY18 Award                   30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services remain the same as in the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Identity’s soccer program began its fifth year in July 2017. Since the start of the new program, Identity has been able to continue to reach youth from a number of different schools. In the summer, we worked with youth from Gaithersburg, and Shady Grove Middle Schools, as well as a group of incoming ninth graders at Gaithersburg High School. For the fall cohort the program has included youth from Forest Oak, Redland and Gaithersburg Middle Schools, as well as youth from Watkins Mill, Seneca Valley, Gaithersburg and Wheaton High Schools.

From July to December 1, 2017, 171 youth enrolled in the program and 60 completed a Baseline and Exit survey as of that date. The other enrolled youth are continuing in their cohorts and will complete exits in 2018. Of the 171 youth enrolled as of today, 64% are males and 36% females. In terms of racial/ethnic distribution, 99% of youth reported being Hispanic/Latino and 1% Asian. The program continues to have great success and many youth continue to show great interest in being part of a safe and fun soccer program. As of this report, of the 171 youth who are currently in the program, we have been able to enroll 85 of them in an organized soccer league. We enrolled many of them in a summer league at Rockville Sportsplex as well as the Montgomery County Soccer for Change (MCS FC) League that is organized by the County’s Recreation Department. We held 138 soccer session/activities as of December 1, 2017. Outcome highlights of the youth from whom we have collected a baseline and exit survey include:

- 58% of those youth that reported negative attitudes towards their teammates at baseline reported an improvement in their relationship with their teammates.
- 52% of youth who initially reported poor levels of conflict resolution and anger management skills, reported an improvement in those skills.
- 79% of youth who initially reported a lack of positive expectations for their futures, reported an increase in their self-confidence to accomplish their life goals, their ability to deal with problems that they may face in their futures, and felt more positive about their futures.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services remain the same as in the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The contract’s targets include: to provide case management clothing referrals to 70 families, food referrals to 100 families and furniture referrals to 70 families. In addition, at least 85 % of the families referred would access the services. As of December 31, 2017, Identity referred 87 families for clothing assistance and 87% of the families accessed that service; Identity referred 121 families for food and completed 90% of these referrals; and referred 78 families for furniture, and 60% received the service. As of the date of this report, 983 overall referrals have been made on behalf of needy families and 762 have been completed, meaning 78% have accessed the services. In addition, during this fiscal year we served the families of 28 youth fleeing violence with case management services. We have seen that our clients have mostly needed services from Manna Food Center, Nourish Now and Interfaith Clothing Center. A separate document is attached to our Second Quarter Narrative Report, which shows the breakdown of the most common referrals made to-date.

The following is a highlight of a client served by Identity: Mrs. MV, born and raised in Guatemala, moved to the US ten years ago, to provide a better life for her impoverished family in Guatemala. She left her four-month-old daughter with her mother. She settled in Montgomery County, and started a new family. Two years ago, after saving for many years, she was able to pay a smuggler to bring her 10-year-old daughter who she had left in Guatemala. The child was detained while crossing the border and the resulting trauma left her unable to speak for several months. MV and her husband have five children including her oldest daughter AL who is now 12. Mrs. MV connected to Identity through AL’s school Capt. James Daly Elementary School, where Identity provides a reading enrichment program for Latino youth. Mrs. MV met with Identity’s Case Manager and shared the difficulties her family was facing and what her daughter had gone through while crossing the border. After assessing the situation, Identity’s Case Manager connected the family to Baby’s Bounty, Holy Cross Health Centers, Identity’s Mental Health, International Social Service, Manna Food, Nourish Now, VITA Center, Montgomery County Colesville Adult Dental Clinic and The Holiday Giving Project. Identity’s Case Manager continues to work with the family to connect them to services and resources in the community.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 01/11/2018 • Last updated: 01/18/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Identity, Inc.

Submission Title
Identity - FY18 CC - 3 - Transportation Service

Requested FY18 Award
63922

Final FY18 Award
21900

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Through in-school and out-of-school programs, Identity’s goal is to support at-risk Latino and other Montgomery County youth in their transition to adulthood by providing a range of services to help improve social and emotional learning skills, increase academic successes, and better prepare for work. The General Services Grant funding provided Identity the ability to purchase a minibus to increase low-income clients’ access to and participation in Identity’s afterschool academic and social/emotional enrichment programs, recreation and parent engagement.

After discussing the needs of our organization and bus model options with several bus companies, Identity purchased a Ford Transit MFSAB from Rhour Bus Company. This compact fifteen-passenger minibus allows the staff to maneuver and drive the vehicle easily to and from program events. Its mid-sized footprint facilitates parking and enables staff to easily navigate in urban areas. Identity had originally proposed to purchase a fourteen-passenger minibus, but during the buying process, we were fortunate in finding a bus with an additional seat, which enables us to assist a higher number of our clients at a time, if needed.

The purchase of the minibus has aided Identity’s various programs tremendously. For the entire month of December, one of Identity’s minibuses broke down due to mechanical issues and had we not acquired this new vehicle, Identity would not have been able to provide services to many of our low-income, under-served, isolated families that do not have access to transportation.

Between its purchase in late September and the end of December, the minibus has been utilized 56 times and transported an average of 10 clients per trip to various sessions. The programs using the bus the most during this period were our elementary school program and recreation program. However, clients of other after-school programs and services, including parent engagement programs, used the bus as well.

The grant has enabled Identity to continue providing transportation to all of our clients with transportation barriers to participation in programs and activities. Clients who directly benefited from the additional bus transportation services include those in our new academic elementary school program and our recreational activities for underprivileged students. The additional access to programs improves positive outcomes for students in Montgomery County.
Organization's Legal Name: Identity Inc.

Submission Title: Identity - FY18 CE - 1 - Saving Lives Through Soccer

Requested FY18 Award: 173018

Final FY18 Award: 130000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services remain the same as in the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Identity’s soccer program began its fifth year in July 2017. Since the start of the new program, Identity has been able to continue to reach youth from a number of different schools. In the summer, we worked with youth from Gaithersburg, and Shady Grove Middle Schools, as well as a group of incoming ninth graders at Gaithersburg High School. For the fall cohort the program has included youth from Forest Oak, Redland and Gaithersburg Middle Schools, as well as youth from Watkins Mill, Seneca Valley, Gaithersburg and Wheaton High Schools.

From July to December 1, 2017, 171 youth enrolled in the program and 60 completed a Baseline and Exit survey as of that date. The other enrolled youth are continuing in their cohorts and will complete exits in 2018. Of the 171 youth enrolled as of today, 64% are males and 36% females. In terms of racial/ethnic distribution, 99% of youth reported being Hispanic/Latino and 1% Asian. The program continues to have great success and many youth continue to show great interest in being part of a safe and fun soccer program. As of this report, of the 171 youth who are currently in the program, we have been able to enroll 85 of them in an organized soccer league. We enrolled many of them in a summer league at Rockville Sportsplex as well as the Montgomery County Soccer for Change (MCS FC) League that is organized by the County’s Recreation Department. We held 138 soccer session/activities as of December 1, 2017. Outcome highlights of the youth from whom we have collected a baseline and exit survey include:

• 58% of those youth that reported negative attitudes towards their teammates at baseline reported an improvement in their relationship with their teammates.
• 52% of youth who initially reported poor levels of conflict resolution and anger management skills, reported an improvement in those skills.
• 79% of youth who initially reported a lack of positive expectations for their futures, reported an increase in their self-confidence to accomplish their life goals, their ability to deal with problems that they may face in their futures, and felt more positive about their futures.
Organization's Legal Name  Identity, Inc.

Submission Title  Identity - FY18 CE - 2 - Case Management

Requested FY18 Award  129510

Final FY18 Award  80000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has remained the same as in the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The contract’s targets include: to provide case management clothing referrals to 70 families, food referrals to 100 families and furniture referrals to 70 families. In addition, at least 85% of the families referred would access the services. As of December 31, 2017, Identity referred 87 families for clothing assistance and 87% of the families accessed that service; Identity referred 121 families for food and completed 90% of these referrals; and referred 78 families for furniture, and 60% received the service. As of the date of this report, 983 overall referrals have been made on behalf of needy families and 762 have been completed, meaning 78% have accessed the services. In addition, during this fiscal year we served the families of 28 youth fleeing violence with case management services. We have seen that our clients have mostly needed services from Manna Food Center, Nourish Now and Interfaith Clothing Center. A separate document is attached to our Second Quarter Narrative Report, which shows the breakdown of the most common referrals made to-date.

The following is a highlight of a client served by Identity: Mrs. MV, born and raised in Guatemala, moved to the US ten years ago, to provide a better life for her impoverished family in Guatemala. She left her four-month-old daughter with her mother. She settled in Montgomery County, and started a new family. Two years ago, after saving for many years, she was able to pay a smuggler to bring her 10-year-old daughter who she had left in Guatemala. The child was detained while crossing the border and the resulting trauma left her unable to speak for several months. MV and her husband have five children including her oldest daughter AL who is now 12. Mrs. MV connected to Identity through AL’s school Capt. James Daly Elementary School, where Identity provides a reading enrichment program for Latino youth. Mrs. MV met with Identity’s Case Manager and shared the difficulties her family was facing and what her daughter had gone through while crossing the border. After assessing the situation, Identity’s Case Manager connected the family to Baby’s Bounty, Holy Cross Health Centers, Identity’s Mental Health, International Social Service, Manna Food, Nourish Now, VITA Center, Montgomery County Colesville Adult Dental Clinic and The Holiday Giving Project. Identity’s Case Manager continues to work with the family to connect them to services and resources in the community.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.
In 100 words or less.
No.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Through in-school and out-of-school programs, Identity’s goal is to support at-risk Latino and other Montgomery County youth in their transition to adulthood by providing a range of services to help improve social and emotional learning skills, increase academic successes, and better prepare for work. The General Services Grant funding provided Identity the ability to purchase a minibus to increase low-income clients’ access to and participation in Identity’s afterschool academic and social/emotional enrichment programs, recreation and parent engagement.

After discussing the needs of our organization and bus model options with several bus companies, Identity purchased a Ford Transit MFSAB from Rhour Bus Company. This compact fifteen-passenger minibus allows the staff to maneuver and drive the vehicle easily to and from program events. Its mid-sized footprint facilitates parking and enables staff to easily navigate in urban areas. Identity had originally proposed to purchase a fourteen-passenger minibus, but during the buying process, we were fortunate in finding a bus with an additional seat, which enables us to assist a higher number of our clients at a time, if needed.

The purchase of the minibus has aided Identity’s various programs tremendously. For the entire month of December, one of Identity’s minibuses broke down due to mechanical issues and had we not acquired this new vehicle, Identity would not have been able to provide services to many of our low-income, under-served, isolated families that do not have access to transportation.

Between its purchase in late September and the end of December, the minibus has been utilized 56 times and transported an average of 10 clients per trip to various sessions. The programs using the bus the most during this period were our elementary school program and recreation program. However, clients of other after-school programs and services, including parent engagement programs, used the bus as well.

The grant has enabled Identity to continue providing transportation to all of our clients with transportation barriers to participation in programs and activities. Clients who directly benefited from the additional bus transportation services include those in our new academic elementary school program and our recreational activities for underprivileged students. The additional access to programs improves positive outcomes for students in Montgomery County.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of our services has not changed.

We continue to mail free, new, age-appropriate books to registered children on a monthly basis.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have made significant progress on our projected outputs and outcomes.

Imagination Library outputs are as follows:
- We projected 375 children registered by the end of 2017. We are now at 540 children.
- We projected 3,750 books would have been mailed out by the end of 2017. We have sent more than 4,500 books.
- We projected 12 registration events by the end of 2017. We held 20 events.

We are receiving overwhelmingly-positive feedback on our Fall 2017 Survey. These are some preliminary findings:
- 99% of parents indicate their child's listening/speaking skills have improved while receiving Imagination Library books. We projected 85%.
- 98% of parents think their child shows a greater interest in books and learning. We projected 85%.
- 100% concluded that the program is valuable for their family. We projected 85%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Imagination Library Of Montgomery County, Maryland Inc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Imagination Library of Montgomery County, MD-FY18-CE-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>13000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

The scope of our services has not changed.

We continue to mail free, new, age-appropriate books to registered children on a monthly basis.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
Response (up to 500 words):

We have made significant progress on our projected outputs and outcomes.

Imagination Library outputs are as follows:
- We have 540 children currently enrolled in the program. We had projected 375 children registered by the end of 2017.
- We have distributed over 5,000 books since we began. 4,500 were delivered in 2017 alone. Our earlier projection was 3,750 by the end of 2017.
- We held 20 registration events. We had projected 12.

We are receiving overwhelmingly-positive feedback on our Fall 2017 Survey. Some of our preliminary findings:
- 99% of parents indicate their child's listening/speaking skills have improved while receiving Imagination Library books. We projected 85%.
- 98% of parents think their child shows a greater interest in books and learning. We projected 85%.
- 100% concluded that the program is valuable for their family. We projected 85%
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change in the scope of services since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

IMPACT Sports has seen tremendous growth in its sports programs during FY18. We are tracking to have 1800 participants this year in year-round soccer and basketball programs. The specific outputs and outcome from our FY18 application are as follows:

Output 1: Our goal was to have 375 multi-season participants who participate in multiple seasons. We had 450 participants who participated in both fall and winter sports so far this year.

Output 2: Our goal was to train 45 coaches to provide high quality soccer and basketball programs for youth in our community. We trained 50 coaches so far this year.

Output 3: Our goal was to provide 55 families with assistance in utilizing the RecAssist program at the Montgomery County Department of Recreation. So far this year we have worked with 65 families to help them to understand and utilize the resources available to them from the Rec Assit program.

Outcome 1: Our goal was to improve the overall health of 375 youth from the communities where IMPACT Silver Spring operates through participation in weekly sports activities. We believe that multi-season participation in our programs is a reasonable proxy measure for this outcome. To date in FY18, 450 youth have been participating in our programs in both Fall and Winter.
### Council Mid-year Report

**Created:** 01/09/2018  •  **Last updated:** 01/19/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>IMPACT Silver Spring Inc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>IMPACT Silver Spring - FY18 CE - 1 - Neighbors Supporting Neighbors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>222,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>222640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services has not changed since the original application.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**

**Response (up to 500 words):**

Output 1: Conduct community engagement to reach 5000 isolated individuals.

To date, IMPACT has effectively engaged some 1200 isolated residents by bringing engagement opportunities to spaces where disconnected residents are often found.

Examples include:

• Hosting information tables at Capital Area Food Bank distributions at Catholic Charities in Wheaton.
• Participating in “Coffee with a Cop” and MCPS “Back-to-School Night” events.
• Hosting learning spaces for Linkages to Learning parents at 6 Wheaton schools.
• Supporting Manna Food Center distributions at Gaithersburg Elementary School.

Output 2: Connect 500 residents to services, resources, and supportive networks.

To date, using our SPACES framework, IMPACT has connected some 291 residents to services, resources and supportive networks.

Service and resource providers residents have been connected to:

Supportive network spaces include:
• 5 Network Nights and hangouts focused on racial and economic equity; summer and fall sessions of basic and intermediate level English language classes; Empowerment/Civic Conversation workshops at 6 Wheaton schools; and a 4-months long Network Weaver Learning Program.

Output 3: Support 10 -12 action circles of 100 residents taking action around shared social, civic, or economic goals.

To date, IMPACT has supported 7 action circles comprised of 93 community members.

Action circles include: Montgomery Community Investment Cooperative, Highland Mothers circle, Grandview circle, Gaithersburg cooperative, Language Exchange circle, Dismantling Racism circle, Invisible Montgomery circle, and the East County Collective.

Outcome 1: By growing their social connections, residents will enhance their quality of life.

This is IMPACT’s first year tracking this outcome. We have completed development of an evaluation plan and will track this outcome for two specific cohorts—Network Weaver Learning Program participants and Empowerment/Civic Conversation participants. We are tracking these residents over the course of 12 months, so will have specific numbers at the end of the program year.

Outcome 2: Residents will join with others sharing a common interest or concern for 15 collaborative action projects resulting in quality of life improvements at the community level.

To date, 93 residents across the network have joined to form 7 action circles initiating 9 collaborative
Actions include: youth chess classes/tournament; a neighborhood summer camp; a drop-in foreign language conversation space; Open Mic events; creation of a petition (given to the Parks Department) requesting public art in Acorn Park be more inclusive and accurate; a community block party; participation in the Government Alliance on Race and Equity workshop; advocacy for a new green space in the Glenmont Forest neighborhood; and development of a workers cooperative.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change in the scope of services since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

IMPACT Sports has seen tremendous growth in its sports programs during FY18. We are tracking to have 1800 participants this year in year-round soccer and basketball programs. The specific outputs and outcome from our FY18 application are as follows:

Output 1: Our goal was to have 375 multi-season participants who participate in multiple seasons. We had 450 participants who participated in both fall and winter sports so far this year.

Output 2: Our goal was to train 45 coaches to provide high quality soccer and basketball programs for youth in our community. We trained 50 coaches so far this year.

Output 3: Our goal was to provide 55 families with assistance in utilizing the RecAssist program at the Montgomery County Department of Recreation. So far this year we have worked with 65 families to help them to understand and utilize the resources available to them from the Rec Assit program.

Outcome 1: Our goal was to improve the overall health of 375 youth from the communities where IMPACT Silver Spring operates through participation in weekly sports activities. We believe that multi-season participation in our programs is a reasonable proxy measure for this outcome. To date in FY18, 450 youth have been participating in our programs in both Fall and Winter.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 12/26/2017 • Last updated: 01/24/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Interfaith Works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Interfaith Works FY18 CC - 1 - Vocational Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>102,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Vocational Services Program of IWs is grateful to Montgomery County for their support. Although we applied for $102,000 and received only partial funding, the scope of our services has not changed. We are a team of five, a Director and four vocational counselors, two of whom are bilingual in Spanish.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the first half of FY2018, the team worked with 133 clients, all of whom completed assessments with their vocational counselors. Each counselor met with each client individually at least once a week, and one-on-one meetings with volunteers supplemented those meetings to provide the full range of job preparation and vocational counseling services. Our program prepares clients through job readiness classes, skills assessments, resume and cover letter development, application submission and preparation for interviews. We agree to work with our clients until they become employed. Once employed we offer ongoing support for employment retention success. Many of our clients have valuable skill sets and employment histories, while others have challenges that have severely hindered their ability to become and/or stay employed.

We have provided six job readiness classes, one of which was 12-session, one was 8-session, and four were 4-session classes in Silver Spring, Rockville and Gaithersburg. In addition, we have offered 5-session Microsoft Office classes in our computer lab at the IW Empowerment Center. In total, 54 clients have attended and graduated from these classes. Finally, we have established new relationships with 23 Montgomery County employers during the first half of FY2018.

Outcomes

Seventy-seven (77) clients were placed in new jobs during the first half of FY2018. These new employees have an average wage of $12.36 per hour. In addition, as the 2nd quarter closed, six clients were actively interviewing for new positions.

To report on the number of individuals who maintain employment for at least six months, we look back at the clients who gained employment during the second half of FY2017, from January 1, 2017 – June 30, 2017. Of the 87 clients who gained a new job during that period, 65 (75%) remained employed for at least six months in the same position or in a different position with their employer. In addition, eight clients left their initial positions before six months had elapsed, but within a month or two found new positions at which they have remained. Some of our clients initiate the calls or visits to inform us of their status on the job, while others have reported their status when we make follow-up retention phone calls.

Our 23 new Montgomery County employer relationships established during the first half of FY2018 have resulted in 21 clients becoming employed. We hope to develop long-term relationships with the new employers, and we have found that providing retention services to newly working clients helps in that process. Many of our new employers find confidence in the quality of our vetting process.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

IW’s Emergency Services’ scope of services has not changed, though there has been a change in management. As of 12/1/17, Emergency Services is no administered by IW’s Congregation and Community Emergency Support (CCES) Program. Emergency Services is now part of the Connections Program, a program under IW’s Family Services located at IW’s Twinbrook location. Connections, formerly known as Project INFORM, is a program that connects low-income Montgomery County residents to resources they need. The idea of this merge is to be able to provide services more effectively.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

As noted above, IW’s Emergency Assistance has recently undergone a change in management and staffing within the organization. IW combined its emergency assistance program with its Project Inform Program. The newly formed program, Connections, is located at the Clothing Center providing over 13,000 individuals with access to resources throughout the county as well as emergency assistance. While the program continued to run throughout the transition, several weeks were spent training and preparing staff to manage the program. Services have remained the same, but some changes were made in order to be more efficient.

Outputs: To date, 78 individuals have avoided eviction through the Hand to Hand loan program. 45 individuals have maintained utilities through utility assistance grants. 350 Montgomery County residents have called facing financial crisis and have been provided with telephone resource counseling.

The emergency assistance program is on track to meet its goals regarding individuals avoiding eviction and maintaining utilities. In regards to providing telephone resource counseling and moral support, staff continue to provide these services though it is being tracked differently in order to be as accurate as possible. With the new phone tracking guidelines, we expect to provide telephone resource counseling to 1,000 residents this fiscal year.

Outcomes: to date, 78 individuals have prevented homelessness. Self-esteem and personal responsibility of clients are enhanced. 350 low-income residents of Montgomery County have been provided with a sense of security that there is a safety net of prevention.
Organization's Legal Name  Interfaith Works

Submission Title  Interfaith Works FY18 - CC - 4 - ICC

Requested FY18 Award  75000

Final FY18 Award  45000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Scope of Services at the Interfaith Clothing Center has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The Interfaith Works ~ Clothing Center (IWCC) has had a very successful six months. Since moving to our new location in May 2017, our numbers of new clients have increased, donations are up and everyone is enjoying the improved space. We projected serving 15,000 individuals with a total of 48,000 units of service in FY 18 and we’re not surprise to see that at the six month mark we have served 10,430 individuals with a total of 32,825 units of service, distributing $1.8 m in goods. August was a very successful month; we anticipated distributing 2,100 new backpacks filled with NEW school supplies, actually distributed new backpacks to 2,251 MCPS students. Our number of expectant mothers has also increased, as of the six month mark; we have provided 96 layettes to new expectant mothers. IWCC is growing at an amazing rate; we have received over 3.5m in donations to date and we are in need of more space and staff. IW Family Services, located at the Clothing Center has expanded services. We have doubled the staff of IW Connections, which assesses the needs of an individual or family and refers the clients to the appropriate resources throughout Montgomery County and also administers emergency assistance to individuals and families coping with financial stress. We also offer English Conversation classes provided in partnership with Community Ministries of Rockville. In January, we look forward to launching our Computer Learning Center and Financial Literacy classes. Both clients and donors remind us how important our work is, as evidenced by this year’s surveys. Over 950 families completed surveys of which 775 reported that shopping at the IWCC saved their family money to spend on other basic necessities.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Vocational Services Program of Interfaith Works is grateful to Montgomery County for their support of our Program. Although we applied for $102,000 and received only partial funding, the scope of our services has not changed. We are a team of five, a Director and four staff members serving as vocational counselors, two of whom are bilingual in Spanish. However, effective September 30, 2017, one bilingual vocational counselor resigned to return to Florida for personal reasons. We have been actively pursuing her replacement, which has taken longer than we expected. Our new vocational counselor will begin on February 1, 2018.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The Vocational Services Program of IW s is grateful to Montgomery County for their support. Although we applied for $102,000 and received only partial funding, the scope of our services has not changed. We
are a team of five, a Director and four vocational counselors, two of whom are bilingual in Spanish.

During the first half of FY2018, the team worked with 133 clients, all of whom completed assessments with their vocational counselors. Each counselor met with each client individually at least once a week, and one-on-one meetings with volunteers supplemented those meetings to provide the full range of job preparation and vocational counseling services. Our program prepares clients through job readiness classes, skills assessments, resume and cover letter development, application submission and preparation for interviews. We agree to work with our clients until they become employed. Once employed we offer ongoing support for employment retention success. Many of our clients have valuable skill sets and employment histories, while others have challenges that have severely hindered their ability to become and or stay employed.

We have provided six job readiness classes, one of which was 12-session, one was 8-session, and four were 4-session classes in Silver Spring, Rockville and Gaithersburg. In addition, we have offered 5-session Microsoft Office classes in our computer lab at the IW Empowerment Center. In total, 54 clients have attended and graduated from these classes. Finally, we have established new relationships with 23 Montgomery County employers during the first half of FY2018.

Outcomes

Seventy-seven (77) clients were placed in new jobs during the first half of FY2018. These new employees have an average wage of $12.36 per hour. In addition, as the 2nd quarter closed, six clients were actively interviewing for new positions.

To report on the number of individuals who maintain employment for at least six months, we look back at the clients who gained employment during the second half of FY2017, from January 1, 2017 – June 30, 2017. Of the 87 clients who gained a new job during that period, 65 (75%) remained employed for at least six months in the same position or in a different position with their employer. In addition, eight clients left their initial positions before six months had elapsed, but within a month or two found new positions at which they have remained. Some of our clients initiate the calls or visits to inform us of their status on the job, while others have reported their status when we make follow-up retention phone calls.

Our 23 new Montgomery County employer relationships established during the first half of FY2018 have resulted in 21 clients becoming employed. We hope to develop long-term relationships with the new employers, and we have found that providing retention services to newly working clients helps in that process. Many of our new employers find confidence in the quality of our vetting process.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, the scope of services to be provided has changed since the original application. Project Inform is now called IW Connections. In addition to providing resources, referrals, and information to low-income residents of Montgomery County, Connections is responsible for managing IW’s Emergency Assistance Program. This shift was intended to provide services more effectively in one central location. With the increase in demand, Connections has added a second, part-time staff member.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs: To date, Connections has met and completed a needs assessment with 230 clients and has had 282 visits. Connections has offered 60 clients educational workshops or seminars.

Outcomes: To date, 646 individuals have gained access to services that address urgent needs. 623 individuals have moved towards longer-term stabilization by engaging in two or more meetings. 258 individuals have increased their capacity for earned income through job readiness, vocational services, and ESOL classes.

With the addition of a second, part-time staff member, Connections anticipates being able to reach its projected outputs and outcomes. We will re-evaluate at the end of the fiscal year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

IW’s Emergency Services’ scope of services has not changed, though there has been a change in management. As of 12/1/17, Emergency Services is no longer under IW’s Congregation and Community Emergency Support (CCES) Program. Emergency Services is now part of the Connections Program, a program under IW’s Family Services located at IW’s Twinbrook location. Connections, formerly known as Project INFORM, is a program that connects low-income Montgomery County residents to resources they need. The idea of this merge is to be able to provide services more effectively.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

As noted above, IW’s Emergency Assistance has recently undergone a change in management and staffing within the organization. IW combined its emergency assistance program with its Project Inform Program. The newly formed program, Connections, is located at the Clothing Center providing over 13,000 individuals with access to resources throughout the county as well as emergency assistance. While the program continued to run throughout the transition, several weeks were spent training and preparing staff to manage the program. Services have remained the same, but some changes were made in order to be more efficient.

Outputs: To date, 78 individuals have avoided eviction through the Hand to Hand loan program. 45 individuals have maintained utilities through utility assistance grants. 350 Montgomery County residents have called facing financial crisis and have been provided with telephone resource counseling.

The emergency assistance program is on track to meet its goals regarding individuals avoiding eviction and maintaining utilities. In regards to providing telephone resource counseling and moral support, staff continue to provide these services though it is being tracked differently in order to be as accurate as possible. With the new phone tracking guidelines, we can expect to provide telephone resource counseling to 1,000 residents this fiscal year.

Outcomes: To date, 78 individuals have prevented homelessness. Self-esteem and sense of personal responsibility of clients are enhanced. 350 low-income Montgomery County residents have been provided with a sense of security that there is a safety net of prevention.
### Organization's Legal Name
Interfaith Works

### Submission Title
Interfaith Works FY18 - CE - 4 - ICC

### Requested FY18 Award
75000

### Final FY18 Award
10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Scope of Services at the Interfaith Clothing Center has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The Interfaith Works ~ Clothing Center (IWCC) has had a very successful six months. Since moving to our new location in May 2017, our numbers of new clients have increased, donations are up and everyone is enjoying the improved space. We projected serving 15,000 individuals with a total of 48,000 units of service in FY 18 and we’re not surprise to see that at the six month mark we have served 10,430 individuals with a total of 32,825 units of service, distributing $1.8 m in goods. August was a very successful month; we anticipated distributing 2,100 new backpacks filled with NEW school supplies, actually distributed new backpacks to 2,251 MCPS students. Our number of expectant mothers has also increased, as of the six month mark; we have provided 96 layettes to new expectant mothers. IWCC is growing at an amazing rate; we have received over 3.5m in donations to date and we are in need of more space and staff. IW Family Services, located at the Clothing Center has expanded services. We have doubled the staff of IW Connections, which assesses the needs of an individual or family and refers the clients to the appropriate resources throughout Montgomery County and also administers emergency assistance to individuals and families coping with financial stress. We also offer English Conversation classes provided in partnership with Community Ministries of Rockville. In January, we look forward to launching our Computer Learning Center and Financial Literacy classes. Both clients and donors remind us how important our work is, as evidenced by this year’s surveys. Over 950 families completed surveys of which 775 reported that shopping at the IWCC saved their family money to spend on other basic necessities.
Organization's Legal Name  Interfaith Works

Submission Title  Interfaith Works FY18 - CE - 5 - FCAC

Requested FY18 Award  80000

Final FY18 Award  80000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Scope of Services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

It should be noted that the contract to support this award was not executed until December 19, 2017. The contract itself is for one year with an end date of December 18, 2019.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There are a few minor changes since submitting the original application.

1. Currently, the interPLAY Orchestra has 31 members rather than the 38 originally proposed.

2. One of the Members in need of weekly, round-trip transportation left the Orchestra in November 2017.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
in the FY18 application, interPLAY Orchestra listed the following outputs and outcomes:

1. To provide weekly recreational therapy through music and social engagement for 38 adults with disabilities.

To date, interPLAY has provided 21 weeks of recreational therapy through music and social engagement for 31 adults with disabilities.

2. To provide reliable, weekly transportation for adults with cognitive and physical disabilities using wheelchairs to ensure their participation in recreational therapy through music and social engagement.

To date, interPLAY has provided weekly, round-trip transportation for 2 interPLAY Orchestra members to participate in 10 out of 21 rehearsals. Beginning in November, one of the Orchestra Members ended her participation in the Orchestra. interPLAY continues to provide weekly, round-trip transportation for the remaining Orchestra Member. Transportation has been provided 8 additional times for this member and will continue to be provided through the end of the grant year.

3. To survey caregivers of interPLAY Orchestra Members about adult participants increased memory and cognitive functioning since participating in interPLAY Orchestra. The anticipated outcome is for 20 responses.

To date, the survey has not yet been administered. The results will be available to submit with the final grant report.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service has not changed. The Heavy Chore services started successfully with residents expressing the need for the cleaning service. Flexibility and the commitment between Cleaning Wizard and residents' once a date was in place and scheduled was discussed early on with both resident and Cleaning Wizard. The unforeseen extended medical leave of supervisor overseeing the grant slowed down the process and implementation of the heavy chore services. With supervisors return the services has resumed at a good pace and moving ahead for completion.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The first goal is to have 45 households receive heavy chore cleaning and to experience an improved quality of life that is safer and healthier. 35 residents thus far have received the heavy chore cleaning service. The Cleaning Wizard averages 6.5 hours to 7 hours per apartment that has received the service. 18 residents thus far that have carpet and have already received heavy chore cleaning service have also had their carpet cleaned by professional carpet cleaner. The carpet was shampooed removing any stubborn satins that may have occurred due to day to day traffic/activities. A list of residents is being kept to help track who has received services and residents have completed a satisfaction survey after services has been rendered. There has been positive comments/feedback from resident surveys about the heavy chore cleaning service. We are expecting to reach 100% of this goal by the end of the contract term on June 30, 2018.

The second goal is to have 5 households receive clutter management services and to experience an improved quality of life that is safer, healthier and less stressful. 5 residents have been identified and the service has begun. 2 residents are currently receiving clutter management coaching with Clutter Coach. Gentle guidance has been given, to identify patterns and create systems that will support residents in keeping clutter from reoccurring. Time sensitivity/hours will be considered for recipients that may have any emotional adjustments. Residents will receive heavy chore services after receiving clutter management. Residents that have carpet will receive carpet cleaning, after receiving heavy chore services. A list of residents has been started to help track who has received services. Surveys will be given to the clutter recipients to measure their quality of life after services has been rendered.

The third goal is that the total number of 45 households participating in the heavy chore cleaning and clutter management programs will not need intervention from MC HHS or an eviction due to unsanitary conditions in the home. So far there have been no eviction proceedings needed. Staff supervision, staff training, meeting with residents and providing necessary counseling when needed is supportive to residents. Clutter management can be an emotional experience. The Inwood House staff know the residents well and have the skills to guide and support through the experience to ensure its success. The positive comments from surveys that have been received gives insight to describe how the residents quality of life is being changed through the heavy chore cleaning grant.

The unforeseen extended medical leave needed for supervisor that oversees the heavy chore cleaning grant was so unexpected and slowed down the implementation of the heavy chore services and deadline to submit the FY18 Community Grants Mid Year report unfortunately has been missed. Supervisor thankfully has returned and the residents are very happy to see that services is moving full steam ahead and back at a good pace to meet county guidelines. All awarded funds are expected to be utilized by the end of June 2018.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The original application included the request for funding to plan and host "Keiai No Tsudoi," the annual luncheon for Japanese American citizens. The funding for this luncheon was approved via the county executive community grant, and therefore, this mid-year report does not include the service provided for this luncheon.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The MD branch continue to operate without a paid staff, and it has acquired 18 additional volunteers in the past year. The currently they have 45 active volunteers who dedicate their time for services. For the first half of FY18 (July 2017 to December 2017), they has volunteered the total of 2068 hours to support the library and the programs planned by this branch. FY17 data for MD branch showed 1895 library visitors. For the first half of FY18, 1457 visitors used the library, and 5170 books were lent. It is expected that the number of visitors would go beyond 2000 by the end of this fiscal year. The monthly Japanese story time for families has been well attended, averaging 18 participants per event (Average 10 children and 8 adults) for the first half of FY18. The volunteers are planning to increase the frequency of the story time to twice a month due to the participants' request.

Surveys collected at the annual luncheon in September 2017 reflected the need to start an adult day health program for elderly Japanese in the area. MD branch volunteers developed a program to respond to the request, and they started implementing it in November 2017 as a monthly program at Riderwood Retirement Community in Silver Spring, MD.

Maryland branch started taking calls and emails as a part of Care Fund's Help Line, in order to serve as a safety net for the elderly, those whom may be disadvantaged by limited English language skills or those needing support or information on community assistance services available in Montgomery County and surrounding region. Together with the main office in Virginia, the Care Fund has served 74 inquires/request for services in FY 2017, and 32 in the first half of FY18. The most frequent topics were divorce-related issues, explanations for and linking to services available in the communities, consultations/guidance on challenges callers face as they live away from their home country.

The above data is an evidence to support the ongoing need for a Japanese library/community center in Maryland.

In the past year, the MD branch acquired 196 additional members in Montgomery county (Current total number of members in Montgomery county = 461). As visitors and members increase, the organization would have more potential to reach out to those in need for support services.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application as we were awarded $12,500 of the requested $65,000. Our grant modification includes AWARE® staff helping to update and facilitate Expect Respect and AWARE® dating violence prevention workshops in Montgomery County Public Schools (MCPS). Additional services and activities included in the original application were to update the curriculum for the Choose Respect Montgomery Conference, provide facilitator trainings for the conference, and have a clinician on site at all MCPS workshops.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
As a part of the partial funding we received for this grant request, our partnership with Montgomery County’s Domestic Violence Coordinating Council (DVCC) expanded to include facilitating presentations and updating curriculum content for Expect Respect presentations in MCPS classrooms. In our initial year of doing these presentations, we have already been to Walter Johnson HS, Sherwood HS, and Paint Branch HS, as well as facilitated AWARE® workshops at Walt Whitman HS. At these schools, we facilitated 18 workshops for 553 students.

Our original application requested funds for a Prevention Counselor position that would support workshop participants who disclose and work individually with clients that come forward from MCPS. As a result of partial funding, non-clinical AWARE® staff were able to provide crisis, short-term support to 6 participants that disclosed at the conclusion of workshops as well as refer them to JCADA’s Teen and Young Adult Clinician for additional support. To date this year, the JCADA Teen and Young Adult Clinician has provided individual counseling for 8 teen and young adult clients in order to increase their safety in their current situation and have them understand the threat of danger in their relationship, as applicable.

In 2013 we became the educational content provider for the DVCC’s Choose Respect Montgomery healthy relationship conference, which reaches hundreds of teens, parents and educators each year. One of our original application outcomes was that Choose Respect conference participants (including parents) and students in MCPS gain knowledge about healthy relationships and resources for getting help when a relationship is unhealthy or abusive. The total requested funds would have covered updates to the conference curriculum, facilitator trainings for the updated content, and implementation of evaluations to survey participants to determine their knowledge gained based upon established learning objectives. The partial funding received resulted in continued use of existing curriculum (negating the necessity of updated facilitator trainings) and the inability to implement improved evaluations nor an improved survey method for this year’s conference in April.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services has not changed since the original application. JCADA continues to provide free, confidential services that include a confidential hotline, individual and group counseling, alternative therapies (e.g. yoga, art, poetry), safety planning, financial planning, case management, legal resources and representation, and financial assistance for clients unable to meet basic needs. We are committed to providing high-quality services to all residents of Montgomery County, regardless of their national origin, religion, age, gender or sexual orientation.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During this grant period, we served a total of 335 individuals through our counseling services (197) and call-ins to our helpline (135).

Every person, including callers to our confidential helpline, receives immediate safety planning with a JCADA clinician. Each safety plan is tailored to the client’s specific situation. Safety plans include the following components: safety during a violent incident, safety in own residence, safety with protection order, safety at work or in public, safety with children, financial safety and independence, and safety and emotional health. These individualized safety plans are revisited on a regular basis to ensure that the client understands the threat of danger and has the tools and resources to live safely in their community.

During this grant period, 100% of our clients have completed either a danger assessment or have been provided with psycho-education around danger. Our work to ensure that clients are increasing their safety in their current situation also includes education about obtaining temporary and permanent protective orders. We use VINE (Victim Information and Notification Everyday) to alert our clients when protective orders expire and adjust their safety plans accordingly. As of this fall, with the hiring of a new staff attorney, we refer clients (as requested) to JCADA’s Legal Program for direct representation.

With guidance from our partner, House of Ruth, JCADA is in the process of establishing new outcome measures for all of its programs. For JCADA’s clinical program, JCADA measures five outcomes: client commitment to intentional safety planning, client being able to appropriately assign responsibility for the abuse, client’s social connectedness, reduction in trauma symptoms, and reduction in the incidents of abuse. To measure these outcomes, JCADA measures trauma symptoms of clients on a predetermined schedule, measures their commitment to safety planning, measures the victim’s ability to assign responsibility for the abuse to the perpetrator, and measures their perception of social connectedness. Additionally, JCADA uses secondary data analysis to determine whether there have been any other incidents of abuse. JCADA is also in the process of purchasing and implementing a new database in spring 2018 – Apricot for Victim Services – that will allow us to collect and analyze outcome measures more easily to report to our funders.

At JCADA, our clinical services are determined by the needs of the client. Some may come for one session and others for years. During this reporting period, we have closed 48 cases of which 100% were considered a positive status. All clients completed a safety plan and received referrals for mental health and community resources. While sometimes we have to close a case because the client stops seeking our services (and we cannot follow-up due to safety concerns), we will continue to strive to only close cases when the client is ready and will reopen the case if the individuals finds themselves in need of services again.
Organization's Legal Name: The Greater Washington Jewish Coalition Against Domestic Abuse

Submission Title: JCADA - CE - 2 - Legal

Requested FY18 Award: 65000

Final FY18 Award: 65000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of JCADA’s Legal Program has changed since our initial application. We continue to work with clients in Montgomery County by providing them legal information and referrals, while also partnering with legal services providers and private attorneys. Through this program, we are able to help clients minimize legal costs while also ensuring trauma-informed representation. Earlier this fall, JCADA added a full-time staff attorney (.25-.3 FTE of which is paid for by Montgomery County funds) to provide direct representation in peace and protective orders and crime victims' rights representation to victims of gender-based violence.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

JCADA is happy to report our many successes through Montgomery County’s funding of our Legal...
Program. During this period, JCADA has assisted 63 clients with either legal information, referrals or direct representation in a case arising out of domestic abuse.

During this period, JCADA received additional funding from the State of Maryland to hire a staff attorney to work for JCADA’s Legal Program. This staff attorney started in September and was quickly onboarded. Of the clients JCADA has worked with during this time:

- 4 were Limited English Proficiency (LEP)
- 8 were immigrants

JCADA represented 10 clients in 12 different proceedings including final protective orders (PO), PO modification, and contempt.

Because JCADA was shifting to providing direct representation during this period, we have taken many steps to begin this process, including the purchase of legal malpractice insurance, creation of a document library, updating of JCADA’s confidentiality policy, and partnering with other victim service providers. JCADA has strengthened its partnerships with the Family Justice Center (FJC), Domestic Violence Services (DVS), and the House of Ruth to provide for the streamlining of victim services in Montgomery County. JCADA’s Legal Program staff have both received the credentials to meet with clients at the FJC as needed. We also received a grant from program partner Ayuda to receive free interpretation services for legal clients in Montgomery County. JCADA recognizes the importance of partnerships and works closely with the State’s Attorney’s office to promote crime victims’ rights.

JCADA’s Legal Program systematically met with all of JCADA’s clinicians and clinical interns during the grant reporting period and screened all clients for Crime Victims Compensation through Maryland’s Criminal Injuries Compensation Board (CICB). Approximately 20% of JCADA’s clients were eligible for CICB, but fewer applied, for various reasons, including the documentation required and time that the process entailed. JCADA’s Staff Attorney has filed applications on behalf of clients to the CICB and continues to follow up to ensure client’s interests are represented.

One of our biggest accomplishments this quarter was the creation of new outcome measures for all of our Programs, including Clinical, Legal, Prevention, and Outreach. Through VOCA funding, JCADA was able to hire the House of Ruth on a contractual basis to complete a comprehensive training program on our new “Measuring Success Outcomes Project.” JCADA has identified new overarching outcomes for each program and is in the process of executing new tools of implementation on a schedule over the next two quarters. Because of this, the Legal Program at JCADA has not yet had the opportunity to finalize the development and implementation of a survey for clients regarding their legal assistance in conjunction with these new outcome measures. Through the implementation of these new outcome measures and the tools to measure client services, JCADA will have improved statistics and outcome measures going forward.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed. JCADA provides free, confidential services that include a helpline, individual and group counseling, alternative therapies (e.g. yoga, art, poetry), safety planning, financial planning, case management, legal resources and representation, and financial assistance for clients unable to meet basic needs. Our Teen and Young Adult Clinician works with clients (ages 14-25) to develop healthy coping skills, address safety concerns, and improve their functioning at home, school, and in the community.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

JCADA began seeing teen and young adult victims (ages 14-25) in 2010. The number of individuals has grown each year, and to date, we have worked with more than 200 teens. Typically, teen and young adult clients are in immediate need of safety planning and emotional support. They may also require short- and long-term counseling, medical help, and assistance coordinating and accessing community resources. We provide individual counseling, safety planning, support groups, emergency cell phones, and information referral services. The Teen and Young Adult Clinician focuses on developing healthy coping skills, identifying the warning signs of abusive relationships and learning how to build healthy ones, improving self-awareness, self-esteem and relationships with others, and supporting the teen’s improved functioning in the home, school and community.

8 clients were active in counseling sessions during the report period. An additional 6 clients received crisis, short-term counseling. This figure does not include the call-ins we received from participants after workshops seeking advice on how to support their friends or themselves. As our outreach efforts and AWARE® continue to grow, we will continue to engage more youth through congregations, community organizations, schools and camps than ever before. We anticipate serving at least 2,500 youth, parents and educators during this grant period as the majority of our partners renewed their commitment to bring us back again. As a result, we expect a steady increase of 14 to 25-year-olds to seek JCADA’s free counseling and legal services.

Every teen and young adult client, including callers to our confidential helpline, receives immediate safety planning with a JCADA clinician. 100% of these clients worked with the Teen and Young Adult Clinician to develop a safety plan. Each safety plan is tailored to the client’s specific situation and we recognize that danger is a dynamic progress. Safety plans with teen and young adult clients help them identify healthy coping strategies and safe people to talk to if they feel emotionally or physically unsafe. These individualized safety plans are revisited on a regular basis to ensure that the client understands the threat of danger and has the tools and resources to live safely in their home, school, and community.

With guidance from our partner, House of Ruth Maryland, JCADA is in the process of establishing new outcome measures for all of its programs. For JCADA’s clinical program, JCADA will measure five outcomes: victim commitment to intentional safety planning, victim being able to appropriately assign responsibility for the abuse, victim social connectedness, reduction in trauma symptoms, and reduction in the incidents of abuse. To measure these outcomes, JCADA will administer validated tools on a pre-determined basis to assess whether the client is making progress on these outcomes. JCADA is also in the process of purchasing and implementing a new database in spring 2018 – Apricot for Victim Services – that will allow us to collect and analyze outcome measures more easily and report to our funders, like Montgomery County.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>JCA FY18 CC -4- Interg Mentoring &amp; Tutoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

The JCA Interages mentoring and tutoring programs have not changed since the original application. The programs continue to serve economically disadvantaged students and/or students with limited English proficiency through older adult volunteer assistance. Mentoring and tutoring programs are thriving in elementary, middle and high schools and addressing academic, social and emotional challenges of students. Volunteers are provided with a meaningful opportunity to connect with students, share wisdom and expertise and support their local community. The JCA Interages programs create and safe and nurturing environment to benefit older and younger County residents.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
JCA Interages has made progress in achieving each of the outputs and outcomes as originally intended. Our first output was that we would serve at least 950 students through the JCA Interages mentoring and tutoring programs. As of December 2017 - we have served a total of 1444 students, thereby already exceeding our student goal. This number includes students in Pre-Kindergarten programs through high school students, all of whom are receiving support in literacy, math, English for Speakers of Other Languages classes as well as after school mentoring programs.

As for our expected outcomes, we do a full program evaluation at the end of the school year, and therefore, will have total numbers of student growth in our final report. However, due to our volunteer’s dedication and commitment to serving the students of Montgomery County Public Schools, we have already realized program success. 95% of Grandreader students, on whom we received data, have already improved their reading by at least 1 level. Complete outcome data will be available after the programs are complete in May 2018.

The number of weeks in which our volunteers have served the schools, and therefore, the measurable impact as of December 2017 is less than originally might have been expected due to the delayed start of school. Since school started after Labor Day this year, it took a few extra weeks into October until teachers had a sense of the students with the most need and the approaches in which volunteers could be of most impact in the classrooms. Volunteers started their volunteering later in October than in previous years.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/09/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc.

Submission Title  JCA FY18 CE -1-Expo

Requested FY18 Award  75000

Final FY18 Award  75000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

To date the following Scope of Services have been completed:

1. A planning committee has been created and the first meeting was held on November 14, 2017.
2. The committee members consist of 15 individuals including Representatives from Work Source Montgomery, Montgomery County HHSS, JCA, Beacon Newspapers, Montgomery County Vital Living Committee, Volunteers.
3. The event date has been confirmed - April 16, 2018 at the Marriott North Bethesda Hotel & Conference Center.
4. Both the employer and resource databases are continually being update. 360+ employers and resources have received a letter of invitation, a flyer and a registration form. We have 11 vendors already registered.
5. Letters were sent to all Federal, State and Local dignitaries informing them of the date, time, place and details of the Expo.
6. Flyers were created, Keynote Speaker Leon Harris, WRCTV CH 4., confirmed. Ride-On-Bus ads approved for display on 200 buses. Signs created and will be placed on buses in mid-January till April 16, 2018.
8. Schedule has been set for PR on The Senior Zone, for March 26th, 2018. In addition PR has been submitted for "Senior's Today," and COMCAST Newsmakers.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Career Gateway continues under the leadership of Jodie Rasch, a proud graduate of the Career Gateway!

Our goal to support those 50+ jobseekers continues to be essential to JCA's mission. Career Gateway sessions occur between September 2017-May 2018. Each session features 30 hours of small-group instruction over five days, resume development, networking and interviewing skills, internet job search strategies and a long-term mentor. New this year - we have implemented a technology pilot program to address the needs of our participants by providing Excel, PowerPoint and Word instruction to those seeking to re-enter the workforce in our technologically driven world.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Thus far, we have completed two sessions (Session I - September 2017 and Session II - November 2017) of the Career Gateway in this funding period, and we appear to be right on target with achieving our goals. In fact, demand for the Career Gateway has exceeded our expectations, and our sessions continue to be oversubscribed. Since Session I and Session II were only completed in mid-November 2017, we have not yet surveyed the FY 2018 class participants on their job seeking journeys (i.e. who landed interviews, who is actively looking, who found employment). However, based upon the feedback of our FY 2017 participants, we believe we are meeting our goals in that the majority of our Career Gateway graduates are quite successful in finding meaningful full and part time employment. This employment reaches all types of professions ranging, for example, from nursing, to legal, to non-profit, to academia. We intend to conduct a survey at the end of this fiscal year to determine our progress.

This fiscal year in Session I, we had 16 register for the course with 15 graduating from the Career Gateway. In Session II, we had 16 graduates for a total, thus far, of 31 graduates of the Career Gateway. Our third session will begin on Monday, January 22nd - and we have a full class of 18 registrants! We also have much interest in our Session IV (beginning in early March) and Session V (beginning late April). Participation and interest in the Career Gateway remains extremely strong and positive. We continue to reach or exceed our maximum of 15 registrants thus far in those attending the Career Gateway.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application, as we have focused on increasing the JCA Interages intergenerational volunteer corps. Through dedicated efforts to recruit, train and nurture new volunteers, we assisted hundreds of children and their families while providing meaningful volunteer opportunities for older adult County residents.

By increasing the number of volunteers, we have been able to grow the number of youth receiving critical academic, social and/or emotional support - in MCPS, in DHHS lobbies and in libraries. Additionally, we have expanded the teen portion of our volunteer corps to improve older adult’s digital literacy.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):
We have made progress in achieving our outputs and outcomes as originally stated in the application. The information in this report will cover outputs and outcomes from July - December 2017, although the grant funding cycle is November 2017 - October 2018. As this is the second year of the grant, we last reported on our outputs and outcomes in June 2017.

As of December 2017, we recruited and trained 52 new intergenerational volunteer corps members. With the support and assistance of these new volunteers, approximately 670 MCPS students and children in Department of Health and Human Service Center lobbies have received academic, social and/or emotional support. This number already exceeds the number of new program participants we had intended to assist by the end of the grant period. Additionally, 855 older adults have received technology support from teenagers.

The JCA Interages Volunteer Manager has led marketing and community outreach efforts in order to recruit qualified volunteers. Some of these accomplishments have included:

- Arranged new volunteer trainings and volunteer appreciation events
- Interviewed, completed background checks, performed reference checks, supported volunteers through the MCPS on-line Child Abuse and Neglect training and settled each volunteer into their new position with JCA.
- Concentrated social media efforts on sharing information about intergenerational programs and the importance of cross-age connections on twitter.
- Mailed approximately 10,000 postcards to Montgomery County residents age 50+. In addition, volunteer recruitment rack cards were distributed to County libraries, senior centers, community centers and other County locations to share volunteer opportunities.
- Attended multiple community events, such as Councilmember Katz’s 5th Annual Senior Forum and The Beacon’s 50+ Expo.
- Updated and maintained volunteer listings on community and volunteer databases including the Montgomery County Volunteer Center, Volunteer Match and The National Mentoring Partnership.
- Presented volunteer opportunities to residents at various senior living facilities and to community groups.

Due to receiving less funding than requested, our Volunteer Manager is working less hours on a weekly basis than originally anticipated. This may indicate that the final number of new volunteers will be
slightly less than on the original grant, but we will continue to work to meet, if not exceed, all of our outputs and outcomes as described on the original grant.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The JCA Interages mentoring and tutoring programs have not changed since the original application. The programs continue to serve economically disadvantaged students and/or students with limited English proficiency through older adult volunteer assistance. Mentoring and tutoring programs are thriving in elementary, middle and high schools and addressing academic, social and emotional challenges of students. Volunteers are provided with a meaningful opportunity to connect with students, share wisdom and expertise and support their local community. The JCA Interages programs create and safe and nurturing environment to benefit older and younger County residents.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
JCA Interages has made progress in achieving each of the outputs and outcomes as originally intended. Our first output was that we would serve at least 950 students through the JCA Interages mentoring and tutoring programs. As of December 2017 - we have served a total of 1444 students, thereby already exceeding our student goal. This number includes students in Pre-Kindergarten programs through high school students, all of whom are receiving support in literacy, math, English for Speakers of Other Languages classes as well as after school mentoring programs.

As for our expected outcomes, we do a full program evaluation at the end of the school year, and therefore, will have total numbers of student growth in our final report. However, due to our volunteer’s dedication and commitment to serving the students of Montgomery County Public Schools, we have already realized program success. 95% of Grandreader students, on whom we received data, have already improved their reading by at least 1 level. Complete outcome data will be available after the programs are complete in May 2018.

The number of weeks in which our volunteers have served the schools, and therefore, the measurable impact as of December 2017 is less than originally might have been expected due to the delayed start of school. Since school started after Labor Day this year, it took a few extra weeks into October until teachers had a sense of the students with the most need and the approaches in which volunteers could be of most impact in the classrooms. Volunteers started their volunteering later in October than in previous years.
Organization's Legal Name          Jewish Council for the Aging of Greater Washington, Inc

Submission Title                  JCA FY18 CE -5- Capital Request-Misler Ctr

Requested FY18 Award              62773

Final FY18 Award                  50000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Center Director met with Contractor on December 27, 2017 to review plan for renovations at JCA Misler Center. Discussion and decision made to complete renovation to not interrupt adult day care day. Renovations will be done during the early morning hours before the center opens for business at 8:30 AM. Contractor predicts renovations will take up to 3 weeks to complete. Following items were discussed in regards to renovations:

1) Add door at front entrance with automatic opener for accessibility
2) Expand programming area
3) New Medentec floor w/cove including take-up and flash patch 1,815 SF
4) Resurfacing/replacing the casework/cabinetry in rooms for storage of programming supplies

Contractor will be getting back to Center Director in regards to the timing of the project. Contractor needs to speak with contact Ed VanCoutren from CESLC for and to explain the project.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

no

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The scope of work has not changed, therefore, the outcomes will remain the same. However, two of the construction projects are extensive renovations that will take 8 to 10 weeks to complete. The first of the two is not slated to begin until later in the Spring 2018. These projects may not be completed by June 30, 2018 and may require an extension into FY2019.
Organization's Legal Name: Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)

Submission Title: JSSA - FY18 CC - 3 - employment specialist

Requested FY18 Award: 65000

Final FY18 Award: 12500

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Non-Applicable

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
JSSA received final funding approval for its FY18 grant on November 24, 2017. JSSA is on track to meet or exceed all of its grant contract goals. Work on the funded program began July 1, 2017. The following is a summary of JSSA’s progress toward the output goals set forth in the original grant application. These results put JSSA on track to exceed all output goals in the FY18 grant for the number of clients served.

Through December 31, 2017, JSSA has already served 36 post-transition clients and 19 pre-transition clients. More specifically, JSSA has delivered a range of soft and employment skills training to prepare post-transition clients for interviews to 36 individuals.

JSSA has also provided job development and/or job coaching for clients to obtain and maintain employment to 30 individuals.

JSSA has further provided a range of independence, soft and employment readiness skills training to 19 pre-transition clients with the goal of improving future employment outcomes.

JSSA is also on track to meet or exceed all outcomes in the FY18 grant as follows. All post-transition clients served have received assessments and needed skills training; 85% of those seeking immediate employment have received job interviews; 88% of clients hired have successfully transitioned from probationary to permanent employment; and 89% of pre-transition clients have demonstrated an increase in employment readiness skills.

JSSA’s employment work with individuals with disabilities has also received significant recognition and media attention over the last sixth months. JSSA’s Specialized Employment Services department was the subject of two different feature pieces that aired over the summer on WJLA-TV7. In addition, JSSA was named the disability employment “Provider of the Year” award in the State of Maryland by Maryland Works, Inc. in November 2017.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Non-Applicable

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Due to the delay in receipt of County funding, the execution of the program started after the July 1st start date. Below is out feedback concerning the outputs and outcomes identified in the application. We are on track to achieve the outputs and outcomes indicated.

Outputs
* Projected Output 1: 65-75 children/adolescents receiving ARC services (assessment and treatment). Actual Output 1: 37 children/adolescents have received ARC assessments thus far in the fiscal year.
* Projected Output 2: 480 hours of mental health services provided to children and adolescents referred as the result of the assessment. Actual Output 2: 24 children/adolescents have received ARC assessments and began treatment with JSSA. Those 24 individuals have received 168 hours of mental health services.
* Projected Output 3: 135 referrals/service connections provided (other than counseling) to children/adolescents served. Actual Output 3: A total of 32 referrals/service connections were provided/recommended to 35 individuals following their ARC assessments.

Outcomes
* Projected Outcome 1: 75% of children/adolescents showing improved clinical/functional improvements based on CANS follow up assessments. Actual Outcome 1: Data not provided yet (gathered at the end of the fiscal year.
* Projected Outcome 2: 85% of parents/caregivers/children/adolescents reporting positive satisfaction measures within 30 days of ARC appointment. Actual Outcome 2: Based on surveys completed and returned by 26 participants, 96% have indicated satisfaction with the ARC program and assessment experience.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Non-Applicable

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

JSSA has successfully trained a clinician in the provision of PCIT and has served 14 youth and 26 caregivers since beginning to offer treatment in September 2017. Of the 14, 4 families (29%) (children and their caregivers) have been referred directly by community partners including the Montgomery County Early Childhood Project.

- 3 families have recently completed the initial evaluation session
- 4 families have only completed the initial evaluation (no treatment data currently)
- 1 family declined services following the initial evaluation

In terms of the outcomes shared in the application, JSSA shares the following updates:

- Projected Outcome 1: 90% of respondents will indicate improvement in parent/child interactions as reported on clinical measures. Actual Outcome 1: 6 of the families (or 43%) report significant progress.
- Projected Outcome 2: 85% of respondents will indicate improvement in school performance (behavioral). Actual Outcome 2: Information not available – surveys are administered and reported mid-year (January) – data not available for FY18 at this time.

There is a great deal of interest in the community for PCIT, which an additional position will help JSSA address. Presently, there is a waiting list of an additional 12 families interested in PCIT. As JSSA expands its partnerships with other early childhood service providers (such as Bar-T), it is expected that the demand will increase in FY19.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Non-Applicable

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

JSSA received final funding approval for its FY18 grant on November 24, 2017. JSSA is on track to meet or exceed all of its grant contract goals. Work on the funded program began July 1, 2017. The following is a summary of JSSA’s progress toward the output goals set forth in the original grant application. These results put JSSA on track to exceed all output goals in the FY18 grant for the number of clients served.

Through December 31, 2017, JSSA has already served 36 post-transition clients and 19 pre-transition clients. More specifically, JSSA has delivered a range of soft and employment skills training to prepare post-transition clients for interviews to 36 individuals.

JSSA has also provided job development and/or job coaching for clients to obtain and maintain employment to 30 individuals.

JSSA has further provided a range of independence, soft and employment readiness skills training to 19 pre-transition clients with the goal of improving future employment outcomes.

JSSA is also on track to meet or exceed all outcomes in the FY18 grant as follows. All post-transition clients served have received assessments and needed skills training; 85% of those seeking immediate employment have received job interviews; 88% of clients hired have successfully transitioned from probationary to permanent employment; and 89% of pre-transition clients have demonstrated an increase in employment readiness skills.

JSSA’s employment work with individuals with disabilities has also received significant recognition and media attention over the last sixth months. JSSA’s Specialized Employment Services department was the subject of two different feature pieces that aired over the summer on WJLA-TV7. In addition, JSSA was named the disability employment “Provider of the Year” award in the State of Maryland by Maryland Works, Inc. in November 2017.
Jewish Social Service Agency (JSSA)

JSSA - FY18 CE - 4 - care management

40000

40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Non-Applicable

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

FY18 Outputs:
1. Provide care management services to vulnerable seniors in Montgomery County (55)
   a. Yes, serving full caseload as expected
2. Keep clients in their homes as long as safely possible (55)
   a. Yes, serving full caseload and supporting seniors to remain in the least restrictive community setting, which is overwhelmingly in their own homes.

FY18 Outcomes:
1. Clients will experience improvement in their wellbeing to support long-term health and wellness; over 90% of respondents will indicate that progress has been achieved "overall feeling better" (60)
   a. 91% of respondents reported being helped to improvement health and wellness from services arranged through this initiative.
2. Clients will report being connected to all requested and available support services; over 90% of client will report being connected to all requested and available resources. (60)
   a. Most recent survey data showed that 91% of clients felt that they were “helped a lot” by connection to support services.
3. Clients will indicate that services needed were arranged for in a timely manner; 85% of respondents will indicate that they linked to and received needed services timely. (55)
   a. Most recent survey data showed that 95% of clients received services in a timely manner.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Non-Applicable

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
JSSA has met the outputs and outcomes identified in the FY18 application. Construction started in June 2017 and will be completed by February 2018, which includes all internal infrastructure identified as outputs in the application (i.e. doors, windows, framing). The agency’s Montrose Road location, built in 1969, is the hub of our senior services continuum of care that helps frail, poor seniors age-in-place and prevent unnecessary hospitalizations and nursing home placements. It houses our Hospice, Homecare, Care Management, Mental Health and Psychiatric services, Meals-on-Wheels, Door through Door Transportation, Holocaust Survivor program, Emergency Financial Assistance and volunteer services. Our growth and the increase of 30 staff members in this expanded location will allow us to serve an additional 2,000 seniors and support inclusion of two new areas: 1) a medical office on site; and 2) a training room to provide clinical training, hands-on training of caregivers and computer training for staff.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the services has not changed. During the 2018-2019 school year, JAGW will deliver the JA Economics for Success® program to every public school 6th grade social studies class across the county. JA will also pilot the JA Finance Park program to select middle schools this spring.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
JA is on track to deliver the JA Economics for Success® program to every public school 6th grade social studies class across the County and began implementation this past October. The majority of the schools served will take place this spring. In addition, JA is on track to pilot the JA Finance Park program to select middle schools in the County. In the original grant, we anticipated serving 3 schools and there is now a possibility that we will serve up to 6. All of these pilot dates are being scheduled for this spring. In addition, in the original grant, JA stated that pre- and post-tests would be given to a select group of students who complete each of these two programs. These tests are still scheduled to be given in the spring and results of these surveys will be included in the final report.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/03/2018 • Last updated: 01/04/2018

Organization's Legal Name KEEN Greater DC LLC

Submission Title KEEN Greater DC - FY18 CE-1 Sports Program

Requested FY18 Award 20000

Final FY18 Award 10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

KEEN Greater DC received a grant to fund its sports and recreation programs serving youth with disabilities in Montgomery County. The grant enabled KEEN to provide more than 200 young people with disabilities the opportunity to shoot hoops, kick a soccer ball, ride a bicycle, roll on tumbling mats, sing, dance, swim, run and play. The programs offered included gym-based sports, music, swim, fitness, taekwondo, bowling and dance. All programs were offered free of charge to participants and their families.

With the help of this grant, KEEN Greater DC reached its goal of executing 17 programs serving 200 young people with disabilities (output 1). In fact, over the first half of the grant, KEEN was able to serve 203 youth through its Montgomery County programs. These young people, called athletes, were given the opportunity to exercise, socialize and have fun while at KEEN program sessions (output 2). KEEN exceeded its goal of proving 464 program hours monthly and is currently providing 503 program hours monthly through the 17 programs (output 3).

At KEEN, youth with disabilities learned to appreciate and enjoy athletic activities. They were able to build confidence and develop social skills in a safe and welcoming environment. More than 350 parents and caregivers benefited from the respite time while their children were attending KEEN programs. Community members who volunteered with KEEN gained an appreciation of the challenges faced by special needs youth and their families, of the abilities of these young people, and of the need for the community to be inclusive and supportive of our special needs population.

Volunteers provided critical one-on-one support for participants, support that would otherwise be quite costly and beyond the means of most families KEEN serves. With the help of volunteers, young people with physical and/or developmental challenges were able to participate in physical activities without the burden of peer pressure and the feeling that they can’t keep up or don’t fit in.

In December 2017, KEEN conducted its annual survey of parents and caregivers. Ninety percent of respondents shared that KEEN programs increased their child’s physical abilities, just shy of our 95% target (outcome 1). KEEN achieved its goal of 95% respondents reporting that the programs helped improve their child’s social skills (outcome 2). And, 96% of respondents reported increased confidence and self-esteem, again just below the 100% target (outcome 3). Parent comments included, “KEEN has been a vital part of my child's life. Not only does she benefit from the physical activities, she is cared about by the volunteers who work with her. She is accepted and encouraged to participate in the sessions. It has been much needed support for my family and so many others with special needs children. I’m glad that she is able to participate in the program every year. We both need the continuity and structure in our lives.”
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

KID Museum greatly appreciates the Montgomery County Council’s generous support of our activities to provide low-income youth with access to high-quality STEM programming that narrows the achievement gap and supports positive youth development. In the fall, we expanded our partnership with MCPS and now work with 20 high-needs middle schools (defined as having 50% or more students who qualify for free and reduced meals programs). Our request was for $81,346, and we received $52,500. As a result of reduced funding, we modified some of our outcomes to better align with staff resources (see below).

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
KID Museum has continued to make great strides expanding the number of low-income youth served and demonstrating the impact of our programs. An independent evaluation conducted by a research team at Harvard Medical School and McLean Hospital found that our programs demonstrated exceptional outcomes in improving STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math) engagement and 21st century skills, like creativity and problem-solving, that far exceed STEM programs nationally.

Specific outputs and outcomes included in our FY18 application are detailed below:

**OUTPUTS**

**Number of visits with low-income youth**

Number to be served: 8000
Prior year actual: 3475

Currently, we are serving low-income youth in our multi-session programs such as Invention Studio and Basecamp as well as at our off-site after school program, Excel Beyond the Bell. We have reached over 2000 students so far, and are on track to reach our goal of 8000 students served.

**OUTCOMES**

**Low-income youth demonstrate increased engagement in STEM learning**

Number expected to achieve outcome: 1500 (Originally 6,000)
Prior year actual: 75% of surveyed participants

Low-income youth participating in our Invention Studio and Basecamp workshops will be given a survey this spring to measure their engagement level. We are also using interview protocols and shorter surveys for low-income youth participating in programming that is not multi-session (e.g., after school, open explore, etc.). Due to reduced funding, we have modified our goal to match our capacity. Currently, we are on track to reach our revised goal.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

KID Museum greatly appreciates the Montgomery County Executive’s support of our operations at our proof-of-concept Davis Library site and community outreach events. KID Museum is a crucial Montgomery County resource for K-12 education and family engagement in the arts, science, technology, engineering, and math. We continue to experiment in with our programmatic offerings and format so that we are best serving our diverse community. Our request was for $124,102, and we received $40,000. As a result of reduced funding, we modified some of our outputs and outcomes (see below).

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
KID Museum has continued to make great strides expanding the number of children and families served and demonstrating the impact of our programs. An independent evaluation conducted by a research team at Harvard Medical School and McLean Hospital found that our programs demonstrated exceptional outcomes in improving STEM (science, technology, engineering, and math) engagement and 21st century skills, like creativity and problem-solving, that far exceed STEM programs nationally. Specific outputs and outcomes included in our FY18 application are detailed below:

OUTPUTS
Children and families attending Maker Faire Silver Spring
KID Museum reached 15,000 children and families at KIDfest (renamed from Maker Faire Silver Spring) this year. The event continues to be extremely well-attended and has become the region’s largest annual family-oriented STEM festival.

Children and families attending STEM nights at MCPS schools and community events
We expect to reach more than our goal of 1,000 children and families at STEM nights at MCPS schools and community events. We anticipate that we will reach many students and families at our Invention Challenge Summit this spring.

Children and adults participating in KID Museum programs at Davis Library
We are on-track to serve the expected 20,000 children and adults during programming at our Davis Library location.

OUTCOMES
Percent of KIDfest (formerly Maker Faire Silver Spring) attendees who report increased interest in STEM disciplines
KID Museum conducted a participant survey at KIDfest using a validated survey instrument. Preliminary results indicate that 80 percent of participants reported increased interest in science and STEM disciplines.

Percent of STEM night attendees who report increased interest in STEM disciplines
Due to partial funding, surveys have only been administered at two STEM nights so far. Results based on these two nights indicate that 86% of parents felt the event created greater interest in STEM disciplines for their child.
Organization's Legal Name: Kids in Need of Defense (KIND)

Submission Title: Kids in Need of Defense - FY18 CC - 1 - legal services

Requested FY18 Award: 50,000.00

Final FY18 Award: 40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services in the final contract differs slightly from the scope of services in the original application. The final terms of the scope of services are reiterated in the question below.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

KIND has made substantial progress toward achieving each of the objectives contained in our contract.

A. Scope of Services Objective A: “The Contractor must provide pro bono legal services for unaccompanied immigrant and refugee minors, placing a minimum of thirty (30) unaccompanied immigrant and refugee youths . . . [.]”

KIND’s Baltimore office is currently providing legal services to 101 unaccompanied immigrant minors
residing in Montgomery County. KIND has placed 15 youth with new attorneys since the grant period began.

B. Scope of Services Objectives B and D: “The Contractor must provide a minimum of two (2) Know Your Rights information presentations for residents of Montgomery County to inform them of their legal rights. . . . The Contractor must educate a minimum of twenty-five (25) unaccompanied immigrant and refugee[] youth and their families/guardians . . . .”

KIND’s staff is actively planning Know Your Rights presentations, to occur in the upcoming months. KIND’s Baltimore office has formed a committee of attorneys who are working together to complete this planning process and anticipates delivering the first of these presentations in the spring, and the second over the summer.

Additionally, KIND remains engaged in ongoing efforts to educate unaccompanied immigrant minors and their families through intake sessions and other attorney-client counseling.

C. Scope of Services Objective C: “The Contractor must provide a minimum of five (5) training sessions to private attorneys, working in Montgomery County and/or serving youth residing in Montgomery County, regarding the representation of unaccompanied immigrant and refugee youth.”

KIND has provided two (2) training sessions to attorneys serving Montgomery County immigrant youth: a training in Rockville on November 8, 2017 about current challenges in seeking relevant forms of humanitarian immigration relief, and a phone-in training on December 12, 2017 for pro bono attorneys on preparing for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (“SIJS”) -related state court hearings. Two (2) additional trainings relating to SIJS are scheduled to occur in January and February 2018. Both trainings will be open to Montgomery County attorneys representing unaccompanied minors and one of these will take place in Montgomery County. Other trainings on various topics related to representing immigrant youth will be scheduled for the upcoming months.

D. Scope of Services Objective E: “The Contractor must represent a minimum of fifty (50) legal sponsors or guardians of unaccompanied immigrant and refugee youth residing in Montgomery County in state court matters [including both new case files and ongoing representation].”

Seventy-eight (78) of KIND’s Montgomery County cases have SIJS as their primary potential form of relief (and principal goal of representation). In SIJS cases, attorneys represent the legal sponsors of our minor clients in each case before the Maryland state courts. At present, KIND’s Baltimore office is handling 24 cases that are actively filed and pending before the Montgomery County Circuit Court involving the representation of sponsors and guardians of unaccompanied immigrant minors. Other cases are in the preparation stage or have already been adjudicated by the Circuit Court.
KIND has made substantial progress toward achieving each of the objectives contained in our contract.

A. Scope of Services Objective A: “The Contractor must provide pro bono legal services for unaccompanied immigrant and refugee minors, placing a minimum of thirty (30) unaccompanied immigrant and refugee youths . . . [.]”

KIND’s Baltimore office is currently providing legal services to 101 unaccompanied immigrant minors.
residing in Montgomery County. KIND has placed 15 youth with new attorneys since the grant period began.

B. Scope of Services Objectives B and D: “The Contractor must provide a minimum of two (2) Know Your Rights information presentations for residents of Montgomery County to inform them of their legal rights...The Contractor must educate a minimum of twenty-five (25) unaccompanied immigrant and refugee[] youth and their families/guardians...”

KIND’s staff is actively planning Know Your Rights presentations, to occur in the upcoming months. KIND’s Baltimore office has formed a committee of attorneys who are working together to complete this planning process and anticipates delivering the first of these presentations in the spring, and the second over the summer.

Additionally, KIND remains engaged in ongoing efforts to educate unaccompanied immigrant minors and their families through intake sessions and other attorney-client counseling.

C. Scope of Services Objective C: “The Contractor must provide a minimum of five (5) training sessions to private attorneys, working in Montgomery County and/or serving youth residing in Montgomery County, regarding the representation of unaccompanied immigrant and refugee youth.”

KIND has provided two (2) training sessions to attorneys serving Montgomery County immigrant youth: a training in Rockville on November 8, 2017 about current challenges in seeking relevant forms of humanitarian immigration relief, and a phone-in training on December 12, 2017 for pro bono attorneys on preparing for Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (“SIJS”)–related state court hearings. Two (2) additional trainings relating to SIJS are scheduled to occur in January and February 2018. Both trainings will be open to Montgomery County attorneys representing unaccompanied minors and one of these will take place in Montgomery County. Other trainings on various topics related to representing immigrant youth will be scheduled for the upcoming months.

D. Scope of Services Objective E: “The Contractor must represent a minimum of fifty (50) legal sponsors or guardians of unaccompanied immigrant and refugee youth residing in Montgomery County in state court matters [including both new case files and ongoing representation].”

Seventy-eight (78) of KIND’s Montgomery County cases have SIJS as their primary potential form of relief (and principal goal of representation). In SIJS cases, attorneys represent the legal sponsors of our minor clients in each case before the Maryland state courts. At present, KIND’s Baltimore office is handling 24 cases that are actively filed and pending before the Montgomery County Circuit Court involving the representation of sponsors and guardians of unaccompanied immigrant minors. Other cases are in the preparation stage or have already been adjudicated by the Circuit Court.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

At the time we filed our grant request, KIND was feeding 1640 kids in 23 different MCPS schools. As of now, we are feeding 1855 kids in 25 different MCPS schools. Our goal remains to be feeding 2000 kids by the end of the school year.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
KIND continues to grow and expand our services of feeding the neediest kids in county on weekends. We have already increased the number of Montgomery County Public Schools kids who are on the FARM program from 1640 to 1855. Our biggest obstacle this year has been the unusually high turnover of guidance counselors, Every Mind coordinators and/or administration contacts at the schools we deal with in delivering the food. Often, the replacement person has been completely unaware of how to handle the KIND food deliveries and several schools had to reduce the number of kids we delivered for early in the school year. Most of them have been able to raise their totals since, but it has been more difficult this year. For example, one of the schools we were delivering to, KIND was feeding 150 kids at the end of the 2016-17 school year and the plan was to increase that number to 200 at the start of this year, but the school got a new counselor in the Spring of last year, and lost their Linkages to Learning coordinator over the Summer. Instead of increasing to 200, the school reduced their number to 100 at the beginning of the year and have only recently returned to receiving food for 150 kids with our last delivery. Despite this problem, KIND has still manage to add two new schools to the list of schools we deliver to, and increase the number of kids we feed on the weekends by 215 kids. KIND's goal remains to be feeding 2000 kids by the end of the 2017-2018 school year.
Organization's Legal Name  
Korean Community Service Center of Greater Washington

Submission Title  
Korean Community Service Center - FY18 CC 2 - Senior Empowerment Program

Requested FY18 Award  
52697.99

Final FY18 Award  
10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

N/A

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
During the months of October through December 2017, KCSC has provided 205 units of comprehensive case management in its Silver Spring office to 107 low-income residents of Eastern Montgomery County who are over 55 of age connecting them to available social services and benefits through assistance with applications, translation services, providing information, and making referrals.

KCSC also provided the first session (October through December 2017) of its Senior Academy program to the residents of University Gardens Apartments in Silver Spring (a HUD-funded senior housing), offering free English, Yoga, Computer/Smartphones, and Art/Crafts classes. Free lunch was provided after the class. Classes occurred in the morning at University Gardens Apartments twice a week (Mondays and Wednesdays). KCSC initially designed the classes to be at its office which is right next to the apartments but decided to keep it in the apartments due to hazard factors for the seniors to walk to the office. During the months of October through December of 2017, KCSC served 12 individuals through its Senior Academy program. For the session, KCSC targeted individuals who were not attending an adult daycare center which is approximately 30% of the residents resulting in smaller number of participants than expected. KCSC is newly planning the program to be afternoon activities in order to provide its Senior Academy program to a broader population. Also, when a pathway between the University Gardens Apartment and KCSC’s Silver Spring office is installed (expected in 2018), KCSC will relocate its Senior Academy classes to its Silver Spring office.

There were no clients who received KCSC’s Mental Health Program services in the months of October through December 2017. General counseling was given to many of the clients as it is a necessary component of social service provided. At the time of counseling, it was determined that a specific mental health professional was not needed.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
KCSC provided financial stability services to a total of 5 families. 5 families received a total of $5,390 in rental assistance and one family received a total of $690 in utility assistance. 2 clients received grocery coupons with a value of $100 each. KCSC provided services on helping with enrollment in public benefits, providing information and making referrals to both internal and external program and services as needed and a total of 13 clients received comprehensive case management services. KCSC provided job readiness services to a total of 3 clients with resume and cover letter preparation, mock interview, and/or online job search skills. KCSC hosted a "Sharing Love Camping Walk" fundraising event for the Safety Net Project on 10/14/17 at the Carderock Park in Potomac, MD and there were around 150 participants.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
During the months of October through December 2017, KCSC has provided 205 units of comprehensive case management in its Silver Spring office to 107 low-income residents of Eastern Montgomery County who are over 55 of age connecting them to available social services and benefits through assistance with applications, translation services, providing information, and making referrals.

KCSC also provided the first session (October through December 2017) of its Senior Academy program to the residents of University Gardens Apartments in Silver Spring (a HUD-funded senior housing), offering free English, Yoga, Computer/Smartphones, and Art/Crafts classes. Free lunch was provided after the class. Classes occurred in the morning at University Gardens Apartments twice a week (Mondays and Wednesdays). KCSC initially designed the classes to be at its office which is right next to the apartments but decided to keep it in the apartments due to hazard factors for the seniors to walk to the office. During the months of October through December of 2017, KCSC served 12 individuals through its Senior Academy program. For the session, KCSC targeted individuals who were not attending an adult daycare center which is approximately 30% of the residents resulting in smaller number of participants than expected. KCSC is newly planning the program to be afternoon activities in order to provide its Senior Academy program to a broader population. Also, when a pathway between the University Gardens Apartment and KCSC’s Silver Spring office is installed (expected in 2018), KCSC will relocate its Senior Academy classes to its Silver Spring office.

There were no clients who received KCSC’s Mental Health Program services in the months of October through December 2017. General counseling was given to many of the clients as it is a necessary component of social service provided. At the time of counseling, it was determined that a specific mental health professional was not needed.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

1) During this reporting period, KCSC received thirty-eight (38) phone inquiries related domestic violence issues through the hotline. KCSC provided information and referrals, safety plans, financial support, free legal consultation, or social services to all hotline callers. Also, KCSC had intake and assessment for eighteen (18) victims and provided comprehensive case management including free legal assistance, in-depth mental health counseling, and/or job readiness services. Through those services by KCSC, clients improved their lives and try to live independently with dignity. Also, with KCSC’s help, eight (8) victims escaped from their abusive relationship through legal actions including a protective order or spontaneous separation.

KCSC’ bilingual staffs accompanied them to courts to help them get protective orders or other agencies to receive proper services the client needed as most of our clients have limited English proficiency. During this reporting period, KCSC provided financial assistance to four (4) victims with grocery coupons or emergency cash fund. 100% of clients who completed a survey or self-reported answered that they were satisfied with or their situation has been improved and trying to live independently through KCSC’s services.

2) During this reporting period, KCSC provided free legal consultation to ten (10) clients. They got information and referrals related to domestic violence criminal notification, protective order, divorce, child custody or support. As most of our victims were not affordable to pay for the private lawyers, KCSC provided legal services in collaboration with Asian Pacific American Legal Resource Center (APALRC). Seven (7) victims received services by a staff attorney of APARLC, but three (3) were referred to other legal aid agencies to proceed their cases after getting a consultation from APALRC.

86% of clients, who gave feedback about their legal consultation and help, answered that their issues were dealt with or moved forward to next step due to the legal help.

3) KCSC provided three (3) educational seminars to deal with domestic violence and elder abuse issues and approximately one hundred ninety (190) people participated in the seminars.
   - 8/27/17: educational seminar on domestic violence issues at New Covenant Fellowship Church in Germantown (40 participants)
   - 11/16/17: educational seminar on domestic violence and elder abuse in prevention and intervention at Bethany Church in Gaithersburg (150 participants) / series 1
   - 11/30/17: educational seminar on financial exploitation against the elder people and prevention and legal issues related will and guardianship at Bethany Church in Gaithersburg (150 participants) / series 2

According to the evaluation survey, 88% of answerers were satisfied with the seminar and could get helpful information and resources through the seminars.

4) There are no barriers to prevent KCSC from making progress toward your goals.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Korean Community Service Center Of Greater Washington</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Korean Community Service Center - FY18 CE 4 - SAFES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>55000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>55000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
A total of 1247 Korean-speaking clients received information and referral services through phone and face-to-face consultations at the Gaithersburg and Silver Spring offices. A total of 185 clients received comprehensive case management services. A total of 215 clients received living cost-reduction assistance including assisting clients in applying for diverse housing programs such as rent assistance, utility assistance, and property tax credits. KCSC conducted 10 workshops and events covering topics such as social service benefits seminar on 8/27/17, Medicare Part D enrollment events on 10/28/17 & 11/18/17, and Alzheimer's disease seminars on 9/21/17, 9/28/17, 10/19/17, 11/2/17, 11/9/17, 11/16/17 & 11/30/17. A total of 269 participants attended the workshops. A total of 270 patients received health care services through KAMMSA community clinic. KCSC provided Korean interpretation services to 59 patients at the clinic. KCSC provided Medicare Part D enrollment services to a total of 137 clients. A total of 38 clients participated in the job readiness program. KCSC hosted a recruiting event with Bento Sushi on 7/27/17 and there were 4 participants. A career seminar on LSG Sky Chefs also was held on 10/5/17 and there were 9 participants.
Council Mid-year Report

Created: 01/08/2018 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name: Latin American Youth Center

Submission Title: LAYC-FY18-CC-Certification Program

Requested FY18 Award: 75802.00

Final FY18 Award: 40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The elements of the project have remained the same; training, certification and internship opportunities for formerly disconnected youth and those at high risk of disconnection. However, due to a reduction in the budget (final amount awarded), the number to be served and dosage has varied from the original application.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The Permission to Proceed for the FY18 project was dated 12/13/17 and received on 12/19/17. Therefore, the project began only 1 month ago. In that time, the Job Developer has conducted outreach and recruitment activities towards the enrollment of the first cohort of youth. He has conducted 1-1 interviews with 10 youth and is scheduling the first Job Readiness Training orientation in February.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

**Organization's Legal Name**  
Latin American Youth Center

**Submission Title**  
LAYC-FY18-CE-Full Circle Brotherhood

**Requested FY18 Award**  
115,000

**Final FY18 Award**  
35000

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has remained the same. The amount awarded through the CE Community Grants process was less than what was applied for, but LAYC/MMYC was able to secure other funding to make the budget complete.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**

**Response (up to 500 words):**

The Permission to Proceed was dated January 2, 2018 and received on January 8, 2018 (for contract period 12/20/17-12/18/18). The delay in contract execution has delayed the start of the project. In the short time since receiving permission to proceed, the Program Coordinator has outreached and interviewed candidates for the mentor positions; notified the school and parents in order to recruit a pool of mentees; scheduled training and initial retreat; and worked in collaboration with the YMCA to coordinate/solidify the monthly calendar of engagements.
Organization's Legal Name  LEAD4LIFE, Inc.

Submission Title  Lead4Life, Inc. - CE - Disconnected Youth

Requested FY18 Award  50000.00

Final FY18 Award  20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service have not changed although we received partial funding for FY18.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Due to the decrease amount awarded than requested, we are limited on the outputs and outcomes. Lead4Life was able to work on one specific output. The output we are focusing on is enhancing administrative capabilities enabling executive staff to build stronger connections to potential funders. Our contract was not signed and activated until November 2017.

Lead4Life was able to secure $120,000 to provide services to disconnected youth in Montgomery County. The program approved for funding is for a Peer Connector program focusing on East County. Our goal is to actively provide case management services to reengage 80 disconnected youth and provide resource and referrals for 40 youth who aren't able to commit to the re-engagement process.

Lead4Life was able to secure $6,000 in two new donors since November.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 12/28/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Leadership Montgomery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Leadership Montgomery - FY18 CC - 2 - Corporate Volunteer Council Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>25878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No Changes have occurred.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

dklslpwpweojd;fkm
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No Changes have occurred.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Employee Volunteer Program Jumpstart
We have completed, begun, or contracted work with the following companies to institute and/or formalize employee volunteer programs. This work entails identifying the business goals the company hopes to meet through the implementation of an employee volunteer program as well as the related measures of success; identifying employee interests via survey; securing executive and management support by educating them on the benefits of employee volunteerism; building structure and policies to support employee volunteerism including eligibility policies for employees, partners, and activities; instituting an
employee driven committee to support the program; and outlining internal and external communications plans to support the program.

• Avendra
• NIH Federal Credit Union
• The Emmes Corporation
• The Donohoe Companies
• MedStar Montgomery

Community Days of Service
To-date we have organized three days of service; two for LM Members and one for a corporate partner:
• September 2017, 18 LM members volunteered with DC Diaper Bank or National Center for Children and Families at our autumn service day. (54 hours)
• October 2017, 400 employee volunteers from Adventist HealthCare put together essential items kits for new mothers served by Interfaith Works (300 hours)
• December 2017, 44 volunteers and ~50 donors supported the Holiday Giving Project supporting our partner Kennedy Cluster Project (132 hours)
• FUTURE: Spring 2017, ~30 volunteers will serve Junior Achievement of Greater Washington
• FUTURE: Summer 2017, ~200 employee volunteers from Discovery Communications will serve Montgomery County nonprofits in projects organized by Leadership Montgomery

Get Connected Database
We are currently working to directly link Leadership Montgomery members and corporate partners to the new Montgomery Serves volunteer database through our website. This work has been delayed by the launch of Leadership Montgomery’s new website, but is on track to launch prior to the end of the fiscal year.

Community Needs Forums
As we evaluated the topics we might cover in these forums we identified a great local need to connect qualified professionals with local nonprofit organizations in need of excellent board members. To that end we pivoted our efforts to the development and launch of a Montgomery County focused board training and matching program in partnership with Nonprofit Montgomery and Capacity Partners. Together we launched and began the pilot process for BoardMatch Montgomery in December 2017. The pilot engaged 10 board candidates and 20 nonprofits, plus two (2) more current local nonprofit board members that participated in the training portion of the program only.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The FY2018 funding received is to “Print and mail the 2018 Primary Election Voters’ Guide to the household of every new voter who registered since the 2016 General Election.” The 2018 Primary Election in Maryland is scheduled for June 26, 2018. League volunteers are beginning work on the Voters’ Guide. We will obtain from the Board of Elections the list of voters that registered in Montgomery County since the 2016 General Election. From this list, we will populate a mailing list and send a Voters’ Guide to the household of each newly registered voter. Based on the League’s experience sending out the Voters’ Guide for the 2016 primary election, we anticipate sending to 50,000 households.

Following the June 26 Primary, we will obtain data on voter turnout from the Board of Elections when it is available and analyze the impact of sending the Voters’ Guide on the turnout of newly registered voters.

In the April 2016 Primary, we found that voter turnout among newly registered Montgomery County voters was significantly higher than among newly registered voters in Baltimore or Prince George’s counties. The turnout rate for new Montgomery County voters was 31.2% vs. 25.5% in Baltimore County and 22.2% in Prince George’s County. Thus, the rate of turnout in Montgomery County was 22.4% greater than new voters in Baltimore County and 40.5% greater than new voters in Prince George’s County. We expect that turnout for the 2018 gubernatorial primary will be lower than it was for the 2016 presidential primary, but we anticipate that the Voters’ Guide will improve turnout when compared to the 2014 gubernatorial primary.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services did change from the original application to reflect revised projected outcomes. The revision in projected outcomes was a result of the ongoing evolution and development of the project; and a better understanding by MLA of the challenges in meeting the originally proposed goals. At the time of the original application, direct services had not begun under the project.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The revised FY18 projected outputs/outcomes of the partnership were: assisting 100 families submitting applications for uninsured children for public healthcare benefits; providing outreach sessions to 60 patients and community members; and receiving 150 referrals from Holy Cross Health Centers of patients who have legal issues.

The program has been largely successful in providing legal services, referrals, and outreach to patients of Holy Cross Health Centers. The program has already exceeded projected outcomes for participants in outreach sessions; having participated in three outreaches, reaching 600 attendees, in just the first four months of the grant term.

In the first four months of the grant term (September - December), MLA performed intake screening and provided information and/or referrals for more than 80 individuals at the HCHN sites, putting the program on pace to provide services to 200 in the grant term. MLA program staff opened 22 individual cases for legal assistance (on pace for 55 in the grant term). The majority of the legal information provided concerned applications for healthcare benefits. Ten of the cases opened were for assistance with applications for Medicare and/or Medicaid.

The program has not assisted as many families with applications for healthcare benefits as originally projected for several reasons. The program was still in the very early stages when the application was written, and MLA and Holy Cross did not know how many patients would be able to be served. Additionally, due to federal funding restrictions, MLA is unable to provide legal services to undocumented residents, only resources or referrals. This restriction impacted the numbers of clients MLA served, since a large number of patients at the clinic are undocumented. MLA made every effort to refer these patients to another provider for these services. There was also a delay in contract execution, and changes in leadership at MLA’s Montgomery County office that impacted the development and implementation of this project.

MLA and HCHN are working to increase case numbers by improving the integration between the two organizations, through internal cross-training, MLA’s participation in HCHN weekly team meetings, and more frequent communication and collaboration. On December 19, the Chief Attorney and paralegal assigned to this project met with Holy Cross’ Vice President of Community Care Operations and the Vice President of Medical Affairs to review progress and ways to improve the program. As a result of the meeting, in January 2018, the Chief Attorney will begin attending weekly care management meetings with Holy Cross medical staff. While these meetings focus on medical case reviews, they often give rise to discussions concerning social/legal needs. MLA’s presence will help the medical staff focus on potential legal solutions to these needs.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Despite only partial funding of this project we were able to raise the funding necessary from private sources. As a result, we were able to increase our total collection program from $900,000 of equipment collected to over $1.5M collected in FY17! In Montgomery County specifically, we were able to drastically increase our engagement with the youth sports community collecting over $250,000 worth of gear from the community. Additionally we were able to engage over 500 local volunteers in our program!

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
In FY17 we were able to save almost 50 programs in the county a combined $200,000 in equipment expenses. That grew from $150,000 in FY16 and we expect that number to continue growing especially through the beginning of FY18. Our goal was to save programs a combined $250,000 in equipment expenses, and we feel that we would have hit that if our fiscal year ran differently. With the funding we received we were able to invest time and resources for the first time in a few years into outreach throughout the county. That process took some time at the beginning of the year before bearing fruit over the summer mostly with partnerships developed with the Office of Community Partnerships, Linkages to Learning and the PE Departments across the county. As a result, we received 43 applications from county programs, mostly towards the latter part of the year. As a result, many of the approved programs have not come to the warehouse yet to pick up their donations. With that in mind, we expect to help close to 75 programs in FY18 to the tune of over $300,000 in savings! So while we came up just short on our goals, we were able to accomplish our outputs which we believe, based on the timing of that success, that we will see those results roll into FY18.

The final outcome we were looking for was an increase in volunteer engagement in our program. That was a smashing success as we engaged over 500 local volunteers. Most of those volunteers were school aged kids, however we were able to grow tremendously in the local business community. It seemed that almost every week we had a group from a Montgomery County business volunteering in our warehouse. Much of that growth in volunteer engagement was due to the funding we received which allowed us to drastically increase our outreach to Montgomery County youth sports leagues, schools, churches, synagogues and local businesses. Our approach is typically based around running a collection drive with each entity which is exemplified by the drastic increase in how much equipment we collected in the county. At the same time, the more collection drives we ran in the county, the more emails and phone calls we received on a daily basis from folks looking to get more involved in our mission. If it were not for the funding support we would not have been able to invest in those outreach efforts.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed. This grant was able to help us improve our warehouse space tremendously allowing us to increase our collection program, distribution program and volunteer program without any capacity issues.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Throughout the year we were able to increase the number of students in Montgomery County that have access to affordable youth sports activities. This year we increased the number of programs that received equipment from us from 28 programs to 48 programs and we received over 40 applications from county program that have never received donations from us in the past. Based on our impact reports and feedback over 80% of those programs have been able to increase students participation in athletics. Anecdotally, we have seen additional youth sports programs pop up throughout the community as a result of the resource we are providing to under resourced populations in Montgomery County. For example, we helped the Center for Children & Families begin a soccer program for Latin American kids in the county for the very first time!

More specifically, we have seen additional impact on schools in the county, especially through Linkages to Learning programs. In total we worked with 16 schools in FY17 helping them expand both their PE programs and after school sports programs. We found that 80% of the schools were able to expand participation in sports due to the influx of equipment we provided. For instance, Waters Landing Elementary School in Germantown was able to increase student participation in PE activities because they had equipment for every student to use for the first time. Over the past years, they have struggled to provide enough gear during PE time to keep the kids engaged. After working with us, that changed!
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In our FY18 application, we stated that we expected to serve 300 youth from Montgomery County through our after-school programs of civic engagement with the expectation that at least 75% would report feeling: a) more involved in their Montgomery County community, b) better prepared for post-secondary education, and c) better prepared to obtain employment in Montgomery County. Only halfway through the year, we have almost reached our goal, serving 291 youth this past fall. Outcomes are measured through self-reporting on evaluations distributed at the end of each semester.

This semester, 92% of our youth reported feeling more involved in their Montgomery County community. We achieved this goal by taking field trips to places such as Wheaton Regional Park to see the Christmas lights, engaging in volunteer projects, such as making sandwiches for a local homeless shelter, and facilitating discussions on how to be good citizens by taking care of our environment and becoming politically active. One of our Wheaton youth commented that his favorite things about Liberty’s Promise are the community service projects because, “we’re having fun, helping each other, and helping our society and community.” When youth are involved in their community and take an active role in serving the people and environment around them, they begin to feel like they belong in this new cultural setting. This sense of belonging empowers youth, which helps them feel more comfortable and form bonds with their peers.

In addition to feeling more involved in the community, 92% of youth reported feeling better prepared for college. To reach this goal, we conducted several sessions devoted to familiarizing youth with post-secondary educational options and application processes. We hosted admissions representatives from colleges, such as Montgomery College and the Universities at Shady Grove, to interact with our youth and show them how they can achieve their academic goals through college. Having college representatives speak directly to our immigrant youth makes them feel like they can strive for the same opportunities that their native-born peers have. We also connected youth with scholarship resources and invited guests from scholarship organizations, such as the Herb Block Foundation. In addition to guest speakers, we held college workshops where youth learned how to apply to colleges, write college essays, and fill out the FAFSA. Through Liberty’s Promise, youth start to feel more confident in their ability to pursue higher education.

Finally, 86% of youth reported feeling better prepared for a career. To achieve this outcome, we invited successful members of the community, most of them immigrants themselves, to talk to youth about their professional paths. This past semester, we welcomed speakers from many different career fields, including nurses, non-profit workers, photographers, government officials, and consultants. We also held resume and interview workshops to prepare youth to enter the workforce. These workshops, coupled with guest speakers, help our youth explore new interests and practice necessary professional skills so that they can obtain the careers of their dreams.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In our FY18 application, we stated that we expected to serve 300 youth from Montgomery County through our after-school programs of civic engagement with the expectation that at least 75% would report feeling: a) more involved in their Montgomery County community, b) better prepared for post-secondary education, and c) better prepared to obtain employment in Montgomery County. Only halfway through the year, we have almost reached our goal, serving 291 this past fall semester. Outcomes are measured through self-reporting on evaluations distributed at the end of each semester.

This semester, 92% of our youth reported feeling more involved in their Montgomery County community. We achieved this goal by taking field trips to places such as Wheaton Regional Park to see the Christmas lights, engaging in volunteer projects, such as making sandwiches for a local homeless shelter, and facilitating discussions on how to be good citizens by taking care of our environment and becoming politically active. One of our Wheaton youth commented that his favorite things about Liberty’s Promise are the community service projects because, “we’re having fun, helping each other, and helping our society and community.” When youth are involved in their community and take an active role in serving the people and environment around them, they begin to feel like they belong in this new cultural setting. This sense of belonging empowers youth, which helps them feel more comfortable and form bonds with their peers.

In addition to feeling more involved in the community, 92% of youth reported feeling better prepared for college. To reach this goal, we conducted several sessions devoted to familiarizing youth with post-secondary educational options and application processes. We hosted admissions representatives from colleges, such as Montgomery College and the Universities at Shady Grove, to interact with our youth and show them how they can achieve their academic goals through college. Having college representatives speak directly to our immigrant youth makes them feel like they can strive for the same opportunities that their native-born peers have. We also connected youth with scholarship resources and invited guests from scholarship organizations, such as the Herb Block Foundation. In addition to guest speakers, we held college workshops where youth learned how to apply to colleges, write college essays, and fill out the FAFSA. Through Liberty’s Promise, youth start to feel more confident in their ability to pursue higher education.

Finally, 86% of youth reported feeling better prepared for a career. To achieve this outcome, we invited successful members of the community, most of them immigrants themselves, to talk to youth about their professional paths. This past semester, we welcomed speakers from many different career fields, including nurses, non-profit workers, photographers, government officials, and consultants. We also held resume and interview workshops to prepare youth to enter the workforce. These workshops, coupled with guest speakers, help our youth explore new interests and practice necessary professional skills so that they can obtain the careers of their dreams.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Life Asset, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Life Asset - FY18 CC - 1 - jobs program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>14500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No change to scope of work even though partial funding from the County. Life Asset was able to find additional grant(s) covering the difference.

Note: Life Asset apologizes for the delay (of a few days) in submitting this mid-term report. Our grant agreement was signed in December 2017 and we didn't know we had to submit midterm report shortly after agreement was signed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):
Life Asset is on target to reach or exceed all stated outputs and outcomes in our FY18 application, including:

Output the first 6 months:
- Number of loans issued/served: 71 (12 month goal: 140)
- Number of hours training and one-on-one coaching: 500 hours (12 month goal: 960)
- Number of training events provided: 12 (12 month goal: 24)

Outcome the first 6 months:
- Number of businesses launched or expanded: 71 (12 month goal: 140)
- Number of jobs created (including temporary and seasonal jobs): 142 (12 month goal: 240)
- Number of entrepreneurs participating in credit building program to increase credit score: 35 (12 month goal: 60 entrepreneurs). (Note: The actual credit score improvement is too early to measure).
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Due to partial funding, we had to eliminate professional development attendance at Village to Village Network Gathering which was held in Baltimore, MD in November 2017. Since next VtV gathering is in California, and definitely out of reach of budget due to travel costs, this was a real missed opportunity.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our FY18 application was to add salary dollars to our budget to be able to attract and recruit a high quality candidate for a new executive director, replacing an executive director who had been forgoing much of his salary. With the grant funds awarded, we were able to advertise the position at a salary of $45,000 and attracted several highly qualified candidates. We chose Lisa Rosenthal, who began work on January 15, 2018 and will begin to draw salary this month.

Todd Smith, our retiring executive director, was elected to the LFV board and has been very valuable in the transition to new staff leadership, ensuring that all services to members continue without interruption.

In 2017, LFV volunteers contributed 284 member services (152 rides, 61 friendly visits, 36 visits for household help) totaling 669 direct service hours at a value of $16,149.66. Additional volunteers made 20 member calls a month to members we had not seen at a service call or social or informational event. LFV offered 91 social, informational or cultural programs in 2017 – more than one per week. In all, 808 residents and members attended these programs.

Our member numbers dipped from 120 to 105 in 2017, and we believe this was due to our inability to offer programming at the Little Falls Library (closed for a refresh for several months more than planned), a key outreach for obtaining new members.

Our investment in a fundraising consultancy led to an 84% increase in funds raised through corporate, individual and foundation giving in 2017 vs. 2016, increasing from $16,511 to $30,384. This helped offset the reduction in country funding for the new director salary.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services (additional Operational Support) for the Full Day Therapeutic Nursery Program has not changed significantly. We have utilized funds based on the needs of the classroom and anticipate utilizing all funding by the end of the fiscal year. The student enrollment has been low due to changes in leadership and classroom staffing as well as emphasis on bringing in high quality staff replacements prior to introducing more children to the TNP.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Number of Children Served: The TNP Full Day classroom started the school year with four children. There are currently five children enrolled. One child has completed interview and will start in a few weeks.

Graduating TNP and Transitioning into Appropriate School Setting: Data not yet available

Kindergarten Readiness Skills (Child Outcome Summary): Since the beginning of the school year, four of the five children have shown improvement in their cognitive, social-emotional, language, and motor skills. In particular, children are better able to communicate their wants and needs verbally rather than through behaviors. One of the five does not have progress scores yet available due to delayed enrollment.

Impact on Families: In the recent Parent Guardian Survey (11-12/2017), 100% of families surveyed said their “likelihood to recommend” [TNP Full Day Services] was Good or Very Good (Very Good being top box score).

In addition to these four areas of progress the program continues to demonstrate a best-practice model: the TNP has maintained its 5-star MD EXCEL rating (highest level) and national accreditation (NAEYC). The TNP research has been presented at national and international conferences as well as appearing in two peer-reviewed journal articles that will be published in 2018.

The Full Day Therapeutic Nursery Program has under gone significant changes in program leadership and staff. Just prior to the start of the new school year, the Director of this program left the Center. In September the lead teacher for the classroom also left. These significant changes allowed the Lourie Center to restructure the leadership and support team for the entire Therapeutic Nursery Program (encompassing the full day and the half day program) to unify the interventions, teachings and future direction of the program. Although the Full Day program is not running at full capacity, Maryland State Department of Education (the program’s main funding source) has been extremely supportive of our efforts and progress thus far.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Lourie Center School (LCS) has increased enrollment to historic levels. The LCS is planning to expand by an additional classroom and expand the Outpatient Mental Health clinic over the next 18-24 months. To meet these needs, it's been decided to send two individuals to CPI “trainer” training.

The LC is exploring two options for LSCI training: As laid out in our contract, remaining school staff will attend a five-day training in August 2018. The LCS may also utilize grant dollars to send one school staff member to LSCI “trainer” training. This would ensure sustainability and optimize dollars invested.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Staff Trained in Life Space Crisis Intervention and Crisis Prevention Intervention (CPI): One staff member has attended the CPI trainer training the second is planning to attend in spring or summer of 2018. See comments above for expected plan to spend down remaining grant dollars.

There have been no extenuating circumstances to prevent the Lourie Center from making progress on our goals. We have had to re-think our training strategy and options due to the increased number of children currently served and our planned (future) expansion.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

LVC is very satisfied with the scope of services provided to date. We have been to the four smaller Montgomery County Senior Centers perfecting our presentation at each event. We feel confident that the numbers we reach will increase when we present to the three larger Centers in the spring.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
LVC's Vision Enrichment Workshop has been extremely well received at the four Montgomery County Senior Centers visited to date. Attendance has been lower than anticipated. We had the misfortune of having one of our presentations during the Hindu festival of Diwali that was being celebrated at the Center on the same day, competing with the attendance of LVC's Workshop. Another presentation was at the start of the Jewish holidays and could have affected our attendance as well. We expect that the attendance will increase tremendously in the spring when we visit the three remaining larger Senior Centers. LVC is very satisfied with the responses to the surveys taken at each of our presentations showing positive effect the information LVC provided was beneficial and helpful to those in attendance.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/03/2018 • Last updated: 01/17/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Lutheran Social Services of the National Capital Area

Submission Title
LSS/NCA Refugee Services-CC 1- FY17

Requested FY18 Award
60000

Final FY18 Award
30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.
In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application. LSS/NCA was awarded $30,000 from the County Council and $30,000 from the County Executive's Funding Pool. The time period during which services would be provided did change as LSS/NCA was unable to start to implement the grant until 9/25/17 when the county signed the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
LSS/NCA received its contract for the current grant year on 9/25/17. Since the contract took a few months to be signed by the county, LSS started implementing the program in October 2017. The numbers below reflect the time period between 10/1/17 to 12/31/18. LSS/NCA’s goal is to serve 80 clients by the end of the current grant and it has already served over 40% of its client goal this program year. The number of clients receiving financial assistance is low, but we do expect it to rise as initial assistance funds provided through LSS/NCA’s primary contract with LIRS are used up. In addition, since many clients are new arrivals, employment numbers look low but they will increase by the end of the grant period and we expect the majority of employable adults will have found employment and be self-sufficient by the end of the current grant contract. Below are current outcome results.

Objective 1 – Self Sufficiency
Number of clients served in county: 33
Number of Clients comfortable in new community (i.e. had cultural orientation): 33
Number of clients who received Core services delivered in 1st 90 days – i.e. intake, assessment and case planning: 12
Number of clients with a family self-sufficiency plan: 33
Number of clients who made progress on self-sufficiency plan: 33
Number of clients who received rental or utility assistance: 1

Objective 2 – Employment –
Number of clients with documented employment plan within the family sufficiency plan: 33
Number of clients who took ESL or other job training classes: 4 cases (7 individuals)
Number of clients who took steps to overcome barriers to employment: 4 cases (8 employable)
Number of clients placed in a job: 3
Number of clients with health benefits: 3
What is the average hourly wage of those who found a job: $12.21
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/15/2018 • Last updated: 01/17/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Lutheran Social Services of the National Capital Area
Submission Title  LSS/NCA Refugee Services-CE 1- FY17
Requested FY18 Award  60000
Final FY18 Award  30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application. LSS/NCA was awarded $30,000 from the County Council and $30,000 from the County Executive's Funding Pool for a total of $60,000 which was the original proposed budget. The time period during which services would be provided did change as LSS/NCA was unable to start to implement the grant until 9/25/17 when the county signed the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
LSS/NCA received its contract for the current grant year on 9/25/17. Since the contract took a few months to be signed by the county, LSS started implementing the program in October 2017. The numbers below reflect the time period between 10/1/17 to 12/31/18. LSS/NCA’s goal is to serve 80 clients by the end of the current grant and it has already served over 40% of its client goal this program year. The number of clients receiving financial assistance is low, but we do expect it to rise as initial assistance funds provided through LSS/NCA’s primary contract with LIRS are used up. In addition, since many clients are new arrivals, employment numbers look low but they will increase by the end of the grant period and we expect the majority of employable adults will have found employment and be self-sufficient by the end of the current grant contract. Below are current outcome results.

Objective 1 – Self Sufficiency
Number of clients served in county: 33
Number of Clients comfortable in new community (i.e. had cultural orientation): 33
Number of clients who received Core services delivered in 1st 90 days – i.e. intake, assessment and case planning: 12
Number of clients with a family self-sufficiency plan: 33
Number of clients who made progress on self-sufficiency plan: 33
Number of clients who received rental or utility assistance: 1

Objective 2 – Employment –
Number of clients with documented employment plan within the family sufficiency plan: 33
Number of clients who took ESL or other job training classes: 4 cases (7 individuals)
Number of clients who took steps to overcome barriers to employment: 4 cases (8 employable)
Number of clients placed in a job: 3
Number of clients with health benefits: 3
What is the average hourly wage of those who found a job: $12.21
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Inasmuch as funding has only been available for 12 weeks, there has not had sufficient time to produce meaningful outcomes. During this time, however, substantial work and progress have occurred in building new partnerships and alliances with key organizations serving special needs and senior populations to improve coordination and planning for serving these groups.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The program is existing and in its infancy, although we explored its possibility by having small groups visit the farm in 2016 and 2017. This program received partial funding from the County in October 2017. Because funding has only been available for 12 weeks, there has not had sufficient time to produce meaningful outcomes. During this time, however, substantial work and progress have occurred in building new partnerships and alliances with key organizations serving special needs and senior populations to improve coordination and planning for serving these groups. Among these partners are the Montgomery Countryside Alliance; Main Street, Rockville; several MCPS schools; St. Coletta of Greater Washington, Rockville; and the Upcounty Resource Center.

At the recommendation of Montgomery County Community Grants reviewers, Madison House Autism Foundation has made substantial changes to its board, senior staffing structure, and program alignment with mission. Those steps include:
- Addition of 6 new board members with experience in business, non-profit leadership, and special needs services.
- The resignation of Dr. Greg Prince as Chairman so that only one member of the family, JaLynn Prince, serves on the Board (Ms. Prince serves as MHAF President).
- Year-long work with Compass consultants to align MHAF priorities with mission and implementation of recommendations
- Successful conclusion of IRS 5 year transition from private to public charity
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The VisAbility Art Lab serves 12 artists with autism and other intellectual & developmental disabilities (IDD), a 200% increase since September 2017 and 60% towards the goal of 20 artists. Guardians report meaningful outcomes, i.e., demonstrated self-confidence, pride in creating and showing art and participation and growth from being in a work environment. We are focusing on the artist experiences and cut the program week to 3 days. Eight artists had a successful holiday exhibition earning nearly $2000. 100% of the summer cohort responded to a survey and reported positive experiences. The art instructor and assistant received outstanding performance reviews.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

VisArts located in downtown Rockville and Madison House Autism Foundation (MHAF) joined in an innovative partnership to create the VisAbility Art Lab for adult artists with autism. This program aims to
provide artists with a studio at VisArts, a community arts organization, where they can explore and develop their artistic talents, participate in workforce development and life skills training, and forge a deeper and more meaningful relationship with the community. Participants create art at their pace, observe and learn art techniques from studio artists, work with a curator on developing exhibitions, and interact with members of the public attending art classes, camps, gallery tours and artist talks. Necessary life skills and workforce development training are designed to promote self-sufficiency, success in the workforce, and growth as an artist and individual.

The program has received fantastic reviews, meaningful outcomes, and is poised to expand despite a delay in contract execution and funding workarounds. It is an exemplar of 1. How to move individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities to a work environment from a segregated workshop and 2. A nonprofit collaboration.

At the recommendation of Montgomery County Community Grants reviewers, Madison House Autism Foundation has made substantial changes to its board, senior staffing structure, and program alignment with mission. Those steps include:

*Addition of 6 new board members with experience in business, non-profit leadership, and special needs services.
*The resignation of Dr. Greg Prince as Chairman so that only one member of the family, JaLynn Prince, serves on the Board (Ms. Prince serves as MHAF President).
*Year-long work with Compass consultants to align MHAF priorities with mission and implementation of recommendations
*Successful conclusion of IRS 5 year transition from private to public charity

During this time, however, substantial work and progress have occurred in building new partnerships and alliances with key organizations serving special needs. The Main Street project is a potential driver for increased participation, and we have met with its leadership to help identify a partner for VisArts to continue the program.

The MHAF Arts director has changed the trajectory of her career and no longer works for MHAF. While MHAF is proud of VisAbility, we now are focusing our limited resources on Madison Fields and our other programs. Executive Director of VisArts and Executive Director, MHAF continue to work together and ensure the sustainability and growth of the program. Our current goal is to find a new partner for VisArts and make sure that the artists currently participating in the program continue to thrive.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Manna Food Center
Submission Title  Manna Food Center- FY18 CC- 1- Mobile Kitchen
Requested FY18 Award  50000
Final FY18 Award  10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Since the initial funding, our primary goals for the scope of services offered by the Mobile Kitchen and Pop-up Pantry have not changed in concept. We still aim to provide youth, their parents, and older adults at risk of food insecurity and diet-related diseases in Montgomery County with cooking and nutrition education classes aboard our retro-fitted Mobile Kitchen. We also aim to offer Pop-up Pantries (choice pantry model) with the same vehicle in areas of Montgomery County Manna is currently not able to effectively serve.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Manna purchased, retrofitted, and licensed the kitchen aboard our Mobile Kitchen and Pop-up Pantry. Although the retrofitting process took twice as long as predicted and delayed the start of our pilot classes, we are now on track to reach our anticipated outputs and outcomes by the end of 2018. In August, we conducted a successful pilot of both the capacities of the vehicle and of the Common Threads curriculum at Gaithersburg Elementary School. We have also hired a staff member to schedule and teach classes and purchased an appropriate and effective curriculum approved for usage by Montgomery County Public Schools. Right now, we are in the process of training volunteers and staff to drive the vehicle, testing our completely digital post-class surveys, and developing an ambitious schedule for school and community engagement starting at the end of February for both the Mobile Kitchen and the Pop-up Pantry. As of January, we have 70 classes on the spring/summer calendar. In addition to the 8 pilot classes with 16 participants, Manny did participate in five community events, one pantry and one senior class which collectively reached over 1000 members of our community.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/19/2018

**Organization's Legal Name**  
Manna Food Center

**Submission Title**  
Manna Food Center - FY18 CC- 2- Smart Sacks

**Requested FY18 Award**  
54000

**Final FY18 Award**  
14000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We have had no change to the scope of services.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The Smart Sacks program currently has 2,769 students enrolled to receive sacks every week and is on track to meet the target output number of 2,850 students by the school year end. Compared with the 2,715 students enrolled in December 2016, the program currently has 54 more students enrolled at the same time this year and is on track to increase to the target 2,850 students. There are currently multiple schools that have requested increases in the total number of sacks received per week and the Smart Sacks Program Manager is in the process of coordinating with the Director of Programs and school staff in determining how the remaining sacks will be distributed.

As of the end of December 2017, Smart Sacks has distributed 37,615 bags to participating schools. Due to recent modifications in how Smart Sacks statistics are tracked and calculated this school year, the target number of bags to be distributed for FY18 may fall slightly under the target output of 104,000 bags. During FY17, the total number of sacks distributed was calculated through the amount of food Manna provided to partnered community organizations. This does not account for missed deliveries or skipped distributions at schools due to schedule conflicts or alternate distribution plans over breaks and holidays. Additionally, many schools requested more bags at the beginning of the year than the total number of students who had signed up, causing extra bags to accrue at the school. Starting in FY18, the Smart Sacks Program Manager engaged in constant communication and feedback with partnered organizations and school staff to report and record when food was not distributed to reduce waste and improve efficiency of Smart Sacks distribution. While this has caused the total number of bags distributed to be less compared to the same point in time during FY17, it is a more accurate representation of the actual number of bags distributed.

The Smart Sacks program is also on track to meet all three of the outcomes stated for FY18. As the program is currently serving 2,769 students, the program exceeds the expected first outcome of providing access to healthy foods to 90% of students, or 2,565 students every week. Each school is also receiving Smart Sacks Food Facts from Manna and nutrition education pamphlets and recipe cards from the University of Maryland Extension Food Supplement Nutrition Education program. While surveys and focus groups have not yet been conducted for FY18, the Smart Sacks program manager is in the process of reviewing the responses from FY17, updating the surveys and focus group questionnaires as needed, and preparing to schedule visits to schools in the upcoming months. These surveys and focus groups will evaluate utilization of the Smart Sacks Food Facts and nutrition education materials as well as overall satisfaction with the program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to partner with farmers and farm markets to “purchase” regionally produced fruits and vegetables and share them with County residents experiencing food insecurity did not change. There was once specific change in our output goal regarding CSA shares from Red Wiggler Farm. At application submission, we planned to serve 50 families at Fox Chapel Elementary School for 10 weeks. In order to provide more summer crops, items preferred by families, the program began early and ran for 15 weeks. Due to changes in the Linkages case load, we provided these shares to 35 families.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

 Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

From May through December 136,089 pounds of produce was collected from four Montgomery County farmers markets and four farm partners, exceeding our goal of 130,000 pounds. This food was distributed to 23,427 (plus December 2017) duplicated households during that time frame. 100% of participants who came to Manna between May and December received some amount of locally grown produce in their Manna box. For these households, the food support reduced hunger as a barrier to reaching a higher degree of self-sufficiency.

In addition, as stated above, we served 35 families at Fox Chapel Elementary with the ½ CSA share of fresh, organic produce for 15 weeks from August to November. For the $6000 fee agreed upon with Red Wiggler Community Farm, a total of 2589 pounds of produce (valued at $8871.00) was delivered directly to the school each week.

Although we cannot speak to it at this mid-year point, our annual survey administered in May will indicate our progress toward the last stated outcome that 90% of households will report utilization of all or most of the produce provided.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Since the initial funding, our primary goals for the scope of services offered by the Mobile Kitchen and Pop-up Pantry have not changed in concept. We still aim to provide youth, their parents, and older adults at risk of food insecurity and diet-related diseases in Montgomery County with cooking and nutrition education classes aboard our retro-fitted Mobile Kitchen. We also aim to offer Pop-up Pantries (choice pantry model) with the same vehicle in areas of Montgomery County Manna is currently not able to effectively serve.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Manna purchased, retrofitted, and licensed the kitchen aboard our Mobile Kitchen and Pop-up Pantry. Although the retrofitting process took twice as long as predicted and delayed the start of our pilot classes, we are now on track to reach our anticipated outputs and outcomes by the end of 2018. In August, we conducted a successful pilot of both the capacities of the vehicle and of the Common Threads curriculum at Gaithersburg Elementary School. We have also hired a staff member to schedule and teach classes and purchased an appropriate and effective curriculum approved for usage by Montgomery County Public Schools. Right now, we are in the process of training volunteers and staff to drive the vehicle, testing our completely digital post-class surveys, and developing an ambitious schedule for school and community engagement starting at the end of February for both the Mobile Kitchen and the Pop-up Pantry. As of January, we have 70 classes on the spring/summer calendar. In addition to the 8 pilot classes with 16 participants, Manny did participate in five community events, one pantry and one senior class which collectively reached over 1000 members of our community.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Manna Food Center
Submission Title  Manna Food Center - FY 18 CE -2- Smart Sacks
Requested FY18 Award  54000
Final FY18 Award  40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.
In 100 words or less.
We have had no change to the scope of services.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The Smart Sacks program currently has 2,769 students enrolled to receive sacks every week and is on track to meet the target output number of 2,850 students by the school year end. Compared with the 2,715 students enrolled in December 2016, the program currently has 54 more students enrolled at the same time this year and is on track to increase to the target 2,850 students. There are currently multiple schools that have requested increases in the total number of sacks received per week and the Smart Sacks Program Manager is in the process of coordinating with the Director of Programs and school staff in determining how the remaining sacks will be distributed.

As of the end of December 2017, Smart Sacks has distributed 37,615 bags to participating schools. Due to recent modifications in how Smart Sacks statistics are tracked and calculated this school year, the target number of bags to be distributed for FY18 may fall slightly under the target output of 104,000 bags. During FY17, the total number of sacks distributed was calculated through the amount of food Manna provided to partnered community organizations. This does not account for missed deliveries or skipped distributions at schools due to schedule conflicts or alternate distribution plans over breaks and holidays. Additionally, many schools requested more bags at the beginning of the year than the total number of students who had signed up, causing extra bags to accrue at the school. Starting in FY18, the Smart Sacks Program Manager engaged in constant communication and feedback with partnered organizations and school staff to report and record when food was not distributed to reduce waste and improve efficiency of Smart Sacks distribution. While this has caused the total number of bags distributed to be less compared to the same point in time during FY17, it is a more accurate representation of the actual number of bags distributed.

The Smart Sacks program is also on track to meet all three of the outcomes stated for FY18. As the program is currently serving 2,769 students, the program exceeds the expected first outcome of providing access to healthy foods to 90% of students, or 2,565 students every week. Each school is also receiving Smart Sacks Food Facts from Manna and nutrition education pamphlets and recipe cards from the University of Maryland Extension Food Supplement Nutrition Education program. While surveys and focus groups have not yet been conducted for FY18, the Smart Sacks program manager is in the process of reviewing the responses from FY17, updating the surveys and focus group questionnaires as needed, and preparing to schedule visits to schools in the upcoming months. These surveys and focus groups will evaluate utilization of the Smart Sacks Food Facts and nutrition education materials as well as overall satisfaction with the program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to partner with farmers and farm markets to “purchase” regionally produced fruits and vegetables and share them with County residents experiencing food insecurity did not change. There was one specific change in our output goal regarding CSA shares from Red Wiggler Farm. At application submission, we planned to serve 50 families at Fox Chapel Elementary School for 10 weeks. In order to provide more summer crops, items preferred by families, the program began early and ran for 15 weeks. Due to changes in the Linkages case load, we provided these shares to 35 families.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
From May through December 136,089 pounds of produce was collected from four Montgomery County farmers markets and four farm partners, exceeding our goal of 130,000 pounds. This food was distributed to 23,427 (plus December 2017) duplicated households during that time frame. 100% of participants who came to Manna between May and December received some amount of locally grown produce in their Manna box. For these households, the food support reduced hunger as a barrier to reaching a higher degree of self-sufficiency.

In addition, as stated above, we served 35 families at Fox Chapel Elementary with the $\frac{1}{2}$ CSA share of fresh, organic produce for 15 weeks from August to November. For the $6000 fee agreed upon with Red Wiggler Community Farm, a total of 2589 pounds of produce (valued at $8871.00) was delivered directly to the school each week.

Although we cannot speak to it at this mid-year point, our annual survey administered in May will indicate our progress toward the last stated outcome that 90% of households will report utilization of all or most of the produce provided.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The Maryland Senior Olympics Commissions's (MSOC) motto, "To Participate is to Win" encourages seniors, who have forsaken athletic activities, to leave their couch and participate in these life-sustaining activities resulting in good health habits and fellowship with other participants. With this motto as a backdrop, MSOC's activities the past 6 months were focused on Outreach: Wellness, Diversity and Collaborations.

The six month cumulative information for MSOC regarding “participants, attendance, activities, program days, and expenses as well as information on participant program evaluations” for the MSOC Mid-Year Report is as follows.
With regard to the Participants' Outreach Activities, MSOC's goal was to leverage community resources. MSOC outreach efforts included recruiting new volunteers, adding significant new athlete participation and new collaborations with the Baltimore Department on Aging, the Northeast Region- National Senior Games, as well as with the National Senior Games Association (NSGA), focusing on attracting a more diverse athlete population and joining other wellness activities offered through NSGA and the Montgomery County Recreation Department's Senior Services division. Also, our collaboration with NSGA included exploring a partnership with the "wounded warrior" population in Maryland. During the first 6 months, MSOC successfully recruited more volunteers to serve on the Board, recruited a guest speaker from Maryland Department of Aging and used our social media to support our “outreach” efforts.

During this same time period these efforts were rewarded--MSOC served over 280 more athletes. From July to December, MSOC served 1,027 athletes to participate in the following sports: archery, badminton, basketball, bocce, bowling, croquet, golf, disc golf, horseshoes, lawn bowling, pickleball, race walk, racquetball, 5K & 10K road races, shuffleboard, softball, swimming, table tennis, tennis, track and field and volleyball. These sports were held on various dates during the months of July through October 2017---and again, our athlete participation increased 287 over our last "non-qualifying year" of 2015, and one-third of all athletes were from Montgomery County.

With regard to our program evaluation process, MSOC's increase in athlete participation is an "outcome" of satisfied participants. And, this expansion of athlete participation is largely due to the support we received from Montgomery County’s grant. While we do not have an evaluation tool in place, we will consider establishing this program for the future.

MSOC spent $3,589. during the first 6 months on Grant #1076548---$2,183 on Outreach, and $1,406. on IT, Website & Marketing. Presently MSOC is interviewing potential companies and individuals to help redesign MSOC's website. This coming year's cycle, a "Qualifying Year", MSOC has budgeted to spend the remaining $21,411. on its stated goals and products as outlined in MSOC grant proposal's budget: 86% of the remaining amount will go to our website development and the MSOC's registration brochure; the remainder 14% will be spent on our continued Outreach activities.

In summary, MSOC'S activities through the generous grant from Montgomery County had significant success during the first 6 months, and these activities have contributed significantly to the overall morale and health of all athletes who participated.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There have been no major changes.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outcome 1:
Description: The Family Support Worker will screen participants for domestic violence, substance abuse and depression. When there is a positive result, the participant will receive a brief intervention and when necessary, will be referred for treatment.
How will you measure? This outcome will be measured by the number of participants at the Silver Spring clinic that receive the initial screening.
Results: 231 patients for all DV, Substance abuse and depression

Outcome 2:
Description: The Health Educators will provide family planning education, including counseling on short and long term contraceptive methods, as well as workshops on family planning and birth spacing.
How will you measure? This outcome will be measured by the number of participants at the Silver Spring clinic who receive family planning education. Other topics that will be addressed but not measured include HIV/AIDS education, sexually transmitted diseases, and cancer screening and prevention.
Results: 122 patients were provided education, for 134 encounters

Outcome 3:
Description: The Health Educators will provide individual and group information on the risks associated with Cardiovascular Disease and how it can be prevented through proper nutrition, increased physical activity, and by monitoring blood pressure, cholesterol and glucose levels.
How will you measure? This outcome will be measured by the number of people who participate in a minimum of two health education sessions and demonstrate decreases in weight, blood pressure or cholesterol. Other participants will self-report improvement in nutritional eating and increased physical activity.
Results:
• 72 patients reduced BP (in either Systolic or Diastolic)/ 52 patients reduced in both systolic and diastolic
• 24 patients reduced Weight
• Please note, hard to calculate cholesterol change because it is not frequently taken.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided did not change from the original application.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Grant Cycle 09/19/2017 - 09/18/2018.

Objective 1.
Patients receiving coordinated care in one year = 300
1. Between 09/19/2017 to 12/31/2017, 194 patients received coordinated care. Thus, in the first 4 months of the grant cycle we have achieved 65% of our goal. We expect to exceed our goal of 300 coordinated visits for the year.
2. Moreover, there are an additional 21 patients who were exclusively referred to the NIH, bringing the eCW referral numbers and NIH numbers to a total of 215.

Objective 2.
Patients receiving influenza and pneumococcal Vaccine = 400
1. Between 09/19/2017 to 12/31/2017, 166 MCC Medical Clinic MCare patients received influenza vaccine; 100 Montgomery County Community members received influenza vaccine and; 107 MCC Medical Clinic MCare patients received pneumococcal vaccine. The total number of vaccinations administered during the first 4 months of the grant is 373. Thus, we have already achieved 93% of our goal. We expect to meet and exceed our goal of 400 vaccinations for the year.
2. Due to the high demand for influenza vaccine as well as pneumococcal vaccine we have completely depleted our influenza vaccine supply. We would require at least 150 more influenza vaccines for the rest of the year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the proposal has changed since the original funding requested was $53,500 and we received $11,000 to implement the program. However, we were able to achieve 2 out of 3 of our objectives in mid-year. We will continue achieving our goals before the end of the fiscal year.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Objective One: IT Administrator to be hired
Due to funding shortage, the IT Administrator was not hired. However, one staff member has been temporarily assigned to manage the Cybersecurity Program. Furthermore, TechImpact has become the lead technology partner in the program.

Objective Two: Office 365 will be implemented
TechImpact assigned an IT Manager to implement and train Microsoft 365 program for the Clinic's staff. The initial stage of migrating the contents of the MCC Medical Clinic's user mailboxes from GoDaddy email system to a HIPPA compliant Office 365 has been achieved. Twenty-nine computers have been updated with the software. The staff was trained on how to use Office 365 prior to the implementation period. Office 365 has been implemented and 55 staff members have access to their individual secure MCC Medical Clinic email addresses.

Objective Three: Staff accessing their secure documents without a local server.
The Clinic is working with the TechImpact to implement objective three before the end of the grant period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the work changed since the original application as we received less funding. We also did not get funded by the County Council for this position. Thus, the QA/QI full-time position was converted to a part-time position. This cut has adversely affected our clinic as our volume has increased to approximately 20,000 patient-encounters compared to 14,000 when the QA/QI position was funded full-time. The effect has been pronounced in maintaining the screenings, especially as it relates to cancer.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

QA Mid-Year Report (Dates: 09/16/2017 to 12/31/2017)

Diabetes Screening
Number expected to achieve for Year: 488
TARGET for the Year: 90%
1. Total diabetic patient’s = 532
2. How many screened with at least once for HbA1c = 464
3. Percent Screened = 87%

NOTE: We have already exceeded our target for the entire year in the first quarter of the year. Currently, we are using in-house Point-of-Care-Diagnosis for HbA1c. The diabetic patients who have not had a test for the past three months are identified by staff one day prior to their appointment and are tested by the MA’s when vitals are being recorded. The results, which take 3 minutes, are then entered into the eCW. When the patient sees the PCP, the HbA1c results are in front of the PCP so appropriate measures can be taken to control their diabetes.

Hypertension Screening
Number expected to achieve Year: 750
TARGET for the YEAR: 100%
1. Total patient’s = 914
2. How many screened for hypertension = 908
3. Percent Screened = 99%
NOTE: We have already exceeded our expected target for the entire year in the first quarter of the year.

Breast Cancer Screening
Number expected to achieve Year: 850
TARGET for the YEAR: 55%
1. Total women 40 years and above seen = 808
2. How many refereed for Mammogram = 419
3. Percent Screened = 48%
NOTE: We have exceeded our expected numbers and target for the entire year in the first quarter of the year.

Summary: Our grant cycle started on September 16, 2017, and not on July 1, 2017, thus, we are reporting only one-quarter of the mid-year report. As mentioned earlier, our grant has been reduced, moreover, we were not able to ascertain additional funds from the County Executive and had to cut the QA/QI position to part-time. With the increase in our patient-encounters and total patient population, it is difficult to maintain current QA/QI functions at the Clinic. This is a critical position for maintaining healthcare quality for the Montgomery County patients.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the Handicap accessible Shuttle Van Program has changed from the original application as we did not receive the requested grant amount. We could not add additional hours per day for the Shuttle service as anticipated. However, we have met and exceeded our goals.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

SV Mid-Year Report (Dates: 07/01/2017 to 12/31/2017)

Objective 1.
Patients will come on time for their appointments, health education and health fairs. Numbers to be served for the year: 3,000. Target for the Year: 80%.

In FY18, 1,486 patients were logged-in from July 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017 for receiving Handicap Shuttle Van Services at the MCC Medical Clinic. The Clinic has four part-time drivers with clean records. The Clinic is responsible for the maintenance of the vans, fuel, and pays for automobile liability insurance.

For the Mid-year we have achieved our goal by 99% and anticipate exceeding our 3,000 target. During the reporting period the van made 2,100 trips or 12 trips per day for picking and dropping Montgomery County residents.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/08/2018

Organization's Legal Name       Muslim Community Center Inc.

Submission Title                 MCC Medical Clinic - FY18 CE - 5 - Domestic Violence Program

Requested FY18 Award             25000

Final FY18 Award                 25000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services of the Domestic Violence Program has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Objective 1: Staff will develop survey questioners to identify victims of domestic violence (DV). Number screening questionnaires to be completed in the YEAR: 200. TARGET for the Year for assisting identified victims: 99%. Total Numbers to be served: 10

453 survey questionnaires to identify victims of DV and were completed. Ten victims were identified for intervention but 3 refused services. We have exceeded our annual goal of 200 completed screening questionnaires and met the numbers to be served in the first half of the year.
Objective 2: Program social worker will provide counseling to individual victims of DV and their family members. The number to be determined by referrals from clinical staff, community leaders, and individual walk-ins. TARGET of individual identified victims to be counseled for the YEAR: 99%. Total Numbers to be served: 10

990 County residents received DV information materials. During this reporting period, staff received and reviewed a total of 453 screening forms. Eighty-six surveys indicated some level of unhealthy relationship with their spouse. Ten of these were identified as DV victims. All of these women were counseled on safety plans and provided resource information. Three of the victims refused services and were provided information should they need help in the future.

Objective 3: Program Social worker will conduct community education workshop services on DV, and will participate in health fairs events to educate and provide resource information. TARGET was Muslim communities in Montgomery County and surrounding jurisdictions. The number to be served: 400.

1. Family and Intimate Partner Violence - The Quiet Storm: ICM Community, August 6. Fifteen participants; 20 brochures distributed; one woman requested assisted with current domestic violence.
2. ICM Resource Table: Islamic Center of Maryland, August 6. Thirty-six visited the table and 75 brochures were distributed.
3. GNFA Health Fair: Guru Nanak Foundation of America, August 19. Twenty-five visited the table and 55 brochures were distributed.
4. MCC Health Fair: Muslim Community Center, August 29. Thirty-six visited the table; 55 brochures distributed.
5. Identifying Indicators of Intimate Partner Violence and Cultural Implications for Refugees: Ethiopian Development Center Staff Training Workshop, September. Fifteen participants; 30 brochures and 20 training packets distributed.
7. Longbranch Community Center Health Fair, October 15. Nine visited the table and 50 brochures were distributed.

The MCC-DVP team participated in 11 events in the first half of the year and served over 200 individuals (over 50% of the target number) through workshops and Health Fairs.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/29/2018 • Last updated: 02/01/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Montgomery County Muslim Foundation

Submission Title  MCMF-FY18 CC -1-Food Program

Requested FY18 Award  114500

Final FY18 Award  10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Progress Report on food Program from October 17th to Dec 31th, 2017

October 2017 to October 31, 2017:

1. Under the food program we had our monthly food pantry program and about 35 families who qualified under TEFAP program were given food baskets on 10/28/2017.
2. All support and office administration activities continued as usual.

VIZ
- Internet connection
- Phone connection
Running maintenance of printer, computers laptop to keep the services going.

November 2017:
The usual office support activities continued in the month to keep the program going.
MCMF is the member of holiday giving project coalition of Montgomery County. it participated in the HGP.
136 families we were referred to MCMF for Thanksgiving basket, these families were from Derwood zip code.
All the referred families where invited to receive the gift basket which was scheduled on Nov-18-2017.
113 families showed up and gift baskets was giving to them.

December 2017:
MCMF participated in Christmas holiday gift program of HGP Montgomery County.
139 families of Derwood zip code we referred to us, they were all invited to pick up their gifts on December 23rd.
114 families showed up and they were served Turkey’s and stuffing, ordered from share food.
Special delivery was organized at some apartment complex where the families live with the help of HOC counselors.
In addition to above the children were given Toys donated by Toys for Tots.

Food Pantry.
Food panty was held on 24th December-2017 and the usual monthly food distribution to families covered under TEFAP program took place. All Logistic help was provided by office to support this sactivity
All other routine office maintenance activity continued during the month.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/25/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Montgomery County Muslim Foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>MCMF-FY18 CE-1-Food program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>114500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change in scope of service.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Objective 1.

Thanksgiving Gift Baskets program:
Numbers of families to be served: 125
Numbers of families refereed by HGP Coordinator: 136
Numbers of families invited to receive the gift: 136
Numbers of families actually showed up and received the gift: 113

This was one of the lowest no shows among the HGP collation partners.
Objective 2.

Christmas Holiday Gift Distribution:
Number of families to be served: 125
Numbers of families referred by HGP Coordinator: 139
Numbers of families invited to receive the gift: 139
Numbers of families actually showed up and received the gift: 112
this was one of the lowest no shows among the HGP collation partners.

MCMF achievement in Holiday Gift Program has been appreciated by MC Holiday Gift Program Coordinator as reproduced below:
"t’s really great that you had so few no shows. I know your method of contacting families – the letter, the calls and reaching out to the referring parties for assistance probably helped a lot to keep that number low."

Objective 3.

Food Pantry Program:
Average Numbers of families to be served: 50
Numbers of families enrolled under TEFAP Program: 55
Average Number of families who actually showed up to receive the food basket: 38
Many families enrolled and picked up food for couple of months and once their income level improved, they are no longer interested to collect the food.
MCMF however continues to reach out to needy and hard to reach families of the county and at the next 6 months of the grant period the number of families served will continued to raise. Intensive reach out efforts are on through other non profit partners and other agencies for enrollment in TEFAP Program.

Other Programs.

Feed the Homeless Program:
We have provided three meals a day to 36 residents of interfaith works women shelter at Rockville for 12 days.
another 2 weeks meal program has been planned in May & June 2018 to 36 resident of the above shelter during May and June 2018.

Food Drive Program.
Our volunteers collected 11000 pounds of nonperishable and canned food through food collection drive organized by MCMF in collaboration with Manna Food and Giant Food Stores at 13 Giant Stores. The collected food was delivered to Manna food to distribute among the needy County residents, the value of the food distributed was about 11000 X $1.72 = $18920.

Fresh Meat Distribution to Montgomery County Residents.

MCMF members donated seven Thousand pounds of fresh meat which was distributed to 1400 county residents in partnership with other nonprofit organizations like New creation Church, Adventist social services, Educare.

we propose to repeat the same program in 2018-19.

County Executive Iftar Diner.

MCMF organized an interfaith Iftar diner for to about 400 county residents of all faiths and backgrounds. in collaboration with the office of the county Executive.

The above would establish that our progress against all the targets have been very progressing Satisfactor
## Council Mid-year Report

**Last updated: 01/25/2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Montgomery County Muslim Foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>MCMF-FY18 CE -1-Youth Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Based on the Continuous Feedback being taken from the Participants of various Youth empowerment program, the output targets were revisited and fresh outputs / programs were identified as described in the section below:

### Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

### Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

1. SAT Prep Course: A Nine session intensive SAT prep course to be conducted by a Professional Trainer:
12 Students were covered.
The participants Feedback was excellent.

2. We also entered into a Contract with Junior Achievement ( A reputed Consulting organization) to conduct Programs on Professional and Personal Development of Students Participants:

The Consultants from Junior Achievement conducted a workshop on Career Success which was attended by 38 students and 15 parents. A special feature of this workshop was participation by the parents. Since parents play key role in Career Success of their kids their involvement was found to be very useful. The participants feedback was excellent.

3. Since Public speaking competency plays a key role in Career and personality development of students, Public speaking workshop was conducted by another professional Consultant.
22 students attended the program and participant feedback was excellent.

4. A College admission workshop was conducted by a UMD Student and a consultant who shared his perspective on the subject.
17 senior grade school students participated in the workshop and the feedback was excellent.

The above Youth Empowerment Programs elicited appreciation from both parents and students.

We have planned to organize more workshops geared towards personal and professional / Career development of the students during the next six Month.
Organization's Legal Name: Montgomery County Partners for Animal Well-Being

Submission Title: MCPAW - CE Loyal Companions

Requested FY18 Award: 10000

Final FY18 Award: 5000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No changes have been made to the scope of this project.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
MCPAW, the nonprofit partner of the Montgomery County Animal Services and Adoption Center (MCASAC), created the Loyal Companions Veterinary Grant Program in 2016 in order to promote the adoption of special needs dogs and cats at the shelter. The program allows for adopters to apply for grants of up to $500 each to pay for veterinary expenses associated with a pre-existing condition at adoption. MCPAW bestowed 2 grants in the first half of the grant cycle.

The first grant was given to the adopter of a dog named Angel who was a transfer from another shelter. Angel presented with symptoms of urinary tract infections but no issues where uncovered. The other shelter claimed the dog was spayed. Angel was adopted despite the urinary issues. Once she was seen by her new adopter’s vet, it was discovered that Angel was not spayed and her symptoms were related to reproductive issues. The grant from MCPAW helped to pay for Angel’s spay surgery.

The second grant awarded went to a woman who adopted a senior Beagle from MCASAC. The dog had terrible gum disease and was in need of having many teeth extracted. MCPAW’s grant helped with the surgery.

Both of these dogs were at a disadvantage at the shelter – one was a pit bull and the other was a senior – and both were experiencing health issues. The MCPAW grants helped them get adopted.

MCPAW had hoped to receive more grant applications during this time period but we believe that the lack of a shelter manager at MCASAC (the previous one resigned) may have resulted in fewer potential adopters being informed about the program. A new Deputy Director/Shelter Manager has been hired and is now well-versed in the particulars of the program. She knows to promote it with potential adopters. We have already just received a new grant application for the next half of the year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application?
Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

MdBio Foundation will provide the YSEP camp for this funding in June 2018.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

MdBio Foundation will provide the YSEP camp for this funding in June 2018. We do not anticipate any challenges achieving the stated outputs and outcomes included in our application.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There have been no changes to the scope of services provided. The notice to proceed was later than anticipated but the work listed will be completed by the end of the grant cycle. We received the amount requested.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):
Due to the cycle of the previous (FY 2017 Grant), notice to proceed was not granted until mid-December 2017. We hope this can be corrected in the future as procurement cycles delay funding for these projects while services must continue without disruption. We measured the goals from July 1 as these services were ongoing.

Outputs:
Provide healthcare to newly eligible Medicaid patients and patients seeking a more culturally/linguistically appropriate provider:
Goal for year 200; Actual: 57

Provide primary and outpatient specialty care for uninsured county residents.
Goal for Year: 6,200; Actual: 3,273

Provide referrals for breast cancer screenings for women age 42+ who are receiving primary care.
Goal for year: 275; Actual: 281

Outcomes:
Measure: Diabetes A1c Control less than 8: Target of 54%; #170 patients (54%) of 315 patients will have A1c Control less than 8. Evaluation/Results: Results were: 149 patients (66.6%) of 224 diabetic patients have A1c Control less than 8.

Measure: Hypertension BP Control lower than 140/90: Target 65%; #244 patients (65%) of 375 patients will meet this measure. Evaluation/Results: Results were: 303 patients (77.49%) of 391 patients met this measure.

Measure: Cancer Screening metrics for Colorectal and Breast Cancer combined: Target: 388 of 1,050 patients will receive appropriate cancer screenings. Results: 524 of 997 (53%) received appropriate cancer screenings. See separate measures for each below.

Measure: Colorectal Cancer Screening (ages 50-74): Target 20%; Outcome: #138 patients (25%) of 550 patients will receive colorectal cancer screening. Evaluation/Results: Results were: 243 patients (50.24%) of 481 patients received colorectal cancer screening.

Measure: Breast Cancer Screening (ages 42-69): Target 50%; Outcome: #250 patients (50%) of 500 patients will receive breast cancer screenings. Evaluation/Results: Results were: 281 patients (54%) of 516 patients received breast cancer screenings.
Council Mid-year Report

Organization's Legal Name  Mercy Health Clinic

Submission Title  Mercy Health Clinic - Pharmacy FY18 CC-2

Requested FY18 Award  35000

Final FY18 Award  35000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There have been no changes to the scope or scale of the project.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This program is operating as expected and the following are the mid-term program goals.

Outputs:
Provide primary and outpatient specialty care for uninsured county residents
Goal for Year: 6,200; Actual: 3,273

Provide essential medications and Medication Therapy Management services for uninsured patients, including those managing hypertension and diabetes.
Goal for Year: 1,200; Mid-term actual: 713

Outcomes:
Measure: Diabetes A1c Control less than 8: Target of 54%; #170 patients (54%) of 315 patients will have A1c Control less than 8. Evaluation/Results: Results: 149 patients (66.6%) of 224 diabetic patients have A1c Control less than 8

Measure: Hypertension BP Control lower than 140/90: Target 65%; #244 patients (65%) of 375 patients will meet this measure. Evaluation/Results: Results: 303 patients (77.49%) of 391 patients met this measure

Patients managing five or more medications will participate in the Medication Therapy Management program to monitor and improve effectiveness of prescription medication regimen.
Goal for Year: 75; Mid-term actual: 73.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, the scope of work changed. Due to low resident enrolled in the play and learn program at Great Hope Homes, we did not offer a play and learn program at this site. All other programs started as planned. MHP enrolled a total of 351 students last September. The GATOR and homework club programs meet four days a week in the afternoon. Attendance is high. The average classroom attendance is higher than 90 percent.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The community outreach to enrolled students started in August 2017. Flyers were sent to all apartment units where MHP residents live and Arcola Elementary School parents. The first registrations took place August 3rd, 4th, and September 6th. The registration was based on the first-come-first-serve approach. Parents lined up at least three hours before registration to secure a spot for their child. MHP still has a waiting list for GATOR participants (19).

MHP collected and verified the income of all enrolled students attending the play and learn, the homework clubs, and GATOR program at MHP properties an Arcola Elementary School. A copy of the income verification is available for review at headquarters.

The programs started in September and will continue until the end of May for GATOR and the play and learn programs. The homework clubs end the first week of June.

Staff completed the play and learn students assessments in October. The assessment data indicates that children who do not speak English have a lower passing grade than those you do speak English. The students with limit language proficiency attend Amherst Square. Most students who attended Gilbert's play and learn program are returning student from last year. These students passed the assessment without any difficulty. As of now, using a grade point average of 4 equaling an A, 3 a B, 2 a C, and 1 a D. The program with the lowest GPA is Amherst Square with a 2.86 and the highest is Gilbert with a 3.56.

A total of 11 parent meetings were held from September to November. Some meetings cover program guidelines other health resources provided by Adventist Hospital and Holy Cross. The meetings were well-attended. An average of 20 parents came to the meetings.

Staff collected report cards for all elementary school students. Below is the GPA per site.

Amherst-GATOR program 3.5 GPA
Pembridge-GATOR 3.04
Arcola-GATOR 3.30
Gilbert-homework 3.53
Glenville-homework 3.24
Greenwood-homework 3.15
Maple-homework 3.44
Great Hope-homework 3.16

All programs resume from the winter break January 8th, 018.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
This has been a very productive year promoting Maryland/Montgomery County - Israel trade. The organization achieved several successes in business development and expansion, as well as in events and networking. Highlights include the following:

-Sesame Enable of Israel, which makes telecommunications equipment for the disable, opened an office in Montgomery County.

-Ametrine of Israel received a Montgomery County MOVE grant for its new facility in Rockville. Ametrine develops multispectral camouflage.

-The MIDC assisted Mantaro Networks of Gaithersburg, a robotics company, to win a $900,000 grant from the US-Israel Binational R&D (BIRD) Foundation for a joint research project Beeper Communications of Israel. The marks the third successive round of BIRD grant awards where Maryland has had a project approved, including KeyGene of Montgomery County.

-In addition, the MIDC has made a concerted push to meet with Maryland companies who are good prospects for BIRD Foundation R&D grants including Qiagen, ICF, Ultra 3eTI, all in Montgomery County.

-The MIDC celebrated its 25th anniversary at an event with Governor Larry Hogan and over 200 attendees.

-The MIDC also held a number of other events including, "From Science to Innovation" at Suburban Hospital and a young professionals meet-up, both in Montgomery County; as well as "Technologies of the Future" in Baltimore.

-The MIDC also began planning a series of events to celebrate the 70th anniversary of Israeli independence. These will include events in each county in the Baltimore-Washington Corridor. The Montgomery County event is planned for April 2018, the month of Israeli Independence Day.

-The MIDC recruited Esti Peshin, head of cyber security for Elta and Israel Aerospace Industries, as the keynote speaker for Governor Hogan’s first “Governor’s Business Summit”. Ms. Peshin runs a consortium of Israeli cyber companies which opens a new pipeline of cyber companies for Maryland and Montgomery County.

-MIDC staff participated in several major Israeli trade shows to market the state and Montgomery County including CyberTech, BioMed, MedInIsrael, the annual OurCrowd StartUp conference and others.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Both grants that we had this FY were combined. This made it take longer for us to get the grant and changed the way the grant was provided. These grants did not give us money up front to spend which surprised our office, but we now spend the funds as we use to for all the Montgomery County grants we had. Not getting full funding for either does drop the number of clients that we are able to help, but MUSST helps our clients the best we can..

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

MUSST has had issues with completing our surveys due to time restraints in our office. The Executive Director had vacation/holiday and then became sick at the beginning of the month - January. As our office is made up of the Executive Director and volunteers it is closed whenever the ED is not in the office. It is our intention to provide all the information regarding our output and outcomes for the whole FY with our final report. We are able to provide information about prescription assistance as MUSST outcome is that we will help our clients with the total of one month's prescription (with their insurance or not.)

Prescriptions assistance had us not pay all our clients as the total of the scripts that MUSST could provide (up to $1,000) would not cover all. In these cases, we not only looked for coupons for the client to use but sent them to MEDBANK to see if they could on an on-going basis get there total needs fulfilled. In a couple of cases the Affordable Health Care (Maryland Health Connection) was getting them insurance but needed one or two more weeks.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Both grants that we had this FY were combined. This made it take longer for us to get the grant and changed the way the grant was provided. These grants did not give us money up front to spend which surprised our office, but we now spend the funds as we use to for all the Montgomery County grants we had. Not getting full funding for either does drop the number of clients that we are able to help, but MUSST helps our clients the best we can.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

MUSST has had issues with completing our surveys due to time restraints in our office. The Executive Director had vacation/holiday and then became sick at the beginning of the month - January. As our office is made up of the Executive Director and volunteers it is closed whenever the ED is not in the office.

It is our intention to provide all the information regarding our output and outcomes for the whole FY with our final report. We are able to provide information about prescription assistance as MUSST outcome is that we will help our clients with the total of one month's prescription (with their insurance or not.)

Prescriptions assistance had us not pay all our clients as the total of the scripts that MUSST could provide (up to $1,000) would not cover all. In these cases, we not only looked for coupons for the client to use but sent them to MEDBANK to see if they could on an on-going basis get there total needs fulfilled. In a couple of cases the Affordable Health Care (Maryland Health Connection) was getting them insurance but needed one or two more weeks.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 12/28/2017 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name          Mobile Medical Care, Inc.

Submission Title          Mobile Medical Care-FY18-CC-1-health program

Requested FY18 Award        50832

Final FY18 Award            20832

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the project has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
With the support of the County Council’s grant, MobileMed has nearly doubled the hours of service provided at its East County clinic on Briggs Chaney Road in 2017. During the first six months of the grant period, we treated 580 low-income adults, well on our way to surpassing the annual Output target of 700 unduplicated patients, and a significant increase over prior year volume. In terms of onsite behavioral health therapy, which is a new program at East County, we have served 18 clients to date, just under half of the annual Output target of 40.

During the first six months of the grant period, we made good progress on meeting our three Outcome targets.

- Hypertensive patients: 158 of the 253 patients (62.5%) with a hypertension diagnosis seen during the period have their blood pressure under good control. Our target rate for this measure was 65% (130 out of 200 patients). We are just below our target rate, and will continue to work to improve patient outcomes. As a side note, the high percentage of hypertension patients demonstrates the need for MobileMed’s primary care capacity.
- Behavioral health screening: 138 of the 156 new clinic patients (88.5%) have been screened for depression and anxiety. We are confident we can achieve our target screening rate of 90% over the course of the grant year.
- Reduced anxiety and/or depression: So far, 18 patients have begun receiving behavioral health therapy. As of 12/31/2017, most of these patients have not yet completed their series of sessions. Of the three who have, one (33%) has shown clinically significant reductions in depression and/or anxiety. This figure is obviously an interim figure. Based on the success rate at other MobileMed clinics where the integrated behavioral health program is well established, we could well achieve our target rate of 50% of patients receiving three or more therapy sessions showing improvement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Mobile Medical Care, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Mobile_Medical_Care-FY18--CE-1-health_program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>50832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the project has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

With the support of the County Executive’s grant, MobileMed has nearly doubled the hours of service provided at its East County clinic on Briggs Chaney Road in 2017. During the first six months of the grant period, we treated 580 low-income adults, well on our way to surpassing the annual Output target of 700 unduplicated patients, and a significant increase over prior year volume. In terms of onsite behavioral health therapy, which is a new program at East County, we have served 18 clients to date, just under half of the annual Output target of 40.

During the first six months of the grant, we made good progress on meeting our three Outcome targets.

- **Hypertensive patients:** 158 of the 253 patients (62.5%) with a hypertension diagnosis seen during the period have their blood pressure under good control. Our target rate for this measure was 65% (130 out of 200 patients). We are just below our target rate, and will continue to work to improve patient outcomes. As a side note, the high percentage of hypertension patients demonstrates the need for MobileMed’s primary care capacity.

- **Behavioral health screening:** 138 of the 156 new clinic patients (88.5%) have been screened for depression and anxiety. We are confident we can achieve our target screening rate of 90% over the course of the grant year.

- **Reduced anxiety and/or depression:** So far, 18 patients have begun receiving behavioral health therapy. As of 12/31/2017, most of these patients have not yet completed their series of sessions. Of the three who have, one (33%) has shown clinically significant reductions in depression and/or anxiety. This figure is obviously an interim figure. Based on the success rate at other MobileMed clinics where the integrated behavioral health program is well established, we could well achieve our target rate of 50% of patients receiving three or more therapy sessions showing improvement.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Montgomery Countryside Alliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Montgomery Countryside Alliance-CC-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

MCA achieved success on numerous of fronts in the previous year. Record breaking attendance at our events expanded opportunities for outreach. Our education program blossomed with the addition of a new high-school partner. Farm businesses were strengthened by listings in the hard copy and new digital version of the Ag Guide. 3 new farm ventures were created through our Land Link program and the Common Ground Market in which we partner with several local farm families, finished a successful second season with an added online market. MCA is moving forward with partners to achieve an updated regional water protection plan.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Land Link:
Our Land Link program continues growing with 5 new farmers and 5 new landowners in 2017, meeting the landowner target but slightly under the land seeker target for new members (7). As landowners are the harder of the two groups to attract to the program this underperformance is helping to balance the ratio. We made 3 matches in the 2017 calendar year, under our goal (5). We may have in fact made more than 3 but reporting back from our matches remains a stumbling block. Several matches have informed us over a year after they have successfully signed a lease. We offer many resources to both parties but finding just the right tenant for a landowner and vice-versa can be hard. The solution will be adding more landowners to expand the “dating pool”. In 2018 we will partner with American Farmland Trust on an outreach program for local female landowners to share sustainable options for their land – Land Link being one of them.

While each match the program makes can be counted as one new farm business – like all small businesses it can have a beneficial impact on many thousands of residents through increased local food production, job creation, equipment purchases and other economic activity.

The Explore Guide:
The EXPLORE Ag Guide is a project that we are proud to do in partnership with the County Office of Agriculture and the Park and Planning Commission. 2017 saw the third revision of this popular guide that includes local and county government resources and a map of local farms, historic and recreational sites. It is a vibrant publication that answers the question we get a lot, “What is the Ag Reserve?” In March of 2017 we completed the newest revision and delivered boxes of guides to County offices and local libraries – a total of 15000 were printed 5000 were distributed in 2017 in line with output targets. The online version of the guide that can be updated by local farmers is being populated now at exploremoco.org.

Farmer/Market Connections
A catered producer’s summit was held in January 2017 at Rocklands Winery attended by 25 farmers and local food professionals. The agenda included an offer from the Potomac Hunt Club to showcase local farm businesses at the well-attended Potomac Hunt Races as well as opportunities to partner with Geppetto Catering and a regional food processing business. Farmers were able to hear about coming clarifications that will facilitate agritourism and discuss challenges and marketing strategies. Our Farm to Fork dinner in September 2017 connected the aspiring chefs of Le Academe de Cuisine with Reserve raised food, which they transformed into both feast and education for guests. The Common Ground Market that we host in partnership with a cooperative of local farm families is expanding. Adding to the larger in-person market once a month in Poolesville, is a weekly in-person and virtual market where patrons can order what they would like and pick it up on the way home from work.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

MCA achieved success on numerous of fronts in the previous year. Record breaking attendance at our events expanded opportunities for outreach. Our education program blossomed with the addition of a new high-school partner. Farm businesses were strengthened by listings in the hard copy and new digital version of the Ag Guide. 3 new farm ventures were created through our Land Link program and the Common Ground Market in which we partner with several local farm families, finished a successful second season with an added online market. MCA is moving forward with partners to achieve an updated regional water protection plan.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Land Link:
Our Land Link program continues growing with 5 new farmers and 5 new landowners in 2017, meeting the landowner target but slightly under the land seeker target for new members (7). As landowners are the harder of the two groups to attract to the program this underperformance is helping to balance the ratio. We made 3 matches in the 2017 calendar year, under our goal (5). We may have in fact made more than 3 but reporting back from our matches remains a stumbling block. Several matches have informed us over a year after they have successfully signed a lease. We offer many resources to both parties but finding just the right tenant for a landowner and vice-versa can be hard. The solution will be adding more landowners to expand the “dating pool”. In 2018 we will partner with American Farmland Trust on an outreach program for local female landowners to share sustainable options for their land – Land Link being one of them.

While each match the program makes can be counted as one new farm business – like all small businesses it can have a beneficial impact on many thousands of residents through increased local food production, job creation, equipment purchases and other economic activity.

The Explore Guide:
The EXPLORE Ag Guide is a project that we are proud to do in partnership with the County Office of Agriculture and the Park and Planning Commission. 2017 saw the third revision of this popular guide that includes local and county government resources and a map of local farms, historic and recreational sites. It is a vibrant publication that answers the question we get a lot, “What is the Ag Reserve?” In March of 2017 we completed the newest revision and delivered boxes of guides to County offices and local libraries – a total of 15000 were printed 5000 were distributed in 2017 in line with output targets. The online version of the guide that can be updated by local farmers is being populated now at exploremoco.org.

Farmer/Market Connections
A catered producer’s summit was held in January 2017 at Rocklands Winery attended by 25 farmers and local food professionals. The agenda included an offer from the Potomac Hunt Club to showcase local farm businesses at the well-attended Potomac Hunt Races as well as opportunities to partner with Geppetto Catering and a regional food processing business. Farmers were able to hear about coming clarifications that will facilitate agritourism and discuss challenges and marketing strategies. Our Farm to Fork dinner in September 2017 connected the aspiring chefs of Le Academe de Cuisine with Reserve raised food, which they transformed into both feast and education for guests. The Common Ground Market that we host in partnership with a cooperative of local farm families is expanding. Adding to the larger in-person market once a month in Poolesville, is a weekly in-person and virtual market where patrons can order what they would like and pick it up on the way home from work.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Pro Bono Program is financially supported by Maryland Legal Services Corporation, two grants from the County and funding from the Bar Foundation. We continue to operate on a very lean budget. Due to another year of decreased County funding, the number of legal clinics were reduced from ten a month to eight. Both East County and Germantown clinics meet once a month. This also resulted in restructuring of contract clinic staff. Decisions had to be made concerning what could be done to soften the impact as to not affect the primary mission of assisting our community residents.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Our software, the Western New York Law Center (WNYLC) Time Keeping and Intake Made Easy (TIME), allows us to track client data and generate reports. We track all relevant case data; the number of cases
opened and closed; demographics; and case problems, outcomes and benefits to the client provided by the attorney.

From July 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017, we served 2375 community residents. Please note that this is 334 clients less than reported for the same period of FY 2017. We are grateful for the funding provided by the County Council in FY 2017 and previous years. However, due to the decrease, we are not able to reach as many of our community residents due to receipt of partial funds within the last two fiscal years.

Our goal remains: As a Maryland non-profit corporation, to continue and provide essential legal advice and education to pro-se litigants, low-income residents, immigrants, homeless, disabled residents, victims of domestic violence and tenants with limited knowledge of how the court system reviews their issues. The clinics are also held at easily accessible County venues in Gaithersburg, Germantown, Silver Spring, Takoma Park, and Wheaton.

The Montgomery County Bar Foundation ( MCBF) currently operates eight legal clinics per month for low-income residents unable to pay for legal representation in the courts. These clinics provide legal education, brief answers to legal questions and connect residents to pro bono services. This service fosters access to to justice and heightens community residents' legal awareness. The objective of the clinics is to act as a triage for clients to receive immediate legal advice before matters escalate causing great instability in families. Attorneys generally provide a minimum of 15 - 60 minute consultations. Clients are not limited in the type of case in which they can seek advice at a clinic.

Survey forms are provided to clients at the legal advice clinics for completion upon conclusion of their consultation with an attorney, survey forms are mailed to clients that have a case referred to an attorney for representation at the conclusion of the case. At Mid-Term, 94% of clients were satisfied with service provided at clinics and by direct legal representation. A recent comment: "I am really thankful to the interviewer/attorney. He was very patient & kind. I currently needed these ingredients! He was able to help me with my nightmare of so many months. Thanks a million. I hope he helps many more people that approaches this program. Thanks again!"

We continue to integrate volunteer attorneys into the Pro Bono Program, and into Maryland's overall state wide delivery system. The Pro Bono Program continues to appreciate the time, energy, and self-sacrifice of volunteer attorneys.

Our community residents from different parts of Montgomery County are in great need of assistance with their legal issues. The mission of the Pro Bono Program is to continue providing our community residents with dignity and respect. We continue to build our relationship of "trust" in the current climate of immigration issues.
Organization's Legal Name  Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless

Submission Title  Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless - FY18 CC - 1 - CHIP

Requested FY18 Award  62130

Final FY18 Award  62130

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the report period of July 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017, CHIP served 16 clients. 16 clients maintained the requirements of their lease, 6 out of 10 clients with a diagnosed mental illness and/or substance use disorder regularly attended treatment, and 14 clients maintained or increased their income through entitlement benefits and/or employment income.

We are pleased to report that during this period, two CHIP clients successfully moved to more independent housing as part of the County’s Move-Up initiative. The Move-Up initiative was developed to empower clients who are ready to transition out of permanent supportive housing (PSH), which makes PSH units available for more vulnerable clients, including the chronically homeless. (Montgomery County is actively pursuing the goal of the Inside Not Outside campaign, which aims to end chronic homelessness by spring 2018.) The two clients who moved out of CHIP had stabilized in the program and no longer require supportive case management services to maintain stable housing.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
During the report period of July 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017, Safe Havens served 55 clients. 55 clients engaged in case management, 33 clients maintained or increased their income, and 24 clients moved to more permanent housing.

One client in particular served in Safe Havens during this time was Shelley. Shelley was pregnant when she was referred to Safe Havens and entered our Kensington location after completing substance use recovery treatment at Avery Road. While at Safe Havens, Shelley worked with her case manager to stabilize and address the barriers which led to her experience of homelessness and engage in psychiatric care. We are pleased to report that Shelley recently moved into her own apartment and gave birth to a healthy baby. She is maintaining her sobriety and psychiatric stability and is pursuing her GED.

Historically, SH has served up to 40 clients in four locations throughout the County. Over the past year, MCCH has worked with the County’s Health and Human Services (HHS) to re-allocate a portion of SH funding to create additional rapid rehousing capacity within the Continuum of Care as identified in HHS’ recent service gap analysis. As the County focuses on ending chronic homelessness by spring 2018, the county has created increased capacity for permanent supportive housing (PSH) which is allowing more SH clients to transition to PSH than has been previously available. However, SH will continue to serve a capacity of 20 clients in two County locations for the most vulnerable individuals in our community who rely on this critical safety-net program to connect them to the care they need to end their homelessness and ensure they do not die on the streets. The first SH location will close by the end of this month (January 2018), and the second location will close by March 31, 2018. Accordingly, we anticipate a proportional reduction in some outcomes measurements proposed in the grant application when our final report is presented.
Organization's Legal Name
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless Inc.

Submission Title
Montgomery County Coalition for the Homeless - FY18 CC - 3 - PPH

Requested FY18 Award
170326

Final FY18 Award
170326

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.
In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
During the report period of July 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017, PPH served 119 families including 3 new families. 119 families maintained stable, permanent housing; 72 families maintained or increased their income through entitlement benefits and/or employment income; and 38 families allocated at least $25 per month towards savings.

We are pleased to report that MCCH has partnered with the Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services to expand PPH to serve an additional 17 families. To accommodate the expansion, PPH will be adding two Peer Support Specialists. MCCH has been receiving technical assistance from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to create an environment where employees are empowered to self-identify as having lived experience, and to provide management training for supervisory staff to ensure they are equipped to support peer-identified employees. When staff members are willing to self-identify, they can provide unique support to clients and are able to draw on their shared experience to empower clients to engage in services.

Additionally, a total of four clients in PPH have participated in the County’s Move-Up initiative. The Move-Up initiative was developed to empower clients who are ready to transition out of permanent supportive housing (PSH), which makes PSH units available for more vulnerable clients, including the chronically homeless. (Montgomery County is actively pursuing the goal of the Inside Not Outside campaign, which aims to end chronic homelessness by spring 2018.) The clients who exited PPH through this initiative will continue to receive a housing subsidy but will no longer require service coordination from MCCH.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

During the report period of July 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017, HBCAC served 433 clients. 175 clients enrolled in case management, 54 clients who enrolled in case management also enrolled in psychiatric services, and 73 clients who enrolled in case management moved into more permanent housing.

MCCH has teamed up with the nationally recognized consulting agency OrgCode to receive training and technical assistance for the next year to assess our current emergency shelter system and make recommendations for improvements. The goal of our partnership with OrgCode is to ensure we can house
HBCAC clients as quickly as possible and divert men who come to shelter with resources to prevent them from falling into the homeless assistance system. In April, HBCAC launched a new initiative to rapidly move clients into more permanent housing within 30, 60, or 90 days of shelter entry depending on their level of need and barriers to housing. On intake, clients receive standard assessments to determine their needs and level of vulnerability. Based on the results of their assessments, clients are given move-out plans. The plans identify which barriers to housing clients need to address in a certain time frame and are meant to inspire clients with achievable goals to obtain housing quickly so they can build brighter futures.

During this report period, HBCAC received a Community Review on its contract with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) conducted by an independent review panel. The review provides an independent perspective of service delivery from the community experience. Per the discussion draft of the report:

“HBCAC exceeded Reviewer’s expectations in many areas and these findings may be of value to similar shelter programs operating in the community.”

“HBCAC has clear goals, objectives and strategies to accomplish its mission and staff are knowledgeable and able to identify whether outcomes have or have not been achieved.”

“Staff demonstrate compassion, respect and professionalism toward their clients and were committed to the success of HBCAC's new client-directed and enhanced supportive services model which supports and the new 30-60-90-day move-out plans.”

“There is a strong emphasis on training and maintaining best practices in the program and on-site training for staff is provided by the organization. Evidence-informed practice and training, such as motivational interviewing, harm reduction, Rapid Re-Housing and Housing First, have been implemented in the program.”

“HBCAC demonstrates a strong team approach in assisting residents in meeting their needs and returning to an appropriate housing situation. Staff actively support integrated approaches, such as the Intensive Team Meetings (ITM), especially when complex or challenging situations occur. This model is a best practice by DHHS.”

MCCH just recently received a complete copy of the report and we are currently reviewing the areas of recommended improvement and will be working on plans to address those issues.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the report period of July 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017, HBCAC served 433 clients. 433 clients were provided with a total of 536 hours of security, with more hours of security provided during hypothermia months (November and December). The $50,000 Community Grant HBCAC received towards base budget funding will provide 1,000 hours of the 1,717 hours HBCAC has budgeted for security in FY18.

88% of staff surveyed reported that they feel safer and more supported with regular security presence at the shelter. One staff person surveyed said, “The officers that come in to provide security are one of the most reassuring reasons I feel comfortable at work...Officer Bassey help resolves many situations where safety was compromised for myself and other clients at the Home Building Care Assessment Center.”

Police were called a total of 32 times for non-medical related issues, and were called 94 times in total (including 62 times in response to medical emergencies which are not affected by security presence).
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

During the report period of July 1, 2017 – December 31, 2017, HBCAC served 433 clients. 175 clients enrolled in case management, 54 clients who enrolled in case management also enrolled in psychiatric services, and 73 clients who enrolled in case management moved into more permanent housing.

MCCH has teamed up with the nationally recognized consulting agency OrgCode to receive training and technical assistance for the next year to assess our current emergency shelter system and make recommendations for improvements. The goal of our partnership with OrgCode is to ensure we can house...
HBCAC clients as quickly as possible and divert men who come to shelter with resources to prevent them from falling into the homeless assistance system. In April, HBCAC launched a new initiative to rapidly move clients into more permanent housing within 30, 60, or 90 days of shelter entry depending on their level of need and barriers to housing. On intake, clients receive standard assessments to determine their needs and level of vulnerability. Based on the results of their assessments, clients are given move-out plans. The plans identify which barriers to housing clients need to address in a certain time frame and are meant to inspire clients with achievable goals to obtain housing quickly so they can build brighter futures.

During this report period, HBCAC received a Community Review on its contract with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) conducted by an independent review panel. The review provides an independent perspective of service delivery from the community experience. Per the discussion draft of the report:

“HBCAC exceeded Reviewer’s expectations in many areas and these findings may be of value to similar shelter programs operating in the community.”

“HBCAC has clear goals, objectives and strategies to accomplish its mission and staff are knowledgeable and able to identify whether outcomes have or have not been achieved.”

“Staff demonstrate compassion, respect and professionalism toward their clients and were committed to the success of HBCAC's new client-directed and enhanced supportive services model which supports and the new 30-60-90-day move-out plans.”

“There is a strong emphasis on training and maintaining best practices in the program and on-site training for staff is provided by the organization. Evidence-informed practice and training, such as motivational interviewing, harm reduction, Rapid Re-Housing and Housing First, have been implemented in the program.”

“HBCAC demonstrates a strong team approach in assisting residents in meeting their needs and returning to an appropriate housing situation. Staff actively support integrated approaches, such as the Intensive Team Meetings (ITM), especially when complex or challenging situations occur. This model is a best practice by DHHS.”

MCCH just recently received a complete copy of the report and we are currently reviewing the areas of recommended improvement and will be working on plans to address those issues.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

MCFC has made significant progress towards its stated outputs and outcomes during the grant period, as described below:

MCFC has built upon the success of the Montgomery County Food and Beverage Guide and Fair by hosting networking events and facilitating support for local food and beverage businesses to increase connectivity between vendors and buyers. In 2018, MCFC launched the second edition of the Montgomery County Food and Beverage Guide, which highlights the packaged goods, beverages,
desserts, confections, fruits, vegetables, dairy, meat and other products produced by farms and kitchens in the County. The Guide includes information on where products can be purchased, making it easier for County businesses to source fresh ingredients locally. The Guide also launched the MoCo Made brand, created in partnership with the Montgomery County Economic Development Corporation, to celebrate and increase the visibility of the County’s vibrant local food and beverage community. Our “Meet the Locals” event at Dawson’s Market in November celebrated the launch of the logo and Guide and provided the opportunity for 15 Montgomery County food and beverage artisans who are included in the Guide to share samples and sell their products. 1,000 print copies of the Guide have been distributed to date throughout the County, and it has been accessed 215 times online. Following the event at Dawson’s Market, 85% of the event participants reported increased sales and/or increased connectivity to buyers as a result of participation in the Guide and MoCo Made Program. MCFC will continue to facilitate support for County food and beverage businesses during the remainder of the grant period, and is currently making plans for a MoCo Made Day in partnership with the FRESH Farm Market in Silver Spring in May.

MCFC has collaborated with Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) on the development of the Strategic Plan to Advance Composting, ensuring the Plan incorporates feedback from diverse array of stakeholders. During the grant period, MCFC attended monthly SWAC meetings and supported DEP’s Composting Strategic Planning process by providing contact introductions and suggested interview questions, facilitating three planning engagements with DEP, providing a list of 100+ partners to invite to the Plan’s kick-off meeting, attending the kick-off meeting and the Working Group convening meeting, providing recommendations and guidance throughout the planning process, and participation by 15 MCFC working group members on the Plan’s six working groups. MCFC will continue to support DEP through the remainder of the grant period as the Plan is finalized.

MCFC has made significant progress on the Food Literacy Assessment and is working towards completing a comprehensive final report summarizing current available food literacy programs and services in the County, community input on desired services and barriers to accessing resources, and gap analysis of current programs compared to resident needs. Phase I of the Assessment is now complete, which included key informant interviews with five large food education providers in Montgomery County. Phases II and III are currently being planned, and will include interviews with stakeholder groups and residents.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 12/21/2017 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Montgomery County Food Council (MCFC)

Submission Title
Montgomery County Food Council - FY18 CC2 - Food Security Plan/Food Action

Requested FY18 Award
100000

Final FY18 Award
20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

MCFC has made significant progress towards its stated outputs and outcomes during the grant period, as described below:

MCFC brought subject-matter expertise to guide the County Council community grants process for food-related funding requests in FY18 by assembling an advisory panel of nine Food Council current and former members and partners to review 22 food-system related grant proposals (totaling $1.15 million in requests), interviewing the applicants, and providing evaluations and recommendations for funding to the County Council. MCFC will continue in this role in FY19. MCFC serving in this role improved countywide coordination, collaboration, and capacity for food system funding in Montgomery County.

MCFC provided needed support and networking opportunities to food assistance programs in the County, resulting in food assistance staff that is better equipped and trained to meet the needs of a diverse community. MCFC released the Food Assistance Resource Directory (FARD), which connects people seeking food assistance services with County providers and promotes resource sharing and referrals between organizations providing food assistance, housing, healthcare and workforce development to advance County priorities to enhance safety net services for disadvantaged populations. The FARD has been broadly distributed throughout the County, with 400 print copies distributed, built into 311 as a knowledge article, and the electronic version was posted on the Food Council website and shared via emails, e-newsletters and on social media. It is in the process of being translated into Spanish, added to the MCPS meal calendar, included in MCPS students’ backpacks and converted into a mobile app and interactive dataMontgomery map. MCFC also convenes 15-25 food assistance providers monthly in our Food Recovery and Access Working Group to solicit feedback on their organizational needs and build resource and best practice sharing networks. These conversations will inform the development of future trainings and resources on connectivity, data collection and culturally appropriate food assistance. During the grant period, MCFC will also manage a group of students from the Public Health Department at American University on a project to strengthen our understanding of the need for and the barriers to culturally appropriate food access in the County and develop strategies to improve access.

MCFC is collaborating with CountyStat on the creation of FoodStat, a database to track the state of hunger in Montgomery County. MCFC is also currently creating a standardized data set to be collected by food assistance providers on clients and services provided. Sixty potential food assistance data points have been identified for the standardized data set. MCFC is gathering data from food assistance providers on current collection and reporting practices, with 44% of providers receiving County funding responded thus far. After gathering the data, MCFC will provide recommendations to the County on the standardized food security metrics to be gathered for all food assistance providers.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

MCFC has made significant progress towards its stated outputs and outcomes during the grant period, as described below:

MCFC has built upon the success of the Montgomery County Food and Beverage Guide and Fair by hosting networking events and facilitating support for local food and beverage businesses to increase connectivity between vendors and buyers. In 2018, MCFC launched the second edition of the Montgomery County Food and Beverage Guide, which highlights the packaged goods, beverages,
desserts, confections, fruits, vegetables, dairy, meat and other products produced by farms and kitchens in the County. The Guide includes information on where products can be purchased, making it easier for County businesses to source fresh ingredients locally. The Guide also launched the MoCo Made brand, created in partnership with the Montgomery County Economic Development Corporation, to celebrate and increase the visibility of the County’s vibrant local food and beverage community. Our “Meet the Locals” event at Dawson’s Market in November celebrated the launch of the logo and Guide and provided the opportunity for 15 Montgomery County food and beverage artisans who are included in the Guide to share samples and sell their products. 1,000 print copies of the Guide have been distributed to date throughout the County, and it has been accessed 215 times online. Following the event at Dawson’s Market, 85% of the event participants reported increased sales and/or increased connectivity to buyers as a result of participation in the Guide and MoCo Made Program. MCFC will continue to facilitate support for County food and beverage businesses during the remainder of the grant period, and is currently making plans for a MoCo Made Day in partnership with the FRESH Farm Market in Silver Spring in May.

MCFC has collaborated with Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) on the development of the Strategic Plan to Advance Composting, ensuring the Plan incorporates feedback from diverse array of stakeholders. During the grant period, MCFC attended monthly SWAC meetings and supported DEP’s Composting Strategic Planning process by providing contact introductions and suggested interview questions, facilitating three planning engagements with DEP, providing a list of 100+ partners to invite to the Plan’s kick-off meeting, attending the kick-off meeting and the Working Group convening meeting, providing recommendations and guidance throughout the planning process, and participation by 15 MCFC working group members on the Plan’s six working groups. MCFC will continue to support DEP through the remainder of the grant period as the Plan is finalized.

MCFC has made significant progress on the Food Literacy Assessment and is working towards completing a comprehensive final report summarizing current available food literacy programs and services in the County, community input on desired services and barriers to accessing resources, and gap analysis of current programs compared to resident needs. Phase I of the Assessment is now complete, which included key informant interviews with five large food education providers in Montgomery County. Phases II and III are currently being planned, and will include interviews with stakeholder groups and residents.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
80% of all litigants in the Family division of the Montgomery County Circuit Court file their cases Pro Se (without legal representation). This places an enormous burden on the court, the Magistrates and the judges. A Pro Se litigant is also at a distinct disadvantage when the opposing party is represented by an attorney. The Legal Services for Self-Represented Litigants (SERL) project provides Pro Se Litigants the opportunity to meet with volunteer attorneys following their Scheduling hearings. The Magistrates suggest to the litigants that they would benefit by hiring an attorney to represent them. In the 1st year of the program, 50% of all of the cases referred to the program qualified for Pro Bono Legal Services. These litigants were given brief legal advice and then referred to the Montgomery County Bar Foundation Pro Bono Program (also partially funded by the County). Those who don’t qualify for Pro Bono representation are given information to help them find low fee, limited scope or full fee representation.

The funding the county provides for this project supports a part-time bilingual (Spanish/English) contract administrative assistant to greet the litigants, help them with their initial intake and translate when needed. The SERL Project operates Monday – Friday from 9:30 am – 1:00 pm in the Montgomery County Circuit Court. Office space, furniture and equipment are supplied by the Court.

For the 1st 6 months of the year, 353 Pro Se Litigants met with volunteer attorneys or were referred to the appropriate service provider.
Montgomery Hospice is providing pediatric hospice services as proposed in our application. The number of hours of nursing care for terminally-ill children supported by this grant is lower than proposed because we received partial funding.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

This grant helps Montgomery Hospice provide compassionate medical and emotional care for children with life-limiting illnesses, allowing the children to be in their homes, surrounded by family. Our Montgomery Kids team of pediatric physicians, nurses, hospice aides, social workers, chaplains and bereavement counselors care for patients who range in age from newborn to 26 years old. Young adult patients receive care from this team if their illness began when they were children or they are developmentally younger than their chronological age.

The goals for this grant are for Montgomery Kids nurses to care for 20 terminally-ill children in their homes and educate their families to provide care. In the first six months of the grant year, our pediatric hospice team has already cared for 14 patients, which is four more children than the ten patients expected at this point in the grant year. In addition, nurses have educated family members of each patient to give medications and use medical equipment to help their children between our nurses’ visits.

Fortunately, it is not that more children than anticipated are dying in Montgomery County. Rather, the positive reputation of Montgomery Kids has attracted more families whose children can benefit from our care. The team continues to strengthen relationships with physicians caring for seriously-ill children at area hospitals, including Children’s, Georgetown, NIH and Johns Hopkins, and has been commended for regularly communicating with patients’ specialist physicians after our nurses’ home visits.

Montgomery Hospice has found it is more expensive to care for pediatric patients than adults due to a higher number of nurse visits. One reason for more frequent visits is that children are often continuing to see specialists, and our nurses help families implement their recommendations. When there is new special equipment that enables the child to receive care at home, Montgomery Kids nurses learn to use it and teach the family members. The nurses also provide education to family members about giving medications. In addition, nurses are available to answer questions and make visits 24 hours per day, 7 days per week. No other pediatric home care service in our county provides this level of access, which prevents unnecessary and stressful emergency room visits.

Without Montgomery Kids, the children we serve would be in a medical facility, away from family, friends and familiar surroundings. We are grateful for this county grant that helps us continue making the number of nurse visits our youngest patients need to remain at home.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

This grant supports professional grief counseling and management of volunteer services.

One of the two objectives of the grief support program is that 80% of clients (3,920 of 4,900) who participate in our 13-month bereavement care program, which includes supportive mailings and telephone calls from professional bereavement counselors, will incorporate their loss into their lives and find new meaning for their lives. This is measured by analyzing evaluation surveys from clients who have completed the program.
Montgomery Hospice employs professional bereavement counselors who each have a master’s, or higher, degree. We have the largest bereavement care staff in the county and offer 13 months of grief support, without charge, to our patients’ families and to anyone in Montgomery County who has experienced the loss of a family member or friend. From July through December, our bereavement counselors supported 2,125 individuals through this program.

Eighty-three percent of clients who completed the 13-month program between July and December selected “I have more easy days than rough days” or “I feel at peace with my grief,” in response to the mailed survey, exceeding our goal of 80%.

The second objective is that 90% of bereavement support group and workshop clients (630 of 700) will incorporate their loss into their lives and find new meaning for their lives. This is measured by analyzing evaluation forms completed by participants: support group participants will choose a rating of 7 to 10 on a ten-point scale and workshop participants will choose a rating of 4 or 5 on a five-point scale for the evaluation form that assesses overall satisfaction.

From July through December, our professional bereavement counselors facilitated support groups and workshops attended by 544 grieving individuals. The number of group and workshop attendees surpassed our projection of 350 during the first six months of the grant, and 93% of the participants gave their experiences high ratings, exceeding our goal of 90%.

The objective for the Volunteer Services program is that 90% of new volunteers (72 of 80) will be well prepared to serve our patients and their families. This is measured by analyzing evaluation forms completed at the end of three full days of initial training.

In October, one group of 22 community members completed their initial training. Eighty-nine percent reported high satisfaction by rating all 13 elements of the program as Helpful or Very Helpful on evaluation forms, nearly meeting out goal of 90%.

There will be two more groups of volunteers completing their initial three-day training before the grant year ends. To increase the size of the classes, we have expanded our efforts with VolunteerMatch.org and the County Volunteer Center’s “50+ Volunteer Network” which focuses on recruiting retirees.

Due to our dedicated corps of more than 330 volunteers, 721 patients received 6,644 visits between July and December. We projected that 1,000 patients would receive volunteer support during the grant year. Therefore, our volunteers served 44% more than the 500 patients we expected would be served during the first six months of the grant.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The output and outcome goals for KindWorks FY18 funding request assumed a $70,000 program budget and a $50,000 grant, and a July 1st start date. Funding awarded was only $30,000, and did not begin until October 20, 2017.

We are still on track to meet our output goals, but as of yet have been unable to implement the infrastructure necessary to create a survey system that would enable us to report on those outcomes.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):
From November 1 through December 31, 2017 our projects engaged approximately 126 volunteers in Montgomery County who together met the needs of approximately 723 vulnerable members of our community, including:
67 clients of the American Job Center at the correctional facility in Boyds, MD,
34 clients of the Interfaith Works Women’s Center (IWWC) with Healthier Living programming,
95 clients of Interfaith Works with meals at their IWWC and at The Carroll House,
127 clients of Interfaith Works with parties at the IWWC and The Empowerment Center, and
400 clients of the Montgomery County Coalition for the homeless by delivering meals for the men at their men’s shelter through food recovered by and cooked with Nourish Now at the Gaithersburg Presbyterian Church’s kitchen.

As you can see in the above numbers, we are very much on track to meet our output goals, but as of yet have been unable to implement the infrastructure necessary to create a survey system that would enable us to report on those outcomes. We anticipate moving forward on implementing that infrastructure necessary, even in spite of not having received the necessary investment from the County to do so. We have been raising more diverse funding and will attempt to engage volunteers who can manage a program wide survey, but will ensure that it becomes part of our organization's standard operating procedure within the next few months.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change to the scope of services provided.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output:

From 7/1/17-12/31/17, our Home-Delivered Meal Program provided 10,130 meals to 82 homebound elderly clients (unduplicated count). During this period, we added 38 new clients, with the remainder carry-over clients from the previous FY17. Our goal is to serve 100 clients in FY18. The majority of clients (56) resided within the Leisure World area in Silver Spring, with additional clients residing in Brookeville (5); Olney (5); Burtonsville (7); Sandy Spring (3); Rockville (2); and the remaining four clients residing in Ashton, Poolesville, Gaithersburg, and Spencerville.
Outcome 1:
During the period, 100% of 79 clients randomly surveyed region-wide each month (including Montgomery County clients) reported significant improvement in their lives in at least one of the following: time they were able to remain at home without having to face institutionalization; improved nutrition; improved quality of life; access to critical services; and improved mood and reduced feelings of isolation, exceeding our overall goal of 90%. In addition, 94% of clients surveyed rated the food as good or very good. The daily delivery of meals also provides an opportunity to offer social interaction, conduct a health and safety check, and build a lasting personal relationship with the recipient. Of the 79 clients surveyed, 100% rated our delivery system as good or very good, with 94% of the responses rated as ‘very good’. Research suggests that increased social activity can slow the decline of cognitive function. Even this brief daily interaction helps to alleviate loneliness and depression that comes with social isolation and poor diet, one of the top reasons for hospital admission and re-admission.

Outcome 2:
151 referrals to additional services were completed on behalf of 70 clients. Clients received the following services: in-home companions, locating housing and relocation assistance, SNAP information/assistance with enrollment, grocery shopping assistance, identification of adult day cares and senior centers, transportation assistance (accessing travel companions for clients), and assistance with obtaining medical alert systems, among others. In addition, beyond the meal delivery and specific client linkages, clients received shelf-stable meals in preparation for inclement weather, and blizzard bags complete with shelf-stable food such as oatmeal, pasta, rice, "Mugs of Love" containing powdered soup, cocoa, and protein bars, and cold weather wear (e.g., hand-knitted scarves, blankets). The following client story that illustrates the positive impact that our Home-Delivered Meal Program has had on just one Montgomery County client. Our client, Joshua G., is 38 years-old with developmental disabilities. Josh has Downs Syndrome and managing his calorie intake is crucial to his health, yet Josh was diagnosed as malnourished. We provide Josh with kosher meals and work closely with Josh's family to provide nutritional information regarding the Kosher Meal Program using the Maryland State Department on Aging guidelines. During a routine home visit staff reported that Josh feels very happy when he meets the volunteers. His parents expressed that Josh is trying new foods and understands from the meal package what a balanced diet looks like. Josh especially likes the fresh tomatoes, and he used to think they were "yuck."
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We had to temporarily suspend our Spanish language programs (Compartiendo Esperanza, Familia-a-Familia, Bases y Fundamentos) due to low enrollment, lack of funding, immigration climate, and a minimal number of volunteers.

All other programs have remained the same but due to funding constraints outreach efforts have had to be pulled back.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
Expected Mid-Year: In Our Own Voice, Sharing Hope, and Compartiendo Esperanza Presentations to 425 individuals.
Actual: 235 individuals
NAMI MC has offered 19 In Our Own Voice and Sharing Hope presentations in the community, at local inpatient and outpatient hospitals, local service fraternity organizations, and to the staff at homeless organizations, reaching 235 participants. Due to low funding for the program, we are unable to devote the outreach time necessary to increase attendance.

Expected Mid-Year: Family Support Groups (FSG) and Connection Recovery Support Groups (CRSG) for 625 individuals.
Actual: 338 individuals
NAMI MC has offered 38 FSGs throughout the county, one of which is a new support group started for parents caring for a child under the age of 21. Unfortunately, only 3 of 38 sign-in sheets have been turned in from volunteer facilitators. We are working on incentivizing volunteers to report attendance. With only 3 sign-in sheets turned in, our records show 16 people attended FSGs which is gross underreporting.

NAMI MC has offered 55 CRSGs for individuals living with mental illness, impacting 322 participants. Like FSGs, sign-in sheet return to our coordinator is low. We are working on incentivizing volunteers to report attendance. Additionally, one of the groups had to change location and did not meet for 8 sessions.

Expected Mid-Year: Family-to-Family (F2F), Familia-a-Familia, NAMI Basics, Bases y Fundamentos, Peer-to-Peer (P2P), Homefront classes for 100 individuals.
Actual: 100 individuals
NAMI MC has offered 3 F2F classes, impacting 71 family members. How participants have benefited:
"I have learned about others experiences and learning about resources and strategies available"
"Learning how others are handling their own situations."
"Hearing all of the opinions of others in the class."
"Gave me more (much more) insight into so many different aspects of mental illness also extremely helpful to meet many others in similar circumstances."
We have had 2 P2P classes impacting 29 individuals living with mental illness. 100% of participants found the class helpful and would recommend it to others.

Outcomes:
Expected Mid-Year: For all programs: Increased confidence in managing mental health crisis and the stress and trauma associated with mental illness.
Actual: 80% of P2P participants say they know how to manage crises that may result from symptoms of mental illness.

Expected Mid-Year: Acceptance that mental illness is not the fault of the family or the individual living with mental illness.
Actual: 100% of P2P participants say they see the illness as separate from the person who has the illness.
Expected Mid-Year: Participants will experience long-term benefit from the support and education gained from classes at 6 months.
Actual: 6 months post class: 100% strongly agree that they understand mental illness, 100% agree they know how to care for someone living with mental illness, 75% agree that they are satisfied with their relationship with the loved one they took the class for, 75% are optimistic about the future for their loved one, 100% feel supported by others in similar situations. Compared to post-class surveys the benefits of F2F long-term are sustained.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services provided have not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
Expected Mid-Year: Parents and Teachers as Allies Presentations (PTAA) to 25 individuals.
Actual: 400 individuals.
NAMI MC provided 4 PTAA presentations reaching 400 parents, faculty and community members.

Expected Mid-Year: Ending the Silence Presentations (ETS) to 1500 individuals.
Actual: 1084 students.
NAMI, MC has provided 37 ETS Presentation to middle school and high school students, reaching 1,084 students. 87% of the students found the presentation helpful. Due to a need for young adult presenters, we have given fewer presentations than anticipated. Efforts to recruit young adult presenters are underway.

Expected Mid-Year: 300 trained Sources of Strength (SOS) Peer Leaders and Adult Advisors.
Actual:
SOS schools completed 35 campaigns.

Outcomes:
Expected Mid-Year: 22.5 PTAA audience members will feel more confident and comfortable dealing with family members and others living with mental illness.
Actual: 256 survey respondents (80%) said they Agree or Strongly Agree that they are more confident and comfortable dealing with family members and others living with mental illness.

Expected Mid-Year: 1350 ETS audience members will know how to help themselves or a friend if they notice warning signs of mental illness.
Actual: 943 students (87%) said they know how to help themselves or a friend if they notice warning signs of mental illness.

Expected Mid-Year: 270 SOS Peer Leaders will feel more connected to their school, adults they can trust, and be able to identify and rely on resiliency factors in their lives.
Actual: Data is collected at the end of the year and compared to the beginning of the year survey data. Right now there are 595 active SOS Peer Leaders.
Council Mid-year Report
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Organization's Legal Name          NAMI Montgomery County

Submission Title                   NAMI MC - FY18 CE - #1 - Family & Consumer Programs

Requested FY18 Award               30000

Final FY18 Award                   15000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We had to temporarily suspend our Spanish language programs (Compartiendo Esperanza, Familia-a-Familia, Bases y Fundamentos) due to low enrollment, lack of funding, immigration climate, and a minimal number of volunteers.

All other programs have remained the same but due to funding constraints outreach efforts have had to be pulled back.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
Expected Mid-Year: In Our Own Voice, Sharing Hope, and Compartiendo Esperanza Presentations to 425 individuals.
Actual: 235 individuals
NAMI MC has offered 19 In Our Own Voice and Sharing Hope presentations in the community, at local inpatient and outpatient hospitals, local service fraternity organizations, and to the staff at homeless organizations, reaching 235 participants. Due to low funding for the program, we are unable to devote the outreach time necessary to increase attendance.

Expected Mid-Year: Family Support Groups (FSG) and Connection Recovery Support Groups (CRSG) for 625 individuals.
Actual: 338 individuals
NAMI MC has offered 38 FSGs throughout the county, one of which is a new support group started for parents caring for a child under the age of 21. Unfortunately, only 3 of 38 sign-in sheets have been turned in from volunteer facilitators. We are working on incentivizing volunteers to report attendance. With only 3 sign-in sheets turned in, our records show 16 people attended FSGs which is gross underreporting.
NAMI MC has offered 55 CRSGs for individuals living with mental illness, impacting 322 participants. Like FSGs, sign-in sheet return to our coordinator is low. We are working on incentivizing volunteers to report attendance. Additionally, one of the groups had to change location and did not meet for 8 sessions.

Expected Mid-Year: Family-to-Family (F2F), Familia-a-Familia, NAMI Basics, Bases y Fundamentos, Peer-to-Peer (P2P), Homefront classes for 100 individuals.
Actual: 100 individuals
NAMI MC has offered 3 F2F classes, impacting 71 family members. How participants have benefited:
"I have learned about others experiences and learning about resources and strategies available"
"Learning how others are handling their own situations."
"Hearing all of the opinions of others in the class."
"Gave me more (much more) insight into so many different aspects of mental illness-also extremely helpful to meet many others in similar circumstances."
We have had 2 P2P classes impacting 29 individuals living with mental illness. 100% of participants found the class helpful and would recommend it to others.

Outcomes:
Expected Mid-Year: For all programs: Increased confidence in managing mental health crisis and the stress and trauma associated with mental illness.
Actual: 80% of P2P participants say they know how to manage crises that may result from symptoms of mental illness.

Expected Mid-Year: Acceptance that mental illness is not the fault of the family or the individual living with mental illness.
Actual: 100% of P2P participants say they see the illness as separate from the person who has the illness.
Expected Mid-Year: Participants will experience long-term benefit from the support and education gained from classes at 6 months.
Actual: 6 months post class: 100% strongly agree that they understand mental illness, 100% agree they know how to care for someone living with mental illness, 75% agree that they are satisfied with their relationship with the loved one they took the class for, 75% are optimistic about the future for their loved one, 100% feel supported by others in similar situations. Compared to post-class surveys the benefits of F2F long-term are sustained.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services provided have not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outputs:
Expected Mid-Year: Parents and Teachers as Allies Presentations (PTAA) to 25 individuals.
Actual: 400 individuals.
NAMI MC provided 4 PTAA presentations reaching 400 parents, faculty and community members.

Expected Mid-Year: Ending the Silence Presentations (ETS) to 1500 individuals.
Actual: 1084 students.
NAMI, MC has provided 37 ETS Presentation to middle school and high school students, reaching 1,084 students. 87% of the students found the presentation helpful. Due to a need for young adult presenters, we have given fewer presentations than anticipated. Efforts to recruit young adult presenters are underway.

Expected Mid-Year: 300 trained Sources of Strength (SOS) Peer Leaders and Adult Advisors.
Actual:
SOS schools completed 35 campaigns.

Outcomes:
Expected Mid-Year: 22.5 PTAA audience members will feel more confident and comfortable dealing with family members and others living with mental illness.
Actual: 256 survey respondents (80%) said they Agree or Strongly Agree that they are more confident and comfortable dealing with family members and others living with mental illness.

Expected Mid-Year: 1350 ETS audience members will know how to help themselves or a friend if they notice warning signs of mental illness.
Actual: 943 students (87%) said they know how to help themselves or a friend if they notice warning signs of mental illness.

Expected Mid-Year: 270 SOS Peer Leaders will feel more connected to their school, adults they can trust, and be able to identify and rely on resiliency factors in their lives.
Actual: Data is collected at the end of the year and compared to the beginning of the year survey data. Right now there are 595 active SOS Peer Leaders.
Organization's Legal Name  National Center for Children and Families

Submission Title  National Center for Children and Families-FY18 CC-1-FTHP

Requested FY18 Award  142726

Final FY18 Award  20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application was submitted.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outcome 1: Youth will acquire stable housing.
FTHP has served eight young adults between the period of July 1, 2017 and December 31, 2017. Over the last six months, two eligible youth have transitioned to stable housing within 12-18 months of program entry. One resident transitioned to a shared housing situation in Bethesda, Maryland. This was an ideal location for her as it was close to her full time job at Red Door Spa and significantly saved on public transportation costs. The second youth transitioned to renting a shared apartment in Rockville, Maryland. She maintains a full time job as a phlebotomist at LabCorp after completing a phlebotomy program while at FTHP.

Outcome 2: Youth will secure and/or maintain employment.
8 out of 8 young adults served during this review period have maintained employment. Residents are working at Stella’s Bakery, LabCorp, Red Door Spa, Mobile 1 Lube Express, iPic Theaters, Picca Pollo A La Brasa, Heart and Soul Catering, and in construction.

Outcome 3: Youth will save 30% of their income.
Seven out of eight young adults are saving 30% of their income. One client recently obtained employment and had not yet received a pay check by the end of this reporting period. Three of the residents served this reporting period are full time high school students which decreases the number of hours they are available to work. In addition, three youth are making payments for immigration fees which are quite costly which reduces the amount of money they are able to save. Residents have saved close to $5,500 this review period.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/02/2018 • Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  National Center for Children and Families

Submission Title  National Center for Children and Families-FY18 CE-1-FTHP

Requested FY18 Award  142726

Final FY18 Award  35000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application was submitted.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Outcome 1: Youth will acquire stable housing.
FTHP has served eight young adults between the period of July 1, 2017 and December 31, 2017. Over the last six months, two eligible youth have transitioned to stable housing within 12-18 months of program entry. One resident transitioned to a shared housing situation in Bethesda, Maryland. This was an ideal location for her as it was close to her full time job at Red Door Spa and significantly saved on public transportation costs. The second youth transitioned to renting a shared apartment in Rockville, Maryland. She maintains a full time job as a phlebotomist at LabCorp after completing a phlebotomy program while at FTHP.

Outcome 2: Youth will secure and/or maintain employment.
8 out of 8 young adults served during this review period have maintained employment. Residents are working at Stella’s Bakery, LabCorp, Red Door Spa, Mobile 1 Lube Express, iPic Theaters, Picca Pollo A La Brasa, Heart and Soul Catering, and in construction.

Outcome 3: Youth will save 30% of their income.
Seven out of eight young adults are saving 30% of their income. One client recently obtained employment and had not yet received a pay check by the end of this reporting period. Three of the residents served this reporting period are full time high school students which decreases the number of hours they are available to work. In addition, three youth are making payments for immigration fees which are quite costly which reduces the amount of money they are able to save. Residents have saved close to $5,500 this review period.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Since the start of the grant term, the scope of the Greentree Shelter (GTS) services has not changed. GTS serves as emergency temporary shelter for homeless children and their parents, (primarily children with single mothers) in Montgomery County.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Outcome 1: Homeless families will acquire safe, stable housing in the community.
Midway through FY18, Greentree Shelter has already served 26 families. Of these 26 families, 14 families have exited shelter since the beginning of the fiscal year. The remaining 12 families have not exited shelter but are working on their housing plans. All 14 families that have exited acquired stable housing; therefore we are only four families away from meeting our annual target of 30. This objective is measured through the data maintained in the Homeless Management Information System and data collected by the GTS Case manager, who has been instrumental in assisting families with locating permanent housing opportunities in the community. During case management meetings, families are encouraged to identify any community resources that they have to help them develop a housing plan. They are also encouraged to take a realistic look at what housing options are affordable for them and how they can increase their income, to help support their housing plan.

Outcome 2: Homeless families will save 30% of their income
All 26 families that have resided at GTS have participated in the savings program, wherein clients save 30% of their income; only four families away from meeting our annual target of 30 families. From the onset of program participation, clients meet with program staff to identify the barriers that prevented them from saving money previously and develop a savings plan. Families often enter the program with depleted funds, because they have to pay back rent and/or utilities, hotel expenses, and family friends who may have assisted them. Upon entry to GTS, families are connected to resources, e.g. County benefits, including Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA) and employment opportunities, which allows the families to accrue savings while at GTS. This objective is measured through data collected through clients’ savings trackers and bank statements as well as a monthly case management report.

Outcome 3: Homeless families will reduce their debt by 10%
While at GTS, one of the primary objectives of the program is for families to reduce debt. During this review period 10 of the 26 families served reduced their debt by more than 10%, meeting our annual target. With the assistance of GTS staff, families have contacted creditors to negotiate plans to pay previous debt and dispute negative credit reports. While at GTS, families receive information about financial literacy, budgeting money, and creating spending plans that are reflective of their current income. This information is reiterated throughout the family’s time at GTS through weekly case management and group meetings, as well as during 30-day review meetings. Additionally, families are referred for financial services at Housing Counseling Services, where they are further encouraged to save money and plan for their future. Lastly, GTS engages in house volunteers that provide budget counseling, financial literacy, and credit repair resources to our clients. This objective is measured through data collected through clients’ credit reports, pay off statements, payment arrangements made, and bank statements, and monthly case management reports.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change to the scope of services.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output 1: Research findings aligned with MMF issue area(s), including analyses and reporting of community data (e.g. Demographic Trend Sheet, white papers).
Number to be Served: 1000
Progress: We have distributed over 500 demographic trend sheets and other information sheets pertaining to MMF through our community events at this point.

Output 2: Community forums to engage and inform County residents about issues related to economic
opportunity.

Number to be served: 300 in attendance

Progress: Since July 1, we have held two community forums. Our September forum "Exploring Cost, Quality, Availability, and the needs of Dual Language Learners" had about 80 attendees. Our November Forum "Acting Collectively for Early Care and Education" had about 70 attendees. We are planning a January Call to Action event which will attract approximately 100 attendees, and a March symposium for up to 200.

Output 3: Strategic communications developed and shared to community members and key stakeholders about MMF and its issue area(s).
Number to be Served: 1000

Since July 1, we have sent out three eblasts with information about MMF to our Leadership Group with over 500 click-throughs.

Outcome 1: Changes occur in organization or delivery of services in the issue area (eg, alignment, streamlining, centralizing intakes). Indicated if services are streamlined or reorganized to improve client access/outcomes, and when new partnerships (within government, between nonprofits, and with other sectors) are created.
   a. # services that are streamlined or reorganized to improve client access/outcomes
   b. # new partnerships created

Goal: 3

Progress: We have not begun to measure this outcome.

Outcome 2: Changes in public policies improve systems and funding in issue area. Indicated by government officials publicly supporting system changes, and by public policy change (legislation or regulations) supporting the new approaches advocated by MMF.
   a. # of local government officials publicly supporting system changes
   b. # of public policy changes (legislation or regulations supporting the new approaches advocated by MMF

Goal: 3

Progress: Many public officials have publicly stated their support for systems changes and policy changes (eg HeadStart expansion and expanded pre-K).

Outcome 3: Partners and stakeholders have knowledge/awareness of MMF’s desired systems level changes. Indicated by partners, stakeholders, community members reporting increased awareness of issues surrounding new and ongoing MMF initiatives
   a. # partners reporting increased awareness of the issues surrounding new and ongoing MMF activities
b. # MMF website hits

c. # media coverage instances (newspaper, TV, etc)

Goal: 3

Progress: This outcome has not yet been measured.
**Organization's Legal Name**  
Washington Regional Association Of Grantmakers - Nonprofit Montgomery

**Submission Title**  
Nonprofit Montgomery - FY18 CE 2 - MMF

**Requested FY18 Award**  
25,000

**Final FY18 Award**  
10000

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

The scope of service has not changed.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**

**Response (up to 500 words):**

Output 1: Research findings aligned with MMF issue area(s), including analyses and reporting of community data (e.g. Demographic Trend Sheet, white papers).  
Number to be Served: 1000  
Progress: We have distributed over 500 demographic trend sheets and other information sheets pertaining to MMF through our community events at this point.

Output 2: Community forums to engage and inform County residents about issues related to economic
opportunity.

Number to be served: 300 in attendance

Progress: Since July 1, we have held two community forums. Our September forum "Exploring Cost, Quality, Availability, and the needs of Dual Language Learners" had about 80 attendees. Our November Forum "Acting Collectively for Early Care and Education" had about 70 attendees. We are planning a January Call to Action event which will attract approximately 100 attendees, and a March symposium for up to 200.

Output 3: Strategic communications developed and shared to community members and key stakeholders about MMF and its issue area(s).

Number to be Served: 1000

Since July 1, we have sent out three eblasts with information about MMF to our Leadership Group with over 500 click-throughs.

Outcome 1: Changes occur in organization or delivery of services in the issue area (eg, alignment, streamlining, centralizing intakes). Indicated if services are streamlined or reorganized to improve client access/outcomes, and when new partnerships (within government, between nonprofits, and with other sectors) are created.

a. # services that are streamlined or reorganized to improve client access/outcomes
b. # new partnerships created

Goal: 3

Progress: We have not begun to measure this outcome.

Outcome 2: Changes in public policies improve systems and funding in issue area. Indicated by government officials publicly supporting system changes, and by public policy change (legislation or regulations) supporting the new approaches advocated by MMF.

a. # of local government officials publicly supporting system changes
b. # of public policy changes (legislation or regulations supporting the new approaches advocated by MMF

Goal: 3

Progress: Many public officials have publicly stated their support for systems changes and policy changes (eg HeadStart expansion and expanded pre-K).

Outcome 3: Partners and stakeholders have knowledge/awareness of MMF’s desired systems level changes. Indicated by partners, stakeholders, community members reporting increased awareness of issues surrounding new and ongoing MMF initiatives

a. # partners reporting increased awareness of the issues surrounding new and ongoing MMF activities
b. # MMF website hits

c. # media coverage instances (newspaper, TV, etc)

Goal: 3

Progress: This outcome has not yet been measured.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 12/14/2017 • Last updated: 01/26/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Washington Regional Association Of Grantmakers - Nonprofit Montgomery

Submission Title  Nonprofit Montgomery - FY18 CE- 2 - MORE

Requested FY18 Award  35000

Final FY18 Award  35000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There are no changes to the proposed scope of service.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

The grant support the MORE (Metrics and Outcomes for Responsible Evaluation) program, which is offered to two cohorts of nonprofit organizations per year. The program consists of 1.5 days of training for organization teams, a consultation with an evaluation consultant (1 per organization), and a half day follow up training. During this grant year the training is offered twice: October 12 and 13 and March 15 and 16.

Outputs to date:

Nonprofit Organizations attending MORE intensive Proposed: 30 Actual as of 1/1/18: 14

Individuals receiving MORE Training Proposed: 70 Actual as of 1/1/18: 40

Outcomes:

1. Nonprofit Leaders will have improved evaluation plans, instruments and/or reporting templates: 50% will show improvement.
   Results to date: 97% of participants reported at the end of the two day training that they were very likely to implement a new evaluation strategy or or template from the training.
   While we are waiting on data from the four month post survey for this cohort, the post evaluation from the prior session show that 90% of participants or developed program outcomes, and 80% refined or developed evaluation tools.

2. Nonprofit organizations will be better able to assess the impact of their programs: 50% will improve plan or instrument:
   Results to date: we are waiting on results from a 3 month post survey

3. Funders will have improved information to assess the impact of their investments: 60% will report specific examples of improvement:
   Results to date: this assessment will take place between March and June, 2018 when more nonprofits have completed MORE.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Nonprofit Village received $85,000 combined from Council and Executive for this fiscal year, so a slight reduction in scope is reflected in this $15,000 difference between funding and request:
- 8 instead of 10 startup nonprofits to access training programs;
- 110 instead of 130 nonprofits attend trainings.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

1. Output: Serve five new full-service members and two new virtual office members. Outcome: Nonprofits save $20,000 each through shared back office services and equipment

During the current fiscal year, Nonprofit Village has signed agreements with four new full-service members and one new virtual office member; it is on track to exceed the number of new members detailed in the above output description. Nonprofit Village has also upgraded its Wi-Fi network and shared copier this fiscal year, so member organizations are benefitting from this improved infrastructure. We plan to calculate the average amount saved per organization near the end of the fiscal year when more complete data is available.

2. Output: Startup nonprofits have access to programs helping them operate and comply with regulations (ten nonprofits served in the fiscal year). Outcome: Members use shared services to fulfill mission and increase citizens served by 5% (currently 80,000 served)

Nonprofit Village has increased its focus on assisting this sector of the nonprofit community. Three of its new full-service members are in the startup phase of their development, and it is more and more involved in providing direct and indirect support to these organizations. One recent example is some pro bono technical consultations Nonprofit Village was able to facilitate between four of its newest members and a web design company. These consultations led to drastically accelerated progress in improving the organizations’ websites, and the organizations invested very little resources to realize the improvements. This is one example of Nonprofit Village finding an appropriate resource, securing no or low-cost access to it, and enabling its members to leverage the resource to build their capacity.

3. Output: Small nonprofits have the resources and expertise needed to serve the community. Outcome: Members are trained in best business practices to improve operations and achieve goals in their strategic plans.

Nonprofit Village has so far provided trainings that have been attended by around eighty nonprofits (members and external organizations) so far this fiscal year. These trainings include a two-day fundraising program (the Masters Series) Lunch and Learn programs, and seminar style talks by experts in human resources and fundraising. Additionally, Nonprofit Village has partnered with other capacity building organizations to facilitate trainings in its space, with preferential access often being offered to its members. These partner organizations include Maryland Nonprofits, Nonprofit Montgomery, the Center for Nonprofit Advancement, and the Foundation Center. In 2018, the Catalogue for Philanthropy is also partnering with Nonprofit Village to deliver training in Montgomery County.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/10/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>The Nonprofit Village Center, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Nonprofit Village - FY18 County Executive (CE) 1- general operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>100000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>85000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Nonprofit Village received $85,000 combined from County Council and County Executive for this fiscal year, so a slight reduction in scope is reflected in this $15,000 difference between funding and request:

- 8 instead of 10 startup nonprofits to access training programs;
- 110 instead of 130 nonprofits attend trainings.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

1. Output: Serve five new full-service members and two new virtual office members. Outcome: Nonprofits save $20,000 each through shared back office services and equipment

During the current fiscal year, Nonprofit Village has signed agreements with four new full-service members and one new virtual office member; it is on track to exceed the number of new members detailed in the above output description. Nonprofit Village has also upgraded its Wi-Fi network and shared copier this fiscal year, so member organizations are benefitting from this improved infrastructure. We plan to calculate the average amount saved per organization near the end of the fiscal year when more complete data is available.

2. Output: Startup nonprofits have access to programs helping them operate and comply with regulations (ten nonprofits served in the fiscal year). Outcome: Members use shared services to fulfill mission and increase citizens served by 5% (currently 80,000 served)

Nonprofit Village has increased its focus on assisting this sector of the nonprofit community. Three of its new full-service members are in the startup phase of their development, and it is more and more involved in providing direct and indirect support to these organizations. One recent example is some pro bono technical consultations Nonprofit Village was able to facilitate between four of its newest members and a web design company. These consultations led to drastically accelerated progress in improving the organizations’ websites, and the organizations invested very little resources to realize the improvements. This is one example of Nonprofit Village finding an appropriate resource, securing no or low-cost access to it, and enabling its members to leverage the resource to build their capacity.

3. Output: Small nonprofits have the resources and expertise needed to serve the community. Outcome: Members are trained in best business practices to improve operations and achieve goals in their strategic plans.

Nonprofit Village has so far provided trainings that have been attended by around eighty nonprofits (members and external organizations) so far this fiscal year. These trainings include a two-day fundraising program (the Masters Series) Lunch and Learn programs, and seminar style talks by experts in human resources and fundraising. Additionally, Nonprofit Village has partnered with other capacity building organizations to facilitate trainings in its space, with preferential access often being offered to its members. These partner organizations include Maryland Nonprofits, Nonprofit Montgomery, the Center for Nonprofit Advancement, and the Foundation Center. In 2018, the Catalogue for Philanthropy is also partnering with Nonprofit Village to deliver training in Montgomery County.
Organization's Legal Name | Nourish Now Inc.
---|---
Submission Title | Nourish Now - FY18 CE - 1 - Family Food Distribution
Requested FY18 Award | 35000
Final FY18 Award | 25000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services from this request have not changed since we submitted the original application. We are continuing to focus our efforts on expanding the outreach of our services throughout the county to serve more families.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Nourish Now’s two output goals were to increase those served monthly from 610 families to 700 and to increase satellite distribution sites from 6 to 9. Since the beginning of this grant period, we have noticed an uptick in referrals for families, which has resulted in us serving more. Cornerstone Montgomery, EveryMind, Interfaith Works and Gaithersburg HELP are just a few of the organizations and agencies that have started to regularly refer families.

In regards to satellite sites, we are currently exploring new partnerships with MCPS, Linkages to Learning and HOC to target new locations for distribution. Thomas Edison High School is one potential location; we are communicating with their staff to get a better understanding of their needs and what we are able to provide.

Our two outcome goals include financial security of our clients and to increase our breadth of services to provide for new residents in the county. We are well on our way to reach and surpass our target of 250 clients saving at least $50 a month through our services. Through the first six months of this grant period 198 households have saved at least $50 a month. We are excited to see so many households be able to save money through our services who now can reallocate their budget on other necessary resources.

Nourish Now’s goal was to serve 200 new households during this grant period, up from 160 the previous year. However, we significantly surpassed this goal, already serving 569 new households during the first 6 months of the period. Part of this is attributed to local agencies and county programs who are more aware of our services and can make referrals. Through outreach with MCPS, more school counselors and teachers became familiar with us and have started making referrals for those who need it most.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services from this request have not changed since we submitted the original application. We are continuing to focus our efforts on finding new sources of recovered food to redistribute throughout the county to serve more families.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Nourish Now’s two output goals were to increase the amount of food we recover annually from 400,664 lbs. to 470,000 lbs and to increase the number of food donor partners from 135 to 150.

We are currently ahead of our target with 60% of our goal reached at the halfway point of the grant period (284,000 lbs.). Part of this success is attributed to the outreach our staff did to partner with new food providers. Additionally, as we have become more known in the area we are receiving more and more calls from licensed food providers who are interested in working with us.

We have successfully reached our target of procuring 15 new food donors to recover and distribute their excess foods. A few of the new donors include, Relish Catering, Whole Foods (Rockville), Whole Foods (Kentlands), Chick-fil-A, FoBoGro, Munchery, Sofitel Hotel, Smithsonian’s National Zoo, Target (Wheaton), Target (Laurel), Target (Ellicott City), Moti’s Mediterranean Market, Harris Teeter, Maple Lawn Turkey Farm, Norman’s Farm Market and Hail & Hog Restaurant.

The two outcome goals of ours for this grant period were to increase the amount of food we donate to partner organizations from 197,000 lbs. to 215,000 lbs. and to increase the number of our satellite distribution sites from 6 to 9.

Through the first six months of this grant period we have donated 95,000 lbs. of food to partner organizations and agencies. Even though we are slightly below 50% of our target, we still anticipate donating 215,000 lbs. to organizations and agencies by the end of the period.

In regards to satellite sites, we are currently exploring new partnerships with MCPS, Linkages to Learning and HOC to target new locations for distribution. Thomas Edison High School is one potential location; we are communicating with their staff to get a better understanding of their needs and what we are able to provide.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No. The project has remained the same in the deliverance of two specific interventions. 1) The arrangement of 2 community-based health fairs in Montgomery County, and 2) Provision of 6 physical and behavioral health education sessions.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Nueva Vida has conducted its first community-based and partnered health fair held in Montgomery County on October, 28, 2017 at Veirs Mill Elementary School (District 4; Nancy Navarro). The health fair provided health information tailored to the entire Latino family to ensure cultural relevance and effectiveness in improving knowledge leading to action. The events include mammography screening, blood pressure, glucose monitoring, oral health, physical education and child/adolescent health activities. The health fair also gave other community partners and stakeholders in Montgomery County the opportunity to meet and support Latino families in need of culturally appropriate and bilingual health information to improve the public health of the overall Latino community. Montgomery County community partners included: Maryland Physicians Care, ASPIRA Association Inc., National Hispanic Council on Aging, Latin American Youth Center, Mobile Medical Care, Inc., Primary Care Coalition, Montgomery County Department of Policy-Community Engagement Division, Planned Parenthood, George Washington University Genetic Counseling, Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services, Montgomery County Department of Recreation, Mary’s Center, Hospice Caring, Holy Cross Health, El Poder de Ser Mujer, Comida Vegana, Ventanilla de Salud, and the National Medical Hispanic Association’s DC Metro Region Chapter.

Our community partners helped serve and educate over (OUTPUT n=200) members of the Latino community averaging 40% (OUTCOME) 200/500 for the first of our 2 scheduled health fairs. We anticipate a larger crowd in our May, 2018 health fair to be held in District 5 at the Consulate of El Salvador. We have invited expert Spanish-speaking lecturers for our 6 educational workshops including Dr. Claudia Campos, Clinical Psychologist, Círculo de Mujeres Latinas, Dr. Ruth E. Zambrana, Director & Professor, Consortium on Race, Gender & Ethnicity, University of Maryland, and Dr. Sergio Rimola, Women’s Health, Emerson Research Institute. All classes will be held at the Ana G. Mendez University. We anticipate successful completion of the project interventions by the end of the project activity and appreciate Montgomery County Council’s support.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for Olney Help's Emergency Financial Assistance Program remains unchanged.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Our projected objective in Olney Help's FY18 application was to serve a target of 100% of clients requesting aid, which we projected to be 190 qualifying households.

We served 165 households consisting of 271 adults and 218 children, totaling 489 people. We based the 190 projection on the previous year's total of 180 households served. Olney Help can only surmise the improved economy and unemployment rate played into the lower number of requests.

Funding these households up to $300 per year for the FY18 application, totaled $45,800 for fiscal year 2016. (The number for our fiscal year 2015 was 180 households, totaling $46,500, $700 less in payments for utilities (largest expense), rent, and medication or equipment expenses.

Our goal is to consistently serve 100% of clients requesting aid, which Olney Help has historically accomplished for the Emergency Financial Assistance Program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for Olney Help's Emergency Financial Assistance Program remains unchanged.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our projected objective in Olney Help's FY18 application was to serve a target of 100% of clients requesting aid, which we projected to be 190 qualifying households.

We served 165 households consisting of 271 adults and 218 children, totaling 489 people. We based the 190 projection on the previous year's total of 180 households served. Olney Help can only surmise the improved economy and unemployment rate played into the lower number of requests.

Funding these households up to $300 per year for the FY18 application, totaled $45,800 for fiscal year 2016. (The number for our fiscal year 2015 was 180 households, totaling $46,500, $700 less in payments for utilities (largest expense), rent, and medication or equipment expenses.

Our goal is to consistently serve 100% of clients requesting aid, which Olney Help has historically accomplished for the Emergency Financial Assistance Program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed. Funding for the Executive Director was adjusted for the decrease in funding requested.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
One Montgomery Green is well on the way to surpassing our goals, outputs and outcomes.

Outputs (July to December)

Spread green best practices to residents and businesses. One Montgomery Green partnered, sponsored or held over 20 events from July to December, including 5 Green Drinks, Paper Shredding, Electronic Recycling, Legislative/Community Briefings and Stream/Park Clean up events. OMG has enjoyed the support of 102 volunteers so far in this fiscal year, and its e-newsletter has had monthly views ranging from 360 to 600 per month.

Map and market green resources, businesses and other assets. OMG has mapped close to 100 nodes or green assets in the county focused on the circular economy. OMG’s website averages 30+ visits per day.

Outcome (July to December)

Increase sustainability awareness for residents and businesses. OMG partnered with Tom Hucker and the Montgomery County Council to present two community briefings on the circular economy and renewable energy solutions. A combined total of about approximately 100 community members and business leaders learned from the expert panelist. Video clips of presentations allowed OMG to maximize community awareness of these events and leaders.

Strengthen regional supply chains that incentivize wide-spread adoption of existing sustainable solutions and support the growth of local MC organizations providing products and services. OMG has expanded and initiated its collaborations with a diverse array of partner organizations and businesses such as: Wheaton Kensington Chamber, Greater Silver Spring Chamber, Wheaton Urban District, Manna, MUM, MCDP, MCEDC, LEDC, the Arc Montgomery County, Washington Gas, WSSC, Pepco, Westfield Wheaton, Wheaton and Silver Spring UDACs, Arts and Humanities Council, Ecobeco, Electric Advisors, All Eco Center, Sister Eden Productions, growingSOUL, Green Plate Catering, Hollywood East Café, Limerick Pub, iHOP, Kensington Heights Civic Association, Sligo Headwaters Civic Association, Kemp Mill Civic Association, Friends of Sligo Creek, Neighbors of Northwest Branch, Rock Creek Conservancy, MC Hispanic Chamber, Maryland Black Chamber, Committee for Montgomery and the Audubon Naturalist Society.

Connect sustainability and arts in Wheaton and Silver Spring to enhance community character, walkability and livability: This past September OMG worked and supported the Wheaton Arts Parade with volunteers, hosting workshops and provide a water station with educational signage at the festival that followed the parade. Hundreds watched the more than 60 groups participating in the parade.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 12/27/2017

Organization's Legal Name  Passion for Learning, Inc.

Submission Title  P4L-FY18-CC-1-Go2College

Requested FY18 Award  23000

Final FY18 Award  23000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There was no change in the scope of services as proposed in the original application:
Two Go2College summer day camps (Summer 2017)
One Go2College after school program (2017-2018 school year).

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Output: Students attend Go2College summer day camp or after school program for college readiness
Measures: Attendance records of camps and after school program
Number to be served: 45 total (summer 2017 and school year, 2017-18) One camp was one week (30 hours) and the second camp was two weeks (40 hours).
Number of students served, to date: 24 students completed the summer camps. Currently, 15 students are participating in the Go2College after school program through the end of the first semester in mid-January 2018.

Total of students served, to date: 39. In early February, a second contingent of 12-15 students will participate in a second session of Go2College after school through mid-May 2018.

Outcome 1: Students will increase expectations of achieving a four year college degree.
Measure: Pre-Post surveys
Number expected to achieve outcome: 40
To date: 24 students completed pre-post surveys at the summer camps.
Results to date: Pre-test: 11 students expressed the expectation of achieving a four year college degree.
Post-test: 16

Outcome 2: Students will increase their knowledge about financial resources to pay for college.
Measure: Pre-Post surveys
Number expected to achieve outcome: 38
Results to date: Pre-test: 11 students said they knew about a variety of resources to pay for college.
Post-test: 16

Outcome 3: Students will talk more frequently with their parents about their college and career plans.
Measure: Pre-Post surveys
Number expected to achieve outcome: 34
Results to date: Pre-test: 20 students talk sometimes or frequently to parents about college and career goals. Post-test: 24 students talk sometimes or often to parents about college and career goals.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 12/27/2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Passion for Learning, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>P4L-FY18-CE-1-youth programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There were no changes to the scope of services as described in the original application. The requested funding was approved for FY18.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output#1: Middle school students participate in after school Information and Communication Technology (ICT) project based workshops.

Measures: Teachers track students' attendance and digital projects completion.

Number to be served: 120

To date: Currently, a total of 107 students are participating at 9 after school programs at 8 middle schools: Eastern, Lee, Silver Spring Int'l, Key, Sligo, Argyle, Parkland, Loiederman. In February 2018, we expect to be serving an additional 30 students at three Upcounty schools: Forest Oak, Montgomery...
Village and Clemente. In addition, at current sites that are under-enrolled (12 or under) we will be adding new students in January.

Outcome #1: Students will gain Information and Communication (ICT) skills.
Measure: Teachers use a rubric to assess students' digital project portfolios
Number expected to achieve outcome: 90
To date: Teachers will assess student project portfolios in May of 2018. 90 students will complete at least three projects that meet rubric benchmarks.

Outcome #2: Students gain interests in taking ICT coursework in high school.
Measure: Students complete a post-survey that includes a list of ICT high school coursework offered at MCPS high schools.
Number expected to achieve outcome: 75
To date: Students will complete post-surveys in early-mid May of 2018 Students will list one or more ICT courses they are interested taking in high school.

Output #2: Middle school students participate in college readiness program (Go2College summer camps, after school program)
Measure: Student attendance records
Number expected to achieve outcome: 32
To date: A total of 24 students completed summer 2017 Go2College camps. A total of 15 students are currently attending the Go2College after school program at one middle school. The first Go2College after school session will end in mid-January and a second cohort of students (12-15) will participate after school from February- May 2018.

Outcome #3: Students will make college readiness and college expectation gains
Measure: Students complete detailed pre- and post-surveys.
Number expected to achieve outcome: 28 (by late May of 2018)
To date: students who completed the summer Go2College camps made the following gains:
Expectation of achieving a college degree: Pre-test: 11 of 24, Post-test: 16 of 24
Increase in knowledge about financial resources to pay for college: Pre-test: 11, Post-test: 16
Students talk sometimes or frequently with parents about college and career goals: Pre-test: 20, Post-test: 24
Organization's Legal Name          Potomac Community Resources, Inc.

Submission Title                   PCR CC FY 18 Respite Program

Requested FY18 Award               50000

Final FY18 Award                   50000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No change.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
PCR's Tricia Sullivan Respite Care Programs provide high quality care designed to meet participants' special needs in a safe, stimulating environment. Medical needs, personal care needs, and communication needs of the participants are met by licensed registered nurses and certified nursing assistants, and PCR's trained direct-care staff, who know each program participant and her/his individual circumstances well. Activities including pet therapy, adapted art and music programs, massage and aroma therapy, and wheelchair dance programs engage participants actively, providing them with stimulating and fun experiences.

During the reporting period, July 1, 2017 - December 31, 2017, 698 hours of direct service were provided to PCR members participating in our Tricia Sullivan Respite Care Programs.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/23/2018

**Organization's Legal Name**  
Potomac Community Resources, Inc.

**Submission Title**  
PCR House CE FY18

**Requested FY18 Award**  
150000

**Final FY18 Award**  
50000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No, the scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

**Response (up to 500 words):**

Construction of the PCR House is nearly complete. The house will be home to three women with intellectual and developmental differences.

Payment from Montgomery County under this grant was received in December 2017.
Organization's Legal Name: Potomac Community Resources, Inc.

Submission Title: PCR Operational Support CE FY18

Requested FY18 Award: 30000

Final FY18 Award: 30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No change.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

PCR's 35 programs continue to be very popular, run well, and attract strong participation by our members, teens and adults with developmental differences.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes. PEP originally asked for $25,000 in its grant proposal, and proposed to serve 160 parents in 8 schools during FY18 (20 parents per school). The full amount was not awarded ($20,000 was awarded); thus, PEP scaled back the project to serve 100 parents in 5 schools, which was approved during the contract execution process with MCDHHS.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Reported below is progress made during the first half of FY18; note that the program is not yet complete; it continues through early June, 2018.

Output #1: Serve 100 parents with multi-week parenting education and support. Thus far in FY18, PEP has served 59 Latino parents in 3 MCPS schools (Rolling Terrace ES, Washington Grove ES, and Joann
Leleck ES). In Oct/Nov, we provided them with 6 different 2-hour parenting workshops. All of the parents are part of the Linkages to Learning program. Of the 59 parents, 46 attended 3 or more workshops. We will hold 2 online parenting workshops this winter, which we expect will increase the number of parents (among the 59 enrollees) who attend 3 or more workshops. This spring, we will serve 2 new schools and expect to enroll at least 40 new parents in the program.

Output #2: Provide parenting education to spouses/other adult family members related to program enrollees described in Output #1. We intend to serve spouses and other adult family members this winter through our online workshops, currently in development. No progress to report on at this time.

Output #3: Provide parenting education to other parents via livestream and/or video recording. We are currently developing our online workshops in Spanish and plan to deliver them this winter. No progress to report on at this time.

Note: Outcomes below were measured by having program enrollees complete a Pre-Post evaluation after the final workshop; 37 evaluations were completed. It is important to note that some parents found the "Pre-Post" format of the evaluation confusing, reporting similar behaviors in both the Pre and Post sections (and thus showing limited improvement for target behaviors). We plan to adjust the evaluation form for the program this spring.

Outcome #1: Parents reporting reduced yelling and reduced loss of control when disciplining their children. (Goal: 90 parents). 68% of evaluations reported reduced yelling and 56% reported reduced loss of control when disciplining children. Extrapolating these results to the 46 parents who took 3+ workshops, 31 parents reduced yelling and 26 reduced their loss of control.

Outcome #2: Parents reporting that they work more often with their children to solve problems jointly; parents waiting until they are calm to solve problems with their children (Goal: 90 parents). 54% of evaluations reported working more with their children to solve problems; 56% reported waiting until they are calm to solve problems. Extrapolating these results to the 46 parents who took 3+ workshops, 25 parents work more often with their children; 26 wait until they are calm to solve problems.

Outcome #3: Increased willingness of low income Latino parents to engage in future parenting education programs (Goal: 75 parents). 100% of evaluations indicated strong interest in attending future parenting programs; extrapolated results indicate that all 46 parents who completed 3+ workshops are interested in future parenting programs. We will also survey parents who participate in this winter's online workshops and those who participate in our in-person classes this spring in 2 new schools.
Organization's Legal Name
Per Scholas

Submission Title
Per Scholas - FY18CC - 1 - jobs program

Requested FY18 Award
40000

Final FY18 Award
40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Per Scholas received funding from Montgomery County in FY18 to enroll 20 young adults in IT Support training, supplemented with social media training provided by Taylor Leonard Corporation, and connect them with tech jobs in the National Capital Region. At only halfway through the funding period, we have enrolled a total of 32 young adults (age 18-25) in IT Support training, of which 7 are Montgomery County residents. We assiduously track admission and enrollment data via our cloud-based Salesforce system.

All young adult students have received social media training through our partner, Taylor Leonard Corporation (T-LC), a small, minority woman-owned business, that provides training and coaching
consultancy with expertise in social media training in workforce development environments. Students attend one-day workshops facilitated by T-LC that include a well-defined social media training experience that:

- Helps students understand the short and long term impact of digital footprints;
- Equips students to build a strong, positive digital footprint;
- Develops the research skills to identify and pursue employment opportunities;
- Helps students use LinkedIn’s University Finder and Alumni tools to research colleges and career pathways;
- Gives students the tools to build relationships with business professionals for mentorship, networking & job opportunities

Workshops cover work habits and skills essential for success in the workplace such as: using social media responsibly and effectively, demonstrating good customer service skills, communicating non-defensively, giving and receiving feedback, employer panels & more. Participants learn to work in contemporary, team-based work environments common in the professional world. Students also have the opportunity to connect with a suite of employment and sector-based training opportunities ranging from internships, part-time positions, and full-time positions in nonprofit and for-profit organizations through their organizations. Additionally, as continued support, TLC provides each student with a copy of their newly released book, B.O.O.S.T, Vol 1, an 8-step instructional guide that helps you build your brand and optimize more relationships.

Graduation outcomes for our young adults students are extremely impressive. Nearly 80% of these young adults (77%) have graduated IT Support Job Training. This is particularly impressive, given that a significant number of young adults (6) are enrolled in cohorts that are still in session. Our graduation outcomes for Montgomery County young adults are even more impressive - all 7 students (100%) have successfully completed IT Support training. While it is too soon to report on placement outcomes for these young adults, as a majority of 2017 classes are still in session, we are confident that we will meet our projected placement rates.
Organization's Legal Name          Poolesville Area Senior Center, Inc

Submission Title                    Poolesville Area Senior Center, Inc-FY18 CE - 1 - Program Coordinator

Requested FY18 Award                20800

Final FY18 Award                    20800

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The funding provided in the grant is for the salary of a part-time Program Coordinator. The coordinator is responsible for planning and managing activities and events for seniors citizens in Poolesville and surrounding areas in Upper Western Montgomery County. She also coordinates fundraising events for the Center. The scope of what the program coordinator does has not changed. However, because the coordinator is expanding our reach through the use of local community websites, Facebook pages and other social media, attendance and participation of senior citizens have increased. The outreach ensures that more seniors are becoming familiar with what we do..

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
We indicated that we planned to have 800 participants in our activities and events doing FY18. Activities and events sponsored include Tech classes, Zuma Gold, Tai Chi, pickle ball, a book club, movie night, craft classes, paint night, health seminar, holiday luncheon, and bus trips. As of December 31, 2017, we have sponsored 112 activities/events. Attendance at these sponsored events averaged 14 attendees for a total of 1,549, which has more than exceeded our expectation. We added pickle ball and movie night as regular scheduled events for FY18 and they are our most popular and well attended events along with the bus trips. The last two bus trips were sold out! We are expecting growth in the attendance of senior citizens to continue doing the remainder of FY18. The increase in attendance indicates that the Poolesville Area Senior Center is serving an interest of senior citizens in this geographical area of Montgomery County.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No changes in FY18.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Output: Poolesville Green conducted six events and participated in three others reaching a total of 10,100 participants.

Outcomes: Exit surveys indicate an average 94% agree or strongly agree that the programing raised their awareness of the issues discussed and created better understanding. Testimonial statements include: “The electric car show at PVille was AWESOME. What a great addition to Pville day!!” ~Kevin S. “I attended the National Drive Electric Week event on Poolesville Day September 16th, planning to check out a winnowed-down list of plug-in hybrids. This was a GREAT place to do hands-on research about EVs,
and to talk with the owners and sellers of such cars. The number of people and vehicles participating was staggering, almost 130, and I got to talk to everybody I wanted to. The experience, and the conversations I had with EV owners convinced me to buy an all-electric rather than a plug-in [hybrid]. Two weeks ago I bought a 2017 Bolt, and love it, love it, love it! ...and will definitely participate in next year’s NDEW event in Poolesville. Everybody needs one of these cars!” ~KLK

Our activities included:

August, 2, 2017: Hosted a discussion with Q&A titled “Climate Change: Science, Impacts and Solutions” with guest speaker Ron Kaltenbaugh, Chair of the Frederick Co. Sustainability Commission. 20 people attended.

August 15, 2017: Hosted a conversation with a representative of Sierra Club to discuss large scale solar projects within the Agricultural Reserve and gauge sentiment toward concepts like Community Solar. 10 residential solar homeowners participated.

September 16, 2017: Conducted Poolesville Green’s fourth annual National Drive Electric Week (NDEW) event during the Poolesville Day community celebration. Our NDEW was the largest gathering of electric vehicles ever on the US East Coast and 3rd largest of all 286 worldwide NDEW events held from September 9-17, 2017. 25 models were represented and 129 cars registered. Approximately 10,000 visitors viewed the EVs and participated.

October 7, 2017: Participated in the Metro DC Tour of Solar and Green Homes Weekend held Oct 7-8, 2017. This event was in the form of an open house presenting techniques to live a more sustainable, energy efficient lifestyle. Ten visitors attended.

October 14, 2017: The Poolesville High School Green Teens conducted a roadside cleanup at the Poolesville Green Adopt-A-Road location. (11 volunteers)


November 4, 2017: Hosted a screening of the documentary, Chasing Coral, with guest speaker, Dr. C. Mark Eakin, Coordinator, NOAA Coral Reef Watch and Chief Scientific Advisor on the film conducted a Q&A session. 40 people attended.

January 11, 2018: Hosted the Montgomery County Solar Co-op Information Session #2 in Poolesville. 20 people attended.
Poolesville Green is an active participant on the Town of Poolesville’s Sustainable Poolesville committee which is a part of the Sustainable Maryland Certified program. As a result, Poolesville and Poolesville Green will be participating partners in the 2018 Montgomery County Solar Co-op.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Due to the less than requested funding received for FY18 (received $15,000.00 versus $29,998.00), there were some programming changes made. These changes include serving less youth (up to 20 instead of 30) and instead of scheduling two life enriching field trips for each program, only one field trip will be scheduled. Two 13-week AFFIRM groups will take place (one from November 2017-January 2018 and the 2nd group from February 2018 - April 2018). Each group will accommodate up to 10 female students who attend Damascus H.S - 20 total during FY18.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The AFFIRM Program began in November 2017 and initially had 9 young female students from Damascus H.S interested in participating in the program. However, one student obtained employment and had to leave the group, leaving a core group of 8 students attending the program on a weekly basis. The groups take place on Wednesdays from 5:30 pm - 7:00 pm and meet at Damascus H.S. It was initially planned for the groups to take place at the Damascus Gardens Apartment Community Center but there was a change in their policy which no longer allowed outside groups to use their center. Therefore the groups have been taking place at Damascus H.S which is actually a better fit for the program. Since the program takes place after school hours, it made it more convenient for the AFFIRM participants to engage in after school activities while also being available to attend the weekly group sessions which began at 5:30 pm, following any after school activities. The AFFIRM groups have been more inclusive and there is a diverse group of students who participate in the program (5 African-American students, 2 Hispanic students and 1 European/white student). The AFFIRM participants completed a pre-program survey and will also complete a post survey at the conclusion of the program in January. The AFFIRM groups have been going very well and there has been at least a 90% attendance and participate rate among the group members. A field trip is scheduled to take place on January 28, 2018 and the awards dinner is scheduled for January 31, 2018. The second AFFIRM program will begin in February 2018 and will work with 10 new female students from Damascus H.S. The partnership between Pride Youth Services, Inc and Damascus H.S has been solid and coordination of services have been seamless.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  Pride Youth Services, Inc

Submission Title  Pride Youth Services - FY18 CC - 1 - youth program

Requested FY18 Award  29998

Final FY18 Award  5000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Due to the less than requested funding received for FY18 (received $20,000.00 versus $29,998.00), there were some programming changes made. These changes include serving less youth (up to 20 instead of 30) and instead of scheduling two life enriching field trips for each program, only one field trip will be scheduled. Two 13-week AFFIRM groups will take place (one from November 2017-January 2018 and the 2nd group from February 2018 - April 2018). Each group will accommodate up to 10 female students who attend Damascus H.S - 20 total during FY18.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The AFFIRM Program began in November 2017 and initially had 9 young female students from Damascus H.S interested in participating in the program. However, one student obtained employment and had to leave the group, leaving a core group of 8 students attending the program on a weekly basis. The groups take place on Wednesdays from 5:30 pm - 7:00 pm and meet at Damascus H.S. It was initially planned for the groups to take place at the Damascus Gardens Apartment Community Center but there was a change in their policy which no longer allowed outside groups to use their center. Therefore the groups have been taking place at Damascus H.S which is actually a better fit for the program. Since the program takes place after school hours, it made it more convenient for the AFFIRM participants to engage in after school activities while also being available to attend the weekly group sessions which began at 5:30 pm, following any after school activities. The AFFIRM groups have been more inclusive and there is a diverse group of students who participate in the program (5 African-American students, 2 Hispanic students and 1 European/white student). The AFFIRM participants completed a pre-program survey and will also complete a post survey at the conclusion of the program in January. The AFFIRM groups have been going very well and there has been at least a 90% attendance and participate rate among the group members. A field trip is scheduled to take place on January 28, 2018 and the awards dinner is scheduled for January 31, 2018. The second AFFIRM program will begin in February 2018 and will work with 10 new female students from Damascus H.S. The partnership between Pride Youth Services, Inc and Damascus H.S has been solid and coordination of services have been seamless.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The project seeks to address the need for improved access to oral health care for low-income, uninsured or underinsured adults and seniors who do not have coverage under federal and state health care programs. Due to receipt of partial funding, a decision was made to focus on the first project objective to initiate the program design for the Montgomery County Oral Health Network. With the funds received from the County Executive Community Grants, the PCC will convene a planning committee composed of oral health providers and community stakeholders to inform and direct the design of a specialty dental referral system.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the first half of the grant year, the project has prioritized advancing the outputs and outcomes focused on program design. Key activities that have been accomplished include:

1. Recruiting a workgroup of key-informants and stakeholders and scheduling the first meeting for January 24, 2018. The PCC has invited a range of stakeholders, including government agencies, private specialty care dentists, and future safety-net dental providers in Montgomery County to participate in the work group and inform the program design. This list includes: Catholic Charities Dental Clinic, Muslim Community Center Dental Clinic, and the Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services (MCDHHS) Community Health Services Dental Program, Mansfield Kaseman Health Clinic, Mary's Center, Montgomery County DHHS Area Agency on Aging, Nexus Montgomery Regional Partnership - Wellness and Independence for Seniors at Home (WISH) program, and Dr. Tristram Kruger, DDS. The workgroup will be responsible for directing the following activities:

   a. Define specialty dental services that are needed/viable for the program.
   b. Determine the volume of each type of service needed.
   c. Identify the make-up of a dental specialty care network
   d. Develop criteria for accessing the dental specialty care system

2. Designing and drafting a survey to identify needs of existing dental clinics who treat this population.

As we reviewed the original grant application and commitments, we realized that due to receiving reduced funding we would not be able to complete the grant as originally written. In the original work plan, the project intended to complete both program design and implementation of a 90 day pilot period. After consideration of the financial constraints of providing specialty dental care for the underserved community, it was determined that the existing funds would be most effectively used for program planning and design.

Convening a workgroup, assessing needs, and identifying a potential network will facilitate the completion of a comprehensive program design document and prepare the project for implementation. By conducting an environmental survey of existing demand and resources to serve this population in the community, the project can demonstrate the need for the specialty dental care network in the community and be better positioned to advocate for additional funds from public and private funders. The PCC continues to communicate and advocate on behalf of the project to community stakeholders and potential funders and has submitted two recent applications to the Maryland Community Health Resources Commission and the Montgomery County Executive requesting funds to support phase two of the project - program implementation and provision of direct services.
Council Mid-year Report

Organization's Legal Name: The Ivymount School, Inc

Submission Title: Project SEARCH Montgomery

Requested FY18 Award: 40000

Final FY18 Award: 40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output #1: Interns receiving support for vocational training: 9 - 12
The program is currently serving 10 interns all of whom are receiving supported vocational training.

Output #2: Interns learning independent skills: Up to 12
All 10 interns are making significant progress with respect to independence on the job site. We measure gains through observation, reports from job coaches, employer surveys, and student interviews.

Outcome #1: Consistent number of interns enrolled in the program: Maximum 12 expected
Actual enrollment currently: 10. An eleventh intern was counseled out after the first ten-week rotation due to immaturity and behavior issues. It should be noted that the Committee interviewed a consistently high number of applicants but determined that a large number were not ready for the level of independence needed to participate successfully in the program. Ivymount and SEEC have stepped up job development in the community, working with the newly formed Business Advisory Council, to increase the number of job placements as well as the number of qualified intern candidates.

Outcome #2: A greater number of interns being offered employment at the end of the internship: 10 expected
The actual number of jobs being offered will not be determined until the end of the internship year (June 2018).
The hiring number may be affected by the Montgomery County hiring freeze.

With respect to the funding from sources other than Montgomery County, we were successful in achieving the anticipated goal from grants and individual donations.
Organization's Legal Name: Proyecto Salud Clinic

Submission Title: Proyecto_Salud-FY18 CC-1-PCMH program

Requested FY18 Award: 62424

Final FY18 Award: 50000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Proyecto Salud Clinic continues working in facilitating access to primary medical services to the uninsured and underinsured adult in the county. A good proportion of Proyecto's patients suffer from chronic diseases such as Diabetes and Hypertension. Although the care management project scope of work continues as proposed, and contract with the county being executed at the beginning of October 2017, Proyecto hasn't been able to hire a registered nurse as care manager. Delay is in part due to Proyecto's temporary placement in mobile units, limitation in space, and the changes needed in clinics clinical sessions flow.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Proyecto Salud Clinic, thanks to the County funding, is able to serve the vulnerable population in Montgomery County; the vulnerable usually face multiple barriers in accessing healthcare, including barriers such as financial, psycho-social and limited English proficiency, among other. During the first half of fiscal year 2018, Proyecto Salud Clinic has provided primary medical services to 537 patients with a diagnosis of high blood pressure. A total of 381 patients' blood pressure readings during their doctors visits were below 140/90; which represents a 71% of hypertensive patients with adequate control. The mentioned proportion of hypertensive patients with <140/90 is within the national benchmarks HEDIS/Medicaid. In addition, Proyecto provided medical care and consultations to a total of 355 diabetics in 565 doctors' visits during the July to December. Out of 355 diabetic patients seen, 315 had Hemoglobin A1c improvements when the last tests of those patients were compared. This figure represents that 65% of diabetics improved their A1c levels during the last half year. The 315 diabetics with A1c tests also shows that 88% of diabetic patients had at least one A1c, which is within the national benchmarks of HEDIS/Medicaid.

Proyecto continues its efforts in recruitment to securing clinical hours from care managers/registered nurse to provide close follow up to the most complex chronic patients, that usually suffer from more than one chronic illness. This is an existing project, and Proyecto's record has shown that care management is particularly effective in helping complex chronic patients improve their health outcomes.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Proyecto has been diligently working on the patient navigation for cancer screenings project; its scope continues as initially proposed. As mentioned in proposal, this is an existing project funded in the past by local foundations, so the structure of the project, and systems needed to keep it running are in place.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Proyecto Salud Clinic, thanks to the County grant funding, is able to serve the vulnerable population in Montgomery County; the vulnerable usually face multiple barriers in accessing healthcare, including barriers such as financial, psycho-social and limited English proficiency, among other. Providing age and gender specific cancer screenings to adult patients is the central objective of the patient navigation project. We take every opportunity Proyecto Salud Clinic comes in contact with patients, to provide health education, and promote the screening of cancers. The indicators we closely monitor on cancer screenings, and Proyecto's progress attained so far are as follows:

1. Proportion of female patients 40-69 years old that have a mammogram within the past 2 years; and Proyecto's target is to increase the number of mammograms to 65% which is the national benchmark. Preliminary data from first half of fiscal year 2018 indicates that out 1,098 women 40-69 years old served during the June to December 2,017 period at Proyecto, 669 had at least one mammogram within the past 2 years; therefore, so far, the target attainment of Proyecto is 61%.

2. Proportion of female patients 24-64 years old that have a pap smear within the past 3 years; Proyecto's target is to increase the number of pap smears to 80%, to reach the national benchmark on this screening. Initial data on cervical cancer screenings during the first six months of fiscal year 2018, showed that 1,780 women 24-64 years old had a medical consultation in the mentioned period of June to December 2017; and 1,327 of those female patients have had a pap smear within the past 3 years; this number represents an attainment of 75% toward reaching the national benchmark of 80% as proposed in Proyecto's patient navigation project.

3. Proportion of patients (male and female) 50 years and older that have a colorectal cancer screening, FIT test, within one year. Proyecto's target for colorectal cancer screening is to reach a 40%. Again, preliminary data of first half of fiscal year 2018 presents that out of 944 individuals 50 years and older that visited Proyecto the last 6 months, 366 actually had their colorectal cancer screening done within one year; this represents a 39% attainment on a 40% target. It is worth mentioning that over the past 3 years Proyecto has done a very good progress on this cancer screening that in the past kept low marks.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed, though the numbers served are less than anticipated. We did not receive the full amount of funds requested.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Objective 1: Clients living in stable housing. Of our goal to serve 50 households, we were able to assist 7 of 13 requests to prevent eviction. By the time we received 4 of those requests, after discussion with the landlords, it was too late to prevent the evictions. We will not provide funds to the landlord unless we have confirmation that the client will not be evicted. In the other 3, we were unable with collaboration to acquire the large amount needed to prevent the eviction. Of the 7 households that received assistance, all are still able to maintain their home.

Objective 2: Utility Cutoff prevented/restored after disconnection. Of our goal to serve 40 households, we were able to keep utilities on for 4 households of the 9 requested. We currently have two cases pending completion of acquiring all funds needed to prevent disconnection of utilities. In two cases, we were able to work with the utility company to allow more time for the client to be able to provide funds on their own after receiving their next paycheck. All of the 4 households are still able to maintain secure environment with utilities on.

Objective 3: Homeless Households placed in shelter. Our goal is to provide assistance to 10 households that were evicted when there was no available shelter in the County, or County funds available to place them in shelter. During this period we didn't use any County funds to place clients in temporary housing who were homeless. We had 2 requests for assistance. We placed one household with another family temporarily. The second family was able to acquire funds through their coworkers to pay for a hotel stay for two nights and elected to move with family in another state.
Organization's Legal Name
Rainbow Place Shelter for Homeless Women

Submission Title
Rainbow Place Shelter for Homeless Women Inc- FY18 CC - 1 - shelter-

Requested FY18 Award
40000

Final FY18 Award
40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed in essence, but Rainbow Place has tried to provide a greater level of case management this year. Because our budget did not allow an additional case manager we are using the very part time services of an amazing volunteer whose time is limited. This enables us to better support the county initiative "Inside not Outside" which aligns perfectly with our mission.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
At the mid-year point Rainbow Place (RP) has been open for two months. During that time we have served 63 women providing shelter and offering case management, counseling, food, clothing, and other amenities to each. This level at this point projects that we will exceed the expected projection for outputs.

For outcomes:

12 women (19%) have received more stable housing. At least 11 more are in the pipeline awaiting acceptance.

30 clients (48%) are engaged in case management.

7 (11%) are engaged in a program that will improve their stability and enhance their transition from homelessness e.g. therapy, substance abuse treatment, job readiness classes, or skills training. These are the ones that we can verify. We know there are others in programs like AA and NA who do not report their attendance, and some who do not want to disclose that they are receiving mental health treatment.

Since RP, a seasonal shelter, has been open just 2 months, we are confident that our outcomes will meet our expectations as the season progresses. One concern is that we are seeing a different demographic this season, i.e. a significant number of clients who are newly homeless (52% reported being homeless for 2 months or less) and therefore ineligible for many county programs that are designated for the chronic population. Additionally, many are staying for a very short time which doesn't give RP a chance to adequately assist them. (32% stayed for 1 to 5 days)
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name  
Rebuilding Together Montgomery County

Submission Title  
Rebuilding Together Montgomery County - FY18 CC - 1 - Critical Needs Progra

Requested FY18 Award  
70000

Final FY18 Award  
30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for our Critical Needs program has not changed since submitting our application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

RTMC is making excellent progress toward our goals for the Critical Needs program.

The stated output in our FY18 application was to make critical need repairs to the homes of 100 unique homeowners. To date, we have completed home repairs for 70 unique homeowners.

Our stated outcome was to enable low-income homeowners to remain in their homes for a period of six months or longer by addressing conditions existing within or outside the home (e.g., health and safety risks, code violations, insurance compliance violations, lack of accessibility) that pose an immediate risk of homeowner displacement. To date, 69 of the 70 homeowners for whom we made repairs have remained in their homes, although not all have as yet reached the 6-month mark. One client is currently living in a nursing home, and we're not sure that she will be able to return to her home. In any case, we are on track to meet or exceed our outcome goal of 85% of clients being able to remain in their homes for six months or longer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Rebuilding Together Montgomery County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Rebuilding Together Montgomery County - FY18 CE 1 - General Operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>48120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>31000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided did not change since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

RTMC is making excellent progress toward our operational support goals.

The stated output in our FY18 application was to make critical need repairs to the homes of 100 unique homeowners. To date, we have completed home repairs for 70 unique homeowners.

Our stated outcomes were:

1.) To enable low-income homeowners to remain in their homes for a period of six months or longer by addressing conditions existing within or outside the home (e.g., health and safety risks, code violations, insurance compliance violations, lack of accessibility) that pose an immediate risk of homeowner displacement. To date, 69 of the 70 homeowners for whom we made repairs have remained in their homes, although not all have as yet reached the 6-month mark. One client is currently living in a nursing home, and we're not sure that she will be able to return to her home. In any case, we are on track to meet or exceed our outcome goal of 85% of clients being able to remain in their homes for six months or longer.

2.) To reduce our energy client's monthly utility costs to create additional resources for other life expenses. To date, we have provided a more than nominal reduction in monthly utility costs for 24 of our expected 32 energy clients, keeping us on track to meet or exceed this outcome goal.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for the Maryland Women's Business Center (MWBC) has not changed. The MWBC continues to provide training, one-on-one counseling, and access to a variety of resources for women-owned small businesses.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Midway through FY18 the total clients that were served by the MWBC are 976. There were 289 clients counseled and 687 clients trained. This continues to demonstrate the demand for our services in all the Counties we serve including Montgomery County. We look forward to continuing to build on this accomplishment.

Through our Neoserra database, we have been able to accurately track the economic impact reported by our clients, and we make sure that all numbers reported are verified. The documentation provided for verification includes surveys, signed actions plans, and signed SBA 641 forms. In Montgomery County there were 246 clients counseled/trained and of that number we are able to report the following:

Jobs created/retained = 40  
Business Starts = 4  
Capital Infusion = $122,270  
Sales Increase = $367,000
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for the Maryland Women’s Business Center (MWBC) has not changed. The MWBC continues to provide training, one-on-one counseling, and access to a variety of resources for women-owned small businesses.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Midway through FY18 the total clients that were served by the MWBC are 976. There were 289 clients counseled and 687 clients trained. This continues to demonstrate the demand for our services in all the Counties we serve including Montgomery County. We look forward to continuing to build on this accomplishment.

Through our Neoserra database, we have been able to accurately track the economic impact reported by our clients, and we make sure that all numbers reported are verified. The documentation provided for verification includes surveys, signed actions plans, and signed SBA 641 forms. In Montgomery County there were 246 clients counseled/trained and of that number we are able to report the following:

Jobs created/retained = 40  
Business Starts = 4  
Capital Infusion = $122,270  
Sales Increase = $367,000
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services have not changed since the original application. Red Wiggler continues to provide food, employment, and education for youth and adults with and without disabilities as laid out in our original proposal. As explained in further detail below, we came up against barriers to accurately surveying group homes to determine their use of the vegetables we send. We are working to improve this for the upcoming season.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Red Wiggler had a successful 22nd season growing and distributing vegetables to the community while employing and educating youth and adults with and without disabilities.

Progress

Outputs:
1. Number of Growers: At the beginning of FY17, Red Wiggler employed 16 adults with developmental disabilities as Growers. After working at the farm for 12 years, one of our Growers retired at the end of the season. We then hired an additional Grower in the spring. Another Grower retired in the summer after having worked at the farm for 17 years. Throughout the year, we had a total of 16 Growers on staff, and now have one vacancy to fill for our 2018 season.
2. Number of youth with disabilities participating in our Education and Service Learning Programs: Throughout the year, 251 youth with disabilities participated in our programs.
3. Number of adults with disabilities receiving Red Wiggler vegetables: 329 adults with disabilities living in 102 group homes received Red Wiggler vegetables. Food was delivered 46 weeks out of the year.

Outcomes:
1. By May 15, 100% of Growers had three goals developed. 100% of Growers had pre-season meetings, mid-season, and end of season check-in meetings. 100% of Growers learned or developed skills.
2. 32 youth with disabilities made more than one visit to the farm increasing impact through continuity. This number is slightly less than our stated goal and is due in part to weather that cancelled school or made it unsafe for students to come to the farm for winter programming.
3. While we are in regular communication with the management teams of the social services agencies we send food to, we recognize the importance of talking with the group home staff and residents to get a full picture of how our vegetables are being utilized. This task proved to be more difficult than anticipated and we were unable to survey the group homes in a meaningful way. We are working closely with the agencies this year to mitigate this issue.

Our staff and board of directors is committed to regular review and evaluation of our programs. As we start our 23rd season we are excited to utilize what we have learned in past seasons to continue to refine and expand our existing programs.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services have not changed since the original application. Red Wiggler continues to provide food, employment, and education for youth and adults with and without disabilities as laid out in our original proposal. As explained in further detail below, we came up against barriers to accurately surveying group homes to determine their use of the vegetables we send. We are working to improve this for the upcoming season.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Red Wiggler had a successful 22nd season growing and distributing vegetables to the community while employing and educating youth and adults with and without disabilities.

Progress

Outputs:

1. Number of Growers: At the beginning of FY17, Red Wiggler employed 16 adults with developmental disabilities as Growers. After working at the farm for 12 years, one of our Growers retired at the end of the season. We then hired an additional Grower in the spring. Another Grower retired in the summer after having worked at the farm for 17 years. Throughout the year, we had a total of 16 Growers on staff, and now have one vacancy to fill for our 2018 season.

2. Number of youth with disabilities participating in our Education and Service Learning Programs: Throughout the year, 251 youth with disabilities participated in our programs.

3. Number of adults with disabilities receiving Red Wiggler vegetables: 329 adults with disabilities living in 102 group homes received Red Wiggler vegetables. Food was delivered 46 weeks out of the year.

Outcomes:

1. By May 15, 100% of Growers had three goals developed. 100% of Growers had pre-season meetings, mid-season, and end of season check-in meetings. 100% of Growers learned or developed skills.

2. 32 youth with disabilities made more than one visit to the farm increasing impact through continuity. This number is slightly less than our stated goal and is due in part to weather that cancelled school or made it unsafe for students to come to the farm for winter programming.

3. While we are in regular communication with the management teams of the social services agencies we send food to, we recognize the importance of talking with the group home staff and residents to get a full picture of how our vegetables are being utilized. This task proved to be more difficult than anticipated and we were unable to survey the group homes in a meaningful way. We are working closely with the agencies this year to mitigate this issue.

Our staff and board of directors is committed to regular review and evaluation of our programs. As we start our 23rd season we are excited to utilize what we have learned in past seasons to continue to refine and expand our existing programs.
Organization's Legal Name   Rockville Help Incorporated

Submission Title          Rockville HELP FY18 CC-1

Requested FY18 Award      10000

Final FY18 Award          9000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The only change has been the loss of our $5000 grant from the Freeman Foundation, which helped to underwrite this program. However, the scope of our services has not changed, thanks to additional donations and lower demand for food assistance (see below). For the future we hope to make up for this loss (due to ineligibility after 2 years) by increasing our budget for the FY2019 County Council grant to $15000.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Rockville Help has provided food and financial assistance continuously for over 49 years to those with emergency needs, and has done this exclusively through volunteers.

Our first output from last year was to provide three to five days of food for every family or individual who requests it, at a frequency of once every three months. Without this support for our financial assistance we would need to decrease this frequency. Our original target of number to be served was 1400. The actual number of individuals served was 712, about half of our original target. The only reason for this discrepancy was a decrease in requests for food. We were able to meet our goal of filling every request for food received, and to increase the allowed frequency of requests to once every two months. Among those helped with food deliveries were 250 children.

We have seen the number of calls for emergency food delivery decrease, while requests for financial assistance for rent, utility payments and other emergencies have increased. We have adjusted out target for next year for this output to 800 individuals. We are able to collect enough food through food drives and contributions to meet the demand, but our ability to meet our goals for financial assistance has become more difficult, since we are no longer eligible for the the Freeman Foundation assistance.

The second output from last year was to provide financial assistance for every request received. Assistance is provided once per year per family or individual. Our original target was to assist 150 individuals or families. We assisted 111 individuals or families. The amount of total assistance increased from about $19,600 to $23,800 or about $215/family. We have adjusted our target slightly for this year's application to 125 families.

Our single outcome description for last year was to provide assists to help clients avoid homelessness or are be sure they are able to transition from homeless to housed. Our target was 15 individuals, and we were able to help 11 families either move from shelter to housing or avoid imminent eviction.

In addition we provided 310 referrals to individuals who called for assistance. We received and answered a total of 1351 calls for an average of over 5 calls/day, throughout the year. Data for the two previous years are: In 2015 we responded to 1458 calls and 306 referrals. We gave financial assistance to 114 families totaling $21,450 for an average of $188 per family.In 2016 we responded to 1438 calls and 320 referrals. Financial assistance of $19617 was provided to 114 families for an average of $172 per family.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In Q3/Q4, RSC proposes to shift the makerspace expectation and paradigm toward event-based programming which is better attended and improves community awareness of the makerspace. Open hours will still be available but now reduced to coincide to all RSC events in the space (6-12 hours per week) and one dedicated afternoon/evening per month (6 hours). We believe this will lead to an engaged user base and improved community recognition for continued mutual success in the makerspace for Rockville Library, the County, and RSC. This is a bit of a shift in focus based on our experience to date.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
2017 was our first full year of operation for the RSC makerspace at Rockville Memorial Library and a great success! Since the time the grant was awarded in July we have had added new programs and increased attendance by the local community. In FY17 Q3 and Q4 Rockville Science Center (RSC) presented 48 events with a total attendance of approximately 850 patrons. In FY18 Q1/Q2 RSC has presented a total of 77 programs (up 60%) with a total 930 attendees (up 9%). This has been a substantial increase in activity which we plan to continue into Q3/Q4. It represents an average of 3+ instructor-led events per week, each lasting typically 2-3 hours.

We expect guest attendance to increase further in the Spring when we typically offer programs with larger attendance such as Lego robotics and open public events (e.g. dedicated days for Arduino programming, raspberry pi electronics, and community events such as Science Day). In late Spring the library hours are also extended which helps to increase possible offerings and headcount in general. Generic open hours at the makerspace (walk ins, no specific instruction being held) have to date been poorly attended. We observe they generally only bring those who are curious about the space and we welcome them, but thereafter they look for event opportunities to visit again. We have found that the highest attendance is for skills based workshops – such as soldering, radio licensing, programming, and also open learning events – such as Arduino Day, Take Apart Day, and Lego Sumo competitions.

To date, we are staffing the makerspace with carefully screened volunteers. Through the first half of FY18 we were unable to employ a person for the role described in the grant to fulfill the need for open-hours. This position requires time for 15-20 open-hours weekly - and also a strong background in operations, social media, and/or technical aspects of the makerspace. Qualified candidates for these useful skills are typically already fully employed and unable to provide the hours required. Also during the Fall and Winter months the high school robotics teams occupy the space weekdays until 7PM and often on Saturdays, which makes coordinating routine open hours challenging. We have a couple of candidates to consider for this position subject to their availability. A staff person would greatly enhance what we can accomplish.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

There has been no change in the scope of services.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
As stated in our first outcome and output for FY18, Rosaria has successfully taken ownership with the transfer of title to another property donated to Rosaria. The purpose of this gift is to create another home in the Rosaria model and be the life long residence for three intellectually disabled men. The property is undergoing a major renovation required to upgrade all systems and to modernize the structure in order to accommodate the needs of the three men selected to live there. Rosaria works in collaboration with Jubilee of MD and together the three individuals have been selected. The renovation costs will exceed $300,000. Rosaria has raised those funds primarily during this fiscal year. The capital donations have come through Montgomery County, and Business and individual donors. Construction is expected to be completed by early March and the "move in date" shortly there after. When this occurs our output will be a completed home for three men, one full time live in caregiver, several other caregivers, and a supporting partnership with St John the Baptist Parish. The three men will be safe, comfortable, and included in the larger community for the rest of their lives.

The second outcome and output for FY18 is related to working with the Housing Opportunity Commission of Montgomery County (HOC) to complete the transfer of 4 homes currently owned by HOC and one unapplied housing grant in the approximate amount of $700,00. The funds are to be used to build another home for intellectually disabled adults in Mounty County. Very earnest efforts have been made during these past months by Rosaria Communities to continue discussions regarding the transfer of funds and properties but Hoc has not yet acted on preparing the legal paperwork. We remain optimistic that they will move toward transferring the titles of their four properties and release the grant so plans and construction can get underway and 15 individuals will become part of the Rosaria Family. This proposal was reviewed by all parties and initially approved by Rosaria, HOC, Montgomery County, the State of MD and the Governor of MD. Rosaria will continue to reach out to HOC in order to achieve our goal. When it is completed 15 additional intellectually disabled adults will have life long permanent homes in the Rosaria model.
Council Mid-year Report
Created: 01/10/2018 • Last updated: 01/11/2018

Organization's Legal Name   Scotland Storm Community Development Inc.

Submission Title           Scotland Storm - FY18CE - 1

Requested FY18 Award        80000

Final FY18 Award            20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Students receiving homework assistance/academic enrichment measured by daily attendance


Progress- 27 to 31 students have been receiving daily homework support since Sept 2017. At the elementary level, we provide one-on-one support for homework completion then academic enrichment/intervention in reading and math. New this year, we have started a chess club on Wednesdays.
At the middle school level, the amount of homework requires that both sessions (2 hours) be used for assignment completion. We have implemented a new system so that students maximize time on task. For the first session, students are seated to work independently. They are then grouped according to grade level to receive support for the second hour.

Outcome 1. Homework completion rate > 80% (middle school) measured by academic records

Progress- A new system (Mymcps portal) has allowed for better monitoring of student homework completion and grades. At this time, we have not reached the 80% completion rate but are making progress and hope to be closer to our goal by Quarter 4. We are closely tracking assignment completion, especially the bigger projects that have a greater impact on the overall grade. We have set a program goal to decrease grades of E and D (an outcome for next year's grant) and are discussing programming adjustments, such as how to structure the second session, to make that happen.

Outcome 2. Grade level achievement (elementary)

Progress-
Sept Reading data- 23 students total, 14 at grade level and 9 below
Sept Math data- 23 students total, 13 at grade level and 10 below

Change in organizational leadership- This year we hired a new academic director, Allison Peterson, who has more than 20 years experience in education as a teacher and administrator. Her knowledge of MCPS and education has allowed for a closer working relationship with the schools. She has taken the first quarter to assess the current programming and implement changes that we hope will have a positive impact. Allison’s goals are two-fold: to strengthen communication with the schools and to provide more targeted support for each student. Connected to the second goal is to ensure that tutors have the appropriate resources and training to provide the most targeted support.

We have increased the hours of our two teachers who act as liaisons in the schools. Weekly, they are in contact with school staff to stay abreast of changing academic and social needs.

Internally, Allison has also implemented more structure to the program so that students are using their time more productively. At the middle school, this looks like strategic seating, restriction of phone use, and using timers for work completion. At the elementary level, students are checking in and entering in a more settled way. Academically, we are providing support in the areas of most need, such as reading comprehension and math fact fluency. Teachers have shared resources and strategies so there is more consistency between the school day and our program.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
In FY18, SSTCi set out and continues to achieve its goals of presenting over 100 community-based arts and entertainment programs and events in Downtown Silver Spring, including our Harvest Moon Festival, Tribute to America's Veterans Concert, Film & Lecture Series, SPARKLE Senior Program, Arts Salon, Civic Cafe and more. We are also in the midst of planning of 10th Annual Silver Spring Blues Festival and Blues Week.

Our 100+ events reach an estimated 40,000 area residents and some visitors with the majority attending our popular Blues Festival every Father's Day Saturday. We present about 50 smaller plaza performances and other outdoor events that help to activate the downtown area contributing to public safety and making for a more family-friendly downtown.
Organization's Legal Name  Silver Spring Village, Inc.

Submission Title  Silver Spring Village - FY18 CE-1 - operating expenses

Requested FY18 Award  42888

Final FY18 Award  30000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The outcomes in the original application differ from the final contract. In the application, Contractor was to provide 986 rides to area seniors; the contract specifies 600 rides. The application calls for 600 social contacts for seniors through Village programs, whereas the contract specifies 400 contacts, with an average of two per person. Another outcome that was included in the application, i.e., Village membership improves the ability of older adults to remain in their homes, is not in the contract. This outcome is a natural by-product of Contractor meeting the two above-referenced objectives in the contract.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

We have only been operating under the FY2018 contract since October 10, 2017. Data for these three months suggest, if we continue at the same rate of service, we will exceed our outputs and outcomes.
The Contractor is on track to meet the following outcomes/outputs in the contract:

A. Increased access of senior adults to people, places, and resources in the community.
1. The Contractor will provide, through its volunteers, 600 one-way rides for area seniors, for the Contractor’s and community events, including social activities, personal visits, exercise classes, shopping trips, medical care, and more.

Since October 10, 2017, Contractor’s volunteers have already provided 256 one-way rides for area seniors who are unable or uncomfortable driving. Destinations have included: doctor appointments, exercise classes, grocery stores, Village and community-wide social, educational and recreational events. If we continue to provide 250 rides per quarter, we will far exceed our one-year County contract by providing 1,000 rides.

Destinations have varied but all meet the unique needs of our members. For example, one member receives regular rides to chemotherapy treatments; another 94-year-old member receives weekly rides to her Bone Builders class. Another member needs a ride to the market. She chooses her own groceries, and her volunteer driver carries them into her house. Other members receive rides to Village events and social gatherings, and to our SPARKLE program (open to the public, in partnership with Silver Spring Town Center).

B. The Contractor will track member and public attendance at Contractor’s events and activities and the number of friendly visits and phone calls to members at risk for social isolation, and will achieve, through its volunteers, 400 social contacts at an average of two social contacts per person.

Contractor provided 886 social contacts since the contract went into effect on October 10, 2017 (includes a total of 745 attendees at Contractor’s events and activities and 141 friendly visits and check-in phone calls) for an average of 4 per participant. We have already exceeded our contract, with 9 months remaining.

This success is due in part to two factors: (1) we’ve created popular new activity groups, including memoir-writing, poetry, knitting, chess, poker and more. (2) We held two events, both open to the public, each of which drew almost 100 participants. We sponsored a satellite broadcast at Montgomery College and a holiday party at the Civic Center with participants from numerous ethnic groups in Silver Spring.

In our 2018 member survey, we will ask our members to what degree they believe because of membership in the Village they belong to a community where people look out for one another, and know more people and ways to access resources.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Our mission and scope of services have in fact expanded as we have added a fifth Sister City Daejeon, South Korea. We believe this addition is especially important given the continuing expansion of the business relationships and educational partnerships between the County and this business, educational and technological hub of South Korea.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have had mixed results as we continue to build this vital program. While our Morazán committee is thriving, increasing volunteer engagement and fundraising through their annual Fiesta that positioned them to expand their scholarship sponsorships to college students in Montgomery County and Morazán. The committee sponsored a Habitat for Humanity trip to Morazán in July, working with families to build much needed homes. They also provided hospital equipment for local health facilities assisted by discounted shipping cost provided by one of the founding committee members. The committee fulfilled a long-time goal of providing funding for field trips to the Tin Marin Museum for elementary students who have never visited a museum due to the distance (a six-hour bus ride) and expense of admission and food.

The Xi'an committee has increased their volunteer commitments, resulting in expanding a high school exchange program with Xi'an schools to over 100 children. The annual outreach events (August cookout, anniversary celebration and World of Montgomery) saw volunteer increases from 30 to over 50, and word of mouth continues to attract even more participants. The October delegation trip to Xi'an also helped to renew interest in the Sister City relationship and supporting our efforts here at home.

The political unrest in Gondar continues to complicate the operation of the volunteer committee despite their determination to keep the communities connected and informed. A very positive sign was the award from the World Bank to the Mayor of Gondar for an observatory trip to Montgomery County of operations and management of sewage and trash management systems, civic engagement and educational institutions. This award was the result of the perceived value of the Sister Cities relationship and its potential to provide valuable information that will improve the lives of citizens of Gondar while connecting them to the citizens of Montgomery County.

The new Daejeon committee is off to a great start after the Sister City Agreement signing in October. They have identified committee leadership and are developing a strategic plan that will result in the people to people contact that is the foundation of the Sister Cities program.

Our Hyderabad committee remains dormant due to the resignation of committee leadership that hasn't been replaced. The staff of the Community Engagement Cluster and our volunteer board are working collaborative to identify leadership for the committee and we hope to have something in place by our annual meeting scheduled for 30 March 2018.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name: Spanish-Speaking Community of Maryland, Inc.

Submission Title: Spanish-Speaking Community of MD - FY18 CE - 1

Requested FY18 Award: 30000.00

Final FY18 Award: 10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope has not changed since the original application. Full funding would have enabled more time spent giving free services.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

La Comunidad has been able to help 90% of the clients who have come to our offices either by a direct service or a referral. When a follow up was necessary La Comunidad did so as needed to ensure the issues were resolved.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has changed since the original application was submitted. The request was for $25,000 and $10,000 was approved. The grant provided operating funds toward the salaries of the Executive Director and the Administrative Assistant. The revised goals include providing 120 scholarships to 40 people, develop 2 new partnerships and participate in 6 community events to promote awareness and participation in exercise and nutrition classes for people with disabilities.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
The outputs for the revised goals include:

1. Providing 120 scholarships to 40 people
   To date 50 scholarships have been provide to 40 people participating in exercise and fitness programs.

2. Develop 2 new partnerships
   A partnership has been developed with Cornerstone Montgomery who supports people with mental health and co-occurring substance use disorders. Fifteen people are participating in weekly exercise and fitness classes. Meetings are taking place to select another organization in order to develop a second partnership.

3. Participate in 6 community events to promote awareness and participation in exercise and nutrition classes for people with disabilities.
   To date the Foundation has participated in the Kensington 8 K race, the Montgomery County Resource Fair for People with Developmental Disabilities, and the Greater Bethesda Chamber of Commerce's Big Event.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Sports Plus received less than 10% of the funding that it requested. As such, it will not be able to serve the same numbers of participants set forth in the outputs and outcomes sections of its original application, as those numbers presupposed full funding.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Sports Plus did not receive final authorization to proceed until August 18, 2017, so work did not begin until then. As set forth above, Sports Plus received less than 10% of the funding that it requested. As a result, it will not be able to serve the same numbers of participants set forth in the outputs and outcomes sections of its original application. Despite these two issues Sports Plus has made significant progress in achieving its contract goals.

Through December 31, 2017, Sports Plus already held multiple sessions of its swim programs, land based programs and social programs. In that time frame, 95 participants have received swim instruction, 34 participants have received land program instruction and 62 received social program instruction. 77% of swim participants have increased independent swim proficiency by moving up at least one level every three months. 91% of track and soccer participants have shown at least 20% improvement in proficiency. 89% of social program participants have required 25% less facilitation to engage peers and activities.
## Council Mid-year Report

**Created:** 01/10/2018 • **Last updated:** 01/16/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>St. Ann's Center for Children, Youth and Families</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>St. Ann's Center-FY18 CE-1 Youth Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>25000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

Although we received $5000 less in our award than we requested, we did not reduce our scope of services. Services to teen mothers have in fact been enhanced since the time of the original application with an Early Head Start component that has been added to our Child Care. Early Head Start offers parenting, employment and life skills supports to help the whole family succeed.

**Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
Response (up to 500 words):

Objective 1: Mothers will give birth to babies of healthy birth weights. Target: 83% of babies will be of healthy birth weights.
Number to be served: 30
In the first half of this grant year, 10 families with a total of 16 individuals have been served. Of those, 20% are from Montgomery County. During this time one baby was born, and that infant was full-term and of a healthy birth weight. This baby was born to a Montgomery County teen mother. At this point in the grant year, St. Ann’s Teen Mother-Baby program meets a 100% healthy birth rate.

Objective 2: Mothers will acquire parenting skills, including knowledge of infant care and child development stages
Target: 90% of mothers will improve
Number to be served: 30
As of the first half of this grant year, teen mothers have been acquiring parenting skills at varying rates. Currently, 86% of them have shown marked improvement, which includes two teens from Montgomery County.

Objective 3: Mothers will progress toward their high school diploma or GED.
Target: 90% of mothers will progress
Number to be served: 30
A growing trend to opt for taking GED preparation rather than high school classes has been observed among Teen Mother-Baby participants. In response, St. Ann’s has restructured its Education Program to best serve the teens. The Education Program now includes tutoring, collaboration with the teen’s home school, and GED preparation classes. All eight teen mothers who participated in either high school classes or GED preparation during this period (100% of those who participated) progressed in their educations. Of the eight, one is from Montgomery County. All girls who were program residents during that time met with the Education and Employment Program Counselor at least once and began consultation on post-high school plans and career exploration. Note: an additional Montgomery County teen was admitted late during this time period, and time did not allow for her to begin GED classes.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name
St. Camillus Catholic Church Food Pantry

Submission Title
St Camillus FY18 CC

Requested FY18 Award
25000

Final FY18 Award
5000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the grant has expanded to include purchase of all types of food items included in emergency food packages. This change is possible because some of the items originally listed are available to us at no cost.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

We are on track to exceed our originally stated outcomes of the purchase of rice, masa, flour, oil, and rolled oats for 2000 emergency food packages. Because several of the originally identified food items are available to us at no cost, we have been able to expand the amount and the items purchased with this funding. This flexibility has allowed us to purchase enough meat and produce so that each emergency package distributed has included both items in addition to every item on our menu.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the grant has expanded to include purchase of all types of food items included in emergency food packages. This change is possible because some of the items originally listed are available to us at no cost.

Additionally, the amount of food items purchased was decreased from 2000 per item to 1700 per item due to partial funding of the grant.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):
We are on track to exceed our originally stated outcomes of the purchase of rice, masa, flour, oil, and rolled oats for the amended amount of 1700 emergency food packages. Because several of the originally identified food items are available to us at no cost, we have been able to expand the amount and the items purchased with this funding. This flexibility has allowed us to purchase enough meat and produce so that each emergency package distributed has included both items in addition to every item on our menu.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

In FY2018, we received partial funding for this grant. Our request was for $70,000 and we received $60,000. We adjusted the outcomes and outputs by 15 % to reflect this funding reduction. In addition, the first outcome is meant to be broader than just new jobs it is meant to include those clients undertaking training and receiving scholarships for training.

Output 1: total clients served, current shelter t is 42 and post-shelter is 64;
Outcome 1: number of clients with new jobs, receiving scholarships or undertaking workforce training is 64;
Outcome 2: resumes 64; and
Outcome 3: job applications 64.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

From July 1 through December 27, we have made the following progress toward achieving the stated outputs and outcomes for FY2018:

Output 1: Total shelter clients served is 42 and post shelter is 64, (106 total clients), for the full year. Through 12/27 we had touch points with 86 clients as tracked in our CRM system. Of these 86 clients, 25 were in the shelter/transitional housing and 61 were post shelter/transitional housing. At the mid-year point we are well ahead of schedule having met over 80% of the full year goal.

Outcome 1: New jobs, scholarship, and training for 64 clients is the full-year goal. At the mid-year point 21 clients have new jobs with an aggregate annual value of approximately $400,000. In addition, 39 clients completed some sort of training, and 3 clients received scholarships to Montgomery College totaling nearly $4,000. Clients started jobs as Certified Nursing Assistants, Customer Service Representatives, Administrative Assistants, Receptionists, Bartenders, Insurance Benefits Verifiers, Day Care Workers, and Food Servers to name a few. Examples of some of the types of training the clients took include Cosmetology, Pharmacy Technician, Medication Technician, Certified Nursing Assistant Trainings, and Microsoft Office programs.

Outcome 2: Resumes for 64 clients is the full-year goal. At the mid-year point we have exceeded the goal for the full contract year. Over 75 clients have new resumes.

Outcome 3: Completed Job Applications for 64 clients is the full-year goal. At the mid-year point we have exceeded the goal for the full contract year. Over 75 clients have completed job applications.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The respite care aspect of our programming has been put on hold, due to the leadership and staffing transition. The new executive director does not live at St. Joseph's House the way our previous executive director did, so we are in the process of reassessing how to implement overnight respite.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Output 1) Seven children with intellectual and developmental disabilities have received consistent daily after-school care in a space that is emotionally safe, nurturing, socially engaging, and intellectually stimulating. As per goals set collaboratively with each child's parents, each child is challenged to reach his/her potential through homework assistance, group and self-directed play, learning self-care skills, and receiving job skills preparation.

Output 2) Nine children with intellectual and developmental disabilities received all-day summer care from the closing of Montgomery County schools to their opening in the fall. The children were given countless opportunities for growth and engagement with the community through daily field trips to museums, the zoo, the pool, bowling, etc. The children also gained skills in areas of cooking, work training, reading, and group collaboration.

Outcome 1) In parent meetings, all parents have expressed satisfaction with their child's growth toward his/her potential in areas including social engagement, communication, self-care, and job readiness. Parents also note that, without the consistent care provided after school and throughout the summer for their children, many of them would not be able to hold their full-time jobs. They express gratitude for such a safe and caring place for their child, as St. Joseph's House is the only place able to provide daily care for many of these young people due to their particular needs and circumstances.

3). N/A
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Sunflower’s Pastry Arts Training Program is on track to meet the outputs and outcomes that were projected for FY2018. We projected 16 young adults with learning differences receiving skilled employment training and employee development in pastry arts and baking measured by ongoing evaluation of student acquisition of skills in the second and third phases of program.

In FY2018, we are on track to serve 15 or 16 new young adults in our Pastry Arts Employment Training program. Their progress and skills are being formally and informally evaluated during each phase in the program.

Sunflower forecasted that 85% of our Pastry Arts program graduates will secure employment in pastry, baking or related industries in FY2018 within 6 months of program graduation. Of the 6 students who have completed the program in FY18 and are still not 6 months out of the program, 2 have jobs, one finished in October and is looking for a job, one finished in late November and is currently beginning her job search, one is volunteering at Sunflower Bakery for additional training at her request, and one enjoyed his Cafe Sunflower rotation so much that he enrolled in our Cafe Employment Training Program and is slated to begin employment at the Cafe next week. To date, we also have 3 students in Phase 2 and one student in Phase 3. In addition, three students began Phase 1 in late November and will begin Phase 2 in February. Of our eleven FY17 graduates: 9 (81%) secured employment within 6 months of program completion. To date, 8 of them are still working, one is looking for work, one is not responding to our inquiries and one is in art school in Baltimore. Student job searches and employment acquisition is tracked by Liz Hutter, our Employment Specialist and General Manager. She also monitors hiring practices of Montgomery County businesses approached and/or engaged by Sunflower for employment opportunities.

Finally, we predicted an increase of 6 employer partners over the previous year. Employer partners refer to employers hiring young adults with learning differences trained by Sunflower. We have added 4 new employer partners to date in FY2018: Costco, Baked by Yael, Shady Grove Universities and Wegmans.

In our FY2018 application Outcomes Section, we indicated that marketable and transferable baking skills and employee development would be obtained by 100% of the young adults with learning differences served. All of our FY2018 students are achieving this outcome and we are on course to have 15 or 16 Pastry Arts trainees achieve this goal in FY18. Three students began in our August session, another three in November and another one is beginning in January. We recently hired a new assistant pastry instructor so we plan to meet our targeted number of students served for FY2018. We conduct regular weekly performance reviews during student internships along with monthly consultations with the instructor, the students and their designated support person.
Organization's Legal Name: Sunflower Bakery, Inc.

Submission Title: Sunflower Bakery - FY18 County Executive (CE) - 1-Pastry Arts Employment Tr

Requested FY18 Award: 44540

Final FY18 Award: 23400

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of the project has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Sunflower’s Pastry Arts Training Program is on track to meet the outputs and outcomes that were projected for FY2018. We projected 16 young adults with learning differences receiving skilled employment training and employee development in pastry arts and baking measured by ongoing evaluation of student acquisition of skills in the second and third phases of program.

In FY2018, we are on track to serve 15 or 16 new young adults in our Pastry Arts Employment Training program. Their progress and skills are being formally and informally evaluated during each phase in the program.

Sunflower forecasted that 85% of our Pastry Arts program graduates will secure employment in pastry, baking or related industries in FY2018 within 6 months of program graduation. Of the 6 students who have completed the program in FY18 and are still not 6 months out of the program, 2 have jobs, one finished in October and is looking for a job, one finished in late November and is currently beginning her job search, one is volunteering at Sunflower Bakery for additional training at her request, and one enjoyed his Cafe Sunflower rotation so much that he enrolled in our Cafe Employment Training Program and is slated to begin employment at the Cafe next week. To date, we also have 3 students in Phase 2 and one student in Phase 3. In addition, three students began Phase 1 in late November and will begin Phase 2 in February. Of our eleven FY17 graduates: 9 (81%) secured employment within 6 months of program completion. To date, 8 of them are still working, one is looking for work, one is not responding to our inquiries and one is in art school in Baltimore. Student job searches and employment acquisition is tracked by Liz Hutter, our Employment Specialist and General Manager. She also monitors hiring practices of Montgomery County businesses approached and/or engaged by Sunflower for employment opportunities.

Finally, we predicted an increase of 6 employer partners over the previous year. Employer partners refer to employers hiring young adults with learning differences trained by Sunflower. We have added 4 new employer partners to date in FY2018: Costco, Baked by Yael, Shady Grove Universities and Wegmans.

In our FY2018 application Outcomes Section, we indicated that marketable and transferable baking skills and employee development would be obtained by 100% of the young adults with learning differences served. All of our FY2018 students are achieving this outcome and we are on course to have 15 or 16 Pastry Arts trainees achieve this goal in FY18. Three students began in our August session, another three in November and another one is beginning in January. We recently hired a new assistant pastry instructor so we plan to meet our targeted number of students served for FY2018. We conduct regular weekly performance reviews during student internships along with monthly consultations with the instructor, the students and their designated support person.
Organization's Legal Name

The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.

Submission Title

The Arc Montgomery County - FY18 CC - 1 - KFICCC Mia Fund

Requested FY18 Award

25000

Final FY18 Award

12500

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Yes, the project was funded at half the request level. The number of families supported is directly proportional to funding.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):


Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/19/2018

Organization's Legal Name
The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.

Submission Title
The Arc Montgomery County - FY18 CC - 2 - TYRP

Requested FY18 Award
88558

Final FY18 Award
42000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

N/A

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Output 3: Primary caregivers participating in at least one workshop or other training. Anticipated YTD: 18. Actual YTD: 17. Anticipated Grant Year: 36.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>The Arc Montgomery County - FY18 CC - 3 - KFICCC Blinds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>7400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>7400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

N/A

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

**Response (up to 500 words):**

A vendor has been identified and a work order has been placed. We anticipate that installation will be completed no later than mid-February.
Organization's Legal Name                      The Arc Montgomery County, Inc.

Submission Title                               The Arc Montgomery County - FY18 CE - 5 - Youth Engagement Project

Requested FY18 Award                           69651

Final FY18 Award                               20000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of work was significantly altered due to funding limitations. Supports will be provided only during the second half of the school year and into the summer months, depending on available funding.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The single circumstance that has prevented us from launching the project is insufficient funding. The project will launch by late winter and will extend until the end of the grant period or until the funding is exhausted, whichever occurs first. The final report will reflect progress toward the originally-proposed outputs and outcomes.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 12/19/2017

Organization's Legal Name
The Jewish Federation of Greater Washington

Submission Title
The Jewish Federation - CE - Community Security

Requested FY18 Award
250000

Final FY18 Award
148000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The project RFP has been completed and we are waiting on responses from qualified vendors. The scope of the project is large and requires input from multiple organizations and their respective teams. We fully expect to make an announcement of the winner by the end of January with the project completed way before the June deadline.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Thor Teams has consistently provided the tutoring as described in the approved grant application. We have arrived at a realization, after ten years of providing services, that the tutoring aspect is the most significant change agent of all the activities we have provided in that the students experience success as students and become empowered to gain control of their future.

In the past, all Thor Teams students who embraced the program, generally seventy-five percent of those who joined, graduated from High School with their class.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

REPORT TO THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY COUNCIL
THOR TEAMS INC.
FYE 2018 COUNCIL GRANT RECIPIENT
Thor Teams Inc has provided tutoring to eighteen middle and high school students who reside in the Damascus cluster of schools and who experience a financial hardship. The students live in Damascus Gardens and various HOC Housing units in the area. The eighteen students are equally mixed between Baker Middle School and Damascus High with one student Attending Rocky Hill Middle and one attending Haley Wells Middle. Six paid tutors and four volunteers work with the students.

Each Monday and Wednesday evening since the beginning of the school year, tutors and mentors have convened at the Damascus library from 6:30 to 8:00 pm when the students work on their school work under the watchful eye of six to eight tutors. Most students attend both weekly sessions, although a few participate one evening per week. Transportation is provided to all students whose family cannot transport them.

As we have moved forward, we discovered that these tutoring sessions have become a peaceful respite for them, away temporarily from the poverty they experience at home, and a time to bond with others who have a common purpose. Students attend consistently and sometimes make extra-ordinary efforts to attend, e.g. taking the Uber if they miss their rise.

We have taken on another initiative this year which focuses on the role of the parent in this process. In early October we hosted a family dinner at the Damascus library and learned about the Gudelsky Institute at Montgomery College in a presentation by the auto trades director, Debra Anderson. We are committed to inform our students of the option of vocational training as well as the availability of Montgomery College and four- year colleges. It appears to us that society at large is in need of individuals skilled in the trades to tend to the needs that the rebuilding of our infrastructure will require.

Another initiative that we have undertaken is to help students who are involved in the “Credit Recovery” program in Montgomery County Public Schools. We have one student who works exclusively with her tutor to complete the Geometry packet in order to earn her Geometry credit needed for graduation.

Some students begin Thor Teams in Middle School and continue until High School graduation. More than one hundred have joined of which seventy-five percent have embraced the program have graduated from high school.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J Thornett, President, Thot Teams Inc.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

This Respite program is running as expected and efficiently. We have adjusted groupings of individuals to better meet their needs, but overall curriculum plans are in place.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
UCR is very happy to report that this program, Weekend Enrichment & Respite Program remains filled to capacity. Our monthly session, allows 11 adults, on average, to experience novel job skills, meet new friends, learn how to communicate more effectively verbally, as well as through art projects.

One surprise output from this program is the direct impact on the community at large. UCR WE/R program was able to donate 400 lbs of fresh vegetables to local food banks. Our members learned the value of helping others and fortifying our community.

Recent surveys of attendees' caregivers and parents state that they have enjoyed the respite from being the direct caregiver. Ninety percent of respondents added the 4-hour session was appropriate and appreciated, especially for the low cost. One hundred percent of respondents stated that this program is meeting their needs as a parent and caregiver desperately in need of a break.

Following casual observation and surveys of the adult attendees, 90% of them reported that they have tried and learned new skills. One area of growth that everyone has commented on is woodworking. We have a group of 6 professional woodworkers come to program as volunteers. Our adults have made solid wood bird houses, tool boxes and tractors! All attendees report this novel skill was exciting, as was learning to handle tools safely. After their wood projects are created, the attendees get to decorate or paint their item. While painting was not reported as a novel skill, it is very therapeutic in nature for this population.

Most of our members have never harvested, planted or cared for farm fields before. This has been a tremendous growth experience for many, especially when we get to sample the vegetables at lunch time. Everyone ate zucchini, tomatoes and pumpkin seeds this fall. Some of them trying these foods for the first time.

All members currently enrolled in this program plan to register for the next session.
Organization's Legal Name          Upcounty Community Resources, Inc.

Submission Title                   UCR FY18 CE Program Expansion & Support

Requested FY18 Award               40000

Final FY18 Award                   35000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

UCR happily reports that all projected and current programs are running at capacity. Thanks to funding from the County Executive we have even been able to add some new opportunities for our adults. There is such great need, some programs have had to form waiting lists.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

UCR is very happy to report growth across the board, in all programs. The desperate social needs of this population have called us to add more inclusive opportunities for young adults to socialize in the community. Guys Night Out programming has increased the number of sessions offered (twice monthly) and we've added Girls on the Town, twice monthly as well. All activities take place in restaurants, malls, movie theaters, coffee shops etc. to practice appropriate behavior, communication and typical interactions.

Our FREE Walking Workout club, held at the Soccer Plex/Discovery Sports Complex in Boyds, is gaining in popularity among schools and group home facilities. Our attendance records indicate an average of 15 walkers on Tuesday and Thursdays, nearly doubling the numbers from last year. It has become such a habit for most of our adults, that even when their staff has been up all night, the members demand getting their early morning exercise time. Many of the members have visible weight loss.

Surveys distributed among those in our therapeutic programs report extremely high satisfaction rates. Ninety percent of those surveyed in Finding the Artist Within and Rhythm, Blues and Beyond are happy to come to class and be exposed to a variety of music types and new art techniques. We even had one adult, who was so happy to be at class, burst into tears as he heard the first few chords of the "Goodbye Song". He is a nonverbal adult, but his strong reaction to therapeutic music class ending, needs no explanation. Art and Music both touch the souls of our individuals very deeply.

Another member, who loves art but is unable to afford the program, "works" for UCR at some of our other social events. We take her "work" hours and deduct them from the cost of the therapeutic program so she is able to attend. This full circle of giving back reaches outside the realm of our predicted outcomes, and teaches responsibility, job satisfaction, as well as pride and self esteem. We know Ms. Erin is proud of her work because she chose to give away 4 art projects, made in our class, as gifts to her friends and familiar people in the community.

The independence gained from our social, therapeutic and fitness programming benefits the community in immeasurable ways. Our adults are more confident in the community, proud of themselves and eager to tackle new skills. All of our members are making friends in UCR programs and bonding on levels we never imagined.

Thank you for allowing us to fulfill the lives of those with greatest needs.
Council Mid-year Report
Last updated: 01/15/2018

Organization's Legal Name Upcounty Community Resources, Inc.

Submission Title UCR FY18 CE Respite Program

Requested FY18 Award 20000

Final FY18 Award 10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

This Respite program is running as expected and efficiently. We have adjusted grouping of our adults to better meet their needs, but overall curriculum plans are in place.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
UCR is very happy to report that this program, Weekend Enrichment & Respite Program remains filled to capacity. Our monthly session, allows 11 adults, on average, to experience novel job skills, meet new friends, learn how to communicate more effectively verbally, as well as through art projects.

One surprise output from this program is the direct impact on the community at large. UCR WE/R program was able to donate 400 lbs of fresh vegetables to local food banks. Our members learned the value of helping others and fortifying our community.

Recent surveys of attendees' caregivers and parents state that they have enjoyed the respite from being the direct caregiver. Ninety percent of respondents added the 4-hour session was appropriate and appreciated, especially for the low cost. One hundred percent of respondents stated that this program is meeting their needs as a parent and caregiver desperately in need of a break.

Following casual observation and surveys of the adult attendees, 90% of them reported that they have tried and learned new skills. One area of growth that everyone has commented on is woodworking. We have a group of 6 professional woodworkers come to program as volunteers. Our adults have made solid wood bird houses, tool boxes and tractors! All attendees report this novel skill was exciting, as was learning to handle tools safely. After their wood projects are created, the attendees get to decorate or paint their item. While painting was not reported as a novel skill, it is very therapeutic in nature for this population.

Most of our members have never harvested, planted or cared for farm fields before. This has been a tremendous growth experience for many, especially when we get to sample the vegetables at lunch time. Everyone ate zucchini, tomatoes and pumpkin seeds this fall. Some of them trying these foods for the first time.

All members currently enrolled in this program plan to register for the next session.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/17/2018

Organization's Legal Name
Upper Montgomery Assistance Network

Submission Title
UMAN FY18 CC-1

Requested FY18 Award
50000.00

Final FY18 Award
40000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

no changes

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Response (up to 500 words):

UMAN has served 218 families in the first 6 months of FY18. UMAN has provided nearly $85,000 in emergency financial assistance to these families facing either homelessness or loss of a vital utility service.

Due to a very delayed contract execution, we have been unable to invoice for nearly $50,000 of these expenses, as they occurred prior to October 15, 2017. It would be very beneficial to UMAN, and likely other small non-profits, if the start of the contract's invoicing period were to be inline with the start of the fiscal year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

no changes

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

UMAN has served 218 families in the first 6 months of FY18. UMAN has provided nearly $85,000 in emergency financial assistance to these families facing either homelessness or loss of a vital utility service.

Due to a very delayed contract execution, we have been unable to invoice for nearly $50,000 of these expenses, as they occurred prior to October 15, 2017. It would be very beneficial to UMAN, and likely other small non-profits, if the start of the contract's invoicing period were to be inline with the start of the fiscal year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

As previously written, approximately 35 students were expected to be served; however, the first few monthly meetings attendance has averaged 50 students.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

UCF is currently monitoring the progress of six high school seniors (two more than anticipated in the original application). All students are on track for graduation; they have taken the SAT/ACT and visited colleges. Two students applied for the POSSE scholarship, one of them made the final round.

The SAT/ACT activity was held at Forest Oak Middle School in Gaithersburg and in Silver Spring at Springbrook High School. Our focus is on school clusters with high rates of students on free and reduced meals and low academic performance.
Council Mid-year Report

Last updated: 01/11/2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization's Legal Name</th>
<th>Unity Christian Fellowship Incorporated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Submission Title</td>
<td>Unity Christian Fellowship Incorporated - FY18 CE-1 - ELSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested FY18 Award</td>
<td>35914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final FY18 Award</td>
<td>27220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

As previously written, approximately 35 students were expected to be served; however, the first few monthly meetings attendance has averaged 50 students.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

UCF is currently monitoring the progress of six high school seniors (two more than anticipated in the original application). All students are on track for graduation; they have taken the SAT/ACT and visited colleges. Two students applied for the POSSE scholarship, one of them made the final round.

The SAT/ACT activity was held at Forest Oak Middle School in Gaithersburg and in Silver Spring at Springbrook High School. Our focus is on school clusters with high rates of students on free and reduced meals and low academic performance.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services for the 1-day Game Changer Conference for Young Males has not changed.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

The conference is scheduled for April 14, 2018, at the Universities at Shady Grove. A contract has been secured and advertisement has begun throughout Montgomery County via schools, churches, and other nonprofit organization communications.
Organization's Legal Name  Upwardly Global

Submission Title  Upwardly Global- FY18-CC - 1

Requested FY18 Award  25000

Final FY18 Award  10000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

While we did receive partial funding (40% of requested budget), our scope of service has not changed since the original application.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our original application included the following full-year goals for our skilled immigrants and refugees in Montgomery County: 60 accepted; 50 trained; 20 placed. As of January 1 (1/2 way through grant period), we have accepted 30, trained 25, and placed 11 job seekers. This is tracking perfectly at or above 50% for every metric.

Upwardly Global has achieved its goals (adjusted for half year) despite partial funding and an overwhelmingly negative sociopolitical environment towards our target population, including demonizing rhetoric, legal challenges to immigrants and refugees, travel bans, and associated actions.
### Council Mid-year Report

*Created: 01/11/2018 • Last updated: 01/16/2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Organization's Legal Name</strong></th>
<th>Mid County United Ministries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Title</strong></td>
<td>Utility Assistance FY18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>30000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final FY18 Award</strong></td>
<td>26000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

No change in scope.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**
Response (up to 500 words):

The stated objective for Fiscal Year 2018 was to fund 100% of clients requests of $300 totaling 133 clients.

As of December 2017, we have helped 43 clients, this falls short of the six month goal of helping 67 clients for numerous reasons.

1. We did not receive the approval to proceed until November 2017. This delay was due in part to a delay in submitting the required documents which did not reach us, due to our office relocation in August.

2. The Board restricted us from using other funds to pay utility bills due to an inadequate supply of funds.
Vietnamese Americans Services, Inc

VAS - FY18 CC - 1 - Health Care Program

45632

7000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Since the scope of services are the same, Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), the assigned Contract Monitor for our FY18 Community Grants, has decided to combine the County Council Grant of $7,000 & the Count Executive Grant of $25,000 into one Grant Contract in the amount of $32,000. The scope of services, which are to help support a health care program for the Vietnamese community in Montgomery County, has to be reduced due to receipt of partial funding compare to the original request.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the scope of services under the Grant Contract, we committed to delivery:

A - 150 County Residents will attend at least one health literacy workshops.
B - 310 County Residents will receive information about enrolling and/or renewing health insurance.
C - 210 County Residents will enroll and/or renew health insurance.
D - 200 County Residents will receive information about and will attend at least one Vietnamese Day program at Montgomery Care Clinics.
E - 70 County Residents will make and keep at least one appointment with a primary care doctor.

Since the Notice to Process (NTP) is sent out in October, we had 3 months to perform. We have started right away in October for the ACA 2018 enrollment season. We organized 5 small workshops in October and 2 in November about health insurance literacy and also remind people about the 2018 open enrollment period. There were 101 people attending the workshops. In addition, more than 1,500 flyers were sent out by mails to County residents, who are Vietnamese, about 2018 open enrollment season. It turned out, we got 732 phone calls regarding the information about enrolling and/or renewing health insurance. During the open enrollment time (November 1st - December 22, 2017), we had helped 315 county residents to enroll and/or renew their health insurance, so they will be covered in a health plan in new year.

In October 2017, we hosted a Mid Autumn Festival for Vietnamese Community and there were about 500 people attending. We had distribute flyers about Vietnamese Day program to the attendances. Also, The information about the Vietnamese Day program has introduced to 50 students in 2 English classes, organized by VAS. So far, we have served 29 patients in Vietnamese Day at Montgomery Care Clinic and helped 25 clients access to their primary care doctors.

We are on track now to make progress toward our goals. We forecast that there will be no major changes in the future to make delays to the performance.
Organization's Legal Name Vietnamese Americans Services, Inc

Submission Title VAS - FY18 CC - 2 - Community Dev Program

Requested FY18 Award 53934

Final FY18 Award 12500

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

VAS has entered into the contract on June 2016, so this year the contract authorizes an extension of the contract term through June 2018. The contract combines the County Council Grant of $12,500 and the Count Executive Grant of $35,000 into one Grant Contract in the amount of $47,500. The scope of services, which are to provide outreach services for the Vietnamese community in Montgomery County, has to be reduced due to receipt of partial funding compare to the original request.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

VAS commits to provide outreach services to better assist disadvantaged and hard to reach target groups among Vietnamese population in Montgomery County by providing the following outputs and outcomes:

1- Community engagement: Connect 200 residents to needed services as result of community events, which facilitated by VAS. During the last 6 months, VAS has obtained more than 250 residents, equal 125% of the target.

2 - Seniors and people at risk: VAS has provided more than 200 services in the last six months for seniors and people at risk, include providing interpreting and translation services, assist them enroll social benefits, refer them to needed services.

3- Promote volunteerism: In the last 6 months, VAS has built a network of 25 volunteers to work as a charity group. Monthly, the volunteers are visiting and support the isolated and disable Vietnamese people. VAS provides training and facilitate the home visiting of those community members.

In the next 6 months, we don't forecast any issue, which would delay our performance for making progress toward our goals.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Since the scope of services for both funding are same, Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), the assigned Contract Monitor for our FY18 Community Grants, has decided to combine the County Council Grant of $7,000 & the Count Executive Grant of $25,000 into one Grant Contract in the amount of $32,000. The scope of services, which are to help support a health care program for the Vietnamese community in Montgomery County, has to be reduced due to receipt of partial funding compare to the original request.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

In the scope of services under the Grant Contract, we committed to delivery:
A - 150 County Residents will attend at least one health literacy workshops.
B - 310 County Residents will receive information about enrolling and/or renewing health insurance.
C - 210 County Residents will enroll and/or renew health insurance.
D - 200 County Residents will receive information about and will attend at least one Vietnamese Day program at Montgomery Care Clinics.
E - 70 County Residents will make and keep at least one appointment with a primary care doctor.

Since the Notice to Process (NTP) is sent out in October, we had 3 months to perform. We have started right away in October for the ACA 2018 enrollment season. We organized 5 small workshops in October and 2 in November about health insurance literacy and also remind people about the 2018 open enrollment period. There were 101 people attending the workshops. In addition, more than 1,500 flyers were sent out by mails to County residents, who are Vietnamese, about 2018 open enrollment season. It turned out, we got 732 phone calls regarding the information about enrolling and/or renewing health insurance. During the open enrollment time (November 1st - December 22, 2017), we had helped 315 county residents to enroll and/or renew their health insurance, so they will be covered in a health plan in new year.

In October 2017, we hosted a Mid Autumn Festival for Vietnamese Community and there were about 500 people attending. We had distribute flyers about Vietnamese Day program to the attendances. Also, the information about the Vietnamese Day program has introduced to 50 students in 2 English classes, organized by VAS. So far, we have served 29 patients in Vietnamese Day at Montgomery Care Clinic and helped 25 clients access to their primary care doctors.

We are on track now to make progress toward our goals. We forecast that there will be no major changes in the future to make delays to the performance.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

VAS has entered into the contract on June 2016, so this year the contract authorizes an extension of the contract term through June 2018. The contract combines the County Council Grant of $12,500 and the Count Executive Grant of $35,000 into one Grant Contract in the amount of $47,500. The scope of services, which are to provide outreach services for the Vietnamese community in Montgomery County, has to be reduced due to receipt of partial funding compare to the original request.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

VAS commits to provide outreach services to better assist disadvantaged and hard to reach target groups among Vietnamese population in Montgomery County by providing the following outputs and outcomes:

1- Community engagement: Connect 200 residents to needed services as result of community events, which facilitated by VAS. During the last 6 months, VAS has obtained more than 250 residents, equal 125% of the target.

2 - Seniors and people at risk: VAS has provided more than 200 services in the last six months for seniors and people at risk, include providing interpreting and translation services, assist them enroll social benefits, refer them to needed services.

3- Promote volunteerism: In the last 6 months, VAS has built a network of 25 volunteers to work as a charity group. Monthly, the volunteers are visiting and support the isolated and disable Vietnamese people. VAS provides training and facilitate the home visiting of those community members.

In the next 6 months, we don't forecast any issue, which would delay our performance for making progress toward our goals.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The Village of Takoma Park (VTP) increased its membership from 130 to 230. Three goals.
(a.) VillageRides: proposed 405 rides per year; actually, performed: 7/2017 to 12/2017: 368 rides / half year. Extrapolated per year: 736 rides.
(b.) Interactive cases referred to County and worked on with the county agencies: proposed: 25 per year, current count 23/per half year.
(c.) Friendly visitor proposed 45. Actual count 9, creating 216 visits per 6 month. Added to friendly visitor program are: 1 case for decluttering, 7 cases for senior stroll (4 times/month) and 11 cases for errands.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

(a.) The Village of Takoma Park experienced significant demands of the VillageRides Program. The number of riders increased to 94 seniors, the number of drivers has increased to 46. In order to have enough man power of volunteers VTP conducted 4 trainings in 2017 for 19 participants. 2.8% of rides remained unfulfilled lacking volunteer drivers, which is low for a driving volunteer service. 43% of these rides are for doctors’ visits; 34% for spiritual events; 13% per personal needs; 6% are for shopping; and 4% for community events. The VillageRides program thus allowed seniors to remaining in their community support their needs and to lead a normal life.

(b.) VTP referred crisis cases to County agencies and also interacts with county social workers to address needs of senior residents. Currently 23 residents or families per half year have experienced such service or are under care. VTP proposed 25 per year. This service often is requested, if families, neighbors or seniors are at the end of their ability to manage.

(c.) The Friendly Visitor program provided regular visits to 9 isolated seniors. VTP trained 10 volunteers in 4 yearly training sessions, in order to have enough manpower. The mental health agency “EveryMind” does link up volunteers with needy seniors. We projected 45 such pairs of volunteers and seniors, but we have only 9, not counting other services, such as senior stroll, which supplements the program. “EveryMind” has been in transition during the last half year. Therefore, this part of the program lags behind.

(d.) Among the most popular and successful activities have been the monthly Aging-Well programs, This Program covers topics such as: Writing Life Stories, Hospice Care and the Stages of Grief, and Making Your Health Care Wishes Known (MOLST).

(e.) Small Home repair conducted seven repairs and a day of service for the community.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services to be provided has decreased because VoK received partial funding. We reconfigured the tasks to be funded by the grant as the following: (1) hiring a contractor for the full amount of the grant funding; (2) primarily development of a member recruitment plan; (3) initial implementation of the plan; and (4) working with VoK volunteers to continue implementing the plan.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have not made any progress toward our outputs and outcomes for two reasons: (1) partial funding; and (2) our efforts to identify and hire the contractor funded by the grant were delayed as we discussed how to reconfigure our grant goals to accommodate the decreased funding amount.

Because of the smaller funding amount and changed scope of services (per above), we have modified our outputs and outcomes to the following:

Outputs:
1. # of new members added during grant year: new goal=25
2. # of new organizations added during grant year with which VoK has relationships: new goal=10

Outcomes:
1. total # services/month (on average) provided by VoK volunteers: new goal=20
2. # members attending social events (average number per event): new goal=15
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

We got off to a slow start this second year. Our new coordinator came on board in August. Due to scheduling difficulties and personal issues the new coordinator was not able to give the Project the time needed and, subsequently, we began a search for a new coordinator in November. We had also hoped to train more visitors in the fall. The scope of our services has also changed due to several visitors leaving the program for various personal reasons.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

We have made some progress this past semester in the training of new volunteers. While the new volunteers have not yet been assigned nursing homes we have trained six new individuals. An additional four individuals have expressed interest in the next training program. Our next training is scheduled for March. Many of the volunteers from our first cohort continue to serve several nursing homes. Presently, there are seven active volunteers visiting fourteen to eighteen residents regularly. This is down from last year. Our goal is to train another 20 volunteers and to increase service to another four more nursing home by the end of July 2018.

As mentioned earlier we have had changes in organizational staffing and leadership since last June. Our first coordinator resigned due to personal reasons. We have also had staff changes in our main office. Fundraising has not been what we had hoped due to reduced staff and lower commitments from the County and other sources. Nonetheless, we decided to move forward with the Project and do as well as we could. We hired a new coordinator, in August 2017 but she could not put in the hours needed for the position and resigned in November 2017. Our efforts to maintain the program and our relationships with the four nursing homes we serve has been sustained by the director and other Project Committee members.

In December 2017 we hired a new coordinator who is committed to recruiting new volunteers, organizing training programs, maintaining relations with the nursing homes we presently serve and bringing on board four new nursing homes. He is visiting the nursing where we are deployed, assessing their needs and the effectiveness of our program to date. He is also committed to fundraising.
### Council Mid-year Report

**Organization's Legal Name**  
Washington Youth Foundation Inc.

**Submission Title**  
Washington Youth Foundation

**Requested FY18 Award**  
50000

**Final FY18 Award**  
50000

**Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.**

In 100 words or less.

The Scope of services have not changed since the original application.

**Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.**

**Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.**

**Response (up to 500 words):**

1. Due to community grant, we can progress to teach students more stable than last year.
2. Outputs and Outcomes during From august to December: 101 Students who took after school and weekend school academic tutoring program.
3. 14 teachers 30 classes.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services has not changed since submission.

However, funding to realize the WCC Healing Quarters capital improvements remains a critical need. The organization's ability to provide and increase its service delivery to residents of Montgomery County is severely limited by inadequate space and facilities to train service dogs and deliver MBTR therapy to wounded Veterans suffering from PTS and TBI.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

WCC specifically requested support to assist in the construction and renovation of the organization's Healing Quarters, which resides on property owned by the State of MD. By expanding critically-needed facility space to deliver its programs and services, WCC's intended outputs include: providing 1,000
wounded Veterans with MBTR therapy and placing 14 highly trained assistance dogs with Veterans. To date, WCC has served 576 wounded Veterans through its therapy program and placed 16 assistance dogs.

One of WCC's outcomes includes increasing access for MBTR therapy for Veterans suffering from PTS and TBI. We plan to measure the organization's success by the number of WCC sites in operation. WCC is currently in final negotiations to begin providing its services at a community-based center for Veterans in Silver Springs, MD, and a site in Denver, CO. Another intended outcome is increased quality of life for Veterans suffering from PTS and TBI, which WCC plans to measure through self reporting tools on anxiety, stress levels, pain, and satisfaction with interpersonal relationships. We hope to achieve improvements in overall PTS symptoms in 60% of program participants. Data collected thus far indicates symptom reduction in 80% of participants.

With capital improvement support from Montgomery County, WCC has also been able to realize the following improvements to the Shaeffer Road property.

1) WCC secured a project management company, JFW, Inc., to guide the engineering, design and construction of remaining facilities to be built or renovated. WCC also secured general contractor, James G. Davis Construction, specifically for work associated with the Headquarters Barn, Fire Suppression building and required infrastructure. Since June, JWF and Davis have been working collaboratively with architect HGA, Inc. to bring the Headquarters Barn plans to 100% design completion. WCC expects to submit completed plans to MD DNR for approval and Montgomery County for permitting in early 2018.

2) In preparation for construction of a Fire Suppression Building, WCC purchased a 20,000-gallon fire suppression holding tank in June. A second tank will be required for the building. WCC expects to procure that equipment in the Spring of 2018.

3) ECS Mid-Atlantic geo-technical contractor performed test borings to guide the storm water management plan. Test results indicated that the infiltration rates on the property were poor, and dictated changes to the site plan to ensure proper drainage and filtration. WCC has since received approval from the County on the site storm water management plan.

4) With DNR approval, in August WCC demolished two unsalvageable structures located on the property. A considerable amount of concrete was also removed to accommodate the new Fire Suppression building.

5) In November, WCC received final approval from the County on site plans for Phase II construction. Phase II includes parking, the Puppy Enrichment Center, the Training Center, Fire Suppression Building, and the Headquarters Barn.
6) In December, WCC submitted a well permit for approval. While a well currently exists on the property, a second well is required to support the additional facilities slated for construction at the property.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Funds from this grant are being used to support the adult medical daycare services to help seniors maintain their memory and also their strength and balance. The scope of these services are the same as presented in the original application.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

During the first six months of FY18 we have provided adult medical daycare to 96 individuals.

Each weekday we have been offering a 45-minute age and physically appropriate fitness programs totaling 151 classes to date. Only Forty-three of our clients are currently able to actively participate in the exercise program. Of those active clients, thirty-seven clients (86%) have maintained their strength and balance and not experienced fall during the past six months.

Daily brain fitness classes have been held since the beginning of the fiscal year totaling 151 classes to date. A brain fitness class is identified as one that includes the following elements: connect new information to past knowledge or experiences, facilitates a much verbal response as possible, and engages senses (hearing, seeing, touching, tasting, smelling). Studies have shown that these classes are beneficial to maintaining current functioning levels including the ability to eat, walk, and remove clothing.

Our nursing staff is required to assess each client’s ability to perform a variety of daily functions at least every four months. Based on State mandated assessments, sixty-seven active clients (70%) this year have maintained their current functioning level.

There was a delay in implementing the weekly healthy eating program due to some staffing changes. To date only two classes have been held. Staff has reviewed their calendar for the remaining of the year and will ensure to increase the classes which shall be held one to two times each week. As a result of the delay in implementation no nutrition quiz has been administered in the first half of the year.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of services is still the same. However, since we didn't receive total amount requested, we had to modify our line items to accommodate the monetary difference. We asked for $180,000 and received $145,000.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
Response (up to 500 words):

Our progress is directly in line with our stated outputs and outcomes.

1. Specifically, our output description says that at least 2,350 low income students in elementary and middle schools will be provided a non-perishable food sack to take home each Friday for weekend consumption for the 42 weeks during the school year. As of December 31, 2017 we were providing 1,920 weekend meals to needy students in elementary and middle school. These numbers were based upon grant award of $180,000. Although our grant award was $145,000 we still anticipate meeting the 2,350 goal. Here's how this will be accomplished: From January through June of 2018, we are opening up at least 3 more schools, with approximately 50 students needing the weekend meals. Additionally, on an average, we are experiencing a growth of at least 2 additional students per month in at least 18 of the 36 schools we provide the weekend meals to. Strategic leveraging of funding and resources such as volunteerism (utilizing them to raise food at food drives) will allow us to reach this goal during the grant year. We would also like to point out that some of the reason students served fluctuates is because a) new school staff became the administrators and they are still getting acclimated to the process and b) several of the schools are now incorporating a "justification of need" clause on the permission slips sent home to parents. Since parents are required to verify lack of weekend food, less participants were eligible, which allows us to broaden our base into more schools.

2. Our outcome descriptions state:

1. Continuing to fight child hunger by sustaining and expanding from 2,150 students to at least 200 additional students receiving the healthy food for weekend consumption during FY18.: We will continue to track and monitor growth by sending bi-weekly inquiries to school personnel regarding participant increase. We will also continue to incrementally stimulate growth of the program by working with the schools to ensure additional needy students are being invited to the program on a weekly or bi-weekly basis.

2. Students experience a self-assured start to the school week: Many teachers and parents, through our evaluations and frequent communication continue to state that the children return to school on Monday alert, with a good attitude, and confidence. They also were able to get weekend homework assignments done that were sometimes not done prior to them receiving the weekend meals.

3. The barrier to poor performance is removed due to receipt and consumption of the weekend meals: In addition to the factors listed above, teachers report that since the students are no longer listless or lethargic on Monday they have the energy to interact in social and educational skill activities with their peers, which includes recess and overall increased sustained focus and alertness.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

The scope of work has not changed since the original application. We are moving forward as scheduled.

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Below are the stated outputs and outcomes submitted in our FY18 application:

Output
#1 - Install 1 elevator for those with mobility issue
Measurement for Output
#1 - ADA Compliance
Target for Output
#1 - 1400
Prior Year Actual for Output
#1 - 0

Output
#2 - Widen 25 doors to accommodate wheelchairs
Measurement for Output
#2 - ADA Compliance
Target for Output
#2 - 14000
Prior Year Actual for Output
#2 - 13000

Output
#3 - Update 4 locker rooms
Measurement for Output
#3 - ADA Compliance
Target for Output
#3 - 14000
Prior Year Actual for Output
#3 - 13000

Outcome
#1 - Senior and individuals with disabilities gain greater access to facility
Measurement for Outcome
#1 - Monthly Membership Reports
Target for Outcome
#1 - 1500
Prior Year Actual for Outcome
#1 - 1400

Outcome
#2 - Quality of care and services improved for seniors and individuals with disabilities
Measurement for Outcome
#2 - Surveys related to programs to special populations
Target for Outcome
#2 - 1500
Prior Year Actual for Outcome
Outcome

#3 - Quality of care and services improved for participants that are individuals with disabilities

Measurement for Outcome

#3 - Surveys related to programs to special populations

Target for Outcome

#3 - 15000

Prior Year Actual for Outcome

#3 - 14000

The start of construction has been pushed back roughly one month. This is primarily due to two aspects of the Civil Permitting process. First, Washington Suburban Sanitation Commission (WSSC) incorrectly drafted the deed and easement required for the new fire service to the building. Due to internal issues at WSSC, this took a few weeks to address before it was reissued for The Y’s signature. This was a required step in the linear permit review process and thus delayed the successor permitting tasks. Second, the end of the year holidays impacted the review process more than was anticipated. Since these delays, the permitting process has gone smoothly and as forecast.
Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

Despite only receiving partial funding for the FY18 Inspiring Futures Program, the scope of services has remained the same. The program continues to provide case management and training for 14 young men of color mentor/mentee matches.

Fewer group activities are taking place as a result of the partial funding.

Please tell us the progress you’ve made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.

Response (up to 500 words):

Inspiring Futures invited fourteen (14) youth to participate in year two of the program. Ten youth participated in the program in FY17. All participants have received case management and match support from Inspiring Futures staff.

- Staff provided orientation and training to all mentors participating in the program.
- Five (5) Inspiring Futures youth were awarded scholarships to attend summer camp; 3 of the 5 went to
YMCA Camp Lets for a one week of overnight camp and the two other youth went to a one week of day camp with YMCA Horizons Outdoor Adventure program.

5 of 14 attended the annual Youth Links kick-off BBQ at the Silver Spring YMCA; mentors and mentees swam, played games, ate lunch and got to know each other.

12 of 14 youth and their families and mentors attended the Youth Link’s annual holiday party in December. The two other youth were unable to attend due to circumstance out of their control – lack of transportation and group home regulations respectively. Eleven local organizations (including several new partners) donated a total of 250 gifts, which were distributed to 125 mentees and siblings including youth participating in Inspiring Futures. The children were entertained with one balloon clown, arts and crafts activities, and the book give away. YMCA YFS awarded Ike Leggett our inaugural Inspiring Futures Award. The event was held at Richard Montgomery High School free of charge for the second year in a row thanks to our partnership with MCPS.

Staff updated its pre and post survey to better capture the indicated outcomes:
1. Outcome Description: Increase positive identity
2. Outcome Description: Increase sense of ethnic and cultural pride
3. Outcome Description: Increase level of self-advocacy
It is expected that at least eight (8) youth will achieve these outcomes and the program will measure the outcomes by Pre- and Post- test survey.
Outcomes will be reported in the final annual report.

On January 19, 2018 – Staff held an event specifically for youth and mentors participating in the Inspiring Futures Program. The evening included group discussion about identity and stereotypes, indoor rock climbing and dinner. Seven youth and their mentors attended. The youth and the mentors separated into two groups to consider words that describe them and stereotypes someone has had about them. Youth commented on stereotype such as: “I have been called the ‘N’ word”, “Go jump the border”, and “This girl call me ‘chocolate’”.
All the youth and mentors were engaged and shared comfortably about their experiences on this topic and the group began to think about ways mentors could help deal with stereotypes. After the group discussion, youth and mentors moved on to the indoor wall climbing activity. One youth, who had never climb before and who was afraid of heights, was able to climb half the wall with lots of support and encouragement of his mentor and the group.
Organization's Legal Name  
Young Men's Christian Association of Metropolitan Washington

Submission Title  
YMCA-YFS-FY18 CC-1-CAQT

Requested FY18 Award  
76000

Final FY18 Award  
71000

Has the scope of services to be provided changed since the original application? Please explain any differences. This could be due to receipt of partial funding or differences in items included in the final contract.

In 100 words or less.

No

Please tell us the progress you've made in achieving each of the stated outputs and outcomes included in your FY18 application. Include specific numbers for outputs and outcomes to support your narrative.

Explain any extenuating circumstances that may have prevented your organization from making progress toward your goals. The circumstances could include, but are not limited to: receipt of partial funds, delays in contract execution, changes in organization leadership/staff, etc. Be as specific as possible.
YMCA Carroll Ave/Quebec Terrace (CAQT) Community Center serves 55 youth from 1-8th grade and operates with 12 high school volunteers Mon--Thurs, 3:45--6:00.

Improved academic performance:
The first 30 minutes of daily program is devoted to homework completion and youth do not participate in other activities until homework is completed and checked. Homework is checked daily by the group leader and volunteers. Writing, reading and listening skills are emphasized through staff direction. Upon completion of homework assignments the youth participate in reading out loud and journal-writing. Youth answer the question of the day or write a short sentence describing the book they are reading. An extra hour after program is provided for those students needing one-on-one tutoring, project completion and or lesson clarification.

Increased Knowledge of General Health Practices:
Pre test completed for all participants assessing fitness levels. Students have 30 minutes of outdoor play when weather permits, and indoor stretching and resistance. Students create obstacle courses and relay races and learn new games from around the world. Workshops on hygiene and dental health are part of the curriculum. Healthy snack preparation and nutrition breakdown for common snacks are elements of the program.

Middle School Outcomes
Improved Communications Skills:
Through weekly character development activities (spotlighting historical figures, current events discussions, word analysis) youth practice getting along with others and cooperating to achieve goals; group dynamics and friendship building was a part of completing tasks in small groups. Individuals had the chance to share opinions and personal stories and listen respectfully, and with engagement to others.

Engagement in Learning
Youth led the decision making in projects in arts and craft, STEM learning activities and fitness activities. They brainstormed the activities and created a list of materials and timelines. They took ownership of results and gave feedback in their groups. This gave them a sense of leadership and belonging. Two big events were the Christmas talent show and the haunted house. Youth led in planning, creating backdrops and scenery, inviting the community and performing.