

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for July 13, 2015

Member and affiliation	Present		Others in attendance and affiliation
	Yes	No	
Keith Brooks, public-at-large	X		Mark Freedman, Solid Waste Advisory Committee; Jeremy Criss, Agricultural Services Manager, Montgomery County; Caroline Taylor, Executive Director, Montgomery Countryside Alliance; Jackie DeCarlo and Cheryl Kollin, Community Food Rescue; Jessica Weiss, growingSOUL; and Susan Wexler, Mont. Co. Food Council
Mary Campbell, public-at-large	X		
Dan Dozier, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Korkud Egrican, public-at-large		x	
Nate Engle, academic/scientific		x	
Beth Forbes, public-at-large		x	
Andy Garfinkel, business		x	
Philip Kibak, public-at-large		x	
Paul Hlavinka, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Christopher Meaney, academic/scientific	X		
Annette Rosenblum, academic/scientific	X		
Scott Roser, business		x	
Linda Silversmith, public-at-large	X		
Phil Wagner, business	X		
Paul Billingsley, WSSC		x	
Pam Parker, DEP	X		
Mark Symborski, MNCPPC	X		

Major Points

7:05 PM Convening of Meeting	As co-chair, Paul convened the meeting.
7:06 PM Committee Business	The June minutes were reviewed, typos fixed, names corrected. Minutes approved as corrected. Paul discussed the updates to the agenda and introduced guests.
7:09 PM Composting Future in Montgomery County and potential WQ Impacts – Jeremy Criss (Agricultural Services Manager, Montgomery County), Caroline Taylor (Executive Director, Montgomery	Jackie DeCarlo, the Executive Director of Manna Food Network, spoke first. Manna Food works with other groups interested in reducing food waste, including groups who donate food. Montgomery County Food Council works to sustain local food network, focusing on best ways to reduce hunger through reduced food waste. Triaged safe food gets to people, if not gets to animals and if not gets to earth. It's not just composting it's, "food recovery". Jackie shared, "The sad and troubling fact is that 78-80,000 neighbors aren't always sure where next meal will come from, while 246,000 tons of food are wasted per year in Montgomery County." Caroline Taylor, the Executive Director, Montgomery

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for July 13, 2015

<p>Countryside Alliance), Jackie DeCarlo and Cheryl Kollin (Community Food Rescue), Jessica Weiss (growingSOUL), and Susan Wexler (Mont. Co. Food Council).</p>	<p>Countryside Alliance: Since 2001 the Montgomery Countryside Alliance has defended and protected the agriculture reserve consisting of 93- 100k acres. Producers say some challenges they face are access to farm acreage, and poor soil. Farmers sought soil improvement. Some farmers are interested in composting. Farmers are interested in composting since it could improve their soil and provide potential financial benefits, however are concerned with regulation. An additional concern is how the practice impacts organic farms. Since many farms are certified organic, certification causes some additional difficulty for use of compost. Three types of composting were discussed. 1) Passive pile which is not an option for organic farms, 2) windrow and aerated piles which are approved for use by cert organic farms, 3) are anaerobic composting which are not an option with the new composting regulations. Windrow aerobic composting, if done properly, can be done with little odor while producing substantial amounts of compost. Farmers feel they are being good stewards of the land, and are interested in this opportunity as MD catches up to meet other states regarding use and production of compost.</p> <p>Jeremy Criss, Agricultural Services Manager, Montgomery County: Partners with SCD and UMD extension. Encouraged that we attend the Upcoming Montgomery County Farm Tour and Harvest Sale. Food composting is new territory. Need new definition for new standards just released by MDE. MDE small scale less than 5,000SF with leafy food stocks is preferred. Many farms are currently involved with composting. Compost is used as soil amendment. Food compost is taking time to take hold. Every property must have WQ plan and nutrient management plan. BMPs are required and if product is sold it needs to be registered with MD Ag. and requires biological tests. Carbon nitrogen moisture content aerobic anaerobic. If not sold and less than 5,000SF there is very little oversight. There is more regulation with feed stocks like meat, fish, etc. A larger composting site would need to be in a commercial zone within the ag reserve. Veterans composting in Cecil County is a good example in the region.</p> <p>Cheryl Kollin, Community Food Rescue: Shared a prepared briefing document.</p>
--	--

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for July 13, 2015

<p>8:25 PM</p> <p>Confirm Next Meeting and Future Meetings</p>	<p>Filling WQAG vacancies: The county is (finally) getting ready to advertise advisory committee vacancies; Pam will circulate the wording to WQAG members, who can suggest changes.</p> <p>Upcoming Meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tuesday, September 15 – minutes volunteer Keith<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Topics: Jennifer St. John. Update on Biological Condition Gradient (BCG), working with MDE and on Special Protection Areas (SPA). The SPA could include an overview of the program, the changes to the SPA law that affects the BMP monitoring, and an update on Ten Mile Creek.• Monday, October 12 – minutes volunteer TBD<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Topic: Craig Carson. Restoration program update. That might be a good time to also discuss the supplement to the FY14 MS4 Annual report that summarizes the County’s restoration efforts over the course of the Permit cycle.• Nov. minutes Christopher Meaney• Nov salt use COG meeting? and right to send letters (Mark Hanson)• Mark mentioned WSSC is looking into starting a group to study chlorides. <p>Additional Proposed Topics for Future Meetings (+see July 2015 list)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• DEP on water plans – Pam will check on this and see if Tom or Craig Carson is available for July on stream restoration priorities and integration with master plans.• Biological Condition Gradient – Pam will ask whether a newly hired individual, Jenny St. John who replaced retiree Keith Van Ness can be ready by September.• If Paul can identify a speaker, community gardening could be the July topic. Scott needs more time before talking about carbon sequestration.• Pam or Jenny could talk about Special Protection Areas.
--	---

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for July 13, 2015

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • By September it might be possible to do an update on MS-4 permit reports re watershed management. Pam is working on a supplement to watershed reports for mid-July that might a September topic too. • Two years ago legislation was passed regarding a monitoring fund and new implementation regulations for Special Protection Areas (SPAs)– an update on this might be a September topic.
<p style="text-align: center;">833 PM</p> <p>Meeting Adjourned</p>	

APPENDIX A

Prior topics from the June minutes still under discussion for future meetings:

Proposed Topics for Future Meetings

- MS4 permit update (when appropriate sometime in 2015/2016)
- Expert panel on water quality benefits on stream restorations and report on County plans (Tom Schueler and/or Pam Parker)
- WSSC work under the consent decree in environmentally sensitive areas
- Carbon sequestration (Scott)
- Community gardening (Paul H.)
- E&S plan transparency (Dan, hold for now)
- New Smart Growth stormwater laws allowing nutrient trading – spring public review period
- Fracking (Mark)
- Special Protection Areas
- Biological Condition Gradient (Mark, TBD)
- Mont. Co. Sustainability Committee report and water related issues (TBD)
- WSSC discharges from Potomac Filtration Plant and the ensuing litigation (TBD)
- Limitations on advisory letters (BAC Coordinator)
- Water Quality Protection Charge Credits and Exemption, Bill 2-15

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for September 15, 2015

Member and affiliation	Present		Others in attendance and affiliation
	Yes	No	
Keith Brooks, public-at-large	X		Jenny St. John, DEP
Mary Campbell, public-at-large	X		
Dan Dozier, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Nate Engle, academic/scientific	X		
Beth Forbes, public-at-large	X		
Philip Kibak, public-at-large	X		
Paul Hlavinka, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Christopher Meaney, academic/scientific		X	
Annette Rosenblum, academic/scientific	X		
Scott Roser, business	X		
Andy Garfinkel, business	X		
Linda Silversmith, public-at-large	X		
Korkud Egrican, public-at-large		X	
Phil Wagner, business		X	
Patrick Walsh, environmental		X	
Paul Billingsley, WSSC	X		
Pam Parker, DEP		X	
Mark Symborski, MNCPPC		X	
Agenda Item	Major Points		
Meeting convened, 7:05 pm	Welcome and introductions, agenda approved. July minutes to be approved at October meeting.		
Committee Business	<p>The WQAG has five vacancies. On 8/19/15, five vacancies were re-advertized with a deadline for application of 9/9/15. (Release ID- 15-166) Keith Brooks and Linda Silversmith to interview when candidates are selected. The County Executive and the Council must approve the recommended candidates.</p> <p>The next meeting of the WQAG will be October 12.</p> <p>Volunteers to prepare minutes -- October – Beth Forbes; November – Chris Meaney</p>		
Special Protection Areas(SPA) Biological Condition Gradient (BCG) Jenny St. John, Senior Water Quality	<p>The Special Protection Areas (SPA) Program was implemented in 1994. SPA is “a geographic area where: existing water resources, or other environmental features directly relating to those water resources are of high quality or unusually sensitive; and proposed land uses would threaten the quality or preservation of those resources or features in the absence of special water quality protection measures which are closely coordinated with appropriate land use controls. There are now 5 SPAs: (1) Piney, (2) Clarksburg, (3) Paint Branch, (4) Upper Rock Creek and (5) Ten Mile Creek. Percentages of</p>		

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for September 15, 2015

<p>Specialist, Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection</p>	<p>impervious caps in each SPA (8-10%).</p> <p>Changes to County Code Chapter 19 in March 2013 -- Transfer of responsibility for BMP monitoring from developers to DEP; Regulation being finalized to establish fee that developers pay to cover monitoring costs; Goal of fee is to be fair and simple. Rate is based on past construction monitoring; Environmental site design (ESD) to maximum extent practicable. The monitoring fee is based on disturbances. Fee of \$.06/square foot for disturbed land; Scott Roser asked whether fee applied to single family homes or additions to existing development? Fee applies to 5,000 square foot or larger developments. Andy Garfinkel asked whether any major objections during comment period? Developers wanted a cap on the fee. Beth Forbes asked about status of developments under construction? Two scenarios: (1) developments not started (2) developments with amendments to water quality plan. Developer has option of performing its own monitoring current developments. Nate Engle asked what happens after all land is developed? Monitoring fee will end, but in first 2-3 years more money coming in to county; 5-10 years post-construction monitoring will be funded from fees from first years; Paul Hlavinka asked what have been results of monitoring? Streams impacted by development crash, then recovery, but never fully recover to natural state; Clarksburg area has seen improvement after initial development; Dan Dozier asked about ways to measure? Difficult to measure, but effectiveness of BMP (best management practices) analyzed in SPA annual reports, Section 2. ESD practices. Direct correlation between conductivity and imperviousness.</p> <p>Biological Condition Gradient (BCG)</p> <p>Final report “Calibration of the Biological Condition Gradient (BCG) for Fish and Benthic Macroinvertebrate Assemblages in the Northern Piedmont region of Maryland” published last year, August 29, 2014</p> <p>Streams selected by watershed studies on a cycle; List of priorities – impairment scores, land ownership, top priorities; Watershed ecological responses to stress; BCG compared with Index of Biological Integrity (IBI) BCG results are very similar to IBI; BCG appears to better characterize streams with larger drainage areas; Tier 1 (best) – Tier 6 (worst); Each tier has a description; Development impacts shown graphically; Impacted development sites don’t recover as do non-developed sites;</p>
<p>Letters to Solid Waste Advisory Group (SWAG) and Montgomery County Planning</p>	<p>Discussion of whether the WQAG is limited in sending letters or making recommendations to the County Executive and the County Council. To be discussed at November meeting with Marc Hansen, Office of County Attorney.</p>
<p>Microcystin update</p>	<p>August 13, 2015 Press Release from Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission asking residents to use caution when recreating on or near Lake Needwood and Lake Frank located within Rock Creek Regional</p>

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for September 15, 2015

	Park. Testing has shown there are elevated levels of microcystin, a toxic substance produced by some species of blue-green algae (cyanobacteria), in both lakes. Microcystin, a hepatotoxin, can cause harm to the liver of humans and pets if ingested.
Items for Future Meetings	<p>Monday, October 12 -- Craig Carson, DEP, Watershed Restoration Program and Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Implementation</p> <p>Monday November 9 -- Chloride/salt usage COG/WSSC; Marc Hansen and letter writing/recommendations by WQAG</p> <p>December or January – Court of Appeals oral argument on MS4 on November 5. Presentations by CBF and MDE? On Friday, November 13, Maryland State Bar Association has conference on MS4 appeal, 10 AM.</p>
Topics of Interest	<p>Update on coordination of Montgomery County SPAs with state of Maryland</p> <p>WSSC sewer breaks (over 1 million gallons) at Lake Hallowell in Olney. July 29, August 12; WSSC replacing pipes; Bacteria levels down and duckweed growing in lake;</p>
Meeting adjourned 9:00 pm	

APPENDIX A

Proposed Topics for Future Meetings

- Expert panel on water quality benefits on stream restorations and report on County plans (Tom Schueler and/or Pam Parker)
- WSSC work under the consent decree in environmentally sensitive areas
- Carbon sequestration (Scott)
- Community gardening (Paul H.)
- E&S plan transparency (Dan, hold for now)
- New Smart Growth stormwater laws allowing nutrient trading – spring public review period
- Fracking (Mark)
- Mont. Co. Sustainability Committee report and water related issues (TBD)
- WSSC discharges from Potomac Filtration Plant and the ensuing litigation (TBD)
- Limitations on advisory letters (BAC Coordinator)
- Water Quality Protection Charge Credits and Exemption, Bill 2-15

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for November 9, 2015

Member and affiliation	Present		Others in attendance and affiliation
	Yes	No	
Keith Brooks, public-at-large	X		Steve Nelson, WSSC
Mary Campbell, public-at-large	X		Lana Sindler, Metropolitan Washington
Dan Dozier, co-chair, public-at-large	X		Council of Governments
Nate Engle, academic/scientific	X		
Beth Forbes, public-at-large	X		
Philip Kibak, public-at-large	X		
Paul Hlavinka, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Christopher Meaney, academic/scientific		X	
Annette Rosenblum, academic/scientific	X		
Scott Roser, business	X		
Andy Garfinkel, business	X		
Linda Silversmith, public-at-large	X		
Korkud Egrikan, public-at-large	X		
Phil Wagner, business	X		
vacant			
Paul Billingsley, WSSC	X		
Pam Parker, DEP	X		
Mark Symborski, MNCPPC	X		
Agenda Item	Major Points		
Meeting convened, 7:05 pm	Welcome and introductions. The meeting agenda was approved, as was the September meeting's minutes as edited.		
Committee Business	Member vacancies: On November 10, Keith Brooks and Linda Silversmith are scheduled to interview 4 candidates for 3 vacancies. The County Executive must approve and the Council must confirm any recommended candidates.		
Chlorides	Steve Nelson provided information about the WSSC's 25 years of chloride sampling data. Samples are taken both at the intake and from the finished water at the Patuxent and Potomac water treatment plants. Sampling occurs on a weekly basis. In 1990, the average chloride concentration was 10 mg/l at the reservoir near the Patuxent plant; now 30 mg/l is the average. The change in the same period at the Potomac plant is 20 mg/l (1990) to 40 mg/l (2015). Nelson noted that in treating the raw water to meet drinking water standards		

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for November 9, 2015

	<p>that chloride concentrations increase in the process. Chlorides in drinking water are not regulated; there is a non-enforceable standard of 250 mg/l. This standard is sometimes exceeded in the winter months. Recently, monitoring of the concentration of chlorides in various locations of the Patuxent reservoirs has begun. The USGS is planning on organizing a road salt workshop in 2016.</p> <p>Lana Sindler discussed the dichotomy of safety on winter roads and the environmental costs of using chlorides. Chlorides impact wildlife at concentrations over 230 mg/l; 84% of U.S. streams have seen an increase in chloride concentrations. A recent report, Accotink Creek Stressors on Macroinvertebrates (9/29/15), may be the precursor to a chloride TMDL in this region. Brine is better than road salt in that less can be used, easier to pretreat road surfaces and is effective at lower temperatures. Adding manganese to the brine further increases its effectiveness in cold weather. Other treatments being studied: beet brine, calcium magnesium acetate. These alternative treatments are most likely to be tried at the end of winter when salt costs are higher. Sand/salt mixtures are used in areas with different climates. Training of contractors applying the treatment is important; smart application is the key. A TMDL might require more efficient applications.</p>
<p>Water Quality Protection Fund</p>	<p>The circuit court recently found that the Water Quality Protection Charge (WQPC) was not valid as a tax authorized under the Environment Article of Maryland Code. A property owner who operated and maintained a stormwater management facility argued that he shouldn't be assessed a fee for a service he was already providing.</p> <p>In the past, the County had offered a 25% reduction in fees for owners after significant paperwork was submitted to support the request. Owners felt the paperwork was onerous for an insignificant reduction in the assessment.</p> <p>Legislation has been proposed to correct the potential defect in the WQPC law (MCC 19-35) by designating the WQPC as an excise tax authorized under the County's general taxing authority to levy excise taxes, and allow DEP to continue to fund important water quality work. The members voted unanimously to send an advisory letter to the Council in support of the tax.</p>
<p>Updates on earlier discussion topics</p>	<p>Maryland Court of Appeals schedule to hear arguments on the MS4 permit today.</p> <p>Pam has updated the WQAG website to clarify the current ability of the group to provide advice.</p>
<p>Meeting adjourned 8:40 pm</p>	<p>The next meeting is scheduled for December 14.</p>

Action Items:

- Pam to finalize September minutes; send electronic letterhead to Dan
- Nate to take December minutes
- Dan to finalize and send letter regarding Water Quality Protection Charge

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for November 9, 2015

Proposed Topics for Future Meetings

- Expert panel on water quality benefits on stream restorations and report on County plans (Tom Schueler and/or Pam Parker)
- WSSC work under the consent decree in environmentally sensitive areas
- Carbon sequestration (Scott)
- Community gardening (Paul H.)
- E&S plan transparency (Dan, hold for now)
- New Smart Growth stormwater laws allowing nutrient trading
- Fracking (Mark)
- MS4 Permit Status
- WSSC discharges from Potomac Filtration Plant and the ensuing litigation (TBD)
- *Drinking water testing (Annette)*
- *WSSC wastewater treatment plan technology update*
- *TMDL trading*
- *Quantitative approaches to stormwater management (Laura Miller)*

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for December 14, 2015

Member and affiliation	Present		Others in attendance and affiliation
	Yes	No	
Keith Brooks, public-at-large		X	
Mary Campbell, public-at-large	X		
Dan Dozier, public-at-large	X		
Korkud Egrican, public-at-large		X	
Nate Engle, academic/scientific	X		
Beth Forbes, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Andy Garfinkel, business	X		
Philip Kibak, public-at-large	X		
Paul Hlavinka, co-chair, public-at-large	X		
Christopher Meaney, academic/scientific		X	
Annette Rosenblum, academic/scientific	X		
Scott Roser, business		X	
Linda Silversmith, public-at-large	X		
Phil Wagner, business	X		
Paul Billingsley, WSSC	X		
Pam Parker, DEP	X		
Mark Symborski, MNCPPC	X		
Agenda Item	Major Points		
7:05 PM Meeting Convened by Paul Hlavinka	Welcome. November minutes were approved, pending discussed edits. Agenda for meeting was also approved.		
7:09 PM Committee Business	Dan confirmed for taking January meeting minutes. Andy offered to take February minutes.		
7:10 PM Presentation: Katherine Nelson, Planner Coordinator, Montgomery County Planning Department	<p>Dan introduced Katherine. She presented on improvements for urban stream systems in relation to master planning processes within the county. She presented several case studies to illustrate planning for restoration and naturalization, including Willett Branch and Lyttonsville/Fenwick Branch. The basic idea is that many of the County’s streams have been highly engineered, and are in various states of disrepair. Through the master plans, there is potential for providing accessible, walkable, and naturalized stream corridors that also foster ecological improvements. Evans Parkway park is an example of a stream that has been “naturalized” through removing concrete-lined channels. Katherine also presented an example from outside the county – Little Sugar Creek Greenway and Daylight Project in Charlotte, NC.</p> <p>As communities navigate the master planning process, many questions are raised, including whether naturalization actually improves water quality. Specifically, Katherine expressed interest in knowing from the WQAG: 1) can naturalization efforts yield measurable results in water quality; 2) will</p>		

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
 Meeting Minutes for December 14, 2015

	<p>redevelopment under modern stormwater management standards provide sufficient water quality remediation, without any stream naturalization efforts; and 3) are stream buffers relevant to redevelopment, especially in intensely developed areas?</p> <p>Mark suggested also looking at whether naturalization leads to improved habitat and other social/community benefits. Katherine also raised the point that a specific developer has contested the benefits of naturalization through a recently circulated report, which indicates that it can increase sedimentation, amongst others deleterious impacts. Paul noted that naturalization also provides public support as an added benefit, and Dan made the point that new development in old urban areas can really improve water quality and bridge the usual divide between developers and environmentalists (helping to align the interests of the public with environmental interests). Phil W. raised the concern that unless these ideas (i.e., naturalization) are put into guidelines and have a value assigned to them, it is difficult for developers to implement them, because they will not get credit for their efforts. MDE needs to recognize these as a value in their manual, otherwise, it is not counted – providing a disincentive for developers to do pursue naturalization. Dan noted that there are efforts to allow for amenity credits to apply to naturalization, and buffers provided by developers can also be swapped for density increases.</p> <p>The group discussed the role that WQAG can do in this process moving forward. Ideas included evaluating the benefits/costs of moving naturalization efforts further upstream (than where it is currently occurring – downstream) through a sub-committee to develop a workplan for analyzing these issues.</p> <p>DEP will be asked to comment on both master plans that were discussed tonight over next few months, so the Group would need to advise the Executive on the matter very soon. The main message we might consider conveying is that more naturalized is better than what is currently occurring and what has traditionally occurred in the past.</p> <p>Katherine will share the two reports discussed during her presentation; the report from the developer countering the benefits of naturalization, and the DEP report that offers the benefits arguments.</p> <p>The ad-hoc sub-committee formed during the meeting to research this topic further and help present ideas for how the WQAG could get involved, will includes: Phil Wagner, Dan Dozier, and Linda Silversmith.</p>
<p>7:55 PM Updates & Announcements</p>	<p><i>Report on County Council vote on Executive Leggett's proposal to re-name the Water Quality Protection Fund</i></p> <p>Dan indicated that the Council changed the name of the fund and passed the legislation, and that the fund will now be receiving revenue from the tax. Pam clarified that the Council revised the regulating authority to</p>

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for December 14, 2015

	<p>administer the charge, but did not change the fund's name.</p> <p><i>Update about the Maryland Court of Appeal hearing on the MS4 permit</i> Pam noted that there is not an update yet, but we should expect something in 2016.</p> <p><i>Status of Bill 37-15 (advocacy bill)</i> Dan reviewed the email from Keith reporting on the legislation and how it relates to the WQAG. If the legislation passes, we will have to decide as a Group whether to request this authority to draft letters to entities other than the Executive and Council. Dan also clarified that even those Groups/Boards that already have this authority will still need to submit a request to maintain this authority. The Group expressed its appreciation to Keith for his efforts on this subject.</p> <p><i>Vacancies</i> Linda reported that we had four applications and one withdrew. The remaining three seem to be very capable and well-qualified candidates. We are awaiting the formal nomination for these three. It will likely be January or February when they can attend their first WQAG meeting.</p> <p><i>Appreciation for members served</i> Paul recognized Beth and Scott for their service – a certificate from Executive Leggett.</p>
<p>8:15 PM Confirm Next Meeting</p>	<p>Upcoming Meetings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monday, January 11, 2016 – minutes volunteer is Dan Dozier. The main topic will be “trees”. Sub- topics include: 1) update from the new sub-committee on naturalization; 2) presentation from the Forest Advisory Committee (to hear what they are working on and areas where we can work together); and 3) update from Katherine Nelson on trees and related legislation in the county. • Future meeting: Beth will request the representative of Fairfax County to report on the expert panel on water quality benefits on stream restoration.
<p>8:24 Meeting adjourned</p>	<p>The next meeting is scheduled for January 11.</p>

Action Items (in addition to members looking into items for future meetings):

- Pam will amend November meeting minutes to reflect revision needed on pg. 2.
- The sub-committee to further research stream naturalization (Phil Wagner, Dan Dozier, and Linda Silversmith) will meet and report on the sub-committee's workplan and goals by the January meeting.
- Pam will ask Laura Miller and one of the Forest Advisory Committee's Chairs to discuss/present on Jan 11.

Montgomery County Water Quality Advisory Group
Meeting Minutes for December 14, 2015

- Mark will reach out to Katherine Nelson to discuss what is happening on trees and related legislation in the County on Jan 11.
- Beth to reach out to Fairfax County representative to join our February meeting.

Proposed Topics for Future Meetings

- Expert panel on water quality benefits on stream restorations and report on County plans (Tom Schueler and/or Pam Parker)
- WSSC work under the consent decree in environmentally sensitive areas
- Carbon sequestration (Scott)
- Community gardening (Paul H.)
- E&S plan transparency (Dan, hold for now)
- New Smart Growth stormwater laws allowing nutrient trading
- Fracking (Mark)
- MDE MS4 Remand
- Mont. Co. Sustainability Group report & water related issues (TBD)
- WSSC discharges from Potomac Filtration Plant and the ensuing litigation (TBD)
- Water Quality Protection Charge Credits & Exemption, Bill 2-15
- Drinking water testing (Annette)
- WSSC wastewater treatment plan technology update
- TMDL trading
- Quantitative approaches to stormwater management (Laura Miller)