MONTGOMERY COUNTY SOLID WASTE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Wednesday, September 6, 2023 – 5:30 – 7:30pm

MEETING NOTES

SWAC MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Carol Jones, Chair	Fred Kranz
Robin Barr, Vice Chair	Heidi Lovett
Adam Diamond, Co-Secretary	Troy Cavell
Amy Maron, Co-Secretary	Mark Symborski (M-NCPPC
Chaz Miller	rep, non-voting)

SWAC MEMBERS ABSENT: Ellen Ryan

DEP STAFF IN ATTENDANCE:

David Frank, RRMD Waste Reduction and Recycling Section Lisa Shine, RRMD Executive Administrative Aide Willie Wainer, Director, RRMD

MEMBERS OF PUBLIC: Kelly Doordan, Keith Crutchfield

SWAC unanimously approved the minutes from July 2023.

<u>Featured Presentation</u>: Update on Pay As You Throw (PAYT)/Save as You Throw (SAYT) Study **Speaker:** Marilu Enciso, RRMD, Senior Planning Specialist

Marilu Enciso is a senior planning specialist who has been with RRMD for 16 years, and served as the DEP staff representative to the Zero Waste Task Force.

She provided an overview of a report provided by a consultant (SERA, led by Lisa Skumatz) under contract to the County, outlining the goals, outcomes, and design details of a variable-rate trash hauling program for county residents. The consultant's report and pilot that is being planned are intended to inform RRMD's decision whether to proceed with such a program, and if so, how to implement it.

Current SW Context:

- County is responsible for collecting recycling from 221K SF households (A+B)
- County currently is responsible for collecting trash from 92K SF households (A)
 - o Subdistrict A: 15% of county MSW
 - Subdistrict B: 24% of county MSW

Projected Outcomes from Save as You Throw (SAYT)

- 10% annual decrease in trash disposal
 - o 5.6% annual increase in recycling tons (16K tons), with 9K (53%) from subdistrict B
 - o 4.2% (12K tons) in source reduction, with 7K (55%) from subdistrict B
- 2.5% increase in overall county's recycling rate
- It will require an increase in system benefit charge,
- It will raise processing capacity issues.

Consultant's Recommendation: Amend chapter 48 of county code: County shall provide trash collection county-wide, in order to capture the majority of the benefits.

Rationale: more than half of the gains for increased recycling and source reduction will come from implementing SAYT in subdistrict B

Pilot program: county is setting up a pilot program to be launched next year, in an area with a statistically similar population to the county as a whole; goal is to incorporate as many attributes for planned program as possible

Proposed Services and Infrastructure for the full program

Carts:

- Carts for SAYT would be County owned- 3 size options for trash, 1 size for commingled recycling, 1 for food scraps.
- Households still provide their own yard trim bin or bags.

Services:

- Households receive once-a-week collection; would need to use stickers for excess trash beyond their selected cart size.
- Bulk pick-ups would be reduced from 5 to 2 seasonal pick-ups.
- Transfer station drop-off would charge a fee.
- Allow one cart size increase per household per year; Downsizing cart at no cost.

Infrastructure:

- Some modifications would be needed at MRF, which planned upgrade will take care of
- Throughput deficit will occur at paper processing facility: will need to export to another facility
- Will need cart depot for 220k carts; cost per cart: \$65 + delivery cost

Resident fees:

- Base fee for 35-gallon trash cart, more for larger trash cart
- Recommended fees: 35-gal cart, \$20 increase over current \$288/yr charge; \$260 increase for 96 gal cart; charge \$3-4 per excess trash bag.

Fact that county puts solid waste charge on once-a-year property tax bill makes it less visible and less likely to influence behavior than a bill that appears monthly or quarterly.

Questions and answers:

- Do estimates for decreased trash change if introduce food scraps?
 A: Projections do not include food scraps.
- Where is the money coming from for carts? A: Solid Waste Fee.
- What is status of the report? A: Almost done. Will be available after staff review.
- Who's managing pilot? A: RRMD collection section will handle boots on ground; Finance will handle finances.
- How do different policies interact? What order does it make sense to implement them? A: Consultant recommended: start with subdistrict consolidation, then food scraps, then PAYT.
- How will program enforce good behavior? How will County keep people from leaving trash on ground? A: More outreach and inspection.

SWAC Comment: Need to focus on goal—reducing waste make pricing more transparent.

Updates from RRMD, Willie Wainer

Consolidation

Thanks to SWAC subcommittee that drafted Subdistrict B Report. RRMD is responding to questions posed by SWAC.

Collections Contracts (subdistrict B)

Only received 1 bid for collections in areas 6 and 8 because of Labor Peace Agreement: law requires winner bidders for contracts over \$250,000 to remain neutral when labor unions seek to organize their workers. (Note: in each district, hauler provides recycling services to all SF residents, trash services for 12-16% of residents.) Waiting for best and final offer.

To move forward with electric trucks, the RFP offered proposers the option of proposing smaller, non-CDL vehicles, for recycling, i.e., getting away from split bodies that take commingled on one side, paper and cardboard on the other. Smaller vehicles can be electric. Routes in areas 6 and 8 are no more than 10 minutes from the transfer station; on these routes, trucks can unload in the middle of a route if necessary. Did test having small vehicles pickup commingled, rear loaders for paper and cardboard: found no difference in number of trucks.

RRMD is talking to the company that's helping County electrify school buses and Ride-on buses. They will supply RRMD a demonstration truck to test out on actual routes. Will test reliability, operating duration, and ability to handle weight: very excited about this opportunity.

Long Hauling of Waste/Alternatives to Incineration

Received responses to request for expression of interest (REOI) for how to manage 660,000 tons going to the RRF each year. Received two complete proposals; other responses were not complete.

SWAC Updates

Consolidation Subcommittee. Robin Barr

Report draft has been sent to Willie, and we are looking forward to getting feedback before finalizing the report.

C & D Subcommittee. Amy Maron

Rationale for proposed new subcommittee: A number of cities have passed legislation requiring reusable materials be donated or otherwise reused in some way. County doesn't have a policy in county on deconstruction and reuse of reusable building materials. CE is interested in this.

Proposed scope for new subcommittee work:

- Interview some communities around the country.
- Get overview of where MoCo C & D is going, including outside landfills.
- Talk to local builders who are already doing this. (See "Second Chance" signs at tear-downs.)

Meeting adjourned 7:28 pm

ACRONYMS

- C&D Construction and Demolition
- CC County Council
- CE County Executive
- CNG Compressed Natural Gas
- CPI Consumer Price Index
- DAFIG Dickerson Area Facilities Implementation Group
- DEP Department of Environmental Protection
- EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- FTE Full Time Employee
- FY Fiscal Year
- MDE Maryland Department of the Environment
- MC Montgomery County
- MES Maryland Environmental Services
- MRF Materials Recovery Facility
- MML Maryland Municipal League
- MCPS Montgomery County Public Schools
- OLO Office of Legislative Oversight
- PAYT Pay-As-You-Throw
- RRF Resource Recovery Facility
- RRMD Recycling & Resource Management Division
- SA Service Area for County collection
- SAYT Save-As-You-Throw
- SCA Sugarloaf Community Association
- SF Single family
- SWAC Solid Waste Advisory Committee
- SWMP Solid Waste Management Plan
- T&E Transportation and Environment Committee
- TPD Tons per Day
- TPW Tons per Week
- ZWTF Zero Waste Task Force