CoC 2018 Competition - Renewal Project Evaluation Criterion

Organization _____   Project Name _____

	Score
***30% Program Effectiveness* - 30 Points Maximum**	Determined at allocation committee meeting
• 15pts Cost Effectiveness: RRH and SH - cost per PH exit. For PSH - annual cost per household compared to average annual cost per household in the CoC.	
• 10pts Housing First Implementation: Per review of Housing First Addendum.	
• 5pts Participation in Coordinated Entry System: For PSH and RRH projects- 95% of referrals come through CES; for SH- 95% the clients have VI-SPDAT completed for referrals and present on CES list.	
***20% Narrative -* 20 Points Maximum**	Score
• 10pts Scope: # served, description of services provided, promote housing stability and connection to mainstream benefits, partnership with other service providers including behavioral health, employment, and in home medical support.	
• 10pts Description of Project is in alignment with CoC priorities	
***20% Severity of Needs -* 20 Points Maximum**	Score
• 20pts Narrative & any related questions clearly describe ability to serve the following populations - LGBTQ, DV, Veterans, significant health or behavioral health and not currently receiving treatment, unaccompanied youth, families with children, people with disabilities.	
***20% Performance Measures -* 20 Points Maximum**	Score
• 5pts Bed Utilization 90%+ 5 pts 89%-80% 4 pts 79%-70% 3 pts Below 70% - 0 pts	
• 10pts For RRH and SH: percentage who exited to PH 85%+ 5 pts 75%-84% 4 pts 65%-74% 3 pts Below 65% - 0 pts	
• 10pts For PSH: percentage who retained or exited to PH 85%+ 5 pts 75%-84% 4 pts 65%-74% 3 pts Below 65% - 0 pts	
• 5pts For RRH, SH: percentage increased income via any sources 90%+ 5 pts 80% - 89% 4 pts 70% - 79% 3 pts Below 70% - 0 pts	
• 5pts For PSH: percentage increased or maintained income 90%+ 5 pts 80% - 89% 4 pts 70% - 79% 3 pts Below 70% - 0 pts	
10% Past Performance – 10 points maximum	Score
• 5pts HUD Audit Findings (Audits between July 2014 – June 30, 2017) 5 pts No unresolved findings or no audit conducted 0 pts: Any unresolved findings	
• 5pts HUD Expenditures – Consistent Quarterly Drawdowns? Any Funds recaptured by HUD? 5 pts if expended all funds 3 pts if expended 90% 1 pt if expended 85% and below	
5 Points BONUS – Cash funds to support project from non-DHHS sources	Score
Total Score	

Organization _____ Project Name _____

	Score
***25% Experience* - 25 Points Maximum**	
• 10pts Describe the experience of the applicant or sub-recipients in providing housing and services to the proposed population	
• 10pts Describe experience using Housing First approach	
• 5pts Describe experience effectively using federal funds including HUD grants & other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns & performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of sub-recipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on exiting grants.	
30% Design of Housing and Supportive Services 30 Points Maximum	Score
• 5pts Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrated understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type scale, and location of housing fits the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of the clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	
• 5pts Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable	
• 5pts Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently	
• 5pts Ability to serve clients with zero income	
• 5pts Fidelity to Housing First Approach: Per review of Housing First Addendum	
• 5pts Ability to serve all household types	
10% Timeliness - 10 Points Maximum	Score
• 10pts Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule for proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after the grant award.	
30% Financial - 30 Points Maximum	Score
• 15pts Project is cost effective when cost/person is compared to average cost of PSH in CoC.	Determined at allocation committee meeting
• 5pts Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	
• 5pts Documented Match	
• 5pts Financial audit findings 5 pts No unresolved findings or no audit conducted 0 pts: Any unresolved findings	
5% Program Effectiveness - 5 points Maximum	Score
• 5pts At least 95% of referrals will come from Coordinated Entry System	
5 Points BONUS – Cash funds from non-DHHS sources that exceed required match of 25%	Score
Total Score	

Organization _____   Project Name _____

	Score
***25% Experience* - 25 Points Maximum**	
• 5pts Describe the experience of the applicant or sub-recipients in providing housing and services to families/person experiencing homelessness	
• 5pts Describe the experience of the applicant or sub-recipients in serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and/or stalking.	
• 10pts Describe experience using Housing First approach: Participants are offered choice in housing and services, no requirement to participate in services, no sobriety, medication or treatment compliance required; no minimum income requirements, no exclusion criteria based on credit or criminal background.	
• 5pts Describe experience effectively using federal funds including HUD grants & other public funding, including regular drawdowns, timely reimbursement of sub-recipients (if applicable), timely resolution of monitoring findings, & timely submission of required reporting on exiting grants.	
30% Design of Housing and Supportive Services 30 Points Maximum	Score
• 5pts Extent to which the applicant demonstrated: 1) understanding of the needs of the clients to be served; 2) the type scale, and location of housing fits the needs of the clients; 3) the type and scale of supportive services meets the needs of the clients; 4) how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits; and 5) Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet/exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	
• 5pts Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable	
• 5pts Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently	
• 5pts Ability to serve clients with zero income	
• 5pts Anticipated LOS is 4-6 months	
• 5pts Fidelity to Housing First philosophy: Per review of Housing First Addendum	
***10% Timeliness* - 10 Points Maximum**	Score
• 10pts Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule for proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after the grant award.	
***30% Financial* - 30 Points Maximum**	Score
• 15pts Project is cost effective when cost/person is compared to threshold of $8,000/household.	Determined at allocation meeting
• 5pts Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	
• 5pts Documented Match	
• 5pts Financial audit findings 5 pts No unresolved findings or no audit conducted 0 pts: Any unresolved findings	
5% Program Effectiveness - 5 points Maximum	Score
• 5pts. At least 95% of referrals will come from Coordinated Entry System	
5 Points BONUS – Cash funds from non-DHHS sources that exceed required match of 25%	Score
Total Score	