ZTA 22-06: Exemptions - Historic Resources – Allowed Uses

Summary
The Office of Legislative Oversight (OLO) anticipates that ZTA 22-06 could sustain or marginally widen racial and social inequities in the County as its benefits would disproportionately accrue to White and affluent residents. OLO, however, anticipates a negligible impact of this ZTA on racial equity and social justice as there are a limited number of properties eligible for the additional commercial uses allowed by this ZTA and fewer that will utilize this change in the Zoning Ordinance to extend their commercial uses.

Purpose of RESJ Impact Statements
The purpose of RESJ impact statements for zoning text amendments (ZTAs) is to evaluate the anticipated impact of ZTAs on racial equity and social justice in the County. Racial equity and social justice refer to a process that focuses on centering the needs, leadership, and power of communities of color and low-income communities with a goal of eliminating racial and social inequities. Achieving racial equity and social justice usually requires seeing, thinking, and working differently to address the racial and social harms that have caused racial and social inequities.

Purpose of ZTA 22-06
On January 18, 2022, the Council passed ZTA 21-06 enacting Ordinance No. 19-25 that allows limited commercial uses on residentially zoned properties limited in development by a density transfer due to historical site designation. The additional limited uses allowed on historic properties under ZTA 21-06 include:

- Any use allowed in the underlying zone, subject to the provisions of Section 7.3.1 where applicable;
- Clinic (up to 4 practitioners);
- Office; Medical Practitioners);
- Retail/ Service Establishment;
- Rural Antique shops; and
- Drive-Thru as an accessory use to any other allowed principal use

ZTA 22-06 proposes to amend Ordinance No. 19-25 by allowing limited commercial uses on historic “sites or resources on the Master Plan for Historic Preservation” that have frontages along arterials or higher classified roadways and adding a new limited commercial use for “eating and drinking establishments.” The site plan approval by the Planning Board and approval and review by the Historic Preservation Commission would still be required for historic properties eligible for limited commercial uses. ZTA 22-06 was introduced to the Council on June 14, 2022.

Historic Preservation and Racial Equity
Historic preservation aims to provide a tangible link to our past. The benefits of preserving historic assets can include deepening community identity, attracting visitors, and ensuring a rich, diverse building stock. Empirical studies have also sought to document community-wide benefits of historic preservation that may offset the economic constraints that limit development and potentially harm property values to individual historic property owners.
Some researchers contend that historic preservation too often favors certain historical narratives and assets over others and largely serves high-income and White communities. This includes a recognition among some researchers that “the preservation movement in the U.S. has primarily invested in maintaining White spaces, and for much of American history, little was done to protect Black and other spaces historically significant to people of color.” They further note that the “persistence of certain structures or sites and the effects of decisions over time can perpetuate patterns of segregation and exacerbate injustice.” As experts recognize how exclusive land use policies have inequities by race and ethnicity, they note that decisions about historical preservation can contribute to racial inequities as well.

For example, Marisa Brown finds that “federal, state, and local regulations that govern many of the most important preservation mechanisms reflect bias against communities of color.” Her research finds that “of the nearly 95,000 entries in the National Register of Historic Places, only 2% (focus) on the experience of Black-Americans” and “of the more than $100 billion awarded from the Federal Historic Preservation Fund since 1968, the majority has benefited White Americans.” Similarly, other researchers have found that historically African American neighborhoods are underrepresented in historic designation programs.

**ANTICIPATED RESJ IMPACTS**

One way to consider the potential impact of ZTA 22-06 on racial equity and social justice in the County is to consider where historic properties in the County are located. A review of available data describing historic preservation resources in Equity Focus Areas and across the County suggests that racial disparities in historic preservation exist.

Montgomery Planning defines Equity Focus Areas as census tracts with high concentrations of low-income households, people of color, and individuals who may speak English less than very well. These areas are primarily found along the I-270 Corridor, the Route 29 Corridor, and the eastern portion of Down County. A majority of the County’s low-income and people of color population reside in these areas compared to a quarter (26.5 percent) of all residents. Yet, of the County’s 1,215 historic preservation resources, only 79 (6.5 percent) are located in Equity Focus Areas.

A review of historic preservation data across the County also demonstrates racial disparities. Of more than one thousand historic preservation sites in the County, only 27 are designated as sites of historical significance to African Americans, and only one site is associated with the Asian American and Pacific Islander heritage. Additionally, available data suggests that properties in several high-income historic districts could benefit from ZTA 22-06: Takoma Park Historic District, Chevy Chase Village Historic District, Kensington Historic District, Garrett Park Historic District, Clarksburg Historic District, Hyattstown Historic District, and Sandy Spring Historic District. Each of these historic districts are located in zip codes that rank among the most expensive in Maryland, suggesting that affluent property owners are more likely to benefit from this ZTA.

Available data on property and business ownership further suggests White residents are the most likely to benefit from ZTA 22-06. According to the National Equity Atlas 2019, 75 percent of White, 73 percent of Asian or Pacific Islanders, 41 percent of Black, 50 percent of Latinx, and 51 percent of Other Montgomery County residents were owner-occupants of homes. Whereas Latinx and Black residents account for nearly 40 percent of the County’s population, businesses owned by Latinx and Black residents accounted for less than five percent of all business revenue in 2012. These racial and ethnic disparities in home and business ownership suggest that people of color, and Black and Latinx residents in particular, would be the least likely to benefit from the expanded commercial uses for historic properties proposed in ZTA 22-06.
Based on a review of available data and information, OLO anticipates that ZTA 22-06 could either sustain or marginally widen racial and social inequities in the County as its benefits will disproportionately accrue to White and affluent residents. OLO, however, anticipates a negligible impact of this ZTA on racial equity and social justice in the County as there are a limited number of properties eligible for additional commercial uses allowed by this ZTA and fewer that will utilize this change in the Zoning Ordinance to extend their commercial uses.

CAVEATS

Two caveats to this racial equity and social justice impact statement should be noted. First, predicting the impact of legislation on racial equity and social justice is a challenging, analytical endeavor due to data limitations, uncertainty, and other factors. Second, this RESJ impact statement is intended to inform the legislative process rather than determine whether the Council should enact legislation. Thus, any conclusion made in this statement does not represent OLO's endorsement of, or objection to, the bill under consideration.
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