A. Identification and Coding Information	d Coding Infor	mation		2 Dat	2 Date: October 1, 2013	per 1, 201		Pre PDF	7. Pre PDF Pg.No.: 8. Req. Adeq. Pub. Fac.	8. Req. /	Adeq. Pul	o. Fac.	E. Annual Operating
1. Project Number Agency Number Update Code	Agency Number	Update (Code	i (;								Program Costs St
153802	S-103.02	Add		Revis	Revised: May 8, 2014	8, 2014	j						
3. Project Name: Anaerobic Digestion/Combined Heat & Power	naerobic Digesti	on/Combine	ed Heat &	Power			Š	5.Agency:	WS	WSSC			T deliny costs
4. Program: S	Sanitation	6. Planning Area:	Area:	Bi-County	unty		•						Total Costs
œ.			Ш	xpenditu	Expenditure Schedule (000's)	nle (000)							F Approval and Ex
		(8)		(10)	1	(12)	(13)	(14)	-	(16)	(17)	(18)	
Coet Elements		Total	Thru FY '43	Estimate FY '14	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Beyond	Date First in Capital
Planning, Design & Supervision	Supervision	23,878		4,532		6,798		3,708	-	3,296	2	8	Date First Approved
Land								4					Initial Cost Estimate
Site Improvements & Utilities	& Utilities					,							Cost Estimate Last F
Construction		113,300			113,300		6,180	37,080	37,080	32,960			Present Cost Estima
Other		6,802		228	6,574	340	340	2,040	2,040	1,814			Approved Request, I
Total		143,980	1,218	4,760	4,760 138,002	7,138	7,138	42,828	42,828	38,070			Total Expenditures 8
ပ				Funding	Funding Schedule (000's)	(s,000) e							Approval Request F
WSSC Bonds		72,028	647	2,380	2,380 69,001	3,569	3,569	21,414	21,414	19,035			Cumplemental Approx
Federal Aid		71,952	571	2,380	2,380 69,001	3,569	3,569	21,414	3,569 21,414 21,414 19,035	19,035			Current FY (14)

Description & Justification

DESCRIPTION

equipment, thermal hydrolysis pretreatment equipment, gas cleaning systems, hydrogen sulfide and siloxane removal, tanks, piping, valves, pumps, sludge dewatering/thickening equipment, grit removal, effluent disinfection systems, instrumentation, flow metering, power measurement, and combined heat and power generation systems. costs as well as operations and maintenance, chemicals, and blosolids transportation and disposal costs. The program will enhance program will provide a reduction in energy and energy-related costs (electricity, natural gas, transportation, and disposal of biosolids) Which may in part be guaranteed by the contractor. The potential guaranteed reduction component includes annual avoided energy existing operating conditions and reliability while continuing to meet all permit requirements, and ensure a continued commitment to verification necessary to add sustainable energy equipment and systems to produce biogas at a location(s) to be determined. The environmental stewardship at WSSC sites. The scope of work will include, but is not limited to, the addition of anaerobic digestion This project will develop a comprehensive program for the engineering, design, construction, maintenance, and monitoring and

in March 2009, the WSSC received approval for a federal Department of Energy grant of \$570,900 for the feasibility study/conceptual design phase. On June 16, 2010, the WSSC awarded the study contract to AECOM Technical Services, Inc., of Laurel, Maryland. The study was completed in December 2011, and the Thermal Hydrolysis/Mesophilic Anaerobic Digestion/Combined Heat & Power facility was recommended to be constructed and was presented to the Commission in April 2012. The WSSC will continue to pursue ederal capital funding as a source of cost sharing as the project develops.

USTIFICATION

Plans & Studles

Opportunities For and Benefits Of Combined Heat and Power at Wastewater Treatment Facilities (December 2008); Brown & Caldwell Anaerobic Digestion and Electric Generation Options for WSSC (November 2007); Metcalf & Eddy, WSSC Sludge Digestion Study for George's County Septage (FOG) Discharge Facility Study (February 2008); JMT, Western Research Institute (WRi) Biogas Feasibility Study Study Scope of Work - WSSC (April 2008); JMT, Montgomery County Septage (FOG) Discharge Facility Study (January 2010); Appel Consultants, Urban Waste Grease Resource Assessment-NREL (November 1998); Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Piscataway and Seneca (December 2007); Black & Veatch, WSSC Digester Scope and Analysis (December 2007); JMT, Prince Facility Plan for the Rock Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (January 2010); AECOM Technical Services, Inc., Anaerobic Digestion/Combined Heat & Power Study (December 2011).

E. Annual Operating Budget Impact (000's)	ting Bud	get Impact (00	(s,0	F of	FY of Impact
Program Costs	Staff	***************************************		i	
	Other			i	
Facility Costs	Maintenance.	ПСӨ		1	
K. (1)	Debt Service	/los	3425	1	20
Total Costs			3425	i	20
Impact on Water or Sewer Rate	or Sewer	Rate	*8	i	20

F. Approval and Expenditure Data (000's) Date First in Capital Program Date First Approved Initial Cost Estimate Cost Estimate Last FY Present Cost Estimate Approved Request, Last FY Total Expenditures & Encumbrances Total Expenditures & Encumbrances Total Expenditures Request FY 15 Supplemental Approval Request Current FY (14)

G. Status Information

Land Status:

No land or R/W required

% Project Completion: P-99%

Est. Completion Date: (See "Specific Data" for details)

H. Map Reference Code:

MAP NOT AVAILABLE

D. DESCRIPTION & JUSTIFICATION (CONT.)

Agency Number: S - 103.02

Project Name: Anaerobic Digestion/Combined Heat & Power

The EPA is urging wastewater utilities to utilize this commercially available technology (anaerobic digestion) to produce power at a cost reliability for the wastewater treatment plant to prevent sanitary sewer overflows, reduce biosolids production and Improve the health of below retall electricity, displace purchased fuels for thermal needs, produce renewable fuel for green power programs, enhance power greenhouse gases contributed to air poilution that may endanger public health or welfare, and began proceedings to regulate CO2 the Chesapeake Bay, and to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) and other air pollutants. In April 2009, the EPA announced that

Heat & Power (TH/MAD/CHP) process supplemented by restaurant grease fuel design is \$110 million, with a 36 month construction regional/centralized plant at a location to be determined based on a Thermal Hydrolysis/Mesophillic Anaerobic Digestion/Combined period. The environmental benefits and expected outcomes determined from the feasibility study are estimated as follows: Based on AECOM's feasibility study work as of May 2011, the capital cost (detail design + construction) estimate for a

- Recover 2-3 MW of renewable energy from biomass
- Reduce Greenhouse Gas production by 11,800 tons/year
- Reduce biosolids output by more than 50,500 tons/year
- Reduce lime demand by 4,100 tons/year
- Reduce 5 million gallons/year of grease discharge to sewers Reduce nutrient load to the Chesapeake Bay
 - Produce Class A Biosolids

The economic benefits determined from the feasibility study are estimated as follows:

- Recover more than \$1.5 million of renewable energy costs/year

- 2. Reduce biosolids disposal costs by ~ \$1.7 million/year
 3. Reduce chemical costs by ~ \$400,000/year
 4. Hedge against rising costs of power, fuel, and chemicals
 5. Net Payback of 15 to 18 years (net based on capital cost of TH/MAD/CHP minus capital cost of lime stabilization

upgrade of WSSC WWTP facilities through 2030) (Any Federal Aid received would shorten the payback period.)

Cost Change

Order of Magnitude cost estimates were adjusted for inflation and to reflect the reduction in the "Other" calculated cost percentage from 10% to 5%.

STATUS Planning

OTHER

capital cost, and energy and energy-related cost savings estimates to be able to proceed with the detailed design and construction of The project scope has remained the same. Now that the feasibility study has been completed, the Commission has a defined scope, the anerobic digestion, blomass, and combined heat and power generation system facilities.

The Montgomery and Prince George's Councils must be briefed on the project and approve by resolution before the project can move into design.

combined heat and power, include a guarantee by the contractor that the capital cost will be paid back 100% from energy and energy-It is envisioned that either the entire project, or only portions of the project that Include the thermal hydrolysis, anaerobic digestion or Federal Ald received would shorten the payback period. Previous expenditures reflect the planning phase of this project which was Performance projects have surpassed the contracts' guaranteed amount every year of the monitoring and verification period. Any related cost savings with the payback period not exceeding 15 years. The energy savings for other completed WSSC Energy completed under the Information Only project A-103.01, Anaerobic Digestion/Combined Heat & Power.

COORDINATION

(Mandatory Referral Process), Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, Maryland Department of the Environment Montgomery County Government, Prince George's County Government, Maryland-National Capital Park & Planning Commission and WSSC Project S-96.14, Piscataway WWTP Facility Upgrades.

This project supports 100% System Improvement. NOTE