



Maryland-National Park and Planning Commission

AGENCY DESCRIPTION

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) is a bi-county agency established by the State of Maryland in 1927 to prepare comprehensive land use and transportation plans as well as acquire, develop, maintain and operate a park system for Montgomery and Prince George's Counties. M-NCPPC is governed by a ten-member commission consisting of two five-member Planning Boards. The Planning Boards are appointed by and represent their respective counties.

The Montgomery County Planning Board oversees 36,991 acres of parkland and 422 parks and open space areas. Most of the County's park acreage is found in large Countywide (Non-local) Parks that serve all County residents. These include: Regional, Recreational, Special, Urban, Stream Valley, and Conservation Area parks. Residents can also enjoy many Community-Use (Local) Parks that are closer to home. These include: Urban, Neighborhood, Local, and Neighborhood Conservation Area parks. For information purposes, an outline of the Parks Classification System contained in the Master Plan for Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces (PROS) is provided at the end of this chapter.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

The M-NCPPC's FY23-28 capital program request consists of one new project and 40 ongoing projects with expenditures in the six-year period. Included within these projects are two projects with multiple subprojects: Planned Life Cycle Asset Replacement: Non-local and Local.

The M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation Project (P871747) adjusts total M-NCPPC expenditures and funding to conform to the Executive's recommended funding levels, which are affordable within the CIP.

The section following this narrative only shows the project description forms (PDFs) for which the Executive recommends changes to the Montgomery County Planning Board's FY23-28 request. All Recommended and Approved PDFs can be found at <https://apps.montgomerycountymd.gov/BASISCAPITAL/Common/Index.aspx>.

PROGRAM CONTACTS

Contact Carl Morgan of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission at 301.495.2573 or Shantee Jackson of the Office of Management and Budget at 240.777.2751 for more information regarding M-NCPPC's capital budget.

CAPITAL PROGRAM REVIEW

M-NCPPC Request

The Montgomery County Planning Board's capital program for M-NCPPC totals \$265.1 million, a \$25.5 million, or 10.6 percent increase, over the previously approved budget. This request had a strong focus on stewardship and support of the existing park system and core infrastructure, as well as a desire to respond to the needs of the County's diverse and growing population. Maintenance and renovation work includes school field renovations, Americans with Disabilities Act upgrades, stormwater improvements, and lifecycle replacements. Enhancements include completing construction on the Black Hill Regional SEED classroom, continuing construction on a second cricket field at the South Germantown Recreational Park, Bethesda Park acquisitions and

development, and improvements at Ovid Hazen Wells Park, Wheaton Regional Park, and urban parks. Funding was also requested for Vision Zero safety improvements and expansion of parkland through the Legacy Open Space initiative and park acquisition programs.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Include \$3 million in new funding for Bethesda Park acquisition or improvements.
- Complete construction in the spring of 2023 on the Black Hill Regional Park SEED Classroom to convert a maintenance yard to an environmentally friendly educational site using the principles of Sustainable Education Every Day (SEED) Classroom design and construction. The building at Black Hill Regional Park is designed for net-zero energy and net-zero water and can help children learn how the building functions and better understand the flows of energy and water.
- Continue construction on the second phase of the South Germantown Cricket Field, which includes a full-sized cricket field, additional parking, amenities and irrigation.
- Renovate two fields at Blair High School for multiple sports for both school and community use.
- Fund enhancements for the Ovid Hazen Wells Recreational Park, which encompass the active recreation area in the park and the relocation of the Ovid Hazen Wells Carousel from Wheaton Regional Park.
- Implement the next phases of infrastructure work on Brookside Gardens, including renovation of the Visitors Center and Conservatory and accessibility improvements to the Formal Gardens.
- Construct the North Branch Hiker-Biker Trail.

Executive Recommendation

The recommended M-NCPPC budget is \$254.5 million, a \$14.9 million, or 6.2 percent increase from the previously approved budget. Some of this increase is related to expanded efforts to improve water quality in the County through the successful partnership of M-NCPPC and the Department of Environmental Protection in implementing stream restoration projects in support of the County's MS-4 permit .

The Executive's recommendation complies with the Spending Affordability Guidelines (SAG) for M-NCPPC Bonds, which were approved by the County Council at the level then assumed in M-NCPPC's request. The Executive recommends a reduction in G.O. Bonds of \$14.4 million from the M-NCPPC's request. Due to concerns about operating budget affordability, the County Executive generally recommends deferring consideration of current revenue increases until the broader operating budget context is known. The only current revenue increase recommended at this time is for facility planning for the Long Branch Parks Initiative included in the Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks project in FY24. The M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation has been adjusted to reflect the practice of deferring current revenue increases until March. The County Executive recommends a two-year delay in M-NCPPC Bond funding in the Urban Park Elements project for a dog park in Norwood Park until alternative locations and community concerns can be considered. A modest deferral of M-NCPPC bonds (-\$105,000) from FY25 to FY26 (\$68,000) and FY27 (\$37,000) was made in the Affordability Reconciliation PDF to accommodate increased inflation assumptions.

PROGRAM EXPENDITURES

The M-NCPPC's capital program contains two categories of projects: acquisition and development. Acquisition projects allow M-NCPPC to acquire parkland to provide active and passive recreation opportunities, enhance the quality of life for residents, and accommodate conservation and preservation needs. Development projects allow M-NCPPC to manage and maintain the park system through planning, design, and construction activities. Within these categories, the M-NCPPC has two types of projects: level of effort projects which represent maintenance costs that will continue indefinitely and stand alone projects which pertain to a specific parks and projects.

PROGRAM FUNDING

The M-NCPPC's capital program utilizes a variety of funding sources:

- **Bonds:** General Obligation (G.O.) Bonds are the primary funding source for Countywide (Non-local) parks and M-NCPPC Bonds are used to fund Community Use (Local) parks (See Parks Classification System below).
- **Program Open Space (POS)** are State funds which can be used for 100 percent of acquisition costs and up to 75 percent of development costs. Development projects using POS require matching local funds. POS is the 2nd largest funding source in the M-NCPPC's FY23-28 CIP.
- **Current Revenues** are used to support parkland and facility improvements which are not eligible for debt financing including: planning or improvements where the useful life of equipment or facilities does not equal or exceed the terms of the bonds. Current Revenue: General funds Countywide (Non-local) parks and Current Revenue: M-NCPPC funds Community Use (Local) parks (See Parks Classification System below).
- **Enterprise Revenues** support the operation of M-NCPPC's self-supporting enterprise fund facilities (tennis courts, skating rinks, train rides, and carousels).
- **Long Term Financing:** refer to State loan funds, which were first programmed as a funding source in the FY19-24 funding CIP with the assumption that Water Quality Protection Fund (WQPF) revenues will be used to pay the debt service.
- **Other funds** include State Aid, Revolving Funds, Intergovernmental Funds, Bethesda Park Impact Payments, Contributions, and Federal Aid.

PARK CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Countywide (Non-local) Parks serve all residents of Montgomery County and include:

- **Regional Parks:** Parks of 200+ acres that generally contain a stream valley, picnic/playgrounds, and interpretive or other natural areas, and provide a wide variety of recreational opportunities such as: trails, tennis courts, athletic fields, golf courses, and campgrounds.
- **Recreational Parks:** Parks larger than 50 acres in size which are more intensively developed than Regional Parks and may contain athletic fields, tennis and multi-use courts, picnic/playground areas, a golf course, water oriented recreation areas, trails, and natural areas.
- **Special Parks:** Parks which include areas with unique features of historical or cultural significance. Varying in type, they may include agricultural centers, gardens, small conference centers or historic sites.
- **Urban Parks:** Parks which are located in high-density transit-oriented development areas.
- **Stream Valley Parks:** Interconnected parks along major stream valleys providing picnic and playground areas, hiker-biker and bridle trails, athletic fields, and conservation and recreation areas.
- **Conservation Area Parks:** Large parks that place primary emphasis on preservation of areas of significant natural value and provide opportunities for compatible passive recreation activities.

Community-Use (Local) Parks serve residents of surrounding communities and include:

- **Urban Parks:** Parks which are located in Central Business Districts or other highly urban areas.
- **Neighborhood Parks:** Small parks, providing informal recreation in residential areas with play equipment, play fields, sitting areas, shelters, and tennis courts.
- **Local Parks:** Parks that provide athletic fields and programmed and general recreation facilities such as play equipment, tennis and multi-use courts, sitting/picnic areas, shelters, and other recreational facilities.
- **Neighborhood Conservation Areas:** Small parcels of conservation-oriented parkland in residential areas, generally dedicated at the time of subdivision.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY

The Capital Improvements Program (CIP), operating budget, and capital budget for the M-NCPPC are coordinated with the fiscal and capital program requirements of the Montgomery County Charter. The M-NCPPC is required to submit a CIP request to the County Executive and County Council by November 2 of each odd numbered year. This CIP is reviewed by the Executive and included with the Executive's recommendations in the recommended CIP that is submitted to County Council by January 15. After public hearings, the County Council reviews and approves the capital program with such modifications as it deems appropriate. The approved program becomes final upon 30 days written notice to the Commission.

In connection with the approval of the M-NCPPC operating budget, the County Council also approves a capital budget which reflects approved expenditures for the first year of the approved CIP. The M-NCPPC may not undertake any project which is not contained in the first year of the approved CIP. The M-NCPPC Capital budget and CIP are conceptually distinct. The six-year CIP contains the proposed construction and funding schedules for previously approved and newly proposed projects and is subject to County Council modifications. The capital budget provides the appropriation necessary to implement the construction schedule.

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION



Stream Protection: SVP (P818571)

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category | M-NCPPC | Date Last Modified | 01/14/22 |
| SubCategory | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC |
| Planning Area | Countywide | Status | Ongoing |

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 5,513 | 848 | 1,157 | 3,508 | 1,018 | 718 | 818 | 518 | 218 | 218 | - |
| Site Improvements and Utilities | 16,836 | 2,469 | 3,375 | 10,992 | 732 | 1,932 | 3,432 | 3,432 | 732 | 732 | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 22,349 | 3,317 | 4,532 | 14,500 | 1,750 | 2,650 | 4,250 | 3,950 | 950 | 950 | - |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Contributions | 600 | - | 600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Current Revenue: Water Quality Protection | 4,550 | 1,318 | 1,732 | 1,500 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | - |
| G.O. Bonds | 1,278 | 1,228 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Long-Term Financing | 15,150 | - | 2,150 | 13,000 | 1,500 | 2,400 | 4,000 | 3,700 | 700 | 700 | - |
| PAYGO | 771 | 771 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 22,349 | 3,317 | 4,532 | 14,500 | 1,750 | 2,650 | 4,250 | 3,950 | 950 | 950 | - |

COMPARISON (\$000s)

| | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years | FY 23 Approp. |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| Prior Year Approved | 11,649 | 6,499 | 1,350 | 3,800 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | - | - | - | - |
| Agency Request | 13,549 | 3,317 | 4,532 | 5,700 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | - | 950 |
| Recommended | 22,349 | 3,317 | 4,532 | 14,500 | 1,750 | 2,650 | 4,250 | 3,950 | 950 | 950 | - | 1,750 |

| CHANGE | TOTAL | % | 6-YEAR | % | APPROP. | % |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|-------|
| Agency Request vs Prior Year Approved | 1,900 | 16.3% | 1,900 | 50.0% | 950 | - |
| Recommended vs Prior Year Approved | 10,700 | 91.9% | 10,700 | 281.6% | 1,750 | - |
| Recommended vs Agency Request | 8,800 | 64.9% | 8,800 | 154.4% | 800 | 84.2% |

RECOMMENDATION

Approve with Modifications. Funding (\$8.8 million) added in FY23-26 to reflect work M-NCPPC will do on behalf of the County toward the County's MS4 permit.



Stream Protection: SVP (P818571)

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category | M-NCPPC | Date Last Modified | 01/14/22 |
| SubCategory | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC |
| Planning Area | Countywide | Status | Ongoing |

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 3,313 | 848 | 1,157 | 1,308 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 218 | - |
| Site Improvements and Utilities | 10,236 | 2,469 | 3,375 | 4,392 | 732 | 732 | 732 | 732 | 732 | 732 | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 13,549 | 3,317 | 4,532 | 5,700 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | - |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Contributions | 600 | - | 600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Current Revenue: Water Quality Protection | 5,250 | 1,318 | 1,732 | 2,200 | 950 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | - |
| G.O. Bonds | 1,278 | 1,228 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Long-Term Financing | 5,650 | - | 2,150 | 3,500 | - | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 | - |
| PAYGO | 771 | 771 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 13,549 | 3,317 | 4,532 | 5,700 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 | - |

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|
| Appropriation FY 23 Request | 950 | Year First Appropriation | FY81 |
| Appropriation FY 24 Request | 950 | Last FY's Cost Estimate | 11,649 |
| Cumulative Appropriation | 7,849 | | |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances | 3,317 | | |
| Unencumbered Balance | 4,532 | | |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides design and construction of water quality improvements and environmental enhancements throughout the park system. This work may include stormwater retrofits, outfall restorations, riparian enhancements, stream restorations, native plantings, non-native invasive plant removals, impervious removals, etc. Improvements may include, but are not limited to, drainage improvements, grading, site work, plantings, signage, infrastructure and utility protection, etc. and are often combined with other projects.

COST CHANGE

Increase due to the addition of two fiscal years to this ongoing project.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

The project meets Montgomery County's water quality goals, Chapter 19, Article IV of the Montgomery County Code: to protect, maintain, and restore high quality chemical, physical, and biological conditions in the waters of the State in the County. This project is also supported by the Countywide Stream Protection Strategy, Comprehensive Watershed Inventories, and Parks' Phase II NPDES MS4 Permit commitments.

OTHER

The Montgomery Parks Department of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) and the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) have agreed that M-NCPPC will serve as the lead agency for implementing stream restoration projects including long term monitoring and maintenance, that are located wholly or mostly on parkland, and will implement the following additional stream restoration projects in the FY 19-24 CIP through this project; Clearspring Manor, Glenallan, Stoneybrook (Beach Drive to Montrose Avenue), and Grosvenor (Beach Drive to Rockville Pike). Previously, DEP had begun design work on these streams segments which are located predominantly on parkland. In FY18, DEP will provide all design work for these projects to M-NCPPC for design completion, permitting, and construction. M-NCPPC has agreed that all MS4 credits generated from these projects will be credited to the County's future MS4 permit and M-NCPPC must deliver the restored impervious acres no later than Dec. 31, 2023. M-NCPPC will provide appropriate updates at key project milestones to ensure that impervious acreage credits are achieved in the timeframe required, in addition to providing the long-term monitoring and maintenance required for the County to maintain the impervious acreage credit. These projects are currently estimated to have a combined cost of \$2.4M, providing approximately 44 acres of credit. M-NCPPC will utilize its resources for completing design/permitting. M-NCPPC will provide updated schedule and cost information on all projects within FY19 for construction funding allocation from this project beginning in FY20, based on MDE's Water Quality Revolving Loan Fund (WQRLF) cycle timeframes. M-NCPPC and DEP will immediately begin working on an MOU detailing how projects completed by Parks, funded with WQPF dollars, with MS4 credits going to the DEP will be handled. M-NCPPC will document all MS4 credits created through these projects in accordance with MDE requirements to obtain State approval for the Permit credits. M-NCPPC will continue to identify future stream restoration projects throughout the Stream Valley Park system through inter-agency collaboration that provide ecological benefit, infrastructure protection, MS4 credits, and other watershed benefits for future implementation. M-NCPPC recognizes that stream restoration projects with relatively small segments on Park property may be selected by the County's contractor. If selected by the County's contractor and approved by DEP with concurrence from Parks, the County's contractor will need to obtain a Park Permit and comply with all M-NCPPC requirements.

FISCAL NOTE

Prior year partial capitalization of expenditures through FY16 totaled \$12,854,000. FY13 transfer in of \$129K GO Bonds from Lake Needwood Modifications #098708. Water Quality Current Revenue replaces G.O. Bonds in FY19. Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) Water Quality Revolving Loan Funds (Long Term Financing) replaces G.O. Bonds in FY20 and beyond. In FY20, \$800,000 in Current Revenue: Water Quality Protection Fund replaces Long Term Financing, and in FY21, \$1,500,000 in Current Revenue: Water Quality Protection Fund replaces Long Term Financing. In addition, \$600,000 in Long Term Financing is slipped to from FY20 to FY21. In FY21, received \$600k in Contributions for the Cabin John Watershed. FY22 appropriation request is \$600,000 less than FY22 expenditures to correct excess appropriation received in FY21 (\$600,000 Long Term Financing that was slipped from FY20 to FY21 was already appropriated in FY20). FY23, replaced \$700k Long-Term Financing with Current Revenue: Water Quality Protection to address delay of loan application while the County and M-NCPPC were negotiating a Memorandum of Understanding to meet loan application and processing of MDE. Additionally, for FY23-28 \$250k Current Revenue: Water Quality Protection replaces Long-Term Financing.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, National Capital Planning Commission for Capper-Cramton Funded Parks, State and County Department of Transportation, State Dept. of Natural Resources, Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, PDF 733759 , Utility rights-of-way coordinated with WSSC and other utility companies where applicable., U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION



Urban Park Elements (P871540)

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category | M-NCPPC | Date Last Modified | 01/10/22 |
| SubCategory | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC |
| Planning Area | Countywide | Status | Ongoing |

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 1,410 | 144 | 366 | 900 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 150 | 150 | 150 | - |
| Site Improvements and Utilities | 5,640 | 577 | 1,463 | 3,600 | 150 | 600 | 1,050 | 600 | 600 | 600 | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 7,050 | 721 | 1,829 | 4,500 | 250 | 750 | 1,250 | 750 | 750 | 750 | - |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| G.O. Bonds | 1,674 | 129 | 45 | 1,500 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | - |
| M-NCPPC Bonds | 4,900 | 316 | 1,584 | 3,000 | - | 500 | 1,000 | 500 | 500 | 500 | - |
| PAYGO | 276 | 276 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| State Aid | 200 | - | 200 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 7,050 | 721 | 1,829 | 4,500 | 250 | 750 | 1,250 | 750 | 750 | 750 | - |

COMPARISON (\$000s)

| | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years | FY 23 Approp. |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------------|
| Prior Year Approved | 3,601 | 1,950 | 600 | 1,051 | 296 | 276 | 235 | 244 | - | - | - | - |
| Agency Request | 7,050 | 721 | 1,829 | 4,500 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | - | 750 |
| Recommended | 7,050 | 721 | 1,829 | 4,500 | 250 | 750 | 1,250 | 750 | 750 | 750 | - | 250 |

| CHANGE | TOTAL | % | 6-YEAR | % | APPROP. | % |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| Agency Request vs Prior Year Approved | 3,449 | 95.8% | 3,449 | 328.2% | 750 | - |
| Recommended vs Prior Year Approved | 3,449 | 95.8% | 3,449 | 328.2% | 250 | - |
| Recommended vs Agency Request | - | - | - | - | (500) | -66.7% |

RECOMMENDATION

Approve with Modifications. A two-year delay in funding for a dog park in Norwood Park is recommended until alternative locations and community concerns can be considered. \$500,000 M-NCPPC Bonds shifted from FY23 to FY25.



Urban Park Elements

(P871540)

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category | M-NCPPC | Date Last Modified | 01/10/22 |
| SubCategory | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC |
| Planning Area | Countywide | Status | Ongoing |

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 1,410 | 144 | 366 | 900 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | - |
| Site Improvements and Utilities | 5,640 | 577 | 1,463 | 3,600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 7,050 | 721 | 1,829 | 4,500 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | - |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| G.O. Bonds | 1,674 | 129 | 45 | 1,500 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | - |
| M-NCPPC Bonds | 4,900 | 316 | 1,584 | 3,000 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | - |
| PAYGO | 276 | 276 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| State Aid | 200 | - | 200 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 7,050 | 721 | 1,829 | 4,500 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 | - |

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|
| Appropriation FY 23 Request | 750 | Year First Appropriation | FY15 |
| Appropriation FY 24 Request | 750 | Last FY's Cost Estimate | 3,601 |
| Cumulative Appropriation | 2,550 | | |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances | 836 | | |
| Unencumbered Balance | 1,714 | | |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project funds design and construction of various park elements in local and non-local parks within the Energized Public Spaces Study Area throughout the county. Projects may create new amenities, renovate amenities, or convert existing amenities. Amenities and work may include, but are not limited to, dog parks, community gardens, skateboard facilities, outdoor games, picnic shelters, public art, seating, exercise and play equipment, courts, activation support features, civic greens, accessibility and drainage improvements, signage, landscaping, hardscapes, walkways, site and recreation amenities, retaining walls, park management support elements, utilities, site work, park structures, etc. and are often combined with other projects.

COST CHANGE

Increase due to the addition of two fiscal years to this ongoing project and to reflect rising construction costs and advance

improvements and new elements to meet emerging trends in parks.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Vision 2030 recommended the following guiding principles for meeting future park and recreation needs in the County, which are reflected in the approved PROS 2017 plan service delivery strategy: balance renovation and conversion of older parks and facilities with new construction; respond to changing priorities by redefining existing land and facilities to provide different kinds of services; and deliver services to areas of highest need. This project will deliver amenities to urban parks which are in the areas of highest need. Approved plans and studies, including the 2018 Energized Public Spaces (EPS) Functional Master Plan, the 2019 Energized Public Spaces Design Guidelines, and the 2019 Site Suitability Study for Dog Parks.

OTHER

The goal of this level-of-effort project is to fund one urban park element per year to meet the high needs of urban areas

FISCAL NOTE

FY20 Supplemental Appropriation of \$200k in State Aid for Columbia LP. Prior year partial capitalization of expenditures through FY16 totalled \$250,000.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely. M-NCPPC asserts that this project conforms to the requirement of relevant local plans, as required by the Maryland Economic Growth, Resource Protection and Planning Act.

COORDINATION

M-NCPPC Planning Department; Montgomery County Departments of Transportation, Permitting Services, Environmental Protection; Regional Services Center and Urban Districts.



M-NCPPC Affordability Reconciliation (P871747)

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|
| Category | M-NCPPC | Date Last Modified | 01/11/22 |
| SubCategory | Development | Administering Agency | M-NCPPC |
| Planning Area | Countywide | Status | Ongoing |

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Cost Elements | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Construction | (19,392) | - | - | (19,392) | (2,502) | (3,652) | (4,887) | (2,794) | (2,810) | (2,747) | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | (19,392) | - | - | (19,392) | (2,502) | (3,652) | (4,887) | (2,794) | (2,810) | (2,747) | - |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Funding Source | Total | Thru FY21 | Est FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Current Revenue: General | (4,982) | - | - | (4,982) | (852) | (652) | (752) | (952) | (937) | (837) | - |
| G.O. Bonds | (14,410) | - | - | (14,410) | (1,650) | (3,000) | (4,030) | (1,910) | (1,910) | (1,910) | - |
| M-NCPPC Bonds | - | - | - | - | - | - | (105) | 68 | 37 | - | - |
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | (19,392) | - | - | (19,392) | (2,502) | (3,652) | (4,887) | (2,794) | (2,810) | (2,747) | - |

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|------|
| Appropriation FY 23 Request | (2,502) | Year First Appropriation | FY16 |
| Appropriation FY 24 Request | (3,652) | Last FY's Cost Estimate | - |
| Cumulative Appropriation | - | | |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances | - | | |
| Unencumbered Balance | - | | |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project reconciles the Maryland National-Capital Park and Planning Commission's CIP request with the County Executive's recommendation based on affordability considerations.

COST CHANGE

Adjustments shown in this PDF are based on the annual availability of funds within the County Executive's recommended Spending Affordability Guidelines for General Obligation (G.O.) bonds and the Council Approved Spending Affordability Guidelines for M-NCPPC bonds and a desire to postpone decisions on increasing Current Revenue: General uses until the broader operating budget context is clearer. The only current revenue increase recommended at this time is for facility planning for the Long Branch Parks Initiative included in the Facility Planning: Non-Local Parks project in FY24.