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## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

## BACKGROUND

In November 2018, Jupiter Independent Research Groups began a Racial Equity Study for Montgomery County, Maryland. The study reviewed demographic data from 2007 through 2018.
This study was updated in 2022. Some data was not updated because the source did not provide updated statistics, in particular, the Healthy Montgomery and the US Census Bureau Survey of Business Owners (for Montgomery County).

## REPORT OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this report are:

1) To provide a collection of tables on different demographic factors from various sources (but primarily census data) by race and ethnicity for Montgomery County Maryland. Most of the charts and tables cover the last five to ten years.
2) To identify disparities, where relevant, between different racial/ gender groups in different categories. The report does not analyze the causes of any disparities or provide recommendations to mitigate any identified disparities.

## OVERVIEW OF REPORT APPROACH

Jupiter Independent Research Group collected demographic data in the categories of:

- Population
- Education
- Business
- Employment
- Construction
- Health
- Criminal justice
- Poverty
- Housing
- Transportation
- Connectedness

Disparity is generally presented as a measure of minority groups (Asian, Black, Latino, Other) relative to the White population. In a few instances, the disparity is presented as the difference between the minority group metrics and white metric.

Some disparity may also result from variations in racial/ethnic definitions based on different data sources. For example, some sources treat Latino as a separate racial/ethnic category, and some sources treat Latino as a compilation of multiple races. The definition of Other also varies based on the data source.

## DATA SOURCES

The data from this report comes from a variety of sources but primarily the American Community Survey. Additional sources include Healthy Montgomery, Maryland Department of Juvenile Services, Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation, Maryland Small Business Development Center, Maryland State Department of Education, Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services, Montgomery County Police Department, Montgomery County Public Schools, US Census Public Use Microsample (IPUMS), and the US Census Survey of Business Owners.

The Montgomery County Office of Legislative Oversight provided considerable assistance in the collection of local data, particularly in the areas of education and criminal justice.

## REPORT ORGANIZATION

In addition to this introductory chapter, this report consists of Chapter 2.0, Demographic bar charts and tables, Appendix A, with more detailed tables, and Appendix B with extended definitions.

## CHAPTER 2: TABLES AND BAR CHARTS

## GENERAL POPULATION

This section of the report presents general demographic data by race and ethnicity on population, median age, \% foreign-born and English proficiency. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States.

POPULATION

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $51.1 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $14.9 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
|  | Black | $19.0 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $20.1 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
|  | Other | $11.0 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |



Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.

Additional population data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 1 - Total Population 2007, 2017, 2019.

## MEDIAN AGE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 0} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | 44.5 | 42.7 | 40.9 |
|  | Asian | 42.4 | 39.9 | 37.9 |
|  | Black | 36.0 | 37.2 | 34.8 |
|  | Latino | 31.6 | 29.3 | 29.8 |
|  | Other | 30.9 | 29.5 | 29.8 |



| Year | Demographic Characteristics in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | The Asian median age was 2 years less than the White median age. |
|  | The Black median age was 8.5 years less than the White median age. |
|  | The Latino median age was slightly less than $\mathbf{1 3 . 6}$ years the White median age. |
|  | The Other median age was slightly less than $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ years the White median age. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Median age means that half the people are younger than this age and half are older.

Additional median age data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 2 - Median Age (Years) 2019,

TABLE 3 - Median Age (Years) 2017, and TABLE 4 - Median Age (Years) 2010.

## FOREIGN BORN

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $17.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $67.7 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $65.7 \%$ |
|  | Black | $33.3 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $54.2 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ |
|  | Other | $56.4 \%$ | $53.5 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ |



| Year | Demographic Characteristics in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | The Asian\% foreign born was nearly four times (378\%) of the White\% foreign born. |
|  | The Black\% foreign born was nearly two times (186\%) of the White\% foreign born. |
|  | The Latino\% foreign born was three times (300\%) of the White\% foreign born. |
|  | The Other\% foreign born was over 3 times (315\%) of the White\% foreign born. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Foreign born means born outside of the United States.

Additional data on the foreign born is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 5 - Foreign Born 2007, 2017, 2019.

SPEAKS ENGLISH "LESS THAN WELL"

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $8.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $29.4 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ |
|  | Black | $11.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $39.9 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
|  | Other | $44.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ |



| Year | Demographic Characteristics in Montgomery County |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were around 3.5 times (358\%) as likely as Whites to speak English 'less than well'. |
|  | Blacks were nearly $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times (135\%) as Whites to speak English ‘less than well.' |
|  | Latinos were nearly 5 times (486\%) as likely as Whites to speak English 'less than well'. |
|  | Others were over 5 times (536\%) as likely as Whites to speak English 'less than well'. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Speaking English "less than well," or limited English proficiency, is a term used in the US Census American Community Survey and means individuals who do not speak English as their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English.

Additional language facility data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 6 - Speak English Less Than "Very Well" 2010, 2017, 2019.

## OVERALL MARKET PLACE

This section of the report presents general data by race and ethnicity on median income and occupational distribution. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States.

## MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $\$ 135,635$ | $\$ 96,724$ | $\$ 69,823$ |
|  | Asian | $\$ 121,004$ | $\$ 108,105$ | $\$ 93,759$ |
|  | Black | $\$ 72,617$ | $\$ 68,377$ | $\$ 43,862$ |
|  | Latino | $\$ 75,547$ | $\$ 74,631$ | $\$ 55,658$ |
|  | Other | $\$ 66,870$ | $\$ 69,706$ | $\$ 53,746$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Median household income for Asians was 89.2\% of median household income for Whites. |
|  | Median household income for Blacks was around half (53\%) of median household income for <br> Whites. |
|  | Median household income for Latinos was around half (55\%) of median household income <br> for Whites. |
|  | Median household income for Others was about half (49\%) of median household income for <br> Whites. |

## Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Median household income means half the households have income above this level and half the households are below this level. A household includes individuals, families, and unrelated individuals.

Additional household income data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 7 - Median Household Income 2010, 2017, 2019.

## MANAGEMENT, BUSINESS, SCIENCE, AND ARTS OCCUPATIONS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $67.0 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $65.1 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ |
|  | Black | $47.6 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $25.1 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
|  | Other | $14.3 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were about as likely to be in management, business, science, and arts occupations as <br> Whites. |
|  | Blacks were less likely (71\%) to be in management, business, science, and arts occupations as <br> Whites. |
|  | Latinos were much less likely (37\%) to be in management, business, science, and arts <br> occupations as Whites. |
|  | Others were much less likely (21\%) to be in management, business, science, and arts <br> occupations as Whites. |

## Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that holds a job in occupations listed under management, business, science, and arts.
- The occupations listed under management, business, science, and arts are listed in Appendix B-2.

Additional occupational data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 8 - Occupation 2010, 2017, 2019.

## EMPLOYMENT

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on unemployment, labor force participation and construction earnings. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States.

## PERCENT UNEMPLOYED

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{*} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $2.2 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $2.5 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
|  | Black | $5.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $4.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
|  | Other | $3.9 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2019 | Asian unemployment was slightly more (113\%) than White unemployment. |
|  | Black unemployment was $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ times (263\%) White unemployment. |
|  | Latino unemployment was more than 1.5 times (181\%) White unemployment. |
|  | Other unemployment was more than $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times (177\%) White unemployment. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Other includes Some Other Races.
- Unemployment means in the labor force and looking for work.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that is unemployed.

Additional unemployment data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 9 - Unemployed 2010, 2017, 2019.

PERCENT IN THE LABOR FORCE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{*} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $69.2 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $71.1 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
|  | Black | $71.8 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $77.8 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ |
|  | Other | $79.0 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were $\mathbf{1 . 9 \%}$ more likely to be in the labor force than Whites. |
|  | Blacks were 2.6\% more likely to be in the labor force than Whites. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{8 . 6 \%}$ more likely to be in the labor force than Whites. |
|  | Others were $\mathbf{9 . 8 \%}$ more likely to be in the labor force than Whites. |

## Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Other includes Some Other Races.
- Labor force participation means employed or looking for work.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that is employed or looking for work.

Additional labor force participation data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 10 -\% In The Labor Force 2010, 2017, 2019.

## CONSTRUCTION EARNINGS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $\$ 6,593$ | $\$ 6,298$ | $\$ 5,659$ |
|  | Asian | $\$ 5,559$ | $\$ 6,609$ | $\$ 7,478$ |
|  | Black | $\$ 5,003$ | $\$ 4,047$ | $\$ 3,677$ |
|  | Latino | $\$ 4,569$ | $\$ 4,704$ | $\$ 4,801$ |
|  | Other | $\$ 4,089$ | $\$ 5,678$ | $\$ 5,490$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | Asian construction earnings were $\mathbf{8 4 . 3 \%}$ of White construction earnings. |
|  | Black construction earnings were 75\% of White construction earnings. |
|  | Latino construction earnings were 69\% of White construction earnings. |
|  | Other construction earnings were $\mathbf{6 2 \%}$ of White construction earnings. |

Source: Quarterly Workforce Indicators

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Others in Quarterly Workforce Indicators were American Indians, Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians, Other Pacific Islanders, Two or more races.
- Construction earnings means average monthly earnings in construction.

Additional construction earnings data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 11 - Average construction Monthly Earnings and\% of White Average
2012-2020.

## BUSINESS

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on business revenue and participation in Small Business Development Centers (SBDC). Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States for business revenue. SBDC data was not available for Maryland and the United States.

NUMBER OF MINORITY FIRMS AS A\% OF ALL FIRMS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian | $13.8 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ |
|  | Black | $14.7 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $14.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
|  | Other | $14.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2012 | Asians were $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ of the number of firms and $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 \%}$ of the population. |
|  | Blacks were $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ of the number of firms and $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 \%}$ of the population. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ of the number of firms and $\mathbf{1 9 . 1 \%}$ of the population. |
|  | Others were $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ of the number of firms and10.2\% of the population. |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Survey of Business Owners
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Others are American Indians, Alaska Natives, Some Other Race.
- Number of firms refers to firms (not establishments) with and without paid employees.
- All firms include publicly traded firms that are not identified by ethnicity or race.

Additional data on the number of businesses is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 12 - Business Firms 2019 and TABLE 13 - Business Firms 2012

## MINORITY FIRM REVENUE AS A\% OF ALL FIRM REVENUE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Asian | $4.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
|  | Black | $1.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $1.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
|  | Other | $0.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | Asians were $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ of the number of firms and 4.2\% of the revenue of firms. |
|  | Blacks were $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ of the number of firms and $\mathbf{1 . 7 \%}$ of the revenue of firms. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ of the number of firms and $\mathbf{1 . 5 \%}$ of the revenue of firms. |
|  | Others were $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ of the number of firms and $\mathbf{0 . 6 \%}$ of the revenue of firms. |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau Survey of Business Owners
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Other includes Alaska Natives, American Indians, and Some Other Races.
- Number of firms refers to firms (not establishments) with and without paid employees.
- All firms include publicly traded firms that are not identified by ethnicity or race.

Additional business revenue data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 14 - Number of Firms, Revenue 2019 and TABLE 15 - Number of Firms, Revenue 2012.

SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTER CLIENTS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $58.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $4.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $21.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $56.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $16.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Asians were $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ of businesses and $\mathbf{4 . 3} \%$ of SBDC clients. |
|  | Blacks were $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ of businesses and $\mathbf{2 1 . 3} \%$ of SBDC clients. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{1 4 . 7 \%}$ of business and $\mathbf{5 6 . 4 \%}$ of SBDC clients. |
|  | Others were $\mathbf{7 . 0 \%}$ of business and $\mathbf{1 6 . 3} \%$ of SBDC clients. |

Source: Maryland Small Business Development Center, special tabulations
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups. Consequently, the percentages add up to more than $100 \%$.
- Other includes Native Hawaiians, American Indians, Unknown, No response.
- SBDC clients refer to people counseled by the SBDC. The SBDC counseling areas are listed in Appendix B-3.

Additional SBDC data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 16 - SBDC Clients 2018.

## ECONOMIC SECURITY

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on poverty, child poverty, gross rent as a percentage of income and children in foster care. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States.

## POVERTY - ALL RESIDENTS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $4.1 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $5.9 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
|  | Black | $13.6 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $11.5 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
|  | Other | $13.8 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | The Asian poverty rate was between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times (143\%) the White poverty rate. |
|  | The Black poverty rate was more than 3 times (331\%) the White poverty rate. |
|  | The Latino poverty rate was nearly 3 times (280\%) the White poverty rate. |
|  | The Other poverty rate was more than 3 times (336\%) the White poverty rate. |

## Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Poverty is defined as where a family's total income is less than the family's threshold, which depends on family size but not geography. The federal poverty threshold for a family of four in 2017 was $\$ 24,600$. The poverty definition uses money income before taxes and does not include capital gains or noncash benefits (such as food stamps, public housing, etc.).

Additional poverty data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 17 - Poverty Rates 2010, 2017, 2019.

## CHILD POVERTY

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $4.3 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $8.0 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
|  | Black | $17.7 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $30.6 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $14.2 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |
|  | Other | $15.1 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | The poverty rate for Asian children was nearly 2 times (200\%) the poverty rate of White <br> children. |
|  | The poverty rate for Black children was more than 4 times (400\%) the poverty rate of <br> White children. |
|  | The poverty rate for Latino children was more than 3 times (300\%) the poverty rate of <br> White children. |
|  | The poverty rate for Other children was more than 3.5 times (350\%) the rate of White <br> children. |

## Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Child refers to 18 years of age or younger.
- Poverty is where a family's total income is less than the family's threshold, which depends on family size but not geography. The federal poverty threshold for a family of four in 2017 was $\$ 24,600$. The poverty definition uses money income before taxes and does not include capital gains or noncash benefits (such as food stamps, public housing, etc.).

Additional child poverty data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 18 - CHILD Poverty 2019.

## GROSS RENT MORE THAN 30\% OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME IN LAST 12 MONTHS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $43.4 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $33.0 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ |
|  | Black | $59.8 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $66.4 \%$ | $56.2 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ |
|  | Other | $73.4 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2019 | Asians rate of paying more than 30\% of income on rent was less than (75\%) the White <br> rate. |
|  | Blacks rate of paying more than 30\% of income on rent was nearly 1.5 times (150\%) the <br> White rate. |
|  | Latino rate of paying more than 30\% of income on rent was more than 1.5 times (150\%) <br> the White rate. |
|  | Other rate of paying more than 30\% of income on rent was more than 1.5 times (150\%) <br> the White rate. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Gross rent is the contract rent plus the estimated average monthly cost of utilities and fuels if these are paid by the renter (or paid for the renter by someone else). Gross rent does not apply to housing cost for owner-occupied housing.

Additional gross rent data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 19 - Rent More Than 30 \% of Income 2010, 2017, 2019.

## CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland* | United States** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | White | $25.2 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $0.3 \%$ | $<1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | Black | $46.4 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $18.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
|  | Other | $10.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | Asians were $\mathbf{1 4 . 3 \%}$ of the school age population and $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ of the children in foster care. |
|  | Blacks were $\mathbf{2 1 . 8 \%}$ of the school age population and $\mathbf{4 6 . 4 \%}$ of the children in foster care. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{3 2 . 8} \%$ of the school age population and $\mathbf{1 8 . 0 \%}$ of the children in foster care. |
|  | Others were $\mathbf{5 . 3 \%}$ of the school age population and $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 \%}$ of the children in foster care. |

Source: Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services, special tabulations
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Foster care means any length of time out of home placement due to neglect or abuse.

Additional foster care data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 20 - Number of Children in Foster Care 2014, 2016, 2018 and TABLE 21 - Length of Time in Foster Care 2018, 2021.

## HOMEOWNERSHIP

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on homeownership and mortgage loans. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States for homeownership and some mortgage loan categories.

HOMEOWNERSHIP RATE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $73.1 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $74.6 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ |
|  | Black | $41.6 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $50.0 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ |
|  | Other | $43.3 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $41.1 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asian homeownership rate was similar to the White homeownership rate. |
|  | Black homeownership rate was around half (56\%) of the White homeownership rate. |
|  | Latino homeownership rate was less than (68\%) the White homeownership rate. |
|  | Other homeownership rate was less than (59\%) the White homeownership rate. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Homeownership means owner-occupied units.

Additional homeownership data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 22 - Owning Versus Renting 2010, 2017, 2019.

HOME MORTGAGE LOANS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $\$ 419,742$ | $\$ 313,124$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $\$ 393,389$ | $\$ 353,778$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $\$ 355,623$ | $\$ 292,813$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $\$ 329,069$ | $\$ 287,943$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $\$ 468,497$ | $\$ 356,920$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | The average mortgage for Asians was about 7\% less than the average mortgage for <br> Whites. |
|  | The average mortgage for Blacks was about 15\% less than the average mortgage for <br> Whites. |
|  | The average mortgage for Latinos was about 22\% less than of the average mortgage for <br> Whites. |
|  | The average mortgage for Others was about 11\% more than of the average mortgage <br> for Whites. |

Source: Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Home mortgage loans include both FHA and conventional loans, including loans for home purchases, refinancing and home improvement.

Additional home mortgage data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 23 - All Mortgage Loans Originated 2012, 2017, 2020.

## EDUCATION

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on public school enrollment, high school graduation, out of school suspensions, dropouts, graduation, college, and apprenticeships. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States where comparable data was available.

## PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $25.8 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $14.3 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $21.8 \%$ | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $32.8 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $5.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: source only has state and local data


| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2021 | Asians were $\mathbf{1 4 . 3} \%$ of the school population. |
|  | Blacks were $\mathbf{2 1 . 8 \%}$ of the school population. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 \%}$ of the school population. |
|  | Others were $\mathbf{5 . 3} \%$ of the school population |

Source: Maryland State Department of Education

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Public school enrollment means the number of students registered to attend a school as of September 30 (includes ungraded special education and pre-kindergarten students).

Additional school enrollment data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 24 - Public School Enrollment 20132021.

NO HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE OR GED

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{*} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $5.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $10.3 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |
|  | Black | $7.7 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $32.7 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ |
|  | Other | $40.0 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were about 2 times (194\%) as likely to have no high school degree\% as Whites. |
|  | Blacks were about 1.5 times (145\%) as likely to have no high school degree\% as Whites. |
|  | Latinos were more than 6 times (616\%) as likely to have no high school degree\% as <br> Whites. |
|  | Others were around 7.5 times (754\%) as likely to have no high school degree\% as Whites. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- No high school degree or GED means people 25 or older without a high school diploma or General Education Diploma.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that does not have a high school degree.

Additional lack of a high school degree or GED data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 26 - Less Than High School Diploma 2010, 2017, 2019.

ONLY HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE OR GED

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $10.9 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $9.1 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
|  | Black | $20.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $27.9 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ |
|  | Other | $30.7 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were less likely (about 16\% less) than Whites of having only a high school degree. |
|  | Blacks were nearly 2 times (191\%) as likely as Whites of having only a high school <br> degree. |
|  | Others were nearly 3 times (281\%) as likely as Whites of having only a high school <br> degree. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- High school degree only means people 25 or older with only a high school diploma or General Education Diploma.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that only has a high school degree or GED.

Additional high school graduation data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 25 - High School Graduate (Includes Equivalency) 2010, 2017, 2019.

## SUSPENSION RATE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{*} 2020$ | White | $0.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $0.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $2.6 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $1.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $1.2 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | The suspension rate for Asians was 66\% of the suspension rate for Whites. |
|  | The suspension rate for Blacks was about 5 times (520\%) of the suspension rate for <br> Whites. |
|  | The suspension rate for Latinos was more than 2 times (280\%) of the suspension rate for <br> Whites |
|  | The suspension rate for Others more than $\mathbf{2}$ times (240\%) of the suspension rate for <br> Whites. |

Source: Montgomery County Public Schools Security at a Glance

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Others are Two or More Races.
- Suspension rate means out of school suspensions, including expulsions. Montgomery County Office of Legislative Oversight (OLO) calculated the county suspension rate from Maryland state data.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that was suspended in 2020.

Additional school suspension data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 27 - Out of School Suspension (Including Expulsions) 2011-20.

## DROPOUTS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $<3.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $<3.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $3.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $10.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $<3.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |


| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | The Asian dropout rate was around the same as the White dropout rate. |
|  | The Black dropout rate was between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times (130\%) the White dropout rate. |
|  | The Latino dropout rate was more than 3 times (343\%) the White dropout rate. |
|  | The Other dropout rate was around the same as the White dropout rate. |

Source: Maryland State Department of Education, report card
Note: The Maryland State Department of Education suppresses percentages below 3.0\%.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Other refers to Two or More Races.
- Dropout rate means the percentage of students dropping out of school in grades 9 through 12 in a single year - for any reason, except death. The dropout rate is computed by dividing the number of dropouts by the total number of students in grades 9-12 served by the school. Note: Increasingly the term "pushed out" is used to account for forces outside of the control of the student who is exiting school. It does a better job accounting for root causes.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that dropped out of high school.

Additional labor force participation data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 28 - Four Year Adjusted Cohort Dropout 2010-2021.

## GRADUATION

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $>95.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $>95.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $90.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $82.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $>95.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |


| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | The Asian graduation rate was around the same as the White graduation rate. |
|  | The Black graduation rate was about 4\% less than the White graduation rate. |
|  | The Latino graduation rate was about 15\% less than the White graduation rate. |
|  | The Other graduation rate was around the same of the White graduation rate. |

Source: Maryland State Department of Education, report card
Note: The Maryland State Department of Education suppresses percentages above 95.0\%.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Other refers to Two or More Races.
- Graduation rate means the four-year adjusted rate of students graduating from high school. See Appendix B-1 (Definitions) for further clarification.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that graduated from high school.

Additional graduation data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 29 - Four Year Adjusted Cohort Graduation 2010-2021.

## COLLEGE DEGREE ATTAINMENT

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $67.6 \%$ | $45.5 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $66.9 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ |
|  | Black | $42.1 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $23.4 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ |
|  | Other | $15.4 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians had a college attainment rate of $\mathbf{9 8 . 9 \%}$ of Whites. |
|  | Blacks had a college attainment rate of $\mathbf{6 2 . 3 \%}$ of Whites. |
|  | Latinos had a college attainment rate of $\mathbf{3 4 . 6 \%}$ of Whites. |
|  | Others had a college attainment rate of 22.7\% of Whites. |

## Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Other refers to Two or More Races.
- College degree attainment means people 25 or older with a bachelor's degree or above.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that had a college degree in 2019.

Additional college degree attainment data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 30 - College Degree attainment 2015, 2017, 2019.

## APPRENTICES

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $26.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $3.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $13.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $43.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | $3.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | Asians make up $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{3 . 1 \%}$ of apprentices |
|  | Blacks make up $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ of apprentices. |
|  | Latinos make up $\mathbf{2 0 . 1 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{4 3 . 1 \%}$ of apprentices. |
|  | Others make up $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{3 . 1 \%}$ of apprentices. |

Source: Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation, special tabulations

## Definitions:

- Latino in the Maryland Department of Labor data is treated as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Apprentices are participants in registered apprenticeship programs in the state of Maryland. This list of apprenticeship occupations is in Appendix B-3.

Additional apprenticeship data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 31 - Registered Apprentices 2014-2021.

## HEALTH

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on health insurance, infant mortality, heart disease mortality, stroke mortality and breast cancer mortality. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States where comparable data was available.

NO HEALTH INSURANCE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $3.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $4.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ |
|  | Black | $7.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $22.4 \%$ | $21.4 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ |
|  | Other | $31.3 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were .25 times (125\%) more likely than Whites to not have health insurance. |
|  | Blacks were more than $\mathbf{2}$ times (205\%) as likely as Whites to not have health insurance. |
|  | Latinos were more than $\mathbf{6}$ times (622\%) as likely as Whites to not have health insurance. |
|  | Others were more than $\mathbf{8 . 5}$ times (869\%) as likely as Whites to not have health insurance. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Health insurance coverage means government or private health insurance.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that does not have health insurance.

Additional health insurance data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 32 - Health Insurance Coverage 2010, 2017, 2019.

## INFANT MORTALITY

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 5}$ | White | 3.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | 3.8 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | 8.8 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | 4.9 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | 5.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: N/A: based on state and local data


| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 5}$ | The Asian infant mortality rate was about the same as the White infant mortality rate. |
|  | The Black infant mortality rate was over $\mathbf{2}$ times (237\%) the White infant mortality rate. |
|  | The Latino infant mortality rate was more than (132\%) the White infant mortality rate. |
|  | The Other infant mortality rate was more than (135\%) the White infant mortality rate. |

Source: MCDHHS/PHS/Planning \& Epidemiology; Maryland DHMH/VSA; CDC/U.S. Census bridged Population Files (2013-2015); Healthy Montgomery
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Infant mortality means infant deaths per 1,000 live births.

Additional infant mortality data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 33 - Infant Mortality (deaths per 1,000 live births) 2008-2010, 2013-2015.

HEART DISEASE MORTALITY

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{*} 2013-15$ | White | 110.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | 59.8 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | 127.8 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | 55.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | 111.3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 5}$ | The Asian heart disease mortality rate was half (54\%) the White heart disease mortality <br> rate. |
|  | The Black heart disease mortality rate was 27\% higher than the White heart disease <br> mortality rate. |
|  | The Latino heart disease mortality rate was about half (50\%) of the White rate. |
|  | The Other heart disease mortality rate was about the same as the White rate. |

Source: MCDHHS/PHS/Planning \& Epidemiology; Maryland DHMH/VSA; CDC/U.S. Census bridged Population Files (2013-2015); Healthy Montgomery
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Heart disease mortality means age-adjusted death due to heart disease per 1,000 population.
- Age-adjusted means correcting for the fact that older people have more deaths from heart disease.

Additional heart disease data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 34 - Age-Adjusted Mortality Due to Heart Disease (deaths per 100,000 population) 2008-2010, 2013-2015.

## STROKE MORTALITY

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-15$ | White | 23.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | 23.2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | 27.5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | 19.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | 24.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 5}$ | The Asian stroke mortality rate was about the same as the White stroke mortality rate. |
|  | The Black stroke mortality rate was about 16\% more than the White stroke mortality rate. |
|  | The Latino stroke mortality rate was about 17\% less than the White stroke mortality rate. |
|  | The Other stroke mortality rate was about the same as the White stroke mortality rate. |

Source: MCDHHS/PHS/Planning \& Epidemiology; Maryland DHMH/VSA; CDC/U.S. Census bridged Population Files (2013-2015); Healthy Montgomery

Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Stroke mortality means age-adjusted death due to stroke per 1,000 population. Age-adjusted means correcting for the fact that older people have more deaths from heart disease.

Additional data on stroke is in Appendix A, TABLE 35 - Age-Adjusted Mortality Due to Stroke (deaths per 100,000 population) 2008-2010, 2013-2015.

## BREAST CANCER MORTALITY

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-15$ | White | 19.5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | 7.3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | 25.6 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | 10.9 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Other | 20.1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 5}$ | The Asian breast cancer mortality rate was $\mathbf{3 7 . 4 \%}$ of the White breast cancer mortality <br> rate. |
|  | The Black breast cancer mortality rate was more than $\mathbf{3 0}$ \% than the White rate. |
|  | The Latino breast cancer mortality rate was over half (55\%) of the White rate. |
|  | The Other breast cancer mortality rate was about the same as the White rate. |

Source: MCDHHS/PHS/Planning \& Epidemiology; Maryland DHMH/VSA; CDC/U.S. Census bridged Population Files (2013-2015); Healthy Montgomery
Note: Data has not been updated since the previous profile.

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- Breast cancer mortality means age-adjusted death due to breast cancer per 100,000 females.
- Age-adjusted means correcting for the fact that older people have more deaths from breast cancer.

Additional breast cancer data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 36 - Age-Adjusted Mortality Rate Due to Breast Cancer (deaths per 100,000 females) 2008-2010, 2013-2015.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on arrests and juvenile intake. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States where comparable data was available.

## ARRESTS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $35.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Asian | $3.7 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $27.4 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino | $33.5 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | Whites were $\mathbf{5 1 . 1 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{3 5 . 4 \%}$ of arrests. |
|  | Asians were $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{3 . 7 \%}$ of arrests. |
|  | Blacks were $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{2 7 . 4 \%}$ of arrests. |
|  | Latinos were $\mathbf{1 1 . 0 \%}$ of the population and $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 \%}$ of arrests. |

Source: Montgomery County Police Department

## Definitions:

- Latino is treated here as a race/ethnicity and not a combination of multiple races.
- This measure shows arrests disaggregated by race and ethnicity in 2021.
- Types of arrests are listed in Appendix B-4.

Additional data on arrests is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 37 - Arrests 2015, 2016, 2021.

## JUVENILE INTAKE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $19.9 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Black | $46.1 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Latino/Other | $34.0 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: N/A based solely on state and county data


| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | Whites were 25.8\% of the school age population and $\mathbf{1 9 . 9 \%}$ of the juvenile intake. |
|  | Blacks were 21.8\% of the school age population and 46.1\% of the juvenile intake. |
|  | Latinos/Others were 38.1\% of the school age population and 34.0\% of the juvenile <br> intake. |

Source: Maryland Department of Juvenile Services, Data Resource Guides

## Definitions:

- Latinos/Others are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos/Others are included in multiple racial groups. Latino and Others were reported together in the data source.
- This measure shows juvenile disaggregated by race and ethnicity in 2021.
- Others were not reported.
- Juvenile intake refers to a complaint to the Juvenile Court involving an alleged delinquent or unruly act by a juvenile.

Additional juvenile intake data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 38 - Juvenile Intake 2013-2021.

## TRANSPORTATION

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on households with no vehicle, use of public transportation to work and mean travel time to work. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States.

MEANS OF TRANSPORTATION TO WORK - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $13.0 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $14.3 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
|  | Black | $20.7 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $11.3 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
|  | Other | $11.6 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9} 9$ | Asians were slightly more likely (110\%) than Whites to take public transportation. |
|  | Blacks were more than 1.5 times (159\%) as likely as Whites to take public transportation. |
|  | Latinos were less likely (86\%) than Whites to take public transportation. |
|  | Others were less likely (89\%) than Whites to take public transportation. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Public transportation excludes taxis.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that uses public transportation to get to work.

Additional public transportation data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 39 - Commuting to Work 2010, 2017, 2019.

## MEAN TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MINUTES)

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | 34.2 | 32.5 | 26.9 |
|  | Asian | 35.9 | 32.7 | 30.7 |
|  | Black | 37.8 | 36.2 | 29.3 |
|  | Latino | 33.6 | 33.6 | 28.6 |
|  | Other | 32.5 | 33.5 | 29.4 |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery Country |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | The mean travel time to work (MTTTW) for Asians was $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ minutes longer than the <br> mean travel time for Whites. |
|  | The MTTTW for Blacks was $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ minutes longer than the MTTTW for Whites. |
|  | The MTTTW for Latinos was $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ minutes shorter than the MTTTW for Whites. |
|  | The MTTTW for Others was $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ minutes shorter than the mean MTTTW for Whites. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Mean travel time refers to the average number of minutes that it usually took the person to get from home to work each day during the reference week.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that has a mean travel time (to work) of more than 30 minutes.

Additional travel time to work data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 41 - Mean Travel Time to Work (MINUTES) 2010, 2017, 2019.

NO VEHICLE

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $5.7 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $5.4 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |
|  | Black | $13.8 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $8.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
|  | Other | $8.8 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asians were about 5\% less likely than Whites to not have a vehicle. |
|  | Blacks were more than $\mathbf{2}$ times (242\%) as likely as Whites to not have a vehicle. |
|  | Latinos were almost $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times (149\%) as likely as Whites to not have a vehicle. |
|  | Others were more than $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ times (154\%) as likely than Whites to not have a vehicle. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- No vehicle refers to people who do not have a car. This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that does not have a vehicle.

Additional lack of a vehicle data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 40 - No Vehicle 2010, 2017, 2019.

## CONNECTEDNESS

This section of the report presents data by race and ethnicity on people who have moved to Montgomery County and have broadband access. Comparisons are made to the state of Maryland and the United States where comparable data was available.

## MOVED TO MONTGOMERY COUNTY (ONE YEAR AGO)

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland | United States |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | White | $5.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $4.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
|  | Black | $4.4 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $4.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ |
|  | Other | $4.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |



| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Asian residents were less likely to have moved from another county (85.5\%) than Whites. |
|  | Black residents were less likely to have moved from another county (80.0\%) than Whites. |
|  | Latino residents were less likely to have moved from another county (74.5\%) than Whites. |
|  | Other residents were less likely to have moved from another county (85.5\%) than Whites. |

Source: American Community Survey

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Moved means moved from a different county, inside or outside the state, one year ago.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that moved from a different county, inside or outside the state, one year ago.

Additional data on moving into the County is in Appendix A, TABLE 42 - Total of People Who Have Moved into The County 2017,2019.

## WITH INTERNET ACCESS

| Year | Racial/Ethnic <br> Group | Montgomery <br> County | Maryland* | United States* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | $97.6 \%$ | $90.4 \%$ | $87.2 \%$ |
|  | Asian | $96.0 \%$ | $96.1 \%$ | $93.0 \%$ |
|  | Black | $91.5 \%$ | $85.4 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ |
|  | Latino | $89.2 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ | $84.1 \%$ |
|  | Other | $91.8 \%$ | $88.1 \%$ | $80.2 \%$ |

*American Community Survey broadband internet access


| Year | Disparity in Montgomery County |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | The percentage of Asians with broadband access was $\mathbf{9 3 . 7 \%}$ of the percentage of Whites. |
|  | The percentage of Blacks with broadband access was $\mathbf{8 9 . 3 \%}$ of the percentage of Whites. |
|  | The percentage of Latinos with broadband access was $\mathbf{8 7 . 1 \%}$ of the percentage of Whites. |
|  | The percentage of Others with broadband access was $\mathbf{8 9 . 6 \%}$ of the percentage of Whites. |

Source: American Community Survey, Integrated Public Use Micro Sample

## Definitions:

- Latinos are an ethnicity rather than a race. Therefore, Latinos are included in multiple racial groups.
- Internet access refers to broadband-internet access.
- This measure shows the percentage of each demographic group that has internet access.

Additional internet access data is provided in Appendix A, TABLE 43 - Internet Access 2017, 2019.
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TABLE 1 - TOTAL POPULATION 2007, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 536,605 | 157,335 | 200,076 | 210,773 | 115,872 |
|  | 51.1\% | 14.9\% | 19.0\% | 20.1\% | 11.0\% |
| 2017 | 577,071 | 180,924 | 214,970 | 207,392 | 110,897 |
|  | 53.2\% | 16.7\% | 19.8\% | 19.1\% | 10.2\% |
| 2007 | 578,268 | 159,796 | 132,814 | 115,832 | 76,429 |
|  | 61.0\% | 16.9\% | 14.0\% | 12.2\% | 8.1\% |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 2 - MEDIAN AGE (YEARS) 2019

| Age Categories | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 5 years | 5.0\% | 4.6\% | 6.7\% | 9.7\% | 10.6\% |
| 5 to 17 years | 14.8\% | 13.9\% | 19.0\% | 21.7\% | 21.3\% |
| 18 to 24 years | 7.3\% | 6.5\% | 9.1\% | 9.7\% | 9.1\% |
| 25 to 34 years | 10.9\% | 13.3\% | 13.9\% | 14.3\% | 16.3\% |
| 35 to 44 years | 12.4\% | 16.4\% | 14.3\% | 15.8\% | 16.4\% |
| 45 to 54 years | 13.7\% | 15.2\% | 14.1\% | 15.8\% | 12.2\% |
| 55 to 64 years | 15.2\% | 13.6\% | 11.6\% | 8.7\% | 8.0\% |
| 65 to 74 years | 11.4\% | 9.4\% | 6.9\% | 4.4\% | 4.0\% |
| 75 years and over | 9.2\% | 7.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.8\% | 2.1\% |
| Median age (years) | 44 | 42 | 36 | 31 | 30 |

Source: American Community Survey

## TABLE 3 - MEDIAN AGE (YEARS) 2017

| Age Categories | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 5 years | 5.3\% | 4.8\% | 6.9\% | 9.8\% | 9.7\% |
| 5 to 17 years | 14.8\% | 15.0\% | 18.3\% | 21.2\% | 22.3\% |
| 18 to 24 years | 7.0\% | 7.0\% | 9.4\% | 10.0\% | 11.9\% |
| 25 to 34 years | 11.3\% | 13.7\% | 14.5\% | 15.1\% | 16.1\% |
| 35 to 44 years | 12.1\% | 15.9\% | 14.7\% | 16.3\% | 17.9\% |
| 45 to 54 years | 14.6\% | 16.0\% | 14.3\% | 12.8\% | 11.1\% |
| 55 to 64 years | 15.7\% | 13.0\% | 11.4\% | 8.3\% | 6.2\% |
| 65 to 74 years | 10.8\% | 8.6\% | 6.4\% | 4.0\% | 2.6\% |
| 75 years and over | 8.5\% | 6.0\% | 4.1\% | 2.6\% | 2.2\% |
| Median age (years) | 45 | 40 | 36 | 31 | 29 |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 4 - MEDIAN AGE (YEARS) 2010

| Age Categories | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 5 years | 5.5\% | 6.2\% | 7.6\% | 9.4\% | 9.7\% |
| 5 to 17 years | 15.9\% | 16.4\% | 19.7\% | 20.4\% | 18.3\% |
| 18 to 24 years | 6.5\% | 6.2\% | 9.1\% | 11.1\% | 11.6\% |
| 25 to 34 years | 12.0\% | 15.0\% | 15.1\% | 19.1\% | 21.8\% |
| 35 to 44 years | 13.2\% | 17.7\% | 15.9\% | 16.5\% | 16.3\% |
| 45 to 54 years | 16.7\% | 16.0\% | 15.1\% | 12.2\% | 12.3\% |
| 55 to 64 years | 14.5\% | 11.7\% | 9.5\% | 6.4\% | 6.8\% |
| 65 to 74 years | 7.7\% | 7.0\% | 4.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% |
| 75 years and over | 8.1\% | 3.9\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.4\% |
| Median age (years) | 43 | 39 | 34 | 30 | 30 |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 5 - FOREIGN BORN 2007, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | 96,029 | 106,519 | 66,708 | 114,300 | 65,389 |
|  | $17.9 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 49,666 | 116,155 | 60,502 | 107,854 | 58,816 |
|  | $12.6 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| 2007 | 51,994 | 92,393 | 47,274 | 81,654 | 46,655 |

Source: American Community Survey

## TABLE 6 - SPEAK ENGLISH LESS THAN "VERY WELL" 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $8.1 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $7.6 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $8.2 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $39.9 \%$ | $44.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 7 - MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other | American <br> Indian/ <br> Alaska <br> Native | Native <br> Hawaiian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Other <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\$ 106,920$ | $\$ 100,689$ | $\$ 62,487$ | $\$ 65,731$ | $\$ 65,264$ | $\$ 63,816$ | $\$ 71,205$ | $\$ 60,772$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\$ 119,426$ | $\$ 109,147$ | $\$ 72,587$ | $\$ 71,847$ | $\$ 75,723$ | $\$ 76,076$ | $\$ 87,679$ | $\$ 63,414$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\$ 135,635$ | $\$ 121,004$ | $\$ 72,617$ | $\$ 75,547$ | $\$ 66,870$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 8 - OCCUPATION 2010, 2017, 2019

| Occupation Categories | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other | Not Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management, business, science, \& arts | 67.0\% | 65.1\% | 47.6\% | 25.1\% | 14.3\% | 65.0\% |
| Service | 10.8\% | 14.2\% | 21.6\% | 30.8\% | 35.6\% | 12.5\% |
| Sales and office | 13.5\% | 15.1\% | 16.6\% | 14.8\% | 14.9\% | 14.7\% |
| Natural resources, construction, \& maintenance | 4.5\% | 1.9\% | 2.8\% | 21.4\% | 28.3\% | 2.7\% |
| Production, transportation, \& material moving | 4.2\% | 3.7\% | 11.5\% | 7.9\% | 6.8\% | 5.1\% |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management, business, science, \& arts | 63.9\% | 62.0\% | 45.4\% | 24.9\% | 13.9\% | 62.2\% |
| Service | 10.6\% | 13.6\% | 19.7\% | 31.3\% | 39.2\% | 11.9\% |
| Sales and office | 17.1\% | 16.4\% | 23.2\% | 16.1\% | 13.5\% | 18.2\% |
| Natural resources, construction, \& maintenance | 5.1\% | 1.7\% | 3.5\% | 20.9\% | 28.5\% | 3.1\% |
| Production, transportation, \& material moving | 3.4\% | 6.3\% | 8.2\% | 6.7\% | 4.8\% | 4.5\% |


| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management, <br> business, <br> science, \& arts | $63.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Service | $10.0 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $44.8 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Sales and <br> office | $18.9 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Natural <br> resources, <br> construction, <br>  <br> maintenance | $5.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production, <br> transportation, <br> \& material <br> moving | $2.9 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 9 - UNEMPLOYED 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $3.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| 2017 | $2.3 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| 2019 | $2.2 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 10 -\% IN THE LABOR FORCE 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $72.9 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $76.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | $69.6 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ |
| 2019 | $69.2 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | $71.8 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $79.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 11 - AVERAGE CONSTRUCTION MONTHLY EARNINGS AND\% OF WHITE AVERAGE 2012-2020

| Year | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  | Other |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\$ 5,437$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 4,172$ | $76.7 \%$ | $\$ 3,817$ | $70.2 \%$ | $\$ 3,463$ | $67.3 \%$ | $\$ 3,578$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\$ 5,483$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 4,197$ | $76.5 \%$ | $\$ 3,908$ | $71.3 \%$ | $\$ 3,594$ | $69.2 \%$ | $\$ 3,604$ | $65.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\$ 5,713$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 4,277$ | $74.9 \%$ | $\$ 3,998$ | $70.0 \%$ | $\$ 3,761$ | $69.7 \%$ | $\$ 3,813$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\$ 5,839$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 4,454$ | $76.3 \%$ | $\$ 4,178$ | $71.6 \%$ | $\$ 3,933$ | $71.1 \%$ | $\$ 3,955$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\$ 6,019$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 4,634$ | $77.0 \%$ | $\$ 4,255$ | $70.7 \%$ | $\$ 4,047$ | $71.1 \%$ | $\$ 4,043$ | $67.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\$ 6,593$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 5,599$ | $84.9 \%$ | $\$ 5,003$ | $75.8 \%$ | $\$ 4,569$ | $69.3 \%$ | $\$ 4,089$ | $62.0 \%$ |

Source: Quarterly Workforce Indicators

TABLE 12 - BUSINESS FIRMS 2019

| Firms | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms <br> with or without paid <br> employees | 16,359 | 17,538 | 17,532 | 8,333 |
| \% of total firms | $13.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Sales of firms with or <br> without paid <br> employees | $4,678,987$ | $1,940,781$ | $1,681,336$ | 674,807 |
| $\%$ of total firms | $4.2 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |

Source: Survey of Business Owners 2019

TABLE 13 - BUSINESS FIRMS 2012

| Firms | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of firms <br> with or without paid <br> employees | 16,359 | 17,538 | 17,532 | 8,333 |
| \% of total firms | $13.8 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Sales of firms with or <br> without paid <br> employees | $4,678,987$ | $1,940,781$ | $1,681,336$ | 674,807 |
| $\%$ of total firms | $4.2 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |

Source: Survey of Business Owners 2012

TABLE 14 - NUMBER OF FIRMS, REVENUE 2019

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Rumber of firms } \\ \text { Rachnicity } \\ \text { with or without } \\ \text { paid employees }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sales, receipts, or } \\ \text { value of shipments } \\ \text { of firms with or } \\ \text { without paid } \\ \text { employees } \\ \text { (\$1,000) }\end{array}$ | \% of Total Firms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$|  |
| :--- |
| Black or African |
| American |

Source: Survey of Business Owners 2012

TABLE 15 - NUMBER OF FIRMS, REVENUE 2012

| Rumber of firms <br> Rath or without <br> paid employees | Sales, receipts, or <br> value of shipments <br> of firms with or <br> without paid <br> employees <br> (\$1,000) | \% of Total Firms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: Survey of Business Owners 2012

TABLE 16 - SBDC CLIENTS 2018

| Race | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 489 | 58.2\% |
| Asian | 36 | 4.3\% |
| Black | 179 | 21.3\% |
| American Indian / Alaskan Native | 4 | 0.5\% |
| Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.1\% |
| No Response | 96 | 11.4\% |
| Unknown | 36 | 4.3\% |
| Total |  |  |
| Ethnicity | Number | Percent |
| Latino | 474 | 56.4\% |
| Non-Latino | 276 | 32.8\% |
| No Response | 34 | 4.0\% |
| Unknown | 57 | 6.8\% |
| Total | 841 |  |

Source: Montgomery County SBDC Segmentation Report 7_1_2013 to 12_5_2018

TABLE 17 - POVERTY RATES 2010, 2017, 2019

| Population Category | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All people | 4.1\% | 5.9\% | 13.6\% | 11.5\% | 13.8\% |
| Under 18 years | 4.3\% | 8.0\% | 17.7\% | 14.2\% | 15.1\% |
| Total Population | 536,605 | 157,335 | 200,076 | 210,773 | 115,872 |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| All people | 4.0\% | 5.8\% | 11.2\% | 11.1\% | 14.6\% |
| Under 18 years | 3.4\% | 3.6\% | 18.7\% | 15.8\% | 20.2\% |
| Total population | 544,323 | 161,254 | 196,882 | 207,392 | 110,897 |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Category | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| All people | 4.4\% | 5.7\% | 12.1\% | 12.1\% | 12.8\% |
| Under 18 years | 4.0\% | 6.4\% | 17.8\% | 14.1\% | 15.4\% |
| Total population | 552,393 | 133,402 | 163,904 | 159,110 | 105,989 |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 18 - CHILD POVERTY 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | $4.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |

TABLE 19 - RENT MORE THAN 30 \% OF INCOME 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $46.3 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $44.7 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $43.4 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $59.8 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 20 - NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE 2014, 2016, 2018

| Race/Ethnicity | 2014 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 62 | 67 | 72 | 85 |
| Asian | 7 | 7 | 221 | 1 |
| Black | 196 | 184 | 76 | 175 |
| Latino | 79 | 86 | 41 | 418 |
| Other | 43 | 39 |  | 38 |
| Total | 387 |  |  | 377 |

Source: Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services

TABLE 21 - LENGTH OF TIME IN FOSTER CARE 2018, 2021

2021

| Length of time in care | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 yr. old | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| 1-5 yrs. old | 30 | 0 | 56 | 13 | 17 | 116 |
| 6-10 yrs. old | 12 | 0 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 57 |
| 11-13 yrs. old | 8 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 33 |
| 14-17 yrs. old | 26 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 4 | 94 |
| 18-21 yrs. old | 18 | 0 | 28 | 18 | 3 | 67 |
| Total | 95 | 1 | 175 | 68 | 38 | 377 |

2018

| Length of time in care | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1 yr. old | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 23 |
| 1-5 yrs. old | 19 | 2 | 60 | 11 | 13 | 105 |
| 6-10 yrs. old | 13 | 0 | 44 | 6 | 6 | 69 |
| 11-13 yrs. old | 8 | 0 | 29 | 16 | 4 | 57 |
| 14-17 yrs. old | 22 | 4 | 32 | 24 | 3 | 85 |
| 18-21 yrs. old | 10 | 2 | 46 | 17 | 4 | 79 |
| Total | 72 | 8 | 221 | 76 | 41 | 418 |

Source: Montgomery County Department of Health and Human Services

TABLE 22 - OWNING VERSUS RENTING 2010, 2017, 2019

| Home Residency Category | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  | Other |  | Grand Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 211,158 | 52.0\% | 52,541 | 12.9\% | 68,489 | 16.8\% | 48,955 | 12.1\% | 24,923 | 6.2\% | 406,066 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Owner Occupied | 154,356 | 73.1\% | 39,195 | 74.6\% | 28,491 | 41.6\% | 24,477 | 50.0\% | 10,791 | 43.3\% | 257,310 | 63.3\% |
| Renter Occupied | 56,801 | 26.9\% | 13,346 | 25.4\% | 39,998 | 58.4\% | 24,478 | 50.0\% | 14,132 | 56.7\% | 148,756 | 36.7\% |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 222,498 | 53.1\% | 50,722 | 12.1\% | 64,823 | 15.5\% | 49,715 | 11.9\% | 31,199 | 3.9\% | 418,957 | 100\% |
| Owner occupied | 162,910 | 73.2\% | 37,688 | 74.3\% | 27,578 | 42.5\% | 24,412 | 49.1\% | 14,119 | 46.8\% | 266,707 | 63.7\% |
| Renter occupied | 59,588 | 26.8\% | 13,034 | 25.7\% | 37,245 | 57.5\% | 25,303 | 50.9\% | 17,080 | 56.0\% | 152,250 | 36.3\% |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Home Residency Category | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  | Other |  | Grand Total |  |
| Total | 225,561 | 57.3\% | 42,475 | 10.8\% | 59,449 | 15.1\% | 39,749 | 10.1\% | 26,333 | 3.6\% | 393,567 | 100\% |
| Owner occupied | 172,845 | 76.6\% | 30,862 | 72.7\% | 26,916 | 45.3\% | 23,899 | 60.1\% | 14,486 | 55.2\% | 269,008 | 68.4\% |
| Renter occupied | 52,716 | 23.4\% | 11,613 | 27.3\% | 32,533 | 54.7\% | 15,850 | 39.9\% | 11,847 | 45.2\% | 124,559 | 31.6\% |

Source: Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data

TABLE 23 - ALL MORTGAGE LOANS ORIGINATED 2012, 2017, 2020

| Race/Ethnicity <br> Number of <br> Mortgage Loans |  |  |  | Dollar Value of <br> Mortgage Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | Average Mortgage <br> Loan | \% Of Average <br> Loan to White <br> Borrower |  |  |
| Asian | 44,593 | $\$ 18,717,575,000$ | $\$ 419,742$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Black | 14,556 | $\$ 5,726,170,000$ | $\$ 393,389$ | 93.7\% |


| Latino | 2,600 | $\$ 751,575,000$ | $\$ 289,067$ | $81.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other | 251 | $\$ 75,027,000$ | $\$ 298,913$ | $84.3 \%$ |

Source: Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data

TABLE 24 - PUBLIC SCHOOL ENROLLMENT 2013-2021

| Year | All <br> Students |  | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$⿻$| Other |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |

Source: Maryland State Department of Education

TABLE 25 - HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE (INCLUDES EQUIVALENCY) 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | $13.2 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ |
| 2017 | $10.6 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| 2019 | $10.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $30.7 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 26 - LESS THAN HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $5.4 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $46.6 \%$ |
| 2017 | $5.6 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $38.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $5.3 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 27 - OUT OF SCHOOL SUSPENSION (INCLUDING EXPULSIONS) 2011-20

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $2.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| White | $1.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Asian | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Black | $5.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Latino | $2.5 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Other | $1.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |

Source: MCPS Security at a Glance

TABLE 28 - FOUR YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT DROPOUT 2010-2021

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $7.4 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $6.27 \%$ | $5.59 \%$ | $5.74 \%$ | $5.69 \%$ | $6.16 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ |
| White | $3.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.03 \%$ | $2.97 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ | $2.55 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ | $<3.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $2.6 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.41 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ | $1.15 \%$ | $1.05 \%$ | $0.87 \%$ | $<3.0 \%$ |
| Black | $11.2 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $8.69 \%$ | $6.79 \%$ | $6.69 \%$ | $6.12 \%$ | $6.25 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| Latino | $14.3 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $12.21 \%$ | $11.10 \%$ | $11.90 \%$ | $12.27 \%$ | $13.76 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Other | $2.9 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $3.68 \%$ | $3.29 \%$ | $3.79 \%$ | $4.39 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ | $<3.0 \%$ |

Source: http://reportcard.msde.maryland.gov

TABLE 29 - FOUR YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION 2010-2021

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | $86.2 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $89.7 \%$ | $89.4 \%$ | $89.8 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $89.5 \%$ | $>95.0 \%$ |
| White | $93.7 \%$ | $93.9 \%$ | $94.0 \%$ | $94.7 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $94.9 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ | $96.0 \%$ | $>95.0 \%$ |
| Asian | $94.7 \%$ | $94.3 \%$ | $95.2 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ | $96.4 \%$ | $97.3 \%$ | $95.0 \%$ |
| Black | $78.1 \%$ | $81.3 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | $83.9 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $86.8 \%$ | $87.7 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $88.2 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ |
| Latino | $74.2 \%$ | $75.3 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $77.5 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $78.5 \%$ | $82.5 \%$ |
| Other | $92.3 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $90.8 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $93.4 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $92.9 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $93.3 \%$ | $>95.0 \%$ |

Source : http://reportcard.msde.maryland.gov

TABLE 30 - COLLEGE DEGREE ATTAINMENT 2015, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $65.3 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $64.8 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $67.6 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 31 - REGISTERED APPRENTICES 2014-2021

| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  | 2018 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 60 | $48.8 \%$ | 37 | $32.2 \%$ | 47 | $35.3 \%$ | 40 | $24.4 \%$ | 46 | $29.7 \%$ | 43 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Asian | 1 | $0.8 \%$ | 8 | $7.0 \%$ | 7 | $5.3 \%$ | 4 | $2.4 \%$ | 4 | $2.6 \%$ | 5 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Black | 18 | $14.6 \%$ | 15 | $13.0 \%$ | 21 | $15.8 \%$ | 39 | $23.8 \%$ | 32 | $20.6 \%$ | 22 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Latino | 41 | $33.3 \%$ | 53 | $46.1 \%$ | 56 | $42.1 \%$ | 79 | $48.2 \%$ | 68 | $43.9 \%$ | 69 | $43.1 \%$ |
| Other | 3 | $2.4 \%$ | 2 | $1.7 \%$ | 2 | $1.5 \%$ | 2 | $1.2 \%$ | 5 | $3.2 \%$ | 5 | $3.1 \%$ |
| Total | 123 | 100.0 <br> $\%$ | 115 | $100.0 \%$ | 133 | $100.0 \%$ | 164 | $100.0 \%$ | 155 | $100.0 \%$ | 144 | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: Maryland Department of Labor, Licensing \& Regulation, Open Records Request

TABLE 32 - HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE 2010, 2017, 2019

| Subject | White | Asian | Black | Latino/Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population | 531,505 | 156,415 | 196,737 | 209,617 | 115,691 |
| With private health insurance | 85.6\% | 82.1\% | 64.8\% | 52.5\% | 39.1\% |
| With public coverage | 27.0\% | 23.2\% | 36.6\% | 29.9\% | 32.5\% |
| No health insurance coverage | 3.6\% | 4.5\% | 7.4\% | 22.4\% | 31.3\% |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population | 538,622 | 160,773 | 194,153 | 206,802 | 110,897 |
| With private health insurance | 86.0\% | 80.1\% | 69.2\% | 54.0\% | 43.2\% |
| With public coverage | 25.3\% | 21.8\% | 33.3\% | 30.8\% | 32.5\% |
| No health insurance coverage | 3.8\% | 5.8\% | 7.3\% | 19.4\% | 26.6\% |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject | White | Asian | Black | Latino / Latino | Other |
| Civilian noninstitutionalized population | 546,024 | 132,557 | 161,378 | 158,190 | 73,301 |
| With private health insurance | 87.1\% | 79.2\% | 68.9\% | 47.7\% | 36.7\% |
| With public coverage | 19.5\% | 13.9\% | 22.4\% | 22.6\% | 23.1\% |
| No health insurance coverage | 7.0\% | 12.6\% | 15.3\% | 33.4\% | 42.1\% |

[^0]TABLE 33 - INFANT MORTALITY (DEATHS PER 1,000 LIVE BIRTHS) 2008-2010, 2013-2015

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - \mathbf { 2 0 1 5 }}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - \mathbf { 2 0 1 0 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 3.7 | 4 |
| Asian | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Black | 8.8 | 9.1 |
| Latino | 4.9 | 3 |
| Other | 5 | 5.9 |
| Overall | 4.9 | 5.2 |

## TABLE 34 - AGE-ADJUSTED MORTALITY DUE TO HEART DISEASE (DEATHS PER 100,000 POPULATION) 2008-2010, 2013-2015

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 110 | 128.9 |
| Asian | 59.8 | 74.4 |
| Black | 127.8 | 154.1 |
| Latino | 55.7 | 58.9 |
| Other | 111.3 | 132.7 |
| Overall | 107.5 | 127.8 |

TABLE 35 - AGE-ADJUSTED MORTALITY DUE TO STROKE (DEATHS PER 100,000 POPULATION) 2008-2010, 2013-2015

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 23.7 | 23.3 |
| Asian | 23.2 | 29.9 |
| Black | 27.5 | 32.9 |
| Latino | 19.7 | 14.9 |
| Other | 24.7 | 30.9 |
| Overall | 24.5 | 30 |

TABLE 36 - AGE-ADJUSTED MORTALITY RATE DUE TO BREAST CANCER (DEATHS PER 100,000 FEMALES) 2008-2010, 2013-2015

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 19.5 | 19.2 |
| Asian | 7.3 | 7.6 |
| Black | 25.6 | 35.2 |
| Latino | 10.9 | 9.7 |
| Other | 20.1 | 21.8 |
| Overall | 23.7 | 24.8 |

Source: MCDHHS/PHS/Planning \& Epidemiology; Maryland DHMH/VSA; CDC/U.S. Census bridged Population Files (20132015); Healthy Montgomery

TABLE 37 - ARRESTS 2015, 2016, 2021

| Year | Crime Categories | Total | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | Crimes against persons | 1,374 | 353 | 26\% | 63 | 5\% | 561 | 41\% | 397 | 29\% |
|  | Crimes against property | 3,555 | 864 | 24\% | 105 | 3\% | 1,994 | 56\% | 592 | 17\% |
|  | Crimes against society | 8,945 | 3,698 | 41\% | 341 | 4\% | 1,251 | 14\% | 3,655 | 41\% |
|  | Total | 13,874 | 4,915 | 35\% | 509 | 4\% | 3,806 | 27\% | 4,644 | 33\% |
| 2015 | Crimes against persons | 1,221 | 342 | 28\% | 39 | 3\% | 530 | 43\% | 310 | 25\% |
|  | Crimes against property | 2,988 | 767 | 26\% | 0 | 0\% | 1,694 | 57\% | 527 | 18\% |
|  | Crimes against society | 11,137 | 3,409 | 31\% | 270 | 2\% | 4,306 | 39\% | 3,152 | 28\% |
|  | Total | 15,346 | 4,518 | 29\% | 309 | 2\% | 6,530 | 43\% | 3,989 | 26\% |

Source: Montgomery County Police Department

TABLE 38 - JUVENILE INTAKE 2013-2021

| Race/Ethnicity | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | $13.6 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| Black | $68.3 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ |
| Latino/Other | $18.2 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |

Source: Maryland Department of Juvenile Services, Data Resource Guides 2015, 2017

TABLE 39 - COMMUTING TO WORK 2010, 2017, 2019

| Means of Transportation | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total population | 293,460 | 89,170 | 97,948 | 109,586 | 60,041 |
| Car, truck, or van drove alone | 66.9\% | 62.8\% | 62.9\% | 62.1\% | 59.8\% |
| Car, truck, or van carpooled | 6.8\% | 13.3\% | 8.4\% | 17.6\% | 21.5\% |
| Public transportation (excluding taxicab) | 13.0\% | 14.3\% | 20.7\% | 11.3\% | 11.6\% |
| Walked | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 3.4\% | 3.3\% |
| Other means | 2.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total population | 544,323 | 161,254 | 196,882 | 207,392 | 110,897 |
| Car, truck, or van drove alone | 66.2\% | 65.7\% | 60.1\% | 65.1\% | 64.6\% |
| Car, truck, or van carpooled | 8.1\% | 14.4\% | 10.8\% | 15.5\% | 17.6\% |
| Public transportation (excluding taxicab) | 13.\% | 11.7\% | 19.8\% | 12.8\% | 12.1\% |
| Walked | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% |
| Other means | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Means of Transportation | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| Total population | 556,937 | 134,144 | 168,254 | 166,738 | 74,738 |
| Car, truck, or van drove alone | 66.3\% | 66.2\% | 61.3\% | 59.6\% | 59.2\% |
| Car, truck, or van carpooled | 9.4\% | 14.6\% | 9.0\% | 18.5\% | 21.0\% |
| Public transportation (excluding taxicab) | 13.7\% | 12.9\% | 22.6\% | 15.7\% | 15.1\% |
| Walked | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% |
| Other means | 1.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 40 - NO VEHICLE 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $6.2 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $5.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $5.7 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |

Source: American Community Survey

TABLE 41 - MEAN TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MINUTES) 2010, 2017, 2019

| Year | White | Asian | Black | Latino / Latino | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 | 32.6 | 35.5 | 37.8 | 34.1 | 33.1 |
| 2017 | 33.8 | 36.2 | 36.0 | 35.5 | 35.6 |
| 2019 | 34.2 | 35.9 | 37.8 | 33.6 | 32.5 |

Source: American Community Survey

## TABLE 42 - TOTAL OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE MOVED INTO THE COUNTY 2017,2019

| Categories | All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moved to Moco from inside of MD | 1.6\% | 6,561 | 1.2\% | 1,287 | 1.0\% | 5,059 | 3.2\% | 2,823 | 1.9\% | 2,500 | 3.6\% |
| Moved to Moco from outside of MD | 3.8\% | 19,684 | 3.6\% | 5,150 | 4.0\% | 7,589 | 4.8\% | 3,863 | 2.6\% | 2,889 | 3.0\% |
| Total moved to Moco | 5.4\% | 26,246 | 4.8\% | 6,437 | 5.0\% | 12,648 | 8.0\% | 6,686 | 4.5\% | 5,389 | 5.8\% |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moved to Moco from inside of MD | 1.8\% | 10,641 | 2.0\% | 7,808 | 5.0\% | 13,019 | 6.6\% | 18,001 | 8.7\% | 3,643 | 3.5\% |
| Moved to Moco from outside of MD | 2.4\% | 21,282 | 4.0\% | 5,309 | 3.4\% | 5,128 | 2.6\% | 3,103 | 1.5\% | 1,769 | 1.7\% |
| Total moved to Moco | 3.3\% | 31,923 | 6.0\% | 13,117 | 8.4\% | 18,148 | 9.2\% | 21,105 | 10.2\% | 5,413 | 5.2\% |

Source: American Community Survey
TABLE 43 - INTERNET ACCESS 2017, 2019

| 2019 | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number | 211,158 | $100.0 \%$ | 52,541 | $100.0 \%$ | 68,489 | $100.0 \%$ | 48,955 | $100.0 \%$ | 24,923 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Internet access | 206,090 | $97.6 \%$ | 50,439 | $96.0 \%$ | 66,667 | $91.5 \%$ | 43,667 | $89.2 \%$ | 22,879 | $91.8 \%$ |

Source: IPUMS

| 2017 | White |  | Asian |  | Black |  | Latino |  | Other |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number | 6,408 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,642 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,489 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,504 | $100.0 \%$ | 1,074 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Internet access | 5,972 | $93.2 \%$ | 1,552 | $94.5 \%$ | 1,374 | $92.3 \%$ | 1,272 | $84.6 \%$ | 935 | $87.1 \%$ |

Source: IPUMS

## APPENDIX B-1 - MANAGEMENT, BUSINESS, SCIENCE, AND ARTS OCCUPATIONS

| MANAGEMENT, BUSINESS, AND FINANCIAL OCCUPATIONS: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | MANAGEMENT OCCUPATIONS |
|  | BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OCCUPATIONS |
| COMPUTER, ENGINEERING, AND SCIENCE OCCUPATIONS: |  |
|  | COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL OCCUPATIONS |
|  | ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING OCCUPATIONS |
|  | LIFE, PHYSICAL, AND SOCIAL SCIENCE OCCUPATIONS |
| EDUCATION, LEGAL, COMMUNITY SERVICE, ARTS, AND MEDIA OCCUPATIONS: |  |
|  | COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL SERVICE OCCUPATIONS |
|  | LEGAL OCCUPATIONS |
|  | EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND LIBRARY OCCUPATIONS |
|  | ARTS, DESIGN, ENTERTAINMENT, SPORTS, AND MEDIA OCCUPATIONS |
| HEALTHCARE PRACTITIONERS AND TECHNICAL OCCUPATIONS: |  |
|  | HEALTH DIAGNOSING AND TREATING PRACTITIONERS AND OTHER TECHNICAL OCCUPATIONS |
|  | HEALTH TECHNOLOGISTS AND TECHNICIANS |

Source : https://censusreporter.org/tables/B24060/

## APPENDIX B-2 - SBDC CLIENTS

| AREAS OF SBDC COUNSELING | Number | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUSINESS ACCOUNTING/BUDGET | 32 | 3.80\% |
| BUSINESS PLAN | 166 | 19.74\% |
| BUY/SELL BUSINESS | 7 | 0.83\% |
| CASH FLOW MANAGEMENT | 7 | 0.83\% |
| CUSTOMER RELATIONS | 1 | 0.12\% |
| ECOMMERCE | 3 | 0.36\% |
| ENGINEERING R\&D | 1 | 0.12\% |
| FINANCING/CAPITAL | 74 | 8.80\% |
| FRANCHISING | 4 | 0.48\% |
| GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING | 95 | 11.30\% |
| HUMAN RESOURCES/EMPLOYEE MGT | 14 | 1.66\% |
| INTERNATIONAL TRADE | 3 | 0.36\% |
| LEGAL ISSUES | 42 | 4.99\% |
| MANAGING A BUSINESS | 230 | 27.35\% |
| MARKETING/SALES | 206 | 24.49\% |
| START-UP ASSISTANCE | 506 | 60.17\% |
| TAX PLANNING | 8 | 0.95\% |
| TECHNOLOGY/COMPUTERS | 12 | 1.43\% |
| NO RESPONSE | 0 | 0.00\% |
| UNKNOWN | 0 | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL | 841 |  |

## APPENDIX B-3 - APPRENTICESHIP TRADES



| STATIONARY ENGINEER |
| :--- |
| STEAMFITTER |
| STONE/MARBLE MASON |
| STRUCTURAL STEEL WORKER |
| Table Games Supervisor (New) |
| TELECOMMUNICATIONS INSTALLER-TECH. |
| TILE SETTER |
| TRADE SHOW CARPENTER |

## APPENDIX B-4 - ARREST CATEGORIES

| AGG ASSAULT |
| :--- |
| ALL OTHER OFFENSES |
| ANIMAL CRUELTY |
| ARSON |
| ASSAULT - INTIMIDATION |
| ASSAULT - SIMPLE |
| AUTO THEFT |
| BURGLARY |
| BURGLARY TOOLS - POSSESS |
| CDS OFFENSE |
| COMM SEX OFF - PROSTITUTION |
| COUNTERFEITING |
| DAMAGE PROPERTY |
| DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE DRUGS |
| DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE LIQUOR |
| DRUNKENNESS |
| EXUI |
| EMBEZZLEMENT |
| FAILING TO MOVTE/PROTECT. ORDER VIOL. |
| FAMILY OFFENSE |



| STOLEN PROPERTY |
| :--- |
| TRAFFIC OFFENSES |
| TRESPASSING |
| UNAUTHORIZED USE OF VEHICLE (INCLUDES JOY RIDING) |
| VANDALISM |
| WEAPONS OFFENSES |

## APPENDIX C - EXTENDED DEFINITIONS

## DROPOUT RATE:

Maryland Department of Education defines the dropout rate as
The percentage of students dropping out of school in grades 9 through 12 in a single year. The number and percentage of students who leave school for any reason, except death, before graduation or completion of a Maryland approved educational program and who are not known to enroll in another school or state-approved program during the current school year. The year is defined as July through June and includes students dropping out over the summer and students dropping out of evening high school and other alternative programs.
The dropout rate is computed by dividing the number of dropouts by the total number of students in grades 9 12 served by the school.

## FOUR-YEAR ADJUSTED COHORT GRADUATION RATE:

Maryland Department of Education defines the four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate as
The number of students who graduate in four years with a regular high school diploma divided by the number of students who form the adjusted cohort for the graduating class. For any given cohort, students who are entering grade 9 for the first time form a cohort that is subsequently "adjusted" by adding any students who transfer into the cohort later during the next three years and subtracting any students who transfer out, emigrates to another country, or dies during that same period.

## AGE-ADJUSTED RATE:

To calculate the age-adjusted mortality rate, first calculate the age-specific mortality rate for each age group by dividing the number of deaths by the respective population, and then multiply the resulting number by 100,000 . Next multiply each of the age-specific rates by the proportion of that year's population belonging to the particular age group. The ageadjusted rate is obtained by adding the resulting numbers.

## JUVENILE INTAKE:

Juvenile intake is defined by the state of Maryland as
The first contact that DJS will have with a youth. DJS operates juvenile intake offices in every county in Maryland in order to evaluate and assess each juvenile delinquency complaint brought by police, citizens or schools and determine whether the case should be forwarded to a State's Attorney to initiate a court case.


[^0]:    Source: American Community Survey

