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| 9 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MS. LEWINTER: Yeah. <br> MR. ROBINS: It's Exhibit 20. And the statement <br> of operations generally states that deliveries would be made -- would be arranged during regular business hours, generally outside of the peak hours in consideration of both on-site residents and the surrounding community. Food deliveries are typically made twice a week by a 20-by- 30 box truck. All other deliveries are simply made by United States Postal Service, FedEx or UPS on standard routes. All 0 laundry linens, etcetera is performed in house and require no outside vendors. <br> THE WITNESS: Right. In my -- just to build on that, in my trip generation analyses, when ITE does an 4 analysis of facilities -- and there are many that went into 15 assisted living -- all vehicles are accounted for. So 16 visitors, staff, delivery, everything is accounted for in that trip generation both inbound, obviously, and outbound. <br> MR. ROBINS: I'm sorry. Just one other thing that <br> I left out that Liz pointed out to me is in the <br> recommendations and conditions from the Planning Board, <br> which were acceptable to us, the food supplies delivered to the facility were limited to twice a week under condition four. <br> 24 BY THE HEARING EXAMINER: <br> 25 Q That actually brings me to my next question. When | ```of the daily wants and needs. So family will come in and take people out for lunch or dinner or shopping. But, that being said, Uber is accounted for within the trip generation. Q And it's accounted for within the context of an assisted living facility? A Well, again, anybody that's coming in and out of an assisted living facility, when we do the count, you are counting every single car, every car. No matter who's driving, or what the purpose of the trip is, every single vehicle is accounted for. So whether it's a visitor, staff, delivery vehicle, the resident who may still drive, Uber, everything is accounted for. They don't - they do not - Q Distinguish? A - lift out. Yeah. Exactly. Thank you. MS. LEWINTER: Those are my questions. Is there any -- sorry. Ms. Krishnan? MS. KRISHNAN: Yes. MS. LEWINTER: If you could, just state your name, because we have a new -- MS. KRISHNAN: Sure. MS. LEWINTER: -- court reporter. MS. KRISHNAN: Vyjayanthi Krishnan. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. KRISHNAN:``` |
| the trip generation -- so my understanding, right, we have an assisted living facility, but then we also have kind of a growth of things like Uber, which means people who may not have had the ability to drive in the past now have a lot more access to -- are things like Uber trips incorporated yet into that? <br> A Yes, they are. Uber started awhile ago, and ITE updates their trip generation information every couple of years. The most recent edition, the 11th edition, came out just several years ago, so Uber is included in that. And when people are using Uber, they don't have their own car. They actually drive less. There's less vehicle activity. <br> Q Right. But my understanding is the population for this assisted living facility is anticipated to not really be driving. So -- <br> A That's correct. <br> Q If they're going to do anything privately, I <br> guess, not with the van that's associated with the facility, they would be using Lyft or Uber or one of those? <br> A They may be, or family is coming to pick them up, visit, take them out. Recently my mother was in assisted living. There was actually very little on the way of Uber. My mother knew how to use that system, but, more often than not, they would use the van system to get around. So much of what's in an assisted living facility provides for most | Q So, when you mentioned that Uber analysis, how do you know, given that this is around 200 people, like 120 plus 200 -- you know, 70 people, how do you make an estimate of how many trips will happen there? I mean what is the basis of that -- <br> A Yeah. So ITE bases the trip generation on the number of beds, and there are 120 beds in the facility. That's not going to be 200 people. That will be 120 . A bed is a bed. So there are 120 beds in the facility, and the trip generation is based on that and the activity associated with a facility of that size. So, if it were 90 beds, or 100 , or 120,200 , the number of vehicle trips would increase or decrease depending upon the number of beds. <br> Q So, ifI understand it correctly, there is a number of Uber trips that's linked to a bed somewhere? Like there is a correlation that one bed equals X number of Uber trips? <br> A No. Again, it's not segregating out whether it's what we refer to as the independent variable when we're - when they do these regression analyses. These are many studies done all over the country. And it's in a regression equation, or the average number of trips coming and going from a site. The independent variable in many uses could be the square footage, or in a school it's the students, but it's capturing every vehicle. Whether it is |

the staff, or the resident, or visitors, trucks, Uber, it
doesn't matter. The analysis is capturing every single vehicle that goes through a driveway.
Q Okay. And how many visitors are you thinking each bed would --
A Again, my analysis is not pulling out individual.
It's looking at what the peak-hour vehicles are coming and
going. It doesn't distinguish between a visitor, the
resident, the staff, or the number of deliveries.
Q Okay. I got that. Okay.
A Yes.
Q So one other question, which I didn't think
before, but, you know, if it's okay to ask now, when you did the analysis for the traffic, was it -- you did mention it was a period of time. Was it during school times?
A Yes, it was. We're required to look at three hours for determining what the one-hour peak will be within that three-hour window. And our three-hour window in the morning peak hours required by the county is from 6:30 to 9:30, and then from four to seven. We have to look at the highest volume on the mainline roadway, in this case, West Old Baltimore and Maryland 355. So yes, it captures the school.

> Q Except the school times in West Old Baltimore Road start from two p.m to four p.m, because all the buses that
do come to drop off the kids during that time, including the extracurricular buses that come after 4:30. So they come between, you know -- between 1:45 because there's a Clarksville High School depot -- the bus depot is also nearby, so there are a lot of buses that actually are going to the schools during that time between one and 1:30 and
7 two, and then from two and four there are a number of buses
8 that are coming to drop off the kids, the elementary, middle
9 school, and the high school all on West Old Baltimore Road,
10 because there are multiple stops on that --
11 A Sure.
Q So how was that considered, given that there was also the -- you know, shift change happens around two p.m. for the facility on the same road.
15 A Yeah. So the four schools that have service -16 Gibbs Elementary School starts at 9:25. They don't let out 17 until 3:50. Clarksburg Elementary School starts at 9:25.
18 They don't let out until 3:50. Rocky Hill starts at 19 that's the middle school - starts at 8:15. They let out at
20 three o'clock. And then Clarksburg High School starts at
21 7:45, and they let out at 2:30. Our shift change occurs
22 around two o'clock. There's an ebb and flow, but the
23 highest time for change is right at two o'clock.
Q So you would be expecting cars to be coming out
from that -- what I'm saying, your four to seven p.m. in the

13
1 evening did not take into the fact that there would be much more pressure during between two and four in that area, including 3:55 because it does get jammed up. I go across that road to pick up my kid, you know, 2:50. 2:45, 2:50 you're stuck in 355 because there are buses coming in and out.

## A Right.

Q And you're just stuck there. So your four to seven p.m doesn't look like it would cover that traffic period.
1 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Krishnan, I just want to 2 remind --

> MR. ROBINS: Is there a question?

MS. KRISHNAN: I'mjust asking how would that be 15 accounted for.
Q If it is not part of the trip generation, how 17 would that be accounted for?
A Well, trip generation has nothing to do with the school hours. It has to do with the volume on the roadway
itself. And the peak time for the greatest volume of traffic on the road occurs between those three hours in the morning and those three hours in the afternoon. The fact that there are school buses on the road system, whether we are putting a car out at 2:30 when there's a bus, everybody has to stop for that bus. Does it delay traffic for that
period of time that a student is getting out of the bus, of course, but it is not the highest volume of traffic on the roadway and that's what we're required to study.

MS. KRISHNAN: Okay. Thanks for that. Thanks for the clarification.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
MS. LEWINTER: Are there any other questions from the audience?

Do you have any redirect?
MR. ROBINS: Not at this time.
MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Randall.
THE WITNESS: You're very welcome.
MS. LEWINTER: So does that conclude your case in 14 chief for now?
15 MR. ROBINS: It does. Well, we will, in all 16 likelihood, have some rebuttal; but, other than that, yes. MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you. All right. So now I know is the time that 19 everybody in the audience has been waiting for. This is 20 your opportunity to testify. If you are interested in 21 testifying, we will go -- I guess I'm just going to kind of 22 go from second or third row -- third row. No. Second row 23 back. We'll just go in order. You can come up, say your 24 piece. We're going to swear you in. And then the applicant 25 can ask you cross-examination questions.

| 17 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 So I don't remember everybody's name. I'm sorry. | 1 operated as a 24 -by-seven business requiring a parking lot |
| 2 Did you want to testify? | 2 whose lighting, according to the Worldshine testimony, would |
| 3 MS. MEYER: That's okay. | 3 not directly shine onto my property because I abut right |
| 4 MS. LEWINTER: Did you want to testify? | 4 behind the parking lot, but would certainly cause diffused |
| 5 MS. MEYER: Sure. Yes. | 5 light to lighten up the back of my house, and those of us |
| 6 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. All right. So we'll just go | 6 abutting the facilities. I mean, I can sometimes see the |
| 7 in order, unless somebody has some concern about that. And | 7 glow from, you know, aways away where there's a shopping |
| 8 if you can, again, just say and spell your name for the | 8 center. The parking lot would sit directly across from my |
| 9 court reporter, and then I'll swear you in | 9 two-storey bedroom window since my property sits nine feet |
| 10 MS. MEYER: Okay. Yes. My name is Terri Meyer, | 10 below the proposed site. The loss of darkness at night is a |
| 11 T-E-R-R-I M-E-Y-E-R. | 11 definite hit to the quality of the nighttime environment. |
| 12 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Ms. Meyer, are you | 12 Thirdly, the statement of operations, Exhibit 20, |
| 13 ready? | 13 states the facility will employ a maximum of 75 team |
| 14 MS. MEYER: Yes. | 14 members, and a maximum of 44 staff on site at any given |
| 15 (The witness was sworn.) | 15 time. It also states that shift changes occur at two, 10:15 |
| 16 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. | 16 and 5:45 a.m. So, if this facility was built, every morning |
| 17 TERRI MEYER, | 17 before I even get up and every night after I've already gone |
| 18 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and | 18 to bed, up to 44 employees would be arriving or leaving, and |
| 19 testified as follows: | 19 I would have to endure hearing these people chatting on |
| 20 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TERRI MEYER | 20 their way to their cars, all those doors slamming and up to |
| 21 MS. MEYER: Okay. Thank you. Good morning, | 2144 motors starting during those shift changes, and this |
| 22 everybody. | 22 would greatly hurt my quality of rest. |
| 23 MR. ROBINS: Good morning. | 23 Therefore, I strongly oppose the proposal to |
| 24 MS. MEYER: My name is Terri Meyer, and I live on | 24 change the zoning of this R-200 property because of the |
| 25 Ivy Leaf Drive located directly behind the proposed | 25 issues I have described, as well as those of my neighbors, |
| 18 | 20 |
| 1 development, and I appreciate the opportunity to testify | 1 and ask that fair consideration be given to protecting |
| 2 today. | 2 existing property owners' way of life. |
| 3 Since August of 2019 when I was first informed of | 3 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Ms. Meyer. |
| 4 the requested zoning change to accommodate an assisted | 4 I just had a request from Nana. I'm sorry. |
| 5 living facility, I have provided significant and thoughtful | 5 Ms. Johnson. She is asking if you could put your mailing |
| 6 input on at least three occasions to representatives of | 6 address and e-mail on the record just so that we can make |
| 7 Worldshine explaining why I believe that this particular | 7 sure that we are accurately including everybody in the |
| 8 site was not a good choice. Here are my reasons. | 8 followup. So if we could just take a minute for you to do |
| $9 \quad$ First, the neighbors on Ruby Drive, Ivy Leaf Drive | 9 that, and when we start other people's testimony, we'll do |
| 10 and Ivy Leaf Court have already endured dramatic growth in | 10 that. |
| 11 the area, first with the Banner School, then with this huge | 11 MS. MEYER: Okay. My address is 2205 Ivy Leaf |
| 12 Cabin Branch Development, which is still in progress, and, | 12 Drive, Boyds, Maryland 20841, and my e-mail is |
| 13 most recently, with the Tapestry Development, which abuts | 13 tjeanmeyer@aol.com. |
| 14 the other side of Ruby Drive where over 35 acres of forest | 14 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Ms. Meyer. |
| 15 were completely cleared to accommodate for that development. | 15 Do you have any cross-examination for Ms. Meyer? |
| 16 This is a lot of growth for such a small area. I think it's | 16 MR. ROBINS: Give me one second. |
| 17 only probably, what, a half square mile of land that we're | 17 MS. LEWINTER: Sure. |
| 18 talking here. Worldshine proposes to construct and run a | 18 MR. ROBINS: Just one question. |
| 19 very large facility compared to the other houses there, | 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT |
| 20 which would be sandwiched in-between two streets with single | 20 BYMR. ROBINS: |
| 21 family homes, a much less dense area than the Tapestry or | 21 Q Good morning. |
| 22 any of the other areas in the area. And I believe we have | 22 A Good morning. |
| 23 endured more than our fair share of sacrifices to our | 23 Q You had mentioned, Ms. Meyers, that you have |
| 24 quality of life in the name of development. | 24 endured a lot of development around the area like Tapestry, |
| 25 Secondly, the facilities proposed would be | 25 Cabin Branch. What else did you say? |


| 21 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A The Banner School, which is on the other side. | 1 it word for word. |
| 2 Q You wouldn't equate eight cottage homes to that | 2 Q Okay. Not on each shift. |
| 3 size of development; would you? | 3 A Okay. In the exhibit it did talk about how many |
| 4 A Well, what I'm saying is in this tiny little ar | 4 people - like there's only two, say, cookers or whatever. |
| 5 we've seen lots of dense development. | 5 And I added up the people that were on the staff that were |
| $6 \quad$ Q But you wouldn't say that eight cottage homes is | 6 there at one time and would be leaving at one time, and I |
| 7 equated to a Cabin Branch, or a Tapestry, or the other -- | 7 got it from Exhibit 20. |
| 8 the school that you mentioned? | 8 Q I just wanted to make sure that you -- |
| A No. | 9 A Su |
| 10 Q It's certainly not on that magnitude, correct? | 10 Q -- read that carefuilly. |
| 11 A No, because there's only so much land there | 11 A Yeah, I did. I did. Anything else? |
| 12 Q And are you -- | 12 MR. ROBINS: No. Thank you. |
| 13 A But the density of people, yes. | 13 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Ms. Meyer. You can be |
| 14 Q And to Cabin Branch and to Tapestry? Okay. | 14 excuse |
| 15 A Well, to -- | 15 Mr. Krishnan, did you want to testify? |
| 16 Q When you mentioned a comparison of the homes, you | 16 To the reporter, do you need her to spell her |
| 17 say these are huge cottages. Have you done any analysis of | 17 name? I know you got it before. |
| 18 that? | 18 Okay. So Ms. Krishnan, if you could, just state |
| 19 A My house and my neighbor's house are the same | 19 your address and your e-mail for the record, and then I'll |
| 20 size. We sit directly behind. Our houses are 2,650. If | 20 let you testify. |
| 21 you add the garage, we're still, like, maybe 28, probably | 21 MS. KRISHNAN: So I live in the Summerfield |
| 22 27. Most of the houses on that street are like 3,000 . | 22 Crossing community, and my e-mail address is |
| 23 That's a big difference to me than $\mathbf{5 , 8 0 0}$. That's pushing | 23 vyjoo1@gmail.com |
| 24 the limit for this sandwiched-in area, in my opinion. | 24 THE REPORTER: Is that zero, zero or |
| 25 Q But you haven't actually evaluated the dimensions | 25 MS. KRISHNAN: O-O, O as in orange, O as in |
| 22 | 24 |
| 1 of these homes? | 1 orange, the number 1@gmail.com. |
| 2 A I've evaluated when I look at th | 2 (The witness was sworn.) |
| 3 Q Visually? | 3 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. Go ahead. |
| 4 A No, on - if you go to the records of what the | 4 <br> VYJAYANTHI KRISHNAN, |
| 5 square footages of these houses are. There's no houses over | 5 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and |
| 6 5,000. | 6 testified as follows: |
| $7 \quad$ Q You mentioned also about staffing at 44 people, | 7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF VYJAYANTHI KRISHNAN |
| 8 you know, coming in and out 5:45. Did you look at the | 8 MS. KRISHNAN: So good morning, everyone. And |
| 9 staffing schedule carefuilly? | 9 before proceeding to the points of concern regarding the |
| 10 A Well, I just read the exhibit, and it said what | 10 application, I would like to highlight one key concern in |
| 11 the time shift changes were. | 11 the processing of this application. The key issue has been |
| 12 Q I would suggest looking at Exhibit 20 on the -- | 12 communication. The applicant, to our knowledge, did not do |
| 13 A That was from Exhibit 20. | 13 any significant or easily-accessible community outreach, nor |
| 14 Q -- operations - | 14 did they engage with the community or civic associations in |
| 15 A That was exactly from that exhibit | 15 the Clarksburg area for the 2023 new application. Using the |
| 16 Q -- and you'll see that there's different levels of | 16 limited outreach on older plans and showing aberrant |
| 17 staff on different schedules. | 17 compliance with the community outreach requirements for the |
| 18 A But the $\mathbf{4 4}$ was for the peop | 182023 new application should not be considered valid. The |
| 19 Q Maximum | 19 impact of this lack of outreach to the community has been |
| 20 A Right. So I said that. I said up to 44 people. | 20 significant, and has altered the baseline for the community |
| 21 Q But you said on each shift. | 21 interactions needed to evaluate the impact on the |
| 22 A No. I said the ones that are - the two o'clock | 22 surrounding areas of such a large facility. I respectfully |
| 23 is fine. It doesn't matter to me | 23 request that the hearing examiner require that outreach with |
| 24 Q Just if-- I just wanted to clarify. It's - | 24 the nearby residents and communities be included before even |
| 25 A I read specifically from that exhibit. I quoted | 25 evaluating this plan. Given the sensitive nature of the |


| 25 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 area, not having engaged with environmental groups, the | 1 overall presence of such a large facility. If the above is |
| 2 Clarksburg Civic Association or even nearby HOAs to get the | 2 not possible due to procedural limitations, then impervious |
| 3 community feedback has minimized the awareness of the | 3 surface should be restricted to the 15 to 19 percent as the |
| 4 significant change to the existing neighborhood area, as | 4 rest of the R-200 in the area for this site build out. A |
| 5 | $5$ |
| 6 it would have on the quality of life and water quality | 6 allow this limit to be maintained. This restriction will |
| 7 issues for the entire area that gets impacted by t | 7 least assure that, in the absence of data, the impact woul |
| 8 f | 8 be no greater than a regular R-200 |
|  |  |
| 10 special protection assessed area assignment means that extra | 10 The next point, We |
| 11 care is needed with a case-by-case review of each | 11 multiple neighborhoods along both sides of the road, Hurley |
| 12 application. | 12 Ridge, Summerfield Crossing, as well as Tapestry Homes. In |
| 13 | 13 all West Old Baltimo |
|  |  |
| 15 health of the watershed. In other words, no limit does n | 15 homes. In addition, we have Black Hill Regional Park wit |
| 16 mean the h | 16 the Little Seneca Lake, which is our reserve water supply |
| 17 should be the other way around where every inch should be | 17 for the area. Many visitors make use of this |
| 18 carefully monitored and should be evaluated by the county. | 18 amenities. The road is also an alternate connector to the |
| 19 A 34.7-percent imperviousness for this site, if it was in | 19 Clarksburg Premium Outlet, which is about three miles away. <br> 20 As such, the road does see a lot of traffic from the local |
| 21 here. In this area the 35 percent is not just very high fo | 21 residents and park and outlet traffic. The applica |
| 22 R-200, but it could be irreversibly damaging to the well | 22 property has 80 feet right-of-way that can be used |
| 23 | 23 |
| 24 impact the water table and other unintended effects. Also, 25 the Piedmont Aquifer, which is the sole source aquifer | 24 Ruby Drive that is to the east of Ruby Drive also appears to 25 be belonging to the owner as a private property of the |
| 26 | 28 |
| 1 supply | 1 Worldshine owner and also has 80 feet right-of-way acc |
| 2 design | 2 Given the increase of traffic, we believe using |
| 3 geotechnical or water survey or analysis of this impact of | 3 right-of-way on both the properties to have an extende |
| 4 such a huge | 4 right lane that allows for a right-turn lane both into Ruby |
| 5 On the previous hear | 5 Lane and also into the entrance of the facility would |
| 6 were other experts -- they were exp | 6 alleviate any future traffic issues that could arise. Also |
| 7 not in water quality, providing their personal opinions | 7 note that this area has multiple school bus stops on both |
| 8 it would all be, quote, unquote, okay and no impact to | 8 sides, and afternoon pickup and drop off coincides with |
| 9 water table, etcetera, is expected. However, no real data | 9 quite a bit -- with the shift change as well as the service |
| 10 has been provided in this regard. While it may | 10 vehicle plans of the facility. As such, this dedicated lane |
| 11 acceptable for an applicant building a facility in a | 11 will minimize the incoming shift's vehicular traffic at this |
| 12 area to do the geotechnical study after approval, given the | 12 facility and reduce the issues of school kids' and parents' |
| 13 SPA status and the above potential impacts to the water | 13 safety. Other neighborhoods have had to accommodate the |
| 14 quality and our concern that the watershed and the aquif | 14 excess traffic brought on by their communities by adding |
| 15 should be preserved, we request that the geotechnical survey | 15 dedicated left-turn or right-turn lanes in West Old |
| 16 be conducted before the application is approved. This | 16 Baltimore Road. We believe that with nearly 200 people |
| 17 should be done by an independent expert who is approved by | 17 this property Worldshine also should include this dedicate |
| 18 local environmental groups such as the Seneca Creek | 18 right lane to accommodate the presence on this road. The |
| 19 Watershed Partners or Friends of 10-Mile Creek, etc | 19 extended right lane into both Ruby Drive and the facility |
| 20 who have been super knowledgeable on these areas. The | 20 will prevent any abrupt turns or backups that can be caused |
| 21 facility construction may require blasting, as per my | 21 by just having a dedicated right turn into the facility |
| 22 understanding, for making the basements for these eight | 22 |
| 23 large buildings. These are not cottages. The study should |  |
| 24 look at the short-term and the long-term impact of that, as | 24 facility being built on a sensitive area in a sp |
| 25 well as other effects that could be happening due to the | 25 protection area due to its large footprint, and believe that |

there are other areas in Clarksburg that are better suited for this facility. We have serious concerns about immediate construction related to blasting of the sensitive area, as well as longer-term impacts. Therefore, if this plan has to be approved, then the hearing examiner should ask for actual data from a geotechnical survey and have engagements with the environmental groups that are knowledgeable in this area before approving the application. If that can't be done due to procedural issues, then, due to the absence of such data, the facility should be restricted to four buildings, instead of eight buildings so that the present imperviousness is maintained at the current R-200 level for the area. A dedicated right lane utilizing the ROW of both the applicant property and the private property abutting West Old Baltimore Road on the east side should be constructed to provide relief for the remaining homeowners in the area in a manner that is similar to other developers who have built the community entrances of West Old Baltimore Road. Thank you for your time.
MS. LEWINTER: I have one question. You said we. Are you speaking for yourself, or are you speaking --

MS. KRISHNAN: I'm speaking for myself; but I
think a lot of residents have privately provided me feedback, so I am just representing, you know, their feelings also. But yeah, I'm coming here as an individual.
that I'm also the president of the HOA. I'm not - I was
representing myself. And I don't know if they got confused
or not, but I gave - I was representing myself.
Q Okay. So the HOA, just for the record, has not
authorized you to speak on their behalf?
A I have not - I have not necessarily represented
that I'm representing the HOA, because, again, I think -
Q The question is just whether you have been
authorized on behalf of the HOA.
A No.
Q Thank you.
A I've not said that at all ever.
Q Okay. You said in your remarks today that there
has not been significant outreach. Are you aware of the
15 zoning ordinance requirements for notice and outreach for
16 conditional use applications?
17 To the extent that we were informed the prior
notifications in 2017 and not having provided here, I know
that there's been some - either a drop off or a utilization
of the rules where the HOA or the community members were
informed that Worldshine was making the -
Q That's not my question. My question is, are you
aware of the noticing requirements of the zoning ordinance
for a conditional use application?
A To the - yes. I think so.
Q Could you state for the record what the zoning
ordinance requires for notice of a conditional use
application?
exactly the number, but somewhere around that number.
Q For clarification, the zoning ordinance is very
specific in terms of the mile radius for HOA and civic
10 associations and what adjoining and fronting property owners
11 get noticed. So that --
A So can you tell me what that number is?
MS. LEWINTER: This is not appropriate --
Q I'm asking you a question.
A Okay.
Q Thank you. The other question I have for you on
17 that is -- and you were alluding to this. Are you aware
18 that the petitioner did do voluntary outreach with the
19 community? It's a yes or no question.
20 A At what time?
21 Q Over the course of this application, has the
22 commissioner -- has the petitioner -- so the zoning
3 ordinance does not require a community meeting for a
4 conditional use application. Did the petitioner hold some
community meetings on this application?

A That the nearby HOAs and the community residents should be informed. They don't specify specifically that, you know, within a . 2 or .5-mile radius. I don't know

| 33 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A On 23-11? On 23-11 application? | 1 Ms. Krishnan. |
| 2 Q This conditional use application has been pending, | 2 Q While that's being pulled up, Ms. Krishnan, do you |
| 3 I think, since -- we could find out the date, but it's not a | 3 have any formal educational training in land planning? Do |
| 42023 application. It's been pending for quite sometime. | 4 you have any formal educational training in land planning, |
| 5 I'masking, on this conditional use application, did the | 5 environmental planning or transportation planning? |
| 6 petitioner hold voluntary community outreach? | 6 A I'm a resident. |
| $7 \quad$ A On 23-11? The application 23-11 - because there | $7 \quad \mathrm{Q} \quad$ So is the answer yes or no? |
| 8 is no link between 23-11 and any of the older applications, | $8 \quad \mathrm{~A}$ No. |
| 9 to my knowledge. So the 23-11 application there was no | 9 Q Thank you. During your cross of the petitioner's |
| 10 outreach as far as I know. | 10 witnesses, you asked a series of questions regarding the |
| 11 Q Okay. I'll frame it a different way. Did the | 11 project's impact on the streams and tributaries in the |
| 12 petitioner hold community meetings to discuss their plan | 12 surrounding area. Do you personally have any study or data |
| 13 for the redevelopment -- | 13 showing how much runoff of this site will reach those creeks |
| 14 A -plan? | 14 and tributaries? |
| 15 Q -- point in time? | 15 A I do not. I do - |
| 16 A No. I don't know. There's - I mean, I don't | 16 Q Thank you. |
| 17 know of all the things that they've done. But as of 23-11, | 17 A I do want to have a study. That is why I do think |
| 18 which is the only application and the only plan that we are | 18 there is a study required. If the data was available, it |
| 19 talking about - | 19 would be easier for the rest of the community to figure out |
| 20 Q Why are you saying that that community outreach | 20 what's going on. |
| 21 dropped off and that there's been a drop off? | 21 Q Your written testimony is in the record as Exhibit |
| 22 A Because the only time that I do know that - | 2222 I . |
| 23 personally, I do know that there was an older application, | 23 A Hm-hm. |
| 24 but there was nothing when this application was submitted, | 24 Q It included a lot of information regarding the |
| 25 or anything to that effect because it's a new plan, a new | 25 master plan. Do you have any qualifications that give you |
| 34 | 36 |
| 1 completely different thing. There has been no outreach. | 1 expertise in evaluating a master plan? |
| 2 And that's exactly what I'm trying to convey, that - | 2 A I think the ability to read and comprehend is |
| 3 Q Well, are you - | 3 there, but I know enough to know that, if there are things |
| 4 A Knowing that there was - knowing that there was | 4 that say a further study is required, then it is - you |
| 5 significant opposition to the initial plan, there was | 5 know, it is okay to ask for that further study, not assume |
| 6 probably a concerted effort to not engage the community. | 6 that - you know, everybody does not have to be a |
| 7 And that's fine, but I'm just stating the facts as we see | 7 geotechnical expert to know that a geotechnical study might |
| 8 it, and that's about it. | 8 be a good thing, given the amount of, you know, concern |
| 9 Q Are you aware that in the petitioner's outreach | 9 about environmental issues for this area. |
| 10 the petitioner made several offers to meet with the | 10 MS. ROGERS: Okay. If you can, let's scroll down |
| 11 community and was -- I don't know the right word to say it, | 11 a little bit. |
| 12 but very summarily dismissed in terms of wanting to take up | 12 Q This is an excerpt from your written testimony |
| 13 offers to have meetings -- | 13 where you cite to -- in the highlighted section just right |
| 14 A Which community - which community are you talking | 14 there, you cite to page 142 of the master plan, and you |
| 15 about? | 15 quote, The greatest constraints environmentally are east of |
| 16 Q I'masking. | $16 \mathrm{I}-270$ and in the area of the stream valley of the Seneca |
| 17 A No. Which community, ma'am, are you talking | 17 Creek. |
| 18 about? Because there are many communities there. Which | 18 A Hm-hm. |
| 19 community did they outreach to? | 19 MS. ROGERS: If you'd go to the next slide, |
| 20 Q I'm not going to -- I'm not answering questions. | 20 please. |
| 21 I'm asking you questions. | 21 Q I would just state for the record your testimony |
| 22 A SoImean - | 22 included many quotations, like I just read one. Were those |
| 23 Q I will move on. | 23 direct quotes from the master plan? |
| 24 MS. ROGERS: If we could please pull up Exhibit | 24 MS. ROGERS: One slide up, actually. Thank you. |
| 2537 , we have some other questions that we would like to ask | 25 A So which one are you talking about? |

37
Q I'mjust asking generally. Your letter you
submitted at 22I has a number of quotes.
A Right.
Q You put quotes around sentences. Are those exact quotes from the master plan?

A To the extent that I-given it was a 500-page plan, I, to the extent that I could as a nonexpert, provide the - you know, the cut and paste from a PDF that is $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ pages plus. I do think that many of these are exact quotes. However, I will not say that every one of them is exact, because, again, copy, paste and not having an admin staff to take care of it.
Q Well --
A But, if you have any specific concerns, which I do 15 think you have -

Q Yes. I --
A - talked about the particular one -
Q I will walk you through a few of them.
A Yes.
Q So this is actually an excerpt from-- a
21 screenshot from page 142 of the master plan. Can you please
read the language that's highlighted?
23 A Yeah. I do see that it says -
24 Q Can you please read the language --
25 A The least constrained areas are located east of

## 270.

Q Correct. And the quote from your letter, just to reiterate what I said, is you said, The greatest constraints are east of 270 .
A And I can - I can assume that that might have
been a typo. But you also see that I have -
Q Well, wouldn't you agree this is completely --
this quote from the master plan is actually completely
9 contrary to what you represented in your letter? Least
10 constrained versus the greatest constrained, aren't those --
11 A No.
Q -- completely contrary?
A I might - I would assume that if it is an error,
14 I would - I would apologize for it. But I can also see
15 that I have one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight,
16 nine, 10 -
17 Q We can get --
18 A Nine, 10 points which - allow me to speak too.
19 Nine, 10 points just in that environmental issue itself,
20 none of which have errors. And you have not been able to
21 point those out, which you have obviously done a very good
22 job of looking at it. So there is a typographical error in
23 out of one of 10 points; and, therefore, I can - I can
24 accept the typo.
25 Q Okay.

39
1 A But the rest of them that talk about -- I can -- I
2 can -- let me finish.
3 Q Ms. Krishnan, that's not the question, because we
4 will go through further the --
5 A Okay.
6 Q -- example, so I'm asking you a question on this 7 example.
8 A Okay.
$9 \quad$ Q And we certainly will get to the rest of your 10 testimony later. To follow up on this misconstrued language 11 from the master plan, do you know the buffer distance 12 required on either side of a stream in the county? Do you 13 know what the exact buffer distance is that's required for a 14 stream?
15 A I can't think of it off the top head right now.
16 Q Do you know how far this site is from the nearest 17 stream?
18 A I think it's around a thousand feet, plus, minus.
19 Q Isn't it true that this property is not located in
20 a stream buffer or a stream valley?
21 A It's close enough to a stream.
22 Q Is it located in a stream buffer or a stream
23 valley?
24 A I'm not aware yes or no because I'm not the 25 expert.

1 Q Isn't it true --
2 A - some expert person to look at that and say
3 whether that has -
4 Q I understand that.
5 A - impact.
6 Q If you could just answer my questions, that would
7 be great. Isn't it true that the property is located east
8 of I-270?
9 A As many other properties, yes.
10 Q Thank you.
11 MS. ROGERS: If you could go to the next slide.
12 Q So we would note that this is Figure 45, which is
13 cited in page 142 of Ms. Krishnan's letter, including their
14 testimony I'd just like, for the record, if you could look
15 at this. Could you, please -- this ranks the environmental
16 constraints such as steep slopes, floodplains, poor soils,
17 all that and their effects on development potential. How is
18 the property classified on this exhibit? There's three 19 classifications, moderate, severe or slight.
20 A I cannot even figure out - the quality of this is
21 so bad in the master plan also. I cannot, as a nonexpert,
22 figure out what that is, which is why I want experts to look
23 at it and make an assessment.
24 Q Thank you.
25 A I really cannot -

1 MS. LEWINTER: Can you slow down for the record?
Q You can easily see where I-270 is. You can see
where 355 is, and then you can actually see the stub of Ruby Road when we put a star right where it is.

A When you explain - blowit up like this, it's possible, but not when you're looking at it in a PDF from online. Sorry. I cannot - I cannot make the difference
8 between spotted gray and gray and things at this point even 9 with this. Sorry.
10 Q Well, the classification is slight. Is there any
11 classification lower than slight on this map? There was
three classifications, moderate, constrained and slight.
13 A I can't figure out from this. Sorry. I'm really 14 sorry.
15 Q Thank you.
16 A My eyesight is not that good.
17 Q Your written testimony included citations to
18 numerous master plan recommendations. Again, are you
19 certain that those master plan recommendations were
20 applicable to this property?
21 A Yes, I do.
MS. ROGERS: Can we go to the next slide, please?
A To this whole area. Not necessarily to this
24 property. To the whole area. Again -
Q Well, the Clarksburg Master Plan, of course, is

1 this because I was confused too whether this particular area
2 was just specific to Little Bennett or not when I was
3 qualifying it. And I print a printout of this. And if you
4 can take a look at this, because it's tough to see it
5 online, Little Bennett, the entire discussion is our Little
6 Seneca Watershed - it states in the Little Seneca Watershed
Creek - Little Seneca Creek warrants extraordinary
attention to the site layout, BMP integration, construction practices to ensure maintenance of a healthy stream system.
10 Most of the watershed's development should be covered by
11 enhanced environmental guidelines. And this is specifically
12 for Little Seneca Creek, which you're not showing here, but
13 that's okay. But this is what's called for for Little 14 Seneca Creek.
15 Then they go to Little Bennett, and they go all 16 the way and they finish here on page 149 , and they say, you 17 know, standard environment conditions. On the next page, as 18 you can see, the indentation is not the same, and it reverts 19 back to the indentations for the watersheds. And this 20 looks - this eventually starts talking about Clarksburg, 21 and it says - if you can see, there's an indentation that 22 it goes back to the initial or close to the initial
23 indentation and not as part of the Little Bennett Watershed
24 discussion anymore. And the next four paragraphs talk about 5 Clarksburg. They talk about the Piedmont Aquifer. They
talk about the ill-designed and abandoned septic leach fields. They talk about the drastic study that the county made, all of which do not only reference to Little Bennett, but through the whole area. So it was a drastic study, and things of that nature were not only done for the Little Bennett, but for the whole area. So if you see the indentation, given that there is a typo there and the fact that, you know, the previous paragraph does not link to anything within that Little Bennett, I do believe and - we, 10 you know, might have to talk to the master plan areas as to 11 why they indented it further out so that they could separate 12 it out from the Little Bennett Watershed description.
13 Because, again, by inference, all the other following
14 information about the population and all that is true for 15 all the, you know, watersheds in this area.
16 Q And could --
17 A So that's my understanding, and I do not think
18 that this is misquoted or misrepresented.
19 Q Could you find your quote on that page, what you 20 represented in quotes? I could not find it, for the record.
21 I won't waste time to have you sit there and find it on that
22 page, but I could not find the exact quote.
23 A Yeah, but it is there. I didn't take it as a
24 quote. I think I -
25 Q Well, it was represented in quotes in your


| 49 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 something that was meant to be negotiated. It was already | 1 explain why she's contradicting herself, personally, I think |
| 2 part of the master - Clarksburg Master Plan, and the master | 2 it's just easier to point out those contradictions and let |
| 3 plan had already approved or included that in their | 3 somebody make that conclusion. |
| 4 assessment of the health of that area, which is very | 4 MR. ROBINS: Thank you. |
| 5 different from this, which is a conditional use and changing | 5 BY MS. ROGER |
| 6 quite a few of the R-200 requirements in that area. | 6 Q Ms. Krishnan, you questioned the petitioner's |
| $7 \quad$ Q So, just for the record, the answer -- a simple | 7 architect regarding whether the proposed cottages look like |
| 8 yes or no -- did you oppose the Tapestry development? | 8 the homes on Ruby Drive and those on the south side of West |
| 9 A I was not aware that there was opposition needed. | 9 Old Baltimore during our last hearing. |
| 10 Q Okay. You mentioned in your remarks today that | 10 MS. ROGERS: Can we go to the next slide? |
| 11 the properties in the surrounding R-200 zones have only 15 | 11 Q Do you recognize this house? |
| 12 to 19-percent impervious coverage. Do you know what the | 12 A Id |
| 13 impervious coverage is for Tapestry? | 13 Q And whose house is it? |
| 14 A I'm seeing that there, but my statement was coming | 14 A It's the house. I do recognize it. |
| 15 from your proposal, which said 15 to 19 is the average for | 15 Q Is this your house? |
| 16 R-200s in the area. And you did not bring up Tapestry in | 16 A What do you - do you know if it's my house? |
| 17 your setup either. You were saying the average quoted was | 17 Q I'm asking you. |
| 1815 to 19 percent from your report, not mine. | 18 A I'm not - I'm not going to answer yes or no, |
| 19 Q Is an average different than saying that there's | 19 because, again, this is the question that I brought it up |
| 20 not other -- I mean, an average -- well, I'm going to ask | 20 that - first of all, Summerfield Crossing was excluded from |
| 21 the question. Could you read for the record -- this is an | 21 your surrounding neighborhood evaluation. You specifically |
| 22 excerpt from the staff report for the Tapestry preliminary | 22 removed Summerfield crossing out, so to bring a home - |
| 23 plans and site plans development applications that were | 23 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Krishnan |
| 24 required for its approval -- what the impervious area is for | 24 A - that is present in Summerfield Crossing - |
| 25 Tapestry? | 25 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Krishnan, she's asking you if |
| 50 | 52 |
| 1 A I can see it there. I don't know what the entire | 1 this is your house. If you don't -- |
| 2 context is. You might be taking it out of context. But I | 2 MS. KRISHNAN: Yes. |
| 3 also know that the Tapestry imperviousness was included | 3 MS. LEWINTER: -- feel comfortable answering that |
| 4 was also including the improvements on 354 West Old | 4 question, you can say I do not feel comfortable -- |
| 5 Baltimore Road, and that was included as part of their | 5 MS. KRISHNAN: I do not feel comfortable answering |
| 6 imperviousness. So it was between 25 and 27, if I | 6 it. |
| 7 understood correctly. However, I do not know whether the | 7 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. |
| 8 Planning Board was correct or not correct in that. It's | 8 BY MS. ROGERS: |
| 9 water under the bridge. I don't think two wrongs - if it | 9 Q Wouldn't you agree that this house on the screen |
| 10 was wrong, doesn't mean two wrongs make a right. | 10 and the other homes in Summerfield Crossings don't look like |
| 11 MR. ROBINS: Madam Hearing Examiner, can I just | 11 the homes on Ruby Drive? |
| 12 shift for one second? My co-counsel's asked a question. | 12 A They are sufficiently different. Yes. |
| 13 It's a very simple answer as to what was on the screen. | 13 Q Are they more modern? |
| 14 What's the answer? Not a dissertation on a situation. It's | 14 A I don't know what is modern. We thought that this |
| 15 just a very simple answer. | 15 was a colonial. I thought colonial is considered old. And, |
| 16 MS. LEWINTER: I mean, I just think you should be | 16 again, I was not there while the property was being built, |
| 17 a little bit realistic about how she's going to respond | 17 so asking me whether this house looks like any other is |
| 18 here. | 18 water under the bridge. You should be talking to the |
| 19 MS. KRISHNAN: If it's -- if it's -- if it's a | 19 developers who did that. |
| 20 legal -- | 20 Q Would you view a home like the one that's on the |
| 21 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Krishnan, just one second. | 21 screen to be compatible with those on Ruby Drive and West |
| 22 If the point is to establish that you have issues | 22 Old Baltimore Road? |
| 23 with the credibility of her testimony, I think there's | 23 A Not really. No. I wouldn't think this would go |
| 24 plenty of time in closing argument. I get the point. But | 24 well with Ruby Drive as it is right now. No. |
| 25 to sit here and have her, you know, contradict herself and | 25 Q But I'm not asking you just about Ruby Drive. I'm |


| 53 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 also asking about West Old Baltimore Road. How about the | 1 Q Thank you. |
| 2 surrounding neighborhood? Is this compatible with homes in | 2 A -- off-the-cuff calculation. |
| 3 the area? | 3 MS. ROGERS: If we can go two slides down. |
| 4 A It depends on what you define as neighborhood. | 4 Q This image is showing measurements. They're |
| 5 This home within Summerfield neighborhood is compatible with | 5 screenshots taken of a house in Summerfield Crossing. Can |
| 6 the surrounding homes within the Summerfield neig | 6 you please read the dimensions of that cottage? |
| 7 y | 7 A Again, it's not a cottage. |
| 8 MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please | 8 Q That hous |
| 9 A It's not a cottage. I'm sure - I'm glad someone | 9 A I can't see it from here. Sorry. |
| 10 mention | 10 Q I can read it for you. It's 45.8 feet deep by |
| 11 Q Isn't it true th | 1155.1 feet wide. |
| 12 Summerfield Crossing looks very similar to the proposed | 12 A Okay. |
| 13 cottages with this application? | 13 Q This is -- |
| 14 A If it was - this house - I'm not an expert, but, | 14 A This is -- this is still on Gorman Circle, thou |
| 15 if you're asking me to compare t | 15 not Ruby Drive. |
| 16 Q I'mjust asking if they look si | 16 Q Correct. It is. |
| 17 A They look similar. And as you said - as I said, | 17 A Yeah. |
| 18 this is on Gorman Circle and not on Ruby Drive. So if | 18 Q Wouldn't you agree that the dimensions of this |
| 19 another house was next on this on Gorman Circle with this | 19 house are similar to that of the proposed cottages? |
| 20 type of thing, it would look very similar. On Gorman | 20 A You'd have to show me the numbers, but -- |
| 21 Circle, yes, it will look very simila | 21 MS. ROGERS: If we can go to the next slide, we |
| 22 Q Do you know how large your house | 22 have -- |
| 23 A 4,000, plus another 1,500 under basement. Yeah. | 23 A You're talking about square footage, right? |
| 24 Somewhere around that. You know - you know my -- | 24 Q I'm asking you if the footprint -- |
| 25 Q In addition to the living area of your house, do | 25 MS. ROGERS: If you go to the next slide, there's |
| 54 | 56 |
| 1 you have a one or two-car garage? | 1 a comparison that Ms. Krishnan can look at. Thank you. |
| 2 A Atwo-car garage. | 2 Q This is an excerpt on the right from the |
| 3 Q So accounting for the size of your garage, | 3 petitioner's submission, which shows the footprint at |
| 4 wouldn't you agree that your house is similar in size to the | 4 approximately 55 by 52 and the home in Summerfield Crossing |
| 5 proposed cottages? | 5 at 45.8 by 55.1. Wouldn't you agree that this house on |
| 6 A Are you calling my house a cottage? | 6 Gorman Circle has a similar footprint as compared to the |
| $7 \quad$ Q I'masking if your house -- I used the word | 7 proposed cottages? |
| 8 house -- is similar in size to our proposed cottages. | 8 A I wouldn't agree. I wouldn't agree because that's |
| 9 A I'm assume - no. I think you said last time vid | 910 feet more, and the area and the topology also matter. So |
| 10 someone asked online you said it was close to 8,000 square | 10 I wouldn't agree with that at all, because - as I'm not an |
| 11 feet, including the basement. That was the number 8,000 , | 11 expert, I would not agree those are true, or I would not |
| 12 plus, minus. So definitely I wouldn't think 6,000 is equal | 12 disagree because I'm not the expert. Sorry. |
| 13 to 8,000 and some change. I don't know the exact number, | 13 Q Thank you. Are the homes in Summerfield |
| 14 but there was a significant discrepancy between houses that | 14 Crossing -- wouldn't you agree they're much closer together |
| 15 were on Ruby Drive or even on Ivy Leaf. And, again, this is | 15 than the proposed cottages in terms of spacing? |
| 16 Gorman Circle, which is different from Ruby Drive and | 16 A It is true because - |
| 17 even - even my house is not that big, even with the garage. | 17 Q Thank you. |
| 18 Q So a house that has above-grade living area of | 18 A The reason I'm - I would like to make a statement |
| 19 almost 4,200 square feet, plus a 1,400 square-foot finished | 19 here that Summerfield Crossing has an 18.7 - |
| 20 basement, plus a two-car garage, in your opinion, is not | MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Krishnan -- |
| 21 similar to the size of the cottages proposed by the | 21 A Has an 18.7 imperviousness. |
| 22 petitioner? | 22 MS. LEWINTER: Yes. She is asking you questions |
| 23 A If you were saying it was around 9,000, I still | 23 on cross-examination. |
| 24 don't think the numbers would add up. But yeah, that's my | 24 MS. KRISHNAN: Hm-hm |
| 25 off -- | 25 MS. LEWINTER: You've answered the question. |


| 57 | - 59 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. KRISHNAN: Okay. | 1 there's going to be an impact. And, again, that's my - |
| 2 MS. LEWINTER: | 2 |
| 3 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Next slide, please. | 3 folks in the Summerfield Crossing, but definitely myself and |
| 4 BYMS. | 4 my family. |
| 5 Q | 5 Q An |
| 6 West Old Baltimore Road that we took from Google Streetview. | 6 the unique impacts on you that others in the neighborhood |
| 7 Wouldn't you agree that if compared to the proposed cottage | 7 don't have. I'mjust curious. Are there unique impacts on |
| 8 elevation-- again, it's in the record. |  |
| 9 that they are sim | 9 |
| 10 A I | 10 people have or don't have. I can only tell you what my - |
| 11 Drive is different and West | 11 what the impacts are on me. I would have to know what all |
| 12 I'm no | 12 other peop |
| 13 to ask for a | 13 unique, which I'm not priv |
| 14 Q Do they | 14 Q You questioned the petitioner's experts regarding |
| 15 A From what you're showing, it looks like it. Yeah. | 15 impact to well and septic. Is your property and the |
| 16 Q Do they both have gable roofs, or kind of like | 16 properties in Summerfield Crossing on well and septic? |
| 17 peaked roofs? D | 17 A They are not, but |
| 18 A Yeah. | 18 Q Okay. |
| 19 | 19 A Again, I redirect my $q$ |
| 20 windo | 20 if any of those get impacted, then the water quality in the |
| 21 A | 21 whole area will get impacted, and I would just want to make |
| 22 Q | 22 sure that that doesn't happen, or have data that that is not |
| 23 have a direct | 23 going to happe |
| 24 A No. | 24 Q Yourepeat |
| 25 Q Okay. What direct impacts will the proposed | 25 a special protection area. Can you, for the record, |
| 58 | 60 |
| 1 | 1 |
| $2$ | 2 A It says so in my master plan that anything that is |
| $3 \quad$ A | 3 requiring a heavy - you know, more concern to the |
| 4 | 4 environment than a lot of sensitive areas and needs caref |
| 5355 West Old Baltimore real | 5 consideration case by case. And there are a lot of - th |
| 6 concern about realignment, because it would impact th | 6 whole area under the Clarksburg SPA is - because it is s |
| 7 Seneca Creek streams that were nearby. And there was | 7 vital to the entire region, not just Clarksbur |
| 8 | 8 Germantown, but to the entire county. You know, there has |
| 9 even being considered here is a concern. So the long-ter | 9 been that assessment. Now, if you want me to give the |
| 10 concern is that the water quality could be impacted, and | 10 definition, I might have to go back to the Clarksburg Master |
| 11 are jumping in without knowing what could happen. And | 11 Plan, but that's the general idea of this 500-page document |
| 12 that's a concern that affects a lot of people, not just | 12 that is called the Clarksburg Master Plan. |
| 13 But I am talking, speaking because I do think that, if there | 13 Q What code -- well, do you know what section of th |
| 14 is not done carefully, then that will be a personal hardship | 14 code governs special protection areas in the county? |
| 15 to me where I am expecting, you know, water, and I'm not | 15 A Not offhand. |
| 16 having the confidence that that water could be -- you know | 16 Q Do you know what a water quality plan is and who |
| 17 could be contaminated, or there's long | 17 approves it and when it's requ |
| 18 mysel | 18 A I do - I'm fairly aware of it, but I do know that |
| 19 And second thing | 19 there is a process to it and at different stages, and whic |
| 20 traffic studies are showing that it's | 20 is why I'm bringing it up that, you know, maybe an exception |
| 21 knowI li | 21 should be made here to do that before approval. |
| 22 that road, and I know how much each - each community has | 22 Q Do you know that the approval of the water quality |
| 23 | 23 plan ensures that development in SPA will be done in an |
| 24 construction, there's a lot of traffic, and after that also | 24 environmentally-sensitive manner? |
| 25 there's a lot of traffic. So I live there. So I do feel | 25 A I'm not aware of that. No. |


| 61 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Is the SPA meant to prohibit development? | 1 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. If you can zoom out a |
| 2 A No. It's meant to be careful about it. | 2 little bit more. |
| 3 Q Are you aware that the DPS, DEP, technical staff | 3 Q Isn't it true that there are whole neighborhoods |
| 4 from Parking and Planning, the Planning Board have all | 4 and roads separating this property from both of those |
| 5 reviewed the water quality plan for this proj | 5 |
| 6 A I saw - I sawletters there, but it didn't say | 6 A Imean |
| 7 that there was a geotechnical survey or an - | $7 \quad$ Q It's a yes or no question |
| Q Did they approve the water quality plan for this | 8 A Yeah. I mean, I think there's |
| 9 project? | 9 Q Thank you. |
| 10 A I just saw one single letter. I don't know the | 10 A The water flows in the direction that it has to |
| 11 basis of that letter. No. | 11 flow. It doesn't go by neighborhood, as far as I know. |
| 12 Q It is in the record, and our expert testified that | 12 Q Do you know that Summerfield Crossing was |
| 13 it was -- the water quality plan had been improved. Do you | 13 developed within an SPA? |
| 14 question the professional abilities of the county staff in | 14 A Yeah. |
| 15 reviewing and approving the water quality plan? | 15 MS. ROGERS: Okay. You can go to the next slide, |
| 16 A The water quality plan, as I understand it, is | 16 please. |
| 17 supposed to be further approved based on actual analysis. | 17 A I was not there when it was made, but yeah. |
| 18 And the SPA does say that on a case-by-case basis a | 18 Q This is similarly a measurement. Can you tell me |
| 19 geotechnical survey should be done, especially when the | 19 what this slide shows in terms of how close the nearest home |
| 20 water table or there are wells and septic nearby, which Ruby | 20 is in the Summerfield Crossing community to the stream? |
| 21 Drive does have. My concern is that, if that is not done | 21 A It says 324, but there is -- the total impervious |
| 22 ahead of time, there might be surprises and it might be too | 22 area of Summerfield Crossing is 18.7. |
| 23 late to go back after the approval has been provided. | 23 Q That wasn't the question. |
| 24 Q You said in your testimony in the very first -- | 24 A Yeah, but I'm saying it because 18.7 impervious |
| 25 the written testimony in the record, 22I, quote, The | 25 area is what Summerfield Crossing's is. |
| 62 | 64 |
| 1 property is both adjacent and abutted by multiple streams of | 1 MS. LEWINTER: You can just say objection. |
| 2 Little Seneca Creek. What streams are you referring to that | 2 Q Are there any other communities or roads |
| 3 are adjacent and abutting to the property? | 3 separating your neighborhood from the stream? |
| 4 A All the streams in the 1,400-feet area, which all | 4 A There are trails that go to the - that go to the |
| 5 the way go below 355 . You can see blue streams everywhere. | 5 back of the community. |
| 6 Q Are those adjacent or abutting? | 6 Q Are there communities? Communities, developments. |
| 7 A Yeah. I would think 1,400 feet is close by. | 7 A One side of Summerfield Crossing - |
| 8 Yeah. Given that it's water and it's going down and you | 8 Q Separating Summerfield Crossing from that stream |
| 9 have - it's all water going down there, yes, I would think | 9 to the south, are there any intervening roads or |
| 10 that anything there is close by and you should take | 10 developments? |
| 11 appropriate mitigation efforts. Yes. | 11 A That are - that are - |
| 12 Q Is close by the same as adjacent and abutting? | 12 Q It's just a yes or no question. |
| 13 A It's one way of saying it. | 13 A Yeah. I mean, there are roads. There are roads. |
| 14 MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please. | 14 Q Separating Summerfield Crossing from that stream, |
| 15 Q Based on the county's GIS measurements, can you -- | 15 there's a road? |
| 16 MS. ROGERS: There's two, if you can scroll back | 16 A There's a trail. There's a trail. There's a road |
| 17 up a little bit. | 17 there. Yeah, which goes to - which - which needs to be |
| 18 Q Can you read what it shows as how far the property | 18 filled out by whenever it happens. But there are - there |
| 19 is from the nearest streams or tributaries? | 19 are, you know, in the conservation area - there's a forest |
| 20 A I can't see it. Sorry. 1,400. Yeah. Around | 20 conservation area, which is the green space, and then there |
| 21 1,400. | 21 is a - |
| 22 Q That's one dimension. And just to be transparent | 22 Q A trail? |
| 23 for the record, the other dimension is 898. | 23 A Yeah, a trail there. |
| 24 A So 900 feet from the property is what you're | 24 Q Isn't it true that your neighborhood is actually |
| 25 saying? | 25 significantly closer to a stream than the proposed |


| 65 | 67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 development? | 1 do -- what experience do you have, because you just said you |
| 2 A Closeness is not the only issue that I'm concerned | 2 don't have personal experience with operation of senior |
| 3 about. | 3 living facilities, to question the petitioner's and staff's |
| 4 Q I understand that. I'm asking a question. And | 4 analysis regarding how many ER visits are anticipated by the |
| 5 the question is specifically, is Summerfield Crossing closer | 5 site? |
| 6 to a stream than the proposed development? | 6 A Just general knowledge that you have - if you |
| 7 A I think the question is misleading, because you -- | 7 have 120 people who need constant medical care, one ER visit |
| 8 can you rephrase the question? | 8 seems, even for a layperson like me in this area, I don't |
| 9 (Crosstalk.) | 9 think I need to have a degree in that. I would think, you |
| 10 MS. LEWINTER: Sorry. I'm trying to watch here. | 10 know, that that seems pretty low. For 120 people who need |
| 11 So if you have an objection, please just say objection, and | 11 24-7 support, that seems a little low. |
| 12 then I can ask her to please limit your answers to the | 12 Q And were you here during the petitioner's |
| 13 question. If you want to speak afterwards, I will give you | 13 testimony in which they testified that part of the reason |
| 14 a chance to have some kind of rebuttal testimony. | 14 why the ER visits are low is because there's 24-hour nursing |
| 15 MS. KRISHNAN: Okay. | 15 care for these residents on site? |
| 16 BY MS. ROGERS: | 16 A I mean, that doesn't |
| 17 Q So, just for the record, isn't it true that your | 17 Q Were you here when the petitioner |
| 18 neighborhood is significantly closer to a stream than the | 18 A I don't remember that part, but I do - I do still |
| 19 proposed development? | 19 believe that that might be higher, even with the 24-7 |
| 20 A One house of it, yes. | 20 support. |
| 21 Q Do you have any prior experience with senior | 21 Q Are you aware that the R-200 zone allows building |
| 22 living developments either in a professional or personal | 22 heights up to 50 feet by right |
| 23 capacity? | 23 A R-200 is R-200. I'm just saying limit the - |
| 24 A No. | 24 limit the imperviousness to $R-200$, not - |
| 25 Q Are you familiar with operational aspects of | 25 Q -- would you object if one of the homes on the |
| 66 | 68 |
| 1 senior living facilities? | 1 south side of West Old Baltimore or Ruby Drive expanded and |
| 2 A I didn't think I had needed that qualification to | 2 added a storey? |
| 3 testify. | 3 A A single - I don't think I have any objection |
| $4 \quad$ Q It was a simple question. Do you have any direct | 4 with height. |
| 5 personal experiences regarding impacts that a senior living | 5 Q Are you aware that a by-right development in the |
| 6 community has on a surrounding neighborhood? | 6 R-200 zone for this property would allow mathematically nine |
| $7 \quad$ A On a surrounding neighborhood, no. But I do know | 7 to 10 houses on this property? |
| 8 that they do have a lot of back-and-forth trips. There's a | 8 A Single family homes with single family number of |
| 9 lot of ER visits that happen. It's not one ER visit a | 9 people, yes |
| 10 month. I do know that because that's - you know, I just | 10 Q Are you aware that a church or cultural |
| 11 know that based on the understanding of how the older | 11 institution could be developed on the property without any |
| 12 generation needs support | 12 conditional use approval? |
| 13 Q But if you don't have any previous experience | 13 A I was not aware of that. |
| 14 with -- first of all, did the petitioner's information or | 14 Q Are you aware that a large -- |
| 15 record say that there would only be one ER trip per month? | 15 A Would the church - would the church be a 24-7 |
| 16 A It kind of said that. It said something we are | 16 facility with 120 people all the time? |
| 17 limiting - we expect about one ER per month. I did see | 17 MS. LEWINTER: Mr. Krishnan, again, can you just |
| 18 that in some planning, you know, report. And that seemed | 18 answer the question? |
| 19 very odd to me that they were thinking it might be one ER | 19 MS. KRISHNAN: I don't know people that are that |
| 20 visit. But, even then, it looked like, you know - so | 20 religious. I'mjust checking. |
| 21 that's - you asked me the question about - you asked me | 21 BY MS. ROGERS: |
| 22 the question about whether I had experience, and I said this | 22 Q Are you aware that a single building that looks |
| 23 is the limit of my experience. Yeah. | 23 like and operates like a multifamily building could have |
| 24 Q So the petitioners -- and we can find | 24 been proposed for this residential care facility under the |
| 25 not say one ER visit per month was what was expected. But | 25 zoning ordinance, as opposed to eight individual cottages, |
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one large building?
    A Can you - can you repeat the question again?
    Q Are you aware of that the zoning ordinance and its
allowance of a residential care facility, which this use is,
would have allowed one large single building to house all of
the residents, as opposed to eight individual cottages?
    A It would still be a conditional use.
    Q But are you aware --
    A It would -
    Q It would. I'm asking, are you aware the zoning
    ordinance would have allowed that building form for this use
on this property?
    A I'm not aware - I don't think that - again, it
4 would have been a conditional use, per my understanding, so
it would not have been allowed. It would have - you would
have been allowed to apply for it. I agree that you would
have been allowed to apply for conditional use, but I don't
think it directly would allow.
    Q Thank you. Would you agree that, despite your
    dislike for the application, the petitioner has gone to
    great efforts to provide a product that is more unique than
    one large single institutional style building and more
    compatible with the surrounding neighborhood?
    A I have no concern about the style. I'm only
    concerned about the imperviousness aspect, and the impact on
one large building?
A Can you - can you repeat the question again?
Q Are you aware of that the zoning ordinance and its
allowance of a residential care facility, which this use is,
would have allowed one large single building to house all of the residents, as opposed to eight individual cottages?
A It would still be a conditional use.
Q But are you aware --
A It would -
Q It would. I'm asking, are you aware the zoning
ordinance would have allowed that building form for this use on this property?
A I'm not aware - I don't think that - again, it would have been a conditional use, per my understanding, so 15 it would not have been allowed. It would have - you would 16 have been allowed to apply for it. I agree that you would have been allowed to apply for conditional use, but I don't think it directly would allow.
Q Thank you. Would you agree that, despite your dislike for the application, the petitioner has gone to
great efforts to provide a product that is more unique than
one large single institutional style building and more
compatible with the surrounding neighborhood?
concerned about the imperviousness aspect, and the impact on
    that. So I'm unsure as to why you're asking these
    questions, because, again, those were not the intent of my
    testimony. I've never talked about height anywhere, nor
    does Clarksburg Master Plan talk about it.
    MS. ROGERS: That is the end of our questions.
    MS. LEWINTER: Okay. I promised Ms. Krishnan, if
you would want -- at this point, if you want to have a brief
rebuttal if there's anything that you felt that you were
asked that you didn't get to fully explain.
    MS. KRISHNAN: So can I take a five-minute recess
and come back? I think I got grilled quite a bit here.
    MS. LEWINTER: I'd prefer not. I mean, it's not
common that I allow rebuttal. It's really just to be
limited to anything that you were asked that you felt -- and
it's limited to what she asked you. It's not new testimony.
    MS. KRISHNAN: Okay.
    MS. LEWINTER: That you felt you couldn't say your
piece.
    REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF VYJAYANTHI KRISHNAN
    MS. KRISHNAN: Right. I think -- okay. So I'll
    just say that. I'll say that the fact that they have
    targeted on -- the expectation of a testimony from a
    resident is supposed to be just that, a layperson's
    testimony and their concerns. Asking for specialized -- you
    know, asking for specialized education to be able to read a
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that. So I'm unsure as to why you're asking these
questions, because, again, those were not the intent of my
testimony. I've never talked about height anywhere, nor
does Clarksburg Master Plan talk about it.
MS. ROGERS: That is the end of our questions.
MS. LEWINTER: Okay. I promised Ms. Krishnan, if
you would want -- at this point, if you want to have a brief
rebuttal if there's anything that you felt that you were
asked that you didn't get to fully explain.
MS. KRISHNAN: So can I take a five-minute recess
and come back? I think I got grilled quite a bit here.
MS. LEWINTER: I'd prefer not. I mean, it's not
common that I allow rebuttal. It's really just to be
limited to anything that you were asked that you felt -- and
it's limited to what she asked you. It's not new testimony.
MS. KRISHNAN: Okay.
MS. LEWINTER: That you felt you couldn't say your piece.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF VYJAYANTHI KRISHNAN
MS. KRISHNAN: Right. I think -- okay. So I'll
just say that. I'll say that the fact that they have
targeted on -- the expectation of a testimony from a
resident is supposed to be just that, a layperson's
testimony and their concerns. Asking for specialized -- you
know, asking for specialized education to be able to read a
document or have concerns about a document seems to be minimizing the concerns that residents might have, which should be not taken lightly. The fact that a 650 -page -given that we didn't get enough time to -- none of us, none of the people here got enough time to prepare their work, given the less communication that I have talked about, to ask for, you know, data about, oh, do you know this, do you know that, which ordinance, I think that's a little bit of intimidating tactics that the applicant's team is doing.

I would like to say that the Summerfield Crossing 11 that they seem to think I'm going to say that because others 12 are allowed, therefore, they should be allowed, two wrongs 13 may not make a right. The Summerfield Crossing has 18.7 14 percent imperviousness. It's a two -- it's 118 acres, of 5 which 97.5 percent is -- 97 acres is green acres, is green 16 space. So in addition to the imperviousness being well 17 below that 15 to 19 that the applicant's, you know, approval 8 documents say, it also gives green -- a green space, which 9 is a huge impact in terms of maintaining water quality. The 0 applicant's property does not have that ability to overcome 1 the 35 percent imperviousness with green space. So to kind of pick and choose which data they want to say or use is borderline unethical I would think at this point. But, you know, this is what they're trying to do the best for their clients. So I'm okay with -- I have to accept it.

The second thing is, during their entire planning approval thing, if you look at their surrounding neighborhood area -- I mean, you could go back to slide nine of Exhibit 32, if someone can show that.

MS. ROGERS: Objection. We didn't question her on the surrounding neighborhood.

MS. KRISHNAN: No. I'm talking about my -- I'm giving my rebuttal. Okay?

MS. LEWINTER: Yeah, I understand, but the 0 rebuttal is limited to the questions that she asked.

MS. KRISHNAN: Right.
MS. LEWINTER: So if you can just explain to me --
MS. KRISHNAN: Okay. So I'll bring up the thing.
14 The fact is Summerfield Crossing and my -- and the Gorman
15 Circle house was indicated as a comparator for the Ruby
16 Drive homes and the so-called, quote, unquote, cottages that 17 are being built. However, Summerfield Crossing was not part 18 of their surrounding neighborhood evaluation. In fact,
19 Summerfield neighborhood, they exactly, you know, stop the, 20 you know -- what they call the surrounding neighborhood,
21 they excluded Summerfield Crossing from that, including the
22 Gorman Circle area that they were showing as comparable. So
23 either they need to accept that if they are going to do the
24 comparison with Summerfield Crossing, then they need to
25 include us in the surrounding neighborhood survey and redo
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 73 & 75 \\
\hline 1 the entire application again, or -- or do not make those & JEANEAN MARTIN, \\
\hline 2 comparisons and try to cherry pick which time they will use & 2 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and \\
\hline 3 Summerfield Crossing for the comparator and which time they & 3 testified as follows \\
\hline 4 would exclude the Summerfield Crossing neighborhood for & 4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JEANEAN MARTIN \\
\hline 5 their comparison. So if either -- either they accept that, & 5 MS. MARTIN: As I said, we have raised our \\
\hline 6 you know, they should have included us in the early & 6 children here, and our extended family and many friends in \\
\hline 7 engagement and done all the work that's expected, or remove & 7 the neighborhood love to gather at our house. When \\
\hline 8 us out completely and do not try to use our so-called -- we & 8 Worldshine did their multiple visits to our road for years, \\
\hline 9 are not cottages, and neither is theirs. So the semantics & 9 when you saw the street, you were probably impressed with \\
\hline 10 needs to be figured out. But even with all the things, they & 10 the idyllic setting as we were 44 years ago. The mature \\
\hline 11 shouldn't be using it if they initially said we are not part & 11 forest and open fields embrace the senses with the \\
\hline 12 of the surrounding neighborhood assessment. So that's where & 12 connection to nature. I'm an artist. I'm a painter. \\
\hline 13 I'll leave that. & 13 That's why I moved there. I love upcounty, and the upcounty \\
\hline 14 The 18.7 impervious area of the Summerfield & 14 is being destroyed little by little. Change has come to \\
\hline 15 Crossing neighborhood is important, given that even such a & 15 this area in leaps and bounds. Some of the change is good \\
\hline 16 large area was able to make it under that average, and these & 16 and some of it not so good, but, of course, that depends on \\
\hline 17 guys have to also try to -- if they want to be aligned with & 17 your opinion. If you're building something like Worldshine \\
\hline 18 the environmental protections that are needed for this area & 18 is to make money, then this is a very good change. I have \\
\hline 19 at least the effort should be made to limit that in the 1 & 19 come to terms with the loss of the openness of the \\
\hline 20 to 19 percent. & 20 landscape, and have made friends with some of the new \\
\hline 21 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. & 21 neighbors of the recent developments, but I am not happy \\
\hline 22 MS. KRISHNAN: Thank you. & 22 about the density and ever-increasing traffic \\
\hline 23 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you & 23 My biggest concern, though, is about our wells and \\
\hline 24 All right. I just want to check in. We've been & 24 our septic system. We love our water. We love not having \\
\hline 25 going for about an hour and a half. Are people comfortable, & 25 to pay for water or septic. All of the homes on Ruby Drive \\
\hline 74 & 76 \\
\hline 1 or does anybody need a comfort break? & 1 are on wells and septic systems. We are living directly \\
\hline 2 Okay. So I think we can move to the third. Did & 2 opposite this facility. A complex this big certainly has \\
\hline 3 you want to testify? & 3 the potential to create a pathway for pollutants to enter \\
\hline 4 MS. MARTIN: I'll go & 4 the groundwater and be absorbed into our wells and into our \\
\hline 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She'll go & 5 home drinking water. Laundry detergents, biohazard waste, \\
\hline 6 MS. MARTIN: It's ridiculous. It's all about & 6 residue from 120 residents? Give me a break. 75 employees \\
\hline 7 greed. Carl, you sit next to me in case they stare me down. & 7 and visitors contaminating our wells and septic systems, \\
\hline 8 My name is Jeanean Martin. I live at 21909 -- & 8 which in these older homes are already fragile, who will pay \\
\hline 9 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Martin, if you could actually & 9 for the public water system and sewer installation for the \\
\hline 10 make your microphone green, that will help. There's a & 10 five houses on Ruby Drive? Beaumont Estates, Greenbridge \\
\hline 11 little button at the bottom. There you go. & 11 Acres and also some of the houses on West Old Baltimore \\
\hline 12 MS. MARTIN: My name is Jeanean Martin. I live at & 12 Road, who will pay for this? We want to keep our wonderful \\
\hline 1321909 Ruby Drive, Boyds, Maryland, and I've lived there for & 13 drinking water. We also do not want the expense of paying \\
\hline 1444 years with my husband, and we have five children and 12 & 14 for water or sewers. \\
\hline 15 grandchildren. & 15 We hold no malice toward Worldshine. The work \\
\hline 16 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Ms. Martin, I'm going to & 16 they do with the senior community is an admirable one. \\
\hline 17 stop you for one second. If you could give us your e-mail & 17 Providing a safe and loving environment for the elderly who \\
\hline 18 address. & 18 need help with care is becoming an increasing reality. I \\
\hline 19 MS. MARTIN: Yes. It's a very long one & 19 know about caring for the elderly, as both of my parents \\
\hline 20 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. & 20 came to live in our home and that's where they died, and my \\
\hline 21 MS. MARTIN: Jeaneansongcomartin6@gmail.com. & 21 husband also took care of his mother who had Alzheimer's. \\
\hline 22 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. & 22 We are aware of the need. Not everyone has children who can \\
\hline 23 (The witness was sworn.) & 23 take care of them in their old age, so I do think there is a \\
\hline 24 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. So you can now make your & 24 need for senior care. However, I am not a fan of living \\
\hline 25 statement and then they'll cross-examine. & 25 next to a large capacity senior facility. Would any of you \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
want to live next door to it? Would you like to wake up in the morning and see this? I don't think so. Instead of seeing mature trees, open fields and grasses, I will see tall buildings which are much taller than my house. I will see lights and a parking lot with 24 -hour workers coming and going, adding to the already busy traffic on West Old Baltimore Road. The threat of contamination of our wells and septic are the real concern.

The thing is this huge complex does not fit in 10 with the residential character of our street. No matter how many studies you show us, no matter how much the architect tries to design so-called a cottage, this is not a cottage. These are big houses that will totally not fit in with our 4 little community on Ruby Drive. I'm not against having 15 houses. In fact, I wish you would just build senior houses, 16 instead of a complex. Why are you building a complex; 7 because you can fit 120 people in there and charge a lot of money. This is all about greed.
19 I urge you -- I urge you to reconsider your 20 proposal. Why not use this lovely land to create a smaller 21 version of what you envision? Instead of warehousing 120 22 people, 15 people crammed into one building, a so-called 23 cottage, make senior housing. Make houses that fit into the 24 neighborhood. I'm sure your capable architect can come up 25 with a new design that will better serve your population and

\section*{our neighborhood.}

We respectfully request that the hearing examiner reject this proposal and deny this project, which clearly
does not comply with the Clarksburg Master Plan and will
negatively impact our homes. We love our home. We're
retired now. We finally paid it off. We worked hard to pay
for our home. We don't want to live next door to your
complex.
MS. LEWINTER: Thank you.
Cross-examination?
MR. ROBINS: Just a few questions.
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT BY MR. ROBINS:
Q Good morning. Ms. Martin, you seem disturbed by the application.

\section*{A Very disturbed.}

Q You really don't want to see anything built there; do you?
A That's not true. I have come to terms with the fact that something will be built there. They came to -- I
hate to use the word, but they came to acquire houses. They bought Minnie's house. These houses have names. These are people. They bought my neighbor Minnie's house. They
bought Bill's house. They bought Haba's house. They bought the old farmhouse. Why; because they want to build this big
complex.
Q When you talk about you are okay with seeing
something built there, in your most recent testimony that I
think you submitted into the record yesterday, and then read from today -- that's correct? It's the same testimony that you --

A Yes.
Q Thank you. You had mentioned that what you really
wanted was to be able to sit and observe the open space, the 10 fields and leave it like that.
A Absolutely, but I realize --
12 Q How does that --
13 A -- I can't do that. I realize that I cannot do 14 that.
15 Q Okay. So then you do realize that in the R-200 6 zone eight houses can be built there, correct?
17 A I realize that, and I would rather see houses.
Q And are you aware of the size houses that could be built there?
A I have no problem with the size houses. I have a problem with the parking lot.
Q So the size house could be equal to or larger than the cottages that are --
A I have no problem with that. If they want to build a goddamn castle, they can.

Q I don't think we need to express it that way.
A I can say what I want.
Q That's up to the hearing examiner.
MS. LEWINTER: It would -- if you can refrain from any profanity.

MS. MARTIN: I'll try, but, you know, these two people -- I used to work for attorneys. I know what your job is, and your job is to trip me up.

MS. LEWINTER: Okay.
0 BY MR. ROBINS:
11 Q My job is just to get at the questions that I'm --
12 MS. LEWINTER: I'm going to stop this. All right. 13 I appreciate that this is a very emotional situation.

MS. MARTIN: It's very --
15 MR. ROBINS: Actually, so do we, and we're just 16 trying to get some --
17 MS. MARTIN: And it's been going on for a number 18 of years, and they're wearing us down little by little by 19 little by little.
20 MR. ROBINS: Can I continue?
MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Wait a second.
MS. MARTIN: What do you want to know? What?
BY MR. ROBINS:
Q Okay. So --
MS. LEWINTER: Just wait.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 81 & 83 \\
\hline 1 MR. ROBINS: Oh, I'm sorry. & 1 reaching out to you? \\
\hline 2 MS. LEWINTER: No. It's fine. I'mjust saying. & 2 A I do remember someone from Worldshine calling me \\
\hline 3 MS. MARTIN: I'll try to refrain. & 3 to say did I want to further discuss this project. No, the \\
\hline 4 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. & 4 discussions are over. Of course I don't want to discuss it. \\
\hline 5 BY MR. ROBINS: & 5 Q So let me ask you a question. And I'd like to \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. So you answered the question that -- & 6 hand you a document, which I also would like to get marked \\
\hline \(7 \quad \mathrm{~A}\) Yes. & 7 into the record. So this is an e-mail, if you will, from-- \\
\hline 8 Q -- you are aware that homes could be built -- & 8 first fromEllen Coren dated April 26, 2022 where she's \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) A Yes. & 9 writing on behalf of Worldshine Group to follow up to an \\
\hline 10 Q -- on the property? & 10 invitation to meet. Can you read the highlighted -- \\
\hline 11 A I am aware of that. & 11 A I'd be happy to. \\
\hline 12 Q And that it would be okay? & 12 Q -- of your response? \\
\hline 13 A Yes. You know, it's going to be built. I realize & 13 A I'd be happy to. \\
\hline 14 that. No more fields, no more trees. Cut them all down. & 14 Q And explain to me how that -- \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. I ama little confused at a couple other & 15 A I'd be happy to. \\
\hline 16 statements. You make sort of authoritative statements in & 16 Q -- relates to having no malice towards Worldshine. \\
\hline 17 your -- & 17 A You know why, because when you first had this \\
\hline 18 A I copied it. & 18 proposal, I was upset. \\
\hline 19 Q Excuse me. I'm asking the question. & 19 Q Okay. Could you just read it? \\
\hline 20 A Go ahead. I know what you're referring to. & 20 A Sure. As we've stated over and over again, we do \\
\hline 21 Q Please. I'm trying -- I'm just trying to ask you & 21 not want Worldshine Senior Living in our residential \\
\hline 22 a question. You say that laundry detergents, biohazardous & 22 neighborhood. I still stand by that. Please just go away \\
\hline 23 waste, residue from 120 residents, 75 employees and visitors & 23 and stop harassing us, which you did. You called us many \\
\hline 24 contaminating our wells and septic system, which in these & 24 times. \\
\hline 25 older homes are fragile. That makes a statement that we, in & 25 Q I'm just asking you to read the statement. Thank \\
\hline 82 & 84 \\
\hline 1 fact, will be contaminating your water system. Do you have & 1 you. \\
\hline 2 any studies, any proof, anything other than your own & 2 A It is so unfair to pressure people to accept their \\
\hline 3 emotional statement here that that would happen? & 3 greedy proposal to build an unwanted business in a \\
\hline 4 A You know exactly that I do not have any & 4 residential neighborhood. Please go build somewhere else. \\
\hline 5 statements. I have no proof, but I am not a dummy. If you & 5 Q It doesn't say please. \\
\hline 6 have that many people, there's something going to come and & 6 A Oh, okay. I added - \\
\hline 7 ruin our wells. I do not trust it at all. I don't trust & \(7 \quad\) Q It says go build somewhere else with two \\
\hline 8 your assessment at all. & 8 exclamation points, correct? \\
\hline 9 Q Okay. So that's just based on your own opinion? & 9 A Take it and go. We don't want - we don't want \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 Worldshine's proposal. We will accept maybe houses for \\
\hline 11 Q Okay. Thank you. You indicated that in your most & 11 seniors. But, obviously, they have more money. The person \\
\hline 12 recent letter that you hold no malice towards Worldshine, & 12 who owns this lives in - \\
\hline 13 and you've actually made some very nice statements in your & 13 MR. ROBINS: Objection. \\
\hline 14 letter. They work to do the -- the work they do with the & 14 A You know, it's a question of greed, and it's a \\
\hline 15 senior community is an admirable one. Providing a safe & 15 question of who has the more money. We can afford the - \\
\hline 16 loving environment for the elderly who need help with care & 16 MR. ROBINS: Objection. I just asked her to read \\
\hline 17 is becoming an increasing reality. Did Worldshine ever & 17 the -- \\
\hline 18 reach out to you to try to discuss this application? & 18 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Martin, you had your chance to \\
\hline 19 A They have made a few calls in regard to whether I & 19 testify. \\
\hline 20 wanted to sell my house. & 20 MS. MARTIN: I'm tired of these people always \\
\hline 21 Q But did you recognize the name Ellen Coren? & 21 harassing us. \\
\hline 22 A I don't know. & 22 MR. ROBINS: Objection. \\
\hline 23 Q You don't remember Ellen Coren? & 23 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Martin, I appreciate that these \\
\hline 24 A No, I don't remember. & 24 are very formal hearings and proceedings, and it can be very \\
\hline 25 Q That Ellen Coren -- you don't recall Ellen Coren & 25 difficult to follow the constraints of the way we're trying \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 85 & 87 \\
\hline 1 to proceed. But it's their turn to ask questions, and you & 1 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DEBRA DUFFIN \\
\hline 2 had an opportunity to testify. Okay? & 2 MS. DUFFIN: I want to start off, I don't -- \\
\hline 3 BY MR. ROBIN & 3 don't have anything written. I'm going to just leave it. \\
\hline \(4 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) One last question. Do you recall the prior & 4 I'm just going to go with how I feel like just emotionally. \\
\hline 5 original application having access directly off of Ruby & 5 So I'm sorry if I'm going to be emotional. I will try not \\
\hline 6 Drive? & 6 to use potty words, but I'm going to go with emotion. \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) A Yes, I do. & \(7 \quad\) I want to start off with when we were here two \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. & 8 weeks ago I guess it was it -- we've added 11 exhibits now \\
\hline 9 application taking all access off of Ruby Drive and cr & 9 since we were here, and I believe that they're going by what \\
\hline 10 our own access onto Old West Baltimore alleviates & 10 we stated and what we said, and they're tagging on to it and \\
\hline 11 conce & 11 they're adding on to it. So, to me, that's annoying. I \\
\hline 12 A No, I don & 12 just want to say t \\
\hline 13 Q And why n & 13 I also want to say that -- I'm gonna go back to \\
\hline 14 A Because we're still going to have a lot of tra & 14 when Worldshine first started. You guys keep stating that \\
\hline 15 and people will still park on Ruby Drive, trust me, a & 15 they addressed us, they wanted to meet with us. I never met \\
\hline 16 they'll walk up the hill to go up to Worldshine. And who & 16 this man ever. He sent people. There's a gentleman named \\
\hline 17 knows what else is going to come? People hanging around & 17 Kurt -- excuse me if I say it wrong -- H-A, Ha. He's a \\
\hline 18 smoking, and workens coming and going. My grandchildren & 18 realtor. He came to my home. I'm not 100 percent sure, but \\
\hline 19 won't be able to ride their bikes there anymore. I can tell & 19 I believe it was the gentleman in the back is the engineer, \\
\hline 20 you that. You can never convince me that this is a good 21 thing for our neighborhood. It is not. & 20 Mr . Kevin, with this Kurt. And he wanted to meet with me 21 about Worldshine. He came to my property. I wanted my \\
\hline 22 Q I'm not trying to convince you. I was just asking & 22 husband there, and I couldn't. He proceeded to say what \\
\hline 23 you a question. & 23 they wanted to do when it was the first project. I can't \\
\hline 24 A & 24 remember the date. It was early on. 2002, four. I don't \\
\hline 25 MR. ROBINS: Okay. No further questions. & 25 even know what the date is. He threatened. He told me it \\
\hline 86 & 88 \\
\hline 1 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. & 1 was in my best interest for me to sell a piece of my \\
\hline 2 MS. MARTIN: Do you want to say anything, Carl? & 2 property. At that time is when they wanted to go up Ruby \\
\hline 3 MR. ROBINS: And I have -- I do have this. & 3 Drive and do a circle at the end of the street for fire \\
\hline 4 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. I think we're on Exhibit & 4 rescue. When the conversation went that way, I used potty \\
\hline 5 I think we're on Exhibit 42. This will be 44. & 5 words and told him in potty-word language to get off my \\
\hline (Exhibit 44 was entered into evidence.) & 6 property. So yes, I've never met the owner of Worldshine. \\
\hline 7 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. I'm sorry. Did Mr. Martin & 7 He purchased 21901, 21905, 21908 in different names. \\
\hline 8 want to testify? Did you want to testify, Mr. Martin? & 8 Mr . Kurt, who represents Worldshine, realtor, he is the one \\
\hline 9 MR. MARTIN: No & 9 that is getting the money for rent for these properties. \\
\hline 10 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you. & 10 I'm kind of transparent. You see what you get. \\
\hline 11 Again I'm just going to ask. Do people need a & 11 I'm gonna say what I'm gonna say. And when Goddard School \\
\hline 12 comfort break, or okay to keep going? & 12 built, Ross, he came to our community. And when I say \\
\hline 13 Okay. If you want to come up. Then if you could & 13 community, I mean Ruby Drive. And he met with us. He told \\
\hline 14 state your name for the record, and provide your address and & 14 us what he was planning on doing when he built that. \\
\hline 15 e-mail & 15 Tapestry, same thing. Miller and Smith, they did the \\
\hline 16 MS. DUFFIN: My name is Debra Duffin, D-E-B-R-A, & 16 development. They talked to us and told us what they were \\
\hline 17 Duffin, D-U-F-F-I-N. I live at 21917 Ruby Drive, Boyds, & 17 planning on doing. Did I still not want it; heck to the \\
\hline 18 Maryland. My e-mail is had.duffin@yahoo.com. & 18 yes. I didn't want that. I'm 57 years old. I grew up on \\
\hline 19 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Ms. Duffin. & 19 Ruby Drive. I like it the way it is. Can I control it; no, \\
\hline 20 (The witness was sworn.) & 20 I can't. I don't own it. So I get that. But I'm still \\
\hline 21 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. Ms. Duffin, if you'd & 21 gonna give you my opinion. I'm not saying you're gonna like \\
\hline 22 like to proceed. & 22 it , but I'm gonna give you my opinion. \\
\hline 23 DE & 23 So 37 they added after our last meeting and \\
\hline 24 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and & 24 highlighted that I hand wrote a note about Tapestry. And I \\
\hline 25 testified as follows: & 25 did. I didn't want Tapestry. I got an in-ground pool in \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 89 & 91 \\
\hline 1 the back. We have, you know, young kids that come over. I & 1 wrong. They had one meeting at the church way, way before. \\
\hline 2 have livestock. I have animals. I didn't want that. & 2 And, again, the people from Worldshine weren't even ther \\
\hline 3 didn't want Goddard. But they came to our community and & 3 It was paid people like the lawyers that are here that are \\
\hline 4 told us what they were doing. I could run into whoever owns & 4 doing their job. So if they really care about the \\
\hline 5 Worldshine in the grocery store. I wouldn't know who he is. & 5 community, he would have came and spoke to us in person, but \\
\hline 6 I see their trucks coming & 6 he didn' \\
\hline 7 the night for them storing in the property that they want to & \(7 \quad \mathrm{I}\) guess t \\
\hline 8 build in. & 8 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you. \\
\hline 9 I'm getting o & 9 Do you have cross-examination? \\
\hline 10 in Worldshine developing. & 10 \\
\hline 11 cottage. If you look up the definition of a cottage, it's a & 11 CROSS EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT \\
\hline 12 small house, typically one level. That's not one level. & 12 BY MS. ROGERS: \\
\hline 13 It's not a cottage. I did change the appearance of my & 13 Q So you mentioned in your testimony today that \\
\hline 14 family home. I have my mother who lives with me. & 14 Tapestry and Goddard came to you and Worldshine didn't? \\
\hline 15 my family, so I did. I took a rancher -- I didn't reder & 15 A \\
\hline 16 It was called an addition. It's an addition in Montgomery & 16 Q But you also mentioned Ellen at the end. So, just \\
\hline 17 County. And I went up a level. I'm still raising my & 17 to reiterate for the record, do you recall having a phone \\
\hline 18 family. I'm not making profit on my property. It's a & 18 conversation with Ellen \\
\hline 19 family. It's my children. It's my mother. It's who I am. & 19 A Yep. \\
\hline 20 You guys are being paid to be here. I'm using leave to be & 20 Q -- in Januar \\
\hline 21 here to fight for something that I shouldn't fight for where & 21 A Yep. \\
\hline 22 I live. & 22 Q -- of 2022? \\
\hline 23 There's plenty of property in Clarksburg. Go down & 23 A I don't remember the date, but I do remember the \\
\hline 24 Burnt Hill Road, plenty of property. & 24 call. \\
\hline 25 Ruby Drive because it was a residential property, which was & 25 Q And in that conversation did you indicate you \\
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\hline 1 a low cost, under \(\$ 500,000\). They will not be able to buy & 1 weren't interested in meeting or talking further with \\
\hline 2 that in a big field somewhere. So this is all about money. & 2 Worldshine? \\
\hline 3 It's not about taking care of your elderly. & 3 A Probably did \\
\hline 4 sounds good, you know, but it's not. It's about profit. So & \(4 \quad\) Q Did -- \\
\hline 5 yes, I did change the look of my house to raise my family. & 5 A But I didn't speak to the owners. I think I said \\
\hline 6 Not 11 people, five people, which was my family. And I'm & 6 like Ross is the owner of Goddard. I met with him directly. \\
\hline 7 not making profit on it. And I stand behind it. I just -- & 7 Worldshine, do you all (indiscernible)? That was what I was \\
\hline 8 it's not right. & 8 saying. \\
\hline 9 It's R-200. It should be R-200. We're on well & 9 Q And I'm asking -- I understand. I'masking \\
\hline 10 and septic. You're going to have lawn care. You're gonna & 10 questions in terms of did the petitioner through even people \\
\hline 11 put fertilizer. They're not gonna want dandelions. I don't & 11 working for them reach out to you. Did you have a \\
\hline 12 know. If they run that business like they do the rental & 12 followup -- receive a followup letter? I'd like introduce \\
\hline 13 properties, maybe they don't care about that. But that's my & 13 this for the record, but it's dated March 9 fromEllen Coren \\
\hline 14 opinion. & 14 in which she noted your January conversation in which you \\
\hline 15 It's going to affect our well. You can't tell me & 15 indicated you didn't want to speak further but wanted to \\
\hline 16 that it's not, and you haven't showed us in anything that & 16 reiterate her offer to meet with you. Did you receive that \\
\hline 17 it's not. And I think that might be about it. That's just & 17 letter in the mail? \\
\hline 18 how I feel. I don't think that it should be approved to do & 18 A Maybe. \\
\hline 19 any type of business in an R-200. They're not being & 19 Q Did you take her up on that offer? \\
\hline 20 transparent. If they were worried about what the community & 20 A Was that the Zoom session you're talking about? \\
\hline 21 would say when whatever her name was -- Ellen, yep, she sure & 21 Q No. It was a one-on-one offer to meet with you. \\
\hline 22 did. She reached out. We had a Zoom session. She had all & 22 It says, You declined, but I wanted to renew that offer just \\
\hline 23 of us on mute. We have our hands up. She just kept going. & 23 in case. Please e-mail me and let me know if you'd like to \\
\hline 24 So that's what you consider, you know, reaching out to the 25 community and seeing how we feel about it, then that's & 24 meet with the Worldshine team, and we will get something on 25 the calendar soon. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 1 A Maybe. Probably if I saw who it was fromI threw & 1 just want to clarify for the record. \\
\hline 2 it away and didn't read it. & 2 A Hm-hm. \\
\hline 3 Q Did you also attend the May 11, 2022 community -- & 3 Q You questioned the petitioner's architect during \\
\hline 4 voluntary community meeting that Worldshine did hold through & 4 the last hearing whether the proposed cottages are \\
\hline 5 Zoom for close in neighbors? & 5 compatible with the homes on Ruby Drive. \\
\hline 6 A Hm-hm. & 6 A Hm-hm. \\
\hline 7 Q Okay. And did you have an opportunity to express & 7 MS. ROGERS: If you can go to the slide -- stop. \\
\hline 8 your opinion during that meeting? & 8 Up one more. Thank you. \\
\hline 9 A I think I stated that they had us on mute. We & \(9 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Do you recognize this house? \\
\hline 10 couldn't talk. & 10 A It's very old, because it's very different now, \\
\hline 11 Q Well, there was -- there were people -- we have & 11 but yeah. That's my house. I live there. \\
\hline 12 minutes from the meeting -- that spoke during -- & 12 Q And as you testified, I just want to clarify for \\
\hline 13 A Certain people they let in. Were you - & 13 the record, isn't it true that you converted, in your words, \\
\hline 14 Q I have written -- I have comments, quotes from you & 14 the three-bedroom rancher into a four-bedroom colonial and \\
\hline 15 from the meeting. & 15 added a large addition to your house around 2005? \\
\hline 16 A Yeah. There - there were times, but a majority & 16 A Yeah. \\
\hline 17 of it we were indicating to try to talk, and they weren't & 17 Q And so wouldn't you agree that changed the \\
\hline 18 allowing us to talk. & 18 character of your home? \\
\hline 19 Q Did you take up Worldshine on any of their offers & 19 A It changed that I was able to raise my family and \\
\hline 20 to engage in meaningful conversations about changes that & 20 take care of my elderly mother in that house. So did it \\
\hline 21 could have been incorporated into the design? & 21 change; yeah, it did change, but it's still a family home. \\
\hline 22 A Never had an opportunity. & 22 I'm not making a profit. \\
\hline 23 Q Weren't you concerned about traffic from this & 23 Q And how large approximately was the addition that \\
\hline 24 project on Ruby Drive? & 24 you added? \\
\hline 25 A Traffic, yeah, I would say so when it was - when & 25 A I don't know. \\
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\hline 1 it was access to Ruby Drive, I think then, yes, that was one & 1 Q Well -- \\
\hline 2 of my concerns. & 2 A Well, it was probably - let's see. The ranchers \\
\hline 3 Q Okay. And I guess, despite not taking th & 3 were probably, what, 1,100, 1,200 square foot. And I think \\
\hline 4 petitioner up on their offers for meaningful discussions, & 4 we bumped it out in the back maybe five feet for the \\
\hline 5 are you aware that the petitioner still responded to that & 5 kitchen. I'm not sure, but it did - it enlarged it. I \\
\hline 6 concern by changing the access and having access entirely & 6 agree. Sure did. \\
\hline 7 offWest Old Baltimore so that there is no vehicular access & 7 MS. ROGERS: Can you go to the next slide? One \\
\hline 8 on Ruby Drive? & 8 more. Sorry. \\
\hline 9 A Was that purchasing Reinhold's house is what & \(9 \quad\) Q This is just an aerial comparing 2000 to 2003 \\
\hline 10 you're saying? & 10 showing -- to clarify for the record, you added a rear \\
\hline 11 Q Yes. We testified that we acquired th & 11 addition and a second floor addition, correct? \\
\hline 12 intervening property on West Old Baltimore so that we could & 12 A Wait a minute. So 2002 was when an addition was \\
\hline 13 accommodate concerns and take traffic off of Ruby Drive. & 13 already on. Oh, no, it wasn't. My bad. Sorry. I see \\
\hline 14 Did the petitioner revise the plan to take vehicular access & 14 trailers in the field. Yeah. \\
\hline 15 off of Ruby Drive? & 15 Q Okay. \\
\hline 16 A No. & 16 A So whatever you say, yeah. Yeah. No, I'm not \\
\hline 17 Q Do we have vehicular access to our property off of & 17 denying we didn't enlarge it. I mean, we paid for it \\
\hline 18 Ruby Drive? & 18 ourselves. \\
\hline 19 A You're gonna be on it. Yeah. & 19 Q I understand. \\
\hline 20 Q Is there parking provided on -- & 20 A - family, not a business. I know that. \\
\hline 21 A - able to add more patients by having more & 21 MS. ROGERS: If you can go just one more down. \\
\hline 22 property. So you gain by that. It has nothing to do with & 22 Q So I understand you don't recall how large it is. \\
\hline 23 traffic, in my opinion, my unprofessional opinion. & 23 A I honestly don't. We went up a level. \\
\hline 24 MS. ROGERS: We could the pull back up Exhibit 37. & 24 Q Understood. \\
\hline 25 Q You alluded to some of this in your testimony. I & 25 A Footprint is still the same. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 97 & 99 \\
\hline 1 Q Could you please read for the record -- & 1 homes are of similar size and proportion when it gets to in \\
\hline 2 A I cannot see that. Please do not ask me to read & 2 terms of compatibility, and also to show that those other \\
\hline 3 that. & 3 homes don't all have the same architectural style. There \\
\hline 4 Q For the record, the DPS building permit records & 4 was a lot of questioning of the petitioner's expert \\
\hline 5 show that a 2,040 square-foot addition was added to the & 5 witnesses regarding whether these cottages look like their \\
\hline 6 house in 2005. And on the next slide it shows that a 1,296 & 6 homes and were compatible. And that's what this testimony \\
\hline 7 square-foot garage was added to the house. Does that sound & 7 is intending to illustrate for the record. \\
\hline 8 about accura & 8 MS. KRISHNAN: So shouldn't we be looking at all \\
\hline 9 A Wait. What garage is that big? & 9 the homes on Ruby Drive, rather than one home and \\
\hline 10 Q I'mjust reading for -- & 10 specifically on one individual and trying to make it so \\
\hline 11 A Is that on the house? Is that what you pulled, on & 11 personal? \\
\hline 12 the house? Is that what you called it? Is that what that & 12 MS. LEWINTER: This is their case to pursue as \\
\hline is, on the hous & 13 they see fit. So I will let you continue. \\
\hline 14 Q -- are county permits - & 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. \\
\hline 15 A Okay. & 15 BY MS. ROGERS: \\
\hline 16 Q -- for your property. & 16 Q So just for the record, the DPS measurements show \\
\hline 17 A Well, I'm pretty sure that that's the garage in & 17 that it's about 71 feet wide by about 49.9 feet deep. \\
\hline 18 the field. & 18 MS. ROGERS: If you'll go to the next slide. \\
\hline 19 Q Okay. Did anyone -- well, I guess are any other & 19 Q This shows a comparison of those dimensions with \\
\hline 20 homes on Ruby Drive currently two storeys tall? & 20 the cottages that we are proposing. Wouldn't you agree that \\
\hline 21 A Uh-uh. & 21 your house has a similar footprint as compared to the \\
\hline 22 Q Okay. Did anyone object, though, to you adding a & 22 proposed cottage? \\
\hline 23 second floor addition and a larger addition to your home? & 23 A It's not a cottage, number one. The building, no, \\
\hline 24 A No. I think they were happy that I was bringing & 24 I would not agree. \\
\hline 25 my family to raise them there and not a business. A family, & 25 Q So your house is 49.9 by 71 , and the cottages are \\
\hline 98 & 100 \\
\hline 1 we were a family. Yeah. And I didn't make profit, just in & 155 by 52 . \\
\hline 2 case you didn't catch that before & 2 A Is that with the basement? \\
\hline 3 Q I got it. Can your neighbors on Ruby Drive & 3 Q -- larger? I'm asking about a footprint, the \\
\hline 4 similarly expand on their house like you did to make it & 4 actual -- \\
\hline 5 taller, wider, larger? & 5 A I know, but is a footprint including all three \\
\hline 6 A They can. & 6 levels, or just two levels? \\
\hline 7 Q Okay. Do you know approximately how large the & \(7 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) That would be like square footage. I'm not asking \\
\hline 8 footprint of your house is? & 8 about square footage. I'm asking about like the actual how \\
\hline 9 A Uh-uh. & 9 the house sits on the ground, the footprint. \\
\hline 10 MS. ROGERS: If we can go to the next slide. & 10 A No. \\
\hline 11 Q I'm happy to read it for you. & 11 Q Is yours larger in terms of a footprint? 71 by \\
\hline 12 A Hm-hm. & 1249. \\
\hline 13 Q But based on -- & 13 A Hm. \\
\hline 14 MS. KRISHNAN: Excuse me & 14 Q Are you also aware that up to nine to 10 dwelling \\
\hline 15 MS. LEWINTER: Yes. & 15 units, single-family homes can be developed by right on the \\
\hline 16 MS. MARTIN: What is the relevance of a & 16 Worldshine property? \\
\hline 17 single-family dimensions impact about a business which has & 17 A God, I wish that would happen. \\
\hline 18 been running -- I just want to get to know why we are going & 18 Q Okay. \\
\hline 19 in such detail about one individual's home and their history & 19 A That would be great. We would have neighbor \\
\hline 20 of expansion or nonexpansion, as opposed to eight-building & 20 Q Are you aware that those homes could be between 40 \\
\hline 219,000 things. I just want to know why am I wasting time on & 21 to 50 feet tall? \\
\hline 22 that. & 22 A Yeah. I mean, I would love to have a development \\
\hline 23 MS. LEWINTER: What is the relevance? & 23 like that. \\
\hline 24 MS. ROGERS: The relevance is to show the & 24 Q Okay. \\
\hline 25 character of the surrounding neighborhood, and that other & 25 A To be family oriented, not business. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 1 Q So you would not object to -- & 1 to know. Thanks. \\
\hline 2 A Absolutely not because it would be equal to. It & 2 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 3 would be family. It would be --it wouldn't be a business. & 3 Okay. So we had finished the -- \\
\hline \(4 \quad\) Q Okay. Are you aware that the zoning ordinance & 4 MR. ROBINS: I just wanted to also -- \\
\hline 5 would have allowed up to 169 beds to be developed on the & 5 MS. LEWINTER: Oh, right. I'm sorry. \\
\hline 6 property? & 6 Mr. Rogers -- \\
\hline 7 A Was I aware of that, I didn't give it much & 7 MR. ROBINS: Ms. Rogers. \\
\hline 8 thought. & 8 MS. LEWINTER: Robins. Sorry. \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) Q Is it fair to say what you're really opposed to is & 9 MR. ROBINS: Easily confusable. Ms. Rogers had \\
\hline 10 change? & 10 asked Ms. Duffin about a particular letter, and introduced \\
\hline 11 A You want me to be honest, probably. Like I said & 11 that into the record. So I just failed to give you a copy. \\
\hline 12 before, I wish it would stay the same the way it was back in & 12 MS. LEWINTER: Right. So this would be Exhibit \\
\hline 13 the day. I don't own it. I get that. I totally get that. & 13 45. This is the letter from Ms. Coren. \\
\hline 14 MS. ROGERS: That's all of our questions. Thank & 14 (Exhibit 45 was admitted into evidence.) \\
\hline 15 you. & 15 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. We've done the second row. \\
\hline 16 MR. ROBINS: Thank you very much. & 16 We've done the third row. Did you want to testify, the \\
\hline 17 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. & 17 first person in the fourth row? \\
\hline 18 All right. Well, I'm going to suggest that we & 18 Okay. If you'd like to come up, sir. If you can \\
\hline 19 take just a five-minute break for my own convenience, so & 19 state your name for the record. \\
\hline 20 just a quick break for people to run to the restroomor & 20 MR. SEVILLA: Elias Sevilla. \\
\hline 21 whatever. We'll be back at 11:30. Actually, it's six & 21 MS. LEWINTER: And, Mr. Sevilla, if you could give \\
\hline 22 minutes technically. & 22 us your address, your mailing address. \\
\hline 23 (A recess was taken.) & 23 MR. SEVILLA: Yes. It's 21913 Ruby Drive, and \\
\hline 24 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Just to recap for the & 24 that's in Boyds, Maryland, obviously. \\
\hline 25 record, we just took a seven-minute comfort break. When & 25 MS. LEWINTER: And if you could give us your \\
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\hline 1 came back, Ms. Krishnan asked whether she could read & 1 e-mail address. \\
\hline 2 testimony from somebody from the -- Ann Smith from the & 2 MR. SEVILLA: Ejsevilla a hotmail. \\
\hline 3 Seneca Creek Watershed Partners because Ms. Smith has a & 3 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Mr. Sevilla. \\
\hline 4 medical emergency and could not be here today. We were & 4 (The witness was sworn.) \\
\hline 5 trying to ascertain whether Exhibit 41, which has been & 5 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. \\
\hline 6 submitted by Seneca Creek Watershed Partners, is the same as & 6 ELIAS SEVILLA, \\
\hline 7 the testimony that Ms. Smith is asking to be read. & 7 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and \\
\hline 8 Can you just scroll down for a second to see who & 8 testified as follows: \\
\hline 9 signed it, if anybody? It's not signed. There's no name. & 9 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ELIAS SEVILLA \\
\hline 10 Okay. & MR. SEVILLA: So, as I mentioned, my name is Eli. \\
\hline 11 Okay. So, Ms. Krishnan, what Ms. Smith sent you, & 11 I am, I guess, probably one of the newer families in Ruby \\
\hline 12 can you scroll back up to the top? & 12 Drive, and I'ma recently retired Navy veteran. And so I do \\
\hline 13 MS. KRISHNAN: I'm trying to -- just give me one & 13 have a, you know, family, wife, three kids, little small 16, \\
\hline 14 second. Can you scroll down a bit because I don't -- yeah. & 1413 , and seven years old. We do a lot of activities, play \\
\hline 15 Yeah. I'mjust trying to -- so this is -- yeah, the water & 15 soccer, you know, all the things, I guess, younger families \\
\hline 16 quality, environmental concerns such as soil erosion and & 16 do. And, you know, we do ride a lot of bikes, you know. \\
\hline 17 water quality warrant close attention, high number of & 17 I came over here. I wasn't gonna say anything, \\
\hline 18 engineered -- & 18 but I do want to come here and show support for some of my \\
\hline 19 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. So then there's no reason we & 19 neighbors. And, you know, I am concerned about the property \\
\hline 20 need to read it into the record since it's already in the & 20 that is being built right, I would say, in front of us. \\
\hline 21 record. & 21 And, you know, that's one of the areas that we normally ride \\
\hline 22 MS. KRISHNAN: So that will be automatically & 22 our bikes every day. And I'm concerned some of the stuff \\
\hline 23 reviewed as part of the -- & 23 that was mentioned that -- you know, like I said, I'm no \\
\hline 24 MS. LEWINTER: Yeah. & 24 expert at any of it, you know. I work hard for my family. \\
\hline 25 MS. KRISHNAN: Okay. Thanks. That's all I wanted & 25 We got a couple of -- I got a couple of jobs, and it's hard \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 1 to even come here. This is the first time I've been able to & 1 MR. PAJOT: Sure. Jerome Pajot, J-E-R-O-M-E, last \\
\hline 2 show up in person. I've been supporting via, you know, & 2 name P-A-J-O-T, mailing address is 11 Ivy Leaf Court, and \\
\hline virtual. But, you know, I am concerned with the traffic & 3 that is in Boyds, Maryland 20841. My e-mail address is \\
\hline 4 Y & 4 \\
\hline 5 My wife stays home. She's the one that shuttles our kids & 5 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Mr. Pajot. Is it like \\
\hline 6 back and forth, you know, from, you know, elementary all the & 6 Stephen Colbert, you don't say the \\
\hline 7 way to high school. And so, you know, all I hear is, you & 7 MR. PAJOT: That is French, and \\
\hline 8 know, about the traffic that -- you know, that she faces & 8 T , as does the language requir \\
\hline 9 daily. You know, I do se & 9 (The witness was swo \\
\hline 10 come home. It's very heavy between three and six. Just & 10 MS. LEWINTER: Thank yo \\
\hline 11 last night, you know, I spent a lot of time. It takes me an & 11 JEROME PAJOT \\
\hline 12 hour and a half to get home from Washington. That's where I & 12 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and \\
\hline 13 work. You know, so, I am concerned with, you know, some & 13 testified as follo \\
\hline 14 the comments -- not comments, but some of the statements & \[
14
\] \\
\hline 15 have been said, you know, regarding the septic, regarding & 15 MR. PAJOT: I don't have anything formal, just \\
\hline 16 traffic, regarding, you know, just the quality of life & 16 notes, and so I think we're just gonna kind of flow here. I \\
\hline 17 itself, you know. So I'mno expert at anyth & 17 want you to consider two points is really my testimony. One \\
\hline 18 I just want to show some support with everybody in my & 18 is housing compatibility or incompatibility. There's a lot \\
\hline 19 neighborhood, and, you know, just show them, you know, that, & 19 of mention, or there has been a lot of mention that the \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
20 you know, I am concerned with everything that's been said. \\
21 And, like I said, no expert, but I just want, you know --
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
20 project is to blend in. And I think we've seen a few \\
21 iterations of the applicant's plan, and there have been some
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22 and we don't know, you know, what -- really what's going & 22 changes, for sure. I do feel, though, that the applicant \\
\hline 23 happen, you know. You know, one-on-one thing, yo & 23 has minimized what this project is in terms of size. \\
\hline 24 they say that, you know, these guys talk about the well, the & 24 Is it possible to pull up Exhibit 2, please? And \\
\hline 25 septic, you guys say that it's going to be all right, & 25 scroll down to, I guess, the first iteration of -- the first \\
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\hline 1 know, but in the long time, you know, nobody knows. When my & 1 picture. It's an awesome colorful picture. I don't know \\
\hline 2 septic breaks down, I mean, who do I call? When I don't & 2 what slide number it is. Keep going. Right there. So no. \\
\hline 3 have any water, what do I do? You know, so those are just & 3 I'm sorry. One more down. And I think we'll just scroll \\
\hline 4 the things that, you know, I personally think about, you & 4 down from there \\
\hline 5 know, with raising a family. You know that it's -- it's & 5 So I want to point out the fact this, I believe, \\
\hline 6 not -- I don't have anything in writing or anything, but & 6 was one of the first renderings where -- it looks great. No \\
\hline 7 just wanted to show some support to my fellow neighbors. & 7 doubt about it. My reference to minimizing are all of these \\
\hline 8 And I know they've worked hard and are bringing up, you & 8 gray blocks that are surrounding this proposal, which, I \\
\hline 9 know, some really good points. And that's basically all I & 9 believe, are meant to represent the surrounding \\
\hline 10 want to say. & 10 neighborhood. Definitely, these are not to scale. You \\
\hline 11 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Mr. Sevilla. & 11 know, the structures that are proposed -- \\
\hline 12 Do you have any questions for Mr. Sevilla? & 12 And we can scroll down, I think, to the next \\
\hline 13 MR. ROBINS: No questions. Thank you. & 13 iteration, the next slide, please. \\
\hline 14 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, sir. You can be & 14 Again, different iteration for the Worldshine \\
\hline 15 excused. & 15 proposal, but, again, I think disproportionate gray boxes \\
\hline 16 MR. SEVILLA: Thank you & 16 representing the surrounding homes. And I'm pointing at the \\
\hline 17 Mr. Pajot? Did I say it correctly? & 17 bottom of the screen. These three huge blocks represent \\
\hline 18 MR. PAJOT: You did. Thank you. How are you? & 18 Mrs. Duffin, Mrs. Martin, and I believe Mr. Sevilla's homes. \\
\hline 19 MS. LEWINTER: I'm good. & 19 Clearly not representative of actual size. On the opposite \\
\hline 20 MR. PAJOT: Are we still on morning time? Good & 20 side of the slide, the top part of the slide would represent \\
\hline 21 morning. & 21 actually where I live, one of those gray blocks, and I can \\
\hline 22 MR. ROBINS: Good morning. & 22 attest that my house does not sit level with the proposal. \\
\hline 23 MS. LEWINTER: Yes, barely. Mr. Pajot, if you & 23 I believe Ms. Meyer testified that she is about nine feet \\
\hline 24 could, again, say your name for the record, your full name, 25 and state your mailing address, and your e-mail. & 24 down. I concur. We're about nine to 10 , maybe 11 feet. 25 I've not measured specifically. So, again, I think it's a \\
\hline 25 and state your mailing address, and your e-mail. & 25 I've not measured specifically. So, again, I think it's a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 109 & 111 \\
\hline 1 little bit misleading. & 1 listed at. Maybe 32 or 3,300. Maybe you have that -- I'm \\
\hline 2 If we can scroll to the next slide, please. & 2 not sure -- on the public record. And so, you know, for the \\
\hline 3 Similar, I think. In the bottom left presentation & 3 homes that maybe have added a finished basement, I think if \\
\hline 4 there, you know, again, Ms. LeWinter, the surrounding homes & 4 we're generous and add a thousand square feet, maybe I'm \\
\hline 5 are made to seem bigger than they actually are so that the & 5 sitting at, you know, 43, my neighbors maybe 43, 4,500 \\
\hline 6 proposal shows that we are blending -- quote, unquote, & 6 square feet. Again, I think that's a far cry from the 8,700 \\
\hline 7 blending in. The topography is not taken into consideration & 7 square foot times eight that is being proposed. I think \\
\hline 8 for Ivy Leaf Court or Hurley Ridge in general. Again, as I & 8 that's a mismatch there as well. I did do a quick search to \\
\hline 9 testified, or was it testimony or cross-examination with & 9 find comparables, and there are no 8,700 square-foot homes \\
\hline 10 Mr . Sloan, there's an elevation difference of about 10 feet & 10 in our area. I believe I found one maybe in Damascus, and \\
\hline 11 from where I am behind the fence. So I just -- & 11 that was just one house. I believe the rest are in your \\
\hline 12 May we go down one more slide, please? And I & 12 neck of the woods, Mr. Robins, down in Potomac. I think \\
\hline 13 forget what that one is. & 13 there are a few of them down there, but none to be found \\
\hline And so here we go again. Now we're including all & 14 anywhere near where we live. \\
\hline 15 of Ruby Drive, and again it's just more of the same. So my & 15 You know, and then lastly, on the compatibility, \\
\hline 16 point being that it looks -- it looks great. It's a great & 16 Mr . Sloan testified and we've heard it today that the county \\
\hline 17 sales presentation, but I think we're minimizing in order to & 17 could allow eight to 10 homes, single family homes, which is \\
\hline 18 define the word blend. & 18 fine. You know, it is what it is. What I would want you to \\
\hline 19 The other thing that I think that we're talking & 19 consider, how big would those homes actually be in order to \\
\hline 20 about a lot is footprint. And I'm not gonna argue & 20 match the neighborhood, because you put in eight 8,700 \\
\hline 21 measurements. Somewhere in that presentation is my home & 21 square-foot single family homes in a general area that only \\
\hline 22 And, you know, is the footprint similar to what you're & 22 has maybe upwards of five or 6,000 square feet maybe down in \\
\hline 23 proposing, sure. I mean, how much -- you know, give or tak & 23 Summerfield Crossing, it skews the entire property value \\
\hline 24 a few, right? I'm no real estate expert. I believe that & 24 system, and I think that needs to be considered. \\
\hline 25 when real estate agents are talking about properties they & 25 Ms. LeWinter, the other point I want you to \\
\hline 110 & 112 \\
\hline 1 talk about square footage, and so I want to focus on that a & 1 consider is potentially the bigger -- the bigger plan that \\
\hline 2 little bit. You repeatedly referred to the proposal as & 2 could be at play. I think it'd be shortsighted to overlook \\
\hline 3 cottages. And this is somewhat repetitive because my & 3 the potential for expansion. You've heard fromMs. Martin \\
\hline 4 community members have already mentioned this, but a cottage & 4 and Ms. Duffin that somebody went door to door to try to \\
\hline 5 is, in fact, a small building. And it's about 1,000 to & 5 purchase her property. Not illegal, I guess. I don't know. \\
\hline 6 2,000 square feet. And so to have Worldshine or the & 6 I'm not a lawyer. Is it uncommon; I think so. But with the \\
\hline 7 representatives say that an 8,700 square-foot building is a & 7 fact that Mr. Lee has acquired a number of properties on \\
\hline 8 cottage, I think, it is an oxymoron. I don't think it fits. & 8 Ruby Drive, it just lends itself to, you know, what's the \\
\hline 9 And the 8,700 square feet that I'm coming up with, I & 9 bigger plan. So originally, I believe, Mr. Lee bought 21901 \\
\hline 10 believe, was testimony by the architect where it was 2,900 & 10 Ruby Drive, and that is listed under his name on the \\
\hline 11 square feet per floor, and then the basement came up as & 11 Maryland public record, which, by the way, is listed as a \\
\hline 12 conditioned space that would be used for community rooms and & 12 principal residence. And he is also listed as a principal \\
\hline 13 also office space. I would also think that, if there was a & 13 residence 10808 Brickyard Court down in Potomac. So he's \\
\hline 14 need, that could also be converted to living space, if & 14 listed two properties as a primary residence, or principal \\
\hline 15 needed, if and when needed, if there was demand, or & 15 residence. I'm sorry. I'm not sure if that's common. \\
\hline 16 overcrowding, or things like that. The square footage, you & 1621905 was bought by -- under the name of Baron Investments. \\
\hline 17 know, again, you spent a lot of time with Ms. Krishnan and & 17 And Baron Investments, an LLC, I'm not sure when it was \\
\hline 18 Gorman Circle. Again, as I stated, I live on Ivy Leaf & 18 created. I don't have that handy on my notes. Mr. Lee is \\
\hline 19 Court, which is -- I'm trying to get my directions here. & 19 listed as a principal contact or principal agent for Baron. \\
\hline 20 Is it north or west? & 20 And then, as we know, he bought 21908 Ruby Drive, which he \\
\hline 21 (Crosstalk.) & 21 transferred to Greenland Properties. So, you know, we have \\
\hline 22 West. Okay. So my property line divides on the & 22 three properties, three different names. And so I don't -- \\
\hline 23 western side on the proposal and my property. Hurley Ridge, & 23 I'm not sure what we're -- what we're masking here, why we \\
\hline 24 again, I think ranges from 2,700 square feet on the above & 24 can't just -- I don't -- I'm not smart enough to understand \\
\hline 25 ground to maybe 33. I actually don't even know what mine is & 25 why the need for various LLCs and why the need to hide \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 113 & 115 \\
\hline 1 behind various companies when you're acquiring a & 1 approving any such expansion? \\
\hline 2 neighborhood. And so, you know, with 21908 being the bigger & 2 A Sure. \\
\hline 3 property looking to be developed, now the addition of these & 3 Q So the relevance -- the fact that there are other \\
\hline 4 two originally purchased properties, which I don't know & 4 properties owned nearby are not relevant to the hearing \\
\hline 5 that -- if I may, I'm going to point to the screen here. & 5 examiner's consideration of this application today? \\
\hline 6 These two properties down here are the original purchases & 6 A Possibly, but I would say I would assume and \\
\hline 7 that Mr. Lee acquired. Those are the original two address & 7 presume that if a conditional use is granted for the bigger \\
\hline 8 that I just mentioned at the entrance of Ruby Drive. And & 8 or the initial project that it would be easier for the \\
\hline 9 so, you know, if you look at the entire property, that's 20 & 9 applicant to submit an additional or additional request to \\
\hline 10 percent -- those two properties represent 20 percent of the & 10 add onto that. \\
\hline 11 properties on the -- & 11 Q But that's your assumption? \\
\hline 12 Is that the East side? & 12 A Su \\
\hline 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: East. & 13 Q That's not based on any facts? \\
\hline 14 MR. PAJOT: East side of Ruby Drive. And so he & 14 A Of course. \\
\hline 15 was looking to acquire the other 80 percent. And the last & 15 Q And have -- \\
\hline 16 point I'd like to make on that is, you know, we heard & 16 MS. ROGERS: Do you want to ask that -- \\
\hline 17 testimony fromMr. Huang, the engineer, who's a principal at & 17 BY MR. ROBI \\
\hline 18 Endesco. He did testify that he was not aware of what Baron & 18 Q I'm sorry. We typically will not tag team here, \\
\hline 19 Investments is, and that's fair. But he also testified that & 19 and please don't take it that way. I just had a question -- \\
\hline 20 he has a personal relationship with Mr. Lee. Mr. Huang also & \(20 \quad\) A It's not a problem at all. \\
\hline 21 opened or created -- I don't know what the right word is -- & 21 Q At the Planning Board, I think you were interested \\
\hline 22 an LLC called Endesco -- is it Endesco Properties or Endesco & 22 also in seeing if the outcome would be -- or the petitioner, \\
\hline 23 Developments, LLC -- and through that company acquired 12404 & 23 I'm sorry, would be willing to do anything regarding \\
\hline 24 West Old Baltimore. That property sits, if we're looking at & 24 landscaping -- \\
\hline 25 the screen right here, directly -- if I may, directly & 25 A Sure. \\
\hline 114 & 116 \\
\hline 1 left -- and again I'm geographically confused here. That & 1 Q -- along the western side of the property. Have \\
\hline 2 property sits right here. So it would be, I think, directly & 2 you seen the landscape plan? \\
\hline 3 across or just whereabouts or close from the proposed & 3 A I saw the original landscape plan. I believe \\
\hline 4 entrance. That lot was purchased in 2019, and has been & 4 you're referring to my concern about the evergreens -- \\
\hline 5 vacant. And that's fine. I would ask, you know, is & 5 Q Yeah. \\
\hline 6 typical for an engineering company to buy an R-200 property & 6 A -- and things like that. And so if, in fact, this \\
\hline 7 and leave it vacant? You know, he was hesitant to disclose & 7 gets approved, then yes, I am definitely concerned -- \\
\hline 8 that personal relationship. And so again it just lends & \(8 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) What I was actually asking you is are you familiar \\
\hline 9 itself to what's really going on. And I think if you give & 9 with the fact that we've actually submitted a landscape plan \\
\hline 10 this a green light, I think it'll just allow them to expand & 10 that does provide additional screening on the western \\
\hline 11 the entire project, and just affect the neighborhood at a & 11 boundary? \\
\hline 12 greater level. & 12 A I did not see that. \\
\hline 13 Those are my thoughts. & 13 Q Okay. You'll see it in a few minutes. \\
\hline 14 MS. LEWINTER: Did you have cross-examination? & 14 A Sure. \\
\hline 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT & 15 Q I just wanted to see if you had seen that and \\
\hline 16 BY MS. ROGERS: & 16 whether it addressed your concern. But, since you haven't \\
\hline 17 Q I just have a few questions. & 17 seen it yet -- \\
\hline 18 A Sure. & 18 A Nor did I bring it up today. \\
\hline 19 Q I guess I just wanted to -- on your point about & 19 Q -- I respect your answer. \\
\hline 20 the expansion, wouldn't an expansion of this conditional use & 20 A Sure. \\
\hline 21 require another public process and another hearing if we & 21 Q Thank you very much. \\
\hline 22 were to expand to include additional properties? & 22 A Sure. \\
\hline 23 A I would assume so. Yes. & 23 MS. LEWINTER: No other questions? \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. And so that would have another opportunity & 24 MS. ROGERS: No other questions. \\
\hline 25 where those set of facts could be considered before & 25 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Thank you, Mr. Pajot. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 117 & 119 \\
\hline MR. PAJOT: Thank you. & 1 Master Plan. It comprises eight large buildings housing 120 \\
\hline 2 MS. LEWINTER: Did you want to testify, sir? I'm & 2 permanent residents, and will have 70 plus staff members. \\
\hline 3 trying to see if there's anybody else back there. You're & 3 The operation will require multiple visits to and from \\
\hline 4 right. Come on up. All right. Thank you, sir. If you & 4 residents' doctors, nurses, therapists, etcetera, and daily \\
\hline 5 could state your name for the record, and then your address, & 5 visitors from family members and friends of the residents. \\
\hline 6 and your e-mail. & 6 Recognizing the need to give Little Seneca Creek special \\
\hline 7 MR. CINQUE: My name is Jay Cinque, C-I-N-Q-U-E. & 7 protection, the master plan also calls for a limit on retail \\
\hline 8 I live at 22300 Slidell Road in Boyds. My e-mail -- my real & 8 and employment uses, and makes specific recommendation \\
\hline 9 name is Julius, first name Julius. My e-mail address is & 9 the master plan concerning the future placement of elderly \\
\hline 10 julius.cinque@gmail.com & 10 housing, which should be concentrated towards public \\
\hline 11 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Mr. Cinque. & 11 facilities. This proposal is not concentrated towards \\
\hline 12 (The witness was sworn.) & 12 public facilities, nor is it away from sensitive areas that \\
\hline 13 MS. LEWINTER: Thank your & 13 require special protection. It is located in a very \\
\hline 14 JULIUS CINQUE, & 14 environmentally sensitive area that has no public \\
\hline 15 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and & 15 facilities, thus limiting access by close friends and family \\
\hline 16 testified as follows: & 16 membe \\
\hline 17 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JULIUS CINQUE & 17 The current proposal -- I'm sorry. The current \\
\hline 18 MR. CINQUE: Okay. My name is Jay Cinque. I am & 18 build out of this proposed facility will require roughly a \\
\hline 19 the Treasurer of The Friends at Tenmile Creek and the Little & 1934.7 percent imperviousness, which is twice as high as the \\
\hline 20 Seneca Reservoir and the SugarloafConservancy, but I'm here & 20 average for the R-200 zone where the property is located. \\
\hline 21 today as a concerned citizen to express strong opposition to & 21 In previous testimony, the applicant dismissed this issue by \\
\hline 22 the proposed Worldshine Assisted Living Facility on Old & 22 stating they will treat the water on their property before \\
\hline 23 Baltimore Road in Clarksburg. I join in support of the & 23 it is released. Treating the water will not address the \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
24 thousands of residents of the Summerfield Crossing community \\
25 who are opposing the location of the senior living facility.
\end{tabular} & 24 impact of frequent storms or high rain events, which can now 25 be more regularly anticipated with the changing climactic \\
\hline \[
25 \mathrm{wl}
\] & 25 be more regularly anticipated with the changing climactic \\
\hline 118 & 120 \\
\hline 1 When this community was initially conceived -- Summerfield & 1 conditions. Sudden deluges of water can seriously degrade \\
\hline 2 Crossing, when this community was initially conceived, & 2 or destroy the fragile stream banks along Seneca Creek. The \\
\hline 3 developed, and approved, it had gone through extensive and & 3 impact of the treatment itself has not been adequately \\
\hline 4 careful consideration of its impact on Little Seneca Creek & 4 studied, nor even identified, and may have serious \\
\hline 5 and the Seneca Creek Watershed. Sensitivity to the & 5 deleterious effects on the aquifer and the fragile well \\
\hline 6 importance of this watershed to Little Seneca Reservoir & 6 conditions in close proximity to the proposed site. \\
\hline 7 remains very high in the Clarksburg area and in northern & 7 In closing, while I and many others in the \\
\hline 8 Montgomery County. & 8 community recognize the need for senior assisted living in \\
\hline 9 The creation of the Clarksburg Master Plan, as you & 9 the Clarksburg area, the specific location of this project \\
\hline 10 know, was a very long and painful process, both for the & 10 or this proposal is a serious mistake and should be \\
\hline 11 members of the community, for the Maryland National Park and & 11 disapproved. Thank you. \\
\hline 12 Planning Commission and the County Council members. It & 12 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, sir. Do you have \\
\hline 13 involved dozens of meetings, multiple studies, public & 13 cross-examination? \\
\hline 14 hearings, drafts, redrafts, a final document, and then & 14 MS. ROGERS: Just a few questions. Thank you. \\
\hline 15 additional addendums. But throughout this process, the & 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT \\
\hline 16 significance and importance of protecting the multiple & 16 BYMS. ROGERS: \\
\hline 17 streams and tributaries that make up the Seneca Creek & 17 Q You mentioned in your remarks, I just want to \\
\hline 18 Watershed remained paramount, as is clearly indicated in the & 18 clarify for the record, that there was a thousand residents \\
\hline 19 final Clarksburg Master Plan. & 19 of Summerfield Crossing opposing this project, but earlier \\
\hline 20 The proposed Worldshine Assisted Living Facility's & 20 today Ms. Krishnan clarified she was not authorized to \\
\hline 21 located immediately adjacent to the multiple streams of & 21 testify on behalf of the HOA. So are you aware of others \\
\hline 22 Little Seneca Creek and the tributaries of Seneca Creek & 22 besides Ms. Krishnan from Summerfield Crossing that are \\
\hline 23 Watershed. The proposed Worldshine Assisted Living Facility & 23 opposing this project that have done so on the record? \\
\hline 24 is a major commercial venture that is completely & 24 A I'm not sure if they've done so on the record. \\
\hline 25 incompatible with the existing community and the Clarksburg & 25 There's been a lot of community concern both with the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 121 & 123 \\
\hline 1 several - the other developments on Old Baltimore Road & 1 suggesting that the county's not professionally qualified in \\
\hline 2 about the impact of this particular project. So I don't & 2 terms of approving that plan? \\
\hline 3 knowif it's on the record or not, but there's a great deal & 3 A No. I don't know if they're professionally \\
\hline 4 of community concern. & 4 qualified. I wouldn't question their qualifications. I'm \\
\hline \(5 \quad\) Q Okay. But I just -- just for the record, when you & 5 not sure if they realize the intent of the master plan in \\
\hline 6 say a thousand, do you have anything that shows that there's & 6 protecting this area. So I would be at odds with the \\
\hline 7 a thousand people that -- & 7 Planning Board about whether or not they really gave this \\
\hline \(8 \quad\) A No. & 8 adequate study. \\
\hline 9 Q -- opposing this project? & \(9 \quad\) Q Okay. And are you aware that the petitioner's \\
\hline 10 A No. & 10 project includes numerous mechanisms that our experts have \\
\hline 11 Q Thank you. You mentioned that the property is & 11 testified to address and treat storm water management on the \\
\hline 12 located immediately adjacent to Little Seneca Creek. Just & 12 property? \\
\hline 13 to again to clarify the record, are you aware that this & 13 A I am not aware of that. In fact, I didn't - I \\
\hline 14 property is not in a streambuffer and is separated by those & 14 attended the earlier meeting, and I didn't think it was \\
\hline 15 streams -- by roads and other developments from those & 15 enough - enough information available to opine on what's \\
\hline 16 streams? & 16 going to happen to the water when you have the severe rain \\
\hline 17 A Yes, I am aware. & 17 events, these severe downpours and stuff that seem to be \\
\hline 18 Q Okay. Thank you. You also mentioned that this & 18 happening quite frequently now. \\
\hline 19 property isn't in a -- I didn't get your exact words, but & 19 Q But you are aware of the county's storm water \\
\hline 20 highly environmentally sensitive area, something to that & 20 management regulations that apply to this project \\
\hline 21 effect. Earlier today we showed an excerpt from the master & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 plan that classified the environmental constraints of this & 22 Q -- in terms of treatment of storm wate \\
\hline 23 portion of the master plan as slight, lowest of the three. & 23 management. \\
\hline 24 So can you clarify -- & 24 A Okay. Right. \\
\hline 25 A I'm not sure - I'm not sure what she means. I & 25 MS. ROGERS: I have nothing else. Thank you. \\
\hline 122 & 124 \\
\hline 1 don't understand the question. & 1 MR. ROBINS: Thank you. \\
\hline 2 Q I wanted to clarify. You represented that this is & 2 MR. CINQUE: My wife Anne who is -- she's also \\
\hline 3 highly environmentally sensitive, this property & 3 President of the Friends of Tenmile Creek, and she had a \\
\hline 4 particularly. And earlier when we put up an exhibit, the & 4 fall and broke some ribs. She could not attend today. She \\
\hline 5 master plan, it showed this area was actually the lowest of & 5 did attend the earlier meeting. I have some bulleted points \\
\hline 6 the three classifications in terms of environmental & 6 of hers. I don't know if we would send those in in a \\
\hline 7 constraints. & 7 letter, or I can -- I can enter into the record now, or how \\
\hline 8 A Well, where the property is located, to the south & 8 you want to do handle it. They wouldn't have an opportunity \\
\hline 9 of you is some of the headwaters or the tributaries to & 9 to cross-examine the points. \\
\hline 10 Little Seneca Creek, and west of you is also the watershed. & 10 MS. LEWINTER: Yeah. Either way, there wouldn't \\
\hline 11 So that's what I was talking about. & 11 be, but other people have submitted testimony. \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. So separate -- not on this property & 12 Would you have an objection either to or a \\
\hline 13 particularly -- & 13 preference for him to just read them in and then you could \\
\hline 14 A No. & 14 potentially address them on rebuttal, or to submit them and \\
\hline 15 Q -- you're talking about? & 15 ask to keep the record open? \\
\hline 16 A No. & 16 MR. ROBINS: I think reading them now. \\
\hline 17 Q Okay. Thank you. & 17 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. So if you could just state \\
\hline 18 A But water doesn't constrain itself to the & 18 her name -- \\
\hline 19 boundaries of the property. & 19 MR. CINQUE: Yes. \\
\hline 20 Q And in your remarks -- I just wanted to clarify & 20 MS. LEWINTER: -- for the record, and if you can \\
\hline 21 what you were testifying. Are you aware that a water & 21 provide us her e-mail address. I'm assuming you live in the \\
\hline 22 quality plan has been approved for this property by the & 22 same home. \\
\hline 23 county? & 23 MR. CINQUE: Yeah, it's the same home. Yeah. Her \\
\hline 24 A Yes. I'm troubled by that. & 24 name is Anne Cinque, C-I-N-Q-U-E. \\
\hline 25 Q Okay. Are you -- are you -- is it -- are you & 25 MS. LEWINTER: Is it Anne with E or Ann -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 125 & 12 \\
\hline 1 MR. CINQUE: Anne with an E. & 1 vote. It's 12:15. 12:13. We can keep going, and then, \\
\hline 2 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. & 2 optimistically, finish around one and then have time for \\
\hline 3 MR. CINQUE: Anne M. Cinque. She lives at 22300 & 3 closing arguments, or we can take a lunch break and then \\
\hline 4 Slidell Road. Her e-mail address would be & 4 come back. I don't want anybody to be uncomfortable or \\
\hline 5 ajcinque2@gmail.com. Okay. And she apologizes she couldn't & 5 you know, I understand people have different constraints. \\
\hline 6 be here; but, trust me, she couldn't be her & 6 Mr. Pajot? \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) Okay. This is some of her highlighted points. & 7 MR. PAJOT: I just have a process question. I \\
\hline 8 She feels that it's essential that the staff review its & 8 don't have a sense of how long we would actually be here \\
\hline 9 comments and decisions about the imperviousness and & 9 today. I know four o'clock is the closing bell. I'm trying \\
\hline 10 maintenance of the water quality on the Worldshin & 10 to juggle a work schedule. And so I have no problem \\
\hline 11 application. Some points to consider are that -- some & 11 staying. But, you know, if your presentation is 45 minutes, \\
\hline 12 points that need additional consideration are there has & 12 how much do we allot for closing statements, and what is \\
\hline 13 no discussion on why the staff is considering allowing that & 13 there afterwards, if anything? \\
\hline 1434.7 percent impervious rate on a property zoned R-200 wh & 14 MS. LEWINTER: After closing statements, hopefully \\
\hline 15 the acceptance rate or average rate is around 15 percent. & 15 we're don \\
\hline 16 Staff refers to the doubling of this rate as slightly & 16 MR. PAJOT: I'm assuming you don't render a \\
\hline 17 higher, quote. In the preliminary water quality p & 17 decision \\
\hline 18 Exhibit 19, it makes no reference to the tributaries on & 18 MS. LEWINTER: I am not going to render the \\
\hline 19 property and the streams adjacent the property. There is no & 19 decision today. \\
\hline 20 data as to the potential impact of the doubling impervious & 20 MR. PAJOT: Again, I'm not familiar with the \\
\hline 21 rate. There are no studies, no data as to the pot & 21 process. That's why I ask. \\
\hline 22 impact of the streams and tributaries which flow to Little & 22 MS. LEWINTER: So what happens, just -- because \\
\hline 23 Seneca Reservoir. There is no study or even speculation & 23 we'll get to this at some point, so we might as well do it \\
\hline 24 about the effects of the water usage, the toilets, & 24 now. The record usually stays open for 10 days mainly to \\
\hline 25 baths, the kitchens on the 120-bed, 75 -employ & 25 get the transcript. Once we get the transcript returned, 10 \\
\hline 126 & 128 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 study on the impact of the hazardous waste, laundry, \\
etcetera. There is little reference, if any, to the
\end{tabular} & 1 days from then, I have 30 days to craft and publish a 2 decision. \\
\hline 3 Clarksburg Master Plan. In this plan there are numerous & 3 MR. PAJOT: Sure. \\
\hline 4 references to the significance of Little Seneca Creek, & 4 MS. LEWINTER: And then, if there was an appeal to \\
\hline 5 Little Seneca Reservoir and the land near them, which has & 5 the next level, that would happen from that date that the \\
\hline 6 multiple streams and tributaries, which ultimately flow into & 6 decision comes out, whether it's, you know, on the 30th day, \\
\hline 7 reservoir. The master plan is filled with such statements & 7 or the 25 th day, or whatever. \\
\hline 8 as Little Seneca Creek warrants an extraordinary attention & 8 MR. PAJOT: So we're looking at two hours \\
\hline 9 and should be covered by enhanced environmental guidelines. & 9 MS. LEWINTER: So my guess is yeah. I would hop \\
\hline 10 No data have been presented as to the potential impact of & 10 so. I mean, my request to those in opposition is to try and \\
\hline 11 the facility under fragile area streams and reservoir. & 11 be concise. If someone has made your argument -- and \\
\hline 12 Finally, the preliminary plan makes no reference to the & 12 closing is really about argument. It is not an opportunity \\
\hline 13 tributaries on the property or streams on the adjacent & 13 to make further testimony and presentations. \\
\hline 14 properties. & 14 MR. PAJOT: Right. \\
\hline 15 Thank you & 15 MS. LEWINTER: So it's just to hit a couple of top \\
\hline 16 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you & 16 points that you want to make sure that I am aware of, but \\
\hline 17 Okay. Was that the last person who wants to & 17 it 's not another, you know, opportunity to go through the \\
\hline 18 testify in opposition? & 18 record. \\
\hline 19 Okay. So I understand, Ms. Rogers and Mr. Robins, & 19 MR. PAJOT: No slideshow? \\
\hline 20 that you have a rebuttal case. Do you -- I'm not going to & 20 MS. LEWINTER: No slideshow. So, given that, are \\
\hline 21 hold you to it, but do you have a sense of how long you & 21 people okay to keep going? I don't want to compromise \\
\hline 22 think you would need for the rebuttal? & 22 anybody's workday if I don't have to. \\
\hline 23 MR. ROBINS: I would estimate between 30 and 45 & 23 MR. PAJOT: I'm in. Let's go. \\
\hline 24 minutes & 24 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. All right. If you'd like to \\
\hline 25 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Maybe we can do this by & 25 call your first rebuttal witness. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 129 & 131 \\
\hline 1 MS. ROGERS: Great. We call Mr. Joshua Sloan. & 1 into opposition. I think just because they're not on the \\
\hline 2 MS. LEWINTER: Mr. Sloan, I'll remind you that & 2 screen, out of sight, out of mind. \\
\hline 3 you're still under oath. & 3 Is that your daughter? \\
\hline MR. SLOAN: I understand. & 4 MS. MARTIN: Yes. \\
\hline 5 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. & 5 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Hi. So your first name is \\
\hline 6 Mr. Court Reporter, do you need his information again? & 6 Emily. What's your last name? \\
\hline 8 Okay. Mr. Sloan, if you could, just state and & 7 MS. MARTIN: Oh, hi. My name is Emily Martin. \\
\hline 9 spell your name for the record. & 8 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Ms. Martin, if you could -- \\
\hline 10 MR. SLOAN: Joshua Sloan, J-O-S-H-U-A S-L-O-A-N. & 9 if you could spell your name for the record. \\
\hline 11 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Go ahead. & 10 MS. MARTIN: Sure. It's E-M-I-L-Y. \\
\hline 12 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. & 11 MS. LEWINTER: And could you provide us your \\
\hline 13 JOSHUA SLOAN, & 12 mailing address and your e-mail? \\
\hline 14 having been previously duly sworn or affirmed, was examined & 13 MS. MARTIN: I'm 12204, Clarksburg, Maryland \\
\hline 15 and testified as follows: & 14 20871. My e-mail is my name emilyspostoffice@gmail.com \\
\hline 16 REBUTTAL EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT & 15 THE REPORTER: Can I get the address again? \\
\hline 18 Q Mr. Sloan, can you just please reiterate for & 16 MS. LEWINTER: Can you hear that? Can you provid \\
\hline 19 record what the property is zoned? & 17 your address again? \\
\hline \(20 \quad\) A R-200. & \begin{tabular}{l}
18 \\
MS. MARTIN: 12204 Fountain Drive, Clarksburg,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 21 MS. ROGERS: Okay. We have a few questions for & 19 Maryland. \\
\hline 22 Mr . Sloan regarding what could be developed on the site. We & 20 MR. ROBINS: I don't know -- \\
\hline 23 recognize this is not dispositive for approval of a & 21 MS. LEWINTER: Mountain Drive, I think. Felton \\
\hline 24 conditional use application, but it's important to note & 22 Drive? \\
\hline 25 clearly for the record what could be developed on the & \begin{tabular}{l}
23 \\
MS. MARTIN: Fountain.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 24 MS. LEWINTER: Can you spell it? \\
\hline & 25 MS. MARTIN: F-O-U-N-T-A-I-N. \\
\hline 130 & 132 \\
\hline 1 property to illustrate the petitioner has given great care & 1 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you. There's just a \\
\hline 2 to what they're proposing to develop on the site. & 2 little bit of a -- \\
\hline 3 Q So, Mr. Sloan, under the R-200 zone -- & 3 MR. ROBINS: There's an echo or something. \\
\hline 4 MS. ROGERS: If we could pull up Exhibit 40 while & 4 MS. LEWINTER: -- echo on the line. I apologize. \\
\hline 5 I'm asking. & 5 (Technical discussion off the record.) \\
\hline 6 MS. LEWINTER: I am sorry. I completely forgot & 6 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Ms. Martin, I'm \\
\hline 7 about -- was there anybody on Zoom who -- is anybody still & 7 assuming you've been following closely; but, just to \\
\hline 8 in the room? & 8 reiterate, I'm going let you talk, and then, if the \\
\hline 9 THE TECHNICIAN: Well, there's people in the room, & 9 petitioner's attorneys have any questions for you, they will \\
\hline 10 but no one's waved saying they want to speak. & 10 address them. I'm going to turn my mic off, because that's \\
\hline 11 MS. LEWINTER: All right. They can hear me. My & 11 part of the problem with us hearing clearly. \\
\hline 12 apologies to those on Zoom. & 12 (The witness was sworn.) \\
\hline 13 MS. MARTIN: My daughter was waiting. & 13 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. All right. You may \\
\hline 14 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Because I did not invite & 14 testify. \\
\hline 15 them -- & 15 EMILY MARTIN, \\
\hline 16 My apologies to the petitioner's case. & 16 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and \\
\hline 17 Is there anybody on Zoom? Now would be the time & 17 testified as follows: \\
\hline 18 to raise your hand if you would also like to testify. My & 18 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF EMILY MARTIN \\
\hline 19 apologies for excluding you from that process. & 19 MS. MARTIN: I'll keep it short. I've been \\
\hline 20 (Technician speaking off mic.) & 20 following since the inception of everything. My parents are \\
\hline 21 MS. LEWINTER: Right. Yeah. If you could, raise & 21 Carl and Jeanean Martin. They're at 21909 Ruby Drive, and \\
\hline 22 your hand if you would like to testify. And I cannot see & 22 they babysit my children multiple times per week. I \\
\hline 23 them. & 23 probably go over there four to five times a week. I have \\
\hline 24 (Technician speaking off mic.) & 24 three kids. \\
\hline 25 MS. LEWINTER: I am sorry. We're going to go back & 25 So I'm in opposition for all of the same reasons \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

read it. The person who needs to read it the most is me, and I have it. So it's part of the record.

MS. SMITH: All right. Thank you.
MS. LEWINTER: You're welcome.
Ms. Smith's request is to make sure that her letter was part of the record, and it is.

All right. My apologies, Mr. Sloan. I think we can go back to petitioner's rebuttal case. Mr. Sloan has provided all of his information. You had stated that this 10 was an R-200 zone and were going to go through what is 11 permitted as a matter of right in the zone. Correct?

MS. ROGERS: Yes.
MS. LEWINTER: Thank you.
MS. ROGERS: If we could pull up Exhibit 40.
Thank you.
BY MS. ROGERS:
Q Mr. Sloan, under the R-200 zone, what development 8 would be allowed by right on this property?
A So for the property, given its overall size, you could theoretically get nine to 10 units on it based on the development standards for a single family home. But these densities aren't typically realized, because of other things. Like you have to have proper road terminus for a public road, and Ruby Drive is a public right of way. It was originally intended to actually go further north, but it
has a hammerhead turnaround now, which is not - does not accommodate fire access. So we would typically put in a proper cul-de-sac for this road in this case. Because of the property alignment, it would be entirely within the subject property, and you could get eight units that would fit within the development standards for R-200. And so this is a case in which we, we the applicant, look towards the general character of a neighborhood, what can we build, and in this development try to stay in line with that. It's 10 quite unique for a senior living building to build more than 11 one building, as they have here with the eight buildings.
12 These units could be up to 45 feet tall. They could have 25
13 percent coverage of the lot. They would be subject to all
14 of the other standards, forest conservation, water quality
15 plan and whatnot, that are currently - have been currently
16 submitted and have been approved.
17 Q Thank you. And could the homes on Ruby Drive or 18 the ones that are south of West Old Battimore be expanded to 19 be larger, say, between 40 and 50 feet tall and larger 20 footprints without any analysis regarding compatibility?
21 A Yes. Any of the existing homes on Ruby Drive, on 22 West Old Baltimore, or in the neighborhood could expand. 23 They could increase their impervious area. They could be 40 24 to 50 feet tall, depending on their lot size. And existing 25 homes, interestingly, are exempt from water quality plan,
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special protection area, impervious caps.
Q Several individuals have couched in their testimony compatibility in terms of like a strict similarity of our proposed cottages to the surrounding homes. While the petitioner's testimony has attempted to illustrate that the proposed cottages are in line with the size and character of the surrounding homes, is that really the right way to be looking at compatibility?
A It's not the way we usually look at compatibility. 10 This case is quite unique. We have done many of these over 11 the past 30 odd years I've been doing this; and, without 12 exceptions, the projects that I've looked at have been a 13 single building. They've been with surface parking, all of 14 the outdoor space that you typically see with such a 15 facility. So we look at residential characteristics very 16 differently in those cases. And these are what we're 17 typically providing as findings to the hearing examiner. We 18 do look at overall height. We look at the roof type, 19 whether it's a gabled roof, rather than a flat roof, because 20 that typically indicates more of an industrial or 21 institutional use. We look at window sizing, spacing, type.
22 We look at the siding materials, and go into a great detail
23 about the design features of the building itself, whether
24 they have porches, whether they have awnings at their 25 entrances, those kind of elements.

And when we're looking at compatibility of size,
2 because these are almost always single buildings, we're not
3 looking at square footage, we're not looking at the
4 footprint itself. We're looking at the width of the wings
5 of these buildings. And so we typically have wings of a
6 large building that will be roughly 40 to 50 feet, because
7 that is what most of the buildings around us are in on width
8 dimension.
9 So this proposal is different. We're looking
10 really - and we've made quite a long discussion about
11 whether or not these are similar or not to the existing
12 houses around. And I think it's - it's a benefit of the
13 project that that's the discussion we're having, rather than
14 about these finer technical points of design. The projects
15 we've done have ranged - the two most recent ones, 43 to 57
16 percent impervious area, building coverage maxed out at 25
17 percent.
18 There are similarities. We're providing open 19 space for the residents. We are, as I noted, talking about
20 building design language. We, of course, have surface
21 parking and access circulation. And the operations are
22 going to be similar. They're still the nursing, the dining,
23 and everything else that's been discussed in the operations.
24 But there's significant differences. The
25 applicant has taken it upon themselves to break this up into

1 eight buildings. Their building coverage is at 14 percent of the site area. The impervious coverage is around 36 percent. That's been discussed. This also doesn't have any environmentally sensitive features on its site. Two previous special exceptions, conditional uses that we did with this type of facility both had stream buffers on site, and we had to take consideration, mitigation and design of environmentally sensitive areas to take care of those stream buffers. We don't have that here. We're quite a distance 0 away from any stream buffers.
Q And, just to clarify, if you looked at the
12 impervious area just on this site and excluded kind of 3 right-of-way improvements like the sidewalk and the like, what would the impervious coverage be approximately?
15 So without the right-of-way improvements, which 16 include the sidewalk connections off to the west or the 17 east, we would be at closer to 34 percent.

Q Could this use have been developed -- well, I think you say this, but just to reiterate, could this use have been developed on the property in one larger building?
A Yes. It's much more typical.
Q Thank you. And what is the maximum number of beds that the code would permit for this use on this property?

A So for this size property and the use standards
under the zoning ordinance, you could propose up to 169
beds.
Q It has been repeatedly suggested in testimony that this is, you know, more of a commercial use. Just to clarify the record, is that use classification correct?

A It's not. This is classified as a residential use.

Q Switching to landscaping, does the proposed landscape and lighting comply with the landscape and lighting requirements of the zoning ordinance?

A It does. Our screening requirements are one of 11 two options. The option that this applicant has selected is
12 a 12 -foot minimum width with two canopy trees, four 13 understory or evergreen trees, eight large shrubs, 12 medium 14 shrubs along their - along their abutting property lines 15 with the R-200 zoning property, R-200 TDR properties. 16 MS. ROGERS: As noted in the petitioner's case in 17 chief, the petitioner agreed to provide additional evergreen 18 screening along the western property boundary to respond to 19 requests received from the community following the Planning 20 Board hearing. We did submit a revised landscape plan into
21 the record. We note that adding those evergreens -- we
22 noticed that in doing so a layer got turned off on some of
23 the shrubs, and so we would like to introduce a revised
24 landscape plan, which I believe you have an e-mail to pull
25 up today for that, which made sure that layer was back on.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 14 & 143 \\
\hline The first one. & 1 as Ms. Rogers has noted, making sure that we understood the \\
\hline 2 And so and I have a hard copy too. So we would & 2 quotations and took them \\
\hline 3 like to introduce this as an exhibit in the record. & 3 So, for example, in point one, the statement about \\
\hline 4 MS. LEWINTER: Right. Do you want it to like & 4 being literally on top of Little Seneca Creek streams, we've \\
\hline 5 replace & 5 testified before and want to make sure it's clear that we're \\
\hline 6 MS & 6 . 2 to \(\mathbf{. 2 6}\) miles from the closest stream, and we do not have \\
\hline 7 that was submit & 7 any stream valley buffers or other critical environmentally \\
\hline MS. LEWINTER: Do you know the number offhan & 8 sensitive areas on our site. Also, any impacts to the \\
\hline S. ROGERS: Ye & 9 environment would not be non-inherent, and we want to \\
\hline 10 MS. LEWINTER: I know Ms. Johnson was working on & 10 reiterate that because it's important to the findings for a \\
\hline 11 this at the last minutes, so I'm not sure my -- & 11 conditional use. \\
\hline 12 MS. ROGERS: Exhibit 36 & 12 On the quote on page 149 of the master plan that \\
\hline 13 MR. ROBINS: 36 & 13 standard guidelines would be considered for othe \\
\hline 14 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. So this will be a & 14 acceptable for other areas are insufficient for this \\
\hline 15 replacement Exhibit 36. & 15 environmentally sensitive area, we agree, and that's \\
\hline 6 MS. ROGERS: Yes & 16 specifically why a water quality plan is required. \\
\hline 17 MS. LEWINTER: And I know she was going to try and & 17 Sensitive areas, though, are defined as streams and stream \\
\hline 18 post that for everybody to see. & 18 buffers, floodplains, steep slopes, and known habitats \\
\hline 19 MS. ROGERS: Okay & 19 rare or threatened, endangered species. We typically just \\
\hline 20 MS. LEWINTER: Okay & 20 call those RTEs. None of those apply to the subject site, \\
\hline 21 BY MS. ROGER & 21 and everyone from the Maryland Department of Natural \\
\hline 22 & 22 Resources to the Department of Permitting Services to Pa \\
\hline 23 revisions of the initial evergreen landscaping that the & 23 and Planning staff all weigh in on these elements. The \\
\hline 24 petitioner provid & 24 quote is more of a paraphrase, as Ms. Krishnan has noted \\
\hline 25 A Yes. We specifically worked on increasing the & 25 that sometimes she had done, which we were able to follow, \\
\hline 142 & 144 \\
\hline 1 number of evergreens on or abutting lot 10 and lot 13, two & 1 and that's fine. The key is that the extraordinary \\
\hline 2 folks who have testified about concerns about lighting, and & 2 attention that applies to this property is that it is in a \\
\hline 3 placed those so that they would be in-between the lights on & 3 special protection area, and that special protection area \\
\hline 4 the property and their subject property. So we've gone & 4 has separate regulations. It has a separate section of the \\
\hline 5 above the requirement there. & 5 code for water - for storm water management, which goes \\
\hline 6 Q Thank you. & 6 through the water quality planning process. And it has a \\
\hline 7 MS. ROGERS: Regarding some questions & 7 separate section of the environmental guidelines that were \\
\hline 8 master plan, I note for the record Mr. Sloan has provided & 8 adopted in 2021. So these were put in place as regulations \\
\hline 9 in-depth testimony regarding the project's conformance with & 9 for special protection areas so that they have - that they \\
\hline 10 the master plan. These are just intended to be some & 10 actually implement the more - the more stringent \\
\hline 11 supplemental questions. & 11 requirements. So a storm water management plan is typicall \\
\hline 12 Q Mr. Sloan, did you review Ms. Krishnan's testimony & 12 under Chapter 19, Article II, but a water quality plan is \\
\hline 13 submitted in the record on January 31, 2024 as Exhibit 22I? & 13 under Chapter 19, Article V, and that article also includes \\
\hline 14 A I did. I reviewed it in detail. & 14 requirements for monitoring programs and coordinating \\
\hline 5 Q Thank you. Can you please just walk through & 15 procedures, performance goals and requirements for \\
\hline 16 testimony and provide your response to some of the points & 16 development in the SPA that will mitigate adverse impacts on \\
\hline 17 raised? & 17 water resource areas during and after construction or other \\
\hline 18 A Yes. So technical staff does review this fo & 18 land-disturbing activities. That's in the purpose clause \\
\hline 19 master plan conformance, and we agreed with their & 19 for that Section 1960.(b)(2). This project has submitted a \\
\hline 20 assessment, but we take the comments and questions about & 20 water quality plan. It has established the performance \\
\hline 21 master plan requirements, in particular, the environmental & 21 goals necessary. And in that water quality plan I wanted to \\
\hline 22 standards very seriously and wanted to make sure that we & 22 point out that there is a geotechnical report in the report \\
\hline 23 were addressing them properly. So in going through her & 23 that accompanies the water quality plan submission, and that \\
\hline 24 testimony, I wanted to make sure that we had addressed all & 24 does include infiltration testing, and it does include test \\
\hline 25 of the careful points made. But we did have some trouble, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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groundwater. So these elements for storm water management will be above the water table for this area, which is important to water quality.

So going a little bit further in 1B, the impervious percentage, as noted, there is no impervious percentage cap, and we are not within headwater area of the master plan from any of the tributaries are quite aways away. I think we've talked a good bit about that. I'm not going to go into the impervious coverage on that piece.

Going on to point \(\mathbf{D}\) about the master plan quote on page 18 regarding efforts beyond the current environmental guidelines that this master plan recommends, the next sentence actually provides the specific way that this is implemented and addresses this concern and says, This plan protects the most sensitive environmental resources by applying additional water quality review and monitoring requirements, and this plan does comply with that. And that data is provided in the water quality plan report that goes along with the water quality plan.
20 The other quote on page 18 about protecting the most sensitive areas in the watershed with a water quality plan, etcetera has been done. The percentage of impervious area, it actually determines the amount of water that you have to control on site. And we did note that there is a lot of Department of Environmental Protection monitoring of 146
this that was established after the master plan. DEP is required to monitor, and they track fish and macro
invertebrates, the bugs and things that are around, snakes
and frogs, the herpetofauna, stream habitat, physical and
chemical elements. These are all then used to inform
policy, and policy is updated in line with the findings of
that monitoring, for example, adding the special protection
area section to the environmental guidelines in 2021. So
the master - the Planning Board is specifically directed to
find conformance with the environmental guidelines for special protection areas. We were curious because there are
quite a number of special exceptions allowed in residential
zones, and we actually looked at all of the - all of the
special exception - or special protection areas, all of the
special exceptions that are in R-200, RE-1 or RE-2 zones.
MS. LEWINTER: Can I just clarify? When you say special exception, just because we're talking about special protections --

MR. SLOAN: Conditional use.
MS. LEWINTER: Okay. That's what you mean. I
just wanted to be clear.
MR. SLOAN: Yeah.

A So we looked at - we looked at all those special 24 protection - special exceptions or conditional uses in 25 special protection areas because we wanted to make sure that
we are not out of line with what has been approved in other cases. And there are 14 special exceptions or conditional uses with 32 percent or greater impervious area in special protection are as in R-200, RE-1, and RE-2 zones. We did not count accessory apartments because detached homes are exempt. Existing detached homes are exempt from impervious caps, and they would actually skew things I'll say -- I'll say in quotes in our favor, because most single family homes have more than 30 percent coverage of their lot with impervious area.

MS. LEWINTER: So, again, just to make sure I'm following you, what you said was you have counted 14 conditional uses granted with an impervious surface of over 32 percent in SPAs? MR. SLOAN: Correct.
A Going a little bit further, the quote from page
139 on the Little Seneca Creek Watershed or sub basin, sub watershed, this actually applies to the transit quarter district, not the area of the Brink Road transitionary that we're within, so that is not an applicable element. And West Old Baltimore Road is not mentioned at all on that. That's a discussion of public road connections running east-west. It's not about development. So we just wanted to clarify that that's not applicable to this case.

The quote on 138 about extraordinary measures,
we've discussed that about water quality plan is the extraordinary measure, and the environmental guidelines reviewed by the Planning Board and planning staff. Again, this quote itself is actually taken from a bullet under the paragraph describing the town center, which is further up in the watershed and closer to the headwaters than we are, so it's not directly attributable also.

In H I wanted to clarify because the placement of the large facility that's noted in Ms. Krishnan's testimony about being - placement being a non-inherent impact, I 1 wanted to make sure - I disagree with - I don't think placement of a building, unless some characteristic of the 3 property itself forced that placement of a building, for 14 example, steep slopes pushed it towards something or away 15 from something, that would be a non-inherent effect or 16 impact, but not actually placement of a building on a site 17 that's not constrained otherwise.

A lot of these are repetitive quotes in the master plan, because the master plan is, obviously, very concerned with environmental impacts. The quote on 142 , \(I\) in the testimony about greatest - oh, we already talked about the greatest constraints environmentally. They're not east of 270. They're west.

I'm trying not to be repetitive, but I want to make sure I cover specific topics. The quote on 146.J about

1 the headwaters again in comparable studies, the water
2 quality planning report and the environmental guideline
3 analysis, those are the studies that are reviewed by
4 technical staff and are prepared by expert professionals,
5 and those have been found to comply with the law or exceed
6 the requirements for the law.
It was brought up a couple times in this testimony 8 on bullet \(L\) under . 1 about hazardous waste, laundry, 9 wastewater, etcetera. We're actually switching from a 10 septic system to a public sewer system. So anything like 11 laundry, or anything just like any home would go into the 12 public sewer system and be taken to a treatment facility. 13 It would not go into the groundwater in a septic field as it 14 does now. And, as I mentioned before, there have been 15 geotechnical reports and drilling to ensure that any of our facilities for storm water management are above the water table, and will not be leaching directly into groundwater. And all of those are filtered through several layers of both mechanical filters such as filtration cloths, or through soils, sands, and gravel layers. So those are - those are the what used to be called BMPs, best management practices, now called ESDs, environmental site design, required by state law and carried out by each county differently. Montgomery County is one of the strictest.

The other concerns that are raised in her
testimony I think we've covered quite a bit. We are not in any sensitive areas or their buffers. We are in the R-200 zone. It does not require a TDR overlay zone to allow such a use. We are a residential use as codified, as I testified earlier, by the County Council when they adopted the zoning ordinance.

And questions about whether other parcels or other areas are available is irrelevant to this case. And the facts of this case need to stand on their own for this property.
BYMS. ROGERS:
Q Thank you, Mr. Sloan. Continuing on with the master plan, is the project in compliance with a two-to-four-unit-per-acre recommendation of the master plan?
A Yes. I testified last time we were here about it being an overall recommendation for this master plan area at the Brink Road transition area. But, again, we wanted to dive in a little bit deeper. We are not providing dwelling units, and our impacts are different for those reasons.
We're providing beds. Dwelling units typically come with different traffic and different requirements for schools, for example.

In terms of the building form, we have the eight buildings, which are approximately 1.7 buildings per acre. The Brink Road transition area, it recommended an end-state

1 development of up to \(\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}\) residential units. We looked at
2 every property within the Brink Road transition area, and,
3 to date, 953 units have been built or existing in this area.
4 So, if you want to think of it this way, there is capacity
5 for that number within that overall recommendation of units per acre.

There is a separate - someone has raised the point that there is a separate restriction on unemployment uses and commercial uses. If you wanted to look at it that
10 way, this area allows up to 871,000 square feet of
1 nonresidential uses. Virtually none of that has actually
2 come to pass, and that would actually be closer to the
13 stream in the \(\mathbf{I} 3\) zones, what used to be \(\mathbf{I} 3\) zones when the 14 master plan was done.
15 Q Thank you. Is there a section of the master plan 16 that specifically discusses possible concerns about
groundwater contamination and strategies for addressing those risks?
A Yes. Those are discussed on pages 148 through 150.

Q And in that section were certain sub watersheds specifically noted as having higher potential for
groundwater contamination outside of the protective stream buffers?
A Yes. In the category Branch Creek was
specifically noted as having two areas identified out of stream buffers for a higher potential groundwater contamination. The area we're in was not noted as having that particular concern. And, as I've noted, we've done geotechnical analysis to ensure that we are above the water table.

Q And for those with Cabin Branch Creek where there was identified areas that had a higher potential for groundwater contamination, what was the mechanism employed 10 in the master plan to address those concerns?
11 A The mechanism was putting those areas within a 12 special protection area.
13 Q Thank you. Are you familiar with Article V,
14 Chapter 19 of the Montgomery County Code?
15 A Yes. That's the water quality review and special 16 protection areas section of the code.
17 Q And just to reiterate for the record, at high 18 level what's the purpose of that article?
19 A So it implements monitoring programs in the SPA.
20 It establishes coordinated procedures, goals, criteria,
21 requirements for development in SPAs that will mitigate
2 adverse impacts on water resources during and after
construction or other land-disturbing activities. It
24 ensures that no wetland loss is as a result of development. 25 It authorizes a fee to administer and enforce this article,
```

including monitoring development impacts on streams in SPAs.
And it provides a focused and coordinated approach with the
county water quality protection and monitoring SPAs.
Q And is this article applicable to this conditional
use application?
A It is. This application is subject to this
article.
Q And what plan is required to demonstrate
conformance with the article?
A That is the water quality plan.
Q And what agencies are involved in that review?
A MNCPPC, Montgomery County Planning Department, and
the Planning Board have authority over some aspects. DPS
and DEP have authority over other aspects.
Q And does the project comply with the environmental
guidelines which were, as you noted in your testimony,
specifically amended to address special protection areas?
A Yes. So the application had to be reviewed under
both of those pieces that I noted, the environmental
guidelines and the water quality plan review in connection
with a preliminary water quality plan. This will go through
two additional phases of discussion, but we did have to do
our initial analysis and reporting for the preliminary,
which was approved.
Q And does the water quality plan approval ensure
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    that adequate protections and considerations for development
    in SPA are given and assessed by the various local
    government agencies in their review and approval of that
    plan?
    A It does. Each agency has its own experts in land
    planning, in engineering, and in other elements of this
    review, and they have to approve the plan.
    Q Thank you. Did you have a chance to review the
    letter submitted into the record by the Seneca Creek
Watershed Partners?
    A Yes, I did.
    Q Can you also please share just some of your
thoughts regarding a few of those points raised?
    A Yes. I want to -- you know, again, it's brought
    up that this is a property that is close to streams. It's
    not that close to streams. We've noted it's .2 miles or
    more away. Their points about a comprehensive environmental
    assessment and mitigation measures being needed we
    absolutely agree with. That is the process that we go
    through. They mentioned dry ponds, and I think it's a --
    it's a typo. We have dry wells on site, which is a way to
    take in this case roof water from each of the -- each of the
    buildings into an are that can contain and filter that
    water and allow it to cool and allow pollutants and
    siltation to be filtered out.
that adequate protections and considerations for development
in SPA are given and assessed by the various local
government agencies in their review and approval of that plan?
A It does. Each agency has its own experts in land
planning, in engineering, and in other elements of this
review, and they have to approve the plan.
Q Thank you. Did you have a chance to review the
letter submitted into the record by the Seneca Creek
Watershed Partners?
A Yes, I did.
Q Can you also please share just some of your
thoughts regarding a few of those points raised?
A Yes. I want to -- you know, again, it's brought 15 up that this is a property that is close to streams. It's 16 not that close to streams. We've noted it's 2 miles or 17 more away. Their points about a comprehensive environmental 18 assessment and mitigation measures being needed we 19 absolutely agree with. That is the process that we go 20 through. They mentioned dry ponds, and I think it's a --
21 it's a typo. We have dry wells on site, which is a way to
22 take in this case roof water from each of the -- each of the
23 buildings into an are that can contain and filter that
24 water and allow it to cool and allow pollutants and
siltation to be filtered out.
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Their suggestion that the high number of engineered structures they call it suggests a significant preexisting drainage issue is incorrect. There are no storm water facilities on site right now. Everything sheet flows from the site off to the surrounding properties. What is provided is provided for post-development mitigation.

A couple other points. The houses with wells, if you look at the topography of the area, the houses with wells to our east in particular, they're at a higher elevation, and all of our outfalls and drainage actually go to the northwest and to the south to Ruby Drive into the swale and the storm drain system there. We don't have water that's flowing to the east of the site, so those areas are not actually downstream of our drainage area or the outfalls.

There was a note about highly-erodible soil and limitations of drainage issues. If you look at the NRI FSD that was approved, which is the natural resource inventory, those soils are labeled and listed, and none of them are highly erodible or hydric, which are two things that we typically look out for.

The number of facilities is raised as a concern. We're actually encouraged to provide more and smaller facilities on site. The entire aim is to replicate the drainage into the groundwater as if the site were forest in
good condition. So you have many small facilities, rather than one large facility, so that you can get groundwater recharge in several areas, and so that if there is any one small failure it's correctable without any catastrophic issues such as the old dry ponds that would sometimes overflow or have dam breaches. So that's - the concern about the number of them, I think, is misplaced, and it's actually important to have many of them.

MR. MARTIN: Excuse me.
MS. LEWINTER: Yes. You have an objection?
MR. MARTIN: That statement about the drainage of
12 the water is not true at all. This property is at a higher
13 elevation than the houses at Ruby Drive. The water comes
4 off of this property down to Ruby Drive. So how can he say the opposite?

MS. LEWINTER: Well, that's his testimony. And I would register that you are objecting.

MR. SLOAN: So, to confirm, I would review the conditional use plan, and you can see the contour elevations and the drainage patterns that are shown on there.

MS. LEWINTER: Just to clarify, you're putting that into the record --

MR. SLOAN: Into the record so that --
MS. LEWINTER: -- for the hearing examiner to 25 confirm because you have your --

\section*{1 MR. SLOAN: Correct. Sorry.}

2 A The Seneca Creek Watershed Partners, they're the
3 only one so far who has raised a particular concern about
4 variance trees being removed. That's part of the
5 preliminary forest conservation plan. It's an important
6 thing to understand. Removal of those requires a variance
7 that's approved by the Planning Board. They did approve.
8 So Montgomery County is one of the only counties around the
9 area that actually requires mitigation for removal of those.
10 Most counties, if they grant the variance, that's the end of
11 it. But Montgomery County, their policy is to actually
12 provide mitigation trees, and we provide, typically, three
13 trees per one removal so that we have - we replace the
14 long-term ecological services that those trees we agree 15 provide for the area.
16 So just a couple of clarifications, but some
17 important points that they raised that we wanted to make
18 sure we had addressed.
Q Thank you. Moving on to some questions on
20 impervious coverage, just to reiterate for the record since
21 it's been sometime since the last hearing, does the
22 Clarksburg Master Plan have a recommended impervious cap for 23 this property?
24 A It does not.
25 Q In your professional opinion, is the project in

1 on West Old Baltimore, and our sidewalk configuration would be a little bit different.

The environmental site design facilities that we provide were not contemplated in 1994. We had best management practices. They were just coming into being. It was so we - we again are mitigating the impact of impervious. The impervious area sets the calculation requirements. It does not have a cap on it.

Q Thank you. And I know you touched on this a 1 little bit. If you could, just dive a little bit further into whether the proposed impervious coverage of this 2 project is in line with other special exception uses.
13 Similarly in SPAs, I know you mentioned, for example, the 14 overall number that over 30 percent, but kind of diving further into how many of those are in Clarksburg and some of your other numbers will be helpful.
A Yes. So, as I noted, there are five special protection areas. Three of those have overlay zones. Overlay zones are zones put on top of your base zoning. For those who aren't aware, that can increase or decrease the requirements or standards for a property. These overlay zones for the special protection areas, some of them actually allow more uses. Some of them have specific requirements for impervious caps. Overlay zones are different. There's not an overlay zone for this area of the
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Clarksburg SPA. There are two overlay zones to our north and to our west. We've done a lot of development in those areas. We've done development in the overlay zone to the west, and Tenmile Creek and that was for a senior living project that actually had 40 - over 40 percent impervious area. And, again, the impervious area dictates how a water quality plan is completed. It's not a - it's not a specific number to reach. So, from a planning perspective, those zoning standards are very different in the overlay 10 zones than the master plan recommendations, for which the 11 standard of review is substantial conformance. It's not a
12 direct standard, regulatory standard. And even then there 13 are exemptions and waivers that are allowed in SPAs. 14 So, as I noted, we looked at all of the special 15 protection areas and the special exceptions conditional uses 16 within them for the three low-density residential zones. 17 There are 80 projects, excluding, as I noted, accessory 18 apartments. 13 of those or 14 of those have over 30 19 percent. There are four in the Clarksburg area SPA that are 20 actually over 30 percent. So this is not unusual for these 21 zones. And even in the upper Paint Branch and the upper 22 Rock Creek overlay zones, which have an eight percent cap, 23 there are 42. So over half of the special exceptions of 24 conditional uses are over the cap in the zoning ordinance, 25 just to reiterate that that's not - that's not the focus.
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The focus is water quality in the end, not the number in the beginning.

Q Thank you. And just to reiterate for the record,
how does the proposed impervious coverage compare with other more traditional senior living developments?
A So, as I noted, the two most recent ones we did at a 43 to 57 percent impervious coverage, one large building, 25 percent building coverage, and both had stream buffers.
9 This one breaks that massing down into much less impervious
10 coverage and building coverage, and we do not have any stream buffers or sensitive environmental areas on site.

Q And just because I think it's important for the record, can you please reiterate whether the petitioner would have been able to decrease impervious coverage on the property had they not reconfigured the site to accommodate vehicular access off of West Old Baltimore?
17 A Yes. By moving the access to West Old Baltimore, 18 if we had gone back to Ruby Drive, we could have decreased 19 the impervious area by about five percent.

Q Okay. Moving on to inherent versus non-inherent.
To reiterate for the record, the Park and Planning staff and
the Planning Board, in fact, in their recommendations
identify the Clarksburg SPA as a non-inherent effect
associated with this proposed residential care facility?
A No, they did not.
Q And non-inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics not necessarily associated with
the particular use, or adverse effects that are created by
unusual characteristics of the site. In your professional
opinion, can you reiterate for the record whether you
believe the property's location within an SPA should be considered a non-inherent condition?

A I don't believe it should be. It's not a
9 characteristic of the site. It's a regulatory framework
10 overlaid on a general area, regardless of site constraints,
11 and, therefore, not an element under a non - not a
12 non-inherent condition. That's a double negative.
Q Even if the hearing examiner were to disagree and
find the property's location with an SPA was an inherent
condition, in your professional opinion, would that
non-inherent condition have any adverse impacts on the
surrounding neighborhood?
18 A No. If it was determined that the SPA was a
19 non-inherent condition of the property, the water quality
20 plan is the mechanism specifically by which it is ensured
21 that it's not - would not have an adverse impact. And the
22 environmental guidelines also are an element of the
23 regulatory framework to ensure that there's not an adverse impact.

Q And, Mr. Sloan, would it be appropriate for the
hearing examiner to add a condition that requires this
conditional use to comply with the requirements of the water quality plan?

A It would be. It's been done in other cases to ensure compliance. There was a condition for creating Memories Children's Learning Center that required compliance with the conditions of approval of water quality plan, approved by the Planning Board and Department of Permitting Services. Adding that condition to this approval would be appropriate.

Q And, just for the record, is it true that DPS has
already determined that this conditional use meets the
requirements when they approve the water quality plan?
A It has. But, as I noted, it has to go through two
more steps, and we would be fine ensuring that compliance with the final water quality plan approval is followed by this application.
Q In the break between the last hearing and this
one, is there anything that would have changed regarding
your professional opinions and testimony that's already in
the record regarding the project's compliance with all of
the requirements of the zoning ordinance, meeting both the
specific use and development standards, and the project's
substantial conformance with the master plan?
A No , I would not change my opinion.
Q Is there anything else you'd like to add?
A There have been concerns raised about whether or not things are submitted, reviewed by experts, and I want to assure folks that are concemed that these elements are taken very seriously. Myself, the engineers, the other landscape architects and planners, we're licensed professionals. We are required to uphold the health, safety, and welfare of communities, and our plans are submitted to meet or exceed the requirements of the law. 10 And the people reviewing them also, I know, take them very 11 seriously, and they're also experts in their fields when 12 they recommend approval. So it's not something that we are 13 taking lightly, and that's why we've gone into such depth, 14 maybe too much depth on ensuring that we've reviewed all of 15 this testimony that we've heard with legitimate questions 16 and concerns, and we want to ensure that they're properly 17 addressed.
(Ms. Duffin speaking off mic.)
MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Duffin.
Any other questions?
I'm sure we'll get to cross. And I was going to
ask -- I was asking if--
MS. ROGERS: No more questions. Thank you.
MS. LEWINTER: Okay. So if you have
cross-examination questions, if you can raise your hand, and
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 165 & 16 \\
\hline 1 then we'll just kind of go in order. Ms. Duffin, if you & 1 MS. DUFFIN: -- be licensed for well and septic? \\
\hline 2 want to come up first. & 2 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. One -- \\
\hline 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUFFIN & 3 MS. DUFFIN: Sorry. \\
\hline \(4 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) I just had a question. It's not an examination. & 4 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Martin's question. \\
\hline 5 You were stating -- you had commented on other facilities & 5 A The information that is provided in those reports \\
\hline 6 that you -- that have been done that eight buildings, then & 6 has to be done by a licensed professional in their field. \\
\hline 7 one building. My question is -- & \(7 \quad\) Q Okay. And that licensed professional is \\
\hline 8 Wait. What? & 8 determined by the person who hires them, so they could \\
\hline 9 MS. LEWINTER: Can you turn the microphone? & 9 easily be influenced in a way that may or may not be \\
\hline 10 MS. DUFFIN: S & 10 completely kosher? \\
\hline 11 My question is, were those facilities in a & 11 A That would be an unethical standard of practice. \\
\hline 12 residential development like Ruby Drive, and, you know, & 12 MS. MARTIN: Which happens a lot. That's all my \\
\hline 13 Hurley Ridge, like ours? You stated -- I don't know how far & 13 point is \\
\hline 14 back, because it was a lot. Just saying -- that there were & 14 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. \\
\hline 15 other facilities that you worked on that was like one & 15 Yes, sir. \\
\hline 16 building and then there was other buildings. My question & 16 MS. DUFFIN: That's crazy. \\
\hline 17 that I was wondering is, is it in a development? Is it in a & 17 MS. MARTIN: It is crazy. It should be -- \\
\hline 18 residential setting such as ours? & 18 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. \\
\hline 19 A Yes. They're both in -- sorry. They're bo & 19 MR. CINQUE: Do I have to say my name? \\
\hline 20 RE-1 or RE-2 zones, and they were both abutting single & 20 MS. LEWINTER: No. Mr. Cinque, we've got it. \\
\hline 21 family housing. & 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CINQUE \\
\hline 22 Q Same setting as ours, like on a street with the & 22 Q Yeah. Just to confirm, if you could, I forget \\
\hline 23 houses to the property? & 23 your name and what organization you're from. But I know at \\
\hline 24 A They were each on a street with abutting 25 residential properties around them. Yes. & 24 the last meeting we had some expert testimony, and later 25 found out that they had a financial interest in this \\
\hline 166 & 168 \\
\hline 1 MS. DUFFIN: Okay. That was my only question. & 1 particular company. \\
\hline 2 MS. MARTIN: I just have one question. & 2 MR. CINQUE: So can we confirm that there's no \\
\hline 3 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. & 3 financial interest here? \\
\hline 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MARTIN & 4 MS. LEWINTER: You can ask Mr. Sloan -- this is \\
\hline 5 Q I guess -- I've listened to all the testimonies, & 5 Mr. Sloan -- if he has -- what his relationship is with the \\
\hline 6 but I'mstill not clear who exactly did the water testing. & 6 petitioner. \\
\hline 7 Is it your company? & \(7 \quad\) Q Okay. Do I have to restate that? \\
\hline 8 A No. The water quality plan report and I- & 8 A No. I can - \\
\hline 9 MR. SLOAN: Is there an exhibit that we could & 9 MS. LEWINTER: You can take that question. \\
\hline 10 bring up? & 10 MR. SLOAN: Okay. \\
\hline 11 A So the water quality plan report was done by the & 11 MS. LEWINTER: If you can explain your financial \\
\hline 12 civil engineer, Endesco. They rely, in turn, on a & 12 relationship with the petitioner. \\
\hline 13 geotechnical engineer. And so there are - that is all done & 13 A So I've been hired as a consultant. I'ma \\
\hline 14 in the record. We can pull it up to show you. & 14 landscape architect and certified planner. I have no \\
\hline 15 Q No. You don't have to pull it up. I just -- I'm & 15 financial ties. Whether this project succeeds or fails does \\
\hline 16 just question -- so Endesco, which is also affiliated with & 16 not influence my opinion, and I don't get anything from it. \\
\hline 17 Worldshine, hired them to do their survey. So it wasn't & 17 Q Okay. You have no financial connections to it, \\
\hline 18 done by Montgomery County people? It was done by a separate & 18 other than whatever you're paid as a salary, correct? \\
\hline 19 entity? & 19 A Well, not as a salary, but yes - \\
\hline 20 A Montgomery County staff, either at the county or & 20 MS. LEWINTER: Are you paid hourly? \\
\hline 21 at Park and Planning, they do not provide any of the data & 21 MR. SLOAN: Yeah. \\
\hline 22 for the application. The applicant is responsible to get & 22 MR. CINQUE: Yeah, yeah. Okay. All right. Thank \\
\hline 23 the right professionals to provide that information. It's & 23 you. \\
\hline 24 reviewed by the county staff and Park and Planning staff. & 24 MS. LEWINTER: Are there any other \\
\hline 25 Q So they picked who they wanted to do this review? & 25 cross-examination questions? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 169 & 171 \\
\hline 1 MS. KRISHNAN: Just one question. & 130 percent imperviousness? \\
\hline 2 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Krishnan. & 2 A There are -- I can go through them, if you'd like. \\
\hline 3 MS. DUFFIN: Can I ask? I just have one more & 3 Q No. Just tell me -- \\
\hline 4 question & 4 A O \\
\hline 5 MS. LEWINTER: One more? & 5 Q There are 14. And of which how many were in RE-1 \\
\hline 6 MS. DUFFIN: Excuse me & 6 and how many of them were in R-200 versus RE-1 and RE-2 \\
\hline 7 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. DUFFIN & 7 among the 14 ? \\
\hline \(8 \quad\) Q So you stated that for the well that -- the & \(8 \quad \mathrm{~A}\) I didn't break that out. \\
\hline 9 gentleman in the back that's resting right now, he's the one & 9 Q You didn't think that was necessary to break out, \\
\hline 10 that's testing the well and septic. I wanted to know & 10 given that we're talking about R-200s? \\
\hline 11 he's aware how deep our wells are at this point, if he's -- & 11 A The RA-1 and the RA-2 actually have -- typically \\
\hline 12 MS. LEWINTER: That would have to be a question & 12 they have a larger lot area, and so less coverage. So we \\
\hline 13 for -- unless you know, Mr. Sloan, how deep their wells are. & 13 are trying to be conservative in our estimates. \\
\hline 14 MS. DUFFIN: I can only ask him that? & 14 Q Okay. And in the 2014 Clarksburg Amendment that \\
\hline 15 MS. LEWINTER: You can only ask him that. & 15 happened in 2014 to the Clarksburg Master Plan, the Tenmile \\
\hline 16 BY MS. DUFFIN: & 16 Creek was given a six percent impervious, and the east of \\
\hline 17 Q Okay. So then I'm going to ask you. Did the & 17270 , which this area falls in, was recommended at 15 \\
\hline 18 engineer check our wells? Is he aware of our -- the depth & 18 percent. Even though you can parse it around -- of course \\
\hline 19 of our wells on Ruby Drive? & 19 you can parse it around based on what you think of east of \\
\hline 20 A I don't know. & 20270 , but is there any reason why you would think this area \\
\hline 21 Q Is there anything stated in any of the records of & 21 should not have the same 15 percent imperviousness in terms \\
\hline 22 the depth of our wells? & 22 of just the fact that the whole area is sensitive? And if \\
\hline 23 MS. LEWINTER: Well, if Mr. Sloan doesn't & 23 one portion north of it has 15 percent, don't you think that \\
\hline 24 MS. DUFFIN: & 24 the same, you know 15 percent, given that it's also an \\
\hline 25 Q Is there anything, Mr. Sloan, in the records about & \(25 \mathrm{R}-200\), shouldn't that be also considered? Is that something \\
\hline 170 & 172 \\
\hline 1 our wells? If he's tested and is saying that we won't be & 1 that you can opine on, given your expertise? \\
\hline 2 affected, is there anything & 2 A Given my expertise and what the County Council \\
\hline 3 o & 3 voted on, they established the boundary of that 15 percent \\
\hline 4 A I had not seen anything in the records that states & 4 impervious cap as the Clarksburg East overlay zone, and did \\
\hline 5 the depth of your wells & 5 not include this area of Clarksburg in that overlay zone for \\
\hline 6 Q So how can he say they're going to be okay? I'm & 6 a reason. \\
\hline 7 confused. I don't understand that then, if nobody's come & \(7 \quad\) Q So they left it to say it could be anything, and \\
\hline 8 out to see the work that's going to be done within feet. & 8 it could be on a case-by-case basis, which could be, again, \\
\hline 9 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Duffin, you can explain in your & 9 construed back to the master plan where it says every inch \\
\hline 10 closing argument that this is a credibility issue for you or & 10 matters? \\
\hline 11 something but -- & 11 A Every inch does matter \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. So you don't know & 12 Q Correct. \\
\hline 13 MS. LEWINTER: He does not know. He stated that & 13 A - and that's what goes into calculation of the \\
\hline 14 on the record. & 14 water quality plan. I agree with that. \\
\hline 15 Okay. Ms. Krishnan, you had some questio & 15 Q Okay. So every inch matters, and that's why the \\
\hline 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. KRISHNAN & 16 limit was not put for this particular area east of 270 , even \\
\hline 17 Q So you said that there were 14 in the SPA areas. & 17 though east of 270 did have a 15 percent? \\
\hline 18 Is that all in the Clarksburg SPA? & 18 MS. ROGERS: Is there a question? \\
\hline 19 A So we analyzed all five & 19 MS. KRISHNAN: There is a question, yeah. \\
\hline 20 Q & 20 A It was not in the overlay zone that has a 15 \\
\hline 21 A So there's Clarksburg, there's Piney Branch, & 21 percent cap. \\
\hline 22 there's Clarksburg East, Clarksburg West. They're split. & 22 MS. KRISHNAN: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 23 There's Upper Paint Branch, and there's Upper Rock Creek. & 23 MS. LEWINTER: Are there any additional \\
\hline 24 We looked at the special exceptions in all of them. & 24 cross-examination questions for Mr . Sloan? \\
\hline 25 Q Okay. And there were 14 of them which had around & 25 Did you have any rebuttal, or -- sorry -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 173 & 175 \\
\hline 1 redirect? & 1 record. \\
\hline 2 MS. ROGERS: No. & 2 Q Okay. That makes sense, but it still exists \\
\hline 3 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. Thank you. & 3 there, and it's still part of hydraulics that the homeowners \\
\hline 4 Thank you, Mr. Sloan. & 4 have looked into and are asking questions about the level of \\
\hline 5 Did you have any other witnesses you wanted to & 5 the water on Ruby Drive, not just your side of Ruby Drive, \\
\hline 6 call on rebutta? & 6 because it's an equity issue when you have eight houses -- \\
\hline 7 MS. ROGERS: One second. Sorry & 7 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Smith, this is an opportunity \\
\hline MS. LEWINTER: Sure. & 8 to ask questions, not for additional testimony. \\
\hline (Counsel confer.) & \(9 \quad\) Q So what is the equity issue that you see with Ruby \\
\hline 10 MS. ROGERS: No. & 10 Drive, or is there any with the well water and the aquifer? \\
\hline 11 MS. LEWINTER: Wonderful. Thank you. Okay. So & 11 A I don't know of any equity issue. \\
\hline 12 that will conclude the testimony presentation of the case. & 12 Q Because it's not in the regulatory review? \\
\hline 13 So in terms of closing arguments -- well, first & 13 MS. LEWINTER: He said that he does not see an \\
\hline 14 let me ask. Did you want all of the exhibits -- & 14 equity issue. \\
\hline 15 MS. KRISHNAN: I think there's some -- & 15 MS. SMITH: Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 16 MS. LEWINTER: Oh, I'm sorry. Was there & 16 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. \\
\hline 17 something? Thank you. Thank you very much, Ms. Krishnan. & 17 MS. SMITH: Thank you for answering my questions, \\
\hline 18 I keep forgetting. & 18 and thank you \\
\hline 19 Was there any -- yes, Ms. Smith. Okay. Just give & 19 Partners lett \\
\hline 20 us one second. Ms. Smith, give us one second. & 20 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Is there anybody else \\
\hline 21 Okay. Ms. Smith, can you hear me? & 21 on Zoom who has any questions for Mr. Sloan? \\
\hline 22 MS. SMITH: Yes. & 22 MS. ABYANEH: I have a clarification question, if \\
\hline 23 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Did you have questions & 23 possible. \\
\hline 24 for Mr. Sloan? & 24 MS. LEWINTER: Yes. Since we haven't heard from \\
\hline 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH & 25 you before, Ms. Abyaneh -- I apologize if I'm saying that \\
\hline 174 & 176 \\
\hline 1 Q I have a question about the Piedmont SSA Aquifer & 1 wrong. \\
\hline 2 that we wrote about in the Seneca Creek Watershed Partner & 2 MS. ABYANEH: Yeah. No problem. Yeah. Fojan \\
\hline 3 letter. That is -- the edge of that is along that Ruby & 3 Abyaneh. I live at 13 Ivy Leaf Court in Boyds, which will \\
\hline 4 Drive area. So the -- it wasn't that you're -- it is tha & 4 be right behind the new development. \\
\hline 5 you're doing extra hydraulic work for the property itself, & 5 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. And if you could give us \\
\hline 6 but -- and that the water would go downstream. But when you & 6 your e-mail address. \\
\hline 7 have an aquifer like that where people right next to you in & 7 MS. ABYANEH: Sure. It's my first name, \\
\hline 8 a small R-200 are colliding on that aquifer fragments in the & 8 fojan.solimane@gmail.com. \\
\hline 9 ground for the well water, which sometimes is less than a & 9 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. If you want to ask your \\
\hline 10 hundred feet, then that was a significant water thing that & 10 question of Mr. Sloan. \\
\hline 11 is not necessarily part of the overlay or part of East & \begin{tabular}{l}
11 \\
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. ABYANEH
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 12 Clarksburg, and that's why it was explained in the letter & 12 Q Sure. I believe this will go off of Ms. Duffin's \\
\hline 13 that that needs to be reviewed for this area on Ruby Drive. & 13 question about the other location of Worldshine. You \\
\hline 14 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Smith, do you have a question & 14 mentioned that the other locations are also in residential \\
\hline 15 for Mr. Sloan? & 15 developments; is that correct? \\
\hline 16 MS. SMITH: Yes. He did not bring that up. So & 16 A Yes. \\
\hline 17 that would be my question, why was the aquifer aspect -- & 17 Q Okay. Because, as far as I see, the Worldshine in \\
\hline 18 along with what the landowners were saying about their well & 18 Germantown is next to a lot of commercial developments, \\
\hline 19 water, I think that's significant. The water quality & 19 which is a dental lab and a data corporation. Are you aware \\
\hline 20 testing is required by the -- to be done before continued 21 construction. & 20 of that? \\
\hline 22 MS. LEWINTER: All right. I'm going to le & 22 MS. ABYANEH: Okay. All right. Thank you. \\
\hline 23 Mr . Sloan address that. & 23 MS. LEWINTER: Is there anyone else on Zoom who \\
\hline 24 A I didn't bring it up because it's not part of the & 24 has any questions for Mr. Sloan? \\
\hline 25 regulatory review for the plans that we submitted in the & 25 Okay. Seeing none, we can proceed with closing. \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{PLANET DEPOS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 177 & 179 \\
\hline 1 Thank you, Mr. Sloan. & 1 through, and nobody's able to tell us other than it's good, \\
\hline 2 I'm going to just ask the petitioner -- typically, & 2 water's good. You know, and I heard the lawyer over here \\
\hline 3 I allow the petitioner to go last since you have the burden & 3 saying about we can tap in. Who's gonna pay for that? I'm \\
\hline 4 of proof. & 4 not paying for that. My water's free right now. \\
\hline 5 MR. ROBINS: No. That's fin & 5 be free, unless they're paying for all of us to tap in. \\
\hline 6 MS. LEWINTER: Okay. So that means that those in & 6 So I guess that's my closing. You know, you gut \\
\hline 7 opposition get to present closing first. Closing is really & 7 really aren't answering certain questions that are high on \\
\hline 8 just a summary statement of your main arguments. Agai & 8 our question list. And it's a lot of -- I don't know -- \\
\hline 9 it's not an opportunity to present new information, or ne & 9 shadiness going on with the business itself, including the \\
\hline 10 points, or new testimony. It's just conclusions that you & 10 residential properties that they have on the street. That's \\
\hline 11 would like to make sure that people are aware of that -- you & 11 it . Thank you. \\
\hline 12 know, you're trying to help my thinking in terms of & 12 MS. LEWINTER: Mr. Pajot? \\
\hline 13 processi & 13 MR. PAJOT: \\
\hline 14 us in the last two hearings. Because there are a lot of & 14 MS. LEWINTER: I'm trying to remember who raised \\
\hline 15 you, I would just ask to be respectful of the fact that, if & 15 their hands in what ord \\
\hline 16 somebody just said everything you want to say, you don't & 16 MR. PAJOT: No worries. This one I prepared \\
\hline 17 necessarily need to repeat it. And, again, this is & 17 Ms. LeWinter, as this hearing on the proposed land \\
\hline 18 testimony. It's not considered as evidence. So it's & 18 use development comes to its conclusion, I want to emphas \\
\hline 19 completely voluntary. Would anybody like to make a closing & 19 the significance of the decision before you. The future of \\
\hline 20 statement? I see Ms. Duffin. Is there anybody else? So & 20 this community hangs in the balance, and it is yo \\
\hline 21 one, two, three, four, five. Okay. Ms. Duffin, you can go & 21 responsibility to ensure that any changes to land use align \\
\hline 22 fir & 22 w \\
\hline 23 MS. DUFFIN: Here we go again. I just want to & 23 Throughout this process, you have heard impassioned \\
\hline 24 state that this is the first time that they've done a 25 project like this. They said that multiple times. So it & 24 testimony from both sides, those advocating for the proposed \\
\hline 25178 & 180 \\
\hline 1 puts a lot of question in my head they really don't know & 1 potential impact. It is your duty to make an inform \\
\hline 2 because they have not done anything of this before. So a & 2 decision guided by the principles of sound land use \\
\hline 3 lot of it is guesswork. A lot of it is I'mhoping and I & 3 planning, and by weighing the facts that will impact the \\
\hline 4 th & 4 local community not only now, but in the future as well. \\
\hline \(5 \quad\) I am concerned about the well. So with that being & 5 First and foremost, you must consider the impact \\
\hline 6 said, Mr. Kevin back here is the engineer. He is in charge & 6 of this development on the surrounding environment, and \\
\hline 7 of the well and the septic is what I'm told. I want to know & 7 whether it will preserve green spaces, protect wildlife \\
\hline 8 if -- I have it noted that he has not checked our wells. He & 8 habitats such as for our local hawks, owls, and potentially \\
\hline 9 has not checked the depth of our wells. He has no idea & 9 endangered species, and mitigate any potential risks to air \\
\hline 10 anything on our wells. And he is the engineer for the & 10 and water quality. You cannot be willing to compromise the \\
\hline 11 project; that's correct? Right? & 11 integrity of our natural resources for tax revenue. \\
\hline 12 He also purchased the lot in Endesco's name acro & 12 Equally important is the effect this development \\
\hline 13 from the property, which makes me wonder what else is going & 13 will have on the character and livability of our community \\
\hline 14 on with him and with Mr. Quinn Lee, the owner of Worldshine & 14 The applicant's associates have testified that they have \\
\hline 15 which nobody's ever met. There's a lot of question in my & 15 never operated this type of business, and, therefore, you \\
\hline 16 head on what's going on with the multiple properties that & 16 must consider that they have no real knowledge of its \\
\hline 17 they own on our street, a vacant lot now that they purchased & 17 compatibility or long-term impact. You must consider \\
\hline 18 across from Reinhold's property, which is their most recent & 18 whether this project will enhance the quality of life for \\
\hline 19 property that they're exiting onto now. It's a lot of & 19 current residents and foster a sense of place and belonging. \\
\hline 20 question in my head what's the intent. You know, multiple & 20 You must consider whether it will negatively impact property \\
\hline 21 times we've had people come to buy our property, almost & 21 values of current residents who have invested life savings \\
\hline 22 bullied like about our property, that we need to, you know, & 22 into their homes. You must prioritize the long-term \\
\hline 23 give up a piece of our lot to them. So it's just a lot of & 23 sustainability and resilience of our neighborhoods \\
\hline 24 question. & 24 Furthermore, you must evaluate whether the \\
\hline 25 Well water and septic is huge if this project goes & 25 proposed land use is just the first step of a much bigger \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 181 & 18 \\
\hline 1 plan, given the various land acquisitions by the applicant & 1 we can, we have done what -- we have conveyed the concerns \\
\hline 2 and his associates. Giving the green light for this & 2 of multiple residents, individuals within our communities \\
\hline 3 proposal will undoubtedly yield further erosion of our & 3 across different neighborhoods, not just my own \\
\hline 4 community and its natural resources in the long term as the & 4 neighborhood, but different neighborhoods who have come a \\
\hline 5 applicant looks to expand. & 5 showed and talked to the Planning Board. They have sent \\
\hline 6 & 6 their letters, so it's not that, you know, the four people \\
\hline 7 thoughtfully and conscientiously on this matter. Please & 7 who can come here are the ones who can afford to come here. \\
\hline 8 consider not only the interests of the applicant, but, more & 8 The rest of them are working and making a -- you know \\
\hline 9 importantly, the needs and aspirations of the br & 9 making their living \\
\hline 10 community. You are in a position to decide what this & 10 So with that said, again, I would like to implore \\
\hline 11 we call home will look like for generati & 11 you to examine both the -- not just the regulations, but \\
\hline 12 Thank you. & 12 also adhere to the spirit of the Clarksburg Master Plan and \\
\hline 13 MS. LEWINTER: Than & 13 the following amendments, rather than doing a, you k \\
\hline 14 Ms. Krishnan. & 14 check-box exercise of saying this facility has done what it \\
\hline 15 MS. KRISHNAN: Ms. LeWinter, thank y & 15 barely need \\
\hline 16 the time today and allowing us to express our concerns. I & 16 I do reiterate the fact that, in order to keep the \\
\hline 17 do realize that, you know, there's been a & \(17 \mathrm{R}-200\) at the 15 to 19 percent, which even larger communitic \\
\hline 18 personalization of the issues, and, you know, specifying & 18 have held, in addition to imperviousness, there's a \\
\hline 19 people's addresses and locations here, which kind of & 19 combination of putting green space, which other communities \\
\hline 20 indicated to me that there's a lot more that the applicant & 20 have done in the area, not try to pick and choose the \\
\hline 21 feels that they need to do, and, rather than providing the & 21 highest and try to just meet the bar, or, you know, try \\
\hline 22 data or providing that support, they are trying to shame & 22 be one more in that, and try to adhere to the spirit of the \\
\hline 23 and, you know, bully the people who might have real & 23 Clarksburg Master Plan and the amendments, and try to \\
\hline 24 concerns & 24 maintain within the 15 to 19 percent imperviousness so that \\
\hline 25 The issue or, you know, trying to conflate the & 25 that can then flow out into the, you know, expectation of an \\
\hline 182 & 84 \\
\hline 1 fact that there are eight units as eight houses, and somehow & 1 R-200 in this area. And that can be achieved by going from \\
\hline 2 that the imperviousness of eight single family homes & 2 eight to four buildings. If they can get the right of way \\
\hline 3 somehow be -- again, the 35 percent seems to be the commo & 3 and get us a dedicated right turn lane that goes both into \\
\hline 4 theme here. The fact is that the imperviousness, along with & 4 Ruby Drive and the building facilities, that would allow \\
\hline 5 the 120 people, plus the 70 employees, all of that together & 5 for, you know, some lessening of the traffic that is \\
\hline 6 do not conform to or not equivalent to having eight single & 6 expected on West Old Baltimore Road going westward, \\
\hline 7 family homes, which would be having, you know, less than 15 & 7 including the Black Hill Regional Park area where Little \\
\hline 8 percent impervious per lot. There would be grass. There & 8 Seneca Creek is located there. \\
\hline 9 would be, you know, lots of-- you know, the imprint is & 9 So, with those, I'm again thankful to -- and \\
\hline 10 different because these are actual units where -- you know & 10 again, with the aquifer being there and that not being part \\
\hline 11 it's like an apartment complex. It's 120 people, plus 70 & 11 of the regulations and, therefore, not being looked at, I \\
\hline 12 employees. & 12 think, given that it is protected by the USP EPA, I think \\
\hline 13 So the conflation stuff, as a nonexpert and as a & 13 there should be some special concentration on that, and \\
\hline 14 regular resident who have jobs and lives and families, it is & 14 there should be some understanding of what that means, and \\
\hline 15 tough to obviously argue against a lawyer. But the concern & 15 not just in terms of did the well waters get affected, but \\
\hline 16 is I hope you still realize that it's valid that putting & 16 what does it -- what does it mean if that sole supply, sole \\
\hline 17 something with 200 people in a location with eigh & 17 source supply they call it -- and, again, it says that in \\
\hline 18 buildings, close to 8,000 to 8,500 square feet with multiple & 18 the Clarksburg Master Plan that the Piedmont Aquifer is the \\
\hline 19 traffic impacts is not something that you would expect to & 19 sole source supply. If that is something that is not being \\
\hline 20 see in an R-200 of that -- in this specific R-200 on Ruby & 20 looked at, then it should be looked at carefully before \\
\hline 21 Drive and West Old Baltimore Roa & 21 rendering a decision on something that is so vital to water \\
\hline 22 I just think that the ability to communicate with & 22 supply in the whole area. It doesn't matter whether you're \\
\hline 23 the hearing board or even with the applicant was limited & 23 well or public sewer. Those water supplies is what comes \\
\hline 24 and it was on purpose in terms of trying to get limited & 24 into those public facilities and ends up into our water \\
\hline 25 engagement. And, you know, again, you know, doing the best & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 18 & \[
187
\] \\
\hline 1 everybody's children can drink water and not know six months & 1 and they were hard to find \\
\hline 2 later that it was contaminated. So just make sure that you & 2 Thank your \\
\hline 3 are doing the due diligence and, you know, adhering to the & 3 MS. LEWINTER: Is there anyone else online? \\
\hline 4 spirit as well as to the requirements, and not just doing a & 4 Seeing none, I'm going to allow the petitioner to \\
\hline & 5 make their closing argume \\
\hline 6 & 6 MR. ROBINS: Thank you very much. Before I make a \\
\hline 7 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you, Ms. Krishnan & 7 brief closing statement, I think you were getting to the \\
\hline 8 Mr. Cinque, I think you wanted to make & 8 question about that all the exhibits be admitted into the \\
\hline 9 & \\
\hline 10 MR. CINQUE: Just a brief comment. I don't & 10 MS. LEWINTER: Sorry. We were going back and \\
\hline 11 you can really & 11 \\
\hline 12 commercial enter & 12 MR. ROBINS: We would request that all the \\
\hline 13 opportunity to actually & 13 exhibits, 1 through whatev \\
\hline 14 it's routine or unusual for a hearing examiner to actually & \begin{tabular}{l}
14 \\
MS. LEWINTER: I think it's 45.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 15 see the site before you make a recommendation, but you & 15 much, Mr. Rob \\
\hline 16 really have to see this site to understand what everybody & 16 So the petitioner -- I just want to be clear for \\
\hline 17 talking about. So that's -- that's what I would implore you & 17 everybody. The petitioner is asking for all of the exhibits \\
\hline 18 to do. Thank & 18 to be admitted. That actually includes a number of \\
\hline 19 MS. LEWINTER: Was there any -- sorry. Was there & 19 submissions of testimony and letters that have been \\
\hline 20 anyone else in the audience today & 20 submitted by those in opposition as well. If anybody has \\
\hline & 21 any objection, this would be the time to raise it; \\
\hline 22 THE T & 22 otherwise, I'm going to allow all the exhibits that have \\
\hline 23 the Zoom, ac & 23 been provided to be admitted into evide \\
\hline 24 MS. LEWINTER: Yeah. That's what & 24 Yes, Ms. Krish \\
\hline 25 ask next. I was going to actually remember. & 25 MS. KRISHNAN: I would like to remove Exhibit 37 \\
\hline 18 & 188 \\
\hline 1 Ms. Smith's ha & 1 from the setup because it had personal information an \\
\hline 2 & 2 questions that were related to -- that were related to a \\
\hline 3 MS. LEWINTER: Yes, Ms. Smith. & 3 surrounding neighborhood area that was not included as part \\
\hline 4 MS. SMITH: So in conclusion for the Seneca Creek & 4 of the surrounding neighborhood. \\
\hline 5 Watershed Partners, we viewed the site and reviewed the & 5 MS. LEWINTER: So my understanding w \\
\hline 6 master plan. We went over some information that we knew, & 6 personal information was redacted. Were you able to review \\
\hline 7 some sampling information, the percent increase of th & 7 that and make sure that it was? \\
\hline 8 population in that area, which is sufficient, and recommen & 8 MS. KRISHNAN: I did. But, again, since it is not \\
\hline 9 that it remain at this time an R-200 without the full plan & 9 part of the surrounding neighborhood for comparison -- they \\
\hline 10 that they have presente & 10 were using it for comparison of homes on Gorman Circle, \\
\hline 1 MS. LEWINTER: Anything else, Ms. Smit & 11 which were not part of the surrounding neighborhood as \\
\hline 12 MS. SMITH: I'd like the county itself to do the & 12 defined by the applicant. The surrounding neighborhood \\
\hline 13 diligence in testing the water quality in the area. Sites & 13 excluded Summerfield Crossing; and, therefore, that entire \\
\hline 14 like this that are not -- do not have an overlay or not & 14 comparison and saying that something is, you know, 6,000 \\
\hline 15 right up to the stream that have been bombarded with this & 15 square feet there is not relevant to the application, \\
\hline 16 kind of information, there should be really up-to-date & 16 because it's not part of the surrounding neighborhood, \\
\hline 17 information available to all concerning stream quality that & 17 per their own admission and their own evaluation. \\
\hline 18 is -- they are now, not 2013, not, you know, ignoring well & 18 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Rogers? \\
\hline 19 water, water quality. Those things can be done according to & 19 MS. ROGERS: We would object, and we would ask \\
\hline 20 the master plan, and it would save the applicants a lot of & 20 that it be admitted to the record. The hearing examiner \\
\hline 21 anguish. It's written into the master plan what was to be & 21 certainly, in her professional capacity, can give it the \\
\hline 22 done before further development. If you increase a & 22 weight that the testimony deserves, but we think that it was \\
\hline 23 population 2,000 percent in 20 years, you need to have water & 23 relevant testimony that should be in the record. \\
\hline 24 quality up to date. We looked hard for water quality & 24 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Duffin? \\
\hline 25 indexes that were completely up to date in that exact area, & 25 MS. DUFFIN: As well they have a handwritten \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 189 & 191 \\
\hline 1 letter that I submitted back in two thousand -- I forget -- & 1 will defer to you, Mr. Robins, for your closing. \\
\hline 2 to Tapestry along with that. Why is that -- that has no -- & 2 MR. ROBINS: Thank you so much. Okay. Now that \\
\hline 3 MS. KRISHNAN: Relevance & 3 we've gotten the exhibits addressed, let me indicate that \\
\hline 4 MS. DUFFIN: I have no idea why they have that in & 4 through our testimony of our expert witnesses, as well as \\
\hline 5 there. So that's in on 37 as well & 5 the petitioner both in direct and in rebuttal, plus the \\
\hline 6 MS. LEWINTER: So I'm going to allow 37 to remain. & 6 information in the record, including the land use report, \\
\hline 7 I do appreciate your concern, Ms. Krishnan, about how the & 7 the traffic study, the traffic analysis, the staff report, \\
\hline 8 comparison is being drawn when it wasn't included in the & 8 the Planning Board's recommendation of approval, and the \\
\hline 9 subject area. I will make note of that & 9 approval of the preliminary water quality plan, the \\
\hline 10 Ms. Duffin, & 10 petitioner has clearly demonstrated that the propo \\
\hline 11 letter just to show relative community responses to various & 11 conditional use application satisfies all of the zoning \\
\hline 12 developments, so -- & 12 ordinance requirements, including the conditional use \\
\hline 13 MS. KRISHNAN: I don't think that was the & 13 findings of Section 597.3.1(e) for the redevelopment of the \\
\hline 14 entire -- & 14 property with a residential care facility over 16 persons. \\
\hline 15 MS. DUFFIN: I don't think so either & 15 Additionally, as you've heard today and as the \\
\hline 16 MS. KRISHNAN: It was very -- it was very & 16 planning staff and the Planning Board have found, the \\
\hline 17 specific. It was very -- even for -- even for the Gorman & 17 project is in substantial conformance with the goals and \\
\hline 18 Circle thing when the personal information has been & 18 recommendations of the 1994 Clarksburg Master Plan. \\
\hline 19 redacted, it was very specific. So I think the intent is & 19 Also, I would note that there has been no evidence \\
\hline 20 also, as I said, not just the spirit, it should be the & 20 to suggest that there would be any negative economic impact \\
\hline 21 intent also. & 21 from this project on the surrounding area. Mr. Sloan's \\
\hline 22 Again, since it's not part of this -- again, the & 22 rebuttal testimony with cross-examination of Ms. Krishnan \\
\hline 23 Tapestry communication is not relevant to this application. & 23 and other witnesses has refuted that testimony. It is clear \\
\hline 24 The Summerfield Crossing area has been specifically exclude & 24 from the testimony and cross-examination of the neighbors \\
\hline 25 from the surrounding neighborhood evaluation when they & 25 that, while they recognize senior housing is much needed, \\
\hline 190 & 192 \\
\hline 1 submitted their application with the Planning Board. With & 1 they just really don't want it here, even if the petitioner \\
\hline 2 all these, I don't see how that could be considered in & 2 has fully addressed the specific concerns they raised, like \\
\hline 3 agenda. Otherwise, put it back in. Put all the information & 3 taking traffic off of Ruby Drive, and even if all other \\
\hline 4 that -- you want to compare apples to apples with & 4 agencies have also considered the concerns, especially \\
\hline 5 Summerfield Crossing, let's do that. You can't pick and & 5 regarding water quality approvals. \\
\hline 6 choose and then tell us that it is okay because it would be & 6 We responded to all the testimony, both written \\
\hline 7 considered. There is no -- it's either in or it's either & 7 and oral and, through thoughtful and precise manner. All \\
\hline 8 out. & 8 the professionals, including our experts, Park and Planning, \\
\hline 9 MS. LEWINTER: Well, I appreciate that yo & 9 DEP, which is Department of Environmental Protection, DPS, \\
\hline 10 disagree with me, but I am going to allow it in. I have & 10 Department of Permitting Services, staff and the Planning \\
\hline 11 noted your objection. & 11 Board have evaluated this conditional use application and \\
\hline 12 Given that, the exhibits & 12 its associated technical plans, which is all within the \\
\hline 13 (Exhibits 1 through 43 were admitted into & 13 purview of what they're supposed to review, and determine \\
\hline 14 evidence.) & 14 that it merits approval. \\
\hline 15 MS. LEWINTER: I believe Ms. Johnson keeps them & 15 Parking and Planning, as you know, is extremely \\
\hline 16 online for like 24 hours, and then they get taken down. So & 16 familiar with the master plan, and all the government \\
\hline 17 I do encourage you if you want to have any for your own & 17 agencies are completely focused, as Mr. Sloan had testified, \\
\hline 18 personal records to make sure that you download thembecause & 18 on environmental considerations and concerns like water \\
\hline 19 they will disappear from the OZAH website. & 19 quality. They certainly don't take their responsibilities \\
\hline 20 Given that Mr. Rogers -- Mr. Robins. I apologize & 20 lightly, and require the petitioner to analyze and provide \\
\hline 21 MR. ROBINS: Did you just call me Mr. Rogers? My & 21 much detail to obtain approval of a water quality plan \\
\hline 22 goodness & 22 pursuant to Chapter 19 of the code. The water quality plan \\
\hline 23 MS. LEWINTER: Ms. Rogers, if you have taken your & 23 also takes into consideration storm water management \\
\hline 24 husband's name or not, but I apologize to himas well, if & 24 considerations. All of the agencies, again, Park an \\
\hline 25 you have, and to Fred Rogers of record, of memory. But I & 25 Planning, DPS, DEP reviewed and ultimately recommended \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 193 & 195 \\
\hline 1 approval for the water quality plan, which ultimately was & 1 fact, so low that it didn't even require a local \\
\hline 2 approved. & 2 transportation review study. And her analysis certainly \\
\hline 3 This truly is an excellent use for the property, & 3 concluded that no road improvements like a dedicated \\
\hline 4 particularly given its close proximity to goods and services & 4 right-turn lane would be needed or justified to support this \\
\hline 5 available in the area, and the need for senior housing & 5 use. This is a quiet, respectful use. The petitioner has \\
\hline 6 within the upcounty region. And, as you have heard from our & 6 thought through the operations that will make certain that \\
\hline 7 witnesses, the project has been designed to promote & 7 deliveries, as you heard, are kept to a minimum, and that \\
\hline 8 compatibility with the surrounding area. The petitione & 8 shift changes are not disrupt \\
\hline 9 went through great efforts to make certain that the project & 9 Despite this community opposition that is here \\
\hline 10 will be compatible with the surrounding area. The project & 10 today and in the last hearing, the petitioner remains open \\
\hline 11 designed as we have described them as cottage homes blends & 11 to establishing a positive working relationship with the \\
\hline 12 in well with the surrounding area, and is entirely & 12 neighbors. We recognize that change isn't always easy, but \\
\hline 13 appropriate the R-200 zone. Although the code & 13 pledges to be not only a good neighbor, but a welcome \\
\hline 14 allowed for the construction, as you have heard, of a one & 14 addition to the community. It is the petitioner's hope that \\
\hline 15 singular structure in a residential care facility, most lik & 15 this can happen should the hearing examiner approve this \\
\hline 16 a multifamily building, which is most common for senio & 16 applica \\
\hline 17 facilities, and it also would have allowed up to 169 beds, & 17 We are very proud of this application and how we \\
\hline 18 the petitioner has thoughtfully designed the site to & 18 arrived here today. We also very much appreciate the \\
\hline 19 accommodate senior housing in keeping with the scale and & 19 hearing examiner's review, including having two hearings, \\
\hline 21120 beds. & 21 think all of us in this room appreciate the efforts that you \\
\hline 22 There has been significant & 22 have shown in participating in the hearing. \\
\hline 23 misrepresentations by certain members of the opp & 23 On that note, we respectfully request that the \\
\hline 24 many parts raised and thereafter refuted by Mr. Sloan and 25 through cross-examination. Insisting that the property is & 24 record be closed upon the completion of this hearing with 25 the exception of admitting the transcript, and that the \\
\hline 25 through cross-examination. Insisting that the property is 194 & 25 \\
\hline 1 adjacen & 1 hearing examiner subsequently approves this application. \\
\hline 2 environmentally constrained area when, in fact, the master & 2 Thank you very much for your \\
\hline 3 plan says just the opposite, misquotings and the like had to & 3 MS. LEWINTER: Thank you. So, just to reiterate, \\
\hline 4 be exposed through cross-examination and rebuttal. And & 4 we give the court reporter 10 days to complete his \\
\hline 5 that, in fact, is what exactly happened & 5 transcript. Then that is entered into the record, and the \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) This is a residential use by de & 6 record is officially closed. But there really shouldn't b \\
\hline 7 zoning ordinance and in terms of its character and design. & 7 any need for anything else to be admitted, unless there's \\
\hline 8 Certainly it is not an industrial or commercial use as has & 8 something truly exceptional that happens. So beyond the \\
\hline 9 been suggested by certain members of the opposition. The & 9 transcript, the hearing would be concluded as of us cutting \\
\hline 10 insistence that the residential care facility uses & 10 off today. And then we will wait the 10 days, and then I \\
\hline 11 commercial use and shouldn't be allowed in the R-200 zo & 11 have 30 days to issue a decision. \\
\hline 12 simply incorrect. There are many residential car & 12 I did listen carefilly to everybody's testimony, \\
\hline 13 facilities for greater than 16 persons all over the county & 13 to all of the closing arguments. I did definitely hear the \\
\hline 14 in residential zones. The County Council, by classifying & 14 imploring of me to review this record very diligently, which \\
\hline 15 the use as being permitted with the approval, of course, of & 15 I promise I will do a hundred percent. But I do want to be \\
\hline 16 a conditional use, has made a determination that & 16 clear, at the very beginning when we started, I did state \\
\hline 17 residential care facility for greater than 16 persons & 17 the approval of petition is based on the criteria set forth \\
\hline 18 essentially is compatible in the R-200 zone and other & 18 in the zoning ordinance, which is included in the planning \\
\hline 19 residential zones, subject to meeting the general conditions & 19 staff report, and is online and accessible if anybody wants \\
\hline 20 for conditional use, and specific requirements for & 20 to Google Montgomery County's zoning code. My decision, and \\
\hline 21 conditional uses. And we have provided overwhelming & 21 I have not made up my mind, but it is constrained by those \\
\hline 22 testimony and evidence in the record, which all support the & 22 ordinances that are passed by your County Council. So \\
\hline 23 hearing examiner to make the findings in the affirmative. & 23 whether it is the petitioner or those in opposition, if the \\
\hline 24 And as you have heard through Nancy Randall's & 24 outcome is not what you wanted, your recourse is to appeal, \\
\hline 25 testimony, the use is a very low traffic generator. In & 25 but your other recourse is to look at the laws, the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 197 & 199 \\
\hline 1 ordinances, the rules that are passed by your governing & 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER \\
\hline 2 body, your legislative body as to what is allowed and not & 2 \\
\hline 3 allowed in various zones within the county. So those rules & 3 I, Nicole Mastrosimone, do hereby certify that \\
\hline 4 are set not by me. It's my job to apply the rules to the & 4 the foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of the \\
\hline 5 situation. So I just want to be clear about what my & 5 recorded proceedings; that said proceedings were transcribed \\
\hline 6 responsibilities and obligations are. But, again, however & 6 to the best of my ability from the audio recording and \\
\hline 7 this comes out, either side has the option to appeal to the & 7 supporting information; and that I am neither counsel for, \\
\hline 8 next level and on up there. & 8 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case \\
\hline 9 So yes, Mr. Pajot? & 9 and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its \\
\hline 10 MR. PAJOT: How do you render your decision? & 10 outcome. \\
\hline 11 MS. LEWINTER: What do you mean? & 11 \\
\hline 12 MR. PAJOT: Is it a -- is it -- how do we get it? & 12 \\
\hline 13 MS. LEWINTER: It's in writing, and it will be & 13 \\
\hline 14 issued on OZAH website. So if you're on our e-mail list, & \[
14 \text { Nicole Plastroninnone }
\] \\
\hline 15 Ms . Johnson should be e-mailing it to you. So you should &  \\
\hline 16 get a copy. & 16 Nicole Mastrosimone \\
\hline 17 MR. PAJOT: Thank you. & 17 March 19, 2024 \\
\hline 18 MS. LEWINTER: All right. Are there any other & 18 \\
\hline 19 questions? & 19 \\
\hline 20 That will conclude our hearing today. I & 20 \\
\hline 21 appreciate everybody's patience. And particularly the & 21 \\
\hline 22 community members that had to take two days from their & 22 \\
\hline 23 regular lives, thank you. & 23 \\
\hline 24 (The hearing adjourned at 1:55 p.m.) & 24 \\
\hline 25 & 25 \\
\hline 198 & \\
\hline 1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC & \\
\hline \[
2
\] & \\
\hline 3 I, Brennan Plummer, the officer before whom & \\
\hline 4 the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that & \\
\hline 5 said proceedings were electronically recorded by me; and & \\
\hline 6 that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by & \\
\hline 7 any of the parties to this case and have no interest, & \\
\hline 8 financial or otherwise, in its outcome. & \\
\hline \[
9
\] & \\
\hline 10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my & \\
\hline 11 hand and affixed my notarial seal this 19th day of March, & \\
\hline 122024. & \\
\hline \[
13
\] & \\
\hline 14 Serman frmer & \\
\hline 16 Brennan Plummer, Notary Public & \\
\hline 17 For the State of Maryland & \\
\hline 18 & \\
\hline 19 & \\
\hline 20 & \\
\hline 21 & \\
\hline 22 & \\
\hline 23 & \\
\hline 24 & \\
\hline 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 165:24 & accurate & 135:25, 144:10, \\
\hline abandoned & abyaneh & 42:16, 97:8 & 144:25, 145:13, \\
\hline 44:1 & 4:10, 175:22, & accurately & 145:23, 146:13, \\
\hline aberrant & 175:25, 176:2, & 20:7 & 147:7, 147:18, \\
\hline 24:16 & 176:3, 176:7, & achieved & 148:4, 148:16, \\
\hline abilities & 176:11, 176:22 & 184:1 & 149:9, 151:11, \\
\hline 61:14 & accept & acquire & 151:12, 155:10, \\
\hline ability & 38:24, 71:25, & 78:21, 113:15 & 155:14, 155:23, \\
\hline \[
10: 4,36: 2 \text {, }
\] & 72:23, 73:5, & acquired & 156:8, 157:9, \\
\hline \[
71: 20,182: 22,
\] & 84:2, 84:10 & 94:11, 112:7, & 157:11, 159:23, \\
\hline 199:6 & acceptable & 113:7, 113:23 & 160:5, 160:20, \\
\hline able & 9:21, 26:11, & acquiring & 171:11, 185:13, \\
\hline 38:20, 47:12, & 143:14 & 113:1 & 185:14, 185:23, \\
\hline 70:25, 73:16, & acceptance & acquisitions & \[
185: 25,187: 18
\] \\
\hline 79:9, 85:19, & 5:8, 125:15 & 181:1 & add \\
\hline 90:1, 94:21, & access & acre & \[
21: 21,54: 24,
\] \\
\hline 95:19, 105:1, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
4: 36, & 10: 5, \\
28: 1, & 85: 5,
\end{array}
\] & \[
150: 24,151: 6
\] & \[
115: 10,163: 1
\] \\
\hline 134:9, 143:25, & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
28: 1, & 85: 5, \\
85: 9, & 85: 10,
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
acres \\
18:14, 71:14
\end{tabular} & \[
164: 1
\] \\
\hline \(\begin{array}{ll}161: 14, & 179: 1, \\ 184: 25, & 188: 6\end{array}\) & \[
\text { 94:1, } 94: 6
\] & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
18: 14, & 71: 14, \\
71: 15, & 76: 11
\end{array}
\] & added \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
184: 25,188: 6
\] \\
above
\end{tabular} & \[
94: 7, \quad 94: 14,
\] & across & 23:5, 68:2, \\
\hline 26:13, 27:1, & 94:17, 119:15, & 15:3, 19:8, & 84:6, 87:8, \\
\hline 110:24, 142:5, & 136:2, 138:21, & 45:6, 114:3, & 88:23, 95:15, \\
\hline 145:2, 149:16, & 158:23, 158:24, & 133:14, 178:12, & 95:24, 96:10, \\
\hline 152:5 & 158:25, 161:16, & 178:18, 183:3 & 97:5, 97:7, \\
\hline above-grade & 161:17 & activities & 111: \\
\hline 54:18 & accessible & 104:14, 105:4, & addendums \\
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| 154:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 181: 24, ~ 183: 1, ~ \\ & 192: 2 . \quad 192: 4 . \end{aligned}$ | 163:7, 194:19 | $90: 24, \quad 107: 17,$ |
| comprises | $\begin{aligned} & 192: 2, \quad 192: 4, \\ & 192: 18 \end{aligned}$ | conducted | 111:19, 112:1, |
| 119:1 | concerted | $26: 16$ <br> confer | $125: 11, \quad 180: 5,$ |
| compromise | 34:6 | confer | 180:16, 180:17, |
| 128:21, 180:10 <br> conceived | concise | confidence | $\begin{aligned} & 180: 20,181: 8 \\ & \text { noncidoration } \end{aligned}$ |
| 118:1, 118:2 | $128: 11$ | $58: 16$ | 9:5, 20:1, |
| concentrated | conclude | configuration | 60:5, 109:7, |
| 119:10, 119:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 13, \quad 173: 12, \\ & 197: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 11, \quad 159: 1 \\ & \text { confirm } \end{aligned}$ | $115: 5,118: 4,$ |
| concentration $184: 13$ | concluded | $156: 18,156: 25,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125: 12, ~ 139: 7, \\ & 192: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| concern | 195:3, 196:9 | 167:22, 168:2 | considerations |
| 17:7, 24:9, | conclusion | conflate | 154:1, 192:18, |
| 24:10, 26:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 3, \quad 179: 18, \\ & 181: 6, \quad 186: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $181: 25$ | $192: 24$ |
| $36: 8,58: 3$ | 181:6, 186:4 conclusions | conflation | considered |
| 58:6, 58:8, | conclusions $177: 10$ | 182:13 | 14:12, 24:18, |
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|  |  | ```crazy 167:16, 167:17 create 76:3, 77:20 created 58:23, 112:18, 113:21, 162:3 creating 85:9, 163:5 creation 118:9 credibility 50:23, 170:10 creek 5:28, 25:23, 26:18, 26:19, 36:17, 42:22, 42:23, 43:7, 43:12, 43:14, 58:7, 62:2, 102:3, 102:6, 117:19, 118:4, 118:5, 118:17, 118:22, 119:6, 120:2, 121:12, 122:10, 124:3, 126:4, 126:8, 143:4, 147:17, 151:25, 152:7, 154:9, 157:2, 160:4, 160:22, 170:23, 171:16, 174:2, 175:18, 184:8, 186:4 creeks 35:13 criteria 152:20, 196:17 critical 143:7 cross 35:9, 91:11, 164:21 cross-examination 6:9, 6:14, 11:24, 16:25, 20:15, 20:19, \(30: 3,30: 6\),``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| cul-de-sac | 87:25, 91:23, | deeper | densities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136:3 | 128:5, 151:3, | 150:18 | 135:22 |
| cultural | 186:24, 186:25 | defer | density |
| 68:10 | dated | 191:1 | $21: 13, \quad 75: 22$ |
| curious | 83:8, 92:13 | define | dental |
| 59:7, 146:11 | daughter | 53:4, 109:18 | 176:19 |
| current | 130:13, 131:3 | defined | deny |
| 29:12, 119:17, | day | 143:17, 188:12 | 78:3 |
| 145:11, 180:19, | 7:14, 26:5, | definite | denying |
| 180:21 | 27:14, 101:13, | 19:11 | 96:17 |
| currently | 104:22, 128:6, | definitely | dep |
| $97: 20, \quad 136: 15$ | 128:7, 198:11 | $54: 12, \quad 59: 3,$ | $61: 3, \quad 146: 1$ |
| cut | days | 108:10, 116:7, | $153: 14, \quad 192: 9,$ |
| 37:8, 81:14 | $127: 24, \quad 128: 1,$ | $196: 13$ | 192:25 |
| cutting | $196: 4,196: 10,$ | definition | department |
| 196:9 | 196:11, 197:22 | 60:10, 89:11, | 143:21, 143:22, |
| D | deal | 194:6 | 145:25, 153:12, |
| d-e-b-r-a | 121:3 <br> debatable | degrade | $\begin{array}{ll} 158: 6, & 158: 7, \\ 163: 8 . & 192: 9 . \end{array}$ |
| $86: 16$ | $47: 4$ | degree | $\begin{aligned} & 163: 8,192: 9, \\ & 192: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { d-u-f-f-i-n } \\ & 86: 17 \end{aligned}$ | debra | 67:9 | depending |
| daily | 3:24, 86:16, | delay | $12: 13,136: 24$ |
| $11: 1, \quad 105: 9,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86: 23, \quad 87: 1 \\ & \text { decide } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 25 \\ & \text { deleterious } \end{aligned}$ | depends <br> 53:4, 75:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 119: 4 \\ & \text { dam } \end{aligned}$ | 181:10 | $120: 5$ | deposition |
| 156:6 | decision | deliberate | 198:4 |
| damaging | 127:17, 127:19, | 181:6 | depot |
| 25:22 | 128:2, 128:6, | delivered | 14:4 |
| damascus | $\begin{array}{lll}179: 19, & 180: 2, \\ 184: 21, & 196: 11,\end{array}$ | 9:21 | depth |
| $111: 10$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 184: 21, & 196: 11, \\ 196: 20, & 197: 10 \end{array}$ | deliveries | $\begin{aligned} & 164: 13, \\ & 169: 14, \\ & 169: 18, \\ & 169: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| damn | decisions | $\begin{array}{ll} 7: 10, & 8: 11, \\ 8: 16, & 8: 23, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169: 18, \quad 169: 22, \\ & 170: 5, \quad 178: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 4 \\ & \text { dandelions } \end{aligned}$ | 125:9 | $8: 24,9: 3,9: 7$ | describe |
| $90: 11$ | declined | 9:8, 13:9, 195:7 | 60:1 |
| darkness | 92:22 | delivering | described |
| 19:10 | decrease | 7:13 | 19:25, 193:11 |
| data | 12:13, 158:21, | delivery | describing |
| 26:9, 27:7, | 159:20, 161:14 | 8:5, 9:16, | 148:5 |
| 29:6, 29:9, | decreased | 11:12 | description |
| 35:12, 35:18, | 161:18 | deluges | 44:12 |
| $47: 23, \quad 59: 22,$ | dedicated | 120:1 | deserves |
| $71: 7,71: 22,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 27: 23, & 28: 10, \\ 28: 15, & 28: 17 \end{array}$ | demand | 188:22 |
| 125:20, 125:21, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 28: 15, & 28: 11, \\ 28: 21, & 29: 13, \end{array}\right.$ | 110:15 <br> demonstrate | design $77: 12, \quad 77: 25$ |
| $126: 10,145: 18 \text {, }$ | $184: 3, \quad 195: 3$ | demonstrate $153: 8$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 77: 12, & 77: 25, \\ 93: 21, & 137: 23, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 166: 21, \quad 176: 19, \\ & 181: 22 \end{aligned}$ | deep | demonstrated | 138:14, 138:20, |
| date | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 10, \quad 99: 17, \\ & 169: 11, \quad 169: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $191: 10$ <br> dense | $\begin{aligned} & 139: 7, \quad 149: 22, \\ & 158: 19, \quad 158: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 10, \quad 48: 6, \\ & 48: 10, \quad 48: 20, \\ & 49: 8, \quad 49: 23, \\ & 58: 1, \quad 60: 23, \\ & 61: 1, \quad 65: 1, \\ & 65: 6, \quad 65: 19, \\ & 68: 5, \quad 88: 16, \\ & 100: 22, \quad 133: 21, \\ & 135: 17, \quad 135: 21, \\ & 136: 6, \quad 136: 9, \\ & 144: 16, \quad 147: 23, \\ & 151: 1, \quad 152: 21, \\ & 152: 24, \quad 153: 1, \\ & 154: 1, \quad 158: 19, \\ & 160: 2, \quad 160: 3, \\ & 163: 23, \quad 165: 12, \\ & 165: 17, \quad 176: 4, \\ & 179: 18, \quad 179: 25, \\ & 180: 6, \quad 180: 12, \\ & 186: 22 \\ & \text { developments } \\ & 64: 6, \quad 64: 10, \\ & 65: 22, \quad 75: 21, \\ & 113: 23, \quad 121: 1, \\ & 121: 15, \quad 161: 5, \\ & 176: 15, \quad 176: 18, \\ & 189: 12 \\ & \text { dictates } \\ & 160: 6 \\ & \text { died } \\ & 76: 20 \\ & \text { difference } \\ & 21: 23, \quad 41: 7, \\ & 109: 10 \\ & \text { differences } \\ & 138: 24 \\ & \text { different } \\ & 22: 16, \quad 22: 17, \\ & 25: 20, \quad 33: 11, \\ & 34: 1, \quad 49: 5, \\ & 49: 19, \quad 52: 12, \\ & 54: 16,57: 11, \\ & 60: 19, \quad 88: 7, \\ & 95: 10, \quad 108: 14, \\ & 112: 22, \quad 127: 5, \\ & 138: 9, \quad 150: 19, \\ & 150: 21, \quad 158: 9, \\ & 159: 2, \quad 159: 25, \end{aligned}$ | ```160:9, 182:10, 183:3, 183:4 differently 137:16, 149:23 difficult 84:25 diffused 19:4 diligence 185:3, 186:13 diligently 196:14 dimension 62:22, 62:23, 138:8 dimensions 21:25, 55:6, 55:18, 98:17, 99:19 dining 138:22 dinner 11:2 direct 6:7, 17:20, 24:7, 36:23, 57:23, 57:25, 66:4, 75:4, 87:1, 104:9, 107:14, 117:17, 132:18, 160:12, 191:5 directed 146:9 direction 63:10 directions 110:19 directly 17:25, 19:3, 19:8, 21:20, 69:18, 76:1, 85:5, 92:6, 113:25, 114:2, 148:7, 149:17 disagree 56:12, 148:11, 162:13, 190:10``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { disappear } \\ & 190: 19 \\ & \text { disapproved } \\ & 120: 11 \\ & \text { disclose } \\ & 114: 7 \\ & \text { discrepancy } \\ & 54: 14 \\ & \text { discuss } \\ & 33: 12, \quad 82: 18, \\ & 83: 3, \quad 83: 4 \\ & \text { discussed } \\ & 138: 23, \quad 139: 3, \\ & 148: 1, \quad 151: 19 \\ & \text { discusses } \\ & 151: 16 \\ & \text { discussion } \\ & 6: 22,42: 21, \\ & 43: 5,43: 24, \\ & 125: 13, \quad 132: 5, \\ & 138: 10,138: 13, \\ & 147: 22,153: 22 \\ & \text { discussions } \\ & 83: 4, \quad 94: 4 \\ & \text { dislike } \\ & 69: 20 \\ & \text { dismissed } \\ & 34: 12, ~ 119: 21 \\ & \text { dispositive } \\ & 129: 23 \\ & \text { disproportionate } \\ & 108: 15 \\ & \text { disruptive } \\ & 195: 8 \\ & \text { dissertation } \\ & 50: 14 \\ & \text { distance } \\ & 7: 24, \quad 39: 11, \\ & 39: 13,139: 9 \\ & \text { distinguish } \\ & 11: 14,13: 8 \\ & \text { district } \\ & 147: 19 \\ & \text { disturbed } \\ & 78: 14, ~ 78: 16 \\ & \text { dive } \\ & 150: 18, ~ 159: 10 \\ & \text { diverse } \\ & 158: 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| divides | doubling | drilling | driving |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110:22 | 125:16, 125:20 | 149:15 | 10:15, 11:10 |
| diving | doubt | drink | drop |
| 159:14 | 108:7 | 185:1 | 14:1, 14:8, |
| doctors | down | drinking | 28:8, 31:19, |
| 119:4 | 8:1, 36:10, | 76:5, 76:13 | 33:21 |
| document | 41:1, 55:3, | drive | dropped |
| 46:4, 60:11, | 62:8, 62:9, | 5:24, 10:4, | 33:21 |
| 71:1, 83:6, | 74:7, 80:18, | 10:12, 11:12, | dry |
| 118:14 | 81:14, 89:23, | 17:25, 18:9, | 154:20, 154:21, |
| documents | 96:21, 102:8, | 18:14, 20:12, | 156:5 |
| 71:18 | 102:14, 106:2, | 27:24, 28:19, | due |
| doing | 107:25, 108:3, | 51:8, 52:11, | 26:25, 27:2, |
| 47:8, 71:9, | 108:4, 108:12, | 52:21, 52:24, | 28:25, 29:8, |
| 88:14, 88:17, | 108:24, 109:12, | 52:25, 53:18, | 29:9, 158:17, |
| 89:4, 91:4, | 111:12, 111:13, | 54:15, 54:16, | 185:3 |
| 137:11, 140:22, | 111:22, 112:13, | 55:15, 57:11, | duffin |
| 174:5, 182:25, | 113:6, 133:6, | 61:21, 68:1, | 3:24, 3:25, |
| 183:13, 185:3, | 156:14, 161:9, | 72:16, 74:13, | 4:5, 4:15, |
| 185:4 | 190:16 | 75:25, 76:10, | 86:16, 86:17, |
| done | download | 77:14, 85:6, | 86:19, 86:21, |
| 12:21, 21:17, | 190:18 | 85:9, 85:15, | 86:23, 87:1, |
| 26:4, 26:17, | downpours | 86:17, 88:3, | 87:2, 103:10, |
| 29:8, 33:17, | 123:17 | 88:13, 88:19, | 108:18, 112:4, |
| 38:21, 44:5, | downstream | 89:25, 93:24, | 164:18, 164:19, |
| 47:10, 58:14, | 155:14, 174:6 | 94:1, 94:8, | 165:1, 165:3, |
| 60:23, 61:19, | dozens | 94:13, 94:15, | 165:10, 166:1, |
| 61:21, 73:7, | 118:13 | 94:18, 95:5, | 167:1, 167:3, |
| 103:15, 103:16, | dps | 97:20, 98:3, | 167:16, 169:3, |
| 120:23, 120:24, | 61:3, 97:4, | 99:9, 103:23, | 169:6, 169:7, |
| 127:15, 137:10, | 99:16, 153:13, | 104:12, 109:15, | 169:14, 169:16, |
| 138:15, 143:25, | 163:11, 192:9, | 112:8, 112:10, | 169:24, 170:9, |
| 145:22, 151:14, | 192:25 | 112:20, 113:8, | 177:20, 177:21, |
| 152:4, 160:2, | drafts | 113:14, 131:18, | 177:23, 188:24, |
| 160:3, 163:4, | 118:14 | 131:21, 131:22, | 188:25, 189:4, |
| 165:6, 166:11, | drain | 132:21, 133:3, | 189:10, 189:15 |
| 166:13, 166:18, | 155:12 | 133:11, 135:24, | duffin's |
| 167:6, 170:8, | drainage | 136:17, 136:21, | 176:12 |
| 174:20, 177:24, | 155:3, 155:10, | 155:11, 156:13, | duffin@yahoo |
| 178:2, 183:1, | 155:14, 155:17, | 156:14, 158:20, | 86:18 |
| 183:14, 183:20, | 155:25, 156:11, | 158:23, 158:25, | duly |
| 186:19, 186:22 | $156: 20$ | 161:18, 165:12, | 7:5, 17:18, |
| door | dramatic | 169:19, 174:4, | 24:5, 75:2, |
| 77:1, 78:7, | 18:10, 47:23 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 174: 13, & 175: 5, \\ 175: 10, & 182: 21 \end{array}\right.$ | 86:24, 104:7, |
| 112:4, 133:13 | drastic | $\begin{aligned} & 175: 10, \quad 182: 21, \\ & 184: 4,192: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 107:12, 117:15, |
| doors | 44:2, 44:4 | 184:4, 192:3 <br> driveway | 129:14, 132:16 |
| $19: 20$ double | drawn | $13: 3, \quad 133: 14$ | dummy $82: 5$ |
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| during | early | 40:17, 120:5, | 14:17, 105:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:4, 13:15, | 3:6, 73:6, | 125:24, 162:1, | elements |
| 14:1, 14:6, | 87:24 | 162:3 | 137:25, 143:23, |
| 15:2, 19:21, | easier | effort | 145:1, 146:5, |
| 35:9, 51:9, | 35:19, 51:2, | 34:6, 73:19 | 154:6, 164:4 |
| 58:23, 67:12, | 115:8 | efforts | elevation |
| 72:1, 93:8, | easily | 62:11, 69:21, | 57:8, 109:10, |
| 93:12, 95:3, | 41:2, 103:9, | 145:11, 193:9, | 155:10, 156:13 |
| 144:17, 152:22 | 167:9 | 195:21 | elevations |
| duty | easily-accessible | eight | 156:19 |
| 180:1 | 24:13 | 21:2, 21:6, | eli |
| dwelling | east | 26:22, 27:5, | 104:10 |
| 100:14, 150:18, | 27:24, 29:15, | 29:11, 38:15, | elias |
| 150:20 | 36:15, 37:25, | 47:2, 68:25, | 3:27, 103:20, |
| dwellings | 38:4, 40:7, | 69:6, 79:16, | 104:6, 104:9 |
| 133:15 | 113:12, 113:13, | 111:7, 111:17, | elizabeth |
|  | 113:14, 139:17, | 111:20, 119:1, | 3:5, 5:30 |
| e$191: 13$ | 148:22, 155:9, | 136:5, 136:11, | ellen |
|  | 155:13, 170:22, | 139:1, 140:13, | 5:32, 5:34, |
| $e-m-i-1-y$ | 171:16, 171:19, | 150:23, 160:22, | 82:21, 82:23, |
| 131:10 | 172:4, 172:16, | 165:6, 175:6, | 82:25, 83:8, |
| e-mail | 172:17, 174:11 | 182:1, 182:2, | 90:21, 91:16, |
| 5:29, 5:31, | east-west $147 \cdot 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182: 6, \quad 182: 17, \\ & 184: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $91: 18, \quad 92: 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 5: 32, & 20: 6, \\ 20: 12, & 23: 19 \end{array}$ | 147:23 easy | eight-building | $\begin{aligned} & \text { else } \\ & 6: 15, \quad 20: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 12, & 23: 19, \\ 23: 22, & 74: 17, \end{array}$ | 195:12 | 98:20 | $23: 11,84: 4,$ |
| 83:7, 86:15, | ebb | either | 84:7, 85:17, |
| 86:18, 92:23, | 14:22 | 31:19, 39:12, | 117:3, 123:25, |
| $104: 1, \quad 106: 25,$ | echo 132 | $46: 22, ~ 49: 17$, $65: 22, ~ 72: 23$, | 134:5, 138:23, |
| 107:3, 117:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 132:3, 132:4 } \\ & \text { ecological } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 22, \quad 72: 23, \\ & 73: 5, \quad 124: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164: 1, \quad 175: 20, \\ & 176: 23, \quad 177: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}117: 8, & 117: 9, \\ 124: 21, & 125: 4,\end{array}$ | ecological $157: 14$ | $124: 12,166: 20,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176: 23, \\ & 178: 13, \\ & 185: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| $131: 12,131: 14,$ | economic | 189:15, 190:7, | 186:11, 187:3, |
| 140:24, 176:6, | 191:20 | 197:7 | 196:7 |
| 197:14 | edge | ejsevilla@hotmail | else's |
| e-mailing | $174: 3$ | 104:2 | $6: 9$ |
| 197:15 | edition | elder | embrace |
| each | 10:9 | 158:14 <br> elderly | $75: 11$ |
| 13:4, 22:21, | education | elderly $76: 17,76: 19$ | emergency |
| $23: 2, ~ 25: 11$, $58: 22,149: 23$, | 70:25 | $82: 16, \quad 90: 3,$ |  |
| $58: 22,149: 23$, $154: 5,154: 22$, | educational | $95: 20, \quad 119: 9$ | $4: 11, \quad 131: 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 154: 5, \quad 154: 22, \\ & 165: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 3, \quad 35: 4 \\ & \text { effect } \end{aligned}$ | electronically | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 11, \quad 131: 6, \\ & 131: 7, \quad 132: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| earlier | 33:25, 121:21, | 198:5 | 132:18 |
| 120:19, 121:21, | 148:15, 161:23, | element | emilyspostoffice- |
| 122:4, 123:14, | 180:12 | 147:20, 162:11, | @gmail |
| 124:5, 150:5 | effects | $\begin{aligned} & 162: 22 \\ & \text { elementary } \end{aligned}$ | $131: 14$ |
|  | $25: 24, \quad 26: 25$ | elementary $14: 8, \quad 14: 16,$ | emotion 87:6 |
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| ```23:3, 23:7, 34:24, 35:21, 40:18, 72:4, 86:4, 86:5, 86:6, 94:24, 102:5, 103:12, 103:14, 107:24, 122:4, 125:18, 130:4, 134:22, 135:14, 141:3, 141:12, 141:15, 142:13, 166:9, 187:25 exhibits 4:23, 5:1, 87:8, 134:25, 173:14, 187:8, 187:13, 187:17, 187:22, 190:12, 190:13, 191:3 existing 4:33, 20:2, 25:4, 118:25, 136:21, 136:24, 138:11, 147:6, 151:3 exists 175:2 exit 8:10 exiting 178:19 expand 98:4, 114:10, 114:22, 136:22, 181:5 expanded 68:1, 136:18 expansion 98:20, 112:3, 114:20, 115:1 expect 66:17, 182:19 expectation 70:22, 183:25 expected 26:9, 48:23, 66:25, 73:7,``` | ```184:6 expecting 14:24, 58:15 expense 76:13 experience 65:21, 66:13, 66:22, 66:23, 67:1, 67:2 experiences 66:5 expert 6:8, 26:17, 36:7, 39:25, 40:2, 46:4, 53:14, 56:11, 56:12, 57:10, 57:12, 61:12, 99:4, 104:24, 105:17, 105:21, 109:24, 149:4, 167:24, 191:4 expertise 36:1, 172:1, 172:2 experts 26:6, 40:22, 59:14, 123:10, 154:5, 158:4, 158:9, 164:3, 164:11, 192:8 explain 7:9, 41:5, 45:11, 51:1, 70:9, 72:12, 83:14, 168:11, 170:9 explained 174:12 explaining 18:7 exposed 194:4 express 80:1, 93:7, 117:21, 181:16 expressing 179:25``` | extended <br> 28:3, 28:19, <br> 75: 6 <br> extensive <br> 118:3 <br> extent <br> 31:17, 37:6, <br> 37:7 <br> extra <br> 25:10, 174:5 <br> extracurricular <br> 14:2 <br> extraordinary $43: 7,126: 8,$ <br> 144:1, 147:25, <br> 148:2 <br> extremely $192: 15$ <br> eyesight <br> 41:16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 101:9, 113:19 | february | few | 44:19, 44:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fairly | 26:5 | 37:18, 49:6, | 44:21, 44:22, |
| 60:18 | fedex | 78:11, 82:19, | 47:12, 66:24, |
| fall | 8:14, 9:9 | 91:10, 107:20, | 111:9, 146:10, |
| 124:4 | fee | 109:24, 111:13, | 162:14, 187:1 |
| falls | 152:25 | 114:17, 116:13, | findings |
| 171:17 | feedback | 120:14, 129:21, | 137:17, 143:10, |
| familiar | 25:3, 29:24 | 154:13 | 146:6, 158:10, |
| 65:25, 116:8, | feel | fewer | 191:13, 194:23 |
| 127:20, 152:13, | 52:3, 52:4, | 158:25 | fine |
| 192:16 | 52:5, 58:25, | field | 22:23, 34:7, |
| families | 87:4, 90:18, | 90:2, 96:14, | 46:6, 81:2, |
| 104:11, 104:15, | 90:25, 107:22, | 97:18, 149:13, | 111:18, 114:5, |
| 182:14 | 133:16 | 167:6 | 144:1, 163:15, |
| family | feelings | fields | 177:5 |
| 10:20, 11:1, | 29:25 | 44:2, 75:11, | finer |
| 18:21, 58:18, | feels | 77:3, 79:10, | 138:14 |
| 59:4, 68:8, | 125:8, 181:21 | 81:14, 158:9, | finish |
| 75:6, 89:14, | feet | $164: 11$ | $39: 2,43: 16,$ |
| 89:15, 89:18, | 19:9, 27:22, | fight | 46:12, 127:2 |
| 89:19, 90:5, | 28:1, 28:2, | 89:21 | finished |
| 90:6, 95:19, | 39:18, 54:11, | figure | 54:19, 103:3, |
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PLANET DEPOS


PLANET DEPOS

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 21, \quad 58: 4, \\ & 67: 24, \quad 69: 25, \\ & 71: 14, \quad 71: 16, \\ & 71: 21, \quad 119: 19, \\ & 125: 9, \quad 171: 1, \\ & 171: 21, \quad 182: 2, \\ & 182: 4, \quad 183: 18, \\ & 183: 24 \\ & \text { implement } \\ & 144: 10 \\ & \text { implemented } \\ & 145: 14 \\ & \text { implements } \\ & 152: 19 \\ & \text { implore } \\ & 133: 20, \quad 183: 10, \\ & 185: 17 \\ & \text { imploring } \\ & 46: 1, \quad 47: 21, \\ & 196: 14 \\ & \text { importance } \\ & 118: 6, \quad 118: 16 \\ & \text { important } \\ & 73: 15, \quad 129: 24, \\ & 143: 10, \quad 145: 3, \\ & 156: 8, \quad 157: 5, \\ & 157: 17, \quad 161: 12, \\ & 180: 12 \\ & \text { importantly } \\ & 181: 9 \\ & \text { impressed } \\ & 75: 9 \\ & \text { imprint } \\ & 182: 9 \\ & \text { improved } \\ & 61: 13 \\ & \text { improvements } \\ & 48: 23, \quad 48: 24, \\ & 50: 4, \quad 139: 13, \\ & 139: 15, \quad 195: 3 \\ & \text { in-between } \\ & 18: 20,142: 3 \\ & \text { in-depth } \\ & 142: 9 \\ & \text { in-ground } \\ & 88: 25 \\ & \text { inbound } \\ & 9: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inception } \\ & 132: 20 \\ & \text { inch } \\ & 25: 13, \quad 25: 17, \\ & 172: 9, \quad 172: 11, \\ & 172: 15 \\ & \text { include } \\ & 28: 17, \quad 72: 25, \\ & 114: 22, \quad 139: 16, \\ & 144: 24, \quad 172: 5 \\ & \text { included } \\ & 10: 10, \quad 24: 24, \\ & 35: 24, \quad 36: 22, \\ & 41: 17, \quad 49: 3, \\ & 50: 3,50: 5, \\ & 73: 6,188: 3, \\ & 189: 8, \quad 196: 18 \\ & \text { includes } \\ & 123: 10, \quad 144: 13, \\ & 187: 18 \\ & \text { including } \\ & 14: 1, \quad 15: 3, \\ & 20: 7, \quad 40: 13, \\ & 50: 4, \quad 54: 11, \\ & 72: 21, \quad 100: 5, \\ & 109: 14, \quad 153: 1, \\ & 158: 13, \quad 179: 9, \\ & 184: 7, \quad 191: 6, \\ & 191: 12,192: 8, \\ & 195: 19 \\ & \text { incoming } \\ & 28: 11 \\ & \text { incompatibility } \\ & 107: 18 \\ & \text { incompatible } \\ & 118: 25, \quad 133: 12 \\ & \text { incorporated } \\ & 10: 5, \quad 93: 21 \\ & \text { incorrect } \\ & 47: 19, ~ 155: 3, \\ & 194: 12 \\ & \text { increase } \\ & 12: 12, \quad 25: 14, \\ & 28: 2,136: 23, \\ & 159: 20, \quad 186: 7, \\ & 186: 22 \\ & \text { increasing } \\ & 76: 18, \quad 82: 17, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```141:25 incredibly 158:8 indentation 43:18, 43:21, 43:23, 44:7 indentations 43:19 indented 44:11 independent 12:19, 12:23, 26:17 indexes 186:25 indicate 91:25, 191:3 indicated 72:15, 82:11, 92:15, 118:18, 181:20 indicates 137:20 indicating 93:17 individual 13:6, 29:25, 30:14, 68:25, 69:6, 99:10 individual's 98:19 individuals 137:2, 183:2 industrial 137:20, 194:8 inference 44:13 infiltration 144:24 influence 168:16 influenced 167:9 inform 146:5 information 8:16, 8:23, 10:8, 35:24,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 155:22, 157:3, | 23:10, 36:2, | 185:11, 185:16, | recognize |
| 157:17, 164:2, | 36:22, 37:22, | 186:16, 192:1, | 51:11, 51:14, |
| 179:14, 192:2, | 37:24, 45:16, | 196:6 | 82:21, 95:9, |
| 193:24 | 49:21, 55:6, | realtor | 120:8, 129:23, |
| raising | 55:10, 62:18, | 87:18, 88:8 | 191:25, 195:12 |
| 89:17, 106:5 | 70:25, 79:4, | rear | recognizing |
| rancher | 83:10, 83:19, | 96:10 | 119:6 |
| $89: 15, \quad 95: 14$ | $83: 25,84: 16,$ | reason | recollection |
| ranchers | $93: 2,97: 1,$ | $42: 24,56: 18,$ | $6: 6,6: 10$ |
| 96:2 | $97: 2, \quad 98: 11,$ | $67: 13,102: 19,$ | recommend |
| randall | 102:1, 102:7, | 171:20, 172:6, | $164: 12,186: 8$ |
| $3: 12,6: 7$, | 102:20, 124:13, | 177:4 | recommendation |
| 6:13, 6:18, | 134:19, 134:23, | reasons | $150: 14,150: 16,$ |
| 6:20, 6:24, | 134:25, 135:1 | 18:8, 132:25, | $151: 5, \quad 158: 11,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 25,7: 4, \quad 16: 11 \\ & \text { randall's } \end{aligned}$ | reading $46: 3,97: 10,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 18, \quad 150: 19 \\ & \text { rebuild } \end{aligned}$ | 185:15, 191:8 recommendations |
| 194:24 | 124:16 | 89:15 | $9: 20,41: 18,$ |
| ranged | ready | rebuttal | $41: 19,119: 8 \text {, }$ |
| 138:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 13 \\ & \text { real } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 16: 16, & 45: 9, \\ 46: 17 . & 65: 14 \end{array}$ | $158: 1, \quad 158: 5$ |
| ranges | real | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 17, \quad 65: 14, \\ & 70: 8, \quad 70: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 158:18, 160:10, |
| $110: 24$ <br> ranks | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 9,77: 8, \\ & 109: 24,109: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 8, \quad 70: 13, \\ & 70: 19, \quad 72: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161: 22,191: 18 \\ & \text { recommended } \end{aligned}$ |
| 40:15 | 117:8, 180:16, | 72:10, 124:14, | 150:25, 157:22, |
| rare | $181: 23$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 126: 20, & 126: 22, \\ 128: 25 & 129: 16 \end{array}$ | 171:17, 192:25 |
| 143:19 | realignment $58: 5,58: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 128: 25, \quad 129: 16, \\ & 135: 8,172: 25, \end{aligned}$ | recommends |
| rate 125:14, 125:15, | 58:5, 58:6 realistic | $\begin{aligned} & 135: 8, \\ & 173: 25, \\ & 191: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 145: 12,158: 13 \\ & \text { reconfigured } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 125: 16, \quad 125: 21 \\ & \text { rather } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 17 \\ & \text { reality } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191: 22,194: 4 \\ & \text { recall } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 161: 15 \\ & \text { reconsider } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25:16, 79:17, | 76:18, 82:17 | 82:25, 85:4, | $77: 19$ |

PLANET DEPOS

|  | ```recording 199:6 records 22:4, 97:4, 169:21, 169:25, 170:2, 170:4, 190:18 recourse 196:24, 196:25 recross-examinat- ion 169:7 redacted 188:6, 189:19 redevelopment 33:13, 191:13 redirect 16:9, 59:19, 173:1 redo 72:25 redrafts 118:14 reduce 28:12 refer 12:19 reference 42:22, 44:3, 108:7, 125:18, 126:2, 126:12 references 126:4 referred 110:2 referring 62:2, 81:20, 116:4, 176:21 refers 125:16 refrain 80:4, 81:3 refuted 191:23, 193:24 regard 26:10, 82:19 regarding 24:9, 35:10,``` |  | ```198:6, 199:8 relates 83:16 relation 30:23 relationship 113:20, 114:8, 168:5, 168:12, 195:11 relative 189:11 released 119:23 relevance 98:16, 98:23, 98:24, 115:3, 189:3 relevant 115:4, 188:15, 188:23, 189:23 relief 29:16 religious 68:20 rely 166:12 remain 186:9, 189:6 remained 118:18 remaining 29:16 remains 118:7, 195:10 remarks 31:13, 49:10, 120:17, 122:20 remember 17:1, 67:18, 82:23, 82:24, 83:2, 87:24, 91:23, 179:14, 185:25 remind 6:13, 15:12, 129:2 removal 157:6, 157:9,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS


PLANET DEPOS

| ```rest 19:22, 27:4, 35:19, 39:1, 39:9, 45:3, 111:11, 183:8 restate 45:21, 168:7 resting 169:9 restricted 27:3, 29:10 restriction 27:6, 151:8 restroom 101:20 result 152:24 resuming 6:3 retail 119:7 retired 78:6, 104:12 returned 127:25 revenue 180:11 reverts 43:18 review 25:11, 125:8, 142:12, 142:18, 145:16, 152:15, 153:11, 153:20, 154:3, 154:7, 154:8, 156:18, 158:7, 160:11, 166:25, 174:25, 175:12, 188:6, 192:13, 195:2, 195:19, 196:14 reviewed 61:5, 102:23, 142:14, 148:3, 149:3, 153:18, 158:12, 164:3, 164:14, 166:24, 174:13, 186:5,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS

Transcript of Hearing

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 11, \quad 156: 13, \\ & 156: 14, \quad 158: 20, \\ & 158: 23,161: 18, \\ & 165: 12, \quad 169: 19, \\ & 174: 3,174: 13, \\ & 175: 5,175: 9, \\ & 182: 20,184: 4, \\ & 192: 3 \\ & \text { ruin } \\ & 82: 7 \\ & \text { rules } \\ & 31: 20,197: 1, \\ & 197: 3,197: 4 \\ & \text { run } \\ & 18: 18, \quad 89: 4, \\ & 90: 12,101: 20 \\ & \text { running } \\ & 98: 18, \\ & \text { runoff } \\ & 35: 147: 22 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$  | $170: 17, \quad 175: 13$, $177: 16, \quad 177: 25$, $178: 6, \quad 183: 10$, $189: 20, \quad 198: 5$, $199: 5$ salary $168: 18, \quad 168: 19$ sales $109: 17$ same $7: 22, \quad 8: 14$, $14: 14, \quad 21: 19$, $43: 18, \quad 62: 12$, $79: 5, \quad 88: 15$, $96: 25, \quad 99: 3$, $101: 12, \quad 102: 6$, $109: 15, \quad 124: 22$, $124: 23, \quad 132: 25$, $158: 17, \quad 165: 22$, $171: 21, \quad 171: 24$ sampling $186: 7$ sands $149: 20$ sandwiched $18: 20$ sandwiched-in $21: 24$ satisfies $191: 11$ save $186: 20$ savings $180: 21$ saw $61: 6, \quad 61: 10$, $75: 9, \quad 93: 1$, $116: 3$ say $7: 24, \quad 16: 23$, $17: 8$, $21: 60: 25$, $23: 4$, $36: 17$, $40: 11$, $47: 10$, $47: 16, \quad 47: 18$, $47: 19$, $52: 4$, $57: 10, \quad 61: 6$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS


PLANET DEPOS


PLANET DEPOS

| side | 53:21, 54:4, | sit | 79:18, 79:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18:14, 21:1, | 54:8, 54:21, | 19:8, 21:20, | 79:22, 99:1, |
| 29:15, 39:12, | 55:19, 56:6, | 44:21, 50:25, | 107:23, 108:19, |
| 46:23, 51:8, | 57:9, 57:19, | 74:7, 79:9, | 133:11, 133:17, |
| 57:5, 64:7, | 99:1, 99:21, | 108:22 | 135:19, 136:24, |
| 68:1, 108:20, | 109:3, 109:22, | site | 137:6, 138:1, |
| 110:23, 113:12, | 138:11, 138:22 | 7:19, 8:3, 8:6, | 139:24 |
| 113:14, 116:1, | similarities | 8:10, 12:23, | sizing |
| 175:5, 197:7 | 138:18 | 18:8, 19:10, | 137:21 |
| sides | similarity | 19:14, 25:19, | sketch |
| 27:11, 28:8, | 137:3 | 27:4, 35:13, | 158:22 |
| 179:24 | similarly | 39:16, 43:8, | skew |
| sidewalk | 63:18, 98:4, | 49:23, 67:5, | 147:7 |
| 139:13, 139:16, | 159:13 | 67:15, 120:6, | skews |
| 159:1 | simple | 129:22, 130:2, | 111:23 |
| siding | 49:7, 50:13, | 139:2, 139:4, | sky |
| 137:22 | 50:15, 66:4 | 139:6, 139:12, | 58:4 |
| sight | simplified | 143:8, 143:20, | slamming |
| 131:2 | 158:24 | 145:24, 148:16, | 19:20 |
| sign | simply | 149:22, 154:21, | slide |
| 5:8 | 9:8, 194:12 | 155:4, 155:5, | 36:19, 36:24, |
| signature-d2yvl | since | 155:13, 155:24, | 40:11, 41:22, |
| 198:14 | 18:3, 19:9, | 155:25, 159:3, | 42:11, 48:1, |
| signature-zrhbi | 33:3, 87:9, | 161:11, 161:15, | 51:10, 53:8, |
| 199:14 | 102:20, 116:16, | 162:4, 162:9, | 55:21, 55:25, |
| signed | 132:20, 157:20, | 162:10, 185:13, | 57:3, 62:14, |
| 102:9 | 157:21, 175:24, | 185:15, 185:16, | 63:15, 63:19, |
| significance | $177: 3,188: 8,$ | 186:5, 193:18 | 72:3, 95:7, |
| $118: 16,126: 4,$ | $189: 22$ | sites | $96: 7, \quad 97: 6,$ |
| $179: 19$ | single | \| 186:13 | $98: 10, \quad 99: 18,$ |
| significant | 11:9, 11:10, | sits | 108:2, 108:13, |
| 18:5, 24:13, | 13:2, 18:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 9, \quad 100: 9, \\ & 113 \cdot 24 \quad 114 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $108: 20,109: 2,$ |
| 24:20, 25:4, | $61: 10,68: 3,$ | 113:24, 114:2 sitting | $109: 12$ |
| 31:14, 34:5, | $68: 8,68: 22,$ | sitting $111: 5$ | slidell |
| 54:14, 138:24, | $69: 5,69: 22,$ | $111: 5$ | $117: 8, \quad 125: 4$ |
| 155:2, 174:10, | 111:17, 111:21, | Situation | slides |
| 174:19, 193:22 | 135:21, 137:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 14, \quad 80: 13, \\ & 197: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 5:24, 55:3 |
| significantly | $138: 2,147: 8$, $165: 20,182: 2$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 197:5 } \\ & \text { six } \end{aligned}$ | slideshow $128: 19,128: 20$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 64: 25, \quad 65: 18, \\ & 158: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $182: 6$ | 30:11, 38:15, | slight |
| silent | single-family | 47:2, 101:21, | 40:19, 41:10, |
| 107:7 | 98:17, 100:15 | 105:10, 171:16, | 41:11, 41:12, |
| siltation | singular | 185:1 | 121:23 |
| 154:25 | 193:15 | size | slightly |
| similar | sir | 8:4, 12:11, | 125:16 |
| $8: 14,29: 17$ | 103:18, 106:14, | $\begin{array}{ll} 21: 3, & 21: 20, \\ 54: 3, & 54: 4 . \end{array}$ | sloan |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 53: 12, & 53: 16, \\ 53: 17, & 53: 20, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117: 2, \quad 117: 4, \\ & 120: 12, \quad 167: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 54: 3, & 54: 4, \\ 54: 8, & 54: 21, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 3, \quad 109: 10 \\ & 111: 16, ~ 129: 1 \end{aligned}$ |

PLANET DEPOS

|  |  | ```167:24, 170:15, 173:15, 184:5, 184:13, 184:14, 185:8, 186:6, 186:7 somebody 17:7, 51:3, 102:2, 112:4, 177:16 somehow 182:1, 182:3 someone 53:9, 54:10, 72:4, 83:2, 128:11, 134:19, 151:7 something 45:1, 47:15, 47:23, 48:16, 49:1, 66:16, 75:17, 78:20, 79:3, 82:6, 89:21, 92:24, 121:20, 132:3, 148:14, 148:15, 164:12, 170:11, 171:25, 173:17, 182:17, 182:19, 184:19, 184:21, 188:14, 196:8 sometime 33:4, 157:21 sometimes 19:6, 143:25, 156:5, 174:9 somewhat 110:3, 195:20 somewhere 12:15, 32:7, 53:24, 84:4, 84:7, 90:2, 109:21 soon 92:25 sorry 7:13, 7:19, 9:18, 11:17, 17:1, 20:4,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 7, \quad 41: 9, \\ & 41: 13, \quad 41: 14, \\ & 55: 9, \quad 56: 12, \\ & 62: 20, \quad 65: 10, \\ & 81: 1, \quad 86: 7, \\ & 87: 5, \quad 96: 8, \\ & 96: 13, \quad 103: 5, \\ & 103: 8, \quad 108: 3, \\ & 112: 15, \quad 115: 18, \\ & 115: 23, \quad 119: 17, \\ & 130: 6, \quad 130: 25, \\ & 134: 18, \quad 157: 1, \\ & 165: 10, \quad 165: 19, \\ & 167: 3, \quad 172: 25, \\ & 173: 7, \quad 173: 16, \\ & 185: 19, \quad 187: 10 \\ & \text { sort } \\ & 81: 16 \\ & \text { sound } \\ & 97: 7, \quad 180: 2 \\ & \text { sounds } \\ & 90: 4 \\ & \text { source } \\ & 25: 25, \quad 184: 17, \\ & 184: 19 \\ & \text { south } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

PLANET DEPOS


PLANET DEPOS

| staying | storms | strictest | subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127:11 | 119:24 | 149:24 | 136:5, 136:13, |
| stays | straight | stringent | 142:4, 143:20, |
| 105:5, 127:24 | 8:1 | 144:10 | 153:6, 189:9, |
| steep | strategies | strong | 194:19 |
| 40:16, 143:18, | 151:17 | 117:21 | submission |
| 148:14 | stream | strongly | 56:3, 144:23 |
| step | 36:16, 39:12, | 19:23, 28:23, | submissions |
| 180:25 | 39:14, 39:17, | 133:19, 133:20 | 187:19 |
| stephen | 39:20, 39:21, | structure | submit |
| 107:6 | 39:22, 43:9, | 133:5, 193:15 | 115:9, 124:14, |
| steps | 63:20, 64:3, | structures | 140:20 |
| 163:15 | 64:8, 64:14, | 108:11, 133:10, | submitted |
| steven | 64:25, 65:6, | 155:2 | 33:24, 37:2, |
| 3:4 | 65:18, 120:2, | stub | 79:4, 102:6, |
| still | 121:14, 139:6, | 41:3 | 116:9, 124:11, |
| 11:12, 18:12, | 139:8, 139:10, | stuck | 134:21, 136:16, |
| 21:21, 54:23, | 143:6, 143:7, | 15:5, 15:8, | 141:7, 142:13, |
| 55:14, 67:18, | 143:17, 146:4, | 133:8 | 144:19, 154:9, |
| 69:7, 83:22, | 151:13, 151:23, | student | 164:3, 164:9, |
| 85:14, 85:15, | 152:2, 161:8, | $16: 1$ | 174:25, 187:20, |
| 88:17, 88:20, | 161:11, 186:15, | students | 189:1, 190:1 |
| 89:17, 94:5, | 186:17, 194:1 | 12:25 | subsequently |
| 95:21, 96:25, | streams | studied | $196: 1$ |
| 106:20, 129:3, | 25:23, 35:11, | 120:4 | substantial |
| 130:7, 138:22, | 58:7, 62:1, | studies | 160:11, 163:24, |
| 166:6, 175:2, | 62:2, 62:4, | $12: 21,47: 22,$ | 191:17 |
| 175:3, 182:16 | $62: 5, ~ 62: 19, ~$ | $58: 20, \quad 77: 11,$ | succeeds |
| stop | 63:5, 118:17, | $82: 2,118: 13,$ | 168:15 |
| 15:25, 72:19, | 118:21, 121:15, | $125: 21,149: 1$ | sudden |
| 74:17, 80:12, | 121:16, 125:19, | $149: 3$ | $120: 1, \quad 133: 4$ |
| 83:23, 95:7 | $125: 22,126: 6$ | study | sufficient |
| stops | $\begin{aligned} & 126: 11, \quad 126: 13, \\ & 143: 4.143: 17 . \end{aligned}$ | $16: 3,26: 12,$ | $186: 8$ |
| $14: 10,28: 7$ store | $153: 1, \quad 154: 15,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 26: 23, & 35: 12, \\ 35: 17, & 35: 18, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sufficiently } \\ & 52: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 89:5 | 154:16 | $36: 4,36: 5$, | sugarloaf |
| storey | street $4: 41,21: 22$ | $36: 7,44: 2$ | $117: 20$ |
| 68:2 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 4: 41, & 21: 22, \\ 75: 9, & 77: 10, \end{array}\right.$ | 44:4, 123:8, | suggest |
| storeys | $88: 3,133: 6,$ | 125:23, 126:1, | 22:12, 101:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:14, } 97: 20 \\ & \text { storing } \end{aligned}$ | $165: 22,165: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 191:7, 195:2 } \\ & \text { stuff } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191: 20 \\ & \text { suggested } \end{aligned}$ |
| 89:7 | 178:17, 179:10 streets | 47:10, 104:22, | 140:2, 158:4, |
| storm | $18: 20$ | 123:17, 182:13 | 194:9 |
| 123:11, 123:19, | streetview | style | suggesting |
| $123: 22,144: 5,$ | $57: 6$ | $69: 22,69: 24,$ | $123: 1$ |
| $144: 11, ~ 145: 1$, $149: 16, ~ 155: 3$, | strict | $\begin{array}{\|l} 99: 3 \\ \text { sub } \end{array}$ | suggestion $155: 1$ |
| 155:12, 192:23 | 137:3 | 147:17, 151:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { suggests } \\ & 155: 2 \end{aligned}$ |

PLANET DEPOS

| suite | 117:23, 181:22, | 69:23, 72:2, | systems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3:7 | 194:22, 195:4 | 72:6, 72:18, | 76:1, 76:7 |
| suited | supporting | $72: 20,72: 25$, | T |
| 29:1 | 105:2, 199:7 | 73:12, 98:25, | t-e-r-r-i |
| summarily | supposed | 108:8, 108:9, | 17:11 |
| 34:12 | $61: 17, \quad 70: 23,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 108: 16, \quad 109: 4, \\ & 137: 4, \quad 137: 7, \end{aligned}$ | table |
| summary | $192: 13$ <br> sure | 155:5, 162:17, | 25:24, 26:9, |
| 28:23, 177:8 summerfield | sure 11:21, 14:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 5, ~ 162: 17, \\ & 180: 6, \\ & 188: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $61: 20,145: 2$ $149: 17 \cdot 152: 6$ |
| 23:21, 27:12, | 17:5, 20:7, | 188:4, 188:9, | 149:17, 152:6 <br> tactics |
| 30:11, 48:2, | 20:17, 23:8, | 188:11, 188:12, | $71: 9$ |
| 48:6, 48:8, | 23:9, 53:9, | 188:16, 189:25, | tag |
| 48:11, 51:20, | 59:22, 77:24, | 191:21, 193:8, | 115:18 |
| 51:22, 51:24, | 83:20, 87:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 193: 10, \quad 193: 12, \\ & 193: 20 \end{aligned}$ | tagging |
| 52:10, 53:5, | 90:21, 96:5, | 193:20 | 87:10 |
| $53: 6,53: 12$, $55: 5,56: 4$, | $96: 6, ~ 97: 17$, $107: 1,107: 22$, | survey $26: 3,26: 15,$ | take |
| $55: 5,56: 4$, $56: 13,56: 19$, | $107: 1, ~ 107: 22$, $109: 23, ~ 111: 2$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 26: 3, & 26: 15, \\ 29: 6, & 47: 17, \end{array}$ | 7:23, 10:21, |
| $56: 13,56: 19$, $59: 3,59: 16$, | $112: 15, ~ 112: 17$, | 61:7, 61:19, | 11:2, 15:1, |
| 63:12, 63:20, | 112:23, 114:18, | 72:25, 166:17 | 20:8, 34:12, |
| 63:22, 63:25, | 115:2, 115:12, | sustainability | 37:12, 43:4, |
| 64:7, 64:8, | 115:25, 116:14, | 180:23 | 44:23, 47:7, |
| 64:14, 65:5, | 116:20, 116:22, | swale | 47:21, 62:10, |
| 71:10, 71:13, | 120:24, 121:25, | 155:12 | 70:10, 76:23, |
| 72:14, 72:17, | 123:5, 128:3, | swear | 84:9, 92:19, |
| 72:19, 72:21, | 128:16, 131:10, | 16:24, 17:9 | $\begin{array}{ll} 93: 19, & 94: 13, \\ 94: 14, & 95: 20, \end{array}$ |
| 72:24, 73:3, | 135:5, 140:25, | switching | 101:19, 109:23, |
| 73:4, 73:14, | 141:11, 142:22, | 140:7, 149:9 | 115:19, 127:3, |
| 111:23, 117:24, | 142:24, 143:1, | swm | 133:20, 139:7, |
| 118:1, 120:19, | 143:5, 146:25, | 4:40 | 139:8, 142:20, |
| 120:22, 188:13, | 147:11, 148:11, | sworn | 154:22, 158:8, |
| 189:24, 190:5 | $148: 25, ~ 157: 18$, $164: 21, ~ 173: 8$, | 7:5, 17:15, | 164:10, 168:9, |
| super | 164:21, 173:8, | 17:18, 24:2, | 192:19, 197:22 |
| 26:20 | 176:7, 176:12, | 24:5, 74:23, | taken |
| supplemental | $177: 11,179: 13$, $185: 2,188: 7$, | 75:2, 86:20, | 55:5, 71:3, |
| 142:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 185: 2, \quad 188: 7, \\ & 190: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 86:24, 104:4, | 101:23, 109:7, |
| supplies |  | 104:7, 107:9, | 138:25, 148:4, |
| 9:21, 184:23 | surface $27: 3,137: 13,$ | 107:12, 117:12, | 149:12, 164:5, |
| supply | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 3, \quad 137: 13, \\ & 138: 20, \quad 147: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 117:15, 129:14, | 190:16, 190:23, |
| 25:23, 27:16, | 138:20, 147:13 surprises | $132: 12,132: 16$ | 198: 4 |
| 184:16, 184:17, | surprises $61: 22$ | system | takes |
| 184:19, 184:22 | 61:22 | 10:23, 10:24, | 105:11, 192:23 |
| supplying | surrounding | 15:23, 43:9, | taking |
| 26:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 6, \quad 24: 22, \\ & 35: 12, \quad 49: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $75: 24,76: 9,$ | 50:2, 85:9, |
| support | $\begin{array}{ll} 35: 12, & 49: 11, \\ 51: 21, & 53: 2 . \end{array}$ | $81: 24,82: 1,$ | 90:3, 94.3 , |
| 66:12, 67:11, | $51: 21,53: 2,$ | 111:24, 149:10, | 164:13, 181:15, |
| $67: 20,104: 18,$ | $53: 6,58: 2,$ $66: 6,66: 7,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 149: 12, ~ 155: 12, \\ & 158: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 192:3 |

PLANET DEPOS

| talk | tax | test | 40:14, 41:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:3, 39:1, | 180:11 | 144:24, 144:25 | 42:13, 45:1, |
| 43:24, 43:25, | tdr | tested | 45:8, 45:9, |
| 44:1, 44:2, | 140:15, 150:3 | 170:1 | 45:12, 45:16, |
| 44:10, 70:4, | team | testified | 45:17, 45:21, |
| 79:2, 93:10, | 19:13, 71:9, | 7:6, 17:19, | 45:24, 46:7, |
| 93:17, 93:18, | 92:24, 115:18 | 24:6, 61:12, | 46:13, 46:22, |
| 105:24, 110:1, | technical | 67:13, 75:3, | 50:23, 61:24, |
| 132:8 | 6:22, 47:17, | 86:25, 94:11, | 61:25, 65:14, |
| talked | 61:3, 132:5, | 95:12, 104:8, | 67:13, 70:3, |
| 37:17, 70:3, | 138:14, 142:18, | 107:13, 108:23, | 70:15, 70:19, |
| 71:6, 88:16, | 149:4, 192:12 | 109:9, 111:16, | 70:22, 70:24, |
| 145:8, 148:21, | technically | 113:19, 117:16, | 75:4, 79:3, |
| 183:5 | 101:22 | 123:11, 129:15, | 79:5, 87:1, |
| talking | technician | 132:17, 142:2, | 91:13, 94:25, |
| 18:18, 33:19, | 130:9, 130:20, | 143:5, 150:4, | 99:6, 102:2, |
| 34:14, 34:17, | 130:24, 185:22 | 150:15, 180:14, | 102:7, 104:9, |
| 36:25, 43:20, | tell | 192:17 | 107:14, 107:17, |
| 52:18, 55:23, | 32:12, 42:24, | testify | 109:9, 110:10, |
| 58:13, 72:7, | 45:15, 59:10, | 16:20, 17:2, | 113:17, 117:17, |
| 92:1, 92:20, | 63:18, 85:19, | 17:4, 18:1, | 119:21, 124:11, |
| 109:19, 109:25, | 90:15, 171:3, | 23:15, 23:20, | 128:13, 132:18, |
| 122:11, 122:15, | 179:1, 190:6 | 30:12, 66:3, | 137:3, 137:5, |
| 138:19, 146:17, | tenmile | 74:3, 84:19, | 140:2, 142:9, |
| 171:10, 185:17 | 117:19, 124:3, | 85:2, 86:8, | 142:12, 142:16, |
| tall | 160:4, 171:15 | 103:16, 113:18, | 142:24, 148:9, |
| 77:4, 97:20, | term | 117:2, 120:21, | $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 148: 21, & 149: 7 \\ 150: 1 & 153: 16 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 100:21, 136:12, | 57:17, 181:4 | 126:18, 130:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 150: 1, \quad 153: 16, \\ & 156: 16, \quad 163: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| 136:19, 136:24 taller | terminus | $\begin{aligned} & 130: 22, \quad 132: 14, \\ & 134: 6, \quad 134: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156: 16, ~ 163: 20, \\ & 164: 15, ~ 167: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 77:4, 98:5 | 135:23 | 134:17, 134:18 | 173:12, 175:8, |
| tap | terms | testifying | 177:10, 177:18, |
| 179:3, 179:5 | 34:12, 56:15, | 16:21, 30:10, | 179:24, 187:19, |
| tapestry | 63:19, 71:19, | 30:14, 30:22, | 188:22, 188:23, |
| 18:13, 18:21, | 75:19, 78:19, | 46:24, 122:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 191: 4, \quad 191: 22, \\ & 191: 23, \quad 191: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 20:24, 21:7, | 92:10, 99:2, | testimonies |  |
| 21:14, 27:12, | 100:11, 107:23, | 166:5 | $194: 25, \quad 196: 12$ |
| 48:17, 48:20, | 122:6, 123:2, | testimony | testing |
| $48: 21, ~ 48: 25, ~$ $49.8,49: 13$, | 123:22, 133:11, | $\begin{array}{ll} 3: 15, & 3: 18, \\ 3: 21, & 3: 24 \end{array}$ | $144: 24,166: 6,$ |
| $49: 8,49: 13$, $49: 16, ~ 49: 22$, | 133:17, 137:3, | $3: 21,3: 24$ | $169: 10,174: 20,$ |
| $49: 16, ~ 49: 22$, $49: 25,50: 3$, | 150:23, 171:21, | $3: 27,3: 30,$ | $186: 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}49: 25, & 50: 3, \\ 88: 15, & 88: 24,\end{array}$ | 173:13, 177:12, | $3: 34,4: 11,$ | th |
| $88: 15, ~ 88: 24$, $88: 25, ~ 91: 14$, | 182:24, 184:15, | $5: 22,5: 25$ | $10: 9, \quad 128: 6$ |
| $\begin{array}{lll}88: 25, & 91: 14, \\ 189: 2, ~ & 189: 23\end{array}$ | 194:7 | $5: 28,17: 20,$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 189:2, 189:23 } \\ & \text { taps } \end{aligned}$ | terri $3: 15,17: 10$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 19: 2, & 20: 9, \\ 24: 7, & 30: 24, \end{array}$ | thankful |
| 184:25 | 17:17, 17:20, | 35:21, 36:12, | 184:9 |
| targeted | 17:24 | 36:21, 39:10, | thanks |
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| touched | transcript | trips | turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133:19, 159:9 | 127:25, 195:25, | 10:5, 12:4, | 6:20, 7:19, |
| tough | 196:5, 196:9, | 12:12, 12:15, | 7:21, 7:23, |
| 43:4, 182:15 | 199:4 | 12:17, 12:22, | 27:23, 28:21, |
| toward | transferred | 66:8 | 85:1, 132:10, |
| 8:9, 76:15 | 112:21 | trouble | 134:14, 165:9, |
| towards | transit | 142:25 | 166:12, 184:3 |
| 82:12, 83:16, | 147:18 | troubled | turnaround |
| 119:10, 119:11, | transition | 122:24 | 136:1 |
| 133:9, 136:7, | 150:17, 150:25, | truck | turned |
| 148:14 | 151:2 | 8:5, 9:8 | 140:22 |
| town | transitionary | trucks | turns |
| 148:5 | $147: 19$ | 8:9, 13:1, 89:6 | 28:20 |
| track | transparent | true | twice |
| 89:9, 146:2 | 62:22, 88:10, | 39:19, 40:1, | 9:7, 9:22, |
| traditional | 90:20 | 40:7, 42:21, | 119:19 |
| 161:5 | transportation | 44:14, 53:11, | two |
| traffic | 35:5, 195:2 | 56:11, 56:16, | 13:25, 14:7, |
| 4:39, 6:8, 7:9, | treasurer | 63:3, 64:24, | 14:13, 14:22, |
| 13:14, 15:9, | 117:19 | 65:17, 78:19, | 14:23, 15:2, |
| 15:21, 15:25, | treat | 95:13, 156:12, | 18:20, 19:15, |
| 16:2, 27:20, | 119:22, 123:11 | 163:11, 199:4 | 22:22, 23:4, |
| 27:21, 28:2, | treating | truly | 38:15, 47:2, |
| 28:6, 28:11, | 119:23 | 193:3, 196:8 | 47:3, 47:9, |
| 28:14, 58:19, | treatment | trust | 50:9, 50:10, |
| 58:20, 58:23, | 120:3, 123:22, | 82:7, 85:15, | 53:15, 55:3, |
| 58:24, 58:25, | 149:12 | $125: 6$ | 57:14, 62:16, |
| 75:22, 77:6, | trees | try | 71:12, 71:14, |
| 85:14, 93:23, | 77:3, 81:14, | 73:2, 73:8, | 80:6, 84:7, |
| 93:25, 94:13, | 140:12, 140:13, | 73:17, 80:6, | $87: 7, \quad 97: 20,$ |
| 94:23, 105:3, | 157:4, 157:12, | 81:3, 82:18, | $100: 6, \quad 107: 17,$ |
| 105:8, 105:9, | 157:13, 157:14 | 87:5, 93:17, | 112:14, 113:4, |
| 105:16, 133:1, | tributaries | 112:4, 128:10, | 113:6, 113:7, |
| 150:21, 182:19, | 35:11, 35:14, | 136:9, 141:17, | 113:10, 128:8, |
| 184:5, 191:7, | 62:19, 118:17, | 183:20, 183:21, | 138:15, 139:4, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 192:3, } 194: 25 \\ & \text { trail } \end{aligned}$ | 118:22, 122:9, | 183:22, 183:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 11, \quad 140: 12, \\ & 142: 1, \quad 152: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| 64:16, 64:22, | $125: 18, ~ 125: 22, ~$ $126: 6,126: 13$, | trying $34: 2,57: 12,$ | 153:22, 155:20, |
| 64:23 | $126: 6,126: 13$, $145: 7$ | 65:10, 71:24, | 160:1, 161:6, |
| trailers | tries | 80:16, 81:21, | 163:14, 177:14, |
| 96:14 | 77:12 | 84:25, 85:22, | 177:21, 189:1, |
| trails | trip | 99:10, 102:5, | 195:19, 197:22 |
| 64:4 | 9:13, 9:17, | 102:13, 102:15, | two-car $54: 1, \quad 54: 2$ |
| training | 10:1, 10:8, | 110:19, 117:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 1, \quad 54: 2, \\ & 54: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $35: 3, \quad 35: 4$ transcribed | 11:3, 11:10, | $127: 9,148: 24$, $171: 13, ~ 177: 12$, | $\begin{array}{\|l} 54: 20 \\ \text { two-storey } \end{array}$ |
| transcribed $1: 25,199: 5$ | 12:6, 12:10, | $\begin{array}{lll}171: 13, & 177: 12, \\ 179: 14, & 181: 22,\end{array}$ | 19:9 |
| 1:25, 199:5 transcriber | 15:16, 15:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 179: 14, ~ 181: 22, \\ & 181: 25,182: 24 \end{aligned}$ | two-to-four-unit- |
| $199: 1$ | 66:15, 80:8 | 181.25, 182.24 | -per-acre |
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| type | 90:1, 112:10, | united | usage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7:12, 7:13, | 112:16, 126:11, | 9:8 | 125:24 |
| 53:20, 90:19, | 129:3, 130:3, | units | use |
| 137:18, 137:21, | 135:17, 139:25, | 100:15, 135:20, | 4:28, 4:32, |
| 139:6, 180:15 | 144:12, 144:13, | 136:5, 136:12, | 4:37, 6:3, 8:14, |
| types | 148:4, 149:8, | 150:19, 150:20, | 10:23, 10:24, |
| 8:16 | 153:18, 158:7, | 151:1, 151:3, | 27:17, 31:16, |
| typical | 162:11, 195:20 | 151:5, 182:1, | 31:24, 32:2, |
| 114:6, 139:21, | understand | 182:10 | 32:24, 33:2, |
| 158:16 | 8:8, 12:14, | unless | 33:5, 49:5, |
| typically | 40:4, 45:13, | 17:7, 148:12, | 68:12, 69:4, |
| 9:7, 89:12, | 47:8, 61:16, | 169:13, 179:5, | 69:7, 69:11, |
| 115:18, 135:22, | 65:4, 72:9, | 185:12, 196:7 | 69:14, 69:17, |
| 136:2, 137:14, | 92:9, 96:19, | unlikely | 71:22, 73:2, |
| 137:17, 137:20, | 96:22, 112:24, | 7:23 | 73:8, 77:20, |
| 138:5, 143:19, | 122:1, 126:19, | unprofessional | 78:21, 87:6, |
| 144:11, 150:20, | 127:5, 129:4, | 94:23 | 114:20, 115:7, |
| 155:21, 157:12, | 157:6, 170:7, | unquote | 129:24, 134:6, |
| 171:11, 177:2 | 185:16 | 26:8, 72:16, | 137:21, 139:18, |
| typo | understanding | 109:6 | 139:19, 139:23, |
| 38:6, 38:24, | 10:1, 10:13, | unsure | 139:24, 140:3, |
| 44:7, 154:21 | 26:22, 44:17, | 70:1 | 140:4, 140:6, |
| typographical | 66:11, 69:14, | until | 143:11, 146:19, |
| 38:22 | 184:14, 188:5 | 14:17, 14:18 | 150:4, 153:5, |
| U | understood | unusual | 156:19, 158:15, |
| uber | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 50: 7, & 96: 24 \\ 143: 1 & \end{array}\right.$ | 160:20, 162:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 162: 3, \quad 163: 2, \\ & 163: 12, \quad 163: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| $10: 3, \quad 10: 5$ | understory | $185: 14$ <br> unwanted | 179:18, 179:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 7, \quad 10: 10, \\ & 10: 11, \quad 10: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $140: 13$ | $84: 3$ | $180: 2, \quad 180: 25$ |
| $10: 22,11: 3$ | undoubtedly | up-to-date | 191:6, 191:11, |
| 11:12, 12:1, | 181:3 | 186:16 | 191:12, 192:11, |
| 12:15, 12:16, | undue | upcounty | 193:3, 194:6, |
| 13:1 | 133:1 | 75:13, 193:6 | 194:8, 194:11, |
| uh-uh | unemployment | updated | 194:15, 194:16, 194:20, 194:25, |
| 97:21, 98:9 | 151: 8 <br> unethical | 5:23, 146:6 | $195: 5$ |
| ultimately | $71: 23,167: 11$ | updates | uses |
| $\begin{aligned} & 126: 6, \quad 192: 25, \\ & 193: 1 \end{aligned}$ | unfair | 10:8 | 12:24, 119:8, |
| uncomfortable | 84:2 | 164:7 | 139:5, 146:24, |
| $127: 4$ | unidentified | upper | 147:3, 147:13, |
| uncommon | 74:5, 113:13 | 160:21, 170:23 | 151:9, 151:11, |
| 112: 6 | unintended | ups | 59:12, 159:23, |
| under | 25:24 | 8:13, 9:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 160: 15, ~ 160: 24, \\ & 194: 10, \\ & 194: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:13, 9:22, | unique | upset | using |
| 50:9, 52:18, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 58: 1, & 59: 6, \\ 59: 7, & 59: 12, \end{array}\right.$ | $83: 18$ | $10: 11, \quad 10: 19$ |
| $53: 23,60: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 7, \quad 59: 12, \\ & 59: 13, \quad 69: 21, \end{aligned}$ | upwards | $24: 15, \quad 28: 2$ |
| 68:24, 73:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 13, \quad 69: 21, \\ & 136: 10, \quad 137: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $111: 22$ | $73: 11,89: 20 \text {, }$ |
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| 188:10 | 161:16 | vote | 92:15, 95:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| usp | vendors | 127:1 | 95:12, 98:18, |
| 184:12 | 9:11 | voted | 98:21, 101:11, |
| usually | venture | 172:3 | 103:16, 104:18, |
| 127:24, 137:9 | 118:24 | vyjayanthi | 105:18, 105:21, |
| utility | version | 3:18, 11:23, | 106:10, 107:17, |
| 4:34 | 77:21 | 24:4, 24:7, | 108:5, 110:1, |
| utilization | versus | 70:19 | 111:18, 111:25, |
| 31:19 | 38:10, 161:20, | vyjoo1@gmail | 115:16, 117:2, |
| utilizing | 171:6 | 23:23 | 120:17, 124:8, |
| 29:13 | veteran | W | 127:4, 128:16, |
| V | 104:12 | wait | 128:21, 130:10, |
| vacant | via | $80: 21, \quad 80: 25$ | $141: 4,143: 5,$ |
| $114: 5,114: 7,$ | 105:2 <br> view | $\begin{array}{ll} 96: 12, & 97: 9, \\ 165: 8, & 196: 10 \end{array}$ | $143: 9,148: 24$ |
| 178:17 <br> valid | $4: 41,52: 20,$ | 165:8, 196:10 <br> waiting | $151: 4, \quad 154: 14,$ |
| $24: 18,182: 16$ | 57:23 | $16: 19, \quad 130: 13$ | 164:3, 164:16, |
| valley | viewed | waivers | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 165: 2, & 173: 14, \\ 176: 9, & 177: 4, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 36:16, 39:20, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 186:5 } \\ & \text { virtual } \end{aligned}$ | 160:13 | 177:16, 177:23, |
| 39:23, 143:7 | virtual | wake | 178:7, 179:18, |
| value | 105:3 <br> virtually | 77:1 | 184:25, 187:16, |
| 111:23 | virtually \|151:11 | walk | 190:4, 190:17, |
| values | visit | 37:18, 85:16, | 192:1, 196:15, |
| 180:21 | 10:21, 66:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 133: 6,141: 22, \\ & 142: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 197:5 |
| van | $66: 20,66: 25$ | 142:15 | wanted |
| $10: 18, \quad 10: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 20, \quad 66: 25 \\ & 67: 7, \quad 185: 13 \end{aligned}$ | want $15.11,17.2$ | 6:18, 22:24, |
| variable | visitor | 15:11, 17:2, | 23:8, 79:9, |
| 12:19, 12:23 | $11: 11,13: 8$ | $17: 4, ~ 23: 15$, $35: 17, ~ 40: 22$, | 82:20, 87:15, |
| variance | visitors | 35:17, 40:22, | 87:20, 87:21, |
| 4:38, 157:4, 157:6, 157:10 | 9:16, 13:1, | $60: 9, \quad 65: 13$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 87: 23, & 88: 2, \\ 92: 15, & 92: 22, \end{array}$ |
| various | 13:4, 27:17, | 70:7, 71:22, | $102: 25,103: 4,$ |
| 112:25, 113:1, | 76:7, 81:23, | 73:17, 73:24, | 106:7, 114:19, |
| 154:2, 181:1, | $119: 5$ visits | $74: 3,76: 12$, | 116:15, 122:2, |
| 189:11, 197:3 | visits | $76: 13,77: 1$, | 122:20, 133:9, |
| vehicle | 66:9, 67:4, | 78:7, 78:17, | 134:6, 134:10, |
| 7:13, 8:4, | 67:14, 75:8, | 78:25, 79:24, | 142:22, 142:24, |
| 10:12, 11:11, | 119:3 | 80:2, 80:22, | 144:21, 146:21, |
| 11:12, 12:12, | visually | 83:3, 83:4, | 146:25, 147:23, |
| 12:25, 13:3, | 22:3 | 83:21, 84:9, | 148:8, 148:11, |
| 28:10 | vital | 86:2, 86:8, | 150:17, 151:9, |
| vehicles | 60:7, 184:21 | 86:13, 87:2, | 157:17, 166:25, |
| 7:12, 9:15, | volume | 87:7, 87:12, | 169:10, 173:5, |
| 13:7 | 13:21, 15:19, | 87:13, 88:17, | 185:8, 185:20, |
| vehicular | 15:20, 16:2 | 88:18, 88:25, | $196: 24$ |
| $\text { 28:11, } 94: 7$ | voluntary | 89:2, 89:3, | wanting |
| 94:14, 94:17, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 32: 18, \quad 33: 6, \\ & 93: 4, \quad 177: 19 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 89:7, 90:11, | 34:12 |

PLANET DEPOS

| ```wants 11:1, 126:17, 196:19 warehousing 77:21 warrant 102:17 warrants 43:7, 126:8 washington 105:12 waste 44:21, 76:5, 81:23, 126:1, 149:8 wastewater 149:9 wasting 57:12, 98:21 watch 65:10 water's 179:2, 179:4 waters 184:15 watershed 5:28, 25:15, 26:14, 26:19, 42:22, 43:6, 43:23, 44:12, 102:3, 102:6, 118:5, 118:6, 118:18, 118:23, 122:10, 145:21, 147:17, 147:18, 148:6, 154:10, 157:2, 174:2, 175:18, 186:5 watershed's 43:10 watersheds 43:19, 44:15, 151:21 waved 130:10 way 6:21, 7:17, 7:22, 8:1,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  | worries <br> 179:16 <br> wouldn't <br> 21:2, 21:6, <br> 38:7, 48:5, <br> 48:14, 52:9, <br> 52:23, 54:4, <br> 54:12, 55:18, <br> 56:5, 56:8, <br> 56:10, 56:14, <br> 57:7, 57:8, <br> 59:9, 89:5, <br> 95:17, 99:20, <br> 101:3, 114:20, <br> 123:4, 124:8, <br> 124:10, 158:25 <br> write <br> 45:4 <br> writing <br> 83:9, 106:6, <br> 197:13 <br> written <br> 35:21, 36:12, <br> 41:17, 42:13, <br> 45:16, 61:25, <br> 87:3, $93: 14$, <br> 186:21, 192:6 <br> wrong <br> 47:19, 50:10, <br> 87:17, 91:1, <br> 176:1 <br> wrongs <br> 50:9, 50:10, <br> 71:12 <br> wrote $$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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Transcript of Hearing

| 104:14, 127:1, | 168 | 5:7, 5:8, 5:9, | 12:2, 12:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142:1, 160:18, | 4:5 | 5:10, 5:11, | 12:8, 12:12, |
| 176:3 | 169 | 5:12, 5:13, | 19:24, 25:22, |
| 131 | 4:8, 101:5, | 5:14, 5:15, | 27:4, 27:8, |
| 4:12 | 139:25, 193:17 | 5:16, 5:17, | 28:16, 29:12, |
| 138 | 17 | 5:18, 5:19, | 49:6, 49:11, |
| 147:25 | 4:44 | 5:20, 5:21, | 49:16, 67:21, |
| 139 | 173 | 5:22, 5:23, | 67:23, 67:24, |
| 147:17 | 4:9 | 5:24, 5:25, | 68:6, 79:15, |
| 14 | 175 | 5:26, 5:27, | 90:9, 90:19, |
| 4:41, 5:20, | 4:10 | $5: 28,5: 30,5: 31$ | 114:6, 119:20, |
| 139:1, 147:2, | 176 | 191 | 125:14, 129:20, |
| 147:12, 160:18, | 4:15 | 4:20 | 130:3, 135:10, |
| 170:17, 170:25, | 178 | 1960 | 135:17, 136:6, |
| 171:5, 171:7 | 4:16 | 144:19 | 140:15, 146:15, |
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| 148:25 | 56:19, 56:21, | 1@gmail | 183:17, 184:1, |
| 148 | 63:22, 63:24, | 24:1 | 186:9, 193:13, |
| 151:19 | 71:13, 73:14 | 1 b | 194:11, 194:18 |
| 149 | 180 | 145:4 | 2000 |
| 43:16, 143:12 | 4:17 | 2 | 96:9 |
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| 4:42, 14:19, | 4:18 | 14:21, 15:4, | $2003$ |
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| $42: 14, ~ 42: 18, ~$ $151: 20$ | 199:17 | 110:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 171: 14, ~ 171: 15 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |
| $16$ | 190 | 2- | $31: 18$ |
| 3:16, 4:43, | $\begin{array}{ll}4: 28, & 4: 29, \\ 4: 30, & 4: 31,\end{array}$ | 5:20, 5:21 | 2019 |
| 104:13, 191:14, | 4:32, 4:33, | $5: 7, \quad 9: 2,9: 7$ | 18:3, 114:4 |
| 194:13, 194:17 | 4:34, 4:35, |  | 2021 |
| 164 | 4:36, 4:37, | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 12, \\ & 22: 13, \\ & 23: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 144:8, 146:8 |
| 4:5 | 4:38, 4:39, | $113: 9,113: 10$ | 2022 |
| 165 | 4:40, 4:41, | $186: 23$ | 83:8, 91:22, |
| 4:6 | 4:42, 4:43, | $200$ | 93:3 |
| $166$ | $4: 44,4: 45,5: 6$, | $2: 6,5: 27,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2023 \\ & 24 \cdot 15 . \quad 24 \cdot 18 . \end{aligned}$ |
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