

Date: November 6, 2025 Case: Notley Road

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
MARYLAND:
                                                                                          APPEARANCES
      IN THE MONTGOMERY COUNTY OFFICE OF ZONING AND
                ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
                                                                       3 ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
   ----x
                                                                       4 ELIZABETH ROGERS, ESQUIRE
                                                                       5 ERIN E. GIRARD, ESQUIRE
   In re NOTLEY ROAD
                         : Case No.: H-159
                                                                        6 Lerch, Early & Brewer
                                                                       7 7600 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 700
                                                                       8 Bethesda, MD 20814
                                                                        10 ON BEHALF OF WITNESS:
10
                                                                        11 MICHELE ROSENFELD, ESQUIRE
12
                                                                        12 The Law Office of Michele Rosenfeld, LLC
                        HEARING
13
      BEFORE HEARING EXAMINER KHANDIKILE SOKONI
                                                                           1 Research Court, Suite 450
                  Rockville, Maryland
                                                                        14 Rockville, MD 20850
15
              Thursday, November 06, 2025
                                                                        15 (301) 204-0913
16
                       9:33 a.m.
17
                                                                        17 HEARING EXAMINER:
                                                                        18 KHANDIKILE SOKONI
19
                                                                        19 Montgomery County Office of Zoning and
20
                                                                        20 Administrative Hearings
                                                                        21 County Office Building
22 Job No: 607808
                                                                        22 100 Maryland Ave, Room 200
                                                                        23 Rockville, MD 20850
23 Pages: 1 - 335
24 Recorded by: Jamie Ogihara, CER
                                                                        24 (240) 777-6660
25 Transcribed by: Deborah S. Anderson, CET-998
   Hearing, held mixed-remote:
                                                                                    APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
                                                                       3 ALSO APPEARING:
                                                                        4 Candace Anderson, Community Member, via Zoom
                                                                       5 Laura Boerum, Community Member, via Zoom
  Montgomery County Office of Zoning and
                                                                       6 Alison Canning, Community Member, via Zoom
   Administrative Hearings
                                                                       7 Francis Choi, Community Member, via Zoom
   County Office Building
                                                                           Patrick Corey, Community Member
  100 Maryland Ave, Room 200
                                                                        9 Toni Deboeck, Community Member, via Zoom
10 Rockville, MD 20850
                                                                        10 Christina Faglin, Community Member, via Zoom
                                                                        11 Lisa Edwards, Community Member, via Zoom
12
                                                                        12 Wendy Fleit, Community Member
13
                                                                        13 Ed French, Community Member
                                                                        14 Greg Golden, Community Member, via Zoom
15 Pursuant to agreement, before Jamie Ogihara,
                                                                        15 Debra Gonski, Community Member
16 Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland.
                                                                        16 Austin Holloway-Jones, Community Member, via Zoom
17
                                                                        17 Stephanie Helsing, President, Greater Silver Spring
                                                                                Chamber of Commerce, via Zoom
19
                                                                        19 Destiny Igiebor, Community Member, via Zoom
20
                                                                        20 Logan B. Kelso, PE, Witness
                                                                        21 Ivonne Lindey, Community Member, via Zoom
22
                                                                        22 Ayana Machen, Community Member, via Zoom
                                                                        23 Oscar Navarrete, Community Member, via Zoom
24
                                                                        24 Sylviane Nguyen, Community Member, via Zoom
25
                                                                        25 Keith Nusbaum, Pro Se Opposition
```

_			overnoer 6, 2023
1	A D D E A D A N C E C (Contid	5	7
[A P P E A R A N C E S (Cont'd)		1 EXHIBITS
2	Heidi Otradovec, Community Member, via Zoom		2 (Retained by the Hearing Examiner)
3	Regina Ottaviani, Community Member, via Zoo	m	3 APPLICANT'S PAGE
4	Dung Phan, Community Member, via Zoom		4 Exhibit 01 through 37 and 39 through 72 54
5	Hong Phan, Community Member, via Zoom		5 Exhibit 48 Circulation Plan for Traffic 254
6	Gayle Rankine, Community Member, via Zoom		6 Exhibit 70-B Amendment to Floating Zone Plan 116
7	Reena Shah, Community Member, via Zoom		7 Exhibit 73 Email 11/3/25 Hearing Examiner to
8	Kyle Smiddie, Community Member		8 Parties 55
9	Joshua 'Josh' Sloan, Witness		9 Exhibit 74 Full-Size Aerial View w/ Boundary 138
10	Ernesto Torres, Community Member, via Zoom		10 Exhibit 75 Full-Size Height Comparison 149
11	James Touhey, Community Member		11 Exhibit 76 Prerequisite Analysis 208
12	Danielle Turnipseed, Community Member, via	Zoom	12 Exhibit 77 Full-Size Green Cover Exhibit 237
13	Katie Wagner, Witness		13 Exhibit 78 Illustration of Canopy Coverage 313
14	Natalie Walker, Community Member		14
15	Dan Wilhelm, President, Greater Colesville	Citizens	15
16	Association		16
17			17
18			18
19			19
20			20
21			21
22			22
23			23
24			24
25			25
		6	8
1	CONTENTS		1 PROCEEDINGS
2		PAGE	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Good morning,
3	Opening Statements		3 everybody. This is application for Local Map
4	By Ms. Rogers (Notley Assemblage	84	4 Amendment case number H-159. The Applicant is
5	By Ms. Rosenfeld (GCCA)	89	5 Notley Assemblage, LLC.
6	By Mr. Nusbaum (Party of Record)	93	6 I just want to do a check with the Zoom.
7	Applicant's Witnesses:		
8	JOSHUA SLOAN		7 Are we good? Do we have folks on Zoom? We have a
9	Direct Examination (Rogers)	104	8 sound check, and everything's working? Thank you
10	Cross-Examination (Nusbaum)	253	9 very much.
11	Cross-Examination (Rosenfeld)	274	10 All right. I will open the hearing now.
12	Redirect Examination (Rogers)	308	11 This is a public hearing of the application in LMA
13	Cross-Examination (Walker)	319	12 case number H-159.
14	Cross-Examination (Corey)	320	The application is amended for a Local
15	Further Redirect Examination (Rogers)	321	14 Map Amendment submitted by the Applicant, Notley
16	Further Direct Examination (Rogers)	328	15 Assemblage, LLC. The Local Map Amendment proposes
17			16 to change the zoning of the subject property from
18			17 the present classification of R-2 of the R-200
19			18 zone to CRNF 1.0, C-0.0, R-1.0 with a height of 50
20			19 feet, H-50.
21			20 The property that is the subject of this
			21 application is part of Lot 1, part of Lot 2, and
22			199 Lote 2 to 5 in the Drice subdivision of recorded
23			22 Lots 3 to 5 in the Price subdivision, as recorded
23 24			23 among the Montgomery County records, at Plot 1767
23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

11 1 13719, 13727, and 13733 Notley Road and 13704 New HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. 1 2 Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. 2 MS. GIRARD: Erin Girard, also with 3 The Applicant has presented a Floating Zone Plan Lerch, Early, on behalf of the Applicant. 4 issued on April 17th, 2025, bearing professional MS. ROSENFELD: Good morning. Michele 5 cell number 3716 of Joshua C. Sloan. Rosenfeld with the Law Office of Michele By way of introduction, my name is Rosenfeld, LLC, here on behalf of Greater 7 Khandikile Sokoni, S-O-K-O-N-I. And I'm the Colesville Citizens Association; Dan Wilhelm, 8 Hearing Examiner in this case, which means that I president; and Kyle Notley, an a abutting property 9 will hear the testimony, review the evidence, and owner. 10 10 render a report and recommendation for submission HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. 11 to the District Council on the criteria set forth Could I also identify any other parties 11 12 for Local Map Amendments in Article 59, Section 12 of record who may be present either on Zoom -- or 13 7.2.1. The ultimate decision is to be made by the 13 I'll start with the room. Any party of record 14 District Council. 14 present? My report and recommendation will be 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Keith Nusbaum. 16 issue -- is supposed to be issued within 45 days THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. Do we 16 17 after the record closes. We'll get to this a 17 need any spellings? Do you need a spelling? 18 little bit later. In terms of scheduling, I may 18 Okay. Anyone else in the room a party 19 be asking for an extension. 19 of record? Any party of record, or aggrieved party, 20 MR. PHAN: I would like to testify. I'm 21 may, within ten days after I issue a report and 21 sorry. I'd -- I would like to testify. Is that 22 recommendation to the District Council, file a 22 considered to be a party of record? 23 written request with the District Council to 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You -- are you 24 present oral argument. Any party who submits a 24 planning to testify? 25 request for oral argument must send a copy of the 25 MR. PHAN: Yes. 12 10 1 request to all parties of record. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. And did 1 And that's a good segue to just remind you present any request to be a party of record or 2 3 everybody we have a sign-in sheet at the entrance. 3 not? 4 Please clearly print your name, your contact 4 MR. PHAN: Yes. I did send email, like, 5 information, and indicate if you're accepting some time ago. That's several weeks ago. 6 service by email. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could 6 That will be our primary form of you just give us your name, please? 8 communicating with you. If you prefer to not MR. PHAN: Yes. My first name, D-, as 9 receive anything by email, please indicate how we 9 in David, U-N-G, and last name P-H-A-N, and from 10 are to reach you. 10 13734 Notley Road. Given the amount of time estimated by HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. And I 12 the parties for presentation of the cases, both 12 believe you would have just sent in a community 13 the case in chief and the case in opposition, I 13 letter, not necessarily a request to be a party of 14 anticipate that we'll need more than one day of 14 record? 15 hearing. And like I stated earlier, for reasons 15 MR. PHAN: I did in my email. I 16 I'd already communicated by email, I will be 16 actually specifically said I'd like to be a party 17 asking for -- if we have to postpone to a second 17 of record. 18 date, then I'll be asking for an extension on the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank 19 45 days. 19 you very much. 20 At this point, I would like to identify 20 MR. PHAN: Thank you. 21 the parties, the Applicant, and in -- and the 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, sir? 22 Opposition. 22 MR. SMIDDIE: I may just want to clarify 23 for you, Hearing Examiner, that some community 23 MS. ROGERS: Good morning. For the 24 record, Elizabeth Rogers with the law firm of 24 members may be confused about a party of record 25 Lerch, Early & Brewer, representing the Applicant. 25 for this hearing and a party of record for the

Conducted on November 6, 2025 13 15 Planning Board. Commerce. 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you MR. SMIDDIE: I know that that confuses kindly spell your last name? me, and I just want to clarify --4 MS. HELSING: Yes, H-E-L-S-I-N-G. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank --HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I MR. SMIDDIE: -- that for you. 6 6 saw --HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. 7 MS. EDWARDS: Lisa Edwards. MR. SMIDDIE: But then I know there are HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: One second, 8 please. Do we have -- anyone signing into Zoom many people who want to testify today who are 10 would have registered as they signed in; is that 10 online. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank --11 correct? Was there a registration process as 11 12 people signed in? Do you have a tabulation? No. 12 MR. SMIDDIE: Just to tell you that. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very Okay. The next person on Zoom, please. MS. EAGLIN: Christina Eaglin, 14 much. 14 15 MR. SMIDDIE: You're welcome. 15 E-A-G-L-I-N. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we will --HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 16 16 17 if you're planning to testify, just let me know 17 you kindly say the first name again? 18 you're planning to testify in the -- I just want MS. EAGLIN: Christina, 19 to clarify --19 C-H-R-I-S-T-I-N-A, Eaglin, E-A-G-L-I-N. And good 20 Thank you so much for that. 20 morning. 21 MR. SMIDDIE: You're welcome. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You're welcome HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I just want to 22 -- good morning. 23 make it clear to everyone present, the OZAH MS. CANNING: Alison Canning, 24 hearing is a separate -- it's a standalone and 24 A-L-I-S-O-N, last name Canning, C-A-N-N-I-N-G. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Slower on the 25 separate hearing from whatever happened at the 14 16 1 Planning Board, so I just want to make sure that 1 last name, please. everyone's clear on that. MS. CANNING: Sure. C-A-N-N-I-N-G. 2 2 If you're planning to testify -- so, 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Also planning Mr. Phan, you are planning to testify on Zoom? 4 to testify? 5 5 MR. PHAN: Yes. Yes, I am. MS. CANNING: Yes, please. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. MR. HOLLOWAY-JONES: Austin 6 6 Do we have anyone else? Any --Holloway-Jones. MS. OTTAVIANI: Yes. I'm willing to 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 9 you kindly say that again? testify. MR. HOLLOWAY-JONES: Austin 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name, 10 11 Holloway-Jones. 11 please? MS. OTTAVIANI: Regina, R-E-G-I-N-A, HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you 12 13 Ottaviani, O-T-T-A-V-I-A-N-I. 13 planning to testify? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Anyone else? 14 MR. HOLLOWAY-JONES: Yes.

- 15 MS. HELSING: I'd also -- oh. 16 MR. DEBOECK: I'm willing to testify.
- HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And please,
- 18 anyone on Zoom, when you speak, please turn on
- 19 your camera and unmute yourself.
- MR. DEBOECK: All right. 20
- 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So sorry for
- 22 the interruption.
- 23 The next person on Zoom?
- 24 MS. HELSING: Stephanie Helsing on
- 25 behalf of the Greater Silver Spring Chamber of

- 15 MS. BOERUM: Laura Boerum.
- 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Last name,
- 17 please spell.
- MS. BOERUM: Yes. B-, as in boy,
- 19 O-E-R-U-M, as in Mary.
- HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Planning to 20
- 21 testify?
- MS. BOERUM: Yes, please. 22
- 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.
- 24 MS. EDWARDS: Lisa Edwards, L-I-S-A
- 25 E-D-W-A-R-D-S, on behalf of Lisa and Chris Edwards

Conducted on N	0 vemoer 0, 2023
17	19
and family, abutting property owner.	across from the posed proposed site.
MR. DEBOECK: Toni Deboeck. Sorry.	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: This is
3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Who	MS. MACHEN: And I would
4 was after Lisa Edwards, who was next?	4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: This is
5 MR. DEBOECK: Toni Deboeck. Last name	5 MS. MACHEN: And I would like to
6 is D-E-B-O-E-C-K.	6 testify.
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.
8 MR. DEBOECK: We live at 1	8 This is a question for people on Zoom:
9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Kindly	9 So far, starting with David Phan all the way to
10 spell the last name again slowly.	10 Faye (phonetic) gave me the address. But between
MR. DEBOECK: Certainly. D-E-B-O-E-C-K.	11 David Phan and Heidi, did everyone receive a
12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	12 notice in the mail? That would indicate to me we
MR. DEBOECK: We live at 13811 Shannon	13 already have your address on record?
14 Drive, so we're abutting property owner, and I'm	14 It let's put it this way.: If you
15 planning to testify.	15 if I'm going to assume each of you so far
MS. SHAH: Reena Shah. I'm planning to	16 received a notice in the mail. And if
17 testify as well, and I live on I'm also	17 MS. MACHEN: Yes.
18 abutting property owner.	18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: any of you
19 MS. OTRADOVEC: Heidi Otradovec. I am a	19 did not, just let me know.
20 property owner across the street from the	20 MS. MACHEN: I did not.
21 property proposed property.	MS. EDWARDS: Yes, I did not.
22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. One	22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. So
23 second. Could you just give me a moment, please?	23 MS. MACHEN: I didn't.
24 MS. OTRADOVEC: Sure.	24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. So the
25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So just so I'm	25 people who said that
18	20
1 clear, after Toni D-E-B-O-E-C-K, the abutting	1 MR. WILHELM: Let's start again.
2 property owner, who was next?	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Just
3 MS. SHAH: Reena, R-E-E-N-A, last name	3 the people
4 Shah, S-H-A-H. And I'm also a abutting property	4 MS. EDWARDS: Sorry.
5 owner.	5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: who said
6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Next one.	6 you did not, can I have an address, please? So
7 MS. OTRADOVEC: I'm sorry. I was next.	7 MS. EDWARDS: Can you clarify what that
8 Heidi Otradovec. Last name is O-T-R-A-D-, as in	8 notice is when you say, received the notice
9 David, O-V-, as in Victor	9 about
10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So what I'm
11 you start the last name again? Heidi?	11 trying to get at is whether we have your address
MS. OTRADOVEC: Sure. Otradovec, O-T-,	12 on record already. Then I don't need to waste
13 as in Tom, R-A-D-, as in David, O-V-, as in	13 time getting your address again, so
14 Victor, E-C, as in cat. I plan to testify as	MS. EDWARDS: Do you want to call out
15 well, property owner across the street on Notley	15 the individual names and ask us to give you our
16 Road.	16 addresses so that it will be faster?
17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And just	MS. NGUYEN: I'm so sorry for
18 it's a late request, but going can anyone	18 interrupting. Excuse me. Before we get to the
19 who once you give your name, kindly also	19 address, I also would like to testify. I received
20 provide your address, you know, where you're	20 a notice in the mail, and I'm also a residence
21 located relative to the property, if you're	21 owner living off 330
22 planning to testify.	22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.
23 MS. MACHEN: Ayana Machen. That's	23 MS. NGUYEN: Flannery Lane,
24 A-Y-A-N-A, last name M-A-C-H-E-N. I live at 13601	24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And

Conducted on N	November 6, 2025
21	23
1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. And the	1 If we could pause for a moment? And
2 person speaking is?	2 before Sylviane so the last person I have
3 MS. NGUYEN: Sylviane, S-Y-L-V-, as in	3 recorded is Sylviane N-G-U-Y-E-N at 30 Flannery
4 Victor, I-A-N-E, and my last name is Nguyen,	4 Lane. The people who have given names so far, is
5 spelled N-G-U-Y-E-N. I'm also a residence owner,	5 there anyone whose address we don't have?
6 live off 330 Flannery Lane, Silver Spring,	6 So the way I'll put it to you is, if you
7 Maryland 20904, a community at the intersection of	7 received a notice from OZAH, I don't need to
8 New Hampshire and Notley Road.	8 capture your address again because I could just go
9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. And	9 look at the we have the mailing list in the
10 could you just go over the spelling of your first	10 record. But if you're appearing, and you did not
11 name again?	11 get anything from OZAH, I need an address so we
MS. NGUYEN: Sure. Spelled S-Y-L-V-, as	12 know how to reach you.
13 in Victor, I-A-N-E, and my last name is Nguyen,	13 MS. OTTAVIANI: This is Regina
14 N-G-U	14 Ottaviani. I don't think I got a notice.
15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I got	15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could I
16 the	16 please
17 MS. NGUYEN: Y-E-N.	17 MS. OTTAVIANI: So
18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I got the last	18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: have an
19 name and the address. Thank you.	19 address?
20 MS. NGUYEN: Thank you.	20 MS. OTTAVIANI: Sure. 606 Notley Road,
21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I just want to	21 directly across from the proposed development.
22 go back to Faye. I think the speaker before that	22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I
	23 have
23 was Faye, right?	
MR. SMIDDIE: It was Ayana.	MS. CANNING: This is Alison Canning.
25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Ayana?	25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Hang on a
1 MR. SMIDDIE: A-Y-A-N-A. Sorry, Hearing	1 second, I have a request for in the room,
1	
Officer. Ayana Machen.HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Ayana.	
•	
4 MS. MACHEN: Ayana, yes.	For everyone on Zoom, could you kindly
5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Did	5 send an email to OZAH at montgomerycountymd I
6 MS. MACHEN: A-Y-A-N-A.	6 believe it's gov. I receive emails, but I should
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Did I just	7 actually know my email address. One second. Yes.
8 want to make sure I captured an do I have an	8 There we go.
9 address? Did you receive something from OZAH in	9 So if you would kindly send an email to
10 the mail?	10 O-Z-A-H@montgomerycountymd.gov so that if you're
MS. MACHEN: No, I did not.	11 accepting service by email, then we will have your
12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could I	12 email address. And we can send notices to you by
13 kindly Ayana, your last name again?	13 email. And if we don't get an email from you,
14 MS. MACHEN: It's Machen, M-A-C-H-E-N.	14 then the only way we'll reach you is by snail
15 And the address is 13601 Petwyn, which is P-, as	15 mail.
16 in Paul, E-T-, as in tree, W-Y-N, as in Nancy.	Okay. Let's pick up where we left
17 Excuse me, Petwyn.	17 on Zoom. After Sylviane, who's next on Zoom
18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Petwyn?	18 wishing to testify?
19 MS. MACHEN: Yes, Court.	19 MS. OTTAVIANI: My name is
20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Silver	20 MS. CANNING: Alison Canning.
21 Spring?	21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry?
MS. MACHEN: Silver Spring, 20904,	22 MS. CANNING: I don't believe I got a
23 directly across from the proposed site.	23 notice. My name's Alison Canning. I don't
24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very	24 believe I've got a notice. I wanted to provide my
25 much.	25 address.
	·

Conducted on November 6, 2025

1 LIEADING EVAMINED SOVONIL Ology Alicon	27
1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Alison	1 MS. SHAH: address is at 3 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. A
 Canning, your address, please. MS. CANNING: 13713 Sherwood Forest 	•
	3 little slower. I'm writing your name, please. MS SHAH, Oley, Pages Sleb, Typ.
	4 MS. SHAH: Okay. Reena Shah. I've
5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 13713?	5 already said I was
6 MS. CANNING: Yes.	6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Oh, yes, yes,
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And the	7 yes. Sorry. I had you already, yes.
8 Street? Street, please.	8 MS. SHAH: Yes. So our address is 328
9 MS. CANNING: Sherwood Forest Drive.	9 Greenspring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904.
10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Silver Spring,	10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you
11 Maryland?	11 please spell your street address your street?
MS. CANNING: Yes, 20904, at the corner	MS. SHAH: G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G, all
13 of Notley and Sherwood Forest.	13 one word, and we are directly behind the proposed
14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very	14 site.
15 much.	15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank
And of course, for everyone, if you send	16 you very much.
17 us an email, then we'll just we'll email you	Next on Zoom.
18 everything.	MR. HOLLOWAY-JONES: I'd like to give my
19 Next on Zoom.	19 address, Austin Holloway-Jones.
20 MS. EDWARDS: This is Lisa Edwards.	20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh.
21 I'm I believe we got a notice, but I just want	21 MR. HOLLOWAY-JONES: My address is 13815
22 it to be of record anyway to make sure that we did	22 Notley Road.
23 receive it. I know we've gotten different things,	23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank
24 so our address is 329 Greenspring Lane.	24 you.
25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. 329	25 MS. KIBALAMA: To testify, Destiny.
26	28
1 MS. EDWARDS: Greenspring. It's one	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in
2 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring,	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane,
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring,Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard,	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904.
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring,Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard,	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904.
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please?
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y.
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much.
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete,
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor,
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the 	 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first name again, please? MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E MR. NAVARRETE: 13432
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. 	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. 	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E?
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could 	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas.
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could you just pause one second? I just want to make	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.
 word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could you just pause one second? I just want to make sure that Staff can help me track these. (Pause in proceedings.) 	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRETE: I live at 13432 Bregman
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could you just pause one second? I just want to make yure that Staff can help me track these. (Pause in proceedings.) HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRETE: I live at 13432 Bregman 20 Road in the Morningside community, abutting the 21 proposed development.
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could you just pause one second? I just want to make sure that Staff can help me track these. (Pause in proceedings.) HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRETE: I live at 13432 Bregman 20 Road in the Morningside community, abutting the 21 proposed development. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could you just pause one second? I just want to make you just pause in proceedings.) HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. You may proceed. MS. SHAH: My name is Reena Shah, and	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRETE: I live at 13432 Bregman 20 Road in the Morningside community, abutting the 21 proposed development. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank 23 you.
word, G-R-E-E-N-S-P-R-I-N-G Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. And when we leave our backyard, we are on the subject property. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very much. Anyone else who was previously anyone before Sylviane who did not who thinks that I need to have their mailing address? MS. BOERUM: I'm going to give mine, if I may, please. Laura Boerum. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. MS. BOERUM: 601 Orchard, O-R-C-H-A-R-D, Way, W-A-Y. We are catty-cornered to the property. MS. SHAH: And I'll give mine as well. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Could you just pause one second? I just want to make sure that Staff can help me track these. (Pause in proceedings.) HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	1 Last name is K-I-B-, as in boy, A-L-A-M-, as in 2 Mary, A. Address is 313 Greenspring Lane, 3 G-R-E-E-N-spring Lane, Silver Spring, Maryland 4 20904. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And your first 6 name again, please? 7 MS. KIBALAMA: Destiny, D-E-S-T-I-N-Y. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 9 much. 10 MR. NAVARRETE: Oscar Navarrete, 11 O-S-C-A-R, last name N-A-V-, as in Victor, 12 A-R-R-E-T-E. I live at 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E 14 MR. NAVARRETE: 13432 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Was that E-T-E 16 or E-P-E? 17 MR. NAVARRETE: E-T-E, T, as in Thomas. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 19 MR. NAVARRETE: I live at 13432 Bregman 20 Road in the Morningside community, abutting the 21 proposed development. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank

Conducted on November 6, 2025

Conducted on N	ovember 6, 2023
29	31
1 please spell your names?	1 MS. PHAN: And an N.
2 MR. TORRES: E-R-N-E-S-T-O, last name	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. And
3 T-, as in Tom, O-R-R-E-S.	3 your address, please?
4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Address,	4 MS. PHAN: 13734 Notley Road, right
5 please?	5 directly from the development sites.
6 MR. TORRES: I live at 13701 New	6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.
7 Hampshire Avenue, at the corner of New Notley	7 MS. PHAN: Thank you.
8 and New Hampshire.	8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And just so
9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank	9 I'm clear, people identifying themselves wish to
10 you very much.	10 speak? So you wish to testify, right?
11 MS. ANDERSON: Hi. My name's Candace	11 MR. NAVARRETE: Yes.
12 Anderson. I just sent an email. But for	12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Because
13 announcing myself here, I'd like to testify. I	13 I don't need to record everybody on Zoom. I just
14 can spell my name for you if you're ready.15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Candace	14 need to record you if you wish to testify.
	Next?
16 Anderson? Uh-huh.	MR. HOLLOWAY-JONES: Yes, I do.
17 MS. ANDERSON: Yes. C-A-N-D-, as in	17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Name,
18 dog, A-C-E, last name A-N-D-E-R-S-O-N.	18 please? Next?
19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Address,	Okay. Hearing no more
20 please.	20 MS. TURNIPSEED: I wish to testify.
21 MS. ANDERSON: 13512 Sherwood Forest	21 Excuse me.
22 Drive, silver Spring 20904.	22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Do and you
23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	23 your name, please?
24 MS. PHAN: Yeah. My name is Hong Phan,	24 MS. TURNIPSEED: My name is Danielle
25 H-O-N-G and P-H-A-N.	25 Turnipseed.
30	32
1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Was	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Can you kindly
2 that H-O-M, M for Mary?	2 spell your last name?
3 MS. PHAN: No, Hong, spelled H-O-N-,	3 MS. TURNIPSEED: Yes.
4 like Nancy	4 T-U-R-N-I-P-S-E-E-D.
5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh.	5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Address,
6 MS. PHAN: G, like George, and last	6 please?
7 name is Phan, P-, like Peter, H-, Henry, A- to	MS. TURNIPSEED: 14306 Royal Forest
8 Apple	8 Lane.
9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Is that
10 Address, please.	10 also zip code 20904?
Did we lose people on Zoom?	11 Ms. TURNIPSEED: Yes. I'm right in
12 (Pause in proceedings.)	12 Royal Forest is right off of Notley.
13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Hi, Nana. Can	13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very
14 we please have IT? The Zoom has the system has	14 much.
15 crashed. Can we have IT here, please?	15 Next on Zoom? Anyone else on Zoom
1	16 wishing to testify?
17 10:03 a.m.)	Okay. And a reminder, if anyone on
18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Apologies for	MR. WILHELM: Someone's trying to speak.
19 that for the zoom interruption. So I believe	19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Oh, someone's
20 we were with Hong?	20 trying to speak?
MS. PHAN: Yes.	MS. LINDLEY: Ivonne, I-V-O-N-N-E. Last
22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	22 name is Lindley, L-I-N-D-L-E-Y.
MS. PHAN: You got my name?	23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Address,
24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Could	24 please?
25 you please just I believe P-H-A	MS. LINDLEY: 13918 Notley Road, Silver

	November 6, 2025	
33 1. Spring 20004	1 I should also mention we disable the	35
1 Spring, 20904.		
2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	2 Zoom chat function, so if you need to say	
MS. LINDLEY: Thank you.	3 something, you have to raise your hand. If you	
4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Next on Zoom?	4 feel like we haven't you know, it doesn't	
Okay. I believe that's the end of the	5 appear like anyone has because there's a lot	
6 list of people on Zoom Wishing to testify? One	6 going on, in case we miss you, then please feel	
7 more? There's a raised hand?	7 free to just unmute yourself and speak.	
8 MR. CHOI: Hi. I would like to testify,	8 You will not if you're on Zoom,	
9 too, as well, Francis Choi.	9 you'll not be able to share your screen. So if	
10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you	10 there's anything you need to refer to, any of the	
11 please spell your	11 exhibits that are in the record, just let us know.	
12 MR. CHOI: F	12 And we'll have a Zoom operator pull that up for	
13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you	13 us.	
14 kindly spell your names?	Okay. With that aside, I should also	
MR. CHOI: F-R-A-N-C-I-S C-H-O-I. And I	15 mention the nature of the proceeding. This is an	
16 live on 13464 Bregman Road, Silver Spring,	16 administrative proceeding, which means, in	
17 Maryland.	17 contrast with a court proceeding, it's more	
18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	18 informal. But we do have some formalities.	
19 Anyone else on Zoom wishing to testify?	19 As far as procedure goes, all testimony	
20 Okay. Thank you very much. A reminder	20 is under oath. You will be asked you could be	
21 to the folks on Zoom: If you could also send us	21 asked questions about your testimony that's	
22 an email to OZAH, O-Z-A-H@montgomerycountymd.gov?	22 cross-examination and the order of things will	
23 That way, we would, if you that way, we would	23 be the Applicant well, both parties, the	
24 have your email address and can send you notices	24 Applicant and the Opposing Party, can give	
25 by email.	25 Opposing Counsel can give opening statements, and	
34		36
1 And I feel like skipping over the Zoom	1 then the Applicant goes first with presentation of	
2 details here because I think we've tested out the	2 their case in chief.	
3 Zoom, so I'll skip over the fact that when you	Then we have Opposing Parties who	
4 want to speak, you have to unless you're	4 proceed with their factual case in opposition.	
5 speaking, please mute yourself. Otherwise, if	5 Then we have any other interested persons that	
6 we you cannot testify unless you have your	6 wish to present fact or testimony will have an	
7 camera on.	7 opportunity to you can cross well, we'll get	
8 And I should have mentioned at the	8 to that. I think we'll address that as we go.	
9 outset that Zoom our Zoom function runs best on	9 There is an opportunity for	
10 Safari on sorry. One second. It our Zoom	10 cross-examination. We have a lot of participation	
11 interacts best with Microsoft Edge or Chrome.	11 here, so I'll have to keep things under control.	
12 We're not marketing for them, but we just happen	12 We do have counsel for at least one two	
13 to know that those are the platforms that have	13 Opposition parties.	
14 optimal performance with Zoom.	14 Cross-examination is limited to	
For the this is a hybrid hearing, of	15 testimony, so just remember when it's when you	
16 course, so we have people both in the hearing room	16 have an opportunity for cross-examination, that's	
17 physically as well as on Zoom. We ask that you	17 not your opportunity to give your case in chief.	
18 not interrupt while someone else is speaking.	18 It's just the opportunity to ask questions about	
This proceeding is being transcribed	19 the testimony you just heard. Then the parties	
20 word-for-word by a court reporter, and when we	20 will have an opportunity for closing statements.	
21 have cross-talk, it's very difficult for the	21 I should mention that you know, I did	
22 cross for the court reporter to track what	22 say it's an administrative proceeding. Approval	
22	22 - 6411:	

23 of the application is based on criteria that are

25 standards are set out in Section 59-7.3.1, so, you

24 set forth in the zoning ordinance. The use

23 people are saying. The only time you can

24 interrupt when someone else is speaking is if

25 you're raising a legal objection to testimony.

Conducted on November 6, 2025			
37	39		
1 know, all testimony will address those criteria.	1 MS. RANKINE: Yes, ma'am.		
2 The Hearing Examiner has the authority	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. And I		
3 to exclude any testimony that is irrelevant,	3 mentioned to everyone on Zoom earlier, if you		
4 repetitive, or unreliable. I do want to jump here	4 could send an email if you want to receive		
5 to some preliminary matters that we need to	5 things via email, you could also email us at OZAH,		
6 dispose of.	6 O-Z-A-H, ozah@montgomerycountymd.gov. That way		
7 I know that there were two objections	7 if you don't send us an email, you're going to get		
8 that were submitted in writing. There were two	8 any notices by snail mail.		
9 objections that I had indicated will be argued	9 Back to the room, I saw a show of hands.		
10 this morning before we delve into the substance of	10 Three people? One, two, three, four. If you		
11 the hearing.	11 could kindly just if you could each just step		
Before we get to those objections and	12 forward to the mike, press the button, give me		
13 these are the objections that were presented by	13 your name, address, and just let me know?		
14 Elizabeth Rogers, attorney for the Applicant	14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you take mine		
15 are there any other preliminary matters that we	15 online real quick while you're while you		
16 need to address? I'll get to those two objections	16 waiting for them to come up?		
17 in a moment, but I just want to make sure I am	17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: If you could		
18 clear on any other preliminaries. If anyone has	18 please pause on Zoom? I'm now dealing with people		
19 any preliminary issue they would like us to	19 in the room.		
20 address before we jump into the case, this is your	20 Yes, sir?		
21 opportunity.	21 MR. COREY: Patrick Corey, 41 Shaw		
	22 Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904.		
Yes, Ms			
MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. I have a couple of	23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And you wish		
24 procedural questions. Are the I believe that	24 to testify?		
25 there are people in the room, not on Zoom, who	25 MR. COREY: Yes.		
38	40		
1 also intend to testify.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes.		
1 also intend to testify. 2 Do you record that now? Or does that	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A		
1 also intend to testify. 2 Do you record that now? Or does that 3 happen when you take community testimony?	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E,		
1 also intend to testify. 2 Do you record that now? Or does that 3 happen when you take community testimony? 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also		
1 also intend to testify. 2 Do you record that now? Or does that 3 happen when you take community testimony? 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to 5 that when we take community testimony. I believe	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association,		
1 also intend to testify. 2 Do you record that now? Or does that 3 happen when you take community testimony? 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to 5 that when we take community testimony. I believe 6 everyone if there are people in you're	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y,		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify?	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Okay. Could	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Okay. Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe?		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Okay. Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct?		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Nokay. Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. ROUGH TIMES TO BE AND	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Okay. Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct?		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Nokay. Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. ROUGH TIMES TO BE AND	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Nokay. Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. ROUGH This is Gayle Rankine. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct.		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name MS. RANKINE: Gayle Rankine.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. 17 Back to the folks on Zoom. Was there		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? NS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name fon Zoom, your name again? Gayle? MS. RANKINE: Gayle Rankine. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. 17 Back to the folks on Zoom. Was there 18 I see a raised hand. You may unmute yourself.		
1 also intend to testify. 2 Do you record that now? Or does that 3 happen when you take community testimony? 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to 5 that when we take community testimony. I believe 6 everyone if there are people in you're 7 right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. 8 And no offense to the people in the 9 room. You're equally important. So maybe by show 10 of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? 11 Okay. Could 12 MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. 13 I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify. 14 This is Gayle Rankine. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name 16 on Zoom, your name again? Gayle? 17 MS. RANKINE: Gayle Rankine. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 19 kindly spell your last name?	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. 17 Back to the folks on Zoom. Was there 18 I see a raised hand. You may unmute yourself. 19 MR. GOLDEN: Yes. I finally got on the		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Next Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name fon Zoom, your name again? Gayle? MS. RANKINE: Gayle Rankine. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you sindly spell your last name? MS. RANKINE: R-A-N-K-I-N-E.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. 17 Back to the folks on Zoom. Was there 18 I see a raised hand. You may unmute yourself. 19 MR. GOLDEN: Yes. I finally got on the 20 recommended system, so I'd like to testify. I may		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? Next Could MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name fon Zoom, your name again? Gayle? MS. RANKINE: Gayle Rankine. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you shindly spell your last name? MS. RANKINE: R-A-N-K-I-N-E. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Address,	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. 17 Back to the folks on Zoom. Was there 18 I see a raised hand. You may unmute yourself. 19 MR. GOLDEN: Yes. I finally got on the 20 recommended system, so I'd like to testify. I may 21 run out of time, so if you don't see me later		
also intend to testify. Do you record that now? Or does that happen when you take community testimony? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll get to that when we take community testimony. I believe everyone if there are people in you're right. I did I focused on the Zoom people. And no offense to the people in the room. You're equally important. So maybe by show of hands, who in the room wishes to testify? MS. RANKINE: I'm sorry. I'm on Zoom. I couldn't get on earlier. I'd like to testify. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your name fon Zoom, your name again? Gayle? MS. RANKINE: Gayle Rankine. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you kindly spell your last name? MS. RANKINE: R-A-N-K-I-N-E. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Address, Jeplease?	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 2 MS. GONSKI: Debra Gonski, D-E-B-R-A 3 G-O-N-S-K-I, 10 Stonegate, S-T-O-N-E-G-A-T-E, 4 Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20905, and also 5 representing the Stonegate Citizens Association, 6 which did receive a notice in the snail mail. 7 MR. TOUHEY: James Touhey, T-O-U-H-E-Y, 8 13905 Overton Lane, Colesville 20904. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want to clarify. 12 I'm not part the record, so I want you got my 13 information, I believe? 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. 17 Back to the folks on Zoom. Was there 18 I see a raised hand. You may unmute yourself. 19 MR. GOLDEN: Yes. I finally got on the 20 recommended system, so I'd like to testify. I may 21 run out of time, so if you don't see me later 22 but I would like to testify and be on record.		

43 1 -- the order of things is, the Applicant presents record. 2 their case in chief first because, you know, HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your address, that's -- there may be concerns raised that will please? MR. GOLDEN: I'm not sure -- 334 Scott, be addressed in the course of the application. S-C-O-T-T, Drive, Silver Spring, Maryland 20904. Should we then -- I'm comfortable with -- I'm very Thank you. sympathetic to the fact that people take time off HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. from work and that we need to -- I would like to 8 I believe I've recorded -- I have a accommodate that as best as I can. record of everyone who wishes to testify. The Can we agree that it's very likely that 10 only other preliminary issue is on scheduling. 10 community testimony will come at the second Thank you very much for everyone's --11 hearing? It's unlikely that will be at the first 11 12 hearing. That way, if anyone feels that they 12 thanks for bearing with us and for all your, you 13 know, responses regarding a second date, which I 13 don't -- they're not able to sit through the whole 14 believe we may very well need. We landed on 14 of today, then they can be prepared to come and 15 December 2nd at 9:30 a.m. 15 testify on December 2nd. Yes? MS. ROSENFELD: I think that's fair, and 16 16 17 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. A couple of things 17 I think that's a reasonable accommodation. And so 18 on scheduling. First, I'd like to update our --18 perhaps, if the community could speak starting 19 the prehearing submission. We estimated a 19 9:30 on the 2nd, assuming the Applicant has 20 day-and-a-half of time. 20 finished its case, or soon thereafter, as the 21 I don't think we'll need that much time. 21 Applicant is --22 I'm guessing in the neighborhood closer to six HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will 23 hours, just so that you're aware for purposes of 23 confirm that at the conclusion of -- well, I guess 24 scheduling. 24 people will have left, but I believe we -- I think And I also would like to ask if there 25 it's fair to say we can accommodate that community 25 42 1 could be some accommodation of the community members will speak at the outset on the 2nd. 2 members who are here to testify. Many of them MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 2 work. Some of them may not be able to sit through HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's -- I 4 two full days of hearings. will confirm that at the end of today's hearing 5 Is there an opportunity to perhaps allow just because I don't know what's going to happen. 6 some to testify this morning or to set a time But for now, for all present, we'll try our very 7 specific so that people know when they would be best to ensure that community testimony will go 8 heard? I don't know if that's possible, but I'm first at the hearing on December 2nd. 9 putting it out there, given the number of people So we are -- we're not going to send a 10 who wish to be heard in this case. 10 new notice of hearing because the second date has 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That is noted. 11 been announced in a public hearing. We'll Is there any response to that? Any 12 convene, same location in the OZAH -- in the Board 12 13 objection? 13 of Appeals hearing room, where we are today, on MS. ROGERS: We understand, you know, 14 December 2nd at 9:30 a.m. And the link for 15 that people have jobs, and they want to 15 participation by Zoom will be available on the 16 participate. But I think it'd be prejudicial to 16 hearing website, just like you were able to access 17 the Applicant to allow Opposition testimony before 17 it today. 18 even allowed to present a case in chief. 18 Was that it as far as preliminaries? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could we then 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Thank you. I 20 say it's unlikely -- do the Applicants believe you 20 appreciate that. 21 will use up the whole day for your case in chief? 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You're 22 The -- what I'm wondering is, should --22 welcome. 23 MS. ROGERS: Most of it. 23 Before I get into addressing the 24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Most of it. 24 objections, I also just want to address exhibits.

25 So maybe I could -- how about we go with -- it is

25 We have exhibits that have been posted online.

Conducted on iv	(0 veiilloet 0, 2025
45	47
1 Routinely, we put those up, but they're not	1 the report will also testify.
2 officially in the record until they're moved into	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Does
3 the record in the hearing. So I would like to	3 that address your concern?
4 find out if anyone has any objection.	4 MS. ROSENFELD: I'm not sure because it
5 Putting aside I know we have the	5 covers a variety of topics. It covers the design;
6 objections to a prehearing statement that and	6 it covers the zoning and land uses; it covers
7 we'll address that shortly. So aside for the	7 architecture; it covers Master Plan compliance,
8 object from the objections that have already	8 civil engineering. There is there's just the
9 been presented in writing, is there any other	9 zoning ordinance conformance.
10 objection to the inclusion of anything that's on	Perhaps if, as each expert takes the
11 the website now?	11 stand, they can be specific as to which elements
So if you went to the hearing website,	12 of this they should be the ones asked
13 we have a list of exhibits. And I believe, at the	13 cross-examination questions. That would help, but
14 last count, we were at Exhibit 71.	14 it's hard for me to guess which expert would be
15 And there will actually be a late	15 speaking to any piece of this.
16 addition which was not a late addition. There	16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. What I
17 was an email communication I sent out around	17 would do on that is, we were given at least one
18 scheduling and some questions I presented to	18 name. You provided a name of a person who would
19 Ms. Rogers.	19 testify. I will hold ruling on that objection
That email, I see, did not make it into	20 with regard to Exhibit 38.
21 the record online. It was my email of	I would like, then, to move everything
22 November 3rd. I have asked Staff to post that,	22 else into the record. And with regard to Exhibit
23 and that will likely become Exhibit 72.	23 38, during the course of today's testimony, I
So do I have any object yes,	24 would like the Applicants to just let us know who
25 Ms. Rosenfeld?	25 will be who's ascribing to who's the
46	48
1 MS. ROSENFELD: Before I make a formal	author or, you know, who are the authors and
2 objection, I think I'd like a clarification on the	2 exactly who if you could provide the names of
3 record. Exhibit 38, which is the updated Land Use	who will be testifying regarding the contents of
4 Report submitted into the record by the Applicant,	4 the land the revised Land Use Report.
5 it is undated; it is unsigned; there is no author	5 MS. ROGERS: Sure.
6 that is given any attribution for this document.	6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'll hold
7 It covers a broad spectrum of topics,	7 off on I'll wait until we get to the
8 including some that I assume will be addressed by	8 Applicant's case in chief to nail that down. And
9 their experts. And it is unclear to me who I	9 if a recess is needed to address that, let me
10 would cross-examine on this document as a whole,	10 know.
11 so I'd like the record to be clear on that point.	MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. I have no
12 And depending on the response here, I may or may	12 objections to any of the other exhibits.
13 not object to its admission.	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. So
14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you	14 I have one objection to Exhibit 38 on which I'm
15 kindly pull up Exhibit 38? And I'll give an	15 reserving for now until later.
16 opportunity for a response?	Any other objections?
17 MS. ROGERS: Yes. And that's noted.	MR. NUSBAUM: Do you want me to come up
18 That will be presented by Josh Sloan, and he can	18 and speak into the microphone?
19 validate that he's the author and the date on	19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, please.
20 which it was prepared.	MR. NUSBAUM: Thank you, Madam Hearing
21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank	21 Examiner. Keith Nusbaum, party of record. I
22 you.	22 guess there were a couple of things.

I had sent an email regarding several of

24 the exhibits, including Exhibit 47 -- I believe it

25 was 45 -- that are very low-resolution documents

23

MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you very much.

MS. ROGERS: Oh, and who else

25 contributed -- the other people who contributed to

Conducted on November 6, 2025

1 that do not show the same detail that's available, 2 for example, on the MC Atlas Parks and Planning 3 Commission, specifically with respect to the 4 recreation plan. So none of the information is 5 readable as fars the calculations on Fabribit 47. 6 So I have to ask that either those exhibits be 7 re-uploaded with proper resolution or that those 8 be removed from the record. 9 HEARING FXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection— 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Player thooked at it. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI:—if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: Havert looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI:—if that 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but have 20 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but have 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI:—if that 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I flink there was a file size issue, and 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-hub. 5 We were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And tharfs what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-hub. 5 MR. NUSBAUM: And there was one other 22 think there was a file size issue, and 50 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And tharfs what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: While the physical file is as — is 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 15 to confirm that it's exactly the same document— 15 that this exhibits is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: And We only one—and I 18 MR. NUS	49 51			
2 for example, on the MC Atlas Parks and Planning 3 Commission, specifically with respect to the 4 recreation plan. So none of the information is 5 readable as far as the calculations on Exhibit 47. 8 So I have to ask that either those exhibits be 7 re-uploaded with proper resolution or that those 8 be removed from the record. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: PIl give the 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Is not the it's not 14 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: By NUSBAUM: It's a full izze? 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: if' that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: Cor is it 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 17 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I's not the it's not 19 it's it is also of sufficient presolution. The hard 19 firl is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 10 in the large of the record. 10 mention we MUSBAUM: I could look at it it yet. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And- 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 14 we were asked to compress the files to make them 15 more accessible to those online. And thar's what 15 we did. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I's a full ize? 17 Intimit there was a file size issue, and 17 that objection. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll keep 19 in this about the proper of the moto OZAH. 21 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to mote them 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 opies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 27 opies in the self-park and the proper opies in the proper opies in the paper opies in the proper opies in the paper opies in the proper opies in the proper opies in the proper opies in the proper opies in the paper opies in the proper opies in the pr				
3 Commission, specifically with respect to the recreation plan. So none of the information is 5 readable as far as the calculations on Exhibit 47. 6 So I have to ask that either those exhibitis be 7 re-uploaded with proper resolution or that those 8 be removed from the record. 8 be removed from the record. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'ls a full size 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Or is it 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Nature 21 MEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 25 of those exhibits to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Un-hub. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, and one were filed by the Copies, and OZAH has hard copies, and OZA				
4 size map. 5 readable as far as the calculations on Exhibit 47. 6 So I have to ask that either those exhibits be re-equiposed with proper resolution or that those be removed from the record. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: "Il give the Dapplicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection if that 15 helps. 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Class of the second in the		1		
5 readable as far as the calculations on Exhibit 47. 6 So I have to ask that cither those exhibits be 7 re-uploaded with proper resolution or that those 8 be removed from the record. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection - 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: - if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: In spection - 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: - if that 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 4 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 Department of the record. 21 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's a full size? 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's a full is size. 11 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 The we be that the physical file is as is 14 we did. 15 the website that the physical file is as is 16 was do sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 we did and the propertion of the record. 25 think there was an email that you had 25 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline. 26 the record of the record. 27 think there was an email that you had 28 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline. 29 think there was no member to be filed were 30 that in the record. 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none wer				
6 So I have to ask that either those exhibits be removed from the record. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: It's not the – it's not 8 eight-and-a-half-by-eleven? It's a full 13ze? 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 10 Applicant and poportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection – 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: 1 haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — if that 15 helps. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: 1 haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And — if the website that the physical file is as — is 15 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 awailable in the OZAH office, so I'll overrule 17 that objection. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Under the website that the physical file is as — is 16 awailable in the OZAH office, so I'll overrule 17 that objection. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 3 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 18 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Under the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 the website that the physical file is as — is 18 that it was an end of the physical file is as — is 18 that it was an end of the physical file is as — is 18 that it was an end of the physical file is as — is 18 that it was		_		
re-uploaded with proper resolution or that those 8 be removed from the record. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection— 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: Davan't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And— 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Loudl look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 2 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we—an 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one—and I 18 NS. ROGERS: So the only one—and I 19 lanning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 19 la looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 26 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Rousbaum could look 27 Land Rousbaum and the record of the record. 28 Was no material change in the recreation plan. 29 Learning Substitute. As he noted, the quality was 10 learni				
8 be removed from the record. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection — 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 14 and we do make that information available on 15 the website that the physical file is as — is 16 available in the OZAH office, so I'll overrule 17 that objection. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Louid look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 19 we were asked to compress the files to make them 20 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the — 11 MR. NUSBAUM: Planting the control of the record. 21 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have hard 19 learning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one — and 1 learning site? 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll keep 20 that in the record. 1 might have missed it, but I didn't see it thene. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed by the 7 Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 Nove				
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll give the 10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 I'f it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 we were asked to compress the files to make them 27 more accessible to those online. And that's what 38 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. 8 No. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Roger 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 MR. ROGERS: And Mr. Sloan, Iknow the Floating Zone 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Sloan, Iknow the Floating Zone 25 Planning Subsciption. 26 Other Park and Planning site? 27 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Sloan, Iknow the Floating Zone 28 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 29 Change of the paper version and park and 29 Change of the paper version and park and 20 Update the binding elements, which our experts 21 WR. NUSBAUM: Roger 22 MS. ROGERS: And Mr.				
10 Applicant an opportunity to respond. I do want to 1 Il mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROĞIERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 50 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROĞIERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 ASM. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 16 rearrange in the receration plan. 14 The confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 15 MR. NUSBAUM: It's undertaken been 14 Hearing RoKoNI: Sory. Could 10 you say that again? That the 17 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were filed by the 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 16 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 17 ME. ROĞIERS: And we would object to any 22 thing. I believe there was an email that you had 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline. 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 15 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 had I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was afte		· ·		
11 mention we do have the paper version. The hard 12 copy is available for inspection	_			
12 copy is available for inspection 13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: if that 15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And 18 MR. NUSBAUM: 1 could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 27 note for the record. So we would object to those 28 not being included. 29 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 26 MR. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 27 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 28 MR. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 39 As Mr. RUSBAUM: I share that right that the physical file is as is 14 we did in the CZAH office, so I'll overrule 15 that the physical file is as is 16 available in the CZAH office, so I'll overrule 17 that objection. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 29 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll keep 20 that in the record. 21 MR. NUSBAUM: And there was one other 22 thing. Ibelieve thre was an email that you had 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it fr				
13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — if that 15 belps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And — 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 we were asked to compress the files to make them 27 more accessible to those online. And that's what 28 we did. 29 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 20 not for the record. So we would object to hose 29 not being included. 29 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 20 not for the record. So we would object to those 21 the RARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Un-huh, 25 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 26 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 27 note for the record. So we would object to those 28 not being included. 29 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 19 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And — 14 mand we do make that information available on 16 available in the OZAH office, so I'll overrule 17 that objection. 18 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll keep 20 that in the record. 22 thing. I believe there was an email that you had 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline. 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 26 that it was after the exhibits were filed — were 27 we did. 28 I don't see that email on the record. I 29 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed — were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 19 Wednesday, by noon. Appl	= =	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: if that 15 helps.				
15 helps. 16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And— 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 50 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would not for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document— 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: Othe only one—and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sol vas 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe there was an email that you had 26 thing the timeline after was one other 21 lime. NusbauM: And there was one other 22 thing. I believe there was an email that you had 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 3rd. 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed — were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by t				
16 MR. NUSBAUM: I haven't looked at it. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And 18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 mt HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 we were asked to compress the files to make them 27 more accessible to those online. And that's what 28 we did. 29 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 29 opicies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 20 note for the record. So we would object to those 21 not looked at it yet. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 50 1 see were asked to compress the files to make them 26 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Orange and I MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I I Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 11 planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum cou				
17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And 18 MR. NUSBAUM: 1 could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 50 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 thing. I believe there was one other 26 thing. I believe there was one other 22 thing. I believe there was one other 22 thing. I believe there was one other 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 I don't see that email on the record. 2 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And				
18 MR. NUSBAUM: I could look at it and see 19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 that in the record. 21 MR. NUSBAUM: And there was one other 22 thing. I believe there was an email that you had 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 was after the exhibits were filed - were 3 that it was after the exhibits were filed by the 4 hard I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed by the 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 fafet that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3				
19 if it is also of sufficient resolution, but I have 20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 we were asked to compress the files to make them 27 more accessible to those online. And that's what 28 we did. 29 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 29 more accessible to those online. And that's what 20 more accessible to those online. And that's what 21 more accessible to those online. And that's what 22 more accessible to those online. And that's what 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 26 looke it was, the 29th of October to November 27 I don't see that email on the record. I 38 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 fleakRING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 that in the record. 26 the deadline 27 the treatmy from, I 28 that it was, the 29th of October to November 28 that it was, the 29th of Octob				
20 not looked at it yet. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 50 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document— 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one—and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 of those exhibits in the record. 24 MR. ROGERS: And we do also have them 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 26 to exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 27 I don't see that email on the record. I 28 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed—were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 3rd. 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 w		•		
21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 50 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 I don't see that email on the record. I 26 I don't see that email on the record. I 27 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 28 And I would also just object to the fact 29 thing. Ibelieve three was an email taty you had 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that,		_		
22 MS. ROGERS: And we would object to any 23 of those exhibits not being part of the record. 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 we were asked to compress the files to make them 27 more accessible to those online. And that's what 28 more accessible to those online. And that's what 39 more accessible to those online. And that's what 40 MEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 50 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 51 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 52 not being included. 53 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 54 And I would also just object to the fact 55 that it was after the exhibits were filed — were 66 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 77 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 88 was given after the deadline. 99 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the — 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document — 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one — and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 24 thins, the red addine 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 25 that it was after the exhibits were filed — were 26 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 27 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 28 was given after the deadline. 29 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 20 you say that again? That the — 21 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 22 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th	The state of the s			
23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 26 or exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 27 or we were asked to compress the files to make them 28 more accessible to those online. And that's what 39 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I la looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 sent out extending the timeline after the deadline 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 3rd. 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not re	•			
24 We did submit multiple iterations of them to OZAH. 25 I think there was a file size issue, and 50 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 24 for exhibits had already passed, moving it from, I 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 3rd. 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed y- were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 was no material change in the recreation pl		_ ·		
25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 believe it was, the 29th of October to November 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no materi		-		
1 we were asked to compress the files to make them 2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 I don't see that email on the record. I 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 Mr. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone a	<u> </u>			
2 more accessible to those online. And that's what 3 we did. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 2 I don't see that email on the record. I 3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 And I would also just object to the fact 5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH non October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	50	52		
3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	1 we were asked to compress the files to make them	1 3rd.		
3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	2 more accessible to those online. And that's what	2 I don't see that email on the record. I		
5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard 6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 that it was after the exhibits were filed were 6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the exhibite. And then the extension 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to		3 might have missed it, but I didn't see it there.		
6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 26 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 28 was given after the deadline. 29 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3 rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh.	4 And I would also just object to the fact		
7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to				
8 was given after the deadline. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	5 MS. ROGERS: And we do also have hard	5 that it was after the exhibits were filed were		
9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to				
10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the		
11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 Concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension		
12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included.	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline.		
12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could		
14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the		
15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well.	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that		
16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well.	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th,		
17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any		
18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then		
19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 29 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site?	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd.		
20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an		
21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 than they met in this case. 26 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 27 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 28 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an		
22 was no material change in the recreation plan.22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes.23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email		
23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was 26 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email		
24 MS. ROGERS: And Mr. Nusbaum could look 24 concerned about giving everyone an opportunity to	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier		
	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case.		
25 at the hard copy during this proceeding.	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan.	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes.		
25 Set of Juning in the proceeding.	6 copies, and OZAH has hard copies, as you would 7 note for the record. So we would object to those 8 not being included. 9 As Mr. Nusbaum noted, there also have 10 been available for review through Park and 11 Planning's website. As he noted, the quality was 12 legible on that site as well. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able 14 to confirm that it's exactly the same document 15 that this exhibit is identical to what was on the 16 Park and Planning site? 17 MS. ROGERS: So the only one and I 18 looking at Mr. Sloan, I know the Floating Zone 19 Plan is one that we just recently introduced to 20 update the binding elements, which our experts 21 will testify to the changes with that. And there 22 was no material change in the recreation plan. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	6 supposed to be filed, and none were filed by the 7 Applicant at that time. And then the extension 8 was given after the deadline. 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry. Could 10 you say that again? That the 11 MR. NUSBAUM: It's my understanding that 12 the exhibits were due to OZAH on October 29th, 13 Wednesday, by noon. Applicant did not produce any 14 documents to OZAH prior to that date. And then 15 after that, they were given an extension until 16 November 3rd. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So we an 18 extension was not requested. We were giving an 19 extension because and I explained in the email 20 that planning usually, planning meets earlier 21 than they met in this case. 22 MR. NUSBAUM: Yes. 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I was		

	10 (0111001 0, 2020
MR. NUSBAUM: This is just for the	1 well as some specific questions that I had
2 record.	2 presented to the Applicant. And I'm admitting
3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	3 that as Exhibit 73 without objection.
4 MR. NUSBAUM: I understand. But just	4 (Applicant's Exhibit 73, was admitted
5 for the record, so I have it on there, that I	5 into evidence and retained by the Hearing
6 do	6 Examiner.)
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I've noted the
8 MR. NUSBAUM: object to those	8 email extending deadlines. We usually treat
9 exhibits.	9 scheduling stuff like that as administrative, but
10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. The	10 I'm happy to include it. So I will see if I can
11 comment is noted. I think it's overruled. I	11 locate that, and I'll address that at the same
12 rule	12 later on in the proceeding.
13 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. That's fine.	13 MR. NUSBAUM: Thank you.
14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Everything	14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.
15 that came in we try very hard to make	So the I'll go back now to the two
16 accommodations for everyone to get everything in.	16 objections that were presented in writing. There
17 MR. NUSBAUM: I completely understand.	17 were I will give parties a very brief do
18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So	18 Counsel do you need an opportunity to argue
19 MR. NUSBAUM: I just want it on the	19 those? I've got written objections. Did you want
20 record. Thank you.	20 to argue those?
	21 MS. ROSENFELD: Not if you're ruling in
21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. So the 22 only objection that I'm reserving on is in regard	22 my favor.
23 to inclusion of Exhibit 38. We'll address it	23 MS. ROGERS: We did prepare some
24 during the course of the case in chief.	24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.
25 In that case, all exhibits Exhibits 1	25 MS. ROGERS: additional remarks.
23 In that case, an exhibits Exhibits 1	25 W.S. ROOEKS additional remarks.
1 through 37, 39 through 72 which were posted on	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Wonderful. So
2 the website prior to the hearing today, are	2 I will give just to recap, the two objections
3 admitted into the record.	3 that would be that I indicated would be argued
4 (Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 37	4 this morning are Exhibits 58 and 59. Exhibit
5 and 39 through 72, were admitted into evidence and	5 58 if you could kindly pull that up? Exhibit
6 retained by the Hearing Examiner.)	6 58 is a letter dated October 27th, 2025, from
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: As I	7 Applicant's attorney, Ms. Elizabeth Rogers,
8 mentioned, there is an email. And are you able to	8 regarding objections to the qualifications of
9 kind of pull up the OZAH website? And I just want	9 Mr. Daniel Wilhelm as an expert witness.
10 to make sure that the there's an what I	10 Exhibit 59 if you could kindly pull
11 asked Staff to include was actually my email, so I	11 that up as well is also a letter dated October
12 wonder if that addresses what you were concerned	12 27th, 2025, from the Applicant's attorney,
13 about.	13 Ms. Elizabeth Rogers, regarding objections to the
14 Okay. I do not see it up yet, so I	14 prehearing statement marked Exhibit 55. That was
15 will I've been told before I need to refresh,	15 submitted by Mr. Keith Nusbaum.
16 so just one second.	16 At this point, I'll invite oral argument
17 Are you able to pull up the our list	17 on those objections. Let's start with the
18 of exhibits online? I had asked Staff to add my	18 objecting party, Ms. Rogers and Ms
•	
19 email. Yes. I hit refresh, like they told me to, 20 and it's there.	19 MS. ROGERS: Great. Good morning.
	20 Again, for the record, Elizabeth Rogers with the
	21 law firm of Lerch, Early & Brewer here with my 22 co-Counsel, Erin Girard.
22 was added today. That was my email, Email from 23 Hearing Examiner Sokoni to parties regarding	22 Co-Counsel, Erlii Olfard. The Applicant has raised two procedural

Hearing Examiner Sokoni to parties regardingquestions to be addressed at the hearing.

Okay. That one addressed scheduling as

The Applicant has raised two procedural

24 objections. As the Hearing Examiner has noted, we

25 object to the Opposition's request to qualify

57		59
1 Mr. Wilhelm as an expert, and we respectfully	1 education in land use, transportation, civil	
2 request the Hearing Examiner issue a ruling	2 engineering, architecture, or related field.	
3 appropriately limiting the scope of Mr. Nusbaum's	3 Mr. Wilhelm's education and training and	
4 participation to what the rules would allow of an	4 employment history are in electrical engineering	
5 individual not represented by counsel. We'll	5 and program management. We would argue there's	
6 address each of these in turn.	6 really no skill involved in this land use	
7 We object to the qualification of	7 proceeding under which Mr. Wilhelm could seek to	
8 Mr. Dan Wilhelm as an expert on the basis that he	8 qualify as an expert, so that leaves knowledge or	
9 does not have the requisite knowledge, skill,	9 experience.	
10 experience, training, or education to qualify as	Maryland courts have consistently held	
11 an expert in this land use proceeding. The	11 that expert qualification under Rule 5-7.0.2	
12 Opposition's prehearing statement states that	12 requires a witness to possess specialized and	
13 Michele Rosenfeld intends to present him as an	13 sufficient knowledge, more than just a casual	
14 expert, but it does not identify the field in	14 familiarity. To be qualified as an expert in land	
15 which he's being offered as an expert.	15 use of transportation planning, one must hold some	
Rather, Ms. Rosenfeld indicates an	16 specialized technical competency in the subject,	
17 intention to have Mr. Wilhelm testify as an expert	17 not familiarity or general involvement as a	
18 on five topics. In doing so, it appears the	18 citizen advocate in the planning process.	
19 Opposition tends intends to broadly classify	Mr. Wilhelm possesses no specialized	
20 him as an expert in multiple areas of expertise,	20 knowledge or experience that would allow him to	
21 including both issues related to land use planning	21 render an expert opinion on the topics that	
22 and transportation planning. These are two very	22 Opposition seeks to qualify him in. While	
23 different technical and highly specialized areas.	23 Mr. Wilhelm's civic involvement and participation	
24 And in my career, I have not seen an	24 in committees and task force is commendable, it	
25 individual before this body qualified as an expert	25 does not confer that specialized knowledge or	
		60
58		60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding.	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules.	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge.	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony.	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony. 13 To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony. 13 To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony 14 should be given the same weight as someone who has	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony. 13 To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony 14 should be given the same weight as someone who has 15 formal educational training, professional	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony. 13 To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony 14 should be given the same weight as someone who has 15 formal educational training, professional 16 certifications, and has spent years, if not	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine on land use issues with credibility to assist the fact finder. The bar is set high, given the weight that is afforded to expert testimony. To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony should be given the same weight as someone who has formal educational training, professional certifications, and has spent years, if not decades, working on land use matters and	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders.	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine on land use issues with credibility to assist the fact finder. The bar is set high, given the weight that is afforded to expert testimony. To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony should be given the same weight as someone who has formal educational training, professional certifications, and has spent years, if not decades, working on land use matters and participating in administrative land use hearings	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony. 13 To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony 14 should be given the same weight as someone who has 15 formal educational training, professional 16 certifications, and has spent years, if not 17 decades, working on land use matters and 18 participating in administrative land use hearings 19 over the course of their career would be directly	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's 19 designated role in these committees and task force	60
1 in both of those. For that very reason, this, in 2 and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly 3 veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm 4 carry additional weight, which is not the 5 intention of the rules. 6 The qualification as an expert is 7 intended to be reserved for those select 8 individuals that truly possess the requisite 9 skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine 10 on land use issues with credibility to assist the 11 fact finder. The bar is set high, given the 12 weight that is afforded to expert testimony. 13 To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony 14 should be given the same weight as someone who has 15 formal educational training, professional 16 certifications, and has spent years, if not 17 decades, working on land use matters and 18 participating in administrative land use hearings 19 over the course of their career would be directly 20 at odds with the intent of such a qualification.	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's 19 designated role in these committees and task force 20 is almost exclusively through his role at the	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine on land use issues with credibility to assist the fact finder. The bar is set high, given the weight that is afforded to expert testimony. To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony should be given the same weight as someone who has formal educational training, professional certifications, and has spent years, if not decades, working on land use matters and participating in administrative land use hearings over the course of their career would be directly out odds with the intent of such a qualification.	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's 19 designated role in these committees and task force 20 is almost exclusively through his role at the 21 Great with the Greater Colesville Citizens	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine on land use issues with credibility to assist the fact finder. The bar is set high, given the weight that is afforded to expert testimony. To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony should be given the same weight as someone who has formal educational training, professional certifications, and has spent years, if not decades, working on land use matters and participating in administrative land use hearings over the course of their career would be directly at odds with the intent of such a qualification. Under Maryland Rule 5-7.0.2, expert qualification	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's 19 designated role in these committees and task force 20 is almost exclusively through his role at the 21 Great with the Greater Colesville Citizens 22 Association or as a resident. For example, the	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine on land use issues with credibility to assist the fact finder. The bar is set high, given the weight that is afforded to expert testimony. To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony should be given the same weight as someone who has formal educational training, professional certifications, and has spent years, if not decades, working on land use matters and participating in administrative land use hearings over the course of their career would be directly at odds with the intent of such a qualification. Under Maryland Rule 5-7.0.2, expert qualification requires specialized knowledge, skill, experience,	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's 19 designated role in these committees and task force 20 is almost exclusively through his role at the 21 Great with the Greater Colesville Citizens 22 Association or as a resident. For example, the 23 White Oak Science Gateway Master Plan Citizen	60
in both of those. For that very reason, this, in and of itself, is a red herring and a thinly veiled attempt to make the opinions of Mr. Wilhelm carry additional weight, which is not the intention of the rules. The qualification as an expert is intended to be reserved for those select individuals that truly possess the requisite skill, knowledge, training, or experience to opine on land use issues with credibility to assist the fact finder. The bar is set high, given the weight that is afforded to expert testimony. To suggest that Mr. Wilhelm's testimony should be given the same weight as someone who has formal educational training, professional certifications, and has spent years, if not decades, working on land use matters and participating in administrative land use hearings over the course of their career would be directly at odds with the intent of such a qualification. Under Maryland Rule 5-7.0.2, expert qualification	1 experience required to interpret Master Plans or 2 draw technical planning conclusions, like 3 compatibility, in this proceeding. 4 The advisory committees listed on 5 Mr. Wilhelm's resum and those task forces are 6 really simply more formalized mechanisms to gather 7 public input. They confer no specialized 8 knowledge. 9 For example, based on the guidelines on 10 the County's websites for the MCDOT Citizens 11 Advisory Committees, those were specifically 12 convened, I quote, to provide opportunities for 13 community members to learn about and provide input 14 on Flash BRT projects, end quote. The stated 15 purpose on the County's website for the CACs 16 included community engagement, involvement, and 17 information sharing among stakeholders. 18 We would note that Mr. Wilhelm's 19 designated role in these committees and task force 20 is almost exclusively through his role at the 21 Great with the Greater Colesville Citizens 22 Association or as a resident. For example, the	60

63 1 from -- a quote from the White Oak Master Plan, 1 that a transportation consultant or engineer would 2 the 2014 one, Members provide input during the typically speak to. Mr. Wilhelm certainly may planning process on the issues and concerns of testify as a layperson, in fact, if he plans to organizations and associations they represent. testify as to transportation offerings that are currently available. That's something a layperson This comports with Mr. Wilhelm's own admission on his CV that the experience in these can understand and does not require expert committees and task forces is rooted in advocacy. testimony. We would note that the word advocacy is used to 8 But if he intends to go beyond those lay 9 describe all five of his roles listed under his observations, he lacks the necessary 10 current involvement section on his CV, and several 10 qualifications to do so. He should also not be 11 listed under his civic involvement. 11 able to testify as an expert in Master Plan And as Mr. Wilhelm's resum expressly 12 conformance compatibility or these technical 13 notes, his role in GCCA under -- the umbrella 13 transportation issues without the requisite 14 under which he participated in many of these 14 knowledge or experience to draw those conclusions. 15 committees and task forces, was, quote, advocate 15 As such, we respectfully request the Hearing 16 for local citizens in land use and zoning matters. 16 Examiner decline to qualify Mr. Wilhelm as an 17 Experts are not advocates. Rather, they provide 17 expert and make clear that his testimony is 18 objective unbiased information based on their 18 limited to that of a lay opinion. 19 professional analysis of fact. The second issue we raised an objection The test is not whether Mr. Wilhelm has 20 to was with respect to Mr. Keith Nusbaum. The 21 general knowledge about land use process, but 21 Applicant respectfully requests that the Hearing 22 whether he is sufficiently familiar with the 22 Examiner eliminate his -- limit his participation 23 subject to render an expert opinion. 23 to that permitted of an individual member of the 24 Participation in land use proceedings via his role 24 public. 25 through GCCA does not afford him with that 25 Pursuant to his prehearing statement and 62 64 knowledge needed to render expert opinion. subsequent email correspondence, Mr. Nusbaum is Advocating for special interests of a appearing pro se in his own capacity as an 2 community is completely different than objectively individual party of record. His prehearing 4 analyzing how a specific application conforms to statement indicates an intention to participate in 5 the County's policy and regulations. To qualify the hearing in a role that is reserved for counsel 6 him as an expert based on his participation would representing organized opposition, which is not 7 be a slippery slope that would open the floodgates permitted by the rules. 8 for other active members of the community to Being a party of record does not afford 9 testify as experts. 9 Mr. Nusbaum with additional rights to call 10 We would also note he has no expertise 10 witnesses and present evidence, as all individuals 11 to speak about the consistency of this application 11 that testify before OZAH are deemed parties of 12 to previously zone CRNF applications. To our 12 record, pursuant to Rule 3.1.A, allowing all 13 knowledge, Mr. Wilhelm nor GCCA -- to our 13 parties of record to call witnesses or present 14 knowledge, neither of them offered any testimony 14 evidence would be contrary to the order that the 15 on those prior CRNF zone applications. And so he 15 rules are intending to create. 16 would have no more experience or knowledge than a By email dated September 4th, 2025, 17 layperson to opine on that issue. 17 Hearing Examiner Byrne confirmed the only rule Similarly, transportation planning is a 18 that would apply to Mr. Nusbaum is Rule 3.5. 19 highly specialized area of expertise. We see 19 While Rule 3.5 would allow Mr. Nusbaum to testify 20 nothing in Mr. Wilhelm's experience that would 20 as an individual member of the public and submit 21 afford him with the specialized knowledge or 21 written pertinent material, Mr. Nusbaum does not 22 experience needed to opine on these issues beyond 22 fall within one of the other three categories 23 his lay observations. 23 under that rule. As the Hearing Examiner is aware, 24 He's confirmed he is not being 25 transportation adequacy is a very technical issue 25 represented by counsel. Second, he is not

65		67
1 appearing as part of organized opposition, as he	1 that those rules seek to create. We therefore	07
would suggest.	2 respectfully request the Hearing Examiner issue a	
The rules refer to persons appearing as	3 rulemaking that limits Mr. Nusbaum's	
4 part of organized opposition. Persons is plural,	4 participation.	
5 and organized does not mean tidy in this context.	5 Thank you very much for your	
6 It means persons united and affiliated in some	6 consideration of these requests. We look forward	
7 type of a group.	7 to putting on our case in chief.	
8 One individual representing themselves	8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	
9 does not qualify as organized opposition. To read	9 Response by Ms. Rosenfeld?	
10 the rule this way would suggest that all	10 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes, thank you. The	
11 individuals who participate at OZAH must similarly	11 Applicant invites the Hearing Examiner to	
12 be classified as organized opposition, which would	12 disregard Mr. Wilhelm's deep and extensive	
13 in turn require that all those individuals file	13 knowledge and experience in matters pertaining to	
14 prehearing statements.	14 land use resulting from his decades of	
This is clearly not the intent of the	15 participation in land use proceedings through both	
16 rule. This interpretation would also completely	16 his role as president of GCCA as well as his other	
17 negate the last sentence of Rule 3.5, which	17 roles as an individual in the various committees	
18 discusses individual members of the public,	18 that are listed on his CV. His extensive	
19 ascribing it no meaning, contrary to the rules of	19 experience and knowledge in land use and zoning	
20 statutory interpretation.	20 matters includes county Master Plans, subdivision	
21 And, lastly, the third prong.	21 and site plans, conditional use applications,	
22 Mr. Nusbaum has indicated not indicated any	22 rezoning cases, and county and state legislative	
23 intention to introduce expert evidence. While	23 proceedings.	
24 there will be opportunities for Mr. Nusbaum and	The same Maryland Rule 5-7.0.2 that	
25 other individuals to cross-examine any witnesses	25 Ms. Rogers cites requires, in order for someone to	
66		68
1 that testify during the hearing, subject to the	1 qualify as an expert, a determination as to	
2 Hearing Examiner's authority to establish	2 whether the witness is qualified as an expert by	
3 procedures for the reasonable regulation of	3 knowledge, skill, experience, training, or	
4 cross-examination.	4 education. And we submit that Mr. Wilhelm has	
5 For all of these reasons, we would	5 qualifies as an expert by virtue of his knowledge,	
6 object to any attempt by Mr. Nusbaum to call his	6 his skill, and his experience accrued over decades	
7 own witnesses. His participation should be	7 of experience and participation in these land use	
8 limited in scope to narrative, lay testimony, and	8 and zoning proceedings.	
9 written submissions, as allowed by Rule 3.5.	9 We are requesting that he be recognized	
Additionally, while we don't object to	10 as an expert in five areas. The first is Master	
11 his submission of materials that he feels relevant	11 Plan, the second inconsistency with other CRFN	
12 for the record, we would object to any expert	12 zones, with respect to the lack of public transit	
13 testimony he plans to offer. To our knowledge,	13 in the vicinity of the subject property, the	
14 Mr. Nusbaum does not have any of the necessary	14 general inadequacy of the road network in the	
15 credentials, experience, or background to qualify	15 vicinity of the subject property, and lack of	
16 as an expert in transportation, engineering, or	16 compatibility with the neighborhood.	
17 land planning. As such, he cannot testify as an	I want to make very clear that we are	
18 expert regarding the contents of the Maryland	18 not offering Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in	
19 Department of Transportation State Highway	19 transportation adequacy for purposes of the	
20 Administration turning movement summary report,	20 transportation study and report that was provided.	
21 which he indicated he planned to introduce.	21 We are looking for his qualification with respect	
Mr. Nusbaum's participation is limited	22 to public transit matters only and public	
23 to what OZAH Rules of Procedure permit of an	23 transportation.	
24 individual member of the public. To permit	When you take a look at Mr. Wilhelm's 25 experience, he's has served as president of	
25 further participation would jeopardize the order		

1 a more flexible way to evaluate and assess the 2 involved in land user and zoning matters, including 3 Master Plans and subdivisions, and other county 4 and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his — with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 31 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 13 responsible for, among other through the three different studies, 17 all related to the castern side of the County, the 12 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizuns Advisory Board. 4 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 10 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that — the Applicant submitted that this would be 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this is and auditing instance in this particular and assess the 2 expertise of any dither that this was this is and aministrative proceeding lead on the 1 supritious in this particular and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is is an administrative proceeding and not a judicial proceeding relating to, for example, a scientific proceeding relating to, for example, a scientific proceeding relating to, for	GCCA since as early as 1981, and he has been involved in land use and zoning matters, including 3 Master Plans and subdivisions, and other county 4 and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 9 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committude that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chancy Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 14 th or participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 as high period that the study open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 as proceedings. And so for the 20 personal to the scatern side of the County. The study of the 18 places, recommendation of application of the 20 master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 14 th or participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 as lippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 6 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 as proceed the base pained that this would not set at 18 aprecedent because Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 18 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case an	1 a more flexible way to evaluate and assess the 2 expertise of any witness. 3 Master Plans and subdivisions, and other county 4 and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuests, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the castern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 21 East County Citizens Advisory Pourd. 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 sexperts in this case would assentially open the 26 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 27 participates on a regular basis in land use and 28 zoning affairs as an expert. 29 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm as an 29 Expert in this case would assentially open the 20 determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. Thar's a 14 exce-by-cess determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and dose not open the longdates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read	70	71 71
2 expertise of any witness. 3 And that flexibility is broadened in 4 this particular instance in this proceeding 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his – with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the – with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan inthe Fairland Briggs 22 To the proceeding and to a total did not his decader of sperience of the Scholar of Paper in this country the form of the Value of the Wilhelm sand that — the Applicant submitted that this would be a participates on a regular basis in land use and a soning affairs as an expert. 1 places, recommendation of application of the Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that — the Applicant submitted that this would be a stippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm sand the read more broadly and the defendance of the	2 involved in land use and zoning matters, including a Master Plans and subdivisions, and other county and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to reviewing, opining on, and offering reviewing, opining on, and offering a various proceedings. 3 method in a judicial proceeding and not a judicial proceeding and not a judicial proceeding and not a judicial proceeding and state and the liss particular instance in this proceeding and not a judicial proceeding proceeding relating to, for 1 campite member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 at member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 He also has been involved in three different study. BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 22 He also has been involved in three different study. BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 22 He also has been involved in the Earland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation of Assortions and the Salti, knowledge, and training and experience. 4 In all of those roles, he has been involved in the 22 anderstand you are — you're making a distinction — 22 miderstand you are — you're making a distinction — 23 miderstand you are — you're making a distinction — 24 making between his — you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert — the distinction — 25 ms, ROSENFELD: Sure, There is — 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 14 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 15 making between transportation and transit? 15 was a transportation expert—to the congestion levels, the backup at various tr	2 involved in land use and zoning matters, including 3 Master Plans and subdivisions, and other county 4 and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Muster Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his — with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 free- with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in thre different studies, 17 all related to the castern side of the County, the 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 23 East County Citizers Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Saint and use of the County, the 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that — the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a sulpreys slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 6 a congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 i relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set	69 11 GCCA since as early as 1981, and he has been	1 a more flexible way to evaluate and assess the
3 And that flexibility is broadened in this particular instance in this proceeding since that time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 6 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his — with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 21 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 20 ming affairs as an expert. 4 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular in this particular in the Master Plan in those arious roles, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular in this particular in the Master Plan in those warious roles. I would as 11 review the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Withelm for 10 a determination with respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 1 flights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Withelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to t	3 Master Plans and subdivisions, and other county 4 and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his - with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the - with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 He also has been involved in the Fuirland/Briggs 21 Chancy Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 4 that - the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Withelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 a roll of this proceeding. And so for that 1 this particular instance in this proceeding. 1 the rule should be read more broadly than 1 twist and legislative proceeding. 2 to example, a scientific or median et all suidicial proceeding. And so for that 1 to apportunity to viri dire Mr. Withelm so that he 1 to portunity to viri dire Mr. Withelm so that he 1 to portunity to viri dire Mr. Withelm so that he 1 the that that would be appropriate, or the scientific or melan relation of the Sales has been involved in the Euriand/Briggs 21 Chamy Master Plan in Implementation Advisory 22 to memistrea, and he as he passed in which a proceeding and the scientific proceeding. And so for that 2 that the date of the County, the would and submit have used in the situation of the Withelm as an 2 the study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 2 the study of the County of the County of the County of the County	3 And that flexibility is broadened in 4 and state and legislative proceedings since that 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his – with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 201 1 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the – with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the Country, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland Piriggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 2 many proceeding, And so for that 10 proceeding, and so for that 11 to a public the system of the 12 public and the system of the 12 public and the system of the 13 subjects hat we was the seven of the 14 public the system of the 15 experition of the 2 master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 1 this particular instance in this proceeding and 6 not a judicial proceeding. And so for that 1 this particular instance in this proceeding to the and in displaying the analysid to a judicial proceeding. And so for that 1 is examined that this would 10 ask that for purposes of the atom of the 10 purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to viri dire Mr. Wilhelm so that he 12 particular instance on his experience on his ex		
4 this particular instance in this proceeding 5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 21 Sast County Citizens Advisory Board. 21 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 2 soning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to the floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 2 soning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a detail proceeding. And so for that 11 opportunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm based 11 opportunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm or his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if the study. 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 The ARRING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoomp elase mute yourselves? 18 on Zoomp elase mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the	4 this particular instance in this proceeding since that time. In that role, he has been exposed to for reviewing, opining on, and offering finterpretations as to Master Plans in those various proceeding. So was the subject of this of experience with LabQuest, he's an executive to experience with LabQuest, he's an executive to emmittee member and secretary and has been since to 2011 a member. This is a committee that is a responsible for, among other things, the to subject to his role on MCDOT CAC, he to was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was a member involved in three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted to the eastern side of the County, the three different studies, the was distorted	4 and state and legislative proceedings since that it im. In that role, he has been exposed to 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceeding. Some of that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 8 it would an a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. And so for that 8 it would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire Mr. Withelm so that he 12 can elaborate on his experience in the record as a 13 proffer, should the fearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be papropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 6 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 so nazone place in the second of the 12 manufac		
5 because this is an administrative proceeding and 6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East Courty Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 it would in a judicial proceeding relating to, for 9 example, a scientific or medical expert. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the revord, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm so that he 12 can elaborate on his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training a	5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his - with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the - with respect to his role on McDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Earland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that - the Applicant submitted that this would be read over the decades, 21 We would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner of the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 21 far more broadly than it and addition to 20 the other experience in these fields, as 21 far lates to this particular case and the 12 and claboration and transit? 3 mile proceeding relating to, for 9 example, a scientific or medical experience on the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm be 12 can claborate on his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 miles are that that that would be appropriate, or 18 or permited the scena in the Earland Prince of the Courbe of the courbe of the available 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his	5 time. In that role, he has been exposed to reviewing, opining on, and offering interpretations as to Master Plans in those a various proceedings. 8 various proceedings. 9 With his — with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the Courty, he 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Piks tody. 20 He also has been involved in the Pairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 Fast County Citizens Advisory Board. 14 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 Iplaces, recommendation of application of the 24 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that — the Applicant submitted that this would be 2 may particular ease and the 3 content of the 18 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm some done and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 that of the Question of the 24 called the Prey test. It's now been moved to the 25 that the order is read 24 called the Prey test. It's now been moved to the concession of the 26 that the rule is read 28 far mo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The state of the s
6 not a judicial proceeding. And so for that 7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding. Feature per large to, for 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenne BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 24 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 2 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this participate case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 that that would be papropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying. — I want to make sure I 22 maderstand you are — you're making a distinction 23 between his — you and training an	6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Passe 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 21 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 21 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 22 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 26 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in the sew roll oses estinally open the 27 Bodogates to allowing any citizen who 28 participates on a regular base in land use and 29 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 not in decades of experience in these fields, as 11 verletes to this particular case and the 12 can elaborate on his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing the subject on the record as 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation on expert — the distinction— 22 to presentation oxpert — the distinction— 23 between his—vous vail you'ver not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert. — the distinction— 25 by a transportation expert. — the distinction— 26 presented to allowing any citizen who presente	6 reviewing, opining on, and offering 7 interpretations as to Master Plans in those 8 various proceedings. 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LaDQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Amenu BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Farland Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 Fast County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 24 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would sessentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 tivould in a place in purpose of the was a member involved in the Farland Briggs 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would sessentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 tivould in an in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 2 expert in this case would sessentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 tivould in all and the proceeding relating to, for 8 the transportation and training and experience of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 Implementation of the Proceeding relating to, for the Wilhelm Standard the County of the Will		
7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding relating to, for 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 12 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 21 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to the frain and the submitted that this would be 2 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 4 competition in the Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 treates to this particular case and the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to the footgate in the fair and briggs 11 places, recommendation of application of the 2 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertic, whowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: could everyone 18 sit would in a judicial proced in his experience in the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing	7 reason, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 two us proceedings. 8 warious proceedings. 9 With his — with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the E3 Fast County Citizens Advisory Board. 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 was a stipper stope, that ocacept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert. 28 white the his case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 3 white the floodgates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 my other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 coxperience with the hased on womething 21 that particular realm. 22 that participation in the MCDOT CAC, he 13 role of the 22 malestance of the county, the 12 can elaborate on his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 least that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some quest	7 riesson, the rule should be read more broadly than 8 it would in a judicial proceeding relating to, for 9 example, a scientific or medical expert. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would 10 ask that for purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would the purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would the purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would the purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would the purpose of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would the apportunity to voir dire ther. We would the purposes of the record, we have an 11 opportunity to voir dire ther. We would the apportunity to voir dire the fearing that the purpose of the record as a 11 opportunity to voir dire the sit there are a the purpose of the record as a 11 opportunity to voir dire with the fearing that the purpose of the record as a 12 percentage and the 12 can elaborate on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examine element on the record as a 13	•	
8 various proceedings. 9 With his with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 was a simpery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 67 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 78 participates on a regular basis in land use and 88 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 8 it would in a judicial proceeding relating to, for 9 example, a scientific or the and sk that for patient for the sat that the Hearing 11 opportunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm so that he 12 can elaborate on his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me?	8 various proceedings. 9 With his – with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the – with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 12 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 12 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 In places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that – the Applicant submitted that this would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and resume of his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and resume of his skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? In trying – I want to make sure I 22 understand you are – you're making a distinction 23 between his – you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert – the distinction – 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — you're 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I writing – I want to make sure I 22 understand you are – you're making a distinction 23 between his – you said you're not presenting him 24 as	8 various proceedings. 9 With his – with respect to his 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the – with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Pärgs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the fairland Pärgs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 fast County (Citizen Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 24 a satippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 27 making between transportation study prepared 28 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 39 by a transportation and transit? 31 subjects that we have identified. That's a 31 case-by-case determination made based on the 32 coperties, knowledge, and skill of any particular 33 fire rule originally had been based on something 34 called the Prye test. It's now been moved to the 35 or the particular case and the 36 coperince that he has gained over the decades. 37 The rule originally had been based on something 38 or the particular stansing and experience of his foskill, knowledge, and raining and experience. 39 The rule originally had been based on something 30 the particular case and the 31 or public transportation and properturity to 32 dealled that the rule is read 33 proffer, should the Hearing Paragraph 1 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 1 that tha		
9 example, a scientific or medical expert. We would 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 In all of those roles, he has been 27 places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 29 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 29 a sliprery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 20 sexpert in this case would essentially open the 20 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 27 I HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 28 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is still 3 to het a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 5 when the proportinity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm so that he 2 danced to the eastern side of the County, the 2 that that would under the scipt has the have dentified. That's a 4 the proportinity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm so that he 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 Ingity, it's a proportion of the 8 or committee t	9 example, a scientific or medical expert. We would 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience for the mitted purpose of the would be 4 a case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case hecause this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 coxperience this assignation of the 2 making between transportation and transit? 21 traletes to this particular case and the 22 transportation expert — the distinction — 23 East of the county of the distinction — 24 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 25 fly the propose of the propose of the availability of purpose of the availability of purpose of th	9 example, a scientific or medical expert. We would 10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the - with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 Study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Channey Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that - the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 1 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 sexpertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 7 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 expertence that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest, 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chamey Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? Tm trying1 want to make sure 1 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. Wit	10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Pärigs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the Fairland Pärigs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 fast County (Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 my other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had b	10 experience with LabQuest, he's an executive 11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland Pfriggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 That is not correct. We are asking for 7 That is not correct. We are asking for 8 That is not correct. We are asking for 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is smill 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. Aquestion. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying - I want to make sure I 22 medratand y	1 0	
11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on portunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm scand 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. Aquistion. Aquistion. Aquistion. Aquistion. Could you just clarify 21 for me? Thu trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study p	11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chancy Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 70 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something	11 committee member and secretary and has been since 12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies. 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 Bast County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on protunity to voir dire Mr. Wilhelm so that he 12 can elaborate on his experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 to hasker Plan man and training and experience. 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? "In trying1 want to make sure 1 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation and transit? 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 27 Thank you very much for the 28 district has a supportation engineer. With respect to th	*	
12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the — with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 24 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that—the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience on the record as a 13 proffer, should the Hearing Examiner determine 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying — I want to make sure I 22 understand you are — you're making a distinction 23 between his — you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert — the distinction — 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is — 4 there's an extensive transportation sutdy prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 1 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference r	12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chancy Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 In places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask a stipper slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 29 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 29 participates on a regular basis in land use and 20 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 21 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 23 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 that that would be gaptropriate, if the tast would expropriate in the sacend experience. 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 meters have your faculty out go and zero in the sear of the son Zoom please mute yourselves? 10 try try much for the 21 maderstanding agent and such and you are - you're making a distinction 22 understand you are - you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 2 making between transportation study prepared 2 by a transportation expert the distinction 3 by a transportation expert the dist	12 2011 a member. This is a committee that is 13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Piriggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 28 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 that that would be appropriate, if the it at that would be apolid the registry in that that would be appropriate, if the that that windle be appropriate, if the it at that would be appropriate, if the that thing would experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. Aquestion. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying1 want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you and to pure the distinction 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 10 purpo		
13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 26 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a desperience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: wol're was a transportation of the 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 ms. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transporta	13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 11 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and training and experience. 14 that that would be appropriate, if three is still, knowledge, and training and experience. 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? "In trying - I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you'are not presenting him 24 that that would be as a slippery - I want to make sure I 25 mere ? "In trying - I want to make sure I 26 mere? "In trying - I want to make sure I 27 mere ? "In trying - I want to make sure I 28 mere ? "In trying - I want to make sure I 29 mere ? "In trying - I want to make sure I 20 mere ? "In trying - I want	13 responsible for, among other things, the 14 implementation of the White Oak Master Plan and 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for, among other things with the state of the County, the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still, there is this to the scope of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 IEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 to Asserbly Could voury ure the provent the 2 presenting for the 2 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 18 me Zamine Textum, Interpretation expert on the provision of the 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 4 there's an extensive transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 9	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 makes Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scope and relevance of his 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you asid you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 27 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 28 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 29 to deven his you're 29 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 3 there's an extensive transportation study prepared	14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 the - with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 29 that -the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen wh 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and shill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specifie to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally hab been based on something 24 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 some question as to the scoop and release mute yourselves? 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 21 for mc? I'm trying1 lwant to make sure I 22 understand you areyou're making a distinction 23 hetween hi	14 that that would be appropriate, if there is still 15 the - with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 must be placed by the formation of application of the 27 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 28 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 29 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 29 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 29 participates on a regular basis in land use and 20 zoming flarirs as an expert. 30 That is not correct. We are asking for 31 determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 32 ti relates to this participate case and the 33 subjects that we have identified. That's a 44 case-by-case determination made based on the 45 expert in the sease would submit that the role and the 46 conception of the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 57 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 58 to mequestion as to the scope and relevance of his 58 till, knowledge, and trained and the 59 presentation to the escope and relevance of his 50 to me question as to the scope and relevance of his 51 to me? I'm trying - I want to make sure I 50 presentation. A question. Could everyone 50 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 51 for me? I'm trying - I want to make sure I 52 understandy ou very much for the 52 presentation. A question. A question. A question of the 53 between his you are you're making a distinction 53 between his you activation expert the distinction 54 making Examiner Sokoni: which is 55 ms. ROSENF		_
15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, he 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 my other case because this would not set a 16 skill, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: could everyone 18 sorDom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference	15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study, 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggests. 31 The rule originally had been based on something	15 the with respect to his role on MCDOT CAC, he 16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 29 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 29 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 20 caper in this case would essentially open the 20 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 21 participates on a regular basis in land use and 22 noing affairs as an expert. 23 subjects that we have identified. That's a 24 case-by-case determination made based on the 25 expertise, knowledge, and training and experience. 26 In HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 27 thank you very much for the 28 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 29 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying.—I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as at arransportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 20 mexerience that that specific to what he has leaned and the 25 or year incompanience of his 26 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 21 for me? I'm trying.—I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation on expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 26 making between transportation and transit? 3 mS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 3 mexery technical document. And I'm 3 sorry I don't have the reference right no		
16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 IHEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're not presenting him 23 as transportation expert the distinction 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 mS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 Places, recommendation of application of the 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular an	16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr, Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and training and experience. 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 18 no Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Couldyou just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: wure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: vou're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes a there'es an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a	16 was a member involved in three different studies, 17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 70 1 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 cexperience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	•	
17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a	17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 In places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 29 that — the Applicant submitted that this would be 20 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 20 expert in this case would essentially open the 21 for me? Tm trying — I want to make sure I 22 understand you are — you're making a distinction 23 between his — you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert — the distinction — 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 26 The Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 31 that — the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something	17 all related to the eastern side of the County, the 18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 responsible for review, evaluation of the 27 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 28 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 29 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 20 expert in this case would essentially open the 20 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 21 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 22 far more broadly than the Applicant sund not set a 23 fer tile owant he has learned and the 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 repeating Mr. Wilhelm stands and the state was the identified. That's a 27 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 28 merule or interest to this particular rate and the 29 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 20 competition of the so not regular basis in land use and the 20 for me? Tm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could everyone 22 takes to vould you just clarify 21 for me? Tm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 26 making between transportation and transit? 28 mex a transportation are sure as a transportation and transit? 29 making between transportation and transit? 20 making between transportation and transit? 21 there's an	<u> -</u>	
18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 on Zoom please mute yourselves? 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure 1 22 presentation. A question. A question. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure 1 22 presentation. A question. A question and transit on 20 presentation and transit on a transportation expert the distinction 25 mS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation expert in the scopestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very tech	18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 places, recommendation of application of the 27 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 35 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 21 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something	18 New Hampshire Avenue BRT study, the U.S. 29 BRT 19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? Thu trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 mS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 5 by a transportation expert in the sudy prepared 5 by a transportation expert in the fields, as 1 in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 i		
19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 19 Thank you very much for the 20 presentation. A question. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying1 want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 mS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 72 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of publi	19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 21 for me? I'm trying — I want to make sure I 22 understand you are — you're making a distinction 23 between his — you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert — the distinction — 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is — 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is — 27 making between transportation and transit? 28 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is — 29 Ms. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is — 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting him 24 as a transportation engineer. With respect to the 25 to there is — 26 making between transportation sudy prepared 26 there's an extensive transportation engineer	19 Phase 2 study, and the old Columbia Pike study. 20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular if individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 21 The rule or review, evaluation, and; in some 22 the Allow or review, evaluation, and; in some 23 between this you're making a distinction 23 between this you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 23 between this you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation study prepared 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traf	•	·
20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 20 presentation. A question. Could you just clarify 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 72 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation study prepared 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would asubmit to the Hearing 15 Ex	20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chamey Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 from me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation study prepared 6 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 7 the respect to the 8 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and pub	20 He also has been involved in the Fairland/Briggs 21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 In all of those roles, he has been 27 In the province of the particular case and the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 30 that the Applicant submitted that this would be a suppery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 40 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 41 participates on a regular basis in land use and 42 zoning affairs as an expert. 43 East County Citizens and in the searmed and the 44 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 45 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 46 Individual, and does not open the floodgates in 47 any other case because this would not set a 48 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 40 experience that he has gained over the decades. 41 We would submit that the rule is read 42 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 42 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 42 of the Applicants and poportunity to 42 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 43 between his you aid you're not presenting him 44 as a transportation expert the distinction 43 between his you aid you're not presenting him 44 as a transportation expert the distinction 43 between his you aid you're not presenting him 44 as a transportation expert the distinction 43 between his you aid you're not presenting him 44 as a transportation expert the distinction 45 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation autransit? 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared to the respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 1 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 4 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 4 there's an extensive transportation		1
21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 21 for me? I'm trying I want to make sure I 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 72 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting him 24 as a transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting him 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited	21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 In all of those roles, he has been 27 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 27 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 28 As a transportation expert the distinction 29 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 29 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 29 making between transportation and transit? 20 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 29 there's an extensive transportation study prepared there's an extensive transportation study prepared to by a transportation expert in this case would essentially open the floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular floridudal, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 11 We would submit that the rule is read 12 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something	21 Chaney Master Plan Implementation Advisory 22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 Planes, recommendation of application of the 26 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the		
22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 Ms. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 22 understand you are you're making a distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting him 24 as a transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting him 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation	22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 27 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 that ransportation expert the distinction - 24 as a transportation expert the distinction - 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 72 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 5 which getween transportation and transit? 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert the distinction - 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making be	22 Committee, and he also has been involved in the 23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 Places, recommendation of application of the 27 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 28 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 22 understand you are you're distinction 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation enginee. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I w		
23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and ransit? 4 there's an extensive transportation and ransit? 5 by a transportation expert the distinction 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert the distinction 27 In HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between this you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert the distinction 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as a	23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 27 Places, recommendation of application of the 28 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular in findividual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation study prepared 6 to congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 1 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57 J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving va	23 East County Citizens Advisory Board. 24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 23 between his you said you're not presenting him 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is reval there's an extensive transportation and transit? 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is read 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 4 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert. 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of pu		1 -
24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 72 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	24 In all of those roles, he has been 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert the distinction 26 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation expert the limited purpose of the various traffic 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 texpert for the limited purpose of the availab	24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 21 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 24 as a transportation expert the distinction 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17		
25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 skill is specific to what he has learn	25 ms ROSENFELD: Yes. 70 1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 1 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that parti		1
1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 10 places, recommendation of application of the 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 27 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where h	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? 1 there's an extensive transportation and transit? 1 there's an extensive transportation and transportation and transportation and transportation and transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 1 participates on a regular basis in land use and 2 there's an extensive transportation and transportation and transportation and transportation and transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at vari		1
1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 making between transportation and transit? 16 Mr. Wilhelm SAROSENFELD: Sure. There is 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 2 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 3 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared ther	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving vario	25 responsible for review, evaluation, and, in some	25 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.
2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MC	2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 opurposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 opurposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 skill is specific to what he has gained over the decades. 20 the other experience that he ha		
3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 to a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	that the Applicant submitted that this would be a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and zoning affairs as an expert. That is not correct. We are asking for a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on this decades of experience in these fields, as it relates to this particular case and the subjects that we have identified. That's a there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic planting that is not correct. We are asking for lo a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based on the determination of the detacle of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion on the detacle of the village of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion on the detacle of the village of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion on the detacle of the village of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic ordered in the detacle of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic ordered in the detacle of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic ordered in the detacle of the availability of purposes of any of that CLV traffic ord	that the Applicant submitted that this would be a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and zoning affairs as an expert. That is not correct. We are asking for of a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based of on his decades of experience in these fields, as tirelates to this particular case and the subjects that we have identified. That's a texpertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular floidividual, and does not open the floodgates in floidividual, and does not open the floodgates in speciednt because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and skill is specific to what he has learned and the leave would submit that the rule is read leave would submit that the rule is read leave that case here is there's an extensive transportation study prepared there's an extensive transportation study rejous to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. Pwe are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under whic		
4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	1 places, recommendation of application of the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're
5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 opurposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	places, recommendation of application of theMaster Plan in those various roles. I would ask	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit?
6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 6 congestion levels, the backup at various traffic 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	 places, recommendation of application of the Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that the Applicant submitted that this would be 	 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is
7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 7 lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	 places, recommendation of application of the Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that the Applicant submitted that this would be a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 	 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared
8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 8 sorry I don't have the reference right now. 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	places, recommendation of application of the Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that the Applicant submitted that this would be a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're 2 making between transportation and transit? 3 MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is 4 there's an extensive transportation study prepared 5 by a transportation engineer. With respect to the
9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 29 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 9 We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic
10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 10 purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm
11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 11 vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	places, recommendation of application of the Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask that the Applicant submitted that this would be a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an expert in this case would essentially open the floodgates to allowing any citizen who participates on a regular basis in land use and zoning affairs as an expert.	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now.
12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 12 expert for the limited purpose of the availability 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for
13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 21 Subjects that we have identified. That's a 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 13 of public transit and public transportation 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion
14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 14 facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion
15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 15 Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion reduced by the service of the availability
16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 16 Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion representation the vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation
17 any other case because this would not set a 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was	17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 17 his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion tyehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing
	18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 18 involved in three recent studies involving various 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion tyehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is
110 present the aguage Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 10 involved in three recent studies involving appropriate	19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 19 transit facilities that he that in addition to 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular,
	20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 20 the other experience that he has, qualifies him in 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was
	21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 21 that particular realm. 22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 25 that particular realm. 26 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. In the support to the Applicants an opportunity to the support to the sup	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was involved in three recent studies involving various
	22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 25 Want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I 26 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 27 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was minutes involved in three recent studies involving various remarks.
	23 The rule originally had been based on something 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to	23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 23 want to give the Applicants an opportunity to 24 respond to the objection to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades.	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was involved in three recent studies involving various transit facilities that he that in addition to
		24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 24 respond to the objection to the to that	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was minularly transit facilities that he that in addition to the other experience that he has, qualifies him in
	24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the 24 respond to the objection to the to that		1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest.	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion vehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was minularly involved in three recent studies involving various transit facilities that he that in addition to the other experience that he has, qualifies him in that particular realm. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I
124 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the		25 Daubert test, which, under the Maryland Rules, is 25 response.	1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion wehicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was involved in three recent studies involving various transit facilities that he that in addition to transit facilities that he that in addition to the other experience that he has, qualifies him in that particular realm. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I
	25 Daubert test, which, under the Maryland Rules, is 25 response.		1 places, recommendation of application of the 2 Master Plan in those various roles. I would ask 3 that the Applicant submitted that this would be 4 a slippery slope, that to accept Mr. Wilhelm as an 5 expert in this case would essentially open the 6 floodgates to allowing any citizen who 7 participates on a regular basis in land use and 8 zoning affairs as an expert. 9 That is not correct. We are asking for 10 a determination with respect to Mr. Wilhelm based 11 on his decades of experience in these fields, as 12 it relates to this particular case and the 13 subjects that we have identified. That's a 14 case-by-case determination made based on the 15 expertise, knowledge, and skill of any particular 16 individual, and does not open the floodgates in 17 any other case because this would not set a 18 precedent because Mr. Wilhelm's knowledge and 19 skill is specific to what he has learned and the 20 experience that he has gained over the decades. 21 We would submit that the rule is read 22 far more broadly than the Applicant would suggest. 23 The rule originally had been based on something 24 called the Frye test. It's now been moved to the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: you're making between transportation and transit? MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. There is there's an extensive transportation study prepared by a transportation engineer. With respect to the congestion levels, the backup at various traffic lights, it's a very technical document. And I'm sorry I don't have the reference right now. We are not presenting Mr. Wilhelm for purposes of any of that CLV traffic congestion whicular analysis. We are offering him as an expert for the limited purpose of the availability of public transit and public transportation facilities. And I would submit to the Hearing Examiner that in Exhibit 57.J, under which is Mr. Wilhelm's CV, under Paragraph 3 in particular, his participation in the MCDOT CAC, where he was minvolved in three recent studies involving various transit facilities that he that in addition to the other experience that he has, qualifies him in that particular realm. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. I want to give the Applicants an opportunity to

	73 75	
1 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. We would just	1 matter and this is not to predict how I would	
2 note that expert qualification is intended to	2 rule I am struggling a little bit with the	
3 provide an opportunity for those areas of the case	3 issue of expertise. I am seeing a lot of involved	
4 that require an expert opinion. Someone	4 and involvement and participation, all very	
5 testifying to their lay opinion regarding what	5 noble things. But I'm not sure I'm trying	
6 available public transportation there is is	6 to I do think, in fairness, he should be given	
7 something that does not qualify or warrant expert	7 an opportunity to testify to his skills and his	
8 testimony. And courts are clear where it's not	8 qualification.	
9 something that if it's something that a	9 But I do think I mean, looking at the	
10 layperson can testify to, that's something that	10 resum, which is I think it's a commendable	
11 doesn't require qualification of an expert. And	11 resum . And I see lots of what I'm struggling	
12 so I guess I'm a little unclear as to what	12 with here is the legal core of whether there's	
13 Ms. Rosenfeld intends to qualify him as an expert	13 enough here to be an a technical expert. I am	
14 on in that capacity because just generally	14 struggling with that a little bit.	
15 speaking to what transit availability there is in	15 But I do think it's appropriate for him	
16 the area is not something that I think there's any	16 to be able to testify because for example, you	
17 expert qualification needed to testify on.	17 know, just your Exhibit 57.J thank you for	
18 Additionally, I would just like to	18 referring to that you know, the summary of	
19 reiterate and I do have copies if it's helpful	19 professional experience, there's a total of 46	
20 for the Hearing Examiner regarding what the intent	20 years. And that's a lot of experience, I agree, a	
21 is of that CAC committee it was to learn about		
	21 total of 46 years of experience in the 22 communications and defense industries as an	
22 and provide input and share information among		
23 stakeholders and provide just a transparent	23 electrical engineer. His formal education is in	
24 community involvement. Again, just because	24 electrical engineering and program manager before	
25 Mr. Wilhelm participated in those committees does	25 retiring at the end of 2015, worked for USAF,	
	74 76	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge	1 several communication carriers, assistant.	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues	several communication carriers, assistant.So, clearly, a lot of professional skill	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is 	 several communication carriers, assistant. So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not 	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is true of the Master Plan. 	 several communication carriers, assistant. So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical 	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is true of the Master Plan. Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 	 several communication carriers, assistant. So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is true of the Master Plan. Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a lot of involvement in the community planning 	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is true of the Master Plan. Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a lot of involvement in the community planning process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is true of the Master Plan. Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a lot of involvement in the community planning process. But as I mentioned, those formal task forces and committees which he served on were 	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being	
 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues under the qualification of an expert. The same is true of the Master Plan. Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a lot of involvement in the community planning process. But as I mentioned, those formal task forces and committees which he served on were mechanisms to get public input where he was 	several communication carriers, assistant. So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing.	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being 9 forthright with what I am sensing. 10 But I certainly am open to getting his	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being 9 forthright with what I am sensing. 10 But I certainly am open to getting his 11 testimony because, you know, when you say you were	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert.	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being 9 forthright with what I am sensing. 10 But I certainly am open to getting his 11 testimony because, you know, when you say you were 12 involved with Planning Staff and some other 35	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh.	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being 9 forthright with what I am sensing. 10 But I certainly am open to getting his 11 testimony because, you know, when you say you were 12 involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 13 residents in a two you know, over a two-year	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you.	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being 9 forthright with what I am sensing. 10 But I certainly am open to getting his 11 testimony because, you know, when you say you were 12 involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 13 residents in a two you know, over a two-year 14 period on the transportation policy, maybe he can	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm	1 several communication carriers, assistant. 2 So, clearly, a lot of professional skill 3 as an electrical engineer, but you're not 4 presenting him as an expert in electrical 5 engineering. You know, I see all these laudable 6 civic engagement and civic participation, but we 7 have a legal determination about whether you can 8 qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being 9 forthright with what I am sensing. 10 But I certainly am open to getting his 11 testimony because, you know, when you say you were 12 involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 13 residents in a two you know, over a two-year 14 period on the transportation policy, maybe he can 15 shed some light on what the involvement	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure.	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise.	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the technical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you.	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity 20 to voir dire him. I think it would be helpful to	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the stechnical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you.	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity 20 to voir dire him. I think it would be helpful to 21 have Mr. Wilhelm's when we get to that point	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the stechnical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So MS. ROSENFELD: And	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity 20 to voir dire him. I think it would be helpful to 21 have Mr. Wilhelm's when we get to that point 22 where you would be looking to introduce him as an	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the technical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So MS. ROSENFELD: And HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I will	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity 20 to voir dire him. I think it would be helpful to 21 have Mr. Wilhelm's when we get to that point 22 where you would be looking to introduce him as an 23 expert to I would like to have his testimony on	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the stechnical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So MS. ROSENFELD: And HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I will reserve on that. I do I actually I'm ready	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity 20 to voir dire him. I think it would be helpful to 21 have Mr. Wilhelm's when we get to that point 22 where you would be looking to introduce him as an	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the technical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So MS. ROSENFELD: And HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I will	
1 not mean that he has any specialized knowledge 2 beyond just a layperson to opine on those issues 3 under the qualification of an expert. The same is 4 true of the Master Plan. 5 Yes. We acknowledge Mr. Wilhelm has a 6 lot of involvement in the community planning 7 process. But as I mentioned, those formal task 8 forces and committees which he served on were 9 mechanisms to get public input where he was 10 advocating on behalf of his community. That does 11 not grant him specialized knowledge or experience 12 to testify under the qualification of an expert. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 14 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I'm 16 inclined thank you so much for the arguments on 17 both sides. I'm inclined to reserve ruling on the 18 qualification of expertise. 19 I do want to grant you the opportunity 20 to voir dire him. I think it would be helpful to 21 have Mr. Wilhelm's when we get to that point 22 where you would be looking to introduce him as an 23 expert to I would like to have his testimony on	So, clearly, a lot of professional skill as an electrical engineer, but you're not presenting him as an expert in electrical engineering. You know, I see all these laudable civic engagement and civic participation, but we have a legal determination about whether you can qualify as an expert on that. So I'm just being forthright with what I am sensing. But I certainly am open to getting his testimony because, you know, when you say you were involved with Planning Staff and some other 35 residents in a two you know, over a two-year period on the transportation policy, maybe he can shed some light on what the involvement MS. ROSENFELD: Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: what the stechnical aspects of that involvement are. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So MS. ROSENFELD: And HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I will reserve on that. I do I actually I'm ready	

77	,	79
1 prehearing oh, sorry.	1 that category, and I would ask you to rule against	17
2 MR. NUSBAUM: That's okay.	2 this objection.	
3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We didn't I	3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	
4 didn't mean to skip over you.	4 Did anyone want to chime in or respond	
5 MR. NUSBAUM: No, that's okay. If	5 to Mr. Nusbaum's comments?	
6 you're going to	6 MS. ROGERS: I think we covered most of	
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Did you want	7 it in our oral argument remarks. The only thing I	
8 to	8 would note is that we would object to the	
9 MR. NUSBAUM: If you're going to rule in	9 categorization of the how Ms. Hearing Examiner	
10 my favor	10 Byrne's email was portrayed. She was identifying	
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: No, no,	11 exactly what the rules required, as we believe	
12 no, no.	12 we've outlined in our remarks.	
13 MR. NUSBAUM: I don't need to talk	The rules did require three different	
14 anymore.	14 categories. She was merely letting Mr. Nusbaum	
15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry, sorry,	15 know what those three categories were, and we do	
16 sorry. You should have an opportunity to speak,	16 not believe he qualifies for one of those three	
17 too.	17 categories to appear, you know, as if he was	
18 MR. NUSBAUM: Thank you. I would just	18 Opposition counsel in this proceeding.	
19 say that Applicant here is attempting to segregate	19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	
20 individuals into a lower-tier status of party of	20 MS. ROSENFELD: May I just chime in	
21 record. It fundamentally opposes due process	21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes.	
22 before a hearing board like this.	22 MS. ROSENFELD: for a moment? I do	
Land Use Rule 3.5 sets forth that an	23 think that to read Rule 3.5 as restrictively as	
24 individual who wants to appear in organized	24 the Applicant is suggesting is prejudicial to a	
25 opposition is required to file a prehearing	25 person who is both an attorney who wants to appear	
78		80
1 statement, which I did timely and submitted	1 as a party of record. And it seems as if this is	
2 exhibits with that. Ms. Rogers is correct that	2 written to assure that the Applicant and the	
3 the rule then goes on to talk about individuals	3 Hearing Examiner is aware of what those types of	
4 who also want to testify.	4 submissions and materials would be, subject to	
5 There are essentially two categories:	5 discussion at the hearing. And I do think that	
6 those who want to appear as party of record, who	6 they are reading it more narrowly than this rule	
7 want to have all of the rights and actions of a	7 was intended to provide.	
8 party of record calling witnesses, introducing	8 MS. GIRARD: With all due respect, I	
9 expert witnesses, cross-examination, opening and	9 don't understand if the reason that Mr. Nusbaum	
10 closing statements and then there are	10 is not being represented by Ms. Rosenfeld is	
11 individuals who do who choose not to do that.	11 because he thinks he should have a separate role,	
12 And those individuals have the right to testify	12 I don't know why Ms. Rosenfeld is defending his	
13 and present written evidence without submitting a	13 position. And to the point that there's	
14 prehearing statement. I'm here based on my	14 attorneys, just because you're an attorney	
15 request on August 11th, 2025, to be a party of 16 record and to appear in organized opposition,	15 shouldn't give you special status in front of this 16 body. I would just respond that way.	
17 which is one of the categories set forth in	17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you all	
18 Rule 3.5.	1/ HEARING EXAMINER SORONI: Mank you all 18 for your arguments for I've noted and very	
19 I would further state that Hearing	19 carefully followed all the arguments on the	
_	20 objections. Like I said, I'm going to reserve on	
20 Examiner and Director Byrne previously set forth 21 in her communication on September 4th: The		
22 persons attending to appear in organized	21 the expert qualification. 22 The objection Ms. Rogers presented in	
23 opposition are required to file for a prehearing	The objection Ms. Rogers presented in 23 writing the Exhibit 50 let's see. Was that 59?	
24 statement. It says nothing about what	24 I believe it's 50 yes, Exhibit 59, which was	

25 the objection to Mr. Nusbaum's role. It is my

25 requiring counsel to represent them. I fall under

Conducted	i OII I V	UV	CHIOCI 0, 2023	
	81		V	83
1 ruling that whatever the it's my ruling that		1	I'm not comfortable.	
2 that objection is overruled. Whatever the OZAH		2	This is an administrative hearing. We	
3 rules prescribed in Rule 3.3 and 3.4 regarding		3	do have large latitude. And of course, I'll have	
4 representation of groups by Counsel and submission		4	to rein things in if things get out of control.	
5 of prehearing statements by Counsel for such		5	I'm just not comfortable treating a pro	
6 parties, I believe, must not be construed to limit		6	se party of record who chooses this level of	
7 the rights of individual parties.		7	participation I don't think they should be	
8 While one could argue that Mr. Nusbaum		8	punished because they don't have an attorney. So	
9 was not required to submit a prehearing statement,		9	I'm comfortable within the rules.	
10 I do not see why he should be punished for		10	I already told Mr. Nusbaum he couldn't	
11 submitting one. His prehearing statement is			file a subpoena. He was not timely, and so you	
12 admitted into the record.			know, and I will enforce those rules. But I don't	
Rule 3.5 actually specifically says			think he should be treated as lesser than because	
14 let me just pull it up here. Rule 3.5		14	he has no attorney.	
15 specifically provides that Nothing in this section		15	With that, I think we've disposed of all	
16 is intended to limit the rights of individual			the preliminaries. Maybe we can jump into case in	
17 members of the public to testify during the		17	chief.	
18 hearing or and I emphasize or to submit		18	Both parties get an opportunity to do	
19 pertinent written materials at any time while the			their an opening statement. In fact, I	
20 record remains open for that purpose.		20	shouldn't say, both parties. All parties have an	
21 So my position is that he submitted		21	opportunity to do an opening statement.	
22 he didn't have to. He wasn't required to in		22	And then, of course, the Applicants have	
23 the same way that the rules are prescribing what		23	their case in chief so I open it up for opening	
24 attorneys for groups and attorneys for you		24	statement, starting with the Applicant's Counsel.	
25 know, who are representing organized opposition		25	OPENING STATEMENT FOR NOTLEY ASSEMBLAGE	E, LLC
	82			84
1 should do. They must submit a prehearing		1	MS. ROGERS: Thank you very much.	
2 statement, which should outline these things.		2	Again, for the record, Eizabeth Rogers with Lerch,	
3 Lay parties are not required to do that.		3	Early & Brewer, representing the Notley	
4 He chose to do that, and I don't think he should		4	Assemblage, LLC, the Applicant in this case with	
5 be punished for it. So his prehearing statement		5	my colleague Erin Girard as co-Counsel.	
6 is admitted.		6	With us on behalf of Notley Assemblage,	
7 MS. GIRARD: Just to be clear, we		7	LLC, is Kenny Bodey with Brian Stewart Developmen	t
8 weren't objecting to his submission of a		8	on behalf of the Applicant. We have Joshua Sloan,	
9 prehearing statement at all. That was I just		9	Logan Kelso with VIKA, our land planner, landscape	
10 want to make clear our objection was to his intent		10	architect, and civil engineer, respectively, and	
11 to call witnesses and to cross-examine witnesses		11	Katie Wagner with Grove Slade, our traffic	
12 in as if he were in organized opposition. So			engineer.	
13 it to the extent that he was intending to call		13	As the Hearing Examiner is aware of our	
14 witnesses that were not already being called it		14	map application material, we are here today to	
15 and opening and closing statements to act as a			request approval of a Local Map Amendment to	
16 part as in that capacity.			rezone the properties located in the northwest	
The prehearing statement, we don't have			quadrant of New Hampshire Avenue, Notley Road,	
18 any problem with, and we don't have any problem			from the R-200 zone with commercial-residential	
19 with submitting materials and testifying, none of			neighborhood floating zone CRNF 1.0, C-0.0, R-1.0	
20 that. It was really just kind of going beyond			H-50' to allow for the redevelopment of this	
21 that to the extent he was going to act as			underutilized corridor-fronting property with a	
22 Opposition Counsel or the Applicant. That's			compatible residential development containing up	
23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And thank yo			to 130 units.	
24 so much for the clarification. Even then, I do		24		
25 stand by the overruling of the objection because			sten in a multi-sten process. As the Hearing	

25 stand by the overruling of the objection because

25 step in a multi-step process. As the Hearing

85 87 1 Examiner is aware, there are very specific and attention to the proposed design to ensure its 2 narrow findings that must be made at this stage compatibility with the surrounding neighborhood. 3 for approval of a Local Map Amendment. Many of The proposed residential development is located 4 the details, with respect to parking and tree along New Hampshire Avenue, a six-lane divided 5 preservation and final determination of adequate highway not in the middle of a low-density 6 public facilities, are premature at this stage and residential community, as opponents have tried to 7 will be addressed with the subsequent preliminary 7 portray. 8 plan and site plan applications. Nonetheless, the 8 Through our witnesses today, we will Applicant has advanced the conceptual design at demonstrate the proposed heights are compatible 10 this early stage beyond the level of detail that 10 with the surrounding neighborhood and the 11 is typically seen with a Floating Zone Plan to 11 underlying R-200 zone. The setbacks propose a 12 demonstrate how the project will be compatible 12 compatible transition to the surrounding 13 with the surrounding properties on this 13 neighborhood. Ample open space will be provided 14 corridor-focused property in East County, which 14 onsite, including both formal open space in 15 directly addresses the County's housing needs for 15 addition to HOA parcels and individual lots which 16 both more housing and diverse housing. 16 provide additional green area, and that the This Local Map Amendment is, to our 17 proposed density is also compatible with the 18 knowledge, the first to implement the 18 surrounding neighborhood, which contains a 19 corridor-focused development and vision by Thrive 19 diversity of residential commercial uses at 20 Montgomery 2050, the County's General Plan. 20 varying densities. 21 Thrive sets forth a new vision for the County. 21 As courts have recognized compatibility, As you will hear from our experts today, 22 as shown in a floating zone case through 23 while the White -- 1997 White Oak Master Plan 23 conformance with express ordinance standards, our 24 remains valid, as confirmed by Maryland's courts, 24 expert testimony today, in combination with the 25 its recommendation remains in effect only to the 25 information already contained in the record, will 86 88 1 extent they are not inconsistent with the confirm that this Local Map Amendment complies 2 subsequently adopted General Plan, just Thrive with all development standards required under 3 2050. We have cited a case in our October 20th Division 5.3. It complies with the prerequisites 4 letter to the Planning Board to that effect, which under Division 5.13.D, and it satisfies all of the is Exhibit 65, in the record. findings contained in 7.2.1.E of the zoning 6 As discussed in detail in the record, ordinance. and as we will confirm through our testimony The project will not substantially or 8 today, this Local Map Amendment is in substantial will not adversely affect the surrounding roadway 9 conformance with the goals and recommendations of network. To the contrary, the project will 10 the 1997 White Oak Master Plan, Thrive Montgomery 10 substantially improve pedestrian safety and 11 2050, and the numerous other county Functional 11 connectivity and will not generate traffic that 12 Master Plans, all of which conformance with is 12 exceeds applicable critical lane volumes, and our 13 required by 7.2.1.E of the zoning ordinance. Park 13 experts will testify to that. 14 and Planning's Technical Staff and the Planning In some through our witnesses today, we 15 Board have agreed. 15 will demonstrate that this Local Map Amendment As we will demonstrate today, the 16 satisfies all standards and requirements and 17 proposed CRNF zone is appropriate for this 17 findings necessary for the District Council to 18 approve the LMA. The Montgomery County Planning 18 property. It is compatible with the surrounding 19 Board agreed with this. The Planning Board 19 development, and it's in the public interest. 20 Our testimony will confirm that there 20 reviewed the application and voted and -- to 21 are adequate public facilities and services to 21 recommend approval of the LMA at their regularly 22 accommodate the proposed development, although 22 scheduled hearing on October 30th. Their 23 formal determination of adequate public facilities 23 transmittal record is -- letter is in the record 24 will come with a subsequent preliminary plan of 24 at Exhibit 30, and it confirms that the LMA meets 25 subdivision application. We have paid particular 25 all the required findings in 7.2.1.E.

91 We plan to call three witnesses. We 1 None had exclusive access, ingress and egress 2 have Joshua Sloan with VIKA as a landscape only, to a minor residential road. 3 architect and land planner; Logan Kelso, also with The rezoning does not substantially VIKA as a civil engineer; and Katie Wagner with conform with the 1997 approved and adopted White Oak Master Plan. The White Oak Master Plan Grove Slade as our transportation engineer. We have taken note of the questions that provides that there should be limited organic the Hearing Examiner provided via email, and we redevelopment or infill development within will address those in our testimony today. And existing residential communities. after other opening remarks are given, we're ready This is not that. This is significantly 10 to proceed with our case in chief and calling our 10 different from the surrounding neighborhood, and 11 that would be the neighborhood as delineated 11 first witness. 12 either by the Applicant, by Planning Staff, or by HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 13 much. 13 my clients. 14 14 Additionally, it does not substantially Ms. Rosenfeld? 15 OPENING STATEMENT FOR GCCA 15 conform with the Thrive Montgomery 2050 plan, MS. ROSENFELD: Good morning. Thank 16 which has very clear goals of -- while on the one 16 17 you. I'm here on behalf of the Greater Colesville 17 hand focusing development along certain corridors, 18 Citizens Association, Dan Wilhelm, president, and 18 this is not one of those corridors. Those 19 Kyle Smiddie -- not Kyle Motley, as I referred to 19 corridors are identified in the plan. This is not 20 him earlier -- a confronting property owner who 20 that. 21 lives at 30 -- 334 Flannery Lane. There are 21 It also encourages development as 22 multiple reasons why this proposed LMA should be 22 complete communities within an abutting existing 23 denied, starting with the fact that it's a 23 commercial centers. This does not satisfy that 24 threshold matter. It does not qualify for 24 either. We'll go through that in great detail 25 rezoning because it does not have the requisite 25 when we testify later. 90 92 1 minimum to prerequisites necessary, even to be The proposed rezoning is not compatible 1 eligible for consideration. with the existing adjacent development. The 2 height and the massing of the buildings are And as we'll explain during our 4 testimony first, the site does not have vehicular incompatible with the existing neighborhood. It's access to a non-residential road, as required by surrounded by an existing low-density neighborhood 6 Zoning Code 59-1.3.D; and it does not have the -with considerable open space, is in parts heavily 7 there's no evidence in the record that it has the tree, and is served exclusively by neighborhood 8 required existing water and sewer infrastructure 8 roads. 9 that's adequate to support the proposed rezoning. 9 The existing single-family residential 10 Beyond that, the CRNF zone is not 10 structures do not exceed 40 feet in height. The 11 intended for or appropriately located at this 11 proposed height for this project is 50. 12 site. It's a mixed-use zone intended to offer And while the Applicant told you that 13 mixed-use development compatible with adjacent 13 Park and Planning agreed that this LMA is 14 development. This rezoning is far more dense than 14 appropriate at this location, what they failed to 15 the surrounding exclusively-residential community, 15 mention is that the Planning Board endorsed in 16 predominantly R-200 zoning. 16 concept the Staff's recommendations that existing A look at the prior CRNF rezonings that 17 vegetation along certain boundaries and within 18 have been approved by the County Council -- there 18 certain interior portions of the site be preserved 19 are five -- confirm that this one does not match 19 and protected, that there be additional open

21

20 space, and that the density proposed is too high.

So we think that once the Hearing

23 during the hearing, you'll appreciate and agree

25 proposed does not satisfy the Master Plan, does

24 with us that this rezoning at this location as

22 Examiner better understands the board's comments

20 the predominant characteristics of those other

22 within. They redeveloped existing institutional

24 highways and/or a metro rail line and/or were an

25 edge condition to an urban area such as a CBD.

21 communities. The -- those other rezonings were

23 commercial buildings, and they're bounded by major

Transcript of Hearing	
Conducted on November 6,	2025

93		95
1 not satisfy the standards of the zoning code, is	1 months doing dedicated work to analyze this	
2 not compatible with the surrounding neighborhood,	2 proposal and took to and took in countless	
3 and should be denied. Thank you.	3 community comments through meetings, flyers, and	
4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	4 web notifications.	
5 So I'll turn it over to the Applicant's	5 Likewise, if someone's seeking to make	
6 Counsel to present your case in chief. Mr oh,	6 specific changes to a parcel or parcels, as the	
7 sorry. Please, please.	7 Applicant is proposing here, there are processes	
8 OPENING STATEMENT FOR KEITH NUSBAUM, PARTY OF	8 and rules that must be followed. And the	
9 RECORD	9 government has the duty under the social contract	
10 MR. NUSBAUM: Thank you. Ms. Hearing	10 with citizens to faithfully uphold those rules in	
11 Examiner, again, my name is Keith Nusbaum, and I'm	11 making its determination.	
12 here as a party of record in organized deposition	I bring this up because I, like many of	
13 to Local Map Amendment H-159. As addressed in my	13 my neighbors, chose to move to Colesville based on	
14 prehearing statement, the Hearing Examiner should	14 the contract with this based on this contract	
15 not recommend this application because it is	15 with the government. I have stayed in my house	
16 materially flawed. I intend to provide evidence	16 for more than 15 years with the understanding that	
17 and testimony later to fully describe this	17 any development, such as that proposed, would need	
18 reasoning, but I would like to provide a short	18 to go through the proper process.	
19 preview of some of the key points now.	I have joined community groups; I've	
20 First, however, I would like to provide	20 participated in neighborhood activities and	
21 some context for this hearing and the application.	21 events; I've made hundreds of thousands of dollars	
22 The citizens of Montgomery County have a social	22 in renovations to enhance my home. I've done all	
23 contract with their government.	23 of this with the knowledge that the White Oak	
24 As Commissioner Bartley stated at the	24 Master Plan, as drafted, looks to maintain the	
25 Planning Board meeting on October 30th last week,	25 community of which I am part.	
94		96
1 Zoning is a promise from the government to the	1 There has been no change in the last	
2 citizens. It is a promise that the citizens can	2 almost 30 years to the Colesville part of the	
3 rely on the zoning and the associated regulations	3 White Oak Master Plan. And yet the community of	
4 and that the government will ensure that the	4 Colesville has continued to evolve, diversify,	
5 actions related to zoning follow those	5 expand, and thrive with this Master Plan, not	
6 regulations.	6 despite it.	
While I appreciate that zoning can	7 The community is built on the ideas in	
8 change, and I recognize I also recognize that	8 the Master Plan. To allow this Applicant to	
9 there are processes in the County by which that	9 hijack the zoning ordinance under the political	
10 can happen, and there are rules and regulations	10 arguments that the County needs more housing at	
11 that the citizens, developers, and the government,	11 all costs destroys all that I and the community	
12 including OZAH and the District Council, must	12 have done.	
13 follow in effectuating those changes. The	As Commissioner Bartley also stated at	
14 County's seeking to make broad changes to the	14 the October 30th hearing, that in the County's	
15 zoning regulations or more localized Sector Plan	15 need and want to produce more housing, the County	
16 or master changes. There's a process for that, a	16 government errs in valuing future residents	
17 deliberative process that takes time with	17 greater than it values current residents. It is	
18 analysis, debate, understanding, and, ultimately,	18 the current residents who maintain the community,	
19 a decision.	19 maintain the beauty here, and enhance the	
Facts are determined based on data	20 community with their human interactions,	
21 gathered during the process, and those facts are	21 lifestyle, and culture. The government must value	
22 analyzed and examined in detail by dozens of	22 what Colesville has become through proper zoning	
23 zoning professionals. There's a prime example of	23 administration and ensure Applicant meets its	
24 how this just was just accomplished in	24 burden and follows all of the necessary zoning	
25 ZTA 25-02. The council and their Staff spent	25 requirements.	

99 I also want to make clear for the record 1 Council in 2014, when they enacted this Floating 2 that I am in favor of redevelopment of the Zone Plan that current -- that is currently in 3 property at issue here, but I want the development place, showed that for single-use residential 4 to be part of the community, not in spite of the properties, the council established residential 5 community. In fact, I support the development of detached townhouse and apartment floating zones. 6 townhouses on the site, but in the density that is 6 When one is looking to establish, and I quote, permitted by the zoning regulations, not that mixed-use centers and mixed-use development, the sought by the Applicant. CRNF zone is appropriate. Zoning regulations permit up to 4.36 But it is not appropriate when 10 units per acre based on the underlying R-200 10 single-use residential is the sole purpose. This 11 zoning. This would allow the potential for 43 11 is highlighted to an even greater extent when the 12 townhouses or other residences to be built on the 12 surrounding community is examined to see that 13 property. This would be compatible with the 13 there is no commercial and -- or employment zoning 14 community, and, quite frankly, the community 14 nearby that could provide for the broad mixed-use 15 wouldn't be -- would embrace this as thoughtful 15 definition Applicant seeks to employ. 16 redevelopment supporting the work that East County Applicant has argued and will continue 16 17 residents have done to elevate an area that had 17 to argue not that their project is mixed-use, but 18 previously been neglected by the County 18 that mixed use is not required for CRNF. They are 19 wrong. 19 government. Instead, Applicant is requesting more 20 To support their position, Applicant 21 than 13 units per acre, which is not permitted by 21 cites previous instances where CRNF zoning was 22 the zoning ordinance. And this density would be 22 single use. The previous decisions by this office 23 significantly greater than any similar 23 and the District Council are not persuasive. 24 developments in the area and would create a 24 In those cases, there was no opposition 25 striking difference from the thoughtful 25 to this issue. There was no analysis of this 98 100 development my community has undertaken so far. issue, and there was no mention of the issue in 2 My case in chief will show that the any transcript, report, or resolution in those application fails to meet the requirements for the 3 cases. 4 Local Map Amendment to a CRNF's floating zone, as 4 The Supreme Court of Maryland made 5 required by Montgomery County Code 7.2.1.E. The its -- made clear in its decision in Rochkind v. 6 evidence to be presented will establish that the Stevenson, 471 Md. 1, that when a precedent has 7 application fails to meet the necessary two 7 not been fully addressed or adequately analyzed, prerequisites in each category. and when a deliberative body never had the A clear reading of the zoning ordinance 9 occasion to scrutinize the issue, the court treats 10 will also show that the Applicant's decision to 10 the matter as effectively requiring fresh on the 11 seek CRNF zone is contrary to law and cannot be 11 merits treatment. This is the situation in which 12 permitted to proceed based on the present filing. 12 we find ourselves today. And this body cannot 13 The undisputed evidence will show that 13 rely on any of the prior zoning examples cited by 14 Applicant -- or is seeking to develop a single-use 14 Applicant, as none of them contained full analysis 15 residential property with covenants in perpetuity 15 of the issue. 16 for the same. Ultimately, this is an issue of first 17 impression for this office. And I must make clear

They are attempting to do this using a
18 zoning plan that was intended for and specifically
19 requires mixed use. This was not a mistake. They
20 are attempting an end-run round, the zoning
21 regulations, so that they can dramatically
22 increase the density of townhouses able to be
23 built on this property.
24 The plain reading of the Montgomery

25 County Code, and the intent by the District

17 impression for this office. And I must make clear
18 the purpose of CRNF zones, as articulated in the
19 plain reading of the zoning ordinance, is for
20 mixed-use development. And those seeking
21 single-use development should utilize the
22 appropriate zoning category.
23 Before concluding, I would also like to
24 address Applicant's burdens before this body.
25 Zoning Ordinance Article 59-7.1.1 sets forth that

	Conducted on N	υv	cmoci 0, 2023	
	101		1111	103
1	the Applicant has the burden of production and the	1	you could kindly raise your hand?	
2	burden of proof and is required to submit evidence	2	(The oath was administered.)	
3	sufficient to satisfy these burdens by	3	MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Mr. Sloan has	
4	preponderance of the evidence on all questions of	4	been previously qualified before this body on	
5	fact. This burden is defined in Maryland as to	5	numerous occasions as an expert. But in light of	
6	prove something is more likely than not.	6	the fact that the Hearing Examiner's ruling	
7	In other words, preponderance of the	7	reserving her ruling on qualifying Mr. Dan	
8	evidence means it's such evidence, when considered	8	Wilhelm, we would like to proceed with our voir	
9	and compared with that opposed to it, has more	9	dire of Mr. Sloan for the record so that it's	
	convincing force and produces in your mind a		clear, his extensive professional and educational	
	belief that it is more likely true. If you		history.	
	believe that the evidence is even balanced on the	12	MS. ROSENFELD: We will stipulate to his	
	issue, then your finding on the issue must be	13	expertise.	
	against the party who has the burden of proving	14		
15	it, the Applicant.	15	He's qualified as on that basis.	
16	• •	16		
	Applicant to be lacking, erroneous, ambiguous,	17		
	unsupported, speculative, incomplete, or evenly	18	still	
19	balanced with that presented by the Opposition,	19	MS. ROGERS: some of those questions	
20	the Applicant's burdens have not been met.	20	so that it's clear for the record in terms of the	
21	Likewise, you find that the evidence presented by	21	degree of if Mr. Wilhelm's going to be under	
22	myself and the rest of those speaking against and	22	voir dire, we would like it just to be clear for	
23	appearing in organized opposition to be more	23	the record how Mr. Sloan has been qualified in the	
24	convincing, and that it shows our position more	24	past.	
25	likely to be true, the Applicant's burdens have	25	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So you're	
	102			104
1	not been met.	1	welcome to.	
2	For the Hearing Examiner to recommend	2	MS. ROGERS: Okay. Thank you.	
3	approval, and for the District Council to approve	3	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: She was	
4	this application, the council and you must find	4	MS. ROGERS: Okay.	
5	that the Applicants have met their evidentiary	5	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, thank	
6	burdens on all questions of fact with respect to	6	you.	
7	each element of the necessary findings of the	7	MS. ROGERS: We will be brief.	
8	zoning ordinance.	8	Whereupon,	
9	In conclusion, the evidence in this case	9	JOSHUA SLOAN,	
	will show that a full and complete analysis of	10	called as a witness for the Applicant,	
11	each of the factors of Section 7.2.1.E can only	11	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	
12	support a finding that Applicants cannot beat	12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13	their burden, and the application fails. For	13	BY MS. ROGERS	
14	these reasons, the Hearing Examiner should	14	Q But, Mr. Sloan, can you please explain	
15	recommend disapproval by the District Council.	15	what is your primary occupation?	
	Thank you.	16	A I'm a landscape architect and land	
17	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	17	planner.	
18		18		
	to start their case in chief. And if you could		professional land planner and landscape architect?	
	kindly step down from the counsel table, just so	20		
	you allow space for your witnesses?		about 29 years and a land planner for about a	
22	MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Now we're going		little over half that time.	
	to call our first witness, Mr. Joshua Sloan.	23		
24	(Witness summoned.)		professional educational background and	
25			professional designations and accreditations	

105 107 1 you've received? 1 Master Plans and Functional Plans, design A Yes. I have a bachelor's degree in guidelines, other elements that are required to be 3 biology, studying plant ecology; I have a Master's looked at, to make sure it complies with each of 4 of Landscape Architecture; I'm licensed in the pieces of the regulatory framework that we 5 Maryland and Virginia as a landscape architect; 5 look at. 6 and I am a member of the American Institute of Q And did you prepare a written report Certified Planners. that was part of this application for the Q You -- and who is your current employer? submission of the Local Map Amendment? 9 And what's your employer's address? A Yes. The Land Use Report that we spoke A VIKA Maryland, 20251 Century Boulevard, 10 of earlier I worked on, my role in that was for 11 Suite 400, Germantown, Maryland 20874. 11 most of the report, especially the urban design Q And what's your current position at VIKA 12 elements, the landscape architecture, the planning 13 and the responsibilities? 13 elements, everything but the technical elements of A I'm vice president and director of 14 civil engineering and transportation planning. 15 planning and landscape architecture. Q And have you made a personal inspection Q And have you previously worked as a 16 of the Local Map Amendment site and familiar with 17 planner with the Maryland National Capital Park 17 the area surrounding the property? 18 and Planning Commission? 18 A Yes. 19 A Yes. I worked on Staff as a planner and MS. ROGERS: Are you familiar --20 up to supervisor for seven years. 20 actually, sorry. So we did submit into the record Q And in that role and your time at Park 21 a PowerPoint presentation that will kind of track 22 and Planning, did you work on -- in connection 22 with our testimony to make things easier. If we 23 with the zoning ordinance rewrite? 23 could pull it up? A Yes. I spent a good amount of time 24 THE WITNESS: 68. 25 before 2014, when the current zoning ordinance was 25 MS. ROGERS: 68. Thank you. 106 108 1 adopted, working on and writing and presenting and So just for the Hearing Examiner, we 1 2 discussing that -- the ordinance that was adopted would include in this presentation to try to make eventually over about a five-year period. it -- today's proceeding a little bit more Q And just for the record, have you organized. We have identified those records that testified before this body before --5 are -- or documents already in the record as such. A Several times. And where we have a new exhibit that should be 6 Q -- in rezoning? Thank you. appropriately given a separate exhibit number, MS. ROGERS: And we would just note for we've indicated as much. 9 the record that his -- Mr. Sloan's resum 's 9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And this 10 submitted in connection with our prehearing 10 PowerPoint is Exhibit --11 statement, Exhibit 52. MS. ROGERS: 68 in the record. 11 Q Mr. Sloan, are you familiar with the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- 68. Okay. 12 13 Local Map Amendment that's before the Hearing 13 Thank you. 14 Examiner today? MS. ROGERS: Thank you. So the image up 15 A Yes. 15 on the screen is an excerpt from Exhibit 56 that's Q Can you please describe your 16 already in the record. 17 responsibilities with respect to this LMA Q Mr. Sloan, are you familiar with this 18 surrounding neighborhood boundaries that were 18 application? 19 identified by Staff in their Staff Report? 19 A So in -- with respect to this 20 application, I really worked on this -- the 20 A Yes. Staff Report had a boundary for 21 overall design of the project, worked with my team 21 the community neighborhood that was part of 22 and our colleagues, working on the development 22 Exhibit 56, their report, and it indicates the 23 standards, the general requirements, the zoning 23 neighborhood boundary as I-200 -- sorry, Maryland 24 ordinance, applicability, and adherence to those 24 Route 200 on the north, the Northwest Branch 25 requirements, and reviewing all of the necessary

25 Stream Valley to the west, and then runs along the

Conducted on November 6, 2025

111 1 South Beaumont Road through the neighborhood lots 1 impacts. 2 to Sherwood Forest Road and down to Randolph, 2 We did not go far -- as far north as 3 Randolph over, and then up the east side of the --Staff did. And as I noted, we went to a separate 4 on the east side about three lots deep, east of tributary on the west side and then down Sherwood 5 New Hampshire Avenue, and back to -- by Maryland 5 Forest. 200. That said, it's a similar type of 6 6 Q And do you agree with Staff's community. It has a mix of uses. There are a delineation? number of institutional uses; there are A I understand their reasoning. We special-exception commercial uses; there are 10 typically use major transportation corridors and 10 commercia- only zoning uses; there are townhouses 11 environmental boundaries, such as stream valleys 11 and a significant number of detached houses of 12 and major routes, to delineate a neighborhood so 12 various sizes from R-90 lots to R-200 to RE-1, 13 that we can make our comparisons and analysis. I 13 which is a residential estate zoning. 14 think it's a little overly broad because there is Q And are you comfortable accepting 15 very little conductivity once you're left -- once 15 Staff's defined neighborhood boundary? 16 you're east -- or, sorry, west of Notley Road and A I'm comfortable with Staff's boundary. 17 Sherwood Forest. So the impacts are not 17 I don't think it changes our analysis of the 18 significant in those areas, if any. 18 findings. 19 We went a little further to the east to MS. ROGERS: If we can go to the next 20 slide, please? 20 a natural drainage divide and tributary. That 21 said, I don't think the analysis changes in any 21 Q So we're looking at Exhibit 57-D on the 22 material way. It's more of the same to either 22 screen. Mr. Sloan, Opposition suggests 23 side of those. 23 alternative surrounding boundary in their 24 24 September 17th submission. MS. ROGERS: And if we can go to the 25 next slide, please? In your professional opinion, is this 110 112 Q So, Mr. Sloan, you were beginning to 1 boundary appropriate for the subject application? 2 speak to -- but can you describe -- again, with A So looking at Exhibit 57-D, I do not this exhibit up on the record -- what the believe this is appropriate. While they retained 4 Applicant's proposed neighborhood boundary was? 4 the boundaries based on the limits we established A Sure. We typically look starting about 5 mostly to the east, north, and west, the line to 6 at a radius of about a quarter-mile to a half-mile the south is not even a quarter-mile away and does for the natural connectivity. not follow the standard boundaries that we work, HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So sorry to with Staff in particular, based on natural 9 interrupt. Just so I can follow when I read the 9 environmental divides or major transportation 10 transcript, what you're referring to now is 10 routes. 11 Exhibit 23. Q So just to reiterate for the record, 11 12 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 23. 12 does the southern line follow established planning HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Although we 13 principles in terms of how boundaries are drawn 14 are putting up the PowerPoint, we are actually 14 when delineating neighborhoods? 15 looking at Exhibit 23. A It does not. It cuts through the MS. ROGERS: Yes, thank you. We'll 16 neighborhood in a way that we would not do in a 17 clarify moving forward. Thank you very much. 17 standard delineation of a neighborhood. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Next slide, 19 A Okay. So back to Exhibit 23, the 19 please. 20 Applicant's proposed neighborhood. We established 20 Q This is Exhibit 10, the certified zoning 21 the boundary on the east side based on a tributary 21 map. Mr. Sloan, have you reviewed the official 22 zoning vicinity map for the property and the 22 and then a rough radius of a quarter-mile to a

24

25

23 surrounding area?

A I have.

And what is the existing zoning

23 half-a-mile, which is a typical walking distance

25 connectivity, visual impacts, and also functional

24 for folks, and involves any vehicular

113	115
1 classification of the property?	1 updated to reflect the Planning Board's proposed
2 A So the property is R-200.	2 binding elements and setbacks.
3 Q And sorry to jump back, but going back	3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. And
4 to the surrounding neighborhood, just to be clear,	4 just in summary, could you just what's the big
5 you showed Staff's boundary. Was Staff before	5 what's the difference between what the
6 them, before the Planning Board deliberation, did	6 people what the public saw and this new plan?
7 the Staff have the benefit of seeing Opposition's	7 MS. ROGERS: Sure. So these new plans
8 proposed boundary?	8 simply update the binding elements to reflect what
9 A They did.	9 Planning Staff had recommended in their planning
10 Q And did Staff accept Opposition's	10 report that were adopted by the Planning Board.
11 proposed boundaries?	11 So both of those, the lists of the proposed
12 A They did not. They expanded on both.	12 binding elements, had been available to the public
13 Q Thank you. Sorry to jump out of order.	13 since the posting of the Staff Report, which was
14 You've noted for the record the existing zoning	14 submitted to OZAH early as well with Exhibit 56.
15 classification of the property. What's the	15 And the Planning Board chose to accept
16 proposed zoning classification of the property?	16 Staff's recommended binding elements, and so the
17 A Proposed zoning is CRN floating zone	17 Applicant has merely reflected those binding
18 CRNF 1.0, C-0, R-1.0, H-50.	18 elements that were part of the public record and
19 Q Are residential uses permitted in the	19 recommended by the Planning Board on our drawings.
20 CRNF zone?	20 And then the only other change was that one of
21 A They are. There are several residential	21 those binding elements recommended a change to the
22 uses allowed, including single-unit living,	22 setbacks on the north and west property boundaries
23 two-unit living, townhouse living.	23 so that there would be a 30-foot setback,
24 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. We can go to	24 particularly to the north, directly where the
25 the next slide. So for the record, this is the	25 property abuts other single-family homes.
25 the flext state. So for the record, this is the	25 property abouts other single rammy nomes.
1 revised Floating Zone Plan that we did submit.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh.
2 But when we submitted our PowerPoint, we didn't	2 MS. ROGERS: And that's the only change
2 But when we submitted our rowerrount, we didn't	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B.	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now
 3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now4 reflected along that northern property boundary.
 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. THE WITNESS: 70-B? MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 	 3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a
 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. THE WITNESS: 70-B? MS. ROGERS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 	 3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before?
 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. THE WITNESS: 70-B? MS. ROGERS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: And do we have the full size here? 	 3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23.
 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. THE WITNESS: 70-B? MS. ROGERS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: And do we have the full size here? MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 	 3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any
 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. THE WITNESS: 70-B? MS. ROGERS: Yeah. THE WITNESS: And do we have the full size here? MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full size and signed copies of the updated Floating 	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today,	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan?
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record.	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections.
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted.
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted)
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted) 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.)
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long 19 as it's done in a public hearing. So do you want	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just 19 because I think it's easier to see.
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long 19 as it's done in a public hearing. So do you want 20 to officially move so that I can rule on that	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just 19 because I think it's easier to see. 20 So this is a rendered plan reflecting
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long 19 as it's done in a public hearing. So do you want 20 to officially move so that I can rule on that 21 motion?	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just 19 because I think it's easier to see. 20 So this is a rendered plan reflecting 21 the Floating Zone Plan that we had presented to
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long 19 as it's done in a public hearing. So do you want 20 to officially move so that I can rule on that 21 motion? 22 MS. ROGERS: Yes. We would like to	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just 19 because I think it's easier to see. 20 So this is a rendered plan reflecting 21 the Floating Zone Plan that we had presented to 22 the Montgomery County Planning Board. This was
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long 19 as it's done in a public hearing. So do you want 20 to officially move so that I can rule on that 21 motion? 22 MS. ROGERS: Yes. We would like to 23 officially move that the Floating Zone Plan be	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just 19 because I think it's easier to see. 20 So this is a rendered plan reflecting 21 the Floating Zone Plan that we had presented to 22 the Montgomery County Planning Board. This was 23 included within our presentation at Exhibit 68.
3 have an exhibit number, so this is Exhibit 70-B. 4 THE WITNESS: 70-B? 5 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 6 THE WITNESS: And do we have the full 7 size here? 8 MS. ROGERS: And we do have the full 9 size and signed copies of the updated Floating 10 Zone Plan to give to the Hearing Examiner today, 11 for your record. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I'm 13 sorry to be overly formal, but could you 14 because it came in, you know, so close in time to 15 the hearing, could you just formally move to have 16 it because you can certainly amend the Floating 17 Zone Plan without the you know, without us 18 having to have mailed notice to everybody, as long 19 as it's done in a public hearing. So do you want 20 to officially move so that I can rule on that 21 motion? 22 MS. ROGERS: Yes. We would like to	3 shown on the plan itself, is that setback is now 4 reflected along that northern property boundary. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And it's now a 6 30-foot setback. What was it before? 7 THE WITNESS: It was about 24 or 23. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are there any 9 objections to inclusion to admission into the 10 record of this amended Floating Zone Plan? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 13 Amendment granted. 14 (Applicant's Exhibit 70-B, was admitted 15 into evidence and retained by the Hearing 16 Examiner.) 17 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 18 Can we go to the next slide? Just 19 because I think it's easier to see. 20 So this is a rendered plan reflecting 21 the Floating Zone Plan that we had presented to 22 the Montgomery County Planning Board. This was

117 1 reflect the technical 30-foot setback on the 2 northern boundary that our amended Floating Zone 3 Plan reflects, but otherwise reflects the concepts. THE WITNESS: It is in the record. It's 50-B. 6 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. So this is already -- in the record, my mistake, is 50-B. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. 10 MS. ROGERS: It's been there for a 11 while. Q So, Mr. Sloan, can you please briefly 13 explain the planning concepts for the proposed 14 development on this property? 15 A Yes. So as Ms. Rogers has noted, this 16 layout is significantly the same as what was 17 previously -- what was -- what is presented in the 18 amended application except for the stick of six 19 units on the north, which is now shifted to the 20 south. Otherwise, it is identical. 21 So the general concept of this plan is 22 to provide the most dense area of the plan along 23 New Hampshire Avenue, where we have units fronting 24 along the street. We're providing an upgraded 25 streetscape that matches the streetscape that's 118

119 1 create further setbacks than is typically required for townhouses so that we have over 40 feet from the curb of the road to the buildings. And in that area, we're dedicating right of way. And within that right of way, we're looking at designing this double row of trees so that we have significant landscape within the right of way. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And, Mr. 8 Sloan, feel free to walk up to the -- you are 10 welcome to walk up if you want to go and point at 11 anything. 12 THE WITNESS: That would be great. I'd 13 like to do that. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Not to 15 interrupt your flow, but it's -- when you say west 16 and east and --17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- where --19 THE WITNESS: So I'll point and try to 20 remember that the transcript also has to be --21 A Okay. So I described putting the 22 predominant density and any potential larger units 23 on the east side on New Hampshire Avenue and then 24 coming along Notley at the bottom of the screen, 25 breaking up the units into smaller sticks of 120

1 been built to the north that includes a shared-use 2 path, a side path, a larger tree panel, and then 3 dedication and further setbacks of the units in 4 the newly designed lots. All of the units along 5 New Hampshire Avenue and Notley are rear-load 6 townhouse or some other type of, like, triplex or 7 something that we have that we're thinking of so 8 that you have front doors, front yards, and 9 landscape and sidewalk between the buildings and 10 Notley Road in New Hampshire Avenue. That's key 11 to getting eyes -- what they call eyes on the 12 street, making sure that the people have 13 front-yards visibility to the street, which 14 include -- which improves pedestrian safety. 15 Once we establish that higher density on 16 the east side towards New Hampshire, the units 17 that are all along Notley are specifically broken 18 up into smaller sets of sticks that you don't 19 typically see in townhouse development. And this 20 is to replicate the widths of the detached homes 21 and the spacing between them along the way. We 22 work with Staff on this spacing and how to break 23 this up adequately. As we go along, the streetscape on 25 Notley itself, we discussed early on a way to

here, we're doing three to five, depending on the unit size that might go in there. 5 And then along Notley, we've got a tree panel that's ten-foot side path, another tree panel before you get to the property itself, the private property. And we've set the units back 9 further than is typically required for townhouses 10 so that we can have a deeper front yard. Once you get to the west side of the 12 site -- we originally had larger internal open 13 space, but we heard a lot of feedback that getting 14 to this park the -- both the physical access and 15 the sidewalk -- doesn't exist today -- and that it 16 is a little underutilized. And we were -- it was 17 suggested to try to enhance this park field, so we 18 put a larger -- the largest area of our required 19 common open space adjacent to the existing park. 20 It's about 18,000 square feet with some parking 21 along that -- along the street that's running 22 north-south on the west side of our project. 23 Then once you get into the project, 24 there is another stick of townhouses -- or several 25 sticks of townhouses running east-west to take

1 townhouses. Typically, we're doing eight to

twelve townhouses together or something. But

123

124

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

1 advantage of the alley that's serving the units on 2 Notley, and then a second road. And that second 3 road serves several purposes. One, it provides a significant area for

5 parallel parking for guests. Another, it provides 6 fire access, which we have to provide to all of these units. And then the third, it provides pedestrian connectivity.

In between those east-west-running

10 units, we have a linear open space. That linear 11 open space abuts the senior-living building to our 12 north, and this is primarily because of, one, 13 utility infrastructure and fire access, technical 14 reasons; but it's also because this grading that 15 we have to do on this side.

I don't know if I should get into the 17 grading in greater detail. Or should I do it now? 18 I can do it now.

19 Q You can touch on high-level.

20 A Let me touch on it real quickly.

21 Currently, a lot of this layout is driven by

22 grades. So there's a significant ridge line that

23 runs to -- just to the west of New Hampshire

24 Avenue in this part of Colesville, and mostly in

25 the water splits, you know, roughly 50-50 towards

1 additional rear-load units and a small park

feature to connect east to west all the way to the

park through sidewalks, crosswalks. There are a

couple of spaces where we punched through

north-south so that there's connectivity

throughout, and we provided a significant

pedestrian area.

8 Another thing that we might get into detail later, but working with this -- working 10 with Staff and hearing concerns about parking, we

11 changed this from our original submission

12 significantly by providing full driveway spaces

13 for all of our units. So each of our units have

14 both garage spaces and driveway spaces.

15 The larger units will have at least four 16 spaces. The -- some units will have two spaces at 17 minimum, plus the overflow area for onsite

18 parking -- on-street parking side.

Okay. Another element of pulling the 20 setbacks and creating this green space on the --

21 to north and the west is that we can decrease our

22 limits of disturbance for grading. And that will

23 allow us to ensure that we can save all of the

24 offsite trees that are large, 24 inches or

25 greater, as well as we're looking at saving some

1 the east and towards the west.

2 What we're doing, as part of our

3 stormwater concept though, is moving that grade

4 line to the west so that we can take advantage of

5 an existing storm drain system and alleviate some

6 concerns about drainage and runoff to the west. 7 So that ridge line moving to the west allows us --

8 it requires us to provide some significant open

9 space where we can put stormwater facilities that

10 are integrated into our pedestrian open-space

11 plan, seating areas, things like that, so a

12 natural amenity where we can keep a nice green

13 screen and put a lot of planting stormwater.

The tab of the property, as I call it --

15 that is to the west of the Senior Living building.

16 That's where we transition to a different type of

17 unit that has front yards with driveways and then

18 has backyards to the backyards of our abutting

19 neighbors to the west and to the north. This is

20 where we pulled the buildings back to at least 30

21 feet of a setback, which is what is required for

22 an R-200 zone property.

23 So these are front-load units around the

24 perimeter on the north side of the site, and then

25 there's a small internal block with some

of the perimeter trees that are on our property 2 itself.

3 There are some large walnuts, pines,

things like that, that we're looking at saving at

the site plan level of detailed grading and forest

conservation. But we're starting to think about

it and address those concerns now. So look at

8 the --

122

9 Q Want to go to the next -- walk through

10 some of the next exhibits to elaborate further?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q Okay.

A Could you look at the next exhibit,

14 please? I'm sorry.

15 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner,

16 I'm not sure if the people on Zoom can hear. Can

17 we just verify, since he's no longer sitting at

18 the mike?

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Can we just

20 have a check on zoom? Can you -- can people --

21 can someone on zoom signal if they can hear the

22 witness speak?

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We are hearing

24 very clearly. We cannot see what he's pointing

25 to.

127 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I understand 1 greater width of a hundred feet measured from the that. That's one of the downsides to the Zoom foundation and group of units or buildings? participation, but -- okay. You can hear him. A Correct. Staff and the Planning Board 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. recommended a binding element of -- as noted, that 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. no stick of townhouses along Notley have of total length greater than 100 feet. We're not there 6 A I'll keep trying to describe for the transcript and for people on Zoom. This is just a with these, but -- except that we're still going really quick exhibit on something that we -- that 8 to work on maintaining this pattern of the smaller 9 I noted we have worked with Staff significantly on groups of townhouses. 10 with breaking up these sticks of townhouses, and Q And so just for the record, before we 11 we just wanted to see, are we in relative 11 move on, in your professional opinion, as 12 comparison to the size of detached zones. 12 currently designed and shown in the Floating Zone 13 13 Plan, are the widths of the homes -- stick of And we have a large range of detached 14 zones because we have several different zones --14 units along Notley Road designed to be in keeping 15 R-90, R-200 -- we have R-200 zones that were 15 with the character of the surrounding existing 16 developed under an old, optional method that 16 residential homes? 17 allows under 20,000-square-foot lots just to our A Yes. The width as designed is in 17 18 north, so there's quite a diversity of housing 18 keeping with the residential character of the 19 sizes and lot sizes around us. And this is just a 19 neighborhood via being residential. 20 quick exhibit that shows some of those 20 Q Thank you. 21 relationships and the numbers that we're looking 21 A Okay. 22 at to set up this replicated staggering of units. 2.2. Q Do you want to go to the next slide? 23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And is this --23 A Yeah. If we could look at the next 24 what you're pointing at now, is that an exhibit 24 slide? These are from the landscape renderings 25 that's already in the record? 25 that went in the record originally. I don't 126 128 MS. ROGERS: This is in the record with remember which. our PowerPoint of Exhibit 68. O I don't --HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. A So these are excerpts from --MS. ROGERS: So we weren't going to give 4 O I think -this one an additional, separate exhibit number --5 A -- Exhibit 50. 6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. So Q Hold on one second. 7 this --A Did you remember it? Or do you have 8 MS. ROGERS: -- unless the Hearing 8 those on you? Q I think these might have changed Examiner would like. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: This only 10 slightly in terms of us compiling them onto one, 11 shows up in the PowerPoint. 11 so we'll just refer --12 THE WITNESS: Correct. 12 A Okay. 13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's not a Q -- to them as Exhibit 68, included with 13 14 separate --14 our PowerPoint. 15 MS. ROGERS: Correct. A Okay. So this is an image looking at 16 the proposed development from the west side along 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Thank 17 you. 17 the front of Notley, where, as I mentioned from Q And --18 the 3D rendering at the bottom, from right to A And --19 left, there's a tree panel, a ten-foot side path, 19 20 Q Go ahead. 20 a separate tree panel. You can't really see the

23

25

24 slide?

21 property line, but then private property to the

MS. ROGERS: Want to go to the next

THE WITNESS: The next slide is looking

22 unit fronts themselves.

21

A -- go to the next one?

Q Well, no. So I was going to ask,

23 Mr. Sloan, just for the record, is there a binding

24 element that controls the maximum width of an

25 assemblage of units along Notley Road to have no

131

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

129

1 out just to show the depth of the front yards that 1 goes from the road in our new side path to the 2 we're providing. I don't think there's anything park itself, where there's a playground in an open 3 else to add on this one. If we could go to the lawn space, some seating areas, and the natural 4 next? woods that surrounds it. 5 A So landscape screening is required to be THE WITNESS: Can we see the next slide? 6 provided under the code, whether you're providing MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please. 6 townhouses that are abutting detached homes. It's A This is a rendering that we did showing required at two different -- there are two where the park is today. There's a small parking different choices built into the code. field. The playgrounds are to the north and the 10 One's smaller in width and has --10 left side, middle side of the image, and then our 11 requires a fence; one is the larger of the two, is 11 development is to the east, or to the right side. 12 ten feet deep, does not require a fence. We're --12 THE WITNESS: Okay. And the next slide. 13 we've combined these, and we're proposing to have 13 A We also have internal open spaces. 14 a privacy fence and then ten feet of landscape 14 We're looking at a seating area with a significant 15 buffering along those two property lines. 15 kind of shade structure, focal point at the Q And, Mr. Sloan, with this exhibit up 16 terminus of our central drive. And we're looking 17 on -- for the record, is the now proposed 30-foot 17 at ways to pedestrianize it, make it even safer 18 setback to the north and west, where the property 18 and more -- and to slow vehicles down, things like 19 abuts single family homes -- although not 19 that, by raising and creating a tabletop or 20 required, does it now meet what the underlying R-2 20 different kind of paving pattern at that node at 21 zone would have required for a rear setback? 21 the end of the drive. 22 A Correct. Yes, it does. Q And, Mr. Sloan, for the record, will 23 Q And the setback along Notley Road, which 23 open-space design and location be finalized at the 24 I believe you testified to, was more -- was --24 time of site plan? 25 well, you could testify to what the dimension is A Yes. We're -- so we're required to have 130 132 1 behind the back of curb and how that relates to 1 a ten percent common open space, and there are 2 the underlying R-200 zone? specific delineations and requirements for that. A Yes. So the R-200 -- let's see if I We're going to be developing all of that with the 4 could -- which ones? So the R-200 zone --4 site plan, although we're ensuring that we have at 5 where's -- sorry. I've gotten ahead of myself a 5 least a ten percent minimum now of common open little bit. space, not necessarily all of our open space, 6 Yes. So the R-200 zone, these -- the which is much more significant. 8 setback from the curb to the front of the building 8 MS. ROGERS: Our next slide, please. 9 is about 44 feet now, and that is to replicate Q Mr. Sloan, can you also testify, as 10 what is required under the R-200 zone. We're 10 you're giving kind of this broad overview of the 11 abutting R-90 zones, R-200 -- or confronting R-90, 11 development, to the enhancements regarding 12 R-200, so there are buildings that set -- that are 12 circulation for the proposed project? 13 set back from the curb for several different A So the slide up -- that is up now is 14 things, from 30 feet to the curb line up to 60 14 existing conditions. On the top is New Hampshire 15 feet on the larger lots, so well within that range 15 Avenue. On the bottom are two views and Notley 16 that's around us today, and therefore compatible 16 Road. 17 in terms of residential design parameters that we **17** Our frontage right now on Notley Road 18 could be looking at. 18 has no sidewalk or any kind of side path. New MS. ROGERS: We can go to the next 19 Hampshire Avenue has an undersized sidewalk, and 19 20 slide, please. 20 then it has a striped, signed bike path on both A So this is a rendering of the park space 21 sides of New Hampshire Avenue that runs roughly 22 looking from our west driveway entrance into the 22 from the ICC down to the shopping center. Those 23 site towards the park in the northwest. And so 23 are existing facilities. 24 this is where we're expanding the park by about Our proposal is to create, as I noted, a

25 significant tree buffer, then side paths of

25 18,000 square feet and creating a walkway that

135 1 varying sizes, eleven feet wide on New Hampshire, We'll be putting in our side path. 1 2 ten feet wide on Notley Road -- those are both We'll be upgrading the side path on New Hampshire. 3 required by complete streets -- and match what has But there are existing pedestrian routes and 4 been built to the north of us on New Hampshire. bicyclist routes that get you from our property 5 So these will significantly improve pedestrian down to Randolph Road, the shopping center, the 6 safety and connectivity across our frontage and two bus lines that are there now, the future BRT availability from New Hampshire Avenue to get to station. the park without vehicular conflicts. 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just want to make sure I can follow. We have some blue We do -- are also consolidating the 10 driveways. As has been mentioned before, there's 10 delineation and some pink. 11 a driveway on New Hampshire Avenue; there are THE WITNESS: Blue --11 12 several on Notley Road. We'll be consolidating 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: What's the 13 all those to two on Notley Road, and we'll address 13 significance? 14 the question about the prerequisite things later. THE WITNESS: Blue dashed is a proposed MS. ROGERS: Go to the next slide, 15 pedestrian; blue solid is an existing pedestrian; 15 16 orange dashed is a bicyclist proposed; orange 16 please. 17 A So this is a slide that shows the 17 solid is a bicyclist existing. 18 neighborhood boundary overlaid with some 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. 19 19 delineations of pedestrian and bicycle MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please. 20 connectivity that probably only I can see, so I'll 20 A A little more technical. It's 21 just --21 cross-sectioned to just show some of the elements 2.2. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: One second. 22 that we're proposing on Notley Road. It provides 23 Can we zoom that out as --23 the dimensions that the Staff recommended we 24 MS. ROSENFELD: And is this another 24 follow, a seven-foot tree panel, ten-foot side 25 exhibit? 25 path, five-foot-six-inch right now tree panel, 134 136 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Is there -- is plus a maintenance buffer until we get to our this -property line. That's on Notley, and I think the 2 THE WITNESS: This will be part of the next one is probably New Hampshire. PowerPoint Exhibit --4 MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: But do --5 A I'm sorry. Yeah. Yes. This is -- so 6 THE WITNESS: -- over on 58. this is New Hampshire. This has a larger tree HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Is the -panel, eight-foot tree panel, an eleven-foot side MS. ROGERS: 68. path, two-foot maintenance buffer, and then front HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- Applicant's 9 yards. These front yards are probably less than 10 conservation plan, it might be easier to see if we 10 what we'll do on Notley because we are trying to 11 pull up the one in the packet. 11 create that street presence, which has a A So the one we have in the record, it 12 significant impact on pedestrian buffer. 13 really only shows this site. One thing that I Q So, Mr. Sloan, in your professional 14 want to just reiterate is that there are the 14 opinion, will the proposed redevelopment improve 15 Master Plan -- the White Oak Master Plan 15 connectivity and pedestrian safety within the 16 recommended on street, signed, striped bike 16 existing neighborhood? 17 lanes -- those were built -- those were put in. A Connectivity and pedestrian safety will But under the new planning policies, 18 be significantly improved along the planned route. 19 these are supposed to be upgraded. And as Q And in your professional opinion, will 20 development comes in piece by piece, we're 20 the proposed development impact the character of 21 getting -- the developers are paying for the 21 the surrounding neighborhood? 22 installation of these elements. A I think we can use the next slide while So there are very few sidewalks around. 23 I answer this. So this is an aerial view of the 24 There are sidewalks on the confronting properties 24 neighborhood boundary in black on it, superimposed 25 on Notley Road. 25 over an -- yeah, of an aerial. And it has a

Conducted on November 6, 2025

137	139
1 quarter-mile and half-mile radius.	1 have to find where someone has talked about it to
2 MS. ROGERS: And, Mr sorry,	2 understand what it is. Perhaps they could update
3 Mr. Sloan.	3 that to say what the implications are and what
4 We do have this as a full-size plan to	4 they're talking about, what the purpose of this
5 submit into the record in hard copy today, if the	5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I think
6 Hearing Examiner would like this to be a separate	6 I'll let the Applicant's presentation flow
7 exhibit outside of our PowerPoint exhibit.	7 MS. FLEIT: Uh-huh.
8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Is the	8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: as well as
9 PowerPoint the first place we don't previously	9 you just explain to us you know, just explain
10 have it on	10 to us what we are looking at.
11 MS. ROGERS: Correct.	11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
12 THE WITNESS: I didn't no.	12 MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh.
13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I would like a	13 THE WITNESS: Okay. So this exhibit
	· ·
14 full size. This is very difficult to see. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah.	14 shows the proposed property well, not the
1	15 proposed property, the existing property where the
16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So yes.	16 proposed development is in relation to the
17 Unless there are any objections, I would say we	17 overall the area of the neighborhood. And it
18 MS. ROSENFELD: No objections.	18 shows quarter-mile radius from or at the
19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	19 intersection of Notley and New Hampshire, where we
20 Yes. And then could you kindly just	20 are, and a half-mile radius. And this is really
21 send the standalone electronically?	21 to delineate.
22 MS. ROGERS: Yes.	The area that we typically would see as
23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So that will	23 a walkshed is a community center expanse to
24 be entered into the record as Exhibit 70 I want	24 determine the character of the neighborhood. And
25 to say 74. I believe that would be because	25 you can see that this is a highly wooded
138	140
1 Exhibit 73 was my email on	1 neighborhood with lots of different kind of
2 MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh.	2 with lots of trees with different-sized lots, with
3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: November	3 some very large buildings. It has parks; it has
4 3rd.	4 natural areas.
5 MS. ROGERS: Yes.	5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry to
6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Let's mark	6 interrupt you. Just because of the concern that
7 that as Exhibit 74.	7 was raised, I happen to have the benefit of my
8 MS. ROGERS: Was 74 going to be the	8 hard-copy print
9 scheduling email?	9 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 73 was going	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: before me.
11 to be the 73 is the scheduling email, so 74.	11 So there's on this document, which will now be
12 And what would you title this? This is the	12 Exhibit 6 74, and we're going to have a full
13 MS. ROGERS: It's	13 size
14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: aerial	14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
15 view?	15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: placed in
16 MS. ROGERS: It's aerial view	16 the record online and I believe have you do
17 THE WITNESS: Aerial view with	17 you have the hard copy for me?
MS. ROGERS: with the neighborhood	18 MS. ROGERS: We do
19 boundary.	19 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20 (Applicant's Exhibit 74 was marked for	20 MS. ROGERS: today, yeah.
21 identification, admitted into evidence, and	21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: But there's a
22 retained by the Hearing Examiner.)	22 sort of those two big those two red circles
MS. FLEIT: Excuse me. Just it's	23 inside the smaller red circle. There's the
24 hard to understand what that's out what that is	24 THE WITNESS: Here's the
25 about just looking at what they presented. You	25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: sort of a
	17.5 TIDAKING DAAWIINDA OOKONI SOILOLA

		1101	ember 6, 2023	1.12
1 1-1-0-1-	141		As I noted we see setherales in this	143
	tt says, Site. And that's		As I noted, we see setbacks in this	
	E WITNESS: Yeah.	2	abutting neighborhood of 30 feet from the curb to	
	EARING EXAMINER SOKONI: the	3	60 feet from the curb, where 44 feet right near	
	right? Could you just point that out to	4	the median of that. The rear setbacks were 30	
5 people?	TE MINTENESS AV. TEL	5	feet; the R-90 allows 25; the R-200 allows 30. So	
	E WITNESS: Yes. The project	6	we're similar in setbacks; we're similar in	
	y and I can't point to anyone on the	7	massing length; and then we have the	
	ut it is there's a red dot that's in the	8	30-foot-minimum rear setbacks.	
	f the two radii. It is to the northwest	9	In terms of density, there's quite a	
10 of that re			diversity of density within a quarter-mile.	
	kind of easily to easy to see		Within half-a-mile, there's even more.	
	of the large senior building to the north	12		
	the Buddhist temple and then the other		have right abutting that are confronting us. We	
	uilding. We're just to the south of that		have R-90 zoning; we have R-200 zoning; we have	
15 in the L s	-		R-200 zoning that was developed under a method	
	d so, you know, as a planner urban		6 that allows for 15,000-square-foot lots. So not	
_	, we're really looking at this to the		v everything is a 20,000-square-foot lot just in	
	the neighborhood to ensure the		I just adjacent to us. But then there is also	
	r to ensure that the character is		townhouse plan development zoning to our south.	
	ed and not adversely impacted. These are	20	S	
	al uses replacing residential uses.		be analyzed in a couple of different ways. We're	
	ey are as one of the commissioners		abutting it. It was found to be compatible with	
	nhouses are residential in nature, and		the detached homes that surround it when it went	
-	they are not inherently negative	24	through grid special exception.	
25 impacts t	to detached homes. There are several	25	It does have about 31.6 units per acre.	
	142			144
	se communities throughout Colesville, and	1	They are Senior Living buildings. They have	
	be another one of them that will fit	2	they don't have, obviously, a school impact.	
3 into the f		3	But the more important thing, really,	
	is shows reinvestment in private	4	for compatibility, in my mind, is how this	
	. This provides opportunities for	5	building sits in the fabric of the neighborhood,	
_	including affordable housing, and it does	6	and it's a very large building. Modifications	
_	ntly improve the public realm of	7	were made; it was remanded; it was denied in the	
_	s, in particular along our street.	8	first round.	
	loes provide more eyes on the street	9	Modifications were made to make it a	
	on the park. There have been concerns		little bit smaller. It was only a few thousand	
11 raised ab			square feet in a couple units.	
	pically, when we see ownership of	12	• •	
_	and a little more density, we actually can		s between the denial and approval, but that's my	
	the safety of the neighborhood because		opinion on that one. It was not my case.	
_	ople are taking ownership, and they're	15	*	
_	their neighbors and their own right of		property. It's an incredibly large building, and	
	themselves. As I described, we		7 it has a .83 FAR. An FAR is a measurement of	
	ally laid out the massing of the units in		If floor area ratio, which is all the gross floor	
	ation with whomever who would work with	19	area that's livable on the in the building	
20 us to inc				
1-0 00 10 1110	orporate the feedback to decrease the	20	divided by the size of the lot.	
21 unit from	orporate the feedback to decrease the tages along Notley Road in particular to	21	So this is a .83 FAR building just to	
21 unit from	orporate the feedback to decrease the	21 22	So this is a .83 FAR building just to the north of us, which is compatible with the	
21 unit from 22 set these	orporate the feedback to decrease the tages along Notley Road in particular to	21 22	So this is a .83 FAR building just to	
21 unit from 22 set these	orporate the feedback to decrease the tages along Notley Road in particular to back further so that we're accommodating that are typical of larger lot	21 22 23 24	So this is a .83 FAR building just to the north of us, which is compatible with the	

145 147 articulate the building; you have setbacks. 1 work -- walk through my thinking on how we look 2 If the building modulates as it goes and consider the elements of compatibility from a 3 forward, you have residential elements like what urban design massing density perspective. This is 4 we would call punched windows, so, you know, just highlighting some of those things. 5 regular sash windows that you think of in a From top to bottom, there is the Silver 6 detached film. You have a sloped roof, those kind Spring Healthcare Center, the Cambodian Buddhist of things. temple, and then the -- it's called Wilshire 8 It also has 93 surface parking spaces, Senior Living Building. so it takes up a lot of space. But we think we're O Uh-huh. 10 compatible with it. We think it's compatible with 10 A And you can see some of those elements 11 the neighborhood, and we're a good, actually, 11 that I mentioned. We're dealing with residential 12 transition between those two things. 12 care for a lot with these kind of buildings 13 The Silver Spring Healthcare Center is a 13 through articulation of the building, that front 14 little bit less dense further to the north. 14 and back, providing balconies, porches, decks, 15 That's another property that was developed with 15 providing punched windows, providing modified 16 about 18.9 units per acre. But, really, the way 16 roofs with -- especially the gable roofs, which is 17 to look at that is through the massing and the 17 a peaked roof rather than a flat roof. So these 18 size of the building in relation to the 18 expressed a lot of elements of residential 19 neighborhood. 19 character and help with compatibility. And then we have townhouse community So we'll be looking at those kind of 21 about .2 miles to our south next to the Park & 21 elements when these townhouses are designed. 22 Ride facility parking lot on New Hampshire Avenue. 22 They're not designed yet; they're placeholders; 23 That's at the bottom of the ring that's on the 23 they are footprints where buildings can go. But 24 middle ring on our site. 24 that kind of articulation, those peak elements --25 That has a townhouse density that's, you 25 peak roofs, those elements will be brought into 146 148 1 know, visually for anyone walking by it fast. It 1 it. is about 11 units per acre after it donated some 2 THE WITNESS: The next slide, please. land for open space. A The surrounding commercial uses -- we do HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Is that the have some special exception offices, things like 5 full size? that on New Hampshire Avenue. We have several 6 MS. ROGERS: This is the -religious institutions, and then, of course, the THE WITNESS: That's the full size. Colesville Center to our south, which we'll MS. ROGERS: -- full-size plan. probably talk about a little bit more under some HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: May I -- turn 9 other findings and topics. But these are just 10 it around? 10 images of that for now. 11 MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please. 11 MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS: Next slide. 13 THE WITNESS: Is the sections already in 13 A This is the image of the adjacent park. 14 as an exhibit? 14 I think we can -- I don't this has anything 15 MS. ROGERS: That's --15 additional that I haven't said. This is the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Exhibit 74. 16 16 certified zoning map. Then we can get to the 17 This will be Exhibit 74. 17 next --18 THE WITNESS: Do -- the sections that 18 MS. ROGERS: Go on to the next slide, 19 yeah. 19 we're going to look at next? There's more. Okay. 20 20 MS. ROGERS: To the clerk, and then I'll THE WITNESS: All right. 21 look for it. We'll just look at the plat, and we 21 MS. ROGERS: So this also is in our 22 can go down and (inaudible.) 22 PowerPoint, but we do have similarly a full-sized THE WITNESS: Can we go to the next 23 23 copy that we would request be separately 24 slide, please? 24 exhibit-ized for the record and for clarity A So we use the overall area to kind of 25 purposes in terms of scale.

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Any objections on the high point of the ridge, and most of them 2 to entering it into the record -are using retaining walls to terrace their MS. ROSENFELD: No objection. 3 properties. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- as Exhibit And they're sitting up quite high. They 5 74 -- 75? actually cut into the slope in the property right 6 MS. ROSENFELD: No objection. to our northwest -- or to our, sorry, northeast, HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So the fullthe Senior Living building. That finished floor size document titled Project Provides Compatible sits at 46 -- I can't read it on the screen. Heights. Is that -- that's what it's titled on Oh, thank you. 10 the PowerPoint. 10 Q You can look at the full-size copy, if And do you want a different name for it? 11 you need it, but it's -- okay. 11 12 MS. ROGERS: We can just maybe call it A Yes. So 460, and that's just -- for 13 Height Comparison for --13 purposes of this discussion, it's just a relative HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Height 14 number. It's the feet above sea level that this 15 Comparison. Okay. So Exhibit 75 is entered into 15 building sits, so it just sits at 460. It's 16 the record as Height Comparison. In the 16 approved at a height of 47.8 inches, and that's to 17 PowerPoint, it has the caption Project Provides 17 typically the median level of the pitched roof, so 18 Compatible Heights. 18 in between the top of the roof and the eaves that (Applicant's Exhibit 75 was marked for 19 overhang the side of the house or the building. 20 identification, admitted into evidence, and It's approved at 47.8, but the 21 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 21 surrounding neighborhood to the west -- for 2.2. MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 22 example, the unit just to our west in the top 23 THE WITNESS: 75? 23 corner -- it sits at an elevation of 446. So its 24 MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. 24 effective height is -- the building is actually 67 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 75. 25 feet above our neighbor here. 150 THE WITNESS: Okay. Our buildings are proposed at four stories. We've requested a height of 50 feet

152

because it's measured in different ways.

4 But within that four stories, we're typically going to be around 45-ish feet. And that is sort of below the overall limit, but it also steps with grade as we go down.

Likewise, there's an unusual situation going north-south where we're basically -- I cut 10 this section right along the ridge line, so it's

11 almost 460 all the way across. And it's a weird 12 situation where the road actually comes in and

13 you -- and this -- probably speaking about

14 someone's house here, but where you come in on the

15 first floor, but then there's an exposed basement.

And so for a small section, you're --17 you have three -- or four stories, including the 18 pitched roof. It's just an -- that's where the 19 ridge line is. Everything else slopes it down.

20 And so at the end of Notley Road, we're 21 at 442 on the east side and 452 on the west side.

22 So everything around here except for this ridge 23 line through the middle of the site to the first

24 unit to our south is below this building here, and

25 our height is in between that building and their

2 MS. ROGERS: Yeah.

A So talking to Exhibit 75, the image on the screen shows two cross-sections run through our property, which is delineated by the thin vertical lines on either section. And then the bottom right has a planned view, shows where those sections were taken.

And in red, which you can more easily 10 read on the full-size print, are spot elevations 11 of the existing grades that are around our 12 property. As I noted, we have to significantly 13 regrade our property to deal with infrastructure 14 engineering constraints and to move a lot of water 15 to an existing storm drain system and onto our 16 east and reduce runoff to the west and north. So we -- during that regrading, I think

18 there's some confusion about the elevation of some 19 of these existing buildings. There is -- as I

20 noted earlier, there's a ridge line that runs down 21 to the west of New Hampshire Avenue in this part

22 of Colesville. And it runs along New Hampshire,

23 and then it goes to the east down further south.

This is basically where all of those 25 institutional uses are sitting right now. They're

153 155 1 heights. So that's probably a very long-winded 1 all of the buildings to our west. They're about 2 way of saying that it's my opinion that these that grade along the ridge, but taller than 3 building heights and the stories proposed are everything to the west. I'm not sure why the one 4 compatible with the neighborhood and fit into the building to our north -- it stepped down towards 5 fabric of the neighborhood, based on those New Hampshire rather than on the other side. I 6 different building types around us. don't know if that is on --Q Just following up on that, Mr. Sloan, Q Thank you. You can -- I don't know what can you also testify regarding how our heights 8 slide you want to continue on regarding -- if you relate to the heights allowed by the base R-200 could touch on some of the environmental aspects, 10 zone? 10 as it relates to your analysis regarding character A Yeah. The R-200 zone allows heights up 11 of the surrounding neighborhood? 11 12 to 50 feet once you are over 40 -- or 40,000 A Sure. So our civil engineer, I believe, 13 square feet. We have -- several of the lots 13 also will speak to some technical aspects of 14 confronting us are over 40,000 square feet. If 14 stormwater management, but we work very closely on 15 they wanted to tear down, rebuild, or sell to 15 layout and design so that I can accommodate the 16 someone who wanted to, they could build to 25 16 technical requirements to assure that we meet the 17 percent building coverage and 50-foot building 17 standards and mitigate concerns that we heard 18 height by right. 18 about flooding in the neighborhood. MS. ROGERS: And if we could go up to In the grading discussion -- so let's see. 20 the certified zoning map, just one slide up? 20 Is there -- it was not on this slide. Maybe just Q Mr. Sloan, could you also just briefly 21 the overall plan again. 22 describe the height relationship of the Silver 2.2. MS. ROGERS: Can you just go a few 23 Spring Healthcare Center as it relates to the 23 slides up? And keep going. 24 homes immediately behind it? 24 THE WITNESS: Keep going. A Yeah. So the Silver Spring Healthcare 25 MS. ROGERS: That one. 154 156 1 Center is another one that sits sort of on this THE WITNESS: I could just use that, 1 2 ridge line that's to the west of New Hampshire 2 yeah. 3 Avenue. So it has an approved height of 41 feet. 3 MS. ROGERS: That's great. As you come down to Pleasant Grove, 4 A So we're looking again back at the 5 Pleasant Grove actually, at that cul-de-sac, sits 5 illustrative conceptual layout plan. It does not 6 at an elevation 20 feet below it. So it's about reflect the shift to the north but otherwise is 7 60 feet above the grade of this street here. very similar, so --So again, this is -- this relationship 8 MS. ROGERS: Exhibit 50-B, just for the 9 where all these things are going down. They're 9 record. 10 all going down to this tributary that runs 10 A 50-B. So we did hear concerns about 11 eventually to the northwest branch. 11 flooding to the north -- or to the west of us. As 12 So I think there's been some things in 12 I've noted, a lot of that is because of where the 13 the record that I don't think accurately depict 13 ridge line is, it separates two watersheds and --14 the building heights around us. And we wanted to 14 I'm trying to throw stones -- the -- a lot of the 15 make sure that that was clear. 15 houses are built within what we would now consider 16 protected street-valley area. So given that Q And just last question just to kind of 17 summarize your testimony. The heights of those 17 that's the existing condition, and we have to 18 institutional buildings, as they relate to the 18 make -- ensure that it does not get any worse, 19 senior families behind them, are actually have a 19 we've spent significant time on storm drain 20 tall -- do they actually have a taller perceived 20 studies, grading, and layout at an early stage of 21 height when you account for these grades than 21 stormwater facilities. 22 their --22 So, currently, there are no stormwater 23 facilities onsite. The site surface drains to 23 A Yes. 24 Q -- approved height? 24 our -- to the roads and to our surrounding A Yeah. Senior buildings sit taller than 25 25 neighbors. And our civil engineer will talk a

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

157

little bit more about the details of how we're 1 Plan for this property? dealing with that. 2 A So this site is within the 1997 White We are showing that we can save with the Oak Master Plan boundary. 4 modifications that we made, all of the existing Q And have you reviewed the 1997 White Oak 4 5 offsite specimen trees. So those are trees that 5 Master Plan? 6 are 30 inches or greater that would require a 6 A I have. 7 variance if we had to take them down or even Q And based on your review of the Master 8 impact them. There are some perimeters trees on 8 Plan, is it your professional -- in your 9 our site that we're trying to also look at saving, professional opinion, is a proposed development 10 but we're discussing this with Staff because our 10 and substantial conformance with the applicable 11 goal is to think long-term about environmental 11 goals and recommendations contained therein? And 12 benefits when we redevelop the site. 12 can you walk us through that? 13 As a landscape architect, when I'm A Yes, Initially, I do believe it's in 14 substantial conformance with the overall goals and 14 thinking about design and working with my 15 colleagues, right now, we've got -- for example, 15 recommendations. I agree with Staff's analysis 16 and the Planning Board recommendation letter. 16 several of the specimen trees are walnuts; there 17 are pine trees, white pines; there's a cherry. A As we've noted in some of our 17 18 lot of them are in fair or poor condition, and if 18 submissions, and I'll reiterate, the Master Plan 19 we save them now, get approved, get built, and 19 is almost 30 years old, and it does have a 20 they die, they can just be taken out. 20 specific provision that says the original 21 In my professional opinion, we should be 21 circumstances of the time of the plan adoption 22 looking at -- let's take these down now. Let's 22 will change over time and that specifics of a 23 mitigate a requirement, which is more trees than 23 Master Plan may become less relevant as time goes 24 we're taking down so that we can get, one, more 24 on. 25 trees that will live longer and provide canopy and 25 And as we've also noted, there is case 158 160 1 shade in the longer term; and two, can we get 1 law that says, when a comprehensive plan is 2 species that are more beneficial, what we call, adopted after an area Master Plan, that any of the 3 ecological services, who are providing the recommendations that are not -- that are in direct 4 insects, the birds, the mammal -- small mammal contrast to that comprehensive plan, a 5 population with some kind of benefit longer-term comprehensive plan supersedes. 6 than walnuts and white pines. This Master Plan was created under the There are a lot of trees that are much previous General Plan, the Wedges and Corridors 8 more beneficial, and we'd like to repopulate what Plan. And that specifically was redirecting 9 we do to serve with those kind of species. So 9 growth. 10 that's off the table for now, but just to -- so we 10 And I have a quote here, Page 6, 11 think ahead, and everyone understands our 11 supports the land use goal and wedges of -- goal 12 direction. That's where we're going to be looking 12 and Wedges and Corridors concept supporting 13 when we get to the forest conservation -- final 13 directing the major portion of Montgomery County's 14 Forest Conservation Plan and the variants. 14 future growth to the urban ring in I-270 corridor. So that, and then just overall the 15 15 And the new comprehensive plan, Thrive 2050, noted 16 number of replanting trees, the new screening, the 16 that that removal of the eastern portion of the 17 stormwater, dealing with not only water quality 17 County as a location suitable for corridor focus 18 stormwater -- we didn't speak about this -- but 18 development, discourage public and private 19 also attenuation for flooding will have an 19 investment in this area.

20

That's Page 3, and then has some other

21 references on -- similar references on Pages 12

22 and 68 of the -- of Thrive. And Thrive seeks to

25 corridors in the east county to establish the

23 correct this mistake by focusing investment and

24 encouragement -- encouraging development along

20 improvement overall environmental.

23 conformance.

A Up here.

24

25

Q Thank you. I think you can probably sit

22 down because we're going to move to Master Plan

Q Mr. Sloan, what is the relevant Master

foundation for complete communities and create a
 more prosperous and equitable future in all parts
 of the County, Page 77.

So in my reading of this, in my
analysis, the Thrive 2050 plan supersedes some of
the recommendations of the White Oak Master Plan.
That said, I still think it substantially conforms
to a lot of what the White Oak Master Plan said.

9 It just didn't have the correct tools in place to 10 accomplish those things.

11 First of all, the Master Plan had no
12 specific recommendations regarding the site. It
13 has a section -- has several sections that outline
14 recommendations for several sites. This was not
15 one of them.

16 It does have a focus on commercial
17 centers. And I'm sorry I'm quoting a lot here,
18 but, This plan encourages development in the
19 commercial centers that will strengthen their
20 function and sense of place. That's in Page Roman
21 Numeral -- small Roman Numeral xii.

One of the problems with it, though, is, 23 this was old Euclidean zoning that only -- that 24 specifically recommended that there is no mix of 25 uses, that they have single-use zoning. And I our west, but we can at least upgrade our site and hopefully spur reinvestment in some areas around

3 us.

There's not a lot in the environment for this part of the Master Plan. A lot of -- there is a section of the Master Plan that is focused on a special protection area in the upper paint branch. We're not in that area.

9 But there is a recommendation to improve
10 air quality by encouraging pedestrian bicycle and
11 transit access and existing in new development.
12 That's from Roman numeral -- small Roman numeral
13 Page xviii. And I think infill density at this
14 kind of site within a ten-minute walk of the
15 proposed BRT station that is at the intersection

16 of two BRT lines, and the existing M42 and M44 17 buses is appropriate and will help, and people

18 can -- will be able to walk safely to those 19 facilities.

Again, density goal in the existing
White Oak Master Plan says, Higher density
residential development will be located around
commercial centers and provide convenient access
to shopping and transit. That's Page 13.

25 It just didn't put the zoning in place.

162

1 think that's one of the problems that Thrive
2 recognized in a lot of the Master Plans in between
3 this one and the current Thrive Plan sought to

3 this one and the current Thrive Plan sought to4 remedy.

And further on those commercial centers,
they're encouraged -- the commercial areas
encourage and provide opportunities for residents
of the plan areas, communities to come together,
interact. Commercial centers are -- of the future
are attractive, pedestrian-friendly, and

11 accessible by many different means of 12 transportation.

13 A lot of the investment that didn't
14 happen and the upgrades that didn't happen in New
15 Hampshire Avenue, areas like Randolph Road, are
16 because there was not the -- what we would call
17 and consider a critical density to really look
18 towards a private reinvestment that would create
19 these safe and attractive pedestrian connections.
20 We're starting to see that implemented
21 project-by-project along New Hampshire Avenue. I

22 think this one will help that.
23 There are several recommendations about

24 walkability and safety for neighborhoods. We 25 can't address everything in the neighborhood to This is something that we can do with the current code that wasn't done then.

Regarding that housing goal, Maintain
housing for people of varying incomes, ages,
lifestyles, continue to provide a variety of
housing that will permit households with changing
needs, to find suitable accommodations within the
White Oak Master Plan area, Page 18. We've had
the Senior Living facilities go in. We've got
some expansion of the diversity of type of units
and housing that's gone in. This is going to
compliment that, I think, very well.

13 Regarding the transportation goals, not 14 specifically the technical elements, but general 15 elements, improving the convenience and safety of 16 all modes of travel within and through White Oak 17 Master Plan area, improvement, street enhance, not

17 Master Plan area, improvement, street enhance, not

18 disrupt neighborhood character. I think the

19 streetscape can be improved significantly with new

20 street trees with the expanded side paths that are 21 putting in and will enhance these modes of travel

22 and, hopefully, better support the future BRT and

23 the existing bus lines that are there.

Increased use of carpools and transitwithin and through White Oak Master Plan by

163

164

168

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

providing services and facilities, establishing
policies that encourage their use. I think one of
those policies is increased infill density.

those policies is increased infill density.

In terms of the communities, the
complete communities idea that Thrive has, there's
a thing in the White Oak -- there's an element of
the White Oak Master Plan talking about livable
communities. And I think one of the elements that
was missing is some transitionary -- transitional
density in a village or neighborhood center that
is appropriate and will encourage that.
So the commercial center -- for example,
for Colesville -- a quote is from the Master Plan.
It functions as a focal point for community
interaction. It's ideal for centralized services
and shopping. However, they must be
user-friendly, quote within a quote.

18 Their location at a major intersection
19 poses a problem, and that places a local resident
20 who generally must drive to local shopping center
21 and services in competition through vehicle
22 movement. So we need to start creating these

23 streets as much more pedestrian-friendly. And you 24 can only do that through reinvestment.

5 Alot of it's going to be through

165 1 number of those goals -- you know, were there a

2 significant -- was there a significant focus in

3 the 1997 Master Plan regarding enhancing the

4 character and creating community here through

5 these streetscape improvements for safety and

6 walkability?

A Yeah. There's a significant section -well, it's sort of spread out throughout. Page 32
of the Master Plan does recognize that it supports
transit at Colesville. Page 53 of the Master Plan
says, Provide streetscape improvements to
strengthen the vitality of adjoining commercial

13 areas, enhanced community identity, pedestrian

14 circulation, improve roadway experience in 15 general.

Page 54, just past that, Enable
pedestrians to safely and easily use bus stops or
munity facilities, improve safety for
pedestrians crossing main roads in the White Oak
masterplan area. We may talk about that later,
thut we're looking at the installation of a signal
at Notley Road, a vehicular signal, a pedestrian
signal at the intersection of Notley Road and New
Hampshire.

In my mind, that's a clich but a game

166

1 private reinvestment because we don't have the tax

2 dollars necessarily to fund everything. But once

3 you put in the impact taxes and the private

4 development and can get some CIP projects and a

5 new BRT system, you could start seeing significant

6 transformation of the Colesville Center to meet

7 this vision of the Master Plan.

8 It does support transit at Colesville.
9 There's been a Park-N-Ride there. The BRT system
10 was meant to go initially up to the Park-N-Ride.

11 It still shows that on some maps, and 12 other maps it only goes to the intersection of 13 Randolph and New Hampshire. Either way it's .3 14 miles from our site. It's easily walkable for 15 transit users.

There's several other quotes. I think
they all cover the same thing, but I think they're
important to reiterate the fact that there were a
lot of goals for creating a sense of place around
Colesville Center that haven't gelled yet and that
are starting to come on board. And I think Staff,
the Planning Board, the County Council recognized
that the tools in place weren't there and that
Thrive can help implement that.

25 Q And just to that last point, you made a

changer for how people can move from the east side

2 to the west side of New Hampshire Avenue. Right

3 now, you have to walk the distance between lights,

and potential crossing is .8 miles.

That'll cut that in half. .4 miles is a
much more easily walkable distance for people to
safely cross New Hampshire Avenue. That will
significantly improve pedestrian bicyclist ability
to cross safely and use the corridor to transit to
parks, to services, the commercial services.

11 Q And just to reiterate for the record, 12 did you prepare -- as part of that Land Use Report 13 that you've already testified that you prepared, 14 was there a section regarding Master Plan 15 conformance?

16 A There is a section regarding Master Plan 17 conformance that has a detailed opinion on my --18 my detailed opinion.

19 Q Okay. Does the 2014 White Oak Science 20 Gateway Master Plan have any bearing on the review

21 of this application?

A It does not. The property is not within 3 the plan boundaries of the White Oak Science 24 Gateway Master Plan. It's not even within the 25 boundaries of the study area, which, for an area

171 1 Master Plan, is larger because they're trying to testimony has already given his opinion on that 2 get the bigger context for these smaller Sector point, so I just think --3 Plan-type area plans. MS. ROGERS: But I think he's --The Science Gateway Master Plan was 4 MS. ROSENFELD: -- he's elaborating. 5 clear it amended portions of this '97 White Oak 5 MS. ROGERS: All he's elaborating on is 6 Master Plan. It was -- this property was not from a professional -- as a planning professional, included in that area to be amended, and how he has seen this play out in practice and 8 subsequent Master Plan updates recommendations further confirming why it's not relevant. contained therein are limited to those properties HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'll overrule 10 in the relevant boundaries. 10 the objection. I'll let it in and attach whatever They are not -- they have no further 11 weight I deem appropriate at the time of decision. 11 12 effect on properties outside of that boundary. A Okay. Now, I'm not going to have a lot 13 They don't imply any kind of confirmation of 13 to add to what I said. It was just, when we 14 those. They only deal with the recommendation 14 started doing the plans like White Flint, Chevy 15 that the area is within their boundary. 15 Chase Lake, Glenmont --And this isn't unusual -- this isn't MR. NUSBAUM: Objection. 16 16 17 atypical for the -- how the County has been 17 A -- Kensington. 18 proceeding. As I noted, I was on Staff in the 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. There 19 late 2000s until 2013. 19 is an objection by Mr. Nusbaum. And one of the things that we recognized MR. NUSBAUM: His statement continues to 21 in that late 2000s period was that the large area 21 say, we, as though he's speaking on behalf of 22 plans could not change quickly enough or be 22 Planning Staff. I would ask that he be directed 23 updated for emerging, fast-moving policy issues. 23 to speak as to his experience, not representing 24 So there were significant demographic changes that 24 what Planning Staff has done in the past. 25 were catching up to us. There were significant MS. ROGERS: Mr. Sloan is testifying 170 172 1 new policies and planning ideas that were coming 1 regarding what he specifically, personally did 2 out, and so we started focusing on smaller Sector when he was a member of Planning Staff in the 3 Plan areas. 2000s as a professional county employee for the MS. ROSENFELD: Objection. Objection. 4 Maryland National Capital Park and Planning I think this really is getting beyond the scope of Commission to, again, address what I just 6 his report and what -- his testimony. mentioned as to how these plans are looked at from MS. ROGERS: We would overrule that. I a professional planning perspective. 8 mean, Mr. Sloan is directly responding to 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 9 Overruled. 9 Opposition's testimony that there's some relevance 10 to the 2014 White Oak Science Gateway Master Plan. 10 A In either case, it was the County 11 And what Mr. Sloan's testimony is intended to 11 Council and the Planning Board that approved and 12 elicit here is that -- what planning practices are 12 adopted a series of smaller plans. And those 13 and how those subsequent smaller area plans that 13 smaller plans were meant to address very specific 14 don't include -- don't have any bearing on 14 areas that needed to keep up with changing 15 properties outside of them. 15 policies and changing priorities for the County. So that is something that Opposition has And then they looked at more finite 17 relied on in their documents to date that it is 17 planning elements, things like transit corridors, 18 important Mr. Sloan clarify for the record for the 18 urban design guidelines, contact-sensitive 19 Hearing Examiner as to why that plan boundary that 19 streets, these kinds of elements to deal with 20 Opposition is suggesting has some influence on the 20 broader issues. Those are Functional Plans and 21 Hearing Examiner's consideration of this 21 guidelines that go along with them. 22 application actually does not. So it's directly That was a way to deal with change

23 quickly in smaller areas. It did not imply any

25 were left out of those smaller area plans and

24 confirmation of the recommendations for areas that

23 related to issues that Opposition has raised in

MS. ROSENFELD: And I think his

24 their written materials.

25

173

floating zones which were also adopted near the same time finally put into practice in 2014. 3 In one of the intent statements, it says 4 specifically that this is a mechanism for smaller changes within area plans that are not updated 6 with comprehensive plans. And they're meant to deal with policy change in between sectional map 8 amendments and comprehensive map amendments. So 9 this is the mechanism that is part of the public 10 process and is part of the rules that are in the 11 code that is allowed to be proposed for a 12 property. 13 And so that is, in summary, my way of 14 addressing the question about whether or not 15 the -- sorry, the whole name of it -- White Oak 16 Science Gateway Master Plan is relevant to this 17 site. It is not --18 Q Thank you. 19 A -- relevant. 20 Q And what is the relevant General Plan 21 for this property? A So this plan is under the Thrive 23 Montgomery 2050. 24 Q And have you reviewed Thrive Montgomery 25 2050?

Q And based on your review of the General

4 proposed development in substantial conformance

with the goals and recommendations contained in

8 conformance with the General Plan, and I agree

10 letter that it is. It comprehensively amended the

13 was first adopted, but not significantly addressed

14 a lot of the policy issues that the County Council

15 and the Planning Board -- and the planning

18 Plan that are particularly relevant for this

16 department deemed was necessary to address.

9 with Staff and the Planning Board's recommendation

11 Wedges and Corridors Plan, which had been updated

12 over about five decades, when Wedges and Corridors

So there are two elements of the General

3 Plan and your professional opinion, is the

A In my opinion, the plan is in

175 1 Plan that says -- and I'll quote from Page 163 --All new residential and non-resident develop -residential development should be located in existing and planned population business centers near existing and planned transit, such as metro rail stations and the bus rapid transit corridors. All of these plans are within the County's priority funding areas, and this property is within the County's priority funding area, as 10 established by the state. 11 It is -- the site is less than a 12 ten-minute walk from the proposed BRT station at 13 the intersection of the two corridors, Corridor 4 14 and Corridor 8. And the Thrive recognize that 15 opportunities for increased housing diversity 16 outside the defined growth areas will now allow 17 neighborhoods to evolve over time to address 18 current and future housing needs and become 19 racially and socioeconomically integrated. And I think the key is that along these 21 corridors, you have specific communities that are 22 either mapped as centers or not. And there are 23 two ideas related to this complete communities 24 that I want to highlight, and that is the complete

174

living that is described in Thrive.

2 So complete communities is defined on Page 85 as places that include a range of land uses, infrastructure services, amenities allow them to meet a wide range of needs for a variety of people, and include housing suitable for different household types, income levels, and preferences, helping to support racial and socioeconomic integration. So I think this is a 10 update to the White Oak Master Plan's concept of 11 livable communities that creates a greater focus 12 on current policy concerns and with a hindsight 13 that the separation of uses and suppressed density 14 around neighborhood centers leads to a lack of 15 reinvestment that Thrive had noted.

25 communities concept itself and the 15-minute

So the related concept of 15-minute 17 living, I think, is important here because 18 Colesville Center becomes one -- is a center on 19 one of the -- in the growth map. It's a way per 20 Thrive of imagining existing communities to 21 maximize their attractiveness and efficiency by 22 mixing housing offices and retail uses -- so 23 services, infrastructure, facilities and 24 amenities -- to serve the daily needs of people

25 who live or work there are within walking

There is a map that's been alluded to

22 about corridors -- about corridor-focused areas.

19 property. One is corridor-focused growth, and the

23 And there is, I think, a light blue color that has

24 been -- that's on that map.

25

20 other is complete communities.

A Yes.

6 Thrive Montgomery 2050?

2

But there is also language in the Thrive

176

PLANET DEPOS

177 179 distance. 1 project. 2 While a literal or rigid application of 2 And, finally, this is a way to spur 3 15-minute living may not be practical outside of reinvestment in East County that Thrive 4 the corridor-focused growth areas, the concept is recommends. Establish -- and another quote from 5 a useful way to generate concrete recommendations Thrive: Established foundation for complete 6 to make communities more complete and help them communities and create more prosperous and And again, limited organic equitable future in all parts of the County, as I 8 development beyond the corridors and defined noted earlier that that is specifically focused on growth areas should be allowed to increase the a specific focus for previous lack of reinvestment 10 diversity of housing types in existing residential 10 in East County. 11 neighborhoods and make these areas more complete, Q Thank you. What are the other relevant 11 12 particularly near existing centers of activity or 12 plans for this property that you've evaluated? 13 development, Page 86. A So when we have to do our analysis of In my opinion, there are different 14 planning objectives, we have to look at the area 15 scales of these neighborhood centers, and they're 15 plan, the General Plan, and all applicable --16 called village centers, neighborhood centers. 16 other applicable plans, such as the Functional 17 There are small -- there are other terms. And in 17 Plans, that govern elements of redevelopment in 18 this area, 15-minute living may not be the guiding 18 development in the County. Particularly important 19 principle. 19 one is the Master Plan of Highways and I focus my analysis on what I 20 Transitways. It was last updated in 2025. 21 consider -- what I would say call ten-minute 21 The Master Plan of Highways and 22 living, the area that you can walk within five to 22 Transitways establishes the recommended right of 23 ten minutes to get to services, to get to transit, 23 way for every property and the street 24 to get to park facilities. And that's an area 24 classification -- or for every road -- for most 25 that we've basically defined as a walkshed within 25 roads, not every road. For each road that we're 178 180 1 which our plan is -- or our property is included. dealing with, it does, and the classification of 2 So this is a smaller-scale application that road. There is actually -- as we found out, 3 around Colesville Center as a focus of a livable there's a mistake in the Master Plan of Highways 4 community, and it should be prioritized along map regarding Notley Road classification. 5 walkable routes centered on this crossroads. The 5 But the table that it was adopted for 6 types of housing that are allowed here, Thrive the Master Plan of Highways and Transitways is 7 2050 makes it clear that despite the varying needs correct. It's a neighborhood connector. 8 and conditions of different parts of the County, Regardless, the recommendation was for a 9 the concept of encouraging more diversity of use 70-foot right of way with two travel lanes. We'll 10 in form is relevant in almost every location, and 10 note that there are actually three lanes on -- at 11 that's in Page 85. 11 the intersection of New Hampshire Avenue, and 12 It insert -- it encourages the 12 that's because there's the dedicated left-turn 13 production of more housing to better match supply 13 lane, which we anticipate maintaining with the new 14 with demand, Page 132. We are providing that. 14 signal at that intersection. 15 We are along a major corridor where 15 It requires a ten-foot side path on the 16 additional housing can assist in development of 16 street per the classification, which, once you get 17 complete communities and Thrive's vision, that 17 the classification from the Master Plan of

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

25 moot.

18 Highways and Transitways, you have to look at

21 which is older than Thrive, but Staff still uses.

23 did not make any recommendations. They're

24 different from complete streets, so it's kind of

19 complete streets for the recommendations there.

20 You also have to look at the Bicycle Master Plan,

In this case, the Bicycle Master Plan

18 plan for a wide range of housing types to meet

20 providing that through provision of a different

22 diversity, economic housing, inequity in housing

23 in every neighborhood, as recommended on Page 133.

21 housing type to promote racial and economic

24 And we have agreed to the binding element of

25 providing 15 percent MPDUs on this site in this

19 diverse needs mentioned on Page 132. And we are

181		183
1 But we are providing the recommended	1 Examiner on that.	103
2 70-foot right of way and ten-foot side path with	2 (Counsels confer.)	
3 the tree panels, as required along Notley. New	3 MS. ROGERS: I mean, we're comfortable	
4 Hampshire Avenue is a boulevard with six lanes,	4 with sort I know we were trying to kind of get	
5 plus additional turn lanes as necessary.	5 as much testimony in today as we can, so we're	
6 And under complete streets, an 11-foot	6 comfortable with a short break. You know, I'll	
7 side path is required with the tree panel. And we	7 defer to the Hearing I think we need at least a	
8 are providing both dedication to a 120-foot right	8 comfort break of probably, like, 15 minutes for	
9 of way and an 11-foot side path with the tree	9 people to be able to get food and water. We're	
10 panel tying into what was built to our north	10 the 30-minute break	
11 recently.	11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: What I'm	
12 Q Thank you. And so based on your review	12 MS. ROGERS: If	
13 of the Functional Master Plans that are relevant,	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm looking	
14 it's your professional opinion that this project	14 here let's do a half-hour break.	
15 is in substantial conformance with all of the plan	15 MS. ROSENFELD: I'll take 30.	
16 recommendations?	16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Let's do a	
17 A The plan is in conformance with those	17 30-minute break. And so it's almost 1:10. Let's	
18 recommendations.	18 reconvene at 1:40.	
19 Q And did the Maryland National Capital	19 And just before we go off on break, I	
20 Park and Planning Commission Staff and the	20 hope at some point I was going to raise the	
21 Planning Board, in making their recommendation,	21 question, we still have the outstanding issue of	
22 agree with your conclusion that the project is in	22 the revised land use plan, so I just don't want to	
23 substantial conformance with the goals and	23 lose sight of that.	
24 recommendations of the Master Plan, the General	24 At some point, you should address	
25 Plan, and the Functional Plans, all of which must	25 your involvement and exactly who the author is.	
182	23 your involvement and exactly who the dather is.	184
1 be found with a rezoning?	1 And we can talk about whether you want to submit a	104
2 A Staff did agree with our analysis, and	2 signed one with dates and stuff.	
3 they made a recommendation that it was in	3 MS. ROGERS: Okay.	
4 conformance with the Master Plan, General Plan,	4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.	
5 and Functional Plans.	5 MS. ROGERS: Okay.	
6 MS. ROGERS: I'm just going to let the	6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: We'll take a	
7 Hearing Examiner know we were going to next move	7 half-an-hour recess. We'll reconvene at 1:40 p.m.	
8 on to development standards. We do have a	8 Just I should mention maybe people	
9 significant more amount of testimony from	9 are already familiar with the building we do	
10 Mr. Sloan, so I just want to check with you to see	10 have restrooms right, you know, next near the	
11 if how you'd like to handle that.	11 elevators. And, you know, you can feel free to go	
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I know we had	12 to other floors.	
13 we lost a few people, so I feel like that's a	13 Like, third floor is a public floor.	
14 signal that people might need a break. What I	14 You could go up there as well. And the we have	
15 was I came prepared to I know you had asked	15 restrooms both on the right side and the left	
16 if we could, you know, power through most of	16 side.	
17 you know, sort of have condensed breaks. I'm	17 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at	
18 totally fine with that.	18 1:09 p.m.)	
We actually have a caf down the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	
20 hallway, which is a new addition. So it's easy to	20 Just checking with folks on Zoom. Just	
21 grab a bite.	21 want to have a signal from folks on Zoom. Can you	
22 So what level of before I think this	22 hear us? Can you see us? We are resuming from	
23 would be a good place to take a break. What is	23 the	
1		
24 what are you comfortable with duration-wise?		
 24 what are you comfortable with duration-wise? MS. ROSENFELD: I defer to the Hearing 	24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: lunch	

1 trecess, Okay, So -okay, Okay, We're waiting 2 for our star witness, Mr. Sloan. So as soon as 3 Mr. Sloan resames the stand, we'll pick up from 4 where we left off before the recess. 5 And just a reminder for folks on Zoom, 6 if anyone joined, a reminder that if you're going 7 to testify, you have to unmute yourself and put on 8 your cumen. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and 9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're bedoe not he record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Corner. Thank you. 1 19 warned to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madum Heuring Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report, that the 0 Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from a reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 3	Conducted on 1	ovember 6, 2025
2 for our star witness, Mr. Sloan. So as soon as 3 Mr. Sloan resumes the stand, we'll pick up from 4 where we left off before the recess. 5 And just a reminder for folks on Zoom, 6 if anyone joined, a reminder that if you're going 7 to testify, you have to unmute yourself and put on 8 your camera. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and 9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took- 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS. Total. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly leaf for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 180 WS. ROGERS. Sorteet. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 180 WS. ROGERS. Sorteet. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 180 WS. ROGERS. Sorteet. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 180 WS. ROGERS. Sorteet. 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the testifying to he Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Lise Report is exitifying to he Land Use Report, that the lore witnesses will interest estifying to he Land Use Report is of the Land Use Report in the stuff in the stuffing to his solid form as deciments, his is a document that you have a distinct the vision of the Land Use Report in the testifying to he Land Use Report in the testifying to he Land Use Report in the testifying to he add the interior wit and the uncentral of the content of the testifying to he add the u		
3 O. Hillink - so, Mr. Sloan, as you were 4 where we left off before the recess. 5 And just a reminder that if you're going 6 if anyone joined, a reminder that if you're going 7 to testify, you have to name yourself, and 8 your camera. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and 9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has - 12 where we - before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was - we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took - 16 already administered the outh. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on - I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 mar raising as we were leaving for funch, ights to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record. 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKON! Correct. So 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 mS. ROGERS: Or cort. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, Iknow you mentioned at the outset of your 12 unalysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report; that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report that? 16 Lefaring pare the - are you the author of the revised 21 Lefaring-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportatio		_
4 Interest we left off before the recess. 5 And just a reminder for folks on Zoom, 6 if anyone joined, a reminder that if you're going 7 to testify, you have to ummute yourself and put on 8 your camera. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and 9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with Internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was were back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 M.S. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. 1 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly elear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 180 180 180 180 180 180 180 18		The state of the s
5 And just a reminder for folks on Zoom, 6 if anyone joined, a reminder that if you're going 7 to testify, you have to unmute yourself and put on 8 your camera. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and 9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with Internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloam, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloam, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 12 analysis. 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 2 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is for each element, 15 and the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from 8, your camera. Otherwise to be side from 8 specific cor 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 tran	1	
6 if anyone joined, a reminder that if you're going to testify, you have to unmute yourself and put on 8 your camera. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and 9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with Internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Test. Thank you. 1 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So Were talking about Exhibit 38. 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Veah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 12 analysis. 1 Control of the 14 Propagation of the 15 in the Land Use Report is - for each element, 16 aside from where we well that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 1 Consel, could you please press theyeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 19 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 counsel, could you please press theyeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Whichel Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 18 Rooman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 10 MS. ROSENFELD: Whichel Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 18 Rooman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 MS. ROSENFELD: Whiche Rosenfeld. For 13 cl		
7 to Lands oy ou're testifying to—aside from 8 specific elements, this is a document that you 9 have prepared and reviewed and are—reflects 10 20 om works best with Internet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has—12 where we—before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was—we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was foliong the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took—16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 16 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took—17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. 1 you are analysis and that the three witnesses signing and dating it 15 for the record? 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the—our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on—1 think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Veah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Weah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Weah. 5 BY MS. ROGERS: Correct. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Weah. 1 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 1 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 1 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation in the Land Use Report is a document that you have 1 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 panilysis. 1 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 1 MR. ROGERS: So it— 7 Transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-sp		
8 specific elements, this is a document that you be now your camera on. And the you don't have to have your camera on. And the you don't have to have your camera on. And the you don't have to have your camera on. And the you have repared and reviewed and are reflects 10 your analysis and that the three witnesses will 11 cach testify in their testimony today that they've 12 contributed to this collaborative document and 13 will submit a signed copy with all three 12 contributed to this collaborative document and 13 will submit a signed copy with all three 14 respective expert witnesses signing and dating it 15 for the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 for the record. 15 for the record. 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 17 resume from where we left off. 19 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONE Correct. 26 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONE: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Michel Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, clither by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 popular to a second date in 23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONE: Could you 11 the Land Use Report is a country that besides for some of		
9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the 10 Zoom works best with Interrnet Explorer and Edge. 11 All right. So the Applicant has - 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKON: Correct. So 180 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: 3 MS. ROGERS: 4 O So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 5 O So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 6 Tecord, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 3 Canyou, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific, reflects my 17 resumed from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: 20 MS. ROGENELD: Ush-bub. Sure. 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKON: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: Di-hub. 3 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGENELD: Ush-bub. 3 Canyou, for the record, clarify that? 4 MS. ROGENELD: Wish Rogers in a death of the contents of the clement, service of the clement, se	* * *	
10 your analysis and that the three witnesses will 11 and right. So the Applicant has 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're back on the record. 13 will submit a signed copy with all three 14 respective expert witnesses signing and dating it 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186	8 your camera. Otherwise, please mute yourself, and	
1 ach testify in their testimony today that they've 12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 12 contributed to this collaborative document and 13 will submit a signed copy with all three 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report 24 MS. ROGERS: 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	9 you don't have to have your camera on. And the	9 have prepared and reviewed and are reflects
12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant 13 was we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS, ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report; that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 18 two that I mentioned, are mine. 18 two that I ment	10 Zoom works best with Internet Explorer and Edge.	10 your analysis and that the three witnesses will
13 was we're back on the record. 14 The Applicant was doing the case in 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloam, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lumch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report; 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 18 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloam, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 will submit a signed copy with all three 14 respective expert witnesses signing and dating it 15 for the record? 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 17 A Correct. These elements, except for the 18 two that I mentioned, are mine. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. All 20 right. And so we will provide we'll keep 21 be- the record will remain open anyway because 22 we are going to adjourn to a second date in 23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: Michael Resport; 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you- 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides we'll keep 2 ms. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKON	11 All right. So the Applicant has	11 each testify in their testimony today that they've
14 respective expert witnesses signing and dating it 15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 26 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 27 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 28 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 29 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 30 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 University of the record, larny that besides for some of the 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 6 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 7 resume from where we left off. 8 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 8 date of more will remain open anyway because 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 26 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 27 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 4 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you- 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides were 12 malysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the re	12 where we before we went on break, the Applicant	12 contributed to this collaborative document and
15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took 16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. All 20 right. And so we will provide we'll keep 21 the the record will remain open anyway because 22 we are going to adjourn to a second date in 23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I, know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is for each element, 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 12 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sok olid you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Dand Use Report; 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 busbantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: SokonI: Seven will remain open anyway because 22 we are going to adjourn to a second	13 was we're back on the record.	13 will submit a signed copy with all three
16 already administered the oath. And we're going to 17 resume from where we left off. 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 26 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 27 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 28 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 39 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 40 We're talking about Exhibit 38. 41 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 42 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 43 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 44 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 45 BY MS. ROGERS: 64 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 65 PWS. ROGERS: 65 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 64 O So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 65 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 65 testimony that besides for some of the 76 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 77 testimony that besides for some of the 80 SOSENFELD: If we could have clarity 81 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 82 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 82 we are going to adjourn to a second date in 83 December. And so prior to that, you submit 84 MS. ROGERS: Which we'll just label 84 MS. ROGERS: Which we'll just label 85 MS. ROGERS: Which we'll just label 86 MS. ROSENFELD: Which we'll just label 87 MS. ROGERS: Which we'll just label 88 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have a date 89 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 80 on this 80 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. 80 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. Sure. 81 MS. ROGERS: So i'll run through by 81 Rooman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 82 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. 83 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. 84 MS. ROGERS: So i'll run through by 85 Rosenfeld. For 86 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. 87 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. 88 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-hub. 89 MS. ROSENFELD:	14 The Applicant was doing the case in	14 respective expert witnesses signing and dating it
17 A Correct. These elements, except for the 18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Macdam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is for each element, 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 MS. ROGERS: Oracet. 18 two that I mentioned, are mine. 20 right. And so we will provide we'll keep 21 the the record will remain open anyway because 22 we are going to adjourn to a second date in 23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: a 188 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROGERS: So Pill run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS.	15 chief, and we had Mr. Sloan, who already took	15 for the record?
18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I 19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: Correct. 5 BY MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 4 cetaimony that besides for some of the 5 testimony that besides for some of the 6 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Ms. ROGERS: So it 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 25 we are going to adjourn to a second date in 26 pright. And so we will provide we'll keep 20 tight. And so we will provide we'll keep 21 the record will remain open anyway because 22 we are going to adjourn to a second date in 23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you please press the yeah. 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Which land the applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the e	16 already administered the oath. And we're going to	16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay.
19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our 20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: a 26 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 4 Substantively changed what's in the records. 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion — professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it	17 resume from where we left off.	17 A Correct. These elements, except for the
20 questions relating to the development standards 21 on — I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that — besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is — for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation and pinion — professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this — 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the — are you the author of the revised 24 MS. ROGERS: which will only have a date 25 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 26 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 27 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 28 ware going to adjourn to a second date in 29 December. And so prior to that, you submit — 24 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: — a 26 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 27 was reging to adjourn to a second date in 28 December. And so prior to that, you submit — 29 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 20 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 21 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 22 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 33 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you please press the — yeah. 11 Counsel, could you please press the — yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 13 clarity, could the Applican	18 MS. ROGERS: Great. Thank you. I	18 two that I mentioned, are mine.
21 nn I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner 22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is for each element, 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: - a 188 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 21 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	19 wanted to pick up, before we dive into the our	19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. All
22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to 23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 26 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 27 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 28 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 29 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 20 MS. ROGERS: Which will just label 21 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 29 MS. ROGERS: 20 MS. ROGERS: 30 MS. ROGERS: 40 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 41 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 42 SPYMS. ROGERS: 43 and signatures added, but otherwise not 44 substantively changed what's in the records. 45 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 46 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 47 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 48 testimony that besides for some of the 49 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 40 Land Use Report is a document that you have 41 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 42 analysis. 41 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 42 Transportation engineering-specific or 43 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: a 188 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which will just label 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 18 ROSENFELD: Wh-luhb. Sure. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-luhb. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-lub. Under 23 Land Use	20 questions relating to the development standards	20 right. And so we will provide we'll keep
23 December. And so prior to that, you submit 24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 12 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: Yes. 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: a 188 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date and signatures added, but otherwise not substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 alarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it	21 on I think the issue the Madam Hearing Examiner	21 the the record will remain open anyway because
24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report? 25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So 186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 24 MS. ROGERS: Ves. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: a 188 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 and signatures added, but otherwise not 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 could you please press the yeah. 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So Fill run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 21 Land Use Report? 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	22 was raising as we were leaving for lunch, just to	22 we are going to adjourn to a second date in
186 1 we're talking about Exhibit 38. 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 24 MS. ROGERS: Which will just label 2 mendation as in the records. 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 md signatures added, but otherwise not 3 substantively changed what's in the records. 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sound good. 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 ROMENTE SOKONI: So did you 29 repare the are you the author of the revised 21 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: Vhich will only have a date 25 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 25 Land Was Report is feet element, 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 27 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 28 MS. ROGERS: Vhich will only have a ddete	23 make sure it was explicitly clear for the record	23 December. And so prior to that, you submit
we're talking about Exhibit 38. 1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label 2 MS. ROGERS: Correct. 2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 4 DIRECT EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific or 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 24 MS. ROGERS: So it	24 regarding the contents of the Land Use Report?	24 MS. ROGERS: Yes.
1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label MS. ROGERS: Correct. 3	25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct. So	25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: a
MS. ROGERS: Correct. MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED BY MS. ROGERS: MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity months MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity months MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For Counsel, could you please press the yeah. Can you, for the record, clarify that? A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation To in the Land Use Report is for each element, MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For Counsel, could you please press the yeah. Can you, for the record, clarify that? A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation To in the Land Use Report is for each element, many is recommendation and opinion professional months Counsel, could you please press the yeah. Counsel, could the Applicant identify, either by MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For Counsel, could the Applicant identify, either by MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. The WITNESS: So I'll run through by MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh, Sure. THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources AS ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.	186	188
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED SEYMS. ROGERS: SEYMS. ROGERS: SEYMS. ROGERS: SEYMS. ROGERS: SET WITH A PRINCE EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. SET WITH A PRINCE EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. SET WITH A PRINCE EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. SET WITH A WITH EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. SET WITH EARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. SET WITH EARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? MIST. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity MIST. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity MIST. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you MIST. ROSENFELD: MIST. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Where old you heave press the yeah. MIST. ROSENFELD: Wh	1 we're talking about Exhibit 38.	1 replacement Exhibit 38, which we'll just label
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED 5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 4 substantively changed what's in the records. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 Land Use Report that the 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 analysis. 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	2 MS. ROGERS: Correct.	2 MS. ROGERS: Which will only have a date
5 BY MS. ROGERS: 6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 24 MS. ROGERS: So it	3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah.	3 and signatures added, but otherwise not
6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the 7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner? 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Ves? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 11 Counsel, could the Applicant identify, either by 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 in the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	4 DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED	4 substantively changed what's in the records.
7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your 8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes? 8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it	5 BY MS. ROGERS:	5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sounds good.
8 testimony that besides for some of the 9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity 9 on this 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 Land Use Report? 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	6 Q So, Mr. Sloan, to be clear for the	6 MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner?
9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the 10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it	7 record, I know you mentioned at the outset of your	7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes?
10 Land Use Report is a document that you have 11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	8 testimony that besides for some of the	8 MS. ROSENFELD: If we could have clarity
11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah. 12 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	9 technical aspects of the Land Use Report, that the	9 on this
12 analysis. 13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	10 Land Use Report is a document that you have	10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you
13 Can you, for the record, clarify that? 14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	11 prepared and reviewed. And this provides your	11 Counsel, could you please press the yeah.
14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation 15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	12 analysis.	MS. ROSENFELD: Michele Rosenfeld. For
15 in the Land Use Report is for each element, 16 aside from civil engineering-specific or 17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my 18 recommendation and opinion professional 19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if 20 this 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 15 sections of the Land Use Report where others 16 helped? 17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by 18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering. 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure. 20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under 21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	Can you, for the record, clarify that?	13 clarity, could the Applicant identify, either by
16 aside from civil engineering-specific or16 helped?17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by18 recommendation and opinion professional18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering.19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure.20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering22 prepare the are you the author of the revised22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.23 Land Use Report?23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources24 MS. ROGERS: So it24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	14 A Yes. So to be clear, the recommendation	14 subject or pages, the engineering and the traffic
17 transportation engineering-specific, reflects my17 THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by18 recommendation and opinion professional18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering.19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure.20 this20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering22 prepare the are you the author of the revised22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.23 Land Use Report?23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources24 MS. ROGERS: So it24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	15 in the Land Use Report is for each element,	15 sections of the Land Use Report where others
18 recommendation and opinion professional18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering.19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if19 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure.20 this20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering22 prepare the are you the author of the revised22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.23 Land Use Report?23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources24 MS. ROGERS: So it24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	16 aside from civil engineering-specific or	16 helped?
19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if19MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure.20 this20THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering22 prepare the are you the author of the revised22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.23 Land Use Report?23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources24 MS. ROGERS: So it24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was		THE WITNESS: So I'll run through by
20 this20 THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you21 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering22 prepare the are you the author of the revised22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.23 Land Use Report?23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources24 MS. ROGERS: So it24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	18 recommendation and opinion professional	18 Roman. They're sort of an outline numbering.
21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you 22 prepare the are you the author of the revised 23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 25 Proposed Development E Civil Engineering 26 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. 27 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 28 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	19 opinion. And I can submit a signed copy, or if	MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh. Sure.
22 prepare the are you the author of the revised22 MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.23 Land Use Report?23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources24 MS. ROGERS: So it24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	20 this	THE WITNESS: So Section 4, under
23 Land Use Report? 24 MS. ROGERS: So it 25 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources 26 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did you	
24 MS. ROGERS: So it 24 Inventory and forced-hand delineation was	22 prepare the are you the author of the revised	MS. ROSENFELD: Uh-huh.
	23 Land Use Report?	23 THE WITNESS: the Natural Resources
25 completed and approved under my authority. The	24 MS. ROGERS: So it	
25 completed and approved under my audiority. The	25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I thought	25 completed and approved under my authority. The

Conducted	OII I VO V CIII	per 6, 2023
	189	191
1 stormwater management concept plan, sediment and		A Yes. So I'm going to run through the
2 erosion control, and the infrastructure elements	2 thr	ee objectives, and some of this is I already
3 of adequate public facilities was analyzed under	3 tes	tified to discussing the Master Plan, the
4 the civil engineer.	4 Ge	neral Plan, Functional Master Plans. But I'll
5 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.	5 sun	nmarize here that under the first, by furthering
6 THE WITNESS: The analysis of schools, I	6 the	goals of the General Plan, Applicable Master
7 completed; police, fire rescue, distances, I	7 Pla	n, and Functional Master Plan, it's my opinion
8 completed, and other services; and traffic study	8 tha	t as described earlier, the project furthers
9 and analysis was done by the transportation		goal of the Master Plan Thrive 2050 and the
10 expert, so		licable Functional Master Plans by creating
MS. ROSENFELD: I'm sorry. Where's the		Il residential uses near existing and planned
12 traffic?		nsit services and amenities along a major
13 THE WITNESS: 4(i), so 4(e), 4(i). Is		ridor, providing a diversity of housing,
14 there another section? Oh, and (e) on the Page 2,		luding affordable units; improving bicycle and
15 which is the LATR elements.		lestrian connectivity; ensuring compatible
16 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. And you	_	ign features and standards, such as setbacks
17 completed, you said, the schools and the other		restrictions on building width and height,
		ich will further articulate at a site plan
18 services?		*
19 THE WITNESS: Schools and other services		el, if we are lucky enough to get there; and
20 except for infrastructure, water and sewer	_	porting the livable and complete communities
21 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay.		isioned for the Colesville Neighborhood Center.
22 THE WITNESS: storm drain.	22	Secondly, by ensuring that the proposed
23 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Great. Thank		s are in balance with and supported by existing
24 you.		planned infrastructure in the General Plan,
25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Mr.	25 Ap	olicable Master Plan, Functional Master Plan
	190	192
1 Sloan, thank you for those clarifications.		ging, and applicable public facilities
2 And you may proceed with Ms. Rogers,		uirements, some of this will be covered by
3 you may proceed with your case in chief.	3 oth	ers.
4 MS. ROGERS: Thank you very much.	4	But from my perspective, it is supported
5 Q Okay. So we're moving, as I mentioned,		the existing infrastructure and will provide
6 to development standards. Mr. Sloan, are you	6 cor	npatible infill development and furtherance of
7 familiar with the zoning ordinance for Montgomery	7 sm:	art growth principles and design elements and is
8 County, including its provisions related to the	8 sup	ported by existing utilities; schools; and
9 CRNF zone?	9 pul	olic facilities public safety facilities,
10 A I am.	10 inc	luding the MC, Fire and Rescue Station 24;
11 Q I'm going to ask a series of questions		ich is .5 miles to the south; and the MC Police
12 based on your knowledge of the zoning ordinance		partment, 3D, which is 2.7 miles to the south
13 and your familiarity with the project and also		t will that are the closest to servicing the
14 your professional expertise. Section 59-5.1.2	14 site	<u> </u>
15 sets forth the intents and purposes of the	15	Excuse me. And third, by allowing
16 floating zones.		ign flexibility to integrate development into
17 Did you evaluate these in connection		culation networks, land use patterns, and
18 with this proposed LMA?		ural features within and connected to the
19 A I did.		perty. The project is built to the standards
20 Q There are three intents of the zones.	-	he CRNF zone, which allows multiple
21 The first is to implement comprehensive planning		idential uses with flexibility to meet
22 objectives. This is under 5-1.2.A.	22 cor	nmunity needs and ensure compatibility. Development enhances the compatibility
In your professional amining con you	17.4	reveronment entrances the compatibility
In your professional opinion, can you		
In your professional opinion, can you 24 please explain how the proposed project furthers 25 this goal?	24 for	existing circulation network and improve ety and walkability on both frontages, and

196

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

193

1 within the neighborhood's residential,

2 institutional, and commercial land use pattern is

3 within the neighborhood's residential

4 institutional commercial land use pattern.

5 Building on the livable and complete community's

6 concepts by maintaining the residential use, but a

density that will support existing and proposed

transit and neighborhood center services.

And while there are no high-priority 10 environmental features on this site, aside from

11 the specimen trees that I mentioned earlier, the

12 design is focused on increasing open space

13 adjacent to an existing park, replacing total

14 canopy to approximately 90 percent of the existing

15 canopy. And we did an analysis of that with --

16 based on our conceptual plans that we can submit

17 to the record, if necessary, comparing an existing

18 canopy to a 20-year projected growth for average

19 species that we intend to plant, but with more

20 biodiversity and with species that provide more

21 ecological services than the existing lawn trees

22 and evergreen hedges.

Q Thank you. The second intent is to

24 encourage the appropriate use of land. This is

2 promotes the appropriate use of land and the

5 each section first and then summarize my

7 First is providing flexible applicability to

A Sure. So I'll quote the sections --

6 testimony, most of it which I've given already.

identified criteria for that intent?

25 under 5-1.2.B.

1 and evolving population. So this allows for a

diverse housing type in the neighborhood,

including the building typology that we've set

forth and accepted as binding elements for, I

think it's, townhouses, triplexes, two-unit

living, which are specifically going to be

allocated towards New Hampshire Avenue and in

the -- and in smaller units towards the transition

towards the smaller, single-family detached units.

10 The third is ensuring the development

11 satisfies basic sustainability requirements,

12 including open-space standards and environmental

13 protection and mitigation. And this is another

14 element that refers specifically to the

15 development standards that the project must comply

16 with.

17 So a lot of the elements about

18 compatibility, sustainability, and standards is --

19 are baked into the zone that we have to comply

20 with. And then there are further elements that we

21 add onto that, like increasing setbacks and things

22 through binding elements or restricting uses.

23 So this project promotes sustainability

24 and smart-growth principles through infill

25 redevelopment already served by existing

Can you please describe how this project

194

6

1 infrastructure and near commercial services and

existing and planned transit. It provides the

minimum ten percent common open space, but it also

has substantial additional green area through HOA

parcels and individual yards.

And should we introduce the updated open space plan that has some of those?

8 Q I think we'll get to it. I need to have

9 an open space --

10 A Okay.

11 Q -- to question.

12 A It has stormwater facilities being

13 provided where none currently exist. We are

14 protecting all offsite trees, and onsite trees

15 will be evaluated, as I noticed with -- as I noted

16 with the final Forest Conservation Plan.

Q Thank you. And, lastly, the intent

18 statement requires that established neighborhoods

19 be protected. This is 5-1.2.C.

20 In your professional opinion, can you

21 explain how the project protects and is compatible

22 with the existing surrounding neighborhood?

23 A So I think this one, again, has three

24 subsections that I'll quote and then respond to.

25 The first is establishing compatible relationships

8 respond to changing economic, demographic, and

9 planning trends that occur between comprehensive 10 district or sectional map amendments. So this is

11 one that I refer to earlier.

12 The rezoning process is specifically

13 meant to be able to deal with these elements 14 between area plans and new comprehensive plans.

15 This is exactly what the project accomplishes.

The Master Plan is almost 30 years old. 17 There have been significant changes in planning

18 and demographic trends. There have been council

19 resolutions on housing needs and targets. The

20 adoption of Thrive 2050 of course sets forth a 21 very different vision than the plan for Wedges and

22 Corridors, and this project complies with those.

Second is allowing various uses, 24 building types, and densities, as determined by 25 property size and base zones, to serve a diverse

between new development and existing neighborhoods

through limits on applicability density and uses.

So this -- the floating zones were adopted specifically with limits on what was

allowed in terms of density and which uses were

6 allowed and whether or not the zone was

7 applicable. This is limited to a residential use

8 and the -- there are parameters controlling

massing and height along Notley Road, as it was

10 brought up at the public -- at the Planning Board

11 hearing. Townhouses are residential in nature and

12 compatible with detached homes.

13 The second is providing development

14 standards and general compatibility standards to

15 protect the character of adjacent neighborhoods.

16 Again, this is built into the fabric of the zoning

17 ordinance, specifically as development standards

18 and compatibility standards that we must meet, in

19 terms of setbacks and height. We are maintaining

20 the appropriate minimum setbacks and creating

21 private yards and public space between the streets

22 and the property boundaries and internal units,

23 where the site abuts or confronts single-unit

24 residential buildings with expanded setbacks.

We are establishing a maximum height

...

15 out front is that the R-200 zone allows multiple

16 floating zones. The CRNF is one of those.And the Council determined that the CRNF

1 together to really respond to the unique

circumstances of any individual site, such as

allowing where private front yard space, or

creating the park next to an existing park. We're

expanding the setback between the property line

it does protect the character of the neighborhood.

and buildings. So for those reasons, I find that

Q Thank you. Section 5-3.2 sets forth the

purposes of the CR floating zones. Can you please

10 describe how the project satisfies these purposes?

12 zoning ordinance, the floating zones have this 13 very detailed and specific set of purposes that --

14 for each category. One thing that I would note

A Sure. Unlike a lot of the areas of the

18 is appropriate -- is an appropriate floating zone

19 if it meets certain standards and prerequisites,

20 which are laid out in the code. That's under

21 section 5.3.2, which determines which floating

22 zones you can request and which limits there are.

The other thing is that the purpose 24 clauses are meant to create -- meant to address a

25 broad family of zones. The CRNF zones, the CR

198

1 that's comparable and compatible with surrounding

2 buildings and allow development standards. We are

3 providing the screening and adhering to the

4 compatibility standards for heightened setbacks

5 that are established by the code. We're exceeding

6 those.

7 And third, allowing design flexibility

8 to provide mitigation of any negative impacts

9 found to be caused by the new use. Staff did not

10 determine that there were any negative impacts,

11 nor did the Planning Board in their recommendation

12 to us. I did not find any of my professional

13 analysis.

22

4 The flexibility in the zoning standards

15 under the CRNF zone will allow the builder to

16 provide things like porches, yards, lead walks,

17 architectural features that minimize the sense of

18 building massing and create units that are in

19 character with a residential neighborhood. We

20 also have the building length restrictions that

21 are a binding element.

The common open space is a development

23 standard that has flexibility in the

24 implementation that is -- that allows the --

25 excuse me, the development team and Staff to work

1 zones, and the CRT zones -- well, CR floating --

2 CRT floating zones, they're established by

3 creating specific maximum density, commercial

4 density, residential density, and height. And

5 each one of those combinations is technically a

6 different zone that can be mapped.

And so the purpose clauses are broad

B because they need to cover so many different

9 things from -- with a CRR of zero to a CRR of 8.0

10 FAR. So they cover that broad family.

In each case, this -- every purpose

12 clause does not need to be met. There are

13 numerous developments that are zoned with allowed

14 C and R densities of zero to all the way up to, as

15 I noted, eight. And in the CRNF, of course, does

16 not allow it up to go up to eight. It's much more

17 limited.

18 It does not require a mix of uses. It

19 allows a mix of uses in centers and communities.

20 And in some cases, we make the planning

21 determination that horizontal mixed use is as

22 important as vertical mixed use, where we're

23 trying to limit and create compatible building

24 typologies. And so a townhouse development next

25 to a commercial center, for example, is mix of

199

200

204

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

uses in that community. And the CR zones allow you to develop under those -- under that scenario.

We provided a list of numerous CRNF 4 zones where only residential or commercial uses

5 are permitted. And we provided a map in -- I

6 think in our PowerPoint that has all the areas

where CRN -- or a CRNF zone has been established

8 with a zero that shows that the District

9 Council -- the County Council has determined that

10 the CRN is appropriate in some locations with a

11 zero FAR. And they've done this not only in

12 rezonings, but also in comprehensive and sectional

13 map amendments.

MS. ROGERS: If you could pull back up

15 the Applicant's PowerPoint, Exhibit 68? And --

THE WITNESS: And I'll mention it. 16

17 MS. ROGERS: And -- sorry. If you

18 scroll down? Sorry. I don't know the page

19 number. I'll tell you when to stop.

20 THE WITNESS: Oh, there it is.

21 MS. ROGERS: Sorry. One more up.

22 Uh-huh. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I had a lot of exhibits on

2 map on a page titled Existing CRN/CRNF Zones

3 Applicability of CRNF Zone at C Equals Zero.

4 These are all areas. The map actually shows all

24 the Master Plan.

Q Uh-huh. 25

1 uses. That is part of the allowing development of

mixed-use centers and communities, not specific

properties always with a range of densities and

heights flexible enough to respond to various

5 settings. And this is one of those settings where

it's appropriate to not mix vertically the uses,

but horizontally through the community and around

the village center.

Second use -- a second part of that is 10 allowing flexibility and uses for a site. As

11 noted, we've asked for the flexibility in building

12 typology, which would not always be allowed with a

13 townhouse floating zone or apartment floating

14 zone.

15 Those are different, and they implement

16 densities and heights at different standards. So

17 under all of the numerous regulations that go

18 along with the rezoning that we're allowed to ask

19 for under the R-200, part of that is that

20 flexibility and uses that we're requesting and is

21 set as one of the binding elements.

And then, finally, providing mixed-use

23 development that is compatible with adjacent

24 development. As I have hopefully detailed in my

25 previous testimony, compatibility does not mean

A So again, going to Exhibit 68, we have a

the same. It means it has elements that work in harmony with.

3 And per my earlier testimony, I

discussed the height and density elements that are

compatible. And then I've also discussed the fact

that we can look at further design elements in

urban design features when we get to site plan.

The other piece of that is, of course,

9 the setbacks, the building placement where we're

10 pushing more of our massing towards New Hampshire

11 to internal to the site, where we're keeping off

12 of the property boundary. And then those massing

13 limits that I've discussed several times.

14 Q Okay. Want to put the examples --

A Yeah. 15

MS. ROGERS: We can go two slides. 16

17 Thank you. Can you go to two slides down? If you

18 go one more slide up.

So this is, again, in our -- I know

20 there's been testimony submitted. I know even the

21 Hearing Examiner had a question on this, so we put

22 together a prerequisite analysis, given that we've

23 received a question. This would be another one

24 that we feel like is appropriate to separately

25 identify, and we can submit it as a separate

202

5 areas of CR zones and CRNF zones that have a zero

And we've also note -- we'd also note

8 that there's even more flexibility in floating

9 zones for establishing no mix of uses as a zone --10 as part of the zone that is mapped. And the

11 District Council -- what are they? The County

12 Council. I have to use the District Council,

13 whatever it is, has zoned to CRTF -- CRT floating

14 zone with a zero commercial.

FAR for commercial.

15 If this was a Euclidean CRT Zone, it

16 would not be allowed to have a zero. But the 17 council has found through that precedent that the

18 flexibility built into the floating zones allows

19 for zero FAR.

20 The CRF -- the floating zones establish

21 maximums that are allowed to be asked for. They 22 do not establish minimums, and none has to be

23 provided.

24 And we've done several properties that

25 have been resumed without a commercial mix of

Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on November 6, 2025

205	205
205	207
1 standalone PDF following today's hearing 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh.	1 are looking at the 17 pages that start at Page 40
	2 of the PDF and run through
3 MS. ROGERS: as a separate exhibit.	MS. ROGERS: Page 56.
4 Can we identify this as Exhibit 76?	4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. So this
5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And what's the	5 will get it's the prerequisites analysis, and
6 description of it?	6 it's entered into the record as Exhibit 76.
7 MS. ROGERS: Prerequisite analysis.	7 MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Any	8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Is there no
9 objections?	9 an objection?
10 MS. ROSENFELD: I just want to make sure	MR. NUSBAUM: Yeah. I would object to
11 that we're talking about the prerequisites	11 entering this as an exhibit to the extent that
12 analysis that's in	12 this is a late amendment, essentially, to the
13 MS. ROGERS: Yes.	13 revised excuse me, the revised Land Use Report.
14 MS. ROSENFELD: the PowerPoint.	14 And in the revised Land Use Report, Applicant sets
15 Okay. And how many pages is that?	15 forth specific prerequisites that it believes it
16 (Pause in proceedings.)	16 satisfies and
MS. ROGERS: It's 17 pages.	17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I requested
MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Well, what's the	18 clarification. And this was in the PD the PDF
19 last sheet?	19 that was provided timely provided was
MS. ROGERS: The last sheet is this one	20 addressing a question I had raised on
21 with	21 prerequisites.
22 THE WITNESS: The letter from MD	MR. NUSBAUM: I believe your question
23 MS. ROGERS: the MD	23 was very specific on this certain prerequisite,
MS. ROSENFELD: Page number?	24 and this is adding prerequisites that were not in
25 MS. GIRARD: There's no page numbers.	25 the Land Use Report.
206	208
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint.	208 1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no 3 page numbers, but are we able to tell from the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no 3 page numbers, but are we able to tell from the 4 PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay.
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no 3 page numbers, but are we able to tell from the 4 PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in.
1 MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no 3 page numbers, but are we able to tell from the 4 PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of 5 6 MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.)
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the 2 way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up 13 at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. 13 Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. 13 Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. 13 Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. 15 MS. ROGERS: Sorry.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the lawy down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it starts and there's, like, three more	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. 13 Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. 15 MS. ROGERS: Sorry. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the 2 way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up 3 at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it 6 starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. 13 Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. 15 MS. ROGERS: Sorry. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for 17 clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the 2 way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up 3 at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it 6 starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is. MS. ROGERS: in the back.	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's timely. It's MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed in. (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and retained by the Hearing Examiner.) MS. ROGERS: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may hearing Examiner Sokoni: You may HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I lights want to be clear. You know, it's there's
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the 2 way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up 3 at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it 6 starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is. MS. ROGERS: in the back. MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, there.	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's 2 timely. It's 3 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed 5 in. 6 (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for 7 identification, admitted into evidence, and 8 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) 9 MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may 11 proceed. 12 MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. 13 Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. 15 MS. ROGERS: Sorry. 16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for 17 clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I 18 just want to be clear. You know, it's there's 19 a process here. Things come from the Planning
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: in the back. MS. ROGERS: in the back. MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, there. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did we say	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's timely. It's MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed in. (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and retained by the Hearing Examiner.) MS. ROGERS: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may hearing Examiner Sokoni: All right MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I higher some from the Planning Board there's a transmitter, there's a
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is. MS. ROGERS: in the back. MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, there. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did we say it starts at it's a 17-page the what you	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's timely. It's MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed in. (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and retained by the Hearing Examiner.) MS. ROGERS: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may hearing Examiner. MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I highest you know, it's there's here's a transmitter, there's a here's a transmitter, there's a
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is. MS. ROGERS: in the back. MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, there. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did we say it starts at it's a 17-page the what you will provide a hard copy of. And by the way, also	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's timely. It's MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed in. (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and retained by the Hearing Examiner.) MS. ROGERS: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may hearing Examiner Sokoni: All right MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I higher sokoni: Just for hearing Examiner Sokoni: Sokoni: All right hearing Examiner Sokoni: Just for relarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I hearing Examiner Sokoni: All right hearing Examiner Sokoni: All right hearing Examiner Sokoni: Just for hearing Examiner Sokoni: All right hearing Examiner.)
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is. MS. ROGERS: in the back. MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, there. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did we say it starts at it's a 17-page the what you will provide a hard copy of. And by the way, also a separate	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's timely. It's MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed in. (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and retained by the Hearing Examiner.) MS. ROGERS: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may proceed. MS. ROGERS: You can go back to Page 40. Sorry. Did I skip something? Yeah. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I just want to be clear. You know, it's there's pa process here. Things come from the Planning Board there's a transmitter, there's a recommendation and I'm reviewing things up until close to the hearing. MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh.
MS. ROGERS: In our PowerPoint. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So it has no page numbers, but are we able to tell from the PDF? Can we so does the PDF give us a range of MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh. So this is the cover page that I counted. THE WITNESS: The MS. ROGERS: On the THE WITNESS: Page 40 of the PDF. MS. ROGERS: And you should go all the way down to 57, then, or yeah. Oh, one more up at 56. I think I counted wrong. Four HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine. MS. ROGERS: Yeah, 17, because it starts and there's, like, three more THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. There it is. MS. ROGERS: in the back. MS. ROSENFELD: Oh, there. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So did we say it starts at it's a 17-page the what you will provide a hard copy of. And by the way, also	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I think it's timely. It's MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: It's allowed in. (Applicant's Exhibit 76 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and retained by the Hearing Examiner.) MS. ROGERS: Thank you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. MS. ROGERS: Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Just for clarity and I know we do have laypeople, so I Jist want to be clear. You know, it's there's Ja process here. Things come from the Planning Board there's a transmitter, there's a Trecommendation and I'm reviewing things up

209 211 1 be responsive to clarification, so I wouldn't --1 requirement? 2 I'm not treating this as an amendment to the A So given the R-200 base zoning, the 3 application. It's simply providing clarifying 3 tracked area larger than three acres, the total information that was requested by the Hearing maximum allowed on the property is 1.25 FAR -- and Examiner. I explained what FAR was for those who may not understand it earlier -- with a commercial and MS. ROGERS: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I hope that -residential each limited to a maximum of one FAR. 8 MS. ROGERS: Here. 8 The CRNF zone that we are requesting is HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- helps folks to a maximum FAR of one with a maximum residential 10 understand what this is about. 10 of one within that cap and, of course, a MS. GIRARD: It also was in the record 11 commercial of zero, as I've discussed earlier. 12 as part of the PowerPoint, it's worth noting. 12 Per question that we received, we did do an 13 We're just pulling them out as a separate exhibit. 13 approximate calculation of our gross floor area so So this has been in the record. And at 14 that we could analyze the square footage of the 15 the beginning, everyone agreed there would -- with 15 property for the project. 16 one exception that we were okay with the exhibits 16 Right now, we don't have architecture. 17 in the record. So this is just excerpted. 17 We have building footprints that'll sort establish 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. And I 18 what we call, like, a building restriction line 19 thought that the -- I thought the objection was to 19 almost, but an overall building coverage. If you 20 the fact that this came in -- so the -- I just 20 took that to a typical townhouse construction, 21 want to be clear. You were not objecting to the 21 four stories, that may -- might result in an FAR 22 PDF, were you, Mr. --22 of about .8, but because the -- which is about 23 MR. NUSBAUM: I'm objecting to the 23 340,000 square feet total gross floor area of the 24 additional prerequisites that were not in the 24 footprint. And that's basically multiplying by 25 original Land Use Report. 25 3.6 to allow for garages. 210 212 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: But these were Many units have rooftop terraces. Some in the PDF that was timely provided. Are you in have roof pitches that -- some have bay windows. agreement that this was timely provided by -- on So that's a ballpark figure average for those Monday? kinds of townhouses. 5 MR. NUSBAUM: As an exhibit, yes. 5 But because the zoning only allows you HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. And is to request increments of .0 -- or .25, we asked that what you're objecting to? for the 1.0. But of course, we're restricted by 8 MR. NUSBAUM: I'll withdraw. the binding element on the number of units, so we HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. can't go beyond that. Q Okay. So I think we concluded with the 10 Q Pursuant to Section 5-1.3.C(2)(b), when 11 purposes. Mr. Sloan, A couple of questions 11 requesting a commercial or residential 12 regarding the applicability of the CRNF zone. 12 neighborhood floating zone for a property with a Section 5-1.3.A of the zoning ordinance 13 residential base zone, the property must, one, 14 prohibits a floating zone from being approved for 14 front on a non-residential street or must confront 15 a property that's located in agricultural or rural 15 or abut property that is in a commercial, 16 residential zone. Is this property located in 16 residential, employment, or industrial zone; and 17 agricultural or rural residential zone? 17 two, must satisfy a minimum of two prerequisites 18 A It's not. 18 for each category under Section 5-1.3.D.

19 Q Is a floating zone recommended for the 20 property in the Master Plan?

21 A It is not.

Q Since a floating zone is not recommended

23 by the Master Plan, pursuant to Section

24 5-3.5.A(2), what is the maximum density for this

25 site? And does the LMA comply with this

Does the property front on a

20 non-residential street both confront or abut

21 property in a commercial, residential, employment,

22 or industrial zone?

A Yes, it does. It fronts on New

24 Hampshire Avenue, which is classified as a

25 boulevard.

213 MS. ROGERS: If we could go down to the 1 at the time, the site had access onto a 2 next slide, please? Thank you. residential street and onto a major -- onto a Q And does the property satisfy a minimum downtown boulevard or boulevard. I don't remember of two prerequisites from each category? what Connecticut is, a non-residential street. A It does. 5 And it was specifically -- a binding Q And can you please walk through those element was placed on that project so that it prerequisites that this project complies with? could only access off of Connecticut Avenue in the A Sure. So we agree with Staff's finding future. So this is meant to determine whether or and recommendation and with the Planning Board 9 not the site is in a location that is appropriate 10 recommendation that at least two of each of the 10 for a rezoning. It does not determine the design 11 category -- two in each category of prerequisites 11 elements of the site into the future. 12 are met. And I'll start with the next slide. It HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Do you mind if 13 outlines the five prerequisites that are available 13 I interrupt with a question? So can we -- I just 14 in the first category of transit and 14 want to go to the part of the Staff Report which 15 infrastructure. 15 addressed this issue. And I just want to have 16 some clarification. So the Staff Report is Since there were questions about this, 17 we reanalyzed these and submitted to the record on 17 exhibit ---18 the 3rd our updated analysis and clarification 18 MS. ROGERS: 56. 19 that -- to answer any questions that there were. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- 56? Could 20 So we do -- we are not within -- the first 20 we please pull that up, Exhibit 56? And I'm 21 category does not apply to us. We're not within 21 trying to find the section that addressed this. 22 75 percent of -- or 70 -- at least 75 percent of 22 It might have been Page, maybe, 19, yes? 23 the site is not within any of these distances from 23 THE WITNESS: Page 24 is their --24 the various levels of transit that are defined in 24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page -- yes. 25 the zoning ordinance. 25 THE WITNESS: -- analysis of the 214

But we do, in fact, meet four of the 2 other prerequisites. We submitted with two, but we meet four. And I'll run through those. THE WITNESS: If you can go to the first -- or the next slide, please? A So frontage and access -- the 7 prerequisite says, The site has frontage on and 8 vehicular bicycle and pedestrian access to at 9 least two roads, at least one of which is 10 non-residential. New Hampshire Avenue, as I've 11 noted, is a non-residential street. 12 It has access to vehicular access today

13 on New Hampshire Avenue and Notley. It has 14 bicycle pedestrian access on both frontages, and 15 we'll retain them.

MR. SMIDDIE: (Inaudible.) 16

17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So no

18 interruptions, please.

A So the site is a snapshot of the

20 property today. Whether it has a specific access

21 point in the future is determined through the

22 preliminary and site plan process.

A site can be rezoned with access only

24 onto one road. 7100 Connecticut Avenue is an

25 example of that, a rezoning that was approved that

prerequisite. 1

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So at the

bottom of Page 24, the Staff Report says, The

property has frontage on Notley Road and New

Hampshire Avenue. Both roadways provide vehicle

and pedestrian access. New Hampshire Avenue is

classified as a boulevard, which is a

non-residential roadway.

Then on to Page 25, The project will not

10 require any upgrades or the installation of a pump

11 station. The property has frontage of Notley Road

12 and New Hampshire, both roadways.

13 Could you help break down Staff's

14 analysis for -- what are they saying?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes. So what I think is

16 important is the paragraph before the bold --

17 italic heading --

18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Oh, yes.

19 THE WITNESS: -- Transit Infrastructure.

20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You're right.

21 THE WITNESS: To address the second

22 requirement of the CRNF zones, that second

23 requirement being prerequisites --

24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct.

THE WITNESS: -- Staff concurs that the 25

215

216

217 219 A The prerequisite is intended to look at 1 subject application meets the following 2 prerequisites in the categories listed below. And the property's conditions today. And I agree with 3 one of those is that it has frontage and vehicular Staff that we meet this prerequisite, that it has 4 bicycle pedestrian access to at least two roads. currently the access that it's necessary to And they note that it has frontage on 5 qualify. 6 both roads, and they provide vehicle and HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So you think 6 pedestrian access. It does not say whether or not it's assessing the property as it is today, 8 they have to in the future, and we don't have a regardless of what it will be in the future? THE WITNESS: That's correct. 9 binding element that says we can't. We still need 10 to explore that through more technical design. 10 Q And just to reiterate, when you were I understand that DOT asked for us to 11 giving the example of the Corso rezoning at 7100 11 12 remove a vehicular access that was providing 12 Connecticut Avenue, did that property take 13 emergency access on New Hampshire Road. We did 13 advantage of this finding out -- or this 14 that in our iteration, but that's a state road. 14 prerequisite? 15 And at the end of the day, SHA will has -- have us 15 A It did. And it only --16 determine whether or not we may have access there. Q And did the property -- at the time of But the fact remains, we don't need to 17 the rezoning and its snapshot in time, that 18 provide future access onto these. We're 18 existing condition, did it have two access points? 19 establishing that the site meets this condition. A It did. It had curb cuts on Residential One little follow-up there. The 20 Street to the north and Connecticut Avenue. 21 prerequisites have differences on what is --Q And did the future development in that 22 case provide for vehicular access on more than one 22 applies to -- on what applies to what. In some 23 cases they, say the site, and that is, Okay. Does 23 street? 24 the site -- is the site in this area that's -- has A No. It had a binding element that it 25 X -- meets X, Y, or Z requirement? 25 could only provide vehicular access on one street. 218 220 In other places, it says the project. Q So in your professional opinion, based 2 So that is -- that's the future-oriented thing. on your interpretation of the code and previous 3 When the project is built, will it do this, and rezoning applications, this binding element -- I 4 those are separate things that are outlined in the mean this prerequisite, I'm sorry, is intending to

In other places, it says the project.

So that is -- that's the future-oriented thing.

When the project is built, will it do this, and those are separate things that are outlined in the prerequisite table and what we've always followed when we've submitted applications.

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: True. But at the LMA stage, I mean, we have to look at what you're proposing to determine whether you meet the 10 requirement. You know, I can't assess a possible 11 hypothetical in the future if that's not what 12 you're presenting here.

Q And, Mr. Sloan, to clarify for the 14 record, are you -- your professional opinion about 15 the way that this is worded and has been 16 interpreted in the past, that the prerequisite is 17 looking at a snapshot in time as to what the 18 property has today in order to determine those 19 properties that are appropriate for rezonings,

20 irrespective of what the future development would

21 hold. So to the Hearing Examiner's question, this

23 it intending to look at future development? Or is

24 it intending to look at the property's conditions

25 today?

22 prerequisite, in your professional opinion -- is

look at the properties existing conditions to determine areas that are appropriate for rezonings and not dictate future development on the site, this category that we're talking about? 9 A This specific category, correct. 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you. MS. ROGERS: If we can go back to the 11 12 Applicant's presentation? 13 THE WITNESS: If we can go to the next 14 slide, please? A So in terms of infrastructure, my 16 colleague Logan Kelso will speak more to this. 17 These are images from WSSC, is what they call 200 18 maps showing where existing infrastructure is 19 today. 20 The top one shows the existing sewer 21 that's out there in Notley Road and New Hampshire 22 Avenue and Petwyn Court. The bottom one shows 23 where existing water is. The top -- the sewer line is an 25 eight-inch line. The water line is a 10-inch

221 223 1 line. Plus, there's a 24-inch line, and we'll 1 is adjacent -- oh, sorry. Next slide, please. 2 speak to the capacity of these types of lines with A So this is a small inset map of the site 3 my colleague's testimony. 3 with images of two points along New Hampshire THE WITNESS: Signalize intersection is Avenue, and then the little excerpt in the top 5 next, if you could just go to the next slide, left image of the 1997 White Oak Master Plan. This is along PB Route 40 -- no. PB --6 please? A And on this one, I'll be relying on the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 23? 8 testimony of my colleague Katie Wagner about the A Sorry. Forgot the number of bicyclist 9 signalize intersection within a quarter-mile of route, PB-23, which is -- which was recommended in 10 our boundary. The next category is schools. And 10 the Master Plan as a signed, striped bike lane 11 this prerequisite is that -- the gist of it is 11 along New Hampshire Avenue, both sides. That was 12 that the project will not result in school 12 completed, it was striped, it was signed. Those 13 utilization rate greater than 120 percent. 13 are images of the signs just north of our site and THE WITNESS: And we've analyzed, based 14 down by the shopping center. 15 on the fiscal year '26 annual school test that the We're, of course, proposing the 16 schools -- oh, sorry. Could you go to the next 16 shared-use path, the 11-foot shared-use path 17 slide? I'm looking down at my notes. 17 that's being -- or side path that's being built A The school districts that this project 18 along New Hampshire Avenue, which will upgrade 19 are in, Westover Elementary School is at 107.2 19 this system and make it much more pedestrian and 20 percent capacity under the 120 standard; White Oak 20 bicyclist-friendly. 21 Middle School is at 84.5 percent; Springbrook High 21 THE WITNESS: If you can go to the next 22 School is at 87 percent. We have a specific 22 one, please? 23 breakdown of the number of students that this A Oh, sorry. And the point of that is, 24 project is yield based on the generation rates for 24 the commercial services are one quarter-mile away. 25 We will go to the next one, which is pertinent. 25 this area, 27 elementary school students, 15 222 224 1 middle school students, 22 high school students. This -- is there one up? Sorry. Did -oh, okay. Yes. So that's related to that. This 2 And based on these projections, we will 3 not trigger the -- we will not meet that capacity is -- okay. That's (inaudible-audio break.) 4 limit of 120 percent. It will be significantly The second prerequisite -- I don't have 5 below that. Further, we don't even require a a separate exhibit for this. Is that -- do we? 6 utilization premium payment, which can be Q I think they might be out of order. 6 7 triggered at interim development -- or interim One second. percentages. THE WITNESS: Oh, it is. Sorry. Could Okay. The next category is vicinity and 9 you go down three slides, to where it says, 10 facilities. 10 grocery store? Thank you. Sorry. I got those 11 out of order. 11 Q Uh-huh. A So depending on how -- I'll just A The second prerequisite is that a 13 reiterate something on that. On the first 13 grocery store is within one quarter-mile of the 14 category, depending on how the Hearing Examiner 14 site. We walked the site, of course, when we were 15 and the Counsel eventually find on the access with 15 out there as well as the typical means that we 16 roads, vehicular and pedestrian, whatnot, there 16 developed this. It was just relying on online GIS 17 are three other categories that we meet, if not 17 information. 18 all of the four. 18 The giant shop -- the giant in the Vicinity and facilities, there are, 19 shopping center to the south -- that shopping 20 again, five prerequisites that may be met. And as 20 center is within one quarter-mile. I understand 21 we'll run through, we meet four of these out of 21 that the testimony that's been submitted says that 22 the five. And again, this is to answer the 22 you have to be at the front door of the grocery

23 store to get there.

25 that we have interpreted this.

That's not the case. It's not the way

23 questions that have been raised in testimony that

THE WITNESS: The first is that the site

24 has been submitted to the record.

25

228

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

When a development standard is 2 established to get from a particular facility or 3 property to a front door, it says it in the zoning 4 ordinance. That is not the -- and there are 5 several cases of that where it says, for example, 6 if you're providing offsite parking, it has to be, 7 I think it is, a quarter-mile from that parking 8 facility to the door of the establishment that vou're serving. 10 This does not say that, and it's not the 11 intent of the floating zone proximity discussion. 12 This is meant to provide guidance on sites that 13 are within the services that are reasonably 14 walkable. 15 We walk to the front door, it's seven 16 minutes at a reasonable pace, not a fast pace, and 17 certainly meets the intent of this prerequisite. 18 And we are within -- we're less than -- bless 19 you -- quarter-mile to the property with the 20 shopping center. 21 THE WITNESS: If you can go back up two 22 slides? There's some -- sorry. 23 One more up, right? 24 A Oh, yeah, school proximity. 25 O Uh-huh.

225 1 next slide? Thank you. A We dug into this quite a bit because the 3 way the mapping function online works, which is what we're required to submit when we submit a 5 recreation facilities plan, we have to go onto their site; we have to put in our site -- we have to go onto their website, put in our property with our intended use. It generates a map for us. If you put the pin on the right side of 10 our site, it picks up Colesville Local Park. If 11 you put it on the left side of our site, it picks 12 up our adjacent neighbor, the Colesville Local 13 Park --14 Q Manor? 15 A Or Manor Neighborhood Park. But it 16 never picks up both of them, which is odd. So we 17 analyze them both, and either one puts us over the 18 requirement for 30 percent of our recreation 19 demand for our proposed use because of the 20 facilities that are there and the intended use 21 that we've provided. So the clearer one is obviously the one 23 right next to us. The one submitted in the 24 recreation exhibit that we've had to -- we had to 25 submit for the application is -- picks up the 226

A So it was not the focus of this. We did 2 not think we would get questions on the other prerequisites. Staff agreed with us. And I have not had questions like that 5 before, so it was good to look at. But we did 6 look at the other two prerequisites in case, of 7 course, the Hearing Examiner and the Council 8 decides they disagree with our interpretation of 9 the grocery proximity. 10 So the site -- as we've noted, where a 11 signal is intended to go at the intersection of 12 New Hampshire Avenue, Notley Road, even under the 13 published recreation guidelines and the mapping 14 capabilities on that, you can walk to with -- you 15 can walk to a school, the Kids MCS Colesville 16 Center, offering pre-K to 12 programming, and the 17 Casa de Montessori are .4 miles away along New 18 Hampshire Avenue. And the crossing, of course, will be 20 much -- much safer once the light is installed. 21 So that's another prerequisite that we meet in 22 case it's found that the pedestrian route to the

23 grocery store is not found applicable.

THE WITNESS: And then, finally, the

25 recreation facilities, we -- if you can go to the

park, as noted on the slide that's up right now and shows that we meet the 30 percent requirement. If, however, you just walk across the --4 you walk through the sidewalk that we're providing right next door, we analyze the Colesville Manor Park. Again, everything not being as --MS. ROGERS: Next slide, please. A Oh, sorry. I didn't -- yeah. The tools 9 we're given are as good as they are, and sometimes 10 we have to make corrections. This problematically 11 says that there's a handball court on this park 12 and provides credit for that. There's not a 13 handball court, but there is a lawn area that's 14 listed on the park's website -- we went to the 15 park's website to actually determine what was 16 there and then walk the site, of course -- and 17 then it provides the -- it talks about the 18 benches, which are seating areas under the 19 recreation guidelines, but provides no credit. 20 So we had to fix the calculations, and 21 the fixed calculations are the bulleted items 22 below. When you take out the handball court, 23 provide the open lawn, and add the two seating

24 areas, you have a recreation supply going per

25 category of tots equals 18, children equals 23,

7

229 231 1 teens equals 23, young adults equals 35, adults 1 run through them real quickly. First are limits 2 equals 34, seniors equals 15. These are formulas of disturbance. 3 established by the planning department that we 3 THE WITNESS: If you go down one slide? 4 have to follow. A And this depicts just a small version of So when the bullets on the left side, 5 the NRI, the Natural Resources Inventory, which is 6 under my -- under the recreation facility's in full -- there's a full-sized document in the heading, this is where we divide -- determine the record, which was approved by Staff. And it 8 percentage that is applicable. When you divide establishes all of the pertinent regulated 9 our demand, which is the left number by the environmental features on and within 100 feet of 10 supply, which are the ones that I just ran out, 10 the site. 11 you get for those respective categories 100 Our limits of disturbance will not 11 12 percent, 79 percent, 110 percent, 51 percent, 36 12 overlap any stream, wetland, or environmental 13 percent, 107 percent, all of -- above the 30 13 buffer. We have no slopes greater than 25 14 percent recreation demand that this prerequisite 14 percent. The small areas by Notley Road where we 15 requires. So it was not a detailed analysis that 15 have slopes over 15 percent do not have erodible 16 I've had to do before, but it was worth doing and, 16 soils, and so we meet the first prerequisite. 17 I think, shows that we certainly meet the -- this 17 THE WITNESS: And then on to the next 18 prerequisite. 18 slide. 19 Q So just because that was a lot of A So there is no forest onsite. We have 20 testimony, I'll just jump in real quick with the 20 adjacent forest in the park that we're keeping our 21 question. In terms of your detailed analysis, 21 limits of disturbance away from, and so we meet 22 where you've gone in and independently verified 22 that requirement -- or we meet that prerequisite. 23 the recreation calculations, in terms of 23 THE WITNESS: And then third, part of a 24 facilities for each of the parks, both through 24 requirement of submitting a Natural Resources 25 information available on the park website and your 25 Inventory, if you can go to the next slide? 230 232 1 own independent evaluation of the site, does the A We have to submit for -- to DNR whether 1 2 Colesville Manor Park alone satisfy the or not they have any records or concerns about 3 prerequisite to meet 30 percent of the recreation environment -- endangered species and habitats on 4 demand on their Planning Board's recreation the property. They had -- they -- part of our NRI 5 guidelines? showed -- is a letter from Maryland DNR saying 6 A It does. that there are no official records of endangered Q And is that park within species. three-quarters-mile of our property? We also have to do an inventory onsite A Yes. 9 if we find any. And we did not find any 10 Q And then the Colesville --10 endangered species onsite. Q Thank you. And did Maryland National 11 Q -- Local Park that you've also analyzed 12 Capital Park and Planning Commission Staff and the 13 and provided testimony to -- is that within 13 Planning Board agree that the project satisfies 14 three-quarters-mile of our property? 14 the minimum two prerequisites from each category? A It is. 15 A They did. They agreed with the two for Q And does that park on its own as well 16 each category we submitted, and we've since, based 17 meet more than 30 percent of the recreation demand 17 on the questions, offered more. 18 under the Planning Board's recreation guidelines? Q Thank you. How are the development 19 standards handled under the CRN floating zone? A It does. 19 Q Thank you. 20 20 A So the floating zones have a set of

21 development standards that are established with

22 the rezoning. Then they have a set of development

24 process. And then there are sections of the code

23 standards that they leave to the site plan

25 that are prescriptive, like open space and

THE WITNESS: Okay. If you could go to

A So environmental resources -- I don't

25 think there's specific questions on this, but I'll

22 the next slide, please? Oh, sorry. Can -- if you

23 can go down to that?

235 screening and parking, that every application has 1 they apply to our abutting properties. THE WITNESS: The second piece is the 3 MS. ROGERS: If we can go down a slide? confronting properties, if you can go to the next Q Mr. Sloan, do the compatibility 4 slide? requirements in Section 4.1.8 of the code apply to 5 A The confronting properties, because that this property? right of way is under one -- under 80 feet -- or A They do. So we have to comply with the 80 feet or -- is less than 80 feet, then the 8 height and setback compatibility requirements that 8 height compatibility does apply here also as well 9 are in section 4.1.8 of the zoning ordinance, the as the setback, the front setback. 10 exhibit that's on the screen right now. This Q And just to clarify, when you're talking 11 shows when a project has to -- when it abuts a 11 about the confronting and the right of way, you're 12 single-family detached zone property that is 12 talking about Notley Road? 13 improved with -- is vacant or improved with a 13 A Notley Road, yes, yes. We have no 14 residential use in this case. 14 setback -- we have no compatibility requirements So the setback compatibility requirement 15 across New Hampshire Avenue because it's 80 feet 16 does not apply townhouses or determined or not --16 or greater. So going through the setback, 17 do not require setback compatibility when they 17 compatibility, again, it does not apply to a 18 abut detached homes when. But it does have to 18 townhouse building type abutting a residential 19 comply with the height compatibility, and so 19 detached zone. But you have to establish what the 20 there's kind of -- there's a little back-and-forth 20 front setback is. 21 that's in the code. 21 In this case, it ends up being ten feet. 22 You first have to determine what the 22 Also, there's a minimum ten-foot front setback 23 setback is to determine what the height 23 from the right of way to the fronts of these 24 compatibility angular -- where the height 24 buildings. I've noted we're much further beyond 25 compatibility angular plane is set. So when you 25 that.

234

1 refer to 4.1.8.A(1), and it does not apply, then
2 you go to the standard method development
3 standards in Division 4.4 to determine your
4 setback.

5 In this case, it's ten feet. The 6 setback required is ten feet. And I've got more 7 detail on -- in what was submitted for the record 8 about how we get there.

And ten feet from the property line, you

10 go to the height that's allowed in the abutting
11 property. I noted before that some R-200
12 properties allow you to have 50 feet, have height.
13 In this case, these properties were
14 developed under an old, optional method of
15 development that's not allowed anymore. But they
16 were allowed to have 15,000-square-foot lots, and
17 their height is under 35 feet.

17 their height is under 35 feet.

18 So our angular plane therefore, in my
19 opinion, starts at 35 feet. And given that we're
20 30 feet away from the property line, we'll be well
21 under this angular plane, if the final height is
22 allowed to 50 feet, but with -- certainly within
23 our four stories that we're projecting. So
24 that's -- those are the setback, compatibility -25 setback and height compatibility requirements, how

236 But in this case, it's a little bit odd 1 because the R-200 lots are different sizes and so different -- they're allowed to build at different heights. So in some cases, we have an angular plane that starts at 40 feet. In some cases, we have an angular plane that starts at 50 feet. In any case, we're going to be less than 8 that. The R-90 lots that are actually the backyards of those face towards Notley, there's an 10 HOA parcel between the lots and Notley Road right 11 of way. 12 So it's an odd situation where I don't 13 think, technically, this applies. But if it did,

14 we would still meet it, so we're quite comfortable

15 that we have met all those compatibility standards

16 in the code.

17 Q And with the binding element -- or the
18 height of the zone limited to 50 feet, will the
19 further refinement, as you're mentioning in the
20 analysis, when the final design of these units is
21 confirmed at time of site plan, need to comply
22 with Section 4.1.8?

23 A It will.

24 MS ROGERS: You can go to the next

MS. ROGERS: You can go to the next 25 slide. This is another exhibit that, again, was

237 in our PowerPoint of Exhibit 68 that I think would be helpful to give a separate exhibit number for. 3 And we have a full-size copy for it. This is just a green cover exhibit that looks at the open space, another green area onsite. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Any objection? MS. ROSENFELD: No objection. 8 MS. ROGERS: But this can be Exhibit --MS. GIRARD: 77. 10 MS. ROGERS: -- 77. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And just so we 11 12 can track it, it's the full-size version of what's 13 in the PD -- in the PowerPoint. We can describe 14 it as Green Cover. 15 MS. ROGERS: Green Cover Exhibit. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Uh-huh. Thank 16 17 you. 18 (Applicant Exhibit 77 was marked for 19 identification, admitted into evidence, and 20 retained by the Hearing Examiner.) Q Mr. Sloan, can you please explain how 22 the project will satisfy open-space requirements 23 onsite? A Yeah. So this is one of the 25 prescriptive standards in the code. It's

239 1 area, gathering area, then across -- walks across the street to where the Staff recommended, and we agreed and changed our plan to reflect a large -our larger, open space, which really expands the usable area and access to the existing park. So those are the three areas that we will define our common open space. We'll have to submit more detailed analysis of this with any

site plan showing how we meet the guidelines and 10 working with Staff in the community on upgrades 11 and changes.

We also wanted to highlight kind of per 13 the board discussion about open space that there 14 has to be a good balance between private yards, 15 common open space, other open spaces. And so 16 we've basically calculated everything that is not 17 vehicular paving or building footprint, what in 18 some zones we used to call a green area, 19 everything that's not a building or for a vehicle.

And when you do that, we get 21 significantly more open space. We have private 22 yards where people can have decks and patios. We

23 have the common open space that will be set aside

24 for, in some cases, areas that stormwater

25 management will go -- or did I say common open 238

1 established by the tracked area and the number of

2 frontages for the project. Although, the size of

3 the tracked area establishes how much space is

4 required, it's actually calculated on the site.

And so in this case, there -- ten

6 percent of the site must be set aside to common

7 open space. And common open space is a very --

8 has a set of guidelines in the code that we

9 follow. It's on the right side, our

10 description -- our narrative of that is on the

11 right side of this full-size plan.

But what we also wanted to -- well,

13 there are three elements of our -- I'll start with

14 this. The three elements of our open --common

15 open space are the linear park along the north,

16 where we abut the -- or the Senior Living

17 building. And we've established that with

18 crosswalks and a sidewalk that connects to Notley

19 towards New Hampshire so that you can have

20 multiple ways of walking around the site to

21 encourage pedestrian activity and sociability.

That linear park connects to a small

23 lawn space that's in sort of our little -- our

24 island of the project that's in the tab that goes

25 to the north of the property. That small seating

space? It'll be HOA space that will have to --

that will provide, as I started saying, stormwater

management in some cases, the required screening

in some cases, and will have streetscape, of

5 course.

And then we have significant green area along our streetscape improvements. None of those go into our ten percent calculation. Only in this exhibit, the dark green, which in the slide on

10 screen is that -- the bright green, overall, when

11 you calculate these spaces, our -- we have about

12 25 percent coverage -- building coverage on our

13 site, which is about what the Senior Living

14 building to our north has.

15 Although, they didn't have to dedicate 16 on New Hampshire Avenue, which is odd. They put 17 their streetscape improvements in a public 18 improvement easement, and so they're able to

19 calculate their denominator, I guess. It goes up

20 compared to ours.

We're calculating it only on our site 22 after dedications to be conservative about how 23 we're considering our open space. So that's a 24 description of our open space and how we comply

25 with the code.

241 243 Q And although you've begun to provide THE WITNESS: Oh, it -- sorry. It 2 this analysis now, will the final design and exceeds that -- we are exceeding the code 3 location of that open space be finalized with the requirement of 243 by 180. A lot of numbers. subsequent site plan? 4 Sorry. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So the code A It will. 5 Q Thank you. And can you also describe, would require 200 and -although parking is another one that we've 7 THE WITNESS: 243. And we're --8 identified will be finalized in time of site plan, 8 coincidentally, 423. 9 how parking -- you've begun to look at it, and how HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 423. And so 10 adequate parking will be provided onsite? 10 you're saying you're 180 over? MS. ROGERS: We can go to the next THE WITNESS: Correct. 11 11 12 slide, please. 12 Q Thank you. And are public benefits A Thank you. So this was one of the 13 required for the proposed project? 14 earlier concerns that was raised with the A No. The CRN floating zone does not 15 previous -- with the initial plan that was 15 require public benefits. 16 submitted and presented to the community and Q Did Park and Planning Staff and the 17 Staff. It's been General Planning practice that 17 Planning Board agree with your evaluation that 18 we're pushed to decrease parking to increase 18 proposed project meets the development standards 19 walkability and bicycle transportation. 19 of the CRNF zone? But there is now some recognition in --20 A They did their conclusions on Page 31 of 21 and a push by DOT, in particular, that in some 21 the Staff Report. 22 areas, more parking is needed than we were Q And in your professional opinion, does 23 providing over the last few years. We think this 23 the proposed Floating Zone Plan satisfy applicable 24 is one of those cases where it's a good idea, and 24 code requirements? 25 we changed our plan significantly. 25 A The plan does satisfy all applicable 242 244 And this -- the color coding on the code requirements. 1 2 exhibit that's on this screen right now, green are MS. ROGERS: And next, I'm going to move 3 areas where you'll have driveway parking at least to the requisite findings for approval of a 4 18 feet in depth; blue are areas within the floating zone. We are nearing the end of 5 buildings where you'll have a parking -- a garage 5 Mr. Sloan's testimony, finally. 6 parking space that are typically 20 feet in depth Q As you know, if map change to apply a 7 at least; and then purple bands along the street 7 floating zone to an individual property requires 8 are where we're proposing to have our on-street approval of a Local Map Amendment, the District 9 parallel-parking spaces. 9 Council must approve the Local Map Amendment and 10 10 speak specific findings contained in Section For the market rate unit that we're 11 proposing, we don't have demising walls; we don't 11 59-7.2.1.E. Have you had an opportunity to review 12 have architecture, but we're estimating based on 12 these criteria and evaluate them? 13 average unit sizes. We'll typically have two 13 A I have. 14 garage spaces and two driveway spaces available. Q I'll walk through each in turn. With

15 MPDUs will generally have one garage 15 regards to the specific findings, Section 16 space and one driveway space. And then we've got, 16 7.2.1.E(2)(a) requires a proposed Floating Zone 17 I think, 30 parallel parking spaces. (Witness 17 Plan substantially conform with the 18 reviews document.) Yeah, 30 additional. 18 recommendations of the Applicable Master Plan, So with this layout, we can accommodate 19 General Plan, and other applicable county plans. 20 423 parking spaces for the proposed number of 20 Can you please confirm if you believe 21 units. The code requirement is 180. That's low, 21 this finding is met? 22 so we are significantly above that and think it's A I do believe this finding is met. I 23 more appropriate for --23 agree with Staff and the Planning Board's 24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You said the 24 recommendation. As I previously testified -- and 25 number --25 I'll try to be briefer and summarize here with a

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

245

1 couple bullet points -- one, by creating infill

- 2 residential housing near existing and planned
- 3 transit and services and amenities along a major
- 4 corridor, providing a diversity of housing,
- 5 including affordable units, improving pedestrian
- 6 and bicyclist connectivity, ensuring compatible
- design features and standards such as setbacks,
- 8 restrictions on building length and height, and
- supporting a livable and complete community
- 10 envisioned for the Colesville Neighborhood Center.
- 11 And I want to reiterate that that is in
- 12 conformance with all of the applicable plans --
- 13 the '97 Master Plan, the General Plan, Thrive
- 14 2050, and the Functional Master Plans -- which, in
- 15 particular, focus on the streetscape and the 16 rights of way.
- Q Section 7.2.1.E(2)(b) requires a
- 18 proposed Floating Zone Plan further the public
- 19 interest. In your professional opinion, is the
- 20 project and the public interest?
- A I agree with Technical Staff and the
- 22 Planning Board's recommendation that this project
- 23 is in the public interest. As they noticed -- and
- 24 I'll try to paraphrase again with a few brief
- 25 bullets -- it is introducing new housing to a
- 246
- 1 well-established community, has not experienced
- 2 residential development in over two decades. In
- 3 addition to market rate units, the project
- 4 includes government-regulated affordable housing
- 5 with 15 percent of the units designated as MPDUs
- 6 where none currently exist, enhancing the
- diversity of housing options in the area.
- The proposed streetscape improvements
- 9 along Notley and New Hampshire Avenue will create
- 10 safe and accessible pedestrian bicycle
- 11 connections, addressing a current gap in
- 12 infrastructure. The enhancements will improve
- 13 connectivity to proposal Manor Neighborhood Park,
- 14 encouraging greater utilization of this public
- 15 amenity and potentially spurring further community
- 16 investment engagement.
- 17 In doing additional research and
- 18 analysis on this, we want to note that the council
- 19 has previously evaluated broadly the adequacy of
- 20 public facilities under the public interest
- 21 finding. So I just wanted to run through that.
- It is important to note that, of course,
- 23 we have a preliminary plan that would follow an
- 24 approval of any rezoning and that adequate public
- 25 facilities test is determined by the Planning

- 1 Board at the time of preliminary plan. But the
- Local Map Amendment that we've submitted -- it is
- under review today -- confirms there is adequate
- public facilities to accommodate the proposed
- development.
- 6 I've reviewed in detail the school
- capacity under the 2020-2024 GIP -- or, sorry, the
- fiscal year 2026-'27 student generation rates and
- in the infill impact areas. Unless requested, I'm
- 10 not going to go through those again.
- 11 We will testify to traffic as -- and
- 12 public infrastructure for water and sewer. But
- 13 also, I noted before that this neighborhood is
- 14 served by fire and police protection, MC Fire and
- 15 Rescue Station 24 and MC Police Department. 3D
- 16 are the closest facilities to our property.
- Q Thank you. Section 7.2.1.E(2)(c)
- 18 requires a Floating Zone Plan satisfy the intents
- 19 and purposes of the zone. Again, I know you
- 20 testified on this, but can you please reconfirm
- 21 for the record, in your professional opinion,
- 22 whether this finding is satisfied?
- A The finding is satisfied. I'll
- 24 summarize with briefest bullets I can.
 - It implements comprehensive planning

25

- 1 objectives. It encourages appropriate use of
 - land, ensures protection of established
 - neighborhoods, implements appropriate density and
 - height based on the flexibility of the standards
 - and uses allowed by the zone, provides compatible
 - development, meets the prerequisites for rezoning,
 - and meets the development standards and general
 - requirements of the zoning ordinance.
 - Q Section 7-2.1.E(2)(d) requires a
 - 10 proposed floating zone be compatible with existing
 - 11 and approved adjacent development. Can you please
 - 12 confirm -- explain how the project satisfies this
 - 13 requirement?
 - A I agree with Planning Staff and the
 - 15 Planning Board recommendation. Given feedback
 - 16 from the community and Planning Staff in
 - 17 particular, we have worked hard to make
 - 18 modifications to this plan to ensure that it is
 - 19 compatible with the surrounding neighborhood.
 - 20 We have changed our open space concept
 - 21 to provide augmentation of the existing park 22 rather than focusing completely inwardly. We've
 - 23 increased the streetscape plantings in green area
 - 24 beyond what we previously proposed.
 - 25 The units on Notley are oriented and

248

Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on November 6, 2025				
249	251			
1 designed to decrease typical townhouse massing and	1 and we agree with the Planning Board's majority			
2 the building lengths and increase the spacing	2 recommendation.			
3 between the sticks. We've increased setbacks	3 Q And just to reiterate for the record,			
4 beyond what's what is required, and then we	4 you testified in detail today regarding some of			
5 are we have changed the plan and our limits of	5 the more specific portions of that analysis			
6 disturbance to preserve offsite trees and provide	6 regarding density and height and open space?			
7 significant new planting area.	7 A In terms of density, height, open space,			
8 Staff agreed and found compatibility in	8 I do not believe this will adversely impact the			
9 their discussion, which is on Pages 32 and 33.	9 neighborhood.			
10 And the Planning Board transmittal specifically	10 Q In summary, is your professional opinion			
11 confirmed this also.	11 that the Floating Zone Plan permits the council to			
12 Q Section 7.2.1.E(2)(e) requires a	12 make all the necessary findings under Section			
13 Floating Zone Plan will not will generate	13 7-2.1 of the zoning ordinance?			
14 traffic that does not exceed the critical lane	14 A It does.			
15 volumes. Katie Wagner will discuss this finding	15 Q And in your professional opinion, does			
16 in her testimony.	16 the proposed Floating Zone Plan satisfy applicable			
17 Section 7.2.1.E(2)(f) requires a finding	17 code requirements?			
18 of the proposed Floating Zone Plan when it's	18 A It does.			
19 applied when you're applying a non-residential	19 Q And do you agree with the findings from			
20 floating zone to a property under previously	20 Park and Planning Technical Staff and their Staff			
21 under a residential detached zone, that it will	21 Report and Planning Board?			
22 not adversely affect the character of the	22 A I do.			
23 surrounding neighborhood. Is this finding met?	23 Q Anything else further you'd like to add			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of	24 at this time?			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing	24 at this time? 25 A No. 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the	24 at this time? 25 A No. 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing,	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it.	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start?			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long			
A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has.			
A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah.			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and 16 providing affordable housing.	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at			
25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and 16 providing affordable housing. 17 Given that this is an all-residential,	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 17 3:09 p.m.)			
24 A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and 16 providing affordable housing. 17 Given that this is an all-residential, 18 single-family typology, it's hard to think of a	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 17 3:09 p.m.) 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Go back on the			
A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and 16 providing affordable housing. 17 Given that this is an all-residential, 18 single-family typology, it's hard to think of a 19 way that this in terms of design and building	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 17 3:09 p.m.) 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Go back on the 19 record and we'll open things up for			
25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and 16 providing affordable housing. 17 Given that this is an all-residential, 18 single-family typology, it's hard to think of a 19 way that this in terms of design and building 20 use or use and building type, it will adversely	25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 17 3:09 p.m.) 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Go back on the 19 record and we'll open things up for 20 cross-examination just so I, you know I want to			
A It is met. We still have a lot of 25 design to do with if we get through, we'll be 250 1 going to site plan. And then we'll be dealing 2 with issues such as style and materiality, the 3 kind of things like siding, windows, roofing, 4 materials, and design, those kinds of things. But 5 we have taken initial steps to ensure that this 6 project will enhance the neighborhood and will not 7 adversely impact it. 8 I agree with Technical Staff, and I 9 think they pushed us hard, and they worked hard on 10 this analysis. They noted several important 11 elements that we that they believe meets this 12 finding as well. The location and activation of 13 open spaces, the concentration of density toward 14 New Hampshire Avenue, establishing appropriate 15 setbacks, minimizing impacts to offsite trees, and 16 providing affordable housing. 17 Given that this is an all-residential, 18 single-family typology, it's hard to think of a 19 way that this in terms of design and building	24 at this time? 25 A No. 252 1 MS. ROGERS: That concludes our 2 questions for Ms. Sloan Mr. Sloan at this time. 3 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you very 4 much. This would be the time for 5 cross-examination. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. Do you mind if we 7 could take a five-minute health break 8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: before we start? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Absolutely. 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: It's been a long 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, it has. 15 It has, yeah. 16 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 17 3:09 p.m.) 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Go back on the 19 record and we'll open things up for			

23

24

25

MS. ROSENFELD: And I --

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Ms. --

MR. COREY: Right, there's people --

23 the notion of townhouses next to detached homes,

24 we have to get over this idea that they are always

25 incompatible. They're not. They're both housing,

253 255 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And --If we could move to the Applicant's 1 2 presentation on Page 48? MR. COREY: -- that couldn't hear you. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. So you A I can't see so well there. would go first. Now, we try to avoid -- we don't 4 Q Okay. Thank you. want testimony that's repetitive. And so, you 5 So I'd like to discuss the bicycle route 6 know, people will gauge as we have the that you discussed. You alleged it provides 7 cross-examination. If everything's covered, you access to commercial services. In your testimony, know, there's no need to come and ask the same 8 you showed this slide with two photos and then a question that's been asked already. 9 map at the bottom of the screen. Let's focus on 10 So you would go first and then from 10 the map first. It has orange-dotted lines. Those 11 there, you know -- we'll take it from there and 11 lines are a proposed 11-foot-wide side path 12 see who else needs to do cross-examination. 12 bikeway; is that correct? 13 MS. ROSENFELD: And if I may offer, I 13 A Let me get there. 14 spoke with Mr. Nusbaum in the -- during the break 14 Q Okay. 15 and he only has about, he says maybe five or so 15 MS. ROGERS: You can keep going. 16 minutes of cross-examination. I'm happy to let 16 A Oh, keep going? 17 him go first. 17 So the two orange lines -- there are 18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 18 sections of dashed and solid lines along New 19 MS. ROSENFELD: Mine will be more 19 Hampshire Avenue, yes. 20 lengthy. Q Correct. So the solid lines that 21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 21 appear, I believe, in front of the retirement home 2.2. MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you. 22 -- retirement community, that is where there is a 23 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 23 current side path. And the dashed lines 24 BY MR. NUSBAUM: 24 represent, proposed or master-planned side paths; Q All right. I was optimistic. I started 25 is that correct? 254 256 1 my questions with, good morning, but I guess we're A Correct. 1 2 past that point. So good afternoon, Mr. Sloan. Q Okay. So the -- where the dashed lines 3 I'd like it if we could start with Exhibit 48. are there is not a side path bike lane at that If we could pull that up. point? This is the circulation plan that you 5 A There's not a side path bike lane on 6 provided for traffic movement in the project, those areas that are dashed. correct? Q Okay. Instead, there's a striped bike 8 A Correct. way along New Hampshire Avenue, right? A Straight and signed bike way. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you 10 kindly expand it? Is that the -- is that the Q Okay. And it appears that this map was 11 furthest? Okay. Sorry. And it's Exhibit 48, 11 pulled from the Master Bikeway's website, which if 12 mm-hmm. 12 you proper -- or if you can click there, it will Q And the purple arrows that are on this 13 show a blue line that starts on New Hampshire 14 map indicate the direction of vehicle traffic for 14 Avenue just south of Bonifant, crosses the ICC, 15 each of the lanes? 15 and goes past your property. And that blue line A They do. 16 indicates that that's a striped and signed 16 Q Okay. And so based on this map, the 17 17 bikeway; is that correct? 18 front and only entrances in and out of the A I don't know. I haven't looked at that. 18 19 property are on Notley Road, correct? Q Okay. Let's look at -- let's look at A The proposed vehicular accesses are only 20 your pictures then. If we can look at the top 21 on Notley. 21 picture, that's a photo of that striped section Q Okay. Nothing onto New Hampshire 22 and a sign designating the bike lane, correct? 23 Avenue? 23 A Correct. A Correct. 24 Q Okay. And that's on New Hampshire 24 25 Q Okay. 25 Avenue?

257	259
1 A That's right.	1 when it puts a bikeway up there?
2 Q And that's north of your property	2 A Well, I can interpret it.
3 looking southbound towards where the property is?	3 Q Okay. How would you interpret it?
4 A Correct.	4 A That there is a bikeway along New
5 Q Okay. The photo below that is south of	5 Hampshire Avenue.
6 the property next to the Colesville Park & Ride	6 Q Okay. I believe the second thing that
7 and the bus stop for the Colesville Park & Ride;	7 you have listed there is a large diamond field,
8 is that correct?	8 baseball.
9 A That's right.	9 Is that located at the Colesville Local
10 Q Okay. So that's just north of the	10 Park?
11 Colesville Shopping Center, but between your	11 A Those are the facilities that are
12 property and the Colesville Shopping Center?	12 located within anything in the purple amorphous
13 A Yes.	13 shape that's within the half-mile orange, I'll
14 Q Okay. I just wanted to highlight, the	14 call it, radius on the plan.
15 bus routes that are served that serve that Park	15 Q Okay. My question was, do you know if
16 & Ride are no longer in operation, correct?	16 that is that large diamond baseball field is
17 A That's right. I believe it ends at	17 the one that's located at the Colesville Local
18 Randolph now.	18 Park?
19 Q Okay. So not there is no riding of a	19 A Oh, I did not walk up there, no.
20 bus going to that bus stop?	20 Q Third, you have a large rectangular
21 A That's my understanding.	21 soccer field. Do you know if that is the one
22 Q Okay. In that photo, there's a sign	22 that's located at the Colesville Local Park?
23 right beyond the bus stop.	23 A I did not walk up to the Colesville
24 Are you able to read what that sign	24 Local Park.
25 says?	25 Q Okay. Fourth, you have a preserved
258	260
1 A It says, Bike Lane Ends.	1 historic site. That's the old Colesville
2 Q Okay. So at that point next to the Park	2 Elementary School that's adjacent to Colesville
3 & Ride is where the bikeway that currently exists	3 Local Park, I assume?
4 ceases, correct.	4 A I don't know.
5 A It's actually a little odd because there	5 Q Okay. Next you list a trail system.
6 is further striping beyond this sign that actually	6 Do you know where that's located?
7 crosses a turn lane and keeps going to the south,	7 A Again, I didn't list these. These are
8 but that's what the sign says at the shopping	8 generated by the system that we have to use for
9 center.	9 our recreation adequacy findings.
10 Q Okay. We can we can put this one	10 Q Okay. Was this Exhibit 47, I believe
11 aside momentarily and we can go back to	11 you said was prepared by you though, correct?
12 Exhibit 47, which is the recreation plan. And I	12 A That's right.
13 know it might be challenging to see based on	13 Q Okay. And prepared or prepared by
14 what's up here, but we'll try our best.	14 you or Mika (phonetic). But you aren't aware of
15 I'm sorry it's very small there, but on	15 what the trail system that you list on Exhibit 47
16 Exhibit 47, you identify several features of the	16 is?
17 existing offsite parks that are on a on a list	17 MS. ROGERS: I would object. Mr. Sloan
18 there. The first one, you have a bikeway. I	18 has answered that question twice now that these
19 assume that's the bikeway that goes from the site	19 are generated by Park & Planning's website and a
20 that you have for that quarter mile down to the	20 tool that he's required to use.
21 Park & Ride?	21 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sustained.
22 A This is actually generated by MNCPPC	22 Q All right. There's two playgrounds
23 website that we have to put our analysis into. We	23 listed.
24 don't generate that list. It's automated.	Was one of those playgrounds the one at
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	24 was one of those playgrounds the one at

Conducted on N	0 verificer 0, 2025
261	263
1 MS. ROGERS: I'm sorry. Mr. Sloan just	1 their web tool
2 testified that Mr. Nusbaum continues to ask the	2 Q Yes.
3 same question regarding every single facility on	3 A is to create a boundary based on
4 this. Mr. Sloan has answered the question	4 walkability to facilities within a half-mile
5 regarding how these facilities were generated and	5 radius.
6 that he has not walked that Colesville Local Park.	6 Q Okay. So a half-mile radius is what the
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: What are you	7 tool uses based on what you were saying in
8 trying to get to?	8 walkability.
9 MR. NUSBAUM: I would like to establish	9 Are you aware of what the written
10 where these facilities are. It seems that the	10 recreation guidelines say to determine the
11 witness has put forth an exhibit identifying	11 distance for a facility?
12 numerous recreational facilities that they can't	12 A Yes. That's the walkability portion of
13 identify where they are.	13 that.
14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So he's	14 Q Correct. So it's within a ten-minute
15 explained that if he did not I mean	15 walk. Does that sound familiar?
MR. NUSBAUM: He created Exhibit 47, and	16 A It's within a half-mile radius, a walk
17 he's presented it to you as fact, and now he's	17 within a half-radius.
18 telling me that he doesn't even know where these	18 Q I believe if we looked to the actual
19 facilities are located.	19 recreation guidelines, it talks about it being
20 MS. ROGERS: Well, he's object. He's	20 within a ten-mile walk. Does that sound
21 explained for the record that these are generated	21 A Ten minute.
22 using Park & Planning's recreation facility	22 Q Or ten-minute walk, excuse me.
23 analysis tool. He provided in depth and detailed	MS. ROGERS: We would object.
24 testimony on that in his direct testimony as to	24 Mr. Nusbaum is providing testimony in his
25 how these were generated and his analysis of them.	25 question.
262	264
1 And he's answered Mr. Nusbaum's questions two or	1 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mr. Nusbaum,
2 three times now. They're the same question.	2 you need to your questions need to be limited
3 MR. NUSBAUM: They're not the same	3 to testimony that the witness provided.
4 question. I've asked about playgrounds and soccer	4 MR. NUSBAUM: The witness testified
5 fields and baseball fields and the historic	5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: One
6 elementary school. They're all separate	6 testimony
7 questions. I can go through the entire list and	7 MR. NUSBAUM: about the recreation
8 if he knows where any of them are, or if he can	8 plan and how the web tool calculates distances.
9 just say he doesn't know where any of them are, we	9 I'm trying to get some clarification on that
10 can move on.	10 because it is not the same as what is in code.
11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. I mean,	11 The code talks about a three-quarters of a mile
12 since he's asking about different facilities, the	12 walking distance, pedestrian route, not ten
13 answer may be the same, but that's	13 minutes and not a half-mile radius.
You can go ahead and ask.	14 A That's right.
15 MR. NUSBAUM: Thank you.	MS. ROGERS: Mr. Sloan answered your
16 Q So there are two playgrounds listed. Is	16 question. You can testify what you think the code
17 one of those playgrounds at the Colesville Local	17 says when you give your testimony later. But you
18 Park?	18 need to ask Mr. Sloan questions for him to answer
19 A I didn't walk the Colesville Local Park.	19 and not provide testimony in your questions.
20 Q Okay. So let's go you talked earlier	20 MR. NUSBAUM: I believe I asked him if
21 about the web tool and creating these charts.	21 it said it was a ten-mile walk.
Are you aware of how the MNCPPC web tool	22 A Ten-minute walk.
23 calculates what recreation facilities are included	23 MR. NUSBAUM: Or a ten-minute walk,
24 for a given property?	24 excuse me.
25 A The described methodology that's on	25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And what was

	ovember 6, 2025	2.5
265	1 A	267
1 his response? He	1 A so there it is.	
2 MR. NUSBAUM: It was yes and then there	2 MR. NUSBAUM: Let's move to, I think	
3 was an objection before I answered another	3 it's two one slide up on this presentation.	
4 question or asked another question.	4 Keep going, sorry. School proximity. Thank you.	
5 A No, you said it's a ten-minute walk. I	5 Q For the school proximity, you testified	
6 said it's a	6 today that there are two schools within the half	
7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And he said it	7 mile route from the site; is that correct?	
8 was a	8 A That's right.	
9 MS. ROGERS: He said	9 Q This wasn't included in the original	
10 A I said within a half-mile boundary	10 revised land use report. It was just added today,	
11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Half mile.	11 correct?	
12 A there is a walking path within that.	12 A It was submitted to the record on the	
13 Q Okay.	13 3rd.	
14 A Any facilities that are within that	14 Q Okay. On Page 34 of this document, if	
15 half-mile boundary that are walkable can be	15 you wouldn't mind going to it, this is the White	
16 counted.	16 Oak Master Plan Pedestrian Circulation Route; is	
17 Q Okay. Can we go to Page 51 of this	17 that correct?	
18 presentation?	18 A Give me a sec? What page are we?	
<u> </u>		
19 With respect to the recreation		
20 facilities, we noted that there were some errors	20 A Okay.	
21 on what the web tool provided such as the handball	21 Q Okay. So I'd like to draw your	
22 court. You then added two things that were or	22 attention to the legend at the bottom. You see	
23 you added two categories: one, two seating areas	23 that there's a black square that represents	
24 and another, a large open lawn.	24 schools?	
25 Can you identify what the two seating	25 A That's right.	
266		268
1 areas are?	1 Q Okay. And you'll see the square on the	
2 A Yeah, the recreation guidelines make	2 map itself to the south and west of the site is	
3 clear that you either need a picnic table or two	3 the Westover Elementary School, correct?	
4 benches to qualify as a seating area.	4 A Well, it's not labeled.	
5 Q Okay. So the record so the web tool	5 Q Okay. There's a school to the to the	
6 put down four benches, and you said and your	6 south and west; is that correct?	
7 determination was that that's equal to two seating	7 A Yes.	
8 areas because that's four sets of benches or two	8 Q Okay. And that school is more than a	
9 sets of benches, excuse me?	9 half mile from the property; is that correct?	
10 A That's my math.	10 A I don't know.	
11 Q Okay. And then you list a large open	11 Q Okay. South and slightly east, there's	
12 lawn. Does the recreation guideline set forth a	12 another school. Can you see that?	
13 category of large open lawn?	13 A Are you referring to the one on Cannon	
14 A It does.	14 Road?	
15 Q Okay. In the current guidelines are you	15 Q Yes.	
16 aware that there's a large open grass area?	16 A Yes, I see that.	
1		
	_	
18 Q Okay. How about an open grass area of	18 property?	
19 lawn?	19 A I don't know.	
20 A I don't remember that term.	Q And to the east and just slightly south,	
21 Q Okay. But you recall a, large open	21 there's another school. Do you see that one?	
22 lawn, as the term that's in the recreation	22 A Below Fairland Road?	
23 guidelines?	23 Q Yes.	
	_	
24 A I guess that's what I wrote	24 A Yes. 25 Q Okay. Do you know if that one's more	

269	271
1 than or more or less than a half a mile?	1 this project provided an important mix or an
2 A I don't.	2 important horizontally-mixed-use opportunity.
3 Q Okay.	3 Do you remember that?
4 If you can go back to Number 49,	4 A I think it was more along the lines of
5 Page 49.	5 it is part of a horizontal-mixed-use community.
6 So you testified earlier that you	6 Q Can you define a horizontal-mixed-use
7 identified two schools that were located at the	7 community for us?
8 Colesville Elementary School which closed in 1977.	8 A When a variety of uses are adjacent to
9 As such, the school doesn't appear on the Master	9 each other, not on top of each other.
10 Plan map that we just looked at. The Master Plan	10 Q And the uses the zoning that's
11 in fact shows no schools within a half a mile of	11 currently surrounding this project on all sides is
12 the property; isn't that correct?	12 what?
13 A That map on the Master Plan?	13 A If we could bring up the zoning map
14 Q Correct.	14 again and I can run through them.
15 A It does not show this school.	15 Q Okay. That's fine.
16 Q The space in the Colesville Elementary	16 I believe that's in this presentation if
17 School, you assert is now used by two different	17 we go forward with a few slides. The other
18 schools: Kids MCS Colesville Center childcare	18 direction please. There we go. That should work
19 center, and the Casa de Montessori; is that	19 fine.
20 correct?	20 All right. On all sides of the
21 A Correct.	21 property, what is the current zoning?
22 Q So for the Kids MCS Colesville Center,	22 A To the north we have R-200. To the east
23 it's your understanding that Coleville Center	23 we have RE-2 RE-1, sorry. To the south we have
24 qualifies as a school under this prerequisite?	24 R-200, R-90. I'm assuming you don't want me to go
25 A Yes.	25 further south?
270	272
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori?	
	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori?	
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes.	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to
 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of 	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential?
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes.
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school?	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the 6 compatibility section you discussed the use of
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No.	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the 6 compatibility section you discussed the use of 7 green space next to the park, large setbacks,
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare 6 center and not as a school? 7 A No. 8 MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do 9 you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare 6 center and not as a school? 7 A No. 8 MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do 9 you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit 10 rebuttal?	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that 10 correct?
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare 6 center and not as a school? 7 A No. 8 MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do 9 you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit 10 rebuttal? 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the 6 compatibility section you discussed the use of 7 green space next to the park, large setbacks, 8 trees, and then continued to say that all of those 9 will be finalized in the site plan; is that 10 correct? 11 A With the caveat that we also set up the
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare 6 center and not as a school? 7 A No. 8 MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do 9 you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit 10 rebuttal? 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can 12 reserve that for your you will have an	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the 6 compatibility section you discussed the use of 7 green space next to the park, large setbacks, 8 trees, and then continued to say that all of those 9 will be finalized in the site plan; is that 10 correct? 11 A With the caveat that we also set up the 12 framework that those would be built on with the
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare 6 center and not as a school? 7 A No. 8 MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do 9 you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit 10 rebuttal? 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can 12 reserve that for your you will have an 13 opportunity to present your case in chief.	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that 10 correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the rezoning plan.
1 Q And what about Casa de Montessori? 2 A Yes. 3 Q Are you aware that the Department of 4 Education classifies and licensed the Coleville 5 Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare 6 center and not as a school? 7 A No. 8 MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do 9 you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit 10 rebuttal? 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can 12 reserve that for your you will have an 13 opportunity to present your case in chief. 14 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay.	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the 6 compatibility section you discussed the use of 7 green space next to the park, large setbacks, 8 trees, and then continued to say that all of those 9 will be finalized in the site plan; is that 10 correct? 11 A With the caveat that we also set up the 12 framework that those would be built on with the 13 rezoning plan. 14 Q What do you I'm sorry, what do you
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework?
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give	1 Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the 2 property. And so all of the property adjacent to 3 yours is also residential? 4 A Abutting and confronting, yes. 5 Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the 6 compatibility section you discussed the use of 7 green space next to the park, large setbacks, 8 trees, and then continued to say that all of those 9 will be finalized in the site plan; is that 10 correct? 11 A With the caveat that we also set up the 12 framework that those would be built on with the 13 rezoning plan. 14 Q What do you I'm sorry, what do you 15 mean by you set up the framework? 16 A So some of the elements are not going to
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the elements are not going to mean be changed at site plan. For example,
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an months opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give months you MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to months when the Department of months are your asset in chief.	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the elements are not going to mean be able to be changed at site plan. For example, the setback is a binding element or the
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an many opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give many opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to many opportunity to present evidence at that point? MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to many opportunity.	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the elements are not going to mean by corrected at site plan. For example, the setback is a binding element or the orientation or width and length of the unit sticks
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an sopportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to mittendance this as rebuttal evidence at that point? MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, you may. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. Thank you.	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the elements are not going to mean by do the changed at site plan. For example, the setback is a binding element or the orientation or width and length of the unit sticks and the primary open space adjacent to the part.
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an sopportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give you MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to mintroduce this as rebuttal evidence at that point? MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. Thank you. Likewise, are you aware that the	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. Q What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the elements are not going to mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the element or the orientation or width and length of the unit sticks and the primary open space adjacent to the part.
Q And what about Casa de Montessori? A Yes. Q Are you aware that the Department of Education classifies and licensed the Coleville Center Childcare Center as just that, a childcare center and not as a school? A No. MR. NUSBAUM: Madam Hearing Examiner, do you mind, can I present evidence or an exhibit rebuttal? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You can reserve that for your you will have an opportunity to present your case in chief. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So I will give MR. NUSBAUM: So I will be able to mintroduce this as rebuttal evidence at that point? MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. Thank you. MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. Thank you. Likewise, are you aware that the Maryland Department of Education classifies the	Q No, that's fine, just adjacent to the property. And so all of the property adjacent to yours is also residential? A Abutting and confronting, yes. Q Okay. I'd like to ask you, in the compatibility section you discussed the use of green space next to the park, large setbacks, trees, and then continued to say that all of those will be finalized in the site plan; is that correct? A With the caveat that we also set up the framework that those would be built on with the rezoning plan. What do you I'm sorry, what do you mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the elements are not going to mean by you set up the framework? A So some of the element or the orientation or width and length of the unit sticks and the primary open space adjacent to the part. I think those are pretty well-baked into the

273	275
1 front setback that you established, where trees	1 Q I'm sorry. I apologize. Let me get to
2 are going to be located beyond what is in the	2 the correct page. This is the updated conceptual
3 zoning regulations, and the binding elements,	3 layout plan. It's about I think it's about PDF
4 correct?	4 Page 7.
5 A I would say that they set the framework,	5 A That plan has not been updated. I think
6 that's correct.	6 we noted that.
7 Q Okay. One other thing I wanted to	7 Q Oh, it has not been updated. Okay. Do
8 discuss was the Master Plan that you had talked	8 you have a which would be the better page?
9 about. The Thrive Master Plan, it says on Page 2	9 Okay.
10 that it sets a vision for the country for the	10 A 70-B.
11 county with broad countywide recommendations that	11 Q Okay, that's fine. I can work from that
12 are to provide guidance.	12 one, 70-B?
13 Are you aware of that?	13 A Sorry, one up. Yep.
14 A If we can find the quote?	14 Q And I believe that you had said that the
15 Q That's on Page 2.	15 setback along the northern boundary was going to
16 A Are you looking for me to remember a	16 be 30 feet; is that correct?
17 direct quote?	17 A The northern boundary, where it abuts
18 Q No, you don't need to find us a direct	18 detached units?
19 quote. My question is, you talked about or you	19 Q That's correct.
20 discussed how the Thrive Montgomery Plan	20 A Yes.
21 supersedes the White Oak Plan for certain	21 Q And along the north
22 attributes; is that correct?	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And I'm sorry,
23 A Anything in the area plan that is	23 Ms. Rosenberg, just so I can follow this in the
24 substantial that would not allow substantial	24 transcript, what you're referring to is in the
25 conformance with Thrive would be superseded.	25 primary record as Exhibit
274	2/6
1 O Okay. Despite the fact that fraud	276 1 A 70-B
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud	1 A 70-B
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone?
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries?	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does.	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay.	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions.	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen?
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time.	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six?
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry?	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time.	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes,	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting?	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 16 Q And then for the green space or the open
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD:	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 16 Q And then for the green space or the open 17 space, the I think you called it the linear
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 16 Q And then for the green space or the open 17 space, the I think you called it the linear 18 open space between the Wilshire senior housing
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw 19 your attention I'm going to start with	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 16 Q And then for the green space or the open 17 space, the I think you called it the linear 18 open space between the Wilshire senior housing 19 development and the northern boundary or interior
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw 19 your attention I'm going to start with 20 Exhibit 68, the PowerPoint. And I do have some	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 16 Q And then for the green space or the open 17 space, the I think you called it the linear 18 open space between the Wilshire senior housing 19 development and the northern boundary or interior 20 to the project where you have that linear open
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw 19 your attention I'm going to start with 20 Exhibit 68, the PowerPoint. And I do have some 21 questions about some of the exhibits.	1 A 70-B 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's 3 the updated floating zone? 4 A Yes. 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. 6 MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. 8 Q And do you have PDF page for what you're 9 looking at on the screen? 10 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? 11 MS. ROSENFELD: Six. 12 A Six, I think. 13 Q Yep. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. 15 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 16 Q And then for the green space or the open 17 space, the I think you called it the linear 18 open space between the Wilshire senior housing 19 development and the northern boundary or interior 20 to the project where you have that linear open 21 space, what is the width of that linear open
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw 19 your attention I'm going to start with 20 Exhibit 68, the PowerPoint. And I do have some 21 questions about some of the exhibits. 22 You had said that the setback along the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's the updated floating zone? A Yes. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. Q And do you have PDF page for what you're looking at on the screen? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? MS. ROSENFELD: Six. A Six, I think. Q Yep. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. Q And then for the green space or the open ry space, the I think you called it the linear space, the I think you called it the linear open space between the Wilshire senior housing development and the northern boundary or interior to the project where you have that linear open space, what is the width of that linear open
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw 19 your attention I'm going to start with 20 Exhibit 68, the PowerPoint. And I do have some 21 questions about some of the exhibits. 22 You had said that the setback along the 23 northern edge of the property was going to be set	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's the updated floating zone? A Yes. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. Q And do you have PDF page for what you're looking at on the screen? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? MS. ROSENFELD: Six. A Six, I think. Q Yep. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. Q And then for the green space or the open representation of the space of the space, the I think you called it the linear open space between the Wilshire senior housing development and the northern boundary or interior to the project where you have that linear open space, what is the width of that linear open Think right now we have a conceptual
1 Q Okay. Despite the fact that fraud 2 that Thrive talks about community-wide policies 3 and providing guidance, not specifics, on setting 4 plans and boundaries? 5 A Correct. That's what the General Plan 6 does. 7 MR. NUSBAUM: Okay. 8 I have no further questions. 9 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 10 lawyer time. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I'm sorry? 12 MS. ROSENFELD: That's five minutes in 13 lawyer time. 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Yes, 15 A But who's counting? 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. ROSENFELD: 18 Q Mr. Sloan, if I could, I'd like to draw 19 your attention I'm going to start with 20 Exhibit 68, the PowerPoint. And I do have some 21 questions about some of the exhibits. 22 You had said that the setback along the	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: 70-B, that's the updated floating zone? A Yes. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. MS. ROSENFELD: Correct. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Mm-hmm. Q And do you have PDF page for what you're looking at on the screen? HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Six? MS. ROSENFELD: Six. A Six, I think. Q Yep. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Page 6 of 81. MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Okay. Thank you. Q And then for the green space or the open r space, the I think you called it the linear space, the I think you called it the linear development and the northern boundary or interior to the project where you have that linear open space, what is the width of that linear open

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on November 6, 2025

277

A That's based on my memory. Q So if there were fewer units or if they Q And do you have -- are you able to tell were reconfigured a little differently, you would 3 me the setback between that northern boundary in be able to provide a greater buffer along the 4 the building on the Senior Housing Wilshire perimeter of the project that directly abuts or project? confronts existing single family homes? A The northern boundary in the building on A It depends on whether or not we end up -- the senior living building? having to save those specimen trees and still get Q Correct. our utilities around them. A No. Q And when you say -- when you describe 10 Q Okay. And you said that the setback 10 the specimen trees, can you point me on this plan 11 fronting along Notley was going to be 11 to exactly what location you are talking about? 12 approximately 45 feet or so? A So if you see the central feature that A The units are set about, I think, 13 kind of looks darker, a lot of little hatching 14 44 feet from the curb. 14 that's right at the terminus of our entry road? Q Okay. Would you agree that there is a 15 Q Okay. 16 sizable setback between the northern boundary 16 A There's specimen trees along that 17 along the linear -- the linear open space and the 17 northern property line that Staff has asked us to 18 Wilshire building? 18 look at and try to preserve. So that would 19 A Sizable? 19 require us to keep a significant amount of open 20 O Correct. 20 space on that side and not put any kind of paving 21 A It's about, I think, 48 feet where the 21 there. 22 building fronts --Q Okay. So would that mean you would need Q Between the northern boundary. The 23 to shift your LOD closer to Notley -- your limited 24 northern boundary of the linear open space? 24 disturbance closer to Notley to reserve those A From the linear open space from that 25 trees? 278 280 1 property line to the north to the units? A We may. 1 Q Okay. When you talk about a larger unit Q Correct. along New Hampshire, can you explain to me what A It's bigger than the one that's to this -- between the buildings and Notley Road, yes. you mean when you say, a larger unit? Q Okay. Is there a reason why you placed A So that's the area where we are not as 6 the widest open space buffer between the proposed 6 restricted for the height compatibility standard. 7 units and the Wilshire, as opposed to adding some 7 And where Staff had initially asked us to look at 8 of that additional open space between the 8 things like two-over-twos that at the hearing as 9 residential units, either to the north or to the 9 you know, was revised and did not -- was not 10 south across from Notley? 10 included as one of the allowed elements. But it's A It's something to -- we can explore 11 a place where we might do something like triplexes 12 further. But it is generally governed by the 12 instead of townhouses. 13 distance we can have between a road and the front Q And so would that change -- I assume 14 of the building, and so we need two frontages to 14 that would change the layout of what we're looking 15 get emergency access to housing. And pushing the 15 at here as far as the parking paths and the length 16 -- shrinking that space and adding it to the south 16 of the sticks along New Hampshire? 17 wouldn't allow us to do that. It's also because A Not necessarily. They're actually quite 18 of the stormwater system and our need to regrade 18 efficient and we might be able to tighten things 19 the site is changing a lot of that. So looked at 19 up. 20 and revised, but no further. Or in the --20 Q And are the units that are fronting Q So --21 21 along New Hampshire and the ones that are parallel 22 closer to the Wilshire, are all of those MPDU A The final thing is that this is also the 23 area where you have existing specimen trees that 23 units? 24 Staff asked us to move our LOD from and we still 24 A No. 25 need to work on that. Q No? Okay. They look to me like they 25

	10vember 6, 2023	202
281	1 O Okay Going to the payt page the	283
only have one exterior parking pad. Is that	1 Q Okay. Going to the next page, the	
A No, they I think they vary. But we	2 compatible massing along Notley. To the south,	
3 haven't set demising walls so, you know, it	3 those are existing single family homes; is that	
4 depends. If there are five units or six units,	4 correct?	
5 it'll vary.	5 A On this	
6 Q Okay. And how many bedrooms do you	6 Q To the south of Notley?	
7 expect to have in the MPDU units?	7 A Oh, you're looking at the	
8 A I think by law they have to have three,	8 Q On the compatible massing exhibit.	
9 but I don't know. We don't have architecture.	9 A Sorry, I'm not keeping up.	
10 Q Okay. And when you were describing for	10 Q Well, right now I'm talking about the	
11 purposes of compatibility, some of the different	11 A The	
12 characteristics of the architecture, you had	12 Q compatible massing exhibit.	
13 mentioned things like peaked roofs and different	13 A The dark gray shade are existing,	
14 types of window features.	14 correct.	
15 Am I remembering your testimony	15 Q Okay. To the south of Notley?	
16 correctly?	16 A That's right.	
17 A That those are elements that we can	17 Q And the numbers in blue along Notley,	
18 that we'll be looking at when we actually design	18 those generally are showing the width of those	
19 the buildings? Yes.	19 structures as they are built today?	
20 Q And what's the purpose of looking at	20 A That's right.	
21 those architectural features?	21 Q And did I understand your testimony	
22 A We typically use those architectural	22 correctly that for the lighter gray rectangles	
23 features to enhance the residential nature of the	23 above Notley to the north, you have offered those	
24 buildings.	24 as possible representative building widths?	
25 Q Okay. At one point did I hear you	25 A That's right.	
282		284
1 testify that some of these units might be might	1 Q Duplex, triplex, perhaps a small stick	
2 have terrace rooftops?	2 of town homes; is that correct?	
3 A We have done a lot of projects that have	3 A Any of the building types that are	
4 three stories and then a popup not a full four	4 allowed under the binding elements.	
5 story, but a rooftop terrace instead of say a	5 Q Okay. And right now there's a proposed	
6 deck, but who knows?	6 binding element that says none of those structures	
7 Q Okay. Is there any is there any	7 along Notley would exceed a hundred feet in width;	
8 design that would allow for a 50-foot structure	8 is that correct?	
9 with a rooftop at the top of that 50 feet?	9 A That's what I remember.	
10 A I don't think we no, because that	10 Q Is there anything about this exhibit	
11 would be considered in part of the height. If	11 that limits the width of these structures to what	
12 there if for example, if you had a stairwell or	12 is being shown on this compatible massing exhibit?	
13 an elevator overrun, that would be habitable	13 A That 100 feet.	
14 space, and I don't think that would be allowed.	14 Q It would be 100 feet. So this exhibit	
15 Q You think it would not be allowed by	15 does not necessarily reflect what a series of	
16 zoning then?	16 100-foot units would look like if they were	
17 A I don't think so.	17 fronting on Notley; is that correct?	
18 Q And even if	18 A It does not.	
19 A I would have to check that.	19 Q Okay.	
20 Q it were to have a rooftop terrace	20 A It is per the numbers.	
21 that	21 Q Okay. So you don't have an exhibit at	
22 A On the fifth floor?	22 the moment that would show the hearing examiner	
23 Q That's my question to you.	23 what that would look like for her to make a	
24 A I don't think it's allowed. We	24 determination as to compatibility, do you?	
25 should could look it up.	25 A I wouldn't say determination of	

Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on November 6, 2023			
285	287		
1 compatibility, but it's certainly a determination	1 goes beyond		
2 of what 100-foot unit lengths would look like.	2 A Somewhere.		
Q Well, with all	Q the image somewhere?		
4 A In my mind they're different things.	4 A Correct.		
5 Those are those could still be compatible.	5 Q Okay. Thank you.		
6 Q They could be. But this exhibit is	6 I'd like to look at I think this was		
7 titled Compatible Massing Along Notley. What I'm	7 separately marked as Exhibit 74. It's a large		
8 asking is, do you have an exhibit that shows what	8 map, aerial view, with neighborhood boundaries.		
9 100-foot wide buildings would look like for	9 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Now even we		
10 purposes of the hearing examiner's ability to	10 got a larger size, but it's in Exhibit 68, right?		
11 evaluate compatibility based on the proffered	MS. ROSENFELD: It is in Exhibit 68. In		
12 binding element?	12 my numbering it's about Page 19.		
13 A We don't have 100-foot length	13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So if you		
14 footprints.	14 could kindly pull it up?		
15 Q Okay. I would like to turn your	15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's it,		
16 attention to the exhibit titled Streetscape	16 correct?		
17 Improvements, Notley. On mine, I think it's about	17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. Is that		
18 Page 17. And this is titled Streetscape	18 the one with the red circles?		
19 Improvements, Notley.	19 MS. ROSENFELD: Yes.		
20 Is this drawing to scale?	20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah.		
21 A Not as printed out, no.	MS. ROSENFELD: Yes. So that's when we		
22 Q Okay. So it	22 independently labeled 74, Exhibit 74.		
23 A Did we do a full scale of this?	23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Correct.		
24 Q There are some dimensions on here	24 MS. ROSENFELD: That's correct?		
25 though; is that correct?	25 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And I just		
286	288		
1 A There are dimensions on it, yes.	1 want to mention for the benefit this doesn't		
2 Q And so they show the width of, for	2 help folks who are on Zoom. I left these here		
3 example, the streetscaping from the centerline	3 earlier on, someone said they just could, they		
4 over to	4 could barely see. If anyone if you wanted to		
5 A The front of the unit.	5 look at them, they're here. Because you're right,		
6 Q the property line.	6 it's hard to make out what that says.		
7 A Oh, the property line? Yes.	But, sorry, you may proceed.		
8 Q And then again, dimensions from the	8 MS. ROSENFELD: Quite all right.		
9 property line over to the building face.	9 Q And I have several questions about this		
10 A We didn't break that out, but you can	10 exhibit. First, there are as you testified, two		
11 deduce it from the 55 minus 35.	11 concentric circles, 0.25 miles and 0.5 miles from		
12 Q Correct. And is the height of the	12 a red dot.		
13 building as shown on that plan representative of	Can you identify for the record where		
14 what of what a 50-foot tall building would look	14 that red dot is located?		
15 like?	15 A It is the northwest corner of Notley		
16 A No, we were focused, sorry, on the	16 Road and New Hampshire Avenue.		
17 horizontal dimensioning, so that's just	17 Q Okay. And is there a reason why you		
18 representative of where the building face is.	18 chose that dot in that location?		
19 Q Okay. Are you able to offer an opinion	19 A The reason why I chose that dot? In		
20 as to whether or not as it's shown on here it	20 terms of the		
21 would be taller or shorter than 50 feet?	21 Q Let me let me start with a different		
22 A Well, if you just took the 55 feet	22 question. Are you the one who chose where that		
23 that's on there and you turned it vertically, that	23 dot is located?		
24 goes above the picture frame.	24 A Yes.		
25 Q Okay. So the height of the building	25 Q Okay. So why did you put it there?		

Transcript of Hearing

200	,
289	291
1 A It's an organizing principle framework	1 north?
2 for me to start thinking about connectivity for	2 A Day 1 or day 20? Day 50? 2 years,
3 the neighborhood.	3 10 years or right away?
4 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And if you were to is	4 Q When you clear and grade the site.
5 there any vehicular access at that corner to	5 A There will be fewer trees on site.
6 either Notley or New Hampshire?	6 Q Okay. And would the site look more like
7 A No.	7 the Wilshire or more like the surrounding existing
8 Q Would there, under the proposed	8 residential housing, single-family housing
9 development?	9 developments?
10 A Near it or at it?	10 A When it's cleared and graded, it won't
11 Q At that corner?	11 look like either. That's building and
12 A No, there would not be access off of a	12 Q In terms of tree and vegetation
13 corner of an intersection.	13 coverage?
14 Q Okay. And none along New Hampshire,	14 A There will be less, significantly less
15 correct?	15 vegetation coverage internal to the site
16 A Either direction. It can't be on the	16 Q Okay.
17 corner, no.	17 A after clearing.
18 Q Okay. And if we were to go west along	18 Q Okay. And at this point, are you in a
19 Notley to the first proposed ingress/egress, what	19 position to say I did think you talked about
20 would happen to the location of these circles?	20 how much tree cover you expected after built out
21 Would they also move west?	21 and replanting.
22 A I don't see how they wouldn't.	22 A Yep.
23 Q I'd be happy to answer for you, but I'll	23 Q Can you tell me what that number was
24 let you do that.	24 again?
25 A I need geometry, I don't know.	25 A We anticipate based on our illustrative
290	292
1 Q And then if that were to shift would	1 or concept plan for replanting, about 90 percent
	1 or concept plan for replanting, about 90 percent2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average
1 Q And then if that were to shift would	
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within	2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that?	2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average3 growth based on standard and average ornamental
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things.
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be.	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site?
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right.
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles?	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page,
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood,	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys.	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys. 14 Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is?
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys. 14 Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is 15 the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project,	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200.
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys. 14 Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is 15 the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, 16 correct?	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed?
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys. 14 Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is 15 the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, 16 correct? 17 A And in the commercial center. There are	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed? 17 A Special exception.
Q And then if that were to shift would that would the commercial center remain within that circle or are you in a position to tell that? A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly sestimate it and as far as you go west until you go up about 0.05 miles, it will be. Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial image, would you agree that there is a lot of vegetation, a lot of trees in this the neighborhood boundary, both circles? A Yeah, I think I specifically said that this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, sepecially in the stream valleys. Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, correct? A And in the commercial center. There are some exceptions, but generally. Q Okay. When this project is built out,	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed? 17 A Special exception. 18 Q Okay. And the Cambodian Temple, do you
Q And then if that were to shift would that would the commercial center remain within that circle or are you in a position to tell that? A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly setimate it and as far as you go west until you go up about 0.05 miles, it will be. Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial image, would you agree that there is a lot of vegetation, a lot of trees in this the neighborhood boundary, both circles? A Yeah, I think I specifically said that this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, sepecially in the stream valleys. Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, correct? A And in the commercial center. There are some exceptions, but generally. Q Okay. When this project is built out, and I would like and going back to the	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed? 17 A Special exception. 18 Q Okay. And the Cambodian Temple, do you 19 know the underlying zone? 20 A R-200.
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys. 14 Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is 15 the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, 16 correct? 17 A And in the commercial center. There are 18 some exceptions, but generally. 19 Q Okay. When this project is built out, 20 and I would like and going back to the 21 landscape, going back to the amended plan exhibit,	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed? 17 A Special exception. 18 Q Okay. And the Cambodian Temple, do you 19 know the underlying zone? 20 A R-200. 21 Q And are houses of worship allowed by
Q And then if that were to shift would that would the commercial center remain within that circle or are you in a position to tell that? A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly setimate it and as far as you go west until you go up about 0.05 miles, it will be. Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial image, would you agree that there is a lot of vegetation, a lot of trees in this the neighborhood boundary, both circles? A Yeah, I think I specifically said that this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, sepecially in the stream valleys. Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, correct? A And in the commercial center. There are some exceptions, but generally. Q Okay. When this project is built out, and I would like and going back to the	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed? 17 A Special exception. 18 Q Okay. And the Cambodian Temple, do you 19 know the underlying zone? 20 A R-200.
1 Q And then if that were to shift would 2 that would the commercial center remain within 3 that circle or are you in a position to tell that? 4 A I'm not. I mean, we can certainly 5 estimate it and as far as you go west until you go 6 up about 0.05 miles, it will be. 7 Q Okay. And then looking at this aerial 8 image, would you agree that there is a lot of 9 vegetation, a lot of trees in this the 10 neighborhood boundary, both circles? 11 A Yeah, I think I specifically said that 12 this is a well-tree-canopied neighborhood, 13 especially in the stream valleys. 14 Q Mm-hmm. And one exception to that is 15 the is the Wilshire Senior Housing Project, 16 correct? 17 A And in the commercial center. There are 18 some exceptions, but generally. 19 Q Okay. When this project is built out, 20 and I would like and going back to the 21 landscape, going back to the amended plan exhibit, 22 in terms of remaining tree coverage and	 2 canopy coverage of the site at 20 years average 3 growth based on standard and average ornamental 4 trees and shade trees, not counting like 5 evergreens and things. 6 Q Ninety percent coverage of the entire 7 site? 8 A That's right. 9 Q Going to the photos of the surrounding 10 conditions, which I believe is the next page, 11 starting from the top, the Silver Spring 12 Healthcare Center project that you show here in 13 the photograph, do you know do you know what 14 underlying zone that is? 15 A R-200. 16 Q And do you know how that was developed? 17 A Special exception. 18 Q Okay. And the Cambodian Temple, do you 19 know the underlying zone? 20 A R-200. 21 Q And are houses of worship allowed by 22 right in residential zones?

293	295	
1 A R-200.	1 Q Okay. And to your knowledge, was there	
2 Q And that was developed pursuant to what	2 any agency or any, for example, Department of	
3 kind of approval?	3 Transportation that prohibited access to either of	
4 A I believe that's also a special	4 those?	
5 exception.	5 A I don't know	
6 Q Okay. And are you familiar with the	6 Q Okay.	
7 concept that special uses that are allowed by	7 A if it was prohibited by agency. I	
8 special exception, are presumptively compatible	8 don't know. I looked at the binding element in	
9 with surrounding neighborhoods?	9 the resolution, sorry.	
10 A Yes.	10 Q Okay. And at the moment, to the best of	
11 Q Okay. Is there a presumption of	11 your knowledge, either Department of	
12 compatibility with a floating zone?	12 Transportation or State Highway Administration has	
13 A No.	13 disallowed access to New Hampshire Avenue; is that	
14 Q Okay. I'd like to go to the next I	14 correct?	
15 think the next one is surrounding commercial uses.	15 A I have not seen anything where State	
16 This is the what I think you have	16 Highway opined. I think we're still going to go	
17 described as the commercial center; is that	17 through that process.	
18 correct?	18 Q Okay. But at the moment, DOT has?	
19 A That's right.	19 A DOT requested it, but they don't have	
20 Q And there is a giant grocery store in	20 any authority over access on the New Hampshire.	
21 here.	21 Q So is it from a regulatory	
22 A There is.	22 perspective, is it possible to have access to New	
23 Q Do you know whether or not, grocery	23 Hampshire?	
24 store, is a defined term in the zoning ordinance?	24 A It may be, but it may be limited some	
25 A It's not. It used to be. It isn't now.	25 way.	
294	296	
1 Q You said it's not.	1 Q Okay.	
2 A Grocery store is not.	2 A I mean, it may be allowed, but it may be	
3 Q Grocery store is not?	3 limited in some way, for example, emergency	
4 A I don't believe so.	4 vehicular access.	
5 Q Okay.	5 Q Okay. And at the time that The CORSO	
6 A But that's my memory.	6 Project was approved, did I understand correctly	
7 Q Okay. Just asking. When we were	7 that the access was directed only to Connecticut	
8 talking about frontage and access to a	8 Avenue because of a binding element that the	
9 nonresidential street, you made mention of another	9 council adopted to prohibit access to the two	
10 project called 7100 Connecticut Avenue. I believe	10 residential streets?	
11 it was called The CORSO	11 A Yes.	
12 A The CORSO	12 Q And is Connecticut Avenue a major	
13 Q Project. Could you remind me again	13 vehicular transportation corridor?	
14 what residential what street access the site	14 A Yes.	
15 had at the time that it was proposed for those	15 Q And is New Hampshire also a major	
16 rezoning?	16 vehicular transportation corridor?	
17 A There is a curb cut on the road to the	17 A Yes.	
18 north that the name escapes me. And a curb cut	MS. ROSENFELD: Indulgence, one moment	
19 separated by immediately, Connecticut Avenue, and	19 please.	
20 then stubbed out frontage to the south, but it	20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sorry?	
21 didn't have a curb cut.	21 MS. ROSENFELD: Your indulgence. One	
22 Q Okay. And the curb cut to the north and	22 moment, please.	
23 the stubbed out to the south, were those both to	23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: No problem.	
24 residential streets?	24 Q You testified earlier that and	
25 A Yes.	25 turning now to the Master Plans. I believe you	

297	299	
1 testified earlier that there's case law that	1 Q Okay. Would you read them into the	
2 interprets the impact of an amendment to the	2 record please?	
3 General Plan and how it relates to other Master	3 A (Reading):	
4 Plans are; do you recall that	4 General Plan 1969 General Plan on	
5 A Yes.	5 wedges and corridors for physical development	
6 Q testimony? Do you happen to have the	6 of Washington oh, sorry Maryland,	
7 name of that case?	7 Washington Regional District in Montgomery	
8 A So I referred to the submission that the	8 County, as amended; 93 General Plan,	
9 Applicant made. Did not reference the specific,	9 Refinement Of The Goals and Objectives for	
10 but looking back now, it's Exhibit 65 where we	10 Purple Line Functional Plan, as amended;	
11 entered into the record this statement that refers	11 2011 Housing Element to the General Plan, as	
12 to Archers Glen Partners, Inc v. Garner and MNCPPC	amended; 2013 Countywide Transit Corridors,	
13 v. Greater Baden-Aquasco Citizens Association.	13 Functional Plan, Master Plan, as amended; the	
14 Q Okay. And are you generally familiar	14 2018 Master Plan of Highways and Transit	
15 with the process by which Master Plans are	15 ways, as amended; 2018 Energized Public	
16 adopted?	16 Spaces Functional Master Plan, as amended;	
17 A I am.	_	
18 Q Okay. And can you once the Planning	18 Q Okay. And do you happen to also have	
19 Board makes a prepares a draft Master Plan and	19 the Master Plan of Highways with you today?	
20 transmits that to the district counsel, can you	20 A Idon't.	
21 explain the process from that point forward?	Q Okay. Do you happen to know if the	
22 A So there's a hearing, then there are	22 resolution adopting the Master Plan of Highways	
23 numerous council committee meetings, and then it's	23 specifically references not only the General Plan	
24 sent as a draft. Lots of changes are made, lots	24 and some of the Functional Plans, but very	
25 of testimonies that revisions are done, edited	25 specific Master Plans, including the 1997 White	
298	300	
1 transcripts of the, or drafts of the Master Plan	1 Oak Plan?	
2 are done with all the brackets and whatnot until		
	2 A I don't have that list.	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and	3 Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to thinkthat the council is not specific in its resolution	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution as to which plans are and are not being amended	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to thinkthat the council is not specific in its resolution	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution as to which plans are and are not being amended	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline.	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment?	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct?	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment?	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them.	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry.	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please.	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan.	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan,	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep.	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution?	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan?	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through.	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right?	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. Q Sorry what?	
3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right? 19 Q It should be.	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. R O Sorry what? A Because I'm not sure that well, at	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right? 19 Q It should be. 20 A Yep. 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sato which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. R O Sorry what? A Because I'm not sure that well, at least in terms of what I've understood, the	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right? 19 Q It should be. 20 A Yep. 21 Q Okay. All right. In Paragraph 2 of the 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. Q Sorry what? A Because I'm not sure that well, at least in terms of what I've understood, the Planning Board and the Planning Staff, whose	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right? 19 Q It should be. 20 A Yep. 21 Q Okay. All right. In Paragraph 2 of the 22 Thrive Plan, does it specify which plans are 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. Q Sorry what? A Because I'm not sure that well, at least in terms of what I've understood, the Planning Board and the Planning Staff, whose	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right? 19 Q It should be. 20 A Yep. 21 Q Okay. All right. In Paragraph 2 of the 22 Thrive Plan, does it specify which plans are 23 amended as a result of the adoption of Thrive? 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. R O Sorry what? A Because I'm not sure that well, at least in terms of what I've understood, the Planning Board and the Planning Staff, whose direction we generally follow, Thrive has become	
 3 it becomes and then it goes up for a vote and 4 then gets a that's the approval and then 5 adoption, goes back to the Planning Board. I 6 think that's the rough outline. 7 Q Mm-hmm. Okay. And then the Planning 8 Board does the final adoption; is that correct? 9 A The Planning Board adopts. 10 Q Okay. And I believe you have did I 11 see that you had the Thrive? 12 One moment, please. 13 Yes, you have the Thrive Plan. 14 A Yep. 15 Q And do you have the Council Resolution 16 approving the Thrive Plan? 17 A Yeah, I think it's one of the 18 appendices, right? 19 Q It should be. 20 A Yep. 21 Q Okay. All right. In Paragraph 2 of the 22 Thrive Plan, does it specify which plans are 	Q Okay. Do you have any reason to think that the council is not specific in its resolution sa to which plans are and are not being amended when it adopts a Master Plan amendment? A A Master Plan amendment or the General Plan amendment or Functional Plan amendment? Q Any of them. A So what's the question? Sorry. Q Do you think the district council is deliberate in its list of which plans are being amended, Master Plan, General Plan, Sector Plan, when it identifies those plans in its adopting resolution? A Sorry I'm taking my time, just thinking that through. Q Sorry what? A Because I'm not sure that well, at least in terms of what I've understood, the Planning Board and the Planning Staff, whose	

303 1 each submission that we have made under Thrive, 1 don't know that it is broad. It's not the term 2 even though it's not listed here. So I would that I would have used. Q No? Okay. The next sentence says, 3 follow Staff and the Planning Board's guidance on 3 4 that and say it was not deliberate that those area 4 (reading): 5 plans were left out. 5 The specific mix of uses, amenities, Q Okay. So what I understand is your parks, public facilities, and building types 6 7 interpretation of Thrive is based on policy 7 and complete communities vary depending on 8 guidance from the Planning Board and perhaps other 8 factors such as the size and location of the 9 people at Planning Staff as it affects -- as it neighborhood or community, proximity to 10 relates to other Master Plans and not the specific 10 transit, variation features such as 11 language of the council resolution. topography and environmental resources and 11 Is that a fair assessment, fair 12 other factors unique to the history and 13 characterization? 13 context of each place. A Policy guidance -- policy that's been 14 Is that correct? 15 established by the Planning Board and Staff in the 15 A That's the quote. 16 plans that I've submitted and had reviewed and had Q Okay. So complete communities don't get 16 17 commented on, the approval resolutions that I've 17 plopped down anywhere, correct? They need to be 18 received, and also legal counsel on how these 18 tailored to the specific location where they're 19 things are done because I am not that -- I'm 19 being proposed? 20 wearing a couple hats today, but not the lawyer's. A Well, it's not that the complete Q Okay. All right. Well, thank you. You 21 community gets dropped down anywhere, it's that 22 used several terms in your testimony about Thrive, 22 the communities should be complete based on 23 one was complete communities. 23 various factors, including their context and 24 Do you have a definition for complete 24 history. 25 communities? 25 Q Okay. And does this site have proximity 302 304 A I think I tried to quote something that 1 to transit? 2 defined it in the General Plan that I can pull up 2 A It has proximity to transit existing and again. So what I had used is my working importantly, more importantly I think, proposed. 4 definition in the testimony was per Page 85, 4 Q And the proposed transit that you have Places that include the range -- or sorry, do you 5 in mind? -- did you want just yes or no or? A Is the BRT system. 6 Q No. You can go ahead --Q Okay. And what is the time frame for A Okay. 8 the BRT? Q -- and read it please. 9 A It's not exactly known. 10 A (Reading): 10 Q Okay. Are we talking years, decades? Places that include the range of land A No way to know. 11 11 12 uses, infrastructure, services, and amenities 12 Q Okay. And is that the only --13 allow them to meet a wide range of needs for 13 A For me to know. 14 a variety of people and include housing... 14 Q -- transit that you have in mind when 15 -- And there's an ellipsis in there --15 you say proximity to transit? ...Housing suitable for different A You can take the M44 or the M42 to the 16 17 household types, income levels, and 17 intersection. I'm trying to remember which one 18 preferences, helping to support racial and 18 runs which way. But there are two bus lines now socioeconomic integration, Page 85. 19 that run to the intersection of Randolph and New 19 20 Q Okay. 20 Hampshire. 21 A Oh. 21 Q Do they run past this site? 22 22 Q That's a pretty broad description, A They do not. 23 wouldn't you agree? Q Okay. All right. You also had a 23 A I don't know. A broad description? I 24 discussion about the 2017 White Oak Science

25 Gateway Plan.

25 think it's holistic in thinking of a place. I

Conducted on November 6, 2025			
305	307		
1 A Yes.	1 Are we okay to have a marathon?		
2 Q And I believe your testimony was that	2 Okay. Thank you. Just checking in and		
3 that plan did not make any changes to the 1997	3 making sure you're okay.		
4 White Oak Plan; is that correct?	4 MS. ROSENFELD: One moment, please,		
5 A It changed everything within its	5 while I just go through my notes one		
6 boundary. It did not change elements that are	6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure.		
7 outside of the boundary with respect to this	7 MS. ROSENFELD: more time, I believe.		
8 property in particular.	8 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: No problem.		
9 Q And I think you also testified that some	9 MS. ROSENFELD: If I'm not done, I'm		
10 of these smaller Master Plans were designed to	10 almost done.		
11 allow the county to focus redevelopment or change	11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And,		
12 land use goals within targeted areas where change	12 Ms. Rogers, while Ms. Rosenfeld checks while		
13 was desired; is that correct?	13 she regroups, do you have any you have		
14 A To address emerging issues on a quicker	14 additional witnesses for your case in chief,		
15 time frame in certain areas.	15 right?		
16 Q And what were the emerging issues at the	MS. ROGERS: (No audible reply.)		
17 White Oak Science Gateway Plan?	MS. ROSENFELD: Ms. Hearing Examiner, I		
18 A Oh, I mean in particular, work around	18 have no further questions for this witness.		
19 the government facilities and increasing mix of	19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.		
20 uses were some key elements. But I have not done	20 MS. ROSENFELD: Thank you.		
21 a plan within that boundary, an application within	HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I assume you		
22 that boundary to analyze it fully.	22 have redirect, just guessing.		
23 Q Do you know if there was a focus on	MS. ROGERS: We do, yeah.		
24 adding housing within that plan?	24 THE WITNESS: I thought I was thought		
25 MS. ROGERS: We would object to the	25 I could wait.		
306	308		
1 what Mr. Sloan testified to is that that was not	MS. ROGERS: You thought you were done.		
2 applicable here. He's not like, that's not	2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
3 applicable and he doesn't analyze it in connection	3 BY MS. ROGERS:		
4 with this property because his testimony was that	4 Q Mr. Sloan, I wanted to go back to the		
5 it didn't apply.	5 your testimony regarding the existing bike lane on		
6 MS. ROSENFELD: Well, and I think he was	6 New Hampshire Avenue. And your is your		
7 also testifying that these limited plans are done	7 testimony that you provided earlier that the bike		
8 for specific reasons, so I think it's fair to	8 lane goes down to at least the intersection of		
9 explore whether or not he knows why it was	9 Randolph and Midland, that signalized access point		
10 adopted.	10 to the grocery store?		
MS. ROGERS: Why?	11 A Correct.		
MS. ROSENFELD: Or what it achieved.	12 Q So I don't know if the hearing examiner		
MS. ROGERS: I think Mr. Sloan just your	13 wants me to put the exhibit back up, but.		
14 previous question, that he has not thoroughly	14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, please.		
15 analyzed that plan because he hasn't done a	MS. ROGERS: If we go down? How about		
16 project within that plan boundary.	16 we try ten slides down and keep going, sorry.		
MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. No more questions	17 Right there. Thank you very much.		
18 on that topic.	18 Q So, Mr. Sloan, Mr. Nusbaum had asked you		
May I have one moment?	19 about what that sign said in the photo, and you		
HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, you may.	20 said it says, Bike Lane Ends. But in your		
MS. ROSENFELD: Just to	21 observation, you commented that the bike lane		
22 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And while you	22 continued past. But does that spot where even		
23 have that one moment, I just want to check in with	23 if the bike lane ended there, gets you to the		
24 the court reporter because someone alerted me that	24 access to the commercial shopping center?		
25 you usually are only scheduled till four.	25 A That's right. There's a sidewalk on the		

Conducted on Provenion 6, 2025			
309	311		
l lower-right side that you can see, and you can	1 in the PowerPoint please? Almost there. Stop on		
2 take that to the	2 that. Thank you.		
Q So in	So this was the slide where we just		
4 A shopping center.	4 it's labeled, Compatible Massing Along Notley in		
Q in your professional opinion and	5 the Applicant's PowerPoint presentation. I wanted		
6 evaluation of this, is there a bicycle lane	6 to clarify a few things here. The dimensions		
7 existing today that takes you to a commercial	7 shown on the plan represent the conceptual layout		
8 shopping center within the requisite distance of	8 the Applicant has presented with this floating		
9 the prerequisite?	9 zone plan, correct?		
10 A There is.	10 A Correct.		
11 Q And your testimony regarding the	11 Q And if you were to do sticks of town		
12 shared-use path, is that relevant to the existing	12 homes that were a hundred feet wide, would there		
13 condition?	13 be fewer overall kind of building masses along		
14 A It's not. It's an upgrade to the	14 Notley Road?		
15 existing condition.	15 A Yes. In combining any of these, you		
16 Q Thank you. Touching on the recreation	16 would simply just you'd push them together and		
17 analysis again, I wanted to reiterate for the	17 you'd have more open space between the unit sticks		
18 record based on your professional opinion, do	18 that are longer.		
19 either of the parks when considered on their own	19 Q And the binding element as it's worded		
20 substantially exceed the 30 percent recreation	20 in exhibit I'll just take Exhibit 56, but it's		
21 guidelines demand points required by the	21 also on the revised floating zone plan, but that		
22 prerequisite?	22 Staff's report. How was a hundred feet measured?		
23 A Either park on its own exceeds the	23 A Reading from the Staff report, the		
24 demand required by the prerequisite of 30 percent,	24 binding element says (reading):		
25 that's correct.	No assemblage of attached units or a		
310	312		
1 Q And does the prerequisite for schools	1 singular building along Notley Road may have		
2 require that it be a public or a private school?	2 a combined width greater than 100 feet		
3 A It does not.	3 measured along the foundation of the group of		
4 Q Regarding your testimony regarding a	4 units or building.		
5 third could you just elaborate, with the third	5 Q So is there, for example, with some of		
6 story terrace, again, you were hypothetically	6 these sticks of units and what they're showing as,		
7 speaking to what the architecture could be at time	7 I guess is there my question to you is there's		
8 of site plan, but would that allow a transition of	8 multiple parameters as to how that width can be		
9 height for those units?	9 measured. But it's intending is this intending		
10 A Yes. It's hard for me not to play	10 to provide some flexibility for some of those		
11 designer and think about the future. So the unit	11 final design elements		
12 types that I was referencing are popular now.	12 (Speaker noise from Zoom.)		
13 They have three stories and then the fourth story	MS. ROGERS: final design elements.		
14 is terraced back. So it actually decreases the	14 (Speaker noise from Zoom.)		
15 bulk and height of the unit on that side where the	15 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could we		
16 terrace is to a three-story unit.	16 could we just mute everybody?		
17 Q But to be clear for the record, the	17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry.		
18 final architecture has not yet been designed and	18 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: On Zoom,		
19 will be designed at time of site plan?	19 please make sure muted. Thank you.		
20 A That's right. We'll have to submit	20 Q But within the parameters of that		
21 elevations and footprints at that time.	21 binding element, will the final widths and kind of		
22 Q And there was some questioning regarding	22 the number of sticks of units along Notley be		
23 the spacing of the units along Notley Road.	23 finalized at time of site plan?		
24 If we can go to that exhibit? I think	24 A It will be finalized and fine-tuned when		
25 it's in front of this, so up. If we can scroll up	25 we finally get architecture that can't exceed that		

315

316

Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on November 6, 2025 313 100 feet in building length, one end to the other. Q I am sorry, I can't read my writing. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's okay.

2 4 MS. ROGERS: Can you? 5 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fine.

Q I'd like to turn to canopy coverage. 6

Ms. Rosenfeld asked you a question about canopy coverage and we --

Actually, if we can scroll down again.

10 We had a slide in here that we didn't

11 cover in your direct testimony that --

A Yeah.

13 Q -- I think would help provide

14 documentation for the record on her question.

There. Right there, Canopy coverage.

And we have this as a full-size plan to 16

17 submit today. If we could also separately number

18 this since it's responding to Ms. Rosenfeld's

19 question.

20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Any objection?

21 MS. ROSENFELD: No objection.

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: This will be

23 the canopy coverage exhibit will be entered as

24 Exhibit 78, and I'll call it illustration of

25 canopy coverage.

1 which would be --

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Are you able

to expand this any further? It's hard to --

A Sorry, and my primary concern with the 5 existing canopy is that, as I noted earlier, a lot

of these trees are in fair or poor condition. And

if we lose them without having to replace them

through the regulatory process, the -- it'll be on

the HOA to decide whether or not they replace 10 them.

11 And that, you know, why not take care of

12 it now and make something better for the longer

13 term future is why we think we should -- we want

14 to do a complete -- a comprehensive planting plan

15 along with saving the offsite trees, obviously

16 that are not ours. So does that clarify it?

Q Yeah. So in terms of the proposed 17 18 redevelopment, will there be kind of, as you

19 measure it as a professional in terms of at a

20 certain year of growth, will there end up being a

21 substantial amount of canopy coverage within the

22 site?

23 A There will. And just so it's on the

24 record, we have to use the Trees Technical Manual

25 that's published by Park & Planning to determine

314

(Applicant's Exhibit 78 was marked for identification, admitted into evidence, and

retained by the Hearing Examiner.)

Q Mr. Sloan, could you just with this

5 exhibit --

6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Where is the

full-size?

8 MS. ROGERS: Oh.

HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. Sure.

10 Sorry about that.

11 Thank you.

Q Okay. Mr. Sloan, you provided testimony 12

13 regarding kind of the various open spaces and

14 green areas that will be provided in connection

15 with any redevelopment of this site.

Could you also please provide a little

17 bit of detail regarding this canopy coverage

18 exhibit?

A Yes, and I want to make sure that what I

20 said is clear and correct that based on our layout

21 of ornamental and shade trees is projected that at

22 20-year canopy calculation, which is what we have

23 to do for common open space, but we have used it

24 more generally that we can replace 90 percent of

25 the existing canopy coverage not of this site,

an eventual 20-year canopy to make our

calculations for open space. That's what we used.

Q Thank you. A couple quick follow-ups on

4 Master Plan I wanted to clarify. In your

professional opinion, is the 1997 White Oak Master

Plan still valid, but only to the extent that it

doesn't -- it's not inconsistent with Thrive?

A That's right. It does have several

9 valid points that I hope I picked up on in my

10 earlier testimony.

Q So the fact that the 1997 Plan isn't

12 listed in the preamble to Thrive as being one that

13 was entirely superseded by Thrive is -- in your

14 opinion, is it still valid?

15 A It still is valid.

Q And your testimony earlier, which we

17 won't repeat in detail, was getting to the

18 portions -- the specific recommendations of the

19 1997 plan that were inconsistent with Thrive what

20 you tested -- was what your professional opinion

21 is, that those are the ones that are superseded by

22 Thrive?

23 A That's right.

24 Q And just to reiterate, Section 721-E,

25 the finding the district council has to make, is

Transcript of Hearing

	210
1 that just about the 1999 1997 Plan or also	1 CROSS-EXAMINATION
2 Thrive in other county plans?	2 BY MS. WALKER:
3 A It's also the General Plan and the	3 Q Hey, Mr. Sloan, question for you is, did
4 Functional Master Plans.	4 you measure the distance from the site to the edge
5 Q You testified that the existing bus lane	5 of the grocery store, not to the edge of the
6 lines that are within Randolph Road that there	6 shopping complex?
7 are existing bus lines within Randolph Road. Are	7 A We did three measure oh, sorry. We
8 those walkable to this site?	8 did three measurements. We walked from we
9 A They are.	9 walked to the edge of the property that the
10 Q And that is that based on kind of	10 grocery store is on, then to the building itself,
11 General Planning principles as to what distance	11 and then to the grocery store entrance.
12 is, you know, considered walkable to transit?	12 Q Do you have those three distances?
13 A Yes. As I noted, Thrive takes a	13 A 1,220 feet to the property, 1,317 feet
14 15-minute walkable community. I prefer a	14 to the building, 1,720 feet, I think, to the door.
15 10-minute just because knowing human nature, not	15 Q Are those
16 everyone will walk as far as I will.	16 A Those are
17 Q And is access to transit the only	17 Q Are those distances in the record?
18 element that's discussed in complete communities,	18 A No.
19 or are there other factors that Thrive looks for	19 Q No?
20 in what's appropriate for establishing complete	20 A No, they're not required to meet the
21 communities?	21 prerequisite in my opinion.
22 A There's several other factors:	22 MS. WALKER: Okay.
23 services, housing diversity and types, amenities,	23 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Have some
24 parks, and open space, for example.	24 redirect on that, or?
25 MS. ROGERS: Those are all of our	25 MR. COREY: Could I ask from where you
318	320
1 questions. Thank you.	1 measured?
2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.	2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You may. Do
3 Cross-examination?	3 you mind coming forward and stating your name,
4 MS. WALKER: Is it possible to ask a	4 please?
5 question?	5 MR. COREY: Yes, Patrick Corey, 41 Shaw
6 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Do we have	6 20904.
7 just one moment. I just want to make sure we	7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.
8 I'm presuming, I mean, those present are parties	8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 of record so, you have some questions for	9 BY MR. COREY:
10 cross-examination?	10 Q You can measure it from the closest
11 MS. WALKER: I have one question for	11 edge, or you can measure it from the middle. You
12 Mr. Sloan.	12 can measure it from the furthest point.
13 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. Would	Where did you measure it from?
14 you are you able to come forward?	14 A From the sidewalk at the northwest
15 MS. WALKER: Yep. Yep. My	15 corner of Notley and New Hampshire.
16 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Could you	16 Q So the closest one?
17 please state your name?	17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Southeast.
18 MS. WALKER: Yeah, my name is Natalie	18 A Northeast.
19 Walker and I'm a resident in the community.	19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Southeast.
20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Your address	20 A Southeast.
21 please?	21 MR. COREY: Thank you so much.
MS. WALKER: 13809 Overton Lane, Silver	MS. ROGERS: Mr. Sloan testified to the
23 Spring, Maryland 20904. Okay?	22
25 Spring, Wai yiand 20904. Okay:	23 quadrant of the intersection.
24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yep.	24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Thank you.

321 323 1 my concerns is we may -- I know we had already question to that. 2 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION identified December 2nd as a subsequent day. BY MS. ROGERS: 3 Is it looking like we would need more Q So, Mr. Sloan, does the prerequisite 4 than -- at this rate -dictate where you need to measure? Or does it 5 MS. GIRAD: That's exactly what I just measure from some portion along the property whispered to her. frontage to the commercial service containing the 7 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: At this grocery store? 8 rate --A We have always used these prerequisite MS. GIRAD: I think at this rate we 10 to measure from site to site. 10 definitely should set in a third date so that we MS. ROGERS: Thank you. 11 don't lose it. And also we would request -- I 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Doing a check 12 know we had said about the Community going first 13 with folks on Zoom, was there anyone on Zoom who 13 on the 2nd, but perhaps they could go -- I think 14 had questions for Mr. Sloan? 14 we would at least like to get through our next two Hearing none, I know you have two more 15 experts. So maybe we could set like after lunch 16 witnesses. My hope -- even though the court 16 on the 2nd for the Community. 17 reporter assures me that he's doing okay, my hope 17 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You know, my 18 is to not keep everyone here beyond 5:00 p.m. 18 concern about that is we announced it this morning 19 A 5:15? 19 -- and I know I did; I put a caveat there. I 20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Oh. 20 am -- I would be concerned; people are taking time 21 A In lawyer time? 21 off from work. And so people who show up on HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: What's the 2.2. 22 the -- on the 2nd on the understanding that they 23 hope? What's the --23 are being first. 24 MS. ROGERS: I think we're thinking is MS. ROGERS: We won't be able to get 25 through our two additional witnesses --25 we could at lunch, it would also align with when 322 324 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: You will not? people could potentially take lunch breaks. That MS. ROGERS: -- in that amount of time. give us a chance to get through our other two 2 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And so remaining witnesses. would -- I suppose we should have defined what a 4 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Any objections like, you know, what's a marathon hearing. Were to that? Moving the Community testimony from you hoping to complete all your witnesses today? first thing in the morning to lunchtime? MS. ROGERS: We were hoping to get MS. ROSENFELD: Yeah. There were --8 beyond that today. Mr. Sloan's testimony has there were quite a few people who were online this 9 taken longer than we had with all the cross morning who I think have an expectation that they 10 anticipated that it would take, so I think --10 can start at the beginning of the next hearing. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I mean --11 I'm just concerned that if we flip that now that 12 12 they may not be able to appear and testify. MS. ROGERS: I understand what time it 13 is. We're --13 MS. GIRAD: I mean, perhaps, you know --14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes, I agree. 14 and I know they're all -- they're coordinated 15 MS. ROGERS: -- fine breaking and 15 enough to wear the same shirt, so hopefully word 16 needing to -- if we need to take our witnesses at 16 could get out, and people could let us know if 17 the beginning of --17 there's people who have particular problems. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. Our concern is that we have, I mean, 18 18 19 MS. ROGERS: -- the next hearing. 19 maybe not so much on the technical, but definitely 20 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yes. I am 20 on traffic. Based on what we're hearing at the 21 actually concerned about -- I'm not sure I should 21 Planning Board, we know that we're going to get 22 keep people's -- Staff -- long, you know, beyond a 22 quite a bit of testimony on that, and we really 23 certain number of hours. I'm fine to stay on, but 23 would prefer to have our expert address that 24 I'm a little bit concerned about stretching things 24 before we hear opposition. 25 beyond 5:00 p.m. And in that case, my -- one of HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: That's fair. 25

325 327 1 So I think I -- here's the thing. I mean, today HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I know you're 2 was scheduled for a full-day hearing and I was ready to go. And I'm sorry, I just -- and maybe 3 trying to accommodate people as much as possible, this is something to be addressed by a different 4 but I think it's reasonable to expect that, you witness. So I just want to be clear, you know, we know, you reassess where you are at the end of the have the -- so we have the various binding elements, right? 6 day. Let's plan on having Community testimony You have the one that has a max of 130 start at lunch, like after the lunch break on dwelling units. Then you have one that says, you 9 December 2nd. That should allow, because you know, no stick will be more -- this is the E, you 10 usually actually don't have Community testimony 10 know, the fifth one. No stick will be more than a 11 until -- the case in chief has to run. Wherever 11 hundred feet. And consistently, one of the -- and 12 you land by lunchtime on December 2nd, whether 12 I'm not getting ahead of the Community testimony, 13 you're finished or not, we're going to 13 but of course we have all these letters in the 14 accommodate --14 record, and consistently I keep hearing like, this MS. GIRAD: Yep. 15 15 is too much. This is too much for this place. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- community So you also have a binding element in 16 17 testimony. 17 here which says the use of the property is limited 18 MS. ROSENFELD: That's fair. 18 to residential with the following building types: 19 MS. GIRAD: That's fair. 19 townhouse, triplex, duplex, and detached house, 20 MS. ROSENBERG: Because then at least we 20 but there's no number on how many is which. You 21 know we can present, you know, what we definitely 21 know, so for instance, even if you had the max of 22 130 units, if you're dealing with 130 units, big 22 want to get done before the Community speaks. 23 We'll strategize to --23 difference if it's a, you know, triplex versus 24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. 24 townhouse. 25 25 MS. ROSENFELD: -- make sure we get So is there -- is that something you 326 328 through as much as we can on that. could just opine on or help us understand without HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. Okay. limiting -- it can be any of these, right? It can 2 MS. ROGERS: And, Ms. Hearing Examiner, be duplex, triplex, townhouse, detached, but we for people who cannot accommodate that time, we don't really know the exact number of which ones would ask that if we do set in a third day that could change when you get to site plan; is that they be allowed to testify first thing in the correct? A That is -- that is correct. And I'm not morning. I would hope that we, the opposition, is in presenting our case by then certainly. sure how to answer the question though. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Yeah. MS. ROGERS: Can I ask a follow up 9 10 MS. ROSENFELD: And we would make 10 question? 11 accommodations in our time to allow that. 11 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. Okay. 13 MS. ROSENFELD: Okay. Thank you. 13 BY MS. ROGERS: 14 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: So and I need Q So, Mr. Sloan, you know, part of the 15 to check with Staff. I know that we -- when I 15 testimony at the Planning Board regarding the 16 collected the dates -- let's see. We'll announce 16 flexibility of uses, does that permit design 17 the subsequent date on December 2nd. And I 17 flexibility to accommodate some of these other 18 suppose, depending on how we are doing that day, I 18 concerns we have heard in terms of wanting to 19 think just think ahead to whatever you had 19 maximize the open space and preserve trees, and 20 indicated was your, you know, your availability 20 give you flexibility to then accommodate different 21 that week. Let's try and keep calendars open 21 unit sizes within these typologies to balance 22 because I think we're going to need a third day. 22 those different objectives at site plan You know, Mr. Sloan, before I let you A It does. The different unit -- the unit 23 24 go, just for the -- sorry, I know you were --24 typologies allow you to do a couple things. One, A Ready to go. 25 you can set a transition horizontally, say from

329 331 1 the west to east to New Hampshire Avenue. The 1 just give a quick summary on the Forest Conservation Plan that was --2 ability to do things like triplexes, which are --3 allow you to actually shrink a building footprint 3 A Sure. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: -- before the 4 and still accommodate the same number of parking. 4 5 So that flexibility helps ensure that we are going Planning Board? 6 to meet the goals of increasing open space and A So the -- so the Forest Conservation saving perimeter trees. I don't know how to put Plan is done in two steps. A preliminary Forest 8 Conservation Plan that has to be submitted with numbers on those. HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: And, you know, the first application, regardless of what type it 10 so maybe I should phrase this differently. Is 10 is. That was submitted and heard by the Planning 11 there a reason why the Applicant could not commit 11 Board. A final Forest Conservation Plan will come 12 to numbers now in terms of how many units you have 12 later, if we get to site plan. 13 of this, this, and this? 13 The preliminary Forest Conservation Plan 14 A Percentage? 14 does two things. One, it establishes the amount Q And I mean, my question purely comes --15 of, in this case, afforestation that is required. 15 16 I mean, sometimes an applicant will say, I am 16 So when a site does not have any forest on it a 17 doing 120 townhouses. 17 calculation is made based on the requested zoning 18 A Yeah. 18 and the size of the property to determine how much 19 Q And you know upfront what you're dealing 19 new forest has to be planted on the site, on 20 with. But then we -- this could change. Does 20 another site, or a fee in lieu paid. 21 that --21 That number is established, but it may A It's partly tied into transportation, 22 change a final Forest Conservation Plan because 23 isn't it? Or we have tested it the most --23 it's tied directly to our limits of disturbance. 24 MS. ROGERS: Katie --24 And we have -- we have limits of disturbance 25 A Okay. 25 beyond our property, for example, utility tie-ins. 330 332 MS. ROGERS: Katie will testify to that. 1 So it establishes the initial number for 2 I know you're trying to be helpful. You know, I afforestation, and it also establishes the don't think because we're at the rezoning stage, approximate limits of disturbance and number of 4 the Applicant has considered that yet. But specimen trees that might require a variance. 5 hearing the Hearing Examiner's question can be 5 We submitted the variance. Staff and 6 something the Applicant, you know, takes as a the Planning Board said we need more to determine question that to be addressed with future whether or not a variance can be approved on this 8 testimony. site. And we don't feel comfortable doing it HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Sure. Okay. 9 until you have a site plan with a detailed grading 10 I would have -- that would be helpful to just 10 plan, detailed infrastructure. No point in making 11 understand. 11 this finding now. We'll wait. 12 MS. ROGERS: Yeah. 12 And so that's where we are in the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I know you had 13 process and I think the afforestation requirement 14 some testimony on the canopy and thank you so much 14 was -- it's on the plan. I don't want to try to 15 for the -- for the large drawing. Do you have 15 remember it. 16 a -- is a different witness going to speak to the HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: All right. 16 17 Forest Conservation Plan? There was a Forest 17 Thank you. 18 Conservation Plan. Can I ask a question now or is 18 (Witness excused.) 19 there a different witness who -- okay. 19 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: I believe --20 MS. ROGERS: Mr. Sloan is --20 anything to be addressed before I close the 21 A No, it's me. 21 hearing? 22 MS. ROGERS: -- is the most appropriate 22 Okay. Well, we had -- I think we did 23 witness for that. 23 have the marathon today, so thank you so much for 24 HEARING EXAMINER SOKONI: Okay. 24 your patience and, so we'll reconvene 9:30 a.m. on

25 December 2nd.

25 Perfect. Thank you. Could you just -- could you

Conducted off N	335
Thank you very much. The hearing is	1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2 closed.	2 I, Deborah S. Anderson, do hereby certify
3 (Off the record at 4:55 p.m.)	3 that the foregoing pages, to the best of my
4 ///	4 ability, are a true and correct transcription from
5	5 the official electronic sound recording and
6	6 annotations of the proceeding take on November 6,
7	7 2025, in the above-entitled matter; and that I am
8	8 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
9	9 any of the parties to this case and have no
10	10 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
11	11
12	12
13	
14	13 Deborah Anderson
15	15 Deborah S. Anderson, CET-998
16	16 November 17, 2025
17	17
18	18
19	19
20	20
21	21
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25
CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC I, Jamie Ogihara, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that said proceedings were electronically recorded by me; that the foregoing transcript, to the best of my ability, knowledge and belief, is a true and accurate record of the proceedings; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. Jamie Ogihara, Notary Public for the State of Maryland for the State of Maryland	
24 25	

	022 10 020 16		02 01 122 6
A	233:18, 238:16	accesses	23:21, 133:6,
a(1	abuts	254:20	152:11, 228:3,
234:1	115:25, 121:11,	accessible	235:15, 239:1,
a (2	129:19, 197:23,	50:2, 162:11,	278:10
210:24	233:11, 275:17,	246:10	act
a-c-e	279:4	accommodate	82:15, 82:21
29:18	abutting	43:8, 43:25,	actions
a-1-a-m	11:8, 17:1,	86:22, 155:15,	78:7, 94:5
28:1	17:14, 17:18,	242:19, 247:4,	activation
a-1-i-s-o-n	18:1, 18:4,	325:3, 325:14,	250:12
15:24	28:20, 91:22,	326:4, 328:17,	active
a-n-d-e-r-s-o-n	122:18, 129:7,	328:20, 329:4	62 : 8
29:18	130:11, 143:2,	accommodating	activities
	143:13, 143:22,	142:22	95:20
a-r-r-e-t-e	234:10, 235:1,	accommodation	activity
28:12	235:18, 272:4	42:1, 43:17	177:12, 238:21
a-y-a-n-a	accept	accommodations	actual
18:24, 22:1,	70:4, 113:10,	53:16, 164:7,	263:18
22:6	115:15	326:11	actually
ability	accepted	accomplish	12:16, 24:7,
168:8, 285:10,	195:4	161:10	45:15, 54:11,
329:2, 334:6,	accepting	accomplished	76:23, 81:13,
335:4	10:5, 24:11,	94:24	107:20, 110:14,
able	111:14	accomplishes	142:13, 145:11,
35:9, 42:3,	access	194:15	151:5, 151:24,
43:13, 44:16,	44:16, 90:5,	account	152:12, 154:5,
50:13, 54:8,	91:1, 120:14,	154:21	154:19, 154:20,
54:17, 63:11,	121:6, 121:13,	accreditations	170:22, 180:2,
75:16, 98:22,	163:11, 163:23,	104:25	180:10, 182:19,
163:18, 183:9,	214:6, 214:8,	accrued	202:4, 228:15,
194:13, 206:3,	214:12, 214:14,	68:6	236:8, 238:4,
240:18, 257:24,	214:20, 214:23,	accurate	258:5, 258:6,
270:17, 272:17,	215:1, 215:7,	334:7	258:22, 280:17,
277:2, 279:3,	216:6, 217:4,		281:18, 310:14,
280:18, 286:19,	217:7, 217:12,	accurately	313:9, 322:21,
315:2, 318:14,	217:13, 217:16,	154:13	325:10, 329:3
321:24, 324:12	217:18, 219:4,	achieved	add
above	219:18, 219:22,	306:12	54:18, 129:3,
151:14, 151:25,	219:25, 222:15,	acknowledge	171:13, 195:21,
154:7, 229:13,	239:5, 255:7,	74:5	228:23, 251:23
242:22, 283:23,	278:15, 289:5,	acre	added
286:24	289:12, 294:8,	97:10, 97:21,	54:22, 188:3,
above-entitled	294:14, 295:3,	143:25, 145:16,	265:22, 265:23,
335:7	295:13, 295:20,	146:2	267:10
absolutely	295:22, 296:4,	acres	adding
252:10	296:7, 296:9,	211:3	207:24, 278:7,
abut	308:9, 308:24,	across	278:16, 305:24
212:15, 212:20,	317:17	17:20, 18:15,	addition
		19:1, 22:23,	45:16, 54:21,
			,

		· ·	
72:19, 87:15,	addressed	35:16, 36:22,	advocate
182:20, 246:3	43:4, 46:8,	55:9, 58:18,	59:18, 61:15
additional	54:24, 54:25,	71:5, 83:2	advocates
55:25, 58:4,	85:7, 93:13,	admission	61 : 17
64:9, 87:16,	100:7, 174:13,	46:13, 61:6,	advocating
92:19, 123:1,	215:15, 215:21,	116:9	62:2, 74:10
126:5, 148:15,	327:3, 330:7,	admitted	aerial
178:16, 181:5,	332:20	54:3, 54:5,	7:9, 136:23,
196:4, 209:24,	addresses	55:4, 81:12,	136:25, 138:14,
242:18, 246:17,	20:16, 54:12,	82:6, 116:14,	138:16, 138:17,
278:8, 307:14,	85:15	138:21, 149:20,	287:8, 290:7
321:25	addressing	208:7, 237:19,	affairs
additionally	44:23, 173:14,	314:2	70:8
66:10, 73:18,	207:20, 246:11	admitting	affect
91:14	adequacy	55:2	88:8, 249:22,
address	62:25, 68:19,	adopted	250:21
18:20, 19:10,	246:19, 260:9	86:2, 91:4,	affects
19:13, 20:6,	adequate	106:1, 106:2,	301:9
20:11, 20:13,	85:5, 86:21,	115:10, 160:2,	affiliated
20:19, 21:19,	86:23, 90:9,	172:12, 173:1,	65:6
22:9, 22:15,	189:3, 241:10,	174:13, 180:5,	afford
23:5, 23:8,	246:24, 247:3	197:4, 296:9,	61:25, 62:21,
23:11, 23:19,	adequately	297:16, 306:10	64:8
24:7, 24:12,	100:7, 118:23	adopting	affordable
24:25, 25:2,	adherence	299:22, 300:14	142:6, 191:14,
25:24, 26:9,	106:24	adoption	245:5, 246:4,
27:1, 27:8,	adhering	159:21, 194:20,	250:16
27:11, 27:19,	198:3	298:5, 298:8,	afforded
27:21, 28:2,	adjacent	298:23	58:12
29:4, 29:19,	90:13, 92:2,	adopts	afforestation
30:10, 31:3,	120:19, 143:18,	298:9, 300:6	331:15, 332:2,
32:5, 32:23,	148:13, 193:13,	adults	332:13
33:24, 36:8,	197:15, 203:23,	229:1	after
37:1, 37:16,	223:1, 227:12,	advanced	9:17, 9:21,
37:20, 38:21,	231:20, 248:11,	85 : 9	17:4, 18:1,
39:13, 40:24,	260:2, 271:8,	advantage	24:17, 51:23,
41:2, 44:24,	272:1, 272:2,	121:1, 122:4,	52:5, 52:8,
45:7, 47:3,	272:20	219:13	52:15, 89:9,
48:9, 53:23,	adjoining	adversely	146:2, 160:2,
55:11, 57:6,	167:12	88:8, 141:20,	240:22, 272:23,
89:8, 100:24,	adjourn	249:22, 250:7,	272:24, 291:17,
105:9, 124:7,	187 : 22	250:20, 251:8	291:20, 323:15,
133:13, 162:25,	administered	advisory	325:8
172:5, 172:13,	103:2, 185:16	60:4, 60:11,	afternoon
174:16, 175:17,	administration	60:24, 69:21,	254:2
183:24, 199:24,	66:20, 96:23,	69:23	again
216:21, 305:14,	295:12	advocacy	15:17, 16:9,
318:20, 324:23	administrative	61:7, 61:8	17:10, 18:11,
	1:3, 2:7, 3:20,	,	
	, , , ,		

20:1, 20:13,	249:8	173:11, 177:9,	142:21, 150:22,
21:11, 22:13,	agreement	178:6, 197:5,	152:10, 155:2,
23:8, 28:6,	2:15, 210:3	197:6, 200:13,	160:24, 162:21,
38:16, 52:10,	agricultural	202:16, 202:21,	172:21, 175:20,
56:20, 73:24,	210:15, 210:17	203:12, 203:18,	178:4, 178:15,
84:2, 93:11,	ahead	208:4, 211:4,	181:3, 191:12,
110:2, 154:8,	126:20, 130:5,	234:10, 234:15,	197:9, 203:18,
155:21, 156:4,	158:11, 262:14,	234:16, 234:22,	223:3, 223:6,
163:20, 172:5,	302:7, 326:19,	236:3, 248:5,	223:11, 223:18,
177:7, 196:23,	327:12	280:10, 282:14,	226:17, 238:15,
197:16, 202:1,	air	282:15, 282:24,	240:7, 242:7,
204:19, 222:20,	163:10	284:4, 292:21,	245:3, 246:9,
222:22, 228:6,	alerted	293:7, 296:2,	255:18, 256:8,
235:17, 236:25,	306:24	326:6	259:4, 271:4,
245:24, 247:10,	align	allowing	274:22, 275:15,
247:19, 260:7,	323:25	64:12, 70:6,	275:21, 277:11,
271:14, 286:8,	alison	192:15, 194:23,	277:17, 279:3,
291:24, 294:13,	4:6, 15:23,	198:7, 199:3,	279:16, 280:3,
302:3, 309:17,	23:24, 24:20,	203:1, 203:10	280:16, 280:21,
310:6, 313:9	24:23, 25:1	allows	283:2, 283:17,
against	all-residential	122:7, 125:17,	284:7, 285:7,
79:1, 101:14,	250:17	143:5, 143:16,	289:14, 289:18,
101:22	alleged	153:11, 192:20,	310:23, 311:4,
agency	255:6	195:1, 198:24,	311:13, 312:1,
295:2, 295:7	alleviate	199:15, 200:19,	312:3, 312:22,
ages		202:18, 212:5	315:15, 321:6
	122:5	•	
164:4	122:5 alley	alluded	already
164:4 aggrieved		alluded 174:21	already 10:16, 19:13,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20	alley	alluded 174:21 almost	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago	alley 121:1	<pre>alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2,</pre>	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5	alley 121:1 allocated	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed 86:15, 88:19,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11, 328:24, 329:3	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21, 126:25, 127:5,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15, 16:3, 17:17,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed 86:15, 88:19, 92:13, 178:24,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11, 328:24, 329:3 allowed	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:14, 128:16,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15, 16:3, 17:17, 18:4, 18:19,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed 86:15, 88:19, 92:13, 178:24, 209:15, 226:3,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11, 328:24, 329:3 allowed 42:18, 66:9,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:14, 128:16, 129:15, 129:23,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15, 16:3, 17:17, 18:4, 18:19, 20:19, 20:20,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed 86:15, 88:19, 92:13, 178:24,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11, 328:24, 329:3 allowed	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:14, 128:16,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15, 16:3, 17:17, 18:4, 18:19, 20:19, 20:20, 21:5, 32:10,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed 86:15, 88:19, 92:13, 178:24, 209:15, 226:3,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11, 328:24, 329:3 allowed 42:18, 66:9,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:14, 128:16, 129:15, 129:23,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15, 16:3, 17:17, 18:4, 18:19, 20:19, 20:20, 21:5, 32:10,
164:4 aggrieved 9:20 ago 12:5 agree 43:9, 75:20, 92:23, 109:7, 159:15, 174:8, 181:22, 182:2, 213:8, 219:2, 232:13, 243:17, 244:23, 245:21, 248:14, 250:8, 251:1, 251:19, 277:15, 290:8, 302:23, 322:14 agreed 86:15, 88:19, 92:13, 178:24, 209:15, 226:3,	alley 121:1 allocated 195:7 allow 42:5, 42:17, 57:4, 59:20, 64:19, 84:20, 96:8, 97:11, 102:21, 123:23, 175:16, 176:4, 198:2, 198:15, 200:16, 201:1, 211:25, 234:12, 273:24, 278:17, 282:8, 302:13, 305:11, 310:8, 325:9, 326:11, 328:24, 329:3 allowed 42:18, 66:9,	alluded 174:21 almost 60:20, 96:2, 152:11, 159:19, 178:10, 183:17, 194:16, 211:19, 307:10, 311:1 alone 230:2 along 87:4, 91:17, 92:17, 108:25, 116:4, 117:22, 117:24, 118:4, 118:17, 118:21, 118:24, 119:24, 120:5, 120:21, 126:25, 127:5, 127:14, 128:16, 129:15, 129:23,	already 10:16, 19:13, 20:12, 27:5, 27:7, 45:8, 51:24, 82:14, 83:10, 87:25, 108:5, 108:16, 117:8, 125:25, 146:13, 168:13, 171:1, 184:9, 185:15, 185:16, 191:2, 194:6, 195:25, 253:9, 323:1 also 4:3, 11:2, 11:11, 14:15, 16:3, 17:17, 18:4, 18:19, 20:19, 20:20, 21:5, 32:10,

	Conducted on 140	,	
35:14, 38:1,	241:1, 241:7	69:13, 73:22	29:21, 335:2,
39:5, 40:4,	always	amorphous	335:15
41:25, 44:24,	203:3, 203:12,	259:12	angular
47:1, 49:19,	218:5, 250:24,	amount	233:24, 233:25,
50:5, 50:9,	321:9	10:11, 105:24,	234:18, 234:21,
52:4, 56:11,	ambiguous	182:9, 279:19,	236:4, 236:6
62:10, 63:10,	101:17	315:21, 322:2,	annotations
65:16, 69:20,	amend	331:14	335:6
69:22, 78:4,	114:16	ample	announce
87:17, 89:3,	amended	87:13	326:16
91:21, 94:8,	8:13, 114:24,	analysis	announced
96:13, 97:1,		7:11, 61:19,	44:11, 323:18
98:10, 100:23,		72:11, 94:18,	announcing
110:25, 119:20,	169:7, 174:10,	99:25, 100:14,	29:13
121:14, 131:13,			
132:9, 133:9,	290:21, 298:23,	102:10, 109:13,	annual
143:18, 145:8,			221:15
148:21, 152:7,		155:10, 159:15,	another
153:8, 153:21,	299:15, 299:16,	161:5, 177:20,	120:6, 120:24,
155:13, 157:9,	299:17, 300:5,	179:13, 182:2,	121:5, 123:8,
158:19, 159:25,	300:13	186:12, 187:10,	123:19, 133:24,
	amendment	189:6, 189:9,	142:2, 145:15,
173:1, 174:25,	7:6, 8:4, 8:14,	193:15, 198:13,	154:1, 179:4,
180:20, 190:13,	8:15, 84:15,		189:14, 195:13,
196:3, 198:20,	84:24, 85:3,		204:23, 226:21,
201:12, 202:7,	85:17, 86:8,		236:25, 237:5,
204:5, 206:22,	88:1, 88:15,		241:7, 265:3,
209:11, 230:12,	93:13, 98:4,	229:21, 236:20,	265:4, 265:24,
232:8, 235:8,	106:13, 107:8,	239:8, 241:2,	268:12, 268:21,
235:22, 238:12,	107:16, 116:13,	246:18, 250:10,	294:9, 331:20
239:12, 241:6,	207:12, 209:2,	251:5, 258:23,	answer
247:13, 249:11,	244:8, 244:9,	261:23, 261:25,	136:23, 213:19,
272:3, 272:11,	247:2, 297:2,	309:17	222:22, 262:13,
278:17, 278:22,	300:6, 300:7,	analyze	264:18, 289:23,
289:21, 293:4,	300:8	95:1, 211:14,	328:8
296:15, 299:18,	amendments	227:17, 228:5,	answered
301:18, 304:23,	9:12, 173:8,		260:18, 261:4,
305:9, 306:7,	194:10, 201:13	306:3	262:1, 264:15,
311:21, 313:17,	amenities	analyzed	265:3
314:16, 317:1,	176:4, 176:24,	94:22, 100:7,	anticipate
317:3, 323:11,	191:12, 245:3,	143:21, 189:3,	10:14, 180:13,
323:25, 327:16,	302:12, 303:5,	221:14, 230:12,	291:25
332:2	317:23	306:15	anticipated
alternative	amenity	analyzing	322:10
111:23	122:12, 246:15	62:4	any
although	american	anderson	9:20, 9:24,
86:22, 110:13,	105:6	1:25, 4:4,	
129:19, 132:4,	among	29:11, 29:12,	11:11, 11:13,
238:2, 240:15,	8:23, 60:17,	29:16, 29:17,	11:17, 12:2,
	0.23, 00.17,	20.10, 20.11,	14:7, 19:18,

```
14:14, 14:18,
                                          197:2, 202:3,
35:10, 36:5,
                                                               83:24, 93:5,
37:3, 37:15,
                     15:9, 18:18,
                                          210:12
                                                               98:10, 100:24,
37:18, 37:19,
                     23:5, 26:7,
                                                               101:20, 101:25,
                                          applicable
39:8, 42:12,
                     32:15, 32:17,
                                                               102:18, 110:4,
                                          88:12, 159:10,
45:4, 45:9,
                     33:19, 35:5,
                                          179:15, 179:16,
                                                               110:20, 116:14,
45:24, 46:6,
                     37:18, 43:12,
                                          191:6, 191:10,
                                                               134:9, 138:20,
                     45:4, 79:4,
47:15, 48:12,
                                          191:25, 192:1,
                                                               139:6, 149:19,
48:16, 49:22,
                                                               201:15, 208:6,
                     141:7, 146:1,
                                          197:7, 226:23,
52:13, 62:14,
                     185:6, 288:4,
                                          229:8, 243:23,
                                                               220:12, 255:1,
                                                               311:5, 314:1
65:22, 65:25,
                     321:13
                                          243:25, 244:18,
66:6, 66:12,
                     anything
                                                               applicants
                                          244:19, 245:12,
66:14, 70:6,
                     10:9, 23:11,
                                          251:16, 306:2,
                                                               42:20, 47:24,
70:15, 70:17,
                                                               72:23, 83:22,
                     35:10, 45:10,
                                          306:3
71:2, 72:10,
                     119:11, 129:2,
                                          applicant
                                                               102:5, 102:12
73:16, 74:1,
                                                               application
                     148:14, 251:23,
                                          3:3, 8:4, 8:14,
81:19, 82:18,
                     259:12, 273:23,
                                          9:3, 10:21,
                                                               8:3, 8:11,
95:17, 97:23,
                     284:10, 295:15,
                                                               8:13, 8:21,
                                          10:25, 11:3,
100:2, 100:13,
                     332:20
                                                               36:23, 43:4,
                                          35:23, 35:24,
109:18, 109:21,
                     anyway
                                          36:1, 37:14,
                                                               62:4, 62:11,
110:24, 116:8,
                     25:22, 187:21
                                          42:17, 43:1,
                                                               70:1, 84:14,
119:22, 132:18,
                                          43:19, 43:21,
                                                               86:25, 88:20,
                     anywhere
137:17, 149:1,
                                          46:4, 49:10,
                     303:17, 303:21
                                                               93:15, 93:21,
156:18, 160:2,
                                          52:7, 52:13,
                                                               98:3, 98:7,
                     apartment
168:20, 169:13,
                                          55:2, 56:23,
                                                               102:4, 102:13,
                     99:5, 203:13
170:14, 172:23,
                     apologies
                                          63:21, 67:11,
                                                               106:18, 106:20,
180:23, 198:8,
                                          70:3, 70:22,
                                                               107:7, 112:1,
                     30:18
198:10, 198:12,
                                          77:19, 79:24,
                                                               117:18, 168:21,
                     apologize
199:2, 205:8,
                                          80:2, 82:22,
                                                               170:22, 177:2,
                     275:1
213:19, 213:23,
                                          84:4, 84:8,
                                                               178:2, 209:3,
                     appeals
216:10, 231:12,
                                          85:9, 91:12,
                                                               217:1, 227:25,
                     44:13
232:2, 232:9,
                                          92:12, 95:7,
                                                               233:1, 305:21,
                     appear
236:7, 237:6,
                                          96:8, 96:23,
                                                               331:9
                     35:5, 77:24,
239:8, 246:24,
                                          97:8, 97:20,
                                                               applications
                     78:6, 78:16,
262:8, 262:9,
                     78:22, 79:17, 79:25, 255:21,
                                          98:14, 99:15,
                                                               62:12, 62:15,
265:14, 279:20,
                                          99:16, 99:20,
                                                               67:21, 85:8,
282:7, 284:3,
                                          100:14, 101:1,
                                                               218:6, 220:3
                     269:9, 324:12
289:5, 295:2,
                                          101:15, 101:17,
                                                               applied
                     appearing
295:20, 300:3,
                                          104:10, 115:17,
                                                               249:19
                     4:3, 23:10,
300:9, 305:3,
                                          185:11, 185:12,
                                                               applies
                     64:2, 65:1,
307:13, 311:15,
                                          185:14, 188:13,
                                                               217:22, 236:13
                     65:3, 101:23
313:20, 314:15,
                                          207:14, 237:18,
                                                               apply
                     appears
315:3, 324:4,
                                          297:9, 311:8,
                                                               64:18, 213:21,
                     57:18, 256:10
328:2, 331:16,
                                          329:11, 329:16,
                                                               233:5, 233:16,
                     appendices
                                          330:4, 330:6
334:9, 335:9
                                                               234:1, 235:1,
                     298:18
anymore
                                          applicant's
                                                               235:8, 235:17,
                     apple
77:14, 234:15
                                          6:7, 7:3, 48:8,
                                                               244:6, 306:5
                     30:8
anyone
                                          54:4, 55:4,
                                                               applying
                     applicability
11:18, 14:7,
                                          56:7, 56:12,
                                                               249:19
                     106:24, 194:7,
```

appreciate	archers	246:7, 248:23,	152:22, 153:6,
	297:12	249:7, 266:4,	154:14, 163:2,
94:7	architect	266:16, 266:18,	163:22, 166:19,
appropriate	84:10, 89:3,	273:23, 278:23,	176:14, 178:3,
	104:16, 104:19,	280:5, 301:4	203:7, 238:20,
	104:20, 105:5,	areas	279:8, 305:18
	157:13	57:20, 57:23,	arrows
	architectural	68:10, 73:3,	254 : 13
	198:17, 281:21,	109:18, 122:11,	article
	281:22	131:3, 140:4,	9:12, 100:25
	architecturally	162:6, 162:8,	articulate
	144:25	162:15, 163:2,	145:1, 191:18
201:10, 203:6,	architecture	167:13, 170:3,	articulated
204:24, 215:9,	47:7, 59:2,	172:14, 172:23,	100:18
	105:4, 105:15,	172:24, 174:22,	articulation
	107:12, 211:16,	175:8, 175:16,	147:13, 147:24
248:3, 250:14,	242:12, 281:9,	177:4, 177:9,	ascribing
	281:12, 310:7,	177:11, 199:11,	47:25 , 65:19
	310:18, 312:25	201:6, 202:4,	aside
57:3, 90:11,	area	202:5, 220:6,	35:14, 45:5,
108:7	62:19, 73:16,	228:18, 228:24,	45:7, 186:16,
	87:16, 90:25,	231:14, 239:6,	187:7, 193:10,
36:22, 84:15,	97:17, 97:24,	239:24, 241:22,	238:6, 239:23,
85:3, 88:21,	107:17, 112:23,	242:3, 242:4,	258:11
102:3, 144:13,	117:22, 119:4,	247:9, 256:6,	asked
244:3, 244:8,	120:18, 121:4,	265:23, 266:1,	35:20, 35:21,
246:24, 293:3,	123:7, 123:17,	266:8, 305:12,	45:22, 47:12,
298:4, 301:17	131:14, 139:17,	305:15, 314:14	50:1, 54:11,
approve	139:22, 144:18,	aren't	54:18, 182:15,
	144:19, 146:25,	260:14	202:21, 203:11,
	156:16, 160:2,	argue	212:6, 217:11,
	160:19, 163:7,	55:18, 55:20,	253:9, 262:4,
The state of the s	163:8, 164:8,	59:5, 81:8,	264:20, 265:4,
The state of the s	164:17, 167:20,	99:17	278:24, 279:17,
	168:25, 169:3,	argued	280:7, 308:18,
	169:7, 169:15,	37:9, 56:3,	313:7
The state of the s	169:21, 170:13,	99:16	asking
•	172:25, 173:5,	argument	9:19, 10:17,
	175:9, 177:18,	9:24, 9:25,	10:18, 70:9,
	177:22, 177:24,	56:16, 79:7	262:12, 285:8,
	179:14, 194:14,	arguments	294:7
	196:4, 211:3,	74:16, 80:18,	aspects
	211:13, 211:23,	80:19, 96:10	76:18, 155:9,
,	217:24, 221:25,	around	155:13, 186:9
	228:13, 237:5,	45:17, 122:23,	assemblage
· · · · /	238:1, 238:3,	125:19, 130:16,	6:4, 8:5, 8:15,
- ±	239:1, 239:5,	134:23, 146:10,	83:25, 84:4,
9:4	239:18, 240:6,	150:11, 152:5,	84:6, 126:25,

	I	,	
311:25	attorney	avenue	234:20, 291:3
assert	37:14, 56:7,	3:7, 9:2, 29:7,	ayana
269:17	56:12, 79:25,	39:22, 69:18,	4:22, 18:23,
assess	80:14, 83:8,	84:17, 87:4,	21:24, 21:25,
71:1, 218:10	83:14	109:5, 117:23,	22:2, 22:3,
assessing	attorneys	118:5, 118:10,	22:4, 22:13
219:7	80:14, 81:24	119:23, 121:24,	В
assessment	attractive	132:15, 132:19,	b
301:12	162:10, 162:19	132:21, 133:7,	7:6, 16:18,
assist	attractiveness	133:11, 145:22,	114:3, 114:4,
58:10, 178:16	176:21	148:5, 150:21,	116:14, 117:6,
assistant	attributes	154:3, 162:15,	117:8, 156:8,
76:1	273:22	162:21, 168:2,	156:10, 275:10,
associated	attribution	168:7, 180:11,	275:12, 276:1,
94:3	46:6	181:4, 195:7,	276:2
association	atypical	212:24, 214:10,	bachelor's
5:16, 11:7,	169:17	214:13, 214:24,	105:2
40:5, 60:22,	audible	215:7, 216:5,	back
89:18, 297:13	307:16	216:6, 219:12,	21:22, 39:9,
associations	augmentation	219:20, 220:22,	40:17, 55:15,
61:4	248:21	223:4, 223:11,	109:5, 110:19,
assume	august	223:18, 226:12,	113:3, 120:8,
19:15, 46:8,	78:15	226:18, 235:15, 240:16, 246:9,	122:20, 130:1,
258:19, 260:3,	austin	250:14, 254:23,	130:13, 142:22,
280:13, 307:21	4:16, 16:6,	255:19, 256:8,	147:14, 156:4,
assuming	16:10, 27:19	256:14, 256:25,	185:13, 201:14,
43:19, 271:24	author	259:5, 288:16,	206:18, 208:12,
assure	46:5, 46:19,	294:10, 294:19,	220:11, 225:21,
80:2, 155:16	48:1, 183:25,	295:13, 296:8,	252:18, 258:11,
assures	186:22	296:12, 308:6,	269:4, 290:20,
321:17	authority	329:1	290:21, 297:10,
atlas	37:2, 66:2,	average	298:5, 308:4,
49:2	188:25, 295:20	193:18, 212:3,	308:13, 310:14
attach	authors	242:13, 292:2,	back-and-forth
171:10	48:1	292:3	233:20
attached	automated	avoid	background
311:25	258:24	253:4	66:15, 104:24
attempt	availability	aware	backup
58:3, 66:6	72:12, 73:15,	41:23, 62:24,	72:6
attempting	133:7, 326:20	80:3, 84:13,	<pre>backyard 26:3</pre>
77:19, 98:17,	available	85:1, 260:14,	backyards
98:20	44:15, 49:1,	262:22, 263:9,	122:18, 236:9
attending	49:12, 50:10, 51:14, 51:16,	266:16, 270:3,	baden-aquasco
78:22	63:5, 73:6,	270:21, 273:13	297:13
attention	115:12, 213:13,	away	baked
87:1, 267:22,	229:25, 242:14	112:6, 223:24,	195:19
274:19, 285:16	ave	226:17, 231:21,	1 1 9 3 1 1 9
attenuation	2:9, 3:22		
158:19	2.9, J.ZZ		
	1		

		<u> </u>	
balance	basic	227:2, 227:19,	310:18
191:23, 239:14,	195:11	229:19, 235:5,	before
328:21	basically	235:15, 236:2,	1:13, 2:15,
balanced	150:24, 152:9,	258:5, 264:10,	20:18, 21:22,
101:12, 101:19	177:25, 211:24,	266:8, 278:17,	23:2, 26:8,
balconies	239:16	282:10, 288:5,	37:10, 37:12,
147:14	basis	296:8, 300:19,	37:20, 42:17,
ballpark	57:8, 70:7,	301:19, 306:4,	44:23, 46:1,
212:3	103:15	306:15, 306:24,	54:15, 57:25,
bands	bay	317:15, 325:9,	64:11, 75:24,
242:7	212:2	325:20, 326:22,	77:22, 100:23,
bar	beall's	330:3, 331:22	100:24, 103:4,
58:11	8:25	become	105:25, 106:5,
barely	bearing	45:23, 96:22,	106:13, 113:5,
288:4	9:4, 41:12,	159:23, 175:18,	113:6, 116:6,
bartley	168:20, 170:14	300:22	120:7, 127:10,
93:24, 96:13	beat	becomes	133:10, 140:10,
base	102:12	176:18, 298:3	182:22, 183:19,
153:9, 194:25,	beaumont	bedrooms	185:4, 185:12,
211:2, 212:13	109:1	281:6	185:19, 216:16,
baseball	beauty	been	226:5, 229:16,
259:8, 259:16,	96:19	44:11, 44:25,	234:11, 247:13,
262:5	because	45:9, 50:10,	252:9, 265:3,
based	23:8, 31:12,	51:13, 54:15,	324:24, 325:22,
36:23, 60:9,	34:2, 35:5,	69:1, 69:5,	326:23, 331:4,
61:18, 62:6,	43:2, 44:5,	69:11, 69:20,	332:20, 334:3
70:10, 70:14,	44:10, 47:4,	69:22, 69:24,	beginning
70:23, 78:14,	52:19, 70:17,	70:23, 70:24,	110:1, 209:15,
94:20, 95:13,	70:18, 71:5,	90:18, 96:1,	322:17, 324:10
95:14, 97:10,	73:14, 73:24,	97:18, 100:7,	begun
98:12, 110:21,	75:16, 76:11,	101:20, 102:1,	241:1, 241:9
112:4, 112:8,	80:11, 80:14,	,	behalf
153:5, 159:7,	82:25, 83:8,	104:11, 104:18,	3:3, 3:10,
174:2, 181:12,	83:13, 89:25,	104:20, 115:12,	11:3, 11:6,
190:12, 193:16,	93:15, 95:12,		14:25, 16:25,
220:1, 221:14,	109:14, 114:14,	133:4, 133:10,	74:10, 84:6,
221:24, 222:2,	114:16, 116:19,	142:10, 154:12,	84:8, 89:17,
232:16, 242:12,	121:12, 121:14,	166:9, 169:17,	171:21
248:4, 254:17,	125:14, 136:10,	174:11, 174:21,	behind
258:13, 263:3,	137:25, 140:6,	174:24, 194:17,	27:13, 130:1,
263:7, 277:1,	141:12, 142:14,	194:18, 201:7,	153:24, 154:19
285:11, 291:25,	152:3, 156:12,	202:25, 204:20,	being
292:3, 301:7,	157:10, 158:22,	209:14, 215:22,	34:19, 49:23,
303:22, 309:18,	162:16, 166:1,	218:15, 222:23,	50:8, 57:15,
314:20, 317:10,	169:1, 176:17,		64:8, 64:24,
324:20, 331:17	180:12, 187:21,	241:17, 252:13, 253:9, 275:5,	76:8, 80:10,
basement	200:8, 206:15,	275:7, 301:14,	82:14, 127:19,
152 : 15	211:22, 212:5,	2/J./, JUI:14,	196:12, 210:14,

```
216:23, 223:17,
                                          331:25
                     benefit
                                                               285:12, 295:8,
228:6, 235:21,
                                          bicycle
                                                               296:8, 311:19,
                     113:7, 140:7,
263:19, 284:12,
                     158:5, 288:1
                                                               311:24, 312:21,
                                          133:19, 163:10,
                                                               327:5, 327:16
300:5, 300:12,
                     benefits
                                          180:20, 180:22,
303:19, 315:20,
                     157:12, 243:12,
                                          191:14, 214:8,
                                                               biodiversity
316:12, 323:23
                                          214:14, 217:4,
                     243:15
                                                               193:20
belief
                                          241:19, 246:10,
                     besides
                                                               biology
101:11, 334:7
                                          255:5, 309:6
                     186:8
                                                               105:3
believe
                                          bicyclist
                                                               birds
                     best
12:12, 24:6,
                                          135:4, 135:16,
                     34:9, 34:11,
                                                               158:4
24:22, 24:24,
                                          135:17, 168:8,
                                                               bit
                     43:8, 44:7,
25:21, 30:19,
                                          223:8, 245:6
                     185:10, 258:14,
                                                               9:18, 75:2,
30:25, 33:5,
                                          bicyclist-friend-
                     295:10, 334:6,
                                                               75:14, 108:3,
37:24, 38:5,
                     335:3
                                          ly
                                                               130:6, 144:10,
40:13, 41:8,
                     bethesda
                                          223:20
                                                               145:14, 148:8,
41:14, 42:20,
                     3:8
                                          biq
                                                               157:1, 227:2,
43:24, 45:13,
                                                               236:1, 314:17,
                     better
                                          115:4, 140:22,
48:24, 51:22,
                                                               322:24, 324:22
                                          327:22
                     92:22, 164:22,
51:25, 79:11,
                     178:13, 275:8,
                                          bigger
                                                               bite
79:16, 80:24,
                     315:12
                                                               182:21
                                          169:2, 278:3
81:6, 101:12,
                     between
                                                               black
                                          bike
112:3, 129:24,
                                                               136:24, 141:1,
                     19:10, 71:23,
                                          132:20, 134:16,
137:25, 140:16,
                     72:2, 115:5,
                                          223:10, 256:3,
                                                               267:23
155:12, 159:13,
                     118:9, 118:21,
                                          256:5, 256:7,
                                                               bless
207:22, 244:20,
                                          256:9, 256:22,
                     121:9, 144:13,
                                                               225:18
244:22, 250:11,
                                                               block
                     145:12, 151:18,
                                          258:1, 308:5,
250:21, 251:8,
                     152:25, 162:2,
                                          308:7, 308:20,
                                                               122:25
255:21, 257:17,
                     168:3, 173:7,
                                          308:21, 308:23
                                                               blue
259:6, 260:10,
                     194:9, 194:14,
                                          bikeway
                                                               135:9, 135:11,
263:18, 264:20,
                                          255:12, 256:17,
                     197:1, 197:21,
                                                               135:14, 135:15,
271:16, 275:14,
                     199:5, 236:10,
                                          258:3, 258:18,
                                                               174:23, 242:4,
292:10, 293:4,
                     239:14, 249:3,
                                          258:19, 259:1,
                                                               256:13, 256:15,
294:4, 294:10,
                     257:11, 276:18,
                                          259:4
                                                               283:17
296:25, 298:10,
                     277:3, 277:16,
                                          bikeway's
                                                               board
305:2, 307:7,
                     277:23, 278:4,
                                          256:11
                                                               13:1, 14:1,
332:19
                     278:6, 278:8,
                                          binding
                                                               44:12, 69:23,
believes
                     278:13, 311:17
                                          50:20, 115:2,
                                                               77:22, 86:4,
207:15
                     beyond
                                                               86:15, 88:19,
                                          115:8, 115:12,
below
                     62:22, 63:8,
                                                               92:15, 93:25,
                                          115:16, 115:17,
152:6, 152:24,
                     74:2, 82:20,
                                                               113:6, 115:10,
                                          115:21, 126:23,
154:6, 217:2,
                     85:10, 90:10,
                                          127:4, 178:24,
                                                               115:15, 115:19,
222:5, 228:22,
                     170:5, 177:8,
                                          195:4, 195:22,
                                                               116:22, 127:3,
257:5, 268:22
                     212:9, 235:24,
                                          198:21, 203:21,
                                                               159:16, 166:21,
benches
                     248:24, 249:4,
                                          212:8, 215:5,
                                                               166:22, 172:11,
228:18, 266:4,
                     257:23, 258:6,
                                          217:9, 219:24,
                                                               174:15, 181:21,
266:6, 266:8,
                     273:2, 287:1,
                                          220:3, 236:17,
                                                               197:10, 198:11,
266:9
                     321:18, 322:8,
                                          272:18, 273:3,
                                                               208:20, 213:9,
beneficial
                     322:22, 322:25,
                                          284:4, 284:6,
                                                               232:13, 239:13,
158:2, 158:8
```

	Colladotta oli i to	*	
243:17, 247:1,	294:23	163:8	broader
248:15, 249:10,	bottom	break	172:20
250:22, 251:21,	119:24, 128:18,	118:22, 182:14,	broadly
297:19, 298:5,	132:15, 145:23,		57:19 , 70:22 ,
298:8, 298:9,	147:5, 150:7,	183:8, 183:10,	71:7, 246:19
300:21, 301:8,	216:3, 220:22,	183:14, 183:17,	broken
301:15, 324:21,	255:9, 267:22	183:19, 185:12,	118:17
328:15, 331:5,	boulevard		brought
331:11, 332:6	105:10, 181:4,	252:7, 253:14,	147:25, 197:10
board's	212:25, 215:3,	286:10, 325:8	brt
92:22, 115:1,	216:7	breakdown	60:14, 69:18,
174:9, 230:4,	boundaries	221:23	135:6, 163:15,
230:18, 244:23,	92:17, 108:18,	breaking	163:16, 164:22,
245:22, 251:1,	109:11, 112:4,	119:25, 125:10,	166:5, 166:9,
301:3	112:7, 112:13,	322:15	175:12, 304:6,
bodey	113:11, 115:22,	breaks	304:8
84:7			buddhist
body		bregman	141:13, 147:6
57:25, 80:16,	274:4, 287:8		buffer
100:8, 100:12,	boundary	'	132:25, 136:1,
100:24, 103:4,	7:9, 108:20,		136:8, 136:12,
106:5	108:23, 110:4,	56:21, 84:3	231:13, 278:6,
boerum	110:21, 111:15,	brian	279:3
4:5, 16:15,	111:16, 111:23,	84:7	buffering
16:18, 16:22,	112:1, 113:5,	brief	129:15
26:10, 26:11,	113:8, 116:4,	55:17, 104:7,	build
26:13	117:2, 133:18,	245:24	153:16, 236:3
bold	136:24, 138:19,	briefer	builder
216:16	141:7, 159:3,	244:25	198:15
bonifant	169:12, 169:15,	briefest	building
256:14	170:19, 204:12,	247:24	2:8, 3:21,
both	221:10, 263:3,	briefly	121:11, 122:15,
10:12, 34:16,	265:10, 265:15,	_	130:8, 141:12,
35:23, 57:21,	275:15, 275:17,	briggs	141:14, 144:5,
58:1, 67:15,	276:19, 277:3,	69:20	144:6, 144:16,
74:17, 79:25,	277:6, 277:16,	bright	144:19, 144:21,
83:18, 83:20,	2//:23, 2//:24,	240:10	145:1, 145:2,
85:16, 87:14,	290:10, 305:6,	bring	145:18, 147:8,
113:12, 115:11,	305:7, 305:21,	95:12, 271:13	147:13, 151:7,
120:14, 123:14,	305:22, 306:16	broad	151:15, 151:19,
132:20, 133:2,	bounded	46:7, 94:14,	151:24, 152:24,
181:8, 184:15,	90:23	99:14, 109:14,	152:25, 153:3,
192:25, 212:20,	boy	132:10, 199:25,	153:6, 153:17,
214:14, 216:5,	16:18, 28:1	200:7, 200:10,	154:14, 155:4,
216:12, 217:6,	brackets	273:11, 302:22,	184:9, 191:17,
223:11, 227:16,	298:2	302:24, 303:1	193:5, 194:24,
227:17, 229:24,	branch	broadened	195:3, 198:18,
250:25, 290:10,	108:24, 154:11,	71:3	198:20, 200:23,
		, ±• • •	,
	1		

	Conducted on 1 to	,	
203:11, 204:9,	bulleted	72:17, 73:21	can't
211:17, 211:18,	228:21	cacs	128:20, 141:7,
211:19, 235:18,	bullets	60:15	151:8, 162:25,
238:17, 239:17,	229:5, 245:25,	caf	212:9, 217:9,
239:19, 240:12,	247:24	182:19	218:10, 255:3,
240:14, 245:8,	burden	calculate	261:12, 289:16,
249:2, 250:19,	96:24, 101:1,	240:11, 240:19	312:25, 313:2
250:20, 277:4,	101:2, 101:5,	calculated	candace
277:6, 277:7,	101:14, 102:13	238:4, 239:16	4:4, 29:11,
277:18, 277:22,	burdens	calculates	29:15
278:14, 283:24,	100:24, 101:3,	262:23, 264:8	canning
284:3, 286:9,	101:20, 101:25,	The state of the s	4:6, 15:23,
286:13, 286:14,	101:20, 101:23,	calculating	15:24, 16:2,
286:18, 286:25,	bus	240:21	16:5, 23:24,
291:11, 303:6,		calculation	
311:13, 312:1,	135:6, 164:23,	211:13, 240:8,	24:20, 24:22, 24:23, 25:2,
312:4, 313:1,	167:17, 175:6,	314:22, 331:17	
319:10, 319:14,	257:7, 257:15,	calculations	25:3, 25:6,
327:18, 329:3	257:20, 257:23,	49:5, 228:20,	25:9, 25:12
buildings	304:18, 317:5,	228:21, 229:23,	cannon
90:23, 92:3,	317:7	316:2	268:13
118:9, 119:3,	buses	calendars	cannot
122:20, 127:2,	163:17	326:21	34:6, 66:17,
130:12, 140:3,	business	call	98:11, 100:12,
	175:4	20:14, 64:9,	102:12, 124:24,
144:1, 147:12, 147:23, 150:19,	button	64:13, 66:6,	326:4
152:1, 154:18,	39:12	82:11, 82:13,	canopy
	byrne	89:1, 102:23,	7:13, 157:25,
154:25, 155:1, 197:24, 198:2,	64:17, 78:20	118:11, 122:14,	193:14, 193:15,
199:6, 235:24,	byrne's	145:4, 149:12,	193:18, 292:2,
242:5, 278:4,	79:10	158:2, 162:16,	313:6, 313:7,
281:19, 281:24,	С	177:21, 211:18,	313:15, 313:23,
285:9	c	220:17, 239:18,	313:25, 314:17,
built	8:18, 84:19	259:14, 313:24	314:22, 314:25,
	c(2) (b	called	315:5, 315:21,
96:7, 97:12,	212:10	70:24, 82:14,	316:1, 330:14
98:23, 118:1,	c-0	104:10, 147:7,	cap
129:9, 133:4,	113:18	177:16, 276:17,	211:10
134:17, 156:15,	c-a-n-d	294:10, 294:11	capabilities
157:19, 181:10,	29:17	calling	226:14
192:19, 197:16,	c-a-n-n-i-n-g	78:8, 89:10	capacity
202:18, 218:3,	1	cambodian	64:2, 73:14,
223:17, 272:12,	15:24, 16:2	147:6, 292:18	82:16, 221:2,
283:19, 290:19,	c-h-o-i	came	221:20, 222:3,
291:20	33:15	53:15, 114:14,	247:7
bulk	c-h-r-i-s-t-i-n-a	182:15, 209:20	capital
310:15	15:19	camera	105:17, 172:4,
bullet	cac	14:19, 34:7,	181:19, 232:12
245:1	60:25, 69:15,	185:8, 185:9	caption
			149:17

	Conducted on No	<u> </u>	
capture	325:11, 326:8,	center	322:23
23:8	331:15, 334:10,	132:22, 135:5,	certainly
captured	335:9	139:23, 145:13,	17:11, 63:2,
22:8	case-by-case	147:6, 148:7,	76:10, 114:16,
care	70:14	153:23, 154:1,	225:17, 229:17,
147:12, 315:11	cases	165:10, 165:12,	234:22, 285:1,
career	10:12, 67:22,	165:20, 166:6,	290:4, 326:8
57:24, 58:19	99:24, 100:3,	166:20, 176:18,	certificate
carefully	200:20, 217:23,	178:3, 191:21,	334:1, 335:1
80:19	225:5, 236:4,	193:8, 200:25,	certifications
carpools	236:5, 239:24,	203:8, 223:14,	58:16
164:24	240:3, 240:4,	224:19, 224:20,	certified
carriers	241:24	225:20, 226:16,	105:7, 112:20,
76:1	casual	245:10, 257:11,	148:16, 153:20
carry	59:13	257:12, 258:9,	certify
58:4	cat	269:18, 269:19,	334:4, 335:2
casa	18:14	269:22, 269:23,	cet
226:17, 269:19,	catching	270:5, 270:6,	1:25, 335:15
270:1, 270:23	169:25	270:23, 290:2,	challenging
case	categories	290:17, 292:12,	258:13
1:6, 8:4, 8:12,	64:22, 78:5,	293:17, 308:24,	chamber
9:8, 10:13,	78:17, 79:14,	309:4, 309:8	4:18, 14:25
35:6, 36:2,	79:15, 79:17,	centered	chance
36:4, 36:17,	217:2, 222:17,	178:5	324:2
37:20, 42:10,	229:11, 265:23	centerline	chaney
42:18, 42:21,	categorization	286:3	69:21
43:2, 43:20,	79:9	centers	change
48:8, 52:21,	category	91:23, 99:7,	8:16, 50:22,
53:24, 53:25,	79:1, 98:8,	161:17, 161:19,	94:8, 96:1,
67:7, 70:5,	100:22, 199:14,	162:5, 162:9,	115:20, 115:21,
70:12, 70:17,	212:18, 213:4,	163:23, 175:4,	116:2, 159:22,
73:3, 83:16,	213:11, 213:14,	175:22, 176:14, 177:12, 177:15,	169:22, 172:22,
83:23, 84:4,	213:21, 220:8,	177:16, 200:19,	173:7, 244:6,
86:3, 87:22,	220:9, 221:10,	203:2	280:13, 280:14,
89:10, 93:6,	222:9, 222:14,	central	305:6, 305:11,
98:2, 102:9,	228:25, 232:14,	131:16, 279:12	305:12, 328:5,
102:19, 144:14,	232:16, 266:13 catty-cornered	centralized	329:20, 331:22
159:25, 172:10,	26:14	165:15	changed
180:22, 185:14,	caused	century	123:11, 128:9,
190:3, 200:11, 219:22, 224:24,	198:9	105:10	144:12, 188:4,
226:6, 226:22,	caveat	cer	239:3, 241:25,
233:14, 234:5,	272:11, 323:19	1:24	248:20, 249:5,
234:13, 235:21,	cbd	certain	272:17, 305:5 changer
236:1, 236:7,	90:25	91:17, 92:17,	168:1
238:5, 270:13,	ceases	92:18, 199:19,	changes
297:1, 297:7,	258:4	207:23, 273:21,	50:21, 94:13,
307:14, 322:25,	cell	305:15, 315:20,	94:14, 94:16,
	9:5	, , , , , ,) Ja. 14, Ja. 10,
		<u> </u>	l .

95:6, 109:21,	102:19, 185:15,	cites	179:24, 180:1,
111:17, 169:24,	190:3, 270:13,	67:25, 99:21	180:4, 180:16,
173:5, 194:17,	307:14, 325:11	citizen	180:17
239:11, 297:24,	childcare	59:18, 60:23,	classified
305:3	269:18, 270:5,	70:6	65:12, 212:24,
changing	270:23	citizens	216:7
164:6, 172:14,	children	5:15, 11:7,	classifies
172:15, 194:8,	228:25	40:5, 60:10,	270:4, 270:22
278:19	chime	60:21, 61:16,	classify
character	79:4, 79:20	69:23, 89:18,	57:19
127:15, 127:18,	choi	93:22, 94:2,	clause
136:20, 139:24,	4:7, 33:8,	94:11, 95:10,	200:12
141:19, 147:19,	33:9, 33:12,	297:13	clauses
155:10, 164:18,	33:15	civic	199:24, 200:7
167:4, 197:15,	choices	59:23, 61:11,	clear
198:19, 199:7,	129:9	76 : 6	13:23, 14:2,
249:22	choose	civil	18:1, 31:9,
characteristics	78:11	47:8, 59:1,	37:18, 46:11,
90:20, 281:12	chooses	84:10, 89:4,	63:17, 68:17,
characterization	83:6	107:14, 155:12,	73:8, 82:7,
301:13	chose	156:25, 186:16,	82:10, 91:16,
charts	82:4, 95:13,	187:5, 188:21,	97:1, 98:9,
262:21	115:15, 288:18,	189:4	100:5, 100:17,
chase	288:19, 288:22	clarification	103:10, 103:20,
171:15	chris	46:2, 82:24,	103:22, 113:4,
chat	16:25	207:18, 209:1,	154:15, 169:5,
35:2	christina	213:18, 215:16,	178:7, 185:23,
check	4:10, 15:14,	264:9	186:6, 186:14,
8:6, 8:8,	15:18	clarifications	208:18, 209:21,
124:20, 182:10,	chrome	190:1	266:3, 291:4,
282:19, 306:23,	34:11	clarifies	310:17, 314:20,
321:12, 326:15	cip	60:25	327:4
checking	166:4	clarify	cleared
184:20, 307:2	circle	12:22, 13:4,	291:10
checks	140:23, 290:3	13:19, 20:7,	clearer
307:12	circles	40:11, 71:20,	227:22
cherry	140:22, 287:18,	110:17, 170:18,	clearing
157:17	288:11, 289:20,	186:13, 218:13,	291:17
chevy	290:10	235:10, 311:6,	clearly
171:14	circulation	315:16, 316:4	10:4, 65:15,
chief	7:5, 132:12,	clarifying	76:2, 124:24
10:13, 36:2,	167:14, 192:17,	209:3	clerk
36:17, 42:18,	192:24, 254:5,	clarity	146:20 clich
42:21, 43:2,	267:16	148:24, 188:8, 188:13, 208:17	
48:8, 53:24,	circumstances	classification	167:25
67:7, 83:17,	159:21, 199:2		click
83:23, 89:10,	cited	8:17, 113:1, 113:15, 113:16,	256:12 clients
93:6, 98:2,	86:3, 100:13	110.10, 110.10,	91:13
			ΣΙ.Ι Ο

close	150:22, 165:13,	154:4, 162:8,	255:7, 290:2,
114:14, 208:22,	166:6, 166:8,	166:21, 208:19,	290:17, 293:15,
332:20	166:20, 167:10,	253:8, 318:14,	293:17, 308:24,
closed	176:18, 178:3,	331:11	309:7, 321:7
269:8, 333:2	191:21, 226:15,	comes	commercial-resid-
closely	227:10, 227:12,	134:20, 152:12,	ential
155:14	228:5, 230:2,	329:15	84:18
closer	230:10, 245:10,	comfort	commission
41:22, 279:23,	257:6, 257:7,	183:8	49:3, 105:18,
279:24, 280:22	257:11, 257:12,	comfortable	172:5, 181:20,
closes	259:9, 259:17,	43:5, 83:1,	232:12
9:17	259:22, 259:23,	83:5, 83:9,	commissioner
closest	260:1, 260:2,	111:14, 111:16,	93:24, 96:13
192:13, 247:16,	260:25, 261:6,	182:24, 183:3,	commissioners
320:10, 320:16	262:17, 262:19,	183:6, 236:14,	141:22
closing	269:8, 269:16,	332:8	commit
36:20, 78:10,	269:18, 269:22	coming	329:11
82:15	coleville	119:24, 170:1,	committee
clv	269:23, 270:4	320:3	60:24, 69:11,
72:10	collaboration	commendable	69:12, 69:22,
co-counsel	142:19	59:24, 75:10	73:21, 297:23
56:22, 84:5	collaborative	comment	committees
code	187:12	53:11	59:24, 60:4,
32:10, 90:6,	colleague	commented	60:11, 60:19,
93:1, 98:5,	84:5, 220:16,	301:17, 308:21	61:7, 61:15,
98:25, 129:6,	221:8	comments	67:17, 73:25,
129:9, 164:2,	colleague's	79:5, 92:22,	74:8
173:11, 198:5,	221:3	95:3	common
199:20, 220:2,	colleagues	commerce	120:19, 132:1,
232:24, 233:5,	106:22, 157:15	4:18, 15:1	132:5, 196:3,
233:21, 236:16,	collected	commercia	198:22, 238:6,
237:25, 238:8,	326:16	111:10	238:7, 239:7,
240:25, 242:21,	color	commercial	239:15, 239:23,
243:2, 243:5,	174:23, 242:1	87:19, 90:23,	239:25, 314:23
243:24, 244:1,	columbia	91:23, 99:13,	communicated
251:17, 264:10,	69:19	111:9, 148:3,	10:16
264:11, 264:16	combination	161:16, 161:19,	communicating
coding	87:24	162:5, 162:6,	10:8
242:1	combinations	162:9, 163:23,	communication
coincidentally	200:5	165:12, 167:12,	45:17, 76:1,
243:8	combined	168:10, 193:2,	78:21
colesville	129:13, 312:2	193:4, 196:1,	communications
5:15, 11:7,	combining	200:3, 200:25,	75:22
40:8, 60:21,	311:15	201:4, 202:6,	communities
89:17, 95:13,	come	202:14, 202:25, 211:6, 211:11,	90:21, 91:8,
96:2, 96:4,	39:16, 43:10,	212:11, 212:15,	91:22, 142:1,
96:22, 121:24, 142:1, 148:7,	43:14, 48:17,	212:21, 223:24,	161:1, 162:8,
144.1, 140:/,	86:24, 152:14,	212.21 22J.24,	165:4, 165:5,
	Ī		

```
165:8, 174:20,
                     246:1, 246:15,
                                          90:13, 92:1,
                                                               complies
175:21, 175:23,
                     248:16, 255:22,
                                          93:2, 97:13,
                                                               88:1, 88:3,
175:25, 176:2,
                     271:5, 271:7,
                                          130:16, 143:22,
                                                               107:3, 194:22,
                     303:9, 303:21,
176:11, 176:20,
                                          144:22, 145:10,
                                                               213:7
177:6, 178:17,
                     317:14, 318:19,
                                          149:8, 149:18,
                                                               compliment
179:6, 191:20,
                     323:12, 323:16,
                                          153:4, 191:15,
                                                               164:12
200:19, 203:2,
                     324:5, 325:7,
                                          192:6, 196:21,
                                                               comply
                                          196:25, 197:12,
301:23, 301:25,
                     325:10, 325:16,
                                                               195:15, 195:19,
303:7, 303:16,
                     325:22, 327:12
                                          198:1, 200:23,
                                                               210:25, 233:7,
303:22, 317:18,
                     community's
                                          203:23, 204:5,
                                                               233:19, 236:21,
317:21
                                          245:6, 248:5,
                     193:5
                                                               240:24
community
                     community-wide
                                          248:10, 248:19,
                                                               comports
                                          283:2, 283:8,
4:4, 4:5, 4:6,
                     274:2
                                                               61:5
                                          283:12, 284:12,
4:7, 4:8, 4:9,
                     comparable
                                                               comprehensive
                                          285:5, 285:7,
4:10, 4:11,
                     198:1
                                                               160:1, 160:4,
                                          293:8, 311:4
4:12, 4:13,
                     compared
                                                               160:5, 160:15,
4:14, 4:15,
                                          competency
                     101:9, 240:20
                                                               173:6, 173:8,
4:16, 4:19,
                                          59:16
                     comparing
                                                               190:21, 194:9,
4:21, 4:22,
                                          competition
                                                               194:14, 201:12,
                     193:17
4:23, 4:24, 5:2,
                                          165:21
                     comparison
                                                               247:25, 315:14
5:3, 5:4, 5:5,
                                          compiling
                     7:10, 125:12,
                                                               comprehensively
5:6, 5:7, 5:8,
                                          128:10
                     149:13, 149:15,
                                                               174:10
5:10, 5:11,
                                          complete
                     149:16
                                                               compress
5:12, 5:14,
                     comparisons
                                          91:22, 102:10,
                                                               50:1
12:12, 12:23,
                                          133:3, 161:1,
                     109:13
                                                               concentration
21:7, 28:20,
                                          165:5, 174:20,
                     compatibility
                                                               250:13
38:3, 38:5,
                                          175:23, 175:24,
                     60:3, 63:12,
                                                               concentric
42:1, 43:10,
                                          176:2, 177:6,
                     68:16, 87:2,
                                                               288:11
                                          177:11, 178:17,
43:18, 43:25,
                     87:21, 144:4,
                                                               concept
44:7, 60:13,
                                          179:5, 180:19,
                     147:2, 147:19,
                                                               92:16, 117:21,
60:16, 62:3,
                                          180:24, 181:6,
                     192:22, 192:23,
                                                               122:3, 160:12,
62:8, 73:24,
                                          191:20, 193:5,
                     195:18, 197:14,
                                                               175:25, 176:10,
74:6, 74:10,
                                          245:9, 301:23,
                     197:18, 198:4,
                                                               176:16, 177:4,
87:6, 90:15,
                     203:25, 233:4,
                                          301:24, 303:7,
                                                               178:9, 189:1,
95:3, 95:19,
                     233:8, 233:15,
                                          303:16, 303:20,
                                                               248:20, 292:1,
95:25, 96:3,
                                          303:22, 315:14,
                     233:17, 233:19,
                                                               293:7
96:7, 96:11,
                                          317:18, 317:20,
                     233:24, 233:25,
                                                               concepts
96:18, 96:20,
                     234:24, 234:25,
                                          322:6
                                                               117:4, 117:13,
97:4, 97:5,
                                          completed
                     235:8, 235:14,
                                                               193:6
97:14, 98:1,
                                          188:25, 189:7,
                     235:17, 236:15,
                                                               conceptual
99:12, 108:21,
                     249:8, 272:6,
                                          189:8, 189:17,
                                                               85:9, 156:5,
111:7, 139:23,
                                          223:12
                     280:6, 281:11,
                                                               193:16, 275:2,
145:20, 165:14,
                                          completely
                     284:24, 285:1,
                                                               276:23, 311:7
167:4, 167:13,
                                          53:17, 62:3,
                     285:11, 293:12
                                                               concern
167:18, 178:4,
                                          65:16, 248:22
                     compatible
                                                               47:3, 140:6,
192:22, 201:1,
                                          complex
                     84:22, 85:12,
                                                               315:4, 323:18,
203:7, 239:10,
                                          319:6
                     86:18, 87:9,
                                                               324:18
241:16, 245:9,
                     87:12, 87:17,
                                          compliance
                                                               concerned
                                          47:7
                                                               52:24, 54:12,
```

		_	
322:21, 322:24,	90:19, 244:20,	connected	considering
323:20, 324:11	248:12	192:18	240:23
concerns	confirmation	connecticut	consistency
43:3, 61:3,	169:13, 172:24	214:24, 215:4,	62 : 11
122:6, 123:10,	confirmed	215:7, 219:12,	consistently
124:7, 142:10,	64:17, 64:24,	219:20, 294:10,	59:10, 327:11,
155:17, 156:10,	85:24, 236:21,	294:19, 296:7,	327:14
176:12, 232:2,	249:11	296:12	consolidating
241:14, 323:1,	confirming	connection	133:9, 133:12
328:18	171:8	105:22, 106:10,	constraints
concluded	confirms	190:17, 306:3,	150:14
210:10	88:24, 247:3	314:14	construction
concludes	conflicts	connections	211:20
252:1	133:8	162:19, 246:11	
concluding	conform	connectivity	construed
100:23		_	81:6
conclusion	91:4, 91:15,	88:11, 110:7,	consultant
	244:17	110:25, 121:8,	63:1
43:23, 102:9,	conformance	123:5, 133:6,	cont'd
181:22	47:9, 63:12,	133:20, 136:15,	4:1, 5:1
conclusions	86:9, 86:12,	136:17, 191:15,	contact
60:2, 63:14,	87:23, 158:23,	245:6, 246:13,	10:4
243:20	159:10, 159:14,	289:2	contact-sensitive
concrete	168:15, 168:17,	connector	172:18
177:5	174:4, 174:8,	180:7	contained
concurs	181:15, 181:17,	connects	87:25, 88:5,
216:25	181:23, 182:4,	238:18, 238:22	100:14, 159:11,
condensed	245:12, 273:25	conservation	169:9, 174:5,
182:17	conforms	124:6, 134:10,	244:10
condition	62:4, 161:7	158:13, 158:14,	containing
90:25, 156:17,	confront	196:16, 330:17,	84:22, 321:7
157:18, 217:19,	212:14, 212:20	330:18, 331:2,	contains
219:18, 309:13,	confronting	331:6, 331:8,	87 : 18
309:15, 315:6	89:20, 130:11,	331:11, 331:13,	contents
conditional	134:24, 143:13,	331:22	48:3, 66:18,
67 : 21	153:14, 235:3,	conservative	185:24
conditions	235:5, 235:11,	240:22	context
132:14, 178:8,	272:4	consider	65:5, 93:21,
218:24, 219:2,	confronts	147:2, 156:15,	169:2, 303:13,
220:5, 292:10	197:23, 279:5	162:17, 177:21	303:23
conductivity	confused	considerable	continue
109:15	12:24	92:6	99:16, 155:8,
confer	confuses	consideration	164:5
59:25, 60:7,	13:3	67:6, 90:2,	continued
183:2	confusion	170:21	96:4, 186:4,
confirm	150 : 18	considered	272:8, 308:22
43:23, 44:4,	congestion	11:22, 101:8,	continues
50:14, 86:7,	72:6, 72:10	282:11, 309:19,	171:20, 261:2
86:20, 88:1,	connect	317:12, 330:4	contract
	123:2		93:23, 95:9,
			55.25, 55.5,

```
151:23, 288:15,
95:14
                                          328:7, 335:4
                                                               199:17, 201:9,
contrary
                     289:5, 289:11,
                                          corrections
                                                               202:11, 202:12,
                     289:13, 289:17,
                                                               202:17, 226:7,
                                          228:10
64:14, 65:19,
                                                               244:9, 246:18,
                     320:15
88:9, 98:11
                                          correctly
contrast
                     correct
                                                               251:11, 296:9,
                                          281:16, 283:22,
                     15:11, 40:14,
                                                               297:23, 298:15,
35:17, 160:4
                                          296:6
                     40:16, 70:9,
                                                               300:4, 300:11,
contributed
                                          correspondence
                                                               301:11, 316:25
                     78:2, 126:12,
46:25, 187:6,
                                          64:1
                     126:15, 127:3,
                                                               counsel
                                          corridor
187:12
                     129:22, 137:11,
                                                               35:25, 36:12,
control
                                          160:14, 160:17,
                     160:23, 161:9,
                                                               55:18, 57:5,
36:11, 83:4,
                                          168:9, 175:13,
                     180:7, 185:25,
                                                               64:5, 64:25,
                                          175:14, 178:15,
189:2
                     186:2, 187:17,
                                                               78:25, 79:18,
controlling
                                          191:13, 245:4,
                     216:24, 219:9,
                                                               81:4, 81:5,
197:8
                                          296:13, 296:16
                     220:9, 243:11,
                                                               82:22, 83:24,
                                          corridor-focused
controls
                     254:7, 254:8,
                                                               93:6, 102:18,
                                          85:14, 85:19,
126:24
                     254:19, 254:24,
                                                               102:20, 188:11,
                                          174:19, 174:22,
convene
                     255:12, 255:20,
                                                               222:15, 297:20,
                                          177:4
44:12
                     255:25, 256:1,
                                                               301:18, 334:8,
convened
                                          corridor-fronting
                     256:17, 256:22,
                                                               335:8
                                          84:21
60:12
                     256:23, 257:4,
                                                               counsels
                                          corridors
convenience
                     257:8, 257:16,
                                                               183:2
                                          91:17, 91:18,
164:15
                     258:4, 260:11,
                                                               count
                                          91:19, 109:10,
convenient
                     263:14, 267:7,
                                          160:7, 160:12,
                                                               45:14
163:23
                     267:11, 267:17,
                                                               counted
                                          160:25, 172:17,
convincing
                     268:3, 268:6,
                                                               206:7, 206:13,
                                          174:11, 174:12,
101:10, 101:24
                     268:9, 269:12,
                                                               265:16
                                          174:22, 175:6,
coordinated
                     269:14, 269:20,
                                          175:13, 175:21,
                                                               counting
324:14
                     269:21, 272:10,
                                                               274:15, 292:4
                                          177:8, 194:22,
copies
                     273:4, 273:6,
                                                               countless
                                          299:5, 299:12
50:6, 73:19,
                     273:22, 274:5,
                                                               95:2
                                          corso
114:9
                     274:24, 275:2,
                                                               country
                                          219:11, 294:11,
copy
                     275:16, 275:19,
                                                               273:10
                                          294:12, 296:5
9:25, 49:12,
                     276:6, 277:8,
                                                               county
                                          costs
50:25, 51:2,
                     277:20, 278:2,
                                          96:11
                                                               1:2, 2:6, 2:8,
137:5, 140:17,
                     283:4, 283:14,
                                          couldn't
                                                               3:19, 3:21,
148:23, 151:10,
                     284:2, 284:8,
                                          38:13, 83:10,
                                                               8:23, 67:20,
186:19, 187:13,
                     284:17, 285:25,
                                                               67:22, 69:3,
                                          253:2
206:22, 237:3
                     286:12, 287:4,
                                                               69:17, 69:23,
                                          council
core
                     287:16, 287:23,
                                                               85:14, 85:21,
                                          9:11, 9:14,
75:12
                     287:24, 289:15,
                                                               86:11, 88:18,
                                          9:22, 9:23,
corey
                     290:16, 293:18,
                                                               90:18, 93:22,
                                          88:17, 90:18,
4:8, 6:14,
                     295:14, 298:8,
                                          94:12, 94:25,
                                                               94:9, 96:10,
39:21, 39:25,
                     303:14, 303:17,
                                                               96:15, 97:16,
                                          99:1, 99:4,
252:25, 253:2,
                     305:4, 305:13,
                                                               97:18, 98:5,
                                          99:23, 102:3,
319:25, 320:5,
                     308:11, 309:25,
                                                               98:25, 116:22,
                                          102:4, 102:15,
320:9, 320:21
                     311:9, 311:10,
                                          166:22, 172:11,
                                                               160:17, 160:25,
corner
                     314:20, 328:6,
                                                               161:3, 166:22,
                                          174:14, 194:18,
25:12, 29:7,
```

169:17, 172:3,	85:24, 87:21	191:10, 197:20,	cross-examination
172:10, 172:15,	covenants	199:4, 200:3,	6:10, 6:11,
174:14, 178:8,	98:15	245:1, 262:21	6:13, 6:14,
179:3, 179:7,	cover	credentials	35:22, 36:10,
179:10, 179:18,	7:12, 166:17,	66:15	36:14, 36:16,
190:8, 201:9,	200:8, 200:10,	credibility	47:13, 66:4,
202:11, 244:19,	206:7, 237:4,	58:10	78:9, 252:5,
273:11, 299:8,	237:14, 237:15,	credit	252:20, 253:7,
305:11, 317:2	291:20, 313:11	228:12, 228:19	253:12, 253:16,
county's	coverage	crf	253:23, 274:16,
60:10, 60:15,	7:13, 153:17,	202:20	318:3, 318:10,
62:5, 85:15,	211:19, 240:12,	crfn	319:1, 320:8
85:20, 94:14,	290:22, 291:13,	68:11	cross-examine
96:14, 160:13,	291:15, 292:2,	crime	46:10, 65:25,
175:7, 175:9	292:6, 313:6,	142:11	82 : 11
countywide	313:8, 313:15,	criteria	cross-sectioned
273:11, 299:12	313:23, 313:25,	9:11, 36:23,	135:21
couple	314:17, 314:25,	37:1, 194:3,	cross-sections
37:23, 41:17,	315:21	244:12	150:4
48:22, 123:4,	covered	critical	cross-talk
143:21, 144:11,	79:6, 192:2,	88:12, 162:17,	34:21
210:11, 245:1,	253 : 7	249:14	crosses
301:20, 316:3,	covers	crn	256:14, 258:7
328:24	46:7, 47:5,	113:17, 201:7,	crossing
course	47:6, 47:7,	201:10, 202:2,	167:19, 168:4,
25:16, 34:16,	144:15	232:19, 243:14	226:19
43:4, 47:23,	cr	crnf	crossroads
53:24, 58:19,	199:9, 199:25,	8:18, 62:12,	178:5
83:3, 83:22,	200:1, 201:1,	62:15, 84:19,	crosswalks
148:6, 194:20,	202:5	86:17, 90:10,	123:3, 238:18
200:15, 204:8,	crashed	90:17, 98:11,	crr
211:10, 212:7, 223:15, 224:14,	30:15	99:8, 99:18,	200:9
226:7, 226:19,	create	99:21, 100:18,	crt
228:16, 240:5,	64:15, 67:1,	113:18, 113:20,	200:1, 200:2,
246:22, 327:13	97:24, 119:1,	190:9, 192:20,	202:13, 202:15
court	132:24, 136:11, 161:1, 162:18,	198:15, 199:16,	crtf
3:13, 11:16,	179:6, 198:18,	199:17, 199:25,	202:13
18:25, 22:19,	199:24, 200:23,	200:15, 201:3,	cul-de-sac
34:20, 34:22,	246:9, 263:3	201:7, 202:2,	154:5
35:17, 100:4,	created	202:3, 202:5, 210:12, 211:8,	culture
100:9, 220:22,	160:6, 261:16	210:12, 211:8, 216:22, 243:19	96:21
228:11, 228:13,	creates	crnf's	curb
228:22, 265:22,	176:11	98:4	119:3, 130:1,
306:24, 321:16,	creating	cross	130:8, 130:13,
334:1	123:20, 130:25,	34:22, 36:7,	130:14, 143:2,
courts	131:19, 165:22,	168:7, 168:9,	143:3, 219:19, 277:14, 294:17,
59:10, 73:8,	166:19, 167:4,	322:9	294:14, 294:17, 294:18, 294:21,
	,,		ZJ4:10, ZJ4:Z1,

	Conducted on N	(6 verificer 6, 2628	103
294:22	danielle	deal	decrease
current	5:12, 31:24	150:13, 169:14,	123:21, 142:20,
61:10, 96:17,	dark	172:19, 172:22,	241:18, 249:1
96:18, 99:2,	240:9, 283:13	173:7, 194:13	decreases
105:8, 105:12,	darker	dealing	310:14
105:25, 162:3,	279:13	39:18, 147:11,	dedicate
164:1, 175:18,	dashed	157:2, 158:17,	240:15
176:12, 246:11,	135:14, 135:16,	180:1, 250:1,	dedicated
255:23, 266:15,	255:18, 255:23,	327:22, 329:19	95:1, 180:12
271:21	256:2, 256:6	debate	dedicating
currently	data	94:18	119:4
63:5, 99:2,	94:20	deboeck	dedication
121:21, 127:12,	date	4:9, 14:16,	118:3, 181:8
156:22, 196:13,	10:18, 41:13,	14:20, 17:2,	dedications
219:4, 246:6,	44:10, 46:19,	17:5, 17:8,	240:22
258:3, 271:11	52:14, 170:17,	17:11, 17:13	deduce
cut	187:22, 188:2,	deborah	286:11
151:5, 152:9,	323:10, 326:17	1:25, 335:2,	deem
168:5, 294:17,	dated	335:15	171:11
294:18, 294:21,	56:6, 56:11,	debra	deemed
294:22	64:16	4:15, 40:2	64:11, 174:16
cuts	dates	decades	deep
112:15, 219:19	184:2, 326:16	58:17, 67:14,	_ •
cv	dating	68:6, 70:11,	67:12, 109:4, 129:12
58:25, 61:6,	187:14	70:20, 174:12,	
61:10, 67:18,	daubert	246:2, 304:10	deeper 120:10
72:16	70:25	december	
D	_ david	41:15, 43:15,	<pre>defending 80:12</pre>
d	_ 12:9, 18:9,	44:8, 44:14,	defense
	18:13, 19:9,	187:23, 323:2,	75:22
1:1, 12:8,	19:11	325:9, 325:12,	defer
90:6, 111:21, 112:2	day	326:17, 332:25	
d-e-b-o-e-c-k	10:14, 42:21,	decide	182:25, 183:7
	217:15, 291:2,	315:9	define
17:6, 17:11,	323:2, 325:6,	decides	239:7, 271:6
18:1 d-e-b-r-a	326:5, 326:18,	226:8	defined
	326:22	decision	101:5, 111:15,
40:2 d-e-s-t-i-n-y	day-and-a-half	9:13, 94:19,	175:16, 176:2,
	41:20	98:10, 100:5,	177:8, 177:25,
28:7	days	171:11	213:24, 293:24,
daily	9:16, 9:21,	decisions	302:2, 322:4
176:24	10:19, 42:4	99:22	definitely
dan	de	deck	323:10, 324:19,
5:15, 11:7,	226:17, 269:19,	282:6	325:21
57:8, 89:18,	270:1, 270:23	decks	definition
103:7	deadline	147:14, 239:22	99:15, 301:24,
daniel	51:23, 52:8	decline	302:4
56:9	deadlines	63:16	degree
	55:8	00.10	103:21, 105:2
	33:0		

	Conducted on No	,	
deliberate	97:6, 97:22,	description	detached
300:12, 301:4	98:22, 118:15,	205:6, 238:10,	99:5, 111:11,
deliberation	119:22, 142:13,	240:24, 302:22,	118:20, 125:12,
113:6	143:9, 143:10,	302:24	125:13, 129:7,
deliberative	145:25, 147:3,	design	141:25, 143:23,
94:17, 100:8	162:17, 163:13,	47:5, 85:9,	145:6, 195:9,
delineate	163:20, 163:21,	87:1, 106:21,	197:12, 233:12,
109:12, 139:21	165:3, 165:10,	107:1, 107:11,	233:18, 235:19,
delineated	176:13, 193:7,	130:17, 131:23,	249:21, 250:23,
91:11, 150:5	197:2, 197:5,	144:25, 147:3,	275:18, 327:19,
delineating	200:3, 200:4,	155:15, 157:14,	328:3
112:14	204:4, 210:24,	172:18, 191:16,	detail
	248:3, 250:13,	192:7, 192:16,	49:1, 85:10,
delineation	251:6, 251:7	193:12, 198:7,	86:6, 91:24,
109:8, 112:17,	department	204:6, 204:7,	94:22, 121:17,
135:10, 188:24	66:19, 174:16,	215:10, 217:10,	123:9, 234:7,
delineations	192:12, 229:3,	236:20, 241:2,	247:6, 251:4,
132:2, 133:19	247:15, 270:3,	245:7, 249:25,	314:17, 316:17
delve	270:22, 295:2,	250:4, 250:19,	detailed
37:10	295:11		124:5, 168:17,
demand	depending	312:11, 312:13,	168:18, 199:13,
178:14, 227:19,	46:12, 120:3,	328:16	203:24, 229:15,
229:9, 229:14,	222:12, 222:14,	designated	229:21, 239:8,
230:4, 230:17,	303:7, 326:18	60:19, 246:5	261:23, 332:9,
309:21, 309:24	depends	designating	332:10
demising	279:6, 281:4	256:22	details
242:11, 281:3	depict		34:2, 85:4,
demographic	154:13	designations	157:1
169:24, 194:8,	depicts		determination
194:18	231:4	designed	68:1, 70:10,
demonstrate		118:4, 127:12,	I i
85:12, 86:16,	deposition	127:14, 127:17,	70:14, 76:7,
87:9, 88:15	93:12, 334:3	147:21, 147:22,	85:5, 86:23,
denial	depth	249:1, 305:10,	95:11, 200:21,
144:13	129:1, 242:4,	310:18, 310:19	266:7, 284:24,
denied	242:6, 261:23	designer	284:25, 285:1 determine
89:23, 93:3,	describe	141:17, 310:11	
144:7	61:9, 93:17,	designing	71:13, 139:24,
denominator	104:23, 106:16,	119:6	198:10, 215:8,
240:19	110:2, 125:6,	desired	215:10, 217:16,
dense	153:22, 194:1,	305:13	218:9, 218:18,
90:14, 117:22,	199:10, 237:13,	despite	220:6, 228:15,
145:14	241:6, 279:9	96:6, 178:7,	229:7, 233:22,
densities	described	274:1	233:23, 234:3,
87:20, 194:24,	119:21, 142:17,	destiny	263:10, 315:25, 331:18, 332:6
200:14, 203:3,	176:1, 191:8,	4:19, 27:25,	determined
203:16	262:25, 293:17	28:7	94:20, 194:24,
density	describing	destroys	199:17, 201:9,
87:17, 92:20,	281:10	96:11	1 1 J J • 1 1 , 2 U 1 • 3 ,

determines 200:24, 203:1, 330:19 discourage 199:21 203:23, 203:24, different-sized 160:18 develop 218:20, 218:23, 140:2 discours 98:14, 175:2, 219:21, 220:7, 279:2, 329:10 249:15, 255:5, 201:2 222:7, 225:1, differently 273:8 developed 232:18, 232:21, difficult discussed 125:16, 143:15, 232:22, 234:2, 34:21, 137:14 d6:6, 118:25, 145:15, 224:16, 234:15, 243:18, dimension 204:4, 204:5, 233:12, 228:21, 248:6, 248:7, dimension 204:13, 211:11, 293:2 248:11, 276:19, 286:17 273:20, 317:18 developer 248:11, 276:19, 286:17 273:20, 317:18 developers developments 135:23, 285:24, 65:18 94:11, 134:21 97:24, 200:13, 286:1, 286:8, discusses development 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, 191:3 31:5, 84:7, dic 6:9, 6:16, 30:5, 151:13, <t< th=""><th></th><th>Conducted on 140</th><th></th><th></th></t<>		Conducted on 140		
246:25 determines 200:24, 203:1, 203:24, 203:1, 203:24, 203:24, 203:24, 203:23, 203:24, 203:24, 203:27, 201:2 different-sized 140:2 discourage 160:18 develop 218:20, 218:23, 203:24, 201:2, 221:7, 225:1, 201:2 differently 249:15, 255:5, 273:8 discourage 160:18 developed 232:18, 232:21, 23:18, 232:21, 279:2, 329:10 discouss difficult discussed discouss difficult discussed 248:10, 241:16, 234:15, 243:18, 243:18, 244:14, 292:16, 248:11, 276:19, 248:10, 276:19 difficult discussed 86:6, 118:25, 273:8, discoursed 293:2 248:11, 276:19, 248:7, developers developer 248:11, 276:19, 286:17 273:20, 317:18, discoursed 272:25 developments developments discourses discourses 49:11, 134:21 development 255:7, 259:16 dicate 135:23, 285:24, discussing 48:12, 88:19, 86:19, 86:22, 88:3, 88:2, 99:13, 88:2, 99:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:17, 97:3, 91:10, 129:18, 139:19, 100:20, 62:23, 57:23, 62:33, 69:16, 179:44, 179:44, 179:44, 179:44, 179:44, 179:41, 152:3, 176:7, 177:14, 153:42, 163:22, 166:3, 179:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:16, 249:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:14, 139:16, 203:16, 203:15, 203:16, 203:15, 203:16, 203:16, 203:16, 203:15, 203:16,	214:21, 233:16,	197:17, 198:2,	328:20, 328:22,	disapproval
determines 200:24, 203:1, 203:23, 203:24, different-sized 160:18 discourage 16	246:25			
199:21 develop	determines			
develop 218:20, 218:23, 20:17, 220:7, 225:1, 20:12 22:7, 225:1, 23:218, 23:212, 23:218, 23:212, 23:218, 23:21, 248:11, 276:119, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 286:17, 273:20, 317:18, 286:17, 286:17, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 286:1, 286:18, 313:23, 285:24, 318:23, 285:24, 318:23, 285:24, 318:23, 285:24, 318:23, 285:24, 318:23, 286:17, 286:18, 313:23, 311:3,	199:21			
98:14, 175:2, 219:21, 220:7, 225:1, 279:2, 329:10 249:15, 255:5, 270:26 248:16, 143:15, 232:22, 234:2, 246:2, 247:5, 234:14, 292:16, 246:2, 247:5, 248:6, 248:7, 248:14, 292:15 248:16, 248:7, 248:17, 276:19, 273:25 248:14, 299:5 248:11, 276:19, 289:9, 299:5 273:20 341:11, 134:21 297:25 299:25 299:19 272:25 299:23, 290:25, 291:9 273:20 313:23 290:25, 291:9 273:20 313:23 290:25, 291:9 273:20 313:25, 285:19, 286:22, 287:3, 287:19,			140:2	
developed 222:7, 225:1, 239:10 difficult discussed 125:16, 143:15, 224:16, 234:15, 243:18, 232:21, 245:15, 224:16, 234:15, 243:18, 229:16, 246:2, 247:5, 248:6, 248:7, 248:16, 248:7, 248:11, 276:19, 286:17 dimension 204:4, 204:5, 204:15, 211:11, 255:6, 272:6	<u>-</u>		differently	
developed 232:18, 232:21, 234:2, 234:22, 234:16, 143:15, 224:16, 234:15, 243:18, 224:18, 232:12, 234:18, 232:18, 232:18, 234:18, 234:11, 276:19, 234:14, 292:16, 248:11, 276:19, 289:9, 299:5 dimension 204:4, 204:5, 204:13, 211:11, 255:6, 272:6, 272:6, 289:9, 299:5 dimensioning 255:6, 272:6, 272:6, 272:6, 272:20, 317:18 dimensioning 255:6, 272:6, 272:0, 317:18 discusses developers 391:24, 200:13, 286:17, 286:17 286:17 286:17 discusses developing 290:25, 291:9 311:6 discussing 312:3 diamond dire 191:3 developent 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, 31:6 discussing 312:2, 28:21, 3:21, 28:21, 3:22, 85:19, die 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 39:13 49:13, 24:24 31:5, 84:7, 48:22, 85:19, die 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 39:13, 249:9, 39:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 37:31 6:9, 6:16, 33:13, 249:9, 39:13, 249:9, 39:13, 90:14, 37:26 99:17, 99:3, 99:17, 97:3, 99:17, 97:3, 99:17, 97:3, 217:21 171:22, 266:7 dispose 99:5, 17, 97:3, 99:19, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 60:24 difference 16:14, 26:124, 40:129, 4	201:2		_	
125:16, 143:15, 232:22, 234:2, 234:15, 243:18, 234:14, 292:16, 234:15, 243:18, 234:14, 292:16, 246:2, 247:5, 248:1, 276:19, 228:25 204:4, 204:5, 228:19, 228:11, 276:19, 228:17, 248:11, 276:19, 228:17, 248:11, 276:19, 248:12, 276:17, 276:17, 276:19, 276:17, 276:17, 276:19, 276:17, 276:17, 276:19, 276:17, 276:17, 276:19, 276:17, 277:17, 277:18, 276:17, 277:17, 277:18, 276:17, 276:17, 276:19, 276:17, 276:17, 277:18, 276:17, 276:17, 277:18, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 276:17, 2			•	
145:15, 224:16, 224:15, 246:2, 247:5, 246:2, 247:5, 248:6, 248:7, 248:6, 248:7, 248:6, 248:7, 248:6, 248:7, 248:11, 276:19, 286:11 276:19, 286:11 276:19, 286:11 34:21 97:24, 200:13, 286:11 286:24, 65:18 129:25 204:13, 211:11, 217:18 developer (avelopers) developments (avelopments) 135:23, 285:24, 65:18 discusses developing (avelopment) 259:7, 259:16 dimensions discussing discussing discussing discussing discussing discussing discussion discussion 106:2, 157:10, discussion d	_	232:22, 234:2,	34:21, 137:14	
234:14, 292:16, 246:2, 247:5, 248:7, dimensioning 255:6, 272:6, 289:9; 299:5 developers 289:9, 299:5 developers 39:11, 134:21 37:24, 200:13, 290:25, 291:9 dimensions 290:25, 291:9 dimensions 259:7, 259:16 dimensions 259:7, 259:16 dimensions 259:7, 259:16 dictate 232:1, 28:21, dictate 231:5, 84:7, dictate 28:28, 85:19, die 6:20, 157:20 difference 290:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 dispose 31:21, 29:22, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 31:21, 29:22, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 31:21, 29:22, difference 290:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 directed 8:31:5 directed 8:31		234:15, 243:18,	•	
293:2 248:6, 248:7, 248:11, 276:19, 286:17 26:11, 276:19, 286:17 273:20, 317:18 272:25 developments 94:11, 134:21 97:24, 200:13, 286:1, 286:8, discussing developing 130:25, 291:9 311:6 106:2, 157:10, discussion diamond diamond direct 191:3 development 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, discussion 31:5, 84:7, 220:7, 321:5 die 103:9, 103:22 80:5, 151:13, discussion 86:19, 86:22, 35:19, die 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, difference 106:12, 160:3, 304:24 304:24 87:3, 88:2, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 101:12, 160:3, 304:24 31:11 disposed 91:71, 91:17, 99:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 31:11 disposed 91:79, 99:14, 99:15, 99:10, 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 100:21, 106:22, 62:		246:2, 247:5,		
developer 248:11, 276:19, 289:9, 299:5 dimensions discusses developers developments 135:23, 285:24, 65:18 discusses developing 290:25, 291:9 311:6 discussing development 290:25, 291:9 311:6 discussing development 259:7, 259:16 dire 191:3 development 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, discussion discussion discussion 31:5, 84:7, direct 40:22, 85:19, die 69, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 103:22 84:22, 85:19, die 69, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 104:12, 160:3, 304:24 304:24 86:19, 86:22, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 87:3, 88:2, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 37:23 313:11 disposed 91:7, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 92:2 20:2 difference directed 83:15 95:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 69:16, 70:22, 62:3, 69:16, 70:22, 70:24 direction 164:18 100:21, 106:22, 139:16, 139:19, 139:19, 139:19, 139:19, 139:19,	293:2	248:6, 248:7,	dimensioning	
28 29 29 29 5 28 9 29 9 5 28 9 29 13 28 28 65 18 97 124 200 13 28 66 1 28 68 8 29 124 200 13 28 66 1 28 68 8 28 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 65 18 18 18 18 19 19 13 18 18 18 19 19 13 18 18 19 19 13 18 18 18 19 19 19 13 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 19	developer			
developers developments 9:24, 200:13, 286:1, 286:8, discussing discussing developing 132:3 diamond 106:2, 157:10, dire 191:3 development 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, discussion 30:23:21, 28:21, dictate 103:9, 103:22 80:5, 151:13, discussion 31:5, 84:7, die 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, die 105:12, 160:3, discussion 30:225:11, discussion 86:19, 86:22, 157:20 different 106:12, 160:3, discussion 30:225:11, discussion 86:19, 86:22, 157:20 difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 30:13, 249:9, dispose 87:3, 88:2, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 30:24 dispose 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 27:31, 7273:18, 37:6 30:24 disposed 91:71, 91:17, 327:23 313:11 disposed 91:71, 97:3, 27:21 171:22, 296:7 disposed 99:7, 100:20, 20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrecting 100:21, 106:22, 6:23, 69:16, direction 164:18 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16,	272:25	289:9, 299:5		
94:11, 134:21 97:24, 200:13, 290:25, 291:9 290:25, 291:9 311:6 diocates 106:2, 157:10, 191:3 development 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, 103:9, 103:22 discussion 31:5, 84:7, 84:7, 84:22, 85:19, die 6:9, 6:16, 155:19, 225:11, die 6:9, 6:16, 155:19, 225:11, die 86:19, 86:22, 85:19, 86:19, 86:22, 157:20 104:12, 160:3, 304:24 dispose 87:3, 88:2, 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 313:11 dispose 91:7, 91:17, 91:27, 327:23 313:11 disposed 91:21, 92:2, 95:17, 97:3, 91:21, 92:2, differences differences directed 83:15 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, different directing 67:12 disregard 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, diffection 160:13 disrupt 132:11, 134:20, 162:24, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 131:11, 143:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 disectly 155:9, 98:1, 99:10, 130:13, 131:20, 140:14, 143:21, 155:4, 155:	developers	developments		65:18
developing 290:25, 291:9 311:6 106:2, 157:10, diamond development 259:7, 259:16 71:11, 74:20, 103:9, 103:22 discussion 23:21, 28:21, 31:5, 84:7, 220:7, 321:5 direct 155:19, 225:11, 249:9, 304:24 48:22, 85:19, 86:22, 157:20 104:12, 160:3, 304:24 304:24 87:3, 88:2, 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 31:11 31:11 dispose 91:7, 91:17, 92:2, differences differences directed 33:15 91:21, 92:2, 95:7, 98:1, 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 131:12, 122:16, 125:14, 131:12, 143:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 directly 110:23, 168:3, 131:10, 131:20, 142:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 135:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 distance 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 176:7, 177:14, 176:7, 177:14, 178:16, 103:22, 176:7, 177:14, 179:18, 182:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 203:16, 203:16, 203:16, 226:12, 269:17, 28:11, 28:13, 302:16, 327:3, 300:216, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302:16, 302:3, 302:16, 302	94:11, 134:21	97:24, 200:13,		
diamond development diamond dictate direction 191:3 discussion 32:21, 28:21, 28:21, 31:5, 84:7, 84:22, 85:19, 86:124, 86:22, 157:20 direct 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 155:19, 225:11, 60:13, 304:24 difference 186:4, 261:24, 43:90:3, 304:24 dispose 86:19, 86:22, 157:20 difference 186:4, 261:24, 43:90:3, 304:24 dispose 37:6 dispose 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 disposed 313:11 disposed 91:7, 91:17, 327:23 313:11 disposed 33:5 disposed 91:7, 97:3, differences differences 95:17, 97:3, different directed 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrupt disregard 100:21, 106:22, 6:3, 69:16, direction 164:18 disrupt 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 271:18, 289:16, 130:13, 131:20, directly 168:6, 177:1, 263:11, 264:12, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:21, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 disrectly 168:6, 177:1, 319:4 distance 122:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 31:11, 319:4 distances 163:11, 264:12, 279:4, 331:23 distances 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 85:15, 115:24, 189:7, 213:23, 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 479:4, 331:23 director director distinction 71:22, 71:24 distance 179:18, 182:8, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 279:4, 331:23 disector 319:17 distinction	- I	290:25, 291:9		
development 259:7, 259:16 dictate 71:11, 74:20, 103:9, 103:22 discussion 23:21, 28:21, 31:5, 84:7, 84:2, 85:19, 86:19, 86:22, 87:20 die 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 304:24 86:19, 86:22, 87:20 difference 104:12, 160:3, 304:24 dispose 87:3, 88:2, 99:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 91:17, 97:3, 27:23 313:11 dispose 37:6 91:7, 91:17, 97:3, 91:10, 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 directed directing 83:15 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 122:14, 18:19, 79:13, 91:10, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 125:14, 122:16, 123:14, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 130:22 directly distance 100:23, 168:6, 177:1, 126:3, 177:14, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 130:12, 278:13, 309:8, 137:11, 319:4 distance 157:13, 178:8, 178:20, 176:7, 177:14, 18:19, 176:2, 171:14, 18:19, 178:18, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 264:18, 319:12, 177:13, 178:16, 100:16, 192:6, 262:12, 269:17, 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 203:15, 203:16, 28:41, 281:13, 192:16, 192:23, 281:11, 281:13, 192:16, 192:23, 281:11, 281:13, 192:16, 192:23, 281:11, 281:13, 192:16, 192:23, 281:11, 281:13, 192:16, 192:23, 281:12, 273:3, 273:1 disallowed 98:25, 99:23, 99:23, 193:23	132:3	diamond		
23:21, 28:21, dictate 103:9, 103:22 80:5, 151:13, 31:5, 84:7, 220:7, 321:5 direct 155:19, 225:11, 86:19, 86:22, 157:20 104:12, 160:3, 304:24 87:3, 88:2, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 91:7, 91:17, 327:23 313:11 disposed 91:21, 92:2, differences 83:15 disposed 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 171:22, 296:7 disregard 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 160:13 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 158:12, 254:14, distance 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, distance 128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8,		259:7, 259:16		
31:5, 84:7, 220:7, 321:5 die 155:19, 225:11, 84:22, 85:19, 6:6 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 87:3, 88:2, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 91:7, 91:17, 327:23 313:11 disposed 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 differences directed 83:15 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 directing 67:12 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disregard 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 158:12, 254:14, 164:18 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 164:18 128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 158:12, 254:14, 10:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 142:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 31:5, 58:19, 189:7, 213:23, 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 18:25, 22	_	dictate		
84:22, 85:19, die 6:9, 6:16, 239:13, 249:9, 86:19, 86:22, difference 104:12, 160:3, 304:24 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 186:4, 261:24, dispose 90:17, 91:17, 327:23 313:11 disposed 91:7, 91:17, 97:3, 217:21 171:22, 296:7 disposed 95:17, 97:3, 98:1, different directed 83:15 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 10:21 directing 67:12 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 18:28, 16:13 164:18 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 122:16, 125:14, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 102:23, 168:3, 132:11, 264:12, 143:29, 140:1, 143:21, 130:13, 131:20, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1,	•	220:7, 321:5		
86:19, 86:22, difference difference 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 97:27, 91:17, 91:17, 327:23 differences directed 83:15 disposed 83:15, 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 directed 83:15 disposed 97:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrupt 164:18 171:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 110:23, 168:3, 133:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 disposed 110:23, 168:3, 133:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 disposed 110:23, 168:3, 133:11, 134:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, directly 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 disposed 83:15		die	6:9, 6:16,	
87:3, 88:2, difference 186:4, 261:24, dispose 90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 273:17, 273:18, 37:6 91:7, 91:17, 327:23 313:11 disposed 91:21, 92:2, differences directed 83:15 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 directing 67:12 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, direction 164:18 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, distance 128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 31:5, 58:19, 189:7, 213:23, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 175:13, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 279:4, 331:23 319:17 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 279:4, 331:23 <td></td> <td>157:20</td> <td></td> <td></td>		157:20		
90:13, 90:14, 97:25, 115:5, 327:23 disposed directed 83:15 disposed 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 directing 67:12 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 171:22, 296:7 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 171:22, 296:7 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 171:22, 296:17 disrupt 120:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 140:14, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 17:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 distance 120:24, 161:18, 176:7, 177:14, 18:5, 58:19, 160:18, 176:7, 177:14, 18:5, 58:19, 160:18, 176:7, 177:14, 18:5, 58:19, 176:7, 177:14, 18:8, 178:20, 176:7, 177:14, 18:21, 18:25, 20:22, 264:8, 319:12, 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 200:8, 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 200:8, 190:6, 192:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 285:4, 288:21, 29:23, 28:25, 99:23, 28:25, 99:23, 28:25, 99:23, 28:25, 99:23, 28:25, 99:23, 28:25, 99:23,	87:3, 88:2,	difference		dispose
91:7, 91:17, 92:2, differences 95:17, 97:3, 98:1, different 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, directing 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, direction 171:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 140:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 31:5, 58:19, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 176:7, 177:14, 188:17:18, 189:17 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 129:16, 192:23, 189:15, 203:16, 327:3, 195:10, 195:15, 197:13, 285:4, 288:21, 296:8, 382:10, 98:25, 99:23, 197:1, 197:13, 178:16, 285:4, 288:21, 296:17, 197:13, 178:16, 285:4, 288:21, 296:7, 266:8, 39:23, 285:5, 99:23, 266:8, 399:23, 288:25, 99:23,		97:25, 115:5,		
91:21, 92:2, 95:17, 97:3, 217:21 171:22, 296:7 disregard 97:5, 98:1, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrupt 164:18 177:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 140:1, 143:21, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 31:5, 58:19, 163:11, 163:22, 178:8, 178:20, 179:13, 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 179:16, 192:16, 192:23, 185:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:16, 202:16, 327:3, 202:16, 327:3, 226:8 38:17, 94:12, 197:1, 197:13, 178:16, 202:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 296:8 disallowed 98:25, 99:23, 28:17, 94:12, 197:11, 197:13, 279:14, 302:16, 327:3, 27:14, 302:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 303:10, 302:26, 302:2	91:7, 91:17,	327:23		disposed
97:5, 98:1, different directing 67:12 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, direction 164:18 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, direction 164:18 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, distance 128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, directly 263:11, 264:12, 142:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 31:5, 58:19, distances 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 85:15, 115:24, 189:7, 213:23, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 31:23 31:17 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 78:20, 105:14 71:22, 71:24 disable 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 90:6, 192:6, 192:6, 262:12, 269:17,	91:21, 92:2,	differences	directed	_
97:5, 98:1, different directing 67:12 99:7, 100:20, 25:23, 57:23, 160:13 disrupt 100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, direction 164:18 117:14, 118:19, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, distance 128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, directly 263:11, 264:12, 142:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 31:5, 58:19, distances 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 85:15, 115:24, 189:7, 213:23, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 78:20, 105:14 71:22, 71:24 185:20, 188:21, 236:2, 236:3, 262:12, 269:17, 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 192:16, 192:23, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 26:8 88:17, 94:12,	95:17, 97:3,	217:21	171:22, 296:7	
100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, distance 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 130:22 168:6, 177:1, 140:18, 199:9, 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 178:8, 178:20, 179:13, 178:16, 179:18, 182:8, 179:18, 182:8, 179:18, 182:8, 185:20, 188:21, 203:15, 203:16, 192:16, 192:23, 185:14, 281:13, 197:1, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:14,	97:5, 98:1,	different		_
100:21, 106:22, 62:3, 69:16, 79:13, 91:10, 158:12, 254:14, 118:19, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 168:6, 177:1, 134:20, 130:13, 131:20, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 178:8, 178:20, 179:18, 182:8, 179:18, 182:8, 179:18, 182:8, 190:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 178:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:14, 170:22, 170:24, 170:	99:7, 100:20,	25:23, 57:23,	_	
128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 271:18, 289:16, 110:23, 168:3, 132:11, 134:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 142:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 309:8, 179:9, 160:18, 153:6, 162:11, 153:6, 162:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 175:3, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 279:4, 331:23, 179:17, 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 203:16, 192:16, 192:23, 285:4, 288:21, 297:13, 302:16, 327:3, 197:11, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:14, 1	100:21, 106:22,	62:3, 69:16,	direction	_
128:16, 131:11, 122:16, 125:14, 129:8, 129:9, 130:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 140:1, 143:21, 153:6, 162:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 175:3, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 177:13, 178:16, 179:18, 182:8, 190:6, 192:16, 192:16, 192:16, 192:16, 197:1, 197:13, 197:11, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:11, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:14, 197:13, 197:14,	117:14, 118:19,	79:13, 91:10,	158:12, 254:14,	distance
132:11, 134:20, 129:8, 129:9, 300:22 168:6, 177:1, 136:20, 139:16, 130:13, 131:20, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 159:9, 160:18, 149:11, 152:3, 23:21, 27:13, 317:11, 319:4 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 31:5, 58:19, distances 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 85:15, 115:24, 189:7, 213:23, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 177:13, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 279:4, 331:23 319:17 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, director distinction 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 78:20, 105:14 71:22, 71:24 disable 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 190:6, 192:23, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 26:8 197:1, 197:13, 302:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3,	128:16, 131:11,	122:16, 125:14,		110:23, 168:3,
136:20, 139:16, 142:24, 143:19, 159:9, 160:18, 160:24, 161:18, 163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 175:3, 177:8, 177:13, 178:16, 179:18, 182:8, 185:20, 188:21, 190:6, 192:6, 197:1, 197:13, 130:13, 131:20, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 140:1, 143:21, 18:25, 22:23, 278:13, 309:8, 278:13, 20:13, 30:14, 28:25, 22:23, 264:8, 319:12, 279:4, 331:23 279:4, 331	132:11, 134:20,	129:8, 129:9,		
142:24, 143:19, 140:1, 143:21, 149:11, 152:3, 149:11, 152:3, 159:9, 160:18, 153:6, 162:11, 153:6, 162:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 178:8, 178:20, 175:3, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 200:6, 200:8, 179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 192:16, 192:23, 195:10, 195:15, 197:1, 197:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:14, 197:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:14, 179:13, 179:14, 179:13, 179:13, 179:13, 179:14, 179:	136:20, 139:16,	130:13, 131:20,	directly	I
159:9, 160:18, 160:24, 161:18, 153:6, 162:11, 176:7, 177:14, 166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 177:13, 178:16, 179:18, 182:8, 185:20, 188:21, 190:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 197:1, 197:13, 149:11, 152:3, 153:6, 162:11, 153:6, 162:11, 176:7, 177:14, 177:14, 178:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 189:7, 213:23, 170:8, 170:22, 279:4, 331:23 director 78:20, 105:14 distinction 71:22, 71:24 disable 35:1 disable 35:1 disagree 226:8 226:8 226:8 302:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3, 317:11, 319:4 distances 189:7, 213:23, 189:7, 213:23, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 317:11, 319:4 distances 189:7, 213:23, 170:8, 170:22, 264:8, 319:12, 319:17 distinction 71:22, 71:24 disable 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 9:22, 9:23, 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,	142:24, 143:19,		_	
163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 85:15, 115:24, 189:7, 213:23, 175:3, 177:8, 180:24, 194:21, 279:4, 331:23 319:17 319:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 203:15, 203:16, 192:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 197:1, 197:13, 202:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3, 302:16, 327:3, 319:17				
163:11, 163:22, 176:7, 177:14, 185:15, 115:24, 179:4, 179:3, 177:8, 177:13, 178:16, 200:6, 200:8, 203:15, 203:16, 236:2, 236:3, 190:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 197:1, 197:13, 179:13, 202:16, 327:3, 202:16, 327:3, 202:16, 327:3, 202:16, 327:3, 203:1			31:5, 58:19,	distances
166:4, 174:4, 178:8, 178:20, 180:24, 194:21, 200:6, 200:8, 179:18, 182:8, 185:20, 188:21, 190:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 197:1, 197:13, 178:8, 178:20, 170:8, 170:22, 279:4, 331:23 director 78:20, 105:14 disable 35:1 disagree 264:8, 319:12, 319:17 distinction 71:22, 71:24 disable 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 9:22, 9:23, 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,				189:7, 213:23,
177:13, 178:16, 179:18, 182:8, 185:20, 188:21, 190:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 195:10, 195:15, 197:1, 197:13, 200:6, 200:8, 200:8, 200:6, 200:8, 203:15, 203:16, 203:16, 203:16, 203:15, 203:16, 203:16, 203:16, 200:6, 200:8, director 78:20, 105:14 disable 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 9:22, 9:23, 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,			170:8, 170:22,	
179:18, 182:8, 203:15, 203:16, 78:20, 105:14 disable 35:1 92:16, 192:23, 281:11, 281:13, 195:10, 195:15, 285:4, 288:21, 197:1, 197:13, 302:16, 327:3, 327:3, 32			279:4, 331:23	319:17
185:20, 188:21, 190:6, 192:6, 192:16, 192:23, 195:10, 195:15, 197:1, 197:13, 236:2, 236:3, 262:12, 269:17, 281:11, 281:13, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, disable 35:1 disagree 226:8 disallowed 71.22, 71.24 9:11, 9:14, 9:22, 9:23, 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,				distinction
185:20, 188:21, 236:2, 236:3, disable district 190:6, 192:6, 262:12, 269:17, 35:1 9:11, 9:14, 192:16, 192:23, 281:11, 281:13, disagree 9:22, 9:23, 195:10, 195:15, 285:4, 288:21, 226:8 88:17, 94:12, 197:1, 197:13, 302:16, 327:3, disallowed 98:25, 99:23,			78:20, 105:14	71:22, 71:24
192:16, 192:23, 195:10, 195:15, 197:1, 197:13, 281:11, 281:13, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, disagree 226:8 disallowed 9:11, 9:14, 9:22, 9:23, 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,			disable	· ·
192:16, 192:23, 195:10, 195:15, 197:1, 197:13, 281:11, 281:13, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, disagree 226:8 disallowed 9:22, 9:23, 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,	•		35:1	9:11, 9:14,
195:10, 195:15, 197:1, 197:13, 285:4, 288:21, 302:16, 327:3, 226:8 disallowed 88:17, 94:12, 98:25, 99:23,			disagree	
197:1, 197:13, 302:16, 327:3, disallowed 98:25, 99:23,			226:8	
295:13	19/:1, 19/:13,	302:16, 327:3,	disallowed	
			295:13	

	Conducted on 140	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100
102:3, 102:15,	documentation	109:2, 111:4,	drawn
194:10, 201:8,	313:14	131:18, 132:22,	112:13
202:11, 202:12,	documents	135:5, 146:22,	drive
244:8, 297:20,	48:25, 52:14,	150:20, 150:23,	17:14, 25:4,
299:7, 300:11,	108:5, 170:17	152:7, 152:19,	25:9, 29:22,
316:25	dog	153:15, 154:4,	38:23, 40:4,
districts	29:18		41:5, 131:16,
221:18	doing	155:4, 157:7,	131:21, 165:20
disturbance	57:18, 95:1,	157:22, 157:24,	driven
123:22, 231:2,	120:1, 120:3,	158:22, 182:19,	121:21
	122:2, 171:14,	201:18, 204:17,	driveway
			123:12, 123:14,
331:23, 331:24,			130:22, 133:11,
332:3		223:14, 224:9,	242:3, 242:14,
dive	329:17, 332:8	230:23, 231:3,	242:16
185:19	dollars	233:3, 258:20,	driveways
diverse	95:21, 166:2	266:6, 303:17,	122:17, 133:10
85:16, 178:19,	donated	303:21, 308:8,	dropped
194:25, 195:2	146:2	308:15, 308:16,	303:21
diversify	done	313:9	due
96:4	95:22, 96:12,	downsides	52:12, 77:21,
diversity	97:17, 114:19,	125:2	80:8
87:19, 125:18,	144:23, 144:24,	downtown	dug
143:10, 164:10,	164:2, 171:24,	215:3	227:2
175:15, 177:10,	189:9, 201:11,	dozens	duly
178:9, 178:22,	202:24, 282:3,	94:22	104:11
191:13, 245:4,	297:25, 298:2,	draft	dung
246:7, 317:23	301:19, 305:20,	297:19, 297:24	5:4
divide	306:7, 306:15,	drafted	duplex
109:20, 229:7,	307:9, 307:10,	95:24	284:1, 327:19,
229:8	308:1, 325:22,	drafts	328:3
divided	331:7	298:1	duration-wise
87:4, 144:20	door	drain	182:24
divides	224:22, 225:3,	122:5, 150:15,	during
112:9		156:19, 189:22	47:23, 50:25,
division	228:5, 319:14	drainage	53:24, 61:2,
88:3, 88:4,	doors	109:20, 122:6	66:1, 81:17,
234:3	118:8	drains	90:3, 92:23,
dnr	dot	156:23	94:21, 150:17,
232:1, 232:5	141:8, 141:10,	dramatically	253:14, 270:25
document	217:11, 241:21,	98:21	duty
46:6, 46:10,	288:12, 288:14,	draw	95:9
50:14, 72:7,	288:18, 288:19,	60:2, 63:14,	dwelling
140:11, 149:8,	288:23, 295:18,	267:21, 274:18	327:8
186:10, 187:8,	295:19	drawing	E
187:12, 231:6,	double	285:20, 330:15	e
242:18, 267:14,	119:6	drawings	189:14, 316:24
300:23	down	115:19	
	48:8, 102:20,		

	1	<u> </u>	
e(2) (a	89:20, 107:10,	easy-to-read	egress
244:16	143:12, 150:20,	116:25	91:1, 289:19
e(2)(b	179:8, 191:8,	eaves	eight
245:17	193:11, 194:11,	151 : 18	120:1, 200:15,
e(2)(c	204:3, 211:6,	ecological	200:16
247:17	211:11, 241:14,	158:3, 193:21	eight-and-a-half-
e(2)(d	262:20, 269:6,	ecology	-by-eleven
248:9	288:3, 296:24,	105:3	51:8
e(2)(e	297:1, 308:7,	economic	eight-foot
249:12	315:5, 316:10,	178:21, 178:22,	136:7
e(2)(f	316:16	194:8	eight-inch
249:17	early	ed	220:25
e-a-g-l-i-n	3:6, 10:25,	4:13	either
15:15, 15:19	11:3, 56:21,	edge	11:12, 49:6,
e-c	69:1, 84:3,	34:11, 90:25,	91:12, 91:24,
18:14	85:10, 115:14,	185:10, 274:23,	109:22, 150:6,
e-d-w-a-r-d-s	118:25, 156:20	319:4, 319:5,	166:13, 172:10,
16:25	easement	319:9, 320:11	175:22, 188:13,
e-p-e	240:18	edited	227:17, 266:3,
28:16	easier	297:25	278:9, 289:6,
e-r-n-e-s-t-o	58:24, 107:22,	education	289:16, 291:11,
29:2	116:19, 134:10	57:10, 58:23,	295:3, 295:11,
e-t	easily	59:1, 59:3,	309:19, 309:23
22:16	141:11, 150:9,	68:4, 75:23,	eizabeth
e-t-e	166:14, 167:17,	270:4, 270:22	84:2
28:15, 28:17	168:6	educational	elaborate
each	east	58:15, 103:10,	71:12, 124:10,
19:15, 39:11,	69:23, 85:14,	104:24	310:5
47:10, 57:6,	97:16, 109:3,	edwards	elaborating
98:8, 102:7,	109:4, 109:16,	4:11, 15:7,	171:4, 171:5
102:11, 107:3,	109:19, 110:21,	16:24, 16:25,	electrical
123:13, 179:25,	112:5, 118:16,	17:4, 19:21,	59:4, 75:23,
186:15, 187:11,	119:16, 119:23,	20:4, 20:7,	75:24, 76:3,
194:5, 199:14,	122:1, 123:2,	20:14, 25:20,	76:4
200:5, 200:11,	131:11, 150:16,	26:1	electronic
211:7, 212:18,	150:23, 152:21,	effect	335:5
213:4, 213:10,	160:25, 168:1,	85:25, 86:4,	electronically
213:11, 229:24,	179:3, 179:10,	169:12	137:21, 334:5
232:14, 232:16,	268:11, 268:20,	effective	element
244:14, 254:15,	271:22, 329:1	151:24	102:7, 123:19,
271:9, 301:1,	east-west	effectively	126:24, 127:4,
303:13	120:25	100:10	165:6, 178:24,
eaglin	east-west-running	effectuating	186:15, 195:14,
4:10, 15:14,	121:9	94:13	198:21, 212:8,
15:18, 15:19	eastern	efficiency	215:6, 217:9,
earlier	69:17, 160:16	176:21	219:24, 220:3,
10:15, 38:13,	easy	efficient	236:17, 272:18,
39:3, 52:20,	141:11, 182:20	280:18	272:24, 284:6,

285:12, 295:8,	elevators	embrace	315:20, 325:5
296:8, 299:11,	184:11	97:15	end-run
311:19, 311:24,	eleven	emergency	98:20
312:21, 317:18,	133:1	217:13, 278:15,	endangered
327:16	eleven-foot	296:3	232:3, 232:6,
elementary	136:7	emerging	232:10
221:19, 221:25,	elicit	169:23, 305:14,	ended
260:2, 262:6,	170 : 12	305:16	308 : 23
268:3, 269:8,	eligible	emphasize	endorsed
269:16	90:2	81:18	92:15
elements	eliminate	employ	ends
47:11, 50:20,	63:22	99:15	235:21, 257:17,
107:2, 107:12,	elizabeth	employed	258:1, 308:20
107:13, 115:2,	3:4, 10:24,	104:18, 334:9,	energized
115:8, 115:12,	37:14, 56:7,	335:8	299:15
115:16, 115:18,	56:13, 56:20	employee	enforce
115:21, 134:22,	ellipsis	172:3	83 : 12
135:21, 144:24,	302:15	employer	engagement
145:3, 147:2,	else	105:8	60:16, 76:6,
147:10, 147:18,	11:18, 14:7,	employer's	246:16
147:21, 147:24,	14:14, 26:7,	105:9	engineer
147:25, 164:14,	32:15, 33:19,	employment	63:1, 72:5,
164:15, 165:8,	34:18, 34:24,	59:4, 99:13,	75:23, 76:3,
172:17, 172:19,	46:24, 47:22,	212:16, 212:21	84:10, 84:12,
174:17, 179:17,	129:3, 152:19,	enable	89:4, 89:5,
187:5, 187:8,	251:23, 253:12	167:16	155:12, 156:25,
187:17, 189:2,	email	enacted	187:6, 189:4
189:15, 192:7, 194:13, 195:4,	7:7, 10:6,	99:1	engineering
195:17, 195:20,	10:9, 10:16,	encourage	47:8, 59:2,
195:17, 195:20, 195:22, 203:21,	12:4, 12:15,	162:7, 165:2,	59:4, 66:16,
204:1, 204:4,	24:5, 24:7,	165:11, 193:24,	75:24, 76:5,
204:1, 204:4, 204:6, 215:11,	24:9, 24:11,	238:21	107:14, 150:14,
238:13, 238:14,	24:12, 24:13,	encouraged	187:5, 188:14,
250:11, 272:16,	25:17, 29:12,	162:6	188:21
273:3, 280:10,	33:22, 33:24,	encouragement	engineering-spec-
281:17, 284:4,	33:25, 39:4,	160:24	ific
305:6, 305:20,	39:5, 39:7, 45:17, 45:20,	encourages	186:16, 186:17
312:11, 312:13,	45:17, 45:20, 45:21, 48:23,	91:21, 161:18,	enhance
327:6	51:22, 52:2,	178:12, 248:1	95:22, 96:19,
elevate	52:19, 54:8,	encouraging	120:17, 164:17,
97:17	54:11, 54:19,	160:24, 163:10,	164:21, 250:6, 281:23
elevation	54:22, 55:8,	178:9, 246:14	enhanced
150:18, 151:23,	64:1, 64:16,	end	167:13
154:6	79:10, 89:7,	33:5, 44:4,	enhancements
elevations	138:1, 138:9,	60:14, 75:25,	132:11, 246:12
150:10, 310:21	138:11	131:21, 152:20,	enhances
elevator	emails	217:15, 244:4, 279:6, 313:1,	192 : 23
282:13	24:6	213.0, 313:1,	172.2

		<u>, </u>	
enhancing	equally	273:1, 301:15,	eventual
167:3, 246:6	38:9	331:21	316:1
enough	equals	establishes	eventually
75:13, 169:22,	202:3, 228:25,	179:22, 231:8,	106:3, 154:11,
191:19, 203:4,	229:1, 229:2	238:3, 331:14,	222:15
324:15	equitable	332:1, 332:2	evergreen
ensure	161:2, 179:7	establishing	193:22
44:7, 87:1,	erin	165:1, 196:25,	evergreens
94:4, 96:23,	3:5, 11:2,	197:25, 202:9,	292:5
123:23, 141:18,	56:22, 84:5	217:19, 250:14,	every
141:19, 156:18,	ernesto	317:20	178:10, 178:23,
192:22, 248:18,	5:10, 28:24	establishment	179:23, 179:24,
250:5, 329:5	erodible	225:8	179:25, 200:11,
ensures	231:15	estate	233:1, 261:3
248:2	erosion	111:13	everybody
ensuring	189:2	estimate	8:3, 10:3,
132:4, 191:15,	erroneous	290:5	31:13, 114:18,
191:22, 195:10,	101:17	estimated	312:16
245:6	errors	10:11, 41:19	everyone
entered	265:20	estimating	13:23, 19:11,
137:24, 149:15,	errs	242:12	24:2, 24:4,
207:6, 297:11,	96:16	euclidean	25:16, 38:6,
313:23	escapes	161:23, 202:15	39:3, 41:9,
entering	294:18	evaluate	52:24, 53:16,
149:2, 207:11	especially	71:1, 190:17,	71:17, 158:11,
entire	107:11, 147:16,	244:12, 285:11	209:15, 317:16,
262:7, 292:6	290:13	evaluated	321:18
entirely	esquire	179:12, 196:15,	everyone's
316:13	3:4, 3:5, 3:11	246:19	14:2, 41:11
entrance	essentially	evaluation	everything
10:3, 24:3,	70:5, 78:5,	69:25, 230:1,	25:18, 47:21,
130:22, 319:11	207:12	243:17, 309:6	52:25, 53:14,
entrances	establish	even	53:16, 107:13,
254:18	66:2, 98:6,	42:18, 82:24,	143:17, 152:19,
entry	99:6, 118:15,	90:1, 99:11,	152:22, 155:3,
279:14	160:25, 179:4,	101:12, 112:6,	162:25, 166:2,
environment	202:20, 202:22,	131:17, 143:11,	228:6, 239:16,
163:4, 232:3	211:17, 235:19,	157:7, 168:24,	239:19, 305:5
environmental	261:9	202:8, 204:20,	everything's
109:11, 112:9,	established	222:5, 226:12,	8:8, 253:7
155:9, 157:11,	99:4, 110:20,	261:18, 282:18,	evidence
158:20, 193:10,	112:4, 112:12,	287:9, 301:2,	9:9, 54:5,
195:12, 230:24,	175:10, 179:5,	308:22, 321:16,	55:5, 64:10,
231:9, 231:12,	196:18, 198:5,	327:21	64:14, 65:23,
303:11	200:2, 201:7,	evenly	78:13, 90:7,
envisioned	225:2, 229:3,	101:18	93:16, 98:6,
191:21, 245:10	232:21, 238:1,	events	98:13, 101:2,
equal	238:17, 248:2,	95:21	101:4, 101:8,
266:7			
	1		1

Conducted on November 6, 2025

101:12, 101:16,	312:25	49:6, 49:23,	314:25, 315:5,
101:21, 102:9,	exceeding	51:24, 52:5,	317:5, 317:7
116:15, 138:21,	198:5, 243:2	52:12, 53:9,	exists
149:20, 208:7,	exceeds	53:25, 54:4,	258 : 3
237:19, 270:9,	88:12, 243:2,	54:18, 56:4,	expand
270:18, 314:2	309:23	78:2, 124:10,	96:5, 254:10,
evidentiary	except	201:23, 209:16,	315:3
102:5	117:18, 127:7,	274:21	expanded
evolve	152:22, 187:17,	exist	113:12, 164:20,
96:4, 175:17	189:20	120:15, 196:13,	197:24
evolving	exception	246:6	expanding
195:1	143:24, 148:4,	existing	130:24, 199:5
exact	209:16, 290:14,	90:8, 90:22,	expands
328:4	292:17, 293:5,	91:8, 91:22,	239:4
exactly	293:8	92:2, 92:4,	expanse
48:2, 50:14,	exceptions	92:5, 92:9,	139:23
79:11, 183:25,	290:18	92:16, 112:25,	expansion
194:15, 279:11,	excerpt	113:14, 120:19,	164:10
304:9, 323:5	108:15, 223:4	122:5, 127:15,	expect
examination	excerpted	132:14, 132:23,	208:25, 281:7,
6:9, 6:12,	209:17	135:3, 135:15,	325:4
6:15, 6:16,	excerpts	135:17, 136:16,	expectation
104:12, 186:4,	128:3	139:15, 150:11,	324:9
308:2, 321:2,	exclude	150:15, 150:19,	expectations
328:12	37:3	156:17, 157:4,	252 : 21
examined	exclusive	163:11, 163:16,	expected
94:22, 99:12	91:1	163:20, 164:23,	291:20
examiner's	exclusively	175:4, 175:5,	experience
66:2, 103:6,	60:20, 92:7	176:20, 177:10,	57:10, 58:9,
170:21, 218:21,	exclusively-resi-	177:12, 191:11,	58:22, 59:9,
285:10, 330:5	dential	191:23, 192:5,	59:20, 60:1,
example	90:15	192:8, 192:24,	61:6, 62:16,
49:2, 60:9,	excuse	193:7, 193:13,	62:20, 62:22,
60:22, 71:9,	20:18, 22:17,	193:14, 193:17,	63:14, 66:15,
75:16, 94:23,	31:21, 138:23,	193:21, 195:25,	67:13, 67:19,
151:22, 157:15,	192:15, 198:25,	196:2, 196:22,	68:3, 68:6,
165:12, 200:25,	207:13, 263:22,	197:1, 199:4,	68:7, 68:25,
214:25, 219:11,	264:24, 266:9	202:2, 219:18,	69:10, 70:11,
225:5, 272:17,	excused	220:5, 220:18,	70:20, 71:12,
282:12, 286:3,	332:18	220:20, 220:23,	71:16, 72:20,
295:2, 296:3,	executive	239:5, 245:2,	74:11, 75:19,
312:5, 317:24,	69:10	248:10, 248:21,	75:20, 75:21,
331:25	exhibit-ized	258:17, 278:23,	167:14, 171:23
examples	148:24	279:5, 283:3,	experienced
100:13, 204:14	exhibits	283:13, 290:24,	246:1
exceed	35:11, 44:24,	291:7, 304:2,	expert
92:10, 249:14,	44:25, 45:13,	308:5, 309:7,	47:10, 47:14,
284:7, 309:20,	48:12, 48:24,	309:12, 309:15,	56:9, 57:1,
	10.12, 10.21,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

```
57:8, 57:11,
                     explore
                                          132:23, 156:21,
                                                               102:13
57:14, 57:15,
                     217:10, 278:11,
                                          156:23, 163:19,
                                                               fair
57:17, 57:20,
                                          164:9, 165:1,
                                                               43:16, 43:25,
                     306:9
57:25, 58:6,
                                          167:18, 176:23,
                     explorer
                                                               157:18, 301:12,
58:12, 58:21,
                                          177:24, 189:3,
                                                               306:8, 315:6,
                     185:10
59:8, 59:11,
                                          192:1, 192:9,
                                                               324:25, 325:18,
                     exposed
59:14, 59:21,
                                          196:12, 222:10,
                                                               325:19
                     69:5, 152:15
                                          222:19, 226:25,
61:23, 62:1,
                                                               fairland
                     express
62:6, 63:6,
                                          227:5, 227:20,
                                                               69:20, 268:22
                     87:23
                                          229:24, 246:20,
63:11, 63:17,
                                                               fairness
                     expressed
                                          246:25, 247:4,
65:23, 66:12,
                                                               75:6
                     147:18
66:16, 66:18,
                                          247:16, 259:11,
                                                               faithfully
                     expressly
                                          261:5, 261:10,
68:1, 68:2,
                                                               95:10
                     61:12
                                          261:12, 261:19,
68:5, 68:10,
                     extending
                                                               fall
68:18, 70:5,
                                          262:12, 262:23,
                                                               64:22, 78:25
                     51:23, 55:8
70:8, 71:9,
                                          263:4, 265:14,
                                                               familiar
                     extension
71:24, 72:12,
                                          265:20, 303:6,
                                                               61:22, 106:12,
                     9:19, 10:18,
73:2, 73:4,
                                          305:19
                                                               107:16, 107:19,
                     52:7, 52:15,
73:7, 73:11,
                                          facility
                     52:18, 52:19
                                                               108:17, 184:9,
73:13, 73:17,
                                          145:22, 225:2,
                                                               190:7, 263:15,
                     extensive
74:3, 74:12,
                                          225:8, 261:3,
                                                               293:6, 297:14
                     67:12, 67:18,
74:23, 75:13,
                                          261:22, 263:11
                                                               familiarity
                     72:4, 103:10
76:4, 76:8,
                                          facility's
                                                               59:14, 59:17,
                     extent
78:9, 80:21,
                                          229:6
                                                               190:13
                     82:13, 82:21,
87:24, 103:5,
                                          fact
                                                               families
                     86:1, 99:11,
187:14, 189:10,
                                          34:3, 36:6,
                     207:11, 316:6
                                                               154:19
                                          43:6, 52:4,
324:23
                                                               family
                     exterior
expertise
                                          58:11, 61:19,
                                                               17:1, 129:19,
                     281:1
57:20, 62:10,
                                          63:3, 83:19,
                                                               199:25, 200:10,
                     eyes
62:19, 70:15,
                                          89:23, 97:5,
                                                               279:5, 283:3
                     118:11, 142:9,
71:2, 74:18,
                                          101:5, 102:6,
                                                               far
                     142:10
75:3, 103:13,
                                          103:6, 166:18,
                                                               19:9, 19:15,
                              F
190:14
                                          204:5, 209:20,
                                                               23:4, 35:19,
                     f
experts
                                          214:1, 217:17,
                                                               44:18, 49:5,
                     33:12
46:9, 50:20,
                                          261:17, 269:11,
                                                               70:22, 90:14,
61:17, 62:9,
                     f-r-a-n-c-i-s
                                          274:1, 316:11
                                                               98:1, 111:2,
85:22, 88:13,
                     33:15
                                          factors
                                                               144:17, 144:21,
                     fabric
323:15
                                          102:11, 303:8,
                                                               200:10, 201:11,
explain
                     141:18, 142:3,
                                          303:12, 303:23,
                                                               202:6, 202:19,
                     144:5, 153:5,
90:3, 104:14,
                                          317:19, 317:22
                                                               211:4, 211:5,
                     197:16
117:13, 139:9,
                                          facts
                                                               211:7, 211:9,
                     face
190:24, 196:21,
                                          94:20, 94:21
                                                               211:21, 280:15,
237:21, 248:12,
                     236:9, 286:9,
                                          factual
                                                               290:5, 317:16
280:3, 297:21
                     286:18
                                          36:4
                                                               fast
                     facilities
explained
                                          failed
                                                               146:1, 225:16
52:19, 211:5,
                     72:14, 72:19,
                                          92:14
                                                               fast-moving
261:15, 261:21
                     85:6, 86:21,
                                          fails
                                                               169:23
                     86:23, 122:9,
explicitly
                                          98:3, 98:7,
                                                               faster
185:23
                                                               20:16
```

		veiliber 0, 2023	
favor	235:7, 235:15,	film	fine
55:22, 77:10,	235:21, 236:5,	145:6	53:13, 182:18,
97:2	236:6, 236:18,	final	206:14, 271:15,
faye	242:4, 242:6,	85:5, 158:13,	271:19, 272:1,
19:10, 21:22,	274:24, 275:16,	196:16, 234:21,	275:11, 313:5,
21:23	276:24, 277:12,	236:20, 241:2,	322:15, 322:23
feature	277:14, 277:21,	278:22, 298:8,	fine-tuned
123:2, 279:12	282:9, 284:7,	310:18, 312:11,	312:24
features	284:13, 284:14,	312:13, 312:21,	finished
191:16, 192:18,	286:21, 286:22,	331:11, 331:22	43:20, 151:7,
193:10, 198:17,	311:12, 311:22,	finalized	290:23, 325:13
204:7, 231:9,	312:2, 313:1,	131:23, 241:3,	finite
245:7, 258:16,	319:13, 319:14,	241:8, 272:9,	172:16
	327:11	312:23, 312:24	fire
281:14, 281:21, 281:23, 303:10	fence	finally	
	129:11, 129:12,	_	121:6, 121:13,
fee	129:14	40:19, 173:2,	189:7, 192:10,
331:20	129:14 few	179:2, 203:22,	247:14
feedback	134:23, 144:10,	226:24, 244:5,	firm
120:13, 142:20,	154:23, 144:10, 155:22, 182:13,	312:25 financial	10:24, 56:21
248:15	241:23, 245:24,		first
feel	271:17, 311:6,	334:10, 335:10	12:8, 15:17,
34:1, 35:4,	324:8	find	21:10, 28:5,
35:6, 119:9,	fewer	45:4, 100:12,	36:1, 41:18,
182:13, 184:11,		101:16, 101:21,	43:2, 43:11,
204:24, 332:8	279:1, 291:5,	102:4, 139:1,	44:8, 68:10,
feels	311:13	164:7, 198:12,	76:24, 84:24,
43:12, 66:11	field	199:6, 215:21,	85:18, 89:11,
feet	57:14, 59:2,	222:15, 232:9,	90:4, 93:20,
8:19, 92:10,	120:17, 131:9,	273:14, 273:18	100:16, 102:23,
119:2, 120:20,	259:7, 259:16,	finder	137:9, 144:8,
122:21, 127:1,	259:21	58:11	152:15, 152:23,
127:6, 129:12,	fields	finding	161:11, 174:13,
129:14, 130:9,	70:11, 262:5	101:13, 102:12,	190:21, 191:5,
130:14, 130:15,	fifth	213:8, 219:13,	194:5, 194:7,
130:25, 133:1,	282:22, 327:10		196:25, 213:14,
133:2, 143:2,	figure	246:21, 247:22,	213:20, 214:5,
143:3, 143:5,	212:3	247:23, 249:15,	222:13, 222:25,
144:11, 151:14,	file	249:17, 249:23,	231:1, 231:16,
151:25, 152:2,	9:22, 49:25,		233:22, 252:22,
152:5, 153:12,	51:13, 51:15,	332:11	253:4, 253:10,
153:13, 153:14,	65:13, 77:25,	findings	253:17, 255:10,
154:3, 154:6,	78:23, 83:11	85:2, 88:5,	258:18, 288:10,
154:7, 211:23,	filed	88:17, 88:25,	289:19, 323:12,
231:9, 234:5,	52:5, 52:6	102:7, 111:18,	323:23, 324:6,
234:6, 234:9,	files	148:9, 244:3,	326:6, 331:9
234:12, 234:17,	50:1	244:10, 244:15,	fiscal
234:19, 234:20,	filing	251:12, 251:19,	221:15, 247:8
234:22, 235:6,	98:12	260:9	fit
			142:2, 153:4
		I .	I .

		,	
five	floating	focus	223:16, 282:8,
57:18, 61:9,	7:6, 9:3,	160:17, 161:16,	284:16, 285:2,
68:10, 90:19,	50:18, 84:19,	167:2, 176:11,	285:9, 285:13,
120:3, 174:12,	85:11, 87:22,	177:20, 178:3,	286:14
177:22, 213:13,	98:4, 99:1,	179:9, 226:1,	foot-minimum
222:20, 222:22,	99:5, 113:17,	245:15, 255:9,	143:8
253:15, 274:9,	114:1, 114:9,	305:11, 305:23	foot-wide
274:12, 281:4	114:16, 114:23,	focused	255:11
five-foot-six-in-	114:25, 116:10,	38:7, 163:6,	footage
ch	116:21, 117:2,	179:8, 193:12,	211:14
135:25	127:12, 173:1,	286:16	footprint
five-minute	190:16, 197:3,	focusing	211:24, 239:17,
252 : 7	199:9, 199:12,	91:17, 160:23,	329:3
five-year	199:16, 199:18,	170:2, 248:22	footprints
106:3	199:21, 200:1,	folks	147:23, 211:17,
fix	200:2, 202:8,	8:7, 33:21,	285:14, 310:21
228:20	202:13, 202:18,	40:17, 110:24,	force
fixed	202:20, 203:13,	184:20, 184:21,	59:24, 60:19,
228:21	210:14, 210:19,	185:5, 209:9,	101:10
flannery	210:22, 212:12,	288:2, 321:13	forced-hand
20:23, 21:6,	225:11, 232:19,	follow	188:24
23:3, 89:21	232:20, 243:14,	94:5, 94:13,	forces
flash	243:23, 244:4,	110:9, 112:7,	60:5, 61:7,
60:14	244:7, 244:16,	112:12, 135:9,	61:15, 74:8
flat	245:18, 247:18,	135:24, 229:4,	foregoing
147:17	248:10, 249:13,	238:9, 246:23,	334:3, 334:5,
flawed	249:18, 249:20,	275:23, 300:22,	335:3
93:16	251:11, 251:16,	301:3, 328:9	forest
fleit	276:3, 293:12,	follow-up	25:3, 25:9,
4:12, 138:23,	311:8, 311:21	217:20, 320:25	25:13, 29:21,
139:7	floodgates 62:7, 70:6,	follow-ups	32:7, 32:12,
flexibility	70:16	316:3	109:2, 109:17,
71:3, 192:16,	flooding	followed	111:5, 124:5,
192:21, 198:7,	155:18, 156:11,	80:19, 95:8,	158:13, 158:14,
198:14, 198:23,	158:19	218:5	196:16, 231:19,
202:8, 202:18,	floor	following	231:20, 330:17,
203:10, 203:11,	144:18, 151:7,	153:7, 205:1,	331:1, 331:6,
203:20, 248:4,	152:15, 184:13,	217:1, 327:18	331:7, 331:11,
312:10, 328:16,	211:13, 211:23,	follows	331:13, 331:16,
328:17, 328:20,	282:22	96:24, 104:11	331:19, 331:22
329:5	floors	food	forgot
flexible	184:12	183:9	223:8
71:1, 194:7,	flow	foot	form
203:4	119:15, 139:6	115:23, 116:6,	10:7, 178:10
flint	flyers	117:1, 129:17,	formal
171:14	95:3	153:17, 180:9, 181:2, 181:6,	46:1, 58:15,
flip	focal	181:2, 181:6, 181:8, 181:9,	74:7, 75:23,
324:11	131:15, 165:14	101:0, 101:9,	86:23, 87:14,
	,		

	Conducted on 1	(0) 01110 01 0, 2020	117
114:13	272:15, 273:5,	235:23, 277:22	further
formalities	289:1	frye	6:15, 6:16,
35:18	francis	70:24	66:25, 78:19,
formalized	4:7, 33:9	full	109:19, 118:3,
60:6	frankly	42:4, 51:2,	119:1, 120:9,
formally	97:14	51:3, 51:8,	124:10, 142:22,
114:15	fraud	51:9, 100:14,	145:14, 150:23,
formulas	274:1	102:10, 114:6,	162:5, 169:11,
229:2	free	114:8, 123:12,	171:8, 191:18,
forth	35:7, 119:9,	137:14, 140:12,	195:20, 204:6,
9:11, 36:24,	184:11	146:5, 146:7,	222:5, 235:24,
77:23, 78:17,	french	149:7, 231:6,	236:19, 245:18,
78:20, 85:21,	4:13	282:4, 285:23	246:15, 251:23,
100:25, 190:15,	fresh	full-day	258:6, 271:25,
194:20, 195:4,	100:10	325:2	274:8, 278:12,
199:8, 207:15,	front	full-size	278:20, 307:18,
261:11, 266:12	80:15, 118:8,	7:9, 7:10,	315:3, 321:2,
forthright	120:10, 122:17,	7:12, 137:4,	328:12
76:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	146:8, 150:10,	furtherance
forward	128:17, 129:1, 130:8, 136:8,	151:10, 237:3,	192:6
39:12, 67:6,	130:8, 136:8, 136:9, 147:13,	237:12, 238:11,	furthering
110:17, 145:3,	199:3, 199:15,	313:16, 314:7	191:5
271:17, 297:21,	212:14, 212:19,	full-sized	furthers
318:14, 320:3		148:22, 231:6	190:24, 191:8
found	224:22, 225:3, 225:15, 235:9,	fully	furthest
	235:20, 235:22,	93:17, 100:7,	254:11, 320:12
143:22, 180:2,	254:18, 255:21,	305:22	future
182:1, 198:9,	273:1, 278:13,	function	96:16, 135:6,
202:17, 226:22, 226:23, 249:8	286:5, 310:25	34:9, 35:2,	160:14, 161:2,
foundation	front-load	161:20, 227:3	162:9, 164:22,
	122:23	functional	175:18, 179:7,
127:2, 161:1, 179:5, 312:3	front-yards	86:11, 107:1,	214:21, 215:8,
four	118:13	110:25, 172:20,	215:11, 217:8,
	frontage	179:16, 181:13,	217:18, 218:11,
39:10, 123:15,	_	181:25, 182:5,	218:20, 218:23,
152:1, 152:4,	132:17, 133:6,	191:4, 191:7,	219:8, 219:21,
152:17, 206:13, 211:21, 214:1,	214:6, 214:7, 216:4, 216:11,	191:10, 191:25,	220:7, 310:11,
211:21, 214:1, 214:3, 222:18,	217:3, 217:5,	245:14, 299:10,	315:13, 330:7
222:21, 234:23,	294:8, 294:20,	299:13, 299:16,	future-oriented
266:6, 266:8,	321:7	299:24, 300:8,	218:2
282:4, 306:25	frontages	317:4	G
fourth	142:8, 142:21,	functions	
259:25, 310:13	192:25, 214:14,	165:14	g-o-l-d-e-n
frame	238:2, 278:14	fund	40:23
286:24, 304:7,	fronting	166:2	g-o-n-s-k-i
305:15	117:23, 277:11,	fundamentally	40:3
framework	280:20, 284:17	77:21	g-r-e-e-n-s-p-r
	fronts	funding	i-n-g
107:4, 272:12,		175:8, 175:9	26:2, 27:12
	128:22, 212:23,	1/3.0, 1/3:9	

324:13, 325:15, g-r-e-e-n-spring 117:21, 160:7, 163:20, 164:3, 164:14, 167:15, 325:19 190:25, 191:9 28:3 173:20, 174:2, girard goals g-r-e-g 174:8, 174:17, 86:9, 91:16, 3:5, 11:2, 40:23 179:15, 181:24, 56:22, 80:8, 159:11, 159:14, gable 182:4, 191:4, 82:7, 84:5, 164:13, 166:19, 147:16 191:6, 191:24, 205:25, 209:11, 167:1, 174:5, gained 197:14, 241:17, 237:9 181:23, 191:6, 70:20 244:19, 245:13, 299:9, 305:12, gis game 248:7, 274:5, 329:6 224:16 167:25 297:3, 299:4, goes gist gap 299:8, 299:11, 35:19, 36:1, 221:11 246:11 299:23, 300:7, 78:3, 131:1, give garage 300:13, 302:2, 145:2, 150:23, 123:14, 242:5, 12:7, 17:23, 317:3, 317:11 159:23, 166:12, 18:19, 20:15, 242:14, 242:15 generally 238:24, 240:19, 26:10, 26:16, garages 73:14, 165:20, 256:15, 258:19, 27:18, 35:24, 211:25 242:15, 278:12, 286:24, 287:1, 35:25, 36:17, garner 283:18, 290:18, 298:3, 298:5, 39:12, 46:15, 297:12 297:14, 300:22, 308:8 49:9, 55:17, gateway 314:24 56:2, 72:23, going 60:23, 168:20, generate 80:15, 114:10, 18:18, 19:15, 168:24, 169:4, 88:11, 177:5, 26:10, 35:6, 126:4, 206:4, 170:10, 173:16, 249:13, 258:24 39:7, 44:5, 237:2, 264:17, 304:25, 305:17 generated 267:18, 270:15, 44:9, 77:6, gather 258:22, 260:8, 324:2, 328:20, 77:9, 80:20, 60:6 260:19, 261:5, 82:20, 82:21, 331:1 gathered 102:22, 103:21, 261:21, 261:25 given 94:21 10:11, 23:4, generates 113:3, 126:4, gathering 227:8 126:22, 127:7, 42:9, 46:6, 239:1 132:3, 138:8, generation 47:17, 52:8, gauge 138:10, 140:12, 221:24, 247:8 52:15, 58:11, 253:6 146:19, 152:5, geometry 58:14, 75:6, gave 89:9, 108:7, 152:9, 154:9, 289:25 19:10 156:16, 171:1, 154:10, 155:23, george gayle 155:24, 158:12, 194:6, 204:22, 30:6 5:6, 38:14, 158:22, 164:11, 211:2, 228:9, germantown 38:16, 38:17 234:19, 248:15, 165:25, 171:12, 105:11 qcca 250:17, 262:24 182:6, 182:7, getting 6:5, 60:24, 183:20, 185:6, giving 20:13, 76:10, 61:13, 61:25, 185:16, 187:22, 52:18, 52:24, 118:11, 120:13, 62:13, 67:16, 190:11, 191:1, 132:10, 219:11 134:21, 170:5, 69:1, 89:15 195:6, 202:1, glen 316:17, 327:12 gelled 228:24, 235:16, 297:12 giant 166:20 236:7, 244:2, glenmont 224:18, 293:20 general 247:10, 250:1, 171:15 gip 59:17, 61:21, 255:15, 255:16, 247:7 goal 68:14, 85:20, 257:20, 258:7, 157:11, 160:11, girad 86:2, 106:23, 323:5, 323:9,

	Conducted on 140	veineer 0, 2025	110
267:4, 267:15,	grade	276:16, 314:14	quideline
272:16, 273:2,	122:3, 152:7,	greenspring	266:12
274:19, 274:23,	154:7, 155:2,	25:24, 26:1,	quidelines
275:15, 277:11,	291:4	27:9, 28:2	60:9, 107:2,
283:1, 290:20,	graded	greg	172:18, 172:21,
290:21, 292:9,	291:10	4:14, 40:23	226:13, 228:19,
295:16, 308:16,	grades	grid	230:5, 230:18,
323:12, 324:21,	121:22, 150:11,	143:24	238:8, 239:9,
325:13, 326:22,	154:21	grocery	263:10, 263:19,
329:5, 330:16	grading	224:10, 224:13,	266:2, 266:15,
golden	121:14, 121:17,	224:22, 226:9,	266:23, 309:21
4:14, 40:19,	123:22, 124:5,	226:23, 293:20,	guiding
40:23, 40:25,	155:19, 156:20,	293:23, 294:2,	177:18, 300:23
41:4	332:9	294:3, 308:10,	Н
gone	grant	319:5, 319:10,	h
164:11, 229:22	74:11, 74:19	319:11, 321:8	1:6, 8:4, 8:12,
gonski	granted	gross	8:19, 30:7,
4:15, 40:2	116:13	144:18, 211:13,	84:20, 93:13,
good	grass	211:23	113:18
8:2, 8:7, 10:2,	266:16, 266:18	group	h-e-l-s-i-n-q
10:23, 11:4,	gray	65:7, 127:2,	15:4
15:19, 15:22,	283:13, 283:22	312:3	h-o-m
56:19, 89:16,	great	groups	30:2
105:24, 145:11,	56:19, 60:21,	81:4, 81:24,	h-o-n
182:23, 188:5,	91:24, 119:12,	95:19, 127:9	30 : 3
226:5, 228:9,	156:3, 185:18,	grove	h-o-n-g
239:14, 241:24,	189:23	84:11, 89:5,	29:25
254:1, 254:2	greater	154:4, 154:5	habitable
gotten	4:17, 5:15,	growth	282:13
25:23, 130:5	11:6, 14:25,	160:9, 160:14,	habitats
gov	60:21, 89:17,	174:19, 175:16,	232:3
24:6, 24:10,	96:17, 97:23,	176:19, 177:4,	half
33:22, 39:6	99:11, 121:17,	177:9, 192:7,	104:22, 168:5,
govern	123:25, 127:1,	193:18, 292:3,	265:11, 267:6,
179:17	127:6, 157:6,	315:20	268:9, 268:17,
governed	176:11, 221:13,	guess	269:1, 269:11
278:12	231:13, 235:16,	43:23, 47:14,	half-a-mile
government	246:14, 279:3,	48:22, 73:12,	110:23, 143:11
93:23, 94:1,	297:13, 312:2	240:19, 254:1,	half-an-hour
94:4, 94:11,	green	266:24, 312:7	184:7
95:9, 95:15,	7:12, 87:16,	guessing	half-hour
96:16, 96:21,	122:12, 123:20,	41:22, 307:22	183:14
97:19, 305:19	196:4, 237:4,	guests	half-mile
government-regul-	237:5, 237:14,	121:5	110:6, 137:1,
ated	237:15, 239:18,	guidance	139:20, 259:13,
246:4	240:6, 240:9,	225:12, 273:12,	263:4, 263:6,
grab	240:10, 242:2,	274:3, 301:3,	263:16, 264:13,
182:21	248:23, 272:7,	301:8, 301:14	200.10, 201.10,
	Ī	1	

265:10, 265:15	40:18, 91:17,	healthcare	310:9, 310:15
half-radius	103:1	145:13, 147:6,	heightened
263:17	handball	153:23, 153:25,	198:4
hallway	228:11, 228:13,	292:12	heights
182:20	228:22, 265:21	hear	87:9, 149:9,
hampshire	handle	9:9, 85:22,	149:18, 153:1,
9:2, 21:8,	182:11	124:16, 124:21,	153:3, 153:8,
29:7, 29:8,	handled	125:3, 156:10,	153:9, 153:11,
69:18, 84:17,	232:19	184:22, 253:2,	154:14, 154:17,
87:4, 109:5,	hands	281:25, 324:24	203:4, 203:16,
117:23, 118:5,	38:10, 39:9	heard	236:4
118:10, 118:16,	hang	36:19, 42:8,	held
119:23, 121:23,	23:25	42:10, 120:13,	2:1, 59:10
132:14, 132:19,	happen	155:17, 328:18,	help
132:21, 133:1,	34:12, 38:3,	331:10	26:19, 47:13,
133:4, 133:7,	44:5, 94:10,	hearings	147:19, 162:22,
133:11, 135:2,	140:7, 162:14,	1:3, 2:7, 3:20,	163:17, 166:24,
136:3, 136:6,	289:20, 297:6,	42:4, 58:18	177:6, 216:13,
139:19, 145:22,	299:18, 299:21	heavily	288:2, 313:13,
148:5, 150:21,	happened	92:6	328:1
150:22, 154:2,	13:25	hedges	helped
155:5, 162:15,		193:22	188:16
162:21, 166:13,	happy	heidi	helpful
167:24, 168:2,	55:10, 253:16,		73:19, 74:20,
168:7, 180:11,	289:23	5:2, 17:19,	237:2, 330:2,
181:4, 195:7,	hard	18:8, 18:11,	330:10
204:10, 212:24,	47:14, 49:11,	19:11	helping
214:10, 214:13,	50:5, 50:6,	height	
216:5, 216:6,	50:25, 51:2,	7:10, 8:18,	176:8, 302:18
216:12, 217:13,	53:15, 137:5,	92:3, 92:10,	helps
220:21, 223:3,	138:24, 140:17,	92:11, 149:13,	49:15, 209:9,
223:11, 223:18,	206:22, 248:17,	149:14, 149:16,	329:5
226:12, 226:18,	250:9, 250:18,	151:16, 151:24,	helsing
235:15, 238:19,	288:6, 310:10,	152:2, 152:25,	4:17, 14:15,
240:16, 246:9,	315:3	153:18, 153:22,	14:24, 15:4
250:14, 254:22,	hard-copy		henry
255:19, 256:8,	140:8		30:7
256:13, 256:24,	harmony	197:9, 197:19,	here
259:5, 280:3,	204:2	197:25, 200:4,	11:6, 29:13,
280:16, 280:21,	hatching	204:4, 233:8,	30:15, 34:2,
288:16, 289:6,	279:13	233:19, 233:23,	36:11, 37:4,
289:14, 295:13,	hats	233:24, 234:10,	42:2, 46:12,
295:20, 295:23,	301:20	234:12, 234:17,	51:13, 56:21,
296:15, 304:20,	he-	234:21, 234:25,	75:12, 75:13,
308:6, 320:15,	265:1	235:8, 236:18,	77:19, 78:14,
329:1	heading	245:8, 248:4,	81:14, 84:14,
hand	216:17, 229:7	251:6, 251:7,	89:17, 93:12,
33:7, 35:3,	health	280:6, 282:11,	95:7, 96:19,
	252 : 7	286:12, 286:25,	97:3, 114:7,

	Conducted on 140	veinoer 0, 2023	110
120:3, 151:25,	139:25	hong	195:2, 245:2,
152:14, 152:22,	highway	5:5, 29:24,	245:4, 245:25,
152:24, 154:7,	66:19, 87:5,	30:3, 30:20	246:4, 246:7,
158:24, 160:10,	295:12, 295:16	hope	250:16, 250:25,
161:17, 167:4,	highways	_	276:18, 277:4,
170:12, 176:17,		183:20, 209:7,	278:15, 290:15,
178:6, 183:14,	90:24, 179:19,	316:9, 321:16,	291:8, 299:11,
	179:21, 180:3,	321:17, 321:23,	
187:5, 191:5,	180:6, 180:18,	326:7	302:14, 302:16,
208:19, 209:8,	299:14, 299:19,	hopefully	305:24, 317:23 however
218:12, 235:8,	299:22	163:2, 164:22,	
244:25, 252:21,	hijack	203:24, 324:15	93:20, 165:16,
258:14, 280:15,	96:9	hoping	228:3
285:24, 286:20,	hindsight	322:6, 322:7	human
288:2, 288:5,	176:12	horizontal	96:20, 317:15
292:12, 293:21,	historic	200:21, 286:17	hundred
301:2, 306:2,	260:1, 262:5	horizontal-mixed-	127:1, 284:7,
311:6, 313:10,	history	-use	311:12, 311:22,
321:18, 327:17	59:4, 103:11,	271:5, 271:6	327:11
here's	303:12, 303:24	horizontally	hundreds
140:24, 325:1	hit	203:7, 328:25	95:21
hereby	54:19	horizontally-mix-	hybrid
334:4, 335:2	hoa	ed-use	34:15
herring	87:15, 196:4,	271:2	hypothetical
58:2	236:10, 240:1,	hours	218:11
hey	315:9	41:23, 322:23	hypothetically
319:3	hold	house	310:6
hi	47:19, 48:6,	95:15, 151:19,	I
29:11, 30:13,	59:15, 128:6,	152:14, 327:19	i-a-n-e
33:8	218:21	household	21:4, 21:13
high	holistic	176:7, 302:17	i-v-o-n-n-e
58:11, 92:20,	302:25	households	32:21
151:1, 151:4,	holloway-jones	164:6	icc
221:21, 222:1	4:16, 16:6,	houses	132:22, 256:14
high-level	16:7, 16:10,	111:11, 156:15,	idea
121:19	16:11, 16:14,	292:21	165:5, 241:24,
high-priority	27:18, 27:19,	housing	250:24
193:9	27:21, 31:16	85:15, 85:16,	ideal
higher	home	96:10, 96:15,	165:15
118:15, 163:21	95:22, 255:21	125:18, 142:6,	ideas
highlight	homes	142:13, 164:3,	96:7, 170:1,
175:24, 239:12,	115:25, 118:20,	164:4, 164:6,	175:23
257:14	127:13, 127:16,	164:11, 175:15,	identical
highlighted	129:7, 129:19,	175:18, 176:6,	50:15, 117:20
99:11	141:25, 143:23,	176:22, 177:10,	identification
highlighting	153:24, 197:12,	178:6, 178:13,	138:21, 149:20,
147:4	233:18, 250:23,	178:16, 178:18,	208:7, 237:19,
highly	279:5, 283:3,	178:21, 178:22,	314:2
57:23, 62:19,	284:2, 311:12	191:13, 194:19,	

identified	250:15	inadequacy	inconsistency
70:13, 91:19,	implement	68:14	68:11
108:4, 108:19,	85:18, 166:24,	inaudible-audio	inconsistent
194:3, 241:8,	190:21, 203:15	224:3	86:1, 316:7,
269:7, 323:2	implementation	inc	316:19
identifies	69:14, 69:21,	297:12	incorporate
300:14	198:24	inch	142:20
identify	implemented	220:25, 221:1	increase
10:20, 11:11,	162:20	inches	98:22, 142:14,
57:14, 188:13,	implements	123:24, 151:16,	177:9, 241:18,
204:25, 205:4,	247:25, 248:3	157:6	249:2
258:16, 261:13,	implications	inclined	increased
265:25, 288:13	139:3	74:16, 74:17	164:24, 165:3,
identifying	imply	include	175:15, 248:23,
31:9, 79:10,	169:13, 172:23	54:11, 55:10,	249:3
261:11	important	108:2, 118:14,	increasing
identity	38:9, 144:3,	170:14, 176:3,	193:12, 195:21,
167:13	166:18, 170:18,	176:6, 302:5,	305:19, 329:6
igiebor	176:17, 179:18,	302:11, 302:14	incredibly
4:19	200:22, 216:16,	included	144:16
illustration	246:22, 250:10,	50:8, 60:16,	increments
7:13, 313:24	271:1, 271:2	116:23, 128:13,	212:6
illustrative	importantly	169:7, 178:1,	independent
156:5, 291:25	304:3	262:23, 267:9,	230:1
image	impression	280:10	independently
108:14, 128:15,	100:17	includes	229:22, 287:22
131:10, 148:13,	improve	58:25, 67:20,	indicate
150:3, 223:5,	88:10, 133:5,	118:1, 246:4	10:5, 10:9,
287:3, 290:8	136:14, 142:7,	including	19:12, 254:14
images	163:9, 167:14,	46:8, 48:24,	indicated
148:10, 220:17,	167:18, 168:8,	57:21, 69:2,	37:9, 56:3,
223:3, 223:13 imagining	192:24, 246:12 improved	87:14, 94:12, 113:22, 142:6,	65:22, 66:21,
176:20	136:18, 164:19,	152:17, 190:8,	108:8, 326:20 indicates
immediately	233:13	191:14, 192:10,	
153:24, 294:19	improvement	195:3, 195:12,	57:16, 64:4, 108:22, 256:16
impact	158:20, 164:17,	245:5, 299:25,	individual
136:12, 136:20,	240:18	303:23	20:15, 57:5,
144:2, 157:8,	improvements	inclusion	57:25, 63:23,
166:3, 247:9,	167:5, 167:11,	45:10, 53:23,	64:3, 64:20,
250:7, 251:8,	240:7, 240:17,	116:9	65:8, 65:18,
297:2	246:8, 285:17,	income	66:24, 67:17,
impacted	285:19	176:7, 302:17	70:16, 77:24,
141:20	improves	incomes	81:7, 81:16,
impacts	118:14	164:4	87:15, 196:5,
109:17, 110:25,	improving	incompatible	199:2, 244:7
111:1, 141:25,	164:15, 191:14,	92:4, 250:25	individuals
198:8, 198:10,	245:5	incomplete	58:8, 64:10,
		101:18	

	Conducted on 140	veimeer 0, 2023	120
65:11, 65:13,	input	219:1, 226:11,	interpret
65:25, 77:20,	60:7, 60:13,	227:8, 227:20	60:1, 259:2,
78:3, 78:11,	61:2, 73:22,	intending	259:3
78:12	74:9	64:15, 82:13,	interpretation
indulgence	insects	218:23, 218:24,	65:16, 65:20,
296:18, 296:21	158:4	220:4, 312:9	220:2, 226:8,
industrial	insert	intends	301:7
212:16, 212:22	178:12	57:13, 57:19,	interpretations
industries	inset	63:8, 73:13	69:7
75 : 22	223:2	intent	interpreted
inequity	inside	58:20, 65:15,	218:16, 224:25
178:22	140:23	73:20, 82:10,	interprets
infill	inspection	98:25, 173:3,	297:2
91:7, 163:13,	49:12, 107:15	193:23, 194:3,	interrupt
165:3, 191:11,	installation	196:17, 225:11,	34:18, 34:24,
192:6, 195:24,	134:22, 167:21,	225:17	110:9, 119:15,
245:1, 247:9	216:10	intention	140:6, 215:13
influence	installed	57:17, 58:5,	interrupting
170:20	226:20	64:4, 65:23	20:18
informal	instance	intents	interruption
35:18	71:4, 327:21	190:15, 190:20,	14:22, 30:19
information	instances	247:18	interruptions
10:5, 40:13,	99:21	interact	214:18
49:4, 51:14,	instead	162:9	intersection
60:17, 61:18,	97:20, 256:7,	interaction	21:7, 139:19,
73:22, 87:25,	280:12, 282:5	165:15	163:15, 165:18,
209:4, 224:17,	institute	interactions	166:12, 167:23,
229:25	105:6	96:20	175:13, 180:11,
infrastructure	institutional	interacts	180:14, 221:4,
90:8, 121:13,	90:22, 111:8,	34:11	221:9, 226:11,
150:13, 176:4,	150:25, 154:18,	interest	289:13, 304:17,
176:23, 189:2,	193:2, 193:4	86:19, 245:19,	304:19, 308:8,
189:20, 191:24,	institutions	245:20, 245:23,	320:23
192:5, 196:1,	148:6	246:20, 334:10, 335:10	introduce
213:15, 216:19, 220:15, 220:18,	integrate	interested	65:23, 66:21,
246:12, 247:12,	192:16	36:5	74:22, 196:6, 270:18
302:12, 332:10	integrated	interests	introduced
ingress	122:10, 175:19		
91:1, 289:19	integration	62:2 interim	50:19 introducing
inherently	176:9, 302:19	222:7	_
141:24	intend	interior	78:8, 245:25 introduction
initial	38:1, 93:16,	92:18, 276:19	9:6
241:15, 250:5,	193:19	internal	inventory
332:1	intended	120:12, 122:25,	188:24, 231:5,
initially	58:7, 73:2,	131:13, 197:22,	231:25, 232:8
159:13, 166:10,	80:7, 81:16,	204:11, 291:15	investment
280:7	90:11, 90:12,	internet	160:19, 160:23,
	98:18, 170:11,	185:10	100.10, 100.20,
		1-00.10	

	Conducted on N	0 VCIIIOCI 0, 2023		121
162:13, 246:16	63:13, 74:2,	jump	kids	
invite	169:23, 170:23,	37:4, 37:20,	226:15, 269:18,	
56:16	172:20, 174:14,	83:16, 113:3,	269:22	
invites	250:2, 305:14,	113:13, 229:20	kind	
67:11	305:16	K	- 54:9, 82:20,	
involved	it'd	k-i-b	- 107:21, 131:15,	
59:6, 69:2,	42:16	28:1	131:20, 132:10,	
69:16, 69:20,	it'11	katie	132:18, 140:1,	
69:22, 72:18,	240:1, 281:5,	5:13, 84:11,	141:11, 145:6,	
75:3, 76:12	315:8	89:4, 221:8,	146:25, 147:12,	
involvement	italic	249:15, 329:24,	147:20, 147:24,	
59:17, 59:23,	216:17	330:1	154:16, 158:5,	
60:16, 61:10,	items	keep	158:9, 163:14,	
61:11, 73:24,	228:21	36:11, 51:19,	169:13, 180:24,	
74:6, 75:4,	iteration	122:12, 125:6,	183:4, 233:20,	
76:15, 76:18,	217:14	155:23, 155:24,	239:12, 250:3,	
183:25	iterations	172:14, 187:20,	279:13, 279:20,	
involves	49:24	255:15, 255:16,	293:3, 311:13,	
110:24	itself	267:4, 279:19,	312:21, 314:13,	
involving	58:2, 116:3,	308:16, 321:18,	315:18, 317:10	
72:18	118:25, 120:7,	322:22, 326:21,	kindly	
inwardly	124:2, 131:2,	327:14	15:3, 15:17,	
248:22	175:25, 268:2,	keeping	16:9, 17:9,	
irrelevant	319:10	127:14, 127:18,	18:19, 22:13,	
37 : 3	ivonne	204:11, 231:20,	24:4, 24:9,	
irrespective	4:21, 32:21	283:9	32:1, 33:14,	
218:20	J	keeps	38:19, 39:11,	
ish	james	258 : 7	46:15, 56:5,	
152:5	5:11, 40:7	keith	56:10, 102:20,	
island	jamie	4:25, 11:15,	103:1, 137:20, 254:10, 287:14	
238:24	1:24, 2:15,	48:21, 56:15,	kinds	
issue	334:2, 334:14	63:20, 93:8,	172:19, 212:4,	
9:16, 9:21,	jeopardize	93:11	250:4	
37:19, 41:10,	66:25	kelso	knowing	
49:25, 57:2,	job	4:20, 84:9,	317:15	
62:17, 62:25,	1:22	89:3, 220:16	knowledge	
63:19, 67:2,	jobs	kenny	57:9, 58:9,	
75:3, 97:3,	42:15	84:7	58:22, 59:8,	
99:25, 100:1,	joined	kensington	59:13, 59:20,	
100:9, 100:15,	95:19, 185:6	171:17	59:25, 60:8,	
100:16, 101:13,	josh	key	61:21, 62:1,	
183:21, 185:21,	5:9, 46:18	93:19, 118:10,	62:13, 62:14,	
215:15	joshua	175:20, 305:20	62:16, 62:21,	
issued	5:9, 6:8, 9:5,	khandikile	63:14, 66:13,	
9:4, 9:16	84:8, 89:2,	1:13, 3:18, 9:7	67:13, 67:19,	
issues	102:23, 104:9	kibalama	68:3, 68:5,	
57:21, 58:10,	judicial	27:25, 28:7	70:15, 70:18,	
61:3, 62:22,	71:6, 71:8			
		Í	I	

```
large
71:16, 74:1,
                     70:7, 77:23,
                                                               later
74:11, 85:18,
                     84:9, 89:3,
                                          83:3, 123:24,
                                                               9:18, 40:21,
95:23, 190:12,
                     104:16, 104:19,
                                          124:3, 125:13,
                                                               48:15, 55:12,
295:1, 295:11,
                     104:21, 107:9,
                                          140:3, 141:12,
                                                               91:25, 93:17,
334:6
                     146:3, 160:11,
                                          144:6, 144:16,
                                                               123:9, 133:14,
known
                     168:12, 176:3,
                                          169:21, 239:3,
                                                               167:20, 264:17,
                     183:22, 185:24,
304:9
                                          259:7, 259:16,
                                                               331:12
                     186:9, 186:10,
                                          259:20, 265:24,
                                                               latitude
knows
                     186:15, 186:23,
262:8, 282:6,
                                          266:11, 266:13,
                                                               83:3
                                          266:16, 266:21,
                     187:4, 188:15,
306:9
                                                               latr
                     192:17, 193:2,
kyle
                                          272:7, 287:7,
                                                               189:15
                     193:4, 193:24,
                                          330:15
5:8, 11:8,
                                                               laudable
                     194:2, 207:13,
89:19
                                          larger
                                                               76:5
                     207:14, 207:25,
                                          118:2, 119:22,
         L
                                                               laura
                     209:25, 248:2,
                                          120:12, 120:18,
                                                               4:5, 16:15,
l-i-n-d-l-e-y
                                          123:15, 129:11,
                     267:10, 302:11,
                                                               26:11
32:22
                     305:12, 325:12
                                          130:15, 136:6,
                                                               law
l-i-s-a
                     landed
                                          142:23, 169:1,
                                                               3:12, 10:24,
16:24
                     41:14
                                          211:3, 239:4,
                                                               11:5, 56:21,
label
                                          280:2, 280:4,
                     landscape
                                                               98:11, 160:1,
188:1
                     84:9, 89:2,
                                          287:10
                                                               281:8, 297:1
labeled
                     104:16, 104:19,
                                          largest
                                                               lawn
268:4, 287:22,
                     104:20, 105:4,
                                          120:18
                                                               131:3, 193:21,
311:4
                     105:5, 105:15,
                                          last
                                                               228:13, 228:23,
labquest
                     107:12, 118:9,
                                          12:9, 15:3,
                                                               238:23, 265:24,
69:10
                     119:7, 127:24,
                                          15:24, 16:1,
                                                               266:12, 266:13,
lack
                     129:5, 129:14,
                                          16:16, 17:5,
                                                               266:19, 266:22
68:12, 68:15,
                     157:13, 290:21
                                          17:10, 18:3,
                                                               lawyer
176:14, 179:9
                     lane
                                          18:8, 18:11,
                                                               274:10, 274:13,
lacking
                     20:23, 21:6,
                                          18:24, 21:4,
                                                               321:21
101:17
                     23:4, 25:24,
                                          21:13, 21:18,
                                                               lawyer's
lacks
                     26:2, 27:9,
                                          22:13, 23:2,
                                                               301:20
63:9
                     28:2, 28:3,
                                          28:1, 28:11,
                                                               lay
laid
                     32:8, 40:8,
                                          29:2, 29:18,
                                                               62:23, 63:8,
142:18, 199:20
                                          30:6, 32:2,
                     88:12, 89:21,
                                                               63:18, 66:8,
lake
                     180:13, 223:10,
                                          32:21, 38:19,
                                                               73:5, 82:3
171:15
                     249:14, 256:3,
                                          45:14, 65:17,
                                                               layout
land
                     256:5, 256:22,
                                          93:25, 96:1,
                                                               117:16, 121:21,
46:3, 47:6,
                     258:1, 258:7,
                                          154:16, 166:25,
                                                               155:15, 156:5,
                     308:5, 308:8,
48:4, 57:11,
                                          179:20, 205:19,
                                                               156:20, 242:19,
57:21, 58:10,
                     308:20, 308:21,
                                          205:20, 241:23
                                                               275:3, 280:14,
58:17, 58:18,
                     308:23, 309:6,
                                          lastly
                                                               311:7, 314:20
59:1, 59:6,
                     317:5, 318:22
                                          65:21, 196:17
                                                               laypeople
59:14, 61:16,
                     lanes
                                          late
                                                               208:17
61:21, 61:24,
                     134:17, 180:9,
                                          18:18, 45:15,
                                                               layperson
66:17, 67:14,
                     180:10, 181:4,
                                          45:16, 54:21,
                                                               62:17, 63:3,
67:15, 67:19,
                     181:5, 254:15
                                          169:19, 169:21,
                                                               63:5, 73:10,
68:7, 69:2,
                     language
                                          207:12
                                                               74:2
                     174:25, 301:11
```

	Conducted on 140	,	
lead	285:13, 313:1	270:23	lindley
198:16	lengths	lieu	32:21, 32:22,
leads	249:2, 285:2	331:20	32:25, 33:3
176:14	lengthy	lifestyle	line
learn	253:20	96:21	90:24, 112:5,
60:13, 73:21	lerch	lifestyles	112:12, 121:22,
learned	3:6, 10:25,	164:5	122:4, 122:7,
70:19	11:3, 56:21,	light	128:21, 130:14,
least	84:2	76:15, 103:5,	136:2, 150:20,
36:12, 47:17,	less	174:23, 226:20	152:10, 152:19,
122:20, 123:15,	136:9, 145:14,	lighter	152:23, 154:2,
132:5, 163:1,	159:23, 175:11,	283:22	156:13, 199:5,
183:7, 213:10,	225:18, 235:7,	lights	211:18, 220:24,
213:22, 214:9,	236:7, 269:1,	72:7, 168:3	220:25, 221:1,
217:4, 242:3,	291:14	likely	234:9, 234:20,
242:7, 300:20,	lesser	43:9, 45:23,	256:13, 256:15,
300:25, 308:8,	83:13	101:6, 101:11,	278:1, 279:17,
323:14, 325:20	let's	101:25	286:6, 286:7,
leave	19:14, 20:1,	likewise	286:9, 299:10
26:3, 232:23	24:16, 56:17,	95:5, 101:21,	linear
leaves	80:23, 130:3,	152:8, 270:21	121:10, 238:15,
59:8	138:6, 155:19,	limit	238:22, 276:17,
leaving	157:22, 183:14,	63:22, 81:6,	276:20, 276:21,
185:22	183:16, 183:17,	81:16, 152:6,	277:17, 277:24,
left	255:9, 256:19,	200:23, 222:4	277:25
24:16, 43:24,	262:20, 267:2,	limited	lines
109:15, 128:19,	325:7, 326:16,	36:14, 63:18,	129:15, 135:6,
131:10, 172:25,	326:21	66:8, 66:22,	144:25, 150:6,
184:15, 185:4,	letter	72:12, 91:6,	163:16, 164:23,
185:17, 223:5,	12:13, 56:6,	169:9, 177:7,	221:2, 255:10,
227:11, 229:5,	56:11, 86:4,	197:7, 200:17,	255:11, 255:17,
229:9, 288:2,	88:23, 159:16,	211:7, 236:18,	255:18, 255:20,
301:5	174:10, 205:22,	264:2, 279:23,	255:23, 256:2,
left-turn	232:5	295:24, 296:3,	271:4, 304:18,
180:12	letters	306:7, 327:17	317:6, 317:7
legal	327:13	limiting	link
34:25, 75:12,	letting	57:3, 328:2	44:14
76:7, 301:18	79:14	limits	lisa
legend	level	67:3, 112:4,	4:11, 15:7,
267 : 22	83:6, 85:10,	123:22, 197:2,	16:24, 16:25,
legible	124:5, 151:14,	197:4, 199:22,	17:4, 25:20
50:12	151:17, 182:22,	204:13, 231:1,	list
legislative	191:19	231:11, 231:21,	23:9, 33:6,
67:22, 69:4	levels	249:5, 284:11,	45:13, 54:17,
length	72:6, 176:7,	331:23, 331:24,	201:3, 258:17,
127:6, 143:7,	213:24, 302:17	332:3	258:24, 260:5,
198:20, 245:8,	licensed	lindey	260:7, 260:15,
272:19, 280:15,	105:4, 270:4,	4:21	262:7, 266:11,
212.13, 200.13,	,,	1.01	

	Conducted on 140	<i>'</i>	
298:24, 300:2,	176:17, 177:3,	location	280:25, 282:25,
300:12, 300:24	177:18, 177:22,	44:12, 92:14,	284:16, 284:23,
listed	195:6, 238:16,	92:24, 131:23,	285:2, 285:9,
60:4, 61:9,	240:13, 277:7	160:17, 165:18,	286:14, 287:6,
61:11, 67:18,	11c	178:10, 215:9,	288:5, 290:23,
217:2, 228:14,	3:12, 8:5,	241:3, 250:12,	291:6, 291:11
		279:11, 288:18,	looked
259:7, 260:23,	8:15, 11:6,		
262:16, 301:2,	83:25, 84:4, 84:7	289:20, 303:8, 303:18	49:16, 49:20,
316:12	1ma		107:3, 172:6,
lists		locations	172:16, 256:18,
115:11	8:11, 88:18,	201:10	263:18, 269:10,
literal	88:21, 88:24,	lod	278:19, 295:8
177:2	89:22, 92:13,	278:24, 279:23	looking
little	106:17, 190:18,	logan	50:18, 68:21,
9:18, 27:3,	210:25, 218:8	4:20, 84:9,	74:22, 75:9,
73:12, 75:2,	local	89:3, 220:16	99:6, 110:15,
75:14, 104:22,	8:3, 8:13,	long	111:21, 112:2,
108:3, 109:14,	8:15, 9:12,	104:18, 114:18,	119:5, 123:25,
109:15, 109:19,	61:16, 84:15,	252:13, 322:22	124:4, 125:21,
120:16, 130:6,	84:24, 85:3,	long-term	128:15, 128:25,
135:20, 142:13,	85:17, 86:8,	157:11	130:18, 130:22,
144:10, 145:14,	88:1, 88:15,	long-winded	131:14, 131:16,
148:8, 157:1,	93:13, 98:4,	153 : 1	138:25, 139:10,
217:20, 223:4,	106:13, 107:8,	longer	141:17, 147:20,
233:20, 236:1,	107:16, 165:19,	124:17, 157:25,	156:4, 157:22,
238:23, 258:5,	165:20, 227:10,	158:1, 257:16,	158:12, 167:21,
279:2, 279:13,	227:12, 230:12,	311:18, 315:12,	183:13, 207:1,
314:16, 322:24	244:8, 244:9,	322:9	218:17, 221:17,
livable	247:2, 259:9,	longer-term	257:3, 273:16,
144:19, 165:7,	259:17, 259:22,	158:5	276:9, 280:14,
176:11, 178:3,	259:24, 260:3,	look	281:18, 281:20,
191:20, 193:5,	260:25, 261:6,		283:7, 290:7,
245:9	262:17, 262:19	23:9, 49:18,	297:10, 323:3
live	localized	50:24, 67:6,	looks
17:8, 17:13,	94:15	68:24, 90:17,	95:24, 237:4,
	locate	107:5, 110:5,	279:13, 317:19
17:17, 18:24,	55:11	124:7, 124:13,	lose
21:6, 28:12,	located	127:23, 145:17,	30:11, 183:23,
28:19, 29:6, 33:16, 157:25,		146:19, 146:21,	315:7, 323:11
	8:25, 18:21,	147:1, 151:10,	lost
176:25	84:16, 87:3,	157:9, 162:17,	
lives	90:11, 163:22,	179:14, 180:18,	182:13
89:21	175:3, 210:15,	180:20, 204:6,	lot
living	210:16, 259:9,	218:8, 218:23,	8:21, 35:5,
20:21, 113:22,	259:12, 259:17,	218:24, 219:1,	36:10, 74:6,
113:23, 122:15,	259:22, 260:6,	220:5, 226:5,	75:3, 75:20,
143:20, 144:1,	261:19, 269:7,	226:6, 241:9,	76:2, 120:13,
147:8, 151:7,	273:2, 288:14,	256:19, 256:20,	121:21, 122:13,
164:9, 176:1,	288:23	279:18, 280:7,	125:19, 142:23,

160:13, 165:18, 143:17, 144:20, ma'am 240:3 145:9, 145:22, 39:1 178:15, 191:12, manager 147:12, 147:18, 215:2, 245:3, machen 75:24 150:14, 156:12, 296:12, 296:15 4:22, 18:23, manor 156:14, 157:18, 19:3, 19:5, majority 8:25, 227:14, 158:7, 161:8, 227:15, 228:5, 19:17, 19:20, 251:1 161:17, 162:2, 19:23, 22:2, make 230:2, 246:13 162:13, 163:4, 22:4, 22:6, 13:23, 14:1, manual 163:5, 165:25, 22:11, 22:14, 22:8, 25:22, 315:24 166:19, 171:12, 22:19, 22:22 26:18, 37:17, many 174:14, 195:17, madam 45:20, 46:1, 13:9, 42:2, 199:11, 201:23, 48:20, 185:21, 50:1, 51:14, 61:14, 85:3, 229:19, 243:3, 53:15, 54:10, 270:8 95:12, 162:11, 249:24, 278:19, 58:3, 63:17, made 200:8, 205:15, 279:13, 282:3, 68:17, 71:21, 9:13, 70:14, 212:1, 281:6, 290:8, 290:9, 76:24, 82:10, 85:2, 95:21, 327:20, 329:12 315:5 94:14, 95:5, 100:4, 100:5, map lots 107:15, 144:7, 97:1, 100:17, 8:3, 8:14, 8:22, 75:11, 107:3, 107:22, 144:9, 157:4, 8:15, 9:12, 87:15, 109:1, 166:25, 182:3, 108:2, 109:13, 51:4, 84:14, 109:4, 111:12, 131:17, 135:9, 294:9, 297:9, 84:15, 84:24, 118:4, 125:17, 297:24, 300:25, 144:9, 154:15, 85:3, 85:17, 130:15, 140:1, 156:18, 177:6, 301:1, 331:17 86:8, 88:1, 140:2, 143:16, 177:11, 180:23, mail 88:15, 93:13, 153:13, 234:16, 19:12, 19:16, 185:23, 200:20, 98:4, 106:13, 236:2, 236:8, 205:10, 223:19, 20:20, 22:10, 107:8, 107:16, 236:10, 297:24 228:10, 248:17, 24:15, 39:8, 112:21, 112:22, 251:12, 266:2, low 148:16, 153:20, 40:6 284:23, 288:6, 242:21 mailed 173:7, 173:8, 305:3, 312:19, low-density 174:21, 174:24, 114:18 87:5, 92:5 314:19, 315:12, 176:19, 180:4, mailing 316:1, 316:25, low-resolution 194:10, 201:5, 23:9, 26:9 318:7, 325:25, 48:25 201:13, 202:2, main 326:10 lower-right 202:4, 223:2, 167:19 makes 227:8, 244:6, 309:1 maintain 178:7, 297:19 244:8, 244:9, lower-tier 95:24, 96:18, making 247:2, 254:14, 77:20 96:19, 164:3, 71:22, 72:2, lucky 254:17, 255:9, 272:25 95:11, 118:12, 255:10, 256:10, 191:19 maintained 181:21, 307:3, 268:2, 269:10, lunch 141:20 332:10 184:25, 185:22, 269:13, 271:13, maintaining mammal 287:8 323:15, 323:25, 127:8, 180:13, 158:4 mapped 324:1, 325:8 193:6, 197:19 manage 175:22, 200:6, lunchtime maintenance 252:21 202:10 324:6, 325:12 136:1, 136:8 management mapping major M 59:5, 155:14, 226:13, 227:3 90:23, 109:10, m-a-c-h-e-n 189:1, 239:25, maps 109:12, 112:9, 18:24, 22:14 166:11, 166:12,

	00110000000000011111	3 (120
220:18	285:7, 311:4	184:8, 215:22,	320:13, 321:5,
marathon	master's	253:15, 323:15,	321:6, 321:10
307:1, 322:5,	105:3	324:19, 327:2,	measured
332:23	master-planned	329:10	127:1, 152:3,
mark	255:24	mc	311:22, 312:3,
138:6	masterplan	49:2, 192:10,	312:9, 320:1
marked	167:20	192:11, 247:14,	measurement
56:14, 138:20,	match	247:15	144:17
149:19, 208:6,	90:19, 133:3,	mcdot	measurements
237:18, 287:7,	178:13	60:10, 69:15,	319:8
314:1	matches	72:17	mechanism
market	117:25	mcs	173:4, 173:9
242:10, 246:3	material	226:15, 269:18,	mechanisms
marketing	50:22, 64:21,	269:22	60:6, 74:9
34:12	84:14, 109:22	md	median
mary	materiality	2:10, 3:8,	143:4, 151:17
16:19, 28:2,	250:2	3:14, 3:23,	medical
30:2	materially	100:6, 205:22,	71:9
maryland	93:16, 144:12	205:23	meet
1:14, 2:9,	materials	mean	98:3, 98:7,
2:16, 3:22, 9:2,	66:11, 80:4,	65:5, 74:1,	129:20, 155:16,
21:7, 25:11,	81:19, 82:19,	75:9, 77:4,	166:6, 176:5,
26:3, 27:9,	170:24, 250:4	170:8, 183:3,	178:18, 192:21,
28:3, 33:17,	math	203:25, 218:8,	197:18, 214:1,
39:22, 40:4,	266:10	220:4, 261:15,	214:3, 218:9,
41:5, 58:21,	matter	262:11, 272:15,	219:3, 222:3,
59:10, 66:18,	75:1, 89:24,	279:22, 280:4,	222:17, 222:21,
67:24, 70:25,	100:10, 335:7	290:4, 296:2,	226:21, 228:2,
100:4, 101:5,	matters	305:18, 318:8,	229:17, 230:3,
105:5, 105:10,	37:5, 37:15,	322:11, 324:13,	230:17, 231:16,
105:11, 105:17,	58:17, 61:16,	324:18, 325:1,	231:21, 231:22,
108:23, 109:5,	67:13, 67:20,	329:15, 329:16	233:2, 236:14,
172:4, 181:19,	68:22, 69:2	meaning	239:9, 302:13,
232:5, 232:11,	max	65 : 19	319:20, 329:6
270:22, 299:6,	327:7, 327:21	means	meeting
318:23, 334:15	maximize	9:8, 35:16,	93:25
maryland's	176:21, 328:19	65:6, 101:8,	meetings
85:24	maximum	162:11, 204:1,	95:3, 297:23
masses	126:24, 197:25,	224:15	meets
311:13	200:3, 210:24,	meant	52:20, 88:24,
massing	211:4, 211:7,	166:10, 172:13,	96:23, 199:19,
92:3, 142:18,	211:9	173:6, 194:13,	217:1, 217:19,
143:7, 145:17,	maximums	199:24, 215:8,	217:25, 225:17,
147:3, 197:9,	202:21	225:12	243:18, 248:6,
198:18, 204:10,	maybe	measure	248:7, 250:11
204:12, 249:1,	38:9, 42:25,	315:19, 319:4,	member
283:2, 283:8,	76:14, 83:16,	319:7, 320:10,	4:4, 4:5, 4:6,
283:12, 284:12,	149:12, 155:20,	320:11, 320:12,	4:7, 4:8, 4:9,
Ĭ	Ī	I	

Conducted on November 6, 2025

4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:13, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:18, 4:14, 4:18, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:16, 4:19, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 5:2, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 5:10, 5:11, 5:12, 5:14, 6:12, 5:14, 6:12, 6:14, 6:12, 6:16, 6:12, 6:16, 6:12, 6:12, 4:11, 4:15, 11:4, 11:5, 11:4, 18:1, 11:4, 18:1, 11:5, 11:4, 18:1, 11:5, 11:4, 18:1, 11:5, 11:4, 18:1, 11:4, 18:1, 11:5, 11:4, 18:1, 11:5, 11:4, 18:1, 11:4, 18:1, 11:5, 11:1, 18:1, 18:1, 19:17 10:12:2, 11:1, 19:13, 11:1, 19:13, 11:				
4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:19, 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 249:24 4:21, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 5:10, 5:11, 5:12, 5:14, 6:22, 64:20, 6:22, 64:20, 6:22, 69:16, 105:6, 17:2:2 michele 105:6, 17:2:2	4:10, 4:11,	244:21, 244:22,	167:25, 215:12,	mix
4:14, 4:15, 4:16, 4:19, 4:12, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, 5:2, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 6:6, 5:7, 5:8, 6:10, 5:11, 5:12, 5:14, 6:12, 69:11, 6:12, 69:16, 6:12, 69:16, 6:12, 69:16, 6:12, 69:16, 6:12, 69:16, 6:12, 69:16, 6:12, 69:12, 6:12, 61:2, 6:12, 61:2, 6:12, 61:2, 6:12, 61:1, 6:12, 61:14, 6:12, 61:14, 6:13, 6:12, 61:14, 6:13, 6:12, 61:14, 6:13, 6:12, 61:14, 6:13, 6:12, 61:14, 6:13, 6:14, 18:15, 6:15, 6:12, 6:12, 6:14, 6:12, 6:14, 6:13, 6:14, 18:15, 6:15, 6:12, 6:12, 6:14, 6:13, 6:14, 18:15, 6:15, 6:12, 6:12, 6:14, 6:13, 6:14, 6:15, 6:	1			111:7, 161:24,
4:16, 4:19, 4:21, 4:22, 4:21, 4:22, 234:22, 234:14 320:3 320:3 320:25, 202:9, 320:25, 203:6, 320:25, 203:6, 320:35, 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, 8etro 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 305:19 39:14, 187:18, 306:24, 69:11, 60:24, 69:11, 60:25, 61:2, 60:25, 61:2, 60:25, 61:2, 60:26, 61:2, 60:26, 61:2, 60:27, 61:2, 60:28, 65:18, 87:5, 131:10, 87:5, 131:10, 87:11, 35:15, 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:19 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:19 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:10 308:19 308:10 308	 	•		
4:21, 4:22, methodology mine 27:11, 303:5, 305:19 5:3, 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 5:8, methodology 25:10, 26:16, 305:19 5:10, 5:11, 90:24, 175:5 minimize 39:14, 187:18, 5:12, 5:14, michele 39:14, 187:18, 5:12, 5:14, michele 39:17, 312, minimize 200:21, 200:22 minimize 30:21, 40:21, 31:1, 3:12, 198:17 minimizing 2:1 mixed-remote 2:1 minimix 39:12, 69:16, 57:13, 188:12 minimix 39:12, 13:17, 39:7, 99:14, 39:17, 99:14, 34:11 11, 31:25, 196:3, 39:17, 100:20, 44:1, 60:13, 44:11 197:20, 212:17, 203:22 minimix 39:17, 203:22 minimix 39:12, 203:22 min	 	125:16, 143:15,		
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	The state of the s		•	
Sister S		•	mine	
5:6, 5:7, 5:8, metro 39:14, 187:18, 253:19, 285:17 mixed 5:10, 5:11, 5:11, 5:12, 5:14, michele minimize 200:21, 200:22 63:23, 64:20, 69:11, 11:4, 11:5, 5:13, 188:12 minimizing 2:1 69:12, 69:16, 69:11, 5:13, 188:12 minimizing 2:1 105:6, 172:2 microphone minimum 90:12, 90:13, 99:14, 99:17, 100:20, 20:12, 20		22	26:10, 26:16,	
5:10, 5:11, 90:24, 175:5 25:11, 25:14, michele minimize 200:21, 200:22 200:21, 200:22 63:23, 64:20, 3:11, 3:12, 11:4, 11:5, minimizing 2:1 mixed-remote 66:24, 69:11, 57:13, 188:12 250:15 mixed-use 105:6, 172:2 microphone minimum 90:12, 90:13, members 48:18 90:1, 123:17, 99:7, 99:14, 42:24, 42:2, 48:18 90:1, 123:17, 99:7, 99:14, 46:18, 65:18, 34:11 197:20, 212:17, 203:22, 203:22 60:25, 61:2, middle 213:33, 232:14, mixing 81:17, 250:22 middle 213:33, 232:14 mixing 81:17, 294:6 87:5, 131:10, 152:23, 221:21, 202:22 25:112, 276:7, 85:13, 35:15, 308:9 minus mncor 36:21, 49:11, 91:2 298:7 92:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minus modes 184:8, 201:16, 223:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, modes 288:1, 294:9 133:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 mentioned 213:24,	5:6, 5:7, 5:8,	metro	•	mixed
5:12, 5:14, michele minimize 200:21, 200:22 66:24, 69:11, 3:11, 3:12, 198:17 mixed-remote 69:12, 69:16, 57:13, 188:12 250:15 mixed-use 105:6, 172:2 microphone minimum 90:12, 90:13, members 48:18 90:1, 123:17, 99:17, 99:14, 12:24, 42:2, microsoft 132:5, 196:3, 99:17, 100:20, 44:1, 60:13, 34:11 197:20, 212:17, 203:22 203:22 81:17, 250:22 middle 213:3, 232:14, mixing mixing 81:17, 250:22 141:9, 145:24, minimums mmnor 289:4, 290:14, minor 81:17, 294:6 222:1, 320:11 minor 289:4, 290:14, minor 85:1, 35:15, 308:9 minus mncppc 36:21, 49:11, 92:12, 49:11, 92:12, 100:1, 123:8, 128:9, 175:24, 286:11 258:22, 262:22, 262:22, 272:12 288:1, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 montione 328:8, 39:3, 24:8, 128:9, 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 modifications 34:8, 39:3, 24:12, 224:6, 258:13, 225:16, 253:16, 18 177:23, 183:8, 144:6, 144:9, 177:23, 183:10, 183:17, 177:12 128:17, 133:10, 18a 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 157:4, 248:18	5:10, 5:11,	90:24, 175:5	253:19, 285:17	98:19, 99:18,
63:23, 64:20, 3:11, 3:12, 198:17 minimizing 2:1	5:12, 5:14,	•	minimize	
66:24, 69:11, 69:16, 57:13, 188:12 69:12, 69:16, 172:2 microphone members 48:18 90:1, 123:17, 99:7, 99:14, 99:7, 99:14, 12:24, 42:2, microsoft 132:5, 196:3, 99:17, 100:20, 12:17, 203:2, 203:22 60:25, 61:2, middle 62:8, 65:18, 87:5, 131:10, 145:24, minumums 81:17, 250:22 141:9, 145:24, minumums 152:23, 221:21, 202:22 254:12, 276:7, minumums 81:17, 294:6 88:1, 39:1, 35:15, 308:9 36:21, 49:11, might 276:24, 286:11 288:1, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, minute 297:12 84:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 148:12, 147:11, 184:12, 147:11, 184:12, 147:11, 184:12, 147:11, 186:7, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18, 187:18	63:23, 64:20,	3:11, 3:12,	198:17	
69:12, 69:16, 172:2 microphone minimum 90:12, 90:13, 90:14, 123:17, 90:13, 90:14, 123:17, 90:14, 123:17, 90:14, 123:17, 90:13, 90:17, 100:20, 123:17, 123:17, 120:20, 123:17, 120:20, 123:13, 123:14,	66:24, 69:11,		minimizing	2:1
members Mails minimum 90:12, 90:13, 99:14, 99:14, 12:24, 42:2, 42:2, 44:16 microsoft 132:5, 196:3, 99:17, 100:20, 44:1, 60:13, 60:25, 61:2, 60:25, 61:2, 62:8, 65:18, 87:5, 131:10, 235:22 middle 21:3:3, 232:14, mixing 60:25, 61:2, 65:18, 87:5, 131:10, 235:22 minimums mm-hmm 81:17, 250:22 141:9, 145:24, minimums minimums mm-hmm 227:11, 294:6 222:13, 320:11 minor 289:4, 290:14, 90:14, 91:1 mention midland 91:2 298:7 35:15, 35:15, 308:9 minus mncppc 36:21, 49:11, 94:14 might 276:24, 286:11 299:7 92:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minute 297:12 minute 297:12 184:8, 201:16, 23:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, modes modes 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 modifications 34:8, 39:3, 524:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 154:48, 74:77, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 modified 143:12, 147:11, 184:4, 177:13, 183:8, 127:15 157:4, 248:18 modified 142:17, 181:9, 260:14 258:12, 25:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16, 253:16,	69:12, 69:16,		250:15	mixed-use
members 48:18 90:1, 123:17, 99:7, 99:14, 12:24, 42:2, microsoft 132:5, 196:3, 99:17, 100:20, 44:1, 60:13, 34:11 197:20, 212:17, 203:2, 203:22 60:25, 61:2, middle 213:3, 232:14, mixing 81:17, 250:22 141:9, 145:24, minimums mm-hmm 81:17, 294:6 222:1, 320:11 minor 289:4, 290:14, minor 25:15, 30:15, 36:19 308:9 minus mncppc 36:21, 49:11, 9ith 276:24, 286:11 258:22, 262:22, 297:12 92:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minute 276:24, 286:11 258:22, 262:22, 297:12 84:8, 201:16, 22:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, modes 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, modifications 164:16, 164:21 84:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 164:16, 164:21 177:23, 183:17, 144:6, 144:9, 143:12, 147:11, 181:19 172:6, 178:19, 280:14, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modifications 143:12, 147:11, 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, 144:17 147:15 170:25, 281:13 mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 147:15 170:25, 281:13 mike 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 missed 284:22, 295:10, 289:18, 299:18, 299:18, 299:18, 299:18, 299:18, 299:18, 299:18, 299:18, 2	105:6, 172:2	•	minimum	90:12, 90:13,
12:24, 42:2,	members	_	90:1, 123:17,	
44:1, 60:13, 61:2, middle 60:25, 61:2, middle 87:5, 131:10, 235:22 minimums memory 152:23, 221:21, 20:22 254:12, 276:7, micor memtion 35:1, 35:15, 308:9 minus 36:21, 49:11, 91:10, 12:38, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, 123:8, 120:14, 177:25, 176:16, 123:8, 120:14, 123:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, 124:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 modified 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 332:4 minute 128:17, 133:10, 120:4, 123:12, 120:22, 183:10, 183:17, 144:6, 144:9, 154:18, 177:23, 183:8, 184:18, 177:23, 183:8, 184:18, 177:23, 183:8, 184:18, 177:23, 183:8, 184:18, 177:23, 183:8, 184:18, 184:18, 184:19, 182:14, 177:23, 183:8, 184:18, 184:19, 18	12:24, 42:2,			
60:25, 61:2,	44:1, 60:13,			,
62:8, 65:18, 81:17, 250:22 141:9, 145:24, minimums mm-hmm memory 152:23, 221:21, 202:22 254:12, 276:7, 277:1, 294:6 222:1, 320:11 minor 35:1, 35:15, 308:9 minus 36:21, 49:11, 92:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, 175:25, 176:16, 184:8, 201:16, 123:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, 184:8, 39:3, 36:39 minute 175:25, 176:16, 184:18, 201:16, 123:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, 164:16, 164:21 mentioned 211:21, 215:22, 34:8, 39:3, 24:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 143:12, 147:11, 184:8, 177:23, 183:10, 184:10, 182:14, 177:23, 183:14, 177:26, 178:19, 186:7, 187:18, 186:7, 187:18, 186:7, 187:18, 186:7, 187:18, 180:14, 39:12, 124:18 minutes minutes modified 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 modulates 176:22 minimums mm-hmm mm-hmm minus minus minus 176:22 295:17, 289:17, 289:11 298:7 minus modes 178:22, 262:22, 297:12 184:18, 177:23, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 modifications 177:23, 183:18, 177:23, 183:8, 177:23, 183:8, 177:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, 38:11, 39:12, 124:18 miles 176:22 35:22 35:22 35:22 35:22 35:22 35:22 35:22 35:22 35:23 36:17, 38:10 30:12 30:13 30:19 30:13 30:13 30:13 30:13 30:13 30:13 30:13 30:13 30:14 30:15 30:16 30:17 30:17 30:18 30:19 30:18 30:19 30:19 30:11 30:1	60:25, 61:2,		•	=
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	62:8, 65:18,		235:22	
Memory 152:23, 221:21, 202:22 254:12, 276:7, 277:1, 294:6 222:1, 320:11 minor 289:4, 290:14, minor 35:1, 35:15, 308:9 minus macppc 36:21, 49:11, might 276:24, 286:11 258:22, 262:22, 228:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minute 297:12 modes 288:1, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 modifications 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 128:17, 133:10, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modified 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, modulates 177:23, 281:13 mile miss 177:23, 23:1, 33:12, 24:18 miss 177:23, 23:1, 33:12, 24:18 miss 177:23, 23:1, 33:14, 274:12 moment 258:20, 264:11, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, merely 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 296:22, 298:12, merits mile miss 179:14, 115:17 269:1, 269:11 missing 296:22, 298:12, merits miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, moment 179:21, 102:5, 221, 101:20, 192:11, 192:12, 166:23, 180:3 mitigation montesori	81:17, 250:22		minimums	
277:1, 294:6 222:1, 320:11 minor 289:4, 290:14, 298:7 mention 308:9 minus mcpc 36:21, 49:11, 92:15, 100:1, 184:8, 201:16, 288:1, 294:9 52:3, 120:4, 175:25, 176:16, 297:12 minute 297:12 mentioned 21:21, 215:22, 183:10, 183:17, 244:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 248:18 164:16, 164:21 modes 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 280:11, 280:18, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modifications 34:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 modified 43:12, 147:11, 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16,	<u> </u>		202:22	254:12, 276:7,
mention midland 91:2 298:7 35:1, 35:15, 308:9 minus mncppc 92:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minute 276:24, 286:11 258:22, 262:22, 184:8, 201:16, 123:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, modes 288:1, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 mentioned 211:21, 215:22, 183:10, 183:17, modifications 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 54:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 128:17, 133:10, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modified 143:12, 147:11, mika 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, modulates 186:7, 187:18, mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18 miss 17:23, 23:1, 236:19 265:11, 267:7, missed 284:22, 295:10, 236:19 265:11, 267:7, 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 295:18, 296:	277:1, 294:6		minor	
36:21, 49:11, might 276:24, 286:11 258:22, 262:22, 282:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minute 297:12 297:12 298:11, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, modifications 24:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 54:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 282:1, 147:11, mika 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 226:14 225:16, 253:16, 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 290:5, 193:11, 290:14 274:12 momentioning 236:19 mile mile miss 17:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, 265:11, 267:17, 269:11 missing 296:22, 298:12, 362:21, 101:20, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 210:4 monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 mind mitigation montessori		•	91:2	•
92:15, 100:1, 52:3, 120:4, minute 297:12 184:8, 201:16, 123:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, modes 288:1, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, modifications 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 154:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 1828:17, 133:10, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modified 143:12, 147:11, mika 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, modulates 186:7, 187:18, mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18 miss 17:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 23:1, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, 286:19 265:11, 267:7, missed 284:22, 295:10, 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 295:18, 296:18, 296:18, 296:14, 115:17 269:1, 269:1 missing 296:22, 298:12, merits miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 300:11 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 307:4, 318:7 met 168:4, 168:5, 98:19, 117:8, 52:21, 101:20, 192:11, 192:12, 160:23, 180:3 25:11 monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 mind mitigation montessori	The state of the s	308:9	minus	mncppc
92:15, 100:1, 184:8, 201:16, 123:8, 128:9, 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 mentioned 211:21, 215:22, 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 280:11, 280:18, 282:1, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 157:4, 248:18 282:17, 133:10, 182:14, 183:10, 183:17, 280:11, 280:18, 282:1, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 modifications 144:6, 144:9, 157:4, 248:18 modifications 144:6, 144:9, 157:4, 248:18 modified 143:12, 147:11, 172:6, 178:19, 186:7, 187:18, 190:5, 193:11, 270:25, 281:13 mile 258:20, 264:11, 266:14, 265:11, 267:7, 268:9, 268:17, 79:14, 115:17 269:1, 269:11 miles 100:11 100:11 115:21, 166:14, 168:4, 168:5, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 100:12 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 200:12, 213:12, 200:12, 213:12, 200:12 200:12, 213:12, 200:12 201:10 100:11 mind minute 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 157:4, 248:18 modifications 144:6, 144:9, 157:4, 248:18 modifications 144:6, 144:10, 157:4, 248:18 modifications 144:6, 144:21 modifications 145:21 modifications 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 144:6, 144:10 143:12, 131:12 144:16, 144:11 183:10, 183:17 15:22, 183:14 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 157:4, 248:18 164:16, 164:21 164:16, 164:21 164:16, 164:21 164:16, 164:21 164:16, 164:21 164:16, 164:21 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 164:16, 144:16 16	1 T	might	276:24, 286:11	258:22, 262:22,
184:8, 201:16, 123:8, 128:9, 175:25, 176:16, modes 288:1, 294:9 134:10, 182:14, 177:3, 177:18, 164:16, 164:21 mentioned 211:21, 215:22, 183:10, 183:17, modifications 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 54:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 128:17, 133:10, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modified 143:12, 147:11, mika 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, modulates 186:7, 187:18, mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18 miss 17:23, 23:1, 270:25, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 23:1, mentioning 258:20, 264:11, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 236:19 265:11, 267:7, missed 284:22, 295:10, 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 295:18, 296:18, 79:14, 115:17 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 145:21, 166:14, mistake 307:4, 318:7 met <td< th=""><th></th><td>52:3, 120:4,</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		52:3, 120:4,		
288:1, 294:9 mentioned 34:8, 39:3, 54:8, 74:7, 128:11, 280:18, 137:15 157:4, 248:18 177:23, 183:17, 164:16, 164:21 modifications 144:6, 144:9, 157:4, 248:18 modified 147:15 145:2 145:2 145:2 145:2 145:2 177:3, 183:8, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 144:6, 144:9, 144:6, 144:9, 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 144:6, 144:9, 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 14:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 144:6, 144:9, 147:15 143:12 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 14:10 147:15 147:15 147:15 147:15 144:6 147:15 144:6 147:15 147:15 147:15 149:18 147:15 149:18 147:15 149:18 147:13 149:18 147:15 149:18 147:15 149:18 147:15 149:18 147:19 149:18 147:19 149:18 147:19 149:18 147:19 149:18 149:18			175:25, 176:16,	modes
mentioned 211:21, 215:22, 183:10, 183:17, modifications 34:8, 39:3, 224:6, 258:13, 263:21, 317:14, 144:6, 144:9, 54:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 128:17, 133:10, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modified 143:12, 147:11, mika 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, modulates 186:7, 187:18, mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18 miss 17:23, 23:1, 270:25, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 23:1, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 236:19 265:11, 267:7, missed 284:22, 295:10, 25:14, 115:17 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 295:18, 296:18, 79:14, 115:17 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 miles 165:9 307:4, 318:7 met 168:4, 168:5, 98:19, 117:8, momentarily 52:21, 101:20, 192:11, 192:12, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 102:1, 102:5,	1		177:3, 177:18,	164:16, 164:21
34:8, 39:3, 54:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 minutes modified 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 179:25, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, 286:19 268:17, 269:11 269:11 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 102:1, 102:5, 221:10, 102:1, 102:5, 222:20, 236:15, mind 18:317:17:23 180:18 17:23, 23:11 18:19 260:14 155:17, 157:23 26:17, 288:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:7, 269:11 265:11, 267:11 265:11, 267:11 265:11, 267:11 265:11, 267:11 265:11, 267:11 265:11, 267:11 27:11 267:11 27:11 267:11 27:11 267:11 27:				modifications
54:8, 74:7, 280:11, 280:18, 317:15 157:4, 248:18 128:17, 133:10, 282:1, 332:4 minutes modified 143:12, 147:11, mika 177:23, 183:8, 147:15 172:6, 178:19, 260:14 225:16, 253:16, modulates 186:7, 187:18, mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18 miss 17:23, 23:1, 270:25, 281:13 mile miss 17:23, 23:1, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 265:11, 267:7, missed 284:22, 295:10, 284:22, 295:10, 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 295:18, 296:18, 296:22, 298:12, 79:14, 115:17 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 145:21, 166:14, mistake 307:4, 318:7 met 168:4, 168:5, 98:19, 117:8, 306:19, 306:23, 102:1, 102:5, 226:17, 288:11, mitigate monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 210:4 montessori		224:6, 258:13,	263:21, 317:14,	144:6, 144:9,
143:12, 147:11,	1		317:15	157:4, 248:18
172:6, 178:19, 186:7, 187:18, 190:5, 193:11, 270:25, 281:13 mentioning 258:20, 264:11, 269:1, 267:7, 268:9, 268:17, 269:1, 269:11 merits 100:11 145:21, 166:14, 168:4, 168:5, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 200:6 mike 225:16, 253:16, 264:13, 274:12 moment 17:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, missed 284:22, 295:10, 284:22, 295:10, 295:18, 296:18, 296:22, 298:12, 306:19, 306:23, 307:4, 318:7 momentarily 258:21, 101:20, 168:4, 168:5, 168:4, 168:5, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 2		282:1, 332:4	minutes	modified
186:7, 187:18, mike 264:13, 274:9, 145:2 190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18 274:12 moment 270:25, 281:13 mile 17:23, 23:1, 37:17, 79:22, 236:19 265:11, 267:7, missed 284:22, 295:10, 268:9, 268:17, 52:3 295:18, 296:18, 79:14, 115:17 269:1, 269:11 missing 296:22, 298:12, 100:11 miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 145:21, 166:14, mistake 307:4, 318:7 met 168:4, 168:5, 98:19, 117:8, momentarily 52:21, 101:20, 192:11, 192:12, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 102:1, 102:5, 226:17, 288:11, mitigate monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 mitigation montessori		mika	177:23, 183:8,	147:15
190:5, 193:11, 39:12, 124:18		260:14	225:16, 253:16,	modulates
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	•	_	264:13, 274:9,	145:2
mentioning 258:20, 264:11, 258:20, 264:11, 267:7, 268:9, 268:17, 269:1, 269:1, 269:11 missing 296:22, 298:12, 200:11 met 168:4, 168:5, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 200:6 mind miss 35:6 37:17, 79:22, 284:22, 295:10, 295:18, 296:18, 296:28, 295:18, 296:18, 296:18, 296:22, 298:12	•	39:12, 124:18	274:12	moment
236:19 merely 79:14, 115:17 merits 100:11 met 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 200:12, 213:12, 222:20, 236:15, 265:20, 264:11, 267:7, 267:7, 268:9, 268:17, 269:11 missed 284:22, 295:10, 295:18, 296:18, 296:22, 298:12, 296:22, 298:12, 306:19, 306:23, 306:19				17:23, 23:1,
236:19 merely 79:14, 115:17 269:1, 269:11 merits 100:11 168:4, 168:5, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 222:20, 236:15, 265:11, 267:7, 268:9, 268:17, 269:17, 269:11 269:17, 269:11 269:17, 269:11 269:17, 269:11 269:17, 269:11 269:17, 269:11 269:17, 269:11 268:9, 268:17, 268:9, 268:17, 268:9, 268:17, 269:17, 269:11 269:18, 296:18, 296:18 269:18, 296:18 296:22, 298:12, 306:19, 306:23, 306		258:20, 264:11,		37:17, 79:22,
79:14, 115:17 merits 100:11 met 168:4, 168:5, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 200:12, 236:15, mind 208.3, 268:17, 269:1, 269:11 missing 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 307:4, 318:7 momentarily 160:23, 180:3 258:11 monday 250:12, 157:23 210:4 mind mitigation 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:18, 290:19, 306:29, 306:19, 306:23, 307:4, 318:7 momentarily 258:11 258:11 258:17, 157:23 210:4		265:11, 267:7,		284:22, 295:10,
merits miles 165:9 306:19, 306:23, 100:11 145:21, 166:14, mistake 307:4, 318:7 met 168:4, 168:5, 98:19, 117:8, momentarily 52:21, 101:20, 192:11, 192:12, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 102:1, 102:5, 226:17, 288:11, mitigate monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 210:4 222:20, 236:15, mind mitigation montessori	_			295:18, 296:18,
100:11 met 145:21, 166:14, met 168:4, 168:5, 192:11, 192:12, 160:23, 180:3 226:17, 288:11, 200:12, 213:12, 222:20, 236:15, mind mistake 98:19, 117:8, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 momentarily 258:11 mitigate 155:17, 157:23 210:4 mind mitigation montessori	 	•		
met 168:4, 168:5, 98:19, 117:8, momentarily 52:21, 101:20, 192:11, 192:12, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 102:1, 102:5, 226:17, 288:11, mitigate monday 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 210:4 222:20, 236:15, mind mitigation montessori				
52:21, 101:20, 102:1, 102:5, 200:12, 213:12, 222:20, 236:15, 108:4, 108:3, 192:11, 192:12, 226:17, 288:11, 290:6 mitigate 155:17, 157:23 mitigation 108:4, 108:3, 160:23, 180:3 258:11 monday 210:4 montessori				
102:1, 102:5, 226:17, 288:11, mitigate 200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 210:4 montessori				_
200:12, 213:12, 290:6 155:17, 157:23 210:4 222:20, 236:15, mind mitigation montessori			1	
222:20, 236:15, mind mitigation montessori			_	_
military military montessori			•	
101:10, 144:4, 195:13, 198:8 226:17, 269:19,	222:20, 236:13,		_	
		101:10, 144:4,	195:13, 198:8	226:17, 269:19,

	Conducted on 140	vemoer 0, 2025	120
270:1, 270:23	204:10, 204:18,	168:1, 182:7,	199:15, 238:20,
montgomery	206:12, 206:16,	244:2, 255:1,	312:8
1:2, 2:6, 3:19,	217:10, 219:22,	262:10, 267:2,	multiplying
8:23, 85:20,	220:16, 223:19,	278:24, 289:21	211:24
86:10, 88:18,	225:23, 230:17,	moved	must
91:15, 93:22,	232:17, 234:6,	45:2, 70:24	9:25, 59:15,
98:5, 98:24,	239:8, 239:21,	movement	65:11, 81:6,
116:22, 160:13,	241:22, 242:23,	66:20, 165:22,	82:1, 85:2,
173:23, 173:24,	251:5, 253:19,	254:6	94:12, 95:8,
174:6, 190:7,	268:8, 268:17,	moving	96:21, 100:17,
273:20, 299:7	268:25, 269:1,		
	271:4, 290:23,	51:24, 110:17,	101:13, 102:4,
montgomerycounty-		122:3, 122:7,	165:16, 165:20,
md	290:24, 291:6,	190:5, 324:5	181:25, 195:15,
24:5	291:7, 304:3,	mpdu	197:18, 212:13,
months	306:17, 307:7,	280:22, 281:7	212:14, 212:17,
95:1	311:17, 314:24,	mpdus	238:6, 244:9
moot	321:15, 323:3,	178:25, 242:15,	mute
180:25	327:9, 327:10,	246:5	34:5, 71:18,
more	332:6	much	185:8, 312:16
10:14, 31:19,	morning	8:9, 12:19,	muted
33:7, 35:17,	8:2, 10:23,	13:14, 13:20,	312:19
50:2, 59:13,	11:4, 15:20,	22:25, 25:15,	myself
60:6, 62:16,	15:22, 37:10,	26:6, 27:16,	29:13, 101:22,
70:22, 71:1,	42:6, 56:4,	28:9, 29:10,	130:5
71:7, 80:6,	56:19, 89:16,	32:14, 33:20,	N
85:16, 90:14,	254:1, 323:18,	40:10, 41:11,	
94:15, 95:16,	324:6, 324:9,	41:21, 46:23,	n-a-v
96:10, 96:15,	326:7	67:5, 71:19,	28:11
97:20, 101:6,	morningside	74:16, 82:24,	n-g-u
101:9, 101:11,	28:20	84:1, 89:13,	21:14
101:23, 101:24,	most	108:8, 110:17,	n-g-u-y-e-n
108:3, 109:22,	42:23, 42:24,	132:7, 158:7,	21:5, 23:3
116:25, 129:24,	79:6, 107:11,	165:23, 168:6,	nail
	117:22, 151:1,		48:8
131:18, 132:7,	179:24, 182:16,	183:5, 190:4,	name
135:20, 142:9,	194:6, 329:23,	200:16, 223:19,	9:6, 10:4,
142:13, 143:11,	330:22	226:20, 235:24,	12:7, 12:8,
144:3, 146:19,	mostly	238:3, 252:4,	12:9, 14:10,
148:8, 150:9,	_	291:20, 308:17,	15:3, 15:17,
157:1, 157:23,	112:5, 121:24	320:21, 324:19,	15:24, 16:1,
157:24, 158:2,	motion	325:3, 326:1,	16:16, 17:5,
158:8, 161:2,	114:21	327:15, 330:14,	17:10, 18:3,
165:23, 168:6,	motley	331:18, 332:23,	18:8, 18:11,
172:16, 177:6,	89:19	333:1	18:19, 18:24,
177:11, 178:9,	move	multi-step	21:4, 21:11,
178:13, 179:6,	47:21, 95:13,	84:25	21:13, 21:19,
182:9, 193:19,	114:15, 114:20,	multiple	22:13, 24:19,
193:20, 200:16,	114:23, 127:11,	49:24, 57:20,	26:23, 27:3,
201:21, 202:8,		00.22 102.20	_~,_~,
201.21, 202.0,	150:14, 158:22,	89:22, 192:20,	
201.21, 202.0,	150:14, 158:22,	89:22, 192:20,	
201.21, 202.0,	150:14, 158:22,	89:22, 192:20,	
201.21, 202.0,	150:14, 158:22,	89:22, 192:20,	

	Conducted on 140	,	
28:1, 28:6,	28:14, 28:17,	332:6	165:10, 176:14,
28:11, 29:2,	28:19, 31:11	needed	177:15, 177:16,
29:14, 29:18,	near	48:9, 62:1,	178:23, 180:7,
29:24, 30:7,	143:3, 173:1,	62:22, 73:17,	191:21, 193:8,
30:23, 31:17,	175:5, 177:12,		195:2, 196:22,
•		172:14, 241:22	
31:23, 31:24,	184:10, 191:11,	needing	198:19, 199:7,
32:2, 32:22,	196:1, 245:2,	322:16	212:12, 227:15,
38:15, 38:16,	289:10	needs	245:10, 246:13,
38:19, 39:13,	nearby	85:15, 96:10,	247:13, 248:19,
47:18, 93:11,	99:14	164:7, 175:18,	249:23, 250:6,
149:11, 173:15,	nearing	176:5, 176:24,	251:9, 287:8,
294:18, 297:7,	244:4	178:7, 178:19,	289:3, 290:10,
318:17, 318:18,	necessarily	192:22, 194:19,	290:12, 303:9
320:3	12:13, 132:6,	253:12, 302:13	neighborhood's
name's	166:2, 280:17,	negate	193:1, 193:3
24:23, 28:24,	284:15	65:17	neighborhoods
29:11	necessary	negative	112:14, 162:24,
names	63:9, 66:14,	141:24, 198:8,	175:17, 177:11,
20:15, 23:4,	88:17, 90:1,	198:10	196:18, 197:1,
29:1, 33:14,	96:24, 98:7,	neglected	197:15, 248:3,
48:2	102:7, 106:25,	97:18	293:9
nana	174:16, 181:5,	neighbor	neighbors
30:13	193:17, 219:4,	151:25, 227:12	95:13, 122:19,
nancy	251:12	neighborhood	142:16, 156:25
22:16, 30:4	need	41:22, 68:16,	neither
narrative	10:14, 11:17,	84:19, 87:2,	62:14, 334:8,
66:8, 238:10	20:12, 23:7,	87:10, 87:13,	335:8
narrow	23:11, 26:9,	87:18, 91:10,	network
85:2	31:13, 31:14,	91:11, 92:4,	68:14, 88:9,
narrowly	35:2, 35:10,	92:5, 92:7,	192:24
80:6	37:5, 37:16,		networks
natalie	41:14, 41:21,	93:2, 95:20,	192:17
	43:7, 54:15,	108:18, 108:21,	
5:14, 318:18		108:23, 109:1,	never
national	55:18, 77:13, 05:17, 06:15	109:12, 110:4,	100:8, 227:16
105:17, 172:4,	95:17, 96:15,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	newly
'	151:11, 165:22,		118:4
natural	182:14, 183:7,	113:4, 127:19,	next
109:20, 110:7,	196:8, 200:8,		14:23, 15:13,
112:8, 122:12,	200:12, 217:9,		17:4, 18:2,
131:3, 140:4,	217:17, 236:21,		18:6, 18:7,
188:23, 192:18,	253:8, 264:2,		24:17, 25:19,
231:5, 231:24	264:18, 266:3,		27:17, 31:15,
nature	273:18, 278:14,		31:18, 32:15,
35:15, 141:23,	278:18, 278:25,		33:4, 109:25,
197:11, 281:23,	279:22, 289:25,		111:19, 112:18,
317 : 15	303:17, 321:5,		113:25, 116:18,
navarrete	322:16, 323:3,		124:9, 124:10,
4:23, 28:10,	326:14, 326:22,	162:25, 164:18,	124:13, 126:21,
L		1	

	e enaueteu en 1 te		
127:22, 127:23,	non-residential	277:3, 277:6,	20:8, 20:20,
128:23, 128:25,	90:5, 212:14,	277:16, 277:23,	23:7, 23:14,
129:4, 130:19,	212:20, 214:10,	277:24, 279:17	24:23, 24:24,
131:5, 131:6,	214:11, 215:4,	northwest	25:21, 40:6,
131:12, 132:8,	216:8, 249:19	84:16, 108:24,	44:10, 114:18
133:15, 135:19,	none	130:23, 141:9,	noticed
136:3, 136:4,	49:4, 52:6,	151:6, 154:11,	196:15, 245:23
136:22, 145:21,	82:19, 91:1,	288:15, 320:14	notices
146:19, 146:23,	100:14, 196:13,	notary	24:12, 33:24,
148:2, 148:11,	202:22, 240:7,	2:16, 334:1,	39:8
148:12, 148:17,	246:6, 284:6,	334:14	notifications
148:18, 182:7,	289:14, 321:15	note	95:4
184:10, 199:4,	nonetheless		noting
200:24, 213:2,	85:8	61:8, 62:10,	209:12
213:12, 214:5,	nonresidential		notion
220:13, 221:5,	294:9		250:23
221:10, 221:16,			
222:9, 223:1,	noon 52:13		notley
223:21, 223:25,			1:5, 6:4, 8:5,
227:1, 227:23,	north		8:14, 9:1, 11:8,
228:5, 228:7,	108:24, 111:2,		12:10, 18:15,
230:22, 231:17,	112:5, 115:22,	noted	21:8, 23:20,
231:25, 235:3,	,,		25:13, 27:22,
236:24, 241:11,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		29:7, 31:4,
244:2, 250:23,			32:12, 32:25,
257:6, 258:2,	123:21, 125:18,		83:25, 84:3,
260:5, 272:7,	, ,		84:6, 84:17,
283:1, 292:10,	133:4, 141:12,		109:16, 118:5,
293:14, 293:15,			118:10, 118:17,
303:3, 322:19,	145:14, 150:16,	143:1, 150:12,	118:25, 119:24,
323:14, 324:10	155:4, 156:6,		120:5, 121:2,
nguyen	, ,		126:25, 127:5,
	219:20, 223:13,		127:14, 128:17,
4:24, 20:17,			129:23, 132:15,
20:23, 20:25,		196:15, 200:15,	132:17, 133:2,
21:3, 21:4,	257:10, 271:22,	203:11, 214:11,	133:12, 133:13,
21:12, 21:13,	274:25, 275:21,	226:10, 228:1,	134:25, 135:22,
21:17, 21:20	278:1, 278:9,	234:11, 235:24,	136:2, 136:10,
nice	283:23, 291:1,	247:13, 250:10,	139:19, 142:21,
122:12	294:18, 294:22	265:20, 275:6,	152:20, 167:22,
ninety	north-south	315:5, 317:13	167:23, 180:4,
292:6	120:22, 123:5,	notes	181:3, 197:9,
noble	152:9	60:24, 61:13,	214:13, 216:4,
75:5	northeast	221:17, 307:5	216:11, 220:21,
node	151:6, 320:18	nothing	226:12, 231:14,
131:20	northern	62:20, 78:24,	235:12, 235:13,
noise	116:4, 117:2,	81:15, 254:22	236:9, 236:10,
312:12, 312:14	274:23, 275:15,	notice	238:18, 246:9,
non-resident	275:17, 276:19,	19:12, 19:16,	248:25, 254:19,
175:2			
		<u> </u>	

```
200:13, 201:3,
                                          79:5, 80:25,
254:21, 277:11,
                                                               46:13, 49:22,
278:4, 278:10,
                     203:17, 261:12,
                                                               50:7, 52:4,
                                          262:1
279:23, 279:24,
                                                               53:8, 56:25,
                     297:23
                                                   0
283:2, 283:6,
                     nusbaum
                                                               57:7, 66:6,
                                          o-e-r-u-m
283:15, 283:17,
                     4:25, 6:6,
                                                               66:10, 66:12,
                                          16:19
283:23, 284:7,
                     6:10, 11:15,
                                                               79:8, 207:10,
                                          o-r-c-h-a-r-d
284:17, 285:7,
                     40:11, 40:15,
                                                               260:17, 261:20,
                                          26:13
                                                               263:23, 305:25
285:17, 285:19,
                     48:17, 48:20,
                                          o-r-r-e-s
288:15, 289:6,
                     48:21, 49:13,
                                                               objecting
                                          29:3
289:19, 310:23,
                     49:16, 49:18,
                                                               56:18, 82:8,
                                          o-s-c-a-r
311:4, 311:14,
                     50:9, 50:24,
                                                               209:21, 209:23,
                                          28:11
312:1, 312:22,
                     51:1, 51:5,
                                                               210:7
                                          o-t
                     51:7, 51:11,
320:15
                                                               objection
                                          18:12
november
                     51:18, 51:21,
                                                               34:25, 42:13,
                                          o-t-r-a-d
1:15, 45:22,
                     52:11, 52:22,
                                                               45:4, 45:10,
                                          18:8
                     53:1, 53:4,
51:25, 52:16,
                                                               46:2, 47:19,
                                          o-t-t-a-v-i-a-n-i
                     53:8, 53:13,
114:25, 138:3,
                                                               48:14, 51:17,
                                          14:13
335:6, 335:16
                     53:17, 53:19,
                                                               53:22, 55:3,
                                          o-v
                     55:13, 56:15,
                                                               63:19, 72:24,
nri
                                          18:9, 18:13
                                                               76:24, 76:25,
                     63:20, 64:1,
231:5, 232:4
                                          o-z-a-h
                     64:9, 64:18,
                                                               79:2, 80:22,
number
                                          39:6
8:4, 8:12, 9:5,
                     64:19, 64:21,
                                                               80:25, 81:2,
                                          o-z-a-h@montgome-
                     65:22, 65:24,
                                                               82:10, 82:25,
42:9, 108:7,
                                          rycountymd
                     66:6, 66:14,
                                                               149:3, 149:6,
111:8, 111:11,
                                          24:10, 33:22
                     77:2, 77:5,
114:3, 126:5,
                                                               170:4, 171:10,
                                          oak
                     77:9, 77:13,
151:14, 158:16,
                                                               171:16, 171:19,
                     77:18, 79:14,
                                          60:23, 61:1,
                                                               207:9, 209:19,
167:1, 201:19,
                                          69:14, 85:23,
                     80:9, 81:8,
                                                               237:6, 237:7,
205:24, 212:8,
                                          86:10, 91:5,
                     83:10, 93:8,
                                                               265:3, 313:20,
221:23, 223:8,
                                          95:23, 96:3,
                     93:10, 93:11,
229:9, 237:2,
                                                               313:21
                                          134:15, 159:3,
                     171:16, 171:19,
                                                               objections
238:1, 242:20,
                                          159:4, 161:6,
                     171:20, 207:10,
242:25, 269:4,
                                                               37:7, 37:9,
                                          161:8, 163:21,
291:23, 312:22,
                     207:22, 208:3,
                                                               37:12, 37:13,
                     209:23, 210:5,
                                          164:8, 164:16,
                                                               37:16, 44:24,
313:17, 322:23,
                                          164:25, 165:6,
                     210:8, 253:14,
327:20, 328:4,
                                                               45:6, 45:8,
                                          165:7, 167:19,
329:4, 331:21,
                     253:24, 261:2,
                                                               48:12, 48:16,
                     261:9, 261:16,
                                          168:19, 168:23,
332:1, 332:3
                                                               55:16, 55:19,
                                          169:5, 170:10,
                     262:3, 262:15,
numbering
                                                               56:2, 56:8,
                                          173:15, 176:10,
188:18, 287:12
                     263:24, 264:1,
                                                               56:13, 56:17,
                                          221:20, 223:5,
                     264:4, 264:7,
                                                               56:24, 80:20,
numbers
                                          267:16, 273:21,
                     264:20, 264:23,
                                                               116:9, 116:11,
125:21, 205:25,
                                          300:1, 304:24,
                     265:2, 267:2,
                                                               137:17, 137:18,
206:3, 243:3,
                                          305:4, 305:17,
                     270:8, 270:14,
                                                               149:1, 205:9,
283:17, 284:20,
                     270:17, 270:20,
                                          316:5
                                                               324:4
329:8, 329:12
                     274:7, 308:18
                                          oath
                                                               objective
numeral
                                          35:20, 103:2,
                     nusbaum's
                                                               61:18
161:21, 163:12
                                          185:16
                     57:3, 66:22,
                                                               objectively
numerous
                                          object
                     67:3, 76:25,
                                                               62:3
86:11, 103:5,
                                          45:8, 45:24,
```

	Conducted on 14		<u></u>
objectives	3:12, 3:19,	once	237:23, 241:10
179:14, 190:22,	3:21, 11:5,	18:19, 92:21,	open
191:2, 248:1,	51:16, 99:22,	109:15, 118:15,	8:10, 62:7,
299:9, 328:22	100:17	120:11, 120:23,	70:5, 70:16,
obligation	officer	153:12, 166:2,	76:10, 81:20,
272:24	22:2, 334:2	180:16, 226:20,	83:23, 87:13,
observation	offices	297:18	87:14, 92:6,
308:21	148:4, 176:22	one's	92:19, 120:12,
observations	official	129:10, 268:25	120:19, 121:10,
62:23, 63:9	112:21, 232:6,	ones	121:11, 122:8,
obtain	335:5	47:12, 130:4,	131:2, 131:13,
272:23	officially	229:10, 280:21,	132:1, 132:5,
obviously	45:2, 114:20,	316:21, 328:4	132:6, 146:3,
144:2, 227:22,	114:23	online	187:21, 193:12,
315:15	offsite	13:10, 39:15,	196:3, 196:6,
occasion	123:24, 157:5,	44:25, 45:21,	196:9, 198:22,
100:9	196:14, 225:6,	50:2, 54:18,	228:23, 232:25,
occasions	249:6, 250:15,	140:16, 187:1,	237:5, 238:7,
103:5	258:17, 315:15	224:16, 227:3,	238:14, 238:15,
occupation	ogihara	324:8	239:4, 239:7,
104:15	1:24, 2:15,	only	239:13, 239:15,
occur	334:2, 334:14	24:14, 34:23,	239:21, 239:23,
194:9	oh	41:10, 50:17,	239:25, 240:23,
october	14:15, 27:6,	53:22, 64:17,	240:24, 241:3,
51:25, 52:12,	32:19, 46:24,	68:22, 79:7,	248:20, 250:13, 251:6, 251:7,
56:6, 56:11,	77:1, 93:6,	85:25, 91:2,	252:19, 265:24,
86:3, 88:22,	142:25, 151:9,	102:11, 111:10,	266:11, 266:13,
93:25, 96:14	189:14, 201:20,	115:20, 116:2,	266:16, 266:18,
odd	206:12, 206:19,	126:10, 133:20, 134:13, 144:10,	266:21, 272:20,
227:16, 236:1,	216:18, 221:16,	158:17, 161:23,	276:16, 276:18,
236:12, 240:16,	223:1, 223:23,	165:24, 166:12,	276:20, 276:21,
258:5	224:2, 224:8, 225:24, 228:8,	169:14, 188:2,	277:17, 277:24,
odds	230:24, 220:0, 230:22, 243:1,	201:4, 201:11,	277:25, 278:6,
58:20	255:16, 259:19,	212:5, 214:23,	278:8, 279:19,
offense	275:7, 283:7,	215:7, 219:15,	311:17, 314:13,
38:8	286:7, 299:6,	219:25, 240:8,	314:23, 316:2,
offer	302:21, 305:18,	240:21, 253:15,	317:24, 326:21,
66:13, 90:12,	314:8, 319:7,	254:18, 254:20,	328:19, 329:6
253:13, 286:19	321:20	281:1, 296:7,	open-space
offered	old	299:23, 304:12,	122:10, 131:23,
57:15, 62:14,	69:19, 125:16,	306:25, 316:6,	195:12, 237:22
232:17, 283:23	159:19, 161:23,	317:17	opening
offering	194:16, 234:14,	onsite	6:3, 35:25,
68:18, 69:6,	260:1	87:14, 123:17,	78:9, 82:15,
72:11, 226:16	older	156:23, 196:14,	83:19, 83:21,
offerings 63:4	180:21	231:19, 232:8,	83:23, 83:25,
office	on-street	232:10, 237:5,	89:9, 89:15,
1:2, 2:6, 2:8,	123:18, 242:8		
1.4, 4:0, 4:0,			

	Collaucted on No	(cine ci	133
93:8	46:16, 49:10,	259:13	127:25
operation	52:24, 55:18,	orange-dotted	ornamental
257:16	71:11, 72:23,	255:10	292:3, 314:21
operator	73:3, 74:19,	orchard	oscar
35:12	75:7, 77:16,	26:13	4:23, 28:10
opine	83:18, 83:21,	order	other
58:9, 62:17,	244:11, 270:13,	35:22, 43:1,	11:11, 36:5,
62:22, 74:2,	271:2	64:14, 66:25,	37:15, 37:18,
328:1	opposed	67:25, 113:13,	41:10, 45:9,
opined	101:9, 278:7	218:18, 224:6,	46:25, 48:12,
295:16	opposes	224:11	48:16, 51:21,
opining	77:21	ordinance	62:8, 64:22,
69:6	opposing	36:24, 47:9,	65:25, 67:16,
opinion	35:24, 35:25,	86:13, 87:23,	68:11, 69:3,
59:21, 61:23,	36:3	88:6, 96:9,	69:13, 70:17,
62:1, 63:18,	opposition	97:22, 98:9,	72:20, 76:12,
73:4, 73:5,	4:25, 10:13,	100:19, 100:25,	86:11, 89:9,
111:25, 127:11,	10:22, 36:4,	102:8, 105:23,	90:20, 90:21,
136:14, 136:19,	36:13, 42:17,	105:25, 106:2,	97:12, 101:7,
144:14, 153:2,	57:19, 59:22,	106:24, 190:7,	107:2, 115:20,
157:21, 159:9,	64:6, 65:1,	190:12, 197:17,	115:25, 118:6,
168:17, 168:18,	65:4, 65:9,	199:12, 210:13,	141:13, 148:9,
171:1, 174:3,	65:12, 77:25,	213:25, 225:4,	155:5, 160:20,
174:7, 177:14,	78:16, 78:23,	233:9, 248:8,	166:12, 166:16,
181:14, 186:18,	79:18, 81:25,	251:13, 293:24	174:20, 177:17,
186:19, 190:23,	82:12, 82:22,	organic	179:11, 179:16,
191:7, 196:20,	99:24, 101:19,	91:6, 177:7	184:12, 189:8,
218:14, 218:22,	101:23, 111:22,	organizations	189:17, 189:19,
220:1, 234:19,	170:16, 170:20,	61:4	199:23, 204:8,
243:22, 245:19,	170:23, 324:24,	organized	214:2, 218:1,
247:21, 251:10,	326:7	64:6, 65:1,	222:17, 226:2,
251:15, 286:19,	opposition's	65:4, 65:5,	226:6, 239:15,
309:5, 309:18,	56:25, 57:12,	65:9, 65:12,	244:19, 271:9,
316:5, 316:14,	113:7, 113:10,	77:24, 78:16,	271:17, 273:7,
316:20, 319:21	170:9	78:22, 81:25,	297:3, 301:8,
opinions	optimal	82:12, 93:12,	301:10, 303:12,
58:3	34:14	101:23, 108:4	313:1, 317:2, 317:19, 317:22,
opponents	optimistic	organizing	324:2, 328:17
87:6	253:25	289:1	others
opportunities	optional	orientation	188:15, 192:3
60:12, 65:24,	125:16, 234:14	272:19	otherwise
142:5, 162:7,	options	oriented	34:5, 117:3,
175:15	246:7 oral	248:25	117:20, 156:6,
<pre>opportunity 36:7, 36:9,</pre>	9:24, 9:25,	original	185:8, 188:3,
36:16, 36:17,	9:24, 9:25, 56:16, 79:7	123:11, 159:20,	334:11, 335:10
36:16, 36:17, 36:18, 36:20,	orange	209:25, 267:9	otradovec
36:18, 36:20, 37:21, 42:5,	135:16, 255:17,	originally	5:2, 17:19,
J., ZI, 4Z:J,	133:10, 233:1/,	70:23, 120:12,	

	Conducted on No	, one or 0, 2020		134
17:24, 18:7,	outstanding	overview	160:10, 160:20,	
18:8, 18:12	183:21	132:10	161:3, 161:20,	
ottaviani	over	own	163:13, 163:24,	
5:3, 14:8,	21:10, 34:1,	61:5, 64:2,	164:8, 167:8,	
14:12, 14:13,	34:3, 58:19,	66:7, 142:16,	167:10, 167:16,	
23:13, 23:14,	68:6, 70:20,	230:1, 230:16,	175:1, 176:3,	
23:17, 23:20,	76:13, 77:4,	309:19, 309:23	177:13, 178:11,	
24:19	93:5, 102:18,	owner	178:14, 178:19,	
ourselves	104:22, 106:3,	11:9, 17:1,	178:23, 189:14,	
100:12	109:3, 119:2,	17:14, 17:18,	201:18, 202:2,	
out	134:6, 136:25,	17:20, 18:2,	205:24, 205:25,	
20:14, 34:2,	153:12, 153:14,	18:5, 18:15,	206:3, 206:7,	
36:25, 40:21,	159:22, 174:12,	20:21, 21:5,	206:10, 206:21,	
42:9, 45:4,	175:17, 227:17,	89:20	207:1, 207:3,	
45:17, 51:23,	231:15, 241:23,	ownership	208:12, 215:22,	
83:4, 113:13,	243:10, 246:2,	142:12, 142:15	215:23, 215:24,	
129:1, 133:23,	250:24, 286:4,	•	216:3, 216:9,	
138:24, 141:4,	286:9, 295:20	ozah	243:20, 255:2,	
	•	13:23, 22:9,	265:17, 267:14,	
142:18, 157:20,	overall	23:7, 23:11,	267:18, 269:5,	
167:8, 170:2,	106:21, 139:17,	24:5, 33:22,	273:9, 273:15,	
171:7, 172:25,	146:25, 152:6,	39:5, 44:12,	275:2, 275:4,	
180:2, 199:15,	155:21, 158:15,	49:24, 50:6,	275:8, 276:8,	
199:20, 209:13,	158:20, 159:14,	51:16, 52:12,	276:14, 283:1,	
219:13, 220:21,	211:19, 240:10,	52:14, 54:9,	285:18, 287:12,	
222:21, 224:6,	311:13	64:11, 65:11,	292:10, 302:4,	
224:11, 224:15,	overarching	66:23, 81:2,	302:19	
228:22, 229:10, 254:18, 285:21,	300:23	94:12, 115:14	pages	
	overflow	ozah@montgomeryc-	1:23, 160:21,	
286:10, 288:6, 290:19, 291:20,	123:17	ountymd	188:14, 205:15,	
294:20, 294:23,	overhang	39:6	205:17, 207:1,	
301:5, 324:16	151:19	P	249:9, 335:3	
outcome	overlaid	P	paid	
334:11, 335:10	133:18	8:24, 22:15,	86:25, 331:20	
outline	overlap	30:7	paint	
82:2, 161:13,	231:12	p-e-t-w-y-n	163:7	
188:18, 298:6	overly	18:25	panel	
outlined	109:14, 114:13	p-h-a	118:2, 120:6,	
79:12, 218:4	overrule	30:25	120:7, 128:19,	
outlines	51:16, 170:7,	p-h-a-n	128:20, 135:24,	
213:13	171:9	12:9, 29:25	135:25, 136:7,	
outset	overruled	pace	181:7, 181:10	
34:9, 44:1,	53:11, 81:2,	225:16	panels	
186:7	172:9	packet	181:3	
outside	overruling	134:11	paper	
137:7, 169:12,	82:25	pad	49:11	
170:15, 175:16,	overrun	281:1	paragraph	
177:3, 305:7	282:13	page	72:16, 216:16,	
1	overton	6:2, 7:3,		
	40:8, 318:22			

	Conducted on 140	<u> </u>	
298:21, 298:25	309:23, 315:25	participating	12:16, 12:24,
parallel	park's	58:18	12:25, 35:24,
121:5, 242:17,	228:14, 228:15	participation	48:21, 56:18,
280:21	park-n-ride	36:10, 44:15,	64:3, 64:8,
parallel-parking	166:9, 166:10	57:4, 59:23,	77:20, 78:6,
242:9	parking	61:24, 62:6,	78:8, 78:15,
parameters	85:4, 120:20,	63:22, 66:7,	80:1, 83:6,
130:17, 197:8,	121:5, 123:10,	66:22, 66:25,	93:8, 93:12,
312:8, 312:20	123:18, 131:8,	67:4, 67:15,	101:14
paraphrase	145:8, 145:22,	68:7, 72:17,	passed
245:24	225:6, 225:7,	75:4, 76:6,	51 : 24
parcel	233:1, 241:7,	83:7, 125:3	past
95:6, 236:10	241:9, 241:10,	particular	103:24, 167:16,
parcels	241:18, 241:22,	70:12, 70:15,	171:24, 218:16,
8:24, 87:15,	242:3, 242:5,	71:4, 72:16,	254:2, 256:15,
95:6, 196:5	242:6, 242:17,	72:21, 86:25,	304:21, 308:22
park	242:20, 280:15,	112:8, 142:8,	path
50:10, 50:16,	281:1, 329:4	142:21, 225:2,	118:2, 120:6,
86:13, 92:13,	parks	241:21, 245:15,	128:19, 131:1,
105:17, 105:21,	49:2, 140:3,	248:17, 305:8,	132:18, 132:20,
120:14, 120:17,	168:10, 229:24,	305:18, 324:17	135:1, 135:2,
120:19, 123:1,	258:17, 303:6,	particularly	135:25, 136:8,
123:3, 130:21,	309:19, 317:24	115:24, 174:18,	180:15, 181:2,
130:23, 130:24,	part	177:12, 179:18	181:7, 181:9,
131:2, 131:8,	8:21, 40:12,	parties	223:16, 223:17,
133:8, 142:10,	49:23, 65:1,	7:8, 10:1,	255:11, 255:23,
145:21, 148:13,	65:4, 82:16,	10:12, 10:21,	256:3, 256:5,
172:4, 177:24,	95:25, 96:2,	11:11, 35:23,	265:12, 309:12
181:20, 193:13,	97:4, 107:7,	36:3, 36:13,	paths
199:4, 227:10,	108:21, 115:18,	36:19, 54:23,	132:25, 164:20,
227:13, 227:15,	121:24, 122:2,	55:17, 64:11,	255:24, 280:15
228:1, 228:6,	134:3, 150:21,	64:13, 81:6,	patience
228:11, 229:25,	163:5, 168:12,	81:7, 82:3,	332:24
230:2, 230:7,	173:9, 173:10,	83:18, 83:20,	patios
230:12, 230:16,	202:10, 203:1,	208:25, 318:8,	239:22
231:20, 232:12,	203:9, 203:19,	334:9, 335:9	patrick
238:15, 238:22,	209:12, 215:14,	partly	4:8, 39:21,
239:5, 243:16,	231:23, 232:4,	329:22	320:5
246:13, 248:21,	271:5, 272:20,	partners	pattern
251:20, 257:6,	282:11, 328:14	297:12	127:8, 131:20,
257:7, 257:15,	participate	parts	193:2, 193:4
258:2, 258:21,	42:16, 64:4,	92:6, 161:2,	patterns
259:10, 259:18,	65:11	178:8, 179:7	192:17
259:22, 259:24,	participated	party	paul
260:3, 260:19,	61:14, 73:25,	6:6, 9:20,	22:16
260:25, 261:6,	95:20	9:24, 11:13,	pause
261:22, 262:18,	participates	11:18, 11:22,	23:1, 26:18,
262:19, 272:7,	70:7	12:2, 12:13,	26:20, 30:12,
			i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

	Conducted on No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	130
39:18, 205:16	people	314:24	192:4, 295:22
paving	13:9, 15:12,	percentage	persuasive
131:20, 239:17,	19:8, 19:25,	229:8, 329:14	99:23
279:20	20:3, 23:4,	percentages	pertaining
paying	30:11, 31:9,	222:8	67:13
134:21	33:6, 34:16,	perfect	pertinent
payment	34:23, 37:25,	330:25	64:21, 81:19,
222:6	38:6, 38:7,	performance	223:25, 231:8
pb	38:8, 39:10,	34:14	peter
223:6, 223:9	39:18, 42:7,	perhaps	30:7
pd	42:9, 42:15,	42:5, 43:18,	petwyn
207:18, 237:13	43:6, 43:24,	47:10, 139:2,	18:25, 22:15,
pdf	46:25, 115:6,	284:1, 301:8,	22:17, 22:18,
205:1, 206:4,	118:12, 124:16,	323:13, 324:13	220:22
206:10, 206:24,	124:20, 125:7,	perimeter	phan
206:25, 207:2,	141:5, 142:15,	122:24, 124:1,	5:4, 5:5,
207:18, 209:22,	163:17, 164:4,	279:4, 329:7	11:20, 11:25,
210:2, 275:3,	168:1, 168:6,	perimeters	12:4, 12:8,
276:8	176:6, 176:24,	157:8	12:15, 12:20,
pe	182:13, 182:14,	period	14:4, 14:5,
4:20	183:9, 184:8,	76:14, 106:3,	19:9, 19:11,
peak	239:22, 252:25,	169:21	29:24, 30:3,
147:24, 147:25	253:6, 301:9,	permit	30:6, 30:7,
peaked	302:14, 323:20,	66:23, 66:24,	30:21, 30:23,
147:17, 281:13	323:21, 324:1,	97:9, 164:6,	31:1, 31:4, 31:7
pedestrian	324:8, 324:16,	328:16	phase
88:10, 118:14,	324:17, 325:3,	permits	69:19
121:8, 122:10,	326:4	251 : 11	phonetic
123:7, 133:5,	people's	permitted	19:10, 260:14
133:19, 135:3,	322:22	63:23, 64:7,	photo
135:15, 136:12,	perceived	97:7, 97:21,	256:21, 257:5,
136:15, 136:17,	154:20	98:12, 113:19,	257:22, 308:19
162:19, 163:10,	percent	201:5	photograph
167:13, 167:22,	132:1, 132:5,	perpetuity	292:13
168:8, 191:15,	144:15, 153:17,	98:15	photos
214:8, 214:14,	178:25, 193:14,	person	255:8, 292:9
216:6, 217:4,	196:3, 213:22, 221:13, 221:20,	14:23, 15:13,	phrase
217:7, 222:16,	221:21, 221:20, 221:21,	21:2, 23:2,	329:10
223:19, 226:22,	222:4, 227:18,	47:18, 79:25	physical
238:21, 245:5,	228:2, 229:12,	personal	51:15, 120:14,
246:10, 264:12,	229:13, 229:14,	107:15	299:5
267:16	230:3, 230:17,	personally	physically
pedestrian-frien-	231:14, 231:15,	172:1	34:17
dly	238:6, 240:8,	persons	pick
162:10, 165:23	240:12, 246:5,	36:5, 65:3,	24:16, 185:3,
pedestrianize	292:1, 292:6,	65:4, 65:6,	185:19
131:17	309:20, 309:24,	78:22	picked
pedestrians		perspective	316:9
167:17, 167:19		147:3, 172:7,	

		·	
picks	plan's	300:14, 300:24,	41:3, 48:19,
227:10, 227:11,	176:10	301:5, 301:10,	71:18, 93:7,
227:16, 227:25	plan-type	301:16, 305:10,	104:14, 104:23,
picnic	169:3	306:7, 317:2,	106:16, 109:25,
266:3	plane	317:4	111:20, 112:19,
picture	233:25, 234:18,	plant	117:12, 124:14,
256:21, 286:24	234:21, 236:5,	105:3, 193:19	130:20, 131:6,
pictures	234.21, 230.3,	planted	132:8, 133:16,
256:20	planned	331:19	135:19, 136:4,
piece	66:21, 136:18,	planting	146:24, 148:2,
1 -		122:13, 249:7,	148:11, 185:8,
47:15, 134:20,	150:7, 175:4,	315:14	188:11, 190:24,
204:8, 235:2	175:5, 191:11,		194:1, 199:9,
pieces	191:24, 196:2,	plantings	213:2, 213:6,
107:4	245:2	248:23	214:5, 214:18,
pike	planner	plat	
69:19	84:9, 89:3,	146:21	215:20, 220:14, 221:6, 223:1,
pin	104:17, 104:19,	platforms	223:22, 228:7,
227:9	104:21, 105:17,	34:13	
pine	105:19, 141:16	play	230:22, 237:21, 241:12, 244:20,
157:17	planners	171:7, 310:10	
pines	105:7	playground	247:20, 248:11,
124:3, 157:17,	planning's	131:2	271:18, 296:19,
158:6	50:11, 86:14,	playgrounds	296:22, 298:12,
pink	260:19, 261:22	131:9, 260:22,	299:2, 302:9,
135:10	plans	260:24, 262:4,	307:4, 308:14,
pitched	60:1, 63:3,	262:16, 262:17	311:1, 312:19,
151:17, 152:18	66:13, 67:20,	pleasant	314:16, 318:17,
pitches	67:21, 69:3,	154:4, 154:5	318:21, 320:4
212:2	69:7, 86:12,	please	plopped
place	107:1, 115:7,	10:4, 10:9,	303:17
99:3, 137:9,	162:2, 169:3,	12:7, 14:11,	plot
161:9, 161:20,	169:22, 170:13,	14:17, 14:18,	8:23
163:25, 166:19,	171:14, 172:6,	15:9, 15:13,	plural
166:23, 182:23,	172:12, 172:13,	16:1, 16:5,	65:4
280:11, 302:25,	172:20, 172:25,	16:17, 16:22,	plus
303:13, 327:15	173:5, 173:6,	17:23, 20:6,	123:17, 136:1,
placed	175:7, 179:12,	23:16, 25:2,	181:5, 221:1,
140:15, 215:6,	179:16, 179:17,	25:8, 26:11,	276:24
278:5	181:13, 181:25,	27:3, 27:11,	point
placeholders	182:5, 191:4,	28:6, 29:1,	10:20, 46:11,
147:22	191:10, 193:16,	29:5, 29:20,	56:16, 74:21,
placement	194:14, 244:19,	30:10, 30:14,	80:13, 119:10,
204:9	245:12, 245:14,	30:15, 30:25,	119:19, 131:15,
places	274:4, 296:25,	31:3, 31:18,	141:4, 141:7,
70:1, 165:19,	297:4, 297:15,	31:23, 32:6,	151:1, 165:14,
176:3, 218:1,	298:22, 298:24,	32:24, 33:11,	166:25, 171:2,
302:5, 302:11	299:24, 299:25,	34:5, 35:6,	183:20, 183:24,
plain	300:5, 300:12,	38:22, 39:18,	214:21, 223:23,
98:24, 100:19	·	,	
90.24, 100:19	·		
	·		

	0011440000	, -	100
254:2, 256:4,	poses	practices	168:12, 186:22
258:2, 270:18,	165:19	170:12	prepared
279:10, 281:25,	position	pre-k	43:14, 46:20,
291:18, 297:21,	80:13, 81:21,	226:16	72:4, 168:13,
308:9, 320:12,	99:20, 101:24,	preamble	182:15, 186:11,
332:10	105:12, 290:3,	316:12	187:9, 260:11,
pointing	291:19	precedent	260:13
124:24, 125:24	possess	70:18, 100:6,	prepares
points	58:8, 59:12	202:17	297:19
93:19, 219:18,	possesses	predict	preponderance
223:3, 245:1,	59:19	75:1	101:4, 101:7
309:21, 316:9	possible	predominant	prerequisite
police	42:8, 218:10,	90:20, 119:22	7:11, 133:14,
189:7, 192:11,	283:24, 295:22,	predominantly	204:22, 205:7,
247:14, 247:15	318:4, 325:3	90:16	207:23, 214:7,
policies	post	prefer	216:1, 218:5,
134:18, 165:2,	45:22	10:8, 317:14,	218:16, 218:22,
165:3, 170:1,	posted	324:23	219:1, 219:3,
172:15, 274:2	44:25, 54:1	preferences	219:14, 220:4,
policy	posting	176:8, 302:18	221:11, 224:4,
62:5, 76:14,	115:13	prehearing	224:12, 225:17,
169:23, 173:7,	postpone	41:19, 45:6,	226:21, 229:14,
174:14, 176:12,	10:17	56:14, 57:12,	229:18, 230:3,
301:7, 301:14	potential	63:25, 64:3,	231:16, 231:22,
political	97:11, 119:22,	65:14, 77:1,	269:24, 309:9,
96:9	168:4	77:25, 78:14,	309:22, 309:24,
poor	potentially	78:23, 81:5,	310:1, 319:21,
157:18, 315:6	246:15, 324:1	81:9, 81:11,	321:4, 321:9
popular	power	82:1, 82:5,	prerequisites
310:12	182:16	82:9, 82:17,	88:3, 90:1,
population	powerpoint	93:14, 106:10	98:8, 199:19,
158:5, 175:4,	107:21, 108:10,	prejudicial	205:11, 207:5,
195:1	110:14, 114:2,	42:16, 79:24	207:15, 207:21,
popup	126:2, 126:11,	preliminaries	207:24, 209:24,
282:4	128:14, 134:4,	37:18, 44:18,	212:17, 213:4,
porches	137:7, 137:9,	83:16	213:7, 213:11,
147:14, 198:16	148:22, 149:10,	preliminary	213:13, 214:2,
portion	149:17, 201:6,	37:5, 37:15,	216:23, 217:2,
160:13, 160:16,	201:15, 205:14,	37:19, 41:10,	217:21, 222:20,
263:12, 321:6	206:1, 209:12,	74:25, 85:7,	226:3, 226:6, 232:14, 248:6
portions	237:1, 237:13,	86:24, 214:22,	
92:18, 169:5,	274:20, 311:1,	246:23, 247:1,	<pre>prescribed 81:3</pre>
251:5, 316:18	311:5	331:7, 331:13	prescribing
portray	practical	premature	81:23
87:7	177:3	85:6	
portrayed	practice	premium	<pre>prescriptive 232:25, 237:25</pre>
79:10	171:7, 173:2,	222:6	presence
posed	241:17	prepare	136:11
19:1		55:23, 107:6,	100:11

present	presuming	prioritized	71:6, 71:8,
8:17, 9:24,	318:8	178:4	79:18, 108:3,
11:12, 11:14,	presumption	priority	169:18, 335:6
12:2, 13:23,	293:11	175:8, 175:9	proceedings
36:6, 42:18,		•	26:20, 30:12,
44:6, 57:13,	<pre>presumptively 293:8</pre>	<pre>privacy 129:14</pre>	61:24, 67:15,
64:10, 64:13,			67:23, 68:8,
78:13, 93:6,	pretty	private	69:4, 69:8,
98:12, 270:9,	272:21, 302:22	120:8, 128:21,	205:16, 334:4,
270:13, 318:8,	preview	142:4, 160:18,	334:8
325:21	93:19	162:18, 166:1, 166:3, 197:21,	process
presentation	previous	•	15:11, 59:18,
10:12, 36:1,	99:21, 99:22,	199:3, 239:14,	61:3, 61:21,
71:20, 107:21,	160:7, 179:9,	239:21, 310:2	74:7, 77:21,
108:2, 116:23,	203:25, 220:2,	pro	84:25, 94:16,
139:6, 220:12,	241:15, 306:14	4:25, 64:2,	94:17, 94:21,
255:2, 265:18,	previously	83:5	95:18, 173:10,
267:3, 271:16,	26:7, 62:12,	probably	194:12, 208:19,
311:5	78:20, 97:18,	133:20, 136:3,	214:22, 232:24,
presented	103:4, 105:16,	136:9, 148:8,	295:17, 297:15,
9:3, 37:13,	117:17, 137:9,	152:13, 153:1,	297:21, 315:8,
45:9, 45:18,	244:24, 246:19,	158:21, 183:8	332:13
46:18, 55:2,	248:24, 249:20	problem	processes
55:16, 80:22,	price	82:18, 165:19,	94:9, 95:7
98:6, 101:16,	8:22	296:23, 307:8 problematically	produce
101:19, 101:21,	primarily	228:10	52:13, 96:15
116:21, 117:17,	121:12	problems	produces
138:25, 241:16,	primary	1-	101:10
261:17, 311:8	10:7, 104:15,	161:22, 162:1, 324:17	production
presenting	272:20, 275:25, 315:4	procedural	101:1, 178:13
71:23, 72:9,	prime	37:24, 56:23	professional
76:4, 106:1,	94:23	procedure	9:4, 58:15,
218:12, 326:8	principle	35:19, 66:23	61:19, 75:19,
presents	177:19, 289:1	procedures	76:2, 103:10,
43:1	principles	66:3	104:19, 104:24,
preservation	112:13, 192:7,	proceed	104:25, 111:25,
85 : 5	195:24, 317:11	26:22, 36:4,	127:11, 136:13,
preserve	print	89:10, 98:12,	136:19, 157:21,
249:6, 279:18,	10:4, 140:8,	103:8, 190:2,	159:8, 159:9,
328:19	150:10	190:3, 208:11,	171:6, 172:3,
preserved	printed	288:7	172:7, 174:3,
92:18, 259:25	285 : 21	proceeding	181:14, 186:18,
president	prior	34:19, 35:15,	190:14, 190:23,
4:17, 5:15,	52:14, 54:2,	35:16, 35:17,	196:20, 198:12,
11:8, 67:16,	62:14, 54:2,	36:22, 50:25,	218:14, 218:22,
68:25, 89:18,	100:13, 187:23	55:12, 57:11,	220:1, 243:22,
105:14	priorities	59:7, 60:3,	245:19, 247:21,
press	172:15	71:4, 71:5,	251:10, 251:15,
39:12 , 188:11	1/2.10	, + • • • , , + • • ,	
	ı		

309:5, 309:18, 290:23, 292:12, 27:13, 28:21, 196:19 315:19, 316:5, 294:10, 294:13, 86:17, 86:22, protecting 296:6, 306:16 87:1, 87:3, 316:20 196:14 professionals project-by-proje-87:9, 87:17, protection 94:23 89:22, 90:9, 163:7, 195:13, 92:1, 92:11, proffer 162:21 247:14, 248:2 92:20, 92:25, 71:13 projected protects proffered 95:17, 110:4, 193:18, 314:21 196:21 110:20, 113:8, 285:11 projecting prove 113:11, 113:16, program 101:6 234:23 113:17, 115:1, 59:5, 75:24 provide projections 115:11, 117:13, 18:20, 24:24, programming 222:2 128:16, 129:17, 48:2, 60:12, 226:16 projects 132:12, 135:14, prohibit 60:14, 166:4, 60:13, 61:2, 135:16, 136:14, 61:17, 73:3, 296:9 282:3 136:20, 139:14, 73:22, 73:23, prohibited promise 139:15, 139:16, 80:7, 87:16, 295:3, 295:7 94:1, 94:2 152:1, 153:3, 93:16, 93:18, prohibits promote 159:9, 163:15, 93:20, 99:14, 210:14 178:21 173:11, 174:4, 117:22, 121:6, project promotes 175:12, 188:21, 122:8, 142:9, 85:12, 88:7, 194:2, 195:23 190:18, 190:24, 157:25, 162:7, 88:9, 92:11, prong 191:22, 193:7, 163:23, 164:5, 99:17, 106:21, 65:21 227:19, 242:20, 167:11, 187:20, 120:22, 120:23, proof 243:13, 243:18, 192:5, 193:20, 132:12, 141:4, 101:2 243:23, 244:16, 198:8, 198:16, 141:6, 149:8, proper 245:18, 246:8, 206:22, 216:5, 149:17, 179:1, 49:7, 95:18, 247:4, 248:10, 217:6, 217:18, 181:14, 181:22, 96:22, 256:12 248:24, 249:18, 219:22, 219:25, 190:13, 190:24, properties 251:16, 254:20, 225:12, 228:23, 191:8, 192:19, 84:16, 85:13, 255:11, 255:24, 240:2, 241:1, 194:1, 194:15, 99:4, 134:24, 278:6, 284:5, 248:21, 249:6, 194:22, 195:15, 151:3, 169:9, 289:8, 289:19, 264:19, 273:12, 195:23, 196:21, 169:12, 170:15, 294:15, 303:19, 199:10, 211:15, 279:3, 312:10, 202:24, 203:3, 304:3, 304:4, 313:13, 314:16 213:7, 215:6, 218:19, 220:5, 315:17 provided 216:9, 218:1, 234:12, 234:13, proposes 47:18, 68:20, 218:3, 221:12, 235:1, 235:3, 8:15 87:13, 89:7, 221:18, 221:24, 235:5 proposing 123:6, 129:6, 232:13, 233:11, property's 95:7, 129:13, 196:13, 201:3, 237:22, 238:2, 218:24, 219:2 135:22, 218:9, 201:5, 202:23, 238:24, 243:13, proposal 223:15, 242:8, 207:19, 210:2, 243:18, 245:20, 95:2, 132:24, 242:11 210:3, 227:21, 245:22, 246:3, 246:13 prosperous 230:13, 241:10, 248:12, 250:6, propose 161:2, 179:6 254:6, 261:23, 254:6, 271:1, 87:11 protect 264:3, 265:21, 271:11, 276:20, proposed 197:15, 199:7 271:1, 308:7, 277:5, 279:4, 17:21, 19:1, protected 290:15, 290:19, 314:12, 314:14 22:23, 23:21, 92:19, 156:16,

```
71:10, 72:10,
provides
                     142:7, 160:18,
                                                               73:17, 74:3,
                     173:9, 184:13,
                                          121:3, 148:25,
                                                               74:12, 74:18,
81:15, 91:6,
121:4, 121:5,
                     189:3, 192:1,
                                          151:13, 190:15,
                                                               75:8, 80:21
                    192:9, 197:10,
                                          199:9, 199:10,
                                                               qualifications
121:7, 135:22,
142:5, 149:8,
                    197:21, 240:17,
                                          199:13, 210:11,
                                                               56:8, 63:10,
149:17, 186:11,
                     243:12, 243:15,
                                          247:19, 281:11,
                                                               74:24
                     245:18, 245:20,
196:2, 228:12,
                                          285:10
                                                               qualified
                     245:23, 246:14,
                                          pursuant
228:17, 228:19,
                                                               57:25, 59:14,
248:5, 255:6
                     246:20, 246:24,
                                          2:15, 63:25,
                                                               68:2, 103:4,
                     247:4, 247:12,
providing
                                          64:12, 210:23,
                                                               103:15, 103:23
                     299:15, 303:6,
117:24, 123:12,
                                          212:10, 293:2
                                                               qualifies
                     310:2, 334:1,
129:2, 129:6,
                                          push
                                                               68:5, 72:20,
147:14, 147:15,
                     334:14
                                          241:21, 311:16
                                                               79:16, 269:24
                    published
158:3, 165:1,
                                          pushed
                                                               qualify
                    226:13, 315:25
178:14, 178:20,
                                          241:18, 250:9
                                                               56:25, 57:10,
178:25, 181:1,
                    pull
                                          pushing
                                                               59:8, 59:22,
181:8, 191:13,
                     35:12, 46:15,
                                          204:10, 278:15
                                                               62:5, 63:16,
                    54:9, 54:17,
194:7, 197:13,
                                                               65:9, 66:15,
                                          put
                     56:5, 56:10,
                                                               68:1, 73:7,
198:3, 203:22,
                                          19:14, 23:6,
209:3, 217:12,
                     81:14, 107:23,
                                          45:1, 120:18,
                                                               73:13, 76:8,
225:6, 228:4,
                     134:11, 201:14,
                                                               89:24, 219:5,
                                          122:9, 122:13,
241:23, 245:4,
                     215:20, 254:4,
                                          134:17, 163:25,
                                                               266:4
250:16, 263:24,
                     287:14, 302:2
                                          166:3, 173:2,
                                                               qualifying
                    pulled
274:3
                                          185:7, 204:14,
                                                               103:7
                     122:20, 256:11
proving
                                          204:21, 227:6,
                                                               quality
101:14
                     pulling
                                          227:7, 227:9,
                                                               50:11, 158:17,
provision
                     123:19, 209:13
                                          227:11, 240:16,
                                                               163:10
159:20, 178:20
                                          258:10, 258:23,
                    pump
                                                               quarter
provisions
                     216:10
                                          261:11, 266:6,
                                                               258:20
190:8
                    punched
                                          279:20, 288:25,
                                                               quarter-mile
                                          308:13, 323:19,
proximity
                    123:4, 145:4,
                                                               110:6, 110:22,
225:11, 225:24,
                     147:15
                                          329:7
                                                               112:6, 137:1,
226:9, 267:4,
                    punished
                                          puts
                                                               139:18, 143:10,
267:5, 303:9,
                                          227:17, 259:1
                     81:10, 82:5,
                                                               221:9, 223:24,
303:25, 304:2,
                     83:8
                                          putting
                                                               224:13, 224:20,
304:15
                    purely
                                          42:9, 45:5,
                                                               225:7, 225:19
public
                                          67:7, 110:14,
                     329:15
                                                               question
2:16, 8:11,
                                          119:21, 135:1,
                                                               19:8, 71:15,
                     purple
44:11, 60:7,
                                          164:21
                     242:7, 254:13,
                                                               71:20, 133:14,
63:24, 64:20,
                     259:12, 299:10
                                                               154:16, 173:14,
65:18, 66:24,
                                                               183:21, 187:1,
                     purpose
                                          quadrant
68:12, 68:22,
                     60:15, 72:12,
                                                               196:11, 204:21,
                                          84:17, 320:23
72:13, 73:6,
                     81:20, 99:10,
                                                               204:23, 207:20,
                                          qualification
74:9, 81:17,
                     100:18, 139:4,
                                                               207:22, 211:12,
                                          57:7, 58:6,
85:6, 86:19,
                                                               215:13, 218:21,
                     199:23, 200:7,
                                          58:20, 58:21,
86:21, 86:23,
                                                               229:21, 253:9,
                    200:11, 281:20
                                          59:11, 68:21,
114:19, 115:6,
                     purposes
                                                               259:15, 260:18,
                                          73:2, 73:11,
115:12, 115:18,
                                                               261:3, 261:4,
                     41:23, 68:19,
```

	Conducted on No	veineer 0, 2025	142
262:2, 262:4,	324:8, 324:22	302:18	246:3, 323:4,
263:25, 264:16,	quote	racially	323:8, 323:9
265:4, 273:19,	60:12, 60:14,	175:19	rates
282:23, 288:22,	61:1, 61:15,	radii	221:24, 247:8
300:10, 306:14,	99:6, 160:10,	141:9	rather
312:7, 313:7,	165:13, 165:17,	radius	57:16, 61:17,
313:14, 313:19,		110:6, 110:22,	147:17, 155:5,
318:5, 318:11,		137:1, 139:18,	248:22
319:3, 321:1,		139:20, 259:14,	ratio
328:8, 328:10,	273:19, 302:1,	263:5, 263:6,	144:18
329:15, 330:5,	303:15	263:16, 264:13	re-1
330:7, 330:18	quotes	rail	111:12, 271:23
questioning	166:16	90:24, 175:6	re-2
310:22	quoting	raise	271:23
questions	161:17	35:3, 103:1,	re-uploaded
35:21, 36:18,	R	183:20, 208:24	49:7
37:24, 45:18,	r	raised	reach
47:13, 54:24,	8:17, 8:18,	33:7, 40:18,	10:10, 23:12,
55:1, 89:6,	84:18, 84:19,	43:3, 56:23,	24:14
101:4, 102:6,	87:11, 90:16,	63:19, 140:7,	read
103:19, 185:20,	97:10, 111:12,	142:11, 170:23,	65:9, 70:21,
190:11, 208:25,	113:2, 113:18,	207:20, 222:23,	71:7, 79:23,
210:11, 213:16,	122:22, 125:15,	241:14	110:9, 150:10,
213:19, 222:23,	130:2, 130:3,	raising	151:8, 257:24,
226:2, 226:4,	130:4, 130:7,	34:25, 131:19,	299:1, 302:9,
230:25, 232:17,	130:10, 130:11,	185:22	313:2
252:2, 254:1,	130:12, 143:5,	ran	readable
262:1, 262:7,	143:14, 143:15,	229:10	49:5
264:2, 264:18,	153:9, 153:11,	randolph	reading
264:19, 274:8, 274:21, 288:9,	199:15, 203:19,	109:2, 109:3,	80:6, 98:9,
306:17, 307:18,	211:2, 234:11,	135:5, 162:15,	98:24, 100:19,
318:1, 318:9,	236:2, 236:8,	166:13, 257:18,	161:4, 299:3,
321:14	271:22, 271:24,	304:19, 308:9,	302:10, 303:4,
quick	292:15, 292:20,	317:6, 317:7	311:23, 311:24
39:15, 125:8,	293:1	range	ready
125:20, 229:20,	r-2	125:13, 130:15,	29:14, 76:23,
316:3, 331:1	8:17, 129:20	176:3, 176:5,	89:9, 326:25,
quicker	r-a-d	178:18, 203:3,	327:2
305:14	18:13	206:4, 302:5, 302:11, 302:13	real
quickly	r-a-n-k-i-n-e	302:11, 302:13 rankine	39:15, 121:20,
121:20, 169:22,	38:20	5:6, 38:12,	229:20, 231:1
172:23, 231:1	r-e-e-n-a	38:14, 38:17,	really
quite	18:3	38:20, 38:23,	59:6, 60:6, 82:20, 106:20,
97:14, 125:18,	r-e-g-i-n-a	39:1	125:8, 128:20,
143:9, 151:4,	14:12	rapid	134:13, 139:20,
227:2, 236:14,	racial	175:6	141:17, 144:3,
280:17, 288:8,	176:8, 178:21,	rate	144:24, 145:16,
		221:13, 242:10,	111.21, 110.10,
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

162:17, 170:5,	211:12, 301:18	115:16, 115:19,	red
199:1, 239:4,	recent	115:21, 127:4,	58:2, 140:22,
324:22, 328:4	72:18	134:16, 135:23,	140:23, 141:8,
realm	recently	161:24, 178:23,	141:10, 150:9,
72:21, 142:7	50:19, 181:11	179:22, 181:1,	287:18, 288:12,
reanalyzed	recess	210:19, 210:22,	288:14
213:17	30:16, 48:9,	223:9, 239:2	redevelop
rear	184:7, 184:17,	recommends	157:12
129:21, 143:4,	185:1, 185:4,	179:4	redeveloped
143:8	252:16	reconfigured	90:22
rear-load	recognition	279:2	redevelopment
118:5, 123:1	241:20	reconfirm	84:20, 91:7,
reason	recognize	247:20	97:2, 97:16,
58:1, 71:7,	94:8, 167:9,	reconvene	136:14, 179:17,
80:9, 278:5,	175:14	183:18, 184:7,	195:25, 305:11,
288:17, 288:19,	recognized	332:24	314:15, 315:18
300:3, 329:11	68:9, 87:21,	recorded	redirect
reasonable	162:2, 166:22,	1:24, 8:22,	6:12, 6:15,
43:17, 66:3,	169:20	23:3, 41:8,	307:22, 308:2,
225:16, 325:4	recommend	334:5	319:24, 321:2, 328:12
reasonably	88:21, 93:15,	recording 335:5	
225:13	102:2, 102:15 recommendation	records	redirecting 160:8
reasoning		8:23, 108:4,	reduce
93:18, 109:9 reasons	9:10, 9:15, 9:22, 70:1,	188:4, 232:2,	150:16
10:15, 66:5,	85:25, 159:16,	232:6	reena
89:22, 102:14,	163:9, 169:14,	recreation	5:7, 17:16,
121:14, 199:6,	174:9, 180:8,	49:4, 50:22,	18:3, 26:23,
306:8	181:21, 182:3,	226:13, 226:25,	27:4
reassess	186:14, 186:18,	227:5, 227:18,	refer
325:5	198:11, 208:21,	227:24, 228:19,	35:10, 65:3,
rebuild	213:9, 213:10,	228:24, 229:6,	128:11, 194:11,
153:15	244:24, 245:22,	229:14, 229:23,	234:1
rebuttal	248:15, 251:2	230:3, 230:4,	reference
270:10, 270:18	recommendations	230:17, 230:18,	72:8, 297:9
recall	86:9, 92:16,	258:12, 260:9,	references
266:21, 297:4	159:11, 159:15,	261:22, 262:23,	160:21, 299:23
recap	160:3, 161:6,	263:10, 263:19,	referencing
56:2	161:12, 161:14,	264:7, 265:19,	258:25, 310:12
receive	162:23, 169:8,	266:2, 266:12,	referred
10:9, 19:11,	172:24, 174:5,	266:22, 309:16,	89:19, 297:8
22:9, 24:6,	177:5, 180:19,	309:20	referring
25:23, 39:4,	180:23, 181:16,	recreational	75:18, 110:10,
40:6	181:18, 181:24, 244:18, 273:11,	261:12	268:13, 275:24
received	244:18, 2/3:11, 316:18	rectangles 283:22	refers
19:16, 20:8,	recommended	rectangular	195:14, 297:11
20:19, 23:7,	40:20, 115:9,	259:20	refinement
105:1, 204:23,	10.20, 110.9,	259.20	236:19, 299:9
	I		

	Conducted on the	-,	
reflect	regrade	153:23, 155:10,	215:3, 266:17,
115:1, 115:8,	150:13, 278:18	297:3, 301:10	266:20, 271:3,
117:1, 156:6,	regrading	relating	273:16, 284:9,
239:3, 284:15	150:17	71:8, 185:20	304:17, 332:15
reflected	regroups	relation	remembering
115:17, 116:4	307:13	139:16, 145:18	281:15
reflecting	regular	relationship	remind
116:20	70:7, 145:5	153:22, 154:8	10:2, 294:13
reflects	regularly	relationships	reminder
117:3, 186:17,	88:21	125:21, 196:25	32:17, 33:20,
187:9	regulated	relative	185:5, 185:6
refresh	231:8	18:21, 125:11,	removal
54:15, 54:19	regulation	151:13	160:16
regard	66:3	relevance	remove
47:20, 47:22,	regulations	71:15, 170:9	217:12
53:22, 300:23	62:5, 94:3,	relevant	removed
regarding	94:6, 94:10,	58:25, 66:11,	49:8
41:13, 48:3,	94:15, 97:7,	158:25, 159:23,	render
48:23, 54:23,	97:9, 98:21,	169:10, 171:8,	9:10, 59:21,
56:8, 56:13,	203:17, 273:3	173:16, 173:19,	61:23, 62:1
66:18, 73:5,	regulatory	173:20, 174:18,	rendered
73:20, 81:3,	107:4, 295:21,	178:10, 179:11,	116:20
132:11, 153:8,	315:8	181:13, 309:12	rendering
155:8, 155:10,	rein	relied	128:18, 130:21,
161:12, 164:3,	83:4	170:17	131:7
164:13, 167:3,	reinvestment	religious	renderings
168:14, 168:16,	142:4, 162:18,	148:6	127:24
172:1, 180:4,	163:2, 165:24,	rely	renovations
185:24, 210:12,	166:1, 176:15,	94:3, 100:13	95:22
251:4, 251:6,	179:3, 179:9	relying	repeat
261:3, 261:5,	reiterate	221:7, 224:16	316:17
308:5, 309:11,	73:19, 112:11,	remain	repetitive
310:4, 310:22,	134:14, 159:18,	187:21, 290:2	37:4, 253:5
314:13, 314:17,	166:18, 168:11,	remaining	replace
328:15	219:10, 222:13,	290:22, 324:3	314:24, 315:7,
regardless	245:11, 251:3,	remains	315:9
180:8, 219:8,	309:17, 316:24	81:20, 85:24,	replacement
331:9	relate	85:25, 217:17	188:1
regards	153:9, 154:18	remanded	replacing
244:15	related	144:7	141:21, 193:13
regina	57:21, 59:2,	remarks	replanting
5:3, 14:12, 23:13	69:17, 94:5,	55:25, 79:7,	158:16, 291:21,
	170:23, 175:23,	79:12, 89:9	292:1
<pre>regional 299:7</pre>	176:16, 187:5,	remedy	replicate
	190:8, 224:2,	162:4	118:20, 130:9
registered 15:10	334:9, 335:8	remember	replicated
	relates	36:15, 119:20,	125:22
registration 15:11	70:12, 130:1,	128:1, 128:7,	reply
13:11			307:16
	1	Í	

	Conducted on 140	,	
repopulate	24:1, 56:25,	216:22, 216:23,	residences
158:8	57:2, 63:15,	217:25, 218:10,	97:12
report	67:2, 78:15,	227:18, 228:2,	resident
9:10, 9:15,	84:15, 148:23,	231:22, 231:24,	60:22, 165:19,
9:21, 46:4,	199:22, 212:6,	233:15, 242:21,	318:19
47:1, 48:4,	323:11	243:3, 248:13,	residential
66:20, 68:20,	requested	332:13	84:22, 87:3,
100:2, 107:6,	52:18, 152:2,	requirements	87:6, 87:19,
107:9, 107:11,	207:17, 209:4,	88:16, 96:25,	91:2, 91:8,
108:19, 108:20,	247:9, 295:19,	98:3, 106:23,	92:9, 98:15,
108:22, 115:10,	331:17	106:25, 132:2,	99:3, 99:4,
115:13, 168:12,	requesting	155:16, 192:2,	99:10, 111:13,
170:6, 185:24,	68:9, 97:20,	195:11, 233:5,	113:19, 113:21,
186:9, 186:10,	203:20, 211:8,		127:16, 127:18,
186:15, 186:23,	212:11		127:19, 130:17,
187:4, 188:15,	requests		141:21, 141:23,
207:13, 207:14,	63:21, 67:6		145:3, 147:11,
207:25, 209:25,	require	requires	147:18, 163:22,
215:14, 215:16,	63:6, 65:13,	58:22, 59:12,	175:2, 175:3,
216:3, 243:21,	73:4, 73:11,	67:25, 98:19,	177:10, 191:11,
251:21, 267:10,	79:13, 129:12,		192:21, 193:1,
311:22, 311:23	157:6, 200:18,		193:3, 193:6,
reporter	216:10, 222:5,		197:7, 197:11,
11:16, 34:20,	233:17, 243:6,		197:24, 198:19,
34:22, 306:24,	243:15, 279:19,	247:18, 248:9,	200:4, 201:4,
321:17, 334:1	310:2, 332:4	249:12, 249:17	210:16, 210:17,
represent	required	requiring	211:7, 211:9,
61:4, 78:25,	60:1, 77:25,	78:25, 100:10	212:11, 212:13,
255:24, 311:7	78:23, 79:11,	requisite	212:16, 212:21,
representation	81:9, 81:22,	57:9, 58:8,	215:2, 219:19,
81:4	82:3, 86:13,	63:13, 89:25,	233:14, 235:18,
representative	88:2, 88:25,	244:3, 309:8	245:2, 246:2,
283:24, 286:13,	90:5, 90:8,	rescue	249:21, 272:3,
286:18	98:5, 99:18,	189:7, 192:10,	278:9, 281:23,
represented	101:2, 107:2,	247:15	290:25, 291:8,
57:5, 60:24,	119:1, 120:9,	research	292:22, 294:14,
64:25, 80:10	120:18, 122:21,	3:13, 246:17	294:24, 296:10,
representing	129:5, 129:8,	reserve	327:18
10:25, 40:5,	129:20, 129:21,	74:17, 76:23,	residents
64:6, 65:8,	130:10, 131:25,	80:20, 270:12,	76:13, 96:16,
81:25, 84:3,	133:3, 181:3,	279:24	96:17, 96:18,
171:23	181:7, 227:4,	reserved	97:17, 162:7
represents	234:6, 238:4,	58:7, 64:5	resolution
267:23	240:3, 243:13,	reserving	49:7, 49:19,
request	249:4, 260:20,	48:15, 53:22,	100:2, 295:9,
9:23, 9:25,	309:21, 309:24,	103:7	298:15, 299:22,
10:1, 12:2,	319:20, 331:15	residence	300:4, 300:15,
12:13, 18:18,	requirement	20:20, 21:5	301:11
, , , , ,	157:23, 211:1,	,	

	- Conducted on the	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	•
resolutions	restriction	reviewed	152:10, 152:19,
194:19, 301:17	211:18	88:20, 112:21,	152:22, 154:2,
resources	restrictions	159:4, 173:24,	155:2, 156:13
188:23, 230:24,	191:17, 198:20,	186:11, 187:9,	riding
231:5, 231:24,	245:8	247:6, 301:16	257:19
303:11	restrictively	reviewing	right
respect	79:23	69:6, 106:25,	8:10, 14:20,
49:3, 63:20,	restrooms	208:21	21:23, 31:4,
68:12, 68:21,	184:10, 184:15	reviews	31:10, 32:11,
69:9, 69:15,	result	242:18	32:12, 38:7,
70:10, 72:5,	211:21, 221:12,	revised	72:8, 78:12,
80:8, 85:4,	298:23	48:4, 114:1,	119:4, 119:5,
102:6, 106:17,	resulting	183:22, 186:22,	119:7, 128:18,
106:19, 265:19,	67:14	207:13, 207:14,	131:11, 132:17,
305:7	resum	267:10, 278:20,	135:25, 141:4,
respectfully	60:5, 61:12,	280:9, 311:21	142:16, 143:3,
57:1, 63:15,	75:10, 75:11,	revisions	143:13, 148:20,
63:21, 67:2	106:9	297:25	150:7, 150:25,
respective	resume	rewrite	151:5, 152:10,
187:14, 229:11	185:17	105:23	153:18, 157:15, 168:2, 179:22,
respectively	resumed	rezone	180:9, 181:2,
84:10	202:25	84:16	181:8, 184:10,
respond	resumes	rezoned	184:15, 185:11,
49:10, 72:24,	185:3	214:23	187:20, 208:14,
79:4, 80:16, 194:8, 196:24,	resuming	rezoning	211:16, 216:20,
199:1, 203:4,	184:22	67:22, 89:25,	225:23, 227:9,
208:25	retail	90:9, 90:14, 91:3, 92:1,	227:23, 228:1,
responding	176:22	92:24, 106:7,	228:5, 233:10,
170:8, 313:18	retain	182:1, 194:12,	235:6, 235:11,
response	214:15 retained	203:18, 214:25,	235:23, 236:10,
42:12, 46:12,		215:10, 219:11,	238:9, 238:11,
46:16, 67:9,	7:2, 54:6, 55:5, 112:3,	219:17, 220:3,	242:2, 252:25,
72:25, 265:1	116:15, 138:22,	232:22, 246:24,	253:25, 256:8,
responses	149:21, 208:8,	248:6, 272:13,	257:1, 257:9,
41:13	237:20, 314:3	272:22, 294:16,	257:17, 257:23,
responsibilities	retaining	330:3	260:12, 260:22,
105:13, 106:17	151:2	rezonings	264:14, 267:8,
responsible	retirement	90:17, 90:21,	267:25, 271:20,
69:13, 69:25	255:21, 255:22	201:12, 218:19,	276:23, 279:14,
responsive	retiring	220:6	283:10, 283:16, 283:20, 283:25,
209:1	75:25	ride	284:5, 287:10,
rest	review	145:22, 257:6,	288:5, 288:8,
101:22	9:9, 50:10,	257:7, 257:16,	291:3, 292:8,
restricted	69:25, 159:7,	258:3, 258:21	292:22, 293:19,
212:7, 280:6	168:20, 174:2,	ridge	298:18, 298:21,
restricting	181:12, 244:11,	121:22, 122:7,	301:21, 304:23,
195:22	247:3	150:20, 151:1,]
			1

		1	
307:15, 308:17,	310:23, 311:14,	24:1, 34:16,	rules
308:25, 310:20,	312:1, 317:6,	37:25, 38:9,	57:4, 58:5,
313:15, 316:8,	317:7	38:10, 39:9,	64:7, 64:15,
316:23, 318:25,	roads	39:19, 44:13	65:3, 65:19,
327:6, 328:2,	92:8, 156:24,	rooted	66:23, 67:1,
332:16	167:19, 179:25,	61 : 7	70:25, 79:11,
rights	214:9, 217:4,	rosenberg	79:13, 81:3,
64:9, 78:7,	217:6, 222:16	275:23, 325:20	81:23, 83:9,
81:7, 81:16,	roadway	rosenfeld's	83:12, 94:10,
245:16	88:8, 167:14,	313:18	95:8, 95:10,
rigid	216:8	rough	173:10
177:2	roadways	110:22, 298:6	ruling
ring	216:5, 216:12	roughly	47:19, 55:21,
	rochkind		57:2, 74:17,
145:23, 145:24,		121:25, 132:21	
160:14	100:5	round	76:24, 81:1,
road	rockville	98:20, 144:8	103:6, 103:7
1:5, 9:1,	1:14, 2:10,	route	run
12:10, 18:16,	3:14, 3:23	108:24, 136:18,	40:21, 150:4,
21:8, 23:20,	role	223:6, 223:9,	188:17, 191:1,
27:22, 28:20,	60:19, 60:20,	226:22, 255:5,	207:2, 214:3,
31:4, 32:25,	61:13, 61:24,	264:12, 267:7,	222:21, 231:1,
33:16, 68:14,	64:5, 67:16,	267:16	246:21, 271:14,
84:17, 90:5,	69:5, 69:15,	routes	304:19, 304:21,
91:2, 109:1,	80:11, 80:25,	109:12, 112:10,	325:11
109:2, 109:16,	105:21, 107:10	135:3, 135:4,	running
118:10, 119:3,	roles	178:5, 257:15	120:21, 120:25
121:2, 121:3,	61:9, 67:17,	routinely	runoff
126:25, 127:14,	69:24, 70:2	45:1	122:6, 150:16
129:23, 131:1,	roman	row	runs
132:16, 132:17,	161:20, 161:21,	119:6	34:9, 108:25,
133:2, 133:12,	163:12, 188:18		121:23, 132:21,
133:13, 134:25,	roof	royal	150:20, 150:22,
135:5, 135:22,		32:7, 32:12	154:10, 304:18
142:21, 152:12,	145:6, 147:17,	rule	rural
152:20, 162:15,	151:17, 151:18,	53:12, 58:21,	210:15, 210:17
167:22, 167:23,	152:18, 212:2	59:11, 64:12,	
179:24, 179:25,	roofing	64:17, 64:18,	S
180:2, 180:4,	250:3	64:19, 64:23,	s
197:9, 214:24,	roofs	65:10, 65:16,	106:9
1	147:16, 147:25,	65:17, 66:9,	s-c-o-t-t
216:4, 216:11,	281:13	67:24, 70:21,	41:5
217:13, 217:14,	rooftop	70:23, 71:7,	s-h-a-h
220:21, 226:12,	212:1, 282:5,	75:2, 77:9,	18:4
231:14, 235:12,	282:9, 282:20	77:23, 78:3,	s-o-k-o-n-i
235:13, 236:10,	rooftops	78:18, 79:1,	9:7
254:19, 268:14,	282:2	79:23, 80:6,	s-t-o-n-e-g-a-t-e
268:22, 278:4,	room	81:3, 81:13,	40:3
278:13, 279:14,	2:9, 3:22,	81:14, 114:20	
288:16, 294:17,	11:13, 11:18,	rulemaking	s-y-1-v
		67:3	21:3, 21:12
		l	

		,	
safari	satisfied	says	schools
34:10	247:22, 247:23	78:24 , 81:13 ,	189:6, 189:17,
safe	satisfies	141:1, 159:20,	189:19, 192:8,
162:19, 246:10	88:4, 88:16,	160:1, 163:21,	221:10, 221:16,
safely	195:11, 199:10,	167:11, 173:3,	267:6, 267:24,
163:18, 167:17,	207:16, 232:13,	175:1, 214:7,	269:7, 269:11,
168:7, 168:9	248:12	216:3, 217:9,	269:18, 310:1
safer	satisfy	218:1, 224:9,	science
131:17, 226:20	91:23, 92:25,	224:21, 225:3,	60:23, 168:19,
safety	93:1, 101:3,	225:5, 228:11,	168:23, 169:4,
88:10, 118:14,	212:17, 213:3,	253:15, 257:25,	170:10, 173:16,
133:6, 136:15,	230:2, 237:22,	258:1, 258:8,	304:24, 305:17
136:17, 142:14,	243:23, 243:25,	264:17, 273:9,	scientific
162:24, 164:15,	247:18, 251:16	284:6, 288:6,	71:9
167:5, 167:18,	save	303:3, 308:20,	scope
192:9, 192:25	123:23, 157:3,	311:24, 327:8,	57:3, 66:8,
said	157:19, 279:7	327:17	71:15, 170:5
12:16, 19:25,	saving	scale	scott
20:5, 27:5,	123:25, 124:4,	148:25, 285:20,	41:4
71:23, 80:20,	157:9, 315:15,	285:23	screen
109:21, 111:6,	329:7	scales	35:9, 108:15,
141:23, 148:15,	saw	177 : 15	111:22, 119:24,
161:7, 161:8,	15:6, 39:9,	scenario	122:13, 150:4,
171:13, 189:17,	115:6	201:2	151:8, 233:10,
242:24, 260:11,	say	scheduled	240:10, 242:2,
264:21, 265:5,	15:17, 16:9,	88:22, 306:25,	255:9, 276:9
265:6, 265:7,	20:8, 35:2,	325:2	screening
265:9, 265:10,	36:22, 42:20,	scheduling	129:5, 158:16,
266:6, 274:22,	43:25, 52:10,	9:18, 41:10,	198:3, 233:1,
275:14, 277:10,	74:25, 76:11,	41:18, 41:24,	240:3
288:3, 290:11,	77:19, 83:20,	45:18, 54:25,	scroll
294:1, 308:19,	119:15, 137:17,	55:9, 138:9,	201:18, 310:25,
308:20, 314:20,	137:25, 139:3,	138:11	313:9
323:12, 332:6,	171:21, 177:21,	school	scrutinize
334:4		144:2, 221:12,	100:9
same	217:23, 225:10,	221:15, 221:18,	se
44:12, 49:1,	239:25, 262:9,	221:19, 221:21,	4:25, 64:2,
50:14, 55:11,	263:10, 272:8,	221:22, 221:25,	83:6
58:14, 67:24,	273:5, 279:9,	222:1, 225:24,	sea
74:3, 81:23,	280:4, 282:5,	226:15, 247:6,	151:14
98:16, 109:22,	284:25, 291:19,	260:2, 262:6,	seating
117:16, 166:17,	301:4, 304:15,	267:4, 267:5,	122:11, 131:3,
173:2, 204:1,	328:25, 329:16	268:3, 268:5,	131:14, 228:18,
253:8, 261:3,	saying	268:8, 268:12,	228:23, 238:25,
262:2, 262:3,	34:23, 153:2,	268:21, 269:8,	265:23, 265:25,
262:13, 264:10,	216:14, 232:5,	269:9, 269:15,	266:4, 266:7
324:15, 329:4	240:2, 243:10,	269:17, 269:24,	sec
sash	263:7	270:6, 310:2	267:18
145:5			

second	sector	seque	separately
10:17, 15:8,	94:15, 169:2,	10:2	148:23, 204:24,
17:23, 24:1,	170:2, 300:13	select	287:7, 313:17
24:7, 26:18,	sediment	58:7	separates
34:10, 41:13,	189:1	sell	156:13
43:10, 44:10,	see	153:15	separation
54:16, 63:19,	40:18, 40:21,	send	176:13
64:25, 68:11,	45:20, 49:18,	9:25, 12:4,	september
121:2, 128:6,	52:2, 52:3,	24:5, 24:9,	64:16, 78:21,
133:22, 187:22,	54:14, 55:10,	24:12, 25:16,	111:24
193:23, 194:23,	62:19, 75:11,	33:21, 33:24,	series
197:13, 203:9,	76:5, 80:23,	39:4, 39:7,	172:12, 190:11,
216:21, 216:22,	81:10, 99:12,	44:9, 137:21	284:15
224:4, 224:7,	116:19, 118:19,	senior	serve
224:12, 235:2,	124:24, 125:11,	122:15, 141:12,	158:9, 176:24,
259:6	128:20, 130:3,	141:14, 143:20,	194:25, 257:15
secondly	131:5, 133:20,	144:1, 147:8,	served
191:22	134:10, 137:14,	151:7, 154:19,	68:25, 74:8,
secretary	139:22, 139:25,	154:25, 164:9,	92:7, 195:25,
69:11	141:11, 142:12,	238:16, 240:13,	247:14, 257:15
section	143:1, 147:10,	276:18, 277:4,	serves
9:12, 36:25,	155:19, 162:20,	277:7, 290:15	121:3
61:10, 81:15,	182:10, 184:22,	senior-living	service
102:11, 150:6,	253:12, 255:3,	121:11	10:6, 24:11,
152:10, 152:16,	258:13, 267:22,	seniors	321:7
161:13, 163:6,	268:1, 268:12,	229:2	services
167:7, 168:14,	268:16, 268:21,	sense	86:21, 158:3,
168:16, 188:20,	279:12, 288:4,	161:20, 166:19,	165:1, 165:15,
189:14, 190:14,	289:22, 298:11,	198:17	165:21, 168:10,
194:5, 199:8,	309:1, 326:16	sensing	176:4, 176:23,
199:21, 210:13,	<pre>seeing 75:3, 113:7,</pre>	76:9	177:23, 189:8,
210:23, 212:10, 212:18, 215:21,	166:5	sent	189:18, 189:19,
233:5, 233:9,	seek	12:12, 29:12,	191:12, 193:8,
236:22, 244:10,	59:7, 67:1,	45:17, 48:23,	193:21, 196:1,
244:15, 245:17,	98:11	51:23, 297:24	223:24, 225:13,
247:17, 248:9,	seeking	sentence	245:3, 255:7,
249:12, 249:17,	94:14, 95:5,	65:17, 303:3	302:12, 317:23
251:12, 256:21,	98:14, 100:20	separate	<pre>servicing 192:13</pre>
272:6, 316:24	seeks	13:24, 13:25,	serving
sectional	59:22, 99:15,	80:11, 108:7, 111:3, 126:5,	121:1, 225:9
173:7, 194:10,	160:22	126:14, 128:20,	set
201:12	seems	137:6, 204:25,	9:11, 36:24,
sections	80:1, 261:10	205:3, 206:23,	36:25, 42:6,
146:13, 146:18,	seen	209:13, 218:4,	58:11, 70:17,
150:8, 161:13,	57:24, 85:11,	224:5, 237:2,	78:17, 78:20,
188:15, 194:4,	171:7, 295:15	262:6	120:8, 125:22,
232:24, 255:18	segregate	separated	130:12, 130:13,
	77:19	294:19	•

	Conducted on 140		130
142:22, 195:3,	settings	shaw	83:13, 89:22,
199:13, 203:21,	203:5	39:21, 320:5	91:6, 93:3,
232:20, 232:22,	seven	shed	93:14, 100:21,
233:25, 238:6,	105:20, 225:15	76 : 15	102:14, 108:6,
238:8, 239:23,	seven-foot	sheet	121:16, 121:17,
266:12, 272:11,	135:24	10:3, 24:3,	157:21, 175:3,
272:15, 273:5,	several	•	177:9, 178:4,
274:23, 277:13,		205:19, 205:20	183:24, 184:8,
281:3, 323:10,	12:5, 48:23,	sherwood	196:6, 206:11,
323:15, 326:5,	61:10, 76:1,	25:3, 25:9,	271:18, 282:25,
328:25	106:6, 113:21,	25:13, 29:21,	298:19, 303:22,
setback	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	109:2, 109:17,	
	125:14, 130:13,	111:4	315:13, 322:4,
115:23, 116:3,	133:12, 141:25,	shift	322:21, 323:10,
116:6, 117:1,		156:6, 279:23,	325:9, 329:10
122:21, 129:18,	153:13, 157:16,	290:1	shouldn't
129:21, 129:23,	161:13, 161:14,	shifted	80:15, 83:20
130:8, 199:5,	162:23, 166:16,	117:19	show
233:8, 233:15,	202:24, 204:13,	shirt	38:9, 39:9,
	225:5, 250:10,	324:15	49:1, 98:2,
234:4, 234:6,	258:16, 288:9,	shop	98:10, 98:13,
234:24, 234:25,	301:22, 316:8,	224:18	102:10, 129:1,
235:9, 235:14,	317:22	shopping	135:21, 256:13,
235:16, 235:20,	sewer	132:22, 135:5,	269:15, 284:22,
235:22, 272:18,	90:8, 189:20,	163:24, 165:16,	286:2, 292:12,
273:1, 274:22,	220:20, 220:24,	165:20, 223:14,	323:21
275:15, 277:3,	247:12	224:19, 225:20,	showed
277:10, 277:16	sha	257:11, 257:12,	99:3, 113:5,
setbacks	217:15	258:8, 308:24,	232:5, 255:8
87:11, 115:2,	shade	309:4, 309:8,	showing
115:22, 118:3,	131:15, 158:1,	319:6	131:7, 157:3,
119:1, 123:20,	283:13, 292:4,	short	220:18, 239:9,
142:23, 143:1,	314:21		283:18, 312:6
143:4, 143:6,	shah	93:18, 183:6	shown
143:8, 145:1,		shorter	87:22, 116:3,
191:16, 195:21,	5:7, 17:16,	286:21	127:12, 284:12,
107 10 107 00	18:3, 18:4,	shortly	286:13, 286:20,
197:24, 198:4,	26:16, 26:23,	45:7	311:7
204:9, 245:7,	27:1, 27:4,	should	shows
249:3, 250:15,	27:8, 27:12	24:2, 24:6,	
272:7	shannon	34:8, 35:1,	101:24, 125:20,
sets	17:13	35:14, 36:21,	126:11, 133:17,
77:23, 85:21,	shape	42:22, 43:5,	134:13, 139:14,
100:25, 118:18,	141:15, 259:13	47:12, 58:14,	139:18, 142:4,
	share	63:10, 66:7,	150:4, 150:7,
190:15, 194:20, 199:8, 207:14,	35:9, 73:22	71:7, 71:13,	166:11, 201:8,
	shared-use	75:6, 77:16,	202:4, 220:20,
266:8, 266:9,	118:1, 223:16,	80:11, 81:10,	220:22, 228:2,
273:10	309:12	82:1, 82:2,	229:17, 233:11,
setting	sharing	82:4, 83:7,	269:11, 285:8
274:3	60:17		
L		I	1

257:22, 257:24, 168:8, 174:13, shrink 99:10, 100:21, 329:3 258:6, 258:8, 222:4, 239:21, 161:25 241:25, 242:22, 308:19 singular shrinking sign-in 291:14 312:1 278:16 10:3 signing sir side signal 15:9, 187:14 69:17, 109:3, 12:21, 39:20 124:21, 167:21, sit signs 109:4, 109:23, 110:21, 111:4, 167:22, 167:23, 223:13 42:3, 43:13, 118:2, 118:16, 180:14, 182:14, silver 154:25, 158:21 184:21, 226:11 119:23, 120:6, 4:17, 9:2, sites signalize 120:11, 120:22, 14:25, 20:25, 31:5, 161:14, 121:15, 122:24, 221:4, 221:9 21:6, 22:20, 225:12 22:22, 25:10, 123:18, 128:16, signalized sits 128:19, 131:1, 308:9 26:2, 27:9, 144:5, 151:8, 131:10, 131:11, 28:3, 29:22, signature-bi6ds 151:15, 151:23, 132:18, 132:25, 32:25, 33:16, 335:13 154:1, 154:5 135:1, 135:2, 38:24, 39:22, signature-sc3 sitting 135:24, 136:7, 40:4, 41:5, 334:12 124:17, 150:25, 151:19, 152:21, 145:13, 147:5, signatures 151:4 155:5, 164:20, 153:22, 153:25, 188:3 situation 168:1, 168:2, 292:11, 318:22 signed 100:11, 152:8, 180:15, 181:2, similar 152:12, 236:12 15:10, 15:12, 181:7, 181:9, 97:23, 111:6, 24:2, 114:9, six 184:15, 184:16, 143:6, 156:7, 41:22, 117:18, 132:20, 134:16, 223:17, 227:9, 160:21 184:2, 186:19, 181:4, 276:10, 227:11, 229:5, similarly 187:13, 223:10, 276:11, 276:12, 238:9, 238:11, 62:18, 65:11, 223:12, 256:9, 281:4 255:11, 255:23, 148:22 256:16 six-lane 255:24, 256:3, simply significance 87:4 256:5, 279:20, 60:6, 115:8, 135:13 sizable 309:1, 310:15 209:3, 311:16 significant 277:16, 277:19 sides since 109:18, 111:11, size 74:17, 132:21, 69:1, 69:4, 119:7, 121:4, 49:25, 51:2, 223:11, 271:11, 69:11, 115:13, 121:22, 122:8, 51:4, 51:8, 271:20 124:17, 210:22, 123:6, 131:14, 51:10, 114:7, sidewalk 213:16, 232:16, 132:7, 132:25, 114:9, 120:4, 118:9, 120:15, 262:12, 313:18 136:12, 156:19, 125:12, 137:14, 132:18, 132:19, single 166:5, 167:2, 140:13, 144:20, 228:4, 238:18, 99:22, 129:19, 167:7, 169:24, 145:18, 146:5, 308:25, 320:14 261:3, 279:5, 169:25, 182:9, 146:7, 149:8, sidewalks 194:17, 240:6, 283:3 194:25, 238:2, 123:3, 134:23, single-family 249:7, 279:19 287:10, 303:8, 134:24 significantly 92:9, 115:25, 331:18 siding 195:9, 233:12, 91:9, 97:23, sizes 250:3 250:18, 291:8 117:16, 123:12, 111:12, 125:19, sight 125:9, 133:5, single-unit 133:1, 236:2, 183:23 136:18, 142:7, 113:22, 197:23 242:13, 328:21 sign single-use 150:12, 164:19, skill 24:2, 256:22, 98:14, 99:3, 57:9, 58:9,

	Conducted on No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
58:22, 59:6,	slippery	slower	socioeconomic
68:3, 68:6,	62:7, 70:4	15:25, 27:3	176:9, 302:19
70:15, 70:19,	sloan	slowly	socioeconomically
71:16, 76:2	5:9, 6:8, 9:5,	17:10	175:19
skills	46:18, 50:18,	small	soils
75:7	84:8, 89:2,	122:25, 123:1,	231:16
skip	102:23, 102:25,	131:8, 152:16,	sole
34:3, 77:4,	103:3, 103:9,	158:4, 161:21,	99:10
208:13	103:23, 104:9,	163:12, 177:17,	solid
skipping		223:2, 231:4,	135:15, 135:17,
34:1		231:14, 238:22,	255:18, 255:20
slade		238:25, 258:15,	someone
84:11, 89:5	117:12, 119:9,	284:1	34:18, 34:24,
slide	126:23, 129:16,	smaller	58:14, 67:25,
109:25, 111:20,		118:18, 119:25,	73:4, 124:21,
112:18, 113:25,		127:8, 129:10,	139:1, 153:16,
116:18, 127:22,		140:23, 144:10,	288:3, 306:24
127:24, 128:24,		169:2, 170:2,	someone's
128:25, 130:20,		170:13, 172:12,	32:18, 32:19,
131:5, 131:6,	182:10, 185:2,	172:13, 172:23,	95:5, 152:14
131:12, 132:8,	185:3, 185:15,	172:25, 173:4,	something
132:13, 133:15,	186:6, 187:3,	195:8, 195:9,	22:9, 35:3,
133:17, 135:19,	190:1, 190:6,	305:10	63:5, 70:23,
136:4, 136:22,	210:11, 218:13,	smaller-scale	73:7, 73:9,
146:24, 148:2,	233:4, 237:21,	178:2	73:10, 73:16,
148:11, 148:12,	252:2, 254:2,	smart	101:6, 118:7,
148:18, 153:20,	260:17, 261:1,	192:7	120:2, 125:8,
155:8, 155:20,	261:4, 264:15,	smart-growth	164:1, 170:16,
204:18, 213:2,	264:18, 274:18,	195:24	208:13, 222:13,
213:12, 214:5,	306:1, 306:13, 308:4, 308:18,	smiddie	278:11, 280:11,
220:14, 221:5,	314:4, 314:12,	5:8, 12:22,	302:1, 315:12,
221:17, 223:1,	318:12, 319:3,	13:3, 13:6,	327:3, 327:25,
227:1, 228:1,	320:22, 321:4,	13:8, 13:12,	330:6
228:7, 230:22,	321:14, 326:23,	13:15, 13:21,	sometimes
231:3, 231:18,	328:14, 330:20		228:9, 329:16
231:25, 233:3, 235:4, 236:25,	sloan's	89:19, 214:16	somewhere
240:9, 241:12,	106:9, 170:11,	snail	287:2, 287:3
255:8, 267:3,	244:5, 322:8	24:14, 39:8,	soon
311:3, 313:10	slope	40:6	43:20, 185:2
slides	62:7, 70:4,	snapshot	sorry
155:23, 204:16,	151:5	214:19, 218:17,	11:21, 14:21,
204:17, 224:9,	sloped	219:17	15:16, 16:8,
225:22, 271:17,	145:6	soccer	17:2, 17:3,
308:16	slopes	259:21, 262:4	17:9, 17:22,
slightly	152:19, 231:13,	sociability	18:7, 18:10,
128:10, 268:11,	231:15	238:21	20:4, 20:17,
268:20	slow	social	22:1, 24:21,
	131:18	93:22, 95:9	25:25, 26:25,

27.2 27.7	262-20 225-5	240-20 251-6	101.00 150.13
27:2, 27:7,	263:20, 335:5	248:20, 251:6,	101:22, 152:13,
30:1, 34:10,	sounds	251:7, 269:16,	171:21, 310:7
38:12, 52:9,	188:5	272:7, 272:20,	speaks
72:8, 77:1,	south	276:16, 276:17,	325:22
77:15, 77:16,	109:1, 112:6,	276:18, 276:21,	special
93:7, 107:20,	117:20, 141:14,	276:22, 277:17,	62:2, 80:15,
108:23, 109:16,	143:19, 145:21,	277:24, 277:25,	143:24, 148:4,
110:8, 113:3,	148:7, 150:23,	278:6, 278:8,	163:7, 292:17,
113:13, 114:13,	152:24, 192:11,	278:16, 279:20,	293:4, 293:7,
124:14, 130:5,	192:12, 224:19,	282:14, 311:17,	293:8
136:5, 137:2,	256:14, 257:5,	314:23, 316:2,	special-exception
140:5, 151:6,	258:7, 268:2,	317:24, 328:19,	111:9
161:17, 173:15,	268:6, 268:11,	329:6	specialized
186:25, 187:2,	268:20, 271:23,	spaces	57:23, 58:22,
189:11, 201:17,	271:25, 278:10,	123:4, 123:12,	59:12, 59:16,
201:18, 201:21,	278:16, 283:2,	123:14, 123:16,	59:19, 59:25,
208:13, 208:15,	283:6, 283:15,	131:13, 145:8,	60:7, 62:19,
220:4, 221:16,	292:24, 294:20,	239:15, 240:11,	62:21, 74:1,
223:1, 223:8,	294:23	242:9, 242:14,	74:11
223:23, 224:1,	southbound	242:17, 242:20,	species
224:8, 224:10,	257 : 3	250:13, 299:16,	158:2, 158:9,
225:22, 228:8,	southeast	314:13	193:19, 193:20,
230:22, 243:1,	320:17, 320:19,	spacing	232:3, 232:7,
243:4, 247:7,	320:20	118:21, 118:22,	232:10
254:11, 258:15,	southern	249:2, 310:23	specific
261:1, 267:4,	112:12	speak	42:7, 47:11,
271:23, 272:14,	space	14:18, 31:10,	55:1, 62:4,
274:11, 275:1,	87:13, 87:14,	32:18, 32:20,	70:19, 85:1,
275:13, 275:22,	92:6, 92:20,	34:4, 35:7,	95:6, 132:2,
283:9, 286:16,	102:21, 120:13,	43:18, 44:1,	159:20, 161:12,
288:7, 295:9,	120:19, 121:10,	48:18, 62:11,	172:13, 175:21,
296:20, 299:6,	121:11, 122:9,	63:2, 77:16,	179:9, 187:8,
300:10, 300:16,	123:20, 130:21,	110:2, 124:22,	199:13, 200:3,
300:18, 302:5,	131:3, 132:1,	155:13, 158:18,	203:2, 207:15,
308:16, 312:17,	132:6, 145:9,	171:23, 220:16,	207:23, 214:20,
313:2, 314:10,	146:3, 193:12,	221:2, 244:10,	220:9, 221:22,
315:4, 319:7,	196:3, 196:7,	330:16	230:25, 244:10,
326:24, 327:2	196:9, 197:21,	speaker	244:15, 251:5,
sort	198:22, 199:3,	21:22, 39:14,	297:9, 299:25,
140:22, 140:25,	232:25, 237:5,	124:23, 125:4,	300:4, 300:24,
152:6, 154:1,	238:3, 238:7,	184:24, 287:15,	301:10, 303:5,
167:8, 182:17,	238:15, 238:23,	312:12, 312:14,	303:18, 306:8,
183:4, 188:18,	239:4, 239:7,	312:17, 320:17,	316:18
211:17, 238:23	239:13, 239:15,	320:19	specifically
sought	239:21, 239:23,	speaking	12:16, 49:3,
97:8, 162:3	240:1, 240:23,	21:2, 34:5,	60:11, 81:13,
sound	240:24, 241:3,	34:18, 34:24,	81:15, 98:18,
8:8, 263:15,	242:6, 242:16,	47:15, 73:15,	118:17, 142:18,
		<u> </u>	

14:25, 20:25, 232:12, 239:2, 160:8, 161:24, 195:12, 195:15, 164:14, 172:1, 21:6, 22:21, 239:10, 241:17, 195:18, 197:14, 173:4, 179:8, 22:22, 25:10, 243:16, 243:21, 197:17, 197:18, 26:2, 27:9, 244:23, 245:21, 194:12, 195:6, 198:2, 198:4, 195:14, 197:4, 28:3, 29:22, 248:14, 248:16, 198:14, 199:19, 197:17, 215:5, 33:1, 33:16, 249:8, 250:8, 203:16, 232:19, 249:10, 290:11, 251:20, 278:24, 232:21, 232:23, 38:25, 39:22, 40:4, 41:5, 299:23 279:17, 280:7, 234:3, 236:15, 145:13, 147:6, 300:21, 301:3, 237:25, 243:18, specifics 153:23, 153:25, 301:9, 301:15, 245:7, 248:4, 159:22, 274:3 292:11, 318:23 311:23, 322:22, 248:7 specify springbrook 326:15, 332:5 star 298:22 staff's 221:21 185:2 specimen 92:16, 109:7, start spur 157:5, 157:16, 163:2, 179:2 111:15, 111:16, 11:13, 18:11, 193:11, 278:23, 113:5, 115:16, 279:7, 279:10, 20:1, 56:17, spurring 159:15, 213:8, 279:16, 332:4 246:15 58:24, 102:19, 216:13, 311:22 165:22, 166:5, spectrum square stage 207:1, 213:12, 120:20, 130:25, 46:7 238:13, 252:9, 85:2, 85:6, speculative 144:11, 153:13, 153:14, 211:14, 85:10, 156:20, 254:3, 274:19, 101:18 288:21, 289:2, 211:23, 267:23, 218:8, 330:3 spell 324:10, 325:8 staggering 268:1 15:3, 16:17, started 17:10, 27:11, square-foot 125:22 125:17, 143:16, staging 170:2, 171:14, 29:1, 29:14, 240:2, 253:25 143:17, 234:16 192:1 32:2, 33:11, starting stairwell staff 33:14, 38:19 19:9, 43:18, 26:19, 45:22, 282:12 spelled 83:24, 89:23, 54:11, 54:18, stakeholders 21:5, 21:12, 110:5, 124:6, 60:17, 73:23 76:12, 86:14, 30:3 91:12, 94:25, 162:20, 166:21, stand spelling 105:19, 108:19, 292:11 47:11, 82:25, 11:17, 21:10 starts 108:20, 111:3, 185:3 spellings 112:8, 113:5, 206:16, 206:21, standalone 11:17 113:7, 113:10, 234:19, 236:5, 13:24, 137:21, spent 115:9, 115:13, 236:6, 256:13 205:1 58:16, 94:25, 118:22, 123:10, state 105:24, 156:19 standard 125:9, 127:3, 2:16, 66:19, 112:7, 112:17, spite 135:23, 157:10, 67:22, 69:4, 198:23, 221:20, 97:4 78:19, 175:10, 166:21, 169:18, 225:1, 234:2, splits 171:22, 171:24, 280:6, 292:3 217:14, 295:12, 121:25 172:2, 174:9, 295:15, 318:17, standards spoke 180:21, 181:20, 334:15 36:25, 87:23, 107:9, 253:14 182:2, 198:9, stated 88:2, 88:16, spot 198:25, 215:14, 10:15, 60:14, 93:1, 106:23, 150:10, 308:22 215:16, 216:3, 93:24, 96:13 155:17, 182:8, spread 216:25, 219:3, statement 185:20, 190:6, 167:8 226:3, 231:7, 45:6, 56:14, 191:16, 192:19, spring 4:17, 9:2,

	Conducted on 140		
57:12, 63:25,	stick	282:4, 310:13	164:19, 167:5,
64:4, 78:1,	117:18, 120:24,	storm	167:11, 240:4,
78:14, 78:24,	127:5, 127:13,	122:5, 150:15,	240:7, 240:17,
81:9, 81:11,	284:1, 327:9,	156:19, 189:22	245:15, 246:8,
82:2, 82:5,	327:10	stormwater	248:23, 285:16,
82:9, 82:17,	sticks	122:3, 122:9,	285:18
83:19, 83:21,	118:18, 119:25,	122:13, 155:14,	streetscaping
83:24, 83:25,	120:25, 125:10,	156:21, 156:22,	286:3
89:15, 93:8,	249:3, 272:19,	158:17, 158:18,	strengthen
93:14, 106:11,	280:16, 311:11,	189:1, 196:12,	161:19, 167:12
171:20, 196:18,	311:17, 312:6,	239:24, 240:2,	stretching
297:11	312:22	278:18	322:24
statements	still	story	striking
6:3, 35:25,	71:14, 103:18,	282:5, 310:6,	97:25
36:20, 65:14,	127:7, 161:7,	310:13	striped
78:10, 81:5,	166:11, 180:21,	straight	132:20, 134:16,
82:15, 173:3	183:21, 217:9,	256:9	223:10, 223:12,
states	236:14, 249:24,	strategize	256:7, 256:16,
57 : 12	278:24, 279:7,	325:23	256:21
stating	285:5, 295:16,	stream	striping
320:3	316:6, 316:14,	108:25, 109:11,	258:6
station	316:15, 329:4	231:12, 290:13	structure
135:7, 163:15,	stipulate	street	131:15, 282:8
175:12, 192:10,	103:12	17:20, 18:15,	structures
216:11, 247:15	stonegate	25:8, 27:11,	92:10, 283:19,
stations	40:3, 40:5	117:24, 118:12,	284:6, 284:11
175:6	stoner	118:13, 120:21,	struggling
status	38:23	134:16, 136:11,	75:2, 75:11,
77:20, 80:15	stones	142:8, 142:9,	75:14
statutory	156:14	154:7, 164:17,	stubbed
65:20	stop	164:20, 179:23,	294:20, 294:23
stay	201:19, 257:7,	180:16, 212:14,	student
322:23	257:20, 257:23,	212:20, 214:11,	247:8
stayed	311:1	215:2, 215:4,	students
95:15	stops	219:20, 219:23,	221:23, 221:25,
step	167:17	219:25, 239:2,	222:1
39:11, 84:25,	store	242:7, 294:9,	studies
102:20	224:10, 224:13,	294:14	69:16, 72:18,
stephanie	224:23, 226:23,	street-valley	156:20
4:17, 14:24	293:20, 293:24,	156:16	study
stepped	294:2, 294:3,	streets	68:20, 69:18,
155:4	308:10, 319:5,	133:3, 165:23,	69:19, 72:4,
steps	319:10, 319:11,	172:19, 180:19,	168:25, 189:8
152:7, 250:5,	321:8	180:24, 181:6,	studying
331:7	stories	197:21, 294:24,	105:3
stevenson	152:2, 152:4,	296:10	stuff
100:6	152:17, 153:3,	<pre>streetscape 117:25, 118:24,</pre>	55:9, 184:2,
stewart	211:21, 234:23,	111/:20, 110:24,	187:6
84:7			

style	218:6, 222:24,	suggesting	supposed
250:2	224:21, 227:23,	79:24, 170:20	9:16, 52:6,
subdivision	232:16, 234:7,	suggests	134:19
8:22, 67:20,	241:16, 247:2,	111:22	suppressed
86:25	267:12, 301:16,	suitable	176:13
subdivisions	331:8, 331:10,	160:17, 164:7,	supreme
69:3	332:5	176:6, 302:16	100:4
subject	submitting	suite	sure
8:16, 8:20,	78:13, 81:11,	3:7, 3:13,	14:1, 16:2,
26:4, 59:16,	82:19, 231:24	105:11	17:24, 18:12,
61:23, 66:1,	subpoena	summarize	21:12, 22:8,
68:13, 68:15,	83:11		23:20, 25:22,
•	subsections	154:17, 191:5,	
80:4, 112:1,	196:24	194:5, 244:25,	26:19, 37:17,
188:14, 217:1		247:24	40:25, 41:4,
subjects	subsequent	summary	47:4, 48:5,
70:13	64:1, 85:7,	66:20, 75:18,	53:10, 54:10,
submission	86:24, 169:8,	115:4, 173:13,	71:21, 72:3,
9:10, 41:19,	170:13, 241:4,	251:10, 331:1	75:5, 76:16,
66:11, 81:4,	323:2, 326:17	summoned	107:3, 110:5,
82:8, 107:8,	subsequently	102:24	115:7, 118:12,
111:24, 123:11,	86:2	superimposed	124:16, 135:9,
297:8, 301:1	substance	136:24	154:15, 155:3,
submissions	37:10	superseded	155:12, 185:23,
66:9, 80:4,	substantial	273:25, 316:13,	188:19, 194:4,
159:18	86:8, 159:10,	316:21	199:11, 205:10,
submit	159:14, 174:4,	supersedes	209:18, 213:8,
49:24, 64:20,	181:15, 181:23,	160:5, 161:5,	252:8, 253:18,
68:4, 70:21,	196:4, 273:24,	273:21	300:19, 307:3,
72:14, 81:9,	315:21	supervisor	307:6, 312:19,
81:18, 82:1,	substantially	105:20	314:9, 314:19,
101:2, 107:20,	88:7, 88:10,	supply	318:7, 318:13,
114:1, 137:5,	91:3, 91:14,	178:13, 228:24,	322:21, 325:24,
184:1, 186:19,	161:7, 244:17,	229:10	325:25, 326:2,
187:13, 187:23,	309:20	support	326:12, 328:8,
193:16, 204:25,	substantively	90:9, 97:5,	328:11, 330:9,
227:4, 227:25,	188:4	99:20, 102:12,	331:3
232:1, 239:8,	succeed	164:22, 166:8,	surface
310:20, 313:17	177:7	176:8, 193:7,	145:8, 156:23
submits	sufficient	302:18	surround
9:24	49:19, 59:13,	supported	143:23
submitted	101:3	191:23, 192:4,	surrounded
8:14, 37:8,	sufficiently	192:8	92:5
46:4, 56:15,	61:22	supporting	surrounding
70:3, 78:1,	suggest	97:16, 160:12,	85:13, 86:18,
81:21, 106:10,	58:13, 65:2,	191:20, 245:9	87:2, 87:10,
114:2, 114:24,	65:10, 70:22	The state of the s	87:12, 87:18,
115:14, 204:20,	suggested	supports	88:8, 90:15,
213:17, 214:2,	120:17	160:11, 167:9	91:10, 93:2,
	120:1/	suppose	· , · · · · ,
		322:4, 326:18	

			10,
99:12, 107:17,	tabletop	talks	292:18
108:18, 111:23,	131:19	228:17, 263:19,	ten
112:23, 113:4,	tabulation	264:11, 274:2	9:21, 129:12,
127:15, 136:21,	15:12	tall	129:14, 132:1,
148:3, 151:21,	tailored	154:20, 286:14	132:5, 133:2,
155:11, 156:24,	303:18	taller	177:23, 196:3,
196:22, 198:1,	take	154:20, 154:25,	234:5, 234:6,
248:19, 249:23,	38:3, 38:5,	155:2, 286:21	234:9, 235:21,
271:11, 291:7,	39:14, 43:6,	targeted	238:5, 240:8,
292:9, 293:9,	68:24, 120:25,	305:12	263:21, 264:12,
293:15	122:4, 157:7,	targets	308:16
surrounds	157:22, 182:23,	194:19	ten-foot
131:4	183:15, 184:6,	task	120:6, 128:19,
sustainability	219:12, 228:22,	59:24, 60:5,	135:24, 180:15,
195:11, 195:18,	252:7, 253:11,	60:19, 61:7,	181:2, 235:22
195:23	304:16, 309:2,	61:15, 74:7	ten-mile
sustained	311:20, 315:11,	tax	263:20, 264:21
260:21	322:10, 322:16,	166:1	ten-minute
sworn	324:1, 335:6	taxes	163:14, 175:12,
104:11	taken	166:3	177:21, 263:14,
sylviane	30:16, 89:6,	team	263:22, 264:22,
4:24, 21:3,	150:8, 157:20,	106:21, 198:25	264:23, 265:5
23:2, 23:3,	184:17, 250:5,	tear	tends
24:17, 26:8	252:16, 322:9,	153:15	57 : 19
sympathetic	334:3	technical	term
43:6	takes	57:23, 59:16,	158:1, 266:20,
system	47:10, 94:17,	60:2, 62:25,	266:22, 293:24,
30:14, 40:20,	145:9, 309:7,	63:12, 72:7,	303:1, 315:13
122:5, 150:15,	317:13, 330:6	75:13, 76:18,	terminus
166:5, 166:9,	taking	86:14, 107:13,	131:16, 279:14
223:19, 260:5,	142:15, 157:24,	117:1, 121:13,	terms
260:8, 260:15,	300:16, 323:20	135:20, 155:13,	9:18, 103:20,
278:18, 304:6	talk	155:16, 164:14,	112:13, 128:10,
T	77:13, 78:3,	186:9, 217:10,	130:17, 143:9,
t		,	
L	148:8, 156:25,	245:21, 250:8,	148:25, 165:4,
29:3	167:20, 184:1,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24,	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5,
	167:20, 184:1, 280:2	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15,
29:3	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19,	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2,	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table 102:20, 158:10,	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1, 205:11, 220:8,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2, 290:3, 291:23	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12 terrace
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table 102:20, 158:10, 180:5, 218:5,	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1, 205:11, 220:8, 235:10, 235:12,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2, 290:3, 291:23 telling	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12 terrace 151:2, 282:2,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table 102:20, 158:10,	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1, 205:11, 220:8, 235:10, 235:12, 279:11, 283:10,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2, 290:3, 291:23 telling 261:18	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12 terrace 151:2, 282:2, 282:5, 282:20,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table 102:20, 158:10, 180:5, 218:5,	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1, 205:11, 220:8, 235:10, 235:12,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2, 290:3, 291:23 telling 261:18 temple	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12 terrace 151:2, 282:2,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table 102:20, 158:10, 180:5, 218:5,	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1, 205:11, 220:8, 235:10, 235:12, 279:11, 283:10,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2, 290:3, 291:23 telling 261:18	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12 terrace 151:2, 282:2, 282:5, 282:20,
29:3 t-o-u-h-e-y 40:7 t-u-r-n-i-p-s-e e-d 32:4 tab 122:14, 238:24 table 102:20, 158:10, 180:5, 218:5,	167:20, 184:1, 280:2 talked 139:1, 262:20, 273:8, 273:19, 291:19 talking 139:4, 150:3, 165:7, 186:1, 205:11, 220:8, 235:10, 235:12, 279:11, 283:10,	245:21, 250:8, 251:20, 315:24, 324:19 technically 200:5, 236:13 teens 229:1 tell 13:12, 201:19, 206:3, 277:2, 290:3, 291:23 telling 261:18 temple	148:25, 165:4, 177:17, 197:5, 197:19, 220:15, 229:21, 229:23, 250:19, 251:7, 288:20, 290:22, 291:12, 300:20, 301:22, 315:17, 315:19, 328:18, 329:12 terrace 151:2, 282:2, 282:5, 282:20,

263:24, 264:3, terraced 66:1, 66:17, 36:11, 39:5, 73:10, 73:17, 264:6, 264:17, 41:17, 43:1, 310:14 74:12, 75:7, 75:16, 78:4, 264:19, 270:25, 48:22, 69:13, terraces 281:15, 283:21, 75:5, 82:2, 212:1 78:12, 81:17, 297:6, 301:22, 83:4, 107:22, test 61:20, 70:24, 88:13, 91:25, 302:4, 305:2, 122:11, 124:4, 129:25, 132:9, 306:4, 308:5, 130:14, 131:18, 70:25, 221:15, 133:14, 145:7, 308:7, 309:11, 153:8, 185:7, 246:25 187:11, 247:11, 310:4, 313:11, 145:12, 147:4, tested 264:16, 282:1, 314:12, 316:10, 148:4, 154:9, 34:2, 316:20, 316:16, 322:8, 154:12, 161:10, 324:12, 326:6, 329:23 330:1 324:5, 324:22, 169:20, 172:17, testified testifying 325:7, 325:10, 195:21, 198:16, 104:11, 106:5, 325:17, 327:12, 200:9, 208:19, 48:3, 73:5, 129:24, 168:13, 328:15, 330:8, 208:21, 218:4, 191:3, 244:24, 82:19, 171:25, 250:3, 250:4, 187:4, 187:7, 330:14 247:20, 251:4, th 252:19, 265:22, 306:7 261:2, 264:4, 280:8, 280:18, testimonies 9:4, 51:25, 267:5, 269:6, 52:12, 56:6, 281:13, 285:4, 297:25 288:10, 296:24, 56:12, 78:15, 292:5, 301:19, testimony 297:1, 305:9, 311:6, 322:24, 86:3, 88:22, 306:1, 317:5, 9:9, 34:25, 328:24, 329:2, 35:19, 35:21, 93:25, 96:14, 320:22 36:6, 36:15, 111:24 331:14 testify thanks thinking 36:19, 37:1, 11:20, 11:21, 41:12 118:7, 147:1, 11:24, 13:9, 37:3, 38:3, 38:5, 42:17, themselves 157:14, 289:2, 13:17, 13:18, 300:16, 302:25, 43:10, 44:7, 31:9, 65:8, 14:3, 14:4, 47:23, 58:12, 323:24 128:22, 142:17 14:9, 14:16, thinks 58:13, 62:14, thereafter 16:4, 16:13, 63:7, 63:17, 43:20 26:8, 80:11 16:21, 17:15, thinly 66:8, 66:13, therefore 17:17, 18:14, 73:8, 74:23, 18:22, 19:6, 67:1, 130:16, 58:2 76:11, 86:7, third 20:19, 24:18, 234:18 86:20, 87:24, 27:25, 29:13, therein 65:21, 121:7, 184:13, 192:15, 31:10, 31:14, 89:8, 90:4, 159:11, 169:9 93:17, 107:22, 195:10, 198:7, 31:20, 32:16, thin 154:17, 170:6, 231:23, 259:20, 33:6, 33:8, 150:5 170:9, 170:11, 310:5, 323:10, 33:19, 34:6, thing 171:1, 182:9, 326:5, 326:22 38:1, 38:10, 51:22, 79:7, 183:5, 186:8, thirty-four 38:13, 39:24, 123:8, 134:13, 187:11, 194:6, 40:20, 40:22, 144:3, 165:6, 267:19 203:25, 204:3, 41:9, 42:2, thomas 166:17, 199:14, 204:20, 221:3, 42:6, 43:15, 28:17 199:23, 218:2, 221:8, 222:23, 47:1, 47:19, thoroughly 259:6, 273:7, 224:21, 229:20, 50:21, 57:17, 278:22, 324:6, 306:14 230:13, 244:5, 62:9, 63:3, thought 325:1, 326:6 249:16, 253:5, 63:4, 63:11, 186:25, 209:19, things 255:7, 261:24, 64:11, 64:19, 25:23, 35:22, 307:24, 308:1

	Conducted on 140		
thoughtful	273:25, 274:2,	throughout	207:19, 208:2,
97:15, 97:25	298:11, 298:13,	123:6, 142:1,	210:2, 210:3
thousand	298:16, 298:22,	167:8	times
144:10	298:23, 300:22,	throw	106:6, 204:13,
thousands	301:1, 301:7,	156:14	262:2
95:21	301:22, 316:7,	thursday	title
three	316:12, 316:13,	1:15	138:12
39:10, 64:22,	316:19, 316:22,	tidy	titled
69:16, 72:18,	317:2, 317:13,	65:5	149:8, 149:9,
79:13, 79:15,	317:19	tie-ins	202:2, 285:7,
79:16, 89:1,	thrive's	331:25	285:16, 285:18
109:4, 120:3,	178:17	tied	today
152:17, 180:10,	through	329:22, 331:23	13:9, 43:14,
187:10, 187:13,	7:4, 42:3,	tighten	44:13, 44:17,
190:20, 191:2,	43:13, 50:10,	280:18	54:2, 54:22,
196:23, 206:16,	54:1, 54:4,	till	84:14, 85:22,
211:3, 222:17,	54:5, 60:20,	306:25	86:8, 86:16,
224:9, 238:13,	61:25, 67:15,	time	87:8, 87:24,
238:14, 239:6,	86:7, 87:8,	10:11, 12:5,	88:14, 89:8,
262:2, 281:8,	87:22, 88:14,	20:13, 34:23,	100:12, 106:14,
282:4, 310:13,	91:24, 95:3,	40:21, 41:20,	114:10, 120:15,
319:7, 319:8,	95:18, 96:22,	41:21, 42:6,	130:16, 131:8,
319:12	109:1, 112:15,	43:6, 52:7,	137:5, 140:20,
three-quarters	123:3, 123:4,	69:5, 81:19,	183:5, 187:11,
264:11	124:9, 143:24,	94:17, 104:22,	214:12, 214:20,
three-quarters-m-	145:17, 147:1,	105:21, 105:24,	218:18, 218:25,
ile	147:13, 150:4,	114:14, 131:24,	219:2, 219:7,
230:8, 230:14	152:23, 159:12,	156:19, 159:21,	220:19, 247:3,
three-story	164:16, 164:25,	159:22, 159:23,	251:4, 267:6,
310:16	165:21, 165:24,	171:11, 173:2,	267:10, 283:19,
threshold	165:25, 167:4,	175:17, 215:1,	299:19, 301:20,
89:24	178:20, 182:16,	218:17, 219:16,	309:7, 313:17,
thrive	188:17, 191:1,	219:17, 236:21,	322:6, 322:8,
85:19, 85:21,	195:22, 195:24, 196:4, 197:2,	241:8, 247:1,	325:1, 332:23
86:2, 86:10,	202:17, 203:7,	251:24, 252:2,	today's
91:15, 96:5,	207:2, 213:6,	252:4, 274:10,	44:4, 47:23,
160:15, 160:22,	214:3, 214:21,	274:13, 294:15,	108:3, 205:1
161:5, 162:1,	217:10, 222:21,	296:5, 300:16,	together
162:3, 165:5,	228:4, 229:24,	304:7, 305:15,	120:2, 162:8,
166:24, 173:22,	231:1, 235:16,	307:7, 310:7,	199:1, 204:22, 311:16
173:24, 174:6,	244:14, 246:21,	310:19, 310:21,	told
174:25, 175:14,	247:10, 249:25,	312:23, 321:21,	
176:1, 176:15,	262:7, 271:14,	322:2, 322:12,	54:15, 54:19, 83:10, 92:12
176:20, 178:6,	295:17, 300:17,	323:20, 326:4, 326:11	tom
179:3, 179:5,	307:5, 315:8,	timeline	18:13, 29:3
180:21, 191:9,	321:25, 323:14,		toni
194:20, 245:13, 273:9, 273:20,	324:2, 326:1	51:23 timely	4:9, 17:2,
2/3.9, 2/3:20,		78:1, 83:11,	· · / · / · /
		/O:1, OS:11,	
	l .		

1.7.5.10.1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
17:5, 18:1	towards	training	transmits
took	118:16, 121:25,	57:10, 58:9,	297:20
95:2, 185:15,	122:1, 130:23,	58:15, 58:23,	transmittal
211:20, 286:22	155:4, 162:18,	58:25, 59:3,	88:23, 249:10
tool	195:7, 195:8,	68:3, 71:16	transmitter
260:20, 261:23,	195:9, 204:10,	transcribed	208:20
262:21, 262:22,	236:9, 238:19,	1:25, 34:19	transparent
263:1, 263:7,	257:3	transcriber	73:23
264:8, 265:21,	town	335:1	transportation
266:5	284:2, 311:11	transcript	57:22, 59:1,
tools	townhouse	100:2, 110:10,	59:15, 62:18,
161:9, 166:23,	99:5, 113:23,	119:20, 125:7,	62:25, 63:1,
228:8	118:6, 118:19,	275:24, 334:6	63:4, 63:13,
top	142:1, 143:19,	transcription	66:16, 66:19,
132:14, 147:5,	145:20, 145:25,	335:4	68:19, 68:20,
151:18, 151:22,	200:24, 203:13,	transcripts	68:23, 71:24,
220:20, 220:24,	211:20, 235:18,	298:1	72:2, 72:4,
223:4, 256:20,	249:1, 327:19,	transformation	72:5, 72:13,
271:9, 282:9,	327:24, 328:3 townhouses	166:6	73:6, 76:14,
292:11		transit	89:5, 107:14,
topic 306:18	97:6, 97:12, 98:22, 111:10,	68:12, 68:22,	109:10, 112:9,
	119:2, 120:1,	72:2, 72:13,	162:12, 164:13,
topics	120:2, 120:9,	72:19, 73:15,	186:17, 189:9,
46:7, 47:5,	120:24, 120:25,	163:11, 163:24,	241:19, 295:3,
57:18, 59:21,	125:10, 127:5,	164:24, 166:8,	295:12, 296:13, 296:16, 329:22
148:9	127:9, 129:7,	166:15, 167:10,	travel
topography	141:23, 147:21,	168:9, 172:17,	164:16, 164:21,
303:11	195:5, 197:11,	175:5, 175:6,	180:9
torres	212:4, 233:16,	177:23, 191:12,	treat
5:10, 28:24,	250:23, 280:12,	193:8, 196:2,	55:8
29:2, 29:6 total	329:17	213:14, 213:24,	treated
	track	216:19, 245:3, 299:12, 299:14,	83:13
75:19, 75:21,	26:19, 34:22,	303:10, 304:1,	treating
127:5, 193:13, 211:3, 211:23	107:21, 237:12	304:2, 304:4,	83:5, 209:2
totally	tracked	304:14, 304:15,	treatment
182:18	211:3, 238:1,	317:12, 317:17	100:11
tots	238:3	transition	treats
228:25	traffic	87:12, 122:16,	100:9
touch	7:5, 72:6,	145:12, 195:8,	tree
121:19, 121:20,	72:10, 84:11,	310:8, 328:25	22:16, 85:4,
155:9	88:11, 187:6,	transitional	92:7, 118:2,
touching	188:14, 189:8,	165:9	120:5, 120:6,
309:16	189:12, 247:11,	transitionary	128:19, 128:20,
touhey	249:14, 254:6,	165:9	132:25, 135:24,
5:11, 40:7	254:14, 324:20	transitways	135:25, 136:6,
toward	trail	179:20, 179:22,	136:7, 181:3,
250:13	260:5, 260:15	180:6, 180:18	181:7, 181:9,
230.13			
	1		

290:22, 291:12,	120:17, 244:25,	145:12, 150:4,	281:14, 284:3,
291:20	245:24, 253:4,	156:13, 158:1,	302:17, 303:6,
trees	258:14, 279:18,	163:16, 174:17,	310:12, 317:23,
119:6, 123:24,	308:16, 326:21,	175:13, 175:23,	327:18
124:1, 140:2,	332:14	180:9, 187:18,	typical
157:5, 157:8,	trying	204:16, 204:17,	110:23, 142:23,
157:16, 157:17,	20:11, 32:18,	212:17, 213:4,	211:20, 224:15,
157:23, 157:25,	32:20, 71:21,	213:10, 213:11,	249:1
158:7, 158:16,	75:5, 125:6,	214:2, 214:9,	typically
164:20, 193:11,	136:10, 156:14,	217:4, 219:18,	63:2, 85:11,
193:21, 196:14,	157:9, 169:1,	223:3, 225:21,	109:10, 110:5,
249:6, 250:15,	183:4, 200:23,	226:6, 228:23,	118:19, 119:1,
272:8, 273:1,	215:21, 261:8,	232:14, 232:15,	120:1, 120:9,
278:23, 279:7,	264:9, 304:17,	242:13, 242:14,	139:22, 142:12,
279:10, 279:16,	325:3, 330:2	246:2, 255:8,	151:17, 152:5,
279:25, 290:9,	turn	255:17, 260:22,	242:6, 242:13,
291:5, 292:4,	14:18, 57:6,	262:1, 262:16,	281:22
314:21, 315:6,	65:13, 93:5,	265:22, 265:23,	typologies
315:15, 315:24,	102:18, 146:9,	265:25, 266:3,	200:24, 328:21,
328:19, 329:7,	181:5, 244:14,	266:7, 266:8,	328:24
332:4	258:7, 285:15,	267:3, 267:6,	typology
trends	313:6	269:7, 269:17,	195:3, 203:12,
194:9, 194:18	turned	278:14, 288:10,	250:18
tributary	286 : 23	296:9, 304:18,	-
109:20, 110:21,	turning	321:15, 321:25,	<u>U</u>
111:4, 154:10	66:20, 296:25	323:14, 324:2,	u-n-g
tried	turnipseed	331:7, 331:14	12:9
87:6, 302:1	5:12, 31:20,	two-foot	uh-huh
trigger	31:24, 31:25,	136:8	12:11, 26:12,
222:3	32:3, 32:7,	two-over-twos	27:20, 29:16,
triggered	32:11	280:8	30:5, 50:4,
222:7	twelve	two-unit	74:13, 116:1,
triplex	120:2	113:23, 195:5	117:9, 138:2,
118:6, 284:1,	twice	two-year	139:7, 139:12,
327:19, 327:23,	260:18	76 : 13	146:11, 147:9,
328:3	two	tying	149:24, 188:19,
triplexes	8:24, 36:12,	181:10	188:22, 201:22,
195:5, 280:11,	37:7, 37:8,	type	201:25, 205:2,
329:2	37:16, 39:10,	65:7, 111:6,	206:6, 206:17,
true	42:4, 55:15,	118:6, 122:16,	208:23, 222:11,
74:4, 101:11,	56:2, 56:23,	164:10, 178:21,	225:25, 237:16
101:25, 218:7,	57:22, 58:24,	195:2, 235:18,	ultimate
334:7, 335:4	76:13, 78:5,	250:20, 331:9	9:13
truly	98:7, 123:16,	types	ultimately
58:8	129:8, 129:11,	80:3, 153:6,	94:18, 100:16
try	129:15, 132:15,	176:7, 177:10,	umbrella
44:6, 53:15,	133:13, 135:6,	178:6, 178:18,	61:13
108:2, 119:19,	140:22, 141:9,	194:24, 221:2,	unbiased
100.2, 119.19,		, , ,	61:18

```
unclear
                     109:9, 125:1,
                                          121:7, 121:10,
                                                               136:1, 169:19,
46:9, 73:12
                     138:24, 139:2,
                                          122:23, 123:1,
                                                               208:22, 290:5,
                     209:10, 211:6,
                                          123:13, 123:15,
                                                               298:2, 325:11,
undated
                                          123:16, 125:22,
                     217:11, 224:20,
                                                               332:9
46:5
                     283:21, 296:6,
                                          126:25, 127:2,
                                                               unusual
under
                     301:6, 322:12,
                                          127:14, 142:18,
                                                               152:8, 169:16
35:20, 36:11,
                     328:1, 330:11
                                          143:25, 144:11,
                                                               update
58:21, 59:7,
                     understanding
                                          145:16, 146:2,
59:11, 61:9,
                                                               41:18, 50:20,
                                          164:10, 191:14,
61:11, 61:13,
                     52:11, 94:18,
                                                               115:8, 139:2,
                     95:16, 257:21,
                                          195:8, 195:9,
61:14, 64:23,
                                                               176:10
                                          197:22, 198:18,
                     269:23, 323:22
                                                               updated
70:25, 72:15,
72:16, 74:3,
                     understands
                                          212:1, 212:8,
                                                               46:3, 114:9,
74:12, 78:25,
                                          236:20, 242:21,
                     92:22, 158:11
                                                               115:1, 169:23,
                                          245:5, 246:3,
                     understood
88:2, 88:4,
                                                               173:5, 174:11,
                                          246:5, 248:25,
95:9, 96:9,
                     300:20
                                                               179:20, 196:6,
                                          275:18, 277:13,
                                                               213:18, 275:2,
103:21, 125:16,
                     undertaken
                                          278:1, 278:7,
125:17, 129:6,
                     98:1
                                                               275:5, 275:7,
                                          278:9, 279:1,
130:10, 134:18,
                     underutilized
                                                               276:3
                                          280:20, 280:23,
143:15, 148:8,
                                                               updates
                     84:21, 120:16
                                          281:4, 281:7,
160:6, 173:22,
                     undisputed
                                                               169:8
                                          282:1, 284:16,
181:6, 188:20,
                                                               upfront
                     98:13
                                          310:9, 310:23,
188:25, 189:3,
                                                               329:19
                     unidentified
190:22, 191:5,
                                          311:25, 312:4,
                                                               upgrade
                     39:14, 124:23,
                                          312:6, 312:22,
193:25, 198:15,
                     125:4, 184:24,
                                                               163:1, 223:18,
                                          327:8, 327:22,
199:20, 201:2,
                     287:15, 312:17,
                                                               309:14
                                          329:12
203:17, 203:19,
                     320:17, 320:19
                                                               upgraded
212:18, 221:20,
                                          unless
                     unique
                                                               117:24, 134:19
                                          34:4, 34:6,
226:12, 228:18,
                     199:1, 303:12
                                                               upgrades
                                          126:8, 137:17,
229:6, 230:18,
                                                               162:14, 216:10,
                     unit
232:19, 234:14,
                                          247:9
                     120:4, 122:17,
                                                               239:10
                                          unlike
234:17, 234:21,
                     128:22, 142:21,
                                                               upgrading
235:6, 246:20,
                                          199:11
                                                               135:2
                     151:22, 152:24,
                                          unlikely
247:3, 247:7,
                     242:10, 242:13,
                                                               uphold
249:20, 249:21,
                                          42:20, 43:11
                     272:19, 280:2,
                                                               95:10
251:12, 269:24,
                                          unmute
                     280:4, 285:2,
                                                               upper
284:4, 289:8,
                                          14:19, 35:7,
                     286:5, 310:11,
                                                               163:7
301:1
                                          40:18, 185:7
                     310:15, 310:16,
                                                               urban
underlying
                                          unrecorded
                     311:17, 328:21,
                                                               90:25, 107:11,
87:11, 97:10,
                                          8:24
                     328:23
                                                               141:16, 144:25,
                                          unreliable
129:20, 130:2,
                     united
                                                               147:3, 160:14,
292:14, 292:19,
                                          37:4
                     65:6
                                                               172:18, 204:7
292:25
                                          unsigned
                     units
                                                               usable
undersized
                                          46:5
                     84:23, 97:10,
                                                               239:5
132:19
                                          unsupported
                     97:21, 117:19,
                                                               usaf
understand
                                          101:18
                     117:23, 118:3,
                                                               75:25
42:14, 53:4,
                                          until
                     118:4, 118:16,
                                                               use
53:17, 63:6,
                     119:22, 119:25,
                                          45:2, 48:7,
                                                               36:24, 42:21,
71:22, 80:9,
                                          48:15, 52:15,
                     120:8, 121:1,
                                                               46:3, 48:4,
```

	Conducted on No	, enne er e, 2020	103
57:11, 57:21,	150:25, 161:25,	values	veiled
58:10, 58:17,	176:4, 176:13,	96:17	58:3
58:18, 59:1,	176:22, 180:21,	valuing	verified
59:6, 59:15,	191:11, 191:23,	96:16	229:22
61:16, 61:21,	192:21, 194:23,	variance	verify
61:24, 67:14,	195:22, 197:2,	157:7, 332:4,	124:17
67:15, 67:19,	197:5, 200:18,	332:5, 332:7	version
67:21, 68:7,	200:19, 201:1,	variants	49:11, 231:4,
69:2, 70:7,	201:4, 202:9,	158 : 14	237:12
77:23, 98:19,	203:1, 203:6,	variation	versus
99:18, 99:22,	203:10, 203:20,	303:10	327 : 23
107:9, 109:10,	248:5, 263:7,	variety	vertical
136:22, 146:25,	271:8, 271:10,	47:5, 164:5,	150:6, 200:22
156:1, 160:11,	293:7, 293:15,	176:5, 271:8,	vertically
164:24, 165:2,	302:12, 303:5,	302:14	203:6, 286:23
167:17, 168:9,	305:20, 328:16	various	via
168:12, 178:9,	using	67:17, 69:8,	4:4, 4:5, 4:6,
183:22, 185:24,	98:17, 151:2,	70:2, 72:6,	4:7, 4:9, 4:10,
186:9, 186:10,	261:22	72:18, 111:12,	4:11, 4:14,
186:15, 186:23,	usually		4:16, 4:18,
187:4, 188:15,	52:20, 55:8,	213:24, 303:23,	4:19, 4:21,
192:17, 193:2,	144:23, 306:25,	314:13, 327:5	4:22, 4:23,
193:4, 193:6,	325:10	vary	4:24, 5:2, 5:3,
193:24, 194:2,	utilities	281:2, 281:5,	5:4, 5:5, 5:6,
197:7, 198:9,	192:8, 279:8	303:7	5:7, 5:10, 5:12,
200:21, 200:22,	utility	varying	39:5, 61:24,
202:12, 203:9,	121:13 , 331:25	87:20, 133:1,	89:7, 127:19
207:13, 207:14,	utilization	164:4, 178:7	vice
207:25, 209:25,	221:13, 222:6,	vegetation	105:14
227:8, 227:19,	246:14	92:17, 290:9,	vicinity
227:20, 233:14,	utilize	290:23, 291:12,	68:13, 68:15,
248:1, 250:20,	100:21	291:15	112:22, 222:9,
260:8, 260:20,		vehicle	222:19
267:10, 272:6,	vacant	165:21, 216:5,	victor
281:22, 305:12,	233:13	217:6, 239:19,	18:9, 18:14,
315:24, 327:17	valid	254:14	21:4, 21:13,
useful	85:24, 316:6,	vehicles	28:11
177:5	316:9, 316:14,	131:18	view
user-friendly	316:15	vehicular	7:9, 136:23,
165:17	validate	72:11, 90:4,	138:15, 138:16,
users	46:19	110:24, 133:8,	138:17, 150:7,
166:15	valley	167:22, 214:8,	287:8
uses	108:25	214:12, 217:3,	views
47:6, 87:19,		217:12, 219:22,	132:15
111:7, 111:8,	<pre>valleys 109:11, 290:13</pre>	219:25, 222:16,	vika
111:9, 111:10,	•	239:17, 254:20,	84:9, 89:2,
113:19, 113:22,	value	289:5, 296:4,	89:4, 105:10,
141:21, 148:3,	96:21	296:13, 296:16	105:12

13:4, 13:9, village 175:12, 177:22, 308:13 165:10, 177:16, 213:6, 225:15, 13:18, 13:22, warrant 226:14, 226:15, 14:1, 20:14, 73:7 203:8 228:3, 228:4, 21:21, 22:8, washington virginia 228:16, 244:14, 25:21, 26:18, 299:6, 299:7 105:5 259:19, 259:23, 34:4, 37:4, virtue waste 262:19, 263:15, 37:17, 39:4, 68:5 20:12 263:16, 263:20, 40:11, 40:12, visibility watching 263:22, 264:21, 42:15, 44:24, 118:13 142:16 264:22, 264:23, 48:17, 49:10, vision water 265:5, 317:16 53:19, 54:9, 90:8, 121:25, 85:19, 85:21, walkability 55:19, 68:17, 166:7, 178:17, 150:14, 158:17, 162:24, 167:6, 71:21, 72:23, 194:21, 273:10 183:9, 189:20, 74:19, 77:7, 192:25, 241:19, 220:23, 220:25, visual 78:4, 78:6, 263:4, 263:8, 247:12 110:25 78:7, 79:4, 263:12 watersheds visually 82:10, 96:15, walkable 156:13 146:1 97:1, 97:3, 166:14, 168:6, way vitality 114:19, 119:10, 178:5, 225:14, 9:6, 19:9, 167:12 124:9, 127:22, 265:15, 317:8, 19:14, 23:6, voir 128:23, 134:14, 317:12, 317:14 24:14, 26:14, 71:11, 74:20, 135:8, 137:24, walked 103:8, 103:22 33:23, 39:6, 149:11, 155:8, 224:14, 261:6, 43:12, 65:10, volumes 175:24, 182:10, 319:8, 319:9 71:1, 80:16, 88:12, 249:15 183:22, 184:1, walker 81:23, 109:22, vote 184:21, 204:14, 5:14, 6:13, 112:16, 118:21, 298:3 205:10, 208:18, 318:4, 318:11, 118:25, 119:4, voted 209:21, 215:14, 318:15, 318:18, 119:5, 119:7, 88:20 215:15, 245:11, 318:19, 318:22, 123:2, 145:16, W 246:18, 252:20, 318:25, 319:2, 152:11, 153:2, 253:5, 271:24, w-a-y 319:22 166:13, 172:22, 26:14 288:1, 302:6, 173:13, 176:19, walking 306:23, 314:19, w-y-n 110:23, 146:1, 177:5, 179:2, 315:13, 318:7, 22:16 176:25, 238:20, 179:23, 180:9, 325:22, 327:4, wagner 264:12, 265:12 181:2, 181:9, 5:13, 84:11, 332:14 walks 200:14, 206:12, 89:4, 221:8, wanted 198:16, 239:1 206:22, 218:15, 24:24, 125:11, 249:15 walkshed 224:24, 227:3, wait 153:15, 153:16, 139:23, 177:25 235:6, 235:11, 48:7, 307:25, 154:14, 185:19, walkway 235:23, 236:11, 238:12, 239:12, 332:11 130:25 245:16, 250:19, 246:21, 257:14, waiting 256:8, 256:9, walls 273:7, 288:4, 39:16, 185:1 295:25, 296:3, 151:2, 242:11, 308:4, 309:17, walk 304:11, 304:18 281:3 311:5, 316:4 119:9, 119:10, ways walnuts 124:9, 147:1, wanting 131:17, 143:21, 124:3, 157:16, 328:18 159:12, 163:14, 152:3, 238:20, 158:6 wants 163:18, 168:3, want 299:15 77:24, 79:25, 8:6, 12:22,

Conducted on November 6, 2023				
we'll	wearing	111:3, 127:25,	217:7, 217:16,	
9:17, 10:14,	301:20	143:23, 185:12,	218:9, 232:1,	
24:14, 25:17,	web	228:14	247:22, 279:6,	
35:12, 36:7,	95:4, 262:21,	weren't	286:20, 293:23,	
36:8, 38:4,	262:22, 263:1,	82:8, 126:4,	306:9, 315:9,	
41:21, 44:6,	264:8, 265:21,	166:23	325:12, 332:7	
44:11, 45:7,	266:5	west	whispered	
51:19, 53:23,	website	108:25, 109:16,	323:6	
57:5, 58:24,			white	
90:3, 91:24,	44:16, 45:11,	111:4, 112:5,		
	45:12, 50:11,	115:22, 119:15,	60:23, 61:1,	
110:16, 128:11,	51:15, 54:2,	120:11, 120:22,	69:14, 85:23,	
133:12, 133:13,	54:9, 60:15,	121:23, 122:1,	86:10, 91:4,	
135:1, 135:2,	227:7, 228:14,	122:4, 122:6,	91:5, 95:23,	
136:10, 146:21,	228:15, 229:25,		96:3, 134:15,	
147:20, 148:7,	256:11, 258:23,		157:17, 158:6,	
180:9, 184:6,	260:19	•	159:2, 159:4,	
184:7, 185:3,	websites		161:6, 161:8,	
187:20, 188:1,	60:10		163:21, 164:8,	
196:8, 214:15,	wedges		164:16, 164:25,	
221:1, 222:21,	160:7, 160:11,		165:6, 165:7,	
234:20, 239:7,	160:12, 174:11,		167:19, 168:19,	
242:13, 249:25,	174:12, 194:21,	156:11, 163:1,	168:23, 169:5,	
250:1, 252:19,	299:5	168:2, 268:2,	170:10, 171:14,	
253:11, 258:14,	wednesday	268:6, 289:18,	173:15, 176:10,	
281:18, 310:20,	52:13	289:21, 290:5,	221:20, 223:5,	
325:23, 326:16,	week	290:25, 329:1	267:15, 273:21,	
332:11, 332:24	93:25, 326:21	westover	299:25, 304:24,	
we've	weeks	221:19, 268:3	305:4, 305:17,	
25:23, 34:2,	12:5	wetland	316:5	
79:12, 83:15,	weight	231:12	whole	
108:8, 120:5,	58:4, 58:12,	whatever	42:21, 43:13,	
120:8, 129:13,	58:14, 171:11	13:25, 81:1,	46:10, 173:15	
152:2, 156:19,	weird	81:2, 171:10,	whomever	
157:15, 159:17,	152:11	202:13, 326:19	142:19	
159:25, 164:8,	welcome	whatnot	wide	
164:9, 177:25,	13:15, 13:21,	222:16, 298:2	133:1, 133:2,	
195:3, 202:7,	15:21, 44:22,	whereupon	176:5, 178:18,	
202:24, 203:11,	104:1, 119:10	30:16, 104:8,	285:9, 302:13,	
204:22, 218:5,	well-baked	184:17, 252:16	311:12	
218:6, 221:14,	272:21	wherever	widest	
226:10, 227:21,	well-established	325:11	278:6	
227:24, 232:16,	246:1	whether	width	
238:17, 239:16,	well-tree-canopi-	20:11, 61:20,	126:24, 127:1,	
241:7, 242:16,	ed	61:22, 68:2,	127:17, 129:10,	
247:2, 248:22,	290:12	75:12, 76:7,	191:17, 272:19,	
249:3	wendy	129:6, 173:14,	276:21, 276:24,	
wear	4:12	184:1, 197:6,	283:18, 284:7,	
324:15	went	214:20, 215:8,	284:11, 286:2,	
	45:12, 109:19,			

	Conducted on 140	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100
312:2, 312:8	wishes	133:8, 202:25,	106:21, 107:10,
widths	38:10, 41:9	300:23, 315:7,	125:9, 248:17,
118:20, 127:13,	wishing	328:1	250:9
283:24, 312:21	24:18, 32:16,	witnesses	working
wilhelm	33:6, 33:19	6:7, 64:10,	8:8, 58:17,
5:15, 11:7,	withdraw	64:13, 65:25,	106:1, 106:22,
20:1, 32:18,	210:8	66:7, 78:8,	123:9, 157:14,
56:9, 57:1,	within	78:9, 82:11,	239:10, 302:3
57:8, 57:17,	9:16, 9:21,	82:14, 87:8,	works
58:3, 59:7,	64:22, 83:9,	88:14, 89:1,	185:10, 227:3
59:19, 61:20,	90:22, 91:7,	102:21, 187:10,	worse
62:13, 63:2,	91:22, 92:17,	187:14, 307:14,	156:18
63:16, 68:4,	116:23, 119:5,	321:16, 321:25,	worship
68:18, 70:4,	119:7, 130:15,	322:6, 322:16,	292:21
70:10, 71:11,	136:15, 143:10,	324:3	worth
72:9, 73:25,	143:11, 152:4,	wonder	209:12, 229:16
74:5, 89:18,	156:15, 159:2,	54:12	wouldn't
103:8	163:14, 164:7,	wonderful	97:15, 209:1,
wilhelm's	164:16, 164:25,	56:1	267:15, 278:17,
58:13, 58:25,	165:17, 168:22,	wondering	284:25, 289:22,
59:3, 59:23,	168:24, 169:15,	42:22	302:23
60:5, 60:18,	173:5, 175:7,	wooded	writing
61:5, 61:12,	175:9, 176:25,	139:25	27:3, 37:8,
62:20, 67:12,	177:22, 177:25,	woods	45:9, 55:16,
68:24, 70:18,	192:18, 193:1,	131:4	80:23, 106:1,
72:16, 74:21,	193:3, 211:10,	word	313:2
103:21	213:20, 213:21,	26:2, 27:13,	written
willing	213:23, 221:9,	61:8, 324:15	9:23, 55:19,
14:8, 14:16	224:13, 224:20,	word-for-word	64:21, 66:9,
wilshire	225:13, 225:18,	34:20	78:13, 80:2,
147:7, 276:18,	230:7, 230:13,	worded	81:19, 107:6,
277:4, 277:18,	231:9, 234:22,	218:15, 311:19	170:24, 263:9
278:7, 280:22,	242:4, 259:12,	words	wrong
290:15, 290:24,	259:13, 263:4,	101:7	99:19, 206:13
291:7, 292:24	263:14, 263:16,	work	wrote
window	263:17, 263:20,	42:3, 43:7,	266:24
281:14	265:10, 265:12,	95:1, 97:16,	WSSC
windows	265:14, 267:6,	105:22, 112:7,	220:17
145:4, 145:5,	269:11, 290:2, 305:5, 305:12,	118:22, 127:8,	X
147:15, 212:2,	305:5, 305:12, 305:21, 305:24,	142:19, 147:1,	xii
250:3	305:21, 305:24, 306:16, 309:8,	155:14, 176:25,	161:21
wisconsin	312:20, 315:21,	198:25, 204:1,	xviii
3:7	317:6, 317:7,	271:18, 275:11,	163:13
wish	328:21	278:25, 305:18,	Y
31:9, 31:10,	without	323:21	y-e-n
31:14, 31:20, 36:6, 39:23,	55:3, 63:13,	worked	21:17
36:6, 39:23, 42:10	78:13, 114:17,	75:25, 105:16,	<u></u>
74.10		105:19, 106:20,	

210:12, 210:14, yard 318:24, 325:15 94:5, 94:7, 120:10, 199:3 yield 210:16, 210:17, 94:15, 94:23, 210:19, 210:22, 96:9, 96:22, yards 221:24 211:8, 212:12, 118:8, 122:17, young 96:24, 97:7, 212:13, 212:16, 97:9, 97:11, 129:1, 136:9, 229:1 196:5, 197:21, 212:22, 225:11, 97:22, 98:9, yourself 232:19, 233:12, 98:18, 98:20, 198:16, 239:14, 14:19, 34:5, 235:19, 236:18, 99:13, 99:21, 239:22 35:7, 40:18, 243:14, 243:19, 100:13, 100:19, 185:7, 185:8 yeah 243:23, 244:4, 100:22, 100:25, 29:24, 114:5, yourselves 244:7, 244:16, 102:8, 105:23, 124:11, 127:23, 71:18 136:5, 136:25, 245:18, 247:18, 105:25, 106:23, Z 247:19, 248:5, 111:10, 111:13, 137:15, 140:9, zero 248:10, 249:13, 112:20, 112:22, 140:14, 140:19, 200:9, 200:14, 249:18, 249:20, 112:25, 113:14, 140:20, 141:2, 201:8, 201:11, 113:16, 113:17, 249:21, 251:11, 148:19, 150:2, 202:3, 202:5, 251:16, 276:3, 143:14, 143:15, 153:11, 153:25, 202:14, 202:16, 143:19, 148:16, 292:14, 292:19, 154:25, 156:2, 202:19, 211:11 292:25, 293:12, 153:20, 161:23, 167:7, 171:18, zip 311:9, 311:21 161:25, 163:25, 186:3, 188:11, 32:10, 38:25 190:7, 190:12, zoned 204:15, 206:12, zone 197:16, 198:14, 206:15, 207:10, 200:13, 202:13 7:6, 8:18, 9:3, 208:13, 225:24, 199:12, 210:13, zones 50:18, 62:12, 211:2, 212:5, 68:12, 99:5, 228:8, 237:24, 62:15, 84:18, 213:25, 225:3, 242:18, 252:12, 100:18, 125:12, 84:19, 85:11, 233:9, 248:8, 252:15, 266:2, 125:14, 125:15, 86:17, 87:11, 287:17, 287:20, 130:11, 173:1, 251:13, 271:10, 87:22, 90:10, 271:13, 271:21, 190:16, 190:20, 290:11, 298:17, 90:12, 98:4, 273:3, 282:16, 194:25, 197:3, 307:23, 313:12, 98:11, 99:2, 315:17, 318:18, 293:24, 331:17 199:9, 199:12, 99:8, 113:17, 199:16, 199:22, zoom 324:7, 326:9, 113:20, 114:1, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 199:25, 200:1, 329:18, 330:12 114:10, 114:17, 200:2, 201:1, 4:7, 4:9, 4:10, year 114:23, 114:25, 201:4, 202:2, 4:11, 4:14, 193:18, 221:15, 116:10, 116:21, 202:5, 202:9, 4:16, 4:18, 247:8, 314:22, 117:2, 122:22, 202:18, 202:20, 4:19, 4:21, 315:20, 316:1 127:12, 129:21, 216:22, 232:20, 4:22, 4:23, years 130:2, 130:4, 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 239:18, 292:22 58:16, 75:20, 130:7, 130:10, zoning 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 75:21, 95:16, 153:10, 153:11, 1:2, 2:6, 3:19, 5:7, 5:10, 5:12, 96:2, 104:21, 190:9, 192:20, 8:6, 8:7, 11:12, 8:16, 36:24, 105:20, 159:19, 195:19, 197:6, 14:4, 14:18, 47:6, 47:9, 194:16, 241:23, 198:15, 199:15, 61:16, 67:19, 14:23, 15:9, 291:2, 291:3, 199:18, 200:6, 15:13, 19:8, 68:8, 69:2, 292:2, 304:10 201:7, 202:3, 24:4, 24:17, 70:8, 86:13, yep 202:9, 202:10, 88:5, 90:6, 25:19, 27:17, 275:13, 276:13, 202:14, 202:15, 30:11, 30:14, 90:16, 93:1, 291:22, 298:14, 203:13, 203:14, 30:19, 31:13, 94:1, 94:3, 298:20, 318:15,

32:15, 33:4,	233:5, 233:9,	1.3	13464
33:6, 33:19,	234:1, 236:22	90:6, 210:13,	33:16
33:21, 34:1,	.83	212:10, 212:18	13512
34:3, 34:9,	144:17, 144:21	1/3/25	29:21
34:10, 34:14,	0	7:7	13601
34:17, 35:2,	0.0	10	18:24, 22:15
35:8, 35:12,	8:18, 84:19	30:17, 40:3,	13701
37:25, 38:7,	0.05	112:20, 183:17,	29:6
38:12, 38:16,		220:25, 291:3,	13704
39:3, 39:18,	290:6	317:15	
40:17, 44:15,	0.25		9:1
	288:11	100	13707
71:18, 124:16,	0.5	2:9, 3:22,	8:25
124:20, 124:21,	288:11	127:6, 229:11,	13709
125:2, 125:7,	00	231:9, 284:13,	38:23
133:23, 141:8,		284:14, 284:16,	13711
184:20, 184:21,	321:18, 322:25	285:2, 285:9,	
185:5, 185:10,	000		8:25
	153 : 12	285:13, 312:2,	13713
288:2, 312:12,	01	313:1	25:3, 25:5
312:14, 312:18,		104	13715
321:13	7:4	6 : 9	8:25
zta	02	107	13719
94:25	94:25	229:13	
31.20	03		9:1
•	30:17	107.2	13727
.0	06	221:19	9:1
212:6		11	13733
1.1	1:15	78:15, 146:2,	9:1
9:13, 36:25,	09	181:6, 181:9,	
The state of the s	184:18, 252:17	223:16, 255:11	13734
86:13, 88:5,	0913		12:10, 31:4
88:25, 98:5,	3 : 15	110	138
100:25, 102:11,	1	229:12	7:9
244:11, 244:16,		116	13809
245:17, 247:17,	1	7:6	318:22
249:12, 249:17	183:17, 183:18,	12	
.2	184:7, 184:18		13811
	1,220	160:21, 226:16	17:13
58:21, 59:11,	•	120	13815
67:24, 145:21,	319:13	181:8, 221:13,	27:21
190:14, 199:21	1,317	221:20, 222:4,	13905
.25	319:13	329:17	40:8
212:6	1,720	13	
.3	319:14	97:21, 163:24	13918
	1.0	•	32:25
166:13		130	14306
. 4	8:18, 84:19,	84:23, 327:7,	32 : 7
168:5, 226:17	113:18, 212:7	327:22	149
.5	1.2	132	
192:11	190:22, 193:25,	178:14, 178:19	7:10
.8	196:19	133	15
	1.25		95:16, 175:25,
168:4, 211:22,		178:23	176:16, 177:3,
	211:4	13432	177:18, 178:25,
		28:14, 28:19	,,

2.7 2024 183:8, 221:25, 223:9, 228:25, 229:2, 231:15, 192:12 247:7 229:1 246:5, 317:14, 20 237 2025 321:19 1:15, 9:4, 7:12 86:3, 154:6, 15,000 56:6, 56:12, 24 193:18, 242:6, 143:16, 234:16 291:2, 292:2, 64:16, 78:15, 116:7, 123:24, 314:22, 316:1 159 179:20, 335:7, 192:10, 215:23, 20,000 1:6, 8:4, 8:12, 335:16 216:3, 221:1, 93:13 125:17, 143:17 20251 247:15 163 240 200 105:10 175:1 2:9, 3:22, 2026 3:24 17 243 8:17, 84:18, 247:8 9:4, 111:24, 87:11, 90:16, 204 243:3, 243:7 97:10, 108:23, 205:17, 206:15, 3:15 25 206:21, 207:1, 108:24, 109:6, 2050 94:25, 143:5, 285:18, 335:16 111:12, 113:2, 85:20, 86:3, 144:15, 153:16, 1767 122:22, 125:15, 86:11, 91:15, 216:9, 231:13, 130:2, 130:3, 8:23 160:15, 161:5, 240:12 130:4, 130:7, 18 173:23, 173:25, 253 130:10, 130:11, 174:6, 178:7, 6:10 164:8, 228:25, 130:12, 143:5, 191:9, 194:20, 254 242:4 143:14, 143:15, 18,000 245:14 7:5 153:9, 153:11, 120:20, 130:25 208 26 18.9 199:15, 203:19, 7:11 221:15 211:2, 220:17, 20814 145:16 27 234:11, 236:2, 180 3:8 56:6, 56:12, 243:6, 271:22, 20850 242:21, 243:3, 221:25, 247:8 271:24, 292:15, 2:10, 3:14, 243:10 270 292:20, 293:1 19 3:23 160:14 2000 215:22, 287:12 20874 274 169:19, 169:21, 105:11 1969 6:11 172:3 20904 299:4 29 2011 1977 9:2, 21:7, 51:25, 52:12, 69:12, 299:11 22:22, 25:12, 269:8 69:18, 104:21 2013 26:3, 27:9, 1981 2nd 169:19, 299:12 28:4, 29:22, 69:1 41:15, 43:15, 2014 32:10, 33:1, 1997 43:19, 44:1, 61:2, 99:1, 38:25, 39:22, 85:23, 86:10, 44:8, 44:14, 105:25, 168:19, 40:8, 41:5, 323:2, 323:13, 91:4, 159:2, 170:10, 173:2 318:23, 320:6 159:4, 167:3, 323:16, 323:22, 2015 20905 325:9, 325:12, 223:5, 299:25, 75:25 305:3, 316:5, 40:4 326:17, 332:25 2017 22 316:11, 316:19, 3 304:24 222:1 317:1 3 2018 1999 23 252:17 299:14, 299:15, 110:11, 110:12, 317:1 3.1 299:17 110:15, 110:19, 2 64:12 2020 116:7, 223:7, 2.1 247:7 248:9, 251:13

	Conducted on No	,	
3.2	320	4 (e	151:8
199:8	6:14	189:13	460
3.3	321	4(i	151:12, 151:15,
81:3	6:15	189:13	152:11
3.4	328	4.1	47
81:3			
	6:16, 27:8	233:5, 233:9,	48:24, 49:5,
3.5	329	234:1, 236:22	258:12, 258:16,
64:18, 64:19,	25:24, 25:25	4.36	260:10, 260:15,
65:17, 66:9,	33	97:9	261:16
77:23, 78:18,	1:16, 249:9	4.4	47.8
79:23, 81:13,	330	234:3	151:16, 151:20
81:14, 210:24	20:21, 21:6	40	471
3.6	334	92:10, 119:2,	100:6
211:25	41:4, 89:21	153:12, 183:18,	48
30	335	184:7, 206:10,	7:5, 254:3,
23:3, 41:15,	1:23	207:1, 208:12,	254:11, 255:2,
43:19, 44:14,	34	223:6, 236:5	276:24, 277:21
88:22, 88:24,	229:2, 267:14	40,000	49
89:21, 93:25,	340,000	153:12, 153:14	269:4, 269:5
96:2, 96:14,	211:23	400	4th
115:23, 116:6,	35	105:11	64:16, 78:21
117:1, 122:20,		41	5
129:17, 130:14,	76:12, 229:1,	39:21, 154:3,	
143:2, 143:4,	234:17, 234:19,	320:5	5
143:5, 143:8,	286:11	42	58:21, 59:11,
157:6, 159:19,	36		67:24, 190:22,
183:10, 183:15,	229:12	163:16, 304:16	193:25, 196:19,
183:17, 194:16,	37	423	199:8, 210:13,
227:18, 228:2,	7:4, 54:1, 54:4	242:20, 243:8,	210:24, 212:10,
229:13, 230:3,	3716	243:9	212:18, 321:18,
	9:5	43	321:19, 322:25
230:17, 234:20,	38	97:11	5.1
242:17, 242:18,	46:3, 46:15,	44	190:14
274:24, 275:16,	47:20, 47:23,	130:9, 143:3,	5.13
309:20, 309:24,	48:14, 53:23,	163:16, 277:14,	88:4
332:24	186:1, 188:1	304:16	5.3
301	39	442	88:3, 199:21
3:15	7:4, 54:1, 54:5	152:21	50
308	3d	446	8:18, 8:19,
6:12	128:18, 192:12,	151 : 23	80:23, 80:24,
31	247:15	45	84:20, 92:11,
243:20	3rd	9:16, 10:19,	113:18, 117:6,
31.6	45:22, 52:1,	48:25, 152:5,	117:8, 121:25,
143:25	52:16, 114:25,	277:12	128:5, 152:2,
313	138:4, 213:18,	450	153:12, 153:17,
7:13, 28:2	267:13	3:13	156:8, 156:10,
319	4	452	234:12, 234:22,
6:13		152:21	236:6, 236:18,
32	4	46	230:0, 230:10,
167:8, 249:9	333:3		
		75:19, 75:21,	
	<u> </u>		

```
72
                                                                84.5
282:8, 282:9,
                     65
286:14, 286:21,
                     86:5, 297:10
                                           7:4, 45:23,
                                                                221:21
291:2
                     6660
                                           54:1, 54:5
                                                                85
500
                     3:24
                                           721
                                                                176:3, 178:11,
8:24
                     67
                                                                302:4, 302:19
                                           316:24
                                           73
51
                                                                86
                     151:24
229:12, 265:17
                     68
                                           7:7, 54:21,
                                                                177:13
52
                     107:24, 107:25,
                                           55:3, 55:4,
                                                                87
                                           138:1, 138:10,
106:11
                     108:11, 108:12,
                                                                221:22
525
                     116:23, 126:2,
                                           138:11
                                                                89
8:24
                     128:13, 134:8,
                                           74
                                                                6:5
                     160:22, 201:15,
53
                                           7:9, 137:25,
                                                                          9
167:10
                     202:1, 237:1,
                                           138:7, 138:8,
                                                                9
54
                     274:20, 287:10,
                                           138:11, 138:20,
                                                                1:16, 41:15,
                     287:11
                                           140:12, 146:16,
7:4, 167:16
                                                                43:19, 44:14,
                                           146:17, 149:5,
                               7
55
                                                                332:24
                                           287:7, 287:22
7:8, 56:14,
                                                                90
                                           75
286:11, 286:22,
                     248:9, 251:13
                                                                111:12, 125:15,
                                           7:10, 149:5,
333:3
                     7.0
                                                                130:11, 143:5,
                                           149:15, 149:19,
56
                     58:21, 59:11,
                                                                143:14, 193:14,
                                           149:23, 149:25,
108:15, 108:22,
                     67:24
                                                                236:8, 271:24,
                                           150:3, 213:22
115:14, 206:13,
                     7.1
                                                                292:1, 314:24
                                           76
207:3, 215:18,
                     100:25
                                                                92
215:19, 215:20,
                                           7:11, 205:4,
                     7.2
                                                                334:12
                                           207:6, 208:6
311:20
                     9:13, 86:13,
                                                                93
                                           7600
57
                     88:5, 88:25,
                                                                6:6, 145:8,
                                           3:7
72:15, 75:17,
                     98:5, 102:11,
                                                                299:8
                                           77
111:21, 112:2,
                     244:11, 244:16,
                                                                97
                                           7:12, 161:3,
206:12
                     245:17, 247:17,
                                                                169:5, 245:13
                                           237:9, 237:10,
58
                     249:12, 249:17
                                                                998
                                           237:18
56:4, 56:5,
                     7.3
                                                                1:25, 335:15
                                           777
56:6, 134:6
                     36:25
                                           3:24
59
                     70
                                           78
9:12, 36:25,
                     7:6, 114:3,
                                           7:13, 313:24,
56:4, 56:10,
                     114:4, 114:24,
80:23, 80:24,
                                           314:1
                     116:14, 137:24,
                                           79
90:6, 100:25,
                     180:9, 181:2,
190:14, 244:11
                                           229:12
                     213:22, 275:10,
         6
                                                    8
                     275:12, 276:1,
                     276:2
                                           8.0
                     700
130:14, 143:3,
                                           200:9
154:7
                     3:7
                                           80
                     71
601
                                           235:6, 235:7,
                     45:14
26:13
                                           235:15
606
                     7100
                                           81
23:20
                     214:24, 219:11,
                                           276:14
607808
                     294:10
                                           84
1:22
                                           6:4
```