



Re: Case 26-03, Bennet Objection to License 157919

From Bennet, Carri <Carri.Bennet@wbd-us.com>

Date Tue 2/3/2026 12:37 PM

To Evan Goitein <evan@goiteinrosa.com>; Robeson Hannan, Lynn <Lynn.RobesonHannan@montgomerycountymd.gov>; greg friedman <friedman.g@gmail.com>

Cc kenneth.mack@gmail.com <kenneth.mack@gmail.com>; flavia4222@comcast.net <flavia4222@comcast.net>; Johnson, Nana <Nana.Johnson@montgomerycountymd.gov>; Guisao-Ospina, Jony <Jony.Guisao@montgomerycountymd.gov>

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Ms. Robeson Hannan,

I also object to the affidavit being introduced for the same reasons noted by Mr. Mack's attorney.

From: Evan Goitein <evan@goiteinrosa.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 3, 2026 12:18:47 PM

To: Robeson Hannan, Lynn <Lynn.RobesonHannan@montgomerycountymd.gov>; Bennet, Carri <Carri.Bennet@wbd-us.com>; greg friedman <friedman.g@gmail.com>

Cc: kenneth.mack@gmail.com <kenneth.mack@gmail.com>; flavia4222@comcast.net <flavia4222@comcast.net>; Johnson, Nana <Nana.Johnson@montgomerycountymd.gov>; Guisao-Ospina, Jony <Jony.Guisao@montgomerycountymd.gov>

Subject: RE: Case 26-03, Bennet Objection to License 157919

External (evan@goiteinrosa.com)

[Report This Email](#) [FAQ](#)

Ms. Robeson Hannan,

On behalf of objector Kenneth Mack, I object to the introduction of an affidavit in the applicant's closing brief related to the issue of "other licensed and unlicensed ADU's on Oldchester Road." During the hearing, the applicant attempted to introduce evidence, in her rebuttal case, regarding another pending ADU application to which no objections had yet been filed, with the implication being that the objectors were biased toward Ms. Favali. However, there was no evidence that any of the objectors were even aware of that application, whether the circumstances were the same as the current applicant's, or if there were any other facts that would make that evidence relevant. For the same reasons, an affidavit from the applicant regarding other ADU's on Oldchester Road, without any opportunity to cross-examine or rebut the affidavit, would be irrelevant and also prejudicial to the objectors. When this issue was raised at the hearing, I objected and below was the ruling (Tr. Day 2, pg. 92), which I would ask to be maintained.

THE COURT: Okay. So I think -- I think it's too far off the beaten path, especially because we don't know if its owner occupied or whether it's going to be a rental home or something like that. So I don't think it's worth exploring. I understand your point, and the point would be the credibility. I guess it would go to the credibility of the objectors but if nobody can tell me if it's exactly like Ms. Favali's ADU, I don't see the point.

Evan V. Goitein

GOITEIN | ROSA, LLC
4550 Montgomery Ave., Suite 760N
Bethesda, MD 20814
(301) 951-1555 (main)
(301) 323-8272 (direct)
(301) 576-5131 (fax)
www.goiteinrosa.com



From: Robeson Hannan, Lynn <Lynn.RobesonHannan@montgomerycountymd.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2026 4:26 PM
To: Bennet, Carri <Carri.Bennet@wbd-us.com>; greg friedman <friedman.g@gmail.com>
Cc: Evan Goitein <evan@goiteinrosa.com>; kenneth.mack@gmail.com; flavia4222@comcast.net; Johnson, Nana <Nana.Johnson@montgomerycountymd.gov>; Guisao-Ospina, Jony <Jony.Guisao@montgomerycountymd.gov>
Subject: RE: Case 26-03, Bennet Objection to License 157919

Mr. Goiten and Ms. Bennet, you may weigh in on Mr. Friedman's to introduce an affidavit regarding licensed and unlicensed rentals on the street no later than February 5th.

The question regarding the Class II license was raised as part of a discussion initiated by me during the hearing regarding whether there was a verifiable way to limit the number of tenants on the property. 1/12/26 T. 116-123. You in fact stated, "...if you have a mechanism to suggest for Ms. Favali to be able to verify to whomever that she has only three tenants, we're open to that." *Id.*, T. 121. My inquiry to DHCA was to explore whether such a mechanism existed via a Class II license for the single-family detached home. I am unclear how this relates to "other licensed and unlicensed rentals on Oldchester Road."

If you know of another mechanism to verify independently the number of tenants residing in the single-family home, please let me know.

Best,

Lynn Robeson Hannan
Hearing Examiner

From: Bennet, Carri <Carri.Bennet@wbd-us.com>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2026 3:19 PM
To: greg friedman <friedman.g@gmail.com>; Robeson Hannan, Lynn <Lynn.RobesonHannan@montgomerycountymd.gov>

Cc: Evan Goitein <evan@goiteinrosa.com>; kenneth.mack@gmail.com; flavia4222@comcast.net; Johnson, Nana <Nana.Johnson@montgomerycountymd.gov>; Guisao-Ospina, Jony <Jony.Guisao@montgomerycountymd.gov>
Subject: RE: Case 26-03, Bennet Objection to License 157919

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

All,

I was not copied in on the DHCA response. Please provide it. As the lead objector and not represented by counsel I should still be getting these ex partes.

Carri Bennet

She/Her

Partner

Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP

d: [202-857-4519](tel:202-857-4519)

m: [240-604-7239](tel:240-604-7239)

e: Carri.Bennet@wbd-us.com

2001 K Street, NW

Suite 400 South

Washington, DC 20006



womblebond Dickinson.com



This email is sent for and on behalf of Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP. Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebond Dickinson.com/us/legal-notice for further details.

From: Greg Friedman <friedman.g@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2026 3:07 PM

To: Robeson Hannan, Lynn <Lynn.RobesonHannan@montgomerycountymd.gov>

Cc: Evan Goitein <evan@goiteinrosa.com>; Bennet, Carri <Carri.Bennet@wbd-us.com>; kenneth.mack@gmail.com; flavia4222@comcast.net; Johnson, Nana <Nana.Johnson@montgomerycountymd.gov>; jony.guisao@montgomerycountymd.gov

Subject: Case 26-03, Bennet Objection to License 157919

External (friedman.g@gmail.com)

[Report This Email](#) [FAQ](#)

Ms. Robeson-Hannan,

While I obviously am pleased with DHCA's response today to Ms. Bennet's inquiry regarding the Class 2 rental license, I was surprised that Ms. Bennet was permitted to pursue this inquiry as she had not raised the issue in her case in chief or at any time before testimony had been closed. This would appear to conflict with the denial of Ms. Favali's request during rebuttal to inquire regarding the very relevant issue of other licensed and unlicensed ADU's on Oldchester Road. I would not suggest that the parties or hearing examiner be inconvenienced by reopening testimony but I respectfully request that I be permitted to raise this matter in my closing brief, including the option to attach an affidavit by the Applicant should I deem it appropriate.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Greg Friedman
6216 Mazwood Road
Rockville MD 20852-3528
(301)455-4734