Case No. S-2512

PETITION OF JAMES L. BERGMANN

OPINION OF THE BOARD
(Public Hearing Date: May 22, 2002)
(Effective Date of Opinion: June 25, 2002)

Case No. S-2512 is an application for a special exception pursuant to Section 59-G-2.00 (Accessory Apartment) of the Zoning Ordinance to permit an existing accessory apartment.

On May 22, 2002, the Board of Appeals held a hearing on the application pursuant to Section 59-A-4.11 of the Zoning Ordinance. James L. Bergmann testified in support of the application. Robert Dejter, Housing Code Field Supervisor, Montgomery County Department of Housing and Community Affairs (DHCA), testified about his inspection of the subject property. The Board received no testimony or correspondence in opposition to the application.

Decision of the Board: Special Exception Granted, subject to conditions enumerated below.

EVIDENCE PRESENTED

1. James L. Bergmann seeks approval under the Zoning Ordinance, to operate an existing accessory apartment. The subject property is Lot 7, Block 3A, Bradley Hills (4) Subdivision, located at 5122 Bradley Boulevard, Chevy Chase, Maryland, in the R-60 Zone.

2. The house was built in 1942. Mr. Bergmann has owned and lived in the house for one year and five months. The accessory apartment was constructed before he purchased the home [Exhibit No. 3].

3. The accessory apartment is located in the basement of the house and will share the same address as the house.[Exhibit No. 3].

4. The lot measures 6446.4 square feet [Exhibit No. 3].
5. The apartment has a separate entrance at the rear of the house [Exhibit No. 13, p. 2].

6. There are three parking spaces available in the driveway [Exhibit Nos. 3, 13, p. 2].

7. There are no other approved special exceptions in the general neighborhood [Exhibit No. 13, p. 3].

8. Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (MNCPPC) staff recommends approval of the application. Staff finds the use consistent with the Bethesda Chevy Chase Master Plan. Staff finds that the driveway can accommodate three cars, that the accessory apartment will not adversely affect traffic and parking in the neighborhood, and that the accessory apartment is subordinate to the main dwelling [Exhibit No. 13, pp. 2-4, and i].

9. Montgomery County Housing Filed Supervisor Robert Dejter inspected the subject property on May 17, 2002. A report of his inspection is entered into the record as Exhibit No. 14. Mr. Dejter states that the railing along the left side of the house requires rust removal and painting, and that the accessory apartment is suitable for two occupants.

10. Mr. Bergmann stated at the public hearing that he understands and will comply with the DHCA inspection report.

FINDINGS OF THE BOARD

STANDARD FOR EVALUATION

Section 59-G-1.2.1 sets forth the standard that the Board must use to evaluate a special exception. That standard requires that a special exception be evaluated based on its inherent and non-inherent adverse effects at the particular location proposed, irrespective of adverse effects elsewhere in the zone [Zoning Text Amendment 99004, Opinion, p. 4].

Section 59-G-1.2.1. Standard for evaluation:

A special exception must not be granted absent the findings required by this Article. In making these findings, the Board of Appeals, Hearing Examiner, or District Council, as the case may be, must consider the inherent and non-inherent adverse effects of the use on nearby properties and the general neighborhood at the proposed location, irrespective of the adverse effects the use might have if established elsewhere in the zone. Inherent adverse effects are the physical and operational characteristics necessarily associated with the particular use, regardless of its physical size or scale of operations. Inherent
adverse effects alone are not a sufficient basis for denial of a special exception. Non-inherent adverse effects are physical and operational characteristics not necessarily associated with the particular use, or adverse effects created by unusual characteristics of the site. Non-inherent adverse effects, alone or in conjunction with inherent adverse effects, are a sufficient basis to deny a special exception.

The Board interprets this section to require the following analysis. The Board must:

(1) Make a determination as to the general neighborhood affected by the proposed use.

(2) Establish those generic physical and operational characteristics associated with a given use, in this case an accessory apartment to create an evaluation standard. The evaluation standard does not include the actual physical size and scale of operations of the use proposed.

(3) Determine separately the physical and operational characteristics of the use proposed, in this case an existing basement accessory apartment.

(4) Compare the generic characteristics of the evaluation standard with the particular characteristics of the use proposed. Inherent adverse effects are those characteristics of the use proposed consistent with the generic characteristics of the evaluation standard. Non-inherent adverse effects are those characteristics found in the proposed use but not in the evaluation standard.

Applying the above analysis to this case, the Board finds as follows:

(1) The General Neighborhood

The Board finds that the general neighborhood for the proposed use is that portion of the Bradley Hills Subdivision that is bounded by Fairfax Road, Glenbrook Road and Wessling Lane [Exhibit No. 8].

(2) Evaluation Standard - Physical and Operational Characteristics

The Board recognizes that MNCPPC technical staff has, in previous cases, offered seven criteria to establish the physical and operational characteristics of a use. These are size, scale, scope, lighting, noise, traffic, and environment. The Board finds that the typical characteristics of an accessory apartment include a separate entrance for the apartment, possibly in combination with a walkway and some lighting to access the entrance. Some additional activity, including traffic and parking, associated with the residents of the
apartment is also typical. The number of occupants allowed by the Housing Code is based upon the square footage of the dwelling unit.

(3) Proposed Use - Physical and Operational Characteristics

The proposed accessory apartment is suitable for no more than two occupants. It has a separate entrance at the rear of the house, not visible from the street. Three off-street parking spaces, in addition to one space in the garage, are available in the driveway.

(4) Comparison of Characteristics

(a) Inherent Adverse Effects

After considering the generic characteristics of accessory apartments, and comparing them with the physical and operational characteristics of the proposed use, the Board finds that all of the characteristics of the proposed use will be inherent ones.

(b) Non-Inherent Adverse Effects

The Board finds that there will be no non-inherent adverse effects of the requested accessory apartment.


(a) A special exception may be granted when the Board, the Hearing Examiner, or the District Council, as the case may be, finds from a preponderance of the evidence of record that the proposed use:

(1) Is a permissible special exception in the zone.

Accessory apartments are permitted by special exception in the R-60 Zone, pursuant to Section 59-C-1.31 of the Zoning Ordinance.

(2) Complies with the standards and requirements set forth for the use in Division 59-G-2. The fact that a proposed use complies with all specific standards and requirements to grant a special exception does not create a presumption that the use is compatible with nearby properties and, in itself, is not sufficient to require a special exception to be granted.

As detailed below, the use will so comply.

(3) Will be consistent with the general plan for the physical development of the District, including any master plan adopted by
the commission. Any decision to grant or deny special exception must be consistent with any recommendation in an approved and adopted master plan regarding the appropriateness of a special exception at a particular location. If the Planning Board or the Board’s technical staff in its report on a special exception concludes that granting a particular special exception at a particular location would be inconsistent with the land use objectives of the applicable master plan, a decision to grant the special exception must include specific findings as to master plan consistency.

The Board adopts the MNCPPC technical staff finding of Master Plan consistency [Evidence Presented, Paragraph 8].

(4) Will be in harmony with the general character of the neighborhood considering population density, design, scale and bulk of any proposed new structures, intensity and character of activity, traffic and parking conditions, and number of similar uses.

The accessory apartment will not have a significant impact on surrounding properties. No new structures are proposed, the apartment is located in the basement of the house, with a separate entrance at the rear, preserving the single-family residential appearance of the home. The driveway can accommodate three cars. There will be no adverse effect on traffic and parking in the neighborhood.

(5) Will not be detrimental to the use, peaceful enjoyment, economic value or development of surrounding properties or the general neighborhood at the subject site, irrespective of any adverse effects the use might have if established elsewhere in the zone.

The accessory apartment will not have a significant impact on surrounding properties. No new structures are proposed, the apartment is located in the basement of the house, with a separate entrance at the rear, preserving the single-family residential appearance of the home. The driveway can accommodate three cars. There will be no adverse effect on traffic and parking in the neighborhood.

(6) Will cause no objectionable noise, vibrations, fumes, odors, dust, illumination, glare or physical activity at the subject site, irrespective of any adverse effects the use might have if established elsewhere in the zone.

The use will have none of these adverse effects.

(7) Will not, when evaluated in conjunction with existing and approved special exceptions in any neighboring one-family residential area,
increase the number, intensity, or scope of special exception uses sufficiently to affect the area adversely or alter the predominantly residential nature of the area. Special exception uses that are consistent with the recommendation of a master or sector plan do not alter the nature of an area.

There are no other existing or approved special exceptions in the area.

(8) Will not adversely affect the health, safety, security, morals or general welfare of residents, visitors or workers in the area at the subject site, irrespective of any adverse effects the use might have if established elsewhere in the zone.

The requested accessory apartment will have none of the listed effects.

(9) Will be served by adequate public services and facilities including schools, police and fire protection, water, sanitary sewer public roads, storm drainage and other public facilities.

The subject property is served by adequate public facilities. The adequacy of public facilities for the existing single-family detached dwelling was determined at the time of subdivision.

SPECIFIC STANDARDS

Sec. 59-G-2.00. Accessory apartment.

A special exception may be granted for an accessory apartment on the same lot as an existing one-family detached dwelling, subject to the following standards and requirements:

(a) Dwelling unit requirements:

(1) Only one accessory apartment may be created on the same lot as an existing one-family detached dwelling.

Only one accessory apartment is requested.

(2) The accessory apartment must have at least one party wall in common with the main dwelling on a lot of one acre (43,560 square feet) or less. On a lot of more than one acre, an accessory apartment may be added to an existing one-family detached dwelling, or may be created through conversion of a separate accessory structure already existing on the same lot as the main dwelling on December 2, 1983. An accessory apartment may be
permitted in a separate accessory structure built after December 2, 1983, provided:

(i) The lot is 2 acres or more in size; and
(ii) The apartment will house a care-giver found by the Board to be needed to provide assistance to an elderly, ill or handicapped relative of the owner-occupant.

The accessory apartment is located in the basement of the house, and therefore shares more than one party wall with the main dwelling.

(3) An addition or extension to a main dwelling may be approved in order to add additional floor space to accommodate an accessory apartment. All development standards of the zone apply. An addition to an accessory structure is not permitted.

No addition or extension is proposed.

(4) The one-family detached dwelling in which the accessory apartment is to be created or to which it is to be added must be at least 5 years old on the date of application for special exception.

The house was built in 1942 and is therefore more than five years old.

(5) The accessory apartment must not be located on a lot:

(i) That is occupied by a family of unrelated persons; or
(ii) Where any of the following otherwise allowed residential uses exist: guest room for rent, boardinghouse or a registered living unit; or
(iii) That contains any rental residential use other than an accessory dwelling in an agricultural zone.

None of these conditions exist at the subject property.

(6) Any separate entrance must be located so that the appearance of a single-family dwelling is preserved.

The accessory apartment has a separate entrance at the rear of the house, not visible from the street.

(7) All external modifications and improvements must be compatible with the existing dwelling and surrounding properties.
No external modifications are requested.

(8) The accessory apartment must have the same street address (house number) as the main dwelling.

The accessory apartment will have the same address as the existing house.

(9) The accessory apartment must be subordinate to the main dwelling.

The Board adopts MNCPPC staff’s finding that The accessory apartment is subordinate to the main dwelling.

(b) Ownership requirements:

(1) The owner of the lot on which the accessory apartment is located must occupy one of the dwelling units, except for bona fide temporary absences not exceeding 6 months in any 12-month period. The period of temporary absence may be increased by the Board upon a finding that a hardship would otherwise result.

The owner of the property will occupy one of the dwelling units.

(2) Except in the case of an accessory apartment that exists at the time of the acquisition of the home by the applicant, one year must have elapsed between the date when the owner purchased the property (settlement date) and the date when the special exception becomes effective. The Board may waive this requirement upon a finding that a hardship would otherwise result.

The accessory apartment existed when Mr. Bergmann purchased the property.

(3) Under no circumstances is the owner allowed to receive compensation for the occupancy of more than one dwelling unit.

Mr. Bergmann stated at the public hearing that he understands this requirement.

(4) For purposes of this section, “owner” means an individual who owns, or whose parent or child owns, a substantial equitable interest in the property as determined by the board.

Mr. Bergmann is the owner of the subject property as evidenced by the Deed entered into the record as Exhibit No. 5.
(5) The restrictions under (1) and (3) above do not apply if the accessory apartment is occupied by an elderly person who has been a continuous tenant of the accessory apartment for at least 20 years.

Not applicable.

(c) **Land use requirements:**

(1) The minimum lot size must be 6,000 square feet, except where the minimum lot size of the zone is larger. A property consisting of more than one record lot, including a fraction of a lot, is to be treated as one lot if it contains a single one-family detached dwelling lawfully constructed prior to October, 1967. All other development standards of the zone must also apply, including setbacks, lot width, lot coverage, building height and the standards for an accessory building in the case of conversion of such a building.

The subject property comprises 6446.4 square feet.

(2) An accessory apartment must not, when considered in combination with other existing or approved accessory apartments, result in an excessive concentration of similar uses in the general neighborhood of the proposed use (see also Section 59-G-1.21(a)(6) which concerns excessive concentration of special exceptions in general).

There are no other approved or existing special exceptions in the general neighborhood, thus the proposed use creates no over-concentration of such uses.

(3) There shall be adequate water supply and sewage disposal systems to serve the occupants of both the accessory apartment and the main dwelling.

Adequate public water and sewer service serve the subject property.

(4) Adequate parking shall be provided. There must be a minimum 2 off-street parking spaces, unless the Board makes either of the following findings:

(i) More spaces are required to supplement on-street parking; or
(ii) Adequate on-street parking permits fewer off-street spaces.

Off-street parking spaces may be in a driveway but otherwise shall not be located in the yard between the front of the house and the street right-of-way line.

The driveway can accommodate three off-street parking spaces.

(5) Accessory apartments shall not be detrimental to the use and peaceful enjoyment of surrounding properties or the general neighborhood, and shall cause no objectionable noise, traffic or other adverse impacts.

The accessory apartment will not have a significant impact on surrounding properties. No new structures are proposed, the apartment is located in the basement of the house, with a separate entrance at the rear, preserving the single-family residential appearance of the home. The driveway can accommodate three cars. There will be no adverse effect on traffic and parking in the neighborhood.

Therefore based upon the foregoing, the Board finds that the requested special exception can be granted subject to the following conditions:

1. Petitioner shall be bound by all of his testimony and exhibits of record to the extent that such testimony and representations are identified in the Board’s opinion granting the special exception.

2. Petitioner shall comply with the requirements of the DHCA inspection report entered into the record as Exhibit No. 14.

On a motion by Allison Ishihara Fultz, seconded by Donna L. Barron, with Louise L. Mayer, Angelo M. Caputo and Donald H. Spence, Jr., Chairman, in agreement, the Board adopted the following Resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Appeals for Montgomery County, Maryland that the opinion state above is adopted as the Resolution required by law as its decision on the above-entitled case.
Donald H. Spence, Jr.
Chairman, Montgomery County Board of Appeals
Entered in the Opinion Book
of the Board of Appeals for
Montgomery County, Maryland
this 25th day of June, 2002.

___________________________
Katherine Freeman
Executive Secretary to the Board

NOTE:

Any request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed within fifteen (15) days after the date the Opinion is mailed and entered in the Opinion Book (See Section 59-A-4.63 of the County Code). Please see the Board’s Rules of Procedure for specific instructions for requesting reconsideration.

Any decision by the County Board of Appeals may, within thirty (30) days after the decision is rendered, be appealed by any person aggrieved by the decision of the Board and a party to the proceeding before it, to the Circuit Court for Montgomery County in accordance with the Maryland Rules of Procedure.

See Section 59-A-4.53 of the Zoning Ordinance regarding the twenty-four month period within which the special exception granted by the Board must be exercised.