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Case No. S-2706 is an application for a special exception, pursuant to Section 59-G-2.58 of the Zoning Ordinance, to permit a telecommunication facility. The Hearing Examiner for Montgomery County held a hearing on the application on February 20, 2009, closed the record on March 26, 2009, and on March 30, 2009, issued a Report and Recommendation for approval of the special exception.

The subject property is Parcel 760, located at 23630 Woodfield Road, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20882, in the RE-2 Zone.

Decision of the Board: Special Exception Granted Subject to
The Conditions Enumerated Below.

The Board of Appeals considered the Report and Recommendation at its Worksession on April 22, 2009. Board member Perdue expressed concern about the impact of the proposed telecommunication facility on extended vistas outside as well as inside the Historic District. After careful consideration and review of the record, on a motion by Stanley B. Boyd, seconded by Carolyn J. Shawaker, with Walter S. Booth and Catherine G. Titus, Chair, in agreement, and David K. Perdue, Vice-Chair not in agreement, the Board adopts the Report and Recommendation and grants the special exception, subject to the following conditions:
1. The Petitioners shall be bound by all of the exhibits of record, and by the
testimony of their witnesses and the representations of counsel to the extent
that such testimony and evidence are identified in the Hearing Examiner’s
Report and Recommendation and in the Opinion of the Board.

2. Petitioners must comply with the specifications on their site plan (Exhibit 29(a)).

3. Department of Permitting Services requirements, if any, for stormwater quality
and quantity control must be fulfilled prior to issuance of any sediment and
erosion control permits.

4. At the completion of construction, before the support structure may be used to
transmit any signal, and before the final inspection pursuant to the building
permit, the Petitioners must certify to the Department of Permitting Services
that the height and location of the support structure is in conformance with the
height and location of the support structure as authorized in the building permit.

5. The telecommunication facility must display a contact information sign, no larger
than two square feet, affixed to the outside of the equipment enclosure. This
sign must identify the owner and the maintenance service provider and provide
the telephone number of a person to contact regarding the installation. The sign
must be updated and the Board of Appeals notified within 10 days of any
change in ownership.

6. There must be no antenna lights or stroboscopic lights unless required by the
Federal Communications Commission, the Federal Aviation Administration, or
the County.

7. There must be no outdoor storage of equipment.

8. Each owner of the telecommunications facility is responsible for maintaining the
facility in a safe condition.

9. The facility shall be available for co-location of up to three carriers.

10. The telecommunications facility must be removed at the cost of the owner of the
telecommunications facility when the facility is no longer in use by any
telecommunications carrier for more than 12 months.

11. Petitioners must obtain a Hazmat Use Permit for the subject site before
commencing operations.

12. Petitioners must obtain and satisfy the requirements of all licenses and permits,
including but not limited to building permits and use and occupancy permits,
necessary to occupy the special exception premises and operate the special
exception as granted herein. Petitioners shall at all times ensure that the special
exception use and the entire premises comply with all applicable codes (including but not limited to building, life safety and handicapped accessibility requirements), regulations, directives and other governmental requirements.

________________________________________

Catherine G. Titus
Chair, Montgomery County Board of Appeals

Entered in the Opinion Book
of the Board of Appeals for
Montgomery County, Maryland
this 2\textsuperscript{nd} day of July, 2009.

___________________________

Katherine Freeman
Executive Director

NOTE:

Any request for rehearing or reconsideration must be filed within fifteen (15) days after the date the Opinion is mailed and entered in the Opinion Book (See Section 59-A-4.63 of the County Code). Please see the Board's Rules of Procedure for specific instructions for requesting reconsideration.

Any decision by the County Board of Appeals may, within thirty (30) days after the decision is rendered, be appealed by any person aggrieved by the decision of the Board and a party to the proceeding before it, to the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, in accordance with the Maryland Rules of Procedure. It is each party's responsibility to participate in the Circuit Court action to protect their respective interests. In short, as a party you have a right to protect your interests in this matter by participating in the Circuit Court proceedings, and this right is unaffected by any participation by the County.

See Section 59-A-4.53 of the Zoning Ordinance regarding the twenty-four months' period within which the special exception granted by the Board must be exercised.