

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	9
A.	Objective	10
B.	Technical Approach	10
C.	Report Organization	11
D.	Project Team	11
II.	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	14
III.	LEGAL ANALYSIS	16
A.	Background and Introduction	16
B.	The Croson Decision	18
C.	Procedural Posture, Permissible Evidence and Burdens of Proof	21
1.	Standing	21
2.	Equal Protection Clause Standards of Review	25
	a) Strict Scrutiny	25
	b) Intermediate Scrutiny	29
	c) Passive Participation	31
3.	Evidentiary Requirements	34
	a) Anecdotal Evidence	34
	b) Statistical Data	37
	c) Prime and Subcontractor Analysis	37
	d) Availability	38
	e) Utilization	41
	f) Disparity Index and Croson	41
	g) Standard Deviation	42
	h) Statistical Regression Analysis	42
	i) Geographic Scope of the Data	43
	j) Post-Enactment Evidence	44
	k) Remedies - Narrowly Tailored	46
4.	Burdens of Production and Proof	49
D.	The Latest Developments	50
E.	Conclusion	51
	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	52
IV.	PURCHASING PRACTICES, POLICIES, AND PROCEDURES	54
A.	Introduction	54
B.	Review of Statutes, Procedures and Other Written Documents	54
C.	Management and Staff Interviews	57
V.	QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS	64
A.	Introduction	64
B.	Data Assessment	65
C.	Data Set-Up	66
D.	Identification, Hiring and Training of Temporary Data Collectors	66
E.	Data Collection and Creation of Databases	66
1.	Montgomery County's Procurements	66
2.	Electronic Data	67

3.	Data Entry Verification	67
4.	Data Source Description	67
	a) Bidders' List	67
	b) Contract List	68
	c) Master Vendor File	68
	d) Prime Vendor Questionnaire	69
	e) Purchase Orders	69
	f) Payment File	70
	g) P-Card Purchase	70
F.	Data Cleanup and Verification	70
G.	Contract Classifications	71
H.	Relevant Market Analysis	73
I.	Availability Analysis	76
J.	Prime Contractor Utilization Analysis	80
1.	Prime Contractor Utilization for Purchases Over \$10,000 (Using P.O. Data)	81
	a) Utilization by Dollar Amount	81
	b) Prime Contractor Utilization over \$10,000 by Firm Number (Using P.O. Data)	85
	c) Disabled owned Firm Utilization for Purchases over \$10,000 by Dollars (Using P.O. Data)	87
	d) Disabled Owned Firms Utilization for Purchases over \$10,000 by Firm Number (Using P.O. Data)	89
K.	Prime Utilization for Direct Purchases Under \$10,000	91
1.	Prime Utilization Dollars for Direct Purchases (using DPO Data)	91
2.	Disabled Prime Utilization by DPO Dollars	93
L.	P-Card Purchases	94
M.	Subcontractor Utilization Analysis from Prime Vendor Questionnaire	96
1.	Subcontractor Utilization by Dollar Amount	96
2.	Subcontractor Utilization by Firm Number	101
3.	Disabled Subcontractor Utilization	103
N.	Prime Vendor Disparity Indices and Analysis	104
O.	Determining the Significance of Disparity Indices	104
P.	Disparity Indices for Direct Purchases Under \$10,000k from DPO Data (including Disabled Owned Firms)	110
Q.	Disparity Indices for P-Card Purchases	114
R.	Subcontractor Disparity Indices and Analysis	118
S.	Analysis of Disparities In Montgomery County	123
VI.	PRIVATE SECTOR ANALYSIS	149
A.	Introduction	149
B.	Minority Status as a Barrier to Business Start-up and Expansion Capital in the Maryland Private Sector	150
C.	Statistical and Econometric Framework	152
D.	The Effects of Minority owned Business Status on Financing Business Start-up and Expansion in Maryland	153
1.	Minority Owned Firm Status and the Demand for Start-up Capital in the Maryland Private Sector	154
2.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Bank Loan Start-up Financing	156

3.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Government Guaranteed Bank Loan Start-up Financing	158
4.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Home Equity Start-up Financing	161
5.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Venture Capital Start-up Financing	163
6.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Bank Loan Business Expansion Financing	166
7.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Government Guaranteed Bank Loan Business Expansion Financing	168
8.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Home Equity Loan Business Expansion Financing	170
9.	Minority Owned Firm Status and Venture Capital Business Expansion Financing	172
E.	Implications for the Existence of Discrimination against Minority Owned Firms in the Maryland Private Sector	174
VII.	ANECDOTAL EVIDENCE	176
A.	Introduction	176
B.	Telephone Survey of Business Owners	177
1.	Findings by Cross-Tabulations	179
	a) Race/Ethnicity/Gender	179
	b) Business Category	180
	c) Certification Status	181
	d) Contracting and Subcontracting With Montgomery County	181
2.	Experience with Montgomery County	187
3.	Barriers to Obtaining Work	189
4.	Informal Networks	197
5.	Disparate Treatment or Perception of MFD Firms	201
C.	Public Hearings	204
D.	Focus Group	207
E.	E-mail Comments	211
	1. "Good Old' Boy System" Prevalent	211
	2. Not Following Existing Procurement Procedures	212
	3. No Feedback on Submittals and No Response on Complaints	213
	4. Need to Establish Attainable Spending Goals	213
	5. Payment Frequency	214
	6. Commendations	214
F.	Anecdotal Interviews	214
	1. Personal Interview Demographics	214
	2. Need for Point Preferences	216
	3. Good Old Boy Network	216
	4. Procurement Process Could Be Enhanced with Support	217
	5. Financial Impediments Exist	218
G.	Conclusion	219
VIII.	DETAILED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	222
A.	Findings	222
	FINDING 1: Overall MFD Utilization	222
	FINDING 2: Relevant Market	224
	FINDING 3: County MFD Prime Utilization and Availability	224
	FINDING 4: Prime Availability within the Relevant Market	226

FINDING 5: Disparity in MFD Prime Utilization	226
FINDING 6: Threshold Analysis	227
FINDING 7: Comparison with 2005 Study	230
FINDING 8: MFD Subcontractor Utilization and Disparity Analyses	232
FINDING 9: Disparities in Seeking Contracts and Success in Contracting	232
FINDING 10: Regression Analysis	232
FINDING 11: Access to Capital	233
FINDING 12: Anecdotal Evidence	233
☐ Perceptions of Discrimination	233
☐ Barriers to Doing Work with the County	233
FINDING 13: Disparity Analysis	234
FINDING 14: Purchasing Practices Policies and Procedure Findings	235
B. Recommendations	235
1. Annual Goals for African American Participation	235
2. Standard Operating Procedures for Procurement and MFD Officer	236
3. Program Training and Monitoring	236
4. Performance Reviews and Evaluations	237
5. Private Sector Initiatives	237
6. Promote MFD Collaboration/Joint-Venture Contracts	237
IX. CONCLUSION	238