Worksession

MEMORANDUM

April 26, 2019

TO:

Government Operations and Fiscal Policy Committee

Public Safety Committee

FROM:

Dr. Costis Toregas, Council IT Adviser Susan Farag, Legislative Analyst

SUBJECT:

Capital Improvements Program – Master Lease Digital Evidence Data Storage (P342001)

PURPOSE:

To review and recommend action regarding new CIP project P342001 on data storage

The following are expected to attend:

Laura Chase, Deputy State's Attorney Dave Seeman, State's Attorney's Office Sonny Segal, Chief Information Officer, Department of Technology Services (DTS) Dieter Klinger, Chief Operations Officer, DTS Michael Knuppel, Chief Data Officer, DTS Trevor Lobaugh, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Staff Recommendation:

- 1. **Request** that the Committees be provided with an update, including expected technology migrations and funding envelopes for the Strategic Plan that will address long-term storage needs for the State's Attorney's Office as well as other departments.
- 2. Endorse the Executive's recommendation of \$750,000 for the Digital Evidence Data Storage program in FY20 and recommend its full funding to the Council.

The Executive has requested funds for a new project in the Capital Improvements Program that will allow for the purchase of expanded digital memory to store the increasing amounts of digital evidence in the State Attorney's Office (SAO); see ©1 for this request.

Council staff raised several questions; the answers, along with a general overview that sets the context for the request, and Council staff comment where appropriate, are provided below.

Overview

The JustWare Case Management System (CMS) was installed in 2009 and is deemed the SAO's mission-critical system. The CMS is the primary evidence repository and management of all case-related information, reports, documents, scheduling, workflows, grand jury processing, and eDiscovery material for the prosecution of cases within the Circuit and District Courts. In September 2014, an eDiscovery application was added to the JustWare CMS. This connected application allows the SAO Assistant State's Attorneys and discovery teams to assemble discovery material and have it uploaded to an external web-accessible front-end application/database for the purpose of downloading discovery material to over 1,200 defense attorneys assigned to their individual case(s). A custom API program (interface) was written to process and upload the discovery packet(s) to the front-end web server database every 15 minutes. The entire JustWare/eDiscovery System is used by 171 internal and 1,200 external users. In addition, several push/pull data interfaces to help process cases have been developed over the years as well as a new interface to support the State of Maryland Judiciary's Maryland Electronic Courts (MDEC) Case Management System.

The growth of digital evidence has been driven by the proliferation of video sources and the increases in video resolution. This challenge is being experienced by jurisdictions nationwide. The impact of the exponential growth has significantly outpaced our ability to keep up with storage and infrastructure needed to store and transmit the data, which has led to system slowdowns, data bottlenecks, and backup limitations. For the past year, DTS has scrambled resources to address the rapid data growth, ensuring case data is preserved and SAO is able to perform its core business functions.

The County's overall strategy is to address the immediate critical needs to continue business operations and at the same time develop a strategic plan to address long-term needs. The request for additional onpremise storage is to address critical short-term needs.

Council Staff Comment: It is Council Staff understanding that a working group on "Digital Evidence Management and eDiscovery" has been working for over a year to develop such a Strategic Plan. Apparently, recommendations are not yet ready to be implemented, and this is why a short-term "fix" is required now. The Committees could benefit from a discussion of this Working Group's findings to date, and whether this short-term purchase aligns with the findings to date.

Council Q&A

1. Please provide a table with current storage usage and future expectations in the next 24 months by user department/agency.

Currently, digital evidence in stored in evidence.com (cloud storage) and County servers (see Q2). Data is copied and stored in JustWare, an on-premise system, to meet a Montgomery County Judiciary requirement to maintain a single portal from which the State's Attorney's Office and defense counsel can access case data. JustWare storage requirements have grown exponentially over the past decade and are anticipated to double annually in the coming years. A DTS-led workgroup is evaluating long-term storage solutions.

JUSTWARE DATA STORAGE				
Calendar Year	TB / Year	Total Raw Storage (TB)		
2008	0.001	0.001		
2009	0.001	0.002		
2010	0.003	0.005		
2011	0.004	0.009		
2012	0.007	0.016		
2013	0.008	0.024		
2014	0.2	0.224		
2015	5	5		
2016	18	23		
2017	50	73		
2018	80	153		
Est. 2019	150	303		
Est. 2020	300	603		
Est. 2021	600	1,203		

Council Staff Comment: Obviously, a doubling of data every year is not sustainable, as the parable of the chess board and the grain of rice reminds us. The answer to constantly providing for unchecked growth is to establish strong retention strategies and reject the notion that "if we capture, we must store" approach. The Executive should be addressing this larger issue in subsequent stages of the Strategic Plan implementation.

2. Explain why the request is for on-premises hardware rather than cloud storage (with its superior security considerations and ability to scale up on demand).

On-premise hardware is needed to address the immediate need of rapidly growing data in SAO's JustWare platform, which is an on-premise system. This solution adds 1,000 Terabytes (TB) in storage capacity which will address projected JustWare storage needs through FY21. A DTS-led workgroup is evaluating long-term storage solutions including cloud storage.

3. Why do we not allow the vendor who provides the data capture devices (cameras, cell phones, etc.) to provide storage under the user fee we currently pay rather than buy our own?

The County is using vendor provided cloud storage for initial capture for body warn cameras and is migrating in car video and interview room video to the same model over the next four (4) years.

However, data storage for the Montgomery County Judiciary is separate from the initial data capture storage for several reasons, including but not limited to the need to tag, redact, SAO access and make available to defense council, using SAO's current on-premise case management system.

The DTS-led digital evidence workgroup is evaluating long-term storage solutions including cloud storage, as well as the interfaces and emerging architectures to address long-term needs.

Council Staff Comment: From the answer to the question, it is not clear whether the Executive has asked the current vendor who is providing user agencies with unlimited memory whether a solution might be found within our current arrangement, perhaps at less cost than the intended purchase and staff time to make it operational.

4. Why is a one-time purchase included in a Master Lease? Can the hardware be leased?

The PDF provides appropriation to purchase the equipment in FY20 which will then be financed to spread the cost across its useful life. The FY21 operating budget will provide appropriation for debt service payments.

5. Is there an established data retention strategy for each of the departments that will use this additional storage? Without a strong retention strategy to reduce the data to be stored, we will be inundated by data and associated costs and find it increasingly difficult to find, access, and analyze data we really need.

The SAO retention policy includes requirements for three, seven, and fifty years based on the type of case. The County deletes case data in compliance with these policies.

Council Staff Comment: Not only the SAO, but all user departments and agencies should have a data retention and storage policy. This policy should be reviewed periodically to ensure that it conforms to state law, usage conditions, and cost realities. The Committees should request that a review of all policies be undertaken so that future storage costs can be effectively managed.



Master Lease: Digital Evidence Data Storage (P342001)

03/14/19 **General Government Date Lest Modified Administering Agency Technology Services Technology Services** SubCategory Countywide Status Planning Stage Their FY18 Rom FY18 EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s) 750 750 750 Other TOTAL EXPENDITURES

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

Short-Term Lease Financing	750	-	750 - 76	
the first price of the same same same same same same same sam	73.77		720	
TOTAL FUNDING SOURCE	P. POULTER	· 编。周末改 臺 达 发生影響		

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000a)

Appropriation FY 20 Approp. Request	750	Year First Appropriation	
Cumulative Approviation		Last FY's Cost Estimate	
Expenditure / Encumbrances]-		
Degracumbered Balance	-	i	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The volume of digital evidence has grown exponentially in recent years with the implementation of body worn cameras and increased storage capacity of personal devices including cellphones and laptops. To manage the volume of data, the County requires additional storage capacity through the use of network attached storage and additional servers.

ESTIMATED SCHEDULE

Hardware will be purchased in FY20.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

This investment addresses FY20 data storage requirements as the County develops a long term solution.

FISCAL NOTE

This project provides appropriation authority for a purchase funded through the Master Lease program. Master Lease payments will be appropriated through the FY21 Operating Budget.

COORDINATION

Department of Technology Services, Department of Finance, State's Attorney's Office