

County Council

Staff: Glenn Orlin, Senior Analyst **Purpose:** To make preliminary decisions – straw vote expected **Keywords:** #DOTParkingLotDistricts

SUBJECT

FY21 Operating Budget and FY21-26 CIP: Parking Lot Districts

EXPECTED ATTENDEES

Christopher Conklin, Director, Department of Transportation (DOT) Jose Thommana, Chief, Division of Parking Services, DOT Taman Morris, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Mary Beck, Capital Budget Coordinator, OMB

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION

FY21 Executive Recommendation	\$28,154,874	48.53 FTE
Increase (Decrease) from FY20	(\$107,287)	0.00 FTE
	(0.38%)	0%

COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATION – CONTINUITY OF SERVICES BUDGET

FY21 Council Staff Recommendation	\$28,040,667	48.53 FTE
Increase (Decrease) from FY20	(\$221,494)	0.00 FTE
	(0.78%)	0%
Increase (Decrease) from CE FY21 Rec	(\$114,207)	0.00 FTE
	(0.41%)	0%

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDED ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN CONTINUITY OF SERVICES

• This budget included \$114,207 for the FY21 compensation adjustments. The Council will review and discuss compensation and benefits for all the County Government separately.

CONTINUITY OF SERVICES FROM FY20

Bethesda PLD

Motor Pool Adjustment	\$(27,671)
Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases	\$29,537
Annualization of FY20 Operating Expenses	\$103,813
Annualization of FY20 Personnel Cost	\$(104,283)
Print and Mail Adjustment	\$420
Risk Mgmt. Adjustment	\$6,133
MLS Pay for Performance	\$3,294
Retirement Adjustment	\$(44,719)
Retirement Adjustment	\$(44,719)
OPEB Adjustment	\$(74,870)
Debt Service	\$(6,150)

Silver Spring PLD

Motor Pool Adjustment Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases Annualization of FY20 Operating Expenses Annualization of FY20 Personnel Cost Risk Mgmt. Adjustment MLS Pay for Performance Retirement Adjustment OPEB Adjustment	\$(27,671) \$31,005 \$113,097 \$(113,437) \$4,843 \$3,440 \$(42,998) \$54,220)
Wheaton PLD	
Motor Pool Adjustment	\$(13 <i>,</i> 836)
Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases	\$4,876
Annualization of FY20 Operating Expenses	\$27,645
Annualization of FY20 Personnel Cost	\$(27,695)
Risk Mgmt. Adjustment	\$823
MLS Pay for Performance	\$670
Retirement Adjustment	\$(5 <i>,</i> 800)
OPEB Adjustment	\$(7,740)

POTENTIAL REDUCTIONS

• To bring the total operating and capital expenditures in line with reduced revenue, several reductions in the capital program, transfers to urban districts, and an inter-PLD transfer are recommended, as described in the attached staff report.

POTENTIAL ITEMS RELATED TO COVID-19

None.	
This report contains:	
Staff report	рр. 1-4
Parking Lot District project description forms	©1-11
Executive's Recommended Parking Lot District Fund Budgets	©12-23

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may <u>submit alternative format requests</u> to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov

AGENDA ITEM #13 April 30, 2020 **Worksession**

M E M O R A N D U M

April 27, 2020

TO: County Council

FROM: Glenn Orlin, Senior Analyst

SUBJECT: FY21 Operating Budget, DOT: Parking Lot District Funds FY21-26 CIP: Parking Lot District projects

Parking Lot Districts (PLDs) fund the operation and maintenance of County garages and lots in these districts (as well as the installation and maintenance of—and fee collection from—on-street meters), but they also fund garage construction and renovation, and the largest portion of their associated urban district budgets. Each of the three PLDs are sustaining substantial revenue losses since the COVID-19 pandemic: Scenario 2 of the 3rd Quarterly Analysis for FY20—which assumes that current low parking activity will last through the rest of the fiscal year—forecasts parking fee shortfalls of 87% in Bethesda, 85% in Silver Spring, and 69% in Wheaton compared to the average of the first three quarters. Parking fine revenue is similarly down. This is critical, because parking fee and fine revenue constitutes more than 95% of PLD revenue.

As enterprise funds, each PLD must run "in the black." Short of General Fund transfers—not likely when general revenue is in short supply—the PLD budgets will have to have much reduced expenditures, reduced transfers, and smaller than comfortable reserves. This memo describes Council staff's recommendations as to how to maintain the financial viability of the PLDs, at least in the short term.

1. Revenue assumptions. The first assumption is that the most recent rate of parking fee and fine revenue will continue through the end of the fiscal year. The following chart shows the dramatic difference in fees and fines collected in the first three quarters compared to the revenue anticipated by DOT and OMB in the 4th Quarter:

PLD	Fees: Q1 thru Q3	Fees: Q4	Fines: Q1-Q3	Fines: Q4		
Bethesda	\$12,092,067	\$504,000	\$3,417,798	\$189,000		
Silver Spring	\$8,555,351	\$441,000	\$1,701,118	\$189,000		
Wheaton	\$608,929	\$63,000	\$334,763	\$18,900		

Expenditures are down only slightly in the 4th Quarter, since the County workforce is still fully employed and most contractual work—cleaning, maintenance, lighting, fee collections, etc.—continue. The net effect is that the fund balance carried over to FY21 will be significantly reduced.

How long the downturn will continue is anyone's guess, of course. Certainly, normal economic activity will not return on July 1. The scenario used in this analysis is that there will be a slow, but steady return to normal during FY21, with low parking activity continuing through the summer but slowly building back to near normal levels during the second half of FY21. Specifically, for both Bethesda and Silver Spring this analysis assumes a 30% reduction of fee and fine revenue in FY21 below what the Executive had assumed in his Recommended Operating Budget. For Wheaton, the assumption is a 20% reduction: its parking activity has not dropped quite as precipitously, and the new County building should guarantee a somewhat more stable parking level there.

2. *CIP projects.* There are six CIP projects recommended for the CIP. All are funded with Current Revenue from their respective PLDs.

a. <u>Facility Planning Parking: Bethesda PLD, Facility Planning Parking: Silver Spring PLD</u>, and <u>Facility Planning Parking: Wheaton PLD</u>. These projects primarily fund parking demand studies. This is a low priority now. Council staff recommends deleting the funding in FY21 for all three projects: \$90,000 in both the Bethesda and Silver Spring projects, and \$45,000 in the Wheaton project, and retaining the Executive's recommendation for FYs22-26 (C1-6).

b. <u>Parking Bethesda Facility Renovations</u>. This project has several elements. Garage 47 (the Waverly Garage) is being re-decked. Construction began this fiscal year and is expected to extend through FY22. The project needs to be completed by late FY22/early FY23 prior to the Marriott and JBG headquarters moves to Bethesda and for the development on the former Bethesda Police Station property across the street. The spending planned for FY21 is \$2,750,000. **Council staff concurs with the Executive.**

The Executive's January 15 CIP also included another \$1,093,000 in FY21 for yet unidentified garage renovation work. **Council staff recommends reducing this cost component by 50%: \$547,000.** This means that only the more critical renovations would be conducted in FY21.

On March 16 the Executive revised his recommendation to add other elements to this PDF:

- \$500,000 each in FY21 for new Pay Stations for Garage 11 (the Woodmont Garage) and Garage 49 (the Metropolitan Garage). Council staff recommends deferring the Garage 11 Pay Station to FY22 and the Garage 49 Pay Station to FY23. This schedule will still complete the work in time to serve the new Marriott Headquarters.
- \$1,000,000 in FYs22-23 for air quality and waterproofing repairs in Garage 49. Its HVAC/FAN system, Air Quality System and Fire Alarm System are all overdue for replacement. Two of the five supply fans have been compromised. There is a standing review by the Fire Marshal to bring G49 Fire alarm system to standard. The garage's waterproofing will address signs of slab sealant failures and settlements caused by water infiltration in several areas, such as the corner of Edgemoor Lane and Metro Center. Also, water infiltration is evidenced in some of the concrete stairs, stair tower, garage columns, piping, duct, and plaza level elevator entrance. Council staff concurs with budgeting this work in FYs22-23, but with \$500,000 in each fiscal year.

• \$350,000 in FYs21-22 to repair a sinkhole in Garage 35 (Woodmont/Rugby Avenue Garage) and \$1,500,000 in FYs22-23 to replace elevators in Garage 49. Council staff concurs with the Executive.

A revised PDF reflecting all these recommendations is on ©7-8.

c. <u>Parking Silver Spring Facility Recommendations</u>. In January the Executive recommended \$2,610,000 annually for general garage renovation work. In March he revised his recommendation to include elevator replacements in: Garage 9 (Kennett Garage) - \$972,000 and Garage 55 (Bonifant Garage) - \$1,150,000 in FY21; Garage 60 (Town Center Garage) - \$1,150,000 and Garage 61 (Ellsworth Garage) - \$505,000 in FY22; and Garage 5 (Bonifant Garage) - \$1,845,000 in FYs23-24. A 2017 study identified these elevators for repair or replacement. The rationale for the Executive's proposal to increase certain parking fees in Silver Spring was to provide funding for these replacements. **Council staff concurs with the Executive (©9)**.

d. <u>Parking Wheaton Facility Replacements.</u> In January the Executive recommended \$112,000 annually in FYs21-22 and FYs25-26, and \$200,000 annually in FYs23-24, for general garage renovation work. The higher amounts in FYs23-24 is to catch up on work in Garage 45 (Amherst Garage) that the regular \$112,000 funding level has not been able to address. **Council staff concurs with the Executive** (©10-11).

3. Urban District transfers. Even with the projected shortfall in revenue, Silver Spring is in sufficiently good fiscal shape to afford the Executive's recommended transfer from its Parking District. However, the Bethesda and Wheaton transfers would need to be reduced somewhat. Council staff's recommended transfers are shown below, and they are incorporated into Legislative Analyst Smith's recommendations for the Urban District budgets (reviewed later in today's agenda):

PLD	CE Rec. Transfer	Council Staff Rec Transfer	Difference
Bethesda	\$1,609,890	\$1,500,000	-\$109,890
Silver Spring	\$2,813,959	\$2,813,959	0
Wheaton	\$468,052	\$368,052	-\$100,000

4. Transfers between PLDs. In 2014 the Council approved a provision in County Code Chapter 60-16 that allows the Council to transfer, by resolution, funds from one PLD to another if the resolution stipulates the reason for the transfer and the terms of repayment. The current Public Services Plan (PSP) includes several inter-district transfers and their payback schedules.

Council staff recommends a further transfer of \$3,750,000 from the Silver Spring PLD to the Bethesda PLD, with the funds returned to Silver Spring in FY26. The Silver Spring PLD has a sufficiently funded reserve to afford this loan. The recommendations for Bethesda PLD noted above defers capital expenditures from FY21 and reduces its Urban District transfer, but these actions alone would not be enough to bring it into the black in FY21.

A measure of fiscal sufficiency is the projected year-end fund balance as a percentage of following year's operating expenses. The target is 25% or higher. Council staff's recommendations would keep all

the PLDs in the black, but the year-end balances in Bethesda and Wheaton in FY21 would still be very small, well below the 25% target to which these funds have been attempting to adhere:

Year-End Fund Balance as % of	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY	FY
Following Year's Operating Expenses	21	22	23	24	25	26
Bethesda	9%	28%	13%	17%	28%	22%
Silver Spring	24%	25%	20%	24%	18%	39%
Wheaton	5%	18%	24%	28%	35%	35%

The Executive's Recommended Operating Budgets for the Parking Lot District Funds are on ©12-23.

f:\orlin\fy20\t&e\fy21 opbudg\parking\200430cc-staff report.docx



Facility Planning Parking: Bethesda Parking Lot District

(P501313)

Category SubCategory Planning Area	Parking	nsportation king thesda-Chevy Chase and Vicinity		Date Last Modified Administering Agency Status				01/03/20 Transportation Ongoing				
		E	XPENDIT	URE S	CHEDU	ILE (\$	6000s)					
Cost Elements		Total // 50	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design and	Supervision	1,240	498	202	450 540	0.00	90	90	90	90	90	-
Other		20	20	4		-	-	-	2	-	ал. С	-
TOTAL EXP	ENDITURES	1,200 170	518	202	450540	()-90	90	90	90	90	90	×
			FUNDIN	G SCH	EDULE	E (\$00	0s)					
Funding Source		Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
Current Revenue: Parl Bethesda	king -	1170	518	202	450 <u>5</u> 40	0 -80	90	90	90	90	90	-
TOTAL FUNDIN	G SOURCES	1,260	518	202	450	() 90	90	90	90	90	90	•
8	APP	ROPRI		ND EXI	PENDI	ſURE	DAT	A (\$00	00s)			
Appropriation FY 21 R	equest		0	.90 *	Year Fir	rst Appro	priation				FY	13
Appropriation FY 22 R	equest			90	Last FY	"s Cost	Estimat	Э			1,0	80
Cumulative Appropriati	on			720								
Expenditure / Encumbr	ances			536								
Unencumbered Balance	e			184								

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for parking facility planning studies for a variety of projects under consideration for possible inclusion in the CIP. Facility planning serves as a transition stage for a project between the master plan or conceptual stage and its inclusion as a stand-alone project in the CIP. Prior to the establishment of a stand-alone project, the Department of Transportation (DOT) will develop a Parking Facility Project Requirement (PFPR) that outlines the general and specific features required for the project. Facility planning is a decision-maling process to determine the purpose, need and feasibility of a candidate project through a rigorous investigation of the following critical project elements: usage forecasts; economic, social, environmental, and historic impact analysis; public participation; investigation of non-County sources of funding; and detailed project cost estimates. Facility planning represents feasibility analysis, planning and preliminary design and develops a PFPR in advance of full programming of a project in the CIP. Depending upon results of a facility planning determination of purpose and need, a project may or may not proceed to construction. For a full description of the facility planning process, see the CIP Planning Section.

LOCATION

Bethesda Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

The expenditure schedule has been updated to include FY25 and FY26. No funds are programmed in Fy21.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

There is a continuing need to study and evaluate the public and private parking supply and demand in order to ensure an adequate amount of parking. The timing and magnitude of such studies is usually dictated by the interests of private developers. Facility planning costs for projects which ultimately become stand-alone projects are included here. These costs will not be reflected in the resulting individual project.

OTHER

Projects are generated by staff, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), public agencies, citizens, developers, etc. Analysis conducted under this project may be accomplished by consultants or in-house staff, with the cooperation of M-NCPPC, other County agencies, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA), or private development interests. The MNCPPC re-evaluation of Bethesda Zoning and Development Potential along with announcements of major corporate headquarters relocation to Bethesda is adding to the level of analysis that is required in this District.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

M-NCPPC, WMATA, Parking Bethesda Facility Renovations, Bethesda CBD Sector Plan, and Developers.



Facility Planning Parking: Silver Spring Parking Lot District

(P501314)

SubCategory Pa	ansportation rking ver Spring ar	d Vicinity		Date La Adminis Status					01/04 Trans Ongo	sportation	ı
	E	XPENDI1	TURE SO	CHEDL	ILE (\$	000s)					
Cost Elements	Total //SO	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design and Supervision		475	225	450540	0.20	90	90	90	90	90	2 4 3
Other	20	20		1150	-			~	-7	-	3 .
TOTAL EXPENDITU	IES 1,260 //70	495	225	45 <u>540</u>	0.90	90	90	90	90	90	-
		FUNDIN	IG SCH	EDULE	E (\$000)s)					
Funding Source	Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
Current Revenue: Parking - Silv Spring	er 1/70 1,260	495	225	450 540	0,90	90	90	90	90	90	-
TOTAL FUNDING SOUR	ES 1,260	495	225	450 5 40	0 .90	90	90	90	90	90	
Α	PPROPR		ND EXI	PENDI	TURE	DAT	A (\$00	00s)			
Appropriation FY 21 Request			4 90 Ø	Year Fi	st Appro	priation				FY	13
Appropriation FY 22 Request			90	Last FY	''s Cost	Estimate	e			1,0	80
Cumulative Appropriation			720								
Expenditure / Encumbrances			495								
Unencumbered Balance			225								

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for parking facility planning studies for a variety of projects under consideration for possible inclusion in the CIP. Facility planning serves as a transition stage for a project between the master plan or conceptual stage and its inclusion as a stand-alone project in the CIP. Prior to the establishment of a stand-alone project, the Department of Transportation (DOT) will develop a Parking Facility Project Requirement (PFPR) that outlines the general and specific features required for the project. Facility planning is a decision-making process to determine the purpose, need and feasibility of a candidate project through a rigorous investigation of the following critical project elements: usage forecasts; economic, social, environmental, and historic impact analysis; public participation; investigation of non-County sources of funding; and detailed project cost estimates. Facility planning represents feasibility analysis, planning and preliminary design and develops a PFPR in advance of full programming of a project in the CIP. Depending upon results of a facility planning determination of purpose and need, a project may or may not proceed to construction. For a full description of the facility planning process, see the CIP Planning Section.

LOCATION

Silver Spring Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

The expenditure schedule has been updated to include FY25 and FY26. No funds are programmed in Fy21.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

There is a continuing need to study and evaluate the public and private parking supply and demand in order to ensure an adequate amount of parking. The timing and magnitude of such studies is usually dictated by the interests of private developers. Facility planning costs for projects which ultimately become stand-alone projects are included here. These costs will not be reflected in the resulting individual project.

OTHER

Projects are generated by staff, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), public agencies, citizens, developers, etc. Analysis conducted under this project may be accomplished by consultants or in-house staff, with the cooperation of M-NCPPC, other County agencies, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA), or private development interests.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

M-NCPPC, WMATA, Parking Silver Spring Renovations, Silver Spring CBD Sector Plan, Developers, PEPCO, and Department of Technology Services.



Facility Planning Parking: Wheaton Parking Lot District

(P501312)

SubCategory F	Transportation Parking Kensington-Wheaton			Date Last Modified Administering Agency Status						01/03/20 Transportation Ongoing		
	I	EXPENDI	TURE S		ULE (S	6000s)						
Cost Elements	Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years	
Planning, Design and Supervisio	585	143	217	225 270	0,18	45	45	45	45	45	-	
TOTAL EXPENDITUR	es 690 585		217	215 270	0,45	45	45	45	45	45	-	
		FUNDI	NG SCH	IEDUL	E (\$00	0s)						
Funding Source	Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years	
Current Revenue: Parking - Wheaton	585	143	217	225 270	048	45	45	45	45	45	÷	
TOTAL FUNDING SOURC	es 630 585	143	217	225 270	045	45	45	45	45	45		
A	PROP		AND EX	PENDI	TURE	DAT	A (\$0	00s)				
Appropriation FY 21 Request			AF 0	Year F	irst Appro	opriation				FY	13	
Appropriation FY 22 Request			45	Last F	Y's Cost	Estimat	te			54	0	
Cumulative Appropriation			360									
Expenditure / Encumbrances			294									
Unencumbered Balance			66									

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for parking facility planning studies for a variety of projects under consideration for possible inclusion in the CIP. Facility planning serves as a transition stage for a project between the master plan or conceptual stage and its inclusion as a stand-alone project in the CIP. Prior to the establishment of a stand-alone project, the Department of Transportation (DOT) will develop a Parking Facility Project Requirement (PFPR) that outlines the general and specific features required for the project. Facility planning is a decision-making process to determine the purpose, need and feasibility of a candidate project through a rigorous investigation of the following critical project elements: usage forecasts; economic, social, environmental, and historic impact analysis; public participation; investigation of non-County sources of funding; and detailed project cost estimates. Facility planning represents feasibility analysis, planning and preliminary design and develops a PFPR in advance of full programming of a project in the CIP. Depending upon results of a facility planning determination of purpose and need, a project may or may not proceed to construction. For a full description of the facility planning process, see the CIP Planning Section.

LOCATION

Wheaton Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

The expenditure schedule has been updated to include FY25 and FY26. No funds are programmed in Fy21.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

There is a continuing need to study and evaluate the public and private parking supply and demand in order to ensure an adequate amount of parking. The timing and magnitude of such studies is usually dictated by the interests of private developers. Facility planning costs for projects which ultimately become stand-alone projects are included here. These costs will not be reflected in the resulting individual project.

OTHER

Projects are generated by staff, Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), public agencies, citizens, developers, etc. Analysis conducted under this project may be accomplished by consultants or in-house staff, with the cooperation of M-NCPPC, other County agencies, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA), or private development interests.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

M-NCPPC, WMATA, Parking Wheaton Facility Renovations, Wheaton CBD Sector Plan, Developers. and Wheaton Town Center Project.

2	153
đ	1
2	MANOLAND

Parking Bethesda Facility Renovations

(P508255)

Category	Transportati	on					Nodified			03/12/20			
SubCategory	Parking Pothooda C	have Chase and	Vicinity	Administering Agency Status				Transportation Ongoing					
Planning Area	Demesua-C	hevy Chase and	vicinity		312	1705				Ung	going		
		Total 1	hru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 2a	FY 23	FY 23	FY 24	FY 23	FY 24	Beyond 6 Years	
		E	XPENDI	TURE SO	CHEDU	LE (\$00)0s)						
Planning, Design and Supervision	n	4,630	2,599	231	1,800	300	300	300	300	300	300	0	
Land		23	23	•	3		-	1.0	-		17		
Site Improvements and Utilities	2	2778 18	18	-	20815	72.45	4748	4515	-			2	
Construction	5	3718 325	7,289	5,675	21.2.1	3 7 102	4,708	4513 2 665	2,765	2,765	2,765	6	
Other		936	936		а. С	3 4 3	9 4 0	2 4 0	-	2	-	5	
TOTAL EXPE	NDITURES	39,032 39385	10,865	5,906	23,401 22614	5,003 3546	5,008 5058	3 ,865 4815	3,065	3,065	3,065	3	
			FUNDIN	G SCHE									
Current Revenue: Parking - Bethe	esda	39,032	10,865	5,906	23,161	5,000	5038	9,667	3,065	3,065	3,065		
TOTAL FUNDING S	OURCES	30352 39385	10,865	5,906	23,481 22614	6-093 3546	5058 5058	4815 3,065 4815	3,065	3,065	3,065	ŝ	
	A	PPROPRI	ATION /	AND EXI	PENDÍT	URE	DATA	(\$000s)					
Appropriation FY 21 Request		1 K	3	050-1446	Year F	irst Approp	oriation				FY83		
Appropriation FY 22 Request			3	500-2783	Last F	r's Cost Es	stimate				26,296		
Cumulative Appropriation				2,897									
Expenditure / Encumbrances			1	4,275									
Unencumbered Balance			8	,622									

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for the renovation of or improvements to Bethesda parking facilities. This is a continuing program of contractual improvements or renovations, with changing priorities depending upon the type of deterioration and corrections required, that will protect or improve the physical infrastructure to assure safe and reliable parking facilities and to preserve the County's investment. The scope of this project will vary depending on the results of studies conducted under the Facility Planning Parking project. Included are annual consultant services to provide investigation, analysis, recommended repair methods, contract documents, inspection, and testing, if required.

LOCATION

Bethesda Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

Expenditures in FY20 have been updated to reflect prior year cash flow costs taken into account in appropriation but omitted from the Project Description Form (PDF) funding schedule. The expenditure schedule has been updated to include FY25 and FY26, and additional expenditures were added in FY21 through FY23 for repair work in Garages 11, 35, and 49.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Staff inspection and condition surveys by County inspectors and consultants indicate that facilities in the Bethesda Parking Lot District (PLD) are in need of rehabilitation and repair work. Not performing this restoration work within the time and scope specified may result in serious structural integrity problems to the subject parking facilities as well as possible public safety hazards.

OTHER

Major sub-projects within this ongoing effort are as follows:

\$6,891,000

• Garage 47 Waverly Avenue re-decking of entire facility Major corrosion and deterioration will require closing down this garage if remedial work is not accomplished. This project is estimated to cost \$6 5 million dollars and work will be performed in FY19-22. It is urgent to have this completed prior to the Marriott and JBG headquarters moves to Bethesda and the major redevelopment of the Bethesda Police District Property with a hotel, office, and residential component.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

Facility Planning Parking: Bethesda PLD.



Parking Silver Spring Facility Renovations

(P508250)

Category T	ransportation	Date Last Modified					03/12/20				
SubCategory P	arking		Administering Agency			Transportation Ongoing					
Planning Area S	ilver Spring and Vi	cinity	Status								
	Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 22	FY 22	FY 26	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
		EXPEND	TURE SO	CHEDU	LE (\$00)0s)					
Planning, Design and Supervision	5,371	3,334	237	1,800	300	300	300	300	300	300	
Land	33	33	2 — 17	-	-			-	-	-	
Site Improvements and Utilities	1,148	1,148	57.5		9 7 5	-	170	: :			Q 9
Construction	28,260	6,657	2,156	19,447	4,432	3,930	3,895	2,570	2,310	2,310	25
Other	312	312	(e)	1						-	0.5
TOTAL EXPENDITU	IRES 35,124	11,484	2,393	21,247	4,732	4,230	4,195	2,870	2,610	2,610	1
		FUNDIN	IG SCHE	DULE (\$000s)					
Current Revenue: Parking - Silver Spring	35,124	11,484	2,393	21,247	4,732	4,230	4,195	2,870	2,610	2,610	13
TOTAL FUNDING SOURC	ES 35,124	11,484	2,393	21,247	4,732	4,230	4,195	2,870	2,610	2,610	2.
	APPROP	RIATION	AND EX	PENDIT	URE	DATA	(\$000 s)				
Appropriation FY 21 Request			427	Year F	irst Approp	riation				FY83	
Appropriation FY 22 Request			1,620	Last F	r's Cost E	stimate				24,317	
Cumulative Appropriation			20,793								
Expenditure / Encumbrances			12,897								

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Unencumbered Balance

This project provides for the restoration of, or improvements to, Silver Spring parking facilities to address deterioration due to use and age. This is a continuing program of contractual improvements or restorations, with changing priorities depending upon the types of deterioration and corrections required. Corrective measures are required to ensure adequate and proper serviceability over the design life of the facilities and to preserve the County's investment. The scope of this project may vary depending on the results of the studies conducted under facility planning. The project will protect or improve the physical infrastructure to assure continuation of safe and reliable parking facilities. Included are annual consultant services to provide investigation, analysis, recommend repair methods, contract documents, inspection, and testing, if required.

7,896

LOCATION

Silver Spring Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

elevator replace ment 5, 55, The expenditure schedule has been updated to include FY25 and FY26 as well as additional expenditures in FY21 through FY24 for repair work in Garages 60, and 61.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Staff inspection and condition surveys by County inspectors and consultants indicate that facilities in the Silver Spring Parking Lot District (PLD) are in need of rehabilitation and repair work. Not performing this restoration work within the time and scope specified may result in serious structural integrity problems to the subject parking facilities as well as possible public safety hazards.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

Silver Spring PLD Facility Planning.



Parking Wheaton Facility Renovations

(P509709)

Category	Transportation	Date Last Modified	01/03/20
SubCategory	Parking	Administering Agency	Transportation
Planning Area	Kensington-Wheaton	Status	Ongoing

EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s)

⁻ Cost Elements	Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
Planning, Design and Supervision	234	150	12	72	12	12	12	12	12	12	
Land	5	5	<u>2</u>	<u> </u>	2	1	E	-	2		
Construction	1, 151	248	127	776	100	100	188	188	100	100	÷
Other	1	1	<u>-</u> 24	4	2	2	2	1	-	2	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	1,391	404	139	848	112	112	200	200	112	112	-

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

Funding Source	Total	Thru FY19	Est FY20	Total 6 Years	FY 21	FY 22	FY 23	FY 24	FY 25	FY 26	Beyond 6 Years
Current Revenue: Parking - Wheaton	1,391	404	139	848	112	112	200	200	112	112	-
TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES	1,391	404	139	848	112	112	200	200	112	112	

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

Appropriation FY 21 Request	92	Year First Appropriation	FY97
Appropriation FY 22 Request	112	Last FY's Cost Estimate	1,167
Cumulative Appropriation	563		
Expenditure / Encumbrances	527		
Unencumbered Balance	36		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for the restoration of, or improvements to, Wheaton parking facilities to address deterioration due to use and age. This is a continuing program of contractual improvements or restorations, with changing priorities depending upon the types of deterioration and corrections required. Corrective measures are required to ensure adequate and proper serviceability over the design life of the facilities and to preserve the County's investment. The scope of this project may vary depending on the results of the studies conducted under Facility Planning: Parking.

LOCATION

Wheaton Parking Lot District, Maryland.

COST CHANGE

The expenditures schedule has been updated to include FY25 and FY26.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Staff inspection and condition surveys by County inspectors and consultants indicate that facilities at the Wheaton Parking Lot District (PLD) are in need of rehabilitation and repair work. Not performing this restoration work within the time and scope specified may result in serious structural integrity problems to the subject parking facilities as well as possible public safety hazards.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

Facility Planning Parking: Wheaton PLD.



Parking District Services

RECOMMENDED FY21 BUDGET \$28,154,874

FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS 48.53

CHRIS CONKLIN, DIRECTOR

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of Parking District Services is to:

- support the role of public parking in commercial areas throughout the County, as parking management is an important tool for achieving public objectives of economic development and transportation management;
- support the comprehensive development of the Silver Spring, Bethesda, and Wheaton central business districts and promote their economic growth and stability by supplying a sufficient number of parking spaces to accommodate that segment of the public demand which is neither provided for by development nor served by alternative travel modes;
- promote and complement a total transportation system through the careful balance of rates and parking supply to encourage the use of the most efficient and economical transportation modes available; and
- develop and implement parking management strategies designed to maximize the usage of the available parking supply in order to enhance the economic development of specific central business districts.

BUDGET OVERVIEW

The total recommended FY21 Operating Budget for the Parking Districts is \$28,154,874, a decrease of \$107,287 or 0.38 percent from the FY20 Approved Budget of \$28,262,161. Personnel Costs comprise 18.85 percent of the budget for 53 full-time position(s) and no part-time position(s), and a total of 48.53 FTEs. Total FTEs may include seasonal or temporary positions and may also reflect workforce charged to or from other departments or funds. Operating Expenses account for the remaining 81.15 percent of the FY21 budget.

12

In addition, this department's Capital Improvements Program (CIP) requires Current Revenue funding.

COUNTY PRIORITY OUTCOMES

While this program area supports all seven of the County Executive's Priority Outcomes, the following are emphasized:

Easier Commutes

- Effective, Sustainable Government
- A Growing Economy

INNOVATIONS AND PRODUCTIVITY IMPROVEMENTS

- Upgraded payment systems and introduced vehicle occupancy and counting systems in various high-demand parking garages in Bethesda and Silver Spring.
- Implemented the Wheaton Core Employee Parking Management Initiative in preparation of County Departments and functions move to Downtown Wheaton.
- * Converted single space metered garages in Bethesda to new multi-space machines with a pay-by-space payment option.
- * Continued the development of website enhancements, and a work order and customer services workflow tracking system.
- ₩ Converted select gated facilities in Parking Lot Districts to 24/7 garage management access.

PROGRAM CONTACTS

Contact Jose Thommana of the Parking Districts at 240.777.8732 or Taman Morris of the Office of Management and Budget at 240.777.2771 for more information regarding this department's operating budget.

PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Performance measures for this department are included below (where applicable), with multi-program measures displayed at the front of this section and program-specific measures shown with the relevant program. The FY20 estimates reflect funding based on the FY20 Approved Budget. The FY21 and FY22 figures are performance targets based on the FY21 Recommended Budget and funding for comparable service levels in FY22.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

** Parking Enforcement

The parking enforcement program provides for the enforcement of parking laws within the Parking Lot Districts (PLDs) and Transportation Management Districts (TMDs) primarily to promote business activity, ensure public safety, and ensure the smooth flow of traffic. The program also conducts Residential Permit Parking (RPP) enforcement in all RPP zones within the County. In addition to citation issuance, the program is also responsible for the processing and management of citation payments.

Program Performance Measures	Actual FY18	Actual FY19	Estimated FY20	Target FY21	Target FY22
Number of DOT issued parking citations	146,453	147,192	147,250	147,500	147,500
Percent of DOT issued parking citations contested	5.96%	6.41%	6.25%	6.25%	6.00%
Number of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) citations issued	151	116	120	120	120
FY21 Recommended Changes FY20 Approved	-2		Expendi	tures 0	FTEs 0.00
Realignment of Programs			2,75	4.24	
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget change		-		(59)	0.00
FY21 Recommended			2 7	58.013	4.24

** Parking Fixed Costs

The parling fixed costs primarily fund the debt service payments, the lease payments for a parking facility, and Other Post Retirement Benefits (OPEB) costs.

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	0	0.00
Realignment of Programs	6,407,033	0.00
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	(152,270)	0.00
FY21 Recommended	6,254,763	0.00

*** Parking Operations**

The parking operations program has overall responsibility for the management of County-owned garages and lots with over 22,000 parking spaces, which represent at least a fifty percent market share of available parking spaces. This program has overall responsibility for the collection and processing of all parking revenue, including revenue from individual meters, automated pay stations, cashiered facilities, parking permits, and parking fines. The program also includes renovating and improving existing parking facilities to ensure the preservation and integrity of the parking system and its continued service to the public. Moreover, the program is responsible for the maintenance of parking facilities that includes: snow and ice removal; housekeeping services; equipment maintenance for elevators, electrical systems, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC); facility repairs for maintenance of damaged glass, asphalt, concrete, plumbing, painting, and space stripes; and grounds-keeping services. Furthermore, this program provides a comprehensive meter maintenance program to ensure all meter devices function properly. Augmenting the public safety mission of the Montgomery County Police Department, this program also provides contract security guard services for parking facilities to detect and report theft, vandalism, and threats to personal security.

Program Performance Measures	Actual FY18	Actual FY19	Estimated FY20	Target FY21	Target FY22
Parking Management revenue generated (\$ millions)	\$36.7	\$37.5	\$37.0	\$37.0	\$37.0
Parking Management operating expenditures (\$ millions)	\$24.2	\$25.5	\$26.0	\$26.0	\$26.0
Parking Management cost efficiency (ratio of expenses to revenues)	66%	68%	70%	70%	70%
Customer satisfaction rate for Parking Lot Districts (PLDs) (scale of 1-5) ¹	4.7	N/A	4.7	N/A	4.7

¹ Rating on a scale of 1 to 5 with the number 5 representing highest score. Scores from prior years are not shown due to a significant change in survey methodology in FY18.

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	10,697,484	15.23
Realignment of Programs	7,709,342	24.36
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	25,735	0.00
FY21 Recommended	18,432,561	39.59

Parking Services General Administration

The General Administration program provides executive direction and support functions for parking programs that include human resources, information technology, fiscal/procurement services, and the redevelopment of real property to promote the economic growth and stability of associated urban districts. The program's responsibilities are for drafting and releasing Requests for Development Proposals; generating property appraisals; negotiations and overseeing the execution of General Development Agreements; and Purchase Sales Agreements, including related development documents. The program also leads project management efforts including design and construction of PLD real property as part of mixed-use redevelopment projects.

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	877,382	6.42
Realignment of Programs	(187,152)	(1.72)
Decrease Cost: Debt Service	(6,150)	0.00
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	25,457	0.00
FY21 Recommended	709,537	4.70

REALIGNED PROGRAMS

Funding in the following programs has been realigned to other programs within this department.

Engineering and Capital Management

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	7,208,576	21.40
Realignment of Programs	(7,208,576)	(21.40)
FY21 Recommended	0	0.00

*** Financial Management**

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	9,478,719	5.48
Realignment of Programs	(9,478,719)	(5.48)
FY21 Recommended	0	0.00

BUDGET SUMMARY

	Actual FY19	Budget FY20	Estimate FY20	Recommended FY21	%Chg Bud/Rec
PARKING DISTRICT - BETHESDA					
EXPENDITURES					
Salaries and Wages	1,610,492	1,754,161	1,634,079	1,760,788	0.4 %
Employee Benefits	562,529	635,890	601,228	564,776	-11.2 %
Parking District - Bethesda Personnel Costs	2,173,021	2,390,051	2,235,307	2,325,564	-2 .7 %
Operating Expenses	6,644,844	7,972,550	7,970,531	7,980,375	0.1 %
Debt Service Other	4,653,195	4,640,400	4,640,400	4,634,250	-0.1 %



BUDGET SUMMARY

	Actual FY19	Budget FY20	Estimate FY20	Recommended FY21	%Chg Bud/Rec
Parking District - Bethesda Expenditures	13,471,060	15,003,001	14,846,238	14,940,189	-0.4 %
PERSONNEL					
Full-Time	29	29	29	29	_
Part-Time	0	0	0	0	_
FTEs	19.88	20.39	20.39	20.39	<u> 11</u>
REVENUES					
Investment Income	426,118	428,190	356,170	269,530	-37.1 %
Miscellaneous Revenues	(38,094)	284,120	284,120	284,120	-
Parking Fees	15,736,270	15,555,081	15,555,081	15,355,081	-1.3 %
Parking Fines	4,581,761	3,250,000	3,250,000	3,250,000	_
Property Rentals	135,699	75,000	75,000	75,000	
Property Tax	(7,225)	0	0	0	_
Parking District - Bethesda Revenues	20,834,529	19,592,391	19,520,371	19,233,731	-1.8 %
PARKING DISTRICT - SILVER SPR EXPENDITURES	ING				
	1,665,995	1 056 962	1 704 076	1 062 828	0.2.0/
Salaries and Wages		1,956,863 710,773	1,704,976	1,962,828	0.3 %
Employee Benefits	589,596 2 255 504		629,541	637,391	-10.3 %
Parking District - Silver Spring Personnel Costs Operating Expenses	2,255,591 7,418,563	2,667,636 8,992,222	2,334,517 8,989,055	2,600,219 9,028,271	-2.5 % 0.4 %
Parking District - Silver Spring Expenditures	9,674,154	11,659,858	11,323,572	9,028,271 11,628,490	0.4 %
PERSONNEL	3,074,134	11,033,030	11,323,372	11,020,490	-0.3 /6
Fersonnel	21	21	21	21	·
Part-Time	0	0	21	21	
FTES	25.23	24.72	24.72	24.72	
REVENUES	23.23	24.72	24.72	24.72	
	406,820	226 457	240.040	257 220	12 6 0/
Investment Income Miscellaneous Revenues		226,457	340,040	257,330	13.6 %
	23,893	0	2,625,000	0	
Parking Fees	11,588,176	10,840,413	10,840,413	12,920,413	19.2 %
Parking Fines	1,920,471	1,897,689	1,897,689	1,897,689	
Property Rentals	65,527	0	0	20,000	
Property Tax	(1,657)	0	0	0	
Parking District - Silver Spring Revenues	14,003,230	12,964,559	15,703,142	15,095,432	16.4 %
PARKING DISTRICT - WHEATON					
EXPENDITURES					
Salaries and Wages	272,420	293,738	265,940	289,564	-1.4 %
Employee Benefits	94,066	108,306	100,016	92,481	-14.6 %
Parking District - Wheaton Personnel Costs	366,486	402,044	365,956	382,045	-5.0 %

16

BUDGET SUMMARY

	Actual FY19	Budget FY20	Estimate FY20	Recommended FY21	%Chg Bud/Rec
Operating Expenses	814,244	1,197,258	1,195,050	1,204,150	0.6 %
Parking District - Wheaton Expenditures	1,180,730	1,599,302	1,561,006	1,586,195	-0.8 %
PERSONNEL					
Full-Time	3	3	3	3	_
Part-Time	0	0	0	0	\equiv
FTEs	3.42	3.42	3.42	3.42	_
REVENUES					
Investment Income	19,273	21,885	16,110	12,190	-44.3 %
Miscellaneous Revenues	5,110	0	0	0	-
Parking Fees	802,391	725,000	725,000	1,375,000	89.7 %
Parking Fines	406,319	476,000	476,000	476,000	_
Property Tax	39	0	0	0	
Parking District - Wheaton Revenues	1,233,132	1,222,885	1,217,110	1,863,190	52.4 %
DEPARTMENT TOTALS					
Total Expenditures	24,325,944	28,262,161	27,730,816	28,154,874	-0.4 %
Total Full-Time Positions	53	53	53	53	_
Total Part-Time Positions	0	0	0	0	-
Total FTEs	48.53	48.53	48.53	48.53	
Total Revenues	36,070,891	33,779,835	36,440,623	36,192,353	7.1 %

FY21 RECOMMENDED CHANGES

	Expenditures	FTEs
PARKING DISTRICT - BETHESDA		
FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	15,003,001	20.39
Other Adjustments (with no service impacts)		
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Operating Expenses	103,813	0.00
Increase Cost: FY21 Compensation Adjustment	51,684	0.00
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases	29,537	0.00
🗸 Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment	6,133	0.00
Increase Cost: MLS Pay for Performance (Increase to Base Pay)	3,294	0.00
Increase Cost: Print and Mail Adjustment	420	0.00
Decrease Cost: Debt Service [Parking Services General Administration]	(6,150)	0.00
✓ Decrease Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment	(27,671)	0.00
✓ Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment	(44,719)	0.00
Decrease Cost: OPEB Adjustment	(74,870)	0.00
Decrease Cost: Annualization of FY20 Personnel Costs	(104,283)	0.00

FY21 RECOMMENDED CHANGES

		Expenditures	FTEs
	FY21 RECOMMENDED	14,940,189	20.39
PARKING DISTRICT - SILVER SPRING			
	FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	11,659,858	24.72
Other Adjustments (with no service impacts)			
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Operating Expenses		113,097	0.00
Increase Cost: FY21 Compensation Adjustment		54,573	0.00
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases		31,005	0.00
Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment		4,843	0.00
Increase Cost: MLS Pay for Performance (Increase to Base Pay)		3,440	0.00
Decrease Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment		(27,671)	0.00
Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment		(42,998)	0.00
Decrease Cost: OPEB Adjustment		(54,220)	0.00
Decrease Cost: Annualization of FY20 Personnel Costs		(113,437)	0.00
	FY21 RECOMMENDED	11,628,490	24.72
PARKING DISTRICT - MONTGOMERY HILLS			
	FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	0	0.00
	FY21 RECOMMENDED	0	0.00
PARKING DISTRICT - WHEATON			
	FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	1,599,302	3.42
Other Adjustments (with no service impacts)			
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Operating Expenses		27,645	0.00
Increase Cost: FY21 Compensation Adjustment		7,950	0.00
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases		4,876	0.00
Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment		823	0.00
Increase Cost: MLS Pay for Performance (Increase to Base Pay)		670	0.00
Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment		(5,800)	0.00
Decrease Cost: OPEB Adjustment		(7,740)	0.00
Decrease Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment		(13,836)	0.00
Decrease Cost: Annualization of FY20 Personnel Costs		(27,695)	0.00

PROGRAM SUMMARY

Program Name		FY20 APPR Expenditures	FY20 APPR FTEs	FY21 REC Expenditures	FY21 REC FTEs
Engineering and Capital Management		7,208,576	21.40	0	0.00
Financial Management		9,478,719	5.48	0	0.00
Parking Enforcement		0	0.00	2,758,013	4.24
Parking Fixed Costs		0	0.00	6,254,763	0.00
Parking Operations		10,697,484	15.23	18,432,561	39.59
Parking Services General Administration		877,382	6.42	709,537	4.70
	Total	28,262,161	48.53	28,154,874	48.53

FUNDING PARAMETER ITEMS

C	E RECOMMENDED (\$	000S)				
Title	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
PARKING DISTRICT - BETHESDA						
EXPENDITURES						
FY21 Recommended	14,940	14,940	14,940	14,940	14,940	14,940
No inflation or compensation change is included in outy	ear projections.					
Retiree Health Insurance Pre-funding	0	(5)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(2)
Labor Contracts	0	19	19	19	19	19
These figures represent the estimated annualized cost o	f general wage adjust	ments, servi	ce increment	ts, and other	negotiated ite	ems.
Subtotal Expenditures	14,940	14,954	14,948	14,948	14,948	14,957
PARKING DISTRICT - SILVER SPRING						
EXPENDITURES						
FY21 Recommended	11,628	11,628	11,628	11,628	11,628	11,628
No inflation or compensation change is included in outy	ear projections.					
Retiree Health Insurance Pre-funding	0	(3)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(2)
Labor Contracts	0	20	20	20	20	20
These figures represent the estimated annualized cost of	f general wage adjust	ments, servio	ce increment	s, and other	negotiated ite	ems.
Subtotal Expenditures	11,628	11,645	11,640	11,640	11,640	11,646
Subtotal Expenditures	0	0	0	0	0	0
PARKING DISTRICT - WHEATON						
EXPENDITURES						
FY21 Recommended	1,586	1,586	1,586	1,586	1,586	1,586
No inflation or compensation change is included in outye	ear projections.					
Retiree Health Insurance Pre-funding	0	0	(1)	(1)	(1)	0

19

FUNDING PARAMETER ITEMS

CE RECOMMENDED (\$000S)									
Title	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26			
Labor Contracts	0	3	3	3	3	3			
These figures represent the estimated annualized	l cost of general wage adjustr	ments, servic	e increments	, and other r	egotiated ite	ms.			
Subtotal Expenditures	1,586	1,589	1,588	1,588	1,588	1,589			

Bethesda PLD		-					
FY21-26 Public Services Program: Fiscal Plan	Estimated	Recommended	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
Bethesda Parking Lot District	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Assumptions	T						
Indirect Cost Rate	20.45%		18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	13.54
CPI (Fiscal Year)	0.00%		1.61%	1.60%	1.58%	1.56%	1.54
Investment Income Yield	1.85%	1.40%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35
Beginning Fund Balance	17,675,324	13,706,751	10,530,349	12,650,110	10,830,969	10,834,786	11,835,56
Revenues							
Charges for Services	15,555,081	15,355,081	15,355,081	15,555,081	14,755,081	14,755,081	14,755,081
Fines & Forfeits	3,250,000	3,250,000	3,250,000	3,250,000	3,250,000	3,250,000	3,250,000
Miscellaneous	715,290	628,650	6,950,030	619,030	2,619,030	2,619,030	2,619,030
Subtotal Revenues	19,520,371	19,233,731	25,555,111	19,424,111	20,624,111	20,624,111	20,624,111
Transfers							
Transfers to General Fund	(491,273)	(433,485)	118,515	(447,518)	(454,583)	(461,682)	(468,81
Indirect Costs	(491,273)	(433,485)	(440,485)	(447,518)	(454,583)	(461,682)	(468,813
Telecommunications NDA	8		-	9	187	-	
Lot 43 Current Appraisal Delta	-		559,000				
Transfers to Special Funds : Tax Supported	(1,619,864)	(1,609,890)	(1,841,756)	(1,878,777)	(1,916,435)	(1,954,984)	(1,987,311
Bethesda Urban District	(1,619,864)	(1,609,890)	(1,841,756)	(1,878,777)	(1,916,435)	(1,954,984)	(1,987,311
Transfers to Other Funds	(400,000)	(220,000)	(3,000,000)	(1,200,000)	(1,200,000)	-	100,000
Transfer to Wheaton PLD	(400,000)	(220,000)	-	(de 1)	-	2	100,000
Transfer to Silver Spring PLD		-	(3,000,000)	(1,200,000)	(1,200,000)	-	
Subtotal Transfers	(2,511,137)	(2,263,375)	(4,723,241)	(3,526,295)	(3,571,018)	(2,416,665)	(2.356,124
Total Resources	34,684,558	30,677,107	31,362,219	28,547,926	27,884,062	29,042,232	30,103,550
CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure	(6,108,000)	{5,183,000}	(5,098,000)	(3,955,000)	(3,155,000)	(3,155,000)	(3,155,000
Appropriations/Expenditures							
Operating Budget	(10,205,838)	(10,305,939)	(10,472,360)	(10,639,558)	(10,807,536)	(10,975,299)	(11,145,847
Personnel Costs	(2,235,307)	(2,325,554)	(2,363,117)	(2,400,845)	(2,438,751)	(2,476,833)	(2,515,092
Operating Expenses	(7,970,531)	(7,980,375)	(8,109,242)	(8,238,712)	(8,368,786)	(8,499,466)	(8,530,756
Existing Debt Service	(4,540,400)	(4,634,250)	(3,104,200)	(3,091,100)	(3,078,800)	(3,068,200)	(3,053,300
Retiree Health Insurance Pre-Funding	-	िका	4,680	10,930	10,720	11,490	2,370
Labor Agreement	-	#2 N	(18,550)	(18,660)	(18,660)	(18,660)	(18,660
Subnotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation	(14,845,238)	(14,940,189)	(13,590,540)	(13,738,388)	(13,894,276)	(14,051,669)	(14.215,437
Other Claims on Fund Balance	(23,569)	(23,569)	(23,569)	(23,569)	-	· · · ·	-
Total Use of Resources	(20,977,807)	(20,146,758)	[18,712,109]	(17,716,957)	(17,049,276)	(17,206,669)	(17,370,437
Year End Fund Balance	13,706,751	10,530,349	12,650,110	10,830,969	10,834,786	11,835,563	12,733,113
Bond Restricted Reserve	(7,487,599)	(7,741,483)	(7,787,839)	(7,829,120)	(7,870,699)	(7,914,194)	(6,804,406
Year End Available Fund Balance	6,219,152	2,788,866	4,852,271	3,001,849	2,964,087	3,921,369	5,928,707
Available Fund Balance as a % of Next Year's PSP Expenses	42%	21%	35%	22%	21%	28%	45%
	74.70						

Assumptions:

1. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions of that budget. FY21-26 expenditures are based on the "major, known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation cost increases, the operating costs of capital facilities, the fiscal impact of approved legislation or regulations, and other programmatic commitments. They do not include unapproved service improvements. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage, inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here.

2. Net Proceeds from the sale of Lot 43 in FY22 in the amount of \$6.331M assuming gross sales price of \$8.441M and HIF retainage of 25% of the sales amount.

3. Transfer from the General Fund to cover the appraisal difference for Lot 43 sale of \$559K.

Revenue growth starting in FY24 as a result of increased occupancy associated with the Marnott development (Net increase of \$1.2M per year).
 Increased capital expenditures primarily for the renovation of G47 (assumed \$6.5M total project costs).

6. Reduction to revenues in FY21-22 due to G47 renovation limiting available spaces (\$200K in FY21 and FY22).

7. Debt repayment to Silver Spring fund in the amount of \$3M in FY22.

8. Transfer to Silver Spring fund to cover half the costs of the new PLD Service Center in the amount of \$1.2M in FY23 and 24 (Service Center costs \$4.8M, Bethesda's share is \$2.4M).

9. CIP Amendment Request (\$3.85M in FY21-23) required for: G49 waterproofing, drainage and concrete repair; G35 concrete, steel, asphalt and sinkhole repairs; paystations for G11 and G49.



FY21-26 Public Services Program: Fiscal Plan	Estimated	Recommended	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
Silver Spring Parking Lot District	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Assumptions			10 0 L I	10.0.1	10.0.1		
Indirect Cost Rate	20.45%		18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.647
CPI (Fiscal Year)	0.00%	1.59%	1.61%	1.60%	1 58%	1.56%	1.54%
Investment Income Yield	1.85%	1.40%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35
Beginning Fund Balance	15,945,593	14,605,308	9,927,755	10,017,906	9,370,786	9,767,852	8,934,160
Revenues							
Charges for Services	10,840,413	12,920,413	14,420,413	15,730,413	15,730,413	15,730,413	15,730,413
Fines & Forfeits	1,897,689	1,897,689	1,897,689	1,897,689	1,897,689	1,897,689	1,897,685
Miscellaneous	2,965,040	277,330	268,140	268,140	268,140	268,140	268,140
Subtotal Revenues	15,703,142	15,095,432	16,586,242	17,896,242	17,896,242	17,896,242	17,896,242
Transfers							
Transfers to General Fund	(553,157)	(489,681)	(497,507)	(505,371)	(513,271)	(521,207)	(529,181
Indirect Costs	(548,157)	(484,681)	(492,507)	(500,371)	(508,271)	(516,207)	(524,181
Telecommunications NDA	<u> </u>	-	-		_	-	-
General Fund – Other	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000
Transfers to Special Funds : Tax Supported	(2,529,843)	(2,813,959)	(2,827,000)	(2,917,292)	(3,019,367)	(3,112,338)	(3,211,930
Silver Spring Urban District	(2,529,843)	(2,813,959)	(2,847,286)	(2,946,465)	(3,034,945)	(3,136,828)	(3,237,154
Transfers to Other Funds	-	-	3,000,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	-	-
Transfer to Bethesda PLD	-	-	3,000,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	- 1	
Subtotal Transfers	(3,083,000)	(3,303,640)	(344,793)	(2,251,835)	(2,348,216)	(3,658,035)	(3,766,335
Total Resources	28,565,735	26,397,100	26,169,204	25,642,027	24,869,354	23,941,022	22,974,541
CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure	(2,618,000)	(4.822.000)	(4,320,000)	(4,285,000)	(2,960,000)	(2,700,000)	(2,700,000
Appropriations/Expenditures	(2,010,000)	(4,022,000)	(4,520,000)	(4,203,000)	(2,300,000)	(2,100,000)	(2,100,000
Operating Budget	(11,323,572)	(11,628,490)	(11,816,267)	(12,004,922)	(12,194,457)	(12.384.876)	(12,576,183
Personnel Costs	(2,334,517)		(2,642,207)	(2,684,392)	(2,726,774)	(2,769,353)	(2,812,130
Operating Expenses	(8,989,055)	(9,028,271)	(9,174,060)	(9,320,530)	(9,467,683)	(9,615,524)	(9,764,052
Retiree Health Insurance Pre-Funding	-	-	3,380	7,920	7,760	8,330	1,710
Labor Agreement	_	- 1	(19,842)	(19,842)	(19,842)	(19,842)	(19,842
Adjustment	a	-	-	-	- 1	-	-
Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation	(11,323,572)	(11,628,490)	(11,832,729)	(12,016,844)	(12,206,539)	(12,396,388)	(12,594,315
Other Claims on Fund Balance	(18,855)	(18,855)	(18,855)	(18,855)	- 1		-
Total Use of Resources	(13.960,427)	(16,469,345)	(16.171,584)	(16,320,699)	(15,166,539)	(15,096,388)	(15.294.315)
Year End Fund Balance	14,605,308	9,927,755	9,997,620	9,321,328	9,702,815	8,844,633	7,680,226
Bond Restricted Reserve	-	- 1	- 1	- 1	-	-	-
Year End Available Fund Balance	14,605,308	9,927,755	9,997,620	9,321,328	9,702,815	8,844,633	7,680,226
Available Fund Balance as a Percent of Next Year's	126%	84%	83%	76%	78%	70%	59%
Target Balance	2,907,123	2,958,182	3,004,211	3.051.635	3,099,097	3,148,579	3,233,468

Assumptions:

1. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions of that budget. FV21-26 expenditures are based on the "major, known commitments" of elected

officials and include negotived labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation cost increases, the operating costs of capital facilities, the fiscal impact of approved legislation or regulations, and other programmatic commitments. They do not include unapproved service improvements. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and hand balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage, inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here.

2. Increase in revenue from FY21 to FY26 are based on a proposed increases to rates and hours of operation (\$1.5M in FY21 and \$3.5M in FY22, \$5M total). 3. Increase in operating expense starting in FY21 based on the increased hours and rates to cover enforcement, security, and eashier management (\$400K).

4. Repayment of debt from Bethesda fund in the amount of \$3M is projected to occur In FY22. 5. Transfer from Bethesda fund to cover haff the costs of the new PLD Service Center in the amount of \$1.2M in FY23 and 24 [Service Center costs \$4.8M, Bethesda's share is \$2.4M). 6. CIP Amendment Request to upgrade elevators that are at the end of their useful file along with stormwater/sewer drain value replacement. Assumed \$5.6M to be spent from FY21 - FY24 for G9, G5. G60, and G61.

FY21-26 Public Services Program: Fiscal Plan	Estimated	Recommended	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
Wheaton Parking Lot District	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Assumptions							
Indirect Cost Rate	20.45%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.649
CPI (Fiscal Year)	0.00%	1.59%	1.61%	1.60%	1.58%	1.56%	1.545
Investment Income Yield	1.85%	1.40%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%	1.35%	1.359
Beginning Fund Balance	911,856	491,269	288,463	383,209	362,219	316,878	331,591
Revenues							
Charges for Services	725,000	1,375,000	1,975,000	1,975,000	1,975,000	1,975,000	1,975,000
Fines & Forfeits	476,000	476,000	476,000	476,000	476,000	476,000	476,000
Miscellaneous	16,110	12,190	11,750	11,750	11,750	11,750	11,750
Subtotal Revenues	1,217,110	1,863,190	2,462,750	2,462,750	2,462,750	2,462,750	2,462,750
Transfers							
Transfers to General Fund	(80,618)	(71,213)	(72,363)	(73,518)	(74,679)	(75,845)	(77,017
Indirect Costs	(80,618)	(71,213)	(72,363)	(73,518)	(74,679)	(75,845)	(77,017
Telecommunications NDA	-	-	-				-
Transfers to Special Funds : Tax Supported	(36,537)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052
Wheaton Urban District	(36,537)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052)	(468,052
Transfers to Other Funds	400,000	220,000	-		25		(100,000
Transfer to Bethesda PLD	400,000	220,000	-		*		(100,000
Subtotal Transfers	282,845	(319,265)	(540,415)	(541,571)	(542,731)	(543,898)	(645,069
Total Resources	2,411,811	2,035,194	2,210,797	2,304,389	2,282,237	2,235,730	2,149,271
CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure	(356,000)	(157,000)	(157,000)	(245,000)	(245,000)	(157,000)	(157,000
Appropriations/Expenditures			1		(211)000/		(
Operating Budget	(1,561,006)	(1,586,195)	(1,661,809)	(1,688,341)	(1,714,997)	(1,741,777)	(1,768,681
Personnel Costs	(365,956)	(382,045)	(388,214)	(394,412)	(400,639)	(406,895)	(413,181
Operating Expenses	(1,195,050)	(1,204,150)	(1,273,595)	(1,293,928)	(1,914,357)	(1,354,881)	(1,355,501)
Labor Agreement	- 1	-	(2,881)	(2,881)	(2,881)	(2,881)	(2,881
Retiree Health Insurance Pre-Funding	-	-	(160)	(210)	(280)	(280)	(280)
Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation	(1.561,006)	(1,586,195)	(1.664,850)	(1,691,432)	(1,718,158)	(1,744,938)	(1.771.842)
Other Claims on Fund Balance	(3,536)	(3,536)	(3,536)	(3,536)	. 3	1.1	
Total Use of Resources	(1,920,542)	(1,746,731)	(1,825,386)	(1,939,968)	(1,963,158)	(1,901,938)	(1,928,842)
Year End Fund Balance	491,269	288,463	385,411	366,623	323,484	340,399	229,237
Bond Restricted Reserve					-	-	22.572.07
Year End Available Fund Balance	491.289	288,463	385.411	366,623	323,484	340,399	229,237
Available Fund Balance as a % of Next Year's PSP Expenses	31%	17%	23%	21%	19%	19%	13%
Target Balance	397,433	417,647	423,742	429,539	436,234	442.961	454,899

Assumptions:

1. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions of that budget. FY21-26 expenditures are based on the "major, known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation cost increases, the operating costs of capital facilities, the fiscal impact of approved legislations or regulations, and other programmatic commitments. They do not include unapproved service improvements. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund

balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage, inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here. 2. Increase in revenue in FY21 the result of the Wheaton Revitalization Program (\$650K total).

Increase in revenue in Fig21 due to the completion of the Wheaton Revitalization Program (\$650K total).
 Increase in operating expense in FY21 due to the completion of the Wheaton Revitalization Program (\$174K).

 Increase in operating expense in FY22 due to the completion of the wheaton Revitaliz 4. Increase in revenue starting in FY22 based on proposed rate increase of \$600K.

Increase in operating expense starting in FY22 based on the increased security hours and rates (\$50K).

E. Inmease in transfer to Wheaton Urban District

Repayment to the Bethesda Parking District for a \$660K loan in FY26.

