**Staff:** Gene Smith, Legislative Analyst **Purpose:** Review – straw vote expected

Keywords: FY21 Budget

AGENDA ITEM #14 April 30, 2020 **Worksession** 

### **SUBJECT**

**Urban Districts** 

### **EXPECTED ATTENDEES**

Ken Hartman, Bethesda Urban District Luisa Montero-Diaz, Wheaton Urban District Reemberto Rodriguez, Silver Spring Urban District Taman Morris, Office of Management and Budget

### **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION**

Urban District	FY21 Executive Recommendation				
Bethesda Urban District	\$3,473,394	1.00 FTE			
Increase (Decrease) from FY20	\$172,259	1.00 FTE			
increase (Decrease) nom F120	5.2%	0.0%			
Silver Spring Urban District	\$3,941,861	35.00 FTE			
Increase (Decrease) from FY20	\$214,090	0.10 FTE			
increase (Decrease) nom F120	5.7%	0.3%			
Wheaton Urban District	\$2,489,504	22.70 FTE			
Increase (Decrease) from FY20	\$407,512	22.70 FTE			
increase (Decrease) nom F120	19.6%	0.0%			

### **COUNCIL STAFF RECOMMENDATION – CONTINUITY OF SERVICES BUDGET**

Urban District	FY21 Council Staff Recommendation				
Bethesda Urban District	\$3,369,352	1.00 FTE			
Increase (Decrease) from CE Rec.	(\$104,042)	0.00 FTE			
increase (Decrease) nom de Nec.	(3.0%)	0.0%			
Silver Spring Urban District	\$3,843,960	35.00 FTE			
Increase (Decrease) from CE Rec.	(\$97,901)	0.00 FTE			
increase (Decrease) nom CL Nec.	(2.5%)	0.0%			
Wheaton Urban District	\$2,438,073	22.70 FTE			
Increase (Decrease) from CE Rec.	(\$51,431)	0.00 FTE			
increase (Decrease) nom de Nec.	(2.1%)	0.0%			

### **EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDED ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN CONTINUITY OF SERVICES**

These budgets included \$85,949 for FY21 compensation adjustments: \$3,768 for Bethesda;
 \$47,901 for Silver Spring; and \$34,280 for Wheaton. The Council will review and discuss compensation and benefits for all the County Government separately.

### Bethesda Urban District

- Addition of Pike District Placemaking at a cost of \$65,000 to provide additional branding and placemaking events. The FY21 budget still includes \$100,000 in the FY20 base for Pike District services.
- Enhancement of the Bethesda Urban Partnership at the cost of \$35,274 with a "compensation increase" since these contracted staff are typically excluded from County compensation adjustments.

### Silver Spring Urban District

 Addition of Fenton Street banners at a cost of \$50,000 to provide additional branding in a submarket of the Silver Spring district.

### Wheaton Urban District

 Restoration of \$17,151 for seasonal maintenance and community activities not programed in FY20.

### **CONTINUITY OF SERVICES FROM FY20**

### Bethesda Urban District

- Included in the budget are: 1) \$2,723 in annualization of FY20 compensation increases; and 2) (\$971) in savings for annualization of FY20 personnel costs.
- Included is \$7,860 in fixed rent increases from FY20.
- Included are cross-departmental adjustments: 1) \$59,624 for risk management; 2) \$476 for print and mail; and 3) (\$1,495) in savings for motor pool.

### Silver Spring Urban District

- Included in the budget are: 1) \$34,172 in annualization of FY20 compensation increases; 2) \$21,228 for annualization of FY20 personnel costs; and 3) \$17,118 in realignment costs for a transfer of a Department of Recreation Employee to the district.
- Included are cross-departmental adjustments: 1) \$68,827 for risk management; 2) \$637 for print and mail; and 3) (\$25,793) in savings for motor pool.

### Wheaton Urban District

- Included is the addition of \$300,000 for maintenance and upkeep associated with the new office buildings opening, estimated to open and begin operations in early-FY21.
- Included in the budget are: 1) \$33,530 in annualization of FY20 personnel costs; and 2) \$23,416 for annualization of FY20 compensation increases.
- Included are cross-departmental adjustments: 1) \$37,365 for risk management; 2) (\$7,969) in savings for retirement; and 3) (\$30,261) in savings for motor pool.

### POTENTIAL REDUCTIONS

Each Parking Lot District (PLD) will experience a reduction in revenues in FY20 and FY21 due to
the health crisis. All urban districts rely on multiple resources, including fund transfers from its
respective PLD, to fund operating expenditures. See the recommended fiscal plans on ©9-11 for
the three urban districts.

• If the PLD transfers are reduced, the respective urban district will require a reduction in expenditures, a general fund transfer, or both to balance its FY21 budget. The Council will consider these items in early-FY21, depending on the actual impact from the health crisis.

### POTENTIAL ITEMS RELATED TO COVID-19

- Some expenditures may be shifted to FY21 due to closures in FY20 (e.g., events).
- Some expenditures in FY20 are likely to continue in FY21 (e.g., purchasing of personal protective equipment for front line staff, social messaging, etc.).
- Additional marketing post-health crisis may be required as part of an effort to revive and stimulate economic activity in the urban cores.
- The Bethesda Urban Partnership's annual budget is partly supported by event income; this lost income is partly offset by a reduction in expenditures from the cancellation of these events.
- The arts studio is currently closed due to the health crisis and is another source of income for Bethesda Urban Partnership.

### This report contains:

Executive recommended FY21 budget © #1-8 FY21-26 recommended fiscal plans for the urban districts © #9-11

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may <u>submit alternative format requests</u> to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at <u>adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov</u>



## **RECOMMENDED FY21 BUDGET**

\$9,904,759

## **FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS**

58.70



FARIBA KASSIRI, DEPUTY CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

## MISSION STATEMENT

Urban Districts support and enhance the County's unincorporated downtowns (Bethesda, Silver Spring, and Wheaton) as prosperous, livable urban centers by maintaining streetscape and its investments; providing additional public amenities such as plantings, seating, shelters, and works of art; promoting the commercial and residential interests of these areas; and programming cultural and community activities.

## **BUDGET OVERVIEW**

The total recommended FY21 Operating Budget for the Urban Districts is \$9,904,759, an increase of \$793,861 or 8.71 percent from the FY20 Approved Budget of \$9,110,898. Personnel Costs comprise 44.44 percent of the budget for 61 full-time position(s) and one part-time position(s), and a total of 58.70 FTEs. Total FTEs may include seasonal or temporary positions and may also reflect workforce charged to or from other departments or funds. Operating Expenses account for the remaining 55.56 percent of the FY21 budget.

## COUNTY PRIORITY OUTCOMES

While this program area supports all seven of the County Executive's Priority Outcomes, the following are emphasized:

- Thriving Youth and Families
- A Greener County
- Effective, Sustainable Government
- Safe Neighborhoods
- A Growing Economy

# **INITIATIVES**

- Six new events in Bethesda, provided via a new partnership with Montgomery County Parks, will be tailored for families with children and promote community cohesion. These events are free, will attract residents to downtown Bethesda, and will benefit local retailers and restaurants.
- The Urban Districts will employ a District Manager that will coordinate economic development within the Arts and Entertainment Districts. These Districts will become more attractive to new commerce as the District Manager promotes the

many tax incentives available to businesses.

- The growth of downtown Silver Spring has necessitated the increased accentuation of sub-districts. Banners will be installed in Fenton Village of the Silver Spring Urban District to demarcate its location and affirm Fenton Village's brand. Fenton Village is a highly recognizable area, and the businesses located there will benefit from increased marketing.
- To address the lack of cohesive branding for the White Flint corridor, the County Executive recommends to introduce place-making and branding to the area. Banners and signage will demarcate the White Flint corridor in order to define the community and increase its economic viability.
- Ambassadors of the Urban Districts welcome and assist residents and visitors to the area. The Urban Districts have implemented an initiative to improve their visibility throughout the localized area.
- In partnership with the Office of Human Resources, the Silver Spring and Wheaton Urban Districts will hold joint staff training to reduce staff travel time and cost.
- Through a new contract, Silver Spring will be able improve the frequency and efficiency of trash collection and recycling.
- The Department of Transportation will provide staff in the Wheaton Urban District with driver training. The training will result in reduced car accidents that can harm citizens, damage property, require costly repairs, and reduce productivity.
- All Silver Spring Urban District staff will be trained in crisis intervention.
- The Wheaton Urban District has enhanced its relationship with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to include the provision of litter collection services.

## INNOVATIONS AND PRODUCTIVITY IMPROVEMENTS

- \*\* Reschedule Ambassador shifts and change their deployment to increase their presence during peak periods of foot traffic in Silver Spring. This innovation will improve Ambassador visibility and create a higher level of community engagement.
- Silver Spring will amend its contract with the Bethesda Urban Partnership include trash and recycling collection. This will improve the efficiency and effectiveness of trash collection and allow for reallocation of staff resources to other Urban District services.
- \*\* Work collaboratively with the Department of Health and Human Services, Police, and the Office of Human Resources to develop and implement a crisis intervention plan for Silver Spring's homeless population.

## PROGRAM CONTACTS

Contact Ken Hartman of the Urban Districts at 240.777.8206 or Taman Morris of the Office of Management and Budget at 240.777.2771 for more information regarding this department's operating budget.

# PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Performance measures for this department are included below (where applicable), with multi-program measures displayed at the front of this section and program-specific measures shown with the relevant program. The FY20 estimates reflect funding based on the FY20 Approved Budget. The FY21 and FY22 figures are performance targets based on the FY21 Recommended Budget and funding for comparable service levels in FY22.

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS



### Administration

This program provides staff support for contract administration, the Urban District Advisory Committees, and for the administration of Urban District corporations. This program also provides for budget preparation and monitoring, payment authorization, records maintenance, and the Bethesda Circulator contract.

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	1,938,405	9.30
Realignment of Programs	(119,909)	(0.90)
Add: Town Plaza and Veterans' Park	300,000	0.00
Increase Cost: Bethesda Urban District - Pike District Placemaking with Business Participation	65,000	0.00
Increase Cost: Compensation Increase to Bethesda Urban Partnership	35,274	0.00
Re-align: Transfer of Employee from Department of Recreation	17,118	0.10
Increase Cost: Bethesda Urban District - Fixed Rent	7,860	0.00
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	180,285	(0.10)
FY21 Recommended	2,424,033	8.40



## **Enhanced Security and Ambassadorship**

This program provides safeguards against property theft, vandalism, and personal security in the Silver Spring and Wheaton Urban Districts. The goal of the program is to provide an enhanced level of protection and reduce the perception of crime through the use of the Safe Team as the eyes and ears of County Police and as a uniformed visual presence to create a safe and secure environment. Safe Team members also act as "ambassadors" providing information, directions, first aid and CPR, and roadside assistance to residents, visitors, and the business community.

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	1,287,904	17.35
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	(88,520)	(2.00)
FY21 Recommended	1,199,384	15.35



## Promotion of Community and Business Activities

This program enhances the quality of life in the Urban Districts and surrounding communities; fosters a strong, vibrant business climate within each Urban District; and creates a positive image and a sense of identity for the Districts. These goals are accomplished through sponsorship of community events that may include festivals, concerts, and parades; the installation of seasonal banners, unique signs, holiday decorations, and other amenities to give each District a sense of place; and the development and distribution of newsletters, brochures, and other promotional material highlighting the Districts. Each Urban District develops its programs with the active participation of its advisory committee or Urban District Corporation.

Program Performance Measures	Actual FY18		Estimated FY20	Target FY21	
BETHESDA URBAN DISTRICT - Average number of website sessions per month	35,000	30,000	30,000	31,000	32,000
BETHESDA URBAN DISTRICT - Number of social media followers	21,000	22,500	24,000	26,000	28,000
SILVER SPRING URBAN DISTRICT - Average number of website sessions per month	29,185	29,160	31,668	31,500	31,750
SILVER SPRING URBAN DISTRICT - Number of social media followers	6,800	11,658	13,402	13,750	14,100
WHEATON URBAN DISTRICT - Average number of website sessions per month	N/A	34,000	40,000	41,000	42,000

Program Performance Measures		Actual FY19	Estimated FY20	_	Target FY22
WHEATON URBAN DISTRICT - Number of social media followers	N/A	3,226	4,000	4,100	4,300

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	3,767,796	31.95
Realignment of Programs	(2,116,518)	(30.15)
Enhance: Silver Spring Urban District - Promotion of Community and Business Activities - Fenton Village Banners	50,000	0.00
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	20,962	0.10
FY21 Recommended	1,722,240	1.90



## Streetscape Maintenance

This program provides maintenance of, and improvement to, the streetscape amenities within each Urban District. Various service levels include litter collection, sidewalk maintenance, trash receptacle service at least three times a week, mowing and snow removal as needed, lighting maintenance, maintenance of planted/landscaped areas, and street sweeping.

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	1,848,939	0.00
Realignment of Programs	2,504,281	31.05
Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs.	205,882	2.00
FY21 Recommended	4,559,102	33.05

# **REALIGNED PROGRAMS**

Funding in the following programs has been realigned to other programs within this department.



## Sidewalk Repair

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	143,969	0.00
Realignment of Programs	(143,969)	0.00
FY21 Recommended	0	0.00



### Tree Maintenance

FY21 Recommended Changes	Expenditures	FTEs
FY20 Approved	123,885	0.00
Realignment of Programs	(123,885)	0.00
FY21 Recommended	0	0.00

## **BUDGET SUMMARY**

	Actual FY19	Budget FY20	Estimate FY20	Recommended FY21	%Chg Bud/Rec
URBAN DISTRICT - BETHESDA					
EXPENDITURES					
Salaries and Wages	75,189	79,274	79,863	83,572	5.4 %
Employee Benefits	50,142	25,699	25,493	26,921	4.8 %
Urban District - Bethesda Personnel Costs	125,331	104,973	105,356	110,493	5.3 %
Operating Expenses	3,163,186	3,196,162	2,996,349	3,362,901	5.2 %
Urban District - Bethesda Expenditures	3,288,517	3,301,135	3,101,705	3,473,394	5.2 %
PERSONNEL					
Full-Time	1	1	1	1	_
Part-Time	0	0	0	0	_
FTEs	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	_
REVENUES					
Optional Method Development	134,157	194,567	194,567	194,567	_
Property Tax	693,270	728,825	732,225	746,360	2.4 %
Urban District - Bethesda Revenues	827,427	923,392	926,792	940,927	1.9 %
URBAN DISTRICT - SILVER SPRING					
EXPENDITURES	4 700 744	4 =0= 000		4.040.004	<b>= =</b> 0/
Salaries and Wages	1,720,541	1,785,339	1,744,977	1,918,834	7.5 %
Employee Benefits	728,970	825,242	754,392	786,373	-4.7 %
Urban District - Silver Spring Personnel Costs	2,449,511	2,610,581	2,499,369	2,705,207	3.6 %
Operating Expenses	1,284,963	1,117,190	1,201,547	1,236,654	10.7 %
Urban District - Silver Spring Expenditures	3,734,474	3,727,771	3,700,916	3,941,861	5.7 %
PERSONNEL	07	07	07	00	0.7.0/
Full-Time	37	37	37	38	2.7 %
Part-Time	0	0	0	0	-
FTES	34.90	34.90	34.90	35.00	0.3 %
REVENUES					
Optional Method Development	108,674	150,000	150,000	150,000	
Property Tax	882,668	1,003,131	1,003,308	1,022,638	1.9 %
Urban District - Silver Spring Revenues	991,342	1,153,131	1,153,308	1,172,638	1.7 %
URBAN DISTRICT - WHEATON					
EXPENDITURES Solarion and Wagner	1 000 004	1.000.000	1 157 004	4 400 405	6.5.00
Salaries and Wages	1,098,984	1,060,322	1,157,601	1,129,435	6.5 %
Employee Benefits  Urban District Wheeten Personnel Costs	423,078	442,074	462,784	456,218	3.2 %
Urban District - Wheaton Personnel Costs	<b>1,522,062</b>	<b>1,502,396</b>	1,620,385	1,585,653	<b>5.5 %</b>
Operating Expenses	511,508	579,596	628,146	903,851	56.0 %
Urban District - Wheaton Expenditures	2,033,570	2,081,992	2,248,531	2,489,504	19.6 %
PERSONNEL					

Full Time	22	22	22	22	
Full-Time	22	22	22	22	
Part-Time	1	1	1	1	_
FTEs	22.70	22.70	22.70	22.70	_
REVENUES					
Property Tax	224,989	261,217	275,927	281,282	7.7 %
Urban District - Wheaton Revenues	224,989	261,217	275,927	281,282	7.7 %
DEPARTMENT TOTALS					
DEPARTMENT TOTALS  Total Expenditures	9,056,561	9,110,898	9,051,152	9,904,759	8.7 %
	9,056,561 60	9,110,898 60	9,051,152 60	9,904,759 61	8.7 % 1.7 %
Total Expenditures					
Total Expenditures  Total Full-Time Positions	60	60	60	61	
Total Expenditures  Total Full-Time Positions  Total Part-Time Positions	60	60 1	60 1	61 1	1.7 % —

## FY21 RECOMMENDED CHANGES

	Expenditures	FTE
JRBAN DISTRICT - BETHESDA		
FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	N 3,301,135	1.0
Other Adjustments (with no service impacts)		
Increase Cost: Bethesda Urban District - Pike District Placemaking with Business Participation [Administration]	65,000	0.0
Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment	59,624	0.0
Increase Cost: Compensation Increase to Bethesda Urban Partnership [Administration]	35,274	0.0
Increase Cost: Bethesda Urban District - Fixed Rent [Administration]	7,860	0.0
Increase Cost: FY21 Compensation Adjustment	3,768	0.0
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases	2,723	0.0
Increase Cost: Print and Mail Adjustment	476	0.0
Decrease Cost: Annualization of FY20 Personnel Costs	(971)	0.0
Decrease Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment	(1,495)	0.0
FY21 RECOMMENDED	3,473,394	1.0
JRBAN DISTRICT - SILVER SPRING		
FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	N 3,727,771	34.9
Changes (with service impacts)		
Enhance: Silver Spring Urban District - Promotion of Community and Business Activities - Fenton Village Banners [Promotion of Community and Business Activities]	50,000	0.0
Other Adjustments (with no service impacts)		
Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment	68,827	0.0
Increase Cost: FY21 Compensation Adjustment	47,901	0.0
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases	34,172	0.0

## FY21 RECOMMENDED CHANGES

		Expenditures	FTEs
Re-align: Transfer of Employee from Department of Recreation [Administration]		17,118	0.10
Increase Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment		637	0.00
Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment		(25,793)	0.00
	FY21 RECOMMENDED	3,941,861	35.00

### **URBAN DISTRICT - WHEATON**

	FY20 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION	2,081,992 22.70
Changes (with service impacts)		
Add: Town Plaza and Veterans' Park [Administration]		300,000 0.00
Other Adjustments (with no service impacts)		
Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment		37,365 0.00
Increase Cost: FY21 Compensation Adjustment		34,280 0.00
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Personnel Costs		33,530 0.00
Increase Cost: Annualization of FY20 Compensation Increases		23,416 0.00
Increase Cost: Elimination of One-Time Items Approved in FY20		17,151 0.00
Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment		(7,969) 0.00
Decrease Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment		(30,261) 0.00
	FY21 RECOMMENDED	2,489,504 22.70

## **PROGRAM SUMMARY**

Promotion of Community and Business Activities  Sidewalk Repair  Streetscape Maintenance	3,767,796	31.95	1,722,240	1.90
	143,969	0.00	0	0.00
	1,848,939	0.00	4,559,102	33.05
Tree Maintenance  Tota	123,885	0.00	9,904,759	0.00 <b>58.70</b>

## CHARGES TO OTHER DEPARTMENTS

Charged Department	Charged Fund	FY20 Total\$	FY20 FTEs	FY21 Total\$	FY21 FTEs
URBAN DISTRICT - SILVER SPRIN	G				
Parking District Services	Silver Spring Parking	165,230	3.00	165,230	3.00

## **FUNDING PARAMETER ITEMS**

CE RECOMMENDED (\$000S)

OE RESOLUTION	(\$0000)					
Title	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
URBAN DISTRICT - BETHESDA						
EXPENDITURES						
FY21 Recommended	3,473	3,473	3,473	3,473	3,473	3,473
No inflation or compensation change is included in outyear projections.						
Labor Contracts	0	2	2	2	2	2
These figures represent the estimated annualized cost of general wage adju-	ıstments, se	rvice incre	ments, and	l other neg	otiated iten	ns.
Subtotal Expenditures	3,473	3,475	3,475	3,475	3,475	3,475
URBAN DISTRICT - SILVER SPRING						
EXPENDITURES						
FY21 Recommended	3,942	3,942	3,942	3,942	3,942	3,942
No inflation or compensation change is included in outyear projections.						
Elimination of One-Time Items Recommended in FY21	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)	(50)
Items recommended for one-time funding in FY21, including (fill in major ite eliminated from the base in the outyears.	em names b	ased on y	our Compe	etition List)	, will be	
Labor Contracts	0	18	18	18	18	18
These figures represent the estimated annualized cost of general wage adju-	ıstments, se	rvice incre	ments, and	l other neg	otiated iten	ns.
Subtotal Expenditures	3,892	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910
URBAN DISTRICT - WHEATON						
EXPENDITURES						
FY21 Recommended	2,490	2,490	2,490	2,490	2,490	2,490
No inflation or compensation change is included in outyear projections.						
Labor Contracts	0	15	15	15	15	15
These figures represent the estimated annualized cost of general wage adju-	ıstments, se	rvice incre	ments, and	l other neg	otiated iten	ns.
Subtotal Expenditures	2,490	2,504	2,504	2,504	2,504	2,504

FY21-26 PUBLIC SERVICES PROGRAM: FIS	CAL PLAN		Bethesda Urb	an District			
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
FISCAL PROJECTIONS	ESTIMATE	REC	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION
ASSUMPTIONS							
Property Tax Rate: Real Property	0.0120	0.0120	0.0120	0.0120	0.0120	0.0120	0.0120
Assessable Base: Real Property (000)	5,551,400	5,655,100	5,795,800	5,939,200	6,087,100	6,241,400	6,400,500
Property Tax Collection Factor: Real Property	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%
Property Tax Rate: Personal Property	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300
Assessable Base: Personal Property (000)	234,100	240,000	244,200	247,400	249,500	253,600	257,700
Property Tax Collection Factor: Personal Property	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Indirect Cost Rate	20.45%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%
CPI (Fiscal Year)	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
Investment Income Yield	1.9%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	47,700	281,372	88,517	87,705	86,348	84,997	85,626
REVENUES							
Taxes	732,225	746,360	764,400	782,462	800,732	820,364	840,569
Charges For Services	194,567	194,567	197,700	200,863	204,037	207,220	210,411
Subtotal Revenues	926,792	940,927	962,100	983,325	1,004,769	1,027,584	1,050,980
INTERFUND TRANSFERS (Net Non-CIP)	2,408,585	2,339,612	2,571,028	2,608,049	2,645,707	2,684,256	2,716,583
Transfers To The General Fund	(21,597)	(20,596)	(21,046)	(21,046)	(21,046)	(21,046)	(21,046)
Indirect Costs	(21,597)	(20,596)	(21,046)	(21,046)	(21,046)	(21,046)	(21,046)
Transfers From The General Fund	810,318	750,318	750,318	750,318	750,318	750,318	750,318
Baseline Services	750,318	750,318 0	750,318	750,318	750,318	750,318	750,318
From Transfers From Special Fds: Non-Tax + ISF	60,000 1,619,864	1.609.890	0 1.841.756	0 1.878.777	0 1.916.435	0 1,954,984	1.987.311
From Bethesda PLD	1,619,864	1,609,890	1,841,756	1,878,777	1,916,435	1,954,984	1,987,311
TOTAL RESOURCES	3,383,077	3,561,911	3,621,645	3,679,079	3,736,824	3,796,836	3,853,189
	,,,,,,	2,22,72	2,72 ,72 2	.,,.	-,,-	, , , , , , ,	-,,
PSP OPER. BUDGET APPROP/ EXP'S.	(2 101 705)	(2.472.204)	(2 524 522)	(2 500 214)	(2.640.440)	(2 700 702)	(2.769.457)
Operating Budget	(3,101,705)	(3,473,394)	(3,531,523)	(3,590,314)	(3,649,410)	(3,708,793)	(3,768,457)
Labor Agreement	n/a	U	(2,417)	(2,417)	(2,417)	(2,417)	(2,417)
Subtotal PSP Oper Budget Approp / Exp's	(3,101,705)	(3,473,394)	(3,533,940)	(3,592,731)	(3,651,827)	(3,711,210)	(3,770,874)
TOTAL USE OF RESOURCES	(3,101,705)	(3,473,394)	(3,533,940)	(3,592,731)	(3,651,827)	(3,711,210)	(3,770,874)
YEAR END FUND BALANCE	281,372	88,517	87,705	86,348	84,997	85,626	82,315
END-OF-YEAR RESERVES AS A							
PERCENT OF RESOURCES	8.3%	2.5%	2.4%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.1%

#### Assumptions:

- 1. Transfers from the Bethesda Parking District are adjusted annually to fund the approved service program and to maintain an ending fund balance of approximately 2.5 percent of resources.
- 2. Property tax revenue is assumed to increase the six years based on an improved assessable base.
- 3. Assessable base increases are due to economic growth and new projects coming online.
- 4. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions of that budget. FY22-26 expenditures are based on the "major known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation cost increases, the operating costs of capital facilities, the fiscal impact of approved legislation or regulations, and other programmatic commitments. They do not include unapproved service improvements. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here.
- 5. Section 68A-4 of the County Code requires: a) that the proceeds from either the Urban District tax or parking fee transfer must not be greater than 90 percent of their combined total; and b) that the transfer from the Parking District not exceed the number of spaces in the Urban District times the number of enforcement hours

FY21-26 PUBLIC SERVICES PROGRAM: FISCAL PLAN Silver Spring Urban District							
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
FISCAL PROJECTIONS	ESTIMATE	REC	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION
ASSUMPTIONS							
Property Tax Rate: Real Property	0.0240	0.0240	0.0240	0.0240	0.0240	0.0240	0.0240
Assessable Base: Real Property (000)	3,854,200	3,926,200	4,023,900	4,123,500	4,226,100	4,333,300	4,443,800
Property Tax Collection Factor: Real Property	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%
Property Tax Rate: Personal Property	0.0600	0.0600	0.0600	0.0600	0.0600	0.0600	0.0600
Assessable Base: Personal Property (000)	140,100	143,700	146,200	148,100	149,300	151,800	154,300
Property Tax Collection Factor: Personal Property	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Indirect Cost Rate	20.45%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%
CPI (Fiscal Year)	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
Investment Income Yield	1.9%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	74,659	60,535	102,942	104,591	111,742	110,889	114,138
REVENUES							
Taxes	1,003,308	1,022,638	1,047,443	1,072,340	1,097,534	1,124,604	1,152,462
Charges For Services	150,000	150,000	152,415	154,854	157,301	159,755	162,215
Subtotal Revenues	1,153,308	1,172,638	1,199,858	1,227,194	1,254,835	1,284,359	1,314,677
INTERFUND TRANSFERS (Net Non-CIP)	2,533,484	2,811,630	2,879,321	2,978,500	3,066,980	3,168,863	3,269,189
Transfers To The General Fund	(536,019)	(541,989)	(507,625)	,	(507,625)	(507,625)	(507,625)
Indirect Costs	(536,019)	(541,989)	(507,625)	, , ,	(507,625)	(507,625)	(507,625)
Transfers From The General Fund Baseline Services	539,660 539,660						
Transfers From Special Fds: Non-Tax + ISF	2,529,843	2,813,959	2,847,286	2,946,465	3,034,945	3,136,828	3,237,154
Silver Spring PLD	2,529,843	2,813,959	2,847,286	2,946,465	3,034,945	3,136,828	3,237,154
	,, ,, ,,	,,	,, , , , ,	,,	.,,.	, , .	
TOTAL RESOURCES	3,761,451	4,044,803	4,182,121	4,310,285	4,433,557	4,564,111	4,698,003
CIP CURRENT REVENUE APPROP. PSP OPER. BUDGET APPROP/ EXP'S.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating Budget	(3,700,916)	(3,941,861)	(4,059,429)	(4,180,442)	(4,304,567)	(4,431,872)	(4,562,416)
Labor Agreement	n/a	0	(18,101)	,	(18,101)	(18,101)	(18,101)
Subtotal PSP Oper Budget Approp / Exp's	(3,700,916)	(3,941,861)	(4,077,530)	(4,198,543)	(4,322,668)	(4,449,973)	(4,580,517)
OTHER CLAIMS ON FUND BALANCE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL USE OF RESOURCES	(3,700,916)	(3,941,861)	(4,077,530)	(4,198,543)	(4,322,668)	(4,449,973)	(4,580,517)
YEAR END FUND BALANCE	60,535	102,942	104,591	111,742	110,889	114,138	117,486
END-OF-YEAR RESERVES AS A							
PERCENT OF RESOURCES	1.6%	2.5%	2.5%	2.6%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%

### **Assumptions:**

- 1. Transfers from the Silver Spring District are adjusted annually to fund the approved service program and to maintain an ending fund balance of approximately 2.5 percent of resources.
- 2. Property tax revenue is assumed to increase the six years based on an improved assessable base.
- 3. Large assessable base increases are due to economic growth and new projects coming online.
- 4. The Baseline Services transfer provides basic right-of-way maintenance comparable to services provided countywide.
- 5. The Non-Baseline Services transfer is necessary to maintain fund balance policy.
- 6. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions of that budget. FY21-25 expenditures are based on the "major, known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation cost increases, the operating costs of capital facilities, the fiscal impact of approved legislation or regulations, and other programmatic commitments. They do not include unapproved service improvements. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here.
- 7. Section 68A-4 of the County Code requires: a) that the proceeds from either the Urban District tax or parking fee transfer must not be greater than 90 percent of their combined total; and b) that the transfer from the Parking District not exceed the number of spaces in the Urban District times the number of enforcement

FY21-26 PUBLIC SERVICES PROGRAM: FISCAL	PLAN		Wheaton Urba	an District			
	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26
FISCAL PROJECTIONS	ESTIMATE	REC	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION	PROJECTION
ASSUMPTIONS							
Property Tax Rate: Real Property	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300	0.0300
Assessable Base: Real Property (000)	837,000	852,700	873,900	895,500	917,800	941,100	965,100
Property Tax Collection Factor: Real Property	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%	99.4%
Property Tax Rate: Personal Property	0.0750	0.0750	0.0750	0.0750	0.0750	0.0750	0.0750
Assessable Base: Personal Property (000)	35,200	36,100	36,800	37,200	37,600	38,200	38,800
Property Tax Collection Factor: Personal Property	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Indirect Cost Rate	20.45%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%	18.64%
CPI (Fiscal Year)	1.4%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
Investment Income Yield	1.9%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
Assumption #6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assumption #7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assumption #8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEGINNING FUND BALANCE	139,351	(195,517)	63,414	65,508	67,452	69,438	71,469
REVENUES							
Taxes	275,927	281,282	288,128	294,868	301,818	309,214	316,820
Subtotal Revenues	275,927	281,282	288,128	294,868	301,818	309,214	316,820
INTERFUND TRANSFERS (Net Non-CIP)	1,637,736	2,467,153	2,290,064	2,357,006	2,425,772	2,495,974	2,567,825
Transfers To The General Fund	(308,542)	(295,566)	(298,325)	(298,325)	(298,325)	(298,325)	(298,325)
Indirect Costs	(308,542)	(295,566)	(298,325)	(298,325)	(298,325)	(298,325)	(298,325)
Transfers From The General Fund	1,909,741	2,294,667	2,120,337	2,187,279	2,256,045	2,326,247	2,398,098
Baseline Services	76,090	76,090	76,090	76,090	76,090	76,090	76,090
Non-Baseline Services	1,833,651	2,218,577	2,044,247	2,111,189	2,179,955	2,250,157	2,322,008
Transfers From Special Fds: Non-Tax + ISF Wheaton PLD	36,537 36,537	468,052 468,052	468,052 468,052	468,052 468,052	468,052 468,052	468,052 468,052	468,052 468,052
Wilealoff FLD	30,337	400,032	400,032	400,032	400,032	400,032	400,032
TOTAL RESOURCES	2,053,014	2,552,918	2,641,605	2,717,383	2,795,042	2,874,625	2,956,114
CIP CURRENT REVENUE APPROP.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSP OPER. BUDGET APPROP/ EXP'S.							
Operating Budget	(2,248,531)	(2,489,504)	(2,561,296)	(2,635,130)	(2,710,803)	(2,788,355)	(2,867,806)
Labor Agreement	n/a	0	(14,801)	(14,801)	(14,801)	(14,801)	(14,801)
Subtotal PSP Oper Budget Approp / Exp's	(2,248,531)	(2,489,504)	(2,576,097)	(2,649,931)	(2,725,604)	(2,803,156)	(2,882,607)
TOTAL USE OF RESOURCES	(2,248,531)	(2,489,504)	(2,576,097)	(2,649,931)	(2,725,604)	(2,803,156)	(2,882,607)
YEAR END FUND BALANCE	(195,517)	63,414	65,508	67,452	69,438	71,469	73,507
END-OF-YEAR RESERVES AS A							
PERCENT OF RESOURCES	-9.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%

#### Assumptions:

- 1. Transfers from the Wheaton Parking District are adjusted annually to fund the approved service program and to maintain an ending fund balance of approximately 2.5 percent of resources.
- 2. Property tax revenue is assumed to increase of the six years based on an improved assessable base.
- 3. Large assessable base increases are due to economic growth and new projects coming online.
- 4. The Baseline Services transfer provides basic right-of-way maintenance comparable to services provided countywide.
- 5. The Non-Baseline Services transfer is necessary to maintain fund balance policy.
- 6. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions of that budget. FY21-25 expenditures are based on the "major, known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation cost increases, the operating costs of capital facilities, the fiscal impact of approved legislation or regulations, and other programmatic commitments. They do not include unapproved service improvements. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here.
- 7. Section 68A-4 of the County Code requires: a) that the proceeds from either the Urban District tax or parking fee transfer must not be greater than 90 percent of their combined total; and b) that the transfer from the Parking District not exceed the number of spaces in the Urban District times the number of enforcement