

Committee: PS Committee Review: At a future date

Staff: Christine Wellons, Legislative Attorney

Purpose: To introduce agenda item – no vote expected

Keywords: #UseofForce #PoliceReform

AGENDA ITEM #11D
June 16, 2020
Introduction

SUBJECT

Expedited Bill 27-20, Police - Regulations - Use of Force Policy

Lead Sponsors: Councilmembers Jawando, Rice, Navarro, and Albornoz

Co-Sponsors: Council Vice-President Hucker, Councilmember Riemer, Council President Katz and

Councilmembers Friedson and Glass

EXPECTED ATTENDEES

None

COUNCIL DECISION POINTS & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

N/A; Bill introduction

DESCRIPTION/ISSUE

Expedited Bill 27-20, Police – Regulations – Use of Force, would (1) require the Police Chief to adopt a use of force policy directive and (2) require the use of force policy to include certain minimum standards.

SUMMARY OF KEY DISCUSSION POINTS

N/A

This report contains:

Staff ReportPages 1Expedited Bill 27-20©1Legislative Request Report©5

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may submit alternative format requests to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov

MEMORANDUM

June 15, 2020

TO: County Council

FROM: Christine Wellons, Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT: Expedited Bill 27-20, Police – Regulations – Use of Force Policy

PURPOSE: Introduction – no Council votes required

Expedited Bill 27-20, Police – Regulations – Use of Force Policy, sponsored by Lead Sponsors Councilmembers Jawando, Rice, Navarro, and Albornoz, and Co-Sponsors Council Vice-President Hucker, Councilmember Riemer, Council President Katz, and Councilmembers Friedson and Glass, is scheduled to be introduced on June 16, 2020. A public hearing is tentatively scheduled for July 7 at 1:30 p.m.

Bill 27-20 would (1) require the Police Chief to adopt a policy directive regarding the use of force; and (2) require the use of force policy to include certain minimum standards, including standards regarding the use of deadly force, the use of carotid and neck restraints, and required intervention by officers when another officer is violating law or policy. The minimum standards of the policy would not be subject to collective bargaining.

BACKGROUND

In response to the recent murder of George Floyd in Minneapolis and extensive data regarding racial disparities in police use of force throughout the nation, many state and local governments are examining potential legislative solutions and police reforms. In this vein, Bill 27-20 seeks to limit and ban certain practices that can contribute towards unnecessary deaths and serious bodily injury.

In the State of California, a law passed in 2019 prevents the use of deadly force except when necessary in defense of human life. In particular, California's law states: "[A] peace officer is justified in using deadly force upon another person only when the officer reasonably believes, based on the totality of the circumstances, that such force is necessary for either of the following reasons: (A) To defend against an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or to another person. (B) To apprehend a fleeing person for any felony that threatened or resulted in death or serious bodily injury, if the officer reasonably believes that the person will cause death or serious bodily injury to another unless immediately apprehended...." (2019 Laws of California, Chapter 170).

Certain local police departments also have modified their policies regarding use of force. For example, police departments of Seattle and San Francisco have adopted such reforms as limiting use of deadly force to necessary situations and banning or limiting carotid restraints and

¹#UseofForce #PoliceReform

neck restraints. See San Francisco Police Department General Order 5.01; Seattle Police Department Manual, Title 8.

SPECIFICS OF THE BILL

Under Bill 27-20, the Police Chief would be required to issue a directive regarding police use of force. The policy directive would be required to meet certain minimum standards. The minimum standards would, among other things:

prohibit a member of the police from using deadly force, including a neck restraint or carotid restraint, against a person unless:

- (A) such force is necessary, as a last resort, to prevent imminent and serious bodily injury or death to the officer or another person;
- (B) the use of such forces creates no substantial risk of injury to a third person; and
- (C) <u>reasonable alternatives to the use of such force have been</u> exhausted....

The term "necessary" – as used in the bill's prohibition against deadly force except when "necessary" to protect against an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury – would be defined as follows:

<u>Necessary</u> means that another reasonable law enforcement officer would objectively conclude, under the totality of the circumstances, that there was no reasonable alternative to the use of force.

The term *reasonable alternatives* to the use of force would mean:

tactics and methods used by a law enforcement officer to effectuate an arrest that do not unreasonably increase the risk posed to the law enforcement officer or another person, including verbal communication, distance, warnings, deescalation tactics and techniques, tactical repositioning, and other tactics and techniques intended to stabilize the situation and reduce the immediacy of the risk so that more time, options, and resources can be called upon to resolve the situation without the use of force. With respect to the use of deadly force, such term includes the use of less lethal force.

Additional minimum standards of the policy would include a prohibition against striking a restrained individual, and a duty to stop another officer who is using excessive force. These minimum standards of the policy would not be subject to collective bargaining, and they would not affect standards of criminal liability or constitutional torts in courts of law.

This packet contains: Circle #
Expedited Bill 27-20
Legislative Request Report 5

Expedited Bill No. 27-20
Concerning: Police - Regulations - Use
of Force Policy
Revised: <u>06/15/2020</u> Draft No. <u>8</u>
Introduced: June 16, 2020
Expires: December 16, 2021
Enacted:
Executive:
Effective:
Sunset Date: None
Ch Laws of Mont Co

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsors: Councilmembers Jawando, Rice, Navarro, and Albornoz Co-Sponsors: Council Vice-President Hucker, Councilmember Riemer, Council President Katz, and Councilmembers Friedson and Glass

AN EXPEDITED ACT to:

- (1) require the Police Chief to adopt a policy directive regarding the use of force;
- (2) require the use of force policy to include certain minimum standards; and
- (3) generally amend the County law regarding use of force by members of the police and policing.

By adding

Montgomery County Code Chapter 35, Police Section 35-22

Boldface Heading or a defined term.

UnderliningAdded to existing law by original bill.[Single boldface brackets]Deleted from existing law by original bill.

<u>Double underlining</u> *Added by amendment.*

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

* Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following act:

Sec 1. Section 35-22 is added as follows:

35-22.	Police	use o	of force	policy –	minimum	standards.

(a) Definitions. In this Section, the following terms have the meanings indicated.

Carotid restraint means a technique applied in an effort to control or disable a subject by applying pressure to the carotid artery, the jugular vein, or the neck with the purpose or effect of controlling a subject's movement or rendering a subject unconscious by constricting the flow of blood to and from the brain.

Deadly force means force that creates a substantial risk of causing death or serious bodily injury, including the discharge of a firearm, a carotid restraint or neck restraint, and multiple discharges of an electronic control weapon.

Deescalation tactics and techniques means proactive actions and approaches used by a law enforcement officer to stabilize the situation so that more time, options, and resources are available to gain a person's voluntary compliance and reduce or eliminate the need to use force, including verbal persuasion, warnings, tactical techniques, slowing down the pace of an incident, waiting out a subject, creating distance between the officer and the threat, and requesting additional resources to resolve the incident.

<u>Necessary</u> means that another reasonable <u>law</u> enforcement <u>officer</u> would <u>objectively</u> conclude, <u>under the totality of the circumstances</u>, that there was no reasonable alternative to the use of force.

25		Neck restraint means a technique involving the use of an arm, leg, or
26		other firm object to attempt to control or disable a subject by applying
27		pressure against the windpipe or the neck with the purpose or effect or
28		controlling a subject's movement or rendering a subject unconscious
29		by blocking the passage of air through the windpipe.
30		Reasonable alternatives means tactics and methods used by a law
31		enforcement officer to effectuate an arrest that do not unreasonably
32		increase the risk posed to the law enforcement officer or another person
33		including verbal communication, distance, warnings, deescalation
34		tactics and techniques, tactical repositioning, and other tactics and
35		techniques intended to stabilize the situation and reduce the immediacy
36		of the risk so that more time, options, and resources can be called upor
37		to resolve the situation without the use of force. With respect to the use
38		of deadly force, such term includes the use of less lethal force.
39		Serious bodily injury means bodily injury that creates a substantial risk
40		of death, causes a serious, permanent disfigurement, or results in long
41		term loss or impairment of any bodily member or organ.
42		Totality of the circumstances means all credible facts known to the law
43		enforcement officer leading up to and at the time of the use of force
44		including the actions of the person against whom the law enforcemen
45		officer uses such force and the actions of the law enforcement officer.
46	<u>(b)</u>	<u>Use of force policy directive – required.</u>
47		(1) The Police Chief must issue a policy directive that establishes the
48		permissible uses of force by members of the police.

49		<u>(2)</u>	The c	directive must:
50			<u>(A)</u>	prioritize the safety and dignity of every human life;
51			<u>(B)</u>	promote fair and unbiased policing; and
52			<u>(C)</u>	protect vulnerable populations, including individuals with
53				disabilities, children, elderly persons, pregnant women,
54				persons with limited English proficiency, individuals
55				without regard to sex, including gender identity or
56				orientation, and populations that are disproportionately
57				impacted by inequities.
58	<u>(c)</u>	<u>Mini</u>	mum si	tandards. The use of force policy directive required under
59		this S	Section	must, at a minimum:
50		<u>(1)</u>	comp	oly with the Constitutions of the United States and the State
51			of Ma	aryland;
52		<u>(2)</u>	prohi	bit a member of the police from using deadly force,
63			inclu	ding a neck restraint or carotid restraint, against a person
64			unles	<u>s:</u>
65			<u>(A)</u>	such force is necessary, as a last resort, to prevent
66				imminent and serious bodily injury or death to the officer
67				or another person;
68			<u>(B)</u>	the use of such forces creates no substantial risk of injury
69				to a third person; and
70			<u>(C)</u>	reasonable alternatives to the use of such force have been
71				exhausted;
72		<u>(3)</u>	prohi	bit a member of the police from striking a restrained
				4

72			individual
73			individual;
74		<u>(4)</u>	require a member of the police to stop, or attempt to stop, another
75			officer who is using excessive force, violating the use of force
76			policy, or committing a crime; and
77		<u>(5)</u>	protect a member of the police from retaliation or discipline for
78			taking action under paragraph (4).
79	<u>(d)</u>	<u>Scope</u>	of directive. The policy directive established under this Section
80		<u>(1)</u>	must dictate the conduct of members of the county police in the
81			performance of their duties; and
82		<u>(2)</u>	must not be construed to alter standards of civil or criminal
83			liability.
84	<u>(e)</u>	Collec	ctive bargaining. The minimum standards of the policy directive
85		under	subsection (c) of this Section:
86		<u>(1)</u>	must not be construed to be mandatory subjects of collective
87			bargaining under Section 33-80(a); and
88		<u>(2)</u>	must be considered employer rights not subject to collective
89			bargaining under Section 33-80(b).
90	Sec. 2	2. Expe	edited Effective Date. The Council declares that this legislation
91	is necessary	for the	immediate protection of the public interest. This Act takes effect
92	on the date	on whic	ch it becomes law.
93	Sec. 3	3. Impl	ementation. The Police Chief must issue the use of force policy
94	required und	er this	Act within 6 months after the effective date of the Act.

LEGISLATIVE REQUEST REPORT

Expedited Bill 27-20

Police – Regulations – Use of Force Policy

DESCRIPTION: Expedited Bill 27-20 would require the Police Chief to adopt a policy

directive regarding the use of force; and require the use of force

policy to include certain minimum standards

PROBLEM: Unnecessary use of force

GOALS AND

OBJECTIVES: Set standards regarding the use of deadly force; the use of certain

carotid and neck restraints; the striking of restrained individuals; and required intervention by officers who observe another officer

violating law or policy.

COORDINATION: Police Department

FISCAL IMPACT: OMB

ECONOMIC

IMPACT: OLO

EVALUATION: To be done.

EXPERIENCE Ca

California, Seattle, San Francisco

ELSEWHERE:

SOURCE OF Christine Wellons, Legislative Attorney

INFORMATION:

APPLICATION

WITHIN

MUNICIPALITIES: N/A

PENALTIES: Pursuant to personnel rules.

 $F: LAW \setminus BILLS \setminus 2027 \ Police \ Use \ Of \ Force \setminus LRR. Docx$