

Committee: T&E

Committee Review: Completed

Staff: Keith Levchenko, Senior Legislative Analyst

Purpose: Final action – vote expected

Keywords: #NPDESPermit and Stormwater Management

AGENDA ITEM #4B April 13, 2021

Action

SUBJECT

Action: FY20 Financial Assurance Plan to Meet the Requirements of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit

DESCRIPTION/ISSUE

- On February 11, 2021 the County Executive transmitted his recommended FY20 Financial Assurance Plan
 (FAP) to meet the Requirements of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)
 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit.
- A public hearing was held on March 9, 2021.
- The T&E Committee discussed the FAP on March 22, 2021 and recommended approval.

FAP Process

- Each NPDES Phase I MS4 jurisdiction must submit a FAP to the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) every two years. The County submitted its FY18 FAP in February 2019.
- o The FAP includes:
 - o Actions required to meet MS4 permit requirements,
 - Annual and projected 5-year costs to meet the impervious surface restoration plan(ISRP) requirement, more commonly known as the 20% restoration requirement in the County's current (2010 2015) permit,
 - Annual and projected 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used toward meeting the 20% restoration requirement in the 2010 2015 permit,
 - Any and all sources of funds used toward meeting MS4 permit requirements; and
 - All specific actions and expenditures undertaken in the previous fiscal years to meet the 20% restoration requirement.
- The Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection completed the FY20 FAP based on the Approved FY21 Operating Budget and the Approved and Amended FY21-26 Capital Improvements Program.
- Based on feedback from MDE, DEP revised the FAP to include planned work through FY25 (not just completed work credited through the expired permit). The updated FAP (dated March 2, 2021 is attached.
- o An updated resolution for Council action is attached on ©4.

Status of County's NPDES-MS4 Permit

- The County's most recent NDPES-MS4 permit expired in 2015. The County's 20% restoration requirement was met as of December 31, 2018. Restoration work is ongoing and will be credited under the next permit.
- MDE issued a draft permit in June 2020. For information on the draft permit, please see the <u>Council</u> <u>Staff Report</u> from an October 12, 2020 T&E Committee Briefing on the NPDES-MS4 permit.

• A "Notice of Tentative Determination" was issued in October 2020. A final permit may be issued as early as this summer.

This report contains:

Council Staff Report from the March 22, 2021 T&E Committee meeting

| • | Council Staff Memorandum | Page 1 - 3 |
|---|---|------------|
| • | CE Transmittal Letter | ©1-3 |
| • | Draft Resolution | ©4-6 |
| • | Financial Assurance Plan FY20 (Updated March 2, 2021) | ©7-31 |

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may submit alternative format requests to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov

MEMORANDUM

March 17, 2021

TO: Transportation & Environment Committee

FROM: Keith Levchenko, Senior Legislative Analyst

SUBJECT: 2020 National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate

Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit Financial Assurance Plan

PURPOSE: To discuss Montgomery County's NPDES-MS4 Permit 2020 Financial Assurance Plan

Draft and make recommendations to the Council

Council Staff Recommendation: Approve the 2020 Financial Assurance Plan

Expected Participants

Adam Ortiz, Director, Department of Environmental Protection (DEP)
Patty Bubar, Deputy Director, DEP
Frank Dawson, Chief, Watershed Management Division, DEP

Amy Stevens, Manager, Stormwater Facility Inspection and Maintenance

Rich Harris, Office of Management and Budget

This report contains:

CE Transmittal Letter ©1-3
Draft Resolution ©4-6
Financial Assurance Plan FY20 (Updated March 2, 2021) ©7-31

On February 11, 2021, the County Executive transmitted Montgomery County's NPDES-MS4 Permit 2018 Financial Assurance Plan (FAP) for Council approval (see ©4-22). A draft resolution and certification are attached on ©1-3. A public hearing was held on March 9.

¹ A listing of the Best Management Practices (BMPs) and their abbreviations used in the FAP is attached on the table beginning on ©21.

Maryland law (Md. Code Ann., Envir. §4-202.1(j)(4)(ii)) (SB 863, enacted in 2015) requires that each NPDES Phase I MS4 jurisdiction submit a FAP to the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) every two years. The County submitted its FY18 FAP in February 2019.

The FAP must demonstrate:

- o Actions required to meet MS4 permit requirements,
- Annual and projected 5-year costs to meet the impervious surface restoration plan (ISRP) requirement, more commonly known as the 20% restoration requirement in the County's current (2010 2015) permit,
- \circ Annual and projected 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used toward meeting the 20% restoration requirement in the 2010 2015 permit,
- o Any and all sources of funds used toward meeting MS4 permit requirements; and
- O All specific actions and expenditures undertaken in the previous fiscal years to meet the 20% restoration requirement.

The Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection completed the FY20 FAP based on the Approved FY21 Operating Budget and the Approved and Amended FY21-26 Capital Improvements Program.

Based on feedback from MDE, DEP revised the FAP to include planned work through FY25 (not just completed work credited to the expired permit). The updated FAP (dated March 2, 2021 is attached.

Discussion

Permit Status

The County's most recent NDPES-MS4 permit expired in 2015. The County's 20% restoration requirement was met as of December 31, 2018. Restoration work is ongoing and will be credited under the next permit.

MDE issued a draft permit in June 2020. For more information on the draft permit, please see the <u>Council Staff Report</u> from the T&E Briefing on October 12, 2020.² A "Notice of Tentative Determination" was issued in October 2020. The public comment period ended in January. A final permit may be issued as early as this summer.

DEP has completed some restoration work since the last permit goal was achieved and has 7 projects in design now. This additional work will be credited to the new permit. Going forward, DEP is preparing to launch a Request for Procurement (RFP) for a Managing Contractor, who will be responsible for overseeing the selection, design, permitting and construction of projects to meet the new permit's restoration goal. This RFP is substantially revised from the "design-build-maintain" project that was initially proposed under the prior County Executive (and discussed extensively at the

²

²As the Council Staff Report from last October notes, "The overall acreage restoration requirement in the draft permit is 1,814 impervious acres. Although significantly lower than the 20 percent requirement (3,778 acres) in the prior permit, this is higher than the maximum extent practicable amount (1,649) that had been previously reported by the County to MDE based on the County's approved Stormwater Management Capital Improvements Program. The draft permit also includes annual retrofit benchmarks.

Council). DEP staff will be available to provide a summary of the status of the draft permit and DEP's new RFP.

FAP Discussion

- The submission of this FAP to MDE does not affect the County's NPDES-MS4 obligations (either under the prior 2010-2015 permit nor under the draft permit expected to be issued later this year).
- The FAP's structure (i.e., the five tables) was designed by MDE to be used by all applicable NPDES-MS4 jurisdictions in Maryland.
- The County's 2010 through 2015 permit had an impervious surface restoration requirement which equaled 3,778 acres. The County met this requirement as of December 31, 2018. Both the operational programs and capital projects completed to meet this requirement under the old permit are presented in the FAP on ©21-31. The total costs through the end of FY18 to meet the restoration requirement of 3,778 acres shown are \$99.4 million.
 - Operational programs include programs such as catch basin cleaning and street sweeping, which must be done on a regular basis to be counted as providing ISRP credits.
 - Capital projects include installation of best management practices such as green streets, stream restoration work, and stormwater management pond upgrades.
- At MDE's request, given that the County is between permits, this report also presents operational programs and capital projects projected to occur from FY21 through FY25. The first table (All Actions, on ©11-14) shows a total impervious surface restored of 5,592 (the combined goals of the expired permit and the current draft permit).
- The costs and revenues included in the FAP balance, which reflects that expenditures (planned and/or programmed) are sufficient to meet the draft permit requirements (in addition to the County already having met the expired permit requirements).

Changes to the FAP Since Council Introduction

As noted earlier, based on feedback from MDE, DEP revised the FAP to include planned and programmed work through FY25 (not just completed work credited through the expired permit).

Council Staff Recommendation

The FAP process is primarily intended to show how a jurisdiction will meet its ISRP requirements in its MS4 permit and that it has sufficient resources planned/programmed to do so. The County's FAP presents both how the expired permit's conditions were met and that the County has sufficient work planned and resources projected going forward to meet the impervious surface restoration requirements in its next permit. Council Staff recommends approval of the latest draft of the 2020 Financial Assurance Plan.

Attachments

KML:f:\levchenko\dep\npdes permit\fy20 fap\t&e npdes financial assurance plan 3 22 2021.docx



OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Marc Elrich
County Executive

MEMORANDUM

February 11, 2021

TO: Tom Hucker, President

Montgomery County Council

FROM: Marc Elrich, County Executive Many last

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2020 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Municipal

Separate Storm Sewer System Permit Financial Assurance Plan

The purpose of this memorandum is to transmit Montgomery County's Fiscal Year 2020 (FY20) Financial Assurance Plan (FAP) for County Council approval. This FAP demonstrates that the County had sufficient funding to complete the impervious surface restoration plan (ISRP), as required in the 2010 Maryland Phase I National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit. In addition, this FAP demonstrates that the County has dedicated revenues, funds, or sources of funds to continue to meet requirements associated with improving water quality in the County.

In May 2015, revisions to Section 4-202.1 (*Watershed Protection and Restoration Programs*) of the Environment Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, were signed into law. Previously, the law required each Phase I NPDES MS4 jurisdiction to establish a stormwater remediation fee. These revisions removed that requirement (although jurisdictions still have the option of establishing a stormwater remediation fee). However, jurisdictions are still responsible for generating the necessary funds to ensure that all MS4 permit requirements are satisfied.

The 2015 revisions to Section 4-202.1 of the Environment Article require all Phase I MS4 Permit jurisdictions to submit a FAP demonstrating that the jurisdictions will have adequate funding to meet their permit requirements for impervious surface restoration. Each of those jurisdictions was required to submit its initial FAP to the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) by July 1, 2016, and a subsequent FAP every two years thereafter on the anniversary date of their MS4 permit. Each FAP must detail the following:

Marc Elrich, County Executive February 11, 2021 Page 2

- All actions required to meet MS4 Permit requirements;
- Annual and projected five-year costs necessary to meet the ISRP
- requirement, more commonly known as the 20% restoration requirement in current permits;
- Annual and projected five-year revenues that will be used toward meeting the 20% restoration requirement;
- Any and all sources of funds used toward meeting MS4 Permit requirements; and
- All specific actions and expenditures undertaken in the previous fiscal years to meet the 20% restoration requirement.

The County's current permit was issued on February 16, 2010, with a requirement to restore 20% of the uncontrolled impervious surfaces in the County's MS4 service area. That requirement was met on December 28, 2018 and certified by MDE on November 25, 2019. Since completing the ISRP in the 2010 MS4 permit, the County has continued to implement restoration programs and projects that will be credited towards the County's next permit, anticipated to be issued in Summer 2021.

The FAP format is an excel workbook developed by MDE to capture of the information needed to meet the requirements of the law. The information provided to MDE in the workbooks covers the costs of implementing the ISRP in the current permit, as well as expenditures and revenues for the work that has continued, and the work that is projected in FY21 through FY25. The expenditures cover the ongoing operational responsibilities of the current permit such as street sweeping and inlet cleaning as well as the debt service payments for the two water quality protection bonds and state revolving loan issued to fund implementation of the ISRP. The Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection completed the FAP using the approved FY21 Operating budget and the approved amended FY21-FY26 CIP budget. These budgets requested the full amount to complete the ISRP in the current permit, as well as the ongoing operational responsibilities and continued restoration work.

Environment Article Section 4-202.1(j)(3) requires a jurisdiction's local governing body to hold a public hearing and approve the FAP before it can be submitted to MDE. Attached please find the Resolution xx-xxxx to introduce the FAP to Council.

Once the Council approves the FAP, the County Executive or Chief Financial Officer must sign the attached FAP Certification page verifying that Council approved the FAP following a public hearing, and that the FAP is true, accurate and complete.

If you have any questions concerning this statutory mandate or require additional information, please contact Patty Bubar, Deputy Director of the Department of Environmental Protection, at 240-777-7786.

Marc Elrich, County Executive February 11, 2021 Page 3

Attachments:

Montgomery County's NPDES MS4 Permit Fiscal Year 2020 Financial Assurance Plan Resolution XX Approval of Montgomery County's Fiscal Year 2020 Financial Assurance Plan Financial Assurance Plan Certification

cc: Richard Madaleno, Chief Administrative Officer
Michael Coveyou, Director, Department of Finance
Jennifer Bryant, Office of Management and Budget
Marc Hansen, Office of the County Attorney
Dale Tibbitts, Office of the County Executive
Debbie Spielberg, Office of the County Executive

| Resolution No.: | |
|-----------------|--|
| Introduced: | |
| Adopted: | |

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the Request of the County Executive

SUBJECT: Financial Assurance Plan to Meet the Requirements of the National Pollution

Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

(MS4) Permit

Background

- 1. The Financial Assurance Plan (FAP) is required by amendments to Section 4-202.1 (Watershed protection and restoration program) of the Environment Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, which were added in 2015 to ensure that each National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) jurisdiction will have adequate funding to meet its Phase I MS4 permit requirement for impervious surface restoration.
- 2. Each NPDES Phase I MS4 jurisdiction must submit a FAP to the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) by July 1, 2016, and every two years thereafter on the anniversary date of its MS4 permit, that details the following:
 - a. Actions required to meet MS4 permit requirements;
 - b. Annual and projected 5-year costs to meet the impervious surface restoration plan (ISRP) requirement, more commonly known as the 20 percent restoration requirement in the County's current permit;
 - c. Annual and projected 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used toward meeting the 20 percent restoration requirement;
 - d. Any and all sources of funds used toward meeting MS4 permit requirements; and
 - e. All specific actions and expenditures undertaken in the previous fiscal years to meet the 20 percent restoration requirement.
- 3. The County is required to submit the information for the FAP using a template provided by MDE.
- 4. The Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection completed the FY20 FAP using the approved FY21 operating budget and the approved and amended FY21-26 CIP budget.

- 5. MDE will determine whether the FAP demonstrates sufficient funding within 90 days after County filing.
- 6. The first FAP was filed on June 30, 2016, as required by law, and showed sufficient funding to meet the projected costs of compliance with the impervious surface restoration requirements under the County's 2010 MS4 permit.
- 7. Subsequent FAPs are filed biennially on February 15th, the anniversary of the effective date of the County's 2010 MS4 Permit.
- 8. This revised FAP demonstrates sufficient funding for the completion of 100 percent of the Impervious Surface Restoration Plan (ISRP) requirements under the County's 2010 MS4 permit, as well as the costs for continuing the operational programs associated with the 2010 permit and costs projected for the next MS4 permit, anticipated to be issued in summer 2021.
- 9. This FAP demonstrates that the County has dedicated revenues, funds, or sources of funds to continue to meet requirements associated with improving water quality in the County.
- 10. A public hearing was held on March 9, 2021.

Action

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the FY20 Financial Assurance Plan, which demonstrates sufficient funding for the completion of 100 percent of the ISRP requirements under the County's 2010 MS4 permit, as well as the costs for continuing the operational programs associated with the 2010 permit and costs projected for the next MS4 permit.

| Selena Mendy Singleton, Esq. | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Clerk of the Council | |

This is a correct copy of Council action.

Attachment 1

CERTIFICATION

WHEREAS, the provisions of § 4-202.1 of the Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland require Montgomery County to file a financial assurance plan to the Maryland Department of the Environment that demonstrates that it has sufficient funding to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements of the (County's/City's) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of this law require that "a county or municipality may not file a financial assurance plan under this subsection until the local governing body of the county or municipality: (i) Holds a public hearing on the financial assurance plan; and (ii) Approves the financial assurance plan."

NOW, THEREFORE, I certify that:

- 1. A public hearing was held on the financial assurance plan on March 9, 2021;
- 2. The local governing body approves the aforementioned financial assurance plan; and
- 3. Under penalty of law, the information in this financial assurance plan is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

| Signature of County Executive | Date |
|----------------------------------|------|
| | |
| | |
| Printed Name of County Executive | |

Montgomery County's Fiscal Year 2020 Financial Assurance Plan Draft February 15, 2021 Update March 2, 2021

Executive Summary

The submission of Montgomery County's third Financial Assurance Plan (FAP) to the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) fulfills requirements specified in Section 4-202.1 of the Environment Article, Maryland Code. This plan is being filed with MDE in order to document all actions implemented by Montgomery County to comply with its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit (06-DP-3320 MD0068349, effective February 16, 2010), document annual and projected 5 year costs to meet the impervious surface restoration (ISR) requirement in the 2010 MS4 Permit and demonstrate the County's ability to pay for these activities through the Water Quality Protection Charge (WQPC). The FAP addresses the activities completed for FY10 through FY20, and the planned and programmed activities from FY21 through FY25. The costs for completing the ISR requirement in the 2010 permit are included as well as the costs for continuing the operational programs associated with the 2010 permit and costs projected for the next MS4 permit, anticipated to be issued in summer 2021.

Montgomery County's 2010 MS4 permit covers stormwater discharges from the MS4 in the County and requires the implementation of restoration in a watershed, or combination of watersheds, to restore 20% of the impervious surface area that is not restored to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). The County's baseline, which has previously been approved by MDE, identifies 18,889 impervious acres with either no or only partial stormwater treatment, requiring the equivalent of 3,778 impervious acres to be restored to meet the 20% criterion.

The completion and submission of the FAP is required every two years. According to the requirements for completing the attached FAP, the actual expenditures are reported for all restoration activities that are credited toward the ISR goal in the 2010 MS4 permit, as well as ongoing restoration activities that will be credited toward the County's next MS4 permit. These activities include a variety of projects with a variety of funding sources as described below.

- Capital Improvements Program (CIP) projects funded by the WQPC, Other Departmental Funds and the General Fund
- CIP projects funded by General Obligation Bonds, Water Quality Protection Revenue Bonds
- CIP projects partially funded by State and Federal Grants
- CIP projects funded by MD Water Quality Revolving Loans
- Operational programs including street sweeping, inlet cleaning and septic pumping

Other restoration activities include voluntary best management practice (BMP) implementation, tree planting, septic system connections to sanitary sewer, septic system upgrades to denitrification systems, and redevelopment projects treating existing uncontrolled impervious areas. Funding for voluntary BMP implementation includes WQPC funds made available through the County's Watershed Management Grants and RainScapes programs and earned WQPC credits. Funding for tree planting includes the County's Tree Canopy Conservation Fund, other departmental funds and state grants. Costs for septic system connections to the sanitary sewer system are managed through the Washington Sanitary Sewer Commission (WSSC); County funds are not used for this activity. Septic system upgrades to denitrification systems are funded through Bay Restoration Fund (BRF) grants. BMPs installed to treat existing untreated impervious areas as part of the development and redevelopment processes are paid for by the developer.

The County's MS4 permit was issued on February 16, 2010 with the requirement that the 20% impervious surface restoration (ISR) plan be completed by the end of the permit term (February 15, 2015). When the County's permit expired in 2015, only 1,774 acres had been restored. The County and MDE signed a consent decree in April 2018 requiring completion of the ISR plan by December 31, 2020, as well as a penalty of \$300,000 that could be put towards implementation of supplemental environmental projects (SEPs) completed no later than December 31, 2020. The County's expired permit remains in effect until a new permit is issued.

The County completed the required SEPs in October 2018 and completed the 20% ISR plan in December 2018. On October 1, 2019, the County submitted its final Revised Consent Decree Report showing that the County met the ISR goal in the 2010 MS4 permit ahead of schedule. MDE certified that the County had successfully met the ISR goal and subsequently approved the revised CD report on November 25, 2019. The Office of the County Attorney (OCA) then worked with the Assistant Attorney General to file a satisfaction of judgement with the court, and an order terminating the CD was signed on December 30, 2019, officially closing the enforcement action.

A major tenet of the FAP is to demonstrate the financial wherewithal for meeting the MS4 permit ISR requirements. Since meeting the ISR goal in the 2010 MS4 permit at the end of calendar year 2018, the County has continued to implement restoration programs and projects that will be credited towards the County's next permit, which is anticipated to be issued in Summer 2021. This FAP is a combination of expenditures related to specific projects that were completed through the first half of FY19 to meet the 2010 MS4 permit ISR goal (see Table 5 Specific Actions), as well as expenditures and revenues for the work that has continued since meeting the goal, and the work that is projected to continue in FY21 through FY25 (see Table 1 All Actions).

It is important to note that the FAP, by design, does not capture all of the expenditures associated with implementation of the County's MS4 program as a whole. The FAP is focused mainly on expenditures related to ISR. There are some projects that treat impervious areas at no cost to the county and inclusion of these projects makes the cost of ISR appear artificially low. In addition, once built, CIP projects must be inspected and maintained to ensure that they continue to provide water quality treatment as designed. In this FAP, the County has included operations and CIP

costs for maintenance of BMPs and storm drain infrastructure. These costs were not reflected in the County's prior FAPs.

It is also important to note the following specific differences between Table 2 (projected annual and 5-year costs) and Tables 1 (actions required to meet the permit requirements) and 5 (specific actions and expenditures):

- Tables 1 and 5 include implementation of BMPs that were paid for using Operating funds and are therefore presented under Operational Programs rather than under Capital Projects.
- Some of the line items for Operating programs like RainScapes in Table 2 reflect higher costs than their corresponding line items in Tables 1 and 5. This is because Tables 1 and 5 only provide the rebate amount for each project, whereas Table 2 captures personnel, equipment, and other administrative costs associated with the RainScapes program.
- The Capital Expenditures in Table 2 include planning, personnel and project management expenditures associated with projects that will be completed to satisfy future permit requirements. These costs are not easily separated from the CIP expenditures. Furthermore, the expenditures in Table 2 include work that the County has continued since meeting the ISR goal in December 2018. As a result, the Capital Expenditures in Table 2 exceed the total implementation cost of CIP projects in Tables 1 and 5.

| MS4 Information | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Jurisdiction | Montgomery County | | | | | |
| Contact Name | Amy Stevens | | | | | |
| Phone | 240-777-7766 | | | | | |
| Address | 2425 Reedie Drive, 4th Floor | | | | | |
| City | Wheaton | | | | | |
| State | MD | | | | | |
| Zip | 20902 | | | | | |
| Email | Amy.Stevens@Montgomerycountymd.gov | | | | | |
| Impervious Acre Baseline (Untreated Acres) | 3,778 | | | | | |
| Permit Number | 06-DP-3320 MD0068349 | | | | | |
| Reporting Year | 2020 | | | | | |

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

Should match Permit Info table of Geodatabase.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)1: Actions that will be required of the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Note: To identify all "actions" required under the MS4 permit, provide an executive summary of the jurisdiction's MS4 programs. See MDE's FAP Guidance. For proposed actions to meet the impervious surface restoration plan, fill in the table below.

Baseline: 3,778

| REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | IMP ACRES | IMPL COST | % ISRP COMPLETE | IMPL STATUS ² | PROJECTED IMPL YR³ |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Operational Programs⁴ | | | | | | TK- |
| CBC | А | 0 | \$2,856,455 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| VSS | А | 0 | \$1,550,559 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| VSS(24x/YR) | А | 33 | \$460,000 | 0.9% | Complete | |
| VSS(24x/YR) | А | 33 | \$230,000 | 0.9% | Under Construction | FY21 |
| VSS(24x/YR) | А | 33 | \$230,000 | 0.9% | Planning | FY22 |
| VSS(24x/YR) | А | 33 | \$230,000 | 0.9% | Planning | FY23 |
| VSS(24x/YR) | А | 33 | \$230,000 | 0.9% | Planning | FY24 |
| VSS(24x/YR) | Α | 33 | \$230,000 | 0.9% | Planning | FY25 |
| SEPP | A | 0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| SEPD | А | 36 | \$0 | 1.0% | Complete | |
| SEPC | A | 154 | \$0 | 4.1% | Complete | |
| MRNG | E | 36 | \$1,235,735 | 1.0% | Complete | |
| MRWH | E | 17 | \$86,990 | 0.5% | Complete | |
| FPU | А | 102 | \$4,009,353 | 2.7% | Complete | |
| FPU | А | 7 | \$1,050,000 | 0.2% | Under Construction | FY21 |
| FPU | A | 7 | \$1,050,000 | 0.2% | Planning | FY22 |
| FPU | А | 7 | \$1,050,000 | 0.2% | Planning | FY23 |
| FPU | А | 7 | \$1,050,000 | 0.2% | Planning | FY24 |
| FPU | А | 7 | \$1,050,000 | 0.2% | Planning | FY25 |
| IMPP | А | 1 | \$32,621 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| APRP | E | 4 | \$226,838 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| MIDW | E | 2 | \$49,249 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| MSWB | E | 0 | \$25,007 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| ESD | E | 28 | \$360,000 | 0.8% | Complete | |

(11)

| REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | IMP ACRES | IMPL COST | % ISRP COMPLETE | IMPL STATUS ² | PROJECTED IMPL |
|--|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | YR³ |
| AGRE | Е | 1 | \$9,900 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| ESD* | E | 3 | \$180,000 | 0.1% | Planning | FY21 |
| ESD* | Е | 3 | \$180,000 | 0.1% | Planning | FY22 |
| ESD* | Е | 3 | \$180,000 | 0.1% | Planning | FY23 |
| ESD* | Е | 3 | \$180,000 | 0.1% | Planning | FY24 |
| ESD* | Е | 3 | \$180,000 | 0.1% | Planning | FY25 |
| Average Operations Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022)⁵ | | 53 | 2,920,000 | 1% | | |
| Average Operations Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025)⁵ | | 83 | 7,300,000 | 2% | | |
| Average Operations All Years⁵ | | 465 | 18,202,708 | 12% | | |
| Capital Projects | | | | | | |
| APRP | E | 7 | \$2,681,612 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| BMP** | A/E/S | 182 | \$12,800,000 | 4.8% | Planning | FY24 |
| BMP** | A/E/S | 279 | \$22,547,000 | 7.4% | Planning | FY25 |
| FBIO | E | 31 | \$6,367,817 | 0.8% | Complete | |
| FPU | Α | 3 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| FSND | S | 1 | \$104,021 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| FSND+STRE | S | 10 | \$1,184,443 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| FUND | S | 1 | \$372,667 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| GABION | Α | 2 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| IMPF | Α | 0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| IMPP | Α | 0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| ITRN | S | 1 | \$187,983 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| MMBR | E | 33 | \$11,605,028 | 0.9% | Complete | |
| MMBR | E | 19 | \$2,451,696 | 0.5% | Proposed | FY22 |
| MRNG | E | 25 | \$5,467,512 | 0.7% | Complete | |
| MSWG | E | 37 | \$2,849,483 | 1.0% | Complete | |
| ОТН | [blank] | 0 | \$7,937,569 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| ОТН | [blank] | 0 | \$2,000,000 | 0.0% | Planning | FY23 |
| ОТН | [blank] | 0 | \$1,500,000 | 0.0% | Planning | FY24 |

| REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | IMP ACRES | IMPL COST | % ISRP COMPLETE | IMPL STATUS ² | PROJECTED IMPL YR³ |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| ОТН | [blank] | 0 | \$1,500,000 | 0.0% | Planning | FY25 |
| OUTS | Α | 39 | \$1,373,600 | 1.0% | Complete | |
| PWED | S | 842 | \$26,494,083 | 22.3% | Complete | |
| PWET | S | 162 | \$9,390,447 | 4.3% | Complete | |
| PWET | S | 3 | \$920,000 | 0.1% | Proposed | FY22 |
| PWET~ | S | 0 | \$4,747,000 | 0.0% | Proposed | FY22 |
| PWET | S | 95 | \$5,559,000 | 2.5% | Proposed | FY23 |
| PWET~ | S | 0 | \$1,159,000 | 0.0% | Proposed | FY23 |
| PWET | S | 4 | \$700,000 | 0.1% | Proposed | FY24 |
| PWET^ | S | 0 | \$4,500,000 | 0.0% | Proposed | FY25 |
| STRE | Α | 1,107 | \$17,436,407 | 29.3% | Complete | |
| STRE | Α | 349 | \$6,559,328 | 9.2% | Under Construction | FY21 |
| STRE | Α | 23 | \$2,721,000 | 0.6% | Proposed | FY22 |
| STRE~ | Α | 0 | \$4,774,000 | 0.0% | Under Construction | FY22 |
| STRE | Α | 49 | \$7,398,528 | 1.3% | Proposed | FY23 |
| WEDW | S | 24 | \$0 | 0.6% | Complete | |
| WPWS | S | 6 | \$831,778 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| WSHW | S | 141 | \$784,282 | 3.7% | Complete | |
| XDED | S | 482 | \$24,007,787 | 12.8% | Complete | |
| XDPD~ | S | 0 | \$713,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| XDPD~ | S | 0 | \$2,401,000 | 0.0% | Under Construction | FY21 |
| XDPD~ | S | 0 | \$2,700,000 | 0.0% | Planning | FY25 |
| хотн | Х | 130 | \$445,035 | 3.5% | Complete | |
| Subtotal Capital Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022) | | 394 | 24,574,024 | 10% | | |
| Subtotal Capital Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025) | | 723 | 64,390,551 | 19% | | |
| Subtotal Capital All Years | | 4,087 | 207,172,104 | 108% | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| REDE | E/S | 933 | \$615,387 | 25% | Complete | |
| REDE | E/S | 22 | \$207,000 | 0.6% | Planning | FY21 |

(13)

| REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | IMP ACRES | IMPL COST | % ISRP COMPLETE | IMPL STATUS ² | PROJECTED IMPL YR³ |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| REDE | E/S | 22 | \$207,000 | 0.6% | Planning | FY22 |
| REDE | E/S | 22 | \$207,000 | 0.6% | Planning | FY23 |
| REDE | E/S | 22 | \$207,000 | 0.6% | Planning | FY24 |
| REDE | E/S | 22 | \$207,000 | 0.6% | Planning | FY25 |
| Subtotal Other Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022) | | 43 | 414,000 | 1% | | |
| Subtotal Other Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025) | | 108 | 1,035,000 | 3% | | |
| Subtotal Other All Years | | 1,041 | \$1,650,387 | 28% | | |
| Total Next Two Years (FY2021-FY2022) | | 491 | 27,908,024 | 13% | | |
| Total Next Five Years (FY2021-FY2025) | | 914 | 72,725,551 | 24% | | |
| Total All Years (for ISRP goal) | | 5,592 | 227,025,198 | 148% | | |

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

Type, class, impervious acres, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoly, and RestBMP)- aggregated by type and status.

Notes:

- 1. Use BMP domains from the MS4 Geodatabase.
- 2. Complete, Under Construction, Planning, or Proposed.
- 3. Use Fiscal Year (FY)
- 4. For street sweeping indicate the annual frequency that the streets are swept, and for storm drain or catch basin cleaning report the pounds of material removed.
- 5. Average IMP ACRES for Operational BMPs should be the average of BMP 1 + the average of BMP 2, etc. IMPL COST is a summation and not an average.
- *Voluntary projects
- **Planned projects, actual BMP has not been determined
- ~ Maintenance and Repair Project

| REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | IMP ACRES | IMPL COST | % ISRP COMPLETE | IMPL STATUS ² | PROJECTED IMPL |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | YR³ |

[^]Flooding Mitigation Project

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)2: Projected annual and 5-year costs for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| | PAST | CURRENT | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | TOTAL |
|---|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | UP THRU | YEAR | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | YEAR 5 | COSTS |
| DESCRIPTION | FY 2019 ^a | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | |
| Operating Expenditures (costs) | | | | | | | | |
| Street Sweeping Program | \$1,810,952 | \$229,220 | \$231,160 | \$231,160 | \$231,160 | \$231,160 | \$231,160 | \$3,195,972 |
| Inlet Cleaning | \$3,316,913 | \$155,664 | \$460,000 | \$460,000 | \$460,000 | \$460,000 | \$460,000 | \$5,772,577 |
| DEP Tree Montgomery | \$1,831,529 | \$531,234 | \$816,700 | \$816,700 | \$816,700 | \$816,700 | \$816,700 | \$6,446,263 |
| DEP Watershed Grants | \$821,723 | \$345,442 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 | \$3,167,165 |
| New BMPs treating existing (Drainage Area | | | | | | | | |
| Delineation)/Redevelopment | \$818,828 | \$118,829 | \$207,000 | \$207,000 | \$207,000 | \$207,000 | \$207,000 | \$1,972,657 |
| SWM BMP Inspection and Maintenance | \$17,786,537 | \$10,242,020 | \$10,683,245 | \$10,800,215 | \$10,882,122 | \$10,966,507 | \$11,053,424 | \$82,414,070 |
| Debt Service Payment | \$30,278,476 | \$6,100,007 | \$8,166,992 | \$8,607,600 | \$9,045,400 | \$9,114,950 | \$9,121,650 | \$80,435,075 |
| RainScapes | \$4,464,825 | \$1,172,832 | \$1,022,060 | \$1,043,532 | \$1,065,719 | \$1,088,576 | \$1,112,119 | \$10,969,663 |
| Capital Expenditures (costs) | | | | | | | | |
| G.O Bonds | \$1,645,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,645,000 |
| General Fund (Paygo) | \$390,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$390,000 |
| Fed Aid (Grants) | \$331,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,670,000 | \$330,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,331,000 |
| State Aid (Grants) | \$24,262,082 | \$0 | \$1,660,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$2,180,000 | \$2,680,000 | \$2,060,000 | \$35,842,082 |
| Water Quality Protection Charge (CIP) (Paygo) | \$23,540,922 | \$3,585,412 | \$6,150,000 | \$4,300,000 | \$3,230,000 | \$2,590,000 | \$3,640,000 | \$47,036,334 |
| Water Quality Protection Revenue Bonds | \$74,243,383 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$74,243,383 |
| Stormwater Management Waiver Fee (Paygo) | \$2,321,000 | \$0 | \$200,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$180,000 | \$3,241,000 |
| Contributions (Paygo) | \$844,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$844,000 |
| MD Water Quality Revolving Loan | \$4,391,707 | \$5,575,012 | \$13,110,000 | \$16,380,000 | \$10,950,000 | \$10,140,000 | \$10,250,000 | \$70,796,719 |
| Intergrovernmental (Paygo) | \$156,005 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$156,005 |
| Subtotal Operation and Paygo: | \$88,381,709 | \$22,480,660 | \$28,337,157 | \$27,046,207 | \$26,518,101 | \$26,054,893 | \$27,222,053 | \$246,040,780 |
| Total Expenditures: | \$193,254,881 | \$28,055,671 | \$43,107,157 | \$49,096,207 | \$39,978,101 | \$38,874,893 | \$39,532,053 | \$431,898,963 |

Total ISRP costs except debt service: \$351,463,888
Compare ISRP costs (except debt service) / total ISRP actions: 155%

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

The total current FY2020 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_COST" and "CAP_COST" fields in the Fiscal Analyses table of the geodatabase.

The total projected FY2021 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_BUDGET" and "CAP_BUDGET" fields in the Fiscal Analyses table of the geodatabase.



Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)3: Projected annual and 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used to meet the cost for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| | PAST | CURRENT | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | TOTAL NEXT | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | UP THRU | YEAR | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | YEAR 5 | 2-YEARS | |
| DESCRIPTION | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 21-22 ¹ | |
| Annual Revenue ² | | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriated for | | | | | | | | | |
| ISRP | \$193,254,881 | \$28,055,671 | \$43,107,157 | \$49,096,207 | \$39,978,101 | \$38,874,893 | \$39,532,053 | \$92,203,364 | \$431,898,963 |
| Annual Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| towards ISRP ³ | \$193,254,881 | \$28,055,671 | \$43,107,157 | \$49,096,207 | \$39,978,101 | \$38,874,893 | \$39,532,053 | \$92,203,364 | \$431,898,963 |

Compare revenue appropriated / annual costs: 100%

WPRP 2020 Reporting Criteria: 100%

ISRP = Impervious Surface Restoration Program

Notes:

- 1. Article 4-202.1(j)(2): Demonstration that county or municipality has sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent fiscal year budgets to meet its estimated cost for the 2-year period immediately following the filing date of the FAP.
- $2. \ \ Revenue\ means\ "dedicated\ revenues, funds, or sources\ of\ funds\ (per\ Article\ 4-202.1(j)(4)(ii)).$
- 3. See table of ISRP Cost.


Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)4: Any sources of funds that will be utilized by the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I

Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| | | PAST | | CURRENT | - | PROJECTED | | PROJECTED | | PROJECTED | ı | PROJECTED | F | ROJECTED | TOTAL |
|---|-----|----------------|-------|----------------|-----|-----------------|------|----------------|------|-------------------|--------|------------|----|------------|-------------------|
| | | UP THRU | | YEAR | | YEAR 1 | | YEAR 2 | | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | | | YEAR 5 | NEXT FIVE |
| SOURCE | | FY 2019 | | FY 2020 | | FY 2021 | | FY 2022 | | FY 2023 | | FY 2024 | | FY 2025 | YEARS |
| Paygo Sources | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Quality Protection Charge | \$ | 256,651,425 | \$ | 38,022,503 | \$ | 39,245,668 | \$ | 41,491,400 | \$ | 43,023,800 | \$ | 43,939,200 | \$ | 45,894,380 | \$ 213,594,448 |
| Investment Income | \$ | 1,589,734 | \$ | 755,645 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ 3,750,000 |
| Miscellaneous | \$ | 28,127 | \$ | 71,079 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| BMP Monitoring Fee | \$ | 1,317,410 | \$ | 42,412 | \$ | 47,500 | \$ | 47,500 | \$ | 47,500 | \$ | 47,500 | \$ | 47,500 | \$ 237,500 |
| Bag Tax Revenue | \$ | 18,373,766 | \$ | 2,618,409 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ 12,500,000 |
| Tree Canopy Fees | \$ | 3,566,274 | \$ | 496,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ 3,750,000 |
| General Fund (DEP) | \$ | 390,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Other Departmental Funds (DOT,DPS,DGS) | \$ | 38,775,304 | \$ | 4,437,283 | \$ | 4,437,283 | \$ | 4,437,283 | \$ | 4,437,283 | \$ | 4,437,283 | \$ | 4,437,283 | \$ 22,186,415 |
| Stormwater Management Waiver Fees | \$ | 2,321,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | 180,000 | \$ | 180,000 | \$ | 180,000 | \$ | 180,000 | \$ 920,000 |
| Solid Waste Fund | \$ | 60,748,282 | \$ | 6,075,386 | \$ | 6,075,386 | \$ | 6,075,386 | \$ | 6,075,386 | \$ | 6,075,386 | \$ | 6,075,386 | \$ 30,376,930 |
| Subtotal Paygo Sources | \$ | 383,761,322 | \$ | 52,518,717 | \$ | 54,005,837 | \$ | 56,231,569 | \$ | 57,763,969 | \$ | 58,679,369 | \$ | 60,634,549 | \$ 287,315,293 |
| Debt Service (paygo sources will be used to pay off | deb | t service. Not | e tha | at previous ap | pro | priations for d | lebt | service used f | or I | ISRP is listed in | FY | 2017). | | | |
| General Obligation Bonds | \$ | 1,645,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Water Quality Protection Revenue Bonds | \$ | 79,427,182 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| MD Water Quality Revolving Loan | \$ | 5,986,821 | \$ | 7,954,769 | \$ | 15,422,000 | \$ | 19,252,000 | \$ | 13,822,000 | \$ | 13,012,000 | \$ | 13,122,000 | \$ 74,630,000 |
| | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Subtotal Debt Service | \$ | 87,059,003 | \$ | 7,954,769 | \$ | 15,422,000 | \$ | 19,252,000 | \$ | 13,822,000 | \$ | 13,012,000 | \$ | 13,122,000 | \$ 74,630,000 |
| Grants and Partnerships (no payment is expected) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| State funded grants | \$ | 24,413,574 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,660,000 | \$ | 3,000,000 | \$ | 2,180,000 | \$ | 2,060,000 | \$ | 2,670,000 | \$ 11,570,000 |
| Federal funded grants | \$ | 331,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,670,000 | \$ | 330,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 3,000,000 |
| Public-private partnership (matched grant) | \$ | 844,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Subtotal Grants and Partnerships | \$ | 25,588,574 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,660,000 | \$ | 5,670,000 | \$ | 2,510,000 | \$ | 2,060,000 | \$ | 2,670,000 | \$ 14,570,000 |
| Total Annual Sources of Funds | \$ | 496,408,899 | \$ | 60,473,486 | \$ | 71,087,837 | \$ | 81,153,569 | \$ | 74,095,969 | \$ | 73,751,369 | \$ | 76,426,549 | \$ 376,515,293 |
| Percent of Funds Directed Toward ISRP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | · | |

Compare permit term paygo ISRP costs / subtotal permit term paygo sources: 47%
Compare permit term ISRP costs / total permit term annual sources of funds: 56%

(19)



Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)5: Specific actions and expenditures that the county or municipality implemented in the previous fiscal years to meet its impervious surface restoration plan requirements under its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Baseline: 3,778 Requirement: 20%

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Operational Programs ³ | | | | | | | | | |
| Catch Basin Cleaning | CBC | Α | 1 | 0.00 | 6/30/2018 | \$2,856,455 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Street Sweeping | VSS | Α | 1 | 0.00 | 6/30/2018 | \$1,550,559 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Septic Pumping | SEPP | Α | 2,000 | 0.00 | 6/30/2018 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Septic Denitrification | SEPD | Α | 139 | 36.14 | 6/30/2018 | \$0 | 1.0% | Complete | |
| WWTP Connections | SEPC | Α | 394 | 153.66 | 6/30/2018 | \$0 | 4.1% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | MRNG | E | 6 | 0.79 | 6/30/2017 | \$190,371 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | MRNG | Е | 23 | 4.43 | 6/30/2018 | \$274,178 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | MRWH | Е | 9 | 0.13 | 6/30/2017 | \$38,352 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | FPU | Α | 432 | 1.44 | 6/30/2017 | \$57,482 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0.03 | 6/30/2017 | \$15,560 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | APRP | Е | 1 | 0.00 | 6/30/2018 | \$47,500 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | MIDW | E | 13 | 0.31 | 6/30/2018 | \$36,015 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Watershed Implementation Grants | MSWB | E | 3 | 0.00 | 6/30/2018 | \$25,007 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Voluntary BMP Earned WQPC Credit | ESD | E | 63 | 23.00 | 6/30/2016 | \$0 | 0.6% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 422 | 1.41 | 6/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 437 | 1.46 | 6/30/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 863 | 2.88 | 6/30/2013 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 848 | 2.83 | 6/30/2014 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 1,029 | 3.43 | 6/30/2015 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 1,652 | 5.51 | 6/30/2016 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 1,761 | 5.87 | 6/30/2017 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Street Trees | FPU | Α | 1,719 | 5.73 | 6/30/2018 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | FPU | Α | 426 | 1.42 | 6/30/2017 | \$82,495 | 0.0% | Complete | • |
| Tree Montgomery | FPU | Α | 47 | 0.16 | 6/30/2015 | \$71,606 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Tree Montgomery | FPU | Α | 456 | 1.52 | 6/30/2016 | \$391,944 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Tree Montgomery | FPU | Α | 746 | 2.49 | 6/30/2017 | \$546,214 | 0.1% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--|----------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Tree Montgomery | FPU | Α | 814 | 2.71 | 6/30/2018 | \$502,213 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Oaks Landfill Urban Forest Planting | FPU | Α | 2 | 45.40 | 6/30/2018 | \$257,400 | 1.2% | Complete | |
| Middle Gum Springs Reforestation | FPU | Α | 1 | 0.74 | 6/30/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Northwest Branch Stream Reforestation | FPU | Α | 1 | 0.84 | 6/30/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Alta Vista Stream Reforestation | FPU | Α | 1 | 0.03 | 6/30/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Little Falls III Stream Reforestation | FPU | Α | 1 | 0.06 | 6/30/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Turkey Branch Stormwater Pond and Stream Reforestation | FPU | Α | 1 | 0.60 | 6/30/2010 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| Stream Valley Drive Stream Reforestation | FPU | Α | 1 | 0.71 | 6/30/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | E | 5 | 0.10 | 6/30/2010 | \$1,139 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | E | 52 | 2.57 | 6/30/2010 | \$48,855 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | E | 5 | 0.11 | 6/30/2010 | \$900 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | E | 1 | 0.05 | 6/30/2010 | \$4,800 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | E | 190 | 4.71 | 6/30/2010 | \$12,230 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | E | 4 | 0.28 | 6/30/2011 | \$1,743 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | E | 55 | 2.32 | 6/30/2011 | \$49,677 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | Е | 1 | 0.01 | 6/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 10 | 0.49 | 6/30/2011 | \$7,200 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 169 | 4.67 | 6/30/2011 | \$7,991 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | AGRE | Е | 1 | 0.06 | 6/30/2011 | \$2,400 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | IMPP | Е | 1 | 0.06 | 6/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 3 | 0.12 | 6/30/2012 | \$1,275 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | Е | 21 | 1.07 | 6/30/2012 | \$45,663 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | Е | 3 | 0.17 | 6/30/2012 | \$1,750 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 4 | 0.23 | 6/30/2012 | \$4,800 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | E | 71 | 1.35 | 6/30/2012 | \$3,182 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | AGRE | Е | 1 | 0.07 | 6/30/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | IMPP | E | 1 | 0.04 | 6/30/2012 | \$1,125 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 2 | 0.04 | 6/30/2013 | \$450 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | Е | 79 | 3.56 | 6/30/2013 | \$93,675 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | E | 2 | 0.30 | 6/30/2013 | \$690 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | E | 6 | 0.17 | 6/30/2013 | \$9,800 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | E | 71 | 0.96 | 6/30/2013 | \$3,520 | 0.0% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 2 | 0.11 | 6/30/2014 | \$650 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | E | 60 | 5.12 | 6/30/2014 | \$68,432 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | Ε | 5 | 0.14 | 6/30/2014 | \$2,014 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Ε | 14 | 0.76 | 6/30/2014 | \$29,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 39 | 0.47 | 6/30/2014 | \$1,945 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | IMPP | Ε | 2 | 0.10 | 6/30/2014 | \$3,856 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 6 | 0.04 | 6/30/2015 | \$1,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | Ε | 85 | 3.49 | 6/30/2015 | \$134,321 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | Е | 11 | 0.30 | 6/30/2015 | \$4,424 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 19 | 0.77 | 6/30/2015 | \$51,880 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 40 | 0.64 | 6/30/2015 | \$2,697 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 4 | 0.14 | 6/30/2015 | \$2,738 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 7 | 0.42 | 6/30/2016 | \$1,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | Е | 87 | 7.39 | 6/30/2016 | \$150,862 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MIDW | Е | 13 | 0.42 | 6/30/2016 | \$3,456 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 12 | 0.57 | 6/30/2016 | \$24,944 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 54 | 0.86 | 6/30/2016 | \$2,165 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 6 | 0.18 | 6/30/2017 | \$1,810 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | Е | 54 | 2.31 | 6/30/2017 | \$126,776 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 12 | 0.65 | 6/30/2017 | \$25,236 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 75 | 1.42 | 6/30/2017 | \$4,356 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | IMPP | Е | 5 | 0.40 | 6/30/2017 | \$9,580 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | AGRE | Е | 2 | 0.42 | 6/30/2017 | \$5,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRNG | Е | 68 | 3.25 | 6/30/2018 | \$52,925 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | APRP | Е | 8 | 0.55 | 6/30/2018 | \$18,940 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | MRWH | Е | 19 | 0.73 | 6/30/2018 | \$1,485 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | IMPP | Е | 2 | 0.21 | 6/30/2018 | \$2,500 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| RainScapes Program | AGRE | Е | 1 | 0.04 | 6/30/2018 | \$2,500 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Average Operations Complete To Date4 | | | 15,784 | 361.18 | | \$7,982,708 | 9.6% | | |
| Capital Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Facility Planning 2010 | OTH | [blank] | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2010 | \$433,803 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2011 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2011 | \$749,335 | 0.0% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Facility Planning 2012 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2012 | \$550,210 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2013 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2013 | \$879,435 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2014 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2014 | \$1,658,518 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2015 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2015 | \$660,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2016 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2016 | \$432,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2017 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2017 | \$990,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Facility Planning 2018 | OTH | [blank] | - | 0 | 6/30/2018 | \$796,000 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Little Falls III Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 16 | 12/1/2005 | \$560,300 | 0.4% | Complete | |
| Joseph's Branch Stream Restoration - Spruell Drive | STRE | Α | 1 | 30 | 11/1/2006 | \$484,038 | 0.8% | Complete | |
| Westleigh (Muddy Branch SVU) | PWED | S | 1 | 12 | 12/1/2006 | \$0 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Little Falls - Somerset Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 16 | 3/21/2008 | \$221,640 | 0.4% | Complete | |
| Peachwood Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 5 | 3/10/2009 | \$322,670 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| PB-122 | IMPP | Α | 2 | 0 | 7/6/2009 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - 1517 Menlee Drive | OUTS | Α | 1 | 1 | 1/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - Wightman Road at Aspenwood Culverts | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 2/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - 13717 Mills Avenue | STRE | Α | 1 | 0 | 8/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - 7208 Helmsdale Road | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 8/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Road Culvert Stabilization - Burnt Mills Avenue at Hoyle Avenue | STRE | Α | 1 | 1 | 8/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - 611 Lamberton Drive | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 9/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Stream Restoration through Gabion Walls - 9512 Columbia Blvd | GABION | Α | 1 | 0 | 9/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Road Culvert Replacement - Davis Mill Road at Wildcat Road Culvert | STRE | А | 1 | 1 | 11/1/2010 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Stream Restoration - Bucknell Drive Median Stream Channel | STRE | A | 1 | 4 | 11/1/2010 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| PB-114 | MSWG | Е | 20 | 3 | 1/11/2011 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| PB-115 | MSWG | Е | 18 | 3 | 1/11/2011 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| PB-U-01-S-17 | MSWG | Е | 20 | 10 | 1/11/2011 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | Е | 2 | 0 | 1/19/2011 | \$448,709 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 1/19/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - Wayne Avenue at Sligo Creek Parkway | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 3/1/2011 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| Schuyllkill Rd Outfall Repair | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 3/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - 1012 Parrs Ridge | GABION | Α | 1 | 1 | 4/1/2011 | \$0 | | Complete | |
| Outfall Stabilization - 13717 Mills Avenue | OUTS | Α | 1 | 1 | 4/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Stream Outfall Restoration - 4305 Havard Street | STRE | Α | 1 | 2 | 4/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-122 | MSWG | Е | 2 | 0 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-123 | MSWG | Е | 6 | 0 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-124 | MSWG | E | 9 | 0 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-125 | MSWG | Е | 5 | 0 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-127 | MSWG | E | 4 | 1 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-128 | MSWG | Е | 15 | 1 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-U-01-S-59 | MSWG | E | 8 | 0 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-U-01-S-60 | MSWG | E | 20 | 2 | 8/30/2011 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Road Culvert Stabilization - Circle Drive at Spring Drive | STRE | Α | 1 | 0 | 10/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Stream Restoration - 9014 Marseille Drive | STRE | Α | 1 | 1 | 10/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | Е | 1 | 0 | 10/18/2011 | \$224,355 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 10/18/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 10/21/2011 | \$224,355 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Road Culvert Replacement - Prathertown Road Culverts | STRE | Α | 1 | 0 | 11/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Stream Restoration - 9412 Emory Grove Road | STRE | Α | 1 | 1 | 11/1/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 11/10/2011 | \$224,355 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 11/10/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | E | 2 | 1 | 11/18/2011 | \$448,709 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 11/18/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Fairland Ridge Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 10 | 1/8/2012 | \$172,544 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | E | 5 | 1 | 1/19/2012 | \$236,363 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Arcola Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 5 | 0 | 1/19/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | MMBR | E | 4 | 1 | 5/2/2012 | \$375,352 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 5 | 0 | 5/2/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Aspen Hill Library LID Retrofit Project | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/4/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Aspen Hill Library Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 6/4/2012 | \$322,922 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Aspen Hill Library Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | E | 1 | 1 | 6/4/2012 | \$322,922 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Kensington Park Library LID Retrofit Project | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/12/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Kensington Park Library Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MRNG | Е | 4 | 1 | 6/12/2012 | \$646,469 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2012 | \$93,838 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Forest Estates Green Streets | FBIO | Е | 11 | 2 | 7/19/2012 | \$155,364 | 0.1% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Forest Estates Green Streets | MMBR | E | 9 | 2 | 7/19/2012 | \$127,116 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Forest Estates Green Streets | MRNG | E | 3 | 0 | 7/19/2012 | \$42,372 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Forest Estates Green Streets | MSWG | E | 1 | 0 | 7/19/2012 | \$14,124 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Ridgeview Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | E | 4 | 1 | 9/25/2012 | \$598,182 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Ridgeview Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MRNG | E | 1 | 1 | 9/25/2012 | \$149,546 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | OTH | S | 8 | 0 | 12/21/2012 | \$750,703 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | MSWG | E | 4 | 1 | 12/21/2012 | \$375,352 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | FBIO | E | 2 | 0 | 12/21/2012 | \$187,676 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 12/21/2012 | \$93,838 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 12/21/2012 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Batchellors Run East Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 3 | 57 | 3/6/2013 | \$1,007,855 | 1.5% | Complete | |
| Batchellors Run East Stream Restoration | FPU | Α | 1 | 3 | 3/6/2013 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Bryants Nursery Run Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 2 | 52 | 3/6/2013 | \$720,405 | 1.4% | Complete | |
| Bryants Nursery Run Stream Restoration | FPU | Α | 1 | 0 | 3/6/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Upper Northwest Branch Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 65 | 3/6/2013 | \$667,502 | 1.7% | Complete | |
| Upper Northwest Branch Stream Restoration | FPU | Α | 1 | 0 | 3/6/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | E | 7 | 1 | 3/7/2013 | \$287,985 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | FBIO | Е | 2 | 1 | 3/7/2013 | \$82,281 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Stoney Creek (NIH) Stormwater Pond | PWED | S | 1 | 115 | 3/21/2013 | \$5,373,410 | 3.0% | Complete | |
| PB-116 | MSWG | Е | 14 | 0 | 5/3/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-117 | MSWG | E | 11 | 0 | 5/3/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-119 | MSWG | Е | 13 | 0 | 5/3/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-121 | MMBR | E | 10 | 0 | 5/17/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-132 | XDED | S | 1 | 1 | 5/17/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-U-01-S-33 | MSWG | E | 14 | 0 | 5/17/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-124 | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 7/1/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| NB-6 | XDED | S | 1 | 55 | 8/7/2013 | \$0 | 1.5% | Complete | |
| Cold Spring Elementary School Stormwater Improvements | MMBR | Е | 1 | 1 | 8/13/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| NB-11 | WSHW | S | 1 | 11 | 11/24/2013 | \$0 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| PB-130 | FBIO | Е | 7 | 1 | 11/24/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-130 | IMPP | Α | 5 | 0 | 11/24/2013 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Booze Creek Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 2 | 119 | 12/6/2013 | \$449,810 | 3.2% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Schuyllkill Rd Outfall Repair | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 1/8/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | MMBR | E | 13 | 5 | 1/27/2014 | \$730,139 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | APRP | E | 9 | 2 | 1/27/2014 | \$505,481 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | FBIO | E | 5 | 1 | 1/27/2014 | \$280,823 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Brookville Bus Depot Stormwater Practice Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 15 | 2/3/2014 | \$1,771,536 | 0.4% | Complete | |
| Sherwood Forest I Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 87 | 3/25/2014 | \$1,632,156 | 2.3% | Complete | |
| Woodlawn Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 3 | 69 | 3/26/2014 | \$0 | 1.8% | Complete | |
| Batchellors Run I Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 30 | 5/13/2014 | \$0 | 0.8% | Complete | |
| Batchellors Run II Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 2 | 48 | 5/13/2014 | \$1,454,103 | 1.3% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | FBIO | E | 11 | 5 | 6/6/2014 | \$413,216 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | MSWG | E | 3 | 1 | 6/6/2014 | \$112,695 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | OTH | E | 1 | 0 | 6/6/2014 | \$37,565 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 6 | 0 | 6/6/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Georgian Colonies and Georgian Woods Colonies Stormwater Pond | | | | | | | | Complete | |
| Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 5 | 6/18/2014 | \$546,428 | 0.1% | | |
| Georgian Colonies and Georgian Woods Colonies Stormwater Pond | | | | | | | | Complete | |
| Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 4 | 6/18/2014 | \$546,428 | 0.1% | | |
| Holman Avenue - Forest Glen Outfall Repair | OUTS | Α | 1 | 1 | 6/22/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Naples Manor Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 11 | 7/9/2014 | \$546,428 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | MMBR | E | 15 | 6 | 8/14/2014 | \$563,477 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | APRP | E | 5 | 1 | 8/14/2014 | \$187,826 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | MSWG | Е | 3 | 1 | 8/14/2014 | \$112,695 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | FBIO | E | 1 | 2 | 8/14/2014 | \$37,565 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Dennis Avenue Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 12 | 0 | 8/14/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-133 | MSWG | E | 22 | 4 | 9/30/2014 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | APRP | E | 22 | 2 | 10/20/2014 | \$1,235,620 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | MMBR | E | 12 | 2 | 10/20/2014 | \$673,974 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | FBIO | Е | 6 | 1 | 10/20/2014 | \$336,987 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Fallsreach Stormwater Pond Upgrades and Stream Restoration | PWED | S | 1 | 12 | 10/29/2014 | \$317,356 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Montgomery Auto Sales Park Regional Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 70 | 11/17/2014 | \$2,377,123 | 1.9% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | APRP | E | 3 | 0 | 11/18/2014 | \$168,494 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | FBIO | Е | 2 | 1 | 11/18/2014 | \$112,329 | 0.0% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 11/18/2014 | \$56,165 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MRNG | E | 12 | 2 | 11/21/2014 | \$334,448 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | FBIO | Е | 7 | 1 | 11/21/2014 | \$195,094 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MMBR | E | 6 | 3 | 11/21/2014 | \$167,224 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MSWG | E | 1 | 0 | 11/21/2014 | \$27,871 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | ITRN | S | 1 | 0 | 12/5/2014 | \$56,165 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| NB-16 | WSHW | S | 1 | 36 | 12/12/2014 | \$0 | 0.9% | Complete | |
| Gunners Lake Village Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 319 | 12/15/2014 | \$171,821 | 8.4% | Complete | |
| Donnybrook Green Streets and Stream Restoration | MSWG | E | 4 | 2 | 12/16/2014 | \$632,233 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Donnybrook Green Streets and Stream Restoration | MMBR | E | 2 | 1 | 12/16/2014 | \$316,117 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Donnybrook Green Streets and Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 59 | 12/16/2014 | \$186,847 | 1.6% | Complete | |
| Donnybrook Green Streets and Stream Restoration | FBIO | E | 1 | 0 | 12/16/2014 | \$158,058 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Donnybrook Green Streets and Stream Restoration | IMPP | Α | 4 | 0 | 12/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| NW-35 | PWED | S | 1 | 6 | 1/16/2015 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| NW-47 | PWED | S | 1 | 9 | 1/16/2015 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Sligo Park Hills Neighborhood Green Street | ITRN | S | 2 | 0 | 1/29/2015 | \$131,818 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-43 | WEDW | S | 1 | 8 | 3/11/2015 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| NW-32 | PWED | S | 1 | 6 | 3/24/2015 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Emory Grove Park Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 8 | 5/7/2015 | \$1,035,806 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Emory Grove Park Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 3 | 5/7/2015 | \$1,035,806 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Amherst Green Streets | MMBR | E | 2 | 1 | 5/13/2015 | \$150,605 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Breewood Manor Green Streets | MRNG | E | 8 | 1 | 5/13/2015 | \$956,507 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Breewood Manor Green Streets | FBIO | E | 2 | 0 | 5/13/2015 | \$239,127 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Breewood Manor Green Streets | IMPP | Α | 3 | 0 | 5/13/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Breewood Tributary Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 38 | 5/28/2015 | \$1,124,419 | 1.0% | Complete | |
| Meadowvale Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWET | S | 1 | 11 | 6/11/2015 | \$1,035,806 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| PB-114A | XDED | S | 1 | 8 | 6/12/2015 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| PB-140 | MSWG | E | 9 | 2 | 6/17/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-141 | MSWG | E | 6 | 3 | 6/17/2015 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| PB-142 | MSWG | E | 3 | 0 | 6/17/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-143 | MSWG | E | 7 | 1 | 6/17/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| DOT Outfall Stabilization - 10068 Maple Leaf Dr. | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| DOT Outfall Stabilization - 20332 Maple Leaf Dr. | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| DOT Outfall Stabilization - 8500 Fryeman Ave. | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| DOT Outfall Stabilization - Sligo Creek | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Glynshire Way Stormwater Pond Upgrades and Stream Restoration | WSHW | S | 1 | 20 | 8/28/2015 | \$784,282 | 0.5% | Complete | |
| Ridge Mist Terrace Stormwater Pond Upgrades and Stream Restoration | | | | | | | | Complete | |
| | XDED | S | 1 | 7 | 8/28/2015 | \$784,282 | 0.2% | | |
| PB-33 | XDED | S | 1 | 2 | 10/7/2015 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Chadswood Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 50 | 11/3/2015 | \$1,285,653 | 1.3% | Complete | |
| Scotland Neighborhood Recreation Center | FSND | S | 1 | 1 | 11/9/2015 | \$104,021 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Hollywood Branch Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 134 | 12/18/2015 | \$2,925,718 | 3.5% | Complete | |
| PB-48 | MSGW | E | 1 | 2 | 3/3/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| PB-46A | XDED | S | 1 | 7 | 3/21/2016 | \$0 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| NB-7 | WSHW | S | 1 | 74 | 3/29/2016 | \$0 | 2.0% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MRNG | E | 38 | 9 | 5/31/2016 | \$1,384,939 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MMBR | E | 4 | 0 | 5/31/2016 | \$145,783 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Donnybrook Green Streets and Stream Restoration | MMBR | Е | 5 | 1 | 6/23/2016 | \$1,033,446 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| NW-39 | PWET | S | 1 | 5 | 6/27/2016 | \$0 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| DOT Outfall Stabilization - 11820 Hunting Ridge Ct. | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| DOT Outfall Stabilization - Dartmouth Dr at Sligo Creek | OUTS | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/30/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MRNG | E | 38 | 10 | 6/30/2016 | \$1,384,939 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | FBIO | E | 13 | 0 | 6/30/2016 | \$473,795 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Franklin Knolls and Clifton Park Green Streets | MMBR | Е | 4 | 0 | 6/30/2016 | \$145,783 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Germantown Park Stormwater Pond Upgrades and Stream Restoration | | | | | | | | Complete | |
| (Phase I) | XDED | S | 1 | 10 | 8/11/2016 | \$334,876 | 0.3% | | |
| Oak View Elementary School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 2 | 0 | 8/17/2016 | \$216,051 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Oak View Elementary School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MRNG | Е | 1 | 0 | 8/17/2016 | \$108,026 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Oak View Elementary School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MSWG | E | 1 | 0 | 8/17/2016 | \$108,026 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Rosa Parks Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 4 | 1 | 8/17/2016 | \$500,194 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Rosa Parks Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MSWG | E | 1 | 0 | 8/17/2016 | \$125,049 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Rosa Parks Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | Е | 1 | 0 | 8/17/2016 | \$125,049 | 0.0% | Complete | _ |
| White Oak Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | Е | 5 | 1 | 8/17/2016 | \$149,024 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| White Oak Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | E | 1 | 1 | 8/17/2016 | \$29,805 | 0.0% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| White Oak Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 8/17/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Montgomery Village Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 6 | 11/2/2016 | \$1,844,155 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Metro Park N Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 16 | 3/8/2017 | \$753,885 | 0.4% | Complete | |
| Metro Park N Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 14 | 3/8/2017 | \$753,885 | 0.4% | Complete | |
| Colesville Park & Ride Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 3 | 1 | 3/23/2017 | \$285,623 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Colesville Park & Ride Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FUND | S | 2 | 0 | 3/23/2017 | \$155,278 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Colesville Park & Ride Stormwater Practice Upgrades | IMPP | Α | 3 | 0 | 3/23/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Greencastle Park & Ride Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 5 | 1 | 3/30/2017 | \$666,455 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Greencastle Park & Ride Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FUND | S | 2 | 0 | 3/30/2017 | \$217,389 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Greencastle Park & Ride Stormwater Practice Upgrades | IMPP | Α | 1 | 0 | 3/30/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Montgomery Manor Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 5 | 5/18/2017 | \$753,885 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Collingwood Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 6 | 5/31/2017 | \$189,685 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Bedfordshire Stormwater Pond Upgrades and Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 32 | 6/2/2017 | \$1,530,928 | 0.9% | Complete | |
| Breewood Manor Green Streets | FBIO | E | 1 | 3 | 6/2/2017 | \$104,886 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Breewood Manor Green Streets | IMPF | Α | 1 | 0 | 6/2/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Valley Park Stormwater Pond Upgrades | PWED | S | 1 | 68 | 6/29/2017 | \$3,414,049 | 1.8% | Complete | |
| Glenstone Stream Restoration | STRE | Α | 1 | 43 | 6/30/2017 | \$503,937 | 1.1% | Complete | |
| Newport Mills Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | E | 1 | 3 | 8/25/2017 | \$518,004 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Sligo Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | E | 1 | 1 | 8/25/2017 | \$267,140 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Sligo Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MRNG | Е | 1 | 1 | 8/25/2017 | \$267,140 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Strathmore Elementary School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | E | 1 | 1 | 8/25/2017 | \$272,493 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Argyle Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | FBIO | Е | 2 | 4 | 9/26/2017 | \$858,985 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Argyle Middle School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 1 | 0 | 9/26/2017 | \$429,493 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Potomac Chase (Fox Hills North) Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 15 | 11/29/2017 | \$1,470,762 | 0.4% | Complete | |
| CMAC Retrofits | XOTH | Х | 2 | 130 | 12/1/2017 | \$445,035 | 3.5% | Complete | |
| Potomac Ridge Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 2 | 30 | 12/20/2017 | \$2,682,876 | 0.8% | Complete | |
| Potomac Ridge Stormwater Pond Upgrades | MSWG | E | 1 | 0 | 12/20/2017 | \$1,341,438 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Fox Hills of Potomac and Normandy Falls Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | s | 1 | 4 | 3/6/2018 | \$376,355 | 0.1% | Complete | |
| Potomac Ridge Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 10 | 5/9/2018 | \$1,359,307 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Manchester Farm Regional Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 160 | 6/7/2018 | \$300,727 | 4.2% | Complete | |
| Potomac Ridge Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 3 | 6/30/2018 | \$1,359,307 | 0.1% | Complete | |

| REST BMP ID | REST BMP TYPE ¹ | BMP CLASS | NUM BMP | IMP ACRES | BUILT DATE | IMPL COST | % ISRP Complete | IMPL STATUS ² | GEN COMMENTS |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Olney Elementary School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MMBR | E | 2 | 0 | 8/24/2018 | \$386,254 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| Olney Elementary School Stormwater Practice Upgrades | MRNG | Е | 1 | 0 | 8/24/2018 | \$193,127 | 0.0% | Complete | |
| North Lake Apartments Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 6 | 9/18/2018 | \$2,514,170 | 0.2% | Complete | |
| Potomac Chase And Mills Farm Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 12 | 9/26/2018 | \$1,785,578 | 0.3% | Complete | |
| Quince Orchard Manor Stormwater Pond Upgrades | XDED | S | 1 | 43 | 12/28/2018 | \$4,342,292 | 1.1% | Complete | |
| Subtotal Capital Complete To Date | | | 781 | 2,484 | | \$90,768,003 | 65.76% | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| New BMPs Treating Existing Imp Area | REDE | [blank] | 0 | 832 | 6/30/2017 | \$308,077 | 22.0% | Complete | |
| New BMPs Treating Existing Imp Area | REDE | [blank] | 0 | 101 | 6/30/2018 | \$307,310 | 2.7% | Complete | |
| Subtotal Other Complete To Date | | | 0 | 933 | | \$615,387 | 24.7% | | |
| Total Complete to Date (i.e., thru first half of FY 2019 and completion of ISRP) | | | 16,565 | 3,779 | | \$99,366,098 | 100.0% | | |

Check with MS4 Geodatabase:

Rest BMP ID, type, class, number of BMPs, impervious acres, built date, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine,

Notes:

- ${\bf 1.} \ \ {\bf Use\ BMP\ domains\ from\ the\ MS4\ Geodatabase}.$
- $2. \ \, {\it Complete, Under Construction, Planning, or Proposed}.$
- 3. For street sweeping indicate the annual frequency that the streets are swept, and for storm drain or catch basin cleaning report the pounds of material removed.
- 4. Average IMP ACRES for Operational BMPs should be the average of BMP 1 + the average of BMP 2, etc.