

Committee: PS

Committee Review: Completed

Staff: Christine Wellons, Senior Legislative Attorney

**Purpose:** Final action – vote expected **Keywords:** #PoliceAccountabilityBoard

AGENDA ITEM #5 April 5, 2022 Action

### **SUBJECT**

Bill 49-21, Police – Police Accountability Board – Administrative Charging Committee – Established

Lead Sponsor: Council President at the request of the County Executive

# **EXPECTED ATTENDEES**

To be determined.

# **COUNCIL DECISION POINTS & COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

- The Public Safety Committee recommended the enactment of Bill 49-21 with amendments.
- The full Council adopted additional amendments.
- The Council is expected to adopt final amendments and have a roll call vote on the bill.

# **DESCRIPTION/ISSUE**

- The Public Safety Committee recommended enactment of the bill with amendments to:
  - increase the PAB membership to 9 voting members with at least 1 member residing in a municipality covered by the PAB and permit the Executive to appoint one or more nonvoting members;
  - 2. clarify that all complaints from the public must be investigated and reported to the ACC;
  - 3. require funding for training of PAB and ACC members from the police and outside organizations; and
  - 4. authorize the Council to remove a member for failure to complete required training.
- Over the course of 4 worksessions, the Council:
  - 1. approved the PS Committee amendments:
  - 2. deleted a "violation of law" as one of the reasons for removal of a member;
  - replaced the professional experience required for a member with a member must "be
    able to demonstrate through professional or lived experience the ability to balance
    effective oversight, perform objective analysis of an investigation report, and practice
    procedural fairness";
  - 4. removed the prohibition on compensation for PAB members in paragraph 35-24(e)(3) to permit the Council to provide for compensation in the budget;
  - 5. added a requirement for full-time dedicated staff for both the PAB and the ACC and required the County Attorney to retain special legal counsel to provide legal support for both the PAB and the ACC:
  - 6. amended the effective date to apply the new system to incidents occurring on or after July 1, 2023 for a complaint against a police officer covered by a collective bargaining agreement with a different disciplinary system and to incidents occurring on or after July 1, 2022 for complaints against all other police officers; and

7. required each law enforcement agency to provide a monthly report to the ACC detailing the number of complaints received and the status of each investigation.

# **SUMMARY OF KEY DISCUSSION POINTS**

Consider changes to state law and potential amendments to Bill 49-21 regarding:

- 1. The scope of complaints subject to ACC review, to align with anticipated changes to state law;
- 2. The transition clause of the bill, to align with anticipated changes to state law; and
- 3. Compensation of PAC members.

# **This report contains:**

Staff Report	Pages 1-11
Expedited Bill 49-21	© 1
Jawando PAB Salary Amendment	© 12
Senate Bill 389 (Third Reader)	© 13

Prior Staff Report for March 22 Worksession: available at Bill template (montgomerycountymd.gov)

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may <u>submit alternative format requests</u> to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov

#### MEMORANDUM

March 31, 2022

TO: County Council

FROM: Christine Wellons, Senior Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT: Expedited Bill 49-21, Police - Police Accountability Board - Administrative

Charging Committee – Established

PURPOSE: Action – roll call vote expected

Bill 49-21, Police - Police Accountability Board – Administrative Charging Committee – Established, with Lead Sponsor Council President Albornoz on behalf of the County Executive, was introduced on December 14, 2021. Two public hearings were held for this Bill, the first on January 11 with 5 speakers and the second on January 18 with 30 speakers. Public Safety Committee worksessions were held on January 24, February 11, and February 16. Full Council worksessions were held on March 1, March 8, March 15, and March 22. Action is scheduled for April 5.

Three issues remain for the Council's consideration: (1) whether to amend the scope of complaints subject to PAB/ACC review to align with anticipated changes to state law; (2) whether to amend the transition clause to align with anticipated changes to state law; and (3) whether to amend the bill regarding PAB compensation. *See* page 7 of this memorandum for a discussion of these outstanding issues.

# **Background**

The Maryland General Assembly enacted a series of laws establishing uniform standards for police department operations throughout the State. One of these laws, House Bill 670, created a new uniform procedure for police accountability and discipline. This new law requires the governing body of each county to establish a Police Accountability Board (PAB) and an Administrative Charging Committee (ACC) to handle each complaint alleging police misconduct by a police officer employed by the County or a municipal police department located in the County filed by a member of the public.

House Bill 670 of 2021 repealed and replaced the Law Enforcement Officers Bill of Rights (LEOBR) with a new State-wide disciplinary system for police officers in Maryland. The new uniform disciplinary system established in HB 670 generally applies to misconduct occurring on or after July 1, 2022. The new law also contains a grandfather clause that delays the effective date

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>#PoliceAccountabilityBoard

of the new disciplinary system until the expiration of any existing collective bargaining agreement for the duration of the agreement, excluding extensions. The current collective bargaining agreement between the Executive and the Fraternal Order of Police expires on June 30, 2023. Although deputy sheriffs are represented by MCGEO and also covered by this law, the Sheriff is the employer for the purpose of negotiating provisions concerning discipline with the union. According to the Sheriff, the current agreement covering discipline for deputy sheriff's expired on June 30, 2021 and is currently being negotiated. Therefore, the current disciplinary system continues, unless modified, until July 1, 2023 for police officers represented by FOP Lodge 35. However, the new law takes effect for the unrepresented police management and sheriff's management on July 1, 2022.<sup>2</sup> Beginning on July 1, 2023, the uniform State-wide disciplinary system established in HB 670 will apply to complaints of misconduct filed by a member of the public against any sworn officer employed by the County Police Department or the County Sheriff's Department.<sup>3</sup> This State law expressly preempts the County from altering the new disciplinary system.<sup>4</sup>

A complaint of police misconduct may be filed with the PAB or the appropriate Police or Sheriff's Department. The PAB must forward a complaint to the Department within 3 days for investigation. The 5-member ACC must include the Chair of the PAB, or another member of the PAB designated by the Chair, 2 civilian members selected by the PAB, and 2 civilian members selected by the Executive. The appropriate law enforcement agency must forward the results of a police misconduct complaint to the ACC. The ACC must decide whether to file disciplinary charges against a police officer in a written decision. The ACC may review body camera video, call a police officer to appear before the ACC accompanied by a representative, and may subpoena witnesses and documents to perform its duties. If the ACC determines that disciplinary charges are warranted, it must recommend a penalty based on a disciplinary matrix developed by the Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission. The Police Chief must offer that penalty or a higher penalty to the officer. If the officer does not accept the discipline, the officer has the right to challenge the decision in an adjudicatory hearing before a trial board.

The 3-member trial board must include an active or retired administrative law judge or a retired district court or circuit court judge appointed by the County Executive, a civilian selected by the PAB, and a police officer of equal rank to the officer being charged selected by the police chief. The trial board hearing must be open to the public except for certain exceptions. The trial board can administer oaths and issue subpoenas. The trial board decision is appealable to the circuit court on the record.

# **Summary of the Bill**

Expedited Bill 49-21 would establish both the PAB and the ACC for the County. Although HB 670 requires a 5-member ACC and a 3-member trial board, the law is silent as to the number of members of the PAB. Bill 49-21, as amended by the Council, would create a 9-member PAB

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The new system would also apply to a complaint against a municipal police officer on July 1, 2022, or one year later if a collective bargaining agreement governing discipline procedures extends until that date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> HB670 does not apply to a Police Chief, Assistant Police Chief, Sheriff, or the equivalent to an Assistant Chief in the Sheriff's Office.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> HB 670 includes other significant provisions concerning police officers that is not directly relevant to the new Statewide disciplinary system.

nominated by the Executive and confirmed by the Council. The Executive may appoint one or more non-voting members. At least one voting member must reside in a municipality that operates a police department within the jurisdiction of the PAB. All of the members of the PAB and the ACC must be County residents. The Bill, as amended by the Council, would require each member to "be able to demonstrate through professional or lived experience the ability to balance effective oversight, perform objective analysis of an investigation report, and practice procedural fairness."

Bill 49-21 would require the CAO to provide appropriate full-time dedicated staff for both the PAB and the ACC. The County Attorney must retain special legal counsel approved by the Council to provide legal services for both the PAB and the ACC. The Bill, as amended, is silent on compensation for the PAB members. The ACC Chair would receive an annual salary of \$22,000 and the other 4 members would receive an annual salary of \$16,000, both adjusted for changes in the consumer price index. The Bill would require each member of the PAB and the ACC to serve a 3-year term of office with term limits after serving 2 complete terms. The Bill would also require the Executive to stagger the initial terms to ensure that the terms of approximately one-third of the members expire each year. The Committee amended the Bill to require both the PAB and the ACC to meet at least one time each month and to require County funding for training of members from both police department personnel and outside organizations. The Council also approved an amendment to authorize the removal of an ACC member, including the removal of a member who fails to complete the required training, and deleted a "violation of law" as one of the reasons for removal.

OLO concluded that Bill 49-21 would have an insignificant impact on economic conditions in the County. OLO concluded that the Bill would have little to no impact on racial and social inequities because it does not follow "the best practices for advancing civilian oversight for police accountability."

# **January 11 Public Hearing**

All 5 speakers either suggested amendments to the Bill or opposed it in its current form. Mayor Jud Ashman of Gaithersburg requested amendments to require at least one member of the PAB to reside in one of the 4 municipalities in the County with a police department. Seth Grimes, representing Takoma Park Mobilization, requested amendments to the qualifications for members of the PAB and ACC. Rudy Logan, representing IMPACT Silver Spring, Heidi Rhodes, representing Jews United for Justice, and Ilhan Cagri, representing Muslim Voices Coalition, each opposed the Bill because they believe the Bill would require all of the civilian members of the PAB and the ACC would have to have policing experience. Ms. Rhodes and Ms. Cagri also argued that the County Attorney would have a conflict of interest in representing both the County Police Department and the PAB and ACC.

# **January 18 Public Hearing**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> These salaries are consistent with the salaries provided for members of the County Board of Appeals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Bill, as introduced, would not require each PAB and ACC member to have policing experience. The Bill would require each member to have experience in managing a law enforcement agency, evaluating citizen complaints against a police officer **or** experience in personnel disciplinary proceedings as a manager, employee representative, mediator, or arbitrator.

Each of the 30 speakers opposed the Bill as introduced and requested amendments. Almost all of the speakers objected to the qualifications for members of the PAB and the ACC arguing that it would result in all members being former police department personnel. Many of the speakers also suggested amendments to require independent staff and counsel for the PAB and ACC, expansion of the scope of complaints that would be handled through the ACC, compensation for PAB members, additional members for the PAB and mandatory geographical representation of members. Many of the speakers also complained about the lack of community input before the Executive submitted the Bill to the Council, the provision permitting the removal of a member for violating the law, and the lack of a defined budget for the staff of the PAB and the ACC. Finally, one or more speakers complained that the ACC would review the investigation done by the police department instead of investigating the incident and the use of retired judges on the trial board.

#### PS Worksession 1

County Police Chief Marcus Jones, Dr. Earl Stoddard, ACAO, Elaine Bonner-Tomkins, OLO, Lee Holland, FOP Lodge 35 President, Chevy Chase Village Police Chief, John Fitzgerald, and Haley Roberts, OCA, and Senior Legislative Attorney Robert Drummer participated in the discussion.

The Committee discussed the Racial Equity and Social Justice Impact Statement for the Bill and the qualifications for members of the PAB and ACC without making any decisions. Chief Fitzgerald told the Committee that the Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission plans to propose regulations permitting settlements of disciplinary charges subject to ACC approval. The Committee agreed that the Bill should not be amended to increase the jurisdiction of the PAB/ACC to cover internal complaints. The Committee also approved an amendment to clarify that all external complaints must be investigated and reported to the ACC. Finally, the Committee agreed that the PAB membership should be increased from 5 members but did not agree on the final number.

The Committee requested information on how other Counties are handling these issues and committee to meeting as frequently as necessary to complete review of the Bill. The Committee also agreed to send staff the names and contact information for additional stakeholders they might want invited to the next worksession.

# PS Worksession 2

Councilmember Will Jawando participated in the worksession. County Police Chief Marcus Jones, Dr. Earl Stoddard, ACAO, Assistant Chief Darren Francke, Chevy Chase Village Police Chief, John Fitzgerald, Joanna Silver, SSJC, Eric Sterling, PAC, Ashanti Martinez, CASA, and Senior Legislative Attorney Robert Drummer participated in the discussion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The qualifications in the Bill, as introduced, are in the alternative. Although experience in police management or experience reviewing citizen complaints of police misconduct are listed as qualifications, the Bill would also permit a member to qualify with experience in "personnel disciplinary proceedings as a manager, employee representative, mediator, or arbitrator" outside of law enforcement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This system was established by HB670. The Council does not have the authority to change it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The use of a retired judge or an administrative law judge is required by HB670 and is not part of Bill 49-21.

The Committee discussed the qualifications for members of the PAB and ACC without making any final decisions. Chief Fitzgerald told the Committee that the Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission plans to propose regulations that may include minimum qualifications. The Committee approved an amendment to increase the size of the PAB to 9 members with at least 1 member residing in a municipality covered by the PAB. The Committee also approved an amendment requiring the PAB and the ACC to meet at least once a month.

The Committee discussed a possible amendment to prohibit a former police officer from being appointed to the PAB or the ACC and an amendment that would welcome applicants without regard to prior criminal record or immigration status. The Committee also discussed the possibility of adding one or more non-voting members to the PAB who are former police officers.

Council President Albornoz indicated that he would propose some language to prohibit disqualification of an applicant due to immigration status. Ashanti Martinez offered to provide an answer to the question of whether or not an undocumented member could be paid by the County.

# **PS Worksession 3**

Councilmember Will Jawando participated in the worksession. Dr. Earl Stoddard, ACAO, Assistant Chief Darren Francke, Takoma Park Police Chief Tony DeVaul, Joanna Silver, SSJC, Alicia Hudson, PAC, and Senior Legislative Attorney Robert Drummer participated in the discussion.

The Committee discussed the qualifications for members of the PAB. AC Francke told the Committee that the Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission is meeting today to finalize proposed regulations that will include minimum qualifications. The Committee decided (3-0) not to prohibit the appointment of a former police officer to the PAB or the ACC. Councilmember Jawando and Ms. Silver argued that it is important to ensure that the PAB and ACC are civilian boards independent from police control and that a former police officer on the Board may dominate the discussion and give the appearance to community members that the Board is still run by the police department. The Committee approved an amendment to permit the Executive to appoint one or more non-voting members to the PAB.

The Committee also discussed an amendment outlining general desired qualifications for ACC members and asked staff to draft proposed language melding the ability to demonstrate the ability to perform the job with the language suggested by the SSJC.

The Committee also discussed training for PAB and ACC members in addition to the mandated State training. The Committee approved an amendment to require the County to provide funding for training for members provided by the Police Department in cooperation with the Municipal Police Departments and the Sheriff's Office as well as training by outside organizations. The Committee also approved an amendment to authorize the removal of an ACC member who fails to complete required training.

#### **Council Worksession 1**

The Council approved the Committee amendments to:

- 1. increase the PAB membership to 9 voting members with at least 1 member residing in a municipality covered by the PAB and permit the Executive to appoint one or more non-voting members;
- 2. clarify that all complaints from the public must be investigated and reported to the ACC;
- 3. require funding for training of PAB and ACC members from the police and outside organizations; and
- 4. authorize the Executive and the Council to remove a member for failure to complete required training in addition to the reasons established in the Bill.

The Council also amended the Bill to:

- 1. delete a violation of law as one of the reasons listed for removal of a member; and
- 2. amend the minimum qualifications for a member by deleting the experience requirements in the Bill as introduced and replace it with a requirement that a member "be able to demonstrate through professional or lived experience the ability to balance effective oversight, perform objective analysis of an investigation report, and practice procedural fairness."

#### **Council Worksession 2**

The Council discussed whether PAB members should receive compensation for their work without deciding the issue. The Council also discussed staffing for PAB and ACC members. Councilmember Jawando suggested requiring "dedicated full-time" staff but did not make the motion at that time. The Council also discussed the issue of requiring the County Attorney to retain independent counsel for both the PAB and the ACC. Both of these issues were left to be decided at a future Council worksession.

The Council requested additional information on what type of compensation is provided for other County Boards, Committees, and Commissions and additional information on how the County Attorney's Office would handle the retention of outside counsel.

#### **Council Worksession 3**

The Council approved the following 2 additional amendments during the worksession:

- 1. remove the prohibition on compensation for PAB members in paragraph 35-24(e)(3) to permit the Council to provide for compensation in the budget; and
- 2. add a requirement for full-time dedicated staff for both the PAB and the ACC and require the County Attorney to retain special legal counsel to provide legal support for both the PAB and the ACC.

#### **Council Worksession 4**

The Council approved the following 2 additional amendments during the worksession:

- 1. amended the effective date to apply the new system to incidents occurring on or after July 1, 2023 for a complaint against a police officer covered by a collective bargaining agreement with a different disciplinary system and to incidents occurring on or after July 1, 2022 for complaints against all other police officers; and
- 2. require each law enforcement agency to provide a monthly report to the ACC detailing the number of complaints received and the status of each investigation.

# **Issues**

For a thorough discussion of all issues previously considered by the Council, please see the staff report of Mr. Drummer dated March 22, 2022 (available at <u>Bill template</u> (montgomerycountymd.gov)).

The following three issues remain for the Council's consideration.

# 1. <u>Alignment with 2022 State Legislation - Potential Amendment to Make</u> <u>Internal Complaints Subject to ACC Review</u>

The General Assembly is expected to amend the scope of ACC/PAB complaints through Senate Bill 389. Assuming that Senate Bill 389 becomes law, the following amendment should be adopted to Bill 49-21:

Amend lines 11-12 as follows:

<u>Complaint</u> means an allegation of police misconduct [[filed by]] involving a member of the public and a police officer, regardless of whether the allegation originated from within the law enforcement agency or from an external source.

**Decision Point:** whether to adopt the amendment above regarding the nature of complaints subject to the PAB/ACC process.

# 2. <u>Alignment with 2022 State Legislation – Potential Amendment Regarding Transition Dates</u>

The Bill, as amended by the Council in a prior worksession, currently has the following transition clause:

# Sec. 3. Expedited Effective Date, Transition.

The Council declares that this legislation is necessary for the immediate protection of the

public interest. This Act takes effect on the date on which it becomes law and must apply to eligible complaints based on an incident occurring on or after:

- July 1, [[2022]] 2023 against a police officer who is covered by a collective bargaining agreement entered into before June 30, 2022, that includes a different disciplinary system; and
- (b) July 1, 2022, against any other police officer.

If Senate Bill 389 is enacted by the 2022 General Assembly, then the following amendment to Bill 49-21 should be adopted in order to align with the new state law:

# Sec. 3. Expedited Effective Date, Transition.

The Council declares that this legislation is necessary for the immediate protection of the public interest. This Act takes effect on the date on which it becomes law and must apply to eligible complaints based on an incident occurring on or after:

- July 1, [[2022]] 2023 against a police officer who is covered by a collective bargaining agreement entered into before September 30, 2022, that includes a different disciplinary system; and
- (b) October 1, 2022, against any other police officer.

**<u>Decision Point:</u>** whether to adopt the amendment above to the transition clause.

# 3. Potential Amendments Regarding PAB Compensation

Under the Bill, the Chair of the ACC would receive a \$22,000 annual salary and the other 4 members would receive a \$16,000 annual salary. Each salary would be adjusted by the appropriate consumer price index each year. The Bill, as amended, is silent as to compensation for PAB members.

**Constitutional Restrictions**. Article 35 of the Declaration of Rights in the Maryland Constitution states:

That no person shall hold, at the same time, more than one office of profit, created by the Constitution or Laws of this State;

The courts have generally held that an office of profit is one that is created by law, requires continuing duties, requires the performance of important public duty, has a definite term of office, and includes compensation. See *Moser v. Board of County Commissioners*, 235 Md. 279, 281 (1964). In Moser, the Court held that accepting an appointment and qualifying as a notary public

acted as a resignation of a position as a member of the Howard County Metropolitan Commission because it was an office of profit under Article 35 of the Declaration of Rights.

HB670 and Bill 49-21 require the Chair of the PAB or another Board member designated by the Chair to also serve as a member of the ACC. If both positions receive a salary and are considered an office of profit, a person cannot hold both offices at the same time. One could argue that since the law requires the PAB Chair to also serve on the ACC that it is actually only one office of profit. However, in order to avoid this issue, the Council could provide a salary for all members of the PAB except the Chair or a Board member designated by the Chair to serve on the ACC. That would avoid double payment and the potential Article 35 issue.

Article III, § 35 of the Maryland Constitution prohibits an increase or decrease in the salary for a "public officer" during the term of office for a public officer unless the term exceeds 4 years. A position is a "public office" if it has been created by law and requires the incumbent to exercise some portion of the sovereignty of the State. See, *Pressman v. D'Alesandro*, 211 Md. 50 (1956); *Marshall v. Director of Fin.*, 294 Md. 435 (1982). The position of PAB member is created by both HB670 and Bill 49-21 and has the authority to appoint members to the ACC and the trial boards. Council staff believes this would make it a public office and since it has a set 3-year term of office, compensation cannot be increased or decreased for a member during the member's term of office. In addition, the Attorney General has opined that establishing compensation during the term of office for a public officer where none was provided at the beginning of the term would also violate Article III, § 35. See 64 Md. Op. Att'y Gen. 267 (1979). Therefore, if the Council wants to add compensation for the first PAB members in the budget, it must be done before the initial PAB members begin serving their terms of office.

**Additional Information on compensation.** Article XI, Boards, Committees, and Commissions, Code §§ 2-141 to 2-149 provides a "uniform system for classifying all groups of the county government and for prescribing procedures for their operation." Code § 2-145 provides:

- (a) Unless a law expressly precludes compensation, the Council may establish compensation for members of a particular group by an appropriation that funds a line item in the budget. An appropriation may establish levels of compensation by categories or subcategories or groups.
- (b) Unless another method of compensation is established, a group member is compensated for each day that the member works on group business after the member is authorized to do the work by the group or the presiding officer of the group.
- (c) Whether or not a group member is compensated for serving on the group, the member may request reimbursement for travel and dependent care. The rate of reimbursement is established in an appropriation.
- (d) A group member must not be compensated or reimbursed for travel or childcare expenses if appropriated funds are not available.

The most recent report from the Committee Evaluation and Review Board (CERB), dated September 2013, identified 87 County Boards, Committees, and Commissions (BCC). The number has undoubtedly gone up in the last 9 years. Bill 3-20, Compensation, Reimbursements, and Stipends, introduced in January 2020 but now expired, would have provided a \$1200 stipend

for all public members of a County BCC who requested it instead of the standard reimbursement for expenses. The OMB Fiscal Impact Report estimated that there are 900 public members who would be eligible for the \$1200 stipend. The cost of the stipend at \$1200 per member would be \$1,080,000 each year. OMB also estimated that the current reimbursement for expenses totals \$22,500. Therefore, the net fiscal impact would be \$1,057,500 annually.

Very few BCC public members receive compensation for their service. Notable exceptions are the Board of Appeals (\$16,000 or \$20,000 for the Chair, the Merit System Protection Board (\$8,275 for a member and \$10,613 for the Chair), and the Racial Equity and Social Justice Advisory Committee (\$2,000 stipend). Although the PAB would be responsible for selecting 2 civilian members of the ACC and 1 civilian member of each trial board, the remainder of the PAB's duties under HB670 align with the other advisory BCCs whose members do not receive compensation. There are some notable examples of County BCCs who perform quasi-judicial adjudicatory hearings for the County without compensation. Public members of the Human Rights Commission, the Landlord-Tenant Commission, the Ethics Commission, and the Commission for Common Ownership Communities all serve without compensation. The Policing Advisory Committee public members also serve without compensation.

Additional Considerations Noted by Council Staff. The Council plans to continue the discussion of compensation for PAB members at the April 5 session. If the Council wants to add compensation in the Bill for PAB members, there are several factors to consider.

- 1. The \$16,000 annual salary in the Bill for ACC members (\$22,000 for the Chair) would be consistent with the highest paid BCC in the County system (the Board of Appeals) and would be higher than the salary for members of the Merit System Protection Board. For example, the Board of Appeals generally conducts evidentiary hearings every Wednesday and must prepare a written decision for each case.
- 2. The PAB members would not be directly involved in the disciplinary system like the ACC members. Although the PAB would select members of the ACC and the civilian member of each trial board, the majority of their duties are advisory.
- 3. The only advisory board with statutory compensation is the Racial Equity and Social Justice Advisory Committee with a \$2000 annual stipend. Unless the Council wants to reconsider the compensation for the public members of all the County BCCs, compensation for the PAB should be close to the \$2000 stipend for the Racial Equity and Social Justice Advisory Committee.
- 4. A statutory salary for PAB members would be inconsistent with the unpaid public members of several other boards that handle quasi-judicial contested case hearings like the Board of Appeals, such as the Human Rights Commission, the Landlord Tenant Commission, the Commission on Common Ownership Communities, and the Ethics Commission.

10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> M-NCPPC and WSSC are State agencies with salaries for members mandated by the State law creating each agency.

- 5. Compensation for PAB members would likely eliminate non-citizen legal residents who do not possess a valid Employment Authorization Document from the Federal government.
- 6. Would compensation for PAB members be necessary to attract qualified candidates? The County has been fortunate over the years to have many qualified residents volunteer without compensation to join a County BCC.
- 7. The Council may want to consider a consistent system of compensation for all public members of BCCs in separate legislation instead of adding compensation just for the PAB members in this Bill.

# The Council has at least 4 options:

- 1. provide no compensation for PAB members consistent with almost all advisory BCCs in the County (all public members who serve without compensation are eligible to receive reimbursement for expenses, including child care);
- 2. provide a stipend similar to the \$2000 stipend for public members of the Racial Equity and Social Justice Advisory Committee;
- 3. do not provide compensation for PAB members in Bill 49-21 and establish a uniform system of determining compensation for all public members of BCCs in separate legislation; or
- 4. provide compensation for PAB members at any level between \$2000 and the \$16,000 provided for ACC members.

Councilmember Jawando may introduce an amendment to pay the PAB members (except the Chair or a different member designated to serve on the ACC) \$16,000 per year. See the Jawando salary amendment at ©12.

# **NEXT STEP**: Roll call vote on Council Bill 49-21.

This packet contains:	<u>Circle #</u>
Expedited Bill 49-21	1
Jawando PAB Salary Amendment	12
Senate Bill 389 (Third Reader)	13

Prior Staff Report for March 22 Worksession: available at Bill template (montgomerycountymd.gov)

Expedited Bill No	49-21
Concerning: Police	– Police
Accountability Bo	ard – Administrative
Charging Commit	ttee - Established
Revised: 3-22-22	Draft No. <u>10</u>
Introduced: Decei	mber 14, 2021
Expires: June	14, 2023
Enacted:	
Executive:	
Effective:	
Sunset Date:	
Ch [#] Laws of M	lont Co. [vear]

# COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

Lead Sponsor: Council President at the request of the County Executive

# **AN EXPEDITED ACT to:**

- (1) establish the Police Accountability Board for the County;
- (2) define the membership and duties of the Board;
- (3) establish the Administrative Charging Committee for the County;
- (4) define the membership, duties, and compensation for members of the Committee; and
- (5) generally amend the law governing police accountability and discipline.

# By adding

Montgomery County Code Chapter 35, Police Article IV, Police Discipline Sections 35-23, 35-24 and 35-25

Boldface
Underlining
Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets]
Double underlining
Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]]

\* \* \*

Heading or defined term.

Added to existing law by original bill.

Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

# Sec. 1. Article IV, Sections 35-23, 35-24 and 35-25 are added as follows: 1 2 ARTICLE IV. POLICE DISCIPLINE 35-23. Definitions. 3 <u>Definitions</u>. In this Article, the following terms have the meanings indicated. 4 Administrative Charging Committee or Committee means the Committee 5 established in Section 35-25 to serve Countywide law enforcement agencies and 6 7 local law enforcement agencies within the County pursuant to Section 3-104 of the Public Safety Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, as amended. 8 Administratively charged means that a police officer has been formally accused 9 of misconduct in an administrative proceeding. 10 Complaint means an allegation of police misconduct filed by a member of the 11 public. 12 Disciplinary matrix means a written, consistent, progressive, and transparent 13 tool or rubric that provides ranges of disciplinary actions for different types of 14 misconduct prepared by the Maryland Police Training and Standards 15 16 Commission. Exonerated means that a police officer acted in accordance with the law and 17 agency policy. 18 Law enforcement agency means the County police force, sheriff's office, or other 19 security force or law enforcement organization of the county or a municipal 20 corporation that by statute, ordinance, or common law is authorized to enforce 21 the general criminal laws of the State. 22 Not administratively charged means that a determination has been made not to 23 administratively charge a police officer in connection with alleged misconduct. 24 Police Accountability Board or Board means the Police Accountability Board 25 for the County established in Section 35-24 pursuant to Section 3-102 of the 26 Public Safety Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, as amended. 27

28	<u>Police misconduct means a pattern, a practice, or conduct by a police officer or</u>				
29	law enforcement agency that includes:				
30		<u>(1)</u>	depriv	ring persons of rights protected by the Constitution or laws	
31			of the	State or the United States;	
32		<u>(2)</u>	<u>a</u> viola	ation of a criminal statute; and	
33		<u>(3)</u>	<u>a</u> viola	ation of law enforcement agency standards and policies.	
34	<u>Polic</u>	<u>e offic</u>	<i>er</i> mean	<u>as an individual who:</u>	
35		<u>(1)</u>	is auth	norized to enforce the general criminal laws of the State; and	
36		<u>(2)</u>	is a m	ember of one of the following law enforcement agencies:	
37			<u>(a)</u>	the County police department;	
38			<u>(b)</u>	a municipal police department;	
39			<u>(c)</u>	the office of the County sheriff; or	
40			<u>(d)</u>	a County fire and explosive investigator.	
41		A po	<u>lice offi</u>	cer does not include the sheriff, a chief of police, a deputy or	
42		assis1	tant chie	ef of police, or another individual with an equivalent title who	
43		is appointed or employed by a government to exercise equivalent			
44		super	visory a	authority.	
45	5 35-24. Police Accountability Board.				
46	<u>(a)</u>	<u>Estal</u>	<u>blishmer</u>	nt. There is a Police Accountability Board for the County.	
47		<u>The</u>	<u>Executi</u>	ve must appoint the [[five]] nine voting members of the	
48		Boar	d, inclu	ding the Chair, subject to confirmation by the Council. The	
49		Exec	<u>utive m</u>	ay appoint one or more non-voting members to the Board.	
50		At le	ast one	voting member must reside in a municipality operating a	
51		polic	e depart	tment that is within the jurisdiction of the Board.	
52	<u>(b)</u>	<u>Com</u>	<u>position</u>	and qualifications of members. The members of the Board	
53		must	reflect	the racial, gender, gender-identity, sexual orientation, and	

and [[have experience:		
(1) managing or evaluating the management of a law enforcement		
agency;		
(2) evaluating citizen complaints against a police officer; or		
(3) in personnel disciplinary proceedings as a manager, employee		
representative, mediator, or arbitrator]] be able to demonstrate		
through professional or lived experience the ability to balance		
effective oversight, perform objective analysis of an investigation		
report, and practice procedural fairness.		
An active police officer must not be a member of the Board. A Board		
member must also meet all qualifications mandated by State law and		
implementing regulations while serving on the Board.		
Chair. The members of the Board may elect a Vice-Chair to serve as		
Chair in the absence of the Chair.		
Term of office. Each member serves a 3-year term. A member must not		
serve more than 2 consecutive full terms. A member appointed to fill a		
vacancy serves the rest of the unexpired term. Members continue in office		
until their successors are appointed and qualified.		
Meetings, budget, and compensation for members.		
(1) The Board meets at the call of the Chair. The Board must meet as		
often as necessary to perform its duties, but not less than [[4 times		
each year]] than one time each month.		
(2) The Executive must recommend, and the Council must appropriate		
funds necessary for the Board and the Administrative Charging		
Committee to operate in the County's annual operating budget.		
The annual appropriation for the Board and the Administrative		

81		Charging Committee must include funding for training	<u>of</u>	
82		members provided by:		
83		(A) the County Police Department in cooperation with the		
84		Sheriff and each municipal police department within	the	
85		jurisdiction of the Board; and		
86		(B) appropriate outside organizations.		
87		[[(3) The Board members must serve without compensation except	t for	
88		the reimbursement of expenses incurred in attending meeting	<u>s or</u>	
89		carrying out other duties, including travel and dependent care c	osts	
90		at rates established by the County, subject to appropriation.]]		
91	<u>(f)</u>	Staff. The Chief Administrative Officer must provide appropri	<u>riate</u>	
92		dedicated full-time staff to the Board and make available to the Board and the Boa	<u>oard</u>	
93		services and facilities that are necessary or appropriate for the pro-	<u>oper</u>	
94		performance of its duties. The County Attorney must retain special learning	<u>egal</u>	
95		counsel approved by the Council to serve as counsel to the Board.		
96	<u>(g)</u>	Duties. The Board must:		
97		(1) hold quarterly meetings with the directors of one or more	<u>law</u>	
98		enforcement agencies operating in the County who employ on	e or	
99		more police officers;		
100		(2) appoint civilian members to the Administrative Charge	ging	
101		Committee and trial boards;		
102		(3) receive complaints of police misconduct filed by a member of	f the	
103		public;		
104		(4) review the outcomes of disciplinary matters considered by	the	
105		Administrative Charging Committee on a quarterly basis;		
106		(5) <u>advise the Executive and the Council on policing matters; and</u>	<u>.</u>	

107		<u>(6)</u>	refer each complaint of police misconduct filed with the Board to
108			the appropriate law enforcement agency within 3 days after receipt
109			for investigation.
110	<u>(h)</u>	<u>Remo</u>	val of a member. The Executive with the approval of at least 6
111		meml	pers of the Council may remove a member for:
112		<u>(1)</u>	neglect of duty, including failure to complete mandatory training;
113		<u>(2)</u>	misconduct in office;
114		<u>(3)</u>	a member's inability or unwillingness to perform the duties of the
115			office;
116		<u>(4)</u>	conduct that impairs a member from performing the duties of the
117			office; or
118		<u>(5)</u>	[[violation of law; or
119		<u>(6)</u> ]]	inability to meet the qualifications for a Board member mandated
120			by State law or implementing regulations.
121	<u>(i)</u>	Repor	rts. The Board must submit an annual report to the Executive and
122		the C	ouncil each December 31 that:
123		<u>(1)</u>	identifies any trends in the disciplinary process of police officers
124			in the County;
125		<u>(2)</u>	recommends changes to policy that would improve police
126			accountability in the County; and
127		<u>(3)</u>	describes the activities of the Board and the numbers of complaints
128			received.
129	35-25. Adm	<u>inistra</u>	ative Charging Committee.
130	<u>(a)</u>	<u>Estab</u>	lishment. There is an Administrative Charging Committee for the
131		Coun	ty.
132	<u>(b)</u>	<u>Meml</u>	bership. The Committee has 5 voting members. The members are:

133		<u>(1)</u>	the Chair of the Police Accountability Board or another member
134			of the Board designated by the Chair;
135		<u>(2)</u>	2 civilian members appointed by the Police Accountability Board;
136			<u>and</u>
137		<u>(3)</u>	2 civilian members appointed by the Executive.
138	<u>(c)</u>	<u>Comp</u>	position and qualifications of members. The Committee consists of
139		a cha	ir and 4 additional members. The members of the Committee must
140		reflec	et the racial, gender, gender-identity, sexual orientation, and cultural
141		divers	sity of the County. Each member must [[:
142		<u>(1)</u> ]]	reside in the County [[; and have
143		<u>(2)</u>	experience managing or evaluating the management of a law
144			enforcement agency;
145		<u>(3)</u>	experience evaluating citizen complaints against a police officer;
146			<u>or</u>
147		<u>(4)</u>	experience in personnel disciplinary proceedings as a manager,
148			employee representative, mediator, or arbitrator]] and be able to
149			demonstrate through professional or lived experience the ability to
150			balance effective oversight, perform objective analysis of an
151			investigation report, and practice procedural fairness.
152	<u>(d)</u>	<u>Train</u>	ting. Each member of the Committee must complete training on
153		matte	ers relating to police procedures from the Maryland Police Training
154		and S	Standard Commission before serving as a member.
155	<u>(e)</u>	<u>Staff.</u>	The Chief Administrative Officer must provide appropriate
156		dedic	ated full-time staff to the Committee and make available to the
157		Comi	mittee services and facilities that are necessary or appropriate for the
137			

159		<u>legal counsel approved by the Council to serve as counsel to the</u>
160		Committee.
161	<u>(f)</u>	Compensation. The annual salary for the Chair is \$22,000 and the annual
162		salary for each member is \$16,000. The salary for the chair and each
163		member must be adjusted on the first Monday in December by the
164		Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the
165		Washington-Arlington-Alexandria Core Based Statistical Area (CBSA),
166		as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor
167		Statistics, or a successor index.
168	<u>(g)</u>	Meetings. The Committee must meet at least one time each month or
169		[[as]] more frequently if needed.
170	<u>(h)</u>	Term of office. Each member serves a 3-year term. A member must not
171		serve more than 2 consecutive full terms. A member appointed to fill a
172		vacancy serves the rest of the unexpired term. Members continue in office
173		until their successors are appointed and qualified.
174	<u>(i)</u>	<u>Duties.</u> The Committee must:
175		(1) review the findings of each law enforcement agency's
176		investigation forwarded by the agency to the Committee;
177		(2) review any body camera footage that may be relevant to the
178		matters covered in the complaint of misconduct;
179		(3) <u>authorize a police officer called before the Committee to be</u>
180		accompanied by a representative;
181		(4) determine if the police officer who is the subject of the
182		investigation should be administratively charged or not
183		administratively charged within 30 days after receipt of the law
184		enforcement agency's investigatory file unless the Committee
185		requests further review under subsections (j)(1) or (2);

186		<u>(4)</u>	if the Committee determines that a police officer should be
187			administratively charged, recommend discipline pursuant to the
188			disciplinary matrix;
189		<u>(5)</u>	if the Committee determines that a police officer should not be
190			administratively charged, determine if:
191			(A) the allegations against the police officer are unfounded,
192			including situations where existing departmental policy
193			fails to properly address the situation for which the officer
194			was charged; or,
195			(B) the police officer is exonerated;
196		<u>(6)</u>	issue a written opinion for each complaint describing in detail the
197			Committee's findings, determinations, and recommendations; and
198		<u>(7)</u>	forward the written opinion to the director of the appropriate law
199			enforcement agency, the accused police officer, and the
200			complainant.
201	<u>(j)</u>	<u>Autho</u>	ority of the Committee. The Committee may:
202		<u>(1)</u>	request information or action from the law enforcement agency
203			that conducted the investigation, including requiring additional
204			investigation;
205		<u>(2)</u>	issue subpoenas for documents or witnesses necessary to execute
206			the Committee's duties; and
207		<u>(3)</u>	record, in writing, any failure of supervision that caused or
208			contributed to a police officer's misconduct.
209	<u>(k)</u>	<u>Confi</u>	dentiality. Each member of the Committee must maintain
210		confi	dentiality relating to a matter being considered by the Committee
211		<u>until</u>	final disposition of the matter.

212	(1)	<u>Dutie</u>	<u>s of the law enforcement agency. The law enforcement agency must</u>
213		inves	tigate and submit a written investigation report to the Administrative
214		Charg	ging Committee for each complaint received by the agency or
215		referr	ed to the agency by the Police Accountability Board. Each law
216		enfor	cement agency must submit a monthly report to the Administrative
217		Charg	ging Committee detailing complaints received and the status of each
218		inves	tigation.
219	<u>(m)</u>	<u>Remo</u>	eval of a member. The Executive with the approval of at least 6
220		meml	pers of the Council may remove a member for:
221		<u>(1)</u>	neglect of duty, including failure to complete mandatory training;
222		<u>(2)</u>	misconduct in office;
223		<u>(3)</u>	a member's inability or unwillingness to perform the duties of the
224			office;
225		<u>(4)</u>	conduct that impairs a member from performing the duties of the
226			office; or
227		<u>(5)</u>	[[violation of law; or
228		<u>(6)</u> ]]	inability to meet the qualifications for a Board member mandated
229			by State law or implementing regulations.
230	Sec. 2	2. Trar	nsition. Notwithstanding Sections 35-24(d) and 35-25(h) in Section
231	1, the Execu	ıtive n	nust stagger the initial terms of the members of the Board and the
232	Committee	so that	the terms of approximately one-third of the members expires each
233	year.		
234	Sec. 3	B. Exp	edited Effective Date, <u>Transition</u> .
235	The	Counci	il declares that this legislation is necessary for the immediate
236	protection of	f the p	ablic interest. This Act takes effect on the date on which it becomes
237	law and mus	st apply	y to eligible complaints based on an incident occurring on or after:
238	<u>(a)</u>	July	1, [[2022]] 2023 against a police officer who is covered by a

239		collective bargaining agreement entered into	before June 30, 2022, that
240		includes a different disciplinary system; and	
241	<u>(b)</u>	July 1, 2022, against any other police officer	
242			
243	Approved:		
244			
	Gabriel Albor	rnoz, President, County Council	Date
245	Approved:		
246			
	Marc Elrich,	County Executive	Date
247	This is a corre	ect copy of Council action.	
248			
	Selena Mendy	y Singleton, Esq., Clerk of the Council	Date

# Jawando PAB Salary Amendment

Amend lines 87-90 as follows:

(3) The [[Board members]] Chair or another Board member designated to serve on the Administrative Charging Committee must serve without compensation except for the reimbursement of expenses incurred in attending meetings or carrying out other duties, including travel and dependent care costs at rates established by the County, subject to appropriation. The annual salary for each other Board member is \$16,000. The salary for each member must be adjusted on the first Monday in December by the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria Core Based Statistical Area (CBSA), as published by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, or a successor index.

\\Mcg-C058\Central\_Staff\LAW\BILLS\2149 Police Accountability Board\Jawando PAB Salary Amendment.Docx

# **SENATE BILL 389**

E4 SB 608/21 – JPR

By: Senator Jackson

Introduced and read first time: January 24, 2022

Assigned to: Judicial Proceedings

Committee Report: Favorable with amendments

Senate action: Adopted

Read second time: March 18, 2022

CHAPTER

1 AN ACT concerning

# Public Safety – Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission Accountability Act Revisions and Clarifications

4 FOR the purpose of altering the membership and duties of the Maryland Police Training 5 and Standards Commission; providing that a law enforcement agency may employ 6 an individual as a police officer for a certain period only if the individual is certified 7 or provisionally certified by the Commission; altering provisions of law relating to 8 the certification and recertification of police officers; and generally relating to the 9 Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission specifying that a certain 10 disciplinary matrix applies to all complaints of police misconduct; specifying that the 11 purpose of a certain trial board process is to adjudicate all internal and external matters for which a police officer is subject to discipline; establishing the composition 12 13 of a trial board for a statewide or bi-county law enforcement agency; prohibiting the use of collective bargaining to establish or alter a process for investigation and 14 disposition of certain complaints; altering the process for appealing certain 15 disciplinary matters; altering the effective date of a certain Act of the General 16 Assembly; and generally relating to the process for disciplining police officers. 17

# BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

19 Article - Public Safety

20 Section 3-201(a) and (b) and 3-202

21 Annotated Code of Maryland

22 (2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)

23 BY adding to

18

# EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

<u>Underlining</u> indicates amendments to bill.

Strike out indicates matter stricken from the bill by amendment or deleted from the law by amendment.

37

<del>3-201.</del>

1	Article - Public Safety
2	Section 3-201(e)
3	Annotated Code of Maryland
4	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
5	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
6	Article - Public Safety
7	Section 3-201(e) through (g), 3-203(e), 3-204, 3-209.1, 3-211, and 3-213 through
8	$\frac{3-215}{}$
9	Annotated Code of Maryland
10	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
11	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
12	Article - Public Safety
13	<del>Section 3–207 and 3–209</del>
14	Annotated Code of Maryland
15	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
16	(As enacted by Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021)
17	BY repealing
18	Article - Public Safety
19	<del>Section 3–210 and 3–216</del>
20	Annotated Code of Maryland
21	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
22	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
23	<u>Article – Public Safety</u>
24	Section 3–104(d), 3–105, 3–106, and 3–111
25	Annotated Code of Maryland
26	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
27	(As enacted by Section 3 of Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021)
28	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
29	Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021
30	Section 8 and 12
31	BY adding to
32	Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021
33	Section 12
34	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND
35	That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
36	Article - Public Safety
90	Aiticie – i unite patety

1	(a) In th	<del>ris subt</del>	title th	e follow	<del>ing wor</del>	<del>ds have</del>	the mea	<del>nings indi</del>	<del>cated.</del>	
2 3	(b) "Con Commission.	<del>nmissi</del> (	n" n	<del>ieans t</del>	the Ma	<del>ıryland</del>	-Police-	-Training	and	<del>Standards</del>
4 5 6	(E) "ME LICENSED TO OCCUPATIONS A	PROV	<del>IDE I</del>							TIFIED OR HEALTH
7 8 9 10	[(e)] (F) individual rides a factor in deciding or vehicle.	<del>- motor</del>	<del>reyele (</del>	<del>r wear</del> s	<del>motor</del>	<del>cycle-re</del>	<del>lated ele</del>	<del>thing or p</del>	arapho	
11	<del>[(f)] (G)</del>	<del>(1)</del>	<del>"Pol</del> i	<del>ce office</del>	e <mark>r" mea</mark> i	<del>1s an in</del>	<del>dividual</del>	who:		
12 13	<del>and</del>	<del>(i)</del>	<del>is at</del>	<del>thorize</del>	<del>d to enf</del>	<del>orce the</del>	<del>genera</del>	<del>l criminal</del>	<del>laws o</del>	f the State;
14		<del>(ii)</del>	<del>is a 1</del>	<del>nember</del>	of one	of the fo	<del>llowing l</del>	<del>aw enforce</del>	ement	<del>agencies:</del>
15			<del>1.</del>	the Do	<del>epartm</del>	ent of St	ate Polic	<del>20;</del>		
16			<u>2</u> .	the Pe	olice De	<del>partmer</del>	<del>rt of Bal</del>	<del>timore Cit</del> z	<del>y ;</del>	
17			<del>3.</del>	the po	olice der	<del>artmen</del>	<del>t, burea</del> ı	u, or force	o <del>f a co</del> i	<del>anty;</del>
18 19	<del>corporation;</del>		<del>4.</del>	the po	<del>olice de</del>	<del>partme</del> i	<del>nt, bure</del>	<del>au, or for</del> c	ee of s	<del>- municipal</del>
20			<del>5.</del>	the M	arylane	<del>l Transi</del>	t Admin	<del>istration p</del>	<del>olice f</del> e	<del>rce;</del>
21			<del>6.</del>	the M	arylane	<del>l Trans</del> t	<del>ortation</del>	-Authority	- Police	<del>",</del>
22			<del>7.</del>	the pe	olice for	<del>ces of th</del>	e Unive	rsity Syste	<del>m of M</del>	<del>laryland;</del>
23			<del>8.</del>	the pe	lice for	<del>ce of Mo</del>	<del>rgan Sta</del>	<del>te Univer</del>	<del>sity;</del>	
24			<del>9.</del>	the of	<del>fice of t</del> l	<del>he sheri</del>	<del>ff of a co</del>	<del>unty;</del>		
25			<del>10.</del>	the po	lice for	<del>ces of th</del>	<del>e Depar</del>	tment of N	atural	Resources;
26	D	1 /	<del>11.</del>	-	<del>olice fo</del>	<del>rce of t</del>	he Mar	<del>yland Cap</del>	itol P	olice of the
27 28	Department of Go		<del>12.</del>	the po				<del>nty, or mur</del>	<del>nicipal</del>	<del>corporation</del>

1		<del>13.</del>	the Housing Authority of Baltimore City Police Force;
2		<del>14.</del>	the Baltimore City School Police Force;
3		<del>15.</del>	the Crofton Police Department;
4 5	<del>Force;</del>	<del>16.</del>	the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission Police
6		<del>17.</del>	the Ocean Pines Police Department;
7		<del>18.</del>	the police force of the Baltimore City Community College;
8		<del>19.</del>	the police force of the Hagerstown Community College;
9	Investigative Division	<del>20.</del> in the De	the Warrant Apprehension Unit of the Intelligence and partment;
$\frac{1}{2}$	<del>01</del>	<u>91</u>	the police force of the Anne Arundel Community College;
13 14	established in accords	22. nee with '	the police department of the Johns Hopkins University Title 24, Subtitle 12 of the Education Article.
15	<del>(2)</del> " <del>P</del>	olice office	er" includes:
16 17	Office;	<del>a mer</del>	nber of the Field Enforcement Bureau of the Comptroller's
18	(ii) Tobacco Commission;	<del>a mer</del>	mber of the Field Enforcement Division of the Alcohol and
20	<del>(ii</del>	i <del>)</del> the St	tate Fire Marshal or a deputy State fire marshal;
21 22	the Department;	<del>')</del> an in	vestigator of the Intelligence and Investigative Division of
23 24	<del>(v)</del> in § 2–208.1 of the Cri		atgomery County fire and explosive investigator as defined ocedure Article;
25 26	<del>(vi</del> <del>investigator as define</del>		nne Arundel County or City of Annapolis fire and explosive 08.2 of the Criminal Procedure Article;
27	defined in \$2,200,2 e	<del>i)</del> <del>a Pri</del>	nce George's County fire and explosive investigator as

$\frac{1}{2}$	(viii) a Worcester County fire and explosive investigator as defined in § 2–208.4 of the Criminal Procedure Article;
3 4	(ix) a City of Hagerstown fire and explosive investigator as defined in § 2–208.5 of the Criminal Procedure Article; and
5 6	(x) a Howard County fire and explosive investigator as defined in § $2-208.6$ of the Criminal Procedure Article.
7	(3) "Police officer" does not include:
8 9	(i) an individual who serves as a police officer only because the individual occupies another office or position;
10 11 12 13	(ii) a sheriff, the Secretary of State Police, a commissioner of police, a deputy or assistant commissioner of police, a chief of police, a deputy or assistant chief of police, or another individual with an equivalent title who is appointed or employed by a government to exercise equivalent supervisory authority; or
14	(iii) a member of the Maryland National Guard who:
15 16	1. is under the control and jurisdiction of the Military Department;
17 18	2. is assigned to the military property designated as the Martin State Airport; and
19 20	3. is charged with exercising police powers in and for the Martin State Airport.
21 22 23 24	[(g)] (II) ["SWAT team"] "SPECIAL TACTICAL RESPONSE TEAM" means an agency-designated unit of law enforcement officers who are selected, trained, and equipped to work as a coordinated team to resolve critical incidents that are so hazardous, complex, or unusual that they may exceed the capabilities of first responders or investigative units.
25	<del>3-202.</del>
26 27	There is a Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission, which is an independent commission that functions in the Department.
28	<del>3–203.</del>
29 30 31 32 33	(c) Except for [the appointed members] A MEMBER APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNOR, a member of the Commission may serve personally at a Commission meeting or may designate, IN WRITING, a representative from the member's unit, agency, or association who may act at any meeting to the same effect as if the member were personally present.

1	<del>3-204.</del>		
2	<del>(A)</del>	THE SECR	ETARY OF STATE POLICE IS THE CHAIR OF THE COMMISSION.
3 4	<del>(B)</del> <del>members.</del>	The Commi	ssion annually shall elect a [chair and] vice chair from among its
5	<del>3-207.</del>		
6	<del>(a)</del>	The Commi	ssion [has the following powers and duties] SHALL:
7 8 9 10	training cou	EACH SCHOO	establish standards for the approval and continuation of approval OL that [conduct] CONDUCTS police entrance—level and in-service I by the Commission, including EACH State, regional, county, and ols] SCHOOL;
11 12	<del>OFFICERS</del>	` '	DUCT JOB TASK ANALYSES FOR ENTRANCE-LEVEL POLICE EARS BEGINNING ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 31, 2022;
13		<del>(3)</del> <del>[to] s</del>	approve and issue certificates of approval to police training schools;
14		<del>[(3)] <b>(4)</b></del>	[to] inspect police training schools;
15 16	issued to a	<del>[(4)<b>] (5)</b> police trainin</del>	[to] revoke, for cause, the approval or certificate of approval g school;
17		<del>[(5)] (6)</del>	[to] establish the following for police training schools:
18		<del>(i)</del>	<del>curriculum;</del>
19		<del>(ii)</del>	minimum courses of study;
20		<del>(iii)</del>	attendance requirements;
21		<del>(iv)</del>	eligibility requirements;
22		<del>(v)</del>	equipment and facilities;
23		<del>(vi)</del>	standards of operation; and
24		<del>(vii)</del>	minimum qualifications for instructors;
25 26	<del>for in-servi</del>		quire, for entrance-level police training and at least every 3 years e training conducted by the State and each county and municipal

1	police training school, that the curriculum and minimum courses of study include special
2	training, attention to, and study of the application and enforcement of:
3 4	(i) the criminal laws concerning rape and sexual offenses, including the sexual abuse and exploitation of children and related evidentiary procedures;
5 6 7	(ii) the criminal laws concerning human trafficking, including services and support available to victims and the rights and appropriate treatment of victims;
8 9 10	(iii) the criminal laws concerning hate crimes, including the recognition of, response to, and reporting of incidents required to be reported under § 2-307 of this article;
11 12	(iv) the contact with and treatment of victims of crimes and delinquent acts;
13 14	(v) the notices, services, support, and rights available to victims and victims' representatives under State law; and
15 16	(vi) the notification of victims of identity fraud and related crimes of their rights under federal law;]
17 18	(7) [to] certify [and issue appropriate certificates to] qualified instructors for police training schools authorized by the Commission to offer police training programs;
19 20 21	(8) [to]-verify that police officers have satisfactorily completed [training programs and issue diplomas to those police officers] ENTRANCE-LEVEL TRAINING PROGRAMS;
22 23	(9) [to]-conduct and operate police training schools authorized by the Commission to offer police training programs;
24 25	(10) [to] make a continuous study of entrance-level and in-service training methods and procedures;
26 27	f(11) to consult with and accept the cooperation of any recognized federal, State, or municipal law enforcement agency or educational institution;
28 29 30	(12) to consult and cooperate with universities, colleges, and institutions in the State to develop specialized courses of study for police officers in police science and police administration;
31	(13) to consult and cooperate with other agencies and units of the State

32

concerned with police training;

35

36

and

<del>(iii)</del>

	SENATE BILL 989
1	(14) to develop, with the cooperation of the Office of the Chief Medical
2	Examiner and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, a uniform missing person report form
3	to be available for use by each law enforcement agency of the State on or before October 1,
4	2008:1
7	<del>2000,</del> ]
5	(11) ON OR BEFORE AUGUST 15 EACH YEAR, POST ON THE
6	COMMISSION'S WEBSITE AND NOTIFY EACH LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY IN THE
7	STATE OF:
1	<del>DIMIL OF</del>
8	(I) ALL TRAINING TOPICS DESCRIBED IN ITEMS (14) THROUGH
9	(16) OF THIS SUBSECTION; AND
J	(10) OF THIS SUBSECTION, MAD
10	(II) ALL TRAINING TOPICS THAT WILL BE REQUIRED IN THE
11	NEXT CALENDAR YEAR;
11	NEXT ORDENDIR TERRIS
12	(12) VERIFY THAT POLICE OFFICERS CERTIFIED BY THE COMMISSION
13	MAINTAIN A CURRENT CERTIFICATION IN LIFESAVING TECHNIQUES, INCLUDING
14	CPR:
14	<del>VI 10,</del>
15	(13) CONSULT WITH ANY RECOGNIZED FEDERAL, STATE, COUNTY, OR
16	MUNICIPAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OR EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION.
17	INSTITUTION THAT SPECIALIZES IN COURSES OF STUDY FOR POLICE OFFICERS, OR
18	AGENCY OR UNIT OF THE STATE INVOLVED IN POLICE TRAINING;
10	HOLDE OR ONLI OF THE STATE INVOLVED IN TOLICE TRAINING,
19	(15) (14) [to] require, for entrance-level police training and annually for
20	in-service level police training conducted by the State and each county and municipal police
21	training school, that the curriculum and minimum courses of study include, for police
22	officers who are issued an electronic control device by a law enforcement agency, special
23	training in the proper use of electronic control devices, as defined in § 4–109 of the Criminal
24	Law Article, consistent with established law enforcement standards and federal and State
25	constitutional provisions;
	constitutional provisions,
26	(16) to require, for entrance-level police training and, as determined by the
27	Commission, for in-service level training conducted by the State and each county and
28	municipal police training school, that the curriculum and minimum courses of study
29	include, consistent with established law enforcement standards and federal and State
30	constitutional provisions:
	r · · · · · · ·
31	(i) training in lifesaving techniques, including Cardiopulmonary
32	Resuscitation (CPR);
33	(ii) training in the proper level and use of force as set forth in the
34	Maryland Use of Force Statute under § 3-524 of this title;
	<del>-</del>

training regarding sensitivity to cultural and gender diversity;

(20)

1	(iv) training regarding individuals with physical, intellectual,
2	developmental, and psychiatric disabilities;
3	(17) (15) [to] require, for entrance-level police training and at least every
4	2 years for in-service level police training conducted by the State and each county and
5	municipal police training school, that the curriculum and minimum courses of study
6	include special training, attention to, and study of the application of antidiscrimination and
7	use of force de-escalation training;
8	(18) to develop, with the cooperation of the Office of the Attorney General,
9	the Governor's Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services, and the Federal
10	Trade Commission, a uniform identity fraud reporting form that:
11	(i) makes transmitted data available on or before October 1, 2011,
12	for use by each law enforcement agency of State and local government; and
13	(ii) may authorize the data to be transmitted to the Consumer
14	Sentinel program in the Federal Trade Commission;
15	(19) to adopt and recommend a set of best practices and standards for use of
16	force;]
17	(16) REQUIRE, FOR ENTRANCE-LEVEL POLICE TRAINING AND AT
18	LEAST EVERY 3 YEARS FOR IN-SERVICE LEVEL POLICE TRAINING CONDUCTED BY
19	THE STATE AND EACH COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL POLICE TRAINING SCHOOL, THAT
20	THE CURRICULUM AND MINIMUM COURSES OF STUDY INCLUDE SPECIAL TRAINING,
21	ATTENTION TO, AND STUDY OF:
22	(I) THE CRIMINAL LAWS CONCERNING RAPE AND SEXUAL
23	OFFENSES, INCLUDING THE SEXUAL ABUSE AND EXPLOITATION OF CHILDREN AND
24	RELATED EVIDENTIARY PROCEDURES;
25	(II) THE CRIMINAL LAWS CONCERNING HUMAN TRAFFICKING,
26	INCLUDING SERVICES AND SUPPORT AVAILABLE TO VICTIMS AND THE RIGHTS OF
27	AND APPROPRIATE TREATMENT OF VICTIMS;
28	(HI) THE CONTACT WITH AND TREATMENT OF VICTIMS OF
29	CRIMES AND DELINQUENT ACTS;
30	(IV) THE NOTICES, SERVICES, SUPPORT, AND RIGHTS
31	AVAILABLE TO VICTIMS AND VICTIMS' REPRESENTATIVES UNDER STATE LAW;
32	(V) THE NOTIFICATION OF VICTIMS OF IDENTITY FRAUD AND
33	RELATED CRIMES OF THEIR RIGHTS UNDER FEDERAL LAW;

1	<del>(VI)</del> THE	USE OF FORCE, DE-ESCALATION, AND THE DUTY OF A			
2	POLICE OFFICER TO INTERVENE WHEN THE POLICE OFFICER OBSERVES ANOTHER				
$\frac{3}{4}$	OFFICER USING FORCE THAT IS EXCESSIVE AS SET FORTH IN THE MARYLAND USE OF FORCE STATUTE UNDER § 3–524 OF THIS TITLE OR OTHERWISE VIOLATES THE				
5		THE STATE OR THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THAT			
6	EMPLOYS THE POLICE OFFI				
7	<del>(VII)</del> THE	RECOGNITION AND PREVENTION OF DISCRIMINATION			
8	BASED ON:				
9	<del>1.</del>	<del>RACE;</del>			
10	<u>9</u> <del>=</del> +	<del>COLOR;</del>			
11	<del>2.</del>	RELIGION OR CREED;			
12	<del>4.</del>	NATIONAL ORIGIN OR ANCESTRY;			
13	<del>5.</del>	<del>SEX;</del>			
14	<del>6.</del>	SEXUAL ORIENTATION;			
15	<del>7.</del>	GENDER IDENTITY;			
16	8.	AGE;			
17	9.	PHYSICAL OR MENTAL DISABILITY;			
18	<del>10.</del>	MARITAL STATUS;			
19	<del>11.</del>	<del>VETERAN STATUS;</del>			
20	<del>12.</del>	GENETIC INFORMATION;			
21	<del>13.</del>	CITIZENSHIP; OR			
22	<del>14.</del>	CULTURE; AND			
23	<del>(VIII)</del> ALL	ASPECTS OF PROFILING, INCLUDING:			
24	<del>1,</del>	PROFILING IN WHICH AN INDIVIDUAL IS IMPROPERLY			
25		'A CRIME BECAUSE OF THE PERSON'S RACE, ETHNICITY,			
26	RELIGION, OR OTHER IDENT	<del>TFYING CHARACTERISTIC;</del>			

1	2. PROFILING IN WHICH A SUSPECT'S RACE, ETHNICITY,
2	RELIGION, OR OTHER IDENTIFYING CHARACTERISTIC HAS BEEN REPORTED DURING
3	THE COURSE OF AN INVESTIGATION; AND
0	
4	3. MOTORCYCLE PROFILING;
5	<del>[(20)] (17)</del> <del>[to] evaluate and modernize recruitment standards and</del>
6	practices of law enforcement agencies to increase diversity within those law enforcement
7	agencies and develop strategies for recruiting women and African American, Hispanic or
8	Latino, and other minority candidates;
0	<del>Latino, and other innority candidates,</del>
9	[(21)] (18) [to develop] MAINTAIN standards for the mandatory
10	psychological consultation with a law enforcement officer who was actively involved in an
11	incident when another person was seriously injured or killed as a result of an accident or a
12	shooting or has returned from combat deployment;
13	<del>[(22)] <b>(19)</b> [to require:</del>
14	(i)] REQUIRE, ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER 31, 2022, a statement
15	condemning motorcycle profiling to be included in existing written policies regarding other
16	<del>profiling; {and</del>
17	(ii) for entrance-level police training and for in-service level
18	training conducted by the State and each county and municipal police training school, that
19	the curriculum and minimum courses of study include, consistent with established law
20	enforcement standards and federal and State constitutional provisions, training related to
21	motorcycle profiling in conjunction with existing training regarding other profiling;
22	[(23)] (20) [to] perform any other act, including adopting regulations, that
23	is necessary or appropriate to carry out the powers and duties of the Commission under
24	this subtitle; and
25	[(24)] (21) [to consult and cooperate with commanders of SWAT teams to
26	develop MAINTAIN standards for training and deployment of [SWAT] SPECIAL
27	TACTICAL RESPONSE teams and of law enforcement officers [who are not members of a
28	SWAT team-who conduct no-knock warrant service in the State based on best practices in
29	the State and nationwide.
30	(b) (1) The Commission shall [develop] MAINTAIN a system by which law
31	enforcement agencies report to the Commission on the number of serious officer-involved
32	incidents each year, the number of officers disciplined each year, and the type of discipline
33	administered to those officers.

The Commission shall annually summarize the information submitted

 $\frac{34}{35}$ 

by law enforcement agencies and:

1	(i) ON OR BEFORE MARCH 31 EACH YEAR, post the summary
2	excluding the names of officers and other involved parties, on a website maintained by the
3	Commission; and
4	(ii) submit the summary to the General Assembly, as provided in §
5	2-1257 of the State Government Article.
Ū	
6	(c) (1) [In consultation with the Maryland Department of Health, the] THI
7	Commission shall establish a confidential hotline that is available for police officers and
8	other law enforcement personnel to contact and speak with a trained peer law enforcement
9	officer or a mental health professional who may provide initial counseling advice and
10	confidential referral to appropriate services.
	(2) <b>T</b> Co
11	(2) THE COMMISSION SHALL POST ON ITS WEBSITE INFORMATION
12	REGARDING THE CONFIDENTIAL HOTLINE ESTABLISHED UNDER THIS SUBSECTION
13	(d) The Commission shall:
14	(1) [establish] MAINTAIN a Police Complaint Mediation Program to which
15	a law enforcement agency may refer a nonviolent complaint made against a police officer
16	out of the standard complaint process;
	out of the standard complaint process,
17	(2) refer a complaint referred to the Program to voluntary mediation
18	conducted by an independent mediation service; and
10	conducted by an independent inculation service, and
10	(2) [adapt] MAINTAIN normalations to implement the Dromon including
19	(3) [adopt] MAINTAIN regulations to implement the Program, including
20	criteria concerning eligibility for referral of complaints.
0.4	( ) (4) m G : : 1 11
21	(e) (1) The Commission shall-[develop] ANNUALLY REVIEW AND UPDATE AS
22	APPROPRIATE-best practices for the establishment and implementation of a community
23	policing program in each jurisdiction.
24	(2) The Commission shall [develop] MAINTAIN a system by which each
25	local law enforcement agency annually files a detailed description of the law enforcement
26	agency's community policing program.
27	(3) The Commission shall annually:
	(b) The commission shall amually.
28	(i) review each community policing program filed in accordance
29	with § 3–517 of this title; and
<b>⊿</b> ∂	widi y o of tot tills title, allu
20	(ii) marrido coch aganar with any comments that the Commission
30	(ii) provide each agency with any comments that the Commission
31	has to improve the agency's community policing program.
0.0	(A) (B) (C) (A) (B) (A) (B) (A) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B
32	(f) (1) The Commission shall [develop] MAINTAIN a uniform citizen complain
99	muse and to be fellowed by each law enforcement a manage

1	<del>(2)</del>	The uniform complaint process shall:
2		(i) be simple;
3		(ii) require that a complainant be informed of the final disposition of
4	the complainant's	complaint and any discipline imposed as a result; and
5		(iii) be posted on the websites of the Commission and each law
6	<del>enforcement agenc</del>	<del>y.</del>
7	<del>(g)</del> The (	Commission shall [develop] MAINTAIN and administer:
8	<del>(1)</del>	a training program on matters relating to police procedures for
9		intend to qualify to participate as a member of a trial board or
0	administrative cha	arging committee under Subtitle 1 of this title; and
1	<del>(2)</del>	a training program on matters relating to police training and standards
<b>L</b> 2	<del>for citizens who ar</del>	e appointed to serve as members of the Commission.
13		Commission shall distribute the victim's representation notification form
4	<del>developed by the C</del>	Sovernor's Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services under
15	§ 12-206.1I of the	Transportation Article to each law enforcement agency in the State.
16		Commission, in consultation with the Maryland State's Attorneys'
<b>.</b> 7		develop and maintain a uniform, statewide training and certification
18		<del>ire use of best practices in investigating compliance with court orders to</del>
9		ed firearms, rifles, and shotguns under § 6–234 of the Criminal Procedure
20	Article.	
21		Commission, in consultation with the Anne Arundel County Police
22	<del>Academy, shall d</del>	evelop and maintain a uniform statewide training and certification
23		cludes role-playing exercises to ensure the use of best practices in the
24	issuance of citation	<del>is in lieu of arrest.</del>
25	<del>(k)</del> The (	Commission shall:
26	<del>(1)</del>	hold law enforcement agencies accountable for violations of the Use of
27	Force Statute und	er § 3-524 of this title; and
28	<del>(2)</del>	work with the Comptroller and the Governor's Office of Crime
29	Prevention, Youth	, and Victim Services to ensure that State grant funding is withheld from
30		agency that violates the Use of Force Statute under § 3–524 of this title.
31	<del>(1)</del> The (	<del>Commission shall:</del>

1	(1) develop a test and training for implicit bias, subject to the availability
2	of implicit bias testing standards that are generally accepted by experts in the field of police
3	<del>psychology;</del>
4	(2) require all law enforcement agencies to use the implicit bias test in the
5	hiring process;
J	ming process,
6	(3) require all new police officers to complete implicit bias testing and
7	<del>training; and</del>
8	(4) require all incumbent police officers to undergo implicit bias testing and
9	training on an annual basis.
10	<del>3–209.</del>
11	(a) (1) A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MAY EMPLOY AN INDIVIDUAL AS A
12	POLICE OFFICER FOR A PERIOD EXCEEDING 1 YEAR ONLY IF THE INDIVIDUAL IS
13	CERTIFIED BY THE COMMISSION.
10	CERTIFIED BITTIE COMMISSION.
14	(2) A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY MAY EMPLOY AN INDIVIDUAL AS A
15	POLICE OFFICER FOR A PERIOD NOT EXCEEDING 1 YEAR ONLY IF THE INDIVIDUAL
16	IS PROVISIONALLY CERTIFIED BY THE COMMISSION.
17	(B) The Commission shall certify as a police officer each individual who:
18	(1) (i) satisfactorily meets the standards of the Commission; or
10	(1) Satisfactority incess the statituatus of the Commission, or
19	(ii) provides the Commission with sufficient evidence that the
20	individual has satisfactorily completed a training program in another state of equal quality
21	and content as required by the Commission;
22	(2) submits to a mental health screening by a licensed mental health
23	<del>volessional;</del>
20	protessional,
24	(3) submits to a physical agility assessment as determined by the
25	Commission;
26	(4) SUBMITS TO A MEDICAL EVALUATION;
27	(5) submits to a criminal history records check in accordance with
28	3–209.1 of this subtitle; and
90	
29	<del>[(5)] (6)</del> (i) is a United States citizen; or
30	(ii) subject to subsection [(b)] (C) of this section, is a permanent legal
31	resident of the United States and an honorably discharged veteran of the United States
OI	resident of the Office States and an honorasty also aliged veteral of the Office States

- armed forces, provided that the individual has applied to obtain United States citizenship 1 2 and the application is still pending approval.
- 3 The certification of a police officer who fails to obtain United States citizenship as required by subsection [(a)(4)(ii)] (B)(6)(II) of this section shall be 4 Iterminated | REVOKED by the Commission. 5
- 6 The Commission may certify as a police officer an individual who is not <del>[(e)] (D)</del> 7 considered a police officer under [§ 3-201(f)(3)] § 3-201(G)(3) of this subtitle if the 8 individual meets the selection and training standards of the Commission.
- 9 <del>[(d)] (E)</del> Each [certificate] CERTIFICATION CARD issued to a police officer 10 under this subtitle remains the property of the Commission.
- As a condition of certification, a police officer shall submit to a mental 11 <del>[(e)] (F)</del> health assessment every 2 years and an annual physical agility assessment to establish 12 continuing fitness to carry out the officer's assigned duties as a police officer. 13
- <del>[(f)] (G)</del> Prior marijuana use is not a disqualifier for certification as a police 14 15 officer.
- 16  $\frac{3-209.1}{}$
- In this section the following words have the meanings indicated. 17 <del>(a)</del> <del>(1)</del>
- "Applicant" means an individual who is seeking certification as a police 18  $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$ 19 officer.
- 20 "Central Repository" means the Criminal Justice Information System  $\frac{(3)}{(3)}$ Central Repository of the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. 21
- 22 An applicant for certification as a police officer shall apply to the Central <del>(b)</del> 23 Repository for a State and national criminal history records check.
- 24As part of the application for a criminal history records check, an applicant <del>(e)</del> 25 shall submit to the Central Repository :
- 26 (1) a complete set of legible fingerprints [taken on forms] IN A MANNER 27 approved by the Director of the Central Repository and the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation[:] AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATIONS ADOPTED UNDER § 28 29 10-221 OF THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE ARTICLE PROVIDING FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT AND COLLECTION OF FEES FOR OBTAINING CRIMINAL HISTORY 30
- 31 RECORD INFORMATION.
- 32 the fee authorized under § 10-221(b)(7) of the Criminal Procedure 33 Article for access to Maryland criminal history records; and

1	(3) the processing fee required by the Federal Bureau of Investigation for
2	a national criminal history records check.]
3	(d) In accordance with §§ 10-201 through 10-229 of the Criminal Procedure
4	Article, the Central Repository shall forward to the Commission and the applicant a printed
5	statement of the applicant's criminal history record information.
6	(e) Information obtained from the Central Repository under this section:
7	(1) shall be confidential;
8	(2) may not be redisseminated; and
9	(3) may be used only for the [licensing] CERTIFICATION purpose
10	authorized by this title.
11	(f) The subject of a criminal history records check under this section may contest
12	the contents of the printed statement issued by the Central Repository as provided in §
13	10-223 of the Criminal Procedure Article.
14	(g) If criminal history record information is reported to the Central Repository
15	after the date of the initial criminal history records check, the Central Repository shall
16	provide to the Commission a revised statement of the applicant's or certified police officer's
17	State criminal history record.
18	<del>[3-210.</del>
19	(a) The certification of a police officer automatically lapses 3 years after the date
20	of the previous certification.
21	(b) If the certification of a police officer lapses, the police officer may apply for
22	recertification immediately.
23	(e) The Commission may recertify a police officer after the certification of the
24	police officer lapses.]
25	<del>3-211.</del>
26	(a) THE CERTIFICATION OF A POLICE OFFICER SHALL LAPSE ON JUNE 30
27	OF THE CALENDAR YEAR FOLLOWING THE MOST RECENT CERTIFICATION UNLESS
28	THE OFFICER HAS SATISFIED THE REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED UNDER § 3-209 OF
29	THIS SUBTITLE.

1	(B) If the certification of a police officer is in danger of lapsing or has lapsed
1	(B) If the certification of a police officer is in danger of lapsing or has lapsed because of the failure of the police officer to meet the standards of the Commission, the
2	
3	police officer may request a hearing before the Commission to present evidence that:
4	(1) the police officer's law enforcement agency unreasonably failed to
5	provide the police officer with the required training or assigned the police officer to special
6	duty that prevented the police officer from completing the required training to achieve this
7	certification; and
'	Col villeavion, and
8	(2) this failure is through no fault of the police officer.
0	(h) (1) (c) On request of the relies officer for a hearing under this section
9	(b) (1) (c) On request of the police officer for a hearing under this section,
10	the Commission shall hold a hearing WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE REQUEST.
11	
11	<del>[(2)</del> For purposes of this subsection, the Commission shall follow the
12	procedures required for a hearing board under the Law Enforcement Officers' Bill of Rights
13	and the police officer is entitled to all of the rights provided under the Law Enforcement
14	Officers' Bill of Rights.]
15	[(c)] (D) If the Commission concludes that the police officer's law enforcement
16	agency unreasonably failed to provide the police officer with the required training or
17	assigned the police officer to special duty that prevented the police officer from completing
18	the required training to achieve certification:
19	(1) the Commission shall stay the lapse of the certification until the police
20	officer and the police officer's law enforcement agency meet the training requirements of
	the Commission: AND
21	the Commission; AND
22	(2) the police officer shall be retained in the police officer's law enforcement
	•
23	agency at full pay pending the completion of the training [; and
24	(3) the Commission shall order the police officer's law enforcement agency
25	to pay all reasonable hearing costs and attorney's fees incurred as a result of the action].
26	<del>3-213.</del>
20	<del>0=210.</del>
27	The Commission may recall the Contificated CERTIFICATION CARD of a relies
	The Commission may recall the [certificate] CERTIFICATION CARD of a police
28	officer if the certification of the police officer is suspended or revoked for any of the
29	following reasons]:
0.0	(1)
30	(1) the [certificate] CERTIFICATION CARD was issued by administrative
31	<del>error;</del>
0.0	
32	(2) the [certificate] CERTIFICATION CARD was obtained through

33

 $\frac{misrepresentation\ or\ fraud; \mathbf{OR}}{\mathbf{R}}$ 

31

1	(3) [the police officer has been convicted of a felony; or	
2	(4) the police officer has been convicted of a misdemeanor for which	h a
3	sentence of imprisonment exceeding 1 year may be imposed] THE CERTIFICATION OF T	
4	POLICE OFFICER HAS BEEN SUSPENDED OR REVOKED.	1112
4	TODICE OFFICER IMS BEEN SUSTENDED OR REVOKED.	
5	<del>3-214.</del>	
6	(a) If the certification of a police officer is revoked, the police officer may not ap	<del>ply</del>
7	for recertification until 2 years after the effective date of the revocation order.	1 0
8	(b) The Commission may recertify an [individual] APPLICANT F	<del>'OR</del>
9	RECERTIFICATION as a police officer NOT EARLIER THAN 2 YEARS after the [certificat	ion
0	of the police officer is revoked] EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE REVOCATION ORDER.	
1	<del>3–215.</del>	
12	(a) (1) In this section the following words have the meanings indicated.	
13	(2) ["Permanent appointment" means the appointment of an individ	<del>ua</del> l
4		
15	<del>police officer.</del>	
6	(3) "Police administrator" means a police officer who has been promoted	d to
7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<i>x</i> 00
18	(4) "Police supervisor" means a police officer who has been promoted	1 to
9		
20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		710
21	DEFINED BY THE AGENCY THAT EMPLOYS THE POLICE OFFICER.	
22	(3) "First line supervisor" means a police officer who i	IAS
23		
24		
<b>4</b> T	THAT EMILEO IS THE POLICE OF FOLIA.	
25	(b) An individual may not be given or accept a probationary appointment	<del>. 01</del>
26		
27		_
28	,	
00		1.
29		
30	administrator! FIRST LINE SUPERVISOR. OR FIRST LINE ADMINISTRATOR may be m	ade

for a period not exceeding 1 year to enable the individual seeking permanent appointment

to take a training course required by this subtitle.

- 1 A probationary appointee is entitled to a leave of absence with pay during the 2 period of the training program. 3 <del>3 216.</del> 4 A law enforcement agency may not employ an individual as a police officer for a period not exceeding 1 year unless the individual is certified by the Commission. 5 <del>(b)</del> In this subsection, "nonfull-time police officer" means an individual 6 (1)7 who does not work in the law enforcement field at least 7 months during the calendar year. 8 The certification requirements of subsection (a) of this section do not apply to the nonfull-time police officers of a law enforcement agency that: 9 10 employs, during a calendar year, at least 70 full-time sworn <del>(i)</del> police officers; and 11 12 employs at least 100 nonfull-time police officers. <del>(ii)</del> 13 3-104.14 On completion of an investigation of a complaint [made by] OF POLICE (d) MISCONDUCT INVOLVING a member of the public [against] AND a police officer, 15 16 REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE COMPLAINT ORIGINATED FROM WITHIN THE LAW 17 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY OR FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE, the law enforcement agency shall forward to the appropriate administrative charging committee the investigatory files 18 for the matter. 19 203-105.21The Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission shall develop and adopt, by regulation, a model uniform disciplinary matrix for use by each law enforcement 22 23 agency in the State. 24Each law enforcement agency shall adopt the uniform State disciplinary (b) matrix FOR ALL MATTERS THAT MAY RESULT IN DISCIPLINE OF A POLICE OFFICER. 25 26 (c) (1) Within 15 days after an administrative charging committee issues an 27 administrative charge against a police officer, the chief of the law enforcement agency shall
- 30 (2) The chief may offer the same discipline that was recommended by the administrative charging committee or a higher degree of discipline within the applicable range of the disciplinary matrix, but may not deviate below the discipline recommended by the administrative charging committee.

offer discipline to the police officer who has been administratively charged in accordance

28

29

with the disciplinary matrix.

$\frac{1}{2}$	(3) discipline shall be		police officer accepts the chief's offer of discipline, then the offered ed.
3 4	(4) the matter shall b		e police officer does not accept the chief's offer of discipline, then red to a trial board.
5 6	<u>(5)</u> shall be:	At lea	ast 30 days before a trial board proceeding begins, the police officer
7		<u>(i)</u>	provided a copy of the investigatory record;
8		<u>(ii)</u>	notified of the charges against the police officer; and
9		<u>(iii)</u>	notified of the disciplinary action being recommended.
0	<u>3–106.</u>		
11 12 13	=	cy shal	ot as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection, each law lestablish a trial board process in accordance with this section to for which a police officer is subject to discipline.
14 15	(2) another law enfor		all law enforcement agency may use the trial board process of agency by mutual agreement.
16 17	(b) (1) SUBSECTION, A t	[A] rial bo	EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS ard shall be composed of:
18 19 20	[(1)] retired judge of th of the county;		an actively serving or retired administrative law judge or a ict Court or a circuit court, appointed by the chief executive officer
21 22		(II) ited by	a civilian who is not a member of an administrative charging the county's police accountability board; and
23 24			a police officer of equal rank to the police officer who is accused by the head of the law enforcement agency.
25 26	(2) THE BALTIMORE	(I) POLI	THIS PARAGRAPH MAY NOT BE CONSTRUED TO APPLY TO CE DEPARTMENT.
27 28	ENFORCEMENT A	(II) AGENC	A TRIAL BOARD FOR A STATEWIDE OR BI-COUNTY LAW Y SHALL BE COMPOSED OF:

1		1. AN ACTIVELY SERVING OR RETIRED ADMINISTRATIVE
2		POINTED BY THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE OF THE
3	MARYLAND OFF	ICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS;
4		2. A CIVILIAN WHO IS NOT A MEMBER OF AN
5	ADMINISTRATIV	E CHARGING COMMITTEE OR THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING
6		S COMMISSION, APPOINTED BY THE POLICE ACCOUNTABILITY
7	-	COUNTY WHERE THE ALLEGED MISCONDUCT OCCURRED; AND
8		3. A POLICE OFFICER OF EQUAL RANK TO THE POLICE
9	OFFICER WHO IS	S ACCUSED OF MISCONDUCT APPOINTED BY THE HEAD OF THE LAW
10	ENFORCEMENT A	
	_	
11	<del></del>	ACTIVELY SERVING OR RETIRED ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE OR
12	THE RETIRED JU	DIGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT OR A CIRCUIT COURT SHALL:
13	<u>(I)</u>	BE THE CHAIR OF THE TRIAL BOARD;
14	(II)	BE RESPONSIBLE FOR RULING ON ALL MOTIONS BEFORE THE
15	TRIAL BOARD; A	
16	<u>(III)</u>	PREPARE THE WRITTEN DECISION OF THE TRIAL BOARD,
17		FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE TRIAL
18	BOARD.	
19	<b>(D)</b> Before	re serving as a member of a trial board, an individual shall receive
20	<del></del>	ers relating to police procedures from the Maryland Police Training and
21	Standards Comm	ission.
22	[(d)] <b>(E)</b>	Proceedings of a trial board shall be open to the public, except to protect:
23		a victim's identity;
۷٥	<u>(1)</u>	a victim's identity,
24	<u>(2)</u>	the personal privacy of an individual;
25	<u>(3)</u>	a child witness;
26	<u>(4)</u>	medical records;
27	<u>(5)</u>	the identity of a confidential source;
a -		
28	<u>(6)</u>	an investigative technique or procedure; or
29	<u>(7)</u>	the life or physical safety of an individual.

- 1 <u>[(e)] (F)</u> A trial board may administer oaths and issue subpoenas as necessary to complete its work.
- 3 [(f)] (G) A complainant has the right to be notified of a trial board hearing and,
  4 except as provided in subsection [(d)] (E) of this section, the right to attend a trial board
  5 hearing.
- 6 **[(g)] (H)** Except as otherwise provided in this subtitle, a law enforcement agency
  7 has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence in any proceeding under this subtitle.
- 9 <u>[(h)] (I)</u> A police officer may be disciplined only for cause.
- 10 (J) WITHIN 45 DAYS AFTER THE FINAL HEARING BY A TRIAL BOARD, THE
  11 TRIAL BOARD SHALL ISSUE A WRITTEN DECISION REFLECTING THE FINDINGS,
  12 CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF A MAJORITY OF THE TRIAL BOARD.
- 13 **[(i)] (K)** (1) Within 30 days after the date of issuance of a decision of a trial board, the decision may be appealed by the [employee] **POLICE OFFICER**:
- 15 (i) if the trial board is from a local law enforcement agency, to the circuit court of the county in which the law enforcement agency is located; [and]
- 17 (ii) IF THE TRIAL BOARD IS FROM A BI-COUNTY LAW
  18 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, TO A CIRCUIT COURT IN A COUNTY IN WHICH THE INCIDENT
  19 THAT GAVE RISE TO THE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING OCCURRED; AND
- 20 <u>(III)</u> if the trial board is from a statewide [or bi-county] law 21 enforcement agency, to the Circuit Court for Anne Arundel County.
- 22 <u>An appeal taken under this subsection shall be on the record.</u>
- 23 [(j)] (L) A trial board decision [that is not appealed] is final UNLESS 24 APPEALED BY A POLICE OFFICER UNDER SUBSECTION (K) OF THIS SECTION.
- 25 3–111.
- 26 (A) A law enforcement agency may not negate or alter any of the requirements of this subtitle through collective bargaining.
- 28 (B) COLLECTIVE BARGAINING MAY NOT BE USED TO ESTABLISH OR ALTER
  29 ANY ASPECT OF THE PROCESS FOR DISCIPLINING A POLICE OFFICER.
- 30 SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July
  31 1. 2022, the effective date of Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021. If the

$\frac{1}{2}$	effective date of Chapter 59 is amended, this Act shall take effect on the taking effect of Chapter 59.
3 4	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
5	Chapter 59 of the Acts of 2021
6 7 8	SECTION 8. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That Title 3, Subtitle 1 of the Public Safety Article, as enacted by Section 3 of this Act, shall be construed to apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on or application to:
9 10 11	(1) any bona fide collective bargaining agreement entered into on or before [June 30, 2022,] SEPTEMBER 30, 2022, for the duration of the contract term, excluding any extensions, options to extend, or renewals of the term of the original contract; or
12 13	(2) <u>a disciplinary matter against a law enforcement officer based on alleged</u> misconduct occurring before [July 1, 2022] OCTOBER 1, 2022.
14 15	SECTION 12. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, THAT SECTION 3 OF THIS ACT SHALL TAKE EFFECT OCTOBER 1, 2022.
16 17	SECTION [12.] 13. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, except as provided in [Section] SECTIONS 11 AND 12 of this Act, this Act shall take effect July 1, 2022.
18 19 20 21 22	SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That Section 1 of this Act shall take effect October 1, 2022, contingent on the taking effect of Section 3 of Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021, and if Section 3 of Chapter 59 does not take effect, Section 1 of this Act, with no further action required by the General Assembly, shall be null and void.
23 24	SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That, except as provided in Section 3 of this Act, this Act shall take effect June 1, 2022.
	Approved:
	Governor.
	President of the Senate.
	Speaker of the House of Delegates.

## **ADDENDUM**

Agenda Item#5 April 5, 2022 **Action** 

## MEMORANDUM

April 4, 2022

TO: County Council

FROM: Christine Wellons, Senior Legislative Attorney

SUBJECT: Expedited Bill 49-21, Police - Police Accountability Board - Administrative

Charging Committee – Established

PURPOSE: Action – roll call vote expected

The staff memorandum dated March 31, 2022 regarding Expedited Bill 49-21 stated that three issues remained for the Council's consideration: (1) whether to amend the scope of complaints subject to PAB/ACC review to align with anticipated changes to state law; (2) whether to amend the transition clause to align with anticipated changes to state law; and (3) whether to amend the bill regarding PAB compensation.

**However,** based upon our most recent information on the General Assembly, the changes that we anticipated to the PAB/ACC's scope of authority and effective dates are uncertain. Senate Bill 389, which would alter the scope of authority and effective dates, is pending in the House Rules Committee at the time of this memorandum. <u>Legislation - SB0389 (maryland.gov)</u> We might not know the outcome of Senate Bill 389 until *sine die* on April 11.

Given the uncertainty about Maryland Senate Bill 389 and the tight timeline mandated by the current state law for setting up the PAB/ACC, Council staff recommends that the Council moves forward on April 4 with its vote on Expedited Bill 49-21 (once the Council finishes its consideration of the one remaining issue of potential PAB compensation). It is possible that additional changes to County law will be needed in the future in order to align with state law. But in order to appoint members of the PAB/ACC by the state's current deadline of July 1, 2022, it is necessary to enact the Council bill as soon as possible.

A note regarding effective dates: Expedited Bill 49-21, as amended by the Council in a prior worksession, would apply to: (1) complaints against officers whose discipline is covered by a collective bargaining agreement beginning on July 1, 2023; and (2) complaints against all other officers on July 1, 2022. It is possible that the General Assembly will alter effective dates. Specifically, the General Assembly is considering whether to change the July 1, 2022 date (for the officers not covered by collective bargaining related to discipline) to be October 1, 2022.