

Committee: T&E

Committee Review: Completed **Staff:** Glenn Orlin, Senior Analyst

Purpose: To make preliminary decisions - straw vote

expected

Keywords: #FY24 Operating Budget, parking

SUBJECT

Department of Transportation Parking Lot District (PLD) Funds' FY24 Operating Budgets; FY23-28 Capital Improvements Program PLD Projects

AGENDA ITEM #35

May 10, 2023

Worksession

EXPECTED ATTENDEES

Christopher Conklin, Director, Department of Transportation (DOT) Emil Wolanin, Deputy Director, DOT
Jose Thommana, Chief, Division of Parking Services, DOT
Brady Goldsmith, Chief, Management Services, DOT
Greg Bruno, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

FY24 COUNTY EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary of FY24 Recommended Budget and Key Discussion Issues

| DOT PLD Funds | FY23 Approved | FY24 CE Recommended | Change from FY23 Approved | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Bethesda PLD | \$13,905,698 | \$14,417,622 | 3.7% | |
| Personnel Costs | \$2,375,383 | \$2,579,436 | 8.6% | |
| r ersonner costs | 20.59 FTEs | 20.59 FTEs | 0.0% | |
| Operating Costs | \$9,229,315 | \$9,537,186 | 3.3% | |
| Debt Service | \$2,301,000 | \$2,301,000 | 0.0% | |
| | FY23 | FY24 | Change from | |
| | Approved | CE Recommended | FY23 Approved | |
| Silver Spring PLD | \$10,491,887 | \$10,935,356 | 4.2% | |
| Personnel Costs | \$2,634,899 | \$2,851,562 | 8.2% | |
| Tersormer costs | 24.72 FTEs | 24.72 FTEs | 0.0% | |
| Operating Costs | \$7,856,988 | \$8,083,794 | 2.9% | |
| Wheaton PLD | \$1,593,969 | \$1,644,127 | 3.1% | |
| Personnel Costs | \$395,156 | \$429,087 | 8.6% | |
| Personner Costs | 3.42 FTEs | 3.42 FTEs | 0.0% | |
| Operating Costs | \$1,198,813 | \$1,215,020 | 1.4% | |
| Total Expenditures (All PLDs) | \$25,991,554 48.73 FTEs | \$26,997,105 48.73 FTEs | 3.9% 0.0% | |

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

- Fund the \$300,000 fence atop the Wayne Avenue Garage with Silver Spring PLD funds rather than the General Fund. Increase the Wheaton PLD contribution to the Wheaton Urban District by \$200,000, commensurately reducing the General Fund's non-baseline transfer to the Wheaton Urban District by \$200,000. Otherwise concur with the Executive's FY24 Operating Budget recommendations for the Bethesda, Silver Spring, and Wheaton PLDs.
- Add \$600,000 in FY24 for level-of-effort infrastructure maintenance to the Executive's recommendation for the <u>Parking Bethesda Facility Renovations</u> project. Likewise, add \$600,000 in FY24 for level-of-effort infrastructure maintenance to the Executive's recommendation for the <u>Parking Silver Spring Facility Renovations</u> project.

This report contains:

Staff Report

Pages 1-5, ©1-14

Alternative format requests for people with disabilities. If you need assistance accessing this report you may <u>submit alternative format requests</u> to the ADA Compliance Manager. The ADA Compliance Manager can also be reached at 240-777-6197 (TTY 240-777-6196) or at <u>adacompliance@montgomerycountymd.gov</u>

MEMORANDUM

May 5, 2023

TO: County Council

FROM: Glenn Orlin, Senior Analyst

SUBJECT: Department of Transportation Parking Lot District (PLD) Funds' FY24

Operating Budgets; FY23-28 Capital Improvements Program PLD Projects¹

PURPOSE: Review Committee recommendations and make tentative decisions

Expected Participants:

• Christopher Conklin, Director, Department of Transportation (DOT)

- Emil Wolanin, Deputy Director, DOT
- Jose Thommana, Chief, Division of Parking Services, DOT
- Brady Goldsmith, Chief, Management Services, DOT
- Greg Bruno, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

FY24 Operating Budget Summary: PLD Funds

Summary of FY24 Recommended Budget and Key Discussion Issues

| DOT PLD Funds | FY23 Approved | FY24 CE Recommended | Change from FY23 Approved |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bethesda PLD | \$13,905,698 | \$14,417,622 | 3.7% |
| Personnel Costs | \$2,375,383 | \$2,579,436 | 8.6% |
| reisonnei Costs | 20.59 FTEs | 20.59 FTEs | 0.0% |
| Operating Costs | \$9,229,315 | \$9,537,186 | 3.3% |
| Debt Service | \$2,301,000 | \$2,301,000 | 0.0% |

¹ Key words: #FY23 Operating Budget, FY21-26 CIP, FY23-28 CIP, plus search terms transportation, parking.

| | FY23 Approved | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| Silver Spring PLD | \$10,491,887 | \$10,935,356 | 4.2% |
| Personnel Costs | \$2,634,899 24.72 FTEs | \$2,851,562 24.72 FTEs | 8.2% 0.0% |
| Operating Costs | \$7,856,988 | \$8,083,794 | 2.9% |
| Wheaton PLD | \$1,593,969 | \$1,644,127 | 3.1% |
| Personnel Costs | \$395,156 3.42 FTEs | \$429,087 3.42 FTEs | 8.6% 0.0% |
| Operating Costs | \$1,198,813 | \$1,215,020 | 1.4% |
| Total Expenditures (All PLDs) | \$25,991,554 48.73 FTEs | \$26,997,105 48.73 FTEs | 3.9% 0.0% |

The Executive's recommendations for these funds are on ©1-11.

Operating Budgets. The PLD budgets are recommended for a 3.9% funding increase overall. Most of the increases are due to annualizations of FY23 compensation increases and the proposed FY24 compensation adjustment. The Bethesda and Silver Spring PLD budgets for parking enforcement would each increase by \$64,000, a necessary adjunct to extending the charging hours in lots and garages there to Saturdays. Smaller increases are for battery inverter backup replacements, higher elevator inspection costs, and motor pool charges. Security will be provided once again with contract guards: 27,312 patrol hours in Bethesda, 33,680 hours in Silver Spring, and 8,740 hours in Wheaton. The security in Silver Spring will be supplemented with 6,000 hours from the Clean and Safe Team. The security force levels are unchanged from FY23.

The health of each PLD fund can be measured by analyzing the FY23-28 Fiscal Plan. The six-year fund displays are shown on ©9-11. The objective is that the ending fund balance in each year be equal or better than 25% of that fund's subsequent year expenditures for the operating budget and debt service (if any). The fund balance percentages for the three PLDs are below:

| PLD | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Bethesda | 24% | 32% | 30% | 28% | 30% |
| Silver Spring | 26% | 29% | 27% | 30% | 30% |
| Wheaton | 80% | 74% | 64% | 51% | 41% |

For the last several years the Bethesda and Silver Spring PLDs have projected percentages well below 25%, often in the single digits. The infusion of revenue from extending charging hours in lots and garages to include Saturdays—a practice followed in Wheaton for many years—is the primary reason why their fiscal health has been restored. Even with Council staff's proposal that the \$300,000 fence along the top level of the Wayne Avenue Garage be charged to the Silver Spring PLD rather than the General Fund, the Silver Spring PLD would be in good fiscal shape:

| PLD | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Silver Spring | 23% | 26% | 24% | 28% | 28% |

The Wheaton PLD's fiscal picture has brightened considerably with the opening of the garage beneath the new County building. The fund balance is well above the 25% goal for each year through FY28. The Fund Balance/Operating Budget ratios in the last couple of years and in the Executive's proposed FY23-28 Fiscal Plan are as follows.

| | FY23 | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| FY22-27 Fiscal Plan | 20% | 37% | 56% | 65% | 65% | |
| FY23-28 Fiscal Plan | 24% | 33% | 34% | 6% | 6% | 4% |
| Exec Rec. FY23-28 | 56% | 80% | 74% | 64% | 51% | 41% |

Each of the PLDs contributes some funding to their respective Urban Districts, reflecting the fact that if there were no Urban District, some expenditures (particularly street maintenance) would have to be borne by the General Fund. In the Urban District budgets, this is referred to as the "baseline transfer." However, because traditionally the Wheaton Urban District and the Wheaton PLD have been resource poor, the General Fund has propped up the Wheaton Urban District with a sizable "non-baseline" transfer. In his proposed FY24 budget the Executive is proposing a baseline transfer from the General Fund of \$76,090 and an additional General Fund non-baseline transfer of \$2,917,838. If the Wheaton PLD were to make a larger contribution to the Wheaton Urban District, then the non-baseline transfer from the General Fund could be reduced.

Each Urban District is funded primarily by its Urban District taxes and by a transfer from its respective PLD. The County Code requires that neither the PLD transfer, nor the Urban District tax revenue exceed more than 90% of the total of the two. For FY24, the Executive proposes:

| Urban District (UD) | UD Tax Revenue | PLD Transfer | % that is PLD Transfer |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Bethesda | \$836,546 | \$2,003,834 | 70.5% |
| Silver Spring | \$1,049,134 | \$2,642,581 | 71.6% |
| Wheaton | \$270,858 | \$300,000 | 52.6% |

If the Wheaton PLD transfer to the Wheaton Urban District in FY24 were \$200,000 higher, then its percentage would be 64.9%, more comparable to the other two Urban Districts. The Fund Balance/Operating Budget ratio would still be well above the 25% standard in each year FY28:

| | FY23 | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Exec Rec. FY23-28 | 56% | 80% | 74% | 64% | 51% | 41% |
| \$200K increase in PLD transfer | 56% | 68% | 62% | 52% | 40% | 30% |

T&E Committee recommendations:

- concur with the Executive's proposed budget for the Bethesda PLD;
- concur with the Executive's proposed budget for the Silver Spring PLD except to ascribe the \$300,000 Wayne Avenue Garage fence to the Silver Spring PLD budget instead of to the General Fund; and
- concur with the Executive's proposed budget for the Wheaton PLD except to increase its transfer to the Wheaton Urban District by \$200,000 in FY24, supplanting \$200,000 of the General Fund's non-baseline subsidy in FY24. The ECON Committee concurred with the T&E Committee's recommendation.

Vacancies. As of March 3, the DOT Parking Lot District Funds had 5 budgeted vacant positions with an FY24 cost of about \$68,000. Only one position has been vacant for more than one year: an Administrative Specialist III that has been vacant for 670 days. The Recommended Budget assumes \$99,565 in lapse savings.

Amendments to the FY23-28 CIP

Based on updated revenue estimates for the PLDs, the Executive recommends amendments for the <u>Bethesda Facility Renovations</u> and <u>Silver Spring Facility Renovations</u> programs. The facility renovation program expenditures in the Approved and Recommended CIP are as follows (\$000):

| Bethesda (©12-13) | FY23 | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | 6-Yr |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Approved | 8,775 | 5,424 | 3,065 | 3,065 | 3,065 | 3,065 | 26,459 |
| Proposed Amendment | 5,275 | 1,302 | 3,803 | 5,838 | 5,681 | 5,413 | 27,312 |

| Silver Spring (©14) | FY23 | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | 6-Yr |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Approved | 3,600 | 4,870 | 2,800 | 3,715 | 2,610 | 2,610 | 20,205 |
| Proposed Amendment | 3,350 | 2,499 | 3,106 | 3,273 | 2,419 | 3,319 | 17,966 |

In Bethesda, the air quality improvements and part of the waterproofing in Garage 49 (the Metropolitan Building) would be deferred from FY24 to FY25, some of the control system room work in several garages would be delayed from FY23 to FY26, and LED lighting projects would be delayed from FY23 to FY27. In Silver Spring the elevator modernization in Garages 55 (Bonifant/Dixon) would be deferred from FY24 to FY26, but the modernization in the adjacent Garage 5 would remain on schedule in FYs24-25. In both PLDs some of the regular level-of-effort work would be reduced in some years, increased in others.

The Committee asked DOT and Council staff to determine whether the end-of-year balances in the Bethesda and Silver Spring PLDs could be drawn down further to fund part of the infrastructure maintenance level-of-effort reductions in FY24 that had been recommended by the Executive. The Committee's goal was to ensure facilities are well-maintained and public safety measures are implemented. In the Bethesda project, the Executive had recommended reducing the level-of-effort funding in FY24 from \$3,624,000 in the Approved CIP down to \$402,000, a \$3,222,000 (-88.9%) reduction. In the Silver Spring project, he had recommended reducing the level-of-effort funding in FY24 from \$2,255,000 in the Approved CIP down to \$1,194,000, a \$1,061,000 (-47.1%) reduction.

DOT and Council staff advocated taking a further \$600,000 from the reserves in both Bethesda and Silver Spring. They report that the following work would be accomplished in FY24 with these funds:

The Department recommends a one-time increase of \$600,000 in FY24 in both the Bethesda and Silver Spring Facility Renovation CIP projects. The Department will use the funds primarily to improve the appearance of the garages through a maintenance program

that includes repairs, painting/striping, and cost-effective strategies to enhance security in common areas such as stairways, hallways, and elevators.

The Department will complete a facility assessment of the conditions in each PLD to determine the high-priority items that require repair and improvement. We will competitively bid out the tasks that must be completed as part of the maintenance program. We anticipate the costs will be incurred on a time and material basis. The maintenance program will include painting walls, ceilings, columns, beams, stairways, doors, rails, and pedestrian pathways.

We plan to implement several cost-effective strategies to improve public safety within the parking garages. Specifically, we will install acrylic half-dome mirrors on alternate stairwell landings for visibility across half flights. We will ensure that adequate lighting of hallways, stairwells, and elevators is optimized to ensure resident safety. We plan to install clear signage to communicate with residents and help them navigate parking garages.

The proposed increase in the level of effort program will enhance public safety and improve the general feel of the garages. The requested amendment to the renovation projects in Bethesda and Silver Spring would have a minimal impact on the fiscal health of the PLDs.

Regarding the last point, the end-of-year fund balance/following year operating cost ratios were calculated based on drawing down either \$300,000 or \$600,000 in Bethesda, and on drawing down an additional \$300,000 or \$600,000 in Silver Spring, considering that \$300,000 would already be drawn down for the fence atop the Wayne Avenue Garage. The results are shown below:

| BETHESDA | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| CE Recommended | 24% | 32% | 30% | 28% | 30% |
| +\$300,000 | 22% | 30% | 28% | 26% | 28% |
| +\$600,000 | 20% | 28% | 26% | 24% | 26% |

| SILVER SPRING | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| CE Recommended | 26% | 29% | 27% | 30% | 30% |
| +\$300,000 (Fence) | 23% | 26% | 24% | 28% | 28% |
| +Fence + \$300,000 (\$600,000) | 20% | 23% | 21% | 25% | 25% |
| +Fence + \$600,000 (\$900,000) | 18% | 21% | 19% | 23% | 23% |

T&E Committee recommendation: Concur with DOT's and Council staff's recommendation. The ratio would fall below the 25% standard in FY24 in Bethesda, but it will rebound to around the 25% mark in FYs25-28. In Silver Spring the ratios will hover more around the 20%, but the district would still be healthy compared to prior years.

F:\ORLIN\FY23\t&e\FY24opbudget\council-PLD.docx



Parking District Services

\$26,997,105

FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS
48.73

CHRIS CONKLIN, DIRECTOR

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of Parking District Services is to:

- Support the role of public parking in commercial areas throughout the County, as parking management is an important tool for achieving public objectives of economic development and transportation management;
- Support the comprehensive development of the Silver Spring, Bethesda, and Wheaton central business districts and promote
 their economic growth and stability by supplying a sufficient number of parking spaces to accommodate that segment of the
 public demand which is neither provided for by development nor served by alternative travel modes;
- Promote and complement a total transportation system through the careful balance of rates and parking supply to encourage the
 use of the most efficient and economical transportation modes available; and
- Develop and implement parking management strategies designed to maximize the usage of the available parking supply in order to enhance the economic development of specific central business districts.

BUDGET OVERVIEW

The total recommended FY24 Operating Budget for the Parking Districts is \$26,997,105, an increase of \$1,005,551 or 3.87 percent from the FY23 Approved Budget of \$25,991,554. Personnel Costs comprise 21.71 percent of the budget for 49 full-time position(s) and no part-time position(s), and a total of 48.73 FTEs. Total FTEs may include seasonal or temporary positions and may also reflect workforce charged to or from other departments or funds. Operating Expenses account for the remaining 78.29 percent of the FY24 budget.

In addition, this department's Capital Improvements Program (CIP) requires Current Revenue funding.

COUNTY PRIORITY OUTCOMES

While this program area supports all seven of the County Executive's Priority Outcomes, the following are emphasized:

- A Growing Economy
- Easier Commutes
- * Effective, Sustainable Government

53-1

INITIATIVES

- Convert garages in Silver Spring to modern Master Meter systems that can use Pay-by-Cell apps and Pay-by-Plate options. This includes conversion of Garage 4, 5/55, and 60/61.
- Introduce new vehicle occupancy and counting systems in various high-demand parking garages in Bethesda and Silver Spring and upgrade outdated vehicle counting systems.
- Install new LED light fixtures in additional parking garages to improve lighting and energy efficiency.
- ☼ Implement garage automation systems to effectively monitor electrical and mechanical systems to enhance service delivery.
- Upgrade and consolidate control and monitoring systems for electrical, elevators, communications, and ventilation systems for improved public safety and access.
- Upgrade air quality in Garage 31 to support public safety and improve garage ventilation.
- Explore opportunities to install solar photovoltaic systems on garage rooftops within the PLDs that can provide discounted electricity to lower and moderate income (LMI) communities in the County as well as county owned facilities.
- Expand the EV Charging Station program (including Pepco supported stations) in existing and additional facilities.
- Pilot a hybrid curb management solution with single-space meters and Pay-by-Cell only zones.

INNOVATIONS AND PRODUCTIVITY IMPROVEMENTS

- ** Upgraded Garage 11 in Bethesda to use a Pay-by-Plate payment system which included a machine location plan and sign replacements.
- Converted Garage 49 in Bethesda from Cashier to a Pay-by-Plate system to enhance operational efficiency.

PROGRAM CONTACTS

Contact Jose Thommana of the Parking Districts at 240.777.8732 or Gregory Bruno of the Office of Management and Budget at 240.777.2773 for more information regarding this department's operating budget.

PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Performance measures for this department are included below (where applicable), with multi-program measures displayed at the front of this section and program-specific measures shown with the relevant program. The FY23 estimates reflect funding based on the FY23 Approved Budget. The FY24 and FY25 figures are performance targets based on the FY24 Recommended Budget and funding for comparable service levels in FY25.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

Parking Enforcement

The Parking Enforcement program provides for the enforcement of parking laws within the Parking Lot Districts (PLDs) and Transportation Management Districts (TMDs) primarily to promote business activity, ensure public safety, and ensure the

smooth flow of traffic. The program also conducts Residential Permit Parking (RPP) enforcement in all RPP zones within the County. In addition to citation issuance, the program is also responsible for the processing and management of citation payments.

| Program Performance Measures | Actual FY21 | Actual FY22 | Estimated FY23 | Target FY24 | Target FY25 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Number of DOT issued parking citations | 102,400 | 138,493 | 139,800 | 141,300 | 142,800 |
| Percent of DOT issued parking citations contested | 1.15% | 3.09% | 5.50% | 5.50% | 5.50% |
| Number of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) citations issued | 31 | 39 | 61 | 62 | 63 |

| FY24 Recommended Changes | Expenditures | FTEs |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| FY23 Approved | 3,489,553 | 4.24 |
| Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs. | 183,101 | 0.00 |
| FY24 Recommended | 3,672,654 | 4.24 |

**** Parking Fixed Costs**

The Parking Fixed Costs program primarily funds the debt service payments and the lease payments.

| FY24 Recommended Changes | Expenditures | FTEs |
|--------------------------|--------------|------|
| FY23 Approved | 3,329,284 | 0.00 |
| FY24 Recommended | 3,329,284 | 0.00 |

Parking Operations

The Parking Operations program has overall responsibility for the management of County-owned garages and lots with over 20,000 parking spaces, which represent at least a fifty percent market share of available parking spaces. This program has overall responsibility for the collection and processing of all parking revenue, including revenue from individual meters, automated pay stations, cashiered facilities, parking permits, and parking fines. The program also includes renovating and improving existing parking facilities to ensure the preservation and integrity of the parking system and its continued service to the public. Moreover, the program is responsible for the maintenance of parking facilities that includes: snow and ice removal; janitorial services; equipment maintenance for elevators, electrical systems, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems (HVAC); facility repairs for maintenance of damaged glass, asphalt, concrete, plumbing, painting, and space stripes; and grounds-keeping services.

Furthermore, this program provides a comprehensive meter maintenance program to ensure all meter devices function properly. Augmenting the public safety mission of the Montgomery County Police Department, this program also provides contract security guard services for parking facilities to detect and report theft, vandalism, and threats to personal security.

| Program Performance Measures | Actual FY21 | Actual FY22 | Estimated FY23 | Target FY24 | Target FY25 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Parking Management revenue generated (\$ millions) | \$16.5 | \$29.7 | \$33.0 | \$36.3 | \$38.8 |
| Parking Management operating expenditures (\$ millions) | \$19.5 | \$19.5 | \$26.0 | \$25.9 | \$26.5 |
| Parking Management cost efficiency (ratio of expenses to revenues) | 118% | 66% | 79% | 71% | 68% |
| Customer satisfaction rate for Parking Lot Districts (PLDs) (scale of 1-5) 1 | N/A | N/A | 4.7 | N/A | 4.7 |
| Number of electric vehicle charging sessions at County parking facilities | 15,291 | 24,692 | 25,450 | 26,610 | 27,760 |

Rating on a scale of 1 to 5 with the number 5 representing highest score. The Parking Lot Districts survey is conducted every other year. The FY22 survey was delayed until FY23 due to the pandemic.

53-3

| FY24 Recommended Changes | Expenditures | FTEs |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| FY23 Approved | 18,402,625 | 39,59 |
| Increase Cost: Battery Inverter Back Up Replacements - Silver Spring | 82,000 | 0.00 |
| Enhance: Saturday Parking Enforcement - Silver Spring | 64,000 | 0.00 |
| Enhance: Saturday Parking Enforcement - Bethesda | 64,000 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Battery Inverter Back Up Replacements - Bethesda | 49,000 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Elevator Inspection Costs - Bethesda | 17,700 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Elevator Inspection Costs - Silver Spring | 15,930 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Battery Inverter Back Up Replacements - Wheaton | 5,000 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Elevator Inspection Costs - Wheaton | 1,770 | 0.00 |
| Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs. | 493,360 | 0.00 |
| FY24 Recommended | 19,195,385 | 39.59 |

Parking Services General Administration

The General Administration program provides executive direction and support functions for parking programs that include human resources, information technology, fiscal/procurement services, and the redevelopment of real property to promote the economic growth and stability of associated urban districts. The program's responsibilities are for drafting and releasing Requests for Development Proposals; generating property appraisals; negotiating and overseeing the execution of General Development Agreements and Purchase Sales Agreements, including related development documents. The program also leads project management efforts including design and construction of PLD real property as part of mixed-use redevelopment projects.

| FY24 Recommended Changes | Expenditures | FTEs |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------|
| FY23 Approved | 770,092 | 4.90 |
| Multi-program adjustments, including negotiated compensation changes, employee benefit changes, changes due to staff turnover, reorganizations, and other budget changes affecting multiple programs. | 29,690 | 0.00 |
| FY24 Recommended | 799,782 | 4.90 |

BUDGET SUMMARY

| | Actual FY22 | Budget FY23 | Estimate FY23 | Recommended FY24 | %Chg Bud/Rec |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| PARKING DISTRICT - BETHESDA | | | | | |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | 1,593,345 | 1,852,010 | 1,819,175 | 2,009,720 | 8.5 % |
| Employee Benefits | 467,624 | 523,373 | 481,129 | 569,716 | 8.9 % |
| Parking District - Bethesda Personnel Costs | 2,060,969 | 2,375,383 | 2,300,304 | 2,579,436 | 8.6 % |
| Operating Expenses | 7,183,530 | 9,229,315 | 9,229,615 | 9,537,186 | 3.3 % |
| Debt Service Other | 2,388,460 | 2,301,000 | 2,300,700 | 2,301,000 | _ |
| Parking District - Bethesda Expenditures | 11,632,959 | 13,905,698 | 13,830,619 | 14,417,622 | 3.7 % |
| PERSONNEL | | | | | |
| Full-Time | 29 | 29 | 29 | 25 | -13.8 % |
| Part-Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |

BUDGET SUMMARY

| | Actual FY22 | Budget FY23 | Estimate FY23 | Recommended FY24 | %Chg Bud/Rec |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| FTEs | 20.39 | 20.59 | 20.59 | 20.59 | |
| REVENUES | | | | | |
| Property Tax | (456) | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| Parking Fees | 10,750,639 | 16,699,827 | 11,469,351 | 14,406,851 | -13.7 % |
| Parking Fines | 3,266,128 | 3,087,500 | 3,689,732 | 3,314,500 | 7.4 % |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | (37,333) | 6,615,120 | 874,061 | 284,120 | -95.7 % |
| Property Rentals | 426,449 | 75,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 | 2666.7 % |
| Investment Income | 19,692 | 75,930 | 220,886 | 231,931 | 205.5 % |
| Parking District - Bethesda Revenues | 14,425,119 | 26,553,377 | 18,329,030 | 20,312,402 | -23.5 % |
| PARKING DISTRICT - SILVER SPR | RING | | + | | |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | 1,660,525 | 2,021,555 | 2,023,804 | 2,189,500 | 8.3 % |
| Employee Benefits | 487,418 | 613,344 | 569,243 | 662,062 | 7.9 % |
| Parking District - Silver Spring Personnel Costs | 2,147,943 | 2,634,899 | 2,593,047 | 2,851,562 | 8.2 % |
| Operating Expenses | 7,676,096 | 7,856,988 | 7,856,988 | 8,083,794 | 2.9 % |
| Parking District - Silver Spring Expenditures | 9,824,039 | 10,491,887 | 10,450,035 | 10,935,356 | 4.2 % |
| PERSONNEL | | | | | |
| Full-Time | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | _ |
| Part-Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| FTEs | 24.72 | 24.72 | 24.72 | 24.72 | _ |
| REVENUES | | | | | |
| Property Tax | (6,433) | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| Parking Fees | 8,129,060 | 13,743,892 | 9,843,153 | 12,353,153 | -10.1 % |
| Parking Fines | 3,474,769 | 1,808,036 | 3,535,958 | 2,662,189 | 47.2 % |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | (628,742) | 20,000 | 270,165 | 20,000 | _ |
| Investment Income | 8,131 | 73,960 | 143,739 | 150,926 | 104.1 % |
| Property Rentals | 51,285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| Parking District - Silver Spring Revenues | 11,028,070 | 15,645,888 | 13,793,015 | 15,186,268 | -2.9 % |
| PARKING DISTRICT - WHEATON | | | | | |
| EXPENDITURES | | | | | |
| Salaries and Wages | 272,362 | 306,848 | 299,407 | 333,293 | 8.6 % |
| Employee Benefits | 77,372 | 88,308 | 83,030 | 95,794 | 8.5 % |
| Parking District - Wheaton Personnel Costs | 349,734 | 395,156 | 382,437 | 429,087 | 8.6 % |
| Operating Expenses | 1,055,611 | 1,198,813 | 1,198,813 | 1,215,040 | 1.4 % |
| Parking District - Wheaton Expenditures | 1,405,345 | 1,593,969 | 1,581,250 | 1,644,127 | 3.1 % |
| PERSONNEL | | | . , | , , | |
| Full-Time | | | | | |

BUDGET SUMMARY

| 2 | Actual FY22 | Budget FY23 | Estimate FY23 | Recommended FY24 | %Chg Bud/Rec |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Part-Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| FTEs | 3.42 | 3.42 | 3.42 | 3.42 | |
| REVENUES | | | | | |
| Property Tax | (251) | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| Parking Fees | 1,480,176 | 1,876,250 | 1,787,597 | 1,787,597 | -4.7 % |
| Parking Fines | 730,298 | 452,200 | 860,324 | 426,000 | -5.8 % |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | (191,341) | 0 | 2,585 | 0 | _ |
| Investment Income | 1,345 | 4,890 | 35,563 | 37,341 | 663.6 % |
| Parking District - Wheaton Revenues | 2,020,227 | 2,333,340 | 2,686,069 | 2,250,938 | -3.5 % |
| DEPARTMENT TOTALS | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | 22,862,343 | 25,991,554 | 25,861,904 | 26,997,105 | 3.9 % |
| Total Full-Time Positions | 53 | 53 | 53 | 49 | -7.5 % |
| Total Part-Time Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total FTEs | 48.53 | 48.73 | 48.73 | 48.73 | _ |
| Total Revenues | 27,473,416 | 44,532,605 | 34,808,114 | 37,749,608 | -15.2 % |

FY24 RECOMMENDED CHANGES

| | Expenditures | FTEs |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| PARKING DISTRICT - BETHESDA | | |
| FY23 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION | 13,905,698 | 20.59 |
| Changes (with service impacts) | | |
| Enhance: Saturday Parking Enforcement - Bethesda [Parking Operations] | 64,000 | 0.00 |
| Other Adjustments (with no service impacts) | | |
| Increase Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment | 169,286 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Annualization of FY23 Compensation Increases | 107,363 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: FY24 Compensation Adjustment | 97,120 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Battery Inverter Back Up Replacements - Bethesda [Parking Operations] | 49,000 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Elevator Inspection Costs - Bethesda [Parking Operations] | 17,700 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment | 6,886 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Printing and Mail | 999 | 0.00 |
| Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment | (430) | 0.00 |
| FY24 RECOMMENDED | 14,417,622 | 20.59 |

PARKING DISTRICT - SILVER SPRING

FY23 ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION

10,491,887 24.72

Changes (with service impacts)

FY24 RECOMMENDED CHANGES

| | Expenditures | FTEs |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Enhance: Saturday Parking Enforcement - Silver Spring [Parking Operations] | 64,000 | 0.00 |
| Other Adjustments (with no service impacts) | | |
| Increase Cost: Annualization of FY23 Compensation Increases | 114,766 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: FY24 Compensation Adjustment | 102,750 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Battery Inverter Back Up Replacements - Silver Spring [Parking Operations] | 82,000 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment | 53,994 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Elevator Inspection Costs - Silver Spring [Parking Operations] | 15,930 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment | 10,882 | 0.00 |
| Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment | (853) | 0.00 |
| FY24 RECOMMENDED | 10,935,356 | 24.72 |

PARKING DISTRICT-WHEATON

| FY23 ORIG | GINAL APPROPRIATION | 1,593,969 | 3.42 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------|
| Other Adjustments (with no service impacts) | | | |
| Increase Cost: Annualization of FY23 Compensation Increases | | 18,281 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: FY24 Compensation Adjustment | | 15,897 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Motor Pool Adjustment | | 7,747 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Battery Inverter Back Up Replacements - Wheaton [Parking Operations | | 5,000 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Elevator Inspection Costs - Wheaton [Parking Operations] | | 1,770 | 0.00 |
| Increase Cost: Risk Management Adjustment | | 1,710 | 0.00 |
| Decrease Cost: Retirement Adjustment | | (247) | 0.00 |
| | FY24 RECOMMENDED | 1,644,127 | 3.42 |

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Program Name | FY23 APPR Expenditures | FY23 APPR FTEs | FY24 REC Expenditures | FY24 REC FTEs |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Parking Enforcement | 3,489,553 | 4.24 | 3,672,654 | 4.24 |
| Parking Fixed Costs | 3,329,284 | 0.00 | 3,329,284 | 0.00 |
| Parking Operations | 18,402,625 | 39.59 | 19,195,385 | 39.59 |
| Parking Services General Administration | 770,092 | 4.90 | 799,782 | 4.90 |
| Tot | al 25,991,554 | 48.73 | 26,997,105 | 48.73 |

FUNDING PARAMETER ITEMS

CE RECOMMENDED (\$000S)

| Title | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 |
|-------|--------|------|--------|------|------|------|
| line | F 1 24 | F120 | F 1 20 | FIZE | F120 | L173 |

PARKING DISTRICT - BETHESDA

FUNDING PARAMETER ITEMS

CE RECOMMENDED (\$000S)

| FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| 14,418 | 14,418 | 14,418 | 14,418 | 14,418 | 14,418 |
| ed in outyear projec | ctions. | | | | |
| 0 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 | 183 |
| zed cost of general | wage adjustme | nts, service inci | ements, and ot | her negotiated i | tems. |
| 14,418 | 14,601 | 14,601 | 14,601 | 14,601 | 14,601 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 10,935 | 10,935 | 10,935 | 10,935 | 10,935 | 10,935 |
| ed in outyear projec | tions. | | | | |
| . 0 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 192 |
| zed cost of general | wage adjustmei | nts, service incr | ements, and ot | her negotiated i | tems. |
| 10,935 | 11,127 | 11,127 | 11,127 | 11,127 | 11,127 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1,644 | 1,644 | 1,644 | 1,644 | 1,644 | 1,644 |
| ed in outyear projec | tions. | | | | |
| 0 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 | 154 |
| zed cost of general v | vage adjustmer | nts, service incr | ements, and otl | ner negotiated it | tems. |
| 1,644 | 1,798 | 1,798 | 1,798 | 1,798 | 1,798 |
| | 14,418 led in outyear project 0 zed cost of general of 14,418 10,935 ed in outyear project 0 zed cost of general of 10,935 1,644 ed in outyear project 0 zed cost of general of 2 zed cost of general of 2 zed cost of general of 2 | 14,418 led in outyear projections. 0 183 zed cost of general wage adjustme 14,418 14,601 10,935 10,935 ed in outyear projections. 0 192 zed cost of general wage adjustment 10,935 11,127 1,644 1,644 ed in outyear projections. 0 154 zed cost of general wage adjustment 2 1,644 2,644 ed in outyear projections. 0 154 zed cost of general wage adjustment | 14,418 14,418 14,418 led in outyear projections. 0 183 183 zed cost of general wage adjustments, service increased in outyear projections. 14,418 14,601 14,601 10,935 10,935 10,935 ed in outyear projections. 0 192 192 zed cost of general wage adjustments, service increased in outyear projections. 0 1,644 1,644 ed in outyear projections. 0 154 154 zed cost of general wage adjustments, service increased cost of general wage adjustments adjustments adjustments adjustments adjustments adjustments adjust | 14,418 14,418 14,418 14,418 led in outyear projections. 0 183 183 183 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and of 14,418 14,601 14,601 14,601 10,935 10,935 10,935 10,935 10,935 ed in outyear projections. 0 192 192 192 192 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off 10,935 11,127 11,127 11,127 1,644 1,644 1,644 1,644 1,644 ed in outyear projections. 0 154 154 154 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off 22ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off 24ed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and off | 14,418 14,418 14,418 14,418 14,418 led in outyear projections. 0 183 183 183 183 zed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in 14,418 14,601 14,601 14,601 14,601 10,935 10,935 10,935 10,935 10,935 10,935 10,935 ed in outyear projections. 0 192 192 192 192 zed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in 10,935 11,127 11,127 11,127 11,127 1,644 1,644 1,644 1,644 1,644 1,644 1,644 ed in outyear projections. 0 154 154 154 154 154 zed cost of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other negotiated in contract of general wage adjustments, service increments, and other n |

| FY24-29 Public Services Program: Fiscal Plan | Actual | Budgeted | Estimated | Recommended | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------|
| Bethesda Parking Lot District | 2022 | 2023 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 202 |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 15,775,322 | 16,230,126 | 16,230,126 | 6,611,535 | 6,760,289 | 7,890,974 | 7,864,998 | 7,637,934 | 8,101,655 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | 31223340 |
| Charges for Services | 10,750,639 | 16,699,827 | 11,469,351 | 14,406,851 | 16,506,851 | 17,006,851 | 17,206,851 | 17,956,851 | 18,706,851 |
| Fines & Forfeits | 3,266,128 | 3,087,500 | 3,689,732 | 3,314,500 | 3,379,000 | 3,379,000 | 3,379,000 | 3,379,000 | 3,379,000 |
| Miscellaneous | 408,808 | 6,766,050 | 3,169,947 | 2,591,051 | 2,600,328 | 2,608,770 | 2,616,260 | 2,622,688 | 2,629,277 |
| Investment Income | 19,692 | 75,930 | 220,886 | 231,931 | 241,208 | 249,650 | 257,140 | 263,568 | 270,157 |
| Property Rentals | 426,449 | 75,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 | 2,075,000 |
| G-49 Air Rights | | 284,120 | 284,120 | 284 120 | 284,120 | 284,120 | 284.120 | 284.120 | 284,120 |
| Land Sales | | 6,331,000 | - | | - | | | - | - 1 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | (37,333) | | 589,941 | | | | | | |
| Other Misc. | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Other Misc. 2 | | | - | - | | | | | |
| Subtotal Revenues | 14,425,119 | 26,553,377 | 18,329,030 | 20,312,402 | 22,486,179 | 22,994,621 | 23,202,111 | 23,958,539 | 24.715,128 |
| Transfers | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers to/from General Fund | (449,122) | 123,117 | (435,883) | (450,191) | (460,013) | (470,159) | (480,624) | (491,865) | (503,319) |
| Transfers to/from Special Funds : Tax Supported | (2,408,612) | (2,352,550) | (2,352,550) | (2,003,834) | (1,981,122) | (1,962,345) | (1,940,932) | (1,960,208) | [1,960,208] |
| Transfers to/from Other Funds | - | (000,000,8) | (3,000,000) | (1,800,000) | {490,000} | 110,000 | - 1 | | |
| Subtotal Transfers | (2,857,734) | (5,229,433) | (5,788,433) | (4,254,025) | (2,931,135) | (2,322,504) | (2,421,556) | (2,452,072) | (2,463,527) |
| Total Resources | 27,342,707 | 37,554,070 | 28,770,723 | 22,669,912 | 26,315,333 | 28,563,092 | 28,645,552 | 29,144,401 | 30,353,257 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | | | | | | T | | | |
| Facilities Planning Parking: Bethesda PLD | (71,240) | (30,000) | (30,000) | (190,000) | (130 000) | (100,000) | (90,000) | (90,000) | (90,000) |
| Parking Bethesda Facilities Renovations | (3,631,993) | (8,775,000) | (5,275,000) | (1,302,000) | (0.00, £08, £) | {5,838,000} | (5,681,000) | (5,413,000) | (5,220,000) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | (2,446,220) | (8,805,000) | (5,305,000) | (1,492,000) | [3,933,000] | (5,938,000) | (5,771,000) | (5,503,000) | (5,310,000) |
| Appropriations/Expenditures | | , the same state of the | | - Constant | The state of the s | | The state of the s | 10000000 | (4,2,2,4,2,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4 |
| Operating Budget | (9,244,499) | (11,604,998) | (11,529,919) | (12,116,622) | [12,189,659) | (12,458,494) | (12,935,819) | [13,238,345] | (13,546,638) |
| Personnel Costs | (2,060,969) | (2,375,383) | (2,300,304) | (2,579,436) | (2,561,322) | (2,617,810) | {2,676,082} | (2,738,667) | (2,802,445) |
| Operating Expenses | {7,183,530} | (9,229,615) | (9,229,615) | (9,537,186) | (9,628,337) | (9,840,684) | (10,259,737) | 10,499,678) | (10,744,194) |
| Existing Debt Service | (2,388,460) | (2,300,700) | (2,300,700) | (2,301,000) | (2,301,700) | (2.301,600) | (2,300,800) | (2,301,400) | (2,301,400) |
| Adjustment | 2,966,598 | - | - 1 | | - 1 | 2 | - 1 | | |
| Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation | (8,666,361) | [13,905,698] | (13,830,619) | {14,417,622} | [14,491,359] | (14,760,094) | [15,236,619] | (15,539,745) | (15,848,038) |
| Other Claims on Fund Balance | | (23,569) | (3,023,569) | | | - | | - | _ |
| Total Use of Resources | (11,112,581) | (22,734,267) | (22,159,188) | (15,909,622) | (18,424,359) | (20,698,094) | (21,007,619) | (21,042,745) | (21,158,038) |
| Revenue vs Outflows (Transfer+Total Use of Resources) Gap | 454,804 | (1,410,323) | (9,518,591) | 148,754 | 1,130,685 | {25,976} | (227,064) | 463,722 | 1,093,563 |
| Year End Fund Balance | 16,230,126 | 14,819,803 | 6.611.535 | 6.760,289 | 7,890,974 | 7,864,998 | 7,637,934 | 8.101,655 | 9.195.219 |
| Bond Restricted Reserve | (5.052.954) | (5,079,479) | (3.118.853) | (3.216.663) | (3,228,888) | (3,273,686) | (3,353,179) | (3,403,650) | |
| Year End Available Fund Balance | 11,177,172 | 9,740,324 | 3,492,682 | 3.543,626 | 4,662,086 | 4.591.312 | | | (3,455,025) |
| Available Fund Balance as a % of Next Year's PSP Expenses | 81% | 68% | 24% | 3,543,626 | The state of the s | The state of the s | 4,284,755 | 4,698,005 | 5,740,193 |
| Target Balance | | | | | 32% | 30% | 28% | 30% | 35% |
| rarget balance | 3,457,655 | 3,604,406 | 3.604,406 | 3,622,840 | 3,690,023 | 3,809,155 | 3,884,936 | 3,962,010 | 4.044,883 |

53-9

Other Assumptions.

1. Other Claims on Fund Balance: FY23 estimates reflect an approved FY22 transfer of 53 million from the Bethesda PLD to the Silver Spring PLD that was not recorded until FY23.

2. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions for that budget. FY25-29 are based on the "major known commitments" of 2. These projections are usual of the Executive's in econfirmenced budget and include the Ferbital and resource assumptions for mat budget. If 25–25 are based on the "major known commitments" of elected officials and include the negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation costs increase, the operating costs of capital facilities and other programmatic commitments. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage, inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here. The policy target fund balance is 25% of the following fiscal year estimated expenses.

3. The projections assume no disposition of Lot 43 due to the uncertainty of the developer closing on the transaction.

4. Cash flows assume PLD will start charging on Saturdays beginning in FY24.

^{5.} Rate increase assumed beginning in FY28.

| Silver | Sarte | ~ PLD |
|--------|-------|-------|

| SINE Spring PLU | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FY24-29 Public Services Program: Fiscal Plan | Actual | Budgeted | Estimated | Recommended | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Ртојест <u>е</u> |
| Silver Spring Parking Lot District | 2022 | 2023 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 20 |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 5.122,798 | 16,455 | 16,455 | 2,582,975 | 2,854,024 | 3,248,539 | 3,076,883 | 3,565,998 | 3,626,72 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | - HARVE |
| Charges for Services | 8,129,060 | 13,743,892 | 9,843.153 | 12,353,153 | 14,543,153 | 15,043,153 | 15,043,153 | 15,793,153 | 16,543,15 |
| Parking Fees Base | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,413 | 12,920,41 |
| Reduced Fees - COVID | (5,316,353) | (786,521) | (4,687,260) | (2,687,260) | (1,187,260) | (687,260) | (687,260) | (687,260) | (687,260 |
| Increased Rates | 525,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 2,010,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 | 3,450,000 | 4,200,00 |
| Discovery G9 | | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 |
| Other Charges for Services | | | | | | | 3.00 | | 540 |
| Fines & Forfeits | 3,474,769 | 1,808,036 | 3,535,958 | 2,662,189 | 2,726,689 | 2,726,689 | 2,726,689 | 2,726,689 | 2,726,689 |
| Misoellaneous | (569,326) | 93,960 | 413,904 | 170,926 | 176,963 | 182,457 | 187,330 | 191,513 | 195,801 |
| Investment Income | 8,131 | 73,960 | 143,739 | 150,526 | 156,963 | 162,457 | 167,330 | 171,513 | 175,801 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | (628,742) | 20,000 | 270,165 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| Property Rentals | 51,285 | | | | | | | | |
| Extraordinary | | | - | - 4 | | | | 2 | _ |
| Other Misc. | | | | | +: | - 1 | - | | |
| Other Misc. 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Misc. 3 | | | | | | | | - | |
| Subtotal Revenues | 11,028,070 | 15,645,888 | 13,793,015 | 15,186,268 | 17,445,805 | 17,952,299 | 17,957,172 | 18,711,355 | 19,465,643 |
| Transfers | | - 1 | | | | | | | |
| Transfers to/from General Fund | (507,278) | (488,504) | (488,504) | (503,281) | (514,153) | (525,382) | (536,965) | (549,406) | [562,084 |
| Indirect Costs | (502,278) | (483,504) | (483,504) | (498,281) | (509,153) | (520,382) | (531,965) | (544,406) | (557,084 |
| General Fund - Other | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000) | (5,000 |
| Telecommunications NDA | | | | 4 | - 1 | - " | - | | 1-, |
| Other Transfers to General Fund | | | | . 1 | | 2.63 | | | 14 |
| Other Transfers to General Fund 2 | | | | | - | | | | - |
| Transfers to/from Special Funds : Tax Supported | (2,704,922) | (2,804,101) | (2.804,101) | (2.642.581) | (2,744,464) | (2,844,790) | (2,844,790) | (2.844.790) | (2,844,790) |
| Transfers to/from Other Funds | - | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 1,800,000 | 600,000 | - | - | January 201 | 10000100 |
| Subtotal Transfers | (3,212,200) | (292,605) | (292,605) | (1,345,862) | (2,658,616) | (3,370,171) | (9,381,755) | (3,394,196) | (3,406,874) |
| Total Resources | 12,938,668 | 15,369,738 | 13,516,865 | 16,423,380 | 17,642,213 | 17,830,666 | 17,652,300 | 18.883.158 | 19,685,491 |
| | | | | | | 2.722.722 | 21,002,000 | 20,003,230 | 13/003/471 |
| OP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | | | | | | | | | |
| Facilities Planning Parking: Silver Spring PLD | | | | | | | | | |
| | (69 010) | (115,000) | (115,000) | (135 mol | (204 000) | /1EE 0001 | /00,000 | (00.000) | (00.000) |
| Parking Silver Spring Facilities Renovations | (69,010) (167,820) | (115,000) | (115,000) | (135,000) (2.499,000) | (204,000) | (155,000) | (90,000) | (90,000) | |
| Parking Silver Spring Facilities Renovations Subtotat CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | (167,820) | (3,600,000) | (3,350,000) | (2,499,000) | (3,106,000) | (3,273,000) | (2,419,000) | (3,319,000) | (3,330,000) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | | | | | | | | | (90,000) (3,330,000) (3,420,000) |
| subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations/Expenditures | (167,820) (163,535) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) | (3,350,000) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) | (3,106,000) (3,310,000] | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) | (2,419,000) | (3,319,000) | (3,330,000) [3,420,000] |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | (167,820) (163,535) (9.824,039) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (10,491,887) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) | (3,105,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,887,436) | (3,330,000) [3,420,000] [11,922,718] |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations (Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (10,491,887) (2,634,899) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) (2,593,047) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) (2,851,562) | (3,106,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,031,214) | (3,330,000) [3,420,000] (11,922,718) (3,101,805) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations/Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (10,491,887) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) | (3,105,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,887,436) | (3,330,000 [3,420,000] |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure (ppropriations / Expenditures) Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,675,096) (2,934,639) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (10,491,887) (2,634,899) (7,856,988) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) (2,593,047) (7,856,983) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) | (3,106,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,248,749) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) (8,428,336) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,021,214) (8,816,221) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,820,913) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations (Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (10,491,887) (2,634,899) (7,856,988) (10,491,887) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (30,450,035) (2,593,047) (7,856,988) (10,450,035) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) - (10,935,356) | (3,305,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,243,749) (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,031,214) | (3,330,000) [3,420,000] (11,922,718) (3,101,805) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations (Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation Other Claims on Fund Balance | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,934,639) (12,758,678) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) [10,491,887] (2,634,899) (7,856,986) [10,491,887] (18,855) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) (2,593,047) (7,856,988) (10,450,035) 2,981,145 | (2,499,000) [2,634,000] (10,935,956] (2,851,562) (8,083,794) - [10,935,356] | (3,106,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,248,749) - (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) (8,426,336) (11,325,783) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,021,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,826,913) (11,922,718) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations/Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation Other Claims on Fund Balance Total Use of Resources | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,934,639) (12,758,678) (12,758,678) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) [10,491,887] (2,634,899) (7,856,988) (10,491,887) [18,855] [14,225,742] | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,085) (2,593,047) (7,856,988) (10,450,095) 2,981,145 (10,933,890) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) - (10,935,356) | (3,105,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,248,749) - (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) (8,426,336) (11,325,783) (11,325,783) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) (14,086,302) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,031,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) (15,256,436) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,826,913) (11,922,718) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations (Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation Other Claims on Fund Balance | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,934,639) (12,758,678) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) [10,491,887] (2,634,899) (7,856,986) [10,491,887] (18,855) | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) (2,593,047) (7,856,988) (10,450,035) 2,981,145 | (2,499,000) [2,634,000] (10,935,956] (2,851,562) (8,083,794) - [10,935,356] | (3,106,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,248,749) - (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) (8,426,336) (11,325,783) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,021,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,826,913) (11,922,718) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations/Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation Other Claims on Fund Balance Total Use of Resources | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,934,639) (12,758,678) (12,758,678) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) [10,491,887] (2,634,899) (7,856,988) (10,491,887) [18,855] [14,225,742] | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,085) (2,593,047) (7,856,988) (10,450,095) 2,981,145 (10,933,890) | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) - (10,935,356) | (3,105,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,248,749) - (11,083,674) | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) (8,426,336) (11,325,783) (11,325,783) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) (14,086,302) | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,031,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) (15,256,436) (15,256,436) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,820,913) (11,922,718) (15,342,718) 716,051 |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations (Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation Other Claims on Fund Balance Total Use of Resources Revenue vs Outflows (Transfer+Total Use of Resources) Gap | (167,820) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,294,639) (12,758,678) (12,758,678) (12,922,218) (5,106,344) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) [10,491,887] (2,634,899) (7,856,988) (10,491,887) (18,855) [14,225,742] 1,127,540 | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (3,465,000) (2,593,047) (7,856,953) (10,450,095) 2,981,145 (10,933,890) 2,566,519 | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,956) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) (10,935,356) (13,569,356) 271,049 | (3,105,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,243,749) (11,083,674) (14,393,674) 394,514 | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,897,447) (8,426,336) (11,325,783) (14,753,783) (171,656) | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) (14,086,302) 489,115 | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,031,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) (15,256,436) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,826,913) (11,922,718) |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure Appropriations (Expenditures Operating Budget Personnel Costs Operating Expenses Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation Other Claims on Fund Balance Iotal Use of Resources Revenue vs Outflows (Transfer+Total Use of Resources) Gap | (167,820) (163,535) (163,535) (9,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,2934,639) (12,756,678) (12,922,213) (5,106,343) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (10,491,887) (2,634,899) (7,856,988) (10,491,887) (18,855) (14,225,742) 1,127,540 | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) (2,593,047) (7,356,968) (10,450,035) 2,981,145 (10,933,890) 2,566,519 | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,956) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) (10,935,356) (13,569,356) 271,049 | (3,106,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,248,749) (11,083,674) (14,393,674) 394,514 | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (11,325,783) (2,877,447) (8,428,336) (11,325,783) (14,753,783) (171,656) 3,076,883 | (2,419,000) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) (14,086,302) 489,115 | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,531,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) (15,256,436) (60,724) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,820,913) (11,922,718) (115,342,718) 716,051 |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure (ppropriations / Expenditures) (Operating Budget: Personnel Costs (Operating Expense) Adjustment Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation (Other Claims on Fund Balance (Iotal Use of Resources) (Revenue vs Outflows (Transfer+Total Use of Resources) Gap (Fear End Fund Balance) (Iotal Fund Balance) (Iotal Fund Balance) | (167,820) (168,585) (19,824,039) (2,147,943) (7,676,096) (2,934,639) (12,754,678) (12,754,678) (5,106,343) | (3,600,000) (3,715,000) (20,491,887) (26,634,899) (7,856,988) (7,856,988) (10,491,887) (18,855) (14,225,742) 1,127,540 1,143,996 | (3,350,000) (3,465,000) (10,450,035) (2,593,047) (7,556,563) (10,450,035) 2,981,145 (10,933,890) 2,566,519 | (2,499,000) (2,634,000) (10,935,356) (2,851,562) (8,083,794) (10,935,356) (13,569,356) 271,049 | (3,106,000) (3,310,000) (11,083,674) (2,834,925) (8,243,749) (11,083,674) (14,393,674) 394,514 | (3,273,000) (3,428,000) (31,325,783) (1,857,447) (8,428,336) (11,325,783) (17,856) 3,076,883 | (2,419,600) (2,509,000) (11,577,302) (2,961,944) (8,615,358) (11,577,302) (14,086,302) 489,115 3,565,998 | (3,319,000) (3,409,000) (11,847,436) (3,031,214) (8,816,221) (11,847,436) (15,256,436) (0,724) | (3,330,000) (3,420,000) (11,922,718) (3,101,805) (8,820,913) (11,922,718) (15,342,718) 716,051 |

Other Assumptions:

1. Other Claims on Fund Balance: FY23 estimates reflect an approved FY22 transfer of \$3 million from the Bethesda PLD to the Silver Spring PLD that was not recorded until FY23.

2. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions for that budget. FY25-29 are based on the "major known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation costs increase, the operating costs of capital facilities and other programmatic commitments. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage, inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here. The policy target fund balance is 25% of the following fiscal year estimated expenses.

3. Cash flows assume PLD will start charging on Saturdays beginning in FY24.

4. Rate increase assumed beginning in FY28.

5. Assumes reduction in CIP of "52 million to meet fiscal health requirements.

| Wheaton PLD | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| FY24-29 Public Services Program: Fiscal Plan | Actual | Budgeted | Estimeted | Recommended | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projected | Projecte |
| Wheaton Parking Lot District | 2022 | 2023 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 20. |
| Assumptions | | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 591,312 | 1,070,658 | 1,070,658 | 1,282,430 | 1,367,321 | 1,291,248 | 1,137,304 | 940,033 | 771,11 |
| Revenues | | | | | | | | | |
| Charges for Services | 1,480,176 | 1,876,250 | 1,767,597 | 1,787,597 | 1,787,597 | 1,787,597 | 1,787,597 | 2,087,597 | 2,462,59 |
| Fines & Forfeits | 730,298 | 452,200 | 860,324 | 426,000 | 426,000 | 426,000 | 426,000 | 426,000 | 451,00 |
| Miscellaneous | (189,996) | 4,890 | 38,148 | 37,341 | 38,835 | 40,194 | 41,400 | 42,435 | 43,49 |
| Subtotal Revenues | 2,020,227 | 2,333,340 | 2,686,069 | 2,250,938 | 2,252,432 | 2,253,791 | 2,254,997 | 2,556,032 | 2,957,093 |
| Transfers | | | | | | | | | |
| Transfers to/from General Fund | 40,523 | (72,511) | (72,511) | (74,920) | (76,555) | (78,243) | (79,985) | (81,856) | (83,762 |
| Transfers to/from Special Funds : Tax Supported | (200,000) | (300,000) | (300,000) | (300,000) | (300,000) | (300,000) | (300,000) | (300,000) | (300,000 |
| Transfers to/from Other Funds | - | | - E | | (110,000) | (110,000) | - | | - |
| Subtotal Transfers | (159,477) | (372,511) | (372,511) | (374,920) | (486,555) | (488,243) | (379,985) | [381,856] | (383,762 |
| Total Resources | 2,452,062 | 3,031,487 | 3,384,216 | 3,158,448 | 3,133,198 | 3,056,796 | 3,012,316 | 3,114,210 | 3,344,448 |
| CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | | | | | | | | | |
| Facilities Planning Parking: Wheaton PLD | | (155,000) | (155,000) | (35,000) | (20,000) | (58,000) | (45,000) | (165,000) | (45,000 |
| Parking Wheaton Facilities Renovations | (6,689) | (362,000) | (362,000) | (112,000) | (112,000) | (112,000) | [237,000] | (344,000) | (455,000 |
| Subtotal CIP Current Revenue Appropriation Expenditure | (6,689) | (517,000) | (517,000) | (147,000) | (132,000) | (170,000) | (282,000) | (509,000) | (500,000 |
| Appropriations/Expenditures | | | | | | 10,000 | (100) | (Sosjedby | (See)eee |
| Operating Budget | (1,405,345) | (1,593,969) | (1,581,250) | (1.644.127) | (1,709,950) | (1,749,492) | (1,790,283) | (1,834,093) | (1,878,737 |
| Personnel Costs | (349,734) | (395, 156) | (382,437) | (429.087) | (426, 253) | (435,653) | [445.351] | (455,766) | (456.380 |
| Operating Expenses | (1,055,611) | (1,198.813) | (1,198,813) | (1,215,040) | (1,283,698) | (1,313,839) | (1,344,932) | (1,378,326) | (1,412,357 |
| Subtotal PSP Operating Budget Appropriation | (1,374,715) | (1,593,969) | (1,581,250) | (1,644,127) | (1,709,950) | (1,749,492) | (1,790,283) | (1,834,093) | (1,878,737 |
| Other Claims on Fund Balance | | (3,536) | (3,536) | | - | | | | |
| Total Use of Resources | (1,381,404) | (2,114,505) | (2,101,786) | (1,791,127) | (1,841,950) | (1,919,492) | (2,072,283) | (2,343,093) | (2,378,737 |
| Revenue vs Outflows (Transfer+Total Use of Resources) Gap | 479,346 | (153,676) | 211,772 | 84,891 | (76,073) | (153,944) | (197,271) | (168,916) | 194,594 |
| Year End Fund Balance | 1,070,658 | 916,982 | 1.282.430 | 1.367.321 | 1,291,248 | 1,137,304 | 940,033 | 771,117 | 965,711 |
| Bond Restricted Reserve | - | | 2,222,150 | | Aparaja 10 | 4/401/304 | 340,033 | 111,111 | 303,711 |
| Year End Available Fund Balance | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.070.658 | 916 982 | 1 282 430 | 1 367 321 | 1 701 748 | 1 127 204 | 040 032 | 774 447 | 06F 744 |
| Available Fund Balance as a % of Next Year's PSP Expenses | 1,070,658 | 916,982 56% | 1,282,430 78% | 1,357,321 80% | 1,291,248 74% | 1,137,304 64% | 940,033 51% | 771,117 | 965,711 50% |

Other Assumptions:

1. These projections are based on the Executive's Recommended Budget and include the revenue and resource assumptions for that budget. FY25-29 are based on the "major known commitments" of elected officials and include negotiated labor agreements, estimates of compensation and inflation costs increase, the operating costs of capital facilities and other programmatic commitments. The projected future expenditures, revenues, and fund balance may vary based on changes to fee or tax rates, usage, inflation, future labor agreements, and other factors not assumed here. The policy target fund balance is 25% of the following fiscal year estimated expenses.

2. Rate increase assumed beginning in FY28.



Parking Bethesda Facility Renovations (P508255)

Category
SubCategory
Planning Area

Transportation

Parking
Bethesda-Chevy Chase and Vicinity

Date Last Modified Administering Agency Status

03/14/23 Transportation Ongoing

| | Total | Thru FY22 | Rem FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 5,814 | 3,694 | 320 | 1,800 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | |
| Land | 23 | 23 | _ | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| Site Improvements and Utilities | 71 | 71 | _ | - | _ | - | - | _ | _ | _ | - |
| Construction | 42,851 | 16,861 | 478 | 25,512 | 4,975 | 1,002 | 3,503 | 5,538 | 5,381 | 5,113 | _ |
| Other | 1,166 | 1,166 | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | _ | _ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 49,925 | 21,815 | 798 | 27,312 | 5,275 | 1,302 | 3,803 | 5,838 | 5,681 | 5,413 | |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Current Revenue: Parking - Bethesda | 49,925 | 21,815 | 798 | 27,312 | 5,275 | 1,302 | 3,803 | 5,838 | 5,681 | 5,413 | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|-----|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 49,925 | 21,815 | 798 | 27,312 | 5,275 | 1,302 | 3,803 | 5,838 | 5,681 | 5,413 | - |

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

| Appropriation FY 24 Request | - | Year First Appropriation | FY83 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| Cumulative Appropriation | 31,388 | Last FY's Cost Estimate | 49,072 |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances | 24,179 | | |
| Unencumbered Balance | 7,209 | | |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for the renovation of or improvements to Bethesda parking facilities. This is a continuing program of contractual improvements or renovations, with changing priorities depending upon the type of deterioration and corrections required, that will protect or improve the physical infrastructure to assure safe and reliable parking facilities and to preserve the County's investment. The scope of this project will vary depending on the results of studies conducted under the Facility Planning Parking project. Included are annual consultant services to provide investigation, analysis, recommended repair methods, contract documents, inspection, and testing, if required.

LOCATION

Bethesda Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

Reflects savings from streamlined planning and review process, including the Capital View/Metropolitan Ave Sidewalk project (-\$390k), Middlebrook Rd. and Wisteria Dr. Multi-modal Improvements project (-\$310k), and Great Seneca Shared Use Path project (-\$195k), as well as planning and design costs (\$600k) for streetaries in Bethesda, Silver Spring and Wheaton.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Staff inspection and condition surveys by County inspectors and consultants indicate that facilities in the Bethesda Parking Lot District (PLD) are in need of rehabilitation and repair work. Not performing this restoration work within the time and scope specified may result in serious structural integrity problems to the subject parking facilities as well as possible public safety hazards.

OTHER

Major sub-projects within this ongoing effort are as follows:

- Repair the sinkhole and ramp spalling at Garage 35 Woodmont/Rugby.
- Waterproofing, drainage repair, concrete repair, and Paystation improvements at Garage 49 Metropolitan.
- Address flooding, storm and sanitary valve replacement, and concrete facade at Garage 11, Woodmont Corner,
- Address ponding on the G level crawl space at Garage 42, Cheltenham Garage.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

Facility Planning Parking: Bethesda PLD.



Parking Silver Spring Facility Renovations (P508250)

Category SubCategory Planning Area Transportation
Parking

Silver Spring and Vicinity

Date Last Modified Administering Agency

Status

03/13/23 Transportation Ongoing

| | Total | Thru FY22 | Rem FY22 | Total 6 Years | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 | FY 26 | FY 27 | FY 28 | Beyond 6 Years |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE (\$000s) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planning, Design and Supervision | 6,201 | 4,093 | 308 | 1,800 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | |
| Land | 33 | 33 | _ | - | - | _ | - | · - | _ | _ | _ |
| Site Improvements and Utilities | 1,148 | 1,148 | - | | - | | _ | _ | | | _ |
| Construction | 25,759 | 8,336 | 1,257 | 16,166 | 3,050 | 2,199 | 2,806 | 2,973 | 2,119 | 3.019 | _ |
| Other | 864 | 864 | - | - | - | _ | - | | - | | _ |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 34,005 | 14,474 | 1,565 | 17,966 | 3,350 | 2,499 | 3,106 | 3,273 | 2,419 | 3,319 | - |

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000s)

| Current Revenue: Parking - Silver Spring | 34,005 | 14,474 | 1,565 | 17,966 | 3,350 | 2,499 | 3,106 | 3,273 | 2,419 | 3,319 | |
|------------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES | 34,005 | 14,474 | 1,565 | 17,966 | 3,350 | 2,499 | 3,106 | 3,273 | 2,419 | 3,319 | |

APPROPRIATION AND EXPENDITURE DATA (\$000s)

| Appropriation FY 24 Request | 668 | Year First Appropriation | FY83 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| Cumulative Appropriation | 21,220 | Last FY's Cost Estimate | 36,244 |
| Expenditure / Encumbrances | 15,286 | | |
| Unencumbered Balance | 5,934 | | |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project provides for the restoration of, or improvements to, Silver Spring parking facilities to address deterioration due to use and age. This is a continuing program of contractual improvements or restorations, with changing priorities depending upon the types of deterioration and corrections required. Corrective measures are required to ensure adequate and proper serviceability over the design life of the facilities and to preserve the County's investment. The scope of this project may vary depending on the results of the studies conducted under facility planning. The project will protect or improve the physical infrastructure to assure continuation of safe and reliable parking facilities. Included are annual consultant services to provide investigation, analysis, recommend repair methods, contract documents, inspection, and testing, if required.

LOCATION

Silver Spring Parking Lot District.

COST CHANGE

Total costs are reduced due to fiscal constraints.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

Staff inspection and condition surveys by County inspectors and consultants indicate that facilities in the Silver Spring Parking Lot District (PLD) are in need of rehabilitation and repair work. Not performing this restoration work within the time and scope specified may result in serious structural integrity problems to the subject parking facilities as well as possible public safety hazards.

OTHER

Major sub-projects within this ongoing effort are as follows:

- Address elevator pit flooding and damaged subsurface pipe at Garage 7, Cameron.
- Repair entrance approach slab repair at Garage 2, Georgia Avenue.
- Address water intrusion at Garage 3, Fenton Street.
- Elevator modernization at Garage 5, Garage 9, Garage 55; Ripley Street, 13th Street and Bonifant Street.

DISCLOSURES

Expenditures will continue indefinitely.

COORDINATION

Silver Spring PLD Facility Planning.