Bill No.

4-01

Concerning: Impact Tax - Amendments Revised: 5-1-01

Draft No. 4

Introduced: February 6, 2001 May 1, 2001

Enacted: Effective:

Executive: May 14, 2001 August 13, 2001

Sunset Date: None

Ch. 10, Laws of Mont. Co. 2001

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the request of the County Executive

AN ACT to:

- update the rates of the development impact tax, and allow certain tax credits to be **(1)** transferred under certain conditions;
- **(2)** update the impact tax transportation program;
- create a Clarksburg impact tax district, and adopt tax rates and a transportation program for (3) the district:
- revise the share of the impact tax transportation program paid by certain new development: **(4)** and
- generally amend the law governing the development impact tax. [(3)] (5)

By amending

Montgomery County Code Chapter 52, Taxation Sections 52-49, 52-55, 52-57, [[and]] 52-58, and 52-59

Boldface Heading or defined term. Underlining Added to existing law by original bill. [Single boldface brackets] Deleted from existing law by original bill. Double underlining Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland approves the following Act:

1	Sec	1. Sections 52-49, 52-55, 52-57, [[and]] 52-58, and 52-59 are amended
2	as follows	:
3	52-49.	Imposition and applicability of development impact taxes.
4		* * *
5	(c)	The following impact tax districts are established[[:]] consisting of the
6		following Planning Policy Areas as described in the Annual Growth
7		Policy:
8		(1) Germantown: Germantown East, Germantown Town Center, and
9		Germantown West;
10		(2) Eastern Montgomery County: Fairland/White Oak and Cloverly:
11		(3) Clarksburg: Clarksburg.
12		* * *
13	52-55.	Credits.
14		* * *
15	(b)	A property owner may construct an improvement listed in the
16		impact tax district transportation program. Construction of the
17		improvement, however, must be in addition to all other on-site
18	•	transportation improvements required by the County or the
19		Planning Board as a condition of subdivision, site plan, or other
20		development approval.
21		(1) * * *
22		(2) * * *
23		(3) The County must not provide a refund for a credit which is
24		greater than the applicable development impact tax. If,
25		however, the amount of the credit exceeds the amount of
26		the development impact tax due, the property owner may

apply the excess credit toward the development impact 27 taxes imposed on other building permits for development 28 [[on the same site]] in the same impact tax district and with 29 the same ownership. In this Section, a property has the 30 same ownership as another property if the same legal 31 entity owns at least 30% of the equity in both properties. 32 33 Any property owner who, before May 1, 2001, built all or part of a (e) 34 project in the Clarksburg impact tax district which is listed in the 35 impact tax transportation program (including building any road which 36 would be widened under the program) is entitled to a credit equal to 37 the reasonable cost of the improvement. The Denartment of Public 38 Works and Transportation must calculate the credit. The Department 39 must not give a refund for a credit earned under this subsection. 40 A property owner may transfer a credit against the development impact (f) 41 tax to another property owner in the impact tax district where the credit 42 originated if the transferor received the credit on or before August 7. 43 1992 in exchange for the sale of land to the County. The transferee is 44 entitled to the amount of credit transferred to it, up to the amount of 45 unnaid impact tax the transferee owes. The Denartment must not give a 46 refund for a credit used under this subsection. The Denartment must not 47 allow more than \$2.750.000 in credits under this subsection. 48 [Per-unit development] Development impact taxes; methodology. 52-57. 49 *]] 50 [[The methodology for determination of per-unit development]] (a) 51 Development impact taxes [[is as follows]] must be calculated 52 by the following process: 53

54	•
----	---

55

56 57

58 59

60 61

> 62 63

64

65 66

67

68

69

70

71

73

72

74 75

76

77 78

79

80

[[Calculate the ratio of possible residential development (7) (ceiling development minus existing residential development as computed in step 1) to ceiling residential development. Similarly, calculate the ratio of possible nonresidential development to ceiling nonresidential development. Compute a weighted-average ratio of possible development to ceiling development for an impact tax district based upon the trip impact values of possible residential and nonresidential development. This weighted-average ratio represents the share of the cost of impact highway improvements to be borne by new development; where the ratio exceeds 50 percent, a proportion of 50 percent must be

used, under subsection 52-47(e). The new development

share, without adjustment for the reduced office and

industrial rates, for each impact tax district is:

- (A) Germantown 50.00%
- (B) Eastern Montgomery County 44.75 New development shares are calculated only when an impact tax district is established; they are not recalculated every 2 years.]] Multiply [[these shares]] 50% by the pro rata cost per unit for each land use category (calculated in Step 6) to determine the [[perunit]] development impact tax for each land use category.
- The [per-unit] development impact [taxes] tax rates for each impact tax (b) district are [[as follows]]:

Tax per Dwelling Unit or per [1,000] Square [Feet] Foot of Gross Floor Area (GFA)

Land Use Type	Germantown	Eastern Montgomery County	Clarkshurg
Single-family residential (per dwelling unit)	[\$2,378.00] [[<u>\$2,473]]</u> <u>\$2,492</u>	[\$1,558.00] [[<u>\$1,620]]</u> <u>\$1,727</u>	\$2,753
Multifamily residential (per dwelling unit)	[\$1,712.00] [[<u>\$1,780]]</u> <u>\$1,794</u>	[\$1,122.00] [[<u>\$1,167]]</u> <u>\$1,243</u>	\$1.981
Multifamily-senior residential (per dwelling unit)	[\$507.00] [[<u>\$527</u>]] <u>\$531</u>	[\$332.00] [[<u>\$345]]</u> <u>\$368</u>	<u>\$573</u>
Office (per [1,000] sq. ft. GFA)	[\$1,000,00] <u>\$2</u>	[\$1,000.00] <u>\$2</u>	\$2
Industrial (per [1,000] sq. ft. GFA)	[\$500.00] <u>\$1</u>	[\$500.00] <u>\$1</u>	<u>\$1</u>
Retail (per [1,000] sq. ft. GFA)	[\$4,849.00] [[<u>\$5.04]</u>] <u>\$5.08</u>	[\$3,177.00] [[\$3.30]] \$3.52	\$5.61
Places of worship (per [1,000] sq. ft. GFA)	[\$280.00] <u>\$.29</u>	[\$183.00] [[<u>\$.19]]</u> <u>\$.20</u>	\$.32
Private elementary and secondary schools (per [1,000] sq. ft. GFA)	[\$466.00] <u>\$.48</u>	[\$305.00] [[<u>\$.32</u>]] <u>\$.33</u>	\$.53
Other nonresidential (per [1,000] sq. ft. GFA)	[\$5,362.00] [[<u>\$5.58</u>]] <u>\$5.62</u>	[\$3,514.00] [[\$3.65]] \$3.89	<u>\$6.20</u>

81 [[* * *]]

82 52-58. Impact tax district transportation program.

The impact tax district transportation program is as follows:

Road Name	Limits	Improvement	Cost	Timing (Completion by FY 99-2004 Denoted by *)
(a) Germanto	own:			ĺ
Century Boulevard	Northern terminus (2001) to 1000' north	4-Lane Undivided Construct	\$2.085.000	
Great Seneca Highway	Middlebrook Road to Great Seneca Creek	[6]4-Lane Divided Construct	[\$25,075,000. 00] \$14.700.000	*(Part): 4L from Middlebrook to Great Seneca Creek
Eastern Arterial (M- 83)	Northern Planning Area Boundary to Great Seneca Creek	6-Lane Divided Construct with an Interchange at M-61	[\$36,504,000. 00] \$38.059.000	
Father Hurley Boulevard/ Ridge Road (M-27)	MD 27 to MD 118 Relocated	6-Lane Divided Construct from MD 27 to Crystal Rock Drive, with an interchange at I-270 and 4L divided from Wisteria Drive to MD 118 Relocated	[\$53,962,000. 00] \$62.788.000	*(Part): 6L from Crystal Rock to A-19 w/interchange at I-270; 4L from A-19 to MD 27; 21 A-254 to MD 118
MD 118 Relocated	2000' south of Clopper Road to Wisteria Drive and from I-270 to MD 355	6L Divided Construct from MD 355 to I-270 & from Wisteria Drive to Riffleford Road	[\$34,952,000. 00] \$35.935.000	*(Part): 6L from Wisteria Drive to MD 117 and I- 270 to MD 355; 2L from MD 117 to 2000' south

Germantown Rd. Extended (M-61)	MD 355 to Watkins Mill Rd.	6-Lane Divided Construct	[\$6,079,000.0 0] \$11.113.000	
Crystal Rock Drive	MD 118 to M- 27	Add 2 lanes	[\$5,441,000.0 0] \$6.225.000	
Middle- brook Road	M-27 to Eastern Arterial	4L Divided Reconstruct from M-83 to MD 355; 6L divided reconstruct from MD 355 to Great Seneca Highway; add 2L from Great Seneca Highway to M-27	[\$20,011,000. 00] \$20.897.000	*(Part): 6L from Great Seneca Highway to I- 270, 6L from I- 270 to MD 355
Watkins Mill Road (A-17)	M-83 to Planning Area Boundary	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct	[\$4,998,000.0 0] \$5.322.000	
A-19 (Observa- tion Drive)	MD 118 Relocated to Planning Area Boundary	4-Lane Undivided Construct with M-27 Interchange	[\$36,847,000. 00] \$37.518.000	*(Part); 4L from MD 118 Relocated through Milestone development
Waring Station Road	Wisteria Drive to Clopper Road	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct	[\$4,449,000.0 0] \$4.619.000	
A-254	MD 118 Relocated to Great Seneca Highway	4-Lane Undivided Construct	[\$2,233,000.0 0] \$3.063.000	*(Part): 4L from MD 118 Relocated to Great Seneca Highway

I-4 (Northern Crossing)	Century Boulevard to Observation Drive	4-Lane Undivided Construct	[\$8,672,000.0 0] \$9.643.000	
Park and Ride Lots	Planning Area	2550 spaces	[\$16,320,000. 00] \$16.575.000	*(Part) 769 spaces
German- town Transit Center	MD 118/Century Blvd.	Construct 200- space park & ride	[\$2,604,000.0 0] \$1.913.000	
Total			[\$258,147,000. [[\$268.370.000	00]]] \$270.455.000
50%			[\$129,074,000. [[\$134.185.000	00]]] \$135.227.500

84

(b) Eastern Montgomery County	(b) E	istern	Montgomery	County.
-------------------------------	-------	--------	------------	---------

!				ı
[[A-287	E. Randolph Road Relocated to P.G. County Line	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct	[\$1,883,000. 00] \$1.979.000]]	
Briggs Chaney Road	Automobile Boulevard to P.G. County Line	4-6 Lane Reconstruct	[\$11,570,000 .00] \$14.668.000	*(Part): Reconstruct bridge
Fairland Road	E. Randolph Road Relocated to P.G. County Line	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct from U.S. 29 to P.G. County Line and add 2L from E. Randolph Road to Old Columbia Pike	[\$18,156,000 .00] \$18.716.000	

Greencastle Road	Robey Road to P.G. County Line	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct	[\$3,866,000. 00] \$3.257.000	
Norbeck Road Extended	Layhill Road to New Hampshire Avenue	2-Lane Construct	[\$28,403,000 .00] \$27.115.000	
[[Musgrove Road	U.S. 29 to Fairland Road	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct	[\$2,361,000. 00] \$2.483.000]]	
East Randolph Road	Burkhart Street to Old Columbia Pike	4-Lane Undivided Reconstruct	[\$10,878,000 .00] \$12.477.000	*
Park-and- Ride Lots		1814 spaces	[\$11,609,000 .00] \$11.791.000	*
Total		[\$88,726,000.6 [[\$103.504.00	00] 0]] \$88.024.000	
[[44.75%]] <u>5</u>	0%		[\$39,705,000.0 [[\$46.318.000	00]]] \$44.012.000

85

(c) Clarkshuro:			1
Foreman Blvd	MD 355 to A-305	Construct 2 lanes	\$1.605.03 <u>5</u>
(A-306)			
Gateway Center	A-260 to A-3 <u>01</u>	Widen to 4 lanes	\$3.602.537
Drive (A-300)		divided	
Midcounty	Brink Road to MD 27	Construct 6 lanes	\$8.728.439
Highway (M-83)		divided	
Midcountv	MD 27 to (Stringtown	Construct 2/4 lanes	\$38.516291
Highway (A_	Road) MD 355	divided	

305)			
Newcut Road	MD 121 to MD 27	Construct 2/4 lanes	\$36.576.638
(A-302)		<u>divided</u>	
Observation	MD 355 to Germantown	Construct 4 lanes	\$21.971.3 <u>91</u>
Drive (A-19)	houndary	divided	
Old Frederick	Through town center	Construct 2 lanes	\$2.675.814
Road (B-1)			
Shawnee Lane	Gateway Center Drive (A-	Widen to 4 lanes	\$8.121.588
(A-301)	300) to MD 355(A-251)	divided	
Stringtown Road	I-270 to A-305	Construct 4 lanes	\$10.889.280
(A-260)		divided	
Park & Ride		155 snaces	\$1.054.899
Spaces			
Total			\$133.741.9 <u>10</u>
50%			\$66.870.955

52-59. Methodology to determine impact tax districts.

(a) Impact tax districts are those planning policy areas, or combinations of planning policy area, in which existing and approved development is at or above the staging ceiling as defined by the Annual Growth Policy. Where existing development is at or above the staging ceiling, no further development can occur in the planning policy area without violating the adequate public facilities ordinance transportation standards, unless the staging ceiling is raised. The staging ceiling can be raised by the addition of major improvements beyond those that are programmed. The addition of these unprogrammed improvements will raise the

97	staging ceiling, with the objective of allowing additional
98	development consistent with APFO staging ceiling standards. [[At
99	the present time, Germantown (East and West) and Eastern
100	Montgomery County (Cloverly, Fairland/White Oak) are
101	characterized by existing development at or above the staging
102	ceiling and have, therefore, been identified as impact tax
103	districts.]] [[Once]] After an impact tax district has been
104	designated under subsection (b), it [[will]] must remain an impact
105	tax district until full buildout has occurred.
106	* * *
107	Approved:
108	Blair G. Ewing, President, County Council May 2, 200/ Date
109	Approved:
110	Douglas M. Duncan, County Executive May 14, 2001 Date
111	This is a correct copy of Council action.
112	Whan 1. Erlann Whan 15. 2001 Mary A. Edgar, CMC, Clerk of the Council Date