Ordinance No. 15-67
Subdivision Regulation Amend. No. 05-03
Concerning: Adequate Public Facilities
- Validity Period
Revised: 3-17-06 Draft No. 3
Introduced: October 11, 2005
Public Hearing: December 6, 2005
Adopted: March 21, 2006
Effective: April 10, 2006

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PORTION OF THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL DISTRICT WITHIN MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the request of the Planning Board

AN AMENDMENT to the Subdivision Regulations to:

- (1) clarify the validity period of a finding of adequate public facilities by the Planning Board, and the process and standards to extend a finding of adequacy;
- (2) repeal certain temporary provisions regarding findings of adequate public facilities; and
- (3) update obsolete language and make corrective and stylistic changes.

By amending the following sections of the Montgomery County Code, Chapter 50: Section 50-20, Limitations on issuance of building permits Section 50-35, Preliminary subdivision plans – Approval procedure

Boldface
Underlining
Added to existing law by original bill.

[Single boldface brackets]

Deleted from existing law by original

[Single boldface brackets] Deleted from existing law by original bill.

Double underlining Added by amendment.

[[Double boldface brackets]] Deleted from existing law or the bill by amendment.

Existing law unaffected by bill.

ORDINANCE No.: 15-67

OPINION

Subdivision Regulation Amendment (SRA) No. 05-03 was introduced on October 11, 2005, to clarify the validity period of a finding of adequate public facilities by the Planning Board, and the process and standards to extend a finding of adequacy; repeal certain temporary provisions regarding findings of adequate public facilities; and update obsolete language and make corrective and stylistic changes.

The Montgomery County Planning Board in its report to the Council recommended that the SRA be approved with modifications.

The County Council held a public hearing on December 6, 2005, to receive testimony concerning the proposed SRA. The SRA was referred to the Planning, Housing, and Economic Development (PHED) Committee for review and recommendation.

The Committee held a worksession on March 13, 2006, to review the amendment. The Committee unanimously recommended enactment of the amendment with further amendments.

The District Council reviewed Subdivision Regulation Amendment No. 05-03 at a meeting held on March 21, 2006, and supported the recommendations of the Planning, Housing, and Economic Development Committee.

For these reasons and because to approve this amendment will assist in the coordinated, comprehensive, adjusted, and systematic development of the Maryland-Washington Regional District located in Montgomery County, Subdivision Regulation Amendment No. 05-03 will be approved as revised.

ORDINANCE

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, sitting as the District Council for that portion of the Maryland-Washington Regional District in Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following Ordinance:

1	Sec. 1	. Sections 50-20 and 50-35 are amended as follows:
2	50-20.	[Limitations] Limits on issuance of building permits.
3	(a)	[[A]] The Department of Permitting Services must not approve a
4		building permit [[must not be approved]] for the construction of a
5		dwelling or other structure, except [structures or dwellings] a dwelling
6		or structure on a farm strictly for agricultural use, unless [such] the
7		dwelling or structure [is to] would be located on a lot or parcel of land
8		which is shown on a plat recorded in the County plat books [of the
9		county], and which has access as prescribed in [Sec.] Section 50-
10		29(a)(2). [; provided, that such] However, the Department may issue
11		a building permit [[may be issued]] for [the following]:
12		(1) A parcel covered by an exception specified in Section 50-9 [of
13		this chapter];
4		(2) A parcel covered by a valid site plan approved [no more than
15		four years prior to] after October 8, [1985] 1981, under
16		Division 59-D-3, on which construction had begun [as of that
17		date] by October 8, 1985, or on the medical center; or
18		(3) A parcel covered by a special exception approved under
19		Division 59-G-1, which was being implemented as of October
20		8, 1985.
21	(b)	A building permit [may] must not be approved for the construction of
22		a dwelling or other structure, except [those] a dwelling or structure
23		strictly for agricultural use, which is located on more than one [(1)]
24		lot, which crosses a lot line, which is located on the unplatted
25		remainder of a resubdivided lot, or which is located on an outlot,
26	•	except [as follows] a building permit:

27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			

- (1) [A building permit was] applied for on or before February 1, 1985[.];
- (2) [A building permit] approved after February 1, 1985, for development that crosses a lot line where a wall is located on, but not over, the lot line and there are projections for the roof, eaves, and foundation footings which project not more than 2 feet across the vertical plane of the lot line; and projections for sills, leaders, belt courses and similar ornamental features which project not more than 6 inches across the vertical plane of the lot line[.];
- [A building permit may be approved] for an aboveground or [an] underground public facility or amenity that crosses the vertical plane of any lot line, as projected below grade, if shown on a CBD Zone Project Plan for optional method development, approved in accordance with the procedures of Division 59-D-2 [of the Montgomery County Code]; or if shown on a Development Plan approved in accordance with the procedures of Division 59-D-1 [of the Montgomery County Code.];
- (4) [A building permit may be approved] for an underground parking facility that crosses the vertical plane of any lot line, as projected below grade, [[and]] or extends into a public right-of-way if that extension is approved by the appropriate public agency[.];
- (5) [A building permit may be approved] for the reconstruction of a one-family dwelling that is located on [part(s)] [[all or]] part of a previously platted [lot(s)] lot, recorded by deed [prior to] before June 1, 1958, [in the event that] if the dwelling is

54			destro	byed or seriously damaged by fire, flood or other natural
55			disast	er[.] <u>or;</u>
56		(6)	[A bu	ilding permit may be approved] for an addition to an
57			existi	ng one-family dwelling, a porch, deck, fence or accessory
58			struct	tures associated with an existing one-family dwelling
59			locate	ed on [part(s)] [[all or]] part of a previously platted [lot(s)]
60			<u>lot</u> , re	ecorded by deed [prior to] before June 1, 1958.
61	(c)	(1)	Word	ls and phrases used in this subsection have the meanings
62			indic	ated in Section 8-30.
63		(2)	Exce	pt as provided in [paragraph (4) of] this subsection and
64			Artic	le IV of Chapter 8, the Department of Permitting Services
65			may	issue a building permit [[may be issued]] only if the
66			Planr	ning Board has made a timely determination of the
7			[exist	tence of adequate] adequacy of public facilities to serve the
68			propo	osed development [[has been made]] under this Chapter.
69			[[<u>Thi</u>	s subsection does not apply to]] However, the Department
70			may	issue a building permit for any proposed development that
71			<u>is:</u>	
72		•	<u>(A)</u>	exclusively residential on a lot or parcel recorded before
73	•			July 25, 1989, or otherwise recorded in conformance
74				with a preliminary plan of subdivision approved before
75	٠.			that date; or
76			<u>(B)</u>	otherwise exempt from the requirement for determining
77				adequacy of public facilities before a preliminary plan of
78				subdivision is approved.
79		(3)	A de	termination of adequate public facilities made under this
٥٥ کار			Chap	oter is timely and remains valid:

81		[(i)] (A) For [twelve (12)] 12 years [from] after the date of
82			preliminary plan approval for [plans] any plan approved
83			on or after July 25, 1989, but before October 19, 1999[.];
84			[However, an adequate public facilities determination for
85			an exclusively residential subdivision remains valid after
86			twelve (12) years if fifty (50) percent of the entire
87			subdivision has received building permits and the
88			developer submits a letter of intent to develop the
89			remainder by a specified date;]
90		[(ii) Until July 25, 2001, for a preliminary plan of subdivision
91			that allows nonresidential development which was
92			approved on or after January 1, 1982, but before July 25,
93			1989;] and
94		[(iii)] (B) For no less than 5 and no more than 12 years, as
95			determined by the Planning Board at the time of
96			subdivision, for [projects] any plan approved on or after
97			October 19, 1999.
98	(4	1)	The Planning Board may extend a determination of adequate
99		I	bublic facilities for an exclusively residential subdivision
00		ļ	beyond the otherwise applicable validity period if the
01		<u>]</u>	Department has issued building permits for at least 50 percent
02		9	of the entire subdivision [[has received building permits]]
03		1	pefore the application for extension is filed. The Board may
04			approve one or more extensions if the aggregate length of all
05		9	extensions for the development do not exceed:
06		. (A) 2½ years for a subdivision with an original validity
07			period of 5 years; or

108	
109	
110	
111	
112	
113	
114	
115	
116	
117	
118	
119	
120	
1	
122	
123	
124	
125	
126	
127	
128	
129	
130	
131	
132	
133	
4ر	

- (B) 6 years for a subdivision with an original validity period longer than 5 years.
- [(iv)] (5) The <u>Planning Board may extend a determination of adequate</u> public facilities for a preliminary plan of subdivision [that allows] <u>for nonresidential development [may be extended by the Planning Board] beyond the <u>otherwise applicable</u> validity [periods in (i), (ii) and (iii)] period if:</u>
 - (A) [At] at least [forth percent (40%)] 40% of the approved development has been built, is under construction, or building permits have been issued, such that the cumulative amount of development will meet or exceed [the percentage requirement of this paragraph] 40%;
 - (B) [All] all of the infrastructure required by the conditions of the original preliminary plan approval has been constructed, or payments for its construction have been made; and
 - (C) [The] the development is an "active" project, [as demonstrated by] meaning that either occupancy permits have been issued or a final building permit inspection has been passed for at least 10 percent of the project [having been completed] within the [last four] 4 years before an extension request is [made] filed, or occupancy permits have been issued for at least 5 percent of the project [having been completed] within the [last] 4 years before an extension request is [made,] filed if 60 percent of the project has been built or is under construction. If occupancy permits are not typically issued for the type of

135	development for which an extension is requested, a part
136	of the development can be treated as complete when its
137	final inspection has been approved. The Board may treat
138	a building as complete even if occupancy permits have
139	been issued for only part the building.
140	[(v)] (6) For any development [projects consisting] that consists of
141	more than one preliminary plan, the requirements in [(iv) (A)
142	through (C) above paragraph (5) apply to the combined
143	project. A project consists of more than one preliminary plan if
144	the properties covered by the preliminary plans of subdivision
145	are contiguous and:
146	(A) were owned or controlled by the same applicant at the
147	time of subdivision, and approved contemporaneously, or
148	(B) were owned or controlled by different applicants at the
149	time of subdivision, but covered by a single approved
150	comprehensive design plan [approved by the Planning
151	Board].
152	[(vi)] (7) Submittal and review requirements for extensions of
153	[[adequacy]] adequate public facilities determinations.
154	(A) A new development schedule or phasing plan for
155	completion of the project must be submitted to the
156	Planning Board for approval;
157	(B) [No] <u>no</u> additional development beyond the amount
158	approved in the determination of adequate public
159	facilities for the preliminary plan of subdivision may be
160	proposed or approved;

161	(C)	[No] no additional public improvements or other
.62		conditions beyond those required for the original
163		preliminary plan may be required by the Planning Board;
164		and
165	[(D)	If the preliminary plan is for a development project
166		located in an area that is subject to a moratorium under
167		the Annual Growth Policy, a traffic mitigation program
168		must be in place, or the project must otherwise be subject
169		to existing traffic mitigation requirements of the Code.]
170	[(E)]	(D) [An] an application for an extension must be filed
171		with the Planning Board before [the expiration of] the
172		validity period for which the extension is requested <u>has</u>
173		expired.
4	[(vii)] <u>(8)</u> T	he length of [the] any extension of the validity period, or
175	<u>all</u> ex	stensions taken together if more than one extension is
176	allov	ved, under [(iv) above] paragraph (5) must be based on the
177	appro	oved new development schedule under [(vi) (A) above]
178	para	graph 7(A), but must not exceed 2 ½ years for [projects up
179	to] <u>a</u>	ny development with less than 150,000 square feet, or 6
180	years	s for [projects] any development with 150,000 square feet
181	or gr	eater. The extension expires if the development is not
182	proc	eeding in accordance with the phasing plan[,] unless the
183	Boar	d has approved a revision to the schedule or phasing plan
184	[is a	oproved by the Planning Board].
185	(9) The	Planning Board may approve one or more additional
186	exter	nsions of a determination of adequate public facilities, up to

187		the tu	me period allowed under paragraph (8), beyond any
188		exten	sion allowed under paragraph (5), if:
189		<u>(A)</u>	no more than 30% remains to be built of either the entire
190			approved development or the share of the development to
191			be built by that applicant; or
192		<u>(B)</u>	the applicant will commit to reduce the amount of unbuilt
193			development by at least 10%, and the validity period for
194			the amount to be reduced will expire as scheduled.
195	<u>(10)</u>	The F	Planning Board may extend a determination of adequate
196		publi	c facilities once for up to 12 more years beyond the
197		other	wise applicable validity period if the Board finds that:
198		<u>(A)</u>	the preliminary subdivision plan for the development
199			required a significant commitment of funds by the
200			applicant, amounting to at least \$2,500,000, to comply
201			with specified infrastructure conditions;
202		<u>(B)</u>	the applicant has met or exceeded the required
203			infrastructure conditions during the original validity
204			period; and
205		<u>(C)</u>	the applicant's satisfaction of the required infrastructure
206			conditions provides a significant and necessary public
207			benefit to the County by implementing infrastructure
208			goals of an applicable master or sector plan.
209	[(viii)] [[(9])]] (11) [An] The Board may approve an amendment to the
210		new	development schedule approved under [subsection (vi)
211		(A)]	paragraph 7(A) [may be approved by the Planning Board]
212		if [do	ocumentation is provided to show] the applicant shows that
213		finan	ncing has been secured for either:

າ14	[(1)] (A) completion of at lease one new building in the next
∠15	stage of the amended development schedule; or
216	[(2)] (B) completion of infrastructure required to serve the next
217	stage of the amended development schedule.
218	[(4) Paragraph (2) of this subsection does not apply to:
219	(i) Proposed development that is exclusively residential on a
220	lot or parcel recorded before July 25, 1989, or otherwise
221	recorded in conformance with a preliminary plan of
222	subdivision approved before that date;
223	(ii) Proposed development that is otherwise exempted from
224	the requirement for adequate public facilities for
225	preliminary plan of subdivision approval under this
226	chapter or other law; and
7	(iii) Proposed nonresidential development on a lot or parcel
228	recorded before January 1, 1982, or otherwise in
229	conformance with a preliminary plan of subdivision
230	approved before January 1, 1982, if it is registered and
231	otherwise satisfies the requirements of article IV of
232	chapter 8. On or after July 25, 2001, a new adequate
233	public facilities determination is required.]
234	[[(10)]] (12) The validity period of a finding of adequate public
235	facilities is not automatically extended under any circumstance,
236	including when an applicant has completed all conditions
237	imposed by the Planning Board at the time of preliminary plan
238	approval to meet adequate public facilities requirements.

239		[(5)]	[[(11)]] (13) If a new adequate public facilities determination is
240			required under this subsection, the procedures [set forth] in
241			Section [[8-34]] <u>8-32</u> apply.
242	50-35.	Preli	iminary subdivision plans - Approval procedure.
243			* * *
244	(h)	Dura	tion of Validity Period and Actions Required to Validate the
245		Plan.	·
246		(1)	Initiation Date. For preliminary plans the initiation date for
247			commencing the period during which time a plan must be
248			validated[[,]] is the later of:
249			[[a.]] (A) 30 days from the date of mailing of the written
250			opinion, as such date is printed on the opinion; or
251			[[b.]] (B) [[in the event]] if an administrative appeal is
252			timely noted by any party authorized to take an appeal,
253			the date upon which the court having final jurisdiction
254			acts, including the running of any further applicable
255			appeal periods.
256		(2)	Duration of Validity Period.
257			[[a.]] (A) An approved preliminary plan for a single phase
258			project remains valid for 36 months from its Initiation
259			Date. [[Prior to the expiration of]] <u>Before</u> the validity
260			period expires, the applicant must have secured all
261			governmental approvals necessary as condition precedent
262			for plat recordation and a final record plat for all property
263			delineated on the approved preliminary plan [[has]] must
264			have been recorded among the [[Montgomery]] County
265			Land Records.

266	
∠67	
268	
269	
270	
271	
272	
273	
274	
275	
276	
277	
278	
9	
280	
281	
282	
283	
284	
285	
286	
287	
288	
289	
290	
291	
/2	

An approved preliminary plan for a multi-phase [[b.]] (B) project remains valid for the period of time established in the phasing schedule approved by the Planning Board. Each phase must be assigned a validity period, the duration of which must be proposed by the applicant as part of an application for preliminary plan approval or an application for preliminary plan revision or amendment, reviewed by staff, and approved on a case-by-case basis by the Planning Board, after [[giving consideration to]] considering such factors as the size, type, and location of the project. The time allocated to a phase must not exceed 36 months from the initiation date associated with that particular phase. The cumulative validity period of all phases may not exceed the APFO validity period which runs from the date of the initial preliminary plan approval including any extensions granted [[pursuant to]] under Section 50-20(c)[[(3)(iv)]] (5). Validation of a preliminary plan for a phase occurs upon the recordation of a final record plat for all property delineated in that particular phase of the approved preliminary plan.

- [[c.]] (C) The applicant must propose a phasing schedule before the Planning Board acts on the preliminary plan or site plan, if applicable.
- (3) Extension of Validity Period.
 - [[a.]] (A) A request to extend the validity period of an approved preliminary plan that does not contain a phasing schedule must be submitted in writing and

received by the Planning Board [[prior to]] before the previously established validity period [[expiration]] expires. The written submission must specify in detail all grounds and reasons purported by the applicant to support the extension request and must include a declaration that states the anticipated date for validating the plan. The applicant [[will]] must certify that the requested extension is the minimum additional time required for validation.

- [[b.]] (B) The failure to submit a detailed, written request in a timely fashion voids all non-validated portions of the preliminary plan and, where applicable, an approved site plan [[approval]].
- [[c.]] (C) [[In instances where]] If a preliminary plan has been allowed to expire due to applicant's failure to file a timely request for an extension, the Board on a case-by-case basis in unusual situations may require submission and approval of a new plan, including a new APFO review; or, where practical difficulty or undue hardship is demonstrated by the applicant, may reinstate an expired plan and establish a new validity period for the plan. The Board, when considering a request to extend an otherwise expired plan, may require the applicant to secure a new APFO review and approval by the Board, as a prerequisite or condition of its action to validate and extend the expired plan. Only the Planning Board is authorized to extend the validity period.

² 20	
21د	
322	
323	
324	
325	
326	
327	
328	
329	
330	
331	
332	
3	
334	
335	
336	
337	
338	
339	
340	
341	
342	
343	-
344	
345	
6	

- [[d.]] (D) Grounds for Extension of the Validity Period of a Preliminary Plan. The Planning Board may only grant a request to extend the validity period of a preliminary plan if the Board is persuaded that:
 - i. delays, subsequent to the plan approval by the government or some other party, essential to the applicant's ability to perform terms or conditions of the plan approval, have materially prevented applicant from validating the plan, provided such delays are not created or facilitated by the applicant; or
 - ii. the occurrence of significant, unusual, and unanticipated events, beyond applicant's control and not facilitated or created by applicant, have substantially impaired applicant's ability to validate its plan and that exceptional or undue hardship (as evidenced, in part, by the efforts undertaken by applicant to implement the terms and conditions of the plan approval in order to validate its plan) would result to applicant if the plan were not extended.

The Planning Board, in considering a request for an extension, may condition the grant of an extension on a requirement that the applicant revise its plan to conform with changes to applicable laws or regulations [[that may have occurred]] since [[the time of]] the plan [[approval]]

and]] was approved that are intended to [[have 347 348 application | apply to the project. The Planning Board, in considering a request for 349 350 an extension, may deny the request if it [[is persuaded] finds that the project, as approved and 351 conditioned, is no longer viable. In considering 352 the viability of a project, the Board must consider 353 354 such factors as whether the project is capable of 355 being financed, constructed, and marketed within a 356 reasonable time frame and demonstrated by the applicant upon request by the Planning Board or its 357 staff. 358 359 The Planning Board must determine whether a request for an extension should be granted after 360 361 public hearings for which notice was duly given. 362 The requirements for noticing and conducting a 363 public hearing must follow the requirements for reviewing a preliminary plan. 364 The applicant bears the burden of establishing the 365 grounds in support of the requested extension. 366 [[There should be no presumption by the]] An 367 368 applicant must not presume that the Board will approve an extension [[will be granted by the 369 Planning Board]]. 370 If voting to approve an extension, the Board may 371 only grant such minimal time it determines to be 372 necessary for the applicant to validate its plan. The 373

74	
75	
376	
377	
378	
379	
880	
881	
382	
383	
384	
385	
386	
7	
388	
389	
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	
397	
398	
399	
0	

Board [[will]] must not grant an extension to a preliminary plan which has the effect of carrying the plan's validity period beyond any established APFO validity period, unless otherwise allowed by law. An applicant may request, and the Board may approve, one or more extensions. Once a phasing schedule is approved by the Planning Board as part of a preliminary plan approval, any revision or alteration to the schedule other than an amendment [[pursuant to]] approved under Section 50-20(c)[(3)(vi) will] (7) must not be[[administered]] treated as a request for extension, but [[must be accomplished]] rather as an amendment or revision to the preliminary plan. Planning Board approval of a revised phasing schedule may have the effect of extending the validity period.

- [[e.]] (E) Effect of Failure to Timely Validate Plan or Secure an Extension.
 - (i) If a preliminary plan is not timely implemented in whole or in part prior to the expiration of the validity period, the remaining portion of such plan not then validated also expires. Similarly, the failure on the part of an applicant to timely validate a phase, in whole or part, voids the balance of the preliminary plan approval for that phase and all subsequent phases not yet validated.

401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426

- (ii) In those instances where an applicant has timely validated only a portion of a plan and no extension is granted, the applicant seeking to develop only that portion of the project remains responsible for fully complying with all of those terms, conditions, and other requirements associated with the portion of the plan approval that has been implemented.
- (iii) If a preliminary plan or portion thereof is not timely validated, any APFO determination made by the Planning Board associated with the expired portion of the preliminary plan also expires. In such event the applicant loses any further rights to claim any trips associated with the expired APFO approval. The filing of a new preliminary plan would not lay the basis for reclaiming trips lost by the termination of the APFO approval.
- (iv) A project plan that is not timely validated may also cause a preliminary plan approval conditionally linked to such project plan approval to simultaneously expire.
- (4) Affect of a Preliminary Plan Amendment or Revision on Validity Period. An amendment or revision to an approved preliminary plan [[will]] <u>must</u> affect the established validity period for the preliminary plan as provided [[for]] in Section 59-D-2.6.

* * *

127	(k)
+28	
129	
430	
431	
432	
433	
434	
435	•
436	
437	
438	
439	
)	
441	
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	

451

452

- (k) Adequate public facilities. [A] The Planning Board must not approve a preliminary plan of subdivision [must not be approved] unless the [Planning] Board [determines] finds that public facilities will be adequate to support and service the area of the proposed subdivision. Public facilities and services to be examined for adequacy [will] include roads and public transportation facilities, sewerage and water service, schools, police stations, firehouses, and health clinics.
 - (1) Periodically the [District] County Council [will] must establish by resolution, after public hearing, guidelines [for the determination of] to determine the adequacy of public facilities and services. [An annual] A growth policy periodically approved by the County Council may serve this purpose if it contains those guidelines. To provide the basis for the guidelines, the [Planning] Board and the County Executive must provide the following information and recommendations to the Council [as follows]:
 - [a.] (A) The [Planning] Board must [prepare an analysis of]

 analyze current growth and the amount of additional
 growth that can be accommodated by future public
 facilities and services. The [Planning] Board must also
 recommend any changes in preliminary plan approval
 criteria it finds appropriate in the light of its experience
 in administering [these regulations] this Chapter.
 - [b.] (B) The [County] Executive must comment on the <u>Board's</u> analyses and recommendations [of the Planning Board] and [must] recommend criteria [for the determination of]

453		to determine the adequacy of public facilities [as the
454		executive deems appropriate].
455	(2)	[The] Each applicant for a preliminary plan of subdivision
456		must, at the request of the [Planning] Board, submit sufficient
457		information [and data] on the proposed subdivision to
458		demonstrate the expected impact on and use of public facilities
459		and services by [possible uses] occupants of [said] the
460		subdivision.
461	(3)	The [Planning] Board must submit [the] each proposed
462		preliminary plan of subdivision to the [County] Executive in
463		addition to the agencies specified in [Section 50-35] subsection
464		(a).
465	(4)	The [Planning] Board must consider the recommendations of
466		the [County] Executive and other agencies in determining the
467		adequacy of public facilities and services in accordance with
468		the [guidelines and limitations established by the County
469		Council in its annual] growth policy [or established by
470		resolution of the District Council after public hearing] or other
471		applicable guidelines.
472	[(5)	Until such time as the annual growth policy or resolution of the
473		District Council provides guidelines and limitations for the
474		determination of the adequacy of public facilities and services,
475		public facilities may be determined to be adequate to service a
476		tract of land or an affected area when the following conditions
477		are found to exist:
478		a. The tract or area will be adequately served by roads and
479		public transportation facilities. The area or tract to be

480	
181	
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
489	
490	
491	
492	
3	
494	
495	
496	
497	
498	
499	
500	
501	
502	
503	
504	
505	
6	

subdivided shall be deemed adequately served by roads and public transportation facilities if, after taking into account traffic generated by all approved subdivisions and the subject subdivision, the following conditions will be satisfied:

- (i) For the geographic area in which the proposed subdivision is located, an acceptable average peak-hour level of service will result from:
- 1. Existing publicly maintained all-weather roads;
- 2. Additional roads programmed in the current adopted capital improvements program of the County or the Maryland consolidated transportation program, for which one hundred (100) percent of the expenditures for construction are estimated to occur in the first four (4) years of the program; and
- 3. Available or programmed public bus, rail, or other public or private form of mass transportation.
- (ii) For intersections or links significantly affected by traffic from the subject subdivision, an acceptable peak hour level of service will result from:
- 1. Existing publicly maintained all-weather roads;
- 2. Additional roads identified on the approved road program published by the County Executive; and
- 3. Available or programmed public bus, rail, or other form of mass transportation.
- (iii) For the purposes of subsection (ii) above, the County Executive shall publish periodically an approved road

507		program which shall list all roads programmed in the
508		current adopted capital improvements program and the
509		Maryland consolidated transportation program for which:
510	1.	In the case of the capital improvements program,
511		one hundred (100) percent of the funds have been
512		appropriated for construction costs; and
513	2.	The County Executive has determined that construction
514		will begin within two (2) years of the effective date of the
515		approved road program.
516	(iv)	For the purposes of subsections (i) and (iii) above, roads
517		required under Section 302 of the Charter to be
518		authorized by law are not considered programmed until
519		they are finally approved in accordance with Section 20-
520		1 of this Code.
521	(v)	Any parcel zoned for light industrial use (I-1) which has
522		been in reservation for public use pursuant to action of
523		the Montgomery County Planning Board at any time
524		since June 1, 1981, and which has not changed in size or
525	•	shape since June 1, 1958, will not be subject to the above
526		subsection (a) if a preliminary plan was submitted prior
527		to June 1, 1981.
528	b.	The tract or area has adequate sewerage and water
529		service.
530	(i)	For a subdivision dependent upon public sewerage and
531		water systems:
532	1.	Said area or tract to be subdivided shall be deemed to
533		have adequate sewerage and water service if located

34	
35ء	
536	
537	
538	
539	
540	
541	
542	
543	
544	
545	
546	
7	
548	
549	
550	
551	
552	
553	
554	
555	
556	
557	
558	
559	
.0	

- within an area in which water and sewer service is presently available, under construction, or designated by the County Council for extension of water and sewer service within the first 2 years of a current approved 10-year water and sewerage plan.
- 2. If the area or tract to be subdivided is not situated within an area designated for service within the first 2 years of a current approved 10-year water and sewerage plan, but is within the last 8 years of such plan, it is deemed to have adequate water and sewerage service if the applicant provides community sewerage and/or water systems as set forth in Subtitle 5 of Title 9 of Article Health-Environmental of the Annotated Code of Maryland provided the installation of such facilities has been approved by the State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission, the Health and Human Services Department, and the Montgomery County Council.
- (ii) For a subdivision dependent upon the use of septic systems: Said area or tract to be subdivided shall be deemed to have adequate sewerage service if development with the use of septic systems is in accordance with Section 50-27, or regulations published by the Maryland State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene pursuant to Article Health-Environmental, Annotated Code of Maryland, whichever imposes the greater or more stringent requirement.

561			
562			
563			
564			
565			
566			
567			
568			
569			
570			
571			
572			
573			
574			
575			
576			
577			
578			
579			
580			
581			
582			
583			
584			
585			

- (iii) In its determination of the adequacy of sewerage or water service, the Planning Board shall consider the recommendation of the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission, the capacity of trunk lines and sewerage treatment facilities and any other information presented.
- c. The tract or area is so situated as not to involve danger or injury to health, safety or general welfare. Such danger or injury may be deemed not to exist:
- (i) When physical facilities, such as police stations, firehouses and health clinics, in the service area for the preliminary subdivision plan are currently adequate or are scheduled in an adopted capital improvements program in accordance with the applicable area master plan or general plan to provide adequate and timely service to the subdivision; and
- (ii) If adequate public utility services will be available to serve the proposed subdivision; and
- (iii) When, in the case of schools, the capacity and service areas are found to be adequate according to a methodology set forth in a resolution adopted by the District Council after public hearing; provided, however, that until such resolution by the District Council takes effect, the Planning Board shall determine the adequacy of school facilities after considering the recommendations of the Superintendent of Schools.

786	
ى 387	
588	
589	
590	
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	
596	
597	
598	
9	
600	
601	
602	
603	
604	•
605	
606	
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	

- d. Existing or proposed street access within the tract or area is adequate. Street access may be deemed adequate if the streets:
- (i) Are adequate to serve or accommodate emergency vehicles,
- (ii) Will permit the installation of public utilities and other public services,
- (iii) Are not detrimental and would not result in the inability to develop adjacent lands in conformity with sound planning practices, and
- (iv) Will not cause existing street patterns to be fragmented.]

 [(6)] (5) For a proposed subdivision located in a Transportation

 Management District designated under Chapter 42A, Article II,

 if the Planning Board [determines] finds, under criteria and

 standards adopted by the County Council, that additional

 transportation facilities or traffic alleviation measures are

 necessary to ensure that public transportation facilities will be

 adequate to serve the proposed subdivision, the subdivision

 plan [may] must [not] be [approved unless approval is] subject

 to the execution of a traffic mitigation agreement.
- [(7)] (6) [Exemptions. Places] This subsection does not apply to any place of worship, [and residences] residence for religious staff, parish [halls, and additions to schools] hall, or addition to a school associated with [places] a place of worship[, are not subject to the provisions of section 50-35(k), "Adequate Public Facilities."].

612	Sec. 2. Effective date. This ordinance takes ef	fect 20 days after the date of
613	Council adoption.	
614	Approved:	
615	Jong 1800	43/06
	Douglas M. Duncan, County Executive	Date
616	This is a correct copy of Council action.	
617	Linka M. Lauer	4/4/06
	Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council	Date