We Make It Happen" ${ }^{\text {" }}$

# Transcript of Public Hearing 

Date: November 6, 2019
Case: Public Hearing for Bradmoor Sidewalk Proposal

Planet Depos
Phone: 888.433.3767
Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com
www.planetdepos.com


Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019

```
Recorded Hearing held pursuant to
    agreement, before Jonathan Bortolini, Notary
    Public of the State of Maryland, at 8701 Hartsdale
    Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, 20817.
```

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019

C O N T E N T S
REMARKS BY:
PAGE
MICHAEL PAYLOR 5

TIM CUPPLES 8
JEFF WOLVEN 15

SYED ISLAM
16
BILL WAKEFIELD
16
CHARLOTTE MCDOWELL
18
NINA GHAMARIAN
19
JOHN BREE
20
HOWARD SOKOLOVE 24

TOM KEVORKIAN 26

KAREN DE GUISE
LINDA HIRSCH
MICHAEL PRYOR
JAMES GOLDBERG
35
JED MELINE 37
D.H. MICHAEL BOWEN

40
ERNESTO CUESTA
43
CHARLES CARAMELLO
45
RICHARD HOLT
47
DAVID SHIFF
48
PAUL WOLFE
52
BROOKE ANTHONY
54
STEPHANIE MANTELMACHER
56

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019

C O N T E N T S (CONT'D)
JULLIET HOOPES
WILLIAM BLACKWELDER61

ARUN ACHARYA 63
CHRISTOPHER D'ANGELO 66

ERIK BERTIN
68
DAVID ROSENWEIG
70
RIMA ASSIRYANI
72
EVA KAIBNI
73

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019

P R O C E E D I N G S
MR. PAYLOR: This is Wednesday,
November the 6th, 2019 and the time is about 7:10 p.m. We're assembled in the all purpose room of the Bradley Hills Elementary School located at 8701 Hartsdale Avenue in Bethesda, Maryland, 218 -- excuse me, 20817.

My name is Michael Paylor, designee at this hearing for the Montgomery County Department of Transportation. Here with me this evening to conduct this public hearing is Mr. Tim Cupples, chief of the Division of Transportation and Engineering for the Montgomery County Department of Transportation and Mr. Frank Kingsley who is the manager of the Annual Sidewalk Program in the Division of Transportation Engineering.

Mr. Cupples will be providing the information and details associated with the proposed project. Tonight we're broadcasting this hearing over the Internet. Audio and video of this hearing is being recorded and may be posted online after the conclusion of this hearing.

I hope everyone in attendance this evening signed in when you entered the room and noted on the sign-in sheet whether or not you wish
to present oral testimony. If you have indicated that you do not wish to speak but change your mind at any time during the hearing there will be an opportunity to speak at the end.

Written comments can be submitted as well and will be considered with the same weight as oral testimony. If you have not signed in yet please do so before leaving this evening. Thank you. This hearing is being held pursuant to section 4953 of the Montgomery County Code.

The purpose of a public hearing is to obtain comments on the potential project to assist in determining if the project is in the best interests of the public and whether or not it should be authorized for construction in its entirety or any portion thereof.

This forum allows you to provide comments either in support for or in opposition to the project. Your comments should be focused entirely on the project which is the topic of discussion. All interested persons are entitled to be heard at this hearing.

However, this is not a forum for
dialogue, questions and answers or
cross-examination. Please direct your remarks to
the specific project that is the suloject of this hearing. If comments stray into areas not related to this project $I$ will ask you to refocus your comments to the subject project.

Following the conclusion of this public hearing this record will remain open for three weeks. The length of time the record remains open and the official closing date will be announced at the end of this hearing as well. The record will remain open during this time to allow any person who is unable to attend the hearing an opportunity to have their comments included in the public record and fully considered.

Submissions may be faxed, E-mailed or sent via regular mail and must be received or postmarked by the close of the hearing record. Written submissions will be considered with the same weight as oral testimony. These submissions should be sent to Lori Main who is up front operating the webcam this evening. Lori's contact information is available at the sign-in desk as you enter the room and will be repeated at the conclusion of the hearing.

After the hearing is concluded and the record is officially closed I, as the hearing
officer, will review and weigh all the information submitted into the public record and make a recommendation to the director of the Department of Transportation, Chris Conklin, for his final decision as to whether the project has been shown to be in the best public interest and whether or not to authorize the project as proposed or any portions thereof.

And now to discuss the sidewalk proposal for Hempstead Avenue, McKinley Street, Madison Street, Folkstone Road and Ewing Drive I give you Tim Cupples.

MR. CUPPLES: Good evening, Mr. Paylor, Mr. Kingsley, ladies and gentlemen. The subject of this evening's public hearing is to consider a proposal to construct a five-foot wide concrete sidewalk on the following roadways: The east side of Ewing Drive from Bradley Boulevard to McKinley Street, the south side of Madison Street from Irvington Avenue to Hartsdale Avenue, the south side of Folkstone Road from Ewing Drive to Irvington Avenue, the west side of Hempstead Avenue from McKinley Street to Roosevelt Street, the east side of Hempstead Avenue from McKinley Street to the existing sidewalk at the
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intersection of Hartsdale Avenue, and the south side of McKinley Street from Rayburn Road to Garfield Street.

The project as proposed is to construct a five-foot wide concrete sidewalk with a variable green space of zero to three feet. For your convenience we've provided a few displays that aid in the visual description of the project. They are display A, the project vicinity map showing the location of the proposed sidewalks relative to nearby pedestrian attractions such as schools, parks, public transportation and the existing network of sidewalks.

The proposed sidewalk is shown in red and the existing sidewalks in blue. The total length of new sidewalk construction is approximately 3,511 total linear feet. Display B is a typical section of the proposed sidewalk on McKinley Street which has 50 feet of public right of way, the roadway being 23.5 feet wide. Thus, there is approximately 13.25 feet of public right of way available on either side of McKinley Street.

Display $C$ is a typical section of the proposed sidewalk on Hempstead Avenue which has 60
feet of public right of way, the roadway being 26 feet wide. Thus, there is approximately 17 feet of public right of way available on either side of Hempstead Avenue. Display D is a typical section of the proposed sidewalk on Folkstone Road which has 60 feet of public right of way, the roadway being 26 feet wide.

Thus, there is approximately 17 feet of public right of way available on either side of Folkstone Road. Display E is a typical section of the proposed sidewalk on Ewing Drive which has 60 feet of public right of way, the roadway being 36 feet wide. Thus, there is approximately 12 feet of public right of way available on either side of Ewing Drive.

Display $F$ is a typical section of the proposed sidewalk on Madison Street which has 50 feet of public right of way, the roadway being 26 feet wide. Thus, there is approximately 12 feet of public right of way available on either side of Madison Street.

I will now discuss the project justification. Montgomery County DOT evaluates requests for pedestrian facilities based on their ability to serve a public use as well as their
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ability to enhance public safety. The main goal for installing a sidewalk is to remove pedestrians from the path of vehicular traffic and create a separation between the pedestrian and the roadway.

New sidewalks on Hempstead Avenue,
McKinley Street, Folkstone Road, Ewing Drive and Madison Street will remove pedestrians as well as disabled persons from the roadway. The sidewalk will establish safer access to all areas of the community including to the Bradley Hills Elementary School, Suburban Hospital, local parklands, community centers, gardens and churches, middle and high schools and public transportation.

Pedestrian safety will be improved for the entire Bradmoor and Huntington Terrace Communities. I will now discuss tree removal and replacement. Removal of 32 trees in varying size is anticipated to facilitate the construction of this entire project. Ninety-six trees will be planted to replace those removed. Placement of the new trees is selected by the county's arborist.

The tree protection report can be viewed in the record binder at the sign-in table
under Exhibit Number 5. Copies of the tree protection report were mailed to residents on April 22nd, 2019. I will now discuss the cost and assessments of the project. The estimated cost for installing this entire project is $\$ 513,051$.

This includes construction, inspection and administrative costs. Funding for this project will be provided under the county's Annual Sidewalk Program, CIP project number 0506747. There are no properties which are considered to be specially benefitted by the proposed improvement. Therefore, no properties are expected to be assessed.

I will now review the exhibits. As previously discussed, there are several exhibits that are incorporated into the public record binder for your information and consideration. The exhibits are located in the black binder located by the sign-in table by Mrs. Main's contact information.

The exhibits include 1, Office of Management and Budget sidewalk program minor projects, project 506747 , project location maps, construction impacts, cost estimates, arborist's tree evaluation report for all proposed streets,
traffic study results for Ewing Drive, proof of publication from the Washington Post and Montgomery County DOT's Go Montgomery Press Release each advertising this public hearing, correspondence and comments forms from those supporting the proposed sidewalk, correspondence and comment forms from those opposing the proposed sidewalks, the public hearing sign-in sheet which will be added following this hearing and the hearing transcript will also be added following this hearing.

The project related data and files have been available for examination in the offices of Montgomery County DOT located on the 4 th floor of the public safety building, 100 Edison Park Drive, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20878. The files will continue to be available to the public until the record for this public hearing is officially closed.

These files are available for review by contacting the Annual Sidewalk Program at 240-777-7270 and making an appointment. If the proposed sidewalks are approved MCDOT will utilize a work order contract currently in place with the Division of Transportation and Engineering to
construct the sidewalk.

If the project is authorized we expect construction in the spring of 2020. The construction is anticipated to take approximately six weeks to complete if authorized as presented. As previously stated here with us this evening is Mr. Frank Kingsley, manager of the Annual Sidewalk Program. He will be available after the hearing to answer any questions regarding the construction aspects of the project, and $I$ will turn things back over to Mr. Paylor.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Cupples. We will now hear comments from those in attendance who wish to testify. Please clearly provide your name and address for the record prior to beginning your testimony. Because of the significant interest in this project and the number of attendees at this hearing who wish to speak it will be necessary to enforce a three-minute time limit for every testimony.

I'm requesting that all presentations taking longer than three minutes be summarized so as to comply with the time constraints and provide a full written testimony to submit into the record. These submissions will be fully
considered along with the oral testimony heard here today. Jeff Wolven. And please announce your name and address --

MR. WOLVEN: Yep.

MR. PAYLOR: -- before you begin your
testimony. Thank you.
MR. WOLVEN: Yep. So my legal name is actually William Wolven. I go by Jeff. That's my middle name. I live at 8605 Ewing, and I moved to 8605 July of last year. I moved there from Massachusetts Avenue, 6014 Massachusetts Avenue where my family witnessed the death of an individual hit by a car on Mass Ave, and if you look at the records of what happened it wasn't speeding, it was just a guy fell asleep at the wheel, hit the guy.

So I have a seven and a nine year old who I watch walk to school daily -- my nine year old. I drive my seven year old, and I watch children come down that street daily. As the neighborhood continues to evolve and grow we see more and more children moving into the neighborhood, and to me that's a huge concern, so whether it's a sidewalk or additional safety concerns that's what $I$ would hope to get out of
this today. That's it.
MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Syed Estem
Dadul Islam.
MR. ISLAM: Thank you. Good night.
Well, $I$ support this project in front of --
MR. PAYLOR: I'm sorry, sir. Please state your name and your address, please.

MR. ISLAM: I'm sorry. My name is Syed
Estem Dadul Islam. I recently moved to this
house. I bought this house four months back, and I have two little kids who comes to this school and I moved from Potomac last year -- this year, but I was living in Potomac.

So I support this project, a lot of -I mean, I have two kids, and I see especially the road I live the cars come with a high speed and it's a security concern, and $I$ think if we have a sidewalk it will increase security of the walker. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Bill
Wakefield.
MR. WAKEFIELD: My name is Bill
Wakefield. I live on 6002 Pelham Place, and I've lived here about 13 years. There are no sidewalks within several streets of ours and my children
walk to school sometimes and it is very dangerous. In fact, last week both my son and I almost got hit by somebody dropping their -- driving their kid to one of the local houses to -- for a day care, and it's very dangerous.

We're always looking head on to swivel
trying to look around making sure that we're not hit or we're making sure we're wearing bright clothing, and there's -- it's a challenge, especially on McKinley which is a very busy street. It has a lot of -- it cuts right through the neighborhood, and for a lot of that traffic on McKinley there's nowhere for children to go.

In addition to almost being hit, I've almost hit other people as well because if you're driving right into the sun, east/west, in that direction you can't see sometimes, and if kids are riding their bikes or things like that it can be problematic.

So I've seen it many times where people are -- there's close calls, people of a variety of ages, not just children. There's adults, there's people of every age who, you know, often you're taking your life in your own hands and people aren't necessarily obeying the speed limits,
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they're not necessarily stopping at stop signs. And so you're always concerned, is somebody who is not paying attention or texting or whatever, are they going to be, you know, not paying attention and sideswipe me or something, so it's always been a concern and at least the sidewalks will provide that much greater a level of safety. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. D'Angelo (sic). Charlotte McDowell.

MS. MCDOWELL: Hello. Can you hear me?
MR. PAYLOR: Yes.

MS. MCDOWELL: Hi. My name is Charlotte McDowell. This is Emily, and I just wanted to say that for me there's three reasons why I support the sidewalks. I live at the corner of Irvington and McKinley, and the first is safety.

There's a bus stop on that corner and there's about 12 middle school kids who catch that bus every day. When the weather is bad sometimes they don't want to stand on the grass and so they stand in the street and they run to catch the bus in the street and we see it every day, so I think there's a particular need on McKinley.

Second of all, just to get here tonight I had a choice of walking one block in the dark in the middle of the street with two kids or of getting in a car, which seems like an environmentally not sound choice between my safety and the environment.

And third I think health. I think a lot of people walk in the neighborhood. I think a lot of people would like to ride bikes in the neighborhood or run in the neighborhood, and if we had a safe way to do that I think people would be more physically active. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. And as a reminder when you approach the desk and take the microphone please restate your name and your address for us for the record. Thank you. Okay. Nina Ghamarian. I'm sorry.

MS. GHAMARIAN: Ghamarian.
MR. PAYLOR: Ghamarian. I missed a syllable or two.

MS. GHAMARIAN: That's okay. Hi. Nina Ghamarian, 6017 Bradley Boulevard. I'm a dentist there. It's a home office. I've been there for 22 years and the practice has been there since 1960, and the only thing that concerns me is the
construction.
I have patients from age 2 to all the
way to 103. They use a wheelchair and walkers,
and I just want to know how long the construction
-- I know you can't answer right now, but I think
that would interrupt my office, so I'm against it for that reason. Okay?

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
MS. GHAMARIAN: Because I think it will
interrupt the walkers and, you know, different
people coming in.
MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Nina. Ruth
Sokolove.
MS. SOKOLOVE: I decline.
MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. John Bree. MS. SOKOLOVE: There's Howard Sokolove.

MR. PAYLOR: Excuse me?
MS. SOKOLOVE: Howard Sokolove.
MR. PAYLOR: Oh, I see. Okay. We'll
let John come up and then I'll grab Howard. Thank you.

MR. BREE: Good evening, gentlemen and
lady. I do have a few visuals.
MR. PAYLOR: John, do you mind grabbing
the mic towards you?
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MR. BREE: Good evening. My name is
John Bree. I do have a few visuals for you guys tonight. Should I hand them out over there?

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
MR. BREE: All right. As I said, my
name is John Bree. I sit on the Huntington
Terrace board, and I'm here tonight to speak on behalf of the Huntington Terrace Citizens Association.

I am joined by my wife Maggie who is the neighborhood board copresident and fellow board member David Shiff and several other concerned neighbors, and I noticed on the sign-in sheet it said the Bradmoor sidewalk project and if it simply pertained to Bradmoor I wouldn't be here tonight.

But -- so to be clear I'm only addressing the portion of this project that impacts Huntington Terrace, specifically the proposal for a sidewalk on McKinley Street between Garfield and Hempstead. In January of this year the Huntington Terrace citizens voted unanimously against the construction of the sidewalk.

Neighbors cited their growing frustration with the destruction and loss of our
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beloved trees, as well as the fact that we already have a safe route parallel to the proposed sidewalk to access Bradley Hills Elementary and neighboring communities. So I ask, we ask that you respect our community vote and not ignore it tonight.

My wife grew up in Huntington Terrace on the corner of McKinley and Jefferson Street. I promised my wife I wouldn't tell her age, so let's just say over 30 years ago she attended Bradley Hills, and every morning and every afternoon her and her friends walked to and from this very school where we are right now.

For decades children in our
neighborhood have walked to school on the paved throughway that connects Garfield and Hempstead Street. Today our neighbors still agree that this route is the safest route to and from Bradley Hills and east/west through the neighborhood.

One of the visuals I brought with me, I think it's Exhibit 1 , is a map which demonstrates all the ways the children in Huntington Terrace can safely get to Bradley Hills. You will notice none of those pathways involve the stretch of pavement proposed on McKinley Street.
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The proposed sidewalk is parallel to our current walkway and is unnecessary in reaching our shared goals of safety and accessibility. What all these paths do have in common is the wonderful and safe pathway that connects the intersection of Garfield, Madison and Jefferson directly to the back of Bradley Hills Elementary.

To be clear this is the pathway all
kids have been encouraged to take not only because it is the safest -- it is -- but because it is also the most convenient, and about 12 years ago you worked with us to make this intersection even safer with a stop sign. Thank you. Additionally, there is a safety patrol station there for morning and afternoon walkers.

MR. PAYLOR: You have about 20 seconds, John.

MR. BREE: Thank you. The second visual I brought is a photo or really two photos of what the pathway looks like today. As you can see, there are no vehicles allowed on the pathway and you cannot get any safer than a pathway with no vehicles.

Finally, I'll talk about the cost.
I've heard multiple numbers being thrown around
regarding the cost of this endeavor. Whatever the final numbers come out to be I'm telling you, we are telling you, Huntington Terrace, for our county government practicing operational and financial efficiency this is not the most efficient use of our tax payer dollars.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
MR. BREE: Thank you guys very much. I can submit the rest of that.

MR. PAYLOR: Okay. Submit that.
Great.
MR. BREE: I will. Thank you.
MR. PAYLOR: Howard Sokolove.
MR. SOKOLOVE: Good evening, and thank you for this opportunity to comment. My name is Howard Sokolove, and I reside in the Bethesda neighborhood of Huntington Terrace at 5600 Lincoln Street. I support the construction of sidewalks for safe passage for our children to and from school and the sidewalks should be placed clearly where needed.

With the proposal at issue in this hearing the eastern half of McKinley Street between Hempstead to the west and Garfield Street to the east is a location for a safe passage to
school. The sidewalk is not necessary. Testimony will be given here soon that shows that the Bradley Hills school access is on Madison Street, the pathway between Hempstead and Garfield Streets.

The McKinley Street proposed location is excessive and costly. Sidewalk construction occurs in county right of ways. Trees are also frequently found in right of ways and the two are often in conflict for coexistence. While individual trees may typically exist in front of a single detached residence, they are really shared community assets.

They provide tangible benefits that contribute to the quality of life, they absorb air and water pollution, they abate noise pollution, they provide shade and energy conservation, even add to property values and add immeasurably to a sense of well-being. Typically big trees provide more benefits and are more valuable.

Big trees may take many decades to get
so. Many are not replaceable. Even with
Montgomery County's, quote, remove one/get three new ones planted, end quote, program for the removal of a big tree having had a long-term
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presence within our community this is clearly a false equivalency of value.

MR. PAYLOR: About 30 seconds, sir.
MR. SOKOLOVE: In my reading of certain
correspondence from DOT's Division of
Transportation and Engineering office I come up on
the routinely repeated testament please know the county does not take tree removal lightly.

When I place that statement in context
with the number of medium and large trees proposed for removal for new sidewalks the county's lament rings hollow and is about as sincere as a wartime naval commander's order of damn the torpedoes, full steam ahead.

There's clearly room for creative problem solving here as well as the use of alternative methods and materials for resolution of these sidewalk tree conflicts. Sidewalk safe passage is important and our neighborhood trees are part of our homes and our solace. They deserve far greater respect and consideration. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, sir. Tom
Kevorkian.
MR. KEVORKIAN: Good evening. I'm Tom

Kevorkian. I'm a 10-year resident of 8609 Hempstead Avenue. I'm here to support the initiative. My eloquent neighbors have articulated many of the concerns related to Bradley Hills Elementary School.

We have children who no longer are at Bradley Hills but shared those similar concerns related to sun glare, related to cars along the Hempstead Avenue area, so it's difficult because there's a lot of blind spots so not having the benefit of a sidewalk was a challenge.

However, my two largest concerns are, one, the bad news is we all age and the idea of walking around streets in my 60 s and 70 s , and I intend to have our family here for quite a while, is a frightening prospect, and I just don't think it's good public policy and I'm concerned that's a safety impediment for those of us who are aging who want to have -- and go visit our friends in the nearby neighborhood to be walking in the streets.

My second concern is during several of the snowstorms there are very, very difficult challenges. When all the cars are in the streets and whether it's three inches or a foot with snow
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removal and not having the benefit of the sidewalks is reckless, dangerous, and we're asking for trouble. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. PAYLOR: Michael Pryor.
MR. PRYOR: Do you mind if we -- Linda
Hirsch precedes me and then I'll follow?
MR. PAYLOR: By all means.
MS. HIRSCH: Linda Hirsch and Karen de Guise.

MR. PAYLOR: Okay. The trifecta, please.

MS. HIRSCH: Good evening. I'm Linda Hirsch of 8601 Ewing Drive.

MS. DE GUISE: And I'm Karen de Guise of 8613 Ewing Drive.

MS. HIRSCH: Together with my son who is co-owner of 8601 we co-authored our written submission to the county. We will each take three minutes.

MS. DE GUISE: You can start the clock now. All right. We are deeply respectful of the aesthetic and ecological preferences of some fellow homeowners who wish to protect treasured
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and valuable trees. We think those preferences are obviously entitled to consideration, but we think many or most of us will agree that the paramount consideration for sidewalk placement should be safety, particularly safety of children, our children.

It is precisely because locating a sidewalk on the east side of Ewing Drive will not promote safety that is proposed. Proposals should be rejected. Indeed, the irony is that the sidewalk might inadvertently create a setting which is manifestly less safe. It is thus important that an east side of Ewing sidewalk not be constructed.

In order for a sidewalk to promote pedestrian safety there must first be a critical mass of pedestrians to protect. As detailed in our written submission earlier this summer anecdotal experience shows that there are almost no pedestrians using this segment of Ewing Drive.

However, in an effort to be more scientific Linda and her son conducted a pedestrian study during the times the children would be most likely to be walking to and from school. I won't reiterate in detail the findings,
but in summary the average number of pedestrians per hour was 2.5 and no children walking to or from Bradley Hills were observed.

So a first reason a sidewalk should not be sided on this segment of Ewing Drive is that there are not material pedestrians to use it. Yes, I recognize some might say if you build it they will come approach to a sidewalk, but that is not, we suggest, a smart or prudent use of scarce county resources.

For whatever reason a workable pedestrian flow has developed which does not entail substantial use of the segment of Ewing Drive, particularly by school age children. There is no reason to seek to attract pedestrians to this segment of the road, particularly because of the safety hazards which would be caused by an east side of Ewing sidewalk.

MS. HIRSCH: The Ewing Drive segment on which a sidewalk is being considered for construction has not one but two unusual intersections, both of which objectively create more danger for a pedestrian who must navigate them than a traditional 90 degree, 4 corners intersection.

These may literally be the two most dangerous intersections in all of the Bradmoor neighborhood. While a pedestrian can avoid both intersections by simply walking up or down the west side of Ewing from Bradley to McKinley, no sidewalk has been proposed for the west side.

Rather, the sidewalk proposed for the east side of Ewing Drive actually funnels pedestrians through both of these hazardous intersections. Thus, contrary to the goal of enhancing public safety this design is objectively more dangerous than the west side of Ewing and may well be more dangerous than no sidewalk all things considered.

Time limits prohibit me from describing these intersections in necessary detail, but the first intersection at Ewing and Roosevelt is essentially little more than a fork in the road. Because of this it is not unusual for traffic heading down Ewing to just barrel right through the intersection onto Roosevelt.

Even traffic coming off Roosevelt customarily makes at best a California stop essentially just proceeding at maybe a slightly slower speed right through the intersection, and
the second intersection at Ewing and McKinley has a steep grade that only levels off a house or so before the intersection.

While this intersection has a traffic circle the bad sight lines still cause unquestionable hazards to those on foot who must cross the circle, and here's the irony. If one just walks up the west side of Ewing a pedestrian would avoid both of these hazardous intersections, but directing pedestrians to the east side of Ewing forces them through both of these intersections.

Obviously the vast majority of pedestrian accidents versus car accidents occur at intersections. Why then would we channel pedestrians into the two worst intersections in the area? That clearly defies safety. Please do not in the name of safety create a more hazardous pedestrian flow.

Finally, both the Bradmoor association and the Department of Transportation have generally respected the views of neighbors most impacted by a sidewalk and not sided a sidewalk where neighbors oppose it. For example, as to a Hempstead segment a majority of the west side
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homeowners supported a west side sidewalk while a majority of east side homeowners opposed an east side sidewalk.

Thus, the association supported only a sidewalk on the west side and ultimately that was married in the DOT proposal. Here a majority of east side homeowners oppose installation of a sidewalk. This view should been respected as it has been elsewhere.

MR. PAYLOR: You've got about 30
seconds.
MS. HIRSCH: Sidewalks can promote
safety. I'm almost done. This sidewalk would not and might even create a more dangerous flow of pedestrians than no sidewalk at all. Given the lack of pedestrians to justify any sidewalks the manifest hazardousness of the east side intersections and the lack of support from most of the impacted homeowners the Ewing Drive segment of this sidewalk project should not be pursued. Thank you so much.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
MS. DE GUISE: Thank you.
MR. PAYLOR: Michael Pryor.
MR. PRYOR: Yes, thank you. Michael

Pryor. I life on the east side of Ewing at 8513 Ewing Drive, and I support and echo the remarks that you just heard from my neighbors, but I do -I want to highlight a couple of points. One is the amount of opposition to this, those residents most directly affected by the east side sidewalk, those living on the east side.

Of the nine comments that $I$ saw from those residents seven were opposed and only two supported, and on the other side of the street on the west side even there the majority opposed this, so I would hope that you would take into account, you know, the extent of opposition to this.
And I'd also want to say I'm not unsympathetic to the concerns of those with children here, and -- but the concern we have is we're not really increasing potential safety here for the reasons you just heard. An east side sidewalk has two problems. One is it funnels, as she said, funnels folks into these very dangerous intersections.

And if you're living sort of in the middle of the block, say between McKinley and Pelham or McKinley and Roosevelt, in order to get
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to those intersections you're either going to cross in the middle of the street, which is not safe, or you're going to end up walking on the street anyway on your side, so I don't see that the east sidewalk proposal here actually increases safety very much at all.

And finally I'd just say that there may be better ways to spend scarce federal -- or scarce county dollars than to construct a sidewalk here where, one, it doesn't seem to be terribly needed in light of the light number -- light amount of traffic and the -- I think the sort of really lack of safety enhancements that would come with this, so thank you very much.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. James

Goldberg.

MR. GOLDBERG: Thank you. My name is James Goldberg. I live at 8506 Hempstead Avenue in Bethesda. I wanted to raise a couple of issues. Until somebody made the comment just a few minutes ago $I$ didn't understand the logic in the proposal about putting the sidewalk on the west side of Hempstead between Roosevelt and McKinley and then switching to the east side from McKinley heading northward toward the school.

The logic seems to be that's a vote of the people on the street. With all due respect to my neighbors, some of whom are here and some of whom have voted one way or the other, I think if we're talking about a safety issue $I$ would prefer to have the sidewalks all on one side of the street, west or east, from Roosevelt all the way to the school.

It makes no sense to me on a -- from a safety point of view to have to cross -- make two crossings at the corner of Hempstead and McKinley, one to get from the south side to the north side and the other one to get from the west side to the east side.

Second of all, with regard to the tree issue, while I share the concern about removal of the trees I would note that the proposal makes comments like we may replace the trees and we may -- we give you a three for one replacement for every tree removed, but there's no guarantee and no pledge that the trees will be placed in the same neighborhood on the same streets where they are removed from, so I think that's an important thing to keep in mind.

Lastly, and $I$ know this hearing is only
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focused on sidewalks, but it seems to me if the proposal is going to go forward I would hope that there would be a recommendation to the Department of Transportation that this not be the end of it, that there be other safety measures looked at to ensure the safety of people walking through the neighborhood, more crosswalks, more signs that say state law requires people to stop in crosswalks, more stop signs --

MR. PAYLOR: I'm sorry. Let's please focus on what -- the issues here at hand at the hearing, please. Thank you.

MR. GOLDBERG: All right. That's -- I made my point. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Jed Meline or Meline, I apologize.

MR. MELINE: Good evening. My name is Jed Meline, and I live at 8709 Irvington Avenue.

I was a past and founding president of the Bradmoor Neighborhood Association serving for more than three years and I've lived here for almost ten years now.

When I served as president of the neighborhood association two concerns were regularly raised to me in almost every
conversation $I$ had. One was traffic concerns, which the county has made many great steps to address -- thank you -- and adding sidewalks.

Obviously everyone who lives in our
neighborhood would like a liveable, walkable neighborhood. I think it's important to note that these streets were not originally designed to be lined by parked vehicles. Every home has a driveway and a garage, or had a garage, and so when you add the vehicles the roadways are considerably more narrow than what were originally designed in terms of the two-way traffic.

In fact, many of the streets where the sidewalks are proposed can't have two-way traffic with cars parked on the street so they're much narrower than originally designed. I would note when we first added sidewalks a sidewalk proposal was approved in 2015 for McKinley Street.

The neighborhood association did a
survey of -- the Bradmoor Neighborhood
Association, not the Huntington Terrace, regarding adding sidewalks to the neighborhood. While it's not a statistically relevant survey everyone who was on the neighborhood list was surveyed, and of the respondents about a third of the neighborhood
responded. Ninety percent were in favor and three percent were opposed to sidewalks in general in the Bradmoor neighborhood.

So I'd like to say that I very
wholeheartedly support all of the sidewalk proposals to make our neighborhood more liveable. I have two requests which I also put in writing. One is -- you've already accommodated which is to place the sidewalk as close to the street as is reasonable in order to limit the impact on the homeowners land, which it appears you've done in most of these because $I$ think with all the parked cars the safety of moving it away from the street is de minimis between having it near the street and having it eight or ten feet into homeowner's land.

The other request which was accommodated with the sidewalk request a few years ago is to make the sidewalks slightly narrower. I know this is in variance to the state -- or the county code, but you did it for McKinley, and in order that the sidewalk width is the same -- is contiguous with the rest of the neighborhood where there are sidewalks so the sidewalks look the same.
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I forget the difference, it's only four or six inches, but to make them the same width as the rest of the existing sidewalks in the neighborhood if the proposal is proposed. So in conclusion based on my experience working with the neighborhood for a number of years I strongly support the sidewalk proposals. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. D.H. Michael
Bowen.
MR. BOWEN: Yes, sir. Thank you. My
name is Michael Bowen. I live at 8609 Ewing
Drive. I'm a neighbor of Ms. Hirsch and Ms. de Guise and Mr. Pryor. I'm on the same side of the road, on the east side. My comments are specifically on the sidewalk proposed for the east side of Ewing Drive about which you've heard now from I think four people.

I'm opposed to it. I'm not opposed to sidewalks in general, and I certainly have sympathy with small children, especially kids attending Bradley Hills where my own children went in the '70s and '80s. I've lived for 50 years, 5-0 years, at 8609 Ewing. Respectfully I do oppose the proposition that a sidewalk be built on the east side of Ewing Drive. Some of the reasons
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you've heard already.
Basically as Mr. Pryor indicated I've
looked at the comments sent to the county by owners of the houses on both sides of Ewing Drive in this stretch. Of 27 houses in total comments were submitted by 18,6 were in favor of the construction of this particular sidewalk and 12 were opposed.

Of the 11 houses on the east side of Ewing -- that's where I live -- that is the side that would be directly impacted by the sidewalk, 2 were in favor of the proposal and 7 were opposed. On this basis alone there's a lot of opposition to this particular siding of the sidewalk, and I think that alone should make the county think twice.

Secondly, I have a very sound personal reason, some would say a selfish reason for opposing the construction of the sidewalk directly in front of my house. I have a Yoshino cherry tree which I planted in 1984 -- that's 35 years ago -- when the previous Kwansan cherries on the street died. Large mature trees on other properties on the east side of Ewing Drive would have to be removed in order for the sidewalk to be
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built, too.
In my case the cherry tree is 30 feet
high and 30 feet wide. It's a huge Kwansan cherry. It's absolutely beautiful in the spring and it's a pain in the forearm when $I$ have to get rid of the leaves. The third reason and the main reason that $I$ think this is an unsound proposal for the east side of Ewing tree is, as people before have said, is the construction of an east side sidewalk instead of enhancing pedestrian safety actually would increase the danger to pedestrians.

There's not time for me to go up to the map again and point out as Ms. Hirsch has done so that the Roosevelt Street/Ewing and the McKinley Street/Ewing intersections are very dangerous. I won't go through again everybody who has driven on Ewing Drive, and that's probably everybody here knows that the speed exceeds 25 miles an hour. The county knows that the speeds are not so excessive that they need speed bumps.

MR. PAYLOR: You've got about 10 seconds.

MR. BOWEN: Anyway, the logic says if you're going to build a sidewalk in Ewing Drive
build it on the west side because then somebody could walk all the way from Bradley Boulevard up to Folkstone Drive. In fact, they could walk up to Greentree Road, and on the proposed Folkstone Road sidewalk in place there would be a sidewalk all the way between Bradley Boulevard and Bradley Hills here.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Michael.
MR. BOWEN: That's logical to me. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you so much. Ernesto Cuesta.

MR. CUESTA: Hi. My name is Ernesto Cuesta. I live at 8604 Ewing Drive. I've lived there since 1984 -- 1985 with my wife. We have no children, but I'm opposed to the idea of building sidewalks on Ewing Street on either side, and I also have several comments on sidewalks proposed in all of these streets.

I find that some of these expenses are unjustified when there's so many other ways to calm the traffic in the area. For example, on Ewing Drive you could install cameras to penalize people that go through the street at high speed. Also at the intersection of Ewing and Roosevelt

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019
you could install additional stop signs. Recently I requested a stop sign to be placed at the corner of --

MR. PAYLOR: Mr. Cuesta, I apologize, but please direct your comments to the specific project, so if you can talk about the improvements.

MR. CUESTA: Well, it pertains to the project.

MR. PAYLOR: Huh-uh, not stop signs.
MR. CUESTA: Additionally, I oppose the removal of all trees in the area. I think that it is important to preserve the trees. Sidewalks can be -- if the decision is to build sidewalks they should accommodate trees. They should go around trees, not knock down trees. You can go inside of the -- on the house side of the tree or you can go on the street side.

There have been some calming devices on Ewing that have protruded part of the side of the street into the -- there's a small extension of the curb onto the street. That could be done to add a place for a sidewalk to protect a tree and not have to cut it down.

Okay. I guess that's the main
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objections that $I$ have, the preservation of trees and the alternative means that are available for calming the traffic on all streets in the neighborhood. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Cuesta. Charles Caramello.

MR. CARAMELLO: Thank you. I'm Charles Caramello and I live at 8513 Hempstead Avenue. As a father who raised a daughter in this neighborhood I'm particularly mindful of the safety issue, and I appreciate my neighbors' concerns, and I also very much appreciate the effort that they've put into the sidewalk proposal.

In that context $I$ have actually three questions that regard the project. Question one, what are the specifics of the problem being addressed. The problem is unsafe walking conditions, that's predicate, but that seems to me from what I've seen so far based largely on speculation and on a set of conditions like parked cars that are not inherently unsafe.

So I'd like to know what data or other measurable evidence that a safety problem exists. It would be very helpful if we could find that.

If a problem exists it would also be useful to know what is its extent and what is its variable distribution across Bradmoor. That is to say what does research show on how many, if any, vehicle pedestrian accidents have occurred in Bradmoor since the area was first developed, and if there have been any where have they occurred and what were the specific causes.

Question number two, are sidewalks the best solution to this, and they may well be. Do available data demonstrate that sidewalks improve safety in neighborhoods such as ours. If research into Bradmoor history reveals that there have been any vehicle/pedestrian incidents, do statistics for streets without sidewalks differ from those with sidewalks.

The same question would apply to research on similar neighborhoods. In short do we know that sidewalks would have a direct bearing on the problem that's presumed to exist. I won't go off on the other because you don't want that. I'll just say that if it's speed, speed bumps might work. If it's parked cars then maybe a uniform parking by permit only would help.

Okay. The third question, what is the
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cost benefit ratio of installing sidewalks.
Installation of sidewalks has demonstrable negative consequences to the landscape and the foliage. My question would be are there potential unanticipated consequences.

MR. PAYLOR: You have about 20 seconds, sir.

MR. CARAMELLO: Decades of renovations and new construction, for example, have resulted in shrunken back yards so front yards have become a primary play space. What are the safety implications of reducing this space. I'll stop with that. They may well be the best solution to a problem. I would just want to see a more evidence based argument for what the exact nature of the problem is and what the best solution for addressing that problem is. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Richard Holt.
MR. HOLT: My name is Richard Holt. My
wife and I moved in 2007 to 8509 Ewing Drive in
Bethesda. As noted in our E-mail of May 24, 2019 to the MCDOT we are opposed to a sidewalk in front of our home. I endorse the comments made by the two gentlemen and the two ladies who live on Ewing Drive that testified before me.
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I understand it would have a significant impact on the size of our yard, but more importantly it would put pedestrians and at times their animals very close to our home which would affect our sense of privacy and security in the home.

In addition, the county requires snow
removal from sidewalks to accommodate pedestrians in wheelchairs within 24 hours even if the home owner is out of town. We are both in our 70 s and one of us is handicapped. The prospect of clearing snow each winter to accommodate pedestrians in wheelchairs on a sidewalk is daunting. We may also have liabilities for accidents due to a defect in clearing the sidewalks. Thank you very much.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. David Shiff.
MR. SHIFF: I have some graphics here.
I don't know if -- can $I$ put them up here?
Forgive me. (Inaudible) so people can come and look at them.

MR. PAYLOR: Should we?
MR. SHIFF: I didn't hear his comment,
I'm sorry. My name is David Shiff. I own the home at 8510 Garfield Street where I've lived for
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31 years. My property is on the southwest corner of Garfield and McKinley, and it's within the boundaries of Huntington Terrace, not Bradmoor.

I'm fully supportive of pedestrian
safety and deployment of new sidewalks where they're needed. I'm also a strong advocate of our community's trees and the environment. One of the primary reasons I bought my house in 1988 was the wooded character of the neighborhood and the spectacular trees on my lot, most significantly the 80 -foot spruce tree that is slated to be cut down for this project.

The issue I have with the project as currently planned is that it includes coverage in at least one location that I don't believe is needed, and in some locations where it is needed and there's conflict with the existing trees I don't think there's been sufficient or a comprehensive effort to come up with a solution for the sidewalks and the trees to cohabitate to the fullest using innovative construction techniques, alternate routing, et cetera.

The net of these issues is the project as currently planned at least for my easement is it's causing loss of more trees than necessary and
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at the same time maybe more costly than it needs to be. In my particular case the segment of sidewalk proposed for my right of way involves removing four trees, more than any other single residence in this project.

One of these trees is the 40-foot diameter 80-foot spruce I mentioned earlier which I'm told by my arborist is one of the tallest Norway spruces in the entire county. Another is a large and very unique species of Japanese maple that is extraordinary. The spruce tree is visible from many blocks in all directions, for many blocks in all directions surrounding the tree.

There's simply no way in any one's lifetime that the visual impact and the environmental contribution that these trees provide could ever be duplicated with the DOT's, quote, replacement trees. Besides the aesthetic and environmental beauty that these trees provide, they also contribute significantly to the neighborhood property values and my property alone will probably suffer 10 to 15,000 dollars in reduced property value with the loss of these trees.

I want to emphasize that the two trees
in particular, there are four, but the spruce and the maple, the maple is entirely on my property and the spruce is about 80 percent on my property. It straddles the lot line. Most important and most confounding, as I said, is that the segment of sidewalk planned for my easement is simply not needed.

Other speakers mentioned that there are other routes in the neighborhood that will allow people to travel east to west and along much less traveled roadways and much safer than putting this segment along McKinley Street which is a very busy street.

MR. PAYLOR: You have about 10 seconds, sir.

MR. SHIFF: I think in summary here that the situation has been especially frustrating to me because I just haven't seen the effort to explore how to put sidewalks in a way that won't cause the loss of these trees.

For example, ADA requires only three feet, yet we're planning five-foot sidewalks is one example. There are restrictions on three-foot sidewalks, but I don't think they're prohibitive.

I implore the county to drop this segment from the
project.
It's not required to support the project's objectives because there are alternate routes. The loss of trees on my property that will result is -- will be an irrevocable travesty.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you, sir.

MR. SHIFF: Thank you.
MR. PAYLOR: And please be reminded that you can submit your comments in written format and they will be considered with the same weight as the oral testimony, so you don't have to feel like you have to say everything. You can write in and it will be considered equally, so thank you. Next is Paul Wolfe.

MR. WOLFE: Good evening. My name is Paul Wolfe. I live at 1981 Lancaster Drive in Potomac, Maryland. Obviously I don't live in the neighborhood. In real life I'm an arborist. We take care of trees, and what $I$ find interesting about this discussion is that we seem to have trees on one side and sidewalks on the other side, and the solution that the county has suggested is concrete five feet wide.

I'm suggesting that there are
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alternatives that there can be the best of both possible worlds. We could still have safe walkways for children to get to school and we still could have trees, and it just requires a little bit of innovative thinking on the part of those who are in charge of this project.

For instance, down on Little Falls
Parkway which many people travel they had a serious accident a couple of years ago where the biker was killed crossing the road. What they did was they created pylons along the edge of the street so that there's a separation between traffic and the people.

You could easily do that on several of these streets. You could look at, for instance, parking only on one side of the street because there's concerns that people have to get -- you know, that cars are out on the street. You could suggest that there be parking only on one side of the street. You could look at doing one-way streets so that there are less cars going on those streets.

When I was looking at a tree recently in the community I noted one car went by in 10 minutes. I'm looking at what the traffic looks
like. I'm wondering also if the county has done an environmental impact statement of what it would be like to take down 32 trees, what that would be on the canopy cover which is so important nowadays as climate is changing, the canopy covers in communities and what that's going to do on the effect or adverse effect of the community.

You know, as I say I'm all in favor of sidewalks. I'm all in favor of trees. I'd like to think a little outside the box and come up with a solution that may not be right for everybody, everyone may have to do a little compromising, but at the end of the day you'd have what you want and be able to move on.

Thank you very much. If there's any questions I'd be able -- I'd like to answer them for you if $I$ can be of assistance in any other way. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Brooke
Anthony.
MS. ANTHONY: Thank you for letting me speak. I'm Brooke Anthony. I live at 8714 Rayburn Road, and I too am very concerned about the tree situation because $I$ stand to lose three trees which takes away a lot of my privacy, my
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yard, and I have lived in my home for 23 years and when I bought it the trees were the main attraction, the main reason that $I$ bought the property, because I really liked having a lot of trees.

And I notice every morning that, you know, there are children walking to the bus stop which is on the -- let's see -- northeast corner now of Bradmoor and Folkstone and they all walk along the north side, and I know they're not going to walk over to the south side to use the sidewalk and then cross back over because we were all children once and we know that that's the way it is.

And most of the children around me do not -- the ones who are attending Bradley Hills do not walk to school. Their parents take them. School starts at 9 o'clock and at 8:55 the parade of cars goes up Folkstone to drop the children while the parents go off to work, and I was also the administrative secretary here in the school for 23 years.

So I know a little bit about the situation with the children not walking to school, and I also worry about, as Mr. Holt said, about
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snow removal. I mean, at the present time I can cope with it. Another 10 or 15 years it might be very difficult, so I'd like maybe to have you consider the sidewalk being put on the north side of Folkstone. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Brooke.

Stephanie Mantelmacher -- did I get that right -and Alexandra, I gather.

MS. MANTELMACHER: And Alexandra, yes.
Hi. I'm Stephanie Mantelmacher and this is

Alexandra Mantelmacher. We live at 8601
Hempstead. We are in full support of sidewalks, specifically Hempstead between, what is it,

McKinley and Hartsdale. That is the most
dangerous section of road in the morning going to school that you can possibly imagine.

There are cars parked on both sides of the street. Every car is from Bradley Hills after drop-off. So what happens is cars are forced onto Folkstone which is why they're lining up on Folkstone. They're forced onto Folkstone to drive up to the car drop-off area.

Then they are forced to turn right,
which it's a no left turn. They're forced to turn right and they must go down Hempstead. They have
no other way to get where they're going, so the carpool and the parents that are driving are driving down Hempstead and we are trying to get around the cars and there's no other way for us to get there, no other way.

We cannot take the pathway through the park from the other, what is that, Garfield. There's no other way to do it. What would you like to say?

CHILD ALEXANDRA: I am allowed to walk
to school by myself, but it's too dangerous to without a sidewalk. I don't feel comfortable doing it.

MS. MANTELMACHER: And Bradley Hills is growing and we recently completed an addition at the school. There's a lot of children. There's more children moving in every day. You see houses that more children are moving in, and it's just not safe. Ewing isn't safe, none of these streets are safe for children to walk. It's that simple, right? Okay. Good job.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, ladies.
MS. MANTELMACHER: Oh, last thing, last
thing. I'm sorry, I'm sorry. I'm wearing this
little sticker. The school promotes walk to
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school. The county, the state promotes walk to school, stickers for walking to school.

Here's a, you know, E-mail from the police, from Montgomery County travel on the sidewalks, walk, walk, walk, walk, walk, Halloween, please walk on the sidewalks. Everybody is promoting walking to school and walking on the sidewalks but we don't have sidewalks.

MR. PAYLOR: Okay. Thank you.
MS. MANTELMACHER: That's it.
(Applause.)
MR. PAYLOR: Julliet Hoopes.
MS. HOOPES: Hi. Good evening. I'm
Julliet Hoopes. I live at 8610 Ewing Drive.
Thank you all for being here in our neighborhood.
As I said, I'm Julliet Hoopes and I'm currently the sidewalk chair of the Bradmoor Neighborhood Association, and I've been involved in traffic safety for the neighborhood for years. I've lived here about eight years.

Further, I'm an active member of the school community at Bradley Hills. I've been on the PTA board for five years now, and I've also been involved in the process of submitting this
application over the last two years, so thank you so much again for the attention that you've given this application.

I'm here tonight to support my street, Ewing Drive, sidewalks on both sides. Of the street segments considered Ewing is by far one of the more heavily traveled by cars in the neighborhood. There are close to 1700 cars per day on Ewing as per your traffic study done last year, and it's being used as a mean cut-through from Bradley Boulevard to -- and Greentree.

So with limited visibility and the steep hill, parked cars, high speeds, uneven yards to walk through even if we walk on lawns I really feel like it's only a matter of time before someone really gets hurt. As many of the other families on Ewing, I know several, we walk to school every day to and from.

My husband commutes every day using the bus walking on Ewing Drive every day. Especially now that it's dark he has to walk in the dark in the street and we walk our dog, we exercise, and we use Ewing every day. There are many valid reasons for sidewalks, but obviously I'm using my testimony tonight to highlight the sidewalks for
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the safety of our children.
And there's no denying as we've said
tonight that this neighborhood is changing over rapidly. Just in the time I've submitted the application on Ewing there's two new houses that have been renovated and that are going to be up -one's been up for sale and the second may be, too, I'm not sure, and as a result there are actually close to -- I talked with the principal last -this fall and there's close to 200 walkers and plenty walk to school, bike to school daily, and they're all mostly walking through the streets of Bradmoor.

These 200 children are not provided bus service by the school system so they're forced to walk or -- and therefore $I$ respectfully ask that you provide the safe streets for them to walk on, including Ewing. I also know that our school and our parents support sidewalks. I have a -- I submitted with the application a letter from Karen Caroscio, the current principal, and also our PTA president Becky Trent who is in full support.

MR. PAYLOR: You have about 10 seconds, ma'am.

MS. HOOPES: Okay. And then finally
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I'm sure you're aware of the Safe Routes to School as Stephanie had mentioned too which promotes walking, so if nothing else if there's a lot of opposition on the east side for Ewing if the west side would be considered I would be fully in support of either side, so thank you very much.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Ms. Hoopes.
(Applause.)
MR. PAYLOR: William Blackwelder.
William Blackwelder. Thank you.
MR. BLACKWELDER: Good evening. I'm
William Blackwelder, 8613 Hempstead Avenue, and I'm speaking to request a couple of amendments to the proposal. I've written about these before and I assume my written comments will be considered already, but the biggest problem is the impact on our property and a neighbor's at 8611 of having the sidewalk go behind the pole, power pole and fire hydrant.

I believe there's room for it to go in front. That is closer to the street, and we'd very much like for you to consider doing that and some time ago, probably over a year now when there was a county representative in the neighborhood and we were speaking to him he said that would be
possible. He indicated that would be possible, and so I'd appreciate it if you'd consider that. The other thing -- and by the way, I've spoken to my neighbor about it. I don't think he's here, but he's certainly in agreement with that as well and I'll encourage him to submit a written comment about it. The other thing is we would propose having the sidewalk four feet wide instead of five feet wide with perhaps a foot of green space. That would certainly have less impact on our property, but would be the size of the existing sidewalk on Hempstead Avenue.

And finally my wife and I walk on McKinley a lot and the block between Garfield and Hempstead, and we feel there is a safety need there. Cars go fast on McKinley because there's no stop sign for a couple or three blocks there so maybe a stop sign would help, but at any rate cars go fast and we would like for that block to be safer.

I don't care which side of the street. There's an existing sidewalk on part of the street, part of that block, and I certainly would hope you could do it without cutting trees down, old trees down, but it would be very good to have
that block be safer for walkers. Thank you.
MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. That concludes
the speakers who have expressed an interest in speaking tonight. Is there anyone else who did not sign in as a speaker who now wishes to speak? You, my friend, please. If you don't mind please state your name and your address.

MR. ACHARYA: Yes. My name is Arun
Acharya. For disclosure I now live on South Vic, but when $I$ sent the E-mail back in May I used to live on Ewing Drive, and Ms. Main said it was a passionate and well written plea, so I decided to read it. She said it's okay to read it even though I moved out of the street.

So anyway, I'll read the E-mail
essentially. We are very excited about the fact that a sidewalk for our area on Ewing Drive is finally being seriously considered. We have had this home for nearly 12 years. The fact is when we moved in our two kids were the only ones on the street.

Even though we have moved away they
have been -- and those kids are now too old to trick or treat on Halloween they have been replaced by at least six other small kids on the
same street. Most people are moving into the area because of the schools and they're coming with little kids.

Even those that are buying the
mcmansions that are replacing houses like the one I had are coming in with kids. A few years ago actually I started an informal petition on Ewing Drive for exactly this. During that time a neighbor bluntly told me, quote, why do we need this anymore, the kids are gone, end quote. What she actually meant was that it was her kids that were gone --

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes.
MR. ACHARYA: -- and she did not need a sidewalk anymore. Unfortunately that type of resident living there for nearly 30 years or more and already seeing their kids grown and gone typifies nearly -- the majority of the opposition, especially from what $I$ can see today. Most if not all of those residents in that area will be gone in a very few years.

Unfortunately they're refusing to take
a look at the trend and the needs of the neighborhood for years to come and for some reason they want resistance to what the needs of the
future to be their legacy. Those that stubbornly oppose the effort see very young kids moving in and having to ride their little bikes right on the street because there is no other option.

They see that -- pet owners and drivers
having to dodge each other even in the dark of night or in the dark of winter. They don't really seem to care. Worst of all, they seem to be oblivious of the fact that the main reason they themselves do not walk on Ewing Drive is not because of their health or ability, but because they know themselves that it's unsafe to do so. Now --

MR. PAYLOR: You have about 15 seconds, sir.

MR. ACHARYA: How much time?
MR. PAYLOR: Fifteen seconds.
MR. ACHARYA: Okay. Now, a major
infrastructure project like a sidewalk is not done for only the needs of today. It is an investment investing in both today and for years to come, decades or even generations. The fast growing demographics of the street, the neighborhood and Bethesda in general requires that we plan for what we need. Bethesda needs a younger generation to
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move in unless it wants to become a ghost town on Halloween that it has always been.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, sir.
MR. ACHARYA: The city -- county must
go forward and build it for both now and for the future. Thank you for taking this seriously.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
MR. ACHARYA: Cheers.
MR. PAYLOR: Please be reminded to
state your name and address for the record.
MR. D'ANGELO: My name is Christopher
D'Angelo. I live at 8508 Hempstead Avenue. I have three young children age nine, five and three. We moved here in August of 2016 from Montreal, Canada.

The primary driving force for us to move to this area was this elementary school and the middle school and high schools in the area, which I think I'm not alone in a lot of the people coming into the neighborhood are coming here for the schools and it's very hard, I echo from what -- some of the things that my neighbors said in the past.

Walking to school on Hempstead you've got cars on both sides, there's no sidewalks and
all of the traffic is funneling onto that street, and typically what happens is once kids or parents drop off their kids they're doing their best to get to work. It's an 8:45 drop-off, people start work at 9:00 and they're doing their best to maybe go a bit too fast down those streets, so it's a safety hazard for our kids.

I've got -- they're all out of
strollers, my kids at nine, five and three right now, so I've got one in my hand, one holding my hand and one walking on his own, and there's no room from my house at 8508 all the way up to Hartsdale where that sidewalk now starts towards the school.

So again I echo the -- you see what's going on in the neighborhood. You walk around and houses are being demolished. Much larger houses are being built. These houses that are being built are not for one person, two person residents. These are houses for families and you're going to see more and more families coming into this neighborhood.

A lot of them are working in the D.C. area. D.C. is growing. It's one of the number one influx of people of millennials. Those
millennials are eventually going to have children and move out. We're going to continue to see an influx of people coming into this area, and thus a continued reason to require sidewalks for the safety of these children. Thank you very much. MR. PAYLOR: Thank you, Christopher. (Applause.)

MR. BERTIN: Good evening. My name is
Erik Bertin. I live at 8607 Hempstead Avenue. I've lived there since 2011. I'm the father of two children, ages seven and nine. I want to echo everything that Chris just said.

I also walk to school along with Chris and Ms. Mantelmacher, and I would submit that Hempstead between McKinley and Hartsdale is the joke point of this neighborhood. You have parents that are driving down Hempstead onto Hartsdale to get to the -- to drop their kids off to school.

That's only one of two routes to get to the part where you can drive your kids to school, and then as Stephanie said when they're -- after they're done dropping their kids off as Chris said parents are often in a hurry to get to work and then come barreling down Hempstead in the other direction.

It's very ironic that as I'm going to school the most dangerous time is then when -- and the danger is oftentimes from other parents who, you know, aren't in a hurry to get to school and to get back. On that stretch of the street there are only three houses that have garages. Cars are routinely parked on both sides of the road, so that's an issue that others have pointed out.

I also wanted to echo the points that Jed Meline and Mr. Blackwelder made that I think some of the concerns about the residents about the sort of aesthetic appeal of the neighborhood can easily be addressed and I would fully support siting the sidewalk closer to the street. I think that's perfectly adequate for safety.

I think that having a sidewalk that is not the full five feet that is being proposed is perfectly acceptable. Five feet seems to me excessive and a four-feet wide sidewalk that is consistent with the rest of the neighborhood would be perfectly fine, and I also fully support Mr. Blackwelder's request to site the sidewalk in front of the fire hydrant and in front of the telephone pole as well. I'm very sympathetic to his concerns regarding the value of his property.

Lastly, I would just point out that it's not just during the school day that we're walking. My in-laws live on Bradmoor Drive. I'm walking over to their house at any hour of the day. I'm also a gardener. I'm the community gardener.

I work very hard, long hours.
Oftentimes the only time $I$ can go is 10 o'clock, 11 o'clock at night so $I$ can be out on the street walking in the middle of the street where there is no sidewalk literally at almost any hour of the day. And with respect to my neighbors who are expressing concern about snow removal I would simply point out that there are many children who live in this neighborhood who are entrepreneurial and who are more than willing for a fee to shovel snow. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. ROSENWEIG: Hi. I'm David
Rosenweig. I'm at 8517 Rayburn Road, which is a part of the segment on the south side of McKinley between Rayburn and Bradmoor that's under consideration, and I support the proposal.

I just wanted to add a couple of
comments. I think I'm the second person in the room that attended Bradley Hills, and the traffic volume compared to several decades ago is a lot higher now than it used to be. It used to be much safer to walk in the streets here. There's just a lot more car volume.

I think there are more people, and GPS I think has changed that. The GPS routes people from the beltway up Rayburn and onto McKinley to get to old Georgetown. It's kind of a big cut-through and there are no sidewalks on McKinley as a lot of people have talked about.

The second thing people have talked about, there are segments in the neighborhood with low pedestrian traffic, so why build a sidewalk. I can say as a pedestrian I avoid the segments that don't have sidewalks. I sort of often will go on a more circuitous route that's longer specifically to avoid the areas that don't have sidewalks because it's dangerous to walk on them.

So I think if you build it people may come, and then thirdly there's an effort called the Bradley Hills Village Program. I'm surprised actually they don't have a representative here tonight. It's about aging in place. There's a

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019
county initiative to encourage people to age in place, and $I$ know we've talked a lot about kids, lots of concerns for kids that are very justified, but also for older people their hearing is not as good.

They can't tell when there's a car coming, they're not as quick to jump out of the way if they have to move quickly and, you know, I worry about my parents walking in the neighborhood. They're scared often, you know, especially at rush hour to go out into the street, so I think kids are certainly a concern and also our older folks I think are a concern. That's all I've got. Thank you.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you.
MS. ASSIRYANI: Hi. My name is Rima
Assiryani. I live on Folkstone Road. I love trees and that's one of the things that also attracted us when we moved to the neighborhood back in 2014, so $I$ encourage us all to do everything we can to preserve, especially the big trees that are monumental in our neighborhood, and in my case we live on a corner unit so the sidewalk area that needs to be cleared of snow practically doubles for us.

However, I also think that sidewalks make neighborhoods more attractive and possibly increase the values of homes from at least what I see when I go around looking for homes. My kids are out of elementary school. They're in high school, but $I$ have an elderly who lives at home with us and like our neighbor Mr. Kevorkian mentioned and other neighbors it's very difficult for her to even go on a walk these days. To take her out of the house and have to cross the street from my house to the sidewalk to start our walk is a chore, so I support the sidewalk project.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you very much. Is
there anyone else who did not sign in?
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Question, may I can ask a question?

MR. PAYLOR: No, sir. Now, if you want to talk afterwards we can speak with you. If you would like to speak, please.

MS. KAIBNI: Yes. I live in the neighborhood since 1973. I aged with the neighborhood. I saw the neighborhood school was almost going to close. It didn't have enough children. All of us, we were all scared because they said that instead of the school it would be a
parking lot for the buses of the school.
All of a sudden this influx of young people came with small children, and it's a beautiful neighborhood and the children need safety, but so do we. I like to walk. My husband likes to walk. We walk a lot along Ewing. Somebody said that two and a half people were counted that were walking on Ewing.

Well, my husband and I are two.
Someplace here is the other half. I like to walk
to Bethesda. It's almost impossible to park
downtown Bethesda. We are two miles from
Bethesda, maybe a little bit more than two miles.
We could all walk to Bethesda and not use our cars, so I am a sidewalk person.

I was born in another country. Even the smallest town have sidewalks. My mother was born most probably in the smallest city in Germany. It was so small that it doesn't even appear on the German maps, sidewalks everywhere. I -- if it were up to me I would put sidewalks from here to Washington so that we could walk to Washington.

MR. PAYLOR: Before you go could you
state your name for the record?

MS. KAIBNI: Yes, I'm Eva Kaibni. I live on 6009 Folkstone Road.

MR. PAYLOR: Thank you. Is there anyone else who did -- who signed in or who did not sign in and who would like to speak at this time? Do you want to grab the mic? I was going to do that.

Thank you all for your comments who have expressed an interest -- excuse me. I'm sorry. Thank you all for your comments. Seeing that everyone who wishes to speak has had an opportunity to do so, this concludes the oral testimony portion of this public hearing.

The record -- excuse me. The record will remain open for comments for three weeks closing on November 27th, 2019 at 6:00 p.m. Additional written testimony may be submitted by fax, E-mail or regular mail and must be received or postmarked by the close of this hearing record.

Submissions should be sent to Lori
Main, Annual Sidewalk Program, Division of Transportation and Engineering, MCDOT, 100 Edison Park Drive, fourth floor, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20878, fax number 240-777-7277 or by E-mail at dte.sidewalk@montgomerycountymd.gov.
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Again, Lori's contact information is
available at the sign-in table. Thank you and
this public hearing is now concluded.
UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: You don't
take any questions?
MR. PAYLOR: We can now.
MR. CUPPLES: We can now. This is
about listening first and foremost.
(The Recorded Hearing was concluded at
8:39 p.m.)
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| A | accommodated | administrative | agree |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abate | 39:8, 39:18 | 12:7, 55:21 | 22:17, 29:3 |
| 25:16 | account | adults | agreement |
| ability | 34:13 | 17:22 | 2:2, 62:5 |
| 10:25, 11:1, | acharya | adverse | ahead |
| 65:11, 78:6 | 4:4, 63:8, | 54:7 | 26:14 |
| able | 63:9, 64:14, | advertising | aid |
| 54:14, 54:16 | 65:16, 65:18, | 13:4 | 9:7 |
| about | 66:4, 66:8 | advocate | air |
| 5:3, 16:24, | across | 49:6 | 25:15 |
| 18:20, 23:11, | 46:3 | aesthetic | alexandra |
| 23:16, 23:24, | active | 28:24, 50:18, | 56:8, 56:9, |
| 26:3, 26:12, | 19:12, 58:22 | 69:12 | 56:11, 57:10 |
| 33:10, 35:22, | actually | affect | all |
| 36:5, 36:16, | 15:8, 31:8, | 48:5 | 5:4, 6:21, 8:1, |
| 38:25, 40:16, | 35:5, 42:11, | affected | 11:9, 12:25, |
| 42:22, 44:6, | 45:15, 60:8, | 34:6 | 14:21, 19:1, |
| 47:6, 51:3, | 64:7, 64:11, | after | 20:2, 21:5, |
| 51:14, 52:21, | 71:24 | 5:22, 7:24, | 22:22, 23:4, |
| 54:23, 55:23, | ada | 14:8, 56:18, | 23:8, 27:13, |
| 55:25, 58:21, | 51:21 | 68:21 | 27:24, 28:9, |
| 60:23, 61:14, | add | afternoon | 28:23, 31:2, |
| 62:4, 62:7, | 25:18, 38:10, | 22:11, 23:15 | $31: 13,33: 15$, |
| 63:16, 65:14, | 44:23, 70:25 | afterwards | 35:6, 36:2, |
| 69:11, 70:13, | added | 73:18 | $36: 6,36: 7$, |
| 71:12, 71:14, | 13:9, 13:10, | again | 36:15, 37:13, |
| 71:25, 72:2, | 38:17 | 42:14, 42:17, | 39:5, 39:12, |
| 72:9, 76:8 | adding | 59:2, 67:15, | 43:2, 43:6, |
| absolutely | 38:3, 38:22 | 76:1 | 43:19, 44:12, |
| 42:4 | addition | against | 45:3, 50:12, |
| absorb | 17:14, 48:7, | 20:6, 21:23 | $50: 13,54: 8$, |
| 25:15 | 57:15 | age | 54:9, 55:9, |
| acceptable | additional | 17:23, 20:2, | 55:12, 58:16, |
| 69:18 | 15:24, 44:1, | 22:9, 27:13, | 60:12, 64:20, |
| access | 75:17 | 30:14, 66:13, | 65:8, 67:1, |
| 11:9, 22:3, | additionally | 72:1 | 67:8, 67:12, |
| 25:3 | 23:13, 44:11 | aged | 72:13, 72:20, |
| accessibility | address | 73:21 | $73: 24,74: 2,$ |
| 23:3 | 14:15, 15:3, | ages | $75: 10$ |
| accident | 16:7, 19:16, | $17: 22,68: 11$ | allow |
| 53:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 3, \quad 63: 7, \\ & 66: 10 \end{aligned}$ | aging | 7:10, $51: 9$ |
| accidents ${ }^{\text {32:14, }} 46: 5$, | addressed | ago | allowed |
| 48:15 | 45:18, 69:13 | 22:10, 23:11, | 23:21, 57:10 |
| accommodate | addressing | $35: 21,39: 19,$ | allows |
| $44: 15,48: 8,$ | 21:18, 47:17 | $41: 22,53: 9,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 17 \\ & \text { almost } \end{aligned}$ |
| 48:12 | $69: 15$ | $61: 23,64: 6,$ $71: 3$ | 17:2, 17:14, |
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| 17:15, 29:19, | announced | 70:19 | arun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:13, 37:21, | 7:8 | application | 4:4, 63:8 |
| 37:25, 70:11, | annual | 59:1, 59:3, | asking |
| 73:23, 74:11 | 5:15, 12:8, | 60:5, 60:20 | 28:2 |
| alone | 13:21, 14:7, | apply | asleep |
| 41:13, 41:15, | 75:21 | 46:17 | 15:15 |
| 50:21, 66:19 | another | appointment | aspects |
| along | 50:9, 56:2, | 13:22 | 14:10 |
| 15:1, 27:8, | 74:16 | appreciate | assembled |
| 51:10, 51:12, | answer | 45:11, 45:12, | 5:4 |
| 53:11, 55:10, | 14:9, 20:5, | 62:2 | assessed |
| 68:13, 74:6 | 54:16 | approach | 12:13 |
| already | answers | 19:14, 30:8 | assessments |
| 22:1, 39:8, | 6:24 | approved | $12: 4$ |
| 41:1, 61:16, | anthony | 13:23, 38:18 | assets |
| $64: 17$ | 3:24, 54:20, | approximately | 25:13 |
| also | 54:21, 54:22 | 9:17, 9:21, | assiryani |
| 13:10, 23:11, | anticipated | 10:2, 10:8, | 4:8, 72:16, |
| 25:8, 34:15, | 11:19, 14:4 | 10:13, 10:19, | 72:17 |
| 39:7, 43:18, | any | 14:4 | assist |
| 43:25, 45:12, | 6:3, 6:16, | april | $6: 12$ |
| 46:1, 48:14, | 7:10, 8:7, 14:9, | 12:3 | assistance |
| 49:6, 50:20, | 23:22, 33:16, | arborist | $54: 17$ |
| 54:1, 55:20, | 46:4, 46:7, | $\text { 11:23, } 50: 8 \text {, }$ | associated |
| 55:25, 58:24, | $46: 14, \quad 50: 4,$ | $52: 19$ | $5: 18$ |
| 60:18, 60:21, | $50: 14, \quad 54: 15$ | arborist's | association |
| 68:13, 69:9, | 54:17, 62:18, | $12: 24$ | 21:9, 32:20, |
| $69: 21, \quad 70: 5,$ | 70:4, 70:11, | area | 33:4, 37:20, |
| $72: 4,72: 12,$ | $76: 5,77: 7,78: 8$ | 27:9, 32:17, | $37: 24,38: 19$, |
| $72: 18,73: 1$ | anymore | 43:22, 44:12, | 38:21, 58:19 |
| alternate | 64:10, 64:15 | 46:6, 56:22, | assume |
| 49:22, 52:3 | anyone | 63:17, 64:1, | 61:15 |
| alternative | 63:4, 73:14, | 64:20, 66:17, | attend |
| 26:17, 45:2 | 75:4 | 66:18, 67:24, | 7:11 |
| alternatives | anyway | 68:3, 72:24 | attendance |
| 53:1 | $35: 4, \quad 42: 24$ | areas | $5: 23, \quad 14: 13$ |
| always | $63: 15$ | $7: 2, \quad 11: 9,$ | attended |
|  | apologize | 71:19 | 22:10, 71:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 6, \quad 66: 2 \\ & \text { amendments } \end{aligned}$ | $37: 16, \quad 44: 4$ appeal | aren't | attendees |
| $61: 13$ | $69: 12$ | le $\begin{aligned} & \text { argument }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 18 \\ & \text { attending } \end{aligned}$ |
| amount | appear | 47:15 | 40:21, 55:16 |
| 34:5, 35:12 | 74:20 | around | attention |
| anecdotal | appears | $17: 7,23: 25$ | 18:3, 18:5, |
| $29: 19$ | $39: 11$ | $27: 14, \quad 44: 15$ | $59: 2$ |
| animals | applause | 55:15, 57:4, | attract |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 4 \\ & \text { announce } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 28: 5, & 58: 12, \\ 61: 8, & 68: 7, \end{array}$ | 67:16, 73:4 articulated | $30: 15$ |
| 15:2 |  | 27:4 | $72: 19$ |
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| attraction | 23:7, 47:10, | 58:19, 58:23, | 47:13, 47:16, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55:3 | 55:12, 63:10, | 58:25, 60:6, | 53:1, 67:3, |
| attractions | 69:5, 72:20 | 60:7, 63:23, | 67:5, 78:5 |
| 9:11 | bad | 63:24, 66:2 | bethesda |
| attractive | 18:21, 27:13, | before | 2:4, 5:6, |
| 73:2 | 32:5 | 2:2, 6:8, 15:5, | 24:16, 35:19, |
| audio | barrel | 32:3, 42:9, | 47:21, 65:24, |
| 5:20, 78:6 | 31:20 | 47:25, 59:15, | 65:25, 74:11, |
| august | barreling | 61:14, 74:24, | 74:12, 74:13, |
| 66:14 | 68:24 | 77:3 | 74:14 |
| authorize | based | begin | better |
| $8: 7$ | 10:24, 40:5, | 15:5 | 35:8 |
| authorized | 45:20, 47:15 | beginning | between |
| 6:15, 14:2, | basically | $14: 15$ | 11:4, 19:5, |
| 14:5 | 41:2 | behalf | $21: 20,24: 24,$ |
| available | basis | 21:8 | 25:4, 34:24, |
| 7:21, 9:22, | 41:13 | behind | 35:23, 39:14, |
| 10:3, 10:9, | bearing | 61:18 | 43:6, 53:12, |
| 10:14, 10:20, | 46:19 | being | 56:13, 62:14, |
| 13:13, 13:17, | beautiful | 5:21, 6:9, | 68:15, 70:23 |
| 13:20, 14:8, | 42:4, 74:4 | 9:20, 10:1, | big |
| 45:2, 46:11, | beauty | 10:7, 10:12, | 25:19, 25:21, |
| 76:2 | 50:19 | 10:18, 17:14, | 25:25, 71:10, |
| ave | because | 23:25, 30:20, | 72:21 |
| 15:13 | 14:16, 17:15, | $45: 17, \quad 56: 4$ | biggest |
| avenue | 20:9, 23:9, | $58: 16, \quad 59: 10,$ | $61: 16$ |
| 2:4, 5:6, 8:10, | 23:10, 27:9, | $63: 18,67: 17,$ | bike |
| 8:20, 8:22, | 29:7, 30:16, | $67: 18, \quad 69: 17$ | 60:11 |
| 8:23, 8:24, 9:1, | 31:19, 39:12, | believe | biker |
| 9:25, 10:4, | 43:1, 46:21, | $49: 15,61: 20$ | $53: 10$ |
| 11:5, 15:11, | 51:18, 52:3, | beloved | bikes |
| 27:2, 27:9, | 53:16, 54:24, | $22: 1$ | 17:18, 19:9, |
| $35: 18, \quad 37: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 4, \quad 55: 12, \\ & 62: 16, \quad 64: 2 \end{aligned}$ | beltway <br> $71 \cdot 9$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r\|} 65: 3 \\ \text { bill } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 8, \quad 61: 12, \\ & 62: 12, \quad 66: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 16, \quad 64: 2, \\ & 65: 4, \quad 65: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 71:9 <br> benefit | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { bill } \\ & 3: 7, ~ 16: 20, ~ \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 68:9 | 71:20, 73:24 | 27:11, 28:1, | 16:22 |
| average | becky | 47:1 | binder |
| 30:1 | 60:22 | benefits | $11: 25, \quad 12: 17,$ |
| avoid | become | 25:14, 25:20 | $12: 18$ |
| 31:3, 32:9, | 47:10, 66:1 | benefitted | bit |
| $71: 16, \quad 71: 19$ aware | been | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 11 \\ & \text { bertin } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 5, \quad 55: 23, \\ & 67: 6, \quad 74: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 61:1 | 18:6, 19:23, | 4:6, 68:8, 68:9 | black |
| away | 19:24, 23:9, | besides | 12:18 |
| $39: 13,54: 25$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 31: 6, & 33: 8, \\ 33: 9, & 44: 19 \end{array}$ | 50:18 | blackwelder <br> $4 \cdot 361 \cdot 9$ |
| 63:22 B | $33: 9, ~$ $46: 7,19$, $46: 13$, | best | 4:3, 61:9, $61: 10,61: 11$ |
| back | 49:18, 51:17, | 31:23, 46:10, | 61:12, 69:10 |
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| blackwelder's | box | built | care |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69:22 | 54:10 | 40:24, 42:1, | 17:5, 52:20, |
| blind | bradley | 67:18, 67:19 | 62:21, 65:8 |
| 27:10 | 5:5, 8:18, | bumps | caroscio |
| block | 11:10, 19:22, | 42:21, 46:22 | $60: 21$ |
| 19:2, 34:24, | 22:3, 22:10, | bus | carpool |
| 62:14, 62:19, | 22:18, 22:23, | 18:19, 18:21, | 57:2 |
| 62:23, 63:1 | 23:7, 25:3, | 18:23, 55:7, | cars |
| blocks | 27:5, 27:7, | 59:20, 60:14 | 16:16, 27:8, |
| 50:12, 50:13, | 30:3, 31:5, | buses | 27:24, 38:15, |
| 62:17 | 40:21, 43:2, | 74:1 | 39:13, 45:22, |
| blue | 43:6, 55:16, | busy | 46:23, 53:18, |
| 9:15 | 56:18, 57:14, | 17:10, 51:12 | 53:21, 55:19, |
| bluntly | 58:23, 59:11, | buying | 56:17, 56:19, |
| 64:9 | 71:2, 71:23 | 64:4 | 57:4, 59:7, |
| board | bradmoor | C | 59:8, 59:13, |
| 21:7, 21:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 6, \quad 11: 16, \\ & 21: 14, \quad 21: 15 \end{aligned}$ | california | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 16, \quad 62: 18, \\ & 66: 25, \quad 69: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 21:12, 58:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 14, \quad 21: 15, \\ & 31: 2,32: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $31: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 25, \quad 69: 6, \\ & 74: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| bonnie | $37: 20,38: 20,$ | called | case |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1: 27, \quad 78: 2, \\ & 78: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $39: 3,46: 3$ | $71: 22$ | $42: 2,50: 2$ |
| born | 46:5, 46:13, | calls | 72:23, 77:7, |
| $74: 16, \quad 74: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 3, \quad 55: 9, \\ & 58: 18, \quad 60: 13, \end{aligned}$ | calm | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 9 \\ & \text { catch } \end{aligned}$ |
| $2: 2,77: 2,$ | 70:3, 70:23 | 43:22 | $18: 20, \quad 18: 23$ |
| $77: 13$ | bree | calming | cause |
| both | $\begin{array}{cc} 3: 10, & 20: 15, \\ 20 \cdot 22 & 21 \cdot 1 \end{array}$ | came | 32:5, 51:20 |
| 17:2, 30:22, | $21: 2,21: 5,$ | 74:3 | caused $30: 17$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 31: 3, & 31: 9, \\ 32: 9, & 32: 11, \end{array}\right.$ | $21: 6,23: 18,$ | cameras | causes |
| $32: 20,41: 4$ | 24:8, 24:12 | 43:23 | 46:8 |
| 48:10, 53:1, | bright | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{can}^{\prime} t \\ & 17: 17,20: 5 \end{aligned}$ | causing |
| 56:17, 59:5, | 17: 8 <br> broadcasting | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 11: 11, & 20: 5, \\ 38: 14, & 72: 6 \end{array}\right.$ | 49:25 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 65: 21, & 66: 5, \\ 66: 25, & 69: 7 \end{array}$ | $5: 19$ | canada | centers |
| bought | brooke | 66:15 | certain |
| 16:10, 49:8, | $3: 24, \quad 54: 19,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cannot } \\ & 23: 22,57: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $26: 4$ |
| 55:2, 55:3 | $54: 22, \quad 56: 6$ <br> brought | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 22,57: 6 \\ & \text { canopy } \end{aligned}$ | certainly |
| boulevard | brought $22: 20,23: 19$ | $54: 4,54: 5$ | 40:19, 62:5, |
| 8:18, 19:22, | budget | car | $62: 10,62: 23$ |
| 43:2, 43:6, | budget $12: 22$ | 15:13, 19:4, | $72: 12$ |
| boundaries | build | $32: 14, \quad 53: 24$ | certificate $77: 1, \quad 78: 1$ |
| 49:3 | 30:7, 42:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 18, \quad 56: 22, \\ & 71: 6.72: 6 \end{aligned}$ | certify |
| bowen | 43:1, 44:14, | caramello | 77:4, 78:2 |
| 3:18, 40:9, | $\begin{array}{ll} 66: 5, & 71: 15, \\ 71: 21 & \end{array}$ | $3: 20,45: 6,$ | cetera |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 40: 10, & 40: 11, \\ 42: 24, & 43: 9 \end{array}$ | 71:21 <br> building | $45: 7,45: 8,47: 8$ | 49:22 |
| 42:24, 43:9 | $13: 15,43: 16$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chair } \\ & 58: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
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| challenge | 60:1, 60:14, | 75:19 | 41:3, 41:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:9, 27:11 | 66:13, 68:1, | closed | 43:18, 44:5, |
| challenges | 68:5, 68:11, | 7:25, 13:19 | 47:23, 52:10, |
| 27:24 | 70:14, 73:24, | closer | 61:15, 71:1, |
| change | 74:3, 74:4 | 61:21, 69:14 | 75:8, 75:10, |
| 6:2 | choice | closing | 75:15 |
| changed | 19:2, 19:5 | 7:8, 75:16 | common |
| 71:8 | chore | clothing | 23:4 |
| changing | 73:12 | 17:9 | communities |
| 54:5, 60:3 | chris | co-authored | 11:17, 22:4, |
| channel | 8:4, 68:12, | 28:19 | 54: 6 |
| 32:15 | 68:13, 68:22 | co-owner | community |
| character | christopher | 28:19 | 11:10, 11:12, |
| 49:9 | 4:5, 66:11, | code | 22:5, 25:13, |
| charge | 68: 6 | 6:10, 39:21 | 26:1, 53:24, |
| 53:6 | churches | coexistence | 54:7, 58:23, |
| charles | 11:13 | 25:10 | 70:5 |
| 3:20, 45:6, | cip | cohabitate | community's |
| 45:7 | 12:9 | 49:20 | 49:7 |
| charlotte | circle | come | commutes |
| 3:8, 18:10, | 32:5, 32:7 | 15:20, 16:16, | $59: 19$ |
| 18:14 | circuitous | 20:20, 24:2, | compared |
| cheers | 71:18 | 26:6, 30:8, | 71:3 |
| 66:8 | cited | 35:13, 48:20, | complete |
| cherries | 21:24 | 49:19, 54:10, | 14:5 |
| 41:22 | citizens | 64:24, 65:21, | completed |
| cherry | 21:8, 21:22 | 68:24, 71:22 | 57:15 |
| 41:20, 42:2, | city | comes | comply |
| $42: 4$ | 66:4, 74:18 | 16:11 | $14: 23$ |
| chief | clear | comfortable | comprehensive |
| $5: 12$ | $21: 17,23: 8$ | $57: 12$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 49:19 } \\ \text { comoromisina } \end{array}$ |
| child | cleared | coming | compromising |
| 57:10 | 72:24 | 20:11, 31:22, | $54: 12$ |
| children | clearing | 64:2, 64:6, | concern |
| 15:20, 15:22, | 48:12, 48:15 | 66:20, 67:21, | 15:23, 16:17, |
| 16:25, 17:13, | clearly | 68:3, 72:7 | 18:6, 27:22, |
| 17:22, 22:14, | 14:14, 24:20, | commander's | 34:17, 36:16, |
| 22:22, 24:19, | 26:1, 26:15, | 26:13 | 70:13, 72:12, |
| 27:6, 29:5, | 32:17 | comment | 72:13 |
| 29:6, 29:23, | climate | 13:7, 24:15, | concerned |
| 30:2, 30:14, | 54:5 | 35:20, 48:23, | 18:2, 21:13, |
| 34:17, 40:20, | clock | 62:7 | 27:17, 54:23 |
| 40:21, 43:16, | 28:22 | comments | concerns |
| 53:3, 55:7, | close | 6:5, 6:12, | 15:25, 19:25, |
| 55:13, 55:15, | 7:16, 17:21, | 6:18, 6:19, 7:2, | 27:4, 27:7, |
| 55:19, 55:24, | 39:9, 48:4, | $7: 4,7: 12,13: 5$, | 27:12, 34:16, |
| 57:16, 57:17, | 59:8, 60:9, | $\begin{array}{ll}14: 13, & 34: 8, \\ 36: 18, & 40: 14,\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 24, & 38: 1, \\ 45: 12, & 53: 17, \end{array}$ |
| 57:18, 57:20, | 60:10, 73:23, | 36:18, 40:14, | 45:12, 53:17, |
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| 69:11, 69:25, | 61:5, 61:15, | convenient | 6:10, 10:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72:3 | 63:18 | 23:11 | 13:3, 13:14, |
| concluded | consistent | conversation | 24:4, 25:8, |
| 7:24, 76:3, | 69:20 | 38:1 | 26:8, 28:20, |
| 76:9 | constraints | cope | 30:10, 35:9, |
| concludes | 14:23 | 56:2 | 38:2, 39:21, |
| 63:2, 75:12 | construct | copies | 41:3, 41:15, |
| conclusion | 8:16, 9:4, | 12:1 | 42:20, 48:7, |
| 5:22, 7:5, | 14:1, 35:9 | copresident | $50: 9,51: 25,$ |
| 7:23, 40:5 | constructed | 21:11 | $52: 23,54: 1,$ |
| concrete | 29:14 | corner | 58:1, 58:4, |
| 8:16, 9:5, | construction | 18:16, 18:19, | 61:24, 66:4, |
| 52:24 | 6:15, 9:16, | 22:8, 36:11, | 72:1 |
| conditions | 11:19, 12:6, | 44:2, 49:1, | county's |
| 45:19, 45:21 | 12:24, 14:3, | 55:8, 72:23 | 11:22, 12:8, |
| conduct | 14:4, 14:9, | corners | 25:23, 26:11 |
| $5: 11$ | 20:1, 20:4, | 30:24 | couple |
| conducted | 21:23, 24:18, | correct | 34:4, 35:19, |
| 29:22 | 25:7, 30:21, | $78: 4$ | 53:9, 61:13, |
| conflict | 41:7, 41:19, | correspondence | 62:17, 70:25 |
| 25:10, 49:17 | 42:9, 47:9, | 13:5, 13:6, | court |
| conflicts | 49:21 | 26:5 | $77: 1, \quad 77: 13$ |
| 26:18 | cont'd | cost | cover |
| confounding | 4:1 | 12:3, 12:4, | 54:4 |
| 51:5 | contact | 12:24, 23:24, | coverage |
| conklin | 7:20, 12:20, | 24:1, 47:1 | 49:14 |
| 8:4 | 76:1 | costly | covers |
| connects | contacting | 25:7, 50:1 | 54:5 |
| 22:16, 23:5 | $13: 21$ | costs | create |
| consequences | context | 12:7 | $11: 3, \quad 29: 11,$ $30: 22, \quad 32: 18$ |
| $47: 3,47: 5$ | $26: 9,45: 15$ | could | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 22, \quad 32: 18, \\ & 33: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| conservation | contiguous | $43: 2,43: 3,$ | 33:14 <br> created |
| $25: 17$ | $39: 23$ | $43: 23,44: 1$ | created $53: 11$ |
| consider | continue | $44: 22,45: 25$ | $53: 11$ |
| 8:15, 56:4, | $13: 17, \quad 68: 2$ <br> continued | $50: 17, \quad 53: 2$ | creative $26: 15$ |
| 61:22, 62:2 | continued $68: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 4, \quad 53: 14, \\ & 53: 15 . \\ & 53: 18 . \end{aligned}$ | 26:15 <br> critical |
| considerably $38: 11$ | 68:4 | $53: 15, ~ 53: 18$, $53: 20,62: 24$, | $29: 16$ |
| consideration | 15:21 | $74: 14, \quad 74: 22,$ | cross |
| 12:17, 26:21, | contract | $74: 24$ | 32:7, 35:2, |
| 29:2, 29:4, | 13:24 | counsel | $36: 10, \quad 55: 12,$ |
| 70:24 | contrary | $77: 6, \quad 78: 7$ | $73: 10$ |
| considered | 31:10 | counted | cross-examination $6: 25$ |
| 6:6, 7:13, | contribute | $74: 8$ | $6: 25$ |
| 7:17, 12:10, | 25:15, 50:20 | country | crossing |
| 15:1, 30:20, | contribution | $74: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 10 \\ & \text { crossings } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 31: 14, \quad 52: 11, \\ & 52: 14, \quad 59: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $50: 16$ <br> convenience | county $1: 2,5: 9,5: 13,$ | crossings 36:11 |
| 52:14, 59:6, | $9: 7$ | 1.2, 5.9, 5.13, |  |
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| crosswalks | 33:14, 34:21, | defect | details |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:7, 37:8 | 42:16, 56:15, | 48:15 | 5:18 |
| cuesta | 57:11, 69:2, | defies | determining |
| 3:19, 43:12, | 71:20 | 32:17 | 6:13 |
| 43:13, 43:14, | dark | degree | developed |
| 44:4, 44:8, | 19:2, 59:21, | 30:24 | 30:12, 46:6 |
| 44:11, 45:5 | 65:6, 65:7 | demographics | devices |
| cupples | data | 65:23 | 44:19 |
| 3:4, 5:11, | 13:12, 45:23, | demolished | dialogue |
| 5:17, 8:12, | 46:11 | 67:17 | 6:24 |
| 8:13, 14:12, | date | demonstrable | diameter |
| 76:7 | 7:8 | 47:2 | 50:7 |
| curb | daughter | demonstrate | died |
| 44:22 | 45:9 | 46:11 | 41:23 |
| current | daunting | demonstrates | differ |
| 23:2, 60:21 | 48:14 | 22:21 | 46:15 |
| currently | david | dentist | difference |
| 13:24, 49:14, | 3:22, 4:7, | 19:22 | 40:1 |
| 49:24, 58:17 | 21:12, 48:17, | denying | different |
| customarily | 48:24, 70:20 | 60:2 | $20: 10$ |
| $31: 23$ | day | department | difficult |
| cut | $17: 4, \quad 18: 21,$ | 1:1, 5:9, 5:13, | 27:9, 27:23, |
| $44: 24,49: 11$ | $18: 24,54: 13$ | $8: 3,32: 21,37: 3$ | 56:3, 73:8 |
| cut-through | 57:17, 59:9, | deployment | direct |
| 59:10, 71:11 | $59: 18,59: 19,$ | $49: 5$ | $6: 25,44: 5$ |
| cuts | $59: 20,59: 23$ | describing | $46: 19$ |
| $17: 11$ | $70: 2,70: 5$ | $31: 15$ | directing |
| cutting | $\text { \| } 70: 12$ | description | 32:10 |
| 62:24 | days <br> 73:9 <br> de | $9: 8$ | direction |
|  |  | deserve | 17:17, 68:25 |
| d'angelo |  | $26: 21$ | directions |
| $4: 5,18: 9,$ | $28: 16, \quad 28: 22,$ | design | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 50: 12, \quad 50: 13 \\ & \text { directy } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| $66: 11,66: 12$ <br> dadul | $33: 23,39: 14,$ | designed | $23: 7,34: 6,$ |
| 16:3, 16:9 | $\text { \| } 40: 12$ <br> death | 38:7, 38:12, | 41:11, 41:19 |
| daily | $15: 12$ | 38:16 | director |
| 15:18, 15:20, | decades | designee | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 3 \\ & \text { disabled } \end{aligned}$ |
| 60:11 <br> damn | 22:14, 25:21, | desk | disabled $11: 8$ |
| $26: 13$ | 47:8, 65:22, | 7:21, 19:14 | disclosure |
| danger | $71: 3$ | destruction | 63:9 |
| $30: 23,42: 11,$ | decided $63: 12$ | 21:25 | discuss |
| $69: 3$ | 63:12 <br> decision | detached | 8:9, 10:22, |
| dangerous | $8: 5, \quad 44: 14$ | 25:12 | 11:17, 12:3 |
| 17:1, 17:5, | decline | detail | discussed |
| 28:2, 31:2, | $20: 14$ | 29:25, 31:16 | 12:15 |
| $31: 12,31: 13$, | deeply | detailed | discussion |
|  | $28: 23$ | 29:17 | 6:21, 52:21 |
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| display | 8:21, 10:11, | $64: 8,70: 2$ | efficiency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:9, 9:17, | 10:15, 11:6, | E | 24:5 |
| 9:24, 10:4, | 13:1, 13:15, | e-mail | efficient |
| 10:10, 10:16 | 15:19, 28:15, | $47: 21,58: 3,$ | 24:6 |
| displays | 28:17, 29:8, | 63:10, 63:15, | effort |
| 9:7 | 29:20, 30:5, | 75:18, 75:24 | 29:21, 45:13, |
| distribution | $30: 14, \quad 30: 19,$ | e-mailed | 49:19, 51:18, |
| 46:3 | 31:8, 33:19, | 7:14 | 65:2, 71:22 |
| division | 34:2, 40:12, | each | eight |
| 5:12, 5:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 16, \quad 40: 25, \\ & 41: 4.41: 24 . \end{aligned}$ | $13: 4,28: 20,$ | $39: 15, \quad 58: 21$ |
| 13:25, 26:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 4, \quad 41: 24, \\ & 42: 18, \quad 42: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $48: 12,65: 6$ | either |
| 75:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 18, ~ 42: 25, \\ & 43: 3,43: 14, \end{aligned}$ | earlier | 6:18, 9:22, |
| dodge $65: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 3,43: 14, \\ & 43: 23,47: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $29: 18, \quad 50: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 3, \quad 10: 9, \\ & 10: 14, \quad 10: 20, \end{aligned}$ |
| dog | 47:25, 52:17, | easement $49: 24, \quad 51: 6$ | 35:1, 43:17, |
| 59:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 21, \quad 58: 15, \\ & 59: 5, \quad 59: 20, \end{aligned}$ | easily | $61: 6$ |
| doing 57.13 | $63: 11,63: 17$ | $53: 14,69: 13$ | elderly |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 53: 20, & 57: 13, \\ 61: 22, & 67: 3, \end{array}$ | $64: 8,65: 10,$ | east | 73: 6 <br> electronically |
| $67: 5$ | 68:20, 70:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 17, \quad 8: 24, \\ & 17: 16, \quad 22: 19, \end{aligned}$ | $77: 5$ |
| dollars | 75:23 | $24: 25,29: 8$ | elementary |
| 24:6, 35:9, | driven $42: 17$ | 29:13, 30:18, | 5:5, 11:11, |
| 50:22 | 42:17 <br> drivers | $31: 8,32: 10$, | 22:3, 23:7, |
| done | $65 \cdot 5$ | $33: 2,33: 7$, | 27:5, 66:17, |
| 33:13, 39:11, | driveway | $33: 17,34: 1$, | $73: 5$ |
| 42:14, 44:22, | driveway $38: 9$ | $34: 6,34: 7$, | eloquent |
| 54:1, 59:9, | driving | 34:19, 35:5, | $27: 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 65: 19,68: 22 \\ & \text { dot } \end{aligned}$ | driving 17:3, 17:16, | 35:24, 36:7, | else |
| 10:23, 13:14, | 57:2, 57:3, | $36: 14,40: 14,$ | $73: 14, \quad 75: 4$ |
| 33:6 | 66:16, 68:17 | $41: 9,41: 24$ | elsewhere |
| dot's | drop | $42: 8, \quad 42: 9,$ | 33:9 |
| 13:3, 26:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 25, \quad 55: 19, \\ & 67 \cdot 3.68 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ | $51: 10, \quad 61: 4$ | emily |
| 50:17 | 67:3, 68:18 <br> drop-off | eastern | 18:14 |
| doubles | $56: 19, \quad 56: 22$ | 24:23 | emphasize |
| 72:25 | 56:19, 56:22, 67: 4 | echo | 50:25 |
| down | 67:4 | 34:2, 66:21, | employed |
| 15:20, 31:4, | dropping $17: 3,68: 22$ | $67: 15, \quad 68: 11$ | $77: 6, \quad 78: 8$ |
| 31:20, 44:16, | dte | $69: 9$ | encourage |
| 44:24, 49:12, | $75: 25$ | ecological | $62: 6,72: 1,$ |
| $53: 7,54: 3$, $56: 25,57: 3$, | due | 28:24 | 72:20 |
| $56: 25,57: 3$, $62: 24,62: 25$, | $36: 2,48: 15$ | edge | encouraged |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 24, \quad 62: 25, \\ & 67: 6, \quad 68: 17, \end{aligned}$ | duplicated | 53:11 edison | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 9 \\ & \text { end } \end{aligned}$ |
| 68:24 | 50:17 | $13: 15,75: 22$ | 6:4, 7:9, |
| downtown | during | effect | $25: 24,35: 3,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 74: 12 \\ & \text { drive } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 3,7: 10, \\ & 27: 22,29: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $54: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 4, \quad 54: 13, \\ & 64: 10 \end{aligned}$ |

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019

| ```endeavor 24:1 endorse 47:23 energy 25:17 enforce 14:19 engineering 5:13, 5:16, 13:25, 26:6, 75:22 enhance 11:1 enhancements 35:13 enhancing 31:11, 42:10 enough 73:23 ensure 37: 6 entail 30:13 enter 7:22 entered 5:24 entire 11:16, 11:20, 12:5, 50:9 entirely 6:20, 51:2 entirety 6:16 entitled 6:21, 29:2 entrepreneurial 70:15 environment 19:6, 49:7 environmental 50:16, 50:19, 54:2 environmentally 19:5 equally 52:14``` | ```equivalency 26:2 erik 4:6, 68:9 ernesto 3:19, 43:12, 43:13 especially 16:15, 17:10, 40:20, 51:17, 59:20, 64:19, 72:11, 72:21 essentially 31:18, 31:24, 63:16 establish 11:9 estem 16:2, 16:9 estimated 12:4 estimates 12:24 et 49:22 eva 4:9, 75:1 evaluates 10:23 evaluation 12:25 even 23:12, 25:17, 25:22, 31:22, 33:14, 34:11, 48:9, 59:14, 63:13, 63:22, 64:4, 65:6, 65:22, 73:9, 74:16, 74:19 evening 5:10, 5:24, 6:8, 7:20, 8:13, 14:6, 20:22, 21:1, 24:14, 26:25, 28:14, 37:17, 52:16, 58:14, 61:11,``` | ```68:8 evening's 8:15 eventually 68:1 ever 50:17 every 14:20, 17:23, 18:21, 18:24, 22:11, 36:20, 37:25, 38:8, 55:6, 56:18, 57:17, 59:18, 59:19, 59:20, 59:23 everybody 42:17, 42:18, 54:11, 58:7 everyone 5:23, 38:4, 38:23, 54:12, 75:11 everything 52:13, 68:12, 72:21 everywhere 74:20 evidence 45:24, 47:15 evolve 15:21 ewing 8:11, 8:18, 8:21, 10:11, 10:15, 11:6, 13:1, 15:9, 28:15, 28:17, 29:8, 29:13, 29:20, 30:5, 30:13, 30:18, 30:19, 31:5, 31:8, 31:12, 31:17, 31:20, 32:1, 32:8, 32:11, 33:19, 34:1, 34:2, 40:11, 40:16,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Transcript of Public Hearing
Conducted on November 6, 2019

| 9:15, 40:3, | 59:6 | 13:20 | 11:6, 43:3, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:17, 62:12, | fast | final | 43:4, 55:9, |
| 62:22 | 62:16, 62:19, | 8:4, 24:2 | 55:19, 56:5, |
| exists | 65:22, 67:6 | finally | 56:20, 56:21, |
| 45:24, 46:1 | father | 23:24, 32:20, | 72:17, 75:2 |
| expect | 45:9, 68:10 | 35:7, 60:25, | follow |
| 14:2 | favor | 62:13, 63:18 | 28:8 |
| expected | 39:1, 41:6, | financial | following |
| 12:12 | 41:12, 54:8, | 24:5, 77:8, | 7:5, 8:17, |
| expenses | 54:9 | 78:9 | 13:9, 13:10 |
| 43:20 | fax | find | foot |
| experience | 75:18, 75:24 | 43:20, 45:25, | 27:25, 32:6, |
| 29:19, 40:5 | faxed | 52:20 | 49:11, 50:6, |
| explore | 7:14 | findings | 50:7, 62:9 |
| 51:19 | federal | 29:25 | force |
| expressed | 35:8 | fine | 66:16 |
| 63:3, 75:9 | fee$70: 16$ | 69:21 | forced |
| expressing |  | fire | 56:19, 56:21, |
| 70:13 | feel | 61:19, 69:23 | 56:23, 56:24, |
| extension | 52:13, 57:12, | first | 60:15 |
| 44:21 | 59:15, 62:15 | 18:17, 29:16, | forces |
| extent | feet | 30:4, 31:17, | 32:11 |
| 34:13, 46:2 | 9:6, 9:17, | 38:17, 46:6, | forearm |
| extraordinary | 9:19, 9:20, | 76:8 | 42:5 |
| 50:11 | 9:21, 10:1, | five | foregoing |
| F | 10:2, 10:6, | 52:24, 58:24, | 77:3, 78:3 |
| facilitate | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 7, \quad 10: 8, \\ & 10: 12, \quad 10: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 9, & 66: 13, \\ 67: 9, & 69: 17, \end{array}$ | foremost $76: 8$ |
| $11: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 12, \\ & 10: 18, \\ & 10: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67: 9, \quad 69: 17, \\ & 69: 18 \end{aligned}$ | forget |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { facilities } \\ & 10: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $39: 15,42: 2,$ | five-foot | $40: 1$ |
| fact | $42: 3,51: 22,$ | 8:16, 9:5, | forgive |
| $17: 2,22: 1,$ | 52:24, 62:8, | 51:22 | 48:20 |
| $38: 13,43: 3$ | 62:9, 69:17, | floor | fork |
| $63: 16,63: 19$ | 69:18 | 13:14, 75:23 | 31:18 |
| $65: 9$ | fell | flow | format |
| fall | 15:15 | 30:12, 32:19, | 52:11 |
| $60: 10$ | fellow | $33: 14$ | forms |
| falls | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 11, \quad 28: 25 \\ & \text { female } \end{aligned}$ | focus $37: 11$ | $13: 5,13: 7$ forum |
| $53: 7$ false | female $64: 13$ | focused | forum $6: 17,6: 23$ |
| false 26:2 | few | 6:19, 37:1 | forward |
| families | 9:7, 20:23, | foliage | 37:2, 66:5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59: 17, \quad 67: 20, \\ & 67: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 2, \quad 35: 21, \\ & 39: 18, \quad 64: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 4 \\ & \text { folks } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { found } \\ & 25: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| family | 64:21 | 34:21, 72:13 | founding |
| $15: 12,27: 15$ | fifteen | folkstone | 37:19 |
| far | $\begin{array}{\|l} 65: 17 \\ \text { files } \end{array}$ | $8: 11,8: 21$ | four |
| 26:21, 45:20, | 13:12, 13:16, | 10:5, 10:10, | 16:10, 40:1, |
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| 40:17, 50:4, | garage | glare | gov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51:1, 62:8 | 38:9 | 27:8 | 75:25 |
| four-feet | garages | go | government |
| 69:19 | 69:6 | 13:3, 15:8, | 24:4 |
| fourth | gardener | 17:13, 27:19, | gps |
| 75:23 | 70:5, 70:6 | 37:2, 42:13, | 71:7, 71:8 |
| frank | gardens | 42:17, 43:24, | grab |
| 5:14, 14:7 | 11:12 | 44:15, 44:16, | 20:20, 75:6 |
| frequently | garfield | 44:17, 46:20, | grabbing |
| 25:9 | 9:3, 21:21, | 55:20, 56:25, | 20:24 |
| friend | 22:16, 23:6, | 61:18, 61:20, | grade |
| 63:6 | 24:24, 25:4, | 62:16, 62:19, | 32:2 |
| friends | 48:25, 49:2, | 66:5, 67:6, | graphics |
| 22:12, 27:19 | 57:7, 62:14 | 70:8, 71:18, | 48:18 |
| frightening | gather | 72:11, 73:4, | grass |
| 27:16 | 56:8 | 73:9, 74:24 | 18:22 |
| front | general | goal | great |
| 7:19, 16:5, | $39: 2,40: 19,$ | $11: 1, \quad 31: 10$ | $24: 11, \quad 38: 2$ |
| $25: 11,41: 20$ | $65: 24$ | goals | greater |
| 47:10, 47:22, | generally | $23: 3$ | 18:7, 26:21 |
| 61:21, 69:23 | 32:22 | goes | green |
| frustrating | generation | 55:19 | 9:6, 62:10 |
| 51:17 | 65:25 | going | greentree |
| frustration | generations | 18:4, 35:1, | $43: 4,59: 11$ |
| 21:25 | 65:22 | $\begin{array}{ll}35: 3, & 37: 2, \\ 42: 25, & 53: 21\end{array}$ | grew |
| full | gentlemen | 42:25, 53:21, | 22:7 |
| 14:24, 26:13, | 8:14, 20:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 6, \quad 55: 10, \\ & 56: 15, \quad 57: 1, \end{aligned}$ | grow |
| 56:12, 60:22, | 47:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 15, \quad 57: 1, \\ & 60: 6, \quad 67: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $15: 21$ |
| 69:17 fullest | georgetown | 67:21, 68:1, | growing |
| fullest $49: 21$ | $71: 10$ german | 67:21, $68: 1$, 68:2, $69: 1$, | $21: 24, \quad 57: 15,$ |
| fully | 74:20 | 73:23, 75:6 | grown |
| 7:13, 14:25, | germany | goldberg | $64: 17$ |
| 49:4, 61:5, | $74: 19$ | 3:16, 35:16, $35: 17,35: 18,$ | guarantee |
| 69:13, 69:21 | getting | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 17, \quad 35: 18, \\ & 37: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 36:20 |
| funding | 19:4 | $37: 13$ <br> gone | guess |
| 12:7 | ghamarian | gone | 44:25 |
| funneling | 3:9, 19:17, | $\begin{array}{lll} 64: 10, & 64: 12, \\ 64: 17, & 64: 20 \end{array}$ | guise |
| 67:1 | 19:18, 19:19, | 64:17, 64:20 good | 3:13, 28:11, |
| funnels | 19:21, 19:22, | 8:13, 16:4, | $28: 16,28: 22,$ |
| $31: 8,34: 20$, $34: 21$ | 20:9 ghost | $20: 22,21: 1$ | $33: 23,40: 13$ |
| $34: 21$ further | ghost 66:1 | $24: 14,26: 25$ | guy |
| 58:22 | $66: 1$ give | 27:17, 28:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 15,15: 16 \\ & \text { auvs } \end{aligned}$ |
| future | 8:12, 36:19 | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 17, & 52: 16, \\ 57 \cdot 21 & 58 \cdot 14 \end{array}$ | $21: 2,24: 8$ |
| 65:1, 66:6 | given | $61: 11,62: 25$ | H |
| G | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 2,33: 15, \\ & 59 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$ | $68: 8, \quad 72: 5$ | half |
| gaithersburg | 59:2 |  | 24:23, 74:7, |
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| 74:10 | 5:21, 5:22, 6:3, | 35:5, 35:10, | history |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| halloween | 6:9, 6:11, 6:22, | $36: 3,37: 11$, | 46:13 |
| 58:6, 63:24, | 7:2, 7:6, 7:9, | 37:21, 42:18, | hit |
| 66:2 | $7: 11,7: 16$, | 43:7, 48:18, | 15:13, 15:16, |
| hand | 7:23, 7:24, | 48:19, 51:16, | 17:3, 17:8, |
| 21:3, 37:11, | $7: 25,8: 15$, | 55:21, 58:16, | 17:14, 17:15 |
| 67:10, 67:11 | 13:4, 13:8, | 58:21, 59:4, | holding |
| handicapped | 13:9, 13:10, | 62:5, 66:14, | 67:10 |
| 48:11 | 13:11, 13:18, | 66:20, 71:5, | hollow |
| hands | 14:8, 14:18, | 71:24, 74:10, | 26:12 |
| 17:24 | 24:23, 36:25, | 74:22 | holt |
| happened | 37:12, 72:4, | here's | 3:21, 47:18, |
| 15:14 | $75: 13,75: 19$, | 32:7, 58:3 | 47:19, 55:25 |
| happens | 76:3, 76:9 | hereby | home |
| 56:19, 67:2 | heavily | 77:4, 78:2 | $19: 23,38: 8,$ |
| hard | 59:7 | hi | $47: 23, \quad 48: 4$ |
| $66: 21,70: 7$ | held | $18: 13,19: 21,$ | 48:6, 48:9, |
| hartsdale | $2: 1,6: 9$ | $43: 13,56: 10,$ | 48:25, 55:1, |
| 2:3, 5:6, 8:20, | hello | 58:14, 70:20, | 63:19, 73:6 |
| 9:1, 56:14, | 18:11 | 72:16 | homeowner's |
| 67:13, 68:15, | help | high | 39:15 |
| 68:17 | 46:24, 62:18 | 11:13, 16:16, | homeowners |
| hazard | helpful | 42:3, 43:24, | 28:25, 33:1, |
| $67: 7$ | 45:25 | 59:13, 66:18, | $33: 2,33: 7$, |
| hazardous | hempstead | 73:5 | $33: 19, \quad 39: 11$ |
| 31:9, 32:9, | 8:10, 8:22, | higher | homes |
| $32: 18$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 8: 24, & 9: 25, \\ 10: 4, & 11: 5 . \end{array}$ | $71: 4$ | $26: 20,73: 3$ |
| hazardousness | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 4, \quad 11: 5, \\ & 21: 21, \quad 22: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { highlight } \\ & 34: 4,59: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $73: 4$ |
| $33: 17$ hazards | $24: 24, \quad 25: 4,$ | hill | hoopes 4.15 |
| hazards $30: 17,32: 6$ | 27:2, 27:9, | $59: 13$ | 4:2, 58:13, |
| $30: 17,32: 6$ <br> head | $32: 25,35: 18,$ | hills | $\begin{array}{ll} 58: 14, & 58: 15, \\ 58: 17, & 60: 25, \end{array}$ |
| 17:6 | $35: 23,36: 11$, | 5:5, 11:10, | 61:7 |
| heading | 45:8, $56: 12$, | 22:3, 22:11, | hope |
| $31: 20,35: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 13, \quad 56: 25, \\ & 57: 3, \quad 61: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 22:19, 22:23, | 5:23, 15:25, |
| health | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 3, \quad 61: 12, \\ & 62: 12, \quad 62: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 23: 7, & 25: 3, \\ 27: 5, & 27: 7 \end{array}$ | $34: 12,37: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19: 7, \quad 65: 11 \\ & \text { hear } \end{aligned}$ | 66:12, 66:24, | $\begin{array}{lll}27: 5, & 27: 7, \\ 30: 3, & 40: 21,\end{array}$ | hospital |
| 14:13, 18:11, | 68:9, 68:15, | 43:7, 55:16, | 11:11 |
| 48:23 | 68:17, 68:24 | 56:18, 57:14, | hour |
| heard | here <br> 5:10, 14:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 23, \quad 71: 2, ~ \\ & 71 \cdot 23 \end{aligned}$ | 30:2, 42:19, |
| 6:22, 15:1, | $15: 2,16: 24,$ | hirsch | $\begin{array}{ll} 70: 4, & 70: 11, \\ 72: 11 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 23: 25, & 34: 3 \\ 34: 19, & 40: 16, \end{array}$ | $19: 1,21: 7,$ | $3: 14,28: 8 \text {, }$ | hours |
| $41: 1$ | 21:15, 25:2, | 28:10, 28:14, | $48: 9, \quad 70: 7$ |
| hearing | 26:16, 27:2, | 28:15, 28:18, | house |
| $1: 5,1: 8,2: 1,$ | $27: 15, ~ 33: 6$, $34: 17, ~ 34: 18$, | 30:19, 33:12, | 16:10, 32:2, |
| $5: 9,5: 11,5: 20,$ | 34:17, 34:18, | 40:12, 42:14 | 41:20, 44:17, |
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| 49:8, 67:12, | 50:15, 54:2, | increase | interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70:4, 73:10, | 61:16, 62:11 | 16:18, 42:11, | 8:6, 14:17, |
| 73:11 | impacted | 73:3 | 63:3, 75:9, |
| houses | 32:23, 33:19, | increases | 77:8, 78:9 |
| 17:4, 41:4, | 41:11 | 35:5 | interested |
| 41:5, 41:9, | impacts | increasing | 6:21 |
| 57:17, 60:5, | 12:24, 21:19 | 34:18 | interesting |
| 64:5, 67:17, | impediment | indeed | 52:20 |
| 67:18, 67:20, | 27:18 | 29:10 | interests |
| 69:6 | implications | indicated | 6:14 |
| howard | 47:12 | 6:1, 41:2, 62:1 | internet |
| 3:11, 20:16, | implore | individual | 5:20 |
| 20:18, 20:20, | 51:25 | 15:13, 25:11 | interrupt |
| 24:13, 24:16 | important | influx | 20:6, 20:10 |
| however | 26:19, 29:13, | 67:25, 68:3, | intersection |
| 6:23, 27:12, | 36:23, 38:6, | 74:2 | 9:1, 23:6, |
| 29:21, 73:1 | 44:13, 51:4, | informal | 23:12, 30:25, |
| huge | 54:4 | 64:7 | 31:17, 31:21, |
| 15:23, 42:3 | importantly | information | 31:25, 32:1, |
| huh-uh | 48:3 | 5:18, 7:21, | 32:3, 32:4, |
| 44:10 | impossible | 8:1, 12:17, | 43:25 |
| huntington | 74:11 | 12:20, 76:1, | intersections |
| 11:16, 21:6, | improve | $78: 7$ | 30:22, 31:2, |
| 21:8, 21:19, | $46: 11$ | infrastructure | 31:4, 31:10, |
| 21:22, 22:7, | improved | $65: 19$ | $31: 16, \quad 32: 9,$ |
| 22:22, 24:3, | $11: 15$ | inherently | $32: 12,32: 15,$ |
| 24:17, 38:21, | improvement | 45:22 | 32:16, 33:18, |
| 49:3 | 12:11 | initiative | 34:22, 35:1, |
| hurry | improvements | 27:3, 72:1 | 42:16 |
| 68:23, 69:4 | 44:7 | innovative | investing |
| hurt | in-laws | 49:21, 53:5 | 65:21 |
| 59:16 | 70:3 | inside | investment |
| husband | inadvertently | $44: 16$ | 65:20 |
| $59: 19, \quad 74: 5$ | $29: 11$ | inspection | involve |
| $74: 9$ | inches | $12: 6$ | $22: 24$ |
| hydrant $61: 19,69: 23$ | 27:25, 40:2 | install | involved |
| 61:19, 69:23 | incidents | 43:23, 44:1 | 58:19, 58:25 |
| I | 46:14 | installation | involves |
| idea | include | 33:7, 47:2 | $50: 3$ |
| 27:13, 43:16 | $12: 21$ | installing | ironic |
| ignore | included | 11:2, 12:5, | $69: 1$ |
| 22:5 | 7:12 | 47:1 | irony |
| imagine | includes | instance | 29:10, 32:7 |
| 56:16 | 12:6, 49:14 | 53:7, 53:15 | irrevocable |
| immeasurably | including | instead | $52: 5$ |
| $25: 18$ | $11: 10,60: 18$ | $42: 10,62: 9$ | irvington $8: 20.8: 22$ |
| impact | incorporated | $73: 25$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 8: 20, & 8: 22, \\ 18: 17 . & 37: 18 \end{array}$ |
| 39:10, 48:2, | $12: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { intend } \\ & 27: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 18:17, 37:18 |
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| ```59:23, 70:14 map 9:9, 22:21, 42:14 maple 50:10, 51:2 maps 12:23, 74:20 married 33: 6 maryland 1:9, 2:3, 2:4, 5:6, 13:16, 52:18, 75:23 mass 15:13, 29:17 massachusetts 15:11 material 30:6 materials 26:17 matter 59:15 mature 41:23 maybe 31:24, 46:23, 50:1, 56:3, 62:18, 67:5, 74:13 mcdot 13:23, 47:22, 75:22 mcdowell 3:8, 18:10, 18:11, 18:13, 18:14 mckinley 8:10, 8:18, 8:23, 8:24, 9:2, 9:19, 9:22, 11:6, 17:10, 17:13, 18:17, 18:25, 21:20, 22:8, 22:25, 24:23, 25:6, \(31: 5,32: 1\),``` | ```34:24, 34:25, 35:24, 35:25, 36:11, 38:18, 39:21, 42:15, 49:2, 51:12, 56:14, 62:14, 62:16, 68:15, 70:22, 71:9, 71:11 memansions 64:5 mean 16:15, 56:1, 59:10 means 28:9, 45:2 meant 64:11 measurable 45:24 measures 37:5 medium 26:10 meline 3:17, 37:15, 37:16, 37:17, 37:18, 69:10 member 21:12, 58:22 mentioned 50:7, 51:8, 61:2, 73:8 methods 26:17 mic 20:25, 75:6 michael 3:3, 3:15, \(3: 18,5: 8,28: 6\), 33:24, 33:25, 40:8, 40:11, 43:8 microphone 19:15 middle 11:13, 15:9, 18:20, 19:3,``` | $34: 24, \quad 35: 2$, $66: 18, \quad 70: 10$ might $29: 11, \quad 30: 7$, $33: 14, \quad 46: 23$, $56: 2$ miles $42: 19, \quad 74: 12$, $74: 13$ millennials $67: 25, \quad 68: 1$ mind $6: 2, \quad 20: 24$, $28: 7, \quad 36: 24$, $63: 6$ mindful $45: 10$ minimis $39: 14$ minor $12: 22$ minutes $14: 22, \quad 28: 21$, $35: 21, \quad 53: 25$ missed $19: 19$ montgomery $1: 2, \quad 5: 9$, $6: 13$, $13: 3$, $10: 23$, $25: 23$, months $16: 4$ montreal $66: 15$ monumental $72: 22$ more $15: 22, \quad 19: 12$, $25: 20$, $30: 21$, $31: 13$, $31: 12$, $32: 18$, $37: 18$, $37: 7, \quad 37: 9$, $37: 20, \quad 38: 11$, $39: 6, \quad 47: 14$, $48: 3$, $59: 25$, $50: 1, \quad 50: 4$, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| multiple | $31: 16,49: 25$ | 72:22, 73:21, | 56:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:25 | need | 73:22, 74:4 | northeast |
| must | 18:25, 42:21, | neighborhoods | 55:8 |
| 7:15, 29:16, | 62:15, 64:9, | 46:12, 46:18, | northward |
| 30:23, 32:6, | 64:14, 65:25, | 73:2 | 35:25 |
| 56:25, 66:4, | 74:4 | neighboring | norway |
| 75:18 | needed | 22:4 | 50:9 |
| myself | 24:21, 35:11, | neighbors | notary |
| 57:11 | 49:6, 49:16, | 21:13, 21:24, | 2:2 |
| N | 51:7 | 22:17, 27:3, | note |
| name | needs 64.23 | 32:22, 32:24, | $36: 17,38: 6$, |
| 5:8, 14:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 1, \quad 64: 23, \\ & 64: 25 . \\ & 65: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 34: 3, & 36: 3, \\ 45: 11 . & 66: 22 \end{array}$ | $38: 16$ |
| 15:3, 15:7, | 64:25, 65:20, | $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 11, & 66: 22, \\ 70: 12, & 73: 8 \end{array}$ | noted |
| 15:9, 16:7, | negative | neither | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5: 25, \quad 47: 21, \\ & 53: 24 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 16:8, 16:22, | $47: 3$ | $77 \cdot 6 . \quad 78 \cdot 7$ | 53:24 |
| 18:13, 19:15, | neighbor | net | nothing <br> 61:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21: 1, \quad 21: 6, \\ & 24: 15, \quad 32: 18, \end{aligned}$ | 40:12, 62:4, | 49:23 | notice |
| 35:17, 37:17, | 64:9, 73:7 | network | 22:23, 55:6 |
| 40:11, 43:13, | neighbor's | 9:13 | noticed |
| 47:19, 48:24, | 61:17 | new | 21:13 |
| 52:16, 63:7, | neighborhood | 9:16, 11:5, | november |
| 63:8, 66:10, | 15:21, 15:23, | 11:22, 25:24, | 1:10, 5:3, |
| 66:11, 68:8, | 17:12, 19:8, | 26:11, 47:9, | 75:16 |
| 72:16, 74:25 | 19:10, 21:11, | 49:5, 60:5 | nowadays |
| narrow | 22:15, 22:19, | news | 54:4 |
| 38:11 | 24:17, 26:19, | 27:13 | nowhere |
| narrower | 27:20, 31:3, | next | 17:13 |
| 38:16, 39:19 | $36: 22,37: 7$, | 52:15 | number |
| nature | $37: 20,37: 24$, | night | 12:1, 12:9, |
| 47:15 | $38: 5, ~ 38: 6$, $38: 19,38: 20$, | 16:4, 65:7, | 14:17, 26:10, |
| naval | 38:19, 38:20, | 70:9 | $30: 1,35: 11$, |
| 26:13 | $38: 22,38: 24$, | nina | $40: 6,46: 9,$ |
| navigate | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 25, \quad 39: 3, \\ & 39: 6 . \quad 39: 23 . \end{aligned}$ | $3: 9,19: 17,$ | $67: 24, \quad 75: 24$ |
| $30: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 6, \quad 39: 23, \\ & 40: 4, ~ 40: 6 . \end{aligned}$ | $19: 21, \quad 20: 12$ | numbers |
| nd | $\begin{array}{ll} 40: 4, & 40: 6, \\ 45: 4, & 45: 10, \end{array}$ | nine | 23:25, 24:2 |
| 12:3 | $49: 9,50: 21,$ | $15: 17,15: 18,$ | 0 |
| near $39 \cdot 14$ | $51: 9, \quad 52: 19,$ | $67: 9, \quad 68: 11$ | o'clock |
| nearby | 58:16, 58:18, | ninety | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 18, \quad 70: 8, \\ & 70: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:11, $27: 20$ | 58:20, 59:8, $60: 3, \quad 61: 24,$ | 39:1 | obeying |
| nearly | $64: 24, \quad 65: 23$ | ninety-six $11: 20$ | $17: 25$ |
| 63:19, 64:16, | $66: 20,67: 16,$ | noise | objections |
| $64: 18$ <br> necessarily | 67:22, 68:16, | $25: 16$ | $45: 1$ |
| $17: 25, \quad 18: 1$ | 69:12, 69:20, | none | objectively |
| necessary | $\begin{array}{ll} 70: 15, & 71: 14, \\ 72: 10, & 72: 19, \end{array}$ | $22: 24,57: 19$ | 30:22, 31:11 objectives |
| 14:19, 25:1, | 72.10, 72.19, | north $36: 12,55: 10,$ | $52: 3$ |
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| oblivious | once | opportunity | $69: 3,73: 8,$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $65: 9$ | $55: 13, \quad 67: 2$ | $6: 4, \quad 7: 11,$ | $74: 10$ |
| observed | one | 24:15, 75:12 | others |
| 30:3 | 17:4, 19:2, | oppose | 69:8 |
| obtain | 22:20, 25:23, | 32:24, 33:7, | otherwise |
| 6:12 | 27:13, 30:21, | 40:24, 44:11, | 77:8, 78:10 |
| obviously | $32: 7,34: 4$, | 65:2 | out |
| 29:2, 32:13, | 34:20, 35:10, | opposed | 15:25, 21:3, |
| 38:4, 52:18, | 36:4, 36:6, | 33:2, 34:9, | 24:2, 42:14, |
| 59:24 | 36:12, 36:13, | 34:11, 39:2, | 48:10, 53:18, |
| occur | 36:19, 38:1, | 40:18, 41:8, | 63:14, 67:8, |
| 32:14 | 39:8, 45:16, | 41:12, 43:16, | 68:2, 69:8, |
| occurred | 48:11, 49:7, | 47:22 | 70:1, 70:9, |
| 46:5, 46:7 | 49:15, 50:6, | opposing | 70:14, 72:7, |
| occurs | 50:8, 51:23, | $13: 7,41: 19$ | $72: 11, \quad 73: 5,$ |
| 25:8 | 52:22, 53:16, | opposition | 73:10 |
| office | 53:19, 53:24, | 6:18, 34:5, | outcome |
| 12:21, 19:23, | 59:6, 64:5, | 34:13, 41:13, | 77:8, 78:10 |
| 20:6, 26:6 | 67:10, 67:11, | 61:4, 64:18 | outside |
| officer | 67:19, 67:24, | option | 54:10 |
| $8: 1, \quad 77: 2$ | 67:25, 68:19, | 65:4 | over |
| offices | $72: 18$ | oral | 5:20, 14:11, |
| $13: 13$ | one's | $6: 1, \quad 6: 7, \quad 7: 18,$ | $21: 3,22: 10,$ |
| official | $50: 14, \quad 60: 7$ | $15: 1,52: 12,$ | $55: 11, \quad 55: 12,$ |
| 7:8 | one-way | $75: 12$ | $59: 1, \quad 60: 3,$ |
| officially | 53:20 | order | 61:23, 70:4 |
| 7:25, 13:18 | ones $25.24,55.16$ | 13:24, 26:13, | own |
| often | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 24, \quad 55: 16, \\ & 63: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 29:15, 34:25, | $17: 24, \quad 40: 21,$ |
| 17:23, 25:10, | 63:20 <br> online | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 10, \quad 39: 22, \\ & 41.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 24, \quad 67: 11 \\ & \text { owner } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 68: 23,71: 17, \\ & 72: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $5: 22$ | originally | $48: 10$ |
| oftentimes | only | $38: 7,38: 11$, | owners |
| $69: 3, \quad 70: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 25, \quad 21: 17, \\ & 23: 9,32: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 38:16 | 41:4, 65:5 |
| oh | $\begin{array}{ll} 23: 9, & 32: 2, \\ 33: 4, & 34: 9, \end{array}$ | other | P |
| $20: 19, \quad 57: 23$ <br> okay | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 4, \quad 34: 9, \\ & 36: 25, \quad 40: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 15, \quad 21: 12, \\ & 34: 10, \quad 36: 4, \end{aligned}$ | page <br> 3:2 |
| $19: 16,19: 21,$ | 46:24, 51:21, | $36: 13,37: 5$, | pages |
| 20:7, 20:19, | 53:16, 53:19, | 39:17, 41:23, | $1: 26$ |
| 24:10, 28:12, | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 15, & 63: 20, \\ 65: 20, & 68: 19, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 43: 21, & 45: 23, \\ 46 \cdot 21, & 50: 4 \end{array}$ | pain |
| 44:25, 46:25, | $69: 6, \quad 70: 8$ | $51: 8, \quad 51: 9,$ | 42:5 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 57: 21, & 58: 10, \\ 60: 25, & 63: 13, \end{array}$ | open | $52: 22,54: 17,$ | panek <br> 1:27, 78.2 |
| $65: 18$ | $7: 6,7: 7,7: 10,$ | 57:1, 57:4, | $78: 14$ |
| old | 75:15 <br> operating | $57: 5,57: 7$ | parade |
| 15:17, 15:19, | operating $\text { \| } 7: 20$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 57: 8, & 59: 16, \\ 62: 3, & 62: 7, \end{array}$ | 55:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 62: 25,63: 23, \\ & 71: 10 \end{aligned}$ | operational | $63: 25,65: 4,$ | parallel |
| older | 24:4 | 65:6, 68:24, | 22:2, 23:1 |
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| planned | possibly | presumed | 7:4, 8:5, 8:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49:14, 49:24, | 56:16, 73:2 | 46:20 | 9:4, 9:8, 9:9, |
| 51:6 | post | previous | 10:22, 11:20, |
| planning | 13:2 | 41:22 | 12:4, 12:5, |
| 51:22 | posted | previously | 12:8, 12:9, |
| planted | 5:21 | 12:15, 14:6 | 12:23, 13:12, |
| 11:21, 25:24, | postmarked | primary | 14:2, 14:10, |
| 41:21 | 7:16, 75:19 | 47:11, 49:8, | 14:17, 16:5, |
| play | potential | 66:16 | 16:14, 21:14, |
| 47:11 | 6:12, 34:18, | principal | 21:18, 33:20, |
| plea | 47:4 | 60:9, 60:21 | 44:6, 44:9, |
| 63:12 | potomac | prior | 45:16, 49:12, |
| please | 16:12, 16:13, | $14: 15$ | 49:13, 49:23, |
| $6: 8,6: 25$ | $52: 18$ | privacy | 50:5, 52:1, |
| 14:14, 15:2, | power | 48:5, 54:25 | 53:6, 65:19, |
| 16:6, 16:7, | 61:18 | probably | 73:12 |
| 19:15, 26:7, | practically | 42:18, 50:22, | project's |
| 28:13, 32:17, | 72:25 | 61:23, 74:18 | 52:3 <br> projects |
| $37: 10,37: 12$, | practice | problem | projects |
| 44:5, 52:9, | $19: 24$ | $26: 16,45: 17$ | $12: 23$ |
| 58:6, 63:6, | practicing | $45: 18, \quad 45: 24$ | promised $22: 9$ |
| 66:9, 73:19 | $24: 4$ | $46: 1,46: 20,$ | $22: 9$ |
| pledge | precedes | $47: 14, \quad 47: 16,$ | promote |
| $36: 21$ | 28:8 | $47: 17, \quad 61: 16$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 29: 9, & 29: 15, \\ 33: 12 & \end{array}\right.$ |
| plenty | precisely | problematic | $33: 12$ |
| 60:11 | $29: 7$ | $17: 19$ | promotes <br> 57:25, 58:1 |
| point | predicate | problems | 57:25, 58:1, 61.2 |
| 36:10, 37:14, | $45: 19$ | $34: 20$ | 61:2 <br> promoting |
| 42:14, 68:16, | prefer | proceeding | promoting 58:7 |
| $70: 1, \quad 70: 14$ <br> pointed | $36: 5$ | $31: 24$ | 58:7 <br> proof |
| pointed $69: 8$ | preferences | proceedings | $13: 1$ |
| points | presence | 78:4, 78:5 | properties |
| 34:4, 69:9 | 26:1 | process | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 10, \quad 12: 12 \\ & 41: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| pole 60.24 | present | $58: 25$ | 41:24 <br> property |
| 61:18, 69:24 police | 6:1, 56:1 | program | property <br> 25:18, 49:1, |
| police $58: 4$ | presentations | 5:15, 12:9, | $\begin{array}{ll} 25: 18, & 49: 1, \\ 50: 21, & 50: 23 . \end{array}$ |
| 58:4 <br> policy | 14:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 22, \quad 13: 21, \\ & 14: 8,25: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $51: 2,51: 3,$ |
| 27:17 | Presented $14: 5$ | 71:23, 75:21 | 52:4, 55:4, |
| pollution | preservation | prohibit | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 17, \\ & 69: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $25: 16$ | $45: 1$ | $31: 15$ | 69:25 <br> proposal |
| portion | preserve | prohibitive | $1: 6,8: 10,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 16,21: 18, \\ & 75: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $44: 13,72: 21$ <br> president | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 24 \\ & \text { project } \end{aligned}$ | $8: 16,21: 20,$ |
| portions | $37: 19, \quad 37: 23,$ | $5: 19,6: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 22, \quad 33: 6, \\ & 35: 5, \quad 35: 22, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8: 8 \\ & \text { possible } \end{aligned}$ | $60: 22$ <br> press | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 13, \quad 6: 19, \\ & 6: 20, \quad 7: 1, \quad 7: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 5, \quad 35: 22, \\ & 36: 17, \quad 37: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 53:2, 62:1 | 13:3 |  |  |
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| regard | renovated | resident | ride |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:15, 45:16 | 60:6 | 27:1, 64:16 | 19:9, 65:3 |
| regarding | renovations | residents | riding |
| 14:9, 24:1, | 47:8 | 12:2, 34:5, | 17:18 |
| 38:21, 69:25 | repeated | 34:9, 64:20, | right |
| regular | 7:22, 26:7 | 67:20, 69:11 | 9:19, 9:21, |
| 7:15, 75:18 | replace | resistance | 10:1, 10:3, |
| regularly | 11:21, 36:18 | 64:25 | 10:6, 10:9, |
| 37:25 | replaceable | resolution | 10:12, 10:14, |
| reiterate | 25:22 | 26:17 | 10:18, 10:20, |
| 29:25 | replaced | resources | 17:11, 17:16, |
| rejected | 63:25 | 30:10 | 20:5, 21:5, |
| 29:10 | replacement | respect | 22:13, 25:8, |
| related | 11:18, 36:19, | 22:5, 26:21, | 25:9, 28:23, |
| $7: 2,13: 12,$ | $50: 18$ | $36: 2, \quad 70: 12$ | $31: 20, ~ 31: 25, ~$ |
| 27:4, 27:8, | replacing | respected | $37: 13,50: 3,$ |
| $77: 6, \quad 78: 8$ | $64: 5$ | $32: 22, \quad 33: 8$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 54: 11, & 56: 7, \\ 56: 23, & 56: 25 \end{array}$ |
| relative | report | respectful | $\begin{array}{ll} 56: 23, & 56: 25, \\ 57: 21, & 65: 3, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 9: 10 \\ & \text { release } \end{aligned}$ | $11: 24, \quad 12: 2$ | $28: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 21, \quad 65: 3, \\ & 67: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| release $13: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 25 \\ & \text { reporter } \end{aligned}$ | respectfully $40: 23, \quad 60: 16$ | rima |
| relevant | 77:1, 77:13 | responded | $4: 8, \quad 72: 16$ |
| 38:23 | representative | $39: 1$ | rings |
| remain | 61:24, 71:24 | respondents | $26: 12$ |
| 7:6, 7:10, | request | $38: 25$ | road $8: 11, \quad 8: 21,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 75: 15 \\ & \text { remains } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 39: 17, & 39: 18, \\ 61: 13, & 69: 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rest } \\ & 24: 9.39: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 11, \quad 8: 21, \\ & 9: 2, \quad 10: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| $7: 7$ | led ${ }^{\text {requested }}$ | $40: 3, \quad 69: 20$ | 10:10, 11:6, |
| remarks | 44:2 | restate | 16:16, 30:16, |
| $3: 2,6: 25,34: 2$ | requesting | 19:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 18, \quad 40: 14, \\ & 43: 4,43: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| reminded $52: 9,66: 9$ | 14:21 requests | restrictions $51: 23$ | 53:10, 54:23, |
| reminder | $10: 24, \quad 39: 7$ | result | $56: 15,69: 7$ |
| $19: 14$ <br> removal | require | $52: 5, \quad 60: 8$ resulted | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 21, \quad 72: 17, \\ & 75: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11:17, 11:18, | 68:4 | resulted 47:9 | roadway |
| 25:25, 26:8, | 52:2 | results | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 9: 20, & 10: 1, \\ 10: 6, & 10: 12, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 26:11, 28:1, | requires | $13: 1$ | $10: 18,11: 4,$ |
| $36: 16,44: 12$, | $37: 8,48: 7,$ | reveals | 11:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 8, \quad 56: 1, \\ & 70: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $51: 21,53: 4,$ | $46: 13$ | roadways |
| 70:13 <br> remove | 65:24 <br> research | review $8: 1,12: 14,$ | $8: 17,38: 10$ |
| 11:2, 11:7, | 46:4, 46:12, | 13:20 | 51:11 <br> rockville |
| 25:23 | 46:18 | richard | $1: 9$ |
| removed | reside | $3: 21,47: 18,$ | room |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 11: 21, & 36: 20 \\ 36: 23, & 41: 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 16 \\ & \text { residence } \end{aligned}$ | $47: 19$ | $5: 4, \quad 5: 24,$ |
| removing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { residence } \\ & 25: 12,50: 5 \end{aligned}$ | rid $42: 6$ | 7:22, 26:15, |
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| 61:20, 67:12, | safety | 46:22, 52:13, | seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71:2 | 11:1, 11:15, | 54:8, 57:9, | 23:16, 26:3, |
| roosevelt | 13:15, 15:24, | 71:16 | 33:11, 42:23, |
| 8:23, 31:17, | 18:8, 18:18, | says | 47:6, 51:14, |
| 31:21, 31:22, | 19:5, 23:3, | 42:24 | 60:23, 65:14, |
| 34:25, 35:23, | 23:14, 27:18, | scarce | 65:17 |
| 36:7, 42:15, | 29:5, 29:9, | 30:9, 35:8, | secretary |
| 43:25 | 29:16, 30:17, | 35:9 | 55:21 |
| rosenweig | 31:11, 32:17, | scared | section |
| 4:7, 70:20, | 32:18, 33:13, | 72:10, 73:24 | 6:10, 9:18, |
| 70:21 | 34:18, 35:6, | school | 9:24, 10:4, |
| route | 35:13, 36:5, | 5:5, 11:11, | 10:10, 10:16, |
| 22:2, 22:18, | 36:10, 37:5, | 15:18, 16:11, | 56:15 |
| 71:18 | 37:6, 39:13, | 17:1, 18:20, | security |
| routes | 42:11, 45:11, | 22:13, 22:15, | 16:17, 16:18, |
| 51:9, 52:4, | 45:24, 46:12, | 24:20, 25:1, | 48:5 |
| 61:1, 68:19, | 47:11, 49:5, | 25:3, 27:5, | see |
| 71:8 | 58:20, 60:1, | 29:25, 30:14, | 15:21, 16:15, |
| routinely | 62:15, 67:7, | 35:25, 36:8, | 17:17, 18:24, |
| 26:7, 69:7 | 68:5, 69:15, | 53:3, 55:17, | 20:19, 23:21, |
| routing | 74:5 | 55:18, 55:21, | 35:4, 47:14, |
| 49:22 | said | 55:24, 56:16, | 55:8, 57:17, |
| run | 21:5, 21:14, | 57:11, 57:16, | 64:19, 65:2, |
| 18:23, 19:10 | 34:21, 42:9, | 57:25, 58:1, | 65:5, 67:15, |
| rush | 51:5, 55:25, | 58:2, 58:7, | 67:21, 68:2, |
| 72:11 | 58:17, 60:2, | 58:23, 59:18, | 73:4 |
| ruth | 61:25, 63:11, | 60:11, 60:15, | seeing |
| 20:12 | 63:13, 66:22, | 60:18, 61:1, | 64:17, 75:10 |
| S | $68: 12, ~ 68: 21$, $68: 22, ~ 73: 25$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 66: 17, & 66: 18, \\ 66: 24, & 67: 14 \end{array}$ | seek |
| S 40:22 safe | $74: 7,77: 4,78: 5$ | 68:13, 68:18, | seem |
|  | sale | 68:20, 69:2, | 35:10, 52:21, |
|  | 60:7 | 69:4, 70:2, | $65: 8$ |
| $23: 5,24: 19,$ | same | 73:5, 73:6, | seems |
| $24: 25,26: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 6, \quad 7: 18, \\ & 36: 22,39: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 22, \quad 73: 25, \\ & 74: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 19:4, 36:1, |
| 29:12, 35:3, | $39: 25,40: 2,$ | schools | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 37: 1, & 45: 19, \\ 69: 18 & \end{array}$ |
| 53:2, 57:19, $57: 20, \quad 60: 17,$ | 40:13, 46:17, | 9:11, 11:13, | seen |
| $61: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 1, \quad 52: 11, \\ & 64: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $64: 2,66: 18,$ | 17:20, 45:20, |
| safely | saw | scientific | 51:18 segment |
| 22:23 <br> safer | 34:8, 73:22 | 29:22 | 29:20, 30:5, |
| $11: 9.23: 13 .$ | say | second | 30:13, 30:16, |
| $23: 22,51: 11,$ | 18:15, 22:10, | 19:1, 23:18, | 30:19, 32:25, |
| 62:20, 63:1, | 30:7, 34:15, | 27:22, 32:1, | 33:19, 50:2, |
| 71:5 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 34: 24, \quad 35: 7, \\ & 37: 7, \quad 39: 4, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 15, \quad 60: 7, \\ & 71: 1, \quad 71: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $51: 5,51: 12,$ |
| safest | $41: 18,46: 3,$ | secondly | 51:25, 70:22 segments |
| 22:18, 23:10 |  | 41:17 | 59:6, 71:14, |
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| 46:6, 68:10, | sokolove | sound | speeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73:21 | 3:11, 20:13, | 19:5, 41:17 | 42:20, 59:13 |
| sincere | 20:14, 20:16, | south | spend |
| 26:12 | 20:18, 24:13, | 8:19, 8:20, | 35:8 |
| single | 24:14, 24:16, | 9:1, 36:12, | spoken |
| 25:12, 50:4 | 26:4 | 55:11, 63:9, | 62:4 |
| sir | solace | 70:22 | spots |
| 16:6, 26:3, | 26:20 | southwest | 27:10 |
| 26:23, 40:10, | solution | 49:1 | spring |
| 47:7, 51:15, | 46:10, 47:13, | space | 14:3, 42:4 |
| 52:7, 65:15, | 47:16, 49:19, | 9:6, 47:11, | spruce |
| 66:3, 73:17 | 52:23, 54:11 | 47:12, 62:10 | 49:11, 50:7, |
| sit | solving | speak | $50: 11, \quad 51: 1,$ |
| 21:6 | 26:16 | 6:2, 6:4, | 51:3 |
| site | some | 14:18, 21:7, | spruces |
| 69:22 | 28:24, 30:7, | 54:22, 63:5, | 50:9 |
| siting | 36:3, 40:25, | 73:18, 73:19, | stand |
| 69:14 | 41:18, 43:20, | 75:5, 75:11 | 18:22, 18:23, |
| situation | 44:19, 48:18, | speaker | 54:24 |
| 51:17, 54:24, | 49:16, 61:23, | 63:5, 64:13, | start |
| 55:24 | 64:24, 66:22, | 73:15, 76:4 | 28:22, 67:4, |
| six | 69:11 | speakers | 73:11 |
| 14:5, 40:2, | somebody | 51:8, 63:3 | started |
| $63: 25$ | 17:3, 18:3, | speaking | $64: 7$ |
| size | 35:20, 43:1, | $61: 13,61: 25,$ | starts |
| $11: 18,48: 2,$ | $74: 7$ | $63: 4$ | 55:18, 67:13 |
| 62:11 | someone | specially | state |
| slated | 59:16 | 12:11 | $2: 3,16: 7$ |
| 49:11 | someplace | species | $37: 8,39: 20,$ |
| slightly | 74:10 | 50:10 | $58: 1,63: 7,$ |
| 31:24, 39:19 | something | specific | $66: 10, \quad 74: 25$ |
| slower | 18:5 | $7: 1,44: 5,46: 8$ | stated |
| $31: 25$ | sometimes | specifically | $14: 6$ |
| small | 17:1, 17:17, | $21: 19,40: 15,$ | statement |
| 40:20, 44:21, | 18:21 | 56:13, 71:19 | 26:9, 54:2 |
| 63:25, 74:3, | son | specifics | station |
| 74:19 | 17:2, 28:18, | 45:17 | 23:14 |
| smallest | 29:22 | spectacular | statistically |
| 74:17, 74:18 | soon | 49:10 | 38:23 |
| smart | 25:2 | speculation | statistics |
| 30:9 | sorry | 45:21 | $46: 14$ |
| snow | 16:6, 16:8, | speed | steam |
| 27:25, 48:7, | 19:17, 37:10, | $16: 16, \quad 17: 25,$ | 26:14 |
| 48:12, 56:1, | $48: 24, \quad 57: 24$ | 31:25, 42:19, | steep |
| 70:13, 70:17, | $75: 10$ | 42:21, 43:24, | 32:2, 59:13 |
| 72:24 | sort | 46:22 | stephanie |
| snowstorms | $34: 23,35: 12,$ | speeding | $3: 25,56: 7,$ |
| $27: 23$ | 69:12, 71:17 | $15: 15$ | $56: 10,61: 2,$ |
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| ```68:21 steps 38:2 sticker 57:25 stickers 58:2 still 22:17, 32:5, 53:2, 53:4 stop 18:1, 18:19, 23:13, 31:23, \(37: 8,37: 9\), \(44: 1,44: 2\), 44:10, 47:12, 55:7, 62:17, 62:18 stopping 18:1 straddles 51:4 stray 7:2 street 8:10, 8:11, 8:19, 8:23, \(8: 25,9: 2,9: 3\), 9:19, 9:23, \(10: 17,10: 21\), 11:6, 11:7, 15:20, 17:11, 18:23, 18:24, 19:3, 21:20, 22:8, 22:17, 22:25, 24:18, 24:23, 24:24, 25:3, 25:6, 34:10, 35:2, 35:4, 36:2, \(36: 7,38: 15\), 38:18, 39:9, 39:13, 39:14, \(41: 23,42: 15\), \(42: 16,43: 17\), 43:24, 44:18, \(44: 21,44: 22\), 48:25, 51:12,``` |  | ```62:6, 68:14 submitted 6:5, 8:2, 41:6, 60:4, 60:20, 75:17 submitting 58:25 substantial 30:13 suburban 11:11 sudden 74:2 suffer 50:22 sufficient 49:18 suggest 30:9, 53:19 suggested 52:23 suggesting 52:25 summarized 14:22 summary 30:1, 51:16 summer 29:18 sun 17:16, 27:8 support 6:18, 16:5, 16:14, 18:16, 24:18, 27:2, 33:18, \(34: 2\), 39:5, 40:7, 52:2, 56:12, 59:4, 60:19, 60:22, 61:6, 69:13, 69:21, 70:24, 73:12 supported 33:1, 33:4, 34:10 supporting 13:6, 78:6 supportive 49:4``` | sure <br> 17:7, 17:8, <br> 60:8, 61:1 <br> surprised <br> 71:23 <br> surrounding <br> 50:13 <br> survey <br> 38:20, 38:23 <br> surveyed $38: 24$ <br> switching $35: 24$ <br> swivel <br> 17:6 <br> syed <br> 3:6, 16:2, 16:8 <br> syllable <br> 19:20 <br> sympathetic <br> 69:24 <br> sympathy <br> 40:20 <br> system <br> 60:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| talked | thank | thing | three-minute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:9, 71:12, | 6:8, 14:12, | 19:25, 36:24, | 14:19 |
| 71:13, 72:2 | 15:6, 16:2, | 57:23, 57:24, | through |
| talking | 16:4, 16:19, | 62:3, 62:7, | 17:11, 22:19, |
| 36:5 | 16:20, 18:8, | 71:13 | $31: 9,31: 20$, |
| tallest | 18:9, 19:12, | things | $31: 25,32: 11$, |
| 50:8 | 19:13, 19:16, | 14:10, 17:18, | 37:6, 42:17, |
| tangible | 20:8, 20:12, | 31:13, 66:22, | 43:24, 57:6, |
| 25:14 | 20:15, 20:20, | 72:18 | 59:14, 60:12 |
| tax | 21:4, 23:13, | think | throughway |
| 24:6 | 23:18, 24:7, | 16:17, 18:24, | 22:16 |
| techniques | 24:8, 24:12, | $19: 7,19: 8,$ | thrown |
| 49:22 | $24: 14,26: 22,$ | 19:11, 20:5, | 23:25 |
| telephone | 26:23, 28:3, | 20:9, 22:21, | tim |
| 69:24 | 28:4, 33:21, | 27:16, 29:1, | 3:4, 5:11, 8:12 |
| tell | 33:22, 33:23, | 29:3, 35:12, | time |
| $22: 9, \quad 72: 6$ | $33: 25, ~ 35: 14, ~$ $35: 15$, $35: 17$ | 36:4, 36:23, | 5:3, 6:3, 7:7, |
| telling | 35:15, 35:17, | 38:6, 39:12, | $7: 10,14: 19,$ |
| $24: 2,24: 3$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 37: 12, & 37: 14, \\ 37: 15, & 38: 3 \end{array}$ | 40:17, 41:15, | $14: 23,31: 15,$ |
| ten $37 \cdot 22 \cdot 39 \cdot 15$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 37: 15, \quad 38: 3, \\ & 40: 7, \quad 40: 8, \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 7, \quad 44: 12, \\ & 49: 18, \quad 51: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 13, \quad 50: 1, \\ & 56: 1, \quad 59: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| 37:22, 39:15 <br> terms | 40:10, 43:8, | 51:24, 54:10, | $60: 4,61: 23,$ |
| $38: 12$ | 43:10, 43:11, | 62:4, 66:19, | $64: 8,65: 16,$ |
| terrace | $45: 4,45: 5$, $45: 7,47.17$ | 69:10, 69:14, | $69: 2,70: 8,75: 6$ |
| 11:16, 21:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 7, \quad 47: 17, \\ & 47: 18, \quad 48: 16 . \end{aligned}$ | $69: 16, \quad 71: 1,$ | times |
| 21:8, 21:19, | $\begin{array}{ll} 47: 18, & 48: 16, \\ 48: 17, & 52: 6, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71: 7, \quad 71: 8, \\ & 71: 21, \quad 72: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 20, \quad 29: 23, \\ & 48: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 21: 22, & 22: 7, \\ 22: 22, & 24: 3, \end{array}$ | 52:8, 52:15, | $72: 13,73: 1$ | today |
| $24: 17,38: 21$ | $54: 15, \quad 54: 18,$ | thinking | $15: 2, \quad 16: 1$ |
| $49: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 19, \quad 54: 21, \\ & 56: 5,56: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $53: 5$ | $22: 17,23: 20,$ |
| terribly | $\begin{aligned} & 56: 5, \quad 56: 6, \\ & 57: 22, \quad 58: 10, \end{aligned}$ | third | 64:19, 65:20, |
| $35: 10$ <br> testament | $58: 16, \quad 59: 1,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 19: 7, & 38: 25, \\ 42: 6, & 46: 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 21 \\ & \text { together } \end{aligned}$ |
| $26: 7$ | 61:6, 61:7, | thirdly | 28:18 |
| testified | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 10,63: 1, \\ & 63 \cdot 2.66 \cdot 3 . \end{aligned}$ | 71:22 | told |
| 47:25 | $66: 6,66: 7,$ | three $7: 6,9: 6$, | $50: 8, \quad 64: 9$ |
| testify | 68:5, 68:6, | $14: 22,18: 15$ | tom $3: 12,26: 23$ |
| 14:14 <br> testimony | 70:17, 70:18, | 25:23, 27:25, | $26: 25$ |
| $6: 1,6: 7,7: 18,$ | $72: 14, ~ 72: 15$, $73: 13, ~ 75: 3$, | 28:20, 36:19, | tonight |
| $14: 16,14: 20,$ | $75: 8, \quad 75: 10$ | $\begin{array}{ll}37: 21, & 39: 1, \\ 45: 15, & 51: 21,\end{array}$ | 5:19, 19:1, |
| 14:24, 15:1, | 76:2 | 45:15, 51:21, | 21:3, 21:7, |
| 15:6, 25:1, | themselves | 54:24, 62:17, | 21:16, 22:6, |
| 52:12, 59:25, | $65: 10, \quad 65: 12$ | 66:13, 66:14, $67: 9, \quad 69: 6,$ | 59:4, 59:25, |
| 75:13, 75:17 | therefore | $75: 15$ | 60:3, 63:4, |
| texting 18:3 | 12:12, 60:16 | three-foot | topic |
| th | thereof $6: 16,8: 8$ | 51:23 | 6:20 |
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| torpedoes | tree | turn | uneven |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:13 | 11:17, 11:24, | 14:10, 56:23, | 59:13 |
| total | 12:1, 12:25, | 56:24 | unfortunately |
| 9:15, 9:17, | 25:25, 26:8, | twice | 64:15, 64:22 |
| 41:5 | 26:18, 36:15, | 41:16 | unidentified |
| toward | 36:20, 41:21, | two | 64:13, 73:15, |
| 35:25 | 42:2, 42:8, | 16:11, 16:15, | 76:4 |
| towards | 44:17, 44:23, | 19:3, 19:20, | uniform |
| 20:25, 67:13 | 49:11, 50:11, | 23:19, 25:9, | 46:24 |
| town | 50:13, 53:23, | 27:12, 30:21, | unique |
| 48:10, 66:1, | 54:24 | 31:1, 32:16, | 50:10 |
| 74:17 | trees | 34:9, 34:20, | unit |
| traditional | 11:18, 11:20, | 36:10, 37:24, | $72: 23$ |
| 30:24 | 11:22, 22:1, | 39:7, 46:9, | unjustified |
| traffic | 25:8, 25:11, | 47:24, 50:25, | 43:21 |
| 11:3, 13:1, | 25:19, 25:21, | 59:1, 60:5, | unless |
| 17:12, 31:19, | 26:10, 26:19, | 63:20, 67:19, | $66: 1$ |
| 31:22, 32:4, | 29:1, 36:17, | 68:11, 68:19, | unnecessary |
| $35: 12,38: 1$, | 36:18, 36:21, | 74:7, 74:9, | $23: 2$ |
| $38: 12,38: 14$, | 41:23, 44:12, | 74:12, 74:13 | unquestionable |
| 43:22, 45:3, | 44:13, 44:15, | two-way | $32: 6$ |
| 53:13, 53:25, | $44: 16,45: 1,$ | 38:12, 38:14 | unsafe |
| 58:19, 59:9, | $49: 7,49: 10,$ | type | 45:18, 45:22, |
| $67: 1,71: 2$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 49: 17, & 49: 20, \\ 49: 25, & 50: 4, \end{array}$ | $64: 15$ | $65: 12$ |
| $71: 15$ <br> transcribed | $50: 6, \quad 50: 16,$ | typical $9: 18,9: 24,$ | unsound $42 \cdot 7$ |
| 1:27, 78:5 | $50: 18, \quad 50: 19,$ $50: 24 \cdot 50: 25$ | $10: 4, \quad 10: 10,$ | unsympathetic |
| transcriber | $50: 24, \quad 50: 25$ | $10: 16$ | 34:16 |
| $78: 1$ | 51:20, 52:4, | typically | until |
| transcript | $53: 4,54: 3,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 11, \quad 25: 19, \\ & 67: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 13:17, 35:20 |
| 13:10, 78:3 transportation | $54: 9,54: 25,$ | typifies | unusual |
| 1:1, 5:10, | 55:2, 55:5, | 64:18 | use |
| $5: 12,5: 14$, | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 24, \\ & 72: 18, \\ & 72: 22 \end{aligned}$ | U | 10:25, 20:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 16, ~ 8: 4, ~ 9: 12, \\ & 11: 14, ~ 13: 25, \end{aligned}$ | trend | ultimately $33.5$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 24: 6, & 26: 16, \\ 30: 6 . & 30: 9 . \end{array}$ |
| $26: 6,32: 21,$ | $64: 23$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 33: 5 \\ \text { unable } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 6, \quad 30: 9, \\ & 30: 13, \quad 55: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 37:4, 75:22 | trent | 7:11 | 59:23, 74:14 |
| travel | trick | unanimously | useful |
| 51:10, 53:8, | $63: 24$ | $21: 22$ | $46: 1$ |
| traveled | trifecta | unanticipated $47: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { using } \\ & 29: 20, ~ 49: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 51:11, 59:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 12 \\ & \text { trouble } \end{aligned}$ | under | $59: 19, \quad 59: 24$ |
| travesty 52.5 | 28:3 | $12: 1, \quad 12: 8,$ | utilize |
| 52: 5 <br> treasured | true | 70:23 | 13:23 |
| treasured 28.25 | 78:3 | understand | V |
| treat | trying | 35:21, 48:1 | valid |
| 63:24 | 17:7, 57:3 |  | 59:23 |
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| ```valuable 25:20, 29:1 value 26:2, 50:23, 69:25 values 25:18, 50:21, 73:3 variable 9:5, 46:2 variance 39:20 variety 17:21 varying 11:18 vast 32:13 vehicle 46:4, 46:14 vehicles 23:21, 23:23, 38:8, 38:10 vehicular 11:3 versus 32:14 via 7:15 vic 63:9 vicinity 9:9 video 5:20 view 33:8, 36:10 viewed 11:25 views 32:22 village 71:23 visibility 59:12 visible 50:11 visit 27:19``` | visual <br> 9:8, 23:19, <br> 50:15 <br> visuals $20: 23,21: 2,$ <br> 22:20 <br> volume <br> 71:3, 71:6 <br> vote <br> 22:5, 36:1 <br> voted <br> 21:22, 36:4 <br> W <br> wakefield <br> 3:7, 16:21, <br> 16:22, 16:23 <br> walk <br> 15:18, 17:1, <br> 19:8, 43:2, <br> 43:3, 55:9, <br> 55:11, 55:17, <br> 57:10, 57:20, <br> 57:25, 58:1, <br> 58:5, 58:6, <br> 59:14, 59:17, <br> 59:21, 59:22, <br> 60:11, 60:16, <br> 60:17, 62:13, <br> 65:10, 67:16, <br> 68:13, 71:5, <br> 71:20, 73:9, <br> 73:11, 74:5, <br> $74: 6,74: 10$, <br> 74:14, 74:22 <br> walkable <br> 38:5 <br> walked <br> 22:12, 22:15 <br> walker <br> 16:18 <br> walkers $\begin{aligned} & 20: 3, \quad 20: 10, \\ & 23: 15, \quad 60: 10, \\ & 63: 1 \\ & \text { walking } \\ & 19: 2, \quad 27: 14, \\ & 27: 20,29: 24, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 19, \quad 54: 18, \\ & 55: 13, \quad 57: 1, \\ & 57: 4, \quad 57: 5, \\ & 57: 8,62: 3, \\ & 67: 12, \quad 72: 8 \\ & \text { ways } \\ & 22: 22, \quad 25: 8, \\ & 25: 9, \quad 35: 8, \\ & 43: 21 \\ & \text { we'11 } \\ & 20: 19 \\ & \text { we're } \\ & 5: 4, \quad 5: 19, \\ & 17: 6, \quad 17: 7, \\ & 17: 8, \quad 28: 2, \\ & 34: 18, \quad 36: 5, \\ & 51: 22, \quad 68: 2, \\ & 70: 2 \\ & \text { we've } \\ & 9: 7, \quad 60: 2, \quad 72: 2 \\ & \text { wearing } \\ & 17: 8, \quad 57: 24 \\ & \text { weather } \\ & 18: 21 \\ & \text { webcam } \\ & 7: 20 \\ & \text { wednesday } \\ & 1: 10, \quad 5: 2 \\ & \text { week } \\ & 17: 2 \\ & \text { weeks } \\ & 7: 7,14: 5, \\ & 75: 15 \\ & \text { weigh } \\ & 8: 1 \\ & \text { weight } \\ & 6: 6,7: 18, \\ & 52: 12 \\ & \text { well-being } \\ & 25: 19 \\ & \text { went } \\ & 40: 21, \quad 53: 24 \\ & \text { west } \\ & 8: 22, \quad 17: 16, \\ & 22: 19, \quad 24: 24, \\ & 31: 5, \quad 31: 6, \\ & 31: 12, \quad 32: 8, \\ & 32: 25, \quad 33: 1, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 33:5, 34:11, | 48:9, 49:2 | Y | 08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:23, 36:7, | without | yard | 1:11 |
| 36:13, 43:1, | 46:15, 57:12, | 48:2, 55:1 | 1 |
| 51:10, 61:4 | 62:24 | yards | 1 |
| whatever | witnessed | 47:10, 59:13 | 1:26 |
| 18:4, 24:1, | 15:12 | year | 10 |
| 30:11 | wolfe | 15:10, 15:17, | 5:3, 27:1, |
| wheel | 3:23, 52:15, | 15:18, 15:19, | 42:22, 50:22, |
| 15:16 | 52:16, 52:17 | 16:12, 21:21, | 51:14, 53:24, |
| wheelchair | wolven | 27:1, 59:10, | 56:2, 60:23, |
| 20:3 | 3:5, 15:2, | 61:23 | 70:8 |
| wheelchairs | 15:4, 15:7, 15:8 | years | 100 |
| 48:9, 48:13 | wonderful | 16:24, 19:24, | 13:15, 75:22 |
| whether | 23:5 | 22:10, 23:11, | 103 |
| 5:25, 6:14, | wondering | 37:21, 37:22, | 20:3 |
| 8:5, 8:6, 15:24, | 54:1 | 39:18, 40:6, | 11 |
| 27:25 | wooded | 40:22, 40:23, | 41:9, 70:9 |
| wholeheartedly | 49:9 | 41:21, 49:1, | 12 l |
| 39:5 | work | 53:9, 55:1, | 10:13, 10:19, |
| wide | 13:24, 46:23, | 55:22, 56:2, | 18:20, 23:11, |
| 8:16, 9:5, | 55:20, 67:4, | 58:20, 58:21, | 41:7, 63:19 |
| 9:20, 10:2, | 67:5, 68:23, | 58:24, 59:1, | 13 |
| 10:7, 10:13, | 70:7 | 63:19, 64:6, | 16:24 |
| 10:19, 42:3, | workable | 64:16, 64:21, | 13.25 |
| 52:24, 62:8, | 30:11 | 64:24, 65:21 | 9:21 |
| 62:9, 69:19 | worked | yep | 15 |
| width | 23:12 | 15:4, 15:7 | 3:5, 56:2, |
| 39:22, 40:2 | working | yoshino | 65:14 |
| wife | 40:5, 67:23 | 41:20 | 15,000 |
| 21:10, 22:7, | worlds | young | 50:22 |
| 22:9, 43:15, | 53:2 | 65:2, 66:13, | 16 |
| 47:20, 62:13 | worry | 74:2 | 3:6, 3:7 |
| william | 55:25, 72:9 | younger | 17 |
| 4:3, 15:8, | worst | 65:25 | 10:2, 10:8 |
| 61:9, 61:10, | 32:16, 65:8 | Z | 1700 |
| willing | wouldn't | zero | 59:8 |
| 70:16 | 21:15, $22: 9$ write | 9:6 | 18 |
| winter | write | \$ | 3:8, 41:6 |
| 48:12, 65:7 | writing | \$513,051 | , |
| wish | $39: 7$ | 12:5 | $3: 9$ 1960 |
| 5:25, 6:2, | written | 0 | 1960 |
| 14:14, 14:18, | 6:5, 7:17, | 00 | $19: 25$ 1973 |
| 28:25 | 14:24, 28:19, | 67:5, 75:16 | 73:21 |
| wishes | 29:18, 52:10, | 0506747 | 1981 |
| 63:5, 75:11 | 61:14, 61:15, | 12:9 | $52: 17$ |
| within $16: 25,26: 1,$ | $62: 7,63: 12,$ |  | 1984 |
| 16.25, 26.1, | 75:17 |  | 41:21, 43:15 |
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| 1985 | 26 | 5 | 70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43:15 | 3:12, 10:1, | 5-0 | 4:7, 27:14, |
| 1988 | 10:7, 10:18 | 40:23 | 40:22, 48:10 |
| 49:8 | 27 | 50 | 72 |
| 2 | 41:5, 75:16 | 9:19, 10:17, | 4:8 |
| 2.5 | 272348 | 40:22 | 7270 |
| 30:2 | 1:25 | 506747 | 13:22 |
| 20 | 28 | 12:23 | 7277 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 10, \quad 23: 16, \\ & 47: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 3:13 | 52 | 75:24 |
|  | 3 | 3:23 | 73 |
| 200 | 3,511 | 54 | 4:9 |
| 60:10, 60:14 | 9:17 | 3:24 | 777 |
| 2007 | 30 | 55 | 13:22, 75:24 |
| 47:20 | 3:14, 22:10, | 55:18 | 78 |
| 2011 | 26:3, 33:10, | 56 | 1:26 |
| 68:10 | 42:2, 42:3, | 3:25 | 8 |
| 2014 | 64:16 | 5600 | 8 |
| 72:20 | 31 | 24:17 | 55:18, 67:4, |
| 2015 | 49:1 | 58 | 76:10 |
| 38:18 | 32 | 4:2 | 80 |
| 2016 | 11:18, 54:3 | 6 | 40:22, 49:11, |
| 66:142019 | 33 | 6 | 50:7, 51:3 |
|  | 3:15 | 75:16 | $8506$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1: 10, \quad 5: 3, \\ & 12: 3, \quad 47: 21, \\ & 75: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 60 | $35: 18$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 16, \quad 41: 21 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $9: 25,10: 6,$ | $8508$ |
|  | $36$ | $10: 11,27: 14$ | $66: 12,67: 12$ |
| 2020 | 10:12 | $6002$ | $8509$ |
| 14:3 | $37$ | 16:23 | 47:20 |
| 20817 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 17 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | 6009 | 8510 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2: 4, \quad 5: 7 \\ & 20878 \end{aligned}$ | $39$ | 75:2 | 48:25 |
|  | 76:10 | 6014 | 8513 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 16,75: 24 \\ & 218 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 15:11 | 34:1, 45:8 |
|  | 40 | 6017 | 8517 |
| 5:6 | $3: 18,50: 6$ | 19:22 | $70: 21$ |
| 22 | $43$ | $61$ | 8601 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 3, \quad 19: 24 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $3: 19$ | 4:3 | 28:15, 28:19, |
| $23$ | $45$ | 63 | 56:11 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 1, \quad 55: 22 \\ & 23.5 \end{aligned}$ | $3: 20,67: 4$ | 4: 4 | $8604$ |
|  | $47$ | 66 | $43: 14$ |
| 9:20 | 3:21 | 4:5 | $8605$ |
| 24 | 48 | 68 | 15:9, 15:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 11, \quad 47: 21, \\ & 48: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 3:22 | 4:6 | 8607 |
|  | 4953 | 6th | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 9 \\ & 8609 \end{aligned}$ |
| 240 | 6:10 | 5:3 | $8609$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 22,75: 24 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $4 \mathrm{th}$ | 7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 27: 1, & 40: 11, \\ 40: 23 & \end{array}$ |
| 42:19 | 13:14 | $7$ | $8610$ |
|  |  | $1: 11,5: 3$ | $58: 15$ |
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| 8611 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $61: 17$ |  |
| 8613 |  |
| $28: 17,61: 12$ |  |
| 8701 |  |
| $2: 3,5: 6$ |  |
| 8709 |  |
| $37: 18$ |  |
| 8714 |  |
| $54: 22$ |  |
| 9 |  |
| 9 |  |
| $67: 5$ |  |
| 90 |  |
| $30: 24$ |  |
| 92 |  |
| $78: 11$ |  |
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